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Abstract

Thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura (TTP) is a life-threatening, microvascular blood disorder that affects approximately 5 people per million per year. The disorder is characterized by insufficient activity in ADAMTS13 (a disintegrin-like and metalloprotease with thrombospondin type 1 repeats 13), which is an important enzyme in hemostasis because it prevents thrombosis. Along with blood clotting, other predominant symptoms are fever, anaemia, kidney failure, and neurological changes. Neurological changes may include confusion and decreased levels of consciousness, as well as depression and increased risk of seizures or stroke. However, little is known about the general pathology of these neurological changes and this forms the motivation for this research. An observational study using a comprehensive MRI protocol was evaluated in 13 patients and compared to results from assessments of depression and cognition. Despite prolonged remission, there is evidence of persistent neurocognitive decline as manifested in higher scores of depression and widespread white matter lesions.
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Résumé

Le purpura thrombotique thrombocytopénique (PTT) est une maladie du sang microvasculaire potentiellement mortelle qui affecte environ 5 personnes par million par an. La maladie est caractérisée par une activité insuffisante d’ADAMTS13 (*a disintegrin-like and metalloprotease with thrombospondin type 1 repeats 13*), qui est une enzyme importante dans l’hémostase parce qu’elle prévient la thrombose. Outre que la coagulation sanguine, d’autres symptômes prédominants sont la fièvre, l’anémie, l’insuffisance rénale et les changements neurologiques. Les changements neurologiques peuvent inclure de la confusion et une diminution des niveaux de conscience, ainsi que la dépression et un risque accru de convulsions ou d’accident vasculaire cérébral. Cependant, la pathologie générale de ces changements neurologiques est mal comprise, donc c’est la motivation de cette recherche. Une étude observationnelle utilisant un protocole IRM approfondi a été évaluée chez 13 patients et comparée aux résultats d’évaluations de la dépression et de la cognition. Malgré une rémission prolongée, il existe des preuves d’un déclin neurocognitif persistant qui se manifeste par des scores plus élevés de dépression et des lésions répandues de la substance blanche.

**Mots-clés :** purpura thrombotique thrombocytopénique, syndrome hémolytique et urémique atypique, atteinte neurologique chronique, imagerie cérébrale humaine, imagerie par résonance magnétique quantitative, myéline, substance blanche
This project is driven by the poorly-understood neurological findings in the rare, life-threatening blood disorder called thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura (TTP). The disorder is manifested by spontaneous blood clotting throughout the body. Other symptoms include fever and kidney failure. Furthermore, neurological impairment has been well-documented in the literature, but few studies have thoroughly investigated how the brain is affected as a result of TTP. Neurological impairment includes increased risk of having a stroke or a seizure, as well as depression and confusion. These persist despite the most effective treatments.

This is an observational study to evaluate brain health over time in patients at various stages of the disorder from soon-after their first episode to remission to several years without relapse. The following thesis presents results from 13 patients at the first timepoint. Primary testing was conducted through a comprehensive, 65-minute magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) protocol. MRI is a widely-used imaging modality that offers great flexibility in the types of images that can be acquired. The acquisition includes five qualitative sequences, which are viewed by a neuroradiologist, and three novel, quantitative sequences. The principal quantitative imaging sequence in this thesis is called myelin water imaging (MWI). It is a technique to quantify myelin, one of the characteristic features of white matter. Secondary testing is conducted through online cognitive testing and a depression assessment completed in a clinical interview with a nurse. Both were completed as close to the date of the MRI scan as possible.

The objective of this thesis is to show and explain the differences between and within brains of patients with TTP using both qualitative MRI and MWI to quantify changes in brain white matter. Even though the sample size is small and there are no controls at this point in the longitudinal study, several interesting results are reported which help elucidate the poorly understood long-term impact of thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura. These include higher scores of depression and widespread white matter damage.
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Chapter 1

Introduction

1.1 Thrombotic Thrombocytopenic Purpura

Thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura (TTP), first described in a case study by Moschcowitz in 1924 [1], is a rare, life-threatening blood disorder characterized by insufficient activity in a disintegrin-like and metalloprotease with thrombospondin type 1 repeats 13 (ADAMTS13). This protein functions to prevent thrombosis, the process of blood clotting. Consequently, TTP results in spontaneous thrombosis throughout the microvasculature. Thrombocytes and fibrin strands obstruct blood flow, depriving downstream tissues of oxygen and other nutrients, leading to tissue damage and death. The disorder is a form of thrombotic microangiopathy (TMA), which is the manifestation of both microangiopathic haemolytic anaemia (MAHA), the destruction of red blood cells; and thrombocytopenia, abnormally low levels of platelets. TTP is a medical emergency and >90% of patients die without treatment within 30 days of diagnosis [2]. This section describes the presentation, management, and outcomes of TTP.

1.1.1 Epidemiology

TTP typically affects 5–10 people per million per year, however there is varying geographical incidence of 1–13 cases per million per year [3]. Women are affected approximately twice as
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often as men [4]. Figure 1.1 shows the types of TTP, of which there are two: congenital TTP (also known as inherited TTP or Upshaw-Schulman syndrome) and immune-mediated TTP (also known as acquired TTP); which is secondary or idiopathic. Congenital TTP accounts for <5% of TTP cases [5] and it follows autosomal recessive inheritance for variant forms of the ADAMTS13-encoding gene. Multiple mutations and polymorphisms are responsible for impaired activity and decreased secretion of ADAMTS13 [6]. In a 2012 study by Moatti-Cohen et al. [7], 10 different mutations were seen in 10 patients. Congenital TTP onset varies from infancy to the sixth decade of life [8]. Immune-mediated TTP accounts for >95% of TTP cases [5] and occurs by autoantibody inhibition of ADAMTS13. These individuals have a normal ADAMTS13-encoding gene and the median age of diagnosis is 42 years [9]. Within cases of immune-mediated TTP, 30% are secondary and 70% are idiopathic [10]. Secondary TTP may be attributed to bone marrow transplantation [3], cancer [3], the use of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs [11], pregnancy [7], human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) [4], or autoimmune diseases such as systemic lupus erythematosus [12]. Idiopathic TTP occurs independently from any explainable causes.

Figure 1.1: Types of TTP

1.1.2 Other Causes of Thrombotic Microangiopathy

The other quintessential presentation of TMA is haemolytic uremic syndrome (HUS). This disease primarily affects children and is often caused by gastrointestinal infection from the toxin-producing bacteria Escherichia coli O157:H7, [13, 14]. Another rare type of TMA is called
atypical HUS (aHUS), which occurs due to genetic mutation affecting the complement pathway [15]. Occasionally it is caused by an inhibitor to one of the complement regulators [16]. Unlike TTP, HUS and aHUS are presented with normal to moderately reduced ADAMTS13 activity [17]. Despite similar presentations of TMA, appropriate diagnosis is paramount because treatments differ. Patients with aHUS are included in this study.

1.1.3 Pathophysiology

To illustrate the unique and constitutive role of the immune system, Varela [18] said “the immune system is the body’s brain”. It provides protection against pathogens such as bacteria, parasites, and viruses. There are cells specific to rid these pathogens, which act in different stages depending on the severity of the threat. Autoimmune conditions occur when the body targets something from itself for destruction as if it is a pathogen. Almost all cases of TTP are immune-mediated and these cases are incurred by autoantibodies against ADAMTS13 [10, 19]. Immune-mediated TTP occurs from autoantibody inhibition of ADAMTS13 and congenital TTP occurs from mutated ADAMTS13 proteins. However, both types involve a mechanism with insufficient activity in ADAMTS13. The protein belongs to the ADAMTS protease family of enzymes which have key roles in inflammation, angiogenesis, and coagulation [20].

ADAMTS13, discovered in the late nineties [21, 22], is a constantly released protein necessary for maintaining hemostasis because it limits platelet aggregation and microthrombi formation. The protein-encoding exons are located on the long arm of chromosome 9 [23] and the resulting protein contains 1427 amino acids [8]. Synthesis occurs primarily in the liver [24] and there is evidence that it also takes place in endothelial cells [25]. Insufficient ADAMTS13 activity, due to mutated ADAMTS13 (congenital TTP) or autoantibody inhibition of ADAMTS13 (immune-mediated TTP), induces spontaneous clots throughout the microvasculature. These thrombocytes consume platelets and reduce platelet circulation in the blood, giving rise to thrombocytopenia. During an episode, the platelet count may be reduced by 70–90% [9]. The spreading of thrombi can affect the brain, kidneys, heart, and other major organs.
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Figure 1.2\(^1\) outlines a general mechanism of thrombosis in TTP [26]. There are approximately seven hundred proteins involved in hemostasis [27] and this depiction focuses on the key elements involved in TTP. One of the largest proteins in hemostasis is von Willebrand factor (vWF) which is released from endothelial cells to play a vital role in coagulation. Monomers of vWF spontaneously aggregate to form large multimers of up to 80+ monomers, known as ultra-large vWF, which trap platelets. The smaller multimers are unable to trap platelets. A protein on platelets called glycoprotein 1b is the binding site between the platelet and the vWF multimer. ADAMTS13 functions to cleave long chains of vWF between tyrosine 1605 and methionine 1606, in the A2 domain, to generate smaller multimers [26]. Without ADAMTS13, the peptide bond between these amino acids is inaccessible due to coverage within the core beta sheet. Insufficient ADAMTS13 activity permits long chains of vWF to grow and capture platelets. Blood clotting is an integral process for hemostasis in the presence of a vascular injury where ADAMTS13 is temporarily inhibited, specifically at the site of injury. In TTP, it is as if ADAMTS13 is perpetually inhibited and spontaneous, widespread clotting occurs.

Figure 1.2: General mechanism of TTP. Microvascular thrombosis (left) occurs in congenital and immune-mediated TTP because large multimers of von Willebrand factor grow without being cleaved by ADAMTS13 [26]. Under normal hemostasis (right), ADAMTS13 cleaves von Willebrand factor and blood flow continues unimpeded [26].

---

\(^{1}\)This original figure was produced using icons from BioRender.com.
1.1.4 Symptoms

TTP is presented in a multitude of ways and symptoms are broad due to the underlying pathophysiology influencing the circulatory, cardiovascular, and nervous systems. Impacts on the gastrointestinal and musculoskeletal systems occur but they are less common. Symptoms are initially non-specific, including fever or flu. However, they eventually become bleeding-related, such as thrombocytopenia and purple rashes known as purpura, leading to renal failure [28], stroke [29], and cardiac involvement such as heart attack [30, 31]. Non-specific symptoms include pallor, jaundice, and fatigue. Symptoms are similar in patients of all ages [32].

The Classic Pentad

TTP has been identified by five predominant symptoms, known as the classic pentad: fever, MAHA, renal failure, thrombocytopenia, and neurological findings. However, not all are required for the diagnosis and it is rare for patients to have all five symptoms [22]. Accordingly, this classification may provide guidance towards a diagnosis, but is not conclusive and may be misleading. Low ADAMTS13 with appropriate clinical presentation will help with clinical diagnosis. It is more important to identify how each patient is uniquely presenting TTP, addressing individual symptoms as they pertain to the kidneys, heart, or brain.

Neurological Impairment

Spontaneous, widespread thrombosis affects blood flow to the brain; resulting in headaches, confusion, and disturbed vision [33]. Additionally, brain scans may indicate swelling [28] and seizures [34] may occur. The increased risk of stroke in patients with TTP is associated with lower ADAMTS13 activity [29] and across all brain-related symptoms, memory is perhaps most affected [35]. Depression and cognitive deficits are present in two thirds of patients [36]. The timing for the onset of neurological impairment varies. These manifestations continue long term and they are poorly understood.
1.1.5 Diagnosis

TTP is a clinical diagnosis. Bruising and neurological symptoms may be the initial signs, though due to the rarity of the disorder, and despite potential evidence from available blood tests, the diagnosis of TTP may initially be overlooked. TTP masquerades as stroke [37, 38] and may be misdiagnosed as another typical presentation of TMA, aHUS. The hallmark identifier of TTP is insufficient ADAMTS13 activity. Consequently, blood tests and measurements of ADAMTS13 activity are required to confirm the diagnosis.

Blood Tests

There are a variety of blood biomarkers that may be indicative of TTP. The presence of fragmented red blood cells, known as schistocytes, in a blood film indicates MAHA. Red blood cells are sheared by the web of long monomers of vWF with platelets, depicted in microvascular thrombosis in Figure 1.2. Creatinine and urea in the blood are indicators of renal function and they are elevated. Lactate dehydrogenase (LDH), an enzyme which turns glucose into energy, is elevated. This indicates tissue breakdown. The concentration of haemoglobin the blood, normally 125–170 g/L for males and 115–155 g/dL for females [41, 39], is decreased [40]. Platelet count is normally 150–450×10⁹ platelets/L [42] and thrombocytopenia is defined by a count <150×10⁹ platelets/L. Page et al. [40] reported median platelet counts during an episode of 9×10⁹ platelets/L in patients with no inhibitor (range 4–101), and 11×10⁹ platelets/L in patients with a strong inhibitor (range 5–63).

Although these results in blood tests are indicative of TTP, they are not conclusive to establish the diagnosis. TTP is the presentation of MAHA and thrombocytopenia, excluding other diagnoses, with low levels of the vWF-cleaving protease, ADAMTS13. Consequently, the hallmark identifier of ADAMTS13 must be evaluated, by measuring ADAMTS13 activity and measuring the inhibitor, to conclude the diagnosis of TTP.
1.1. MEASURING ADAMTS13

TTP is diagnosed for activity of ADAMTS13 <10% of normal [43]. The assessment is dependent on the assay, where ADAMTS13 activity ranges from 50–160% within healthy individuals [44]. After low ADAMTS13 activity is detected, the presence of anti-ADAMTS13 autoantibodies may be established. The presence of this inhibitor distinguishes immune-mediated TTP from congenital TTP and states of endothelial cell dysfunction [8].

ADAMTS13 activity may be measured directly or indirectly. Direct activity is measured by detecting vWF cleavage products. The approaches include gel electrophoresis followed by a Western blot [44] and fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET) involving a chemically modified A2 domain of vWF [45]. Indirect activity is measured by detecting the A2 domain of vWF cleave products. The approaches include collagen binding assays and functional enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays (ELISA) [46].

Anti-ADAMTS13 autoantibodies act in two processes. Neutralizing autoantibodies inhibit ADAMTS13 activity by binding to the functional regions and non-neutralizing autoantibodies accelerate the clearance of ADAMTS13. The measurement involves clearing ADAMTS13 and then detecting it with Western blot [47] or ELISA [48]. The autoantibody may be low or undetectable in acute phase [48].

Denaturing reagents used in tests may affect the activity measurements. Furthermore, these tests are performed under static conditions and are not necessarily representative of in vivo activity. It is important to measure ADAMTS13 and anti-ADAMTS13 autoantibodies prior to intervention because treatments aim to restore hemostasis by introducing and maintaining healthy levels of ADAMTS13.

1.1.6 MANAGEMENT

TTP is a medical emergency and >90% of patients die without treatment within 30 days of diagnosis [2]. It is often presented acutely and it must be treated quickly because symptoms worsen without appropriate intervention. The standard treatment for both types of TTP be-
gins with plasma exchange therapy (PLEX) [49]. Novel approaches including the emerging treatment of recombinant ADAMTS13 [50] show promising results for maintaining healthy ADAMTS13 levels in congenital and acquired TTP. The timing of treatment varies between patients and facilities. Similarly, the timing of follow-up appointments vary. If patients feel unwell between scheduled follow-ups, they are directed to get a blood test. Low ADAMTS13 levels are predictive of relapse.

TTP and aHUS involve thrombocytopenia; renal failure; and neurological complications including confusion, seizures, or stroke [51]. However, they differ in mechanism, as outlined in subsection 1.1.2 and subsection 1.1.3, and these life-threatening conditions require different interventions. The common treatment for aHUS is a drug called eculizumab [51]. Relapses in aHUS are common and careful monitoring is required.

**Congenital TTP**

Autosomal recessive inheritance for mutated ADAMTS13 protein-encoding genes results in ADAMTS13 proteins unable to cleave vWF multimers. Intervention aims to introduce functioning ADAMTS13 from donor plasma to restore hemostasis through PLEX with plasma-derived factor VIII containing normal ADAMTS13 [52]. Initial acute TTP episodes in congenital TTP patients are treated with plasma exchange where there is the added benefit of the removal of ultra-large vWF multimers. However, these patients are prone to relapse and require lifelong plasma infusion as maintenance therapy.

**Immune-Mediated TTP**

Providing the blood with functioning ADAMTS13 may not work in immune-mediated TTP as the only treatment. PLEX restores hemostasis however the patients continue to produce ADAMTS13 autoantibodies. The added benefit of PLEX over plasma infusion is the removal of ultra-large vWF multimers and autoantibodies present in the blood. If the patient does not respond to PLEX or various drug interventions, the spleen may be surgically removed as a
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rescue therapy. However, this is a seldom used therapy due to management improvement in the recent era. With the availability of rituximab, the relapsing rate has significantly reduced [53]. Strokes from TTP can be successfully treated with thrombolytic therapy, however it is not a standard approach [54]. Treatment for immune-mediated TTP typically includes rituximab, steroids, and PLEX.

Drugs suppress the immune system to slow ADAMTS13 autoantibodies. Glucocorticoids, a class of corticosteroids, such as prednisolone [55] are used to reduce inflammatory activity. Chemotherapy drugs such as cyclophosphamide [56], vincristine [57], and bortezomib [58] may also be used. Immunosuppressants, such as rituximab [53] and cyclosporine A [59], are preferred over chemotherapy drugs. Rixuximab is an anti-CD20 monoclonal antibody that depletes B-lineage cells to prevent autoantibody activity and cyclosporine A is a calcineurin inhibitor. Though cyclosporine A is an option, it is not used as much because its relapse rates are higher than rituximab [60]. Caplacizumab, an antibody to the A1 domain of vWF, has been demonstrated to increase the platelet recovery much sooner and reduce the PLEX sessions during acute episodes [61, 62].

1.1.7 Outcomes

Persistent low ADAMTS13 and/or detectable ADAMTS13 autoantibodies are associated with higher rate of relapse. Relapses typically occur within 1–2 years after the initial episode, though up to 30 years have been reported, and they occur in 30–50% of patients [63]. Careful monitoring is required. Remission is typically defined by normalization of platelets, normalization of LDH, and lack of clinical signs of microvascular injury for 30 days. The use of glucocorticoids and rituximab has been the potential reason for a decrease in plasma exchange therapy (PLEX) related major complications [64]. However, up to 20% of patients die despite the best of treatment [10]. Quality of life is affected following TTP as patients get tired more easily and deal with persistent neurological complications that may be related to cognitive decline and changes in the blood brain barrier.
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Cognitive Decline

Neurological complications are common throughout all cases of TTP, acute and chronic. These include depression, confusion, headaches, and an increased risk of stroke and seizure. However, there is a dearth of research that focuses on brain imaging of such complications. A magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) study from 1999 [33] reveals similar pathology to stroke. This agrees with findings in case studies from 2006 [37] and 2019 [38]. However, despite decades of improvement in management, TTP still masquerades as stroke, which can lead to patient death. Preliminary work at our site investigated the central nervous system of patients with TTP using computed tomography perfusion (CTP) imaging.

Blood Brain Barrier Permeability

A preliminary investigation using CTP evaluated cerebral blood flow (CBF) and blood brain barrier permeability (BBB-P) using a 256-slice dynamic CT acquisition (GE Discovery RCT, Chicago, USA) and an iodinated contrast agent [65]. The brain-time density curves were analyzed with the Johnson-Wilson-Lee model to measure CBF and BBB-P [66]. Across 8 patients in hematological remission, CBF was measured with mean 50.19 ± 3.53 mL/100g/min. This is comparable to CBF in healthy brains [67]. Interestingly, permeability surface area-product, a measure of BBB-P, across these 8 patients was measured with mean 0.63 ± 0.25 mL/100g/min. A healthy brain does not permit the permeability of the contrast agent so a value of 0 mL/100g/min is expected [68]. These findings suggest a compromised blood brain barrier in TTP despite normal blood flow throughout the brain. The primary consequence of a compromised BBB is neuroinflammation [69]. Research and clinical findings suggest that vascular injury leads to stress on the circulatory system which compromises the BBB, leading to neuroinflammation [70, 71]. In turn, neuroinflammation can lead to widespread changes in the brain, including altered white matter integrity. This thesis focuses on the neurological outcomes of this progression including the changes in tissue and cognition.
1.1.8 Study Motivation

Management involving plasma exchange therapy and certain drugs improve the devastating outcomes of TTP. However, neurological changes persist despite these treatments and there is little research that documents how TTP affects the brain. Preliminary work using CTP, demonstrating a compromised blood brain barrier despite healthy cerebral blood flow, motivated a comprehensive observational study using MRI in addition to the assessment of depression and cognition. Importantly, MRI allows us to acquire a variety of image contrasts and quantitative measures that relate to white matter integrity. These approaches aim to elucidate how TTP affects the brain in prolonged remission. The following section introduces MRI and how it applies to this research project.

1.2 Magnetic Resonance Imaging

MRI was first documented in 1973 by Lauterbur [72] and the first image in a human was reported in 1977 by Hinshaw et al. [73]. MRI has since become an indispensable and ubiquitous tool in modern science and medicine. It is a widely available modality that is non-invasive and does not require the use of contrast agents or ionizing radiation. MRI is a powerful tool for imaging the brain, with a wide variety of tissue-dependent image contrasts and excellent spatial resolution. In the scope of this research, MRI can provide valuable insight into the impact of TTP on the brain.

1.2.1 Principles of MRI

The magnetic field, $B_0$, runs through the bore of the scanner and parallel to the floor. A radiofrequency (RF) field, $B_1$, is applied perpendicular to the magnetic field and used to generate signal in MRI. To understand how images are produced; the concepts of spin, signal, and pulse sequence parameters must be discussed. We begin with some definitions and notation.
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Spin

Nuclei with spin-$\frac{1}{2}$ (or odd multiples of $\frac{1}{2}$) are susceptible to a magnetic field due to their inherent paramagnetism or diamagnetism. Hydrogen nuclei ($^1$H) are diamagnetic, spin-$\frac{1}{2}$ atoms with one proton and one electron, which exist as 99.99% of hydrogen isotopes. They are traditionally chosen as the nuclei of excitation in MRI because of their abundance in the human body. Hydrogen is predominantly found in water and fat where the body is about 60% water and 25% fat. Hydrogen nuclei are like little bar magnets in that they possess a magnetic moment ($\mu$) (Equation 1.1) and the nuclei rotate about the axis of the dipole with quantized angular momentum (Figure 1.3a). The magnetic moment is determined by the gyromagnetic ratio ($\gamma$) and Planck’s constant ($\hbar$). The gyromagnetic ratio of the nuclei and external magnetic field strength ($B_0$), measured in Tesla (T), determine the angular precessional frequency ($\omega$), known as the Larmor frequency, of the nuclei (Equation 1.2).

\[
\mu = \gamma \times \frac{\hbar}{2}
\]  

(1.1)

\[
\omega = \gamma \times B_0
\]  

(1.2)

(a) No external field  
(b) External field

Figure 1.3: Single proton with and without an external magnetic field
The gyromagnetic ratio is unique to each species of nuclei and it is 42.58 MHz/T for hydrogen. Thus, the Larmor frequency of hydrogen at 3T is 127.74 MHz. This is the frequency in which hydrogen nuclei precess about \( B_0 \) (Figure 1.3b).

In the absence of an external magnetic field, the dipoles point in random directions. The net magnetization (\( M_0 \)), the vector sum of the magnetization of each nuclei, is zero. The magnet of the MRI scanner introduces an external magnetic field. When hydrogen nuclei are in the magnetic field, the net magnetization is in the direction of the MRI magnetic field \( B_0 \). Nuclei align parallel or antiparallel to \( B_0 \) at nearly equal proportions, although the much larger thermal energy of molecules ensures that most spins are randomly oriented. The slight preference for parallel alignment, on the order of 10 parts per million at 3T, results in a small \( M_0 \) in the direction of \( B_0 \). The magnetization vector precesses about the \( B_0 \) axis at the Larmor frequency.

### Signal

An RF excitation pulse applied at the Larmor frequency tips the magnetization vector into the transverse plane, which is perpendicular to \( B_0 \). When the RF pulse is turned off, there is a return to the equilibrium of the magnetization vector to the direction of \( B_0 \). A time changing magnetic field induces a time changing electric field which generates a current, detected as the signal in the receive coils. Magnetic field gradient pulses, applied during the application and detection of RF pulses, encodes the signal with phase and frequency information that depends on the spatial location of nuclei. After acquisition, the fast Fourier transform (FFT) produces an image in Cartesian space from an image in \( k \)-space which is described by the frequency-encoding and phase-encoding domains.

### Pulse Sequence Parameters

In MRI, the combination and repetition of RF and gradient pulses to create an image is known as a pulse sequence. Unlike other widely used medical imaging techniques, the signal in MRI is arbitrary and depends on the pulse sequence parameters. Contrast in MRI is controlled by
modifying pulse sequence parameters used to acquire an image. Repetition time (TR) is the
time between the first RF excitation pulses of a repeated pulse sequence, as multiple excitations
are typically required to spatially encode the signals used to form an image. The echo time (TE)
is the time between the first RF excitation pulse and the signal acquisition by the receive coil.
Flip angle (FA) is the angle from the z-axis that an RF pulse flips the magnetization vector.
With FA = 90°, the magnetization flips directly into the transverse plane. Three principle
characteristics of the magnetization vector are longitudinal relaxation time ($T_1$), transverse
relaxation time ($T_2$), and proton density (PD). In a spin echo image, TR and TE give a particular
weighting to the resulting images (Table 1.1). For example, an image with contrast that is
sensitive to underlying $T_1$ relaxation time is called a $T_1$-weighted image. Similarly, contrast in
$T_2$-weighted images is sensitive to underlying $T_2$ relaxation times and PD-weighted images to
proton densities. Despite their names, it is important to recognize that signal in $T_1$-, $T_2$-, and
PD-weighted images is always a mixture of the underlying tissue-dependent magnetization
vector characteristics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Short TR</th>
<th>Long TR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Short TE</td>
<td>$T_1$-weighted</td>
<td>PD-weighted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long TE</td>
<td>Poor contrast</td>
<td>$T_2$-weighted</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1.1: Influence of acquisition parameters on the weighting of spin echo MRI. Long TR or
TE means $3–5 \times T_1$ or $T_2$ and short TR or TE means much less than the $T_1$ or $T_2$.

1.2.2 Relaxometry

In MRI, contrast from $T_1$- and $T_2$-weighted images is used to visualize differences between
tissue and to assess anatomy and pathology. However, $T_1$- and $T_2$-weighted images are funda-
mentally qualitative and depend on the chosen pulse sequence parameters. A more quantitative
measure of tissue characteristics would be measurements of $T_1$ and $T_2$ relaxation times. If the
signal of a pulse sequence can be described as a function of TE, TR, and/or FA, then the un-
derlying relaxation times can often be determined by varying the acquisition parameters and
fitting to the signal equation. In this way, rather than $T_1$- and $T_2$-weighted images, $T_1$ and $T_2$
relaxation time maps can be generated. $T_1$ and $T_2$ are influenced by water content, magnetization exchange, and paramagnetic content such as iron [74]. Additionally, $T_1$ is sensitive to lipid, protein and macromolecule content as well as temperature and $T_2$ is sensitive to pH and tissue microstructure [74]. From the work of Stanisz, 2005 [74], Table 1.2 outlines $T_1$ and $T_2$ at 3T for white matter and grey matter, however, values may vary depending on the technique and scanner manufacturer [75]. The field strength will also influence these time constants.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tissue</th>
<th>$T_1$</th>
<th>$T_2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>White Matter</td>
<td>1084</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grey Matter</td>
<td>1920</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1.2: Longitudinal and transverse relaxation time constants by tissue (ms) at 3T

### Longitudinal Relaxation

Longitudinal relaxation is also known as spin-lattice relaxation. $T_1$ is the time it takes for the magnetization along the z-axis ($M_z$) to recover to approximately 63% ($1 - 1/e$) of $M_0$ after a 90° RF pulse. The general signal equation for $M_z$ as a function of $M_0$, time, and $T_1$ is described by Equation 1.3. After the RF pulse, $M_z$ returns to $M_0$ as the nuclei realign with $B_0$.

$$M_z = M_0(1 - e^{-t/T_1})$$  \hspace{1cm} (1.3)

### Transverse Relaxation

Transverse relaxation is also known as spin-spin relaxation due to the interaction between nuclei. $T_2$ is the time it takes for the magnetization in the xy-plane ($M_{xy}$) to decay to approximately 37% ($1/e$) of $M_0$ after a 90° RF pulse. The general signal equation for $M_{xy}$ as a function of $M_0$, time, and $T_2$ is described by Equation 1.4. $M_{xy}$ goes to zero as magnetization disperses in the transverse plane.

$$M_{xy} = M_0e^{-t/T_2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1.4)
1.2.3 Myelin Imaging with MRI

Unlike most biological membranes, which have a high ratio of proteins to lipids, the dry mass of myelin is 70–85% lipid and just 15–30% protein [76]. Myelin is the characteristic feature of white matter and is integral for brain function. It is the fatty tissue that wraps around axons of neurons throughout the nervous system to provide insulation of action potentials and help propagate signals. In the central nervous system, myelination is performed by oligodendrocytes; and in the peripheral nervous system, it is performed by Schwann cells. There is little myelination in grey matter. Brain function is affected by changes in myelin composition, which makes myelin an important biomarker. Along with $T_1$ and $T_2$, measurements of myelin content may be useful for diagnosis and management of white matter disease.

Myelin-Associated Diseases

The total volume of brain white matter increases until the middle of the fifth decade of life [77] and accelerated rates of atrophy occur beginning in the eighth decade of life [78]. Otherwise, changes in myelin are gradual. Accordingly, beyond the natural cycles of myelination and demyelination, persistent changes through one of the following three processes are indicative of disease.

Demyelination is the most common of the three processes. It is the loss of myelin and it is seen in dementia, mild cognitive impairment, multiple sclerosis (MS), and stroke. The breakdown of myelin varies with density of the beta amyloid peptide in dementia and mild cognitive impairment [79]. MS involves demyelination among the pathological processes of chronic inflammation, edema, gliosis, oligodendrocyte loss, and axonal loss. Hypermyelination is the gain of myelin and this may include mis-myelinating diseases such as traumatic brain injury (TBI) and concussion [80]. Dysmyelination is the rarest of the three processes and these conditions are called leukodystrophies, such as metachromatic leukodystrophy [81], which involves a genetic predisposition.

It is not known how myelin changes in the brains of patients with TTP or if myelination
follows one of these three processes. Given the evidence of cognitive decline and compromised BBB, it is reasonable to predict that increased neuroinflammation may lead to demyelination and white matter disease in patients with TTP. Myelin water imaging (MWI) using MRI provides the opportunity to address these questions. The following subsection describes the theory of MWI before applying ideas from MRI throughout this section to the research project.

Myelin Water Imaging

Conventional MRI is not specific to myelin. The primary measurement in myelin water imaging is the myelin water fraction (MWF), which is the ratio of signal of protons in myelin water divided by sum of total signal from all protons in water throughout the voxel. In the brain, water is found in four primary compartments: cerebrospinal fluid (CSF), intracellular water, extracellular water, and the lipid bilayer. Even within a 1 mm isotropic voxel there may be different water compartments contributing to different behaviour of proton relaxation and the MWF teases out the contribution of myelin within the voxel. The compartments are illustrated in a hypothetical sub-voxel cross section in Figure 1.4 based on transmission electron microscopy from MacKay and Laule, 2016 [82]. Layers of myelin wrap around a single neuron, contributing nearly half of the radius of the full white matter neuronal cell.

MWI is an extension of relaxometry in that it utilizes the information from $T_1$ and $T_2$ individually or together to quantify myelin. There are four predominant approaches for MWI. The gold standard is multi-echo $T_2$ relaxation [83]. This relies on a multiple spin echo sequence consisting of a 90-degree RF pulse followed by a series of 180-degree RF pulses, with signal measured at the interleaved echo times (typically measured over 32 echoes). The resulting $T_2$ decay curve is the sum of exponential decays of different compartments. The $T_2$ of myelin water is assumed to be 10–40 ms and for intracellular and extracellular water it is assumed to be 40–200 ms. The $T_2$ components of myelin water and intracellular/extracellular water are fit to a multi-compartment version of the signal equation describing transverse relaxation in the previous subsection. Although accurate, scan times are typically long. The second approach
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Figure 1.4: Four compartments of water in a hypothetical sub-voxel cross section

uses a gradient echo decay curve measurement of the $T_2^*$ decay curve. It is a similar approach to the first, however the $T_2^*$ decay curves are steeper [84]. The third approach focuses on $T_1$ acquisitions between components of $T_1$ of myelin assumed to be 150–200 ms and of intracellular/extracellular water assumed to be 1–1.5 s [85]. The final approach is the use of fast gradient echo incorporating information from both $T_1$ and $T_2$. This includes gradient and spin echo (GRASE), short transverse relaxation time component (ViSTa) [86, 87], and multi-component driven equilibrium single pulse observation of $T_1$ and $T_2$ (mcDESPOT) [88]. mcDESPOT has been shown to be a direct and specific method for measuring myelin [79], and was chosen to measure the $T_1$, $T_2$, and MWF in patients with TTP. Confounding factors in MWI include myelin debris and magnetization transfer. The magnetization in the tissue from spatially encoded RF excitation pulses does not necessarily remain in the targeted voxel, altering signal
detection in other voxels; and myelin debris in the extracellular space falsely increases the MWF estimate. Despite these potential confounds, MWF agrees with histologic myelin verified by Luxol fast blue (LBF) [89], making MWI a promising tool to better understand myelin integrity in patients with TTP.

### 1.3 Objectives

The central aim of this thesis is to report on how neurological changes persist despite treatment and prolonged remission in thrombotic microangiopathy. Patients with either TTP or aHUS are studied in this research project due to the rarity of each condition and to the similarities in the poorly understood neurological changes both conditions present. MRI is a non-invasive imaging modality with a wide variety of sequences for varying contrasts and measurements. Assessments of depression and cognition can be evaluated on the same day as the MRI scan.

The central aim is evaluated using the following three objectives:

1. Assess depression; cognition; and both qualitative and quantitative MRI findings
2. Compare the differences in these assessments between TTP and aHUS
3. Correlate the findings within patients between all measurements

### 1.4 Thesis Overview

Chapter 1 has introduced TTP and motivated a pilot study to observe the impact of TTP on the brain. Chapter 2 outlines the methodology: namely the assessments for depression and cognition; and the approaches in MRI, both qualitative and quantitative. Due to the rarity and similarities of the presentations of thrombotic microangiopathy, both patients with TTP and patients with aHUS are included in this study. Chapter 3 presents the respective results for each
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methodology with separate sections addressing depression scores; cognitive scores; findings in qualitative MRI across five sequences; and measurements in quantitative MRI in longitudinal relaxation time ($T_1$), transverse relaxation time ($T_2$), and myelin water fraction. Additionally, there is a section focusing on the agreement between the results from each approach. Chapter 4 discusses the key findings of this thesis and describes the next steps for this research.
Chapter 2

Methods

2.1 Study Design

This is a multi-centre cohort observational study at London Health Sciences Centre (LHSC) including patients and healthy controls from: LHSC, London; University Health Network, Toronto; and St. Michael’s Hospital, Toronto. The study was approved by the Western University Research Ethics Board (project ID: 108273). The work presented in this thesis focuses on findings in a small group of patients; predominantly from Southwestern Ontario; in relation to assessments of depression, cognitive testing, and a comprehensive MRI protocol.

Participants

A total of 13 patients (mean age 45.5 years; 5 male, 8 female) were recruited following their visit to the clinic: 9 have been diagnosed with thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura (TTP) and 4 have been diagnosed with atypical haemolytic uremic syndrome (aHUS) (Table 2.1). Though treatment and pathology differs between these two microvascular conditions, neurocognitive outcomes are similar and are poorly understood. Patients with TTP or aHUS are typically seen by the same teams of healthcare professionals, which made it possible to recruit patients with either diagnosis.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>TTP</td>
<td>3 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>TTP</td>
<td>3 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>aHUS</td>
<td>10 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>TTP</td>
<td>3 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>TTP</td>
<td>15 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>TTP</td>
<td>9 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>TTP</td>
<td>6 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>TTP</td>
<td>10 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>aHUS</td>
<td>2 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>aHUS</td>
<td>2 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>TTP</td>
<td>17 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>TTP</td>
<td>4 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>aHUS</td>
<td>2 months</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2.1: Age (years), sex, diagnosis, and time by patient. Time (months or years) indicates the duration between the date of diagnosis and the date of the first MRI scan.

Participants were included based on the following criteria: adults over 18 years of age, diagnosis of idiopathic TTP or aHUS, plasma exchange treatment with or without other therapies, currently in remission, and meet all diagnostic criteria for idiopathic TTP or aHUS. Remission is defined by normalization of platelets and LDH with no clinical signs or symptoms of microvascular injury for more than 30 days. The diagnostic criteria for immune-mediated TTP is: thrombocytopenia, under 150\(\times\)10⁹ platelets/L; MAHA, evidenced by schistocytes on blood film; elevated LDH, 1.25 \(\times\) upper limit of normal (approximately 190 units/L); and no other explainable causes. Participants were excluded based on the following criteria: diagnosis of HUS or disseminated intravascular coagulation; an abnormal internal normalized ratio at the time of presentation; malignant hypertension at the time of presentation; on following drugs within 90 days of presentation: ticlopidine, clopidogrel, mitomycin C, gemcitabine, cyclosporine A, or quinine; history of hematopoietic stem cell transplantation; history and/or diagnosis of vasculitis, systemic lupus erythematosus, scleroderma, rheumatoid arthritis, antiphospholipid antibody syndrome, or HIV/AIDS; history of solid-organ malignancy within 5 years of presentation such as lung, breast, gastric, colon, pancreatic, prostate, or liver; or pregnant.
2.1. STUDY DESIGN

Image analysis development was completed in three healthy volunteers (mean age 23 years, 3 male) between December 2018 and August 2019. The methodology was applied to the patient data while the results from the volunteers were excluded from the analysis. Patients were scanned between September 2019 and February 2020. The COVID-19 pandemic delayed the recruitment of controls and additional patients. Consequently, this thesis focuses on neurocognitive outcomes in patients with TTP or aHUS at the first timepoint in the longitudinal study.

Protocol

Figure 2.1\(^1\) depicts the timeline of the study. At each timepoint patients complete: a clinical interview to evaluate depression, cognitive testing, and a comprehensive MRI scan. Detailed methodology for each of these aspects is described in the following sections. Statistical tests were evaluated using GraphPad Prism 8.4.3 (La Jolla, California, USA) considering a type I error rate of \(\alpha = 0.05\). Computed tomography perfusion and echocardiography were also acquired on the same day as the MRI scan, but these results are not considered in this thesis.

\(^{1}\)This original figure was produced using icons from BioRender.com.
2.2 Depression Scoring

The standard rating scale for depression is the Hamilton Rating Scale, however the Montgomery-Åsberg Depression Rating Scale (MADRS) is more sensitive to changes from treatment [90]. A score of depression using the MADRS was assessed in a clinical interview within one week of the MRI scan. Ten assessments, each ranked on a scale from 0–6, comprise the MADRS, for a maximum potential score of 60. The ten assessments are: apparent sadness, reported sadness, inner tension, reduced sleep, reduced appetite, concentration difficulty, lassitude, inability to feel, pessimistic thoughts, and suicidal thoughts. This assessment incorporates answers from the perspectives of both the patient and the nurse. Typical thresholds for scores are: normal (0–6), mild depression (7–19), moderate depression (20–34), and severe depression (>34) [91].

Statistics

Welch’s two-tailed t-tests were conducted to evaluate differences in depression score between male and female, and between patients with TTP and aHUS. Throughout the data analysis in this thesis, Welch’s t-test was used where applicable over Student’s t-test due to its robustness where fewer assumptions are made about the data. Furthermore, if the data meet the criterion for Student’s t-test, Welch’s t-test provides the same test statistic and P value [92]. A one-way Brown-Forsythe ANOVA was conducted on the mean score, over the ten assessments, between patients. Dunnett’s T3 multiple comparisons test was conducted if P < 0.05. A one-way Brown-Forsythe ANOVA was conducted on mean score, over the 13 patients, between the ten assessments. Dunnett’s T3 multiple comparisons test was conducted if P < 0.05. A chi-square test was conducted to evaluate the differences between the number of non-zero scores by assessment. Multiple comparisons test by Fisher’s exact test was conducted using a Bonferroni correction if P < 0.05. Spearman’s rank correlation was evaluated between depression score and other quantitative metrics.
2.3 Cognitive Testing

Cambridge Brain Sciences (CBS) [93] is a battery of 12 online cognitive tests to assess cognition across three domains: reasoning, memory, and verbal [94]. Respectively, these domains assess the user’s cognitive abilities to problem solve, utilize short term memory, and understand language. The twelve tests are called: digit span, spatial span, grammatical reasoning, polygons, odd one out, rotations, spatial search, paired associates, feature match, double trouble, monkey ladder, and spatial planning. There are levels increasing in difficulty in each assessment: if the user is correct they move up a level, and if they are incorrect they move down a level. The user has three strikes before moving to next test. Users typically complete the testing in 40–60 minutes. Scores by test are compared to the database of >10,000 participants [93] to generate a percentile based on an age- and sex-matched healthy control comparison.

Statistics

Welch’s two-tailed t-tests were conducted to evaluate differences in cumulative mean cognitive percentiles between male and female, and between patients with TTP and aHUS. A Student’s t-test was conducted between the mean cognitive percentile of patients with the age-matched healthy population from the CBS database (mean 50 ± 34.1%). One-way ANOVA tests were conducted to evaluate differences between cognitive percentiles between patients, tests, and categories of tests. Tukey’s multiple comparison test was conducted if P < 0.05. Spearman’s rank correlation was evaluated between cognitive percentile and other quantitative metrics.

2.4 MRI Overview

A 3T MRI (Siemens Biograph mMR, Munich, Germany) was used with a 32-channel head-only receive coil to scan patients at St. Joseph’s Hospital in London. The total acquisition time was 65 minutes and the breakdown of the imaging timeline is outlined in Figure 2.2. Including the setup time, where it is ensured that the patient feels comfortable, they are lying down in
the supine position for approximately 75 minutes. Details of the sequences are described in further detail in following sections. A continuous scan is paramount to reduce motion differences between sequences in the image analysis. Patients were given the opportunity to use a restroom prior to the scan and using the intercom, a technologist checked in with the patient every 5–10 minutes. All 13 patients completed the full MRI scan and all but one patient completed the scan without any pauses. Due to the lack of previous imaging in TTP and aHUS, and the similarities between these diseases and stroke; an MRI protocol to image stroke [95] was used as the foundation to select the sequences for the study. This protocol was enhanced to include three novel quantitative MRI techniques: myelin water imaging (MWI) using multicomponent driven equilibrium single pulse observation of $T_1$ and $T_2$ (mcDESPOT); diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) using two-dimensional echo planar multi-directional diffusion weighted imaging (EP2D MDDW); and arterial spin labeling (ASL) using two-dimensional echo planar pseudo-continuous ASL (EP2D PCASL). MWI is used to quantify white matter myelin content by measuring the MWF, DTI is used to quantify the integrity of white matter by measuring the directionality and magnitude of water diffusion in tissue microstructure, and ASL is used to quantify cerebral blood flow. The results presented here pertain to those from the five qualitative sequences and MWI. Results from DTI and ASL are not presented, however they are mentioned in the discussion. No contrast agents were used and the images do not differ between sleeping and awake states. All images are presented throughout this thesis following standard radiology convention. Representative images, in the same planes of imaging, from the same healthy volunteer are shown throughout this chapter.

Figure 2.2: MRI acquisition timeline
2.5 Qualitative MRI

A single neuroradiologist was blinded to whether the dataset under review was of a patient or volunteer. They only knew the age of the individual. The five qualitative sequences were viewed on RadiAnt Viewer (Poznań, Poland) 1–2 times for each patient in the following order of sequences: $T_1$-weighted, diffusion-weighted, angiography, susceptibility-weighted, and $T_2$-weighted. The respective names for these sequences on Siemens scanners are: MPRAGE, RESOLVE, TOF MRA, SWI, and T2FLAIR. Sequence-specific parameters and representative images are described in the following five subsections. Signal intensity is relative and window level and window width are adjusted accordingly throughout the methods and results.

2.5.1 $T_1$-Weighted Imaging

A magnetization-prepared rapid acquisition with gradient echo (MPRAGE) sequence was acquired in the sagittal plane with $TR = 2000\ ms$, $TE = 4.18\ ms$, $FA = 9^\circ$, $TI = 900\ ms$, bandwidth = 150 Hz/pixel, and 1 mm isotropic voxel resolution. The field of view (FOV) includes the whole brain with 176 slices over $176 \times 256\ mm \times 256\ mm$. MPRAGE is a $T_1$-weighted acquisition to assess general anatomy such as the detection of atrophy [96] and it is acquired in approximately 6 minutes. Representative images by plane are depicted in Figure 2.3.

![Figure 2.3: Representative $T_1$-weighted images of a healthy volunteer](image-url)
2.5.2 Diffusion-Weighted Imaging

A readout segmentation of long variable echo trains (RESOLVE) sequence was acquired in the axial plane with $\text{TR} = 5000 \text{ ms}$, $\text{TE} = 72$ and $122 \text{ ms}$, bandwidth $= 620 \text{ Hz/pixel}$, and $1.0 \text{ mm} \times 1.0 \text{ mm} \times 4.0 \text{ mm}$ voxel resolution. Apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) and trace image volumes are produced from 4 diffusion directions and 2 diffusion weightings at $b$-values of 0 and $1000 \text{ s/mm}^2$. ADC represents the mean diffusivity in $\text{mm}^2/\text{s}$ and trace represents the sum of the diffusion-weighted images. RESOLVE ADC maps and diffusion-weighted images are used to assess acute pathological changes [97] and it is acquired in approximately 3 minutes. In imaging stroke, diffusion-weighted imaging is important in identifying ischemic lesions, which show decreased ADC and elevated trace in acute ischemic tissue, and elevated ADC and decreased trace in chronic ischemic tissue [95]. Representative images for ADC and trace are depicted in Figure 2.4.

![Image](image.png)

(a) Apparent Diffusion Coefficient  
(b) Trace

Figure 2.4: Representative ADC map (a) and trace-weighted image (b) in the axial plane of a healthy volunteer

2.5.3 Magnetic Resonance Angiography

Time-of-flight magnetic resonance angiography (TOF MRA) was acquired in the axial plane with $\text{TR} = 22 \text{ ms}$, $\text{TE} = 3.6 \text{ ms}$, $\text{FA} = 18^\circ$, bandwidth $= 186 \text{ Hz/pixel}$, an acceleration factor
of 2, and 0.4 mm × 0.4 mm × 0.8 mm voxel resolution. The FOV includes the mid-neck to the Sylvian fissures with 40 slices. The TOF MRA acquisition is used to assess vasculature without the use of a contrast agent [98] and it is acquired in approximately 5 minutes. Representative images by plane are depicted in Figure 2.5.

Figure 2.5: Representative angiography images of a healthy volunteer. Blood accumulates phase as it flows perpendicular to the imaging plane into the brain, resulting in brightness in the vasculature. The maximum intensity projection (MIP) (d) illustrates the vasculature in 3D.

### 2.5.4 \( T_2 \)-Weighted Imaging

A \( T_2 \)-weighted fluid-attenuated inversion recovery (T2FLAIR) sequence was acquired in the sagittal plane with \( TR = 5000 \text{ ms} \), \( TE = 386 \text{ ms} \), \( FA = 120^\circ \), \( TI = 1800 \text{ ms} \), bandwidth = 751 Hz/pixel, an acceleration factor of 2, and 1 mm isotropic voxel resolution. The FOV includes the whole brain with 192 slices over 192 mm × 256 mm × 256 mm. The T2FLAIR acquisition reveals acute and chronic pathology such as in white matter hyperintensities [99], and it is acquired in approximately 3 minutes. The Fazekas system [100] was used for assessing periventricular hyperintensity and deep white matter hyperintensity. Representative images by plane are depicted in Figure 2.6.
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![Images of MRI slices](image)

Figure 2.6: Representative $T_2$-weighted images of a healthy volunteer

2.5.5 **Susceptibility-Weighted Imaging**

Susceptibility-weighted images (SWI) were acquired in the axial plane with TR = 27 ms, TE = 20 ms, FA = 15°, bandwidth = 120 Hz/pixel, an acceleration factor of 2, and 0.9 mm × 0.9 mm × 2.8 mm voxel resolution. The FOV includes the whole brain with 56 slices over 230 mm × 173 mm × 173 mm. SWI is a $T_2^*$-weighted acquisition used to visualize regions of abnormal susceptibility such as in microbleeds [101] and it is acquired in approximately 3 minutes. Representative images are depicted in Figure 2.7.

![Images of SWI slices](image)

Figure 2.7: Representative susceptibility-weighted images in the axial plane of a healthy volunteer. Minimum intensity projection (mIP) (b) is produced to visualize the trajectory of low intensities over several slices.
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2.6 Quantitative MRI

Myelin water imaging was conducted using multi-component driven equilibrium single pulse observation of $T_1$ and $T_2$ (mcDESPOT) [88]. This involves driven equilibrium single pulse observation of $T_1$ (DESPOT1) and driven equilibrium single pulse observation of $T_2$ (DESPOT2). Voxels in DESPOT space are approximately $1.6 \text{ mm} \times 2.2 \text{ mm} \times 2.2 \text{ mm}$ in a FOV of $190 \text{ mm} \times 190 \text{ mm} \times 215 \text{ mm}$ over 80 slices. The sequences are used to generate quantitative maps for $T_1$, $T_2$, and MWF; which are all in DESPOT space. A flowchart summarizing how these quantitative maps are produced is depicted in Figure 2.8 and representative maps of $T_1$, $T_2$, and MWF are respectively shown in Figure 2.12, Figure 2.14, and Figure 2.15.

Figure 2.8: Quantitative image processing. Each square represents one volume in DESPOT space. DESPOT images are shown in Figure 2.11 and Figure 2.13. Brain mask, $B_1$ map, and off-resonance map are shown in Figure 2.9, Figure 2.10, and Figure 2.13. Outputs of $T_1$ map, $T_2$ map, and MWF map are shown in Figure 2.12, Figure 2.14, and Figure 2.15.

Preprocessing steps include: converting the images from DICOM to NIfTI format; extracting the brain from surrounding tissue; masking the brain to isolate brain-only voxels; convert-
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ing the image volumes to the the same imaging space; and resampling the images to the same voxel resolution. DICOM volumes were converted to 4D NIfTI by dcm2nii on MRIcron [102] and volumes not in DESPOT space (such as the MPRAGE and $B_1$ map) were resampled using the BRAINS tool on 3D Slicer 4.10.2 [103]. Processing steps included the production of the three quantitative maps using Quantitative Imaging Tools (QUIT) [104] 2.0.1 for Linux. Post-processing steps focused on the statistics of the respective measurements by tissue and subregion. Masks of white matter, grey matter, and CSF were applied to the resulting quantitative maps to produce measurements by tissue. Regions of interest outlined by the neuroradiologist, from the qualitative MRI sequences, were identified within a predetermined list of subregions.

**Brain Mask**

Brain masks (Figure 2.9), to decrease image processing time, were obtained from the first volume of DESPOT1 (Figure 2.11) using the robust brain extraction tool (BET) [105] on FSL 5.0 [106]. The same brain mask was applied to each avenue of image processing. Additional masks for white matter, grey matter, and CSF were obtained from the $T_1$-weighted image (MPRAGE) using the FMRIB’s automated segmentation tool (FAST) on FSL [107]. The tissue-specific masks were applied to the resulting quantitative maps.

![Brain mask of a healthy volunteer](image)

Figure 2.9: Brain mask of a healthy volunteer
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**B\text{1}** Map

Although \(B_1^+\) corresponds to the transmit field coil and \(B_1^-\) corresponds to the receive field coil; for simplicity in documentation, \(B_1\) signifies the \(B_1^+\) transmit field map throughout this thesis. Due to inhomogeneities in the magnetic field, the flip angles resulting from the transmit coil are not homogeneous throughout the brain and a \(B_1\) map sequence is acquired to correct this inhomogeneity. Two volumes with different repetition times and a flip angle of 8 degrees are acquired in 30 seconds. The ratio of these two images indicates the fraction of one required to correct \(B_1\) inhomogeneities in other acquisitions, notably DESPOT1 and DESPOT2 [104]. A value lower than one indicates that the voxel is receiving a lower flip angle than intended while a value higher than one indicates the voxel is receiving a higher flip angle than intended. A representative \(B_1\) map is shown in Figure 2.10. The same \(B_1\) map was applied to each avenue of image processing.

![B1 map of a healthy volunteer](image)

(a) Sagittal (b) Axial (c) Coronal

Figure 2.10: \(B_1\) map of a healthy volunteer

2.6.1 \(T_1\) Mapping

Image processing for \(T_1\) mapping is outlined in blue in Figure 2.8. DESPOT1 uses a spoiled-gradient echo sequence (SPGR) acquired in the sagittal plane with TR = 6.9 ms, TE = 2.9 ms, and bandwidth = 350 Hz/pixel. Eight SPGR volumes (Figure 2.11) are acquired at varying flip angles: 2.67, 3.56, 4.44, 5.33, 6.22, 8, 11.55, and 16 degrees. The SPGR images acquired
for DESPOT1 are $T_1$-weighted and they are acquired in approximately 6 minutes. The eight SPGR volumes, brain mask, and $B_1$ produce a $T_1$ map (Figure 2.12) in under one minute using the non-linear least squares algorithm on QUIT [104]. $T_1$ is calculated for each voxel using the relative signal as a function of flip angle ($\alpha$) over the eight volumes [108]. Equation 2.3 and Equation 2.4 display these signal equations to obtain the $T_1$ within each voxel.

$$S_{SPGR} = \frac{M_0(1 - E_1) \sin(\alpha)}{1 - E_1 \cos(\alpha)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.1)$$

$$E_1 = \exp(-TR/T_1)$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.2)$$

Figure 2.11: Slices from the first SPGR volume, for $T_1$ mapping, of a healthy volunteer

Figure 2.12: $T_1$ map of a healthy volunteer
2.6.2 $T_2$ Mapping

Image processing for $T_2$ mapping is outlined in red in Figure 2.8. DESPOT2 uses a balanced steady-state free precession (bSSFP) sequence acquired in the sagittal plane with TR = 6.0 ms and TE = 2.9 ms. Eight bSSFP volumes are acquired at varying flip angles: 10, 13.33, 16.67, 20, 23.33, 30, 40.33, and 60 degrees. However, unlike SPGR images, a banding artifact occurs in bSSFP images, as seen in the first two rows of Figure 2.13. This is resolved by acquiring two sets of bSSFP volumes; one at a phase increment of 180 degrees and the other at a phase increment of 0 degrees. This variation between the two bSSFP acquisitions produces banding artifacts that are spatially offset. It is corrected analytically with at least two flip angles and at least two phase offsets [109] as the difference between the two phase offsets amounts to an off-resonance map. The full model for DESPOT2 (DESPOT2-FM) corrects the banding artifact using the off-resonance map between imaging volumes at varying phase increments [110]. The bSSFP images acquired for DESPOT2 are $T_1$- and $T_2$-weighted. The two sets are acquired in approximately 12 minutes. The sixteen bSSFP volumes, brain mask, $B_1$ map, and $T_1$ map produce a $T_2$ map (Figure 2.14) and an off-resonance map (Figure 2.13) in one to two minutes using QUIT [104]. $T_2$ is calculated for each voxel using the relative signal as a function of flip angle ($\alpha$) of the eight volumes [108] in the banding-corrected images. Equation 2.3 and Equation 2.4 display the signal equations to obtain the $T_2$ within each voxel.

\[
S_{bSSFP} = \frac{M_0(1 - E_1)\sin(\alpha)}{1 - E_1E_2 - (E_1 - E_2)\cos(\alpha)}
\]  
(2.3)

\[
E_2 = \exp(-TR/T_2)
\]  
(2.4)
Figure 2.13: Slices from bSSFP acquisitions, at each phase increment and resulting off-resonance map, of a healthy volunteer

Figure 2.14: $T_2$ map of a healthy volunteer
2.6.3 Myelin Water Fraction Mapping

Image processing for MWF mapping is outlined in purple in Figure 2.8. The technique of mcDESPOT was chosen because it is widely used in human studies, artifact resistant, available on the Siemens scanner, and clinically feasible with a total acquisition of 18 minutes (Figure 2.2). Myelin water imaging by mcDESPOT provides a sensitive measure of white matter integrity through combining data from the $T_1$- and $T_2$-weighted volumes acquired for DESPOT1 and DESPOT2 [88].

The estimation of MWF involves a complicated model with assumptions which may bias the MWF measurement [111]. This motivates consistency in methodology when drawing comparisons between studies that use mcDESPOT. The three compartment model was used, which consists of myelin water, intracellular and extracellular water, and CSF [112]. Exchange between compartments was also included in the model. Parameter estimates in each voxel were obtained using a stochastic region contraction approach with four iterations [113]. These steps follow the recommended guidelines in the literature [111] and were used for the MWF fitting throughout the analysis, giving internally consistent datasets.

The signal equations for mcDESPOT assume fast and slow relaxing compartments representing myelin and intracellular/extracellular water compartments, respectively. Equation 2.5, Equation 2.6, and Equation 2.7 represent the multi-compartment signal equation for the SPGR sequence (DESPOT1). Parameters include a factor proportional to the longitudinal magnetization ($\rho$), volume fractions of fast and slow relaxing compartments ($f_F$ and $f_S$), exchange rates between fast and slow relaxing compartments ($k_{FS}$ and $k_{SF}$), and $T_1$ relaxation times of the fast and slow relaxing compartments ($T_{1,F}$ and $T_{1,S}$).

\[ M_{SS}^{SPGR} = M_{SPGR}(1 - e^{A_{SPGR}TR}) \sin(\alpha) \times (1 - e^{A_{SPGR}TR} \cos(\alpha))^{-1} \]  \hspace{1cm} (2.5)

\[ M_{SPGR} = \rho \begin{bmatrix} f_F \\ f_S \end{bmatrix} \]  \hspace{1cm} (2.6)
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\[
A_{SPGR} = \begin{bmatrix}
-\frac{1}{T_{1,F} - k_{FS}} & k_{SF} \\
 k_{FS} & -\frac{1}{T_{1,S} - k_{SF}}
\end{bmatrix}
\]  

(2.7)

Equation 2.8, Equation 2.9, and Equation 2.10 represent the multi-compartment signal equation for the bSSFP sequence (DESPOT2). Additional parameters include a rotation matrix describing the RF pulse \((R(\alpha))\), a 6x6 identity matrix \((I)\), off-resonance effects of the fast and slow relaxing compartments \((\Delta \omega_F \text{ and } \Delta \omega_S)\), and \(T_2\) for the fast and slow relaxing compartments \((T_{2,F} \text{ and } T_{2,S})\). In this formulation, the MWF is equivalent to the volume fraction of the fast relaxing compartment.

\[
M_{bSSFP}^{SS} = (e^{A_{bSSFP}TR} - I)A_{bSSFP}^{-1}C \times [I - e^{A_{bSSFP}TR}R(\alpha)]^{-1}
\]  

(2.8)

\[
A_{bSSFP} = \begin{bmatrix}
-\frac{1}{T_{2,F}} & k_{FS} & k_{SF} & \Delta \omega_F & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 k_{FS} & -\frac{1}{T_{2,S}} & -k_{FS} & 0 & \Delta \omega_S & 0 & 0 \\
-\Delta \omega_F & 0 & -\frac{1}{T_{2,F}} & k_{FS} & k_{SF} & 0 & 0 \\
 0 & -\Delta \omega_S & k_{FS} & -\frac{1}{T_{2,S}} & -k_{SF} & 0 & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{T_{1,F}} & k_{FS} \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & k_{FS} & -\frac{1}{T_{1,S}} & -k_{SF}
\end{bmatrix}
\]  

(2.9)

\[
C = \rho \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{f_F}{T_{1,F}} & \frac{f_S}{T_{1,S}}
\end{bmatrix}
\]  

(2.10)

The eight volumes of DESPOT1, sixteen volumes of DESPOT2, brain mask, \(B_1\) map, and off-resonance map produce the MWF map (Figure 2.15) using QUIT [104]. However, unlike the fast image processing for quantitative maps of \(T_1\) and \(T_2\), the MWF map is produced in four to five hours. Pipeline development was evaluated using a single slice of the brain in approximately ten minutes.
2.7. Qualitative MRI Analysis

No abnormalities were detected in the volunteer brain presented throughout this chapter. Abnormalities in the brains of the 13 patients were documented during the neuroradiologist viewing with a focus on documenting atrophy, infarcts, points of abnormal susceptibility, and white matter hyperintensities; as guided by the limited literature on brain imaging in TMA, described in subsection 1.1.7. Findings in T2FLAIR were used to select the regions of interest for subregion analysis with quantitative MRI measurements. Regions of white matter hyperintensity were labeled as ipsilateral, contralateral, or bilateral. Otherwise, regions remained as left or right for control comparisons. There is no objective way to rank the severity of brain health based on the neuroradiologist reads for comparison to quantitative rankings. To mitigate bias in comparing qualitative findings with quantitative measurements, a binary classification for neuroradiologist findings was considered: few to no findings, or many findings.

Statistics

Chi-square tests were conducted to compare the number of white matter hyperintensities in regions of interest; left versus right side, and unilateral versus bilateral. Two-tailed t-tests were evaluated between quantitative measurements; depression score, cognitive score, and quantitative white matter measurements; based on the binary classification of the neurologist findings.
2.8 Quantitative MRI Analysis

FSL was used to upper threshold quantitative maps: $T_1$ to 15,000 ms, $T_2$ to 10,000 ms, and MWF to 1. These $T_1$ and $T_2$ thresholds were beyond three standard deviations of the mean $T_1$ and $T_2$ in their respective quantitative maps. Additionally, a MWF >1 is meaningless and voxels with values >1 were eliminated. Thresholding removed only 10–100 voxels out of more than 100,000 in the brain. Values above the respective thresholds were zeroed. Statistics of mean, standard deviation, and volume by tissue in $T_1$, $T_2$, and MWF were obtained in non-zero voxels using FSL.

Regions of Interest

The qualitative findings in T2FLAIR guided the subregion quantitative analysis. Regions of interest, the lesions outlined by the neuroradiologist in T2FLAIR sequences, were identified by overlaying the T2FLAIR on white matter parcellation from FreeSurfer [114]. The registration to the FreeSurfer brain space was done using the MPRAGE dataset because it is higher spatial resolution than the DESPOT1 dataset. Subsequently, the registered MPRAGE volume was brought into DESPOT space.

A total of 28 subregions, 13 left-right and 2 non left-right, were chosen based on a literature search for where brain white matter hyperintensities most often occur in vascular-related diseases due to a gap in brain imaging literature specific to TMA. These white matter subregions (column 1 of Table 2.2) were in the cerebellum [115], thalamus [115, 116], caudate [115, 116], pallidum [115], hippocampus [117], centrum semiovale [116], frontal lobe [115, 118, 116], and brain stem [115]. Additional subregions in the other three lobes and corpus callosum were added for completeness of white matter regions throughout the brain. Segmentation of subregions was completed in 3D Slicer using thresholds for the values specified by the FreeSurfer parcellation lookup table (column 2 of Table 2.2). Small island voxels fewer than five were removed. Using the resulting segmentation file, segment statistics on 3D Slicer were applied.
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to each quantitative map for mean, standard deviation, and volume within subreregion. Measurements of $T_1$, $T_2$, and MWF in ipsilateral and contralateral subregions for regions of interest outlined by the neuroradiologist were normalized to the respective subregion mean across all 13 patients to account for variability between subregions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subregion</th>
<th>Label</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cerebellum WM</td>
<td>6, 49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thalamus</td>
<td>10, 49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caudate</td>
<td>11, 50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pallidum</td>
<td>13, 52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hippocampus</td>
<td>17, 53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Centrum semiovale</td>
<td>5001, 5002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caudal middle frontal WM</td>
<td>3003, 4003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lateral orbitofrontal WM</td>
<td>3012, 4012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Precentral frontal WM</td>
<td>3024, 4024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rostral middle frontal WM</td>
<td>3027, 4027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lateral occipital WM</td>
<td>3011, 4011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superior temporal WM</td>
<td>3030, 4030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supramarginal parietal WM</td>
<td>3031, 4031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corpus callosum</td>
<td>251–255</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brain stem</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2.2: Subregions from FreeSurfer parcellation with label by lookup table (left, right)

Statistics

Welch’s two-tailed t-test was conducted on MRI measurements of $T_1$, $T_2$, and MWF between male and female, and between patients with TTP and aHUS. A one-way ANOVA test was conducted for left-right comparisons within the 13 left-right subregions in $T_1$, $T_2$, and MWF. Tukey’s multiple comparison test was conducted for subregions $P < 0.05$. Welch’s two-tailed t-test was conducted for ipsilateral-contralateral comparisons of MRI measurements. Welch’s one-tailed t-tests were conducted for ipsilateral-contralateral comparisons within increases and decreases of MRI measurements in regions of interest. The chi-square test was conducted for ipsilateral versus contralateral counts in increases and decreases for each of $T_1$, $T_2$, and MWF.

All unilateral subregions were applied to $T_1$ and $T_2$ comparisons, while unilateral subregions
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omitting mostly grey matter regions were applied to MWF comparisons. Pearson correlations were evaluated for $T_1$, $T_2$, and MWF within grey matter and white matter while Spearman correlations were evaluated for all ten measurements: $T_1$, $T_2$, and MWF in white matter and grey matter; depression score; cognitive percentile; age; and time with diagnosis. Lastly, a Friedman test for mean ranking across each of the ten measurements by patient was evaluated to investigate agreement between the quantitative measurements. Table 2.3 demonstrates the directionality for the ranks of each measurement.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measurement</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Ranking</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MADRS</td>
<td>Depression score</td>
<td>High $\rightarrow$ low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBS</td>
<td>Cognitive percentile</td>
<td>Low $\rightarrow$ high</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_1$ WM</td>
<td>$T_1$ white matter (ms)</td>
<td>High $\rightarrow$ low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_2$ WM</td>
<td>$T_2$ white matter (ms)</td>
<td>High $\rightarrow$ low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MWF WM</td>
<td>MWF white matter</td>
<td>Low $\rightarrow$ high</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_1$ GM</td>
<td>$T_1$ grey matter (ms)</td>
<td>High $\rightarrow$ low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_2$ GM</td>
<td>$T_2$ grey matter (ms)</td>
<td>High $\rightarrow$ low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MWF GM</td>
<td>MWF grey matter</td>
<td>Low $\rightarrow$ high</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Diagnosis date to scan</td>
<td>High $\rightarrow$ low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Age at scan</td>
<td>High $\rightarrow$ low</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2.3: Ten measurements with corresponding directionality of rank
Chapter 3

Results

3.1 Depression Scores

All 13 patients completed the Montgomery-Åsberg Depression Rating Scale (MADRS), which was administered in a clinical interview by a nurse.

Scores by Patient

Figure 3.1 shows the total depression score for each patient by MADRS. Considering the mean and standard deviation of scores over the 10 assessments, a one-way ANOVA using the Brown-Forsythe test shows a significant difference between scores of the patients ($P < 0.0001$). The Brown-Forsythe test was used because the data is not Gaussian and skewed to the left. Dunnett’s T3 multiple comparisons test indicates 5 significant pairwise differences. These are between the scores of patients of the two highest scores (patients 2 and 10) with 5 patients of the seven lowest scores (patients 1, 4, 5, 6, and 12). Figure 3.2 shows depression scores by sex and diagnosis. There are no significant differences between the scores of male and female patients ($P = 0.0784$) or between patients with TTP and patients with aHUS ($P = 0.4602$). Following the thresholds by scores [91] for normal, mild depression, moderate depression, and severe depression, Table 3.1 shows the number of patients that fall under each category.
3.1. DEPRESSION SCORES

Figure 3.1: Depression scores by patient on the Montgomery-Åsberg Depression Rating Scale. Dashed lines represent thresholds for mild and moderate depression. The range of possible scores is 0–60.

Figure 3.2: Depression scores ± standard deviation by sex and diagnosis. Dashed lines represent thresholds for mild and moderate depression. The range of possible scores is 0–60.

(a) Sex

(b) Diagnosis
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Classification</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0–6</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7–19</td>
<td>Mild depression</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20–34</td>
<td>Moderate depression</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;34</td>
<td>Severe depression</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3.1: Patients by type of depression. Count represents number of patients within corresponding range of scores. Normal indicates symptoms of depression are absent.

Scores by Assessment

Table 3.2 shows the average score by assessment ranked from highest to lowest; from highest indication of depression to lowest indication of depression. There is a significant difference in response by assessment through one-way ANOVA using the Brown-Forsythe test (P < 0.0001). Dunnett’s T3 multiple comparisons test indicates significant differences in concentration difficulties and: reduced appetite (P = 0.0088), inability to feel (P = 0.0088), pessimistic thoughts (P = 0.0049), and suicidal thoughts (P = 0.0029). Furthermore, a chi-square test for the number of non-zero scores per assessment shows significance (P = 0.0059). However, multiple comparisons by Fisher’s exact test using a Bonferroni correction does not indicate any significant pairwise differences.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Assessment</th>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Concentration Difficulties</td>
<td>2.3 ± 1.3</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Inner Tension</td>
<td>1.3 ± 1.3</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Lassitude</td>
<td>1.2 ± 1.1</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Reduced Sleep</td>
<td>1.0 ± 1.4</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Apparent Sadness</td>
<td>0.8 ± 1.3</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Reported Sadness</td>
<td>0.8 ± 1.1</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Reduced Appetite</td>
<td>0.4 ± 0.8</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Inability to Feel</td>
<td>0.4 ± 0.8</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Pessimistic Thoughts</td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.6</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Suicidal Thoughts</td>
<td>0.2 ± 0.4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3.2: Mean depression score ± standard deviation by assessment, ranked highest to lowest. Count represents the number of participants with a score greater than zero. The range of possible scores for each assessment is 0–6.
3.2 Cognitive Scores

All 13 patients completed the cognitive assessment through the online platform, Cambridge Brain Sciences (CBS). The average number of valid scores over the 12-test battery was 11 out of 12, with three patients with 7–10 valid scores and ten patients with 11 or 12 valid scores. The online platform indicates whether a score is valid or invalid based on the user’s interaction with the test. Invalid scores are considered meaningless as the patient may have misunderstood the task and these scores are omitted from the analysis. Cognitive scores are represented by percentiles which have been age- and sex-matched to a healthy control population of >10,000 participants.

Scores by Patient

Cognitive scores by patient are shown in Figure 3.3. The average score was 50.2 ± 19.8% across the 13 patients. There is no significant difference from the age- and sex-matched control population in the CBS database (P = 0.9301). The mean score of each patient is within one standard deviation of the age- and sex-matched population mean. A one-way ANOVA between the mean scores of each patient indicates significance (P < 0.0001). Tukey’s multiple comparisons test indicates 19 significant pairwise differences between the scores of patients of the six highest scores and patients of the four lowest scores. Figure 3.4 shows cognitive percentiles by sex and diagnosis. There is a significant difference between the cognitive scores of male and female patients (P = 0.0207), but not between patients with TTP and patients with aHUS (P = 0.7683).
3.2. COGNITIVE SCORES

Figure 3.3: Cognitive percentiles ± standard error. Dashed line represents the 50th percentile.

Figure 3.4: Mean cognitive percentiles ± standard deviation by sex and diagnosis. Dashed line represents the 50th percentile.
Scores by Test and Category

Each of the 12 tests in the cognitive assessment falls into one of three categories: verbal, reasoning, and memory. Table 3.3 shows the mean score by test across all patients and the scores are ranked from lowest to highest; from highest level of cognitive impairment to lowest level of cognitive impairment. A one-way ANOVA indicates no significant differences between mean scores by test ($P = 0.9796$). A one-way ANOVA between the three categories indicates no significant differences ($P = 0.8958$). The same conclusion is drawn regardless of which category polygons is placed into.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Percentile</th>
<th>Category</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Double Trouble</td>
<td>45.2 ± 28.0</td>
<td>Verbal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Odd One Out</td>
<td>47.3 ± 27.7</td>
<td>Reasoning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Polygons</td>
<td>49.1 ± 24.8</td>
<td>Reasoning/Memory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Rotations</td>
<td>49.1 ± 29.3</td>
<td>Memory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Digit Span</td>
<td>50.5 ± 32.4</td>
<td>Verbal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Spatial Span</td>
<td>51.2 ± 35.6</td>
<td>Memory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Paired Associates</td>
<td>53.8 ± 28.5</td>
<td>Memory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Feature Match</td>
<td>54.0 ± 32.5</td>
<td>Reasoning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Grammatical Reasoning</td>
<td>54.2 ± 38.0</td>
<td>Reasoning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Token Search</td>
<td>57.0 ± 27.3</td>
<td>Verbal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Monkey Ladder</td>
<td>60.0 ± 26.0</td>
<td>Reasoning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Spatial Planning</td>
<td>61.7 ± 28.1</td>
<td>Memory</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3.3: Mean cognitive percentiles ± standard deviation by test and corresponding category. Percentiles ranked from highest to lowest level of cognitive impairment.

3.3 Qualitative MRI

3.3.1 Representative Findings

MPRAGE ($T_1$-weighted), RESOLVE (diffusion-weighted), TOF MRA (angiography), SWI (susceptibility-weighted), and T2FLAIR ($T_2$-weighted) were assessed in each patient by a blinded neuroradiologist. Although no control data is presented in this thesis, there were datasets from three healthy volunteers mixed in with the patient datasets which blinded the
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neuroradiologist to patient versus control. They only knew the age of the subject they were viewing. Table 3.4 highlights the findings by qualitative sequence. Figure 3.5 depicts representative findings by sequence in axial slices throughout patients with detected abnormalities. Negative findings for each acquisition are comparable to the representative images presented of the healthy volunteer throughout section 2.5.

Negative findings for each acquisition are comparable to the representative images presented of the healthy volunteer throughout section 2.5.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>MPRAGE</th>
<th>RESOLVE</th>
<th>TOF MRA</th>
<th>SWI</th>
<th>T2FLAIR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Spots (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Spots (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Thrombus</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Spots</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Plaques (2), infarct</td>
<td>Chronic blood products</td>
<td>Poor PICA and AICA</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Spots (10–15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Spots (5)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Point</td>
<td>Infarct, spots (15–20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Atrophy</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Infarcts (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Points (10+)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Atrophy</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Spots (25–30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Infarcts (2)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Spots (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1 mm carotid cave, tight stenosis</td>
<td>Point</td>
<td>Infarcts (2), spots (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Atrophy</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1 mm aneurysm</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Spots (30–40)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3.4: Summary of findings in qualitative MRI. MPRAGE: infarcts are chronic lacunar. TOF MRA: anterior inferior cerebellar artery (AICA) and posterior inferior cerebellar artery (PICA). SWI: points are punctate foci of abnormal susceptibility. T2FLAIR: spots are small non-specific regions of white matter hyperintensity while infarcts (chronic lacunar) are larger regions of white matter hyperintensity. Dash indicates no evidence of a finding. Patients with aHUS are patients 3, 9, 10, and 13.
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Figure 3.5: Axial images of representative findings in qualitative MRI, with regions of interest outlined in red boxes. (a)–(e) T2FLAIR: white matter hyperintensities were found throughout the brain; both unilaterally and bilaterally. (f) RESOLVE Trace: chronic blood products. (g) MPRAGE: atrophy in white matter. (h) SWI: point of abnormal susceptibility. (i) TOF MRA: 1 mm aneurysm.

3.3.2 Regions of Interest

Regions of interest were selected based only on findings in T2FLAIR. This was due to the nature of white matter hyperintensities directly relating to the central hypotheses of white matter damage in TTP and aHUS. Cumulatively, over the 10 patients with at least one abnormality in T2FLAIR, there were 32 ROIs in white matter. A total of 28 subregions (Table 2.2) with 13 left-right subregions and 2 non left-right subregions (corpus callosum and brain stem) were previously selected for subregion analysis. Using these 28 subregions, 87.5% (28/32) of ROIs outlined by the neuroradiologist were included. Of these included 28 ROIs in subsequent analysis, 23 were unilateral (5 were bilateral) and 10 were on the left side (13 were on the right side). Chi-square tests for differences in counts between unilateral versus bilateral and left versus right were significant (P = 0.0007) and non-significant (P = 0.5316), respectively.
3.4 Quantitative MRI

Quantitative MRI measurements of $T_1$, $T_2$, and MWF were obtained after fitting to DESPOT1 and DESPOT2 datasets. Whole brain volumes were measured to be $1489 \pm 170$ cm$^3$ after brain extraction and automated segmentation with FSL. The $T_1$, $T_2$, and MWF in CSF was obtained but not included in further analysis. Table 3.5 describes the volumes and quantitative measurements by tissue; white matter, grey matter, and CSF.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>White Matter</th>
<th>Grey Matter</th>
<th>CSF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Volume</td>
<td>$674 \pm 96$</td>
<td>$455 \pm 56$</td>
<td>$360 \pm 102$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_1$</td>
<td>$1057 \pm 63$</td>
<td>$1504 \pm 80$</td>
<td>$2174 \pm 295$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_2$</td>
<td>$69 \pm 17$</td>
<td>$179 \pm 59$</td>
<td>$699 \pm 192$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MWF</td>
<td>$0.211 \pm 0.021$</td>
<td>$0.085 \pm 0.018$</td>
<td>$0.091 \pm 0.016$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3.5: Mean quantitative MRI measurements ± standard deviation by tissue. Volume is in cm$^3$, $T_1$ and $T_2$ are in ms, and myelin water fraction is unitless.

3.4.1 $T_1$ Maps

Figure 3.6 shows the mean $T_1$ in white matter and grey matter by sex and by diagnosis. There were no significant differences in mean $T_1$ of white matter by sex ($P = 0.9325$) or diagnosis ($P = 0.3665$), nor in mean $T_1$ of grey matter by sex ($P = 0.9067$) or diagnosis ($P = 0.2835$).

Figure 3.7 shows the mean $T_1$ in the 13 left-right subregions. $T_1$ values for the non left-right subregions, brain stem and corpus callosum, are respectively $1261 \pm 41$ ms and $1249 \pm 224$ ms. Of the 23 unilateral regions of interest outlined by the neuroradiologist, 11 regions had increased $T_1$ and 12 had decreased $T_1$. By chi-square test, increased $T_1$ versus decreased $T_1$, this is not significant ($P = 0.8348$). Figure 3.8 shows the ipsilateral-contralateral comparison in these 11 regions of interest of increased $T_1$. $T_1$ values are normalized to the mean $T_1$ in the respective subregion across all 13 patients. The ipsilateral side is the unilateral region for which a lesion was observed. Under the demyelination hypothesis, increased ipsilateral $T_1$ is expected. There is no significant difference between the normalized $T_1$ in ipsilateral to contralateral.
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Figure 3.6: Mean $T_1 \pm$ standard deviation in white matter and grey matter by sex and diagnosis

(a) $T_1$ white matter by sex

(b) $T_1$ white matter by diagnosis

(c) $T_1$ grey matter by sex

(d) $T_1$ grey matter by diagnosis
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Figure 3.7: Mean $T_1 \pm$ standard deviation by subregion: left and right. Two left-right subregion comparisons were significantly different: hippocampus and superior temporal white matter.

Figure 3.8: Mean $T_1 \pm$ standard deviation in lesions with increased ipsilateral $T_1$

3.4.2 $T_2$ Maps

Figure 3.9 shows the mean $T_2$ in white matter and grey matter by sex and by diagnosis. There were no significant differences in mean $T_2$ of white matter by sex ($P = 0.1744$) or diagnosis.
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(P = 0.1909), nor in mean $T_2$ of grey matter by sex (P = 0.1155) or diagnosis (P = 0.2502). Figure 3.10 shows the mean $T_2$ in the 13 left-right subregions. $T_2$ values for the non left-right subregions, brain stem and corpus callosum, are respectively 92 ± 14 ms and 139 ± 104 ms. Of the 23 unilateral regions of interest, 12 regions had increased $T_2$ and 11 had decreased $T_2$. By chi-square test, increased $T_2$ versus decreased $T_2$, this is not significant (P = 0.8348). $T_2$ values are normalized to the mean $T_2$ in the respective subregion across all 13 patients. Under the demyelination hypothesis, increased ipsilateral $T_2$ is expected. When considering the 12 regions of interest with increased $T_2$, there is a significant increase (Figure 3.11).

Figure 3.9: Mean $T_2$ ± standard deviation in white matter and grey matter by sex and diagnosis
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Figure 3.10: Mean $T_2 \pm$ standard deviation by subregion: left and right. No subregions were significantly different between left and right $T_2$.

Figure 3.11: Mean $T_2 \pm$ standard deviation in lesions with increased ipsilateral $T_2$

3.4.3 Myelin Water Fraction Maps

Figure 3.12 shows the mean MWF in white matter and grey matter by sex and by diagnosis. There were no significant differences within white matter by sex ($P = 0.9603$) or diagnosis ($P = \ldots$).
0.3877), nor within of grey matter by sex ($P = 0.3578$) or diagnosis ($P = 0.7737$). Figure 3.14 shows the mean MWF in the 13 left-right subregions. MWF values for the non left-right subregions, brain stem and corpus callosum, are respectively $0.158 \pm 0.022$ and $0.205 \pm 0.041$. Of the 20 unilateral regions of interest, omitting 3 regions of mostly grey matter, 14 regions had decreased MWF and 6 had increased MWF. By chi-square test, increase versus decrease in regions of interest is not significant ($P = 0.073$). Figure 3.14 shows the significant difference in the ipsilateral-contralateral comparison in the unilateral lesions which had decreased MWF.

![Graphs showing mean myelin water fraction ± standard deviation by sex and diagnosis](image)

(a) MWF white matter by sex  
(b) MWF white matter by diagnosis  
(c) MWF grey matter by sex  
(d) MWF grey matter by diagnosis

Figure 3.12: Mean myelin water fraction ± standard deviation in white matter and grey matter by sex and diagnosis
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Figure 3.13: Mean myelin water fraction ± standard deviation by subregion: left and right. Two left-right subregions were significantly different: cerebellum white matter and superior temporal white matter.

Figure 3.14: Mean myelin water fraction ± standard deviation by region of interest with decreased ipsilateral myelin water fraction.
3.5 Correlations Between Results

3.5.1 Quantitative MRI

Figure 3.15 summarizes the Pearson correlation values within and between; $T_1$, $T_2$, and MWF; in white matter and grey matter. Figure 3.16 depicts the Pearson correlation graphs of $T_1$, $T_2$, and MWF within white matter and within grey matter. These analyses were completed to validate internal consistency between the quantitative maps coming from the same DESPOT volumes. Table 2.3 summarizes the meaning of the shorthand notation for each measurement.

![Correlation Matrix]

Figure 3.15: Pearson correlations within and between; $T_1$, $T_2$, and myelin water fraction; in white matter and grey matter
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(a) $T_2$ white matter versus $T_1$ white matter

(b) $T_2$ grey matter versus $T_1$ grey matter

(c) MWF white matter versus $T_1$ white matter

(d) MWF grey matter versus $T_1$ grey matter

(e) MWF white matter versus $T_2$ white matter

(f) MWF grey matter versus $T_2$ grey matter

Figure 3.16: Correlations in white matter and grey matter between $T_1$, $T_2$, and myelin water fraction
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3.5. CORRELATIONS BETWEEN RESULTS

**Quantitative MRI by Depression Score Threshold**

A threshold of 7 on the Montgomery-Åsberg Depression Rating Scale yields 7 patients without evidence for depression and 6 patients with mild or moderate depression (Table 3.1). Welch’s two-tailed t-tests for $T_1$, $T_2$, and MWF in white matter indicate no significant differences between patients above and below this depression score threshold.

**Quantitative MRI by Cognitive Score Threshold**

A threshold of 50 percent on the Cambridge Brain Sciences 12-test battery of tests yields 5 patients below and 8 patients above, based on their mean percentile (Figure 3.3). Welch’s two-tailed t-tests for $T_1$, $T_2$, and MWF in white matter indicate no significant differences between patients above and below this threshold.

**Measurements by Qualitative MRI Threshold**

In accordance with Table 3.4, there are 6 patients with few to no findings (patients 1–4, 8, and 12) and 7 patients with many findings (patients 5–7, 9–11, and 13). A two-tailed t-test between depression scores of these two groups is not significant ($P = 0.6412$). Similarly, a two-tailed t-test between cognitive scores of these two groups is not significant ($P = 0.2015$). Furthermore, in quantitative MRI within white matter, two-tailed t-tests for $T_1$ ($P = 0.2856$), $T_2$ ($P = 0.0947$), and MWF ($P = 0.2081$) are not significant.

**3.5.2 All Measurements**

The Friedman test for agreement by ranking 1–13 across each measurement (Figure 3.17) was used following the ranking outlined in Table 2.3. The Friedman test indicates a significant difference between rankings by patient considering the 10 measurements ($P = 0.0006$). This indicates agreement between the rankings of measurement between patients. Figure 3.18 indicates the correlation by Spearman correlations between these 10 measurements.
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Figure 3.17: Mean rank of 10 measurements ± standard error by patient

Figure 3.18: Spearman correlations between all 10 measurements
Chapter 4

Discussion

4.1 Summary

Across sixteen comparisons to evaluate differences in eight quantitative measurements by sex and by diagnosis, there is just one significant difference in measurements by sex and there are no significant differences in measurements by diagnosis. This gives confidence to consider all 13 patients together, independent of their sex or diagnosis, to better understand how TMA affects their brain health, despite prolonged remission, at different ages and durations of living with their vascular burden. Even though the sample size is small and there are no controls at this point in the longitudinal observational study, several interesting results are reported which help elucidate the poorly understood long-term impact of thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura and atypical haemolytic uremic syndrome on the brain. The key findings of this thesis are highlighted in the following subsections.

4.1.1 Depression

According to Statistics Canada [119], 5.4% of Canadians experienced a mood disorder in the past 12 months with 4.7% being major depression. Furthermore, 11% of Canadians have met the criteria for major depression at some point in their lifetime [119]. In this study, using the
Montgomery-Åsberg Depression Rating Scale, nearly half of the participants (6/13) exhibited depression scores indicating mild or moderate depression (Table 3.1). This is in stark contrast to the incidence of depression in the Canadian population. When conducting a Fisher’s exact test, considering a randomly selected group of 13 Canadians where 1 individual meets the criteria for mild, moderate, or severe depression, there is no significant difference for the incidence of depression in these patients ($P = 0.073$), however a larger sample size may be more conclusive. The Montgomery-Åsberg Depression Rating Scale is assessed from a clinical interview with the patient and the total score is the sum over the ten assessments. Consequently, the comparison of depression scores between patients may be limited by patient-specific sensitivity to expressing or not expressing how they feel. There was a significant difference in the mean scores by assessment which indicates a tendency for TTP or aHUS to affect the patients’ mental health in specific ways. Specifically, the assessment, concentration difficulties, was the highest average score (2.3/6) and 84.6% of patients (11/13) reported non-zero scores (Table 3.2). The mean response in this assessment corresponds to the “worsening of occasional difficulties in collecting one’s thoughts” [90].

### 4.1.2 Cognition

Considering the group of patients as a whole, there is no evidence of cognitive impairment in the 12-test battery by Cambridge Brain Sciences. There are 5 patients below the 50th percentile, but none of their mean percentiles lie outside one standard deviation of the age- and sex-matched population mean in the control database (Figure 3.3). There were no significant differences between percentiles by test or by category of test. This indicates that cognitive ability is no different among the three cognitive domains tested by Cambridge Brain Sciences. Conclusions from this cognitive testing in the correlation between results may be limited by the variation in time between the date of completing the assessment and the date of the MRI scan. Although the majority of patients completed the assessment within one week of the scan, there were three patients that completed the assessment beyond one month from the scan. The
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A comparison of cognitive percentile by sex yielded the only significant difference among the sixteen comparisons by sex and by diagnosis in the study. Since the percentiles are corrected for sex and age, it may be that cognition is more impaired in males than in females in patients with either of the two vascular conditions. These findings agree with the common symptom of confusion in both vascular conditions [36].

4.1.3 Qualitative MRI

Abnormalities in qualitative MRI were comparable in patients with TTP and patients with aHUS (Table 3.4). White matter abnormalities were observed in 46.1% of patients (6/13) in the MPRAGE acquisition ($T_1$-weighted), with atrophy being the most common finding. Our evidence of atrophy and lesions despite prolonged remission is in contrast to a study involving MRI in patients with TTP by Meloni et al., 2001 [120] which reported that such abnormalities are infrequent and often reversible. Only one abnormality was observed in the RESOLVE acquisition (diffusion-weighted), which was the presence of chronic blood products; and abnormalities in vasculature observed in TOF MRA, including a thrombus and an aneurysm, were present in 30.1% of patients (4/13). Points of abnormal susceptibility were observed in 23.1% of patients (3/13) from SWI. Points of abnormal susceptibility may be microbleeds, which were reported in an MRI study with patients with TTP by Noorbakhsh-Sabet and Zand, 2016 [121]. Lastly, 76.9% of patients (10/13) had at least one abnormality in T2FLAIR ($T_2$-weighted). Abnormalities were presented by WMH ranging from small non-specific dots to larger infarcts. Our observations of WMH agree with an MRI case study from Sun et al., 2005 [122]. Qualitative findings presented in this thesis agree with prior investigations and add further insight to how the brain is affected. To our knowledge, there has not been a study before this one that has investigated $T_1$, $T_2$, and MWF in the brains of individuals with TMA.

The anatomical regions of WMH detected in T2FLAIR defined the regions of interest for subregions in subsequent analysis with quantitative MRI. The regions of interest within the predetermined subregions provided a thorough perspective on WMH throughout the brain be-
cause the majority of findings were considered (87.5%). These regions of interest were selected based on where the white matter hyperintensity was present and there were 4 additional regions of interest not considered in the analysis. These were the precuneus, postcentral parietal lobe, pars triangularis, and putamen. Each of these regions were adjacent to the selected regions of interest in the analysis. Though the subregions were automatically parcellated, the identification of the subregion for each region of interest was manual. Furthermore, patient 5 was recently diagnosed with multiple sclerosis, so the conclusions drawn from this dataset are unclear whether the findings are attributed to MS, TTP, or both. The measurements are still considered in the overall comparisons, but findings from their brain are not considered for case by case comparison.

4.1.4 $T_1$, $T_2$, and Myelin Water Fraction

Quantitative techniques are capable of detecting changes that may not be observable in a clinical, qualitative MRI protocol. In this study, quantitative MRI to measure $T_1$, $T_2$, and MWF was utilized to verify findings in qualitative MRI sequences and to compare trends within all quantitative measurements including the scores of depression and cognition.

Three limitations must be addressed to the otherwise robust quantitative maps. Motion was not accounted for. Measurements of $T_1$, $T_2$, and MWF are determined from data collected from 6–18 minutes of scanning time. Correcting for slight motion over these 18 minutes may improve the findings from the resulting quantitative maps. Secondly, the quality of $T_2$, and MWF maps in patients 1 and 3 may be lower than those of other patients because two distinct phase increments were not used in the DESPOT2 acquisition. The same phase increment was erroneously repeated. Thirdly, despite its abilities to detect changes in myelination, mcDESPOT has been reported to overestimate MWF [111]. This motivates technique-specific comparisons in findings in myelin water imaging.

The reported values of $T_1$ and $T_2$ relaxation times vary by sequence used and manufacturer [75]. Nonetheless, this study demonstrated values of $T_1$ and $T_2$ in grey and white matter com-
parable to literature [74, 123], as seen between Table 1.2 and Table 3.5. Furthermore these values were consistent across participants in the study, using the same image analysis. With regards to the measurement of MWF, there is greater variability based on the sequence and analysis used [111] than there is with $T_1$ and $T_2$ due to the added complexity of fitting the multi-component model. Other studies using mcDESPOT for determining MWF have demonstrated comparable results [88]. In the model, exchange between intra- and extra-cellular water is considered however magnetization transfer is not. Grey matter MWF may be high due to the inability to model regions of inherently low myelin, like in CSF. Pearson correlations between these three measurements in white matter and grey matter, though generally significant, are not surprising due to the $T_1$, $T_2$, and MWF measurements coming from the same data. Interestingly, MWF in white matter was most strongly correlated with $T_1$ in the white matter ($R^2 = 0.9025, P < 0.001$). $T_1$ maps have also been proposed as a potential marker of myelin content based on shorter $T_1$ relaxation times in myelin water compared to axonal and extracellular water [124]. Although potentially less specific to myelin than MWF, $T_1$ maps have the advantage of significantly shorter acquisitions times (6 minutes versus 18 minutes).

$T_1$, $T_2$, and MWF in white matter were compared between patients based on the thresholds set in the qualitative MRI observations to evaluate agreement between qualitative findings and changes throughout white matter. All three of these comparisons by threshold in qualitative MRI were non-significant. With this sample size, there is no evidence that $T_2$ and MWF in white matter differ based on severity of qualitative MRI observations. However, $T_2$ in white matter shows some evidence of white matter changes based on the threshold ($P = 0.0947$). This may be because the most profuse findings in qualitative MRI came from T2FLAIR, a $T_2$-weighted image. The Spearman correlation between ranks from the Friedman test incorporating all ten measurements as outlined in Table 2.3, considering this binary classification in qualitative MRI observations, is significant with a moderate correlation ($R^2 = 0.4354, P = 0.0141$). An increased sample size will better elucidate the relationships between observations in qualitative MRI and quantitative MRI measurements throughout white matter.
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**Tissue Segmentation**

$T_1$, $T_2$, and MWF were calculated by tissue; white matter, grey matter, and CSF. Conservative brain extractions and masks were produced using the first volume of DESPOT1 and tissue-specific masks were generated using MPRAGE to produce masks for white matter, grey matter, and CSF. Volumes of respective tissue (Table 3.5) somewhat agree with the literature [125] however CSF volume is overestimated due to some skull and neck incorporated in the mask beyond the fluid in the ventricles and subarachnoid space. White and grey matter masks from MPRAGE are more accurate than those from DESPOT1 or DESPOT2 due to a higher voxel resolution.

**Subregion Segmentation**

$T_1$, $T_2$, and MWF were calculated within the subregions outlined in Table 2.2. Consistency between left-right of subregions and variability between subregions for these measurements motivate the use of a well-established automatic segmentation for subregion, such as the white matter parcellation produced from FreeSurfer. In left-right comparisons of $T_1$, $T_2$, and MWF by subregion, 87.2% (34/39) were not significantly different. In subregions that contained white matter hyperintensities and increased $T_2$, the increased $T_2$ was significantly larger than the contralateral ROI. Similarly, in subregions that contained white matter hyperintensities and decreased MWF, the decreased MWF was significantly smaller than the contralateral ROI. This significant difference using the contralateral region in individual patients as an internal control suggests that both $T_2$ and MWF are capable of distinguishing white matter degradation in patients with TTP and aHUS. These are promising first results in anticipation of future analysis that includes age-matched healthy controls.
4.1.5 Correlations Between Results

The significant finding in the Friedman test indicates agreement between measurements based on the demyelination hypothesis. Restated, white matter is damaged due to TTP or aHUS, which increases $T_1$ and $T_2$, and decreases MWF. Additionally, the hypothesis is that these effects become worse with age and time living with the diagnosis despite prolonged remission. Furthermore, elevated depression scores and decreased cognitive scores are expected to occur. A total of ten measurements were included in this analysis and the corresponding ranking based on the demyelination hypothesis are outlined in Table 2.3. By the Friedman test, cognitive scores agree with the three MRI measurements in white matter ($P = 0.0037$). This is a greater agreement than depression scores with only the three MRI measurements in white matter ($P = 0.0152$). The three MRI measurements agree with each other when considering those in white matter and in grey matter ($P = 0.0008$). The best agreement between rankings, defined by the lowest $P$ value, was all ten measurements excluding time ($P = 0.0001$). This may indicate that $T_1$, $T_2$, and MWF changes occur, but do not worsen over time.

Although there is agreement based on the demyelination hypothesis, there may be some patients who respond differently to TTP or aHUS. This is difficult to tease out in a small sample, however processes of dysmyelination and hypermyelination are also possible. Patient 4 is a potential case study for one of these latter two processes because their cognitive score is among the lowest (30%) while their MWF is the highest (0.25 in white matter). The strongest imaging correlates with depression score, cognitive score, time, and age were respectively $T_1$ in grey matter, MWF in white matter, $T_1$ in white matter, and $T_2$ in both grey matter and white matter. In most cases, the strongest correlate with each measurement was an imaging measurement. However, the strongest correlate with age was the cognitive score. Scores are sex- and age-matched to the database of healthy controls so this correlation may indicate that TTP and aHUS affects cognition more in older patients. This finding may be because older patients have lived with their diagnosis for longer, as summarized in Table 2.1. There are a few caveats that must be addressed in the ranking of measurements. Changes in white and grey
matter over lifetime are not linear as assumed by ranking. Rather, white matter peaks in fifth
decade of life and grey matter declines [77]. Myelination follows a similar trend to white matter
development, hence relaxation times and MWF may also be non-linear in nature. However, the
rankings under the demyelination hypothesis indicate some interesting relationships for future
investigation. The strongest correlation varying across imaging measurements motivates the use
of all six quantitative MRI measurements in understanding how the brain is affected in TMA.
This demonstrates the value of a multi-parametric approach that includes $T_1$, $T_2$, and MWF.

4.2 Next Steps

4.2.1 Controls

The goal is to recruit 30 patients in total and to have age-matched healthy controls for direct
comparison using the same methodology. Healthy controls will be age-matched within two
years of patients and they will be recruited following the same exclusion criteria. As presented
in this thesis, the subregion analysis was limited to those regions of interest identified by the
neuroradiologist and there may be additional regions of interest detected in these quantitative
MRI approaches. Additional data in healthy controls will help elucidate natural left-right vari-
atations by subregion in quantitative MRI and may reveal additional abnormal subregions in
patients. Statistical evaluation will be conducted by Welch’s two-tailed t-tests between tissue-
and subregion-specific quantitative measurements between patients and controls.

4.2.2 Follow-Up Scans

As depicted in the protocol timeline in Figure 2.1, patients will receive follow-up scans, along
with repeated depression assessment and cognitive testing, at six and twelve months from their
first timepoint. Cognitive testing will be evaluated within the same week of the MRI scan
like the depression assessment. Though most patients completed their cognitive testing within
a week, there were a few that took 1–2 months. To best compare findings from cognitive testing and the MRI scans, it is important the brain is in the same state of health. This study was limited by assuming the brain was in the same state of health between cognitive testing and the MRI scan, despite up to two months of lag between. However, the full battery will continue to be implemented due to the occasional invalid scores in tests seen in this group of patients. If TTP or aHUS continues to worsen neurocognitive outcomes, different measures of white matter, such as decreased MWF in regions of interest, may be observable at each consecutive timepoint. Statistical evaluation will be conducted by repeated measures ANOVA of measurements (including MADRS score; CBS percentile; and $T_1$, $T_2$, and MWF in white matter and regions of interest).

### 4.2.3 Diffusion Tensor Imaging

Diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) relies on the diffusion of water molecules which behave differently by tissue based on how constrained the molecules are to freely move. Since the brain is mostly water, like the rest of the body, a strong understanding of tissue microstructure can be obtained based on the behaviour of water. One of the measurements in DTI is fractional anisotropy (FA), which is a unitless measurement (0–1) that indicates the directionality of water diffusion in tissue. Two extremes in the behaviour of water by tissue microstructure are demonstrated in the water within cerebral spinal fluid and in the water contained within the lipid bilayers of myelinated neurons. In CSF, such as in one of the four ventricles, water is not constrained and thus diffuses freely, resulting in a FA value approaching 0. Conversely, in the axon, water is constrained to flow in one direction, along the neuron, resulting in an FA value approaching 1.

Diffusion-weighted images for DTI have been acquired (Figure 2.2), but not yet considered to the extent of the other methodologies presented. There is not a significant linear correlation between MWF and DTI measurements [126] which motivates including both MWI and DTI in the study. Though both assess white matter microstructure, the measurements may vary
because the MWF is not altered by fibre orientation like fractional FA: perpendicular fibres in a given voxel may reduce FA, however the MWF will not change. The added value of DTI over MWI is that it can be used to generate white matter tracts. Tractography reveals pathways of white matter throughout the brain. Findings in RESOLVE (such as white matter regions of high diffusivity) and MPRAGE (such as regions of white matter atrophy) will be used to guide tractography. White matter trajectories may be impeded and FA may be decreased due to damaged white matter.

4.2.4 Arterial Spin Labeling

Arterial spin labeling (ASL) is another emerging quantitative MRI technique for clinical applications. It is commonly used to measure cerebral blood flow (CBF) (measured in ml/100g/min) in patients with stroke, dementia, epilepsy, and other psychiatric diseases [127]. Unlike other imaging modalities to evaluate CBF, no contrast agents or radiation are involved. Incoming arterial blood to the brain is tagged prior to splitting into smaller arteries. The water in this arterial blood is magnetically labelled by a 180-degree radiofrequency pulse. Phase is accumulated as the blood flows and diffuses throughout the brain. Faster flowing blood picks up more phase, and after a period of time called the transit time an image called the tag image is acquired where this tagged blood is now in the slice of interest. Another image called the control image is acquired in the same slice but without the 180-degree radiofrequency pulse. The control image is subtracted from the tag image and a CBF measurement can be calculated based on the amount of phase accumulated in the vasculature [128, 129].

A pseudo-continuous ASL (pCASL) for ASL has been acquired, but not yet considered to the extent of the other methodologies presented. The approach by pCASL provides higher signal-to-noise than pulsed arterial spin labeling (PASL) [130]. In patients with TTP and aHUS, who are in prolonged remission, significant differences in whole-brain CBF are not expected in comparison to healthy controls. However, there may be differences in CBF within subregions of the brain vasculature. Findings from TOF MRA (such as thrombi) and SWI (such
as microbleeds) will be used to guide region of interest analysis in ASL to compare ipsilateral-contralateral region-specific CBF. Additionally, future studies will compare ASL to findings in computed tomography.

### 4.2.5 Computed Tomography Perfusion

CTP has been acquired, but not yet considered to the extent of the other methodologies presented. Unlike the acquisitions in the comprehensive MRI protocol, CT perfusion is capable of measuring blood brain barrier permeability (BBB-P). Preliminary work [65] in a separate cohort of patients with TTP demonstrated a compromised BBB-P despite prolonged remission. This unexpected finding will be retested. BBB-P will be compared between patients and controls and it will be correlated with the established measurements including MWF in white matter and region of interest.

### 4.2.6 Future Direction

There are many interesting avenues for future work that we intend to pursue. Beyond the current scope of the study, the following four avenues could be investigated to enhance the understanding of neurocognitive outcomes in TTP and aHUS. 1) Additional MRI techniques: The existing MRI protocol could be expanded upon or modified to accommodate the following considerations: neurite orientation dispersion and density imaging (NODDI), to model axon density and dispersion in white matter [131]; and resting state functional MRI (fMRI), to evaluate functional networks and their relationship to neurocognitive findings. 2) PET/MR: Positron emission tomography (PET) is an imaging modality that can be added with no additional scanning time on systems that offer simultaneous PET/MR. Relevant radioactive tracers would include $^{11}$C-UCB-J for synaptic density [132], $^{18}$F-FEPPA for inflammation [133], and FDG for metabolism [134]. 3) Machine learning: A classifier could be established to evaluate whether or not patients and controls can be accurately identified based on features selected throughout the comprehensive MRI protocol. If the five strongest features are chosen, hypo-
4.3 Conclusion

Persistently, there might be one feature from RESOLVE, two from T2FLAIR, one from the $T_1$ map, and one from the MWF map. 4) Additional cognitive testing: The Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA) is easy to administer and it can detect mild cognitive impairment and Alzheimer’s disease [135].

Treatment Guidance

With a greater sample size, the effect of treatment on neurocognitive outcomes can be observed. Treatment of TTP primarily involves plasma exchange therapy in conjunction with various medications. Two novel medications of interest are recombinant ADAMTS13 [50] and caplacizumab [61, 62]. However, studies have not utilized a comprehensive protocol focusing on quantitative MRI, as presented in this thesis, and future work aims to replicate these findings to strengthen treatment guidance.

4.3 Conclusion

Persistent neurocognitive changes, despite prolonged remission, are not unique to thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura and atypical haemolytic uremic syndrome. The protocol demonstrated in this study has the potential to elucidate the poorly-understood neurocognitive outcomes in other vascular and immunocompromised diseases; such as vasculitis and lupus. Each aspect of this investigation has contributed to a deeper understanding of how these two devastating manifestations of thrombotic microangiopathy impact the brain. The comprehensive MRI protocol can see things that cannot be detected in depression assessments, cognitive testing, or even routine MRI scans. Findings in quantitative MRI may preclude the worsening of neurocognitive outcomes measured by depression assessments, cognitive testing, and qualitative MRI. Agreement between the neuroradiologist observations and quantitative measurements strengthens our findings. These include higher incidence of depression, prevalence of white matter hyperintensities from small non-specific dots to larger infarcts, and decreased myelin
4.3. CONCLUSION

water fraction in regions of white matter hyperintensity. Patients with thrombotic thrombocyto-
topenic purpura and atypical haemolytic uremic syndrome experience similar neurocognitive outcomes despite being in remission. Upcoming follow-up scans and comparisons to healthy controls aim to strengthen the findings presented in this thesis.
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