
Electronic Thesis and Dissertation Repository

August 2012

Hairy roots as a model to investigate the role of suberin in the Phytophthora sojae-soybean pathosystem

Pooja Sharma

The University of Western Ontario

Supervisor

Dr. Mark Bernards

The University of Western Ontario

Graduate Program in Biology

A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree in Master of Science

© Pooja Sharma 2012

Follow this and additional works at: <http://ir.lib.uwo.ca/etd>

 Part of the [Agriculture Commons](#), [Biology Commons](#), [Botany Commons](#), and the [Plant Pathology Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Sharma, Pooja, "Hairy roots as a model to investigate the role of suberin in the Phytophthora sojae-soybean pathosystem" (2012). *Electronic Thesis and Dissertation Repository*. 677.
<http://ir.lib.uwo.ca/etd/677>

This Dissertation/Thesis is brought to you for free and open access by Scholarship@Western. It has been accepted for inclusion in Electronic Thesis and Dissertation Repository by an authorized administrator of Scholarship@Western. For more information, please contact tadam@uwo.ca.

HAIRY ROOTS AS A MODEL TO INVESTIGATE THE ROLE OF
PHYTOPHTHORA-SOYBEAN PATHOSYSTEM

(Spine title: Suberin analysis of soybean hairy r

(Thesis format: Monograph)

by

PooSharma

Graduate Program in Biology

A thesus submitted in partial fulfillment
of the requirements for the degree of
Master of Science

The School of Graduate and Postdoctoral Studies
The University of Western Ontario
London, Ontario, Canada

© Pooja Sharma 2012

THE UNIVERSITY OF WESTERN ONTARIO
School of Graduate and Postdoctoral Studies

CERTIFICATE OF EXAMINATION

Supervisor

Examiners

Dr. Mark Bernards

Dr. Denis Maxwell

Supervisory Committee

Dr. Louise Milligan

Dr. Sangeeta Dhaubhadel

Dr. Richard Gardiner

Dr. Greg Thorn

The thesis by

Pooja Sharma

entitled:

Hairy roots as a model to investigate the role of a suberin in
soybean pathosystem

is accepted in partial fulfillment of the
requirements for the degree of
Master of Science

Date

Chair of the Thesis Examination Board

ABSTRACT

Part of the resistance mechanism of soybean (*Glycine max*) to the root-knot disease caused by *Phytophthora parasitica* Dreyf. & Grev. involves formation of root suberized hairy roots, formed as a result of infection by the soil bacterium *Rhizoglyphus* sp. Infection, as a model to be used as a reliable soybean transformation system, was demonstrated in a soybean hairy root culture. The anatomy and suberin deposition in soybean hairy roots was very similar to that of wild-type hairy roots. The amount of suberin was quantified using gas chromatography-mass spectrometry in both epidermal and endodermal cells along the root axis. Finally, the response of soybean hairy root infection was investigated and compared with that of wild-type roots.

Keywords

Suberin, Glycine, *Phytophthora parasitica*, *Rhizoglyphus*, bacterium, *rhizoglyphus*, transformation.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First and foremost I would like to thank my supervisor being an incredible teacher, my return to and guidance support has allowed to not only complete my M.Sc. but has also given me the o

I would also like to thank my advisory committee, Dr. S Dr. G. Thorn for sharing their wisdom with me in a friendly keep regarding subjects concerning my project.

My gratitude goes out to Nicole and Karen in the Biotropes when it came to microscopy.

Finally and most importantly I would like to extend and express my gratitude to my sister and without them, my M.Sc. would have been possible. Thank you for being my support inspiration and for knowing what I needed. Thank you for helping me get back up when I fell and for showing me that the truth As I begin a new chapter in my life I will never be the same. You showed me what I was capable of. It all goes without saying I am immensely and that no matter where I am in the world I will be with you.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CERTIFICATE OF EXAMINATION	ii.....
ABSTRACT.....	iii.....
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.....	iv.....
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	v.....
LIST OF TABLES.....	viii.....
LIST OF FIGURES.....	ix.....
LIST OF APPENDICES.....	x.....
CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION.....	1.....
1.1 Soybean.....	1.....
1.2 Phytophthora blight cycle and infection process.....	1.....
1.3 Plant defense against pathogens and the genetics of resistance.....	3.....
1.4 Suberin: physiological roles and structure.....	4.....
1.5 Suberin and partial resistance.....	10.....
1.6 Manipulating suberin levels part of enhanced partial resistance.....	11.....
1.7 SPAD monomer synthesizing enzymes.....	11.....

1.8 Soybean transformation.....	12.....
1.9 Agrobacterium rhizogenes roots.....	12.....
1.10 Rationale: why hairy roots?.....	15.....
1.11 Objectives.....	16.....
CHAPTER 2: MATERIALS AND METHODS.....	17.....
2.1 Plant materials.....	17.....
2.2 Agrobacterium rhizogenes.....	17.....
2.3 Generation of hairy roots.....	17.....
2.4 Maintenance of hairy roots.....	18.....
2.5 PCR and gene.....	18.....
2.6 Histochemical analysis.....	20.....
2.7 GMS analysis.....	21.....
2.8 Hairy root pathogen interactions.....	24.....
2.9 Statistical analysis.....	25.....

CHAPTER 3: RESULTLS AND.D.I.S.C.U.S.S.I.O.N.....	26.....
3.1 Hairy root generation and.P.C.R. analysis.....	26.....
3.2 Hairy root anatomy.....	32.....
3.3 Hairy root submicrochemical analysis.....	34.....
3.4 Hairy root GC/MS analysis.....	36.....
3.4.1 Quantitative analysis.....	36.....
3.4.2 Qualitative analysis.....	41.....
3.4.3 Soluble wax analysis.....	43.....
3.5 Hairy root pathogen interations.....	45.....
CHAPTER 4: CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE.DIRECTIIONS.....	47.....
BIBLIOGRAPHY.....	49.....
APPEND.IX.....	63.....
CURRICULUM VITAE.....	64.....

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1: Qualitative suberin monomer analysis.....42.....

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure The proposed structure of potato suberin.....6.....

Figure Scanning electron micrograph of potato dermal cell walls.....9.....

Figure Time line of hairy root generation and maintenance.....27.....

Figure PCR analysis of hairy root culture in established hairy root cultures.....30.....

Figure Anatomical comparison of hairy and wild type roots.....33.....

Figure Hairy root suberin: histochemical analysis.....35.....

Figure Hairy root poly(alpha-MG) analysis: GC/MS.....38.....

Figure Hairy root poly(alpha-MG) analysis: GC root isolated tissues.....40.....

Figure Hairy root poly(alpha-MG) analysis: GC soluble waxes in hairy roots.....44.....

Figure Time course of hairy root formation.....46.....

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix I: Permission for copyrighted material.....63.....

CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION

1.1 Soybean

Soybean (*Glycine max* Meisn.), legume that is intricately linked to human life. Due to its high protein and oil content, soybean is used for human consumption, animal feed and various industrial products. Soybean production have allowed the cultivation to spread throughout Canada from Ontario since the mid 1970s. To this day, soybean production as noted in the 2006 Agricultural Census (Dorland, 2009) today, soybean is grown in Ontario, Prince Edward Island, Quebec, Alberta and approximately 2% of the land area over the world (Canadian Grain Commission, 2012). In other important agricultural crops, soybean is susceptible to root rot infection caused by *Phytophthora sojae*. General outbreaks were first reported in the United States (Kaufmann and Gerdemann, 1958) and outbreaks continue to plague soybean producing countries (Wraight, Detrahnce et al., 2003).

1.2 Phytophthora life cycle and infection process

Phytophthora is a saprophyte and one of 80 species from the group Oomycetes. Phytophthora Oomycetes differ from true fungi in that hyphae are aseptate and cell walls containing cellulose as opposed to chitin, with zoospores having two anterior tinsel flagellum and a posterior whiplash flagellum throughout much of their life cycle (Dick, 1969; Heffer Link).

P. sojae has a very narrow host range, infecting mainly soybean amount-2 billion dollars and maintenance costs are several Riberio, 1996; Wrather et al., 2001a,b). Oomycete pathogens mainly because they infect underground plant parts and where difficult and uneconomical (Tyler, 2007).

Zoospores, or flagellated spores, are the infective spore water in the spring when the soil is moist and warm, zoospores form zoospores, which are attracted to soybean root exudates (Morris and Ward, 1992). Once at the root surface outer epidermal waxy, germinate, and the emerging hypha penetrate epidermis and into the cortex. As hyphae grow between cortical pathogen can feed on host structures called appressoria on the outer surface of root epidermal cell walls allow the formation which penetrate root cell walls; haustoria penetrate the epidermal pathogen (Tyler 2002) feeding is obligate, however, feeding leads to weakening of the host which eventually leads to wilting and plant death. Above ground plant parts to wilt and die cannot take up and transport water and nutrients. Once the roots are colonized, hyphae form haploid antheridia and oogonia the formation of diploid overwintering oospores, which can germinate to produce hyphae that can produce sporangia. The initial infection process involves physical penetration of the

plant resistance involves physical barriers, namely epidermal cells and the spread of lignin in the root.

1.3 Plant defense pathways and the genetics of resistance

Pathogens such as Phytophthora cause a substantial crop loss annually and they lead to an alteration of host metabolism (Dankers and Dehne, 2004). To combat microorganisms, plants have two kinds of defense: constitutive and inducible. Constitutive defenses include physical barriers such as suberized and lignified cell walls and chemical compounds such as isoflavonoids. Inducible defenses include the production of a pathogen and the most specific and/or host specific responses known as pathogen associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) or pathogen associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) (formerly known as horizontal or basal resistance) and acquired immunity (formerly known as resistance) (Boller and Felix, 2009). In the former case, a microbial protein such as flagellin might be recognized by the host and induce a specific response, e.g., an avirulence gene product) might be recognized and induce localized necrosis may result, whereas, here, recognition of the presence of a pathogen and induction of a series of responses can lead to the hypersensitive response (HR) and the activation of a response called systemic acquired silencing (SAR) gains increased immunity from initial infection through the up regulation (reviewed in Coll. et al., 2011)

In soybean, resistance is based on both qualitative and quantitative genes, effective triggered and triggered immunity (related to as partial resistance/field/quantitative resistance, tolerance and fitness traits) can include R genes, 15 of which have been identified (Anderson Polzin et al., 1994; Dorrance, 2003; Smith et al., 2004., 2010). The effectiveness of genes on the presence of a corresponding avirulence gene in the pathogen whose product can be recognized. R genes recognizing avirulence genes remain successful for 8 to 15 years, but this pathogen has a short life cycle and can overcome resistance genes in a relatively short time (Burnham et al., 2003; Dorrance et al., 2003). Partial resistance is looked to as an alternative, and particularly is a constitutive defense is targeted against a disease mainly structural and/or antimicrobial and is inherited quantitatively (Dorrance et al., 2003; Ranathunge et al., 2010). As the incidence of *P. viticola* continues to increase in area production, it is essential to increase and incorporate partial resistance cultivars for effective disease management.

1.4 Suberin: physiological roles and structure

Suberin functions as a barrier to water loss and also as a barrier to pathogen attack and ingress mainly because of its molecular structure. Suberization of cell walls occurs mainly in the epidermis and cortex (part of the endodermis) and exodermis of underground plant parts.

molecule is characterized by a poly(aliphatic) domain (SPAD) and a poly(phenolic) domain (SPPD) (Figure 1), which differ in their location and are covalently linked to one another. The SPPD is hypothesized to be located in the primary cell wall, whereas the SPAD, often appearing as rings (known as suberin lamellae), is found between the primary cell wall and the plasma membrane (reviewed by Bernards, 2002).

A) SPPD

B) SPD

Figure: The hypothesized structure of the upper (phenolic) domain covalently attached to the primary cell wall (aliphatic) domain between primary cell wall and plasma membrane by a SPPD via ester linkages appearing as dark and light bands (C = carbohydrate, S = sugar from Bernards, 2002).

The SPAD is made up of aliphatic monomers specific to each species. In hydroxy fatty acids, and diacids generally form the major aliphatic substituents, and can be found in a wide range of species (Pereria, 1997; Barr-Timmmons, 2010). Other components include other fatty acids, glycerol and hydroxy acids (e.g. p-coumaric and ferulic acids) (Graciano Pereria, 1997). The SPPD comprises a covalently linked network of polymers from hydroxycinnamic acids and alcohols (hydroxycinnamyl alcohols) (Kollatukudy, 1984; Zeier and Schreiber, 1997; Matzinger, 2009).

In epidermal cells, suberin is deposited in radial and tangential walls enclosing the cells (reviewed in Bernards, 2002 and Enstone, 2002). In the endodermis, suberization is characterized in three distinct stages in the root tip both aliphatic and phenolic suberin is found in the radial walls of endodermal cells and forms the Casparian band which can be visualized with the use of lipophilic dyes. Within the Casparian band, the deposition of suberin on radial walls of endodermal cells results in a contiguous wall layer that resembles a fishnet surrounding the stele when the underlying cortex is removed (Figure 2). Further along the root axis additional suberin is deposited in all walls of endodermal cells (Casperian band), however not in all endodermal cells, finally, iii) far from the root tip further deposition of suberin in radial and tangential walls of endodermal cells thickenings of endodermal cells (Wilson and Peterson, 1984).

Similarly, heavier, although (non., diffuse) suberin deposition
epidermal cells along the root axis, moving away from the

Figure 2 Longitudinal scanning electron micrograph of
 minial. Suberin forms a net like base. Arrow shows
 arrow shows suberized cell walls remaining after cell
 enzymatically digested). Bar = 100µm (modified from Schr
 permission from Oxford) University Press

1.5 Suberin and resistance

The physical nature of suberin is the principal factor in the inhibition of pathogen penetration into plant tissues that can be demonstrated in soybean (Khadem et al., 2002; Viljevac et al., 2009; Khadem et al., 2007). A strong correlation between high root poly(aliphatic) suberin and partial resistance to *P. sojae* in the soybean cultivar Conrad, with lower rates of infection in field trials, is indicated by the root suberin compared to cultivar OX7 that had lower amounts of both pre-aliphatic suberin and field level resistance. Specifically, 18:1 and 18:2 fatty acids and 18:1 and 18:2 dicarboxylic acids of the SPAD were directly correlated with soybean field level resistance. Furthermore, Ranathunge et al. (2007) showed that *P. sojae* hyphal penetration and growth was inhibited to a greater extent in OX7 compared to 6OX7. Hyphal progress was especially impaired at the endodermal layers.

The role of the phenolic suberin in resistance to pathogens is also important as it not only anchors the suberin molecule to the cell wall via hydroxycinnamic acid monomers but also provides a barrier to pathogen entry (Lai and Collier, 1998; Pomar et al., 2004; Bajji et al., 2005). Collectively, the suberin barrier and some of the molecules that make up its core, is being studied when studying constitutive defense mechanisms, increased to shift soybean resistance.

1.6 Manipulating suberin levels part of enhanced partial resistance

In essence, suberin not only acts to prevent water loss but also restricts pathogen growths at the suberinized epidermal cell wall. In one word based upon the above mentioned hypothesis, it is hypothesized that suberin plays a role in plant partial resistance against many pathogens. One possible strategy to manipulate suberin levels is to increase the synthesis of suberin. This may be achieved, for example, by enhancing the synthesis of suberin synthesizing enzymes, which can lead to increased deposition of the ϵ -hydroxy acid monomers which form much of the SPAD, which is directly linked to an inhibition of pathogen ingress. Thus, it would be expected that the ϵ -hydroxylation of fatty acids expressed by an attempt to enhance suberin biosynthesis in roots.

1.7 SPAD monomer synthesizing enzymes

Fatty ϵ -hydroxylase (FHL) or FAE is a part of the cytochrome P450 monooxygenase family of enzymes that catalyze the hydroxylation of the terminal carbon of fatty acids (Serrano et al., 2003; Pinot and Beisson, 2006). More specifically, the CYP86A and CYP94B monooxygenase family members that can catalyze the hydroxylation of fatty acids, respectively, from fatty acids in a NADPH-dependent manner (Serrano et al., 2006). According to Serra et al. (2009), RNAi knockdown of a CYP86A gene in potato tubers leads to lower retention of water by the epidermal cell wall (WT) because of a changed suberin composition in the epidermal cell wall.

genes, and numerous other related pseudogenes, and the expression of these has been shown in soybean roots (Koteles, 2012).

1.8 Soybean transformation

In order to begin to work on changing gene expression of GmFAE1 genes, a method of plant transformation must be selected. The insertion of suberin biosynthetic genes of interest into the genome for analysis of their changed expression in suberin deposition affected root tissue. Traditional transformation techniques using *Soybean* *Agrobacterium* *Rhizobium radiobacter* (Young et al., 1987) and *Rhizobium rhizogenes* (Young et al., 2001) are used for transformation and generation of transgenic hairy roots. However, transformation efficiency and long plant regeneration times make transformation is not always feasible (Cao et al., 2009; Tsai et al., 2009). An alternative strategy is using *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* (Young et al., 2001) and *Rhizobium rhizogenes* (Young et al., 2001) for transformation and generation of transgenic hairy roots.

1.9 *Agrobacterium rhizogenes* hairy roots

Agrobacterium rhizogenes is a soil-dwelling bacterium of the family Rhizobiaceae that leads to extensive adventitious root growth (hairy root syndrome) at the root tip (Ribeiro et al., 2010). Hairy root formation is dependent upon the successful transformation of plant cells with the bacterium's genes. Although the transformation mechanism is a

tumefaciens mediated transformation of adventitious root formation rather than the formation of undifferentiated cells (Nilsson and Olsson, 1997). Ri (root inducing) plasmids are 200-300 kb of several hundred base pairs in size and contain a 25 kb T-DNA region may contain auxin biosynthetic genes as well as genes that lead to increased sensitivity of the plant tissue (White et al., 1991). Expression of the particular is what causes increased sensitivity to endogenous auxin which is formation. Consequently mutant strains of genes lead to adventitious root formation (White et al., 1985). These oncogenes lead to neoplastic (adventitious root growth), and lead to the formation of a growth substrate (opines) for bacteria thus giving a competitive advantage over other species of bacteria in Nilsson and Olsson (1997). The engineered to carry copies to knock down or over express native plant genes, or to integrate. Given that hairy root formation depends upon a successful region of genes from bacteria, the relative proportion of transformed tissue is greater than in other transformation since transformed cells differentiate into adventitious roots. It is important to note that hairy roots are transformed because of local phytohormones induce cell differentiation into root tissue.

Since hairy roots are neoplastic and adventitious in nature (unlike roots in the traditional sense), it might be anticipated that

deposition might be affected. Hairy root cultures have been more than 100 species, their anatomy and structure have been studied by all countries (Cancha and Vargas, 1999) by Caliform (Solzica californica) and Poppy (Papaver rhoeas) (Park and Chini, 2000) and tomato (Solanum lycopersicum) (Picus et al., 2004) in cell arrangement structure between transgenic roots have sometimes. In particular, poppy hairy roots had a loose cortical arrangement whereas tomato hairy roots had a higher number of vascular bundles. This suggests that hairy roots differ between species. Thus, to study the anatomy and chemistry of hairy root cultures of transgenic plants to understand the impact those differences may have on functional

Soybean hairy roots can be induced and cultured (Chou et al., 2000). Induction of hairy roots involves wounding plant material, such as hypocotyls, stems or young leaves, and simultaneously infecting with Agrobacterium strains. Usually within a few days root growth begins and small roots appear at the site of inoculation. Once established, roots can be cultured in solid or liquid nutrient media. The stability and ease of regeneration are important to plant biologists. (2009) have found that transgenic hairy roots retain morphological stability in culture and thus can be cultured relatively easily and efficiently. Thus, studying plant gene expression in hairy roots is simpler and more efficient than in plant tissue culture, as a fast growth rate, is stable and can be viewed in a flask.

Hairy roots have been a wide variety of plant biology topics including gene expression (Ding et al., 1999), nodule development (Ding et al., 2001). They have also been used to study the reactions between plant roots and microbes. For instance, an approach has been used to study the interaction of soybean roots with *Fusarium oxysporum* (Mandal and Mitra, 2004). In a similar system, the synthesis of flavonoid compounds, glyceollin and coumestrol, was measured by liquid chromatography in two different cultivars of soybean after infection with *F. oxysporum* (Liszovaya et al., 2004).

1.10 Rationale: why hairy roots?

Since *Phytophthora sojae* pathogenesis leads to the hairy root phenotype, it seems that using soybean hairy root system is an excellent option. In a hairy root transformation system, suberin levels can be manipulated by using appropriate constructs to alter the expression of suberin biosynthetic genes. This system further allows the study of the role of suberin in the pathogen growth within soybean roots. Generating a whole plant with hairy roots and cells that may or may not be transformed can take a long time. However, transformed hairy roots are generated within a few weeks.

pathogen preferentially attacks roots, a whole plant need not be infected. As mentioned earlier, the aliphatic domain of suberin is closely associated with the partial resistance in roots. Therefore, it becomes imperative to study whether or not the deposition of suberin in hairy roots mimics that in WT. For this reason, hairy roots must be investigated more carefully by using a hairy root model to study the role of suberin in soybean partial resistance. This study fits into my thesis objectives.

1.11 Objectives

1. Establish soybean hairy root cultures from soybean cuttings.
2. Confirm that established hairy roots are representative of the whole plant by investigating the expression of the hairy root marker gene using PCR.
3. Study the anatomy and suberin deposition pattern in hairy root and WT root cultures using histochemical techniques.
4. Characterize the aliphatic suberin (quantitatively and qualitatively) in hairy roots.
5. Investigate the infection by *Phytophthora sojae* in hairy roots and compare to WT roots.

By fulfilling these objectives, I hope to gain a clear picture of the structure and functional similarity between hairy roots to WT roots, thus establish a hairy root model as a tool to investigate the role of suberin in the soybean partial resistance system.

CHAPTER 2: MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Plant materials

Seeds of *Glycine max* var. Conrad and Firmex 760 grown plants (year of culture 2009) were obtained from Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada (London, Ontario). Seeds were surface sterilized by 10% available bleach, Clorox Co., Brampton, Canada) for 20 minutes and rinsed with sterile water. Surface sterilized seeds were then placed in petri dishes. Petri dishes were sealed using parafilm and placed in a 25°C incubator (light 18 hours) period. Once the cotyledons were excised and to generate hairy roots as described below.

2.2 Agrobacterium strain

Agrobacterium strain LBA9402, a gift from Dr. Patrick (National Research Council Canada) was used to generate hairy roots. The strain was maintained on YMA (4HR) 0.8 mM MgSO₄ 1.7 mM NaCl, 1% mannitol, 0.4 g yeast extract, and 15% DMSO, pH 7.0 at 4°C in the dark.

2.3 Generation of hairy roots

Hairy roots were generated using a protocol developed by Cho et al. with slight modifications. Briefly, soybean cotyledons were inoculated on the abaxial side by wounding the major vein using a scalpel.

coated Avicel with zooglone for 24 h. Explants were grown overnight on medium at 30 °C inoculated explants were placed on a medium containing MS (Sigma) (4 g L⁻¹), sucrose (3%) and cefotaxime (500 mg L⁻¹), adjusted to pH 5.8 with NaOH. The antibiotic is used to prevent the growth of rhizoglyphs but not the site of inoculation. The inoculated explants were placed in a 16 h light/8 h dark period, 25 °C).

2.4 Maintenance of roots

Once hairy roots emerged (approx. 5 cm length) they were excised from the parent explants and placed on a medium with same concentration of cefotaxime but without bacterial growth. They were plated on MS medium without antibiotic. Plates were placed in an incubator at 25 °C. The first 5 cm of root was subcultured every few weeks onto a fresh plate as described above to propagate and maintain the hairy roots.

2.5 PCR analysis

In order to confirm the origin of adventitious root growth, amplified from genomic DNA obtained from established hairy root polymerase chain reaction. Genomic DNA was extracted from both Conrad and modified (CTAB-trimethyl Ammonium Bromide) protocol and Yang (2004) by briefly, roots were ground

The PCR products were run on a 1.0% agarose gel at a voltage of 100 V and stained with ethidium bromide. Gel bands were visualized on a UV transilluminator (Universal Hood II, S76S/01511).

A relatively easy method of determining whether or not a plant was transformed was also employed, which allowed for a more confident analysis. In vitro grown cotyledons (obtained as described above) were divided into two groups. One group was mock inoculated as described above, whereas, the second group was inoculated with the Agrobacterium strain. On days 10, 15, and 20 the presence or absence of hairy root formation was noted.

2.6 Histochemical analysis

In order to view the pattern of suberization, fluorescent and non-fluorescent dyes were employed. Sections of hairy roots (cultivar 4-12 in length) were cut at intervals along the length of the root. Sections were viewed using a Zeiss Axioimager Z1 Microscope. The suberization band in the endodermis was stained with Toluidine Blue (TBO) according to Lulai and Morgan (1992). Free hand cross sections were stained with fresh TBO (0.05% in 0.1 M phosphate buffer, pH 6.5) for 1 minute followed by rinsing with 0.1 M phosphate buffer, pH 6.5. Stained sections were then rinsed several times in water. Sections were viewed with blue light (490 nm) through a dichroic mirror (510 nm) with a suberization band appearing as a yellow. Images were captured with a Nikon Coolpix 3. Suberin lamellae were

viewed using fluorol yellow (FY) (Brundrett et al., 1991). The sections were then rinsed several times in distilled water and viewed (filter 560 nm, excite 400 nm, barrier 585 nm), barrier image taken with a CAM R3. Under these conditions, staining suberin lamellae gave bright yellow fluorescence. Suberin was detected using Sudan Red VII B (fast red) dissolved in 0.1% (w/v) (in 1: polyethylene glycerol) for 2 hours (Brundrett et al., 1991). The sections were rinsed with distilled water in 75% glycerol and viewed with bright field. Images were taken with a CAM R3. Suberized cell walls stain pink.

2.7 GGMS analysis

Suberin is a polymeric network and in order to quantify it in plant tissue, it has to be depolymerised. Both roots and isolated epidermal and endodermal tissues were analysed. For whole shooty roots of 14 cm (m) were inflorescence and ground a powder in a mortar and pestle.

To isolate epidermal and endodermal tissue separate root inoculations were used, for epidermal tissue from both cultivars. Roots of 14 cm length were separated into 3 segments of 4-8 cm. Any lateral branching was removed. Root cross-sections were made; the epidermis was removed; three separate samples were made.

for any root sections that were dried. This was done so that the surface area of the roots could be calculated and suberin area per mm^2 value. The epidermis was then removed using a wire which was pulled through the wire which stepped the epidermis and few cells left behind the endodermis, stele and a few cortical cells. After removed, it was frozen in liquid nitrogen. Ground tissue was placed in a microcentrifuge tube kept frozen at -80°C until extraction. Root sections were made of endodermis and its diameter measurements were made at different locations. The endodermal tissue was also removed from the epidermis and placed in a separate microcentrifuge tube.

In order to quantify the suberin in the root tissue was first extracted in chloroform:methanol (Meyer et al., 2011). Briefly, frozen hairy root tissue from both tissue layers and cellulose thimbles and extracted in chloroform:methanol (2:1) for 3.5 hours. The solution was removed and the thimbles were cleaned and the remaining tissue was extracted for 3.5 hours in fresh chloroform:methanol (2:1). This solution was added to the first and the tissue was extracted in 100% chloroform overnight. The third aliquot was combined with the previous extracts and removed from the thimbles, weighed and placed in 5 mL solvent. The components were obtained by drying the chloroform:methanol mixtures using a rotary evaporator. The amount of suberin was determined by the weight of the residue.

bottom flasks, the solutions were removed using a glass pipette and weighed into clean screw cap glass vials. A 10 mL of a 1:1 (v/v) chloroform:methanol was added and the mixture was evaporated using a steady stream of nitrogen and the mass was weighed the vials again.

Suberin monomers were obtained by using a methylation protocol according to M. S. Campbell et al. (2011). Separated hairy roots (approximately 6 mg for intact hairy roots) were incubated with 10 mL of 3 N HCl (Supelco) in 100% methanol at 80°C for 24 hours. Once samples were brought to room temperature, deionized water was added to stop the reaction after which 10 µL of a 100 µg/mL standard was added. Samples were extracted three times with hexane and the vial was sealed. The upper layer was then removed and a clean screw cap glass vial and extraction with hexane was performed. Hexane solubles were dried under a steady stream of nitrogen and 10 µL of pyridine and 100 µL of 1% TMSO-bis(trimethylsilyl)trifluoromethanesulfonate were added and incubated at 70°C for 40 minutes to derivatize remaining hydroxyl and carboxylic acid groups. The final sample was transferred to a clean vial. Concentrations of esterified monomers and any TMSO were analyzed on a Varian GC/MS Chromatograph equipped with a flame ionization detector (GC/FID) and an ion trap mass spectrometer were connected to a Varian GC/MS column (WCOT silica 30 m X 0.25 mm). One µL samples were injected.

Hairy roots were incubated directly in zoospore suspension and 20 hours. -hFarrad cross sections were made 1 cm from the root tip for microscopy. *Phytophthora* described by Ranathunge et al., 2000 were cleared using 10% KOH stained with 2% crystal blue water for 24 hours. *Phytophthora* stained with a solution of 1:1:1 of glycerol and KOH for 54 min. Cross sections were mounted in 75% and viewed under bright light using the microscope described taken using CamICc1.

2.9 Statistical analysis

Student's t test was used to compare poly(aliphatic) suberin of intact hairy roots from both cultivars. Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) was also done to compare mean amount of suberin distributed by chain length in intact hairy roots of both cultivars. waxes of isolated hairy roots. In the case of significant differences denoted by ANOVA, tukey's post hoc test was used to determine. All analysis was done using SigmaStat® 3.5.

CHAPTER 3: RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Hairy root generation

Hairy roots from *C. xanthocoma* were generated in the lab using a protocol of Cho et al. (2000) where inoculation with *Agrobacterium rhizogenes* 9402s surmounting inoculation became successful and approximately one week after inoculation callus growth appeared. Hairy roots originated from the callus region in 14 days (Figure 3.1). Previous studies report similar timeline for hairy root generation in different species (Zhang et al., 2009; Fernandez et al., 2010). Although many roots were generated from 14 days root growth was also noticed before a time range. This could be attributed to the inherent differences in the amount of endogenous auxin levels in the tissue. This affects the hairy root growth is abundant (Takahashi et al., 1997). Furthermore, the insecticide also affect the amount and frame of hairy root (Seki et al., 2005). Hairy roots in the medium growth rates of approximately 2k.

Figure 3 Time course of hairy roots on *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* (A) and *Agrobacterium rhizogenes* (B) on wounded *Chenopodium album* 7 days pi, C, roots emerging from callus 10 days pi and D, Two-week-old hairy roots in nutrient rich agar medium containing sucrose and cefotaxime (500 mg^{-1}).

Cotyledons obtained from in vitro germination of soybean root production, proved to be a more efficient system rather than cotyledons from soybean plants germinated in greenhouse. Cotyledons from greenhouse soybean followed by inoculation with bacteria resulted in necrosis and eventually embryonic leaf death before any hairy root formation.

Once putative hairy root cultures were established it was determined whether or not they were *A. rhizogenes* mediated transformation. For this analysis was done using genomic DNA isolated from hairy roots along with WT roots. The presence of *B. (430 bp) Ro* on agarose gel (Figure 4) confirmed the hairy root genotype. This is important because in order to manipulate suberin levels in a plant system is required that will alter the soybean genome, and induce hormonal changes. However, successful generation of hairy roots was not visually observed. That is, cotyledons wounded with a scalpel but not inoculated with *A. rhizogenes* did not produce any roots whereas those inoculated with *A. rhizogenes* formed hairy roots at the site of inoculation (data not shown). It is the necessity of conducting PCR analysis on hairy roots, because the genetically transformed hairy root only has the ability to transform plant tissue with a native *Agrobacterium* binary vector. A secondary plasmid, thus is efficient as a binary vector system through the use of a binary vector system. The hairy root transformed soybean as well as gene encoding a protein product which fluoresces under UV light. Any generated hairy root which fluoresces red is truly transformed.

reported that 34% of roots generated *in vitro* were initiated due to hormonal effects.

Figure 1 PCR analysis of genomic DNA Ausrihig pgrifnges for the A. rhizogenes whole bacterial DNA preparation (6 WT) and Conrad WT = genomic DNA isolated from wild soybean (negative control) and Conrad HR and CX7 DNA isolated from soybean hairy roots. PCR run on 1% agarose gel. Selection ladder (bp) are labeled on the left hand side of the gel. T 430 bp.

One major reason why hairy roots form the basis of an system is that they are cultured on nutrient rich media almost in true for WT roots (Fernandez et al., 2009). Morphologically WT roots, however there were some differences, namely the (Figure 3D), which is where hairy roots obtain their name. Ex and a fast growth rate can be attributed to increased DNA content (as mentioned above) or the increased sensitivity of hairy roots which influences growth (Shen et al. 1988, Van Sluys and 1991, Mallol et al. 2001, Peres et al. 2001, Lee et al. 2004).

It was observed that hairy roots grow aerially, i.e. roots emerge callus and display negative geotropism (Fitzgerald et al. 1997). suggested that fewer amyloplasts are found in hairy roots possibly leading to a weak gravitational response (Kim et al. 2009). Furthermore, large air spaces were observed in the aerial (Figure 5). Teakle et al. (2011) reported that roots growing in areas, such as agar, develop an aerenchymatous morphology in root tissue limiting conditions. These features were found in both COX760.

3.2 Hairy root anatomy

Hairy roots are anatomically similar to WT roots as can be seen. However in addition to the air spaces found in hairy roots, the vascular anatomy of hairy roots seems different than WT. In particular, in some

three xylem poles whereas, WT roots have four (Figure 5). (1957), auxin levels can increase in roots removed from decapitated roots placed in auxin medium. Thus, since higher levels of endogenous auxin (or are more sensitive to) that the number of xylem poles may in hairy roots.

I also noted that hairy roots did not appear to have the WT roots. This was especially evidenced during sectioning prone to breaking. This is a characteristic of soybean hairy air spaces present in the cortex (Figure 5) may also contribute integrity. Additionally, due to the influence of the moist media roots are first and then high humidity conditions can weaken epidermal cell walls making them more prone to damage. Hairy roots are widely grown in liquid cultures and used as tools and model questions involving plant tissue seems that while media may have robustness it does not preclude their use as an experimental



Figure C5: Cross section of a *Ox760* (top image) and a *Ox760* (bottom image) stained with farrus. Left hand side shows the cortex and central stele.

3.3 Hairy root suberin histochemical analysis

In order to use hairy roots to test the hypothesis that soybean pathosystem, it is imperative that suberin deposition resembles that of WT roots. Through the use of fluorescence microscopy, it was shown that cell wall suberin histochemistry of hairy roots (Figure 6B). Previous research using WT roots documented that clover root has a state I endodermis, in other words, a suberized cortex and solute transport between the cortex and the stele in the endodermis (Thomas et al., 2007). In a transverse section, the Casparian band is evident as points of fluorescence in endodermal cell walls stained with a fluorescent dye, and this same pattern can be seen in hairy roots (Figure 6C). At the root tip, endodermal cell walls of hairy roots were more suberized than in WT roots. However, not every endodermal cell was suberized resulting in a characteristic suberin deposition pattern where regions of no lamellae were present (Figure 6C). This may be to allow the passage of water and solutes into the stele even at these young distal parts (Charko et al., 1975; and Robards, Peterson and Enstone, 1996; Waduwara et al., 2008). Importantly, hairy roots still had a Casparian band. Normally as roots mature, suberin deposition also increases (Paterson, 1978). In hairy roots we found increased suberin deposition in epidermal cell walls distal to the tip along the length of the root (Figure 6E; this was evident in greater staining of epidermal cell walls close to the root tip at approximately 10 cm and this was also observed in WT roots (Thomas et al., 2007).

Hairy roots from both cultivars displayed similar suberin in root axis and similar morphology and anatomy compared to important because use of a root model hinges on the tenet actual system are comparable.

3.4 Hairy root suberin analysis

After establishing suberization patterns of hairy roots, poly(aliphatic) suberized tissues (1.5 cm x 0.5 cm x 0.2 cm long, see materials and methods for details) were analyzed for amount and amount.

3.4.1 Quantitative analysis

Quantitative analysis of hairy roots from both cultivars compared to Conrad (Figure 7). Although this difference is in contrast to published work Conrad had cultured hairy roots amount of aliphatic suberin (Thomas et al., 2007) is different to both cultivars responding differently to the growth conditions and/or the medium in which they are grown. It has been shown that suberin deposition is influenced by the environment. It has been shown that has greater partial resistance to higher amounts of suberin in suberizing tissues (Dorrance et al., 2003; Thomas et al., 2008; Ranathunge et al., 2008). Anderson (1982) found that a 2% mortality rate was observed in susceptible cultivar Ar

had 40% mortality rates. However, interestingly, the percentage of plants surviving in the fields changes between years, leading to the fact that a change in the environment can influence level of suberin deposition and thus resistance to drought. In other words, hairy roots may be more plastic to a changing environment, thus have higher suberin levels compared to control plants. This may be due to the medium as opposed to growing in soil may contribute to this. Root nodule insertion may also influence expression of genes, (Baker et al., 2010) thus cause hairy roots to have a different phenotype.

Figure 7 Quantification of poly(aliphatic) suberin and intact so
 Conrad) hairy Tissue was subjected to esterification to rele
 poly(aliphatic) suberin. Total poly(aliphatic) suberin was me
 data are expressed on basis of amount of tissue (mg) trans
 average \pm SE of three replicates. No significant difference

Quantitative differences in aliphatic suberin were found in hairy roots when the endodermis and epidermis were analyzed. Differences were not always statistically significant, but suberin was highest farthest away from the endodermis. Two important aspects of suberin deposition in hairy root dermal poly(aliphatic) suberin is higher in hairy roots than in hairy root cultivars, and 2) poly(aliphatic) suberin amounts increased. Furthermore, there are quantitative differences between WT (publ. al., 2007) and hairy roots, where hairy roots have aliphatic suberin approximately 8 times lower than WT. In other words, amounts of aliphatic suberin in hairy roots are a per m comparable to roots in the case of this important because when developing a root model system it is necessary to understand the model may have from the original system. With this data and histochemical data it is possible to determine appropriate in vitro model compared to Conrad.

Figure 8 Quantification of insoluble poly(aliphatic) suberin in root tissues. Epidermal and endodermal tissues were isolated and separated into 0-4, 4-8 and 8-12 cm. Soil samples were subjected to MeOH-HCl transesterification to release poly(aliphatic) suberin. Suberin was measured using GC-MS and data are expressed on basis of mg suberin/g dry weight. Data are expressed as average \pm SE of three replicates. Values with different letters are significantly different (P = 0.001).

3.4. Qualitative analysis

Next, it was also important to assess the monomeric composition of poly(aliphatic) suberin in hairy root and WT roots. Qualitatively, hairy root aliphatic suberin contained fatty acids, hydroxy fatty acids, and dioic acids as in WT roots (Shore et al., 2007). In hairy root tissue available for analysis, some suberin components were not detected at accurate detection levels and thus component analysis was not possible. However, it was found that although all fatty acids, hydroxy fatty acids, and dioic acids were present in hairy root suberin, not all chain lengths that are normally found in WT suberin were found (Table 1). Generally 16 and 18 carbon chain lengths were found, whereas longer chain lengths of fatty acids and alcohols were more of suberin sizes, (reviewed in Bernards, 2002) were not considered an important feature to take note of. Hydroxy fatty acids were the most abundant in hairy root suberin, similar to WT suberin (70% of total aliphatic suberin in hairy root). In WT suberin, it has been shown to have a high correlation with resistance to pathogens (Thomas et al., 2007). Again, hairy root suberin not only has greater suberin composition, amounts are similar to WT roots. Interestingly, Conrad et al. (2007) found that although this difference was not significant.

Table 1 shows the length distribution of hairy root aliphatics by substrate. The hairy roots of both COX760⁻⁵ and COX160⁻⁵ were expressed as mg/mg of hairy root tissue subjected to transesterification and measured using GC-MS. The data are presented as average of three replicates \pm SE. Means followed by different letters are significantly different (P = 0.006).

3.4.3 Soluble wax analysis

With respect to soluble wax components, the pattern of published data on WT roots (Thomas et al. 2007) for 60XF760 (where the soluble waxes increased, although the increases were not statistically significant) regardless of the lack of soluble wax contains unpolymerized alkanes, and although the actual amount of which in the hairy roots may vary between distances from the root tip. Earlier, soybean WT roots with higher amounts of insoluble wax were more resistant to infection, therefore, it can be expected that roots growing in an artificial medium may not have the same amount and may be considered more plastic to a changing environment.

Figure 9 Quantification of soluble waxes in isolated soybean hair
 Epidermal and endodermal tissues were isolated from soybean
 3 segments, 4, 8 and 28 cm. Soluble waxes were isolated by solvent
 Total suberin was measured using GC-MS. Data are expressed on
 root or endodermal surface area. Bars represent average \pm
 significant differences were found ($P = 0.235$).

3.5 Hairy root pathogen interactions

As a final step in investigating the appropriate effects of soybean WT analyzed the interaction between root- and pathogen hairy roots had higher levels of suberin, which were and had more structural integrity to Conrad, 6 hairy roots were used for this study. Hairy roots were exposed to zoospores at different times that at 2 h zoospores attached to the epidermal cells in preparation for germination. This is in contrast to where zoospore attachment was observed (Rendall et al., 2008) but here however, zoospores had attached and germinated (Figure 10A). Finally, within 22 h zoospores had penetrated the epidermal cells and growth was seen between cortical cells (Figure 10B, C). This is in contrast to wherein 24 h post inoculation has been reported to penetrate the endodermis (Rendall et al., 2008). This difference could be due to the fact that hairy roots have gaps between cortical cells (Figure 5), which may impede the pathogen despite this it was observed that infection of hairy roots followed a similar pattern to that in WT roots, albeit it was slower. This is important to note the effect of the change in suberin levels obtained through germination require a pathogenicity test, which in this case will involve a baseline infection progress we can assess any change in infection when manipulated.

Figure 1 Development of *Phytophthora sojae* on fresh and cross sections of long hairy roots taken from the root tip. after incubation zoospores attached and began to germinate. incubation zoospore germinated and hyphae with discs penetrating between cortical cells (arrows). Bars = 50 μ m.

CHAPTER 4: CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

Through this experiment and flow work that hairy roots are similar to where root anatomy, suberin histochemistry, suberin composition and response to pathogen attack are concerned. Even though hairy root cultivars Conrad and Oxytropis with those found in WT roots, hairy root stable used a system mimicking WT roots since the anatomy, suberin composition and pathogen response has been documented experimentally. Various suberin levels can be manipulated by the expression of *GL3*, *GL4*, *GL5*, *GL6*, *GL7*, *GL8*, *GL9*, *GL10*, *GL11*, *GL12*, *GL13*, *GL14*, *GL15*, *GL16*, *GL17*, *GL18*, *GL19*, *GL20*, *GL21*, *GL22*, *GL23*, *GL24*, *GL25*, *GL26*, *GL27*, *GL28*, *GL29*, *GL30*, *GL31*, *GL32*, *GL33*, *GL34*, *GL35*, *GL36*, *GL37*, *GL38*, *GL39*, *GL40*, *GL41*, *GL42*, *GL43*, *GL44*, *GL45*, *GL46*, *GL47*, *GL48*, *GL49*, *GL50*, *GL51*, *GL52*, *GL53*, *GL54*, *GL55*, *GL56*, *GL57*, *GL58*, *GL59*, *GL60*, *GL61*, *GL62*, *GL63*, *GL64*, *GL65*, *GL66*, *GL67*, *GL68*, *GL69*, *GL70*, *GL71*, *GL72*, *GL73*, *GL74*, *GL75*, *GL76*, *GL77*, *GL78*, *GL79*, *GL80*, *GL81*, *GL82*, *GL83*, *GL84*, *GL85*, *GL86*, *GL87*, *GL88*, *GL89*, *GL90*, *GL91*, *GL92*, *GL93*, *GL94*, *GL95*, *GL96*, *GL97*, *GL98*, *GL99*, *GL100*. For this, RNA interference (RNAi) knockdown experiment which can down regulate transcription and hence activity of the production of the SPAD. For example, Yi et al. (2010) have down regulated *GmMYB176* in soybean hairy roots via RNAi leading to decreased SPAD product. Conversely, SPAD levels could potentially be enhanced by overexpression of *GmFAH* genes.

Lin et al. (2011) developed a new plant transformation vector for hairy root induction which can be used for soybean hairy root induction. The hairy root induction capability of hairy roots transformed with *gus* gene was significantly enhanced under ultraviolet light. The hairy root induction efficiency was significantly enhanced. Essentially, hairy roots have been engineered with the capacity to carry foreign genes separately. This can potentially be used for hairy root induction. A strong promoter, such as Cauliflower mosaic virus promoter, can be used to upregulate it.

Additionally, a different pathogenicity test could be employed. A test of the effect of changed suberin levels on hairy root resistance that relies on sectioning and a microscope, e.g. fluorescently labeled hairy roots with zoospore suspensions and monitoring root damage caused by *P. sojae* could be an alternate method of testing the effect of suberin manipulation. Such work has previously been done and further manipulation of other root specific genes have been manipulated.

In essence, if manipulated levels of suberin affect soybean hairy roots, then this will further support the hypothesis that suberin contributes to soybean partial resistance against this pathogen.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Anderson, T. and R. B. 1992. Inheritance of resistance to Phytophthora blight in soybean. Plant Dis 76: 958.

Bajji, M., Gastiny, F., and du Jardin, P. 2005. Pleiotropic effect of a catalase inhibitor. Proceedings of the Meeting of the European Association of Potato Researchers.

Barron, T. M., Simmons, A. S., Pascoal Neto, C., Dhanabalan, A. Jr. 2010. Langmuir monolayers of fractions of cork suberin. Biointerf. 7(4): 5126. doi:10.1016/j.colsurfb.2010.04.006.

Bernards, M. 2002. Demystifying the suberin. Bot. 22470. doi:10.1139/B02

Bernards, M. and Lewis, N. 1998. The macromolecular architecture of cork: A changing paradigm. Phytochemistry 47: 9135. doi:10.1016/S0031-9422(98)00052

Bettini, Michelotti, S., Bindi, D., Giannini, R., Capuana, M. Pleiotropic effect of the Agrobacterium tumefaciens strain Agrobacterium tumefaciens on tomato. Phytochemistry 75: 8316. doi:10.1007-003031222

Boller, T. and Felix, G. 2009. A renaissance of elicitors: plant-associated molecular patterns and elicitors in a post-genomic era.

Annu. Rev. Plant Biol. 61: 749-766. doi:

10.1146/annurev.arplant.053405.032905.

Brundrett, M., Kendrick, B., and Percival, G. 1991. Efficient plant material with *Suidae* in polyethylene glycol. Biotech. Biochem. 11: 1116. doi:10.3109/10520299109110562.

Burnham, D., France, A., VanToai, T., and Martin, S. 2003. Quantitative resistance to *Phytophthora sojae* in soybean. *Crop Sci.* 43: 607.

Buzzell, R. and Anderson, P. 1982. Plant loss resulting from soybean *Phytophthora* infection under field conditions. *Plant Dis.* 66: 1148.

Canadian Grain Commission. 2012. Canadian Grain Exports. <http://www.grainscanada.ca/statistics/soybeans> [accessed 12/02/12].

Canache, B. and Lopez, A. 1999. Chemicals from roots, their application. *Adv. Exp. Biol.* 464: 275.

Cao, D., Hou, W., Song, S., Sun, H., Wu, C., Gao, Y., and others. 2010. *Agrobacterium* rhizoides transformation of soybean. *Plant Cell Tissue Organ Cult.* 100: 452. doi:10.1007/s11240-010-9452-4

- Cho, H.J., Noel, G.R., Farrand, S.K., Eggett, T., and Widhalm
 the soybean cyst nematode roots and the expression of resistance
 roots. *J. Nematol.* 32(4):424.
- Clarkson, D.T. and Robards, A.W. 1975. The endodermis,
 physiological development and function of roots
 and D.T. Clark. Academic Press, London, New York, S
- Coll, N.S., Epple, P., and Dangl, J.L. 2011. Programmed cell
 system. *Cell Death Differ.* 18(6):6. doi:10.1038/cdd.2011.37.
- Dick, M.W. 1969. Mycology taxonomy of the oomycetes, with
 to Saprolegniaceae, Leptomitaceae and Pythiaceae. New
- Diouf, D., Gherbhi, H., Prin, Y., Franche, D., 1995. Hair cell
 root nodulation Casuarina system for the study of symbiotic
 expression in an insect. *Mol. Plant Microbe Interact.* 8(4):5327.
 doi:10.1094/MPMI.
- Dorff, E. 2009. The soybean-fungus disease gajack ground ac
 Canada. *Canada Agriculture at a Glance. Statistics Canada C*
 XIE.
- Dorrance, A. and Schmitthenner, A. 2000. Phytophthora of
 soja in the soybean plant introduced on resistant Dis.
 doi:10.1094/PDIS.2000.84.12.

- Dorrance, A., McClure, S., and Martin, S. 2003. Effect of *Phytophthora stem rot* incidence and yield (873) soybean in 312. doi:10.1094/PDIS.2003.87.3.308.
- Doyle, E. and Lamb *Metoprolol* cytochrome P450 CYP86A1 alters cell development-M. M. Cobb Plant 16: 212-231. doi:10.1094/MPMI.2003.16.2.123.
- Duan, H. and Schuler, M. 2005. Differential expression and CYP86A subfamily. Plant Physiol. doi:10.1104/pp.104.051373
- Enstone, D., Peterson, C., and Ma, F. 2002. Root endoderm function, and responses to the environment. Plant Growth doi:10.1007/s00030-002-0042-4
- Erwin, CD and Ribiero, O.K. 1996. *Phytophthora diseases worldwide*. APS Press.
- Esau, K. 1977. *Anatomy of seed plants*. John Wiley and Sons.
- Fernandez, L., Silvani, V., Bompadre, J., Pergola, M., and soybean *chitinase* as a tool for the study of the arbuscular symbiosis. World J. Microbiol. doi:10.1007/s00191-010-0275-4
- Graca, J. and Pereira, H. 1997. Cork suberin: A glyceryl based 5(3): 223-254. doi:10.1515/hfsg.1997.51.3.225.

- Graham, T.L., Graham, M.Y., Subramanian, S., and Yu, O. 2008. Genes for elicitation order in soybean roots suppresses resistance and hypersensitive cell death in root tissues. *Plant Physiology* 144(2): 774-780. doi:10.1104/pp.107.097865.
- Han, Y., Teng, W., Yu, K., Poysa, V., Anderson, T., Qiu, L. 2008. Mapping QTL tolerance to *Phytophthora* root rot in soybean using microsatellite and RAPD/SCAR derived markers. *Euphytica* 162(2): 231-239. doi:10.1007/s11055-008-9170-5
- Hayashi, S., Gresshoff, P.M., and Kinkema, M. 2008. Molecular cloning and characterization of a microsomal lipxygenase associated with nodule development in soybean. *Interactions* 2(16): 84-93. doi:10.1021/108P411I
- Heffer Link, V., Powelson, M.L and Johnson, K.B. 2002. Oocyte development in soybean. *Plant Physiology* 130(4): 1120-1129. doi: 10.1104/pp.102.4.1120
- Kang, T. and Yang, M. 2004. Rapid and direct DNA extraction from various types of plant and transgenic plants. *BMC Biotechnology* 4: 20. doi:10.1186/1472-6750-4-20.
- Kaufmann, M. and Geffers, R. 2006. Root and stem rot caused by soybean cyst nematode. *Phytopathology* 96(10): 1100-1105. doi:10.1094/PHYTO-09-06-1100
- Khatri, B.G., Reddy, S., Brown, P.H., and Wilson, C.R. 2011. Temperature-dependent tuber development with susceptibility to common scab in potato. *Plant Physiology* 155(4): 1800-1810. doi:10.1104/pp.111.1800

- scab-induced responses in the potato (*Solanum tuberosum*). Plant Pathol. 54(4):778-786. doi:10.1111/j.1365-3059.2014.02435.x.
- Kim, Y. S., Soh, W. Y., 1996. Amyloplast distribution in hairy roots of soybean (*Glycine max*) transformed with *Agrobacterium rhizogenes*. Plant Cell Rep. 15(2):102-104.
- Kolattukuddy, P. 1984. Biochemistry of soybean hairy roots. Can. J. Bot. 62(12):1829-1833.
- Koteles, J. 2012. Hairy root production in soybean. M. Sc. Thesis, Western Ontario, London, Ont.
- Kouchi, H., Takane, K., So, R., Ladha, J., and Reddy, P. 1998. Isolation and expression analysis of a soybean hairy root cDNA library. Plant Cell Rep. 17(12):129-133. doi:10.1007/s0027300432.x.
- Lin, M., Gresshoff, P.M., Indrasumarta, J.A. 2011. Hairy Root: a novel binary vector for cloning the gene for visual selection of transgenic hairy roots. Mol. Plant 4(3):534-547. doi:10.1093/mp/ssp084.
- Lozovaya, V., Lygin, A., Zernova, O., Li, S., Hartman, G., 2004. Isoflavonoid accumulation in soybean hairy roots upon treatment with salicylic acid. Plant Physiol. 137(4):1667-1679. doi:10.1016/j.plaphy.2004.07.001.
- Lulai, E. and Corsini, D. 1998. Differential deposition of sclerenchyma domains and their roles in resistance to infection during

- tuberous root. *Physiol. Mol. Plant Pathol.* 53(4): 222-229. doi:10.1006/pmpp.1998.0179.
- Lulai, E. and Worgall, J. 1992. Histochemical localization of periderm with neutral red sensitive cytofluorochrome domain by electron microscopy. *Histochemistry* 99(4): 418-425. doi:10.3109/10520299209110065.
- Mandal, S. and Mitra, A. 2008. A comparative study of the chemical composition and their upliftment in hairy root cultures of *Centrosema pubescens* (Mill). *Biotechnology Letters* 30(7): 1253-1258. doi:10.1007/s11555-008-9753-9.
- Mattinen, M., Filpponen, I., Jarvinen, R., Li, B., Kallio, H., Argyropoulos, S. 2009. Structure of the polyphenolic component of the root of *Centrosema pubescens* (Nikola). *J. Agricultural and Food Chemistry* 57(20): 4761-4766. doi:10.1021/jf9020834.
- Meyer, C.J., Peterson, C.A., and Bernards, M.A. 2011. A comparative study of the chemical composition of hairy root cultures of *Centrosema pubescens* under different growth conditions. *Biotechnology Letters* 33(4): 773-778. doi:10.1007/s10044-010-0336-0.
- Morris, P. and Worgall, J. 1992. Chemoattraction of zoospores, of the Phytophthora blight pathogen, by flavones. *Physiol. Mol. Plant Pathol.* 40(1): 1-11. doi:10.1055/7065(92)90067

- Nilsson, O and Olsson, O. 1997. Getting to the roots of hairy root formation: The rhizogenes in the formation of hairy roots (1997): *Physiol. Plant.* 100(3): 463-473. doi: 10.1016/j.1399.tb03050.x.
- Odegaard-Nielsen, K. M., Beisvåg, T., Eide, R., and Worsheims, O. and Iversen, H., 1997. Aggravitropic behavior of *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* (L.) transformed *Agrobacterium rhizogenes* (Crawley) (1997): *Plant Cell Rep.* 16(13): 514-518.
- Oerke, E. and Döberlein, S. 2004. Guarding losses in major crops and the role of crop protection (2004): *Crop Prot.* 23(1): 27-35. doi:10.1016/j.cropro.2003.10.001.
- Park, S. and Faccipri, B. 2000. In vivo transformation of opium poppy (*Papaver somniferum* L.) in California by *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* (Crawley) root cultures. *Plant Cell Rep.* 19(4): 417-421.
- Peres, L., Morgante, P., Vecchi, C., Kraus, J., and van Sluys, M. 2004. Regeneration capacity from roots and cotyledons of wild related species. *Plant Cell Rep.* 23(11): 137-141. doi:10.1023/A:1010631731559.
- Peterson, C. and Enstone, D. 1996. Functions of passage of exodermis of roots. *Plant Cell Rep.* 15(10): 591-594. doi:10.1034/j.1399-3054.1996.970323.x.

- Peter, S.A., Peters, D., and Robar, A.W. 1978. Correlated histochemical and ultrastructural study of the epidermis and hypodermis of roots of *Protoperla*. *Annals of Entomology and Sociobiology* 21: 1-10.
- Polzin, K., Lorenzen, and Osborne 1994. An unusual polymorphic locus useful for resistance allele analysis. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 89(23): 2262.
- Pomar, F., Novo, M., Bernal, M., Merino, F., and Barcelo, J. 2011. Lignins (monomers and crosslinking) and peroxidase activity in the maintenance of leaf photosynthesis in *Verticillium dactylophilum* annual. *New Phytologist* 191(3): 1121-1137. doi:10.1111/j.1365-3113.2011.04692.x.
- Ranathunge, K., Thomas, P., and Franck, A., and Bzema, M. A. 2008. Soybean root rot caused by *Phytophthora sojae*. *Phytopathology* 98(11): 1178-1189. doi:10.1094/PHYTO-09-08-1178D.
- Ream, W. A. 2009. *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* uses different proteins to transport bacterial DNA into the plant cell. *Plant Cell* 21(4): 416-427. doi:10.1105/pc.107519.00104.x.
- Riker, A.J., Banfield, W.M., Wright, W.H., Keitt, G.W. and 1957. Infectious hairy root of nursery apple trees. *J. Agri. Res.* 44(1): 50-70.
- Sandhu, D., Gao, H., Cianzio, S., and Bhattacharyya, M. 2011. Resistance to bacterial blight in the pepper sequence is associated with the *Chlorophyllase* gene. *Plant Disease* 95(1): 100-108.

- with the loss of the Phytophthora repressors. *Genetics*. 215:1267. doi:10.1534/genetics.104.032037.
- Schreiber, L., Hartmann, K., Skrabs, M., and Zeier, J. 1999. chemical composition and hydrotic cell walls. *J. Exp. Bot.* 50(337): 1267. doi:10.1093/jexbot/50.337.1267.
- Schuler, M. and Wehakt, D. 2003. Functional genomics of *Plant Biol*. 54:1902. doi:10.1146/annurev.arplant.54.031902.
- Seki, M., Ishizawa, T., Tanaka, N., Niwa, Y., Yoshida, S., and Hairy root activation tagging system for activation of transformed hairy roots. *Plant Biol*. 10:1103-1108.
- Serra, M., Hohn, C., Sauveplane, V., Pinot, F., Fran S., Molinas, M., and Figueroa. 2009. Gene silencing in tuber alters suberin composition, distorts suberin lamella periderm barrier function. *Plant Physiol*. 149:1660. doi:10.1104/pp.108.127183.
- Shih, S.M. Doran, P.M. 2009. In vitro propagation of plant forms of plant tissue culture and modes of culture operation. *J. Biotechnol. Bioinform.* 4(3): 206. doi:10.1016/j.jbiotec.2009.07.007.
- Tanaka. 1997. Strategy for the production of secondary metabolites by transformed regenerants. *Plant Tissue Cult. Biotech.* 7(3): 213.

- Thomas, R., Fang, X., Ranathunge, K.C., and Anderson B. R. d. S. P. et al. M.A. 2007. Soybean root suberin: Anatomical distribution and relationship to pathogen resistance. *Plant Physiology* 141(1): 293-311. doi:10.1104/pp.106.091090.
- Torrey, J.G. 1957. Auxin control pattern formation in regenerated meristem grown in vitro. *Annals Bot.* 41(10): 857-90.
- Tsuro, M., Ikeda, H., Hato, H. 2009. Efficient transformation of *Lavandula angustifolia* using *Agrobacterium rhizogenes*. *Jpn. Soc. Hort. Sci.* 78(2): 236-241.
- Tucker, D.M., Maroof, M.A.S., Mideros, S., Skoneczka, J.A., Hoeschele, I., Tyler, B.M., St Martin, S.K., and Dorrance. 2009. Quantitative trait loci for resistance to *Phytophthora sojae* in soybean interspecific cross. *Crop Sci* 49(3): 623-635. doi:10.2135/cropsci2009.03.
- Tyler, B. 2002. Molecular basis of recognition between *Phytophthora* hosts. *Annu. Rev. Phytopathol.* 40: 1206-1253. doi:10.1146/annurev.phyto.40.120601.125310.
- Tyler, B. 2007. *Phytophthora sojae*: a pathogen of soybean and model for host-microbe interactions. *Molec. Plant Pathol.* 8(1): 1-10. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-3113.2006.00373.X.
- Viljevac, M., Dugalic, K., Stojanovic, D., Cvetkovic, D., Sudar, R., Kovacic, V., Lepedjuskovic, Z. 2009. Biochemical basis of

- resistance to *Erwinia amylovora*. Food Technol Biotechnol. 47(3):287.
- Waduwara, C.I., Walcott, S.A., 2008. *Strobilactone*, lamellae of the root endodermis: their pattern of development and continuation. Plant Cell Physiol 49(6):623-632. doi:10.1039/B08
- Weng, C., Yu, K., Anderson, T.R., and Poysa, V. 2007. A qPCR assay for *Phytophthora* root rot in the soybean cultivar 'DAS-612'. Phytopathology 97(12):1581-1586. doi:10.1094/PHYTO-97-12-1581
- White, F., Taylor, B., Huffman, G., Gordon, M., and Nester, C.E. 1991. Genetic analysis of the *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* strains that cause crown gall of *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* Bact 16(41):34-44.
- Wilson, C. and Peterson, C. 1983. Chemical composition of endodermal and intervascular walls of corn roots. Plant Physiol 75(6):775-779.
- Wrather, J., Stienstra, W., and Kyberndt, S. 2001. Soybean disease loss estimates in the United States from 1996 to 1998. Plant Pathol. 50(2):201-211.
- Wrather, J., Anderson, T., Arsyad, D.P., Upton, A., Riedel, H.L., Yorinori, J., 2001. Soybean disease loss estimates produced by top-down methods in 1998. Can J Plant Pathol. 23(2):112-125.

- Yang, M. and Bernards, M.A. 2006. *Worldwide distribution of (ramipum tuberos) tubers: biosynthesis of isochlorogenic acid in ramipum tuberos*. *Plant Physiology* 142(2): 59. doi:10.4161/psb.1.2.2433.
- Yi, J., Derynck, M.R., Li, X., Telmer, P., Marsolais, F., and Goulet, C. 2009. *Single repeat MYB transcription factor, GmMYB103, controls isoflavone biosynthesis and affects isoflavonoid biosynthesis in soybean*. *Plant Physiology* 150(4): 1034. doi:10.1105/pt.2009.04214.x.
- Young, J.M., Kuykendall, R.D., Mergler, A., and Sawada, M. 1994. *Revision of Rhizobium Frank 1895 and description of the genus Rhizobium: the inclusion of all species of Agrobacterium Rhizobium*. *Journal of Bacteriology* 174(2): 421-424.
- de Lajudie et al. 1998. *Rhizobium and Rhizobium: a new genus of Rhizobium*. *Journal of Bacteriology* 170(1): 303.
- Zebarjadi, A.R., Najafi, S., Ghasempour, H.R., and Motamed, M. 2004. *A practical tissue culture for production of hairy roots of Agrobacterium rhizogenes*. *Plant Cell Reports* 23(1): 49-52.
- Zeier, J. and Schreiber, L. 1997. *Chemical composition of cell walls and xylem vessels in the differentiation of the biopolymers lignin and suberin*. *Plant Physiology* 113(1): 1-11.
- Zhang, H.L., Xu, F., Tiwari, R.K. and Wang, X.Y. 2010. *Hairy root lines and analysis of gentiopicrin in the macrophyte*. *Plant Physiology* 151(1): 174.

Zia, M., Mirza, B., Malik, S.A., and Ebrahimshahi, M. F. 2010
transgenic *Glycine* (*G. max*) leads to changes in plant phenotypic
morphology, and flowering time. *Plant Cell Tissue Organ*
doi:10.1007/s10274-010-1274-0

APPENDICES

APPENDIX ~~R~~ Permission for copyrighted material.

