

Senior Fitness Classes



Start: Nov 8, 2021 **End:** Dec 17, 2021 Class Dates: Monday, Wednesday, Friday

	Ť		Total	Avg. Age (years)
4:15-5:15 PM	6	7	13	75.6
5:30-6:30 PM	13	8	21	75.4
Total # classes:	18	Overall	average ag	ge: 75.5

Observations

- The fitness instructor was of similar age as the participants which helped participants relate to her
- Modifications were available for individuals with limited mobility and/or strength
- Workouts consisted of continuous low intensity movements that were completed at high repetition
- Verbal and visual cues were provided throughout the classes to promote safety and injury prevention
- Audience-tailored music and spoken instruction allowed for greater participant engagement and comprehension
- A strong sense of community kept participants returning to the classes each week
- Participants were eager to interact with students

Recommendations

- Provide equipment that can be easily adjusted for the participants' specific needs (e.g. chair with adjustable height)
- Create a system to monitor progress so that participants and program coordinators know what to focus on
- Ask participants before the start of class to check if they need different weights/bands
- Provide opportunities for feedback, both in real time and retrospectively
- Encourage student volunteers to get to know the participants
- Provide participants and student volunteers with name tags for easy recognition



Functional Fitness Assessment



Assessment Date: Monday November 15, 2021

Station 1 30s Chair Stand; 30s Arm Curl

Station 2 2-Minute Step-Test

Station 4 Dementia Risk Screening

Station 5 Timed Up-and-Go

Station 3 Chair Sit & Reach; Back Scratch Station 6 Hip & Waist Circumference; Height & Weight;

Number of Falls in Past Year; Single Leg Stance

Results

		Me	Mean		
Sex	#	Age (years)	ВМІ		
Ť	10	77.4	29.4		
†	21	74.3	28.8		
Total #:	31	Overall: 75.8	29.1		

Observations

Station 1	 30s chair stand: some participants required assistance 30s arm curl: no challenges
Station 2	2 min step test: participants had difficulty with balancing
Station 3	 Chair sit & reach: many participants were unable to reach their toes Back scratch: many participants wer limited by back or shoulder injuries
Station 5	Timed up-and-go: many participants improved from trial 1 to 2
Station 6	 time-intensive and felted rushed Hip & waist circumference; height & weight: many participants were self-

Assessment	Met Fitnes	ss Standard (%)
2-minute Step Test	50	71.4
30s Chair Stand	50	52.4
30s Arm Curl	50	38.1
Timed Up-and-Go	30	38.1
Chair Sit & Reach	0	0
Back Scratch	0	0
Single Leg Stance	0	0

Recommendations

	20				
	30s arm curl: no challenges		01-1		
2	2 min step test: participants had		Station i	conduct tests with participants facing	
	difficulty with balancing			away from one another	
			Station 2	conduct tests along a longer stretch of	
3	Chair sit & reach: many participants			wall	
	were unable to reach their toes				
	Back scratch: many participants were		Station 3	use a longer ruler or measuring tape to	
	limited by back or shoulder injuries			accommodate for those with limited	
5	Timed up-and-go: many participants			mobility	
	improved from trial 1 to 2		Station 5	 secure the chair to the ground to prevent 	
	improved from that I to 2			its movement when participants sit down	
6	 time-intensive and felted rushed 			no movement unen participante dit de un	
	Hip & waist circumference; height &		Station 6	split up the hip & waist circumference	
	weight: many participants were self-			from the height & weight measurements	
	conscious			encourage participants to wear slip-on	
	Single leg stance: participants felt			shoes	
	discouraged when they failed to hold			ask participants if they want to know	
	it for the full 45s			their measurements before taking them	



HAroLD Software



Observations

Summary: Although HAroLD is a convenient and simple tool for data collection and entry, improvements are necessary to enhance its real-time usability.

- 1. Data Entry: poor readability when used on small
- 2. Presentation of Results: dementia screening test administrators were required to manually compare participant scores with the provided chart to interpret their results; it is not possible to see the compiled results of a group of individuals
- 3. User Interface: it was difficult to quickly switch between participants because it requires navigating through several menus and performing a search

Recommendations

- **Data Entry**
 - increase font size and size of the entry field for mobile/tablet usage
- **Result Presentation**
 - separately state score range, interpretation, and action items relevant to the participant's
 - o add a page displaying group aggregate data
- **User Interface and Experience**
- o add a participant search field that is accessible regardless of the page
- add the links under the "Tools" menu across the header bar for ease-of-use