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Abstract

Diffusion-weighted magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) provides unparalleled information and measurements of lung structure and function without the burden of ionizing radiation. In particular, diffusion-weighted MRI provides estimates of airspace enlargement, which is a hallmark characteristic of emphysema. MRI provides a way to measure in vivo mean-linear-intercept (L_m) and this is a promising measurement for clinical evaluation of disease progression in patients with Alpha-1 Antitrypsin Deficiency (AATD) in which airspace enlargement begins early in life. As such, our objective was to evaluate MRI measurements of airspace enlargement in AATD patients and compare these measurements to ex-smokers with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) and healthy never-smokers. We compared these measurements with standard clinical measurements provided by spirometry, plethysmography and computed tomography; we also demonstrated that MRI detected differences in disease severity in patients with clinically similar measurements.
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### List of Abbreviations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6MWD</td>
<td>Six Minute Walk Distance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6MWT</td>
<td>Six Minute Walk Test</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AATD</td>
<td>Alpha-1 Antitrypsin Deficiency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADC</td>
<td>Apparent Diffusion Coefficient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL</td>
<td>Apical Lung</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATS</td>
<td>American Thoracic Society</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BL</td>
<td>Basal Lung</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COPD</td>
<td>Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT</td>
<td>Computed Tomography</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D₀</td>
<td>Free Diffusion Coefficient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dₗ</td>
<td>Longitudinal Diffusion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DLₜ</td>
<td>Transverse Diffusion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERV</td>
<td>Expiratory Reserve Volume</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ES</td>
<td>Ex-Smoker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>¹⁹F</td>
<td>Flourine-19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FD</td>
<td>Fourier Decomposition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FDM</td>
<td>Functional Diffusion Map</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV₁</td>
<td>Forced Expiratory Volume in 1 Second</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FGRE</td>
<td>Fast Gradient Recalled Echo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FOV</td>
<td>Field of View</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRC</td>
<td>Functional Residual Capacity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GMₘ</td>
<td>Gradient Maximum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>¹H</td>
<td>Proton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>³He</td>
<td>Helium-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HU</td>
<td>Hounsfield Units</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRV</td>
<td>Inspiratory Reserve Volume</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td>Alveoli Length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAC</td>
<td>Low Attenuation Clusters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lₘₐ</td>
<td>Mean Linear Intercept</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MANOVA</td>
<td>Multiple Analysis of Variance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRI</td>
<td>Magnetic Resonance Imaging</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NS</td>
<td>Never-Smoker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r</td>
<td>Internal Airway Radius</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
<td>External Airway Radius</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RA₉₅₀</td>
<td>Relative Area of the CT Density Histogram &lt; -950 HU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RF</td>
<td>Radio Frequency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RV</td>
<td>Residual Volume</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SGRQ</td>
<td>St. George’s Respiratory Questionnaire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPO₂</td>
<td>Arterial Oxygenation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S/V</td>
<td>Surface-to-Volume Ratio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TE</td>
<td>Echo Time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLC</td>
<td>Total Lung Capacity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TV</td>
<td>Tidal Volume</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UTE</td>
<td>Ultrashort Echo Time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VC</td>
<td>Vital Capacity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VDP</td>
<td>Ventilation Defect Percentage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WL</td>
<td>Whole Lung</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^{129}$Xe</td>
<td>Xenon-129</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
INTRODUCTION

“Can one hear the shape of a drum?” - Mark Kac.¹ This simple question builds the foundation for this research. Can you listen to an MRI signal from the lung parenchyma and from that determine its shape and form? This introduction provides the required information as a prelude to the remaining chapters which explore this question across a range of lung disease.

1.1 Motivation and Rationale

Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) is a leading cause of death and hospitalizations worldwide.² It affects millions of people and has an incredible economic burden on the healthcare system in Canada.³ The hallmark features of COPD include thickening of the bronchial walls, excessive mucus production and partial or complete destruction of the alveolar ducts and sacs.⁴ This combination of factors leads to a decrease in pulmonary function and overall quality of life. Individuals with a diagnosis of Alpha-1 Antitrypsin Deficiency (AATD) lack a protein required for regulation of neutrophil elastase and as a result can rapidly develop a phenotype of COPD called emphysema.⁵ Unfortunately, there is no cure for AATD and current treatment is expensive,⁶ and has controversial efficacy with no improvements in exercise capacity or quality of life for the patient.⁷ Emphysema is characterized by parenchymal destruction which results in overall airspace enlargement. This enlargement can be characterized by lung morphometry, the study of lung form. This can be used to quantify disease severity and progression regionally throughout the lung. The American Thoracic Society (ATS) and European Respiratory Society (ERS) joint task force consider quantification of lung structure to be the gold standard in evaluating clinical interventions, severity of disease and response to treatment.⁸

In the clinic, measurements of lung structure and function are made using a wide range of tools, from simple airflow measurements to complex three dimensional computed tomography (CT). Many of these tools will be outlined in further detail later in this chapter. Airflow measurements (spirometry) taken at the mouth can be used to quantify lung
function and airflow obstruction. Spirometry is the gold standard for diagnosis of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. It is also possible to measure lung volumes which can give the volume of gas remaining in the lungs after full exhalation. The volume remaining at the end of exhalation (RV) as a ratio of total lung capacity (TLC) is a measurement of gas trapping. Diffusion tests can give an estimate of the ability for O₂ to diffuse across the alveolar-capillary membrane which is a quantity that is reduced in diseases such as emphysema. Measurements of lung structure and form can be performed using imaging techniques such as planar x-rays or by extending this to 3D x-ray CT. These techniques allow measurements of lung volumes and tissue density to be made but to truly measure the structure and form of the lung and the lung parenchyma, novel morphometry techniques must be employed to obtain measurements such as the surface-to-volume ratio and mean cord length.

Lung morphometry is not a new field. However, until recently, it relied on histology to provide 2D cross sections with which the overall 3D structure of the lungs are estimated. In this method, an excised lung or section of the lung is sliced into 2D cross sections and through point counting, intersection counting and transect counting an estimation of lung volume, surface area or mean cord length can be made. Unfortunately, this method relies on excised lung tissue thus limiting it to ex-vivo analysis.

Unfortunately, there are limited methods that provide accurate measurements of airspace size in vivo. Hyperpolarized noble-gas magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) provides an ionizing radiation free method of quantifying lung structure function in vivo. To accomplish this, diffusion-weighted MRI is employed, which measures the self-diffusion of the noble-gas molecules within the confinement of the lung parenchyma. Since the noble-gases used are biologically inert, this diffusion is related to the confining geometry, in this case that of the lung parenchyma. There has been much work done in measuring this confinement in the form of an apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC). Previous work in AATD measuring ADC, relied on the single diffusion-weighted approach and did not extend to multiple diffusion-weights which can provide a more sensitive measurement of geometry. Recent work however, looked at a population of AATD subjects using different multiple diffusion-weighted modelling approaches but did so only for a single centre
coronal slice and without comparison to standard clinical measurements or regional evaluation.\textsuperscript{15}

This thesis focuses on evaluation of alveolar destruction in COPD and AATD using multiple diffusion-weights, comparing these measurements to those used clinically such as spirometry and computed tomography as well as evaluating measurements of airspace enlargement regionally throughout the lung.

This thesis chapter will provide prerequisite knowledge to understand the original research presented in \textbf{Chapter 2}.

\section*{1.2 Lung Structure and Function}

The primary function of the lungs is gas exchange. The lungs consist of branching generations (\textbf{Figure 1-1}) of bronchioles which ultimately end in the alveoli, where the majority of gas exchange occurs. The lungs can be divided into two sections, the conducting zone and the respiratory zone.

\subsection*{1.2.1 Conducting Zone}

Beginning at the nose, the conducting zone is the first portion of the respiratory tract. This portion of the airways consists of those with the largest diameter with the main purpose of filtration, warming and transportation of incoming air. The conducting zone concludes at the terminal bronchioles. In the respiratory tract, the conducting zone consists of generations 1 to 16.

\subsection*{1.2.2 Respiratory Zone}

The respiratory zone, generations 17 until the alveoli is the site of gas exchange in the lungs. This is the portion of the respiratory tract with the largest surface area which makes up the bulk of the lung parenchyma. This region of the lung consists primarily of the alveolar ducts, sacs and the alveoli. The alveoli are responsible for 90\% of the gas exchange whereas the preceding respiratory bronchioles and alveolar ducts account for only 10\%.
1.2.3 The Alveoli

The alveoli comprise the bulk of the lung parenchyma as they line the walls of the airways in the respiratory zone. Each alveolus is approximately 330 um in diameter\textsuperscript{16} and the average adult has 480 million alveoli.\textsuperscript{17} As a result of their small diameter and the vast number of alveoli, they have a surface area of roughly 70 m\textsuperscript{2}.\textsuperscript{18} This is the hallmark feature of the efficient lung, as the total surface area influences its ability to receive deoxygenated blood and inversely supply the heart with oxygen during respiration. Any disease which results in destruction to the alveoli will ultimately reduce the surface area available for gas exchange and in turn result in a reduction of pulmonary function and quality of life for the individual.

\textbf{Figure 1-1: Conducting and Respiratory Zones}

Respiratory tract generations (Z) with both conducting and respiratory zones beginning at the trachea (Z = 0) and ending at the alveoli (Z = 23). Adapted from West, JB, Respiratory Physiology: The Essentials, Ninth Edition.\textsuperscript{16}
1.3 Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease

Having classically been defined as consisting of two distinct, but intertwined, phenotypes COPD is a progressive disease leading to airflow limitations and reduced quality of life. A patient with chronic bronchitis, often referred to as a “blue bloater”, can be visualized as a person with a larger stocky build and a history of a chronic cough. A patient with emphysema, often referred to as a “pink puffer”, will be someone with recent weight loss and abnormal physical weakness. The current diagnostic criteria for COPD are outlined by the Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease (GOLD) criteria. The GOLD severity grades are provided in Table 1-1 where FEV₁ is an airflow measurement taken using a spirometer explained in more detail in Section 1.5.1.

Table 1-1: GOLD Severity Grades

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>FEV₁/FVC &lt; 70%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GOLD I</td>
<td>Mild</td>
<td>FEV₁ ≥ 80%pred</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOLD II</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>50% ≤ FEV₁ &lt; 80%pred</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOLD III</td>
<td>Severe</td>
<td>30% ≤ FEV₁ &lt; 50%pred</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOLD IV</td>
<td>Very Severe</td>
<td>FEV₁ &lt; 30%pred</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FEV₁ = forced expiratory volume in 1 second; FVC = forced vital capacity

1.3.1 Chronic Bronchitis

Chronic bronchitis is inflammation of the bronchial tubes, primarily in the mucus glands. This inflammation results in an over-production of mucus by the goblet cells which are located on the epithelial lining of the respiratory tracts. The combination of mucus lining the bronchial tubes and the inflammation results in airflow limitations. The primary cause of chronic bronchitis is cigarette smoking, but that is not the only cause.
1.3.2 Emphysema

Emphysema affects the airspace distal to the terminal bronchioles. It is characterized by the destruction of the walls of the alveolar ducts, sacs, or both. It is a result of an imbalance of proteases due to either an increased production of proteases or reduced production of antiproteases. There are two main types of recognized emphysema (Figure 1-2): Centriacinar emphysema, where destruction is limited to the central lobule leaving the alveolar ducts and sacs unharmed and panacinar emphysema where destruction occurs across the entire lobule.

**Figure 1-2: Centriacinar and Panacinar Emphysema**
Normal respiratory bronchioles, respiratory bronchioles affected by centriacinar emphysema where the emphysema is confined the terminal and respiratory bronchioles (TB and RB). This destruction is extended to the alveoli (A) in panacinar emphysema. Adapted from West, JB, Pulmonary Pathophysiology: The Essentials, 4th Edition.

The destruction of the lung parenchyma can be seen in Figure 1-3 showing micro-CT images of a healthy young subject, healthy elderly subject and an ex-smoker. All three images have the same field of view (FOV) = 40mm.
Figure 1-3: Micro-CT Lung Images
Micro-CT images showing a healthy young lung with tightly packed alveoli, a healthy old lung showing gradual enlargement of the lung parenchyma and an ex-smoker lung showing emphysematous destruction of the lung parenchyma. (Healthy young lung courtesy of Dr. Vasilescu and Dr. Hogg (UBC)). Healthy Old Lung and Ex-Smoker Lung reproduced with permission (Appendix A).

Emphysema is most frequently encountered in the proximal respiratory bronchioles in the upper lobes. This is referred to as centrilobular emphysema and is primarily associated with smoking related emphysema. Emphysema can also be located distal to the respiratory bronchioles localized in the basal portion of the lung. This pattern of emphysema is referred to as panlobular emphysema and is characteristic of, but not limited to, AATD related emphysema.

1.4 Alpha-1 Antitrypsin Deficiency

1.4.1 Biology

AATD was first described in 1963 by Laurell and Eriksson. AATD is an underdiagnosed genetic condition affecting up to 1 in 1500 people of European descent. It causes mutations in the SERPINA1 gene which contains instructions for producing a protein called alpha-1 antitrypsin. This protein protects the body from neutrophil elastase, which is released from white blood cells in response to infection but will attack healthy tissue if not regulated. The normal allele of the SERPINA1 gene, M, results in normal levels of alpha-1 antitrypsin. Two other variants the S and Z variants produce decreasing amounts of alpha-1 antitrypsin. There are over 100 different alleles worldwide.
1.4.2 Liver Disease

Approximately 15% of all alpha-1 antitrypsin deficiency sufferers will develop liver disease. As the alpha-1 antitrypsin is not secreted properly (as a result of protein misfolding) it accumulates in the liver and can cause liver cirrhosis, an accumulation of liver scar tissue.

1.4.3 Lung Disease

AATD primarily manifests in the form of panlobular emphysema. The imbalance of neutrophil elastase will result in destruction of connective tissue within the lungs and a breakdown of the alveoli leading to reduced surface area and in turn diminishing the lungs’ capacity for gas exchange. Emphysema as a result of AATD predominately affects the basal portion (~64% of patients) of the lung due to the gravitational dependence of pulmonary blood flow. This is not always the case and upper lobular emphysema can also occur as can other respiratory disorders such as bronchiectasis.

1.4.4 Augmentation Therapy

At this time there is no cure for AATD and current treatment is geared solely towards symptomatic relief. Intravenous supplementation of exogenous alpha-1 antitrypsin has been shown to both slow the decline of the forced expiratory volume in 1 second (FEV$1$) in patients with AATD and slow the decline in lung tissue density as measured by x-ray computed tomography. Augmentation therapy is a costly procedure and there are some debates on who would benefit the most from treatment. In addition, recent clinical trials have not shown significant changes in exercise capacity, patient perceived quality of life, exacerbations or hospitalizations as a result of treatment. To overcome this, there is preliminary work examining novel treatments for AATD emphysema including gene therapy and pluripotent stem cells. In order to accurately gauge the efficacy of these novel treatments, pulmonary imaging must be employed as it has previously played an important role in demonstrating treatment efficacy with respect to lung density changes. However, to truly measure airspace enlargement in vivo lung morphometry techniques, which can give measures of airspace enlargement and surface-to-volume ratios, are required. These measurements must be sufficiently sensitive to detect small changes in
early and mild disease as well as severe end stage emphysema. If a sensitive measurement of disease and airspace enlargement were developed and applied to clinical efficacy evaluation of novel treatments it would be possible to perform smaller single-centre studies. This would result in a drastic reduction in costs and ultimately aid in the development of novel treatments of AATD emphysema.

1.5 Clinical Measurements of Lung Disease

1.5.1 Spirometry

Worldwide, spirometry provides the gold standard measurement for the diagnosis and clinical evaluation of COPD.\textsuperscript{19} Spirometry tests by patients who are coached by a trained pulmonary technologist for which there are accepted guidelines on reproducibility and evaluation.\textsuperscript{47} Spirometry measurements are inexpensive and reproducible while requiring little specialized equipment or training; however, they rely solely on airflow measurements taken at the mouth and therefore provide a single global measurement representing lung function.\textsuperscript{47} This unfortunately fails to unmask the many faces of COPD and hides its underlying heterogeneity.\textsuperscript{48,49} The primary measurement of airflow limitation is the FEV\textsubscript{1} which is a measurement of the maximum amount of air exhaled during the first 1 second of a forced expiration. Figure 1-4 shows a sample flow volume curve for a normal healthy individual (Figure 1-4A) and a flow volume curve for someone with an obstructive lung disease (Figure 1-4B). The total volume of air exhaled during this breathing maneuver is the forced vital capacity (FVC).
**Figure 1-4: Flow Volume Curve**
Sample flow volume curves for a healthy (A) patient and someone with obstructive lung disease (B). In obstructive lung disease, flow rates are slower resulting in a lower FEV$_1$.

### 1.5.2 Lung Volumes and Capacities

In addition to FEV$_1$ and FVC it is possible to measure patient lung volumes using a plethysmograph. Briefly, a plethysmograph is a closed system in which the patient sits that is used to measure lung volumes, specifically the functional residual capacity (FRC), total lung capacity (TLC) and the residual volume (RV). FRC is the volume of air in the lungs remaining at the end of a passive expiration during a normal breathing cycle and RV is the volume of air in the lungs remaining at the end of a full expiration. To accomplish this Boyles law is implemented and using measured pressure differences one can derive the aforementioned lung volumes.$^{50}$
Figure 1-5: Lung Volumes
A volume time curve showing clinically relevant lung volumes. Relevant lung volumes for this work are: Residual Volume (RV), the volume remaining after full expiration; Functional Residual Capacity (FRC), the volume remaining after passive expiration and Total Lung Capacity (TLC); the total lung volume after a full inspiration.

The measurement of TLC and RV/TLC is important as it represents hyperinflation of the lungs which is a hallmark sign of COPD due to either the loss of the elastic property of the lung parenchyma or an obstruction in the passage of air.

1.5.3 Diffusing Capacity of the Lung for Carbon Monoxide
The diffusing capacity of the lung for carbon monoxide (DL\textsubscript{CO}) test measures the amount of oxygen passing from the alveolar sacs into the blood. During the test the patient breathes in a test gas containing a small amount of tracer gas (CO) and holds their breath.\textsuperscript{51} CO is used due to its affinity to bind to hemoglobin, making the uptake of CO diffusion limited as opposed to perfusion limited. This means that the amount of gas taken up is solely dependent on diffusion and not the amount of available blood flow.\textsuperscript{4} Upon a breath-hold of 10 seconds the patient exhales and the exhaled gas is tested to determine the amount of tracer gas that was absorbed during the duration of the breath hold.\textsuperscript{51} This test is especially important in patients with emphysema as the destruction of the alveolar sacs leads to a decreased capacity for gas exchange between the air sacs and blood. A measurement of DL\textsubscript{CO} normalized to the alveolar volume (DL\textsubscript{CO}/VA) has been proposed as a more specific
measurement.\textsuperscript{52,53} Although simple and quick, this test lacks reproducibility over time\textsuperscript{54} and therefore may be unattractive in cases where longitudinal monitoring may be required. A low $DL_{CO}$ combined with an increase in TLC, RV/TLC is a classic sign of emphysema as observed by pulmonary function testing.\textsuperscript{55}

1.5.4 Six-Minute Walk Test

Patient exercise capacity can be measured by performing the six-minute walk test (6MWT). In the 6MWT the patient walks at a self-set pace, resting as necessary, on a flat surface for six minutes. The six minute walk test does not provide specific information of the limiting exercise factor but is reflective of the activities performed during day to day life. Before and after performing the six minute walk test the patient answers two questions on perceived levels of exhaustion\textsuperscript{56} and has measurements of arterial oxygenation ($S_{p}O_{2}$) and heart rate taken. All six minute walk tests performed for this thesis are to American Thoracic Society guidelines.\textsuperscript{57,58}

1.5.5 St. George’s Respiratory Questionnaire

The St. George’s Respiratory Questionnaire (SGRQ)\textsuperscript{59} is designed to measure the overall impact that respiratory disease has on the quality of life as perceived by the patient. There are two sections a symptoms section and an activities section which relate to the symptoms experienced and the burden of the disease. The questionnaire is scored from 0 to 100 with higher scores indicating worse perceived quality of life and symptoms.

1.6 X-Ray Computed Tomography

1.6.1 Theory

At its most basic level, x-ray computed tomography (CT) is an extension of planar x-ray imaging to three dimensions using a rotating x-ray tube and detector combined with a motorized patient bed.\textsuperscript{60} The contrast in the image is related to the radiodensity of the scanned material where each value represents a Hounsfield unit (HU) corresponding to:

$$HU = 1000 \times \frac{\mu - \mu_{\text{water}}}{\mu_{\text{water}} - \mu_{\text{air}}}$$ (1-1)
With $\mu$ being the linear attenuation coefficient and 0 HU being defined as the radiodensity of distilled water at standard pressure and temperature.

1.6.2 Pulmonary X-Ray Computed Tomography

CT imaging of the lung can be used to visualize regions of low attenuation and quantify both gas trapping and emphysema. Typical lung parenchyma attenuation values are between -910 and -500 HU.\(^{61}\) A CT scan performed at full expiration can be used to quantify regions of gas trapping which are regions where gas is not cleared through exhalation. To do so the regions of the lung < -856 HU are examined.\(^{62}\) A full inspiration CT can be used to quantify regions of emphysema. Using the attenuation < -950 HU as an emphysema threshold.\(^{63}\) This can be quantified using the relative area of the CT density histogram with values < -950 HU (RA\(_{950}\)). RA\(_{950}\) measurements correlate well with radiologist scores,\(^{64}\) histology\(^{65}\) and pulmonary function testing.\(^{66}\) Regions of the CT lung density histogram representing airspace enlargement are shown in Figure 1-6 for a healthy never-smoker and representative AATD subject. Combining inspiration and expiration CT scans together, a regional map of gas trapping and emphysema can be made.\(^{67}\) This method, called parametric response mapping, co-registers the inspiratory and expiratory CT scans and generates a lung map showing regions of gas trapping and emphysema. These methods can provide regional information of emphysema and gas trapping throughout the lung. In addition to quantifying regions of emphysema and gas trapping CT provides a measurement of lung density (g/L).\(^{68,69}\) Unfortunately, current spatial resolutions available with CT are not fine enough to visualize the smaller airways. There are other quantification methods such as examining low attenuating clusters (LAC)\(^{70}\) where the cumulative size distribution of these low attenuating clusters (< -950 HU) are described by a power law relationship with the number of low attenuating clusters. This in principle relates the size of these emphysematous lesions and the number of lesions using CT. This can be graphically illustrated as low attenuating spheres where the size of the sphere is proportional to the cumulative lesion size.
Figure 1-6: CT Radiodensity and Histogram
CT images with yellow masks corresponding to voxels $<-950$ HU and the corresponding whole lung CT radiodensity histogram for a healthy never-smoker and subject with AATD

Since the development of micro-CT spatial resolutions approaching 4 µm may be obtained. Micro-CT as a method of visualizing and quantifying lung morphometry has been thoroughly demonstrated in mice, pigs and cadaveric human lung specimens, including AATD donor lungs; however, the scan speed and radiation exposure required to execute a scan leaves the feasibility of micro-CT geared solely to ex-vivo imaging.

1.7 Magnetic Resonance Imaging

1.7.1 Theory

Conventional $^1$H MRI of the body is possible due to the high concentration of $^1$H molecules comprising various biological tissues. The gyromagnetic ratio ($\gamma$) of a nucleus will in part determine the MR signal. The high $\gamma$ of $^1$H ($\gamma_{^1H} = 42.6$ MHz T$^{-1}$) combined with the natural abundance of $^1$H located inside biological tissue provides ample signal for imaging most
of the body. Unfortunately, the lungs have incredibly low density (133g/L - 200g/L) and have millions of air tissue interfaces which result in magnetic field inhomogeneities within the lung parenchyma. This makes clinically available $^1$H MRI unsuitable for quantitative evaluation of the lung or the diseases which affect it.

1.7.2 Pulmonary Magnetic Resonance Imaging

Conventional $^1$H MRI of the lungs is difficult due to the high concentration of air contained within the lungs, and consequently the low tissue density. This is made further complicated by the large number of air-tissue interfaces which affect magnetic field homogeneity within the lungs. For these reasons, the lungs appear as dark voids (Figure 1-7) providing little to no information on lung structure-function.

![Figure 1-7: $^1$H Thorax image for representative NS, COPD and AATD Subjects](image)

This limitation can be circumvented by using multinuclear MRI and as opposed to measuring $^1$H density, one measures the relative density of an inhaled hyperpolarized noble-gas. Through spin-exchange optical pumping, noble-gases can be hyperpolarized and used for imaging of the lungs after inhalation. This is important because the MRI signal is proportional, to the following factors:

$$ S \propto \frac{1}{2} N |\gamma| \hbar \omega_0 P_N $$

(1-2)

Where $S$ is the MRI signal, $N$ is the number of spins, $\gamma$ is the gyromagnetic ratio, $\hbar$ is the reduced Plank constant, $\omega_0$ is the Larmor frequency and $P_N$ is the nuclear polarization. In
the case of $^1$H MRI the high N provides adequate signal for imaging purposes; however, in the case of hyperpolarized noble-gas imaging thermally polarized noble-gas to provide inadequate signal due to the small N and $P_N$. However, this can be overcome by raising the $P_N$ through external polarization of the noble-gas. This technique allows visualization of gas dispersion within the lungs.

1.7.2.1 $^1$H Methods

There are however methods utilizing traditional $^1$H imaging which provide information suitable for quantitative image analysis. These methods are currently under investigation in research settings but may serve to provide translatable lung imaging. Two methods are Fourier decomposition (FD) MRI$^{80}$ and Ultrashort Echo Time (UTE) MRI.$^{81}$

1.7.2.1.1 Fourier Decomposition

During a period of free breathing, multiple images are taken of a single slice through the lungs. The images are then deformably registered$^{82}$ to each other to account for cardiac motion and the varying lung volumes throughout the respiratory cycle. The discrete Fourier transform is taken at each lung voxel and ventilation and perfusion maps can be obtained by observing the corresponding peak at either the respiratory rate (ventilation) or cardiac rate (perfusion). Ventilation maps obtained from FD MRI have been shown to correlate with ventilation maps obtained from $^3$He.$^{83}$

1.7.2.1.2 Ultrashort Echo Time

To overcome the difficulties in lung imaging a method using echo times ($T_E$) of ~50us and 3D radial k-space trajectories has been developed. MRI is performed at four lung volumes: full expiration, FRC, FRC+1L and full inhalation. Absolute signal intensity is normalized to the liver and dynamic proton maps (registered to FRC+1L) can be computed using the lung volumes. The UTE signal has been shown to be related to lung volume suggesting a relationship to tissue density.$^{84}$ It is also related to gas-trapping in asthmatics.$^{85}$
1.7.2.2 Hyperpolarized Methods

1.7.2.2.1 Spin Physics and Polarization

By using spin-exchange optical pumping (SEOP) high levels of $^3$He or $^{129}$Xe polarization can be achieved.\textsuperscript{79} The SEOP system uses circularly polarized resonance light which is absorbed by rubidium housed within a glass cell. Contained within the same glass cell is the noble-gas used in the spin-exchange, $^3$He in this case. Angular momentum is transferred through binary collisions from the polarized rubidium to the $^3$He. Over time as collisions increase, this causes an increase of the $^3$He nuclear polarization to several orders of magnitude larger than the thermal polarization obtained using magnetic fields. A full theoretical description of SEOP of noble-gas nuclei can be found in a review by Walker and Happer 1997.\textsuperscript{86}

1.7.2.2.2 Static Ventilation MRI

By imaging the spin density of the polarized noble-gas during a breath-hold, visualization of gas distribution throughout the lungs can be achieved. These images are coined static ventilation (SV) images and as the name indicates they give a single static image of gas distribution. This is shown in Figure 1-8, these are the same subjects and slices as Figure 7. This image, can be qualitatively analyzed by identifying regions of hypo-intense signal (signal voids) as well as regions of hyper-intense signal. These regions can also be quantified using semi-automated segmentation methods,\textsuperscript{87} where the $^1$H and $^3$He images are co-registered using an affine transformation, to determine the ventilation defect percent (VDP) which is the volume of ventilation defects (signal voids) normalized to the thoracic cavity volume.

$$ VDP \ [%] = \frac{Ventilation\ defect\ volume}{Thoracic\ cavity\ volume} \times 100\% \quad (1-3) $$
Figure 1-8: Static ventilation images for representative NS, COPD and AATD subjects

$^3$He static ventilation (cyan) overlaid on $^1$H thorax image for a representative subject

VDP has been shown to correlate with aging, ventilation homogeneity, and spirometry. VDP shows response to bronchodilation in asthmatics, as well as response to bronchoconstriction (methacholine).

1.7.2.2.3 Diffusion-weighted MRI

Using a similar method to what is used to acquire SV images, and employing a diffusion-weighted pulse sequence diffusion-weighted images can be acquired. Due to the loss of the MR signal at high b-values, the highest b-values are acquired first to maximize the signal at those values. The diffusion gradient is shown in blue for interleaves 2-5. These images allow visualization and quantification of the extent to which the noble-gas molecules are able to diffuse within the confining space of the alveolus.
1.7.2.2.4 Apparent Diffusion Coefficient

In a confined medium, such as an airway or alveolus, the root mean square displacement ($<r^2>$) of a noble-gas molecule and its diffusion time (t) can be related to an apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) giving a relative measure of confinement:

$$ADC = \frac{<r^2>}{2t}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1-4)

Diffusion-weighted MRI can extract this ADC through diffusion-weighted pulse sequences (Figure 1-9). First, a non-diffusion-weighted (static ventilation) image is acquired as described in Section 1.7.2.2.2, and for the same slice a second, diffusion-weighted, image is acquired. For this image, the so-called b-value [$\frac{s}{cm^2}$] indicates the size and strength of this diffusion gradient (Figure 1-10).
Figure 1-10: Diffusion sensitizing pulse gradient waveform
A bipolar trapezoidal diffusion sensitizing gradient. $G_m =$ maximum gradient amplitude, $\tau =$ gradient ramp up/down time, $\delta =$ lobe duration, $\Delta =$ diffusion time.

\[ b = (\gamma G_m)^2 \left[ \delta^2 \left( \frac{\Delta - \delta}{3} \right) + \tau \left( \delta^2 - 2\Delta \delta + \Delta \tau - \frac{7}{6} \delta \tau + \frac{8}{15} \tau^2 \right) \right] \]  

(1-5)

With $\gamma =$ gyromagnetic ratio, $G_m =$ maximum gradient, $\tau =$ gradient ramp up/down time, $\delta =$ lobe duration and $\Delta =$ diffusion time. The b-value is chosen based on the confining medium and the free diffusion of the noble-gas used. A good rule of thumb for b-value selection is $(b \cdot ADC) \approx 1.95$. This bipolar diffusion gradient works by dephasing the nuclear spins, this effectively encodes the initial position of the nuclei. The negative portion of the gradient will rephrase the spins but it will not completely rephrase them as diffusion has occurred. Thus, by relating the relative signal intensities in both the diffusion-weighted and non-diffusion-weighted images the ADC can be measured as shown in equation 1-7:

\[ \frac{S(b)}{S_0} = \exp(-b \cdot ADC) \]  

(1-6)

\[ ADC(b) = \frac{\ln \left( \frac{S_0}{S(b)} \right)}{b} \]  

(1-7)

Where commonly used b-values for lung imaging range between 1.6 and 6.4 s/cm$^2$ for $^3$He and 12 and 30 s/cm$^2$ for $^{129}$Xe. Figure 1-11 shows diffusion and non-diffusion-weighted $^3$He ventilation images for the same slice in a subject with AATD.
Figure 1-11: Non-Diffusion and Diffusion-weighted $^3$He Ventilation Image

When Equation 1-7 is applied to each voxel, a map of ADC can be generated. **Figure 1-12**, shows the ADC map generated from the images in **Figure 1-11**.

![Figure 1-11: Non-Diffusion and Diffusion-weighted $^3$He Ventilation Image](image)

**Figure 1-12: Static ventilation and ADC for AATD subject**

Static ventilation and slice matched ADC image for a representative AATD subject. Female, 58 years old. FEV$_1$ = 32%$_{\text{pred}}$, FEV$_1$/FVC = 31%, RV/TLC = 62%, DLCO = 53%$_{\text{pred}}$, ADC = 0.43 cm$^2$/s.

$^3$He ADC has been shown to correlate well with patient measurements of disease,$^{94}$ histological measurements of L$_m$,$^{96}$ and CT measurements of emphysema.$^{97}$ It is elevated in asthmatics post methacholine challenge, representing gas trapping.$^{92}$ ADC is also related to lung inflation levels$^{98}$ as well as patient age.$^{99,100}$ There has been a large body of work
performed using $^3$He, there have been preliminary reports of $^{129}\text{Xe}$ ADC in healthy volunteers and subjects with COPD\textsuperscript{101-103} with $^{129}\text{Xe}$ ADC measurements correlating with histological measurements.\textsuperscript{104}

1.7.2.2.5 Multiple b-value ADC Magnetic Resonance Imaging

By varying the b-values used, a set of multiple b-value images can be acquired for the same slice. During an acquisition a molecule will either experience free unrestricted diffusion, or it will experience restricted diffusion due to the presence of airspace walls. At different b-values varying number of molecules will experience restriction due to the presence of the airspace walls. This technique can be used to probe varying length scales. Using the signal decay as a function of b-value measures a voxel-wise mean of airspace sizes. This technique provides a more sensitive measurement, as compared to two b-value ADC measurements, of alveolar size.

1.7.2.3 Measurements of Alveolar Geometry using Multiple-b ADC

Measurements of alveolar geometry can be made using assumptions of the noble gas displacement within the alveolus. The assumption made in this thesis, based on previous work, is that the diffusion within the airways is anisotropic. A cylindrical geometry of the alveoli and alveoli ducts is assumed.

1.7.2.3.1 Weibel Model of Alveolar Geometry

The primary geometrical representation of the acinar airways is the Weibel representation.\textsuperscript{18} In this representation (Figure 1-13), the airway is approximated as an
infinite cylinder (Figure 1-14) with inner and outer radii (r and R, respectively) and 8 alveoli surrounding the cylinder with height h=R-r.

Figure 1-13: Weibel representation of the acinar duct
An acinar duct covered in a sleeve of alveoli. R = external airway radius, r = internal airway radius, L = alveoli length, h = alveoli sheath, D_L = MRI longitudinal diffusion coefficient, D_T = MRI transverse diffusion coefficient

Figure 1-14: Infinite cylinder geometry
The Weibel representation is considered as a cylindrical tube surrounded by a sleeve of alveoli. R = external airway radius, r = internal airway radius.

The outer cylinder represents a sleeve of alveoli surrounding the alveolar duct. The diffusion of the noble gas within the acinar airways is assumed to be anisotropic, consisting
of both longitudinal ($D_L$) and transverse ($D_T$) diffusion coefficients. The total MR signal acquired from a single voxel is:

$$S(b) = S_0 e^{-bD_T} \left(\frac{\pi}{4b(D_L - D_T)}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \Phi \left[ (b(D_L - D_T)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right]$$

(1-8)

Where $\Phi$ is the error function ($\Phi(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{x} e^{-t^2} dt$). Using this MR signal as well as Monte-Carlo derived equations\textsuperscript{105} for the transverse and longitudinal diffusion are used to find geometrical expressions for the inner ($r$) and outer radii ($R$). These geometrical values can then be used to find the alveolar length, surface-to-volume ratio and mean linear intercept as follows:

$$L = 2R \sin \left(\frac{\pi}{8}\right)$$

(1-9)

$$\frac{S}{V} = \frac{2\pi RL + 2\pi (R^2 - r^2) + 16(R - r)L}{\pi R^2 L}$$

(1-10)

$$L_m = \frac{4}{S} \frac{V}{S}$$

(1-11)

Measurements of airspace size ($L_m$) measured using this geometrical model have been shown to correlate with histology,\textsuperscript{106} show differences between elderly smokers and never-smokers\textsuperscript{107,108} as well as correlate with disease severity.

\section*{1.8 Thesis Objectives}

In this thesis, my objective was to evaluate multiple b-value MRI in subjects with AATD and examine regional MRI biomarkers of emphysema. This is important because in AATD disease manifests at a young age and longitudinal evaluation is important both clinically and in the process of development of novel treatments. I hypothesized that subjects with a clinical diagnosis of AATD would show significantly elevated morphometry values as compared to ex-smokers with COPD and age-matched healthy elderly never-smokers. I would expect to observe these differences since in AATD disease begins from birth and as such, would differ from smoking-related disease and that observed in senile emphysema.
To test this hypothesis, I performed a proof-of-concept demonstration in a small group of subjects with AATD, subjects with smoking related emphysema and a group of healthy age-matched never-smokers. I compared whole lung diffusion weighted measurements of airspace enlargement across all three groups and examined these measurements regionally throughout the lung. These measurements were also compared to standard clinical measurements such as spirometry and CT.
1.9 References

(1) Kac, M. Can one hear the shape of a drum? The american mathematical monthly 1966; 73: 1-23.


(4) West, J. B. Pulmonary pathophysiology: the essentials. (Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, 2011).


(28) Pagon, R. A. *et al.* Alpha-1 Antitrypsin Deficiency. 2014.


(33) Larsson, C. Natural history and life expectancy in severe alpha₁-antitrypsin deficiency, P(i Z. *Acta medica scandinavica* 1978; 204: 345-351.


(40) Sandhaus, R. A. *et al.* The Diagnosis and Management of Alpha-1 Antitrypsin Deficiency in the Adult. *Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Diseases: Journal of the COPD Foundation* 3: 668-682.


Cutillo, A. G. & Armonk, M. *Application of magnetic resonance to the study of lung.* (Fortuna, 1996).


(95) Jones, D. K. *Diffusion mri*. (Oxford University Press, 2010).


Chapter 2: Pulmonary $^3$He Magnetic Resonance Imaging Biomarkers of Emphysema in Alpha-1 Antitrypsin Deficiency

The contents of this chapter have been accepted to Academic Radiology as an original research article authored by Eric Lessard, Heather M Young, Anurag Bhalla, Damien Pike, Khadija Sheikh, David G McCormack, Alexei Ouriadov and Grace Parraga titled Pulmonary $^3$He Magnetic Resonance Imaging Biomarkers of Regional Airspace Enlargement in Alpha-1 Antitrypsin Deficiency. To better understand AATD related emphysema as measured by diffusion-weighted MRI we evaluated a small group of subjects with AATD using diffusion-weighted MRI, CT, spirometry, plethysmography and DLco. We compared these measurements to those obtained in ex-smokers with smoking related emphysema and healthy age-matched never-smokers.

2.1 Introduction

Alpha-1 Antitrypsin Deficiency (AATD), first described by Laurell and Eriksson in 1963,\(^1\) is an autosomal co-dominant hereditary disorder caused by a mutation in the SERPINA1 gene, which leads to dysregulation of neutrophil elastase.\(^2\) This commonly manifests as early-onset panlobular emphysema and affects approximately 1/5000 North Americans.\(^2,3\) Respiratory failure accounts for 45-72% of deaths in patients with AATD. Importantly, an increased risk of mortality may be predicted using CT biomarkers of emphysema\(^2\) and overall, outcomes are worse when patients cannot access augmentation therapy.\(^4\)

As is the case for smoking-related emphysema, there is no cure for AATD, although current AATD treatments aimed at slowing disease progression include exogenous alpha-1 antitrypsin augmentation therapy. X-ray computed tomography (CT) lung density measurements showed that augmentation therapy may slow the rate of emphysema progression in AATD patients\(^4\) - an important finding that may help guide treatment decisions given the high cost of intravenous augmentation treatment.\(^2\) However, while the CT measurement of emphysema progression was significantly lower in the treatment arm of the RAPID study, this did not correlate with similar changes in patient-related quality-of-life measurements.\(^4\) This important limitation is also motivating the development of novel biomarkers and intermediate endpoints of AATD emphysema for novel treatments including gene therapy\(^5\) and pluripotent stem cells.\(^6,7\)
The decline over time of the forced expiratory volume in 1 second (FEV$_1$)$^{8-10}$ and the diffusing capacity of carbon monoxide (DL$_{CO}$) are both considered as biomarkers of AATD lung disease. While highly variable,$^{11}$ DL$_{CO}$ measurements correlate with pathology measurements$^{12}$ and CT emphysema findings$^{13}$ such as the relative area of the CT density histogram $\leq$ -950 Hounsfield units (RA$_{950}$).$^{14}$ More recently, pulmonary imaging biomarkers that exploit the diffusive motion of inhaled polarized gases such as $^3$He$^{15}$ and $^{129}$Xe$^{16}$ have been measured in patients with emphysema$^{17}$ using diffusion-weighted MRI pulse sequences.

In particular, the $^3$He MRI apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) was shown to reflect emphysema.$^{15,18}$ Multiple b-value diffusion-weighted lung MRI$^{17}$ also provides estimates of airway space geometry,$^{17,19}$ based on the heterogeneous gas displacement in the terminal airways that are assumed to be cylindrical in shape.$^{20}$ Such non-invasive MRI morphometry estimates$^{17}$ provide a way to bypass or obviate the necessity for pathological analyses$^{21-24}$ and may offer crucial information about lung disease progression, as well as treatment response. Previous MRI studies in AATD patients$^{25-28}$ showed there was no statistical difference in ADC values after two-years of follow-up$^{26}$ but unfortunately, no morphometry data were acquired. MRI morphometry modelling approaches were recently evaluated in COPD and AATD patients,$^{29}$ but this work did not evaluate clinical and other regional biomarkers. This is important because for AATD patients, emphysematous tissue destruction ensues early in life and panlobular emphysema is often severe by middle-age.

Therefore, the aim of this study was to evaluate AATD patients using multiple b-value diffusion-weighted MRI as well as pulmonary function and CT measurements of emphysema. We hypothesized that MRI measurements would be highly sensitive to airspace enlargement which would be significantly worse in AATD patients as compared to clinically similar ex-smokers with COPD.
2.2 Materials and Methods

2.2.1 Study Volunteers and Design

All participants provided written informed consent to a study protocol approved by a local research ethics board and Health Canada. Patients aged 40-90 years of age with a diagnosis of AATD and ex-smokers with COPD, >10 pack-years smoking history and CT evidence of emphysema were recruited from a tertiary care centre and evaluated using spirometry, plethysmography, thoracic imaging, the St. George’s Respiratory Questionnaire (SGRQ) and 6-minute walk test (6MWT) during a single two-hour visit. CT evidence of emphysema was defined as an RA950 ≥ 6.8% as previously described. Older never-smokers were also enrolled aged 60-80 years, <0.5 pack-years and no history of chronic lung disease or uncontrolled cardiovascular disease and completed all study measurements except for the SGRQ and 6MWT.

2.2.2 Spirometry, Plethysmography, SGRQ and 6MWT

Spirometry measurements were acquired according to the American Thoracic Society (ATS) guidelines\(^{31}\) using a whole body system (MedGraphics Corporation, St Paul, Minnesota, USA). Body plethysmography was also performed for the measurement of lung volumes and DLCO was measured using the attached gas analyzer. The SGRQ\(^{32}\) was used to establish overall quality of life and the 6MWT\(^{33}\) was used to measure exercise capacity.

2.2.3 Image Acquisition

MRI was performed on a whole body 3T system (MR750 Discovery, GEHC, Milwaukee, WI) with broadband imaging capability.\(^{34}\) \(^{3}\)He MRI employed a whole body gradient set with maximum gradient amplitude of 50mT/m and a single-channel, rigid elliptical transmit/receive chest coil (RAPID Biomedical GmbH, Wuerzburg, Germany). The basis frequency of the coil was 97.3 MHz and excitation power was 3 kW using an AMT 3T90 RF power amplifier (GEHC). Subjects were positioned supine in the scanner for both \(^1\)H and \(^3\)He MRI and instructed by a pulmonary function technologist to inhale a 1L gas mixture of \(^3\)He/N\(_2\) (20% \(^3\)He by volume) from functional residual capacity (FRC), ensuring
a self-consistent lung volume of FRC+1L, with image acquisition performed under breath-hold conditions as previously described.\textsuperscript{34} \textsuperscript{3}He gas was polarized to 30-40\% polarization using a spin-exchange optical polarizer (Polarean Inc, Durham, NC). Diffusion-weighted \textsuperscript{3}He MRI data were acquired using a multi-slice interleaved 2D gradient echo diffusion-weighted sequence with a matrix size of 128x80, for seven 30mm coronal slices (900\textmu s selective RF pulse, flip angle $\theta = 4^\circ$, TE = 3.9ms, TR=5.6ms, bandwidth=62.5kHz, in-plane resolution = 3.125x3.125 mm$^2$, $b=0, 1.6, 3.2, 4.8, 6.4$ s/cm$^2$); the diffusion-sensitization gradient pulse ramp up/down time was 500\textmu s with a diffusion time of 1460\textmu s. The potential for image artifacts associated with RF pulse “history”\textsuperscript{35} was addressed by using an optimal constant flip angle (4 degrees).\textsuperscript{36} A diffusion-sensitizing, gradient-step, k-space acquisition scheme starting at the maximum b-value was used to ensure that maximum MR signal was acquired for diffusion-weighted images at greater b-values. All five b-value images were acquired during a single 15s breath-hold.

Thoracic CT was acquired on a 64-slice Lightspeed VCT scanner (General Electric Health Care, Milwaukee, Wisconsin) (64 × 0.625 mm, 120 kVp, 100 effective mA, tube rotation time=500 msec, and a pitch=1.0). A single spiral acquisition was performed with subjects in the supine position and at inspiration breath-hold after inhalation of a 1.0L N$_2$ bag from FRC (NS, AATD1, 6-8) or at full inspiration (COPD, AATD2-5). CT Images were reconstructed using a slice thickness of 1.25 mm with a standard convolution kernel. The total effective dose for an average participant was 1.8 mSv according to manufacturer settings and Imaging Performance Assessment of CT (ImPACT) CT patient dosimetry calculator based on software from the Health Protection Agency of the United Kingdom (NRPB-SR250).

\subsection*{2.2.4 Image Analysis}

To measure MRI ventilation defect percent (VDP), we used semi-automated segmentation software (MATLAB R2014b; MathWorks, Natick, Mass) as previously described,\textsuperscript{37} utilizing an independently acquired image without diffusion weighting. \textsuperscript{3}He MRI ADC maps were generated as described previously\textsuperscript{38,39} and the Weibel acinar duct model\textsuperscript{20} was used to generate morphometry estimates as previously described.\textsuperscript{17,23,40} Multiple-b-value
measurements\textsuperscript{39} of the \textsuperscript{3}He diffusion-attenuated MR signal were used to derive morphological parameters of the acinar airway, including external radius (R), internal radius (r), alveolar length (L), depth of alveolar sleeve (h), surface area-to-volume ratio (S/V) and mean linear intercept (L\textsubscript{m}). To model the severe emphysema that accompanies AATD lung disease, we did not constrain R or r, nor their ratio, unlike previous work in elderly never-smokers and COPD patients.\textsuperscript{39} The cylindrical model was used based on previous work\textsuperscript{17,41,42} and based on the fact that in AATD patients, normal lung development and alveolarization is expected.\textsuperscript{43} Regional ADC and morphometry measurements were computed using custom-built software generated using MATLAB software whereby the carina was identified in the b = 0 image and used to segment the lung into apical and basal regions.

CT airway count, RA\textsubscript{950}, and low attenuating clusters (LAC) with CT density values \(\leq -950\) HU\textsuperscript{44} were determined using Pulmonary Workstation 2.0 (VIDA Diagnostics Inc., Coralville, IA). LAC was calculated using the log-log relationship\textsuperscript{44} between the cumulative frequency distribution of emphysematous lesions (# of lesions) and cluster size (size of lesions) according to the power law relationship \(Y = X^{LAC}\) (\(Y =\) cumulative frequency distribution, \(X =\) size of lesions). Lower LAC values (more negative) are indicative of smaller, and fewer, clusters of emphysematous lesions whereas greater LAC values suggest fewer and larger lesions. Graphically, this is illustrated as spheres representing the relative size of emphysematous lesions, with larger spheres indicating larger and connected low attenuating areas. Regional RA\textsubscript{950} was generated using the carina to segment the lung into apical and basal lung regions.

\subsection*{2.2.5 Statistical Analysis}

All statistical analyses were performed using IBM SPSS Statistics, Version 24 (IBM, Armonk, NY, USA). Comparisons between groups were performed using a multivariate analysis of variance (MANOVA) with post-hoc Tukey honest significant difference test. Results were considered significant when the probability of making a Type 1 error was < 5\% (\(p < .05\)).
2.3 Results

Table 1 provides demographic information for the AATD patient subgroup (n=8, 57±7 years), ex-smokers with COPD (n=8, 77±6 years) and never-smokers (n=5, 64±2 years). There was significantly different FEV₁ (p = .007), FEV₁/FVC (p < .0001), RV (p = .03) and DLCO (p < .0001) for AATD patients as compared to never-smokers but there were no significant differences between AATD and COPD patients except for age (p < .0001) and SGRQ (p=.01). COPD patients were significantly older and had a significantly better SGRQ score indicating better quality of life.

![Table 2-1: Demographic and pulmonary function measurements](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters (±SD)</th>
<th>Never-Smoker n=5</th>
<th>COPD Ex-Smoker n=8</th>
<th>AATD n=8</th>
<th>NS-COPD</th>
<th>NS-AATD</th>
<th>AATD-COPD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Female n</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age yr</td>
<td>64 (2)</td>
<td>77 (6)</td>
<td>57 (7)</td>
<td>.001</td>
<td>.2</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI kg/m²</td>
<td>24 (2)</td>
<td>26 (3)</td>
<td>27 (5)</td>
<td>.8</td>
<td>.6</td>
<td>.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV₁ %pred</td>
<td>101 (9)</td>
<td>72 (34)</td>
<td>47 (20)</td>
<td>.1</td>
<td>.007</td>
<td>.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FVC %pred</td>
<td>96 (9)</td>
<td>94 (26)</td>
<td>78 (21)</td>
<td>.9</td>
<td>.4</td>
<td>.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV₁/FVC %</td>
<td>80 (1)</td>
<td>52 (11)</td>
<td>47 (12)</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
<td>.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RV %pred</td>
<td>84 (24)</td>
<td>147 (50)</td>
<td>170 (65)*</td>
<td>.1</td>
<td>.03</td>
<td>.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLC %pred</td>
<td>97 (13)</td>
<td>110 (15)</td>
<td>129 (37)*</td>
<td>.2</td>
<td>.2</td>
<td>.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RV/TLC %</td>
<td>35 (6)</td>
<td>50 (11)</td>
<td>51 (13)*</td>
<td>.05</td>
<td>.06</td>
<td>.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DLCO %pred</td>
<td>95 (14)</td>
<td>55 (16)</td>
<td>44 (19)*</td>
<td>.002</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
<td>.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6MWD m</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>380 (88)</td>
<td>385 (80)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>.9††</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SGRQ</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>30 (18)</td>
<td>55 (17)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>.01††</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FEV₁=forced expiratory volume in 1 second; %pred=percent predicted; FVC=forced vital capacity; RV=residual volume; TLC=total lung capacity; DLCO=diffusing capacity of the lungs for carbon monoxide; 6MWD=six-minute walk distance; SGRQ=St. George’s Respiratory Questionnaire total score. MANOVA between groups with post-hoc Tukey HSD for multiple comparisons. ††Unpaired t-test between COPD and AATD; *n=7.

Figure 1 shows CT airway trees and coronal centre slice low attenuating cluster maps as well as coronal centre slice MRI ventilation and ADC maps for representative participants in each subgroup. For the two representative never-smokers, there was little or no evidence of CT low attenuating regions or clusters while MRI ADC maps were homogeneous with normal ADC values and ventilation maps reflected relatively few, small
ventilation defects. In the two representative COPD patients, there were numerous CT low attenuating regions and low attenuating clusters with large ventilation defects and abnormal ADC values, mainly in the apical lung. In the two representative AATD patients, CT low attenuating regions and clusters dominated in the lower lobes and this was concomitant with highly abnormal MRI ADC values (shown in yellow), mainly in the basal lung.

Figure 2-1: Thoracic CT and MRI for Never-smokers, COPD and AATD Participants
Left panels: CT RA\textsubscript{950} maps (yellow pixels corresponding to voxels \textless950 Hounsfield Units) and CT LAC maps; Right panels: \textsuperscript{3}He static ventilation (Cyan) co-registered with \textsuperscript{1}H MRI; \textsuperscript{3}He MRI apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) maps. NS-1 is female age 63 years
with FEV₁=109 %pred, DLCO=103 %pred, RA₉₅₀=0.19 %, ADC=0.25 cm²/s; NS-4 is female age 64 years with FEV₁=108 %pred, DLCO=99 %pred, RA₉₅₀=0.13 %, ADC=0.29 cm²/s; COPD-4 is male age 75 years with FEV₁=30 %pred, DLCO=31 %pred, RA₉₅₀=26 %, ADC =0.50 cm²/s; COPD-6 is male age 84 years with FEV₁=52 %pred, DLCO=47 %pred, RA₉₅₀=24 %, ADC= 0.50 cm²/s; AATD-1 is male age 67 with FEV₁=58 %pred, DLCO=50 %pred, RA₉₅₀=19 %, ADC=0.57 cm²/s; AATD-5 is male age 50 with FEV₁=25 %pred, DLCO=32 %pred, RA₉₅₀=27 %, ADC=0.47 cm²/s.

Figure 2 shows the qualitative differences in RA₉₅₀, ADC and Lₘ maps for representative never-smokers, AATD and COPD patients. For example, for NS-2 and NS-5, there was no evidence, based on RA₉₅₀ or MRI ADC, of airspace enlargement or emphysema. However, there was a difference in mean Lₘ for these two never-smokers with lower, darker voxels for NS-5, a female aged 63 years as compared to NS-2 a female never-smoker also aged 63 years and the reasons for these differences were not evident. For COPD and AATD patients, there was CT evidence of emphysema in the upper (COPD) and basal lung (AATD). ADC and Lₘ maps also show brighter colours that reflect enlarged airspaces that in COPD patients predominated in the upper lobes. In AATD-3, there was highly abnormal Lₘ, homogeneously distributed throughout the ventilated portions of the lung. For patient AATD-2, there was very poor basal lung ventilation and therefore no ADC or morphometry values could be reported from these regions, although CT showed moderate basal lung emphysema.
Figure 2-2: Morphometry Maps for Representative Never-smokers, COPD ex-smokers and AATD Patients

Left panels: MRI static ventilation (Cyan) co-registered with $^1$H MRI; CT $RA_{950}$ maps (yellow pixels corresponding to voxels $<$-950 Hounsfield Units). Right panels: $^3$He MRI apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) and mean linear intercept ($L_m$) maps. NS-5 is female age 63 years with FEV$_1$=106 %pred, DLCO=80 %pred, RA$_{950}$=0.19 %, ADC=0.27 cm$^2$/s, $L_m$=180 µm; NS-2 is female age 63 years with FEV$_1$=94 %pred, DLCO=113 %pred, RA$_{950}$=0.44 %, ADC=0.28 cm$^2$/s, $L_m$=240 µm; COPD-5 is female age 72 years with FEV$_1$=56 %pred, DLCO=59 %pred, RA$_{950}$= 8 %, ADC = 0.33 cm$^2$/s, $L_m$=310 µm; COPD-2 is male age 79 years with FEV$_1$=126 %pred, DLCO= 62 %pred, RA$_{950}$= 11%, ADC= 0.41 cm$^2$/s, $L_m$=540 µm; AATD-2 is male age 57 with FEV$_1$= 37 %pred, DLCO= ND, RA$_{950}$= 23 %, ADC=.46 cm$^2$/s, $L_m$=680 µm; AATD-3 is female age 62 with FEV$_1$=61 %pred, DLCO=21 %pred, RA$_{950}$= 31%, ADC= 0.67 cm$^2$/s, $L_m$=1200 µm.
Table 2 and Figure 3 provide a summary of quantitative imaging measurements for each subgroup and Table 3 provides all imaging data by participant. As shown in Table 2, AATD subjects had significantly elevated whole lung ADC (p= .03), basal lung ADC (p=.045) Lm (p=.001) and diminished S/V (p=.01) as compared to COPD ex-smokers. RA950 was significantly elevated in the basal portion of the lungs as compared to the apical portion in AATD subjects only (p = .03). Figure 3 provides box and whisker plots for whole lung (top panel), apical (middle panel) and basal (bottom panel) RA950, Lm and ADC by subgroup. In COPD and AATD patients, there was significantly different whole lung, apical and basal Lm and ADC, but there was no significant difference in whole lung, apical or basal RA950. Receiver operating curve (ROC) analysis was also performed between the AATD and COPD subgroups for Lm, (AUC = .95, p = .002), ADC (AUC = .85, p = .02) and RA950 (AUC = .71, p = .16).

Table 2-2: Pulmonary MRI and CT measurements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters (±SD)</th>
<th>Never-Smoker n=5</th>
<th>COPD Ex-Smoker n=8</th>
<th>AATD n=8</th>
<th>Significance of Difference (p)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WL ADC cm²/s</td>
<td>.27 (.02)</td>
<td>.41 (.07)</td>
<td>.51 (.08)</td>
<td>&lt;.0001 .03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apical ADC cm²/s</td>
<td>.26 (.02)</td>
<td>.42 (.07)</td>
<td>.48 (.07)</td>
<td>&lt;.0001 .3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basal ADC cm²/s</td>
<td>.27 (.02)</td>
<td>.41 (.07)</td>
<td>.53 (.11)</td>
<td>&lt;.001 .045</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VDP %</td>
<td>2 (1)</td>
<td>23 (13)</td>
<td>28 (16)</td>
<td>.03 &lt;.001 .001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WL Lm µm</td>
<td>220 (80)</td>
<td>480 (250)</td>
<td>890 (330)</td>
<td>.04 &lt;.0001 .001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apical Lm µm</td>
<td>210 (80)</td>
<td>500 (250)</td>
<td>840 (310)</td>
<td>&lt;.0001 .001 .001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basal Lm µm</td>
<td>220 (80)</td>
<td>480 (230)</td>
<td>950 (310)</td>
<td>.09 &lt;.0001 .001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S/V cm⁻¹</td>
<td>200 (50)</td>
<td>110 (50)</td>
<td>50 (20)</td>
<td>&lt;.0001 &lt;.0001 .01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WL CT RA950 %</td>
<td>0.2 (0.2)</td>
<td>13 (8)</td>
<td>20 (9)</td>
<td>.01 .001 .3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apical CT RA950 %</td>
<td>0.2 (0.1)</td>
<td>11 (7)</td>
<td>13 (8)</td>
<td>.02 .007 .8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basal CT RA950 %</td>
<td>.2 (.2)</td>
<td>14 (8)</td>
<td>21 (10)</td>
<td>.02 .001 .2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT LAC</td>
<td>-2.1 (.4)</td>
<td>-1.7 (.1)</td>
<td>-1.6 (.2)</td>
<td>.1 .01 .4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Airway count</td>
<td>151 (26)</td>
<td>112 (25)</td>
<td>120 (17)</td>
<td>.02 .1 .5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

WL=whole lung; VDP=ventilation defect percent; ADC=apparent diffusion coefficient; R=external airway radius; r=internal airway radius; h=alveolar sheath; Lm=mean linear intercept; RA950=relative area of the CT density histogram of attenuation values < -950 Hounsfield Units; LAC=low attenuating clusters. MANOVA between groups with post-hoc Tukey HSD for multiple comparisons.
Figure 2-3: Regional Imaging Biomarkers
Box and Whisker Plots show mean and standard deviation. NS=never-smoker, COPD=COPD ex-smoker, AATD=alpha-1 antitrypsin deficiency. $R_{950}$=relative area of the CT lung density histogram with attenuation values $<-950$ HU, $L_m$=mean linear intercept, ADC=apparent diffusion coefficient. Group comparisons performed with an MANOVA and multiple comparisons corrected with a post-hoc Tukey HSD test.
Table 2-3: MRI and CT Measurements by Participant

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>ADCWL (±SD) cm²/s</th>
<th>ADCAp (±SD) cm²/s</th>
<th>ADCBSa (±SD) cm²/s</th>
<th>VDP %</th>
<th>Lm(wl) μm</th>
<th>Lm(Ap) μm</th>
<th>Lm(Ba) μm</th>
<th>RA950 %</th>
<th>LAC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NS-1</td>
<td>.25 (.04)</td>
<td>.25 (.03)</td>
<td>.25 (.04)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>190 (80)</td>
<td>200 (90)</td>
<td>180 (70)</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>-1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NS-2</td>
<td>.28 (.04)</td>
<td>.26 (.03)</td>
<td>.29 (.04)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>240 (80)</td>
<td>230 (70)</td>
<td>250 (90)</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>-2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NS-3</td>
<td>.24 (.02)</td>
<td>.24 (.02)</td>
<td>.24 (.02)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>200 (60)</td>
<td>190 (50)</td>
<td>200 (60)</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>-2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NS-4</td>
<td>.29 (.03)</td>
<td>.29 (.03)</td>
<td>.29 (.03)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>290 (80)</td>
<td>280 (80)</td>
<td>300 (80)</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>-1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NS-5</td>
<td>.27 (.03)</td>
<td>.26 (.02)</td>
<td>.27 (.03)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>180 (90)</td>
<td>170 (70)</td>
<td>180 (100)</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>-2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All NS</td>
<td>.27 (.02)</td>
<td>.26 (.02)</td>
<td>.27 (.02)</td>
<td>2 (1)</td>
<td>220 (80)</td>
<td>210 (80)</td>
<td>220 (80)</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>-2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COPD-1</td>
<td>.36 (.05)</td>
<td>.36 (.05)</td>
<td>.35 (.05)</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>330 (130)</td>
<td>360 (150)</td>
<td>290 (110)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>-1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COPD-2</td>
<td>.41 (.05)</td>
<td>.43 (.04)</td>
<td>.39 (.05)</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>540 (280)</td>
<td>610 (320)</td>
<td>470 (200)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>-1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COPD-3</td>
<td>.35 (.03)</td>
<td>.36 (.03)</td>
<td>.34 (.04)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>370 (150)</td>
<td>410 (160)</td>
<td>330 (120)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>-1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COPD-4</td>
<td>.50 (.08)</td>
<td>.54 (.07)</td>
<td>.48 (.08)</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>670 (350)</td>
<td>750 (350)</td>
<td>630 (340)</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>-1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COPD-5</td>
<td>.33 (.04)</td>
<td>.30 (.04)</td>
<td>.34 (.04)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>310 (120)</td>
<td>290 (110)</td>
<td>320 (130)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>-1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COPD-6</td>
<td>.50 (.05)</td>
<td>.56 (.05)</td>
<td>.54 (.06)</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>790 (380)</td>
<td>630 (330)</td>
<td>960 (350)</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>-1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COPD-7</td>
<td>.39 (.07)</td>
<td>.43 (.08)</td>
<td>.39 (.07)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>370 (220)</td>
<td>410 (220)</td>
<td>360 (210)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>-1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COPD-8</td>
<td>.43 (.06)</td>
<td>.43 (.06)</td>
<td>.43 (.06)</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>490 (240)</td>
<td>510 (250)</td>
<td>480 (230)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>-1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All COPD</td>
<td>.41 (.07)</td>
<td>.42 (.07)</td>
<td>.41 (.07)</td>
<td>23 (13)</td>
<td>480 (250)</td>
<td>500 (250)</td>
<td>480 (230)</td>
<td>13 (8)</td>
<td>-1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AATD-1</td>
<td>.57 (.09)</td>
<td>.51 (.06)</td>
<td>.60 (.13)</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>1000 (410)</td>
<td>920 (440)</td>
<td>1100 (330)</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>-1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AATD-2</td>
<td>.46 (.08)</td>
<td>.39 (.07)</td>
<td>.51 (.10)</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>680 (320)</td>
<td>610 (280)</td>
<td>940 (350)</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>-1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AATD-3</td>
<td>.67 (.10)</td>
<td>.60 (.08)</td>
<td>.73 (.12)</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1200 (360)</td>
<td>1200 (380)</td>
<td>1270 (330)</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>-1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AATD-4</td>
<td>.53 (.08)</td>
<td>.52 (.07)</td>
<td>.56 (.08)</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>1110 (220)</td>
<td>1070 (220)</td>
<td>1140 (210)</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>-1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AATD-5</td>
<td>.47 (.12)</td>
<td>.48 (.10)</td>
<td>.46 (.12)</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>700 (350)</td>
<td>700 (360)</td>
<td>700 (340)</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>-1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AATD-6</td>
<td>.41 (.09)</td>
<td>.41 (.06)</td>
<td>.41 (.10)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>710 (190)</td>
<td>720 (190)</td>
<td>710 (190)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AATD-7</td>
<td>.44 (.09)</td>
<td>.46 (.08)</td>
<td>.39 (.12)</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>840 (260)</td>
<td>950 (240)</td>
<td>780 (240)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>-1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AATD-8</td>
<td>.53 (.04)</td>
<td>.45 (.03)</td>
<td>.57 (.05)</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>810 (440)</td>
<td>520 (270)</td>
<td>960 (430)</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>-1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All AATD</td>
<td>.51 (.08)</td>
<td>.48 (.07)</td>
<td>.53 (.11)</td>
<td>28 (16)</td>
<td>890 (330)</td>
<td>840 (310)</td>
<td>950 (310)</td>
<td>20 (9)</td>
<td>-1.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SD=standard deviation; ADC=apparent diffusion coefficient; WL=whole lung; Ap=apical lung; Ba=basal lung; VDP=ventilation defect percent; Lm=mean linear intercept; S/V=surface to volume ratio; RA950=relative area of the CT density histogram with attenuation values < -950 HU; LAC=low attenuating clusters
2.4 Discussion

For patients with AATD, pulmonary function tests and thoracic CT measurements of emphysema are typically used to evaluate disease severity, disease progression and response to therapy. Unfortunately, such measurements are relatively insensitive to small changes over time and do not fully explain longitudinal changes in symptoms and quality of life. Therefore, here our objective was to evaluate inhaled-gas MRI biomarkers of emphysema in AATD patients and compare these with CT and other clinical measurements of emphysema in elderly never-smokers and COPD patients with smoking-related emphysema.\textsuperscript{21,23} We measured MRI and CT biomarkers of emphysema and observed: 1) abnormal MRI ADC and \( L_m \) measurements that were significantly worse in AATD as compared to COPD patients in whom CT RA\textsubscript{950}, airway count and other pulmonary function test measurements were not significantly different, 2) differences in ADC and \( L_m \) in elderly never-smokers with normal CT, reflecting different senile emphysematous changes, and, 3) highly abnormal basal lung MRI \( L_m \) values in AATD patients although there was no basal lung ventilation in some AATD patients.

As might be expected, in AATD patients, MRI measurements of ventilation (VDP) and parenchyma microstructure (ADC) were abnormally elevated in the basal lung. Ventilation defects were prominent in participants AATD-1, AATD-2 and AATD-5 and this was consistent with the notion that in severe emphysema, the time constants for lung filling were longer than the MRI acquisition timeframe. Ventilation defects were also spatially related to regions with abnormal RA\textsubscript{950} and this was previously shown in severe COPD.\textsuperscript{45,46} In particular, in patient AATD-2, there were large ventilation defects, which was coincident with a highly abnormal SGRQ score of 80. It is important to note that in this patient, FEV\textsubscript{1} did not reflect the severity of emphysema, nor symptoms. In contrast, for Subject AATD-6, there was imaging evidence of very mild emphysema and this was in agreement with modestly abnormal SGRQ, 6MWD and DL\textsubscript{CO} values.

We were surprised to observe that there was no difference in pulmonary function test and CT biomarkers of emphysema in AATD patients compared to COPD ex-smokers in whom there were significantly different \( L_m \), S/V and ADC (WL and basal) measurements. This
may be due to different underlying pathophysiological mechanisms for panlobular emphysema, common in AATD patients, as compared to centrilobular emphysema more common in current or previous smokers without AATD. There was no difference in airway count between the COPD and AATD subgroups. This is somewhat surprising because airway count has been suggested as a unique biomarker of COPD in smokers and ex-smokers and is an independent predictor of BMI, airflow obstruction, dyspnea, and exercise capacity (BODE) index in COPD patients. The airway count result may stem from a small sample size but we also note that there was a difference between COPD and NS participants for airway count. This may suggest that similar to ex-smokers with COPD, in AATD emphysema, terminal airways are also occluded or obliterated so that they cannot be resolved using CT. To our knowledge this is a novel result. It is also noteworthy that for AATD patients with greater overall MRI evidence of airspace enlargement, suggestive of emphysema, there was greater apical lung ADC which supports the notion that as AATD worsens, both the basal and apical lung are involved. It is important to point out however that not all AATD patients present with basal-dominant emphysema and this was also observed here in AATD-7, with greater apical as compared to basal ADC and Lm. Elevated apical Lm and ADC in AATD-7 may be explained by a 43 pack-year smoking history, although there is no apical-dominant disease in patient AATD-3 (35 pack years) or patient AATD-8 (30 pack years). Furthermore, the range of Lm and ADC values for NS may be indicative of different senile emphysematous changes. While NS-1 and NS-5 both have smaller airspaces as measured by MRI than age matched NS-4 who has airspaces approximately 50% larger (190µm vs 290µm). The reasons for this difference is not clear, but differences in unappreciated environmental exposures or other undetermined causes of accelerated lung aging in these individuals is possible.

While these cross-sectional results provide good evidence of the feasibility of acquiring MRI biomarkers in AATD patients, they also provide a better understanding of regional emphysema and the relationship of emphysema with quality of life in these patients. For example, perhaps because of the small sample size, SGRQ was the only clinical measurement that was significantly different in COPD and AATD patients. This finding is consistent with previous work that showed the relationship of modestly diminished DLCO
with MRI biomarkers in ex-smokers with normal spirometry but abnormal SGRQ and 6MWD. The elevated \( L_m \), ADC and S/V measurements in AATD patients here also revealed the sensitivity of MRI biomarkers to subtle differences in airspace enlargement even in the absence of CT measurement differences. Our results suggest that ADC may better distinguish airspace enlargement observed in NS participants and COPD participants while \( L_m \) may better distinguish between emphysematous lesions in COPD and AATD patients. We note that previous work\(^{50} \) reported a similar finding for ADC and \( L_m \) in ex-smokers with and without emphysema using ROC analysis with AUC = .9 (p=.002) for ADC, and .91 (p=.02) for \( L_m \), respectively. Taken together, these data suggest that MRI-derived \( L_m \) captures subtle differences between panlobular (AATD) and centrilobular (COPD) emphysema. We think the sensitivity of MRI can play an important role in measuring disease progression in longitudinal studies or response to therapy in clinical trials with small sample sizes, such as evaluated here. Similar to CT biomarkers of emphysema, MRI biomarkers of airspace enlargement may play an important role in measuring emphysema in AATD patients undergoing augmentation therapy which is especially important given the high cost of treatment.

We must acknowledge a number of study limitations and caveats. It is important to note that a shortcoming of inhaled gas MRI is the reliance of measurements on lung regions that are well-ventilated. In other words, where there are ventilation defects, no values can be reported; this generates a bias towards normal values from ventilated lung (in this case, apical lung). Furthermore, for longitudinal evaluation it is important to ensure that lung inflation levels is consistent between scans, such as in the RAPID trial\(^4 \), and this would certainly help with reproducibility and measurement precision. It is also important to note that diffusion-weighted MRI reproducibility was previously evaluated by three different groups including the measurement of precision of ADC values (28, 51, 52) and \( L_m \) values (53). These previous results provided a strong foundation for our approach and for future longitudinal studies. These factors are important to consider if the techniques evaluated here are to be used clinically to monitor disease progression in AATD patients over time and those undergoing augmentation therapy. Using CT emphysema measurements, augmentation therapy has been shown to slow the rate of lung tissue density loss and we
expect that MRI biomarker sensitivity can also be exploited for longitudinal evaluations of this patient population. We also note that for one participant who was severely impaired, plethysmography measurements and the 6MWT could not be undertaken; this is certainly something worth considering for longitudinal studies in AATD patients. It is important to recognize that we used a cylindrical airway geometry model to estimate MRI $L_m$ which may not be appropriate in the case of very severe emphysema. Recent work examined the use of three different emphysema modelling approaches and showed good agreement between the cylindrical model and another model which made no geometrical assumptions. This and the fact that we did not constrain internal acinar duct radial parameters suggests that the comparisons made here between COPD and AATD patients are physiologically relevant. In addition to this, these results are in agreement with recent morphomic measurements, taken at 1.5T, using histology and diffusion-weighted MRI which measured S/V ratios of approximately 250 cm$^{-1}$ for healthy controls, 100-140 cm$^{-1}$ for mild emphysema and 50-55 cm$^{-1}$ for severe emphysema. These findings are in good agreement with S/V values measured here in never-smoker (S/V=200±50 cm$^{-1}$), COPD (S/V=110±50 cm$^{-1}$) and AATD subjects (S/V=50±20 cm$^{-1}$). We should also underscore the age difference between the study groups which is a limitation of the study because it was previously established that MRI ADC and $L_m$ values are age-dependent values. Importantly, the AATD participants we evaluated were significantly younger than the COPD patients, even though lung disease severity based on imaging biomarkers was greater. This suggests that the elevated ADC and $L_m$ values in the AATD patients were not due to age differences.

An important limitation is the fact that MRI was performed at FRC+1L in all participants (i.e., the lung inflation volume was not normalized across subjects). This is important because lung inflation volume, alveolar inflation and ADC/morphometry values are related. In our study, MRI was performed at FRC+1L in all participants, with coaching by a pulmonary function technologist, to ensure that lung volumes were reproducible within and between participants. This lung volume has been used extensively in the past which allows for comparison with previous studies and this is, in our experience a relatively straightforward maneuver for patients with respiratory disease. We note however, that for
NS-2 and NS-5, the FRC+1L volume was very similar (FRC+1L = 3.8±0.2L) and there was no significant correlation between Lm and TLC (p=.7) and Lm and FRC+1L (p=.9).

This present study builds upon previous MRI studies in AATD patients\textsuperscript{25-28} that evaluated ADC measurements and their changes over relatively short periods of time. Previous work in COPD patients\textsuperscript{50} and healthy volunteers\textsuperscript{39,51} provides a foundation for the current study that extends the two b-value ADC approach with a single breath whole lung multiple b-value morphometry approach.\textsuperscript{29} MRI provides similar and complementary information to CT with the advantage of being free of ionizing radiation, which is important for AATD patients who are diagnosed at relatively young ages. These patients have the opportunity to undergo augmentation therapy; MRI biomarkers that provide sensitive measurements of airspace enlargement can be considered for these treatment studies and longitudinal evaluations. MRI also offers novel structure-function biomarkers including measurements of parenchyma microstructure that correlate with histological samples.\textsuperscript{21,23,24} Moreover, as we transition to inhaled \textsuperscript{129}Xe gas as contrast,\textsuperscript{16} it is important to note that dissolved-phase \textsuperscript{129}Xe MRI may be employed to simultaneously estimate ventilation, perfusion\textsuperscript{52} and surrogate measurements of DL\textsubscript{CO}.\textsuperscript{53} This information may be very helpful in studies that evaluate augmentation therapy and other AATD treatments for which direct evidence of efficacy\textsuperscript{3,4} has been difficult to ascertain.

In summary, MRI biomarkers of emphysema were significantly different in AATD subjects as compared to age-matched never-smokers and older ex-smokers with smoking-related emphysema. Importantly, the significantly different and abnormal Lm values in AATD patients, who were not significantly different from COPD patients with respect to pulmonary function tests and CT emphysema measurements, reveals the sensitivity of MRI emphysema measurements in AATD patients for whom lifelong monitoring is required.
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Chapter 3: Conclusions and Future Directions

3.1 Overview and Research Questions

This thesis sought to provide measurements of pulmonary airspace enlargement using diffusion-weighted MRI in COPD and AATD. This is crucial as quantification of lung structure can be considered the gold standard in evaluation of response to treatment in COPD. COPD is a disease that has tremendous costs both economically and socially in Canada and worldwide.

3.2 Summary and Conclusions

In this thesis, I examined a sample of patients with AATD. I demonstrated that, by using a multiple-b value diffusion-weighted MRI approach, I could obtain similar, and complimentary, information to CT; this finding is important in the case of AATD where disease begins at a young age making longitudinal evaluation of disease using CT unattractive due to cumulative dose effects. I compared these patients to age-matched healthy never-smokers and the AATD subjects were significantly worse as measured by both CT (LAC and RA₉₅₀) and ³He diffusion MRI (VDP, ADC and morphometry). In addition, I compared these AATD patients to a group of ex-smokers with smoking related emphysema. These groups showed no differences in spirometry, CT measurements, ventilation measurements or exercise capabilities but the AATD group was statistically worse in terms of perceived quality of life, whole lung and basal ADC, and morphometry values (whole lung, apical and basal). These results showed that using a small sample population it is possible to elucidate differences in disease severity by using diffusion-weighted MRI. Ultimately, this approach may provide a method of performing smaller clinical trials examining treatment efficacy in AATD drug development.

In summary, I have 1) examined a patient population who would greatly benefit from inhaled noble-gas morphometry as a sensitive measurement of disease severity, 2) demonstrated that whole lung multiple b-value measurements of airspace enlargement are feasible in this population and 3) demonstrated that this technique can differentiate between
smoking-related disease and AATD-related disease even in the absence of spirometry or CT differences.

3.3 Limitations

Study specific limitations are discussed in detail in Chapter 2 but the most important limitations from Chapter 2 and a few major limitations will be discussed in more detail here.

In Chapter 2 we explored a small sample of AATD patients (n=8) and observed significantly elevated morphometry values of airspace enlargement. These are elevated as compared to COPD ex-smokers and age-matched elderly never-smokers. The AATD subjects showed no difference between the COPD ex-smokers as visualized using CT or using standard clinical airflow measurements.

Outside of the study specific limitations, there exist limitations inherent to the inhaled noble-gas morphometry method. Inhaled noble-gas morphometry measurements are inherently reliant on gas dispersion throughout the lungs. Essentially, in regions of ventilation defect, morphometry measurements cannot be acquired. When reporting a whole lung mean value, this will skew the measurement towards the healthy lung tissue (regions which are ventilated). Furthermore, this excludes fractions of the lung for quantitative evaluation, and unfortunately non-ventilated regions cannot distinguish between emphysematous destruction, mucus clogged airways or other reasons for lack of ventilation.

Another major limitation of the ADC technique is the intrinsic variation in ADC measurements that depend on gradient timing parameters. The temporal profile of the applied bipolar diffusion gradients affects the ADC measurement and as such it is important to keep this consistent between scans.4,5 Furthermore there is the effect of field strength on ADC measurements.4 Briefly, in previous work the authors observed significantly elevated ADC measurements obtained in the same subjects (n=5, healthy volunteers) at 3T versus 1.5T. The authors concluded this difference was due to susceptibility-induced field inhomogeneities. Although, these differences could be
accounted for by differences in scanner manufacturers (Philips 3T and GE 1.5T). However, this is still important to keep in mind when comparing measurements across centres as a difference in obtained ADC may be due to a difference in field strength or possibly scanner manufacturer. Other factors, such as the spatial profile of the magnetic field, surface relaxation and surface permeability, also have an effect on the ADC measured.\textsuperscript{5}

Perhaps the most important limitation of this thesis work is the availability and cost of \textsuperscript{3}He and limited access to polarization equipment. This can be partially resolved through transition to $^{129}$Xe which is more cost effective and naturally abundant. However, until recently similar levels of polarization could not be achieved with $^{129}$Xe as could be achieved with $^{3}$He. $^{129}$Xe still needs to be polarized prior to use but can reach required polarization much quicker (~45 minutes) versus $^{3}$He (~18 hours) which makes it attractive for performing serial scans in a single day. However, $^{129}$Xe that has been polarized and prepared for administration must be used as it now resides outside of the gas cell. $^{3}$He however does not exit the gas cell until immediately before administration meaning in the event of scan cancelation or failures up until the moment of administration $^{3}$He can still be recovered and used later whereas $^{129}$Xe will be wasted, or at best needs to be recycled.

3.4 Future Directions

3.4.1 Overcoming Issues Related to Gas Dispersion

A method of overcoming gas dispersion issues with regards to measuring tissue microstructure has been explored using modelled Carr-Purcell-Meiboom-Gill (CPMG) inter-echo time $R_2$ dispersion\textsuperscript{6} unfortunately this method has yet to transition into \textit{in vivo} measurements. Briefly, in this work the authors tested an \textit{in silico} model of alveoli as spherical airspaces and derived equations for the relaxation rate $R_2$, the transverse relaxation rate. They tested their model against deflated rat lungs and demonstrated good agreement between the mean alveolar radius as measured using this technique (31.5 ± 13 µm) and literature (34 µm).
3.4.2 Accelerated Imaging

Diffusion-weighted MRI with multiple-b values (5 values) may require as much as 16 seconds acquiring 7 slices. With parallel imaging or compressed sensing, the scan speed can be greatly increased and the possibility of greater number of slices (>14) can be achieved. With this there would no longer be a requirement of taking a separate static ventilation scan to obtain VDP measurements. This would in theory halve the required volume of gas used to obtain a full set of information that MRI can provide (VDP, ADC, morphometry). This is especially important both in a clinical setting and a research setting as the cost of $^3$He continues to rise due to its natural scarcity. Another possible benefit would be towards isotropic voxel sizes. Currently 3.125x3.125x30 mm$^3$ are common for diffusion-weighted scans, and 3.125x3.125x15 mm$^3$ are common for static ventilation scans. With a large increase in scan speed, smaller voxels would be possible. Preliminary work has been done with acceleration factors up to x10 with only some lost details as compared to no acceleration.\(^7\)

Implementation of parallel imaging, using a multi-channel receive array, would allow an increase in scan speed. However, it comes with limitations in reduced FOV and aliasing artifacts. Using the known spatial information of the coils the resulting images are “unfolded” and the original image is reconstructed. Preliminary work has been performed\(^8\) using generalized autocalibrating partially parallel acquisitions (GRAPPA) and an 8-channel receive coil, which demonstrated that lung morphometry can be calculated using parallel imaging without significant differences in measurements. They conclude that even though the work was performed using $^3$He that it could be generalized to $^{129}$Xe. This in turn makes this technique more clinically translatable.

3.4.3 The Transition to $^{129}$Xe

Much of the work in noble-gas lung morphometry has been done using $^3$He due to its high gyromagnetic ratio and achievable polarization levels. However, with the inevitable transition from $^3$He to $^{129}$Xe due to the lower cost and greater natural abundance of $^{129}$Xe, it is important to ensure that methods can also be translated. In our lab\(^9\) we have compared three different acinar duct models relying on $^3$He diffusion. The cylindrical model used in
this thesis already has a theoretical formulation for the use of $^{129}$Xe and can be readily translated. Another geometrical model assuming spherical symmetry was explored which is based on varying the diffusion time as opposed to b-value during the scan and can be readily transitioned to use with $^{129}$Xe. The third model, the stretched exponential model, is without geometrical assumptions, nor assumptions regarding gas diffusivity and due to this would also be translatable to $^{129}$Xe. These considerations are important if this method is to be used to longitudinally evaluate patients with AATD.

Outside of technical challenges, there is still much work to be done identifying potential biomarkers of disease using diffusion-weighted MRI in the lung.

### 3.4.4 Functional Diffusion Maps of the Lungs

There has been work in other organs, specifically in the brain examining the change in ADC measurements in an imaging voxel across periods of time. Functional Diffusion Maps (FDM), are co-registered ADC maps that stratify regions of the brain into three distinct categories, i.e., regions which have increased ADC, unchanged ADC or decreased ADC. FDM has been examined as an early marker of biologic outcome and shows promise as a tool to predict treatment efficacy early in the drug development process. Although much of this work has been performed in analysis of the brain, FDM can be applied in a similar fashion as a potential lung disease biomarker. To use FDM for lung disease, ADC images are taken at time points $t_1$ and $t_2$ ($t_2 > t_1$). These images are registered together using an affine transformation using the $b = 0$ s/cm$^2$ slice to ensure the highest signal image is used in the registration. Unlike in traditional brain FDM, an imaging voxel within the lung can be categorized into one of five categories: 1) increased ADC, 2) decreased ADC, 3) no change in ADC, 4) lost ventilation (i.e signal void at $t_2$ where there was signal at $t_1$) and 5) gained ventilation (i.e signal at $t_2$ where there was a signal void at $t_1$). In order to determine whether a voxel has increased (or decreased) in ADC at $t_2$ versus $t_1$, a threshold ($T$) must be determined to categorize a change as significant. A voxel is categorized as no change in ADC if $|\Delta ADC| < T$. As a first step in determining $T$, the variability in ADC measurements must be determined. Previous work has examined same-day rescan and 7-day rescan and has demonstrated a coefficient of variation (COV) and mean ADC for
never-smokers, mild-moderate COPD and severe COPD. Using the COV and mean ADC for each subgroup, a variability of approximately 0.01 cm²/s applies across all groups. As a preliminary step, I defined a threshold of \( T = 0.01 \text{ cm}^2/\text{s} \) as a significant deviation from the \( t_1 \) scan. Figure 3-1 shows a sample workflow for analysis of an AATD subject at two time points.

![Figure 3-1: Functional Diffusion Map Workflow](image)

A) Two visit ADC maps are co-registered
B) Functional diffusion map is generated and clustered into 5 distinct non-background regions
C) A plot of V1 vs V2 ADC with points above the red line showing regions of increased ADC and points below the blue line showing regions of worse ADC. Green line shows line of identity

In order to evaluate the effect of a landmark based affine transformation and user variability on these five distinct regions, a single subject was evaluated five times each day for five days. The coefficient of variation across both same-day and five day variability was determined to be 1%, indicating little variation between trials, this is important for implementation of this technique. Future work should be aimed at examining large patient databases with multi-visit ADC measurements and evaluating relationships between clinical outcomes and FDM measurements. Although the focus here has been on 2-visit ADC maps, it would be possible to extend this to n-visit maps and examine the longitudinal
change in ADC either as a voxel-wise slope or possibly through another method of analysis. With the focus of this thesis on multiple-b measurements of airspace enlargement, it would be simple to extend FDM to $L_m$ maps or any other morphometry measurement acquired using the morphomic technique.

### 3.4.5 Longitudinal Evaluation of AATD

This thesis examined AATD disease cross-sectionally. To build upon this cross-sectional evaluation, a longitudinal study evaluating disease progression over longer periods of time should be performed to examine the change in lung function as measured by standard clinical tools and by using hyperpolarized noble-gas MRI. A small, preliminary evaluation of a single AATD subject (AATD-1 from this thesis) was performed over the course of approximately five years (Figure 3-2).

**Figure 3-2: Longitudinal Evaluation of AATD**

As shown in Figure 3-2 the subject has lost ventilation over time (primarily in the lower lobes as to be expected in AATD related disease) and shows an increase in both ADC and $\text{RA}_{950}$ qualitatively located in the basal portion of the lungs. Although this analysis was performed only a single subject it provides motivation for larger longitudinal studies in
AATD, with the possibility of examining subjects with and without augmentation therapy. In a continuation study currently undergoing in our lab, subjects with AATD are being recruited and will be scanned longitudinally (1-3 years follow-up) to examine their lung function decline as measured using both spirometry and imaging techniques such as CT and hyperpolarized MRI. Furthermore, by acquiring $^{129}$Xe images in this population, the techniques used and information gained from this study will be one step closer to clinical translation.

3.5 Significance and Impact

Alpha-1 antitrypsin deficiency results in early onset chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and there is no available cure. Current therapies rely on slowing disease progression. Longitudinal evaluation of this population is done with nonspecific airflow measurements and frequent CT scans with the burden of ionizing radiation. Clinical trial endpoints unfortunately still rely on these methods. Hyperpolarized noble-gas diffusion-weighted MRI, in particular $L_m$, provides an opportunity to fill the role of a clinical trial endpoint and provide a measurement of treatment response that is sensitive to both disease progression and airspace enlargement. Diffusion-weighted MRI combines the ability to obtain ventilation defect percentage and measurements of tissue microstructure obtainable within a single breath-hold without the use of ionizing radiation, making it an attractive clinical trial endpoint to gauge treatment efficacy. Using a smaller sample than currently used in clinical trials, treatment efficacy can be evaluated quantitatively using multiple-b diffusion MRI and can impact the time and cost associated with development of novel treatments for AATD.
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