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Abstract

Decellularized adipose tissue (DAT) bioscaffolds are a promising platform for the delivery of pro-regenerative cell populations with the goal of promoting adipose tissue regeneration. The current study investigated the effects of seeding DAT bioscaffolds with syngeneic bone marrow-derived macrophages and/or adipose-derived stromal cells (ASCs) on in vivo soft tissue regeneration. Methods were established to derive the macrophages from MacGreen mice, which were dynamically seeded onto the DAT scaffolds alone or in combination with ASCs. Seeded and unseeded scaffolds were implanted subcutaneously into C57Bl/6 mice. At 2 and 4 weeks, cell infiltration, angiogenesis, and adipogenesis were analyzed through histology and immunohistochemistry. Substantial variability was observed, but higher blood vessel densities and greater CD31+ cell recruitment was observed in the implants that were greatly infiltrated with cells. A diverse, infiltrating macrophage population was identified in all implants, with quantitatively higher iNOS expression in the scaffolds that showed greater levels of cell infiltration.
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Summary for Lay Audience

Adipose or fat tissue has a limited ability to repair and regenerate itself following trauma, burns, surgical removal of tumors, or birth defects. The process of fat regeneration is dependent on 1) coordinating multiple types of cells in the body to migrate, 2) forming new blood vessels, 3) and cells achieving a more pro-regenerative state to support new fat cell formation. There is an increasing interest in delivering therapeutic cells, such as adipose-derived stromal cells (ASCs) and immune cells called macrophages, which have been shown to stimulate the biological process of fat tissue regeneration. Also, 3-D porous decellularized adipose tissue (DAT) implants have been previously developed as a promising platform to deliver therapeutic cells into areas of the body with large defects. The present thesis focused on using DAT implants to deliver both ASCs and macrophages into mice. Initially, the DAT implants were found to support macrophage and ASC attachment. After DAT implants were delivered into mice either alone or with macrophages, ASCs, or macrophages + ASCs, different levels of cell migration, as well as blood vessel and fat formation were observed within the implants in all groups after 2 and 4 weeks. In general, the implants that had greater levels of cells migrating into them also had more blood vessels being formed. Also, different types of macrophages were observed within the DAT implants in all groups at both time points, all of which may be playing an active role in regeneration. Overall, this study showcases the intricate and complex relationships between regeneration, cell recruitment, blood vessel formation, and inflammation.
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Chapter 1

1 Literature review

1.1 Introduction

Adipose tissue (fat) is a loose, soft connective tissue with discrete depots distributed throughout the body. Excess adipose tissue is often viewed negatively because its overaccumulation is associated with metabolic diseases such as obesity and diabetes\(^1\). However, fat is a crucial part of the integumentary system and it plays a myriad of important physiological roles in the body including the maintenance of metabolic homeostasis, and the contribution to the normal human appearance\(^1,2\). Disruption of the soft tissue structure through trauma, congenital abnormalities, burns, oncologic resection, or other means can lead to scar formation, contracture, and possible loss of function\(^3,4,5\). Moreover, soft tissue deficits may cause patients to develop emotional distress and body image issues. Progress in the fields of plastic and reconstructive surgery have enabled the correction of soft tissue irregularities that can improve patients’ emotional and mental well-being\(^6,7\). However, these procedures often involve autologous tissue grafts, which can have variable and/or limited long-term success in restoring the missing volume, or synthetic or natural volume fillers, which lack the ability to restore the functionality of the missing tissue\(^8,9\). The limited capability for adipose tissue to repair and regenerate highlights the growing need for novel regenerative medicine-based therapeutic interventions in order to replace lost or damaged tissue and promote healthy fat formation.

1.2 Adipose tissue physiology

In mammals, there are two main forms of adipose tissue: brown adipose tissue (BAT) and white adipose tissue (WAT). BAT is predominantly found in newborns, located in the interscapular, axillary, and perirenal regions of the body\(^10\), and is responsible for non-shivering heat generation, or thermogenesis during cold exposure\(^10,11\). BAT is mainly composed of adipocytes, which are terminally differentiated cells that store energy in the form of triglycerides. Notably, the adipocytes within BAT are 10-25 μm in diameter and are multilocular, or contain multiple, small lipid droplets, as well as numerous mitochondria\(^12\). Additionally, the adipocytes within BAT are organized into bundles by an extracellular matrix (ECM), and are supported by a large network
of blood vessels, as well as innervation from the sympathetic nervous system\textsuperscript{12}. The adipocytes in BAT are able to produce heat by oxidizing triglycerides within their mitochondria. This process is carried out with the aid of uncoupling protein 1 (UCP1)\textsuperscript{10,12}, a mitochondrial protein expressed specifically in the adipocytes within BAT, and is unique in that it produces heat without any adenosine triphosphate (ATP) production. The heat then gets circulated to other parts of the body through the extensive vascular network\textsuperscript{13}.

As a person matures, the BAT content in the body gradually decreases, while the WAT content increases\textsuperscript{2}. In humans, WAT is found subcutaneously throughout the majority of the body, as well as viscerally in the omental, mesenteric, and retroperitoneal areas\textsuperscript{14}. WAT performs numerous physiological roles including triglyceride storage, thermal insulation, and the protection of other internal tissues. WAT is primarily composed of mature adipocytes that are 20-150 µm in diameter and are unilocular, or contain a single, large lipid droplet\textsuperscript{15}. The adipocytes within WAT are similarly distributed into discrete bundles by the surrounding ECM, but they contain fewer mitochondria than the adipocytes within BAT\textsuperscript{2,12}. WAT is supported by sympathetic innervation\textsuperscript{2,16} and every adipocyte is in contact with at least one capillary\textsuperscript{17}, although as a whole it is less vascularized as compared to BAT\textsuperscript{12}. WAT also functions as an important endocrine organ by synthesizing numerous cytokines, termed adipokines, which are involved in metabolic homeostasis, food intake, vascular function, and control of blood pressure, and inflammation\textsuperscript{13,18}. For example, WAT can secrete adiponectin, which controls glucose and fatty acid breakdown in muscle, as well as leptin, which regulates energy expenditure and appetite\textsuperscript{13}. Additional molecules that are produced by WAT include the pro-inflammatory cytokines tumor necrosis factor-α (TNF-α) and interleukin-6 (IL-6)\textsuperscript{13,18,19}, and the pro-angiogenic protein vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF)\textsuperscript{18}.

1.3 Adipose tissue cellular composition

Although historically thought of as an inactive, simple tissue, in actuality adipose tissue incorporates many different cell populations. Adipocytes are the main cell type found in both forms of adipose tissue, making up 20-40\% of the cellular content and >90\% of its volume\textsuperscript{20}. Adipocytes have the ability to increase or decrease in size, depending on the body’s energy intake and expenditure\textsuperscript{20-22}. New adipocytes are derived from differentiating progenitor cells,
termed adipose-derived stromal cells (ASCs), as well as more committed pre-adipocytes (further discussed in Section 1.3.1).

When adipose tissue is extracted, processed through mechanical and enzymatic techniques, and centrifuged, the non-adipocytes form a pellet called the stromal vascular fraction (SVF). The cells in the SVF are a heterogeneous population that includes ASCs, erythrocytes, endothelial cells, pericytes, smooth muscle cells, fibroblasts, and immune cells. Macrophages are the most abundant immune cells found in fat, but other immune cells include monocytes, lymphocytes, neutrophils, and natural killer cells. ASCs can be isolated from the SVF based on their adherence to tissue culture plastic using culture medium that supports their growth.

Studies have shown that the cellular composition of adipose tissue can vary, depending on factors such as depot location and disease state. For example, one study found that subcutaneous fat had greater levels of pre-adipocytes than visceral fat. Increases in the levels of myeloid cell populations, such as macrophages, have been associated with altered metabolic function and decreased insulin sensitivity, which may contribute to pathological states such as obesity and diabetes.

1.3.1 Adipose-derived stromal cells

ASCs are a mesenchymal cell population that can be isolated from the stromal vascular fraction derived from adipose tissue. ASCs have been implicated in adipose tissue repair and homeostasis as they have a great capacity for differentiating along the adipogenic lineage and have the demonstrated capability to generate neo-adipocytes in vivo. As a mesenchymal progenitor cell population, they also exhibit the capacity for multipotent differentiation, most notably towards the osteogenic and chondrogenic lineages.

Clonogenic cell assays have estimated ASC frequency within primary isolates to be between 1:30–1:1000 out of total nucleated cells as compared to bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stromal cell populations (bmMSCs), which range between 1:50,000 and 1:1 million. ASCs are thought to be localized in close proximity to the in vivo adipose microvasculature, specifically in the perivascular niche, where they closely interact with endothelial cells and pericytes. However, their exact distribution in vivo remains unclear due to a lack of unique
ASC markers\textsuperscript{53}. Instead, studies have relied on characterizing the immunophenotype of ASCs in culture in conjunction with quantitative analysis of multipotency.

In an effort to standardize ASC characterization criteria, the International Federation for Adipose Therapeutics and Science (IFATS) and the International Society for Cellular Therapy (ISCT) have put together guidelines to evaluate the ASC phenotype\textsuperscript{54}. In general, in order to confirm that isolated cells from the SVF are an ASC population, they need to 1) adhere to plastic, 2) express an immunophenotypic profile using multi-color flow cytometry as described in Table 1.1, and 3) exhibit multipotent differentiation potential towards the adipogenic, osteogenic, and chondrogenic lineages. Moreover, the immunophenotype of these cells should contain at least two primary positive (>90 \%) and two primary negative markers (<2 \%). Additional markers should be included in order to strengthen the characterization.
### Table 1.1.1. The immunophenotypic profile of human ASCs\(^{48,54,55}\).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Marker type</th>
<th>Antigen</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Primary positive</strong> (&lt;90%)</td>
<td>CD90 (Thy-1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CD105 (endoglin)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CD73 (ecto-5'-nucleotidase)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CD44 (hyaluronic acid receptor)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CD29 (β1-integrin)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CD13 (aminopeptidase-N)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CD34 (progenitor associated marker) *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CD146 (melanoma cell adhesion molecule, MCAM or MUC18)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Secondary positive</strong></td>
<td>CD10 (neprilysin or neutral endopeptidase)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CD26 (dipeptidyl peptidase-4, or DPPIV)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CD49d (α4-integrin, or VLA4)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CD49e (α5-integrin, or VLA5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CD36 (fatty acid translocase, or GPIIIb)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Primary negative</strong> (&lt;2%)</td>
<td>CD31 (platelet endothelial cell adhesion molecule, PECAM)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CD45 (leukocyte-common antigen, LCA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CD235a (glycophorin A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Secondary negative</strong></td>
<td>CD3 (T-cell co-receptor)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CD11b (αM-integrin or Mac-1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CD49f (α6-integrin or VLA6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CD106 (vascular cell adhesion protein-1, VCAM-1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PODXL (podocalyxin-like protein or PODXL)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Variable levels of expression

ASCs can express similar surface markers to bmMSCs as both cell types express CD13, CD73, CD90, and CD105\(^{54}\). However, ASCs can be distinguished through their positive expression of CD34 and their negative expression of CD106\(^{53,54}\). It is important to note that isolated ASCs are a heterogenous population, though not as heterogenous as the cells belonging to the SVF\(^{56}\). The isolated ASC population is comprised of cells with different levels of commitment including cells with uni-, bi-, or tri-potential\(^{57}\). This inherent variability points to the importance of transparency in reporting the methods used for ASC isolation and culture. It has been reported that the isolation techniques, passage number, culture conditions, and the donor/fat depot used can influence ASC yield, proliferation, and differentiation potential\(^{56,58–60}\).
ASCs isolated from mice have been found to share similarities with their human counterparts in terms of their surface marker profile and tri-lineage potential. In keeping with the common positive markers used to identify human ASCs, murine ASCs exhibit high expression of CD29 and CD90. In comparison to human ASCs however, they show relatively low expression of CD105, low expression of CD73 and CD34, and variable expression of CD44. Stem cell antigen-1 (SCA-1), also known as Ly6A, a shared marker found in murine hematopoietic stem cells and bmMSCs, was reported to be highly expressed on murine ASCs. Similar to human ASCs, the immunophenotype of isolated murine ASCs can vary due to differences in isolation and cell culture, passage number and strains of mice used.

1.4 Components of the adipose tissue extracellular matrix

The adipose ECM is a complex, fibrous network of cell-secreted proteins and polysaccharides that serves to biochemically and biophysically support the cells residing in the tissue. The ECM regulates a broad range of cellular processes including cell attachment, survival, proliferation, and differentiation. The macromolecules that make up the ECM can be broadly categorized into fibrous proteins (e.g. collagen), glycosaminoglycans (GAGs), proteoglycans, and glycoproteins. The microarchitecture, mechanical properties, and bioactivity of the ECM is determined by the relative abundance and spatial arrangement of these macromolecules.

Collagens are the predominant structural components found in the adipose ECM, with collagen type I being the most abundant and contributing the most to the structural integrity of adipose tissue. Collagen type I also contributes to the tensile strength of soft tissues, imparting the ability to resist mechanical loading. Collagen types IV, V, and VI have also been identified in adipose tissue, while other types such as collagen types II, IX, and XVIII are notably lower in abundance. Elastin is another fibrous protein found in adipose tissue that serves to provide the tissue with elasticity, or the ability to recoil after expanding and contracting. Additional components such as GAGs and proteoglycans also contribute to the adipose ECM structure and mechanical integrity. GAGs are linear chains of repeating disaccharide units, while proteoglycans consist of a core protein covalently linked to one or more sulphated GAGs. GAGs are highly anionic structures, which attract and bind water molecules and have the added benefit of sequestering positively-charged growth factors. In addition to collagen, other glycoproteins such as laminin and fibronectin further contribute to the bioactivity of
the ECM by providing binding sites for cellular attachment, which regulate a range of downstream functions including cell survival, proliferation, and differentiation\textsuperscript{78,80}.

Through immunohistochemical staining, it was discovered that the adipose ECM components are distributed into a loose, supportive network surrounding the adipocytes\textsuperscript{2,79}. Immediately encircling the adipocytes, endothelial cells, and nerves in adipose tissue, the ECM is comprised of a mesh-like structure called the basement membrane. The basement membrane is a thin layer of ECM that is enriched in laminin and collagen type IV\textsuperscript{75,78}, which provides structural support, binding sites for cell attachment, and has been implicated in adipogenesis\textsuperscript{78,81}. Collagen type IV is a heterotrimeric glycoprotein that has six associated α-chain subunits (α1(IV) to α6(IV))\textsuperscript{75,80}, while laminin is a heterotrimeric glycoprotein made up of an α, β, and γ subunit\textsuperscript{78}. Both self-assemble into independent sheet-like networks that link together with the aid of the glycoprotein, nidogen, and the heparan sulfate proteoglycan, perlecan\textsuperscript{75,78}. Other proteins connected to the basement membrane, including the microfibrillar protein collagen type VI, further aid in promoting cell-ECM interactions by anchoring adipocytes to the basement membrane\textsuperscript{14}.

Interfacing with the basement membrane is a network of collagen fibers, composed mainly of collagen type I, which maintain the tissue’s integrity\textsuperscript{15,70}. Collagen type I fibers are heterotrimeric triple helices, formed by two α1(I) subunits and one α1(I) subunit, which aggregate together to form thicker bundles\textsuperscript{82}. Collagen type V, a heterotrimeric microfibrillar protein consisting of two α1(V) subunits and one α2(V) subunit, can crosslink with collagen type I and modify its fibrillation pattern and tensile strength\textsuperscript{83}. The abundance of these ECM components can differ based on the depot\textsuperscript{70,71} as well as within diseased adipose tissue\textsuperscript{74,79,84}.

Pre-adipocytes, ASCs, adipocytes, and fibroblasts synthesize the ECM\textsuperscript{15,74}, while other cells such as macrophages and endothelial cells regulate ECM synthesis and remodeling\textsuperscript{1,85}. While the ECM plays an instructive role for cell behavior, cell-ECM interactions are bidirectional; cells themselves can regulate ECM synthesis and remodeling. Cell attachment to the ECM occurs through surface receptors, called integrins\textsuperscript{86}. Integrins are mechanosensitive, and when activated, trigger a cascade of intracellular signaling through the microtubule and actin filaments of the cell cytoskeleton\textsuperscript{69}. Cells can then respond to their microenvironment by secreting factors that can alter their surroundings. For example, cells can secrete matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs) and
disintegrin and metalloprotease (ADAMs) family members, which can enzymatically degrade structural components of the ECM to allow for cellular migration, as well as ECM-bound growth factors and matricellular proteins which can regulate angiogenesis, adipogenesis and adipose tissue homeostasis. The activity of these enzymes is in turn regulated by the secretion of tissue inhibitors of metalloproteinases (TIMPs) and other inhibitors. Thus, the balance between these molecules can shift depending on the tissue state in terms of the need for remodeling and/or expansion.

1.5 Clinical options to restore soft tissue defects

Soft connective tissue, like adipose tissue, has a limited capacity for repair and regeneration following physical trauma, oncologic resections, congenital defects, and burns. There have been several medical advances and surgical techniques utilized in the plastic and reconstructive surgical fields that either mimic or rebuild the afflicted areas. These developments can be categorized based on the defect volume.

Cosmetic procedures can be performed to correct small volume defects through the injection of 1) autologous fat grafts or 2) dermal fillers made of synthetic or naturally-derived materials. Autologous fat grafting through lipofilling is a surgical procedure whereby fat from another depot in the patient’s body is removed through liposuction and grafted into the recipient site. By transferring a patient’s own fat, this technique fills the defect and promotes a soft and natural aesthetic with a low risk of pathogen transmission and immunological rejection. While fat grafting may result in some permanent volume restoration, the persistence of the fat graft is often unpredictable, with volume retention varying between 30-90%. This variability can be due to the lack of a suitable supply of blood vessels to support the grafted tissue, leading to cell necrosis, graft resorption, and complications including fibrosis, calcifications, or oil cysts. The outcomes of this technique are also heavily reliant on the surgeon’s skill, frequently requiring repeated supplementary injections to maintain the correction.

As a non-surgical alternative to fill small volume deficits, dermal fillers are a popular, more expedient option that do not require a recovery period. Synthetic materials can be used to fill defect sites, such as calcium hydroxylapatite, polymethylmethacrylate (PMMA) beads, or poly-l-lactic acid (PLLA). However, the use of natural materials, such as human or bovine sourced
collagen, and more commonly, hyaluronic acid, are preferred as they have mechanical properties that are more similar to the native tissues and are associated with fewer complications, such as fibrosis. Fillers can be manufactured to come in an array of options that differ based on their crosslinking density and/or particle size. The range of clinical options allows for the matching of material properties of the filler with that of the injection site in order to promote a more natural feel and contour. In comparison to fat grafting, fillers produce a more predictable and consistent natural contour when filling small volume voids. A major drawback, however, is that most dermal fillers are not permanent and are resorbed by the body after 3-6 months. As such, repeated injections are necessary to maintain the volume. Further, the use of these fillers would not be practical for larger deficits (such as during breast reconstruction) as they are expensive.

In the case of larger soft tissue voids, such as in post-mastectomy breast reconstruction surgeries, patients can receive synthetic implants filled with silicone or saline. Additional tissue support in the form of the patient’s own tissue or an acellular cadaveric or porcine dermal matrix may be needed for proper coverage of the implant or positioning against the muscle. These implants provide aesthetic support, but do not hold any functional use and are meant to be temporary devices. Further, these devices carry a risk of fibrous encapsulation that can cause further problems such as pain, asymmetry in the surrounding tissue, implant migration, and implant rupture, resulting in a revisional surgery. An alternative option for large volume reconstruction is to surgically transfer autologous, vascularized flaps of skin, fat, and muscle from either the abdomen or back to the recipient site. Reconstruction using the patient’s own tissues can provide a more natural looking contour and allow for the permanent restoration of soft tissue defects in comparison to reconstruction using implants. However, these procedures are often long, costly, risk additional complications, require long recovery periods, and can cause donor site morbidity.

In summary, the current strategies for soft tissue repair or augmentation, especially for large volumes, focus on using materials to temporarily fill defects, but they ultimately fail to regenerate the missing adipose tissue. Alternatively, invasive surgical procedures can be utilized to graft autologous fat tissues, but outcomes depend on patients having sufficient tissue and there is a notable risk of complications and donor site morbidity. The dearth of suitable clinical
substitutes to predictably and permanently fill soft tissue defects has highlighted the need for novel tissue-engineering strategies that can promote stable, long-term in situ adipose tissue regeneration.

1.6 Adipose tissue engineering strategies

In order to address the issues associated with the currently available soft tissue substitutes, the field of tissue engineering may offer novel, promising strategies. Creating implants that can permanently regenerate fat tissue while maintaining volume over time could reduce the number of revisional surgical procedures needed for soft tissue reconstruction. These approaches could enable a more predictable outcome, improve the well-being of patients, and be more cost-effective overall.

While keeping this goal in mind, it is important to note that the growth and expansion of adipose tissue is coupled with angiogenesis, a process where new blood vessels develop from pre-existing ones within the surrounding tissues. When examining the growth of epididymal fat pads in maturing mice, a dense vascular network at the tip of the fat pad was observed to rapidly expand, with new adipocytes developing from within the network in a spatiotemporal manner. In the case of existing adipose tissue, expansion occurs through increases in adipocyte size, as well as in the number of adipocytes. However, it has been shown that the delivery of anti-angiogenic agents in a dose-dependent manner can decrease endothelial cell proliferation, increase apoptosis, and induce a reversible weight reduction in obese mice. These examples relate back to the fact that adipocytes within native adipose tissue are found in close contact with the surrounding vasculature. The absence of a functional vascular network can impede the survival of adipocytes, which is seen in autologous fat grafts that resorb over time. Eto et al. reported that only peripheral adipocytes located within 300 µm of the graft periphery survived within autologous lipoaspirates implanted in immunocompetent C57BL/6J mice, and that adipocytes located deeper within the graft died soon after implantation. Taken together, employing strategies that can stimulate or facilitate the production of a stable vasculature is a necessary design consideration for adipose tissue regeneration.

A common strategy in the tissue-engineering field is to fabricate implants in the form of 3-D porous foams, sponges, microspheres, fibers, or hydrogels. These biomaterial-based strategies
often incorporate the delivery of therapeutic drugs and/or growth factors in order to induce angiogenesis and/or adipogenesis in vivo\textsuperscript{3,4}. Common pro-angiogenic and pro-adipogenic growth factors that have been investigated include hepatocyte growth factor (HGF), basic fibroblast growth factor (bFGF), insulin-like growth factor 1 (IGF-1), and vascular endothelial growth factor-A (VEGF-A)\textsuperscript{4,109}. In general, growth factors are limited by their stability and short circulating half-life\textsuperscript{110}. Moreover, the scale-up of these therapeutic molecules is associated with poor recombinant yields, issues with protein purification, and high production costs\textsuperscript{110}. The implementation of growth factors in a clinical setting may also be hindered by the fact that the effects of the growth factor end once the payload is completely delivered, possibly necessitating the injection of multiple boluses until the desired volume is achieved.

As a result, biomaterial-based delivery of therapeutic cell populations is an alternative strategy that has gained attention. In particular, the delivery of stromal cell populations has been found to be a promising approach to coordinate adipose regeneration by either directly differentiating into neo-adipocytes, or by indirectly producing pro-regenerative factors at the site of implantation\textsuperscript{25,109}. The cell sources used for adipose tissue engineering will be further discussed in Section 1.7.

To facilitate the successful delivery of these cell populations in vivo, the rational design of a 3-D porous biomaterial scaffold must:

1. Provide a structural and mechanical framework for new adipose tissue growth, ECM deposition, and blood vessel formation\textsuperscript{3}.
2. Permit the diffusion of gases, nutrients, and waste exchange\textsuperscript{3}.
3. Facilitate cell-biomaterial and cell-cell interactions by supporting cell adhesion, proliferation, survival, migration, and differentiation\textsuperscript{3}.
4. Allow for biodegradation at a rate that matches the rate of neo-adipose formation\textsuperscript{3}.
5. Not elicit a chronic inflammatory response or cytotoxicity in vivo\textsuperscript{3}.

1.7 Cell sources for soft tissue regeneration

To date, several options have been used for the sourcing of therapeutic cell populations for soft tissue regeneration. In order for the cell population of interest to be a viable option for clinical
use, the cells must be readily accessible, abundant, and they should have the capacity to contribute to soft tissue repair and regeneration when grafted\textsuperscript{3,109}. Autologous adipocytes are an abundant source of cells, however, they are terminally-differentiated and have been shown to possess a limited capability to proliferate\textsuperscript{111}. Adipocytes have also been shown to be sensitive to ischemic conditions and require a stable blood vessel network in order to survive, limiting their application in soft tissue regeneration\textsuperscript{38,108}. Pluripotent stem cells such as induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs) are another potential autologous source of cells, as they can be derived by reprogramming a patient’s own somatic cells\textsuperscript{112}. These cells are promising because they can be expanded and remain undifferentiated in culture, and they possess the ability to differentiate into cells belonging to all three embryonic germ layers\textsuperscript{112}. However, these cells carry a risk of stimulating teratoma formation \textit{in vivo}, and the methods of reprogramming the cells to gain pluripotency are limited by safety, efficiency, and cost concerns\textsuperscript{112}.

Tissue-specific stromal cell populations harvested from adipose tissue represent an attractive alternative, as they are more abundant and accessible as compared to bmMSCs, which are harvested from bone marrow using more invasive procedures that pose greater risks for donor-site morbidity\textsuperscript{113}. When compared to bmMSCs, ASCs have been reported to have a greater therapeutic capacity for immunomodulation\textsuperscript{114,115} and a similar capacity for stimulating angiogenesis\textsuperscript{113}. A donor-matched comparison of the tri-lineage differentiation potential of these cells found that ASCs showed greater adipogenic capacity, while bmMSCs were more predisposed towards the osteogenic and chondrogenic lineages\textsuperscript{116}.

An increasing number of studies have either used the freshly isolated, unprocessed, heterogenous SVF cell population, or the expanded subpopulation of ASCs found within the SVF for adipose tissue-engineering applications. ASCs are a more homogenous population of cells as compared to SVF isolates, however both cell populations exhibit pro-adipogenic, pro-angiogenic, and immunomodulatory properties\textsuperscript{4,24,117,118}.

Unfortunately, few studies have compared the therapeutic ability of ASC populations versus SVF cells in the context of soft tissue regeneration. Though other studies have compared their use in bone\textsuperscript{119}, renal\textsuperscript{120}, myocardial\textsuperscript{121}, and nerve\textsuperscript{122} tissue engineering and have demonstrated that SVF cells have a comparable therapeutic capacity when compared to ASCs\textsuperscript{119–122}. However,
the heterogeneity of the cell populations in SVF is not conducive for long-term culture and expansion, limiting their clinical use to one-time, same-day intraoperative procedures\textsuperscript{119}. ASCs by comparison can be expanded in culture to obtain large yields and be banked for future use\textsuperscript{123}. Moreover, ASCs may exhibit immunoprivilege properties, as they have been reported to lack the expression of human leukocyte antigen – DR isotype (HLA-DR) and have demonstrated the ability to inhibit activated lymphocyte proliferation\textsuperscript{124}. This may broaden ASC sourcing to include both autologous and allogeneic sources. Therefore, ASCs are an attractive and robust option for use in soft tissue-engineering strategies.

1.7.1 ASC-based strategies for soft tissue regeneration

There has been a substantial amount of evidence supporting the use of ASCs in soft tissue regeneration applications. ASCs are commonly delivered through injections or through seeding onto biomaterial implants or fat grafts and then subcutaneously implanted in various pre-clinical models. Common animal models employed include small rodent models such as immunocompetent or immunodeficient mice and rats\textsuperscript{4}. After grafting, tracking studies have employed immunohistochemical techniques, potentially in combination with imaging modalities, to identify labeled ASCs in the surrounding tissues and evaluate their retention over time\textsuperscript{125–127}. Additional histological and/or immunohistochemical analyses have been performed to assess implant or fat graft volume retention, neo-adipocyte and blood vessels formation, as well as the phenotype of infiltrating cell populations, with unseeded constructs serving as controls \textsuperscript{128–131}.

In general, ASCs have the ability to promote the survival and retention of fat grafts \textit{in vivo}, as well as the potential to stimulate blood vessel formation and neo-adipocyte formation in biomaterial implants\textsuperscript{3,4,132}. However, limited studies have quantitatively assessed the retention of delivered ASC populations over time. When luciferase\textsuperscript{+}GFP\textsuperscript{+} ASCs were directly injected into an ischemic adipose tissue mouse model, there was an increase in vascular density and an upregulation of the pro-angiogenic factors HGF and VEGF despite bioluminescence imaging showing an overall decline in luciferase\textsuperscript{+} cells over time\textsuperscript{133}. Other studies have reported fluorescently or nanoparticle-labeled ASC populations incorporated into lipoaspirates\textsuperscript{134}, vascularized tissue-engineering chambers\textsuperscript{135}, and ischemic hindlimbs\textsuperscript{136} have shown similar limitations in the long term retention of donor ASC populations, despite the presence of new blood vessel networks. Even though the exact mechanisms behind \textit{in vivo} ASC-mediated tissue
regeneration remain to be fully elucidated, these studies suggest grafted ASCs indirectly contribute to regeneration through paracrine secretions.

Various studies probing the ASC secretome have found that ASCs can establish a pro-regenerative niche through the secretion of pro-angiogenic growth factors (e.g. VEGF, HGF, bFGF)\textsuperscript{4,137}, immunomodulatory cytokines (e.g. IL-6, IL-8, IL-10, IL-13)\textsuperscript{138} and chemotactic factors (e.g. macrophage colony-stimulating factor (MCSF), granulocyte/macrophage colony-stimulating factor (G-MCSF), monocyte chemotactic protein-1/CCL2)\textsuperscript{138}. Of particular interest is the ability for ASCs to stimulate angiogenesis when delivered \textit{in vivo}. ASCs have been reported to survive ischemic environments and upregulate pro-angiogenic and anti-apoptotic factors such as VEGF, bFGF, and HGF\textsuperscript{139,140}. ASCs have also been shown to stimulate and stabilize endothelial cell network formation \textit{in vitro}, although this was dependent on the direct contact between these two cell types\textsuperscript{141–143}.

There is also a growing body of evidence that suggests ASCs can further establish a pro-regenerative microenvironment through the secretion of immunomodulatory factors that regulate immune cells including B and T cells\textsuperscript{144}, dendritic cells\textsuperscript{145}, and monocytes/macrophages\textsuperscript{146,147}. For example, the addition of ASCs to fat grafts was shown to improve graft volume in athymic mice, and it also caused a decrease in mRNA expression of the pro-inflammatory markers IL-1β and IL-6, along with an increase in the pro-regenerative markers CD163 and CD206\textsuperscript{118}.

ASC interactions with macrophages are of particular interest as it is now known that macrophages are involved in several wound healing and tissue repair processes\textsuperscript{148}. ASCs delivered \textit{in vivo} into a murine ear-punch dermal regeneration model using C57BL/6-Tg mice have shown enhanced macrophage recruitment towards injection sites\textsuperscript{149,150}. Additionally, ASCs can promote a shift in macrophage phenotype from a more pro-inflammatory, or “M1-like” phenotype, towards a more pro-regenerative or “M2-like” phenotype, described in more detail in the next section\textsuperscript{146,147,151,152}. These interactions are bi-directional, as M2 macrophages were reported to stimulate the proliferation of MSC populations, while M1 macrophages inhibited their proliferation \textit{in vitro}\textsuperscript{153}. It is important to note, however, that ASC paracrine factor secretion is highly context dependent, as it is strongly influenced by the cellular microenvironment. For example, after ASCs were serum starved and cultured in media containing TNF-α, there was
greater secretion of pro-inflammatory cytokines and chemokines such as IL-6, IL-8, chemokine (C-X-C motif) ligand 6 (CXCL6), and CCL2 than as compared to serum starved ASCs cultured without TNF-α. This conditioned media stimulated the in vitro migration of human monocytes. Taken together, this means that the rational design of the ASC microenvironment should be mindful of ASC survival, while also conditioning the secretion of pro-angiogenic and immunomodulatory factors when delivered in vivo.

1.7.2 Macrophage-based strategies for soft tissue regeneration

Macrophages are phagocytic cells belonging to the innate immune system that contribute to a range of functions, including pathogen removal, inflammation, tissue homeostasis, wound repair and healing. Most tissues in the body contain macrophage populations, and genetic lineage tracing studies have revealed that the macrophages in the body exist as either tissue-specific macrophages, originating from cell populations of the embryonic yolk sac and fetal liver, or as macrophages derived from precursor cells called monocytes, which originate from the bone marrow.

Tissue-resident macrophages such as microglia, Langerhans cells, and Kupffer cells, have the capacity for proliferation and function to maintain tissue homeostasis in response to cues provided by the local tissue microenvironment. Macrophages originating from the bone marrow instead come from a common myeloid progenitor (CMP), which undergoes differentiation along the myeloid lineage after binding to colony-stimulating factors (CSFs) such as granulocyte macrophage colony stimulating factor (GM-CSF), macrophage colony stimulating factor (M-CSF) and granulocyte colony stimulating factor (G-CSF). Eventually, these cells differentiate into monocytes via the transcription factor PU.1, and exit the bone marrow niche in order to enter into the circulation. In response to inflammatory signals, monocytes extravasate into tissues and begin to differentiate into macrophages. In the context of wound healing or biomaterial implantation, it is thought that the tissue-resident macrophages are the first to respond to injuries or implants, and then they facilitate the recruitment of other macrophage populations including monocyte-derived macrophages through various chemotactic factors.
Macrophages are highly plastic cells that can respond to various external microenvironmental signals\textsuperscript{163}. Under controlled \textit{in vitro} conditions, macrophages can be stimulated to exhibit a more pro-inflammatory “M1-like” phenotype or a more pro-regenerative “M2-like” phenotype as described below\textsuperscript{164}. When considering \textit{in vivo} conditions, there are numerous factors that can serve as stimuli to activate or “polarize” macrophages towards various phenotypes, resulting in a wide spectrum of unique macrophage surface marker expression and secretory profiles\textsuperscript{165,166}. Regardless, the M1/M2 paradigm still remains useful for describing the differing functions of macrophages during tissue repair and regeneration\textsuperscript{167,168}. This also highlights how macrophage function and phenotype remain highly context-dependent, and emphasizes the importance of clearly reporting the \textit{in vitro} and \textit{in vivo} conditions in which these cells are isolated, cultured, or examined\textsuperscript{167}.

Pro-inflammatory or “classically-activated” M1 macrophages are activated by pro-inflammatory signals such as interferon-\(\gamma\) (IFN-\(\gamma\)), lipopolysaccharide (LPS), and tumor necrosis factor-\(\alpha\) (TNF-\(\alpha\)), and upregulate and secrete pro-inflammatory cytokines (e.g. IL-1, IL-6, IL-23, and TNF-\(\alpha\)), superoxide anions, and oxygen and nitrogen radicals to aid in pathogen and necrotic tissue clearance\textsuperscript{169,155}. M1 macrophages also show an upregulation of inducible nitric oxide synthase (iNOS), an enzyme which metabolizes arginine into nitric oxide (NO) and citrulline\textsuperscript{170}. A study by Novak \textit{et al.} showed that the addition of allogeneic pro-inflammatory macrophages improved skeletal muscle regeneration outcomes following injury in mice\textsuperscript{171}. While M1 macrophages are a necessary component of wound healing and angiogenesis\textsuperscript{168}, a prolonged M1 response can lead to chronic inflammation and damage to the surrounding tissues\textsuperscript{172}.

In contrast, anti-inflammatory or “alternatively-activated” M2 macrophages play an important role in healthy adipose tissue homeostasis and the clearance of apoptotic cells\textsuperscript{173}. More broadly, M2 macrophages show increased arginase-1 (Arg-1) expression, an enzyme that hydrolyzes arginine into ornithine and urea, thereby limiting arginine availability to produce NO\textsuperscript{170}. Further classifications into M2 subsets have been developed based on cellular function, surface receptor expression, and the exogenous factors that induce polarization\textsuperscript{174,175}. The M2a subset, activated by IL-4 and IL-13, is thought to contribute to wound stabilization, extracellular matrix deposition, cell migration and proliferation after the influx of M1 macrophages during the early stages of wound healing\textsuperscript{156}. The M2b subset has been shown to be activated by immune
complexes and toll-like receptor agonists and play a role in the suppression of the pro-inflammatory response by upregulating immunoregulatory cytokines such as IL-10\textsuperscript{176}. In contrast, the M2c subset is activated by IL-10, and is involved in ECM synthesis and tissue remodeling\textsuperscript{177}.

Macrophages are an attractive cell population to employ in a cell-based soft tissue regeneration strategy because they are involved in blood vessel sprouting\textsuperscript{178,179}. Lilja et al. found that when Matrigel supplemented with Zymosan to induce sterile inflammation was implanted into C57Bl/6 mice within a tissue engineering chamber system, there was an upregulation in the gene expression of chemotactic proteins including monocyte chemoattractant protein (MCP)-1 at 0.5 and 2 days, as well as the recruitment of myeloid cells originating from the bone marrow at 7 days\textsuperscript{180}. This was followed by the development of neovascularization and neo-fat tissue after 14 days\textsuperscript{180}. Later studies showed that depleting the infiltrating macrophage population using Clodronate liposomes showed minimal presence of CD31\textsuperscript{+} cells within the implant, as well as limited expression of adipogenic markers, highlighting the close relationship between inflammation, angiogenesis, and adipogenesis\textsuperscript{181}. Additional in vitro studies have postulated that different macrophage subsets may play different roles in the context of angiogenesis including the promotion of blood vessel sprouting (M1 subset), increasing vascular remodeling (M2c subset), the promotion of blood vessel fusion (M2a subset), and the recruitment of pericytes (M2a subset)\textsuperscript{182}.

There is a growing interest in further implementing macrophage-based therapies for soft tissue regeneration, extending from the current understanding of their roles in wound healing and angiogenesis. There have been a growing number of studies that have observed that in vivo adipose regeneration coincides with a shift in macrophage phenotype from an M1-like phenotype to an M2-like phenotype\textsuperscript{151,165,183,184}. To date, there have been few animal studies in which exogenously-prepared macrophages were directly delivered in vivo to assess their therapeutic efficacy in the context of soft tissue regeneration. One study performed by Phipps et al., utilized in vitro prepared macrophages that were harvested through intraperitoneal injection of Brewer’s thioglycolate, followed by subsequent stimulation with MCSF and IL-6\textsuperscript{185}. The generated cells showed an M2-like phenotype as indicated by an upregulation in arg1 and il10 mRNA and downregulation of inos and il12 mRNA. Fat grafts supplemented with these M2-like
macrophages were delivered in vivo into immunocompetent C57Bl/6 mice and showed greater
graft retention and blood vessel density, as well as increased levels of infiltrating F4/80^+CD11b^+  
macrophages as compared to phosphate-buffered saline supplemented controls. Thus, there is
a need for more studies to assess the delivery of exogenously-prepared macrophage therapies in
order support strategic use of these cells.

1.8 Biomaterials for soft tissue regeneration

1.8.1 Synthetic biomaterials

A range of synthetic polymer biomaterial implants have been designed to promote adipose tissue
regeneration. Synthetic biomaterials have the advantage of having more readily tailorable
mechanical and chemical properties, which is important as numerous studies have shown that
matching the scaffold stiffness with that of native adipose tissue (2-4 kPa) can stimulate
adipogenesis.

Polymers such as such as poly(lactic-co-glycolic acid) (PLGA), polyglycolic acid
(PGA), and polylactic acid (PLA), have been widely utilized as cell and/or growth factor
delivery platforms for adipose regeneration. A common strategy that was employed was to seed
pre-adipocytes or ASCs directly onto PLGA scaffolds and then pre-differentiating them towards
the adipogenic lineage in vitro before delivering them in vivo into immunodeficient
mice. This strategy allowed for significantly more adipose tissue formation after 2
months as compared to when the cell populations were injected on their own. Interestingly,
Patrick et al. delivered male rat pre-adipocytes into the dorsa of immunocompetent Lewis rats by
using PLGA foams and histologically evaluated adipose formation within the constructs between
1-12 months. They found that pre-adipocyte-seeded PLGA foams formed significantly more
adipose tissue than acellular foams, with peak neo-adipose tissue formation occurring at 2
months. However, the volume of adipose tissue formed decreased dramatically after this time
frame due to scaffold resorption. Polyester biomaterial degradation can be modified in a
number of ways by changing their method of fabrication, molecular weight, crystallinity, or ratio
of copolymers. These polymers degrade through hydrolysis and they generate byproducts,
such as lactic acid and glycolic acid, which are non-toxic and can be cleared by the body.
However, a build-up of these byproducts can alter the pH of the local tissue microenvironment and cause adverse tissue and inflammatory reactions\textsuperscript{202,203}.

In addition to solid polymer networks, hydrogel constructs have also been widely explored for adipose tissue engineering. Hydrogels are highly hydrophilic polymer networks that can be physically or chemically cross-linked and can be made into an injectable format. The material properties of hydrogels can be modified with the addition of chemical crosslinkers\textsuperscript{204}, as adding more crosslinks will create stiffer, denser scaffolds that degrade more slowly. In addition, the properties can be tuned by forming interpenetrating polymer networks or double networks\textsuperscript{183,205}. Polyethylene glycol (PEG)-based hydrogels are a common biomaterial employed due to their tunable properties\textsuperscript{206}. PEG biomaterials are known to be biologically inert, and like many other synthetic polymer systems, lack innate bioactivity, limiting their capacity to promote tissue regeneration\textsuperscript{25}. To address this, strategies have been developed to incorporate ECM proteins, such as collagen, fibronectin, vitronectin and laminin, to make hybrid constructs\textsuperscript{207}. Alternatively, polymers can be modified with small bioactive peptide ligands, such as the RGD, IKVAV or YIGSR peptide sequences, to promote cell adhesion\textsuperscript{208,209}. Brandl et al modified PEG hydrogels by adding YIGSR peptide sequences, as well as collagenase-sensitive peptides, allowing the scaffolds to be enzymatically degraded\textsuperscript{210}. The group demonstrated that 3T3-L1 preadipocytes cultured in the hydrogels showed higher levels of intracellular triglyceride accumulation and the promotion of a unilocular adipocyte morphology in comparison to non-degradable hydrogel controls\textsuperscript{210}.

1.8.2 Naturally-derived biomaterials

Unlike synthetic materials, naturally-derived biomaterials are made from components extracted from living organisms. This class of biomaterials offers the advantage of being inherently bioactive and in general do not elicit chronic immune responses \textit{in vivo}\textsuperscript{168}. This group of biomaterials includes biopolymers not found in the human body, such as silk\textsuperscript{211–213}, chitosan\textsuperscript{128,214–216}, and alginate\textsuperscript{217–219}, which can be fabricated into 3-D constructs such as sponges, fibers, hydrogels and microspheres. For example, chitosan is a linear, polysaccharide obtained from crustaceans, which is biodegradable, anti-microbial, and supportive of ASC viability and proliferation\textsuperscript{195,214–216}. Wang et al. fabricated hybrid porous poly(L-glutamic acid)-chitosan scaffolds that supported the proliferation of ASCs \textit{in vitro}\textsuperscript{195}. They found that delivering
pre-differentiated ASC-seeded constructs into severe combined immunodeficient (SCID) mice enhanced macroscopic vascularization, retention of scaffold volume, and quantitatively greater levels of lipid accumulation after 6 weeks in vivo, in comparison to unseeded controls. When pre-adipocytes were seeded onto glutaraldehyde cross-linked collagen/chitosan hydrogels and subsequently implanted into immunocompetent Lewis rats, there was a similar increase in cell infiltration, blood vessel density, and lipid accumulation as compared to acellular scaffolds after 7 days in vivo. However, this was followed by a slight decrease in blood vessel density and adiposity after 14 days. The reason for this was unclear, but the authors suggested it was due to a high degree of secreted ECM or due to the slow degradative properties of the scaffold.

Naturally-derived biomaterials can also be sourced from extracted ECM components, including collagen and hyaluronic acid. In particular, collagen and its derivatives have been demonstrated to be supportive of in vitro ASC attachment and in vivo blood vessel and fat formation. Mauney et al. compared the ability of collagen, PLA, and silk fibroin scaffolds to support in vitro and in vivo adipogenesis of bmMSCs and ASCs. While both cell types showed comparable levels of metabolic activity and lipid accumulation when seeded onto collagen scaffolds in vitro, the unseeded, bmMSC, and ASC-seeded collagen and PLA scaffolds completely resorbed after 4 weeks in vivo in athymic nude rats. The silk fibroin scaffolds in comparison, showed retention of both the scaffold structure and of the ASC and bmMSC populations, and supported in vivo adipogenesis, emphasizing the importance of controlling the scaffold degradation rate. Numerous studies have shown that collagen and other naturally-derived materials lack suitable mechanical and degradative properties to sustain implant structural integrity over time. In order to improve the stability of collagen-based constructs, other studies have employed methods to physically or chemically crosslink collagen. Non-crosslinked collagen has shown extensive contraction and resorption in vivo, while crosslinked collagen is notably stiffer, has a slower in vivo degradation profile, and has been associated with increased levels of in vivo cellular infiltration and angiogenesis. Taken together, this highlights the importance of the physical degradative properties of biomaterials, which can affect the retention of delivered cell populations as well as the development of an adequate vascular supply.
1.8.3 Decellularized biomaterials

While the previously mentioned “bottom-up” fabrication strategies have largely focused on developing hybrid biomaterial constructs composed of one or two structural ECM components in combination with a synthetic polymer, these strategies fail to fully recapitulate the unique, tissue-specific composition and material properties found in the native ECM\textsuperscript{127}. Tissue decellularization is an alternative option that utilizes a “top-down” fabrication strategy in which tissue or organ samples are processed in order remove the antigenic cellular and nuclear material, while preserving the ECM components as much as possible\textsuperscript{233}. Tissue processing often includes a series of chemical, physical, and/or enzymatic treatments in order to disrupt the native tissue structure enough to facilitate the removal of cellular material\textsuperscript{233}. Decellularized biomaterials are often used in either their intact form\textsuperscript{234–236} or are manipulated in such a way that they can be fabricated into hydrogels\textsuperscript{131}, foams\textsuperscript{237,238}, microcarriers\textsuperscript{239}, or sheets\textsuperscript{240,241} depending on the application of interest. Broadly speaking, decellularized biomaterials have biochemical, biophysical, and biomechanical properties that can mimic their native tissue source, and they have the added advantage of being innately bioactive and biodegradable\textsuperscript{242}. The method of tissue processing can affect how much of the native tissue composition and ultrastructure is preserved, which in turn can promote or hinder downstream remodeling and regeneration outcomes\textsuperscript{242}.

Owing to their innate bioactivity and tissue-specific biochemical and biomechanical properties, various types of decellularized biomaterials have been employed as ASC delivery platforms for soft tissue regeneration applications. ASC-seeded decellularized dermis\textsuperscript{243–245}, small intestinal submucosa (SIS)\textsuperscript{191}, skin/adipose flaps\textsuperscript{246}, and placenta\textsuperscript{235} have demonstrated \textit{in vivo} ASC retention\textsuperscript{191,243–245}, neo-vascularization\textsuperscript{191,235,243–245}, and in a few cases, neo-adipocyte formation\textsuperscript{235,246}. Wang, J.Q. \textit{et al.} found that ASCs seeded onto human decellularized adipose tissue microparticles and porcine small intestine submucosa (SIS) microparticles had greater \textit{in vitro} metabolic activity when compared to ASCs cultured on tissue culture polystyrene\textsuperscript{247}. However, when implanted into nude mice, the ASC-seeded human adipose tissue extract microparticles showed greater levels of vascularization and neo-adipocyte formation than porcine SIS microparticles\textsuperscript{247}. This highlights how strategies utilizing decellularized biomaterials should consider matching the source of ECM used to fabricate the scaffolds with the application in question.
1.8.4 Decellularized adipose tissue

In previous work, the Flynn lab has pioneered the design of the decellularized adipose tissue (DAT) technology\textsuperscript{234}. Though human fat is rich in ECM, it is routinely discarded as surgical waste, making it an attractive option to fabricate an off-the-shelf bioscaffold for soft tissue regeneration applications\textsuperscript{127,234}. The Flynn lab method of adipose decellularization utilizes a detergent-free protocol that includes mechanical disruption to increase the tissue surface area, freeze-thaw cycles in a hypotonic buffer to initiate cell lysis, multiple rinses in isopropanol to remove lipid content from the tissue, and enzymatic digestion with trypsin-EDTA, DNase, RNase and lipase to enhance the extraction of cells and intracellular components\textsuperscript{234}. The ECM that is extracted is then frozen in deionized water and lyophilized, resulting in a 3-D porous bioscaffold that preserves the complex microarchitecture and composition of native adipose tissue\textsuperscript{234}. Biochemically, DAT bioscaffolds retain structural ECM proteins such as collagen I and IV, laminin, fibronectin, elastin, GAGs, and proteoglycans\textsuperscript{234,248}. High throughput mass spectrometry-based techniques were employed to compare the tissue-specific protein content and abundance between DAT and decellularized cancellous bone (DCB) bioscaffolds\textsuperscript{241}. Our study found that in comparison to DCB, DAT contained a diverse array of bioactive ECM components such as soluble growth factors, matrikines, pro-adipogenic proteins, and residual intracellular proteins\textsuperscript{241}. This has complemented other studies that have demonstrated that DAT-based bioscaffolds provide a tissue-specific platform to support \textit{in vitro} ASC proliferation and differentiation along the adipogenic lineage\textsuperscript{234,237,239,249}.

To further recapitulate the native adipose tissue micro-niche, the Flynn lab has investigated the cellular mechanisms underlying the use of DAT bioscaffolds as a cellular delivery system for allogeneic ASCs. We have found that seeding the scaffolds with ASCs augmented remodeling of the DAT bioscaffolds into host-derived fat relative to unseeded controls in an immunocompetent Wistar rat model\textsuperscript{165,237}. There was further evidence that the ASC-seeded DAT bioscaffolds had established a pro-regenerative milieu, which included an increase in angiogenesis over time, upregulation of IL-10 expression at early time points, and a shift towards a greater fraction of macrophages with an M2-like, pro-regenerative phenotype\textsuperscript{165}. Interestingly, Wang\textit{ et al.} seeded ASCs onto DAT microparticles that were processed using a different decellularization protocol, and delivered them along with human fat grafts, subcutaneously into nude Fischer 344 rats\textsuperscript{250}. 
Over time, both the ASC-seeded DAT microparticles and the fat graft showed a decrease in the total number of nucleated cells, with a greater fraction of host cells present within the implants over time\textsuperscript{250}. Further immunohistochemical analysis of the infiltrating cell population at 4 weeks found that the cells were negative for macrophage phenotype markers CD68, CD80, and CD163, but a small fraction of cells were positive for T cell markers, CD4 and CD8. After 4 weeks, neo-adipocyte formation was observed in both groups, with some adipocytes having been derived from donor ASC populations\textsuperscript{250}. However, the vascularization in the ASC-seeded DAT microparticles was higher in comparison to the fat graft after 2 weeks \textit{in vivo}, but then significantly decreased after 4 weeks, while vascularization of the fat grafts was maintained beyond 4 weeks\textsuperscript{250}. While this study demonstrated that ASC-seeded DAT constructs were able to stimulate \textit{in vivo} neovascularization and implant remodeling into neo-fat tissue similar to our previous study\textsuperscript{165}, the phenotype of the infiltrating cell population lacked any macrophage marker expression\textsuperscript{250}. This points to the differences in the mechanisms of ASC-mediated regeneration between immunocompetent and immunodeficient rat models.

In more recent studies performed in the Flynn lab, syngeneic murine ASCs derived from mice from a genetically similar genetic background, were seeded onto DAT bioscaffolds and subcutaneously implanted in the dorsa of immunocompetent MacGreen mice, in which all of the myeloid cells express EGFP\textsuperscript{251}. ASC-seeded DAT implants showed significantly higher levels of cell infiltration at 8 weeks post-implantation as compared to unseeded controls\textsuperscript{251}. The ASC-seeded implants also showed higher levels of implant remodeling into fat, and immunohistochemical staining confirmed that even though the donor ASCs had been retained after 8 weeks \textit{in vivo}, the new adipocytes were entirely host-derived\textsuperscript{251}. Furthermore, Iba-1\textsuperscript{+} macrophage recruitment was observed to be greater in the ASC-seeded implants, with peak levels observed at 3 weeks\textsuperscript{251}. However, the overall levels of Iba-1\textsuperscript{+} macrophages declined over time\textsuperscript{251}. Qualitatively, the infiltrating myeloid cell population also appeared to express high levels of the pro-inflammatory marker, iNOS, relative to the expression of the pro-regenerative marker, Arg-1\textsuperscript{251}. However, there were no notable qualitative differences in the levels of iNOS and Arg-1 expression found between ASC-seeded and unseeded implants\textsuperscript{251}. 
1.9 Project overview, hypothesis, and specific aims

1.9.1 Project overview

Adipose is a loose, soft connective tissue distributed throughout the body in different depots that helps to define the natural contours of the body\textsuperscript{3,4}. Unfortunately, fat, like many other tissues in the body, has a limited capability for repair and regeneration\textsuperscript{3}. The absence of soft tissue can lead to further complications such as scar formation, contracture, and possible loss of function\textsuperscript{3,4}. In the case of large soft tissue deficits, the surgical options for repair or reconstruction are limited to grafting autologous soft tissue flaps. However, these procedures are often expensive, require a large amount of soft tissue to create the graft, and they risk additional complications and donor site morbidity\textsuperscript{3,102,105}.

In order to address these clinical issues, there has been interest in designing tissue-specific constructs to permanently regenerate soft tissue and maintain volume over time. The development of decellularized adipose tissue (DAT) derived from human fat is a promising off-the-shelf bioscaffold that can be used to deliver therapeutic ASC populations\textsuperscript{234,237,239,249}. In an \textit{in vivo} immunocompetent Wistar rat model, subcutaneous implantation of allogeneic ASC-seeded DAT was demonstrated to augment the constructive remodeling of the implant into host-derived fat\textsuperscript{165}. ASC-seeding is postulated to contribute to the establishment of a pro-regenerative microenvironment, including 1) the development of a supportive vasculature, and 2) a shift towards a pro-regenerative macrophage phenotype\textsuperscript{165}. In later studies using an immunocompetent MacGreen murine model, subcutaneous implantation of syngeneic ASC-seeded DAT in the dorsa showed augmented host-derived neo-fat formation, as well as an increase in infiltrating macrophage populations\textsuperscript{251}.

Other animal studies have revealed a close relationship between adipogenesis, angiogenesis, and inflammation. In a tissue-engineering chamber system implanted in immunocompetent C57Bl/6 mice, Lilja \textit{et al.} found that Matrigel supplemented with Zymosan showed an upregulation in chemotactic factors and the recruitment of bone marrow-derived macrophages at early time points\textsuperscript{180}. This was subsequently followed by the development of new blood vessels and fat tissue\textsuperscript{180}. Depleting these infiltrating macrophage population using Clodronate liposomes decreased the levels of angiogenesis and adipogenesis in the chamber\textsuperscript{181}. These findings are in
support of other reports that demonstrate how vascularization\textsuperscript{2,107}, as well as a shift in recruited macrophages towards a pro-regenerative phenotype\textsuperscript{151,165,183,184} are crucial components in order for downstream soft tissue regeneration to occur.

There is evidence that ASC-based therapies indirectly support regenerative processes through the secretion of pro-angiogenic, pro-chemotactic, and immunomodulatory factors\textsuperscript{138}. The injection of ASCs into C57BL/6-Tg mice was observed to stimulate macrophage recruitment towards injection sites\textsuperscript{149,150}, which complements our studies on ASC-seeded DAT implants promoting the migration of macrophage populations\textsuperscript{251}. Further, ASCs in hypoxic culture can express pro-angiogenic and antiapoptotic factors such as VEGF, bFGF, and HGF\textsuperscript{139,140}, and they have been shown to directly stimulate and stabilize endothelial cell networks\textsuperscript{141–143}. Additionally, ASCs have the ability to cause a shift in macrophage phenotype from a pro-inflammatory to a pro-regenerative phenotype\textsuperscript{146,147,151,152}. ASC-seeded DAT scaffolds may have provided a similar role in our Wistar rat model, as we found greater levels of blood vessel formation, and the expression of the anti-inflammatory cytokine, IL-10 at early time points\textsuperscript{165}. At later time points, we observed a greater fraction of CD163\textsuperscript{+} pro-regenerative macrophages\textsuperscript{165}.

Notably, the role of macrophages in the constructive remodeling of ASC-seeded DAT implants into host-derived fat remains to be elucidated. Different macrophage subtypes have been implicated in various roles during wound healing\textsuperscript{148} and angiogenesis\textsuperscript{178,179}. It is possible that both the ASCs and infiltrating macrophages contributed to the enhanced blood vessel development by producing paracrine factors to support neo-fat formation. Thus, there is strong evidence to support the implementation of an ASC-based therapy alone or in combination with macrophages to augment angiogenesis and promote a shift in macrophage phenotype, which could be particularly advantageous for the reconstruction of larger soft tissue defects.

The present work aims to elucidate the cell-scaffold and cell-cell interactions mediating the remodeling process, with the goal of improving the translation of cell-based therapies for stable and long-term soft tissue replacements. In an effort to gain insight into the role of inflammation in ASC-mediated adipose tissue regeneration, this thesis focuses on investigating the impact of seeding DAT bioscaffolds with syngeneic macrophages and/or syngeneic ASCs, on cellular infiltration, angiogenesis, and macrophage phenotype following implantation in C57Bl/6J mice.
1.9.2 Hypothesis

**Hypothesis:** Seeding DAT bioscaffolds with syngeneic macrophages and syngeneic ASCs will promote a more pro-regenerative environment that enhances cellular infiltration and angiogenesis in the DAT in an immunocompetent subcutaneous mouse model.

1.9.3 Objectives

**Objective 1.** Establish methods for isolating and culturing murine monocyte-derived macrophages (M₀) sourced from the bone marrow of MacGreen transgenic mice and characterize their immunophenotype

**Objective 2.** Develop an *in vitro* culture and seeding protocol for macrophages ± ASCs on the DAT bioscaffolds

**Objective 3.** Explore the effects of ASC delivery, macrophage delivery, and ASC/macrophage co-delivery in the DAT bioscaffolds relative to unseeded controls in a subcutaneous implant model in C57Bl/6J mice on *in vivo* cellular infiltration, angiogenesis, and macrophage phenotype.
Chapter 2

2 Materials & Methods

2.1 Materials

All chemicals and reagents were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Ltd. (Oakville, ON) unless otherwise specified.

2.2 Animal work

All animal studies were performed in accordance with the Canadian Council on Animal Care (CCAC) guidelines and were approved by the Animal Care Committee at Western University (Protocol # 2015-049). All mice were housed in clean barrier facilities under humidity and light controlled conditions and had free access to food and water. Murine adipose-derived stromal cells (ASCs) were isolated from 9-12 week old female Disco****oma red fluorescent protein (dsRed) mice (B6.Cg-Tg(CAG-DsRed®MST)1Nagy/J; C57BL/6J background; Jackson Laboratory, Bar Harbor, ME). Murine bone marrow-derived macrophages were obtained from the hindlimbs of hemizygous 9-12 week old male MacGreen transgenic mice (B6N.Cg-Tg(Csf1r-EGFP)1Hume/J; C57BL/6J background; Jackson Laboratory, Bar Harbor, ME). Implantation studies were performed using a total of twenty-five, 9-12 week old male wild-type C57BL/6J mice (Jackson Laboratory; Bar Harbor, ME, USA).

2.3 ASC isolation and culture

ASCs were isolated using a previously published protocol from the inguinal fat pads of dsRed mice, a transgenic mouse strain in which all cells express the fluorescent protein dsRed. All work was performed within a biological safety cabinet using aseptic technique and sterile reagents. Briefly, fat pads from 3-4 mice were finely minced with surgical scissors and added to 15 mL of a collagenase digest solution consisting of: 0.1% Type I Collagenase (Worthington Biochemical Corp., Lakewood, NJ), 1% bovine serum albumin (BSA; Bioshop, Burlington, ON), and 2 mM calcium chloride in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS). The minced tissue was agitated for 1 hour at 37°C and 75 RPM, and then centrifuged (300 x g, 5 min). The cells were then resuspended in PBS with 1% BSA, and passed through a 100 μm filter (Corning Inc., Corning, NY, USA). Next, the cell suspension was centrifuged (300 x g, 5 min) and then resuspended in 5 mL of complete
ASC media comprised of Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle’s Medium:Ham’s F12 (DMEM:Ham’s F12) with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS; Gibco®, Invitrogen, Burlington, ON) and 1% penicillin-streptomycin (pen-strep; Gibco®, Invitrogen, Burlington, ON), and then plated onto Primaria™ flasks (Corning Inc., Corning, NY) and cultured at 37°C and 5% CO₂.

After 24 hours, the flask was gently rinsed multiple times with sterile PBS until the debris and unattached cells were removed and fresh ASC media was added. The cells were passaged after reaching 80% confluence using 0.25% trypsin/0.1% ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (trypsin/EDTA; Gibco®, Invitrogen, Burlington, ON) and re-plated at 4000 cells/cm² on standard tissue culture polystyrene T-75 flasks (Corning Inc., Corning, NY, USA). Media changes were performed every 2-3 days and fresh P3-P4 cells were used for all experiments.

2.4 Macrophage isolation and culture

Since macrophages had not been previously used in experiments performed in the Flynn lab, new protocols were created in order to harvest, culture, and differentiate macrophages derived from the bone marrow of the hindlimbs of MacGreen mice. MacGreen mice are a strain of transgenic mice in which all myeloid cells express enhanced green fluorescence protein (EGFP) under the control of the csf1r promoter. All work was performed within a biological safety cabinet using aseptic technique and sterile reagents. The femurs and tibia of the mice were surgically removed, disinfected using 70% ethanol, and then rinsed in Hank’s Balanced Salt Solution (HBSS; Gibco® Invitrogen, Burlington, ON) with 0.1% BSA. The bone marrow was flushed out using HBSS with 0.1% BSA delivered via a 26-gauge needle, and put through a 70 μm filter (Corning Inc., Corning, NY, USA). The bone marrow cells were centrifuged (500 x g, 5 min, 6°C), and then incubated with ammonium chloride solution (STEMCELL Technologies, Vancouver, BC) for 3 minutes in order to remove erythrocytes. Next, the cell suspension was rinsed using HBSS with 0.1% BSA, centrifuged (500 x g, 5 min, 6°C) and incubated with purified mouse I-A<sup>b</sup> (Biolegend Inc; San Diego, CA, USA, 114402) and purified rat anti-mouse CD45R/B220 (Becton Dickson (BD); Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA, 553084) antibodies for 30 minutes on ice in order to bind to lymphocytes including B and T cells. Next, the cells were rinsed again using HBSS with 0.1% BSA, centrifuged (500 x g, 5 min, 6°C), and incubated with low tox-m rabbit complement (Cedarlane; Burlington, ON), which is cytotoxic to mouse lymphocytes, especially T cells, for 30 minutes at 37°C. Finally, the cells were washed two more times using HBSS with
0.1% BSA, centrifuged (500 x g, 5 min, 6°C) to remove any dead cells, and resuspended in fresh complete macrophage media consisting of RPMI 1640 (Gibco®, Invitrogen, Burlington, ON) supplemented with 10% FBS, 1% pen-strep, 4 mM l-glutamine (Gibco®, Invitrogen, Burlington, ON), and 20 ng/mL recombinant murine macrophage colony stimulating factor (MCSF; Peprotech, Rocky Hill, NJ, USA). The cells were then seeded onto T75 flasks at 5 million cells/flask and incubated at 37°C and 5% CO₂.

Fresh bone marrow cells were counted using a hemocytometer and centrifuged (500 x g, 5 min, 6°C) and then gently resuspended to obtain a solution containing 5x10⁶ cells/mL in freezing media containing 90% fetal bovine serum (FBS) and 10% dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO)²⁵⁴. The cells and freezing media were placed into cryovials, at 1 mL each²⁵⁴. Then the cryovials were placed in a Mr. Frosty™ freezing container and maintained at -80°C freezer for 24 hours, and then transferred to a liquid nitrogen tank²⁵⁴. To thaw the cells, a cryovial was quickly transferred to a 37°C water bath until the suspension was completely thawed. The contents were then transferred to a cell culture treated T75 flask filled with 20 mL of complete macrophage media²⁵⁴.

Fresh complete media was added after 2 days, and a half-media change was performed after 4 and 6 days. At 7 days, the bone marrow-derived macrophage populations were used in subsequent studies.
Figure 2.1. Summary of the isolation protocol for bone marrow-derived macrophage progenitor cell population.

2.5 Immunophenotyping of cultured macrophages

With technical support provided by Christy Barreira from the Dekaban lab, flow cytometry was performed to establish a reproducible protocol to assess the immunophenotype of the cultured macrophage populations. Cells were detached from tissue culture flasks through incubation with 0.48 mM Versene Solution (Gibco®, Invitrogen, Burlington, ON) for 15 min at 37°C to preserve cell surface receptors that may be cleaved when enzymatic dissociation methods are applied. The suspended cells were then collected, and the flasks were rinsed using HBSS with 0.1% BSA. If necessary, the flasks were gently scraped using a cell scraper, and the remaining cells were collected. Cells were centrifuged (500 x g, 5 min) and resuspended in 1 mL of sorting buffer, consisting of PBS supplemented with 5 mM EDTA, 25 mM HEPES (pH 7.0), 1% heat inactivated FBS dialyzed against Ca/Mg (Gibco®, Invitrogen, Burlington, ON), and 10 U/ml DNAse II from bovine spleen (Sigma, Oakville, ON). Next, 10 μL of anti-CD16/anti-CD32 (10 μL/mL, Becton Dickenson (BD), Franklin Lakes, NJ) was added, and the cell suspensions were incubated on ice for 10 min to block non-specific Fc-receptor binding. Finally, the cells were washed with PBS, centrifuged (500 x g, 5 min, 6°C), and resuspended in 1 mL of PBS.
To assess cell viability, 2 μL of LIVE/DEAD™ Fixable Aqua Dead Cell Stain (Fisher Scientific, Ottawa, ON) was added and cells were incubated for 20 min at room temperature in the dark. Cells were washed with 6 mL of sorting buffer, centrifuged (500 x g, 5 min, 6°C), resuspended in 0.75 mL of sorting buffer, and then aliquoted into FACS tubes (100 μL of cell suspension/tube). Next, the cells were incubated with a panel of antibodies to assess CD45 (hematopoietic marker), CD11b (macrophage marker), F4/80 (macrophage marker), Ly6G (neutrophil marker), Ly6C (monocyte/macrophage marker) expression. The following monoclonal antibodies were used: Alexa Fluor 700 rat anti-mouse anti-CD45 (1:500; BioLegend Inc., San Diego, CA, cat. 103128), Alexa Fluor 647 rat anti-mouse/human anti-CD11b (1:400; BioLegend, cat. 101218), PE rat anti-mouse anti-F4/80 (1:20; BIO-RAD, Hercules, CA, cat. MCA497PE), Brilliant Violet 421 rat anti-mouse anti-Ly6G (1:40; BioLegend, cat. 127628), and Brilliant Violet 711 rat anti-mouse anti-Ly6C (1:800; BioLegend, cat. 128037). The studies were performed with 5 separate macrophage isolates from wild-type and MacGreen mice to confirm the findings (n=3, N=5).

The cells were incubated with the respective antibodies in the dark (20 min, 4°C), then washed with an additional 2 mL of sorting buffer and centrifuged (500 x g, 5 min, 6°C). Finally, the cells were resuspended in 200 μL of sorting buffer and an additional 100 μL of 4% paraformaldehyde was added to fix the cells, which were then stored at 4°C in the dark. Flow cytometry was performed within 1-2 days after staining using an LSRII digital flow cytometer (BD Biosciences) at the London Regional Flow Cytometry Facility to acquire data for polychromatic analyses. Electronic compensation was performed with UltraComp eBeads antibody capture beads (Invitrogen, Burlington, ON). A minimum of 10,000 events/samples were collected, and forward scatter (FSC), which defines cell size, and side scatter (SSC), which defines cell granularity, gates were set to include only non-debris. Macrophages from wild-type C57BL/6J mice served as a negative control for GFP expression. Data analysis was performed using FlowJo software (Version 10.0.8; Tree Star, Ashland, OR).
2.6 Decellularized adipose tissue bioscaffold fabrication and seeding

2.6.1 Adipose tissue procurement and decellularization

Subcutaneous adipose tissue was collected with informed consent from patients undergoing elective breast reduction or abdominoplasty surgeries at the University Hospital, Cumberland Laser Clinic, and/or St. Joseph’s Hospital in London, ON (HREB# 105426). Tissues were transported in sterile PBS supplemented with 20 mg/mL BSA and then subjected to a five-day, detergent-free, decellularization protocol\(^{234}\). Briefly, the tissue was treated with three freeze-thaw cycles to promote cell lysis using a hypotonic buffer, multiple lipid extractions using isopropanol, and enzymatic digestion using trypsin-EDTA, RNAse, DNAse, and lipase. The decellularized adipose tissue (DAT) was then frozen in deionized water at -80°C, and then lyophilized to create a porous 3-D bioscaffold.

2.6.2 Decellularized adipose tissue bioscaffold preparation and seeding

The lyophilized DAT bioscaffolds were cut into 6.0 ± 0.5 mg pieces and then rehydrated overnight in deionized water. The bioscaffolds were decontaminated through rinsing in 70% ethanol (3 x 30 min each, 120 RPM), followed by an overnight incubation in 70% ethanol at 120 RPM. Next, the bioscaffolds were transferred under sterile conditions into a new container, and rehydrated with sterile PBS (3 hours, 120 RPM), followed by three rinses in fresh sterile PBS (30 min each, 120 RPM). The PBS was replaced with complete macrophage media and the scaffolds were incubated overnight (37°C and 5% CO\(_2\)) prior to seeding.

In order to seed the bioscaffolds, P\(_2\) or P\(_3\) ASCs were trypsinized, and Day 7 macrophages were detached using Versene and cell scraping and counted using a hemocytometer. Each DAT scaffold was transferred into a 15 mL vented cap conical tube containing 3 mL of complete macrophage media and either i) 1 x 10\(^6\) macrophages, ii)1 x 10\(^6\) ASCs, or iii) a 1:1 co-culture of macrophages and ASCs (1 x 10\(^6\) cells total). The scaffolds were dynamically seeded for 24 hours using a BenchWaver\(^\text{TM}\) 3-D rocker (Mandel, Guelph, ON) (95 rpm, 37°C, 5% CO\(_2\)) prior to use in \textit{in vitro} culture studies or \textit{in vivo} implantation surgeries.
2.7 In vitro characterization of seeded implants

2.7.1 DAPI staining and double stranded DNA quantification

After 24 hours of seeding, the bioscaffolds were rinsed twice in PBS (5 min each, 37°C, 40 RPM) and then fixed in 10% neutral buffered formalin for 2 hours at 100 RPM. Next, the bioscaffolds were rinsed three times in PBS (20 min each, 100 RPM) and then incubated for one hour in a sucrose gradient consisting of 10%, 20%, and then 30% sucrose in PBS. Then the samples were transferred into 70% ethanol and sent for processing at the Robarts Molecular Pathology Laboratory in London, ON, and then embedded in paraffin. The paraffin-embedded bioscaffolds were sectioned (5 μm) using a Leica RM2235 microtome (Leica Biosystems, Concord, ON, Canada). The sections were deparaffinized by two incubations in xylene (10 min each), followed by rehydration in an ethanol series. To qualitatively assess the cell distribution within the DAT scaffolds, the sections were stained with DAPI mounting medium (Abcam, Toronto, ON) to identify cell nuclei. Non-overlapping images were taken using the EVOS® FL Imaging System (Invitrogen, Ottawa, ON) within 600 μm of the apical and basal borders of the implant periphery as well as within the implant interior.

In addition to the qualitative staining, the amount of double-stranded DNA (dsDNA) content was quantified in a separate set of bioscaffolds using the PicoGreen® dsDNA quantification assay (Invitrogen, Burlington, ON). After seeding for 24 hours, the bioscaffolds were rinsed three times in PBS (5 min each, 37°C, 40 RPM) and then placed in 200 μL of digest solution consisting of 1 x TE buffer with a 1:50 ratio of Proteinase K (Promega, Madison, WI, USA) to the initial dry mass of the scaffolds. The samples were agitated at 60°C and 800 RPM overnight, as well as an additional 15 minutes at 92°C to inactivate the enzyme. Next, the samples were lysed using sonication (6 pulses, 1 second each) and then frozen at -20°C until the assay was performed. The samples were analyzed according to the manufacturer's instructions. Finally, the measured DNA mass was normalized to the initial dry weight of the scaffolds and the average dsDNA content of unseeded scaffold controls was subtracted to account for any background (n = 3 scaffolds per group/trial, N = 3 trials with separate cell isolations).
2.7.2 Metabolic activity quantification

The 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT; Life Technologies Inc., Burlington, ON) assay was used to measure the metabolic activity of a separate set of macrophage-, ASC-, and co-culture-seeded DAT bioscaffolds after 24 hours of seeding. A working MTT solution (0.5 mg/mL) was prepared in complete macrophage media. After seeding, the implants were rinsed three times in PBS (5 min each, 37°C, 40 RPM), and then incubated in 3 mL of the working MTT solution (4 hours, 37°C).

Each seeded bioscaffold was transferred into an Eppendorf tube containing 800 μL of dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO), and then manually minced using surgical scissors. Next, the samples were incubated to extract the water-insoluble formazan product (1 hour, 37°C, 100 RPM) and then centrifuged (15000 x g, 5 min) to collect the supernatant. Finally, the supernatant was further diluted 1:8 in DMSO, and 200 μL of the diluted supernatant was pipetted into a 96-well plate in triplicate. Sample absorbance was measured at 540 nm and corrected for background absorbance at 690 nm using a CLARIOstar® High Performance Monochromator Multimode Microplate Reader (BMG Labtech, Guelph, ON). The measured absorbance was normalized to the dry weight of the scaffolds and the average absorbance of the unseeded scaffold controls was subtracted to account for any background (n = 3 scaffolds per group/trial, N = 3 trials with separate cell isolations).

2.8 In vivo assessment of the bioscaffolds

All in vivo implantation studies were performed using 9-12 week old male C57BL/6 mice. Surgeries were performed under sterile conditions and using sterile surgical tools. Mice were anesthetized with 1.5% isoflurane in oxygen at 1 L/min throughout the entire surgical procedure, and given pre-operative analgesic doses of meloxicam (2 mg/kg loading dose; 1 mg/kg follow up dose 24 hours post-surgery) and bupivacaine (2 mg/kg) via subcutaneous injection. Each mouse received two small incisions near the upper thigh in the inguinal region to allow for two subcutaneous pockets to be created using blunt-ended forceps. Mice received a total of 2 subcutaneous DAT implants (one on each side in the inguinal region) from the following groups:

(i) unseeded controls
(ii) macrophage-seeded
(iii) ASC-seeded
(iv) 1:1 co-culture

After implantation, the incisions were closed using Monocryl 6-0 absorbable sutures and the mice were closely monitored. At 2 and 4 weeks post-surgery, mice (N = 4-6 mice/treatment group) were euthanized using CO₂ overdose and the scaffolds were excised within their surrounding tissues. The excised scaffolds were processed for paraffin embedding for histological and immunohistochemical analyses.

2.9 Masson’s trichrome staining and quantification

Paraffin-embedded samples were sectioned (5 μm) and subjected to deparaffinization in xylene, and then rehydration in an ethanol series. After Masson’s trichrome staining, the samples were dehydrated in an ethanol series followed by xylene, and then mounted with Permount® mounting medium (Fisher Scientific, Ottawa, ON). The entire cross-section of each sample was imaged using an EVOS XL CORE light microscope (Life Technologies) under the 10x objective using tissue sections from 3 different depths/implant, spaced 200 μm apart. For analysis, the images were subsequently stitched together using Image Composite Editor (Microsoft) software. In order to generate Masson’s trichrome figures for a selected subset of samples, slides were scanned at 20X magnification using an Aperio ImageScope system and software (Vista, CA).

For all implant groups, the ratio of the area infiltrated by cells to the total implant area, the ratio of the number erythrocyte-containing blood vessels to total implant area, and the ratio of remodeled fat within the implant to total implant area, was measured using ImageJ software in order to analyze the percentage of cellular infiltration, blood vessel density, and implant remodeling into fat, respectively. In order to assess angiogenesis, the number, diameter, and distance from the implant periphery of all blood vessels were counted across the entire implant region. All semi-quantitative analyses were conducted in a blinded fashion (n = 3 different depths 200 μm apart/implant (1 section/depth), N = 4 - 6 mice per group).
2.10 Immunofluorescence assessment of angiogenic and macrophage markers

All immunohistochemical staining was performed on 5 μm sections derived from 3 different depths within each implant (200 μm apart). The tissue sections were deparaffinized using xylene and rehydrated in an ethanol series as described above. Unless otherwise stated, all incubation steps were performed at room temperature.

2.10.1 Detection of CD31+ cells

In order to visualize CD31+ endothelial cell recruitment, tissue sections were subjected to heat-mediated antigen retrieval in DAKO target antigen retrieval citrate solution (pH 6.0) (Agilent, Mississauga, ON) at 95°C for 20 min, followed by a 15 min cooling period. Next, the tissue sections were blocked using 10% goat serum + 0.1% Tween-20 in Tris-buffered saline (TBS) for 1 hour at room temperature. Finally, the sections were incubated overnight at 4°C with a rabbit polyclonal anti-CD31 primary antibody (1:100 in TBS + 5% goat serum + 0.1% Tween-20; Abcam, ab28364).

On the following day, the tissue sections were rinsed in TBS and then incubated with a Hoechst counterstain and Dylight®650 conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG secondary antibody (1:200 in TBS + 5% goat serum + 0.1% Tween-20; Abcam, ab96902) for 1 hour. Sections were rinsed again in TBS and mounted using Fluoroshield™ mounting medium (Abcam, Toronto, ON). Using the 20X objective of an EVOS® FL fluorescence imaging system (Life Technologies), 8-9 non-overlapping images were taken within 600 μm of the implant periphery and automated quantitative analysis of positively stained pixels was performed using ImageJ software. The average counts from images taken along the implant periphery were recorded for each section (n = 3 different depths/implant, 200 μm apart (1 section/depth), N = 4 - 6 mice per group).

2.10.2 Immunofluorescence assessment of infiltrating macrophages

In order to assess the distribution and phenotype of the macrophage populations infiltrating the implants, immunohistochemical staining was performed to triple stain for CD68 as a marker of phagocytic macrophages, arginase-1 (Arg-1) as a marker of a more pro-regenerative M2-like phenotype, and inducible nitric oxide synthase (iNOS) as a marker of a more pro-inflammatory...
M1-like phenotype. Sections were subjected to heat-mediated antigen retrieval in 10 mM Tris base, 1 mM EDTA solution, 0.05% Tween 20 (Tris-EDTA solution; pH 9.0) for 25 min at 95°C, followed by a 15 min cooling period. Tissue sections were blocked for one hour using 5% BSA+ 0.1% Tween-20 in PBS, and then incubated overnight at 4°C with the following primary antibodies: rat monoclonal anti-CD68 (1:75; BIO-RAD, MCA1957T), rabbit polyclonal anti-iNOS (1:75; Abcam, ab15323), and chicken polyclonal anti-Arg-1 (1:100; Millipore Sigma, ABS535) diluted in PBS + 1% BSA + 0.1% Tween-20.

On the following day, the tissue sections were rinsed in PBS, and blocked for one hour with 10% goat serum + 0.1% Tween-20 in PBS. Next the sections were incubated with a Hoechst counterstain and the following secondary antibodies for one hour: Alexa Fluor®488 conjugated goat anti-rat IgG (1:100; Invitrogen, A-11006), Alexa Fluor®594 conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG (1:200; Abcam, ab150080), and Alexa Fluor®680 conjugated goat anti-chicken IgG (1:200; Abcam, ab175779), diluted in PBS + 1% goat serum + 0.1% Tween-20. Sections were rinsed in PBS and mounted using Fluoroshield™ mounting medium (Abcam, Toronto, ON). Using the 20X objective of an EVOS® FL fluorescence imaging system (Life Technologies), 8-9 non-overlapping images were taken within 600 µm of the implant periphery (n = 3 different depths/implant, 200 µm apart (1 section/depth), N = 4 - 6 mice per group).

2.11 Statistical analyses

All numerical data presented were expressed as the mean ± standard deviation (SD) and analyzed using GraphPadPrism 6.0 software (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA) by one-way or two-way ANOVA with a Tukey’s post-hoc comparison. Differences were considered statistically significant when p<0.05.
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3 Results

3.1 Murine cell isolation and culture

As previously mentioned, the methods for the harvesting of murine adipose-derived stromal cells (ASCs) from the inguinal fat pads of dsRed+ mice had been previously developed\textsuperscript{251}. After harvesting, the P\textsubscript{0} cells were plated onto Primaria\textsuperscript{TM} flasks to aid in attachment. ASCs were fed every 2-3 days, and after 4-5 days in culture, cells were passaged onto tissue culture treated polystyrene T75 flasks. Each T75 flask yielded between 1 million-1.5 million cells. When growing, the cells showed an elongated, fibroblastic morphology, with multiple spindly-like protrusions (Figure 3.1). After P\textsubscript{3} or 4, the ASCs would proliferate slower, and would adopt a rounded, flattened shape lacking any extensions. When ASCs adopted this morphology, they were unable to be used in experiments as they would lift off the surface of the flask.

While the ASCs could be passaged, the macrophages had to be differentiated from progenitor cell populations. Frozen bone marrow-derived cells were banked due to the abundance of cells harvested and used in future studies. During the differentiation process, the macrophages were less hardy than the ASCs and required new media every other day to maximize overall yield. By day 7, the differentiated cell population would form clusters of cells that had few protrusions as compared to the ASCs and were observed to be either elongated or more rounded (Figure 3.1). In general, the differentiated bone marrow-derived cells were limited by the number of times they could be used. Once they lifted off the T75, they could no longer be passaged.

It is important to note that both ASC and macrophage yield was cell donor dependent, with some batches growing faster or yielding more cells than others. On average, the macrophage yields would be around 3 million – 4 million cells/flask.
Figure 3.1. Morphology of differentiated murine bone marrow-derived macrophages from MacGreen mice and murine ASCs derived from the inguinal fat pad of dsRed mice. Bright field microscopic images revealed that the differentiated macrophage population (left) were either elongated or rounded with few protrusions, while the cultured ASCs (right) had a fibroblast-like, elongated morphology with multiple protrusions when seeded onto a T75 flask. Scale bar: 500 µm.

3.2 Macrophage characterization

3.2.1 Cell surface marker expression

The immunophenotype of the bone marrow-derived macrophages cultured on tissue culture polystyrene (TCP) for seven days was assessed by flow cytometry, with the results summarized in Table 3.1 (N=3 biological replicates). Representative flow plots are shown in Figure 3.2. Analysis of the viable cell population demonstrated that the majority of the cells expressed the hematopoietic marker CD45 (94.3% ± 5.3). Moreover, the majority of the CD45+ cell population co-expressed CD11b (99.7% ± 0.3), commonly used as a macrophage marker. Further, the majority of the CD45+CD11b+ cells co-expressed the pan-macrophage marker, F4/80 (95.9% ± 2.6), while the co-expression of the neutrophil marker Ly6G was negligible (2% ± 2.6). It was also found that only a small fraction of the CD45+CD11b+F4/80+ Ly6G− subset expressed the monocyte/macrophage marker, Ly6C (5.1% ± 2.4). Additional analyses of the Ly6C− and Ly6C+ cell populations showed subpopulations with varying levels of GFP expression, indicating there was variability in csf1r expression within the population.
Table 3.1. Immunophenotype of the cultured murine bone marrow-derived macrophages isolated from the MacGreen mice. Flow cytometric analysis of cell surface marker expression confirmed that the majority of the cultured bone marrow-derived cells were CD45⁺ CD11b⁺ F4/80⁺ Ly6G⁻ macrophages. Data represented as mean percentage ± standard deviation displayed from N = 3 separate experiments.

<p>| | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Viability</strong></td>
<td>Viable cells</td>
<td>89.1 ± 3.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Myeloid/Macrophage Markers</strong></td>
<td>CD45⁺ (out of total viable cells)</td>
<td>94.3 ± 5.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CD45⁺ CD11b⁺</td>
<td>99.7 ± 0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CD45⁺ CD11b⁺ F4/80⁺ Ly6G⁻</td>
<td>95.9 ± 2.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Monocytic/Macrophage Marker</strong></td>
<td>CD45⁺ CD11b⁺ F4/80⁺ Ly6G⁻ Ly6C⁺</td>
<td>5.1 ± 2.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>GFP</strong></td>
<td>CD45⁺ CD11b⁺ F4/80⁺ Ly6G⁻ Ly6C⁺ GFP⁺</td>
<td>69.1 ± 9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CD45⁺ CD11b⁺ F4/80⁺ Ly6G⁻ Ly6C⁺ GFP⁺</td>
<td>60.5 ± 10.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 3.2. Cultured bone marrow-derived macrophages express characteristic macrophage markers. Representative dot plots from one biological replicate demonstrating the gating strategy used to analyze the cultured cells. (A) Cells were gated based on their forward scatter (FSC) and side scatter (SSC) distribution, followed by FSC singlets, and the live cell population (87.2% viable). (B) A large fraction of the CD45+CD11b+ live cell population was demonstrated to be CD45+CD11b+F4/80+Ly6G− macrophages (98.3%). There were further subpopulations of CD45+CD11b+F4/80+Ly6G− cells that showed heterogeneous expression of (C) Ly6C (3.09% positive) and (D) GFP (64.5% positive).
3.3 *In vitro* analysis of cell-seeded decellularized adipose tissue bioscaffolds

3.3.1 Assessment of cell distribution

The distribution of macrophages and/or ASCs within the decellularized adipose tissue (DAT) bioscaffolds was qualitatively assessed following dynamic seeding for 24 hours on a 3-D rocker through DAPI nuclear staining of sectioned samples. Non-overlapping images were taken within the implant periphery (<600 µm within the apical and basal borders) and interior (> 600 µm away from the apical and basal borders). The analysis supported that the DAT could be used as a delivery platform for both cell types and showed that there was a heterogeneous distribution of cells throughout the scaffolds (Figure 3.3). In general, a qualitatively higher density of cells was observed within the peripheral regions of the scaffolds in all groups. Although cellular infiltration was observed in the interior of some samples, this was typically limited to regions where the extracellular matrix (ECM) was qualitatively less dense.
Figure 3.3. Seeded macrophages and ASCs were heterogeneously distributed within the DAT bioscaffolds after 24 hours in dynamic culture. Representative images show the distribution of seeded macrophages and/or ASCs in the peripheral and interior regions of the DAT bioscaffolds. Qualitatively higher cell densities were observed in the scaffold periphery for all seeding conditions (left panels), with limited infiltration observed in the interior regions (right panels). Dotted line: scaffold boundary. Blue: nuclei, green: scaffold autofluorescence. Scale bar: 500 µm. n = 3 technical replicates/group, N = 2 separate cell isolations.
To complement the qualitative assessment of the cellular distribution within the DAT scaffolds, the total double stranded (dsDNA) content was measured in separate sets of samples at 24 h post-seeding using the PicoGreen® assay (Figure 3.4A). Further, in order to probe cellular viability, the metabolic activity was also examined in the various conditions using the MTT assay (Figure 3.4B). In general, there was variability between the biological replicates, particularly in terms of metabolic activity, which resulted in no significant differences between any of the groups. Taken together, the data suggests that while there was variability attributed to different batches of starting cell populations, the total cell density within the DAT scaffolds was similar in the macrophage-seeded, ASC-seeded, and co-culture seeded groups within each trial.
Figure 3.4. Assessment of dsDNA content and metabolic activity in the macrophage-seeded, ASC-seeded and co-culture-seeded DAT scaffolds after 24 hours in dynamic culture. The (A) dsDNA content measured with the PicoGreen Assay and (B) absorption intensity measured with the MTT assay, normalized to the bioscaffold dry weight (mg) to account for minor differences in the size of each individual bioscaffold. No significant differences were observed between the groups for either assay. Data represents mean ± SD. n = 3 technical replicates/group, N = 3 separate cell isolations.

3.4 In vivo analysis of the DAT bioscaffolds

3.4.1 Macroscopic evaluation of implants

Macrophage-seeded, ASC-seeded, co-culture-seeded, and unseeded DAT bioscaffolds were subcutaneously implanted within the inguinal region of wild-type C57BL/6J mice. At 1 week post-surgery, the surgical incision sites were fully healed. Cohorts of mice were euthanized at 2 and 4 weeks post-surgery, and the implants were excised within their surrounding tissues. Based on macroscopic evaluation, all implants were found to be well integrated within the inguinal fat pad and/or skin (Figure 3.5). In some mice, visible blood vessels were observed near (Figure 3.5A) or surrounding the implant (Figure 3.5B).
Figure 3.5. Macroscopic evaluation of the DAT implants. Each mouse received two implants, each one from a different seeding condition, inserted in subcutaneous pockets made in the inguinal region of the mouse. Depicted are representative images of (A) unseeded and (B) co-culture seeded implants after 4 weeks in vivo. The basal surface of the excised implant (black circle) was situated adjacent to the inguinal fat pad (black arrow). In some mice, blood vessels (white arrow) were observed in close proximity to the fat pad or the implant. Scale bars: 1 cm.

3.4.2 Qualitative assessment of cellular infiltration, angiogenesis, and remodeling of the DAT implants

The Masson’s trichrome staining revealed the presence of a fibrous capsule surrounding the implants in all groups at both time points, located adjacent to the mouse skin and/or inguinal fat pad (Figures 3.6 and 3.7). The fibrous capsule contained cells, and in some instances, erythrocyte-containing blood vessels and adipocytes. Notably, the thickness of the fibrous
capsule was more variable in the implants that had direct contact with the inguinal fat pad. More specifically, the fibrous capsule appeared thinner in areas adjacent to the fat pad and integrated well with the fat pad septa, which are the collagen bundles that separate adipocytes into lobules. The regions of the fibrous capsule not in contact with a fat pad, such as the areas in contact with the skin, typically appeared thicker.

At both time points, adipocytes and erythrocyte-containing blood vessels were found to be localized within the boundary of the fibrous capsule, as well as along the peripheral regions of the implants (Figures 3.6 and 3.7).
Figure 3.6. Adipocytes and blood vessels were localized to the peripheral regions of DAT implant groups at 2 weeks post-implantation in C57BL/6J mice. Representative images from all seeding conditions containing a partial infiltration response after 2 weeks in vivo are shown in the left panel. Areas from each group in direct contact with fat pads are boxed in black and magnified in the middle panel, while areas closest to the skin are boxed in red and magnified on the right panel. Dotted line: scaffold periphery; red arrows: erythrocyte-filled blood vessels; red arrow heads: adipocytes; black arrows: fibrous capsule. Left panel scale: 1 mm, middle and right panel scale: 100 μm.
Figure 3.7. Adipocytes and blood vessels were localized to the peripheral regions of DAT implant groups at 4 weeks post-implantation in C57BL/6J mice. Representative images from all seeding conditions containing a mid-to-high infiltration response after 4 weeks in vivo are shown in the left panel. Areas from each group in direct contact with fat pads are boxed in black and magnified in the middle panel, while areas closest to the skin are boxed in red and magnified on the right panel. Dotted line: scaffold periphery; red arrows: erythrocyte-filled blood vessels; red arrow heads: adipocytes; black arrows: fibrous capsule. Left panel scale: 1 mm, middle and right panel scale: 100 µm.
Further qualitative analyses of the Masson’s trichrome stained cross-sections revealed that the cellular infiltration varied substantially between the implants at both time points, even when comparing samples from the same scaffold group. The seeding conditions included samples that showed (i) low, (ii) low-to-mid, (iii) mid-to-high, or (iv) complete cellular infiltration across the implant cross-sections (Figure 3.8). In general, implants with low levels of infiltration (<10% infiltrated) contained areas with low cell density, which were predominantly localized to the implant periphery. Implants with a low-to-mid profile (10-50% infiltrated) remained largely un-infiltrated but contained areas of higher cell density that were localized to one or more sides of the implant. Mid-to-high infiltrated implants (50-95% infiltrated) showed a dense, infiltrating cell population usually distributed along one or more sides of the implant, while completely infiltrated implants showed a dense infiltrating cell population across the entire implant cross-section (95-100% infiltrated).
Implants within each seeding condition exhibited varying levels of cell infiltration at 2 and 4 weeks post-implantation in C57BL/6J mice. The selected images showing Masson’s trichrome stained cross-sections from the macrophage-seeded implant group at 2 weeks post-surgery illustrate the variability in the in vivo cellular infiltration response between implants belonging to the same group. The levels of cellular infiltration ranged from low, low-to-mid, mid-to-high, or complete levels of cellular infiltration. Scale bars = 1 mm.

The levels of cellular infiltration in the scaffold cross-sections were scored in a blinded fashion, and each sample was assigned to one of the four previously defined categories. The results are shown in Table 3.2 and are reported as a percentage of the total number of cross-sections analyzed for each group. At 2 weeks, the unseeded group showed a smaller range and overall lower in vivo cell infiltration response as compared to the seeded groups, with no samples showing a mid-to-high or complete infiltration response. In contrast, a greater fraction of the macrophage, ASC, and co-culture seeded implants showed higher levels of cell infiltration across the cross-section, with a few of the macrophage and ASC implant cross-sections achieving complete infiltration. At 4 weeks, most of the unseeded implants continued to show relatively low levels of cell infiltration, although a mid-to-high level of infiltration was observed in one implant cross-section. In the seeded groups, substantial sample-to-sample variability in the infiltration levels remained at 4 weeks. Further, a higher fraction of the ASC-seeded and co-culture seeded scaffolds showed a mid-high infiltration response as compared to the unseeded and macrophage-seeded implants at both 2 and 4 weeks. The overall trends suggest that there was not a difference in the overall level of infiltration observed between the two time points investigated in this study for any of the implant groups.
Table 3.2. Histological scoring of the levels of cellular infiltration in the unseeded, macrophage, ASC, and co-culture seeded DAT implant cross-sections. The implant cross-sections from each seeding condition and time point were histologically evaluated and categorized based on their range of \emph{in vivo} infiltration responses. This was displayed as the percentage of implant cross-sections from each seeding condition out of total stained cross-section belonging to each seeding condition. Low cell infiltration = < 10\% cell infiltration, low-to-mid infiltration = 10-50\%, mid-to-high infiltration = 50-95\%, complete infiltration = 95-100\%. \(n = 3\) sections/implant, \(N = 4 – 6\) implants/group.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2 weeks</th>
<th>4 weeks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low-Mid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unseeded</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macrophage</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASC</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co-culture</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

When comparing the samples at either time point that showed a low-to-mid cellular infiltration response, there were no obvious trends in terms of the patterns of infiltration, with infiltration observed on the ventral regions adjacent to the inguinal fat pad either alone, or in addition to infiltration observed on the dorsal side adjacent to the skin (Figure 3.9). Samples at both time points with a mid-to-high cellular infiltration response tended to have infiltration coming from areas adjacent to the inguinal fat pad as well as the skin.
Figure 3.9. Infiltrating cell populations were distributed along areas adjacent to the inguinal fat pad either alone, or in addition to areas adjacent to the skin. The selected images showing Masson’s trichrome stained cross-sections from unseeded implants excised after 2 weeks *in vivo* with a low-to-mid infiltration response illustrate the localization of infiltrating cell populations in regions adjacent to the fat pad only (left panel) or both the fat pad and skin (right panel). Scale bars = 1 mm.

Finally, it was found that the implants that contained higher levels of cellular infiltration were observed to have qualitatively higher levels of erythrocyte-containing blood vessels and adipocytes (Figure 3.10).
Figure 3.10. Higher levels of cellular infiltration within the implants showed higher levels of angiogenesis and adipogenesis. Representative images from ASC-seeded implants excised 2 weeks post-surgery are shown. Insets from the left panels are magnified in the right panels. Dotted line: scaffold periphery; red arrows: erythrocyte-filled blood vessels; red arrow heads: adipocytes; black arrows: fibrous capsule. Left panel scale: 1 mm, right panel scale: 100 µm.

3.4.3 Semi-quantitative analysis of cellular recruitment, blood vessel density, and adipose tissue remodeling

After two and four weeks, blinded semi-quantitative analyses of the degree of cell infiltration, blood vessel density, and adipose tissue remodeling in unseeded, macrophage-seeded, ASC-seeded, and co-culture-seeded implants was performed on the Masson’s trichrome stained sections. Consistent with the qualitative findings, there was substantial variability observed
which led to no differences between the groups in terms of cell infiltration (Figure 3.11A), blood vessel density (Figure 3.11B), and adipose tissue remodeling (Figure 3.11C) responses at either time point. More specifically, at 2 weeks the macrophage-seeded, ASC-seeded, and co-culture-seeded implants exhibited a larger range of in vivo cell infiltration responses in comparison to the unseeded implants. Notably, a greater fraction of the cell-seeded implants exhibited higher levels of cell infiltration. In contrast to the response at 2 weeks, the unseeded implants at 4 weeks showed more variability in terms of the range of infiltration responses, with a greater fraction of the samples showing higher levels of overall infiltration. The other implant groups, however, showed less variability in the infiltration response at the later time point.

The average blood vessel density of all seeding conditions after 2 and 4 weeks post-implantation were listed in Table 3.3. In general, while there were low levels of angiogenesis and adipogenesis observed in all implant groups at both time points, the macrophage-, ASC-, and co-culture-seeded implants showed a greater range of blood vessel density responses at 2 weeks in comparison to the unseeded implants. Further, there was a trend towards a decrease in the variability of the blood vessel density responses in all implant groups at 4 weeks in contrast to the variability found in the neo-fat formation response, which increased.

Further analysis of the erythrocyte-containing blood vessels showed that the majority of blood vessels in all groups at both time points were small in diameter (<25 µm) and were localized within 200 µm of the implant periphery (Figure 3.12).
Figure 3.11. Quantification of *in vivo* cellular infiltration, blood vessel density, and adipose tissue remodeling within the DAT implants at 2 and 4 weeks. Within each group, variability was noted in the A) percentage of the DAT implants infiltrated by cells after 2 and 4 weeks *in vivo*. In general, all groups at both time points had B) a low density of erythrocyte-containing blood vessels and C) a low percentage of implant remodeling into fat. Values shown are mean ± SD. N = 4-6 mice per group.

**Table 3.3.** The average blood vessel density of all seeding conditions after 2 and 4 weeks was found to be relatively low. Since the range of blood vessel density responses varied, this led there to be no detectable differences between any of the groups at either time point.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Average blood vessel density (blood vessels/mm²)</th>
<th>2 weeks</th>
<th>4 weeks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unseeded</td>
<td>1.1 ± 0.9</td>
<td>0.7 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macrophage-seeded</td>
<td>2.7 ± 1.8</td>
<td>1.3 ± 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASC-seeded</td>
<td>2.8 ± 2.4</td>
<td>2.1 ± 0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co-culture seeded</td>
<td>2.1 ± 1.8</td>
<td>1.8 ± 0.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Cell seeding did not influence the erythrocyte-containing blood vessel diameter or infiltration profiles within the DAT implants at 2 and 4 weeks. Top frequency distribution plots show that the majority of the vessels within the implants were of small diameter (<25 µm) at both time points. Bottom frequency distribution plots showing that the majority of the erythrocyte-containing vessels were localized within 200 µm of the implant periphery in all of the groups at both time points. N = 4-6 mice/group.

3.5 Immunohistochemical analysis of CD31+ cell recruitment

After 2 and 4 weeks, murine endothelial cell recruitment into the peripheral regions of the unseeded, macrophage-seeded, ASC-seeded, and co-culture-seeded implants was assessed through immunostaining for the endothelial marker, CD31. CD31, or platelet-endothelial cell adhesion molecule 1 (PECAM-1), is a transmembrane adhesion protein commonly found on endothelial cells, but can also be found on platelets, monocytes, macrophages, and granulocytes. An assessment of the abundance of CD31 within 600 µm of the apical and basal borders of the implant was performed in all groups at both time points (N = 4-6
implants/group/time point). CD31⁺ Hoechst⁺ cells were observed in all conditions, and there were no notable differences in CD31⁺ Hoechst⁺ expression when comparing implants from different seeding conditions containing similar levels of cellular infiltration at both time points (Figure 3.13). However, implants with qualitatively higher levels of cellular infiltration tended to have qualitatively more CD31⁺ Hoechst⁺ cells visualized within the scaffold periphery (Figure 3.14).

Quantitative analysis of the CD31 staining was performed by automated positive pixel counting (Figure 3.15; n = 8-9 20X frames within 600 μm of the apical and basal borders from 3 depths per implant, 200 μm apart, N = 4-6 implants/treatment group/ time point). Similar to the histological analyses, there was substantial variability observed in the relative levels of CD31⁺ expression at both time points, with no obvious differences between the groups.
Figure 3.13. Representative images of CD31$^+$ cells within the implant periphery from all scaffold groups after 2 and 4 weeks in vivo. When the overall levels of cellular infiltration were similar, CD31$^+$ Hoechst$^+$ expression was found to be qualitatively comparable across all implant conditions at both 2 (left panel) and 4 weeks (right panel). Dotted line: scaffold periphery. White arrows: CD31$^+$ Hoechst$^+$ cells. Blue: cell nuclei, red: CD31. Scale bar: 200 µm.
Figure 3.14. Representative images of the different CD31 expression patterns found in implant groups containing different levels of cell infiltration after 2 weeks *in vivo*. Implants with higher levels of total cellular infiltration (right panel) showed qualitatively more CD31\(^+\) Hoechst\(^+\) cells than implants with low total cell infiltration (left panel). Dotted line: scaffold periphery. White arrows: CD31\(^+\) Hoechst\(^+\) cells. Blue: cell nuclei, red: CD31. Scale bar: 200 µm.
Figure 3.15. Quantification of relative CD31+ expression within the DAT implants at 2 and 4 weeks. No significant differences were observed in the relative CD31+ expression levels between the groups at either time point. Values shown are mean ± SD. 8-9 20X frames were imaged along the apical and basal borders from 3 different depths per implant, 200 µm apart, N = 4-6 implants/group.

3.6 Immunofluorescence analysis of infiltrating macrophage phenotypes

In order to probe the effects of delivering syngeneic macrophages and/or ASCs on host macrophage recruitment and the modulation of macrophage phenotype within the DAT implants, qualitative immunohistochemical analysis of the macrophage phenotype markers, inducible nitric oxide synthase (iNOS) and arginase-1 (Arg-1), were examined in combination with CD68, commonly used as a pan-macrophage marker associated with phagocytosis. In general, a high density of cells expressing CD68 and Arg-1 was observed within 600 µm of the apical and basal borders of all implant groups at both time points (Figures 3.16 and 3.17, n = 8-9 20X frames from 3 depths/implant, 200 µm apart, N = 4-6 implants/group/time point). When comparing groups with similar overall levels of cellular infiltration, there were no obvious differences between implant groups at either time point (Figure 3.16 and 3.17). All groups showed a greater proportion of cells that expressed CD68 and Arg-1, with a lower proportion expressing iNOS. Merged images visualizing the co-localization of the three markers revealed an
abundant CD68+ Arg-1+ iNOS- Hoechst+ cell population and a smaller subpopulation of CD68+ Arg-1+ iNOS+ Hoechst+ (Figure 3.18). Some implants also contained subpopulations identified as CD68+ Arg-1- iNOS- Hoechst+ cells and CD68- Arg-1+ iNOS- Hoechst+ cells (Figure 3.19). Further analysis revealed that samples with higher levels of cellular infiltration qualitatively showed more iNOS+ expression and a larger number of CD68+ Arg-1+ iNOS+ Hoechst+ cells as compared to implants with low cell infiltration (Figure 3.20).
Figure 3.16. Representative images of CD68, Arg-1, and iNOS, co-staining along the apical and basal borders from all seeding conditions after 2 weeks in vivo. When comparing implants with a mid-to-high cell infiltration response, the relative abundance of CD68⁺ (second from the left panel), Arg-1⁺ (middle), iNOS⁺ (second from the right panel) cells was qualitatively similar across all implant conditions at 2 weeks. Dotted line: scaffold periphery. Blue: cell nuclei, purple: CD68, green: Arg-1, red: iNOS. Scale bar: 200 µm.
Figure 3.17. Representative images of CD68, Arg-1, and iNOS co-staining along the apical and basal borders from all seeding conditions after 4 weeks in vivo. When comparing implants with a mid-to-high cell infiltration response, the relative abundance of CD68+ (second from the left panel), Arg-1+ (middle panel), iNOS+ (second from the right panel) cells was qualitatively similar across all implant conditions at 4 weeks. Dotted line: scaffold periphery. Blue: cell nuclei, purple: CD68, green: Arg-1, red: iNOS. Scale bar: 200 µm.
Figure 3.18. Implants from all seeding conditions contain and abundant subpopulation of CD68$^+$ Arg-1$^+$ iNOS$^+$ Hoechst$^+$ cells and a smaller population of CD68$^+$ Arg-1$^+$ iNOS$^+$ Hoechst$^+$ cells after 4 weeks in vivo. The representative merged images of the unseeded (top left panel), macrophage-seeded (top right panel), ASC-seeded (bottom left panel), and co-culture-seeded (bottom right panel) implants contained a high density of CD68$^+$ Arg-1$^+$ iNOS$^+$ Hoechst$^+$ cells and a smaller subpopulation of CD68$^+$ Arg-1$^+$ iNOS$^+$ Hoechst$^+$ cells, with no obvious differences found between the implant groups. Dotted line: scaffold periphery. White arrows: CD68$^+$ Arg-1$^+$ iNOS$^+$ Hoechst$^+$ cells. Blue: cell nuclei, purple: CD68, green: Arg-1, red: iNOS. Scale bar: 200 µm.
Figure 3.19. Subpopulations of CD68\(^+\) Arg-1\(^-\) iNOS\(^-\) Hoechst\(^+\) cells and CD68\(^-\) Arg-1\(^+\) iNOS\(^-\) Hoechst\(^+\) cells were further identified in the DAT implants \textit{in vivo}. Depicted is a representative merged image of co-culture DAT implants at 2 weeks (left panel) and 4 weeks (right panel). There have been no obvious differences found between the implant groups in the abundancy of either subpopulation of CD68\(^+\) Arg-1\(^-\) iNOS\(^-\) Hoechst\(^+\) cells or CD68\(^+\) Arg-1\(^-\) iNOS\(^-\) Hoechst\(^+\) cells. Dotted line: scaffold periphery. White arrows in the left panel: CD68\(^-\) Arg-1\(^+\) iNOS\(^-\) Hoechst\(^+\) cells. White arrows in the right panel: CD68\(^+\) Arg-1\(^-\) iNOS\(^-\) Hoechst\(^+\) cells. Blue: cell nuclei, purple: CD68, green: Arg-1, red: iNOS. Scale bar: 200 µm.
Figure 3.20. Representative images of the CD68, Arg-1, and iNOS co-staining from implants containing different levels of cell infiltration after 2 weeks *in vivo*. Sample images from ASC-seeded implants show how samples with a low (top panels) or mid-to-high (bottom panels) overall cellular infiltration response had a greater fraction of CD68+Arg-1+iNOS+ Hoechst+ cells. However, iNOS expression was qualitatively enhanced in the implants containing higher levels of overall cellular infiltration, with a slightly higher qualitative abundance of CD68+Arg-1+iNOS+ Hoechst+ cells observed as compared to samples with low infiltration. Dotted line: scaffold periphery. White arrows: CD68+Arg-1+iNOS+ Hoechst+ cells. Blue: cell nuclei, purple: CD68, green: Arg-1, red: iNOS. Scale bar: 200 µm.
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4 Discussion

In the fields of plastic and reconstructive surgery, there has been growing interest in delivering pro-regenerative cell populations as a strategy to promote long-term, stable soft tissue regeneration\textsuperscript{3,4}. As a result, there has been a large focus on designing cell-instructive biomaterials in order to improve the retention and therapeutic function of these populations \textit{in vivo}. Adipose-derived stromal cells (ASCs) are a robust, abundant, and clinically-translatable population of cells that has the capacity to modulate \textit{in vivo} adipose tissue regeneration through their paracrine secretions\textsuperscript{124,138}. Macrophage-based therapies are also of emerging interest, building from the knowledge that various macrophage subtypes have been implicated in angiogenesis, as well as adipose tissue expansion and regeneration\textsuperscript{167,258}. However, the potential of a macrophage-based strategy in the context of soft tissue regeneration has yet to be explored.

In order to provide a tissue-specific cell-delivery platform for adipose tissue-engineering applications, the Flynn lab has pioneered the design of decellularized adipose tissue (DAT) bioscaffolds\textsuperscript{234}. When seeded in combination with ASCs, these constructs enhanced \textit{in vivo} adipogenesis in both immunocompetent Wistar rat and MacGreen mouse models, and showed greater levels of cellular recruitment, neo-blood vessel formation, and a shift towards an M2-like, pro-regenerative macrophage phenotype as compared to unseeded controls\textsuperscript{165,237,251}. The mechanisms behind ASC-mediated tissue regeneration have yet to be fully elucidated, including the interactions between ASCs, macrophages and the ECM.

In the present work, syngeneic donor macrophages and ASCs isolated from transgenic reporter mice were seeded onto DAT bioscaffolds and delivered subcutaneously into the inguinal region of immunocompetent C57Bl/6 mice. Over the course of 2 and 4 weeks, histological analysis of the scaffold explants was performed to evaluate cellular recruitment, angiogenesis and constructive implant remodeling into fat. Additional immunohistochemical analysis probing endothelial cell recruitment and macrophage phenotype was also performed. The results demonstrated that the DAT bioscaffolds and seeding regimen supported donor macrophage and ASC attachment \textit{in vitro}. When unseeded, macrophage-seeded, ASC-seeded, and co-culture-seeded implants were delivered into the inguinal region, there was variation noted between
implants belonging to the same group in terms of in vivo cellular infiltration, blood vessel density, and neo-fat formation at both time points. In general, higher levels of cellular infiltration in each seeding group correlated to enhanced blood vessel densities, CD31 expression, neo-fat formation, and inducible nitric oxide synthase (iNOS) expression, including the qualitatively enhanced presence of a CD68+ Arg-1+ iNOS+ Hoechst+ subpopulation within the implants. Overall, the variability in the findings suggests that variations in the scaffold positioning and local microenvironment may have affected cell infiltration into the implants, which subsequently influenced both neovascularization and macrophage phenotype.

The initial phase of this thesis focused on the development of an isolation, culture, and characterization protocol for murine bone marrow-derived macrophages, a cell type not previously studied in the Flynn laboratory. Due to their abundance, it is common practice to isolate primary macrophage precursor cells (i.e. monocytes) from murine bone marrow or human peripheral blood and subsequently differentiate these cells in vitro into macrophage populations\textsuperscript{259,260}. Differentiation into macrophages requires the use of hematopoietic growth factors called colony stimulating factors (CSF), including granulocyte macrophage colony stimulating factor (GM-CSF), macrophage colony stimulating factor (M-CSF) and granulocyte colony stimulating factor (G-CSF)\textsuperscript{159,160,261}. Other commonly-used macrophage sources include tissue-resident macrophages, such as murine peritoneal macrophages or splenic macrophages, or immortalized leukemic macrophage-like cells, including the RAW 264.7 (derived from mice) or THP-1 (derived from humans) cell lines\textsuperscript{175}. When assessing macrophage-specific markers as well as polarization markers, there have been noted differences in the surface marker expression and gene expression profiles of macrophage populations due to cell sourcing, strain, gender, culture media formulation, CSF source (recombinant CSF or L929 conditioned media), tissue culture plastic, detachment methods, etc\textsuperscript{259,262–266}. Incomplete reporting of the culture conditions and experimental design can impact the reproducibility of assays to assess differentiation and polarization\textsuperscript{175}, thus clear and transparent reporting is needed when using these cells for experiments.

There have been various protocols developed for isolating bone marrow-derived macrophage precursors from mice. While some protocols do not purify the bone marrow-derived cell population\textsuperscript{12}, the protocol utilized for these studies included additional steps to remove
erythrocytes, T cells, and B cells in order to provide a more purified population. Cells harvested post-isolation were stored in liquid nitrogen and thawed for experiments as needed. In order to obtain sufficient numbers of cells for experiments, several variables were explored including different media formulations, types of culture flasks, and seeding densities. It was observed that seeding isolated cells at a density of 5 million cells/flask, using tissue culture-treated T75 flasks, and supplementing the RPMI 1640 with 4 mM l-glutamine and 20 ng/mL MCSF produced differentiated macrophage populations with a robust yield between 2-4 million cells/flask. However, the raw numbers of differentiated macrophages did vary from isolation-to-isolation, though it is also possible the cell banking and thawing process may need further refinement. After refining the in vitro culture conditions, the differentiated cell population was observed to spread out and to have cellular protrusions similar to what other groups have reported.267,268

Murine ASCs were harvested from the inguinal depot of dsRed+ mice following established methods in the Flynn lab.251 Previous immunophenotype characterization of the isolated ASC population showed that these cells expressed stromal cell markers CD29, CD44, and CD90 and lacked the expression of for endothelial cell marker, CD31, and hematopoietic cell marker, CD45.251 These cells also exhibited tri-lineage differentiation potential towards the adipogenic, osteogenic, and chondrogenic lineages.251 In culture, it was observed that the ASCs had a spindle-shaped, fibroblast-like morphology in 2-D culture, which was distinct from the macrophage morphology. The growth of ASCs differed between isolations and passage number, as some ASC populations would be confluent after seeding within a few days, while other times, it would take 5 or more days.

When using flow cytometry to identify macrophage populations from other myeloid/lymphocyte subsets based on surface marker expression, the consensus has been to use multiple antibodies as many subsets share common expression patterns for myeloid specific markers.259,260 The gating strategy utilized in the current studies examined several hematopoietic and macrophage/monocyte markers. As expected, the macrophages co-expressed CD45, CD11b, and F4/80 and showed negative expression for the neutrophil marker, Ly6G.269–274 Taken together, this panel of myeloid/macrophage markers supports that most of the cells had differentiated into macrophages.
Since bone marrow-derived macrophages are believed to originate from circulating monocytes\textsuperscript{275}, the monocyte/macrophage marker, Ly6C, was also included in the analyses. It was found that on average, 94.9\% of the CD45\(^+\)CD11b\(^+\)F4/80\(^+\)Ly6G\(^-\) subset did not express Ly6C. Some studies have suggested that Ly6C\(^+\) or “classical” monocytes or monocyte-derived macrophage populations are recruited to tissues upon injury and develop pro-inflammatory macrophage characteristics \textit{in vivo}\textsuperscript{276}. In contrast, Ly6C\(^-\) “non-classical” populations may play a role in patrolling the vasculature and have been associated with fibrosis and the resolution of inflammation\textsuperscript{277}. However, other studies have shown that these cell populations do not necessarily follow this paradigm and their roles in wound repair differ based on the location they are isolated from and the pathophysiology of the animal model\textsuperscript{275,278,161}. Therefore, the evaluation of an additional panel of surface markers in combination with flow cytometry is needed in order to confirm the expression of pro-inflammatory/“M1-like” markers, alternatively activated/“M2-like” markers, or a mixture thereof, as previous reports have demonstrated that media supplementation with MCSF may “pre-differentiate” monocyte-derived macrophages, enhancing the expression of M2-like markers\textsuperscript{279,280}.

Interestingly, Ly6C\(^-\) and Ly6C\(^+\) cells contained subpopulations with varying levels of GFP expression. GFP expression in the cells isolated from the MacGreen mice is under the control of the promoter for colony stimulating factor 1 receptor, CSF-1R (encoded by the \textit{csf1r} or \textit{c-fms} proto-oncogene), and FACS analysis has previously confirmed its expression in peritoneal, bone marrow-derived, and broncho/alveolar lavage macrophages, as well as Langerhans cells derived from MacGreen mice\textsuperscript{281}. MCSF is a hemopoietic growth factor that regulates macrophage differentiation, proliferation and survival and exerts its effect by binding to CSF-1R\textsuperscript{282}. Since the isolated cell population was cultured with MCSF, and they expressed other macrophage-specific markers such as F4/80 and Cd11b, greater GFP expression was expected. It could be that the method of cell culture, including the MCSF concentration, may have affected the \textit{csf1r} and \textit{gfp} expression in the cultured macrophages, and as a result, requires further refinement.

To confirm that these GFP subsets are not unique to bone marrow-derived macrophages, macrophages from other tissues could be similarly evaluated. Additionally, it could be that CSF-1R expression is naturally present in cultured macrophages at differing levels within subsets of macrophages. Though the \textit{csf1r}-EGFP transgene has been detected in macrophages\textsuperscript{283} and other
myeloid cell populations like dendritic cells and neutrophils, the variation in the GFP expression between subsets of MacGreen mononuclear cells has been previously reported. One study examining peritoneal foreign body reactions observed different Ly6C subsets including EGFP low Ly6C med-high, EGFP high Ly6C med, and EGFP high Ly6C high cells, as well as different Gr1 subsets including EGFP high Gr1 high and EGFP low Gr1 low cells. Further, Kampfrath et al. reported a similar trend in a different mouse model, c-fms YFP+, which expresses yellow fluorescent protein (YFP) under the control of the csf1r promoter. They found that Ly6C high F4/80+ cells tended to be YFP high, while Ly6C low F4/80+ tended to be YFP low. In future experiments, donor macrophage populations could alternatively be derived from EGFP+ mice in which EGFP is under control of the human ubiquitin C promoter in all tissues to enable tracking of all subsets of donor macrophage populations in vivo.

In the second part of this thesis, protocols were established for seeding the macrophages both alone and in combination with the dsRed+ ASCs on DAT bioscaffolds. Porous scaffolds serve to support, instruct, and deliver pro-regenerative donor cell populations, but obtaining a homogenous spatial distribution and high cell density in vitro can be challenging with large scaffolds. Current seeding procedures rely on static or dynamic seeding strategies. Static seeding involves adding a concentrated cell suspension over scaffolds and allowing the cells to passively adhere over time. In pilot studies, static seeding was employed to seed ASCs and/or macrophages onto the DAT bioscaffolds, and histologically the cells were localized to the periphery of the scaffold, with limited infiltration within the inner portions. Other studies have shown that a dense layer of cells at the scaffold periphery can consume or prevent the diffusion of oxygen and nutrients into the inner portions of the scaffold, causing necrosis.

Consequently, a dynamic culture approach was applied to seed the macrophages both alone and in combination with the ASCs by gently rocking the cells with the scaffolds in 3 mL of media in a vented 15 mL conical tube for 24 hours. After seeding, the macrophages and ASCs were observed to be distributed along the periphery and the inner portions, except for areas with dense portions of the ECM. These findings highlight how physical properties such as the scaffold microarchitecture and porosity can further affect cell infiltration, as an open, permeable porous network can facilitate oxygen, nutrients, and waste transport in addition to providing migration tracks for the cells.
When assessing the cellularity of the scaffolds after the dynamic seeding period, there was variability observed in the quantitative assessment of dsDNA content and metabolic activity, which led to no significant differences between the implant groups. The variability may be attributed to donor differences in the cell populations and/or ECM-derived materials. In previous studies, DAT bioscaffolds have been demonstrated to promote the viability and proliferation of human, murine, and rat ASCs\textsuperscript{131,234,237,239,249}. Moreover, native adipose tissue contains tissue-resident macrophage populations\textsuperscript{24}, and the accumulation of macrophages during inflammatory conditions such as in the case of obesity, is thought to be due to the migration of bone marrow-derived monocyte populations\textsuperscript{289}. The aim of decellularization is to remove antigenic cellular components from the adipose tissue, while maintaining the biological activity and mechanical integrity of the ECM. The Flynn lab decellularization protocol utilizes detergent-free methods to process adipose tissue, as residual amounts of detergents are known to cause cytotoxicity, and their use can disrupt the ECM integrity in such a way that it can negatively impact bioscaffold repopulation\textsuperscript{233,290}. Immunohistochemical and mass spectrometry analyses have identified ECM components that are conserved in DAT bioscaffolds including collagen types I and IV, laminin, and fibronectin\textsuperscript{234,248}, which promote cell adhesion, survival, and proliferation\textsuperscript{15,68,78,81}. Thus, it was expected that both cell types would be able to attach to the DAT bioscaffold.

The current study did not, however, directly evaluate cell viability or proliferation, and instead focused on quantifying cell retention after seeding and evaluating cellular metabolism. Cellular metabolic activity is often tied to cellular proliferation, but it can be affected by several different factors. For example, cells at different densities can have different levels of metabolic activity, though this does not necessarily correlate linearly\textsuperscript{291}. In the case of the direct co-culture of ASCs and macrophages, the cell-cell and cell-ECM interactions could stimulate a change in cellular metabolism such as proliferation or phagocytosis\textsuperscript{292}. Future studies could include staining for Ki67, a nuclear marker of proliferation\textsuperscript{293}, or cell viability assays with additional time points. It may also be worth probing the secreted factors in the culture media for pro-angiogenic and anti-apoptotic factors. Overall, the combined qualitative and quantitative measures of cellular retention and metabolic activity suggest that while there may have been donor-to-donor viability, the total cell density within the DAT scaffolds was similar between the macrophage-seeded, ASC-seeded, and co-culture seeded groups within each trial.
Following the *in vitro* studies, unseeded, macrophage-seeded, ASC-seeded, and co-culture seeded DAT bioscaffolds were implanted subcutaneously into the inguinal region of immunocompetent C57Bl/6 mice. Previous animal studies in the Flynn lab utilizing Wistar rats and MacGreen mice involved subcutaneous implantation of ASC-seeded and unseeded DAT implants on the dorsa rather than in the inguinal region. In the MacGreen mouse model, the previous studies showed that there was limited cell infiltration into the unseeded DAT implants on the back of the mice\textsuperscript{251}. In contrast, more recent pilot studies in the Flynn lab indicated that implantation within the inguinal region may greatly enhance cell recruitment, possibly due to the localization of the DAT in proximity to the femoral artery and/or inguinal fat pad. Other animal studies in the context of fat grafting have either derived fat grafts from the inguinal pad or have used the inguinal region as the recipient site\textsuperscript{294}. For these reasons, implantation in the inguinal region was selected for the current study.

Qualitative histological assessment of all implant groups at both 2 and 4 weeks through Masson’s trichrome staining revealed a thin layer of fibrous connective tissue surrounding the seeded and unseeded implant groups, which has been observed in our previous animal studies\textsuperscript{131,165,251}. Acellular fibrous capsule formation has long been associated with a negative foreign body response to *in vivo* biomaterial implantation, including silicone breast implants\textsuperscript{295}. Moreover, its formation is thought to be mediated by macrophage-fibroblast interactions\textsuperscript{296,297}. In the current study, the fibrous capsule formation may have been stimulated due to the human sourcing of DAT or its dense microarchitecture\textsuperscript{298}. However, its presence is not alarming because the observed capsule is highly cellular, and in some cases, contains adipocytes and erythrocyte-filled blood vessels along the implant periphery. Additionally, the capsule integrated well with adjacent fat pads and previous studies have observed the fibrovascular capsule surrounding DAT implants to decrease in thickness and remodel over time\textsuperscript{44}.

Qualitative and quantitative assessment of cellular recruitment into the scaffolds in the present study revealed variation between implants belonging to the same seeding condition, which led to no detectable differences between groups at either time point. As expected, at 2 weeks a larger fraction of the cell-seeded implants showed higher levels of infiltration as compared to unseeded implants. At 4 weeks, the unseeded implants showed a greater range of cell infiltration responses and a greater fraction showed slightly higher levels of infiltration as compared to their 2-week
counterparts, which was also expected. In contrast, at 4 weeks, infiltration into the cell-seeded scaffolds appeared to be less variable, although the levels of cell recruitment did not significantly change over time. In the previous study, subcutaneous dorsal implantation of both ASC-seeded and unseeded DAT implants into MacGreen mice also showed some degree of variability within implants from the same group, although not as much as the current study\textsuperscript{251}. Within the dorsal implant model, the ASC-seeded implants showed greater levels of cell recruitment at 8 weeks as compared to unseeded implants, although the unseeded implants also showed greater recruitment over time\textsuperscript{251}. The previous study was in agreement with other studies that have demonstrated that ASCs can augment cellular recruitment into biomaterial scaffolds in comparison to unseeded controls\textsuperscript{128–131}.

The variation found within each group, as well as the infiltration response in the cell-seeded groups at 4 weeks, could be explained by a few different factors. First, when comparing scaffolds with ASCs and/or macrophages sourced from the same donors that were implanted into different recipient mice, there were differences observed in the levels of cellular infiltration between individual mice. For example, some mice would show higher levels of cellular recruitment into both implanted scaffolds, no matter their seeding condition, while other mice would comparatively show less migration into implants derived some the same donor.

Secondly, there were differences noted when comparing the ASC-seeded or macrophage-seeded implants and co-culture implants derived seeded with cells sourced from the same donors and placed into the same recipient mice, pointing to possible differences due to implant positioning and the implantation method. The inguinal implant recipient site is closer to the leg, which could cause cyclical mechanical loading in the implant and thus increase variability. During the surgical procedure, the implants were placed into subcutaneous pockets made as close to the femoral artery as possible. As previously mentioned, adipose tissue growth is largely dependent on vascularization and the infiltration of immune cell populations\textsuperscript{59}. The vasculature within the inguinal region includes the femoral artery and the capillary network feeding the local soft tissues including muscle and fat. In some cases, the lack of noticeable differences could be due to implants being situated closer or farther from the tissues that have greater access to the vasculature, thereby accelerating or delaying the influx of host cell populations and masking differences between conditions. Luttikhuizen et al. highlighted the fact that different
implantation sites of collagen disks in C56Bl/6 mice led to differences in inflammatory cytokine signaling and downstream outcomes such as foreign body reaction propagation, implant degradation, immune cell recruitment, and angiogenesis\textsuperscript{299}. In a different study, fat grafts derived from rabbit inguinal fat pads were grafted subcutaneously, supramuscularly, or submuscularly into the cheeks of New Zealand white rabbits\textsuperscript{300}. Through magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and histological analyses, the fat grafts placed in the supramuscular region was found to have retained a larger volume in comparison to the other areas\textsuperscript{300}. Taken together, this highlights how the local microenvironment of the recipient area may affect the cell recruitment and remodeling response \textit{in vivo}. Further refinement of the surgical procedure could aid in promoting a more consistent infiltration response. Alternatively, implantation on the dorsa could be performed since differences between ASC-seeded and unseeded implants were previously detected in this model. Notably, there were implants from the cell-seeded groups, especially in the ASC-seeded and co-culture-seeded groups, that showed relatively low levels of cell infiltration, which would be due to other factors such as issues with the seeding procedure or poor cell attachment. Further probing using immunohistochemical staining could be performed to see if ASC and/or macrophage populations were retained within implants and if their absence could explain these low responsive implants.

The variation observed in the levels of cellular infiltration was also reflected in the assessment of the blood vessel densities and neo-adipocyte formation within the scaffolds in the current study. The overall neo-adipocyte formation was low for both groups at both time points, which was expected at these early time points. While the blood vessel density was also found to be fairly low between all groups at both time points, when comparing implants within a seeding condition the implants that had higher levels of cell infiltration tended to have slightly higher blood vessel densities, which was expected. The quantitative assessment of CD31\textsuperscript{+} cell recruitment within the implant boundary showed similar patterns. Further analysis of CD31 co-staining with the cell proliferation marker, EdU, or the pro-angiogenic cytokine, vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF)-A, could provide a stronger indication of the pro-angiogenic response. The inclusion of additional later time points could also result in differences being detected in the blood vessel and/or endothelial cell recruitment. For example, in the previous study using immunocompetent Wistar rats, it was observed that ASC-seeded implants showed a significant increase in
CD31+VEGF-A+ cells at 4 weeks, which was followed by a significantly greater number of erythrocyte-filled blood vessels at 8 and 12 weeks\textsuperscript{165}.

Angiogenesis is a tightly-coupled spatiotemporal process that requires the degradation and remodeling of the surrounding ECM in order to propagate\textsuperscript{130,301,302}. Initially, the basement membrane must be degraded to allow for capillary sprouting from an existing blood vessel to occur\textsuperscript{301}. This is followed by further degradation of the ECM near the sprouting site to allow for endothelial invasion, and this continues in order to create a space for the sprouting blood vessel lumen\textsuperscript{301}. It is possible that DAT, in its intact form, may be too dense for blood vessel sprouting to occur at early time points. Further refinement of the porosity of the DAT bioscaffold may be carried out during the fabrication process by freezing the scaffolds at lower temperatures, thus increasing freezing rate and promoting greater ice crystal formation\textsuperscript{303}. Alternatively, other methods of delivery could be explored including injectable hydrogel systems\textsuperscript{131}. The Flynn lab has previously developed composite methacrylated chondroitin sulphate-DAT hydrogels to deliver rat ASCs into Wistar rats\textsuperscript{131}. It was demonstrated that these composite constructs contained tunable mechanical properties, promoted the homogenous distribution of ASCs within the hydrogel, and promoted \textit{in vivo} cellular infiltration, blood vessel development and neo-fat formation\textsuperscript{131}.

Finally co-staining for the pan-macrophage marker, CD68, with the “M2-like” marker, Arginase (Arg)-1, and the M1-like marker, iNOS, revealed that when comparing groups with similar levels of infiltration there was a qualitatively greater proportion of cells that expressed CD68 and Arg-1, with a lower fraction expressing iNOS at both time points. The merged images portraying co-localization revealed an abundant CD68\textsuperscript{+} Arg-1\textsuperscript{+} iNOS\textsuperscript{−} cell population, pointing to an abundant recruited macrophage population with a shift towards a more “M2-like”, pro-regenerative phenotype. The fact that there was a large influx of cells with CD68 expression among all groups at both time points is consistent with the previous study using Wistar rats, which observed that CD68\textsuperscript{+} expression was similar between ASC and unseeded implants, but the ASC-seeded implants contained a greater fraction of CD68\textsuperscript{+}macrophages that expressed the pro-regenerative macrophage marker, CD163\textsuperscript{165}. In contrast, the studies using MacGreen mice observed augmented levels of macrophages expressing the pan-macrophage marker Iba1 in ASC-seeded implants, which peaked after 3 weeks\textsuperscript{251}. 
The present study also identified several different subpopulations of cells. For example, there was a subpopulation of CD68+ Arg-1- iNOS- Hoechst+ cells identified, which was also found in a different study by Agrawal et al., which assessed the in vivo remodeling response of different commercially available acellular dermis matrices subcutaneously implanted into Sprague Dawley rats. CD68 is a scavenger receptor associated with lysosomes that has been found in monocytes and macrophages, but it can also be expressed by subsets of CD34-positive hematopoietic stem cells, dendritic cells, neutrophils, basophils, and mast cells. While some of these cells could be contributing to the subpopulation of CD68+ Arg-1- iNOS- Hoechst+ cells, Agrawal et al. posited that these cells were newly recruited and might not have been stimulated to express pro-inflammatory or pro-regenerative markers. A separate subpopulation of CD68- Arg-1+ iNOS- Hoechst+ cells was found, which may be attributed to other cells commonly recruited to biomaterial implants that can express Arg-1 including fibroblasts, dendritic cells, and neutrophils.

Due to the limitations in the available host antibodies, it is common in studies evaluating macrophage phenotype to co-stain for a pan-macrophage marker in combination with either Arg-1 or iNOS. However, the current study utilized a triple stain and identified a small population of CD68+ Arg-1+ iNOS+ Hoechst+ cells, although their role in vivo is still unknown. This macrophage subpopulation may represent cells that are in transition from a pro-inflammatory to a pro-regenerative phenotype, as other studies have immunohistochemically evaluated other combinations of pro-inflammatory and pro-regenerative macrophage markers and have found a similar mixed macrophage phenotype. Interestingly, the current study also found that higher levels of infiltration correlated with greater levels of iNOS expression and a greater number of CD68+ Arg-1+ iNOS+ Hoechst+ cells. Future studies could examine other combinations of pan-macrophage markers (e.g. F4/80, Iba-1, CD11b), pro-inflammatory markers (e.g. CD80, C-C chemokine receptor type (CCR)7, tumor necrosis factor (TNF)-α), and pro-regenerative markers (e.g. CD163, CD206, IL-10) with additional time points in order to gain a deeper understanding of the spatiotemporal localization and phenotype of recruited macrophage populations. Taken together, the findings of this study support that in order for angiogenesis and constructive remodeling of implants into neo-adipose tissue to occur in this mouse model, there is a need to mobilize a diverse range of macrophage responses.
Chapter 5

5 Conclusions and future directions

5.1 Summary of findings and conclusions

In the first aim, methods were established to harvest, culture, and differentiate syngeneic, murine bone marrow-derived macrophages from MacGreen mice. Characterization of the immunophenotype of the differentiated cell population using flow cytometry revealed that the cells co-expressed the hematopoietic marker CD45, as well as the macrophage markers, CD11b and F4/80. Importantly, the cells did not express the neutrophil marker, Ly6G. Taken together, the results support that the majority of the cells had differentiated into macrophages. Further analysis revealed that the majority of the CD45+ CD11b+ F4/80+ Ly6G- subset did not express the monocyte/macrophage marker, Ly6C, which may suggest that the cells had a more pro-regenerative phenotype. However, further assessment of additional pro-inflammatory and pro-regenerative markers would be needed to draw firm conclusions. Interestingly, both Ly6C- and Ly6C+ cells contained subpopulations with varying levels of GFP expression, emphasizing the diversity of the differentiated cell population.

In the second aim, a dynamic culture seeding strategy was employed to seed the macrophages both alone and in combination with syngeneic dsRed+ adipose-derived stromal cells (ASCs) onto decellularized adipose tissue (DAT) bioscaffolds over 24 hours. After seeding, qualitative visualization verified the attachment of both cell types along the periphery and the inner portions of the DAT bioscaffolds, with the exception of areas with dense regions of extracellular matrix (ECM). Additional quantitative measures of cellularity including double stranded DNA (dsDNA) content and metabolic activity showed no significant differences between the implant groups. While the data reflects donor-to-donor variability in the cell populations and/or ECM-derived materials, the total cell density within the DAT scaffolds was similar between the macrophage-seeded, ASC-seeded, and co-culture seeded groups within each trial, suggesting similar levels of attachment.

In the third aim, the unseeded, macrophage-seeded, ASC-seeded, and co-culture-seeded DAT bioscaffolds were implanted subcutaneously into the inguinal region of immunocompetent
C57Bl/6 mice. Qualitative histological assessment of all implant groups at both 2 and 4 weeks through Masson’s trichrome staining revealed a thin layer of fibrous connective tissue surrounding the seeded and unseeded implant groups, which had integrated well with the surrounding fat pads and contained cells. Notably, newly formed adipocytes and erythrocyte-filled blood vessels were observed along the periphery of the DAT implants, within or near the fibrous capsule.

Further qualitative and quantitative analysis of cellular recruitment into the scaffolds revealed variation between implants belonging to the same seeding condition, which led to no detectable differences between groups at either time point. As expected, at 2 weeks a greater fraction of the cell-seeded implants showed higher levels of infiltration in comparison to unseeded implants. At 4 weeks, the unseeded implants showed a greater range of cell infiltration responses, with a greater fraction showing slightly higher levels of infiltration as compared to the 2-week unseeded samples. In contrast, at 4 weeks, the cell-seeded scaffolds showed less variability in terms of the infiltration response, although the overall levels of cell recruitment did not significantly change over time. The variation found within implants belonging to the same seeding condition could be explained in part by physiological differences between mice, implantation in a mechanically dynamic area of the mouse’s body, or issues with the seeding procedure.

Quantitative assessment of blood vessel density and neo-adipocyte formation within the scaffolds in all groups at both time points showed variability similar to the cellular infiltration analyses. In general, neo-adipocyte formation was low for all groups, which was expected at these early time points. The blood vessel density was also found to be low between all groups at both time points. However, it was noted that the implants that had higher levels of total cell infiltration tended to have slightly higher blood vessel densities. This trend was further reflected in the qualitative and quantitative assessment of CD31+ endothelial cell recruitment within the implant periphery.

Finally, co-staining was performed for the pan-macrophage marker, CD68, the M2-like marker, Arginase-1 (Arg-1), and the M1-like marker, inducible nitric oxide synthase (iNOS). Qualitative assessment at both time points revealed that when comparing groups with similar levels of infiltration, there were no observed differences between any of the groups. DAT implants
contained a greater proportion of cells that expressed CD68 and Arg-1, with a lower fraction expressing iNOS. Merged images visualizing co-localization revealed an abundant CD68⁺ Arg-1⁺ iNOS⁻ Hoechst⁺ cell population, which pointed towards a recruited macrophage population with a shift towards a more pro-regenerative phenotype. The phenotypes of the recruited cell populations were diverse and included smaller subpopulations of CD68⁺ Arg-1⁻ iNOS⁻ Hoechst⁺ cells and CD68⁻ Arg-1⁺ iNOS⁻ Hoechst⁺ cells, as well as recruited macrophages with a CD68⁺ Arg-1⁺ iNOS⁺ Hoechst⁺ phenotype, suggesting the cells may be in transition. However, differences were noted between DAT implants with different infiltration levels. In general, higher levels of cell infiltration corresponded to qualitatively greater levels of iNOS expression, as well as qualitatively higher levels of macrophages containing a mixed phenotype.

Taken together, higher levels of cell infiltration correlated with higher levels of blood vessel formation, CD31⁺ endothelial cell recruitment, and a greater diversity in macrophage phenotype. Though a diverse range of macrophage phenotypes was recruited into the DAT implants, a greater fraction expressed Arg-1, suggestive of a more pro-regenerative phenotype. Overall, the findings highlight the complexity of macrophage phenotypes required for blood vessel development and downstream neo-adipocyte formation to occur.

5.2 Future recommendations

The present thesis served as a basis for the proof-of-concept of delivering macrophages and ASCs using ECM-derived bioscaffolds for soft tissue regeneration or repair. Initial flow cytometry characterization of the surface marker profile of differentiated bone marrow-derived cells examined a combination of macrophage-associated markers including CD45, CD11b, F4/80, Ly6C, GFP as well as the neutrophil marker, Ly6G to confirm that the cells were macrophages, while also revealing subpopulations. Further assessment of additional lymphocyte markers (e.g. CD4 and CD8) could further confirm the purity and the differentiated cell population’s commitment down the myeloid lineage. Additionally, the inclusion of a dendritic cell marker (e.g. CD11c) could further confirm the differentiation of these cells into macrophages.

In order to probe whether the in vitro culture conditions may have influenced macrophage polarization into an M1-like or M2-like phenotype, the macrophages could be differentiated and
then polarized with lipopolysaccharide and interferon (IFN)-γ to induce M1-like macrophage polarization, interleukin (IL)-4 and interleukin (IL)-13 to induce M2a-like polarization, and interleukin (IL)-10 to induce an M2c-like polarization\textsuperscript{182,306–308}. The surface marker expression of these stimulated macrophages could then be compared with the immunophenotype of unstimulated macrophages using a panel of pro-inflammatory markers (e.g. CCR7 and CD80) and pro-regenerative markers (e.g. CD206 and CD163). This characterization could then be further supported by additional analyses using reverse-transcription quantitative PCR to measure “M1-like” markers (\textit{tnfa} and \textit{ccl5}) and “M2-like” markers (\textit{arg1}, \textit{fizz1}).

In MacGreen mice, all myeloid cells were thought to express EGFP under the control of the \textit{csf1r} promoter, which encodes colony stimulating factor receptor 1 (CSF1R or CD115) found on macrophages\textsuperscript{281,309}. Further examination of CD115 could be performed in combination with GFP and/or Ly6C to further support the notion of specific MacGreen macrophage subpopulations that exist that lack GFP expression. These findings could also be probed by isolating, culturing and characterizing primary splenic or peritoneal macrophages from MacGreen mice.

Future \textit{in vitro} studies could also focus on improving the characterization, viability, and retention of seeded cells after dynamic seeding. Macrophage and ASC cell attachment to DAT bioscaffolds were found to be localized to the more peripheral regions of the DAT bioscaffolds. Denser regions of ECM prevented the cells from fully infiltrating the scaffold, which could point to how the physical properties of the DAT bioscaffold, such as porosity, could be further refined. Alternatively, a composite methacrylated chondroitin sulphate-DAT hydrogel could be employed to encapsulate and retain the cells\textsuperscript{131}.

Further assessment of cell proliferation through immunohistochemical staining for the proliferation marker, Ki-67, could be performed. Alternatively, the scaffolds could be enzymatically digested to release the cells, and staining of apoptotic markers, such as annexin V in combination with propidium iodide, could be performed using flow cytometry. In these assessments, additional time points such as 48 hours, 3 days, 7 days, and 14 days could be used to assess cell retention over a greater period of time. It would also be interesting to further assess the effects of the seeding conditions on macrophage phenotype alone or in co-culture with the ASCs. Immunohistochemical analyses could be performed to assess a range of pro-inflammatory
markers (e.g. iNOS, CD80, tumor necrosis factor (TNF)-α) and pro-regenerative markers (e.g. Arg-1, CD206, CD163, interleukin (IL)-10) in combination with further probing of pro- and anti-inflammatory cytokines by using western blotting. In addition, analysis of the conditioned medium, including the macrophages in co-culture with the ASCs, could be performed using MultiPlex ELISA to assess whether detectable levels of pro-angiogenic and immunomodulatory factors are being secreted from the cells over time, with conditioned media from macrophages and ASCs cultured separately as a comparison. The abundance of these factors in normoxia could be compared to seeded DAT bioscaffolds cultured under in vitro hypoxic conditions (5% CO\textsubscript{2}/2% O\textsubscript{2} in N\textsubscript{2}). In a previous study performed in the Flynn lab, human ASCs were encapsulated in a composite poly(trimethylene carbonate)-b-poly(ethylene glycol)-b-poly(trimethylene carbonate) diacrylate and methacrylated glycol chitosan functionalized with an RGD-containing peptide (PEG-(PTMC-A)\textsubscript{2} + MGC-RGD) hydrogel and subsequently cultured in hypoxia (5% CO\textsubscript{2}/2% O\textsubscript{2} in N\textsubscript{2})\textsuperscript{310}. After 14 days, the ASCs in hypoxia released significantly higher levels of pro-angiogenic, anti-apoptotic, and chemoattractant factors as compared to ASCs cultured in normoxic conditions including hepatocyte growth factor (HGF), platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF)-AA, stromal-derived growth factor (SDF)-1α, and monocyte chemoattractant protein (MCP)-1, leptin, Angiogenin, vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF)-A, Angiopoietin-1, and placental growth factor (PIGF)-1\textsuperscript{310}.

In terms of future in vivo work, the variability found when comparing implants belonging to the same seeding condition may have masked differences in the cell recruitment, blood vessel formation, or neo-adipose formation between the groups. As an alternative approach, the cell-seeded and unseeded DAT implants could be implanted subcutaneously in the dorsa of C57Bl/6 mice, where differences have been reported between ASC-seeded and unseeded implants in terms of cell recruitment and implant remodeling in host-derived fat\textsuperscript{251}. The further inclusion of additional time points (e.g. 1, 4, 8 weeks) may also reveal differences in the levels of cellular infiltration, blood vessel densities, and adipocyte formation.

Masson’s trichrome staining revealed that a few of the implants in the seeded conditions at both time points had relatively low levels of infiltration, which was not expected. Although, there may be inherent physiological differences between recipient mice, the histological analyses were performed along a single plane of the implant. By cutting and staining the DAT implants along a
different plane, there may be additional clues as to the migration patterns of recruited cells within the implants. While this subset of samples may not be viewed as being highly infiltrated along one plane of the implant, assessing a different plane may reveal higher levels of infiltration. Moreover, immunohistochemical staining of dsRed\(^+\) and/or GFP\(^+\) cells could be performed to confirm the retention of donor ASCs and macrophages in the scaffolds over time and whether the low cell infiltration could be due to issues with the seeding method. Previously it was confirmed that the syngeneic donor ASCs were retained in the majority of seeded implants over the course of 8 weeks in the dorsal implantation site\(^{251}\).

Deeper assessment of the phenotype of the infiltrating macrophages should be performed by staining for additional pan-macrophage markers (e.g. F4/80, Iba-1, CD11b), pro-inflammatory markers (e.g. CD80, CCR7, TNF-\(\alpha\)), and pro-regenerative markers (e.g. CD163, CD206, IL-10) with the inclusion of additional time points. Further co-localization of the pro-inflammatory/regenerative markers with EGFP could reveal the phenotype of subpopulations of the donor macrophages over time \textit{in vivo}.

Finally, as a step towards clinically translating these findings, future studies could explore human ASCs and human macrophages derived from peripheral blood monocytes in immunocompromised mouse models, as there have been differences noted in human and mouse macrophage function\(^{175}\). Also, our studies included the delivery of macrophages that had not been exogenously stimulated \textit{in vitro} by cytokines. Since macrophage subtypes, such as M1, M2a, and M2c macrophages, have previously been implicated as playing different roles in wound healing\(^{148}\) and angiogenesis\(^{182}\), it is possible that delivering polarized macrophages may have an alternative \textit{in vivo} effect with respect to unpolarized controls in terms of stimulating blood vessel formation and implant remodeling.
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Supplementary Figure 1: Implants within each seeding condition showed a range of *in vivo* cell recruitment responses at 2 weeks post-implantation in C57Bl/6 mice. Representative images of Masson’s trichrome stained cross-sections from unseeded, macrophage, ASC, and co-culture seeded implants revealed variability in the *in vivo* response between implants belonging the same group. The unseeded implants showed a smaller range of infiltration responses, with a tendency towards low levels of infiltration. The macrophage, ASC, and co-culture seeded implants exhibited a greater range of *in vivo* responses including low, partial (low), partial (high), or complete levels of cellular infiltration. Scale bars = 1 mm.
Supplementary Figure 2. Implants within each seeding condition showed a range of in vivo cell recruitment responses at 4 weeks post-implantation in C57Bl/6 mice. Representative images of Masson’s trichrome stained cross-sections from unseeded, macrophage, ASC, and co-culture seeded implants revealed variability in the in vivo response between implants belonging the same group. At 4 weeks, the unseeded implants showed a greater range of cellular infiltration as compared to 2 weeks post-implantation. The macrophage, ASC, and co-culture seeded implants exhibited a similar range of in vivo responses as compared to implants at 2 weeks post-implantation, though none of the implants exhibited complete cellular infiltration. Scale bars = 1 mm.
Supplementary Figure 3: Immunohistochemistry of no primary controls for CD31 staining.

Representative images of no primary control are shown for unseeded (top left), macrophage-seeded (top right), ASC-seeded (bottom left) and co-culture-seeded (bottom right) implants. Blue: cell nuclei. Scale: 200 μm.
Supplementary Figure 4. Immunohistochemistry of no primary controls for CD68, Arg-1, and iNOS staining. Representative images of no primary control are shown for unseeded (top left), macrophage-seeded (top right), ASC-seeded (bottom left) and co-culture-seeded (bottom right) implants. Blue: cell nuclei. Scale: 200 μm.
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