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A study designed to probe the effect of electronic conjugation and cross-conjugation on the optical and electrochemical properties of benzene-bridged boron difluoride formazanate dimers is presented.
Abstract

One of the most common strategies for the production of molecular materials with optical properties in the far-red/near-IR regions of the electromagnetic spectrum is their incorporation into dimeric architectures. In this paper, we describe the synthesis and characterization ($^1$H, $^{11}$B, $^{13}$C and $^{19}$F NMR spectroscopy, IR and UV-Vis absorption and emission spectroscopy, mass spectrometry and X-ray crystallography) of the first examples of boron difluoride (BF$_2$) formazanate dimers. Specifically, the properties of meta- and para-substituted benzene-bridged dimers $p$-10 and $m$-10 were compared to closely related boron difluoride triphenyl formazanate complex 11 in order to assess the effect of electronic conjugation and cross conjugation on their light absorption/emission and electrochemical properties. While the properties of cross-conjugated dimer $m$-10 did not differ significantly from those of monomer 11, conjugated dimer $p$-10 exhibited red-shifted absorption and emission maxima and was easier to reduce electrochemically to its bis radical anion and bis dianion form compared to monomer 11. Both dimers are weakly emissive in the far-red/near-IR and exhibited large Stokes shifts (> 110 nm, 3318 cm$^{-1}$). Unlike a closely related para-substituted benzene-bridged boron dipyrrromethene (BODIPY) dimer, the emission quantum yields measured for the BF$_2$ formazanate dimers exceeded those observed for monomeric analogues.

Introduction

Boron difluoride (BF$_2$) complexes (or dyes) derived from chelating N-donor ligands$^{1,2}$ have received significant attention over the past two decades as a result of their structurally tunable electrochemical and light absorbing/emitting properties. However, the vast majority of these dyes exhibit small Stokes shifts ($\nu_{ST}$) and their maximum absorption/emission occur in the visible region of the electromagnetic spectrum.
For many applications, including biological imaging\textsuperscript{3,4} and high performance organic solar cells\textsuperscript{5} materials with maximum absorption/emission wavelengths in the far-red/near-IR regions of the electromagnetic spectrum are required. One of the most common strategies for the production of BF\textsubscript{2} dyes exhibiting low-energy absorption and emission maxima involves combining two or more BF\textsubscript{2} dye moieties into a single molecule.\textsuperscript{7} This approach has been applied to perhaps the most widely recognized of the BF\textsubscript{2} complexes – boron dipyrromethenes (BODIPYs).\textsuperscript{1a-c} The unsubstituted BODIPY core 1 has excellent photophysical properties, including sharp and intense absorption [wavelength of maximum absorption ($\lambda_{\text{max}}$) = 500 nm in THF] and emission [wavelength of maximum emission ($\lambda_{\text{em}}$) = 516 nm in THF] bands and high emission quantum yield ($\Phi_{\text{f}} = 0.77$).\textsuperscript{8} Structural modification to red-shift the absorption and emission features of these molecule often prove to be challenging. Ziegler and co-workers have directly fused two BODIPY-like units together to form novel bis(difluoroboron)1,2-bis((1H-pyrrol-2-yl)methylene)hydrazines (BOPHYs) 2,\textsuperscript{9} which have been subsequently studied as functional materials.\textsuperscript{10} BOPHY 2 has an impressive emission quantum yield of 0.95 in CH\textsubscript{2}Cl\textsubscript{2}, although, both the emission and absorption were blue-shifted with respect to 1. By fusing together two BODIPY units with benzene rings and effectively extending $\pi$ conjugation, for example, in dimers 3–5, $\lambda_{\text{em}}$ red-shifts significantly to 940 nm (3),\textsuperscript{11} 712 nm (4)\textsuperscript{12} and 868 nm (5).\textsuperscript{13} With the exception of compound 4, the emission quantum yields drop off significantly, to less than 0.05.
BODIPY units have also been linked via \textit{para}-benzene spacers, \textit{e.g.}, 6, whereby the wavelength of maximum emission was red-shifted relative to model compound 7 and emission quantum yields decreased from 0.29 for 7 to 0.05 for 6.\textsuperscript{14}
Building on recent advances surrounding the coordination chemistry of formazanate ligands, we have explored the structurally tunable properties of BF₂ formazanate complexes and demonstrated their efficient electrochemiluminescence (ECL). Herein we report the synthesis and characterization of the first examples of boron difluoride formazanate dimers, which contain conjugated and cross-conjugated electronic structures, as we work towards functional molecular materials based on formazanate ligands with optical properties in the far-red/near-IR.

Results and Discussion

Synthesis

Meta- and para-substituted benzene-bridged bisformazans p-8 and m-8 were synthesized through the coupling of phenyl diazonium chloride salts with the corresponding meta- and para-benzene bridged bisphenylhydrazones p-9 and m-9 in a pyridine/dimethylformamide buffer according to published procedures (Scheme 1). The formazans were characterized by ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectroscopy (Figures S1-S4), revealing diagnostic formazan NH shifts in the ¹H NMR spectra at 15.45 ppm (p-8) and 15.44 ppm (m-8), respectively. BF₂ complexes p-10 and m-10 were synthesized by refluxing the appropriate bisformazan in toluene with 10 equivalents of BF₃·OEt₂ and 6 equivalents of NEt₃.
Scheme 1. Synthesis of benzene-bridged BF$_2$ dimers $p$-$10$ and $m$-$10$.

The resulting BF$_2$ formazanate dimers $p$-$10$ and $m$-$10$ were characterized by $^1$H, $^{11}$B, $^{13}$C and $^{19}$F NMR spectroscopy (Figures 1, S5-S6). The BF$_2$ complexes showed characteristic 1:2:1 triplets in the $^{11}$B NMR spectra ($p$-$10$: −0.5 ppm; $m$-$10$: −0.5 ppm) and 1:1:1:1 quartets in the $^{19}$F NMR spectra ($p$-$10$: −144.1 ppm; $m$-$10$: −143.8 ppm) (Figure 1, insets). The aryl region of the $^1$H NMR spectra of complexes $p$-$10$ and $m$-$10$ differ slightly from the $^1$H NMR spectra of the respective formazans and the disappearance of the resonances above 15 ppm indicate the loss of the NH protons. Each of these spectral features are consistent with those previously reported for the BF$_2$ complex of the triphenylformazanate ligand 11.$^{16c}$

![Diagram of benzene-bridged BF$_2$ dimers $p$-$10$ and $m$-$10$.]
Figure 1. $^1$H NMR spectra of a) $p$-$10$ and b) $m$-$10$ in CDCl$_3$. Spectra are referenced to residual CHCl$_3$ signals, which are denoted with asterisks. The corresponding $^{19}$F and $^{11}$B NMR spectra are shown as insets.

X-ray Crystallography

Single crystals of dimers $p$-$10$ and $m$-$10$ suitable for X-ray diffraction studies were grown by slow evaporation of concentrated dichloromethane solution (Figures 2 and 3, Table 1). In both solid-state structures, the formazanate-benzene-formazanate π frameworks are relatively planar with angles between the benzene bridge and the N$_4$ planes of 10.46° and 17.48° in $p$-$10$ and
8.79° and 12.04° in \textit{m-10}. This planarity renders these compounds the perfect platform for probing electronic communication through \textit{meta-} and \textit{para-} benzene spacers. The \textit{N}-phenyl substituents are moderately twisted in each structure, with torsion angles of 25.05−49.88° (\textit{p-10}) and 18.77−40.44° (\textit{m-10}) relative to the nearest formazanate moiety. The torsion is most likely the result of crystal packing effects. We also note that the formazanate backbones are delocalized, with all N-N, C-N and C-C bonds falling between the typical single and double bond lengths for the respective atoms involved. The C-C bond lengths in the benzene bridges of \textit{p-10} and \textit{m-10} are between 1.375(3) Å and 1.397(3) Å, and are similar to other aromatic C-C bonds.\textsuperscript{19} For both dimers \textit{p-10} and \textit{m-10}, one of the two BF\textsubscript{2} formazanate moieties exists in a relatively planar conformation and the other in a ‘dragonfly’ conformation where the boron atom is displaced from the formazanate plane by more than 0.5 Å. We have previously reported that these two conformations differ in energy by only a few kcal/mol.\textsuperscript{16a}
**Figure 2.** a) Top view and b) side view of the solid-state structure of BF$_2$ formazanate dimer $p$-10. Anisotropic displacement ellipsoids are shown at 50% probability and hydrogen atoms have been omitted for clarity. Only one of two very similar molecules in the asymmetric unit for $p$-10 is shown.

**Figure 3.** a) Top view and b) side view of the solid-state structure of BF$_2$ formazanate dimer $m$-10. Anisotropic displacement ellipsoids are shown at 50% probability and hydrogen atoms have been omitted for clarity.
Table 1. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (degrees) for BF₂ formazanate dimers p-10 and m-10, determined by single crystal X-ray diffraction.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>p-10</th>
<th>m-10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Boron displacement(^a)</td>
<td>0.159, 0.503</td>
<td>0.307, 0.577</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-phenyl torsion angles(^b)</td>
<td>25.05, 31.59</td>
<td>18.77, 38.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzene bridge torsion angles(^c)</td>
<td>10.46, 17.48</td>
<td>8.79, 12.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N1-N2</td>
<td>1.297(2)</td>
<td>1.3026(18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N3-N4</td>
<td>1.305(2)</td>
<td>1.3077(18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N5-N6</td>
<td>1.302(2)</td>
<td>1.3078(19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N7-N8</td>
<td>1.302(2)</td>
<td>1.3130(19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N1-B1</td>
<td>1.562(3)</td>
<td>1.559(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N3-B1</td>
<td>1.556(3)</td>
<td>1.553(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N5-B2</td>
<td>1.563(3)</td>
<td>1.556(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N7-B2</td>
<td>1.546(3)</td>
<td>1.556(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N2-C1</td>
<td>1.346(3)</td>
<td>1.343(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N4-C1</td>
<td>1.336(2)</td>
<td>1.340(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N6-C20</td>
<td>1.337(3)</td>
<td>1.341(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N8-C20</td>
<td>1.344(2)</td>
<td>1.339(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N1-N2-C1</td>
<td>118.56(15)</td>
<td>117.15(14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N3-N4-C1</td>
<td>118.61(16)</td>
<td>119.12(14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N5-N6-C20</td>
<td>118.74(15)</td>
<td>118.14(13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N7-N8-C20</td>
<td>116.89(16)</td>
<td>117.02(14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N2-C1-N4</td>
<td>126.29(17)</td>
<td>126.24(15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N6-C20-N8</td>
<td>124.78(17)</td>
<td>124.37(15)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)Distance between B1/B2 and the nearest N₄ plane. \(^b\)Angles between the plane defined by the N1, N3, N5 and N7 phenyl-substituents and the nearest N₄ plane. \(^c\)Angles between the benzene bridge and the N₄ plane.

**Cyclic Voltammetry**

Due to the poor solubility of compounds p-10 and m-10 in acetonitrile, dichloromethane was used for the cyclic voltammetry studies. The cyclic voltammograms of compounds p-10, m-10 and 11 are shown in Figure 4. BF₂ formazanate dimers m-10 and p-10 each undergo reversible electrochemical reduction at E\(_{1/2,\text{red1}}\) = −0.90 V (p-10) and −0.92 V (m-10) corresponding to the transfer of two electrons (Table 2). Both dimers undergo a second irreversible electrochemical reduction at E\(_{pc,\text{red2}}\) = −1.78 V (p-10) and −1.89 V (m-10) also consistent with a two-electron transfer. The first reversible reduction of dimers p-10 and m-10 corresponds to the formation of
the bis-radical anion form of the dimer, as depicted in Scheme 2. The second reduction of compounds \textit{p-10} and \textit{m-10} corresponds to the bis-radical anions being transformed into bis-dianions. Monomer \textit{11} displayed similar electrochemical properties ($E_{1/2,\text{red}1} = -0.93 \text{ V}$ and $E_{\text{pc, red}2} = -1.84 \text{ V}$), with each reduction corresponding to transfer of a single electron. We have previously shown that the electrochemical properties of monomeric BF$_2$ formazanate complexes are sensitive to the presence of electron-withdrawing and electron-donating carbon substituents.$^{16c}$ We therefore attribute the relative ease of reduction (less negative potentials) associated with \textit{p-10} to the electron withdrawing nature of the BF$_2$ formazanate moieties, which is enhanced in the \textit{para}-substituted dimer.

The second reduction waves for \textit{p-10}, \textit{m-10} and \textit{11} were not reversible in dichloromethane, despite the second reduction of monomer \textit{11} being fully reversible in acetonitrile.$^{16c}$ The anodic peak current associated with the second reduction wave increased as the scan rate increased (Figures S7-S9), indicating that the irreversibility may arise due to a competing chemical reaction, which oxidizes the bis-dianions to bis-radical anions. Initially, we considered the possibility that the electrogenerated bis-dianions could undergo a comproportionation reaction with neutral BF$_2$ complexes to generate two equivalents of bis-radical anions ($e.g.$, LBF$_2^2^- + $ LBF$_2 \rightarrow 2 \text{LBF}_2^- \cdot$). However, based on the symmetry of the anodic and cathodic peak currents associated with the first reduction, we do not feel that this is the case.$^{20}$ We conclude that the electrogenerated dianions are oxidized back to their radical anion forms within the electrochemical cell, although the identity of the oxidant remains elusive.
Figure 4. Cyclic voltammograms of $p$-$10$ (blue), $m$-$10$ (red) and $11$ (black) recorded at 100 mV s$^{-1}$ in 1 mM dichloromethane solutions containing 0.1 M tetrabutylammonium hexafluorophosphate as supporting electrolyte.

Due to the broad appearance of the reduction peaks in the cyclic voltammograms of dimers $p$-$10$ and $m$-$10$ relative to monomer $11$, we believe each process involves two distinct one-electron reductions (one electron for each of the BF$_2$ formazanate moieties). However, we were unable to resolve each wave, even when square wave or differential pulse voltammetry were employed. All three complexes also undergo irreversible electrochemical oxidation within the solvent window (Figure S10).
Closely related benzene-bridged diradicals \( p-12 \) and \( m-12 \) have been shown to undergo reversible electrochemical oxidation and reduction to their closed shell bis-cation and bis-anion forms.\(^{21}\) In these systems, electrochemical reduction occurred in two well-resolved steps, which supports the interpretation of our results.

UV-Vis Absorption and Emission Spectroscopy

Qualitatively, \( m-10 \) and \( 11 \) have very similar absorption and emission spectra. In dichloromethane, \( m-10 \) has a wavelength of maximum absorption of 507 nm, which is very
similar to that of monomer 11 ($\lambda_{\text{max}} = 509$ nm). Monomer 11 is highly absorbing, with a molar absorptivity ($\varepsilon$) of 23,400 $\text{M}^{-1}\text{cm}^{-1}$, while $m$-10 has molar absorptivity of nearly double that, at 39,600 $\text{M}^{-1}\text{cm}^{-1}$ due to the presence of two boron difluoride formazanate moieties. In comparison, complex $p$-10 has a higher wavelength of maximum absorption of 523 nm in dichloromethane and is strongly absorbing ($\varepsilon = 30,900$ $\text{M}^{-1}\text{cm}^{-1}$) (Figure 5, Table 2). The molar absorptivities observed for the dimeric complexes were solvent dependent. We postulate that this behavior may arise due to the influence of solvent polarity on the relative orientation of the BF$_2$ formazanate moieties in dimers $p$-10 and $m$-10, which is not a factor for monomeric complex 11.

Time-dependent density functional theory (DFT) calculations have previously shown that the highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) and lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO) are the dominant orbital pair involved in the lowest energy excitation in 11. DFT calculations also showed that the HOMO of 11 is highly delocalized and includes significant orbital density on the phenyl ring appended to the carbon of the formazanate backbone. Despite the lack of orbital density on the same phenyl substituent in the LUMO orbital of 11, it is reasonable to expect red-shifted absorption and emission maxima for $p$-10 on the basis that the HOMO for $p$-10 will be highly delocalized through the conjugated para-benzene spacer, while the HOMO’s for monomer 11 and cross-conjugated dimer $m$-10 will not extend beyond their carbon-bound benzene substituents.

BF$_2$ complexes $p$-10, $m$-10 and 11 are weakly emissive, with wavelengths of maximum emission of 654, 627 and 630 nm and modest emission quantum yields of 0.08, 0.03 and 0.01 in dichloromethane, respectively. Each compound exhibits a large Stokes shift, ranging from 120 to 131 nm ($\nu_{\text{ST}} = 3773–3830$ cm$^{-1}$). It is noteworthy that the emission quantum yields increase for the dimers, relative to monomer 11. It has been shown that the emission quantum yield of para-
benzene bridged BODIPY dimers 6 is significantly reduced relative to phenyl-substituted monomer 7. A major factor contributing to the decreased emission intensity in BODIPY dimer 6 is the small emission Stokes shifts observed for the monomeric units ($\nu_{ST} = 25$ nm, 906 cm$^{-1}$), which leads to reabsorption of emitted photons by the fluorophore in close proximity within the dimer framework. In the case of dimers $p$-10 and $m$-10, the large Stokes shifts result in minimal overlap between absorption and emission bands and limit reabsorption of emitted photons by the dimer pairs.

Figure 5. UV-vis absorption spectra (dashed lines) and emission spectra (solid lines) of a) $p$-10, b) $m$-10 and c) 11, recorded in $10^{-5}$ M degassed toluene solutions.

Table 2. Solution characterization data for BF$_2$ complexes $p$-10, $m$-10 and 11.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Solvent</th>
<th>$\lambda_{max}$ (nm)</th>
<th>$\varepsilon$ (M$^{-1}$ cm$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$\lambda_{em}$ (nm)</th>
<th>$\Phi_f$</th>
<th>$\nu_{ST}$ (nm)</th>
<th>$\nu_{ST}$ (cm$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$E_{1/2,red}^{a}$ (V)</th>
<th>$E_{pc,red}^{b}$ (V)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$p$-10</td>
<td>THF</td>
<td>523</td>
<td>26,700</td>
<td>650</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>3736</td>
<td>−0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Toluene</td>
<td>534</td>
<td>28,800</td>
<td>649</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>3318</td>
<td>−0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CH$_2$Cl$_2$</td>
<td>523</td>
<td>30,900</td>
<td>654</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>3830</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$m$-10</td>
<td>THF</td>
<td>509</td>
<td>35,800</td>
<td>625</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>3646</td>
<td>−0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Toluene</td>
<td>518</td>
<td>30,200</td>
<td>628</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>3381</td>
<td>−0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CH$_2$Cl$_2$</td>
<td>507</td>
<td>39,600</td>
<td>627</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>3775</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>THF</td>
<td>509</td>
<td>22,500</td>
<td>627</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>3697</td>
<td>−0.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Toluene</td>
<td>517</td>
<td>23,800</td>
<td>626</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>3368</td>
<td>−0.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CH$_2$Cl$_2$</td>
<td>509</td>
<td>23,400</td>
<td>630</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>3773</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$Reversible reduction, half wave potential reported. $^b$Irreversible reduction, cathodic peak potential reported.
Conclusion

We have prepared the first examples of BF$_2$ formazanate dimers and studied their electrochemical and light absorbing/emitting properties. The electrochemical properties of dimers $p$-$10$ and $m$-$10$ were closely related to those of monomer $11$, with each BF$_2$ formazanate moiety undergoing sequential one-electron reduction to the corresponding radical anion and dianion forms. In comparison to monomer $11$, the para-substituted benzene-bridged BF$_2$ formazanate dimer ($p$-$10$) exhibited optical properties consistent with extended $\pi$ conjugation, which caused a red-shift in the wavelengths of maximum absorption and emission and an increase in emission quantum yield. The latter characteristic was in sharp contrast to the emission behavior of closely related BODIPY dimers, where emission quantum yields were much lower than those observed for monomeric analogs. On the other hand, the meta-substituted benzene-bridged BF$_2$ formazanate dimer ($m$-$10$) displayed characteristics consistent with a cross-conjugated electronic structure and essentially behaved as two independent BF$_2$ formazanate moieties. The wavelengths of maximum absorption and emission for $m$-$10$ and $11$ were very similar and the molar absorptivity of $m$-$10$ was effectively double that observed for monomer $11$. This work has demonstrated the potential of BF$_2$ formazanate complexes as far-red/near-IR dyes, largely due to their high Stokes shifts ($\nu_{ST} = 110$–$131$ nm, $3318$–$3830$ cm$^{-1}$). Our future work in this area will focus on increasing the emission intensity of BF$_2$ formazanate complexes through judicious substitution of the formazanate carbon and exploiting the properties of BF$_2$ formazanate complexes in multifunctional $\pi$-conjugated polymers.
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**Experimental Section**

**General Considerations**

All reactions and manipulations were carried out under a nitrogen atmosphere using standard Schlenk techniques unless otherwise stated. Solvents were obtained from Caledon Laboratories, dried using an Innovative Technologies Inc. solvent purification system, collected under vacuum and stored under a nitrogen atmosphere over 4 Å molecular sieves. All reagents were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich or Alfa Aesar and used as received. The synthesis of formazans \( p-8 \) and \( m-8 \) have been reported previously,\(^{18}\) however, details of their synthesis and characterization were limited. We have therefore included full experimental details for these compounds. The synthesis of triphenyl formazan and the corresponding BF\(_2\) complex \( 11 \) have been reported previously.\(^{16c}\)

NMR Spectra were recorded on 400 MHz (\(^1\)H: 399.8 MHz, \(^{11}\)B: 128.3 MHz, \(^{19}\)F: 376.1 MHz) or 600 MHz (\(^1\)H: 599.5 MHz, \(^{13}\)C: 150.8 MHz) Varian INOVA instruments. \(^1\)H NMR spectra were referenced to residual CHCl\(_3\) (7.26 ppm) and \(^{13}\)C NMR spectra were referenced to CDCl\(_3\) (77.2 ppm). \(^{11}\)B spectra were referenced to BF\(_3\)·OEt\(_2\) at 0 ppm and \(^{19}\)F spectra were referenced to CFCl\(_3\) at 0 ppm. Mass spectrometry data were recorded in positive-ion mode using a high-resolution Finnigan MAT 8200 spectrometer using electron impact ionization. UV-vis spectra were recorded using a Cary 300 Scan instrument. Four separate concentrations were run for each sample and molar extinction coefficients were determined from the slope of a plot of absorbance against concentration. Infrared spectra were recorded on a KBr disk using a Bruker Vector 33 FT-IR spectrometer. Emission spectra were obtained using a Photon Technology International QM-4 SE spectrofluorometer. Excitation wavelengths were chosen based on \( \lambda_{\text{max}} \).
from the respective UV-vis absorption spectrum in the same solvent. Emission quantum yields were estimated relative to ruthenium tris(bipyridine) hexafluorophosphate by previously described methods and corrected for wavelength dependent detector sensitivity (Figure S11).  

**Electrochemical Methods**

Cyclic voltammetry experiments were performed with a Bioanalytical Systems Inc. (BASi) Epsilon potentiostat and analyzed using BASi Epsilon software. Electrochemical cells consisted of a three-electrode setup including a glassy carbon working electrode, platinum wire counter electrode and silver wire *pseudo* reference electrode. Experiments were run at various scan rates (100–2000 mV s⁻¹) in degassed dichloromethane solutions of the analyte (~1 mM) and supporting electrolyte (0.1 M tetrabutylammonium hexafluorophosphate). Cyclic voltammograms were referenced against an internal standard (~1 mM ferrocene) and corrected for internal cell resistance using the BASi Epsilon software.

**X-ray Crystallography Details**

Single crystals of dimers *p*-10 and *m*-10 suitable for X-ray diffraction studies were grown by slow evaporation of a concentrated dichloromethane solutions. The samples were mounted on Mitegen polyimide micromounts with a small amount of Paratone N oil. All X-ray measurements were made on a Nonius KappaCCD Apex2 (*p*-10) or Bruker Kappa Axis Apex2 (*m*-10) diffractometer at a temperature of 110 K. The data collection strategy included a number of ω and φ scans which collected data over a range of angles, 2θ. The frame integrations were performed using SAINT.  

The resulting raw data was scaled and absorption corrected using a multi-scan averaging of symmetry equivalent data using SADABS.  

The structures were solved by using a dual space methodology using the SHELXT program. All non-hydrogen atoms were obtained from the initial solution. The hydrogen atoms were introduced at idealized
positions and were allowed to refine isotropically. The structural models were fit to the data using full matrix least-squares based on $F^2$. The calculated structure factors included corrections for anomalous dispersion from the usual tabulation. The structures were refined using the SHELXL-2014 program from the SHELX suite of crystallographic software. See Table 3 and the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre (CCDC, 1401466 and 1401467) for additional crystallographic data.

**Table 3.** X-ray diffraction data collection and refinement details for BF$_2$ formazanate dimers $p$-10 and $m$-10.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$p$-10</th>
<th>$m$-10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Chemical Formula</strong></td>
<td>$C_{24}H_{18}B_{1.50}F_3N_6$</td>
<td>$C_{32}H_{24}B_2F_4N_8$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>FW (g/mol)</strong></td>
<td>463.66</td>
<td>618.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Crystal Habit</strong></td>
<td>Red Prism</td>
<td>Red Plate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Crystal System</strong></td>
<td>triclinic</td>
<td>monoclinic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Space Group</strong></td>
<td>P-1</td>
<td>C2/c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>T (K)</strong></td>
<td>110</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>λ (Å)</strong></td>
<td>1.54178</td>
<td>0.71073</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>a (Å)</strong></td>
<td>11.492(2)</td>
<td>18.424(7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>b (Å)</strong></td>
<td>14.163(3)</td>
<td>17.935(7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>c (Å)</strong></td>
<td>15.253(3)</td>
<td>18.574(6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>α (deg)</strong></td>
<td>65.150(6)</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>β (deg)</strong></td>
<td>69.157(9)</td>
<td>110.646(10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>γ (deg)</strong></td>
<td>82.705(8)</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>V (Å$^3$)</strong></td>
<td>2104.6(7)</td>
<td>5743(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Z</strong></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ρ (g/cm$^3$)</strong></td>
<td>1.463</td>
<td>1.430</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>μ (cm$^{-1}$)</strong></td>
<td>0.905</td>
<td>0.106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>R$_1$, wR$_2$ [I &gt; 2σ(I)]</strong></td>
<td>0.0427, 0.1064</td>
<td>0.0460, 0.0912</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>R$_1$, wR$_2$ (all data)</strong></td>
<td>0.0604, 0.1181</td>
<td>0.0932, 0.1079</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>GOF</strong></td>
<td>1.020</td>
<td>1.018</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$R_1 = \Sigma (|F_o| - |F_c|) / \Sigma F_o$, $wR_2 = [\Sigma (w(F_o^2 - F_c^2))^2 / \Sigma (wF_o^4)]^{1/2}$, GOF = $[\Sigma (w(F_o^2 - F_c^2))^2 / (\text{No. of reflns.} - \text{No. of params.})]^{1/2}$.

Representative procedure for the preparation of formazans $p$-8 and $m$-8:

**Formazan Dimer $p$-8**

In air, phenyl hydrazine (5.08 g, 4.62 mL, 47.0 mmol) was dissolved in ethanol (30 mL), before terephthalaldehyde (1.50 g, 22.0 mmol) was added and the solution allowed to stir for 10 min. At
which time, a light yellow precipitate had formed. Dimethylformamide (100 mL) and pyridine (100 mL) were added and the mixture was cooled in an ice bath to 0 °C. In a separate flask, aniline (5.12 g, 50 mL, 55.0 mmol) and concentrated hydrochloric acid (11.8 mL) were mixed in water (30 mL) and cooled in an ice bath. A cooled solution of sodium nitrite (4.00 g, 58.0 mmol) in water (10 mL) was added slowly to the amine solution. This mixture was left to stir at 0 °C for 30 min, at which time it was added dropwise to the DMF/pyridine mixture described above over a 10 min period. The resulting solution was stirred for 18 h, during which time a dark red/brown precipitate formed. The dark red/brown solid was isolated by vacuum filtration, washed with water and methanol and purified by flash chromatography (dichloromethane, neutral alumina) to afford formazan p-8 as a dark red/brown microcrystalline solid. Yield = 2.60 g, 23%. Melting point = 196–198 °C. 1H NMR (599.5 MHz, CDCl3) δ 15.45 (s, 2H, NH), 8.24 (s, 4H, aryl CH), 7.73 (d, 3JHH = 7 Hz, 8H, aryl CH), 7.48 (t, 3JHH = 7 Hz, 8H, aryl CH), 7.30 (t, 3JHH = 7 Hz, 4H, aryl CH). 13C{1H} NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl3): δ 148.2, 136.9, 129.7, 127.7, 126.1, 119.1. After 10,000 scans on a 600 MHz NMR spectrometer, only 6 signals were observed due to the poor solubility of p-8 in common organic solvents. FT-IR (KBr): 3267 (br, s), 3035 (m), 3006 (m), 1598 (s), 1509 (s), 1455 (m), 1405 (m), 1351 (s), 1311 (w), 1221 (s) cm⁻¹. UV–vis (toluene): λmax = 500 nm (ε = 28,900 M⁻¹cm⁻¹). Mass Spec. (EI, +ve mode): exact mass calculated for [C32H26N8]⁺: 522.2280; exact mass found: 522.2280; difference: –0.15 ppm.

**Formazan Dimer m-8**

In air, from 11.2 mmol aldehyde and 28.0 mmol hydrazine: Yield = 1.68 g, 36%. Melting point = 175–177 °C. 1H NMR (599.5 MHz, CDCl3) δ 15.44 (s, 2H, NH), 9.03 (s, 1H, aryl CH), 8.14 (d, 3JHH = 8 Hz, 2H, aryl CH), 7.76 (d, 3JHH = 8 Hz, 8H, aryl CH), 7.52 (t, 3JHH = 8 Hz, 1H, aryl CH), 7.50–7.47 (m, 8H, aryl CH), 7.31 (t, 3JHH = 7 Hz, 4H, aryl CH). 13C{1H} NMR (100.6
MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}): \(\delta\) 148.2, 141.1, 137.6, 129.6, 128.8, 127.6, 125.1, 123.6, 119.0. FT-IR (KBr): 3272 (br, s), 3026 (m), 3019 (m), 1598 (s), 1510 (s), 1455 (m), 1451 (m), 1343 (m), 1217 (s) cm\textsuperscript{-1}. UV-vis (toluene): \(\lambda_{\text{max}} = 496\) nm (\(\varepsilon = 39,200\) M\textsuperscript{-1} cm\textsuperscript{-1}). Mass Spec. (EI, +ve mode): exact mass calculated for [C\textsubscript{32}H\textsubscript{26}N\textsubscript{8}]\textsuperscript{+}: 522.2280; exact mass found: 522.2285; difference: +0.80 ppm.

**Representative procedure for the preparation of formazanate BF\textsubscript{2} complexes \textit{p}-10 and \textit{m}-10:**

**Formazanate BF\textsubscript{2} Dimer \textit{p}-10**

Formazan \textit{p}-8 (1.00 g, 1.91 mmol) was dissolved in dry toluene (100 mL) and triethylamine (1.16 g, 1.60 mL, 11.4 mmol) was added slowly, before the solution was allowed to stir for 10 min. Boron trifluoride diethyl etherate (2.72 g, 2.36 mL, 19.1 mmol) was then added and the solution heated at 80 °C for 18 h. The solution gradually changed colour from dark red to dark purple during this time. The reaction was then cooled to 20 °C and deionized water (10 mL) was added to quench any excess reactive boron-containing compounds. The red/purple toluene fraction was then washed with deionized water (3 x 50 mL), dried over MgSO\textsubscript{4}, gravity filtered and concentrated \textit{in vacuo}. The resulting residue was purified by flash chromatography (dichloromethane, neutral alumina) to afford BF\textsubscript{2} complex \textit{p}-10 as a dark purple microcrystalline solid. Yield = 0.94 g, 80%. Melting point = 192–194 °C. \(^1\)H NMR (599.5 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}) \(\delta\) 8.23 (s, 4H, aryl CH), 7.94 (d, \(^3\)J\text{HH} = 7 Hz, 8H, aryl CH), 7.52–7.45 (m, 12H, aryl CH). \(^{13}\)C\{\(^1\)H\} NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}): \(\delta\) 148.7, 143.9, 134.4, 129.9, 129.2, 125.8, 123.5. \(^{11}\)B NMR (128.3 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}): \(\delta\) –0.5 (t, \(^1\)J\text{BF} = 29 Hz). \(^{19}\)F NMR (376.1 Hz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}): \(\delta\) –144.1 (q, \(^1\)J\text{FB} = 29 Hz). FT-IR (KBr): 3035 (m), 3004 (m), 1582 (m), 1487 (m), 1429 (m), 1322 (s), 1309 (s), 1260 (s), 1216 (m), 1153 (m), 1116 (s) cm\textsuperscript{-1}. UV-vis (toluene): \(\lambda_{\text{max}} = 521\) nm (\(\varepsilon = 28,800\) M\textsuperscript{-1} cm\textsuperscript{-1}).
Mass Spec. (EI, +ve mode): exact mass calculated for \([C_{32}H_{24}N_8B_2F_4]^+\): 618.2246; exact mass found: 618.2257; difference: +1.78 ppm.

**Formazanate BF\(_2\) Dimer m-10**

From 1.91 mmol of formazan \(m-8\): Yield = 0.88 g, 75\%. Melting point = 208–210 °C. \(^1\)H NMR (599.5 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta\) 8.82 (s, 1H, aryl CH), 8.20 (d, \(^3\)J\(_{HH}\) = 8 Hz, 2H, aryl CH), 7.94 (d, \(^3\)J\(_{HH}\) = 8 Hz, 8H, aryl CH), 7.60 (t, \(^3\)J\(_{HH}\) = 8 Hz, 1H, aryl CH), 7.50–7.43 (m, 12H, aryl CH). \(^1\)C\{\(^1\)H\} NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)): \(\delta\) 144.0, 134.5, 130.0, 129.4, 129.3, 129.2, 126.3, 123.6, 122.8. \(^1\)B NMR (128.3 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)): \(\delta\) –0.5 (t, \(^1\)J\(_{BF}\) = 29 Hz). \(^19\)F NMR (376.1 Hz, CDCl\(_3\)): \(\delta\) –143.8 (q, \(^1\)J\(_{FB}\) = 29 Hz). FT-IR (KBr): 3034 (m), 3007 (w), 1581 (m), 1457 (m), 1454 (m), 1341 (m), 1301 (s), 1281 (s), 1216 (m), 1179 (m), 1106 (s) cm\(^{-1}\). UV-vis (toluene): \(\lambda_{\text{max}}\) = 518 nm (\(\varepsilon = 20,200\) M\(^{-1}\) cm\(^{-1}\)).

Mass Spec. (EI, +ve mode): exact mass calculated for \([C_{32}H_{24}N_8B_2F_4]^+\): 618.2246; exact mass found: 618.2228; difference: –2.88 ppm.
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