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Abstract

The Gordon Lake Formation of the Paleoproterozoic Huronian Supergroup is a primarily-siliciclastic succession ranging from 300 to 1100 m thick. Lithostratigraphic and sedimentological analysis of the formation in the Bruce Mines and Flack Lake areas, and Killarney and Lady Evelyn-Smoothwater provincial parks revealed 7 lithofacies, which comprise 3 distinct lithofacies associations. The lithofacies associations are subtidal nearshore, subtidal to shallow shelf, and mixed intertidal flat. Microbially-induced sedimentary structures (MISS) related to microbial mat destruction and decay were recognized in the Flack Lake area. The preserved MISS include sand cracks, mat chips, remnant gas domes, and pyrite patches, and iron laminae. A biological origin for the fossil structures is supported by their similarities to modern and ancient documented examples of MISS, the sand-dominated nature of the substrate in which they are preserved, and key microtextures identified in thin section. The identified MISS support the interpretation of a tidal flat depositional environment. The Gordon Lake Formation contains soft-sediment deformation structures (SSDS) in all four study areas. Identified SSDS include load casts, convolute bedding, pseudonodules, ball-and-pillow structures, flame structures, syn-sedimentary faults, and one dewatering pipe. The primary trigger mechanism is interpreted to be storm or tsunami activity, however seismic shock, overloading brought about by density inversions, or a combination of these processes, may have influenced the development of the structures to a lesser degree. Microbial mats may have played a minor role in the formation of the SSDS, but do not appear to have been a prominent driving mechanism. Detrital zircon U-Pb ages from a sandstone provide new evidence for the maximum depositional age of the formation and reinterpretation of the depositional history of the upper Huronian Supergroup. The average age of the youngest zircon grains constrains the age of deposition to sometime after 2315 ± 5 Ma, but prior to intrusion of gabbro (Nipissing) approximately 95 m.y. later.
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Chapter 1

1 Introduction

1.1 Overview

The year 2021 will mark the 200th year since the Paleoproterozoic Huronian Supergroup was first the subject of geological research and mapping (Junnila, 1987). In general, the earliest studies dealt with localized mineral exploration and it was not until 1950 that regional investigations and mapping took place. A significant quantity of literature has since been developed on the Huronian Supergroup between industry, government, and academia. The succession is well-known world-wide, particularly for its excellent preservation, economic occurrences, and record of multiple glacial events. The idea that the Huronian Supergroup records multiple glacial periods, the change from an anoxic to oxic early Earth atmosphere, and presents a possibility of global correlation helped renew scientific interest in the succession (Ojakangas, 1988; Kasting and Ono, 2006). This resulted in extensive study of the glacially-influenced formations, such as the Gowganda Formation, and those containing evidence of an anoxic atmosphere, such as the Matinenda Formation, which contains detrital uranium-bearing minerals (Young, 1981a; Hattori et al., 1983).

The Gordon Lake Formation – the focus of this thesis – is the second youngest formation in the Huronian Supergroup and has been poorly studied compared to underlying formations. This has resulted in insufficient understanding of the sedimentological history of the formation, potential indications of early life, and possible evidence for the rise in atmospheric oxygen. The difficulties in conducting a regional study of the Gordon Lake Formation stem from its overall discontinuous outcrop exposure both locally and across the preserved basin, which is over 300 km wide. Despite this, Eisbacher and Bielenstein (1969) recognized vertical trends in the formation and subdivided it into three units. In general, previous studies of the Gordon Lake Formation have focused on individual sedimentary structures or single mapping areas and units (e.g. Wood, 1973; Chandler, 1988; Bekker et al., 2006). The lack of regional studies produced a knowledge
gap concerning the paleodepositional and atmospheric conditions of the upper Huronian Supergroup at the time of deposition (Pavlov et al., 2000; Young et al., 2001; Bekker et al., 2005).

1.2 Research questions

The aim of this thesis project is to investigate the extent to which early life and the lack of vegetation affected sedimentary processes and controlled the composition and overall depositional environment of the Paleoproterozoic Gordon Lake Formation, Huronian Supergroup. A number of aspects are addressed, including fossil evidence of life, the stratigraphy and distribution of lithofacies for paleodepositional reconstruction, and detrital zircon geochronology.

Various depositional environments have been proposed for the Gordon Lake Formation, such as tidal flat, sabkha, lagoon, and deep offshore (Wood, 1973; Card, 1978; Rust and Shields, 1987; Chandler, 1988), however no comprehensive sedimentological study has been reported in the literature. A regional approach was taken herein to evaluate the paleodepositional setting of the Gordon Lake Formation. This thesis contains the first publicly described regional lithofacies analysis of the Gordon Lake Formation and provides new insight into pre-vegetated, Paleoproterozoic surficial environments, as related to early life, Earth’s rise in atmospheric oxygen and the break-up of the supercontinent Kenorland. In order to assess the knowledge gap addressed above, four questions were proposed:

(1) Which depositional environment(s) is represented by the Gordon Lake Formation?

In order to address this first, over-arching question, regional and detailed lithostratigraphic and sedimentological investigations were undertaken in four regions where the Gordon Lake Formation is best exposed: north of Bruce Mines (southeast of Sault Ste. Marie), around Flack Lake (north of Elliot Lake), around McGiffin and Smoothwater lakes and along the Lady Evelyn River in Lady Evelyn-Smoothwater Provincial Park, and between Killarney Lake and Baie Fine (inclusive) in Killarney
Provincial Park. Fifty exposed sections and five diamond drill cores through the Gordon Lake Formation were studied, which involved detailed measurement of stratigraphic sections, bedding measurements, and regular sampling of representative rock types. Samples collected from the study areas were used for lithofacies and petrographic analyses, as outlined in Chapter 2.

This question prompted focused inquiries on two groups of sedimentary structures that are recognized in the Gordon Lake Formation. These structures provide information on the sedimentological and tectonic conditions at the time of and shortly following deposition, and are crucial in making an informed interpretation of the conditions at the time of deposition. Within this context, the next two research questions were advanced:

(2) **Does the Gordon Lake Formation contain fossil evidence of life?**

Recognition of fossil evidence of life in the Gordon Lake Formation was accomplished through lithostratigraphic, sedimentological, and petrographic analysis. Rocks that contain surface textures morphologically similar to modern day microbial mats and microbially induced sedimentary structures (MISS) were described and analyzed under a petrographic microscope in order to identify mat micro-textures in thin section. The descriptions of MISS, microbial mat fragments, and stromatolites are outlined in Chapters 2, 3, and 4.

(3) **Which processes and trigger mechanisms led to the formation and distribution of soft-sediment deformation structures (SSDS) in the formation, and what implications does that have for paleodepositional setting and basin tectonism?**

The processes and trigger mechanisms that led to the formation of various types of SSDS preserved throughout the Gordon Lake Formation were assessed in consideration of the structural setting and stratigraphic context of the formation. The descriptions of SSDS are outlined in Chapter 4.
The last section of this thesis involves determining whether or not the depositional age of the Gordon Lake Formation can be refined in order to understand its deposition in a stratigraphic and global sense. This led to the fourth research question:

(4) Can the depositional age of the Gordon lake Formation be refined?

Detrital zircon geochronology was used to investigate the maximum depositional age of the formation and to compare it to a depositional age reported from purported tuffs. The radiometric results are outlined in Chapter 5.

1.3 Geological history of the Huronian Supergroup

The Huronian Supergroup is a succession of primarily siliciclastic sedimentary rocks that were deposited between ca. 2455 Ma (Krogh et al., 1984; Ketchum et al., 2013; Bleeker et al., 2013) and 2215 Ma (Corfu and Andrews, 1986; Bleeker et al., 2015), which overlaps with the rise of atmospheric oxygen at ca. 2300 Ma during the Great Oxidation Event (GOE). Minor carbonate and basal volcanic rocks are also preserved in the stratigraphy. The rocks of the Huronian Supergroup are exposed north of Lake Huron and trend roughly east-west from Sault Ste. Marie, Ontario, to the Ontario-Québec border, and north into the Cobalt area (Long, 1978) (Figure 1.1). The Huronian succession thickens to the south where it reaches a thickness of approximately 12 km (Young and Nesbitt, 1999; Long, 2004a). The Huronian Supergroup unconformably overlies Archean rocks of the Superior Province in the north and west, and is bounded by the Grenville Province in the east and Paleozoic strata in the south (Young et al., 2001). Large-scale mapping for this thesis was completed in four areas across the preserved basin (Figure 1.1). In the western (Bruce Mines and Flack Lake) and northern (Lady Evelyn-Smoothwater Provincial Park) study areas, the rocks have been metamorphosed to lower greenschist grade, whereas in the southern study area (Baie Fine and Killarney Provincial Park), the rocks have been metamorphosed to amphibolite grade (Card, 1978). The prefix “meta” is herein omitted for simplicity.

The tectonic setting of the Huronian Supergroup has been thoroughly debated (Young, 1983; Long 2004a). Several tectonic models have been suggested for the southward-
Figure 1.1. Simplified geological map showing the distribution of the Huronian Supergroup and locations of the study areas. A) Location of mapping areas in Ontario, Canada. B) General stratigraphy of the Huronian Supergroup. Maximum depositional age (M.D.A.) of the Gordon Lake Formation from Hill et al. (2018) and lower age from Krogh et al. (1984) and Ketchum et al. (2013). C) Simplified geological map of the distribution of the Huronian Supergroup, modified from Young et al. (2001). General locations of the study areas are indicated with black squares. Provincial Park is abbreviated to P.P.
thickening succession, including rift basin (Parviainen, 1973), aulacogen (Young, 1983), divergent continental margin (Roscoe and Card, 1993), transtensional extensional basins to passive margin (Long and Lloyd, 1983; Long, 2004a), and a passive margin (Bennett et al., 1991). Overall, restricted deposition of the lowermost formations (Serpent Formation and older) is supported by limited areal extent, thickness changes across faults, a lack of marine indicators, and preservation of seismites (Zolnai et al., 1984; Young and Nesbitt, 1985; Long, 2004a; Young, 2014). Conversely, the greater areal extent, evidence of marine conditions, overall uniform paleoflow patterns, and thick deposits of the Gowganda and overlying formations suggests that deposition occurred post-rifting along a subsiding continental margin (Zolnai et al., 1984; Young and Nesbitt, 1985; Long, 1995; Young et al., 2001). However, establishment of a passive margin may have occurred sometime before deposition of the Gowganda Formation (Young, 1970; Young et al., 2001). A transform-rift to passive margin model has largely been adopted by recent authors (e.g. Ojakangas et al., 2001; Young et al., 2001; Long, 2004a; 2009; Hill et al., 2016; Hill and Corcoran, 2018) (Figure. 1.2). Intrusion of Nipissing gabbro dikes and sills through the entire Huronian succession occurred at ca. 2.22 Ga (Corfu and Andrews, 1986; Bleeker et al., 2015). Evidence of incomplete consolidation of Huronian sediments at the time of intrusion of some gabbro bodies suggests that deposition took place until ca. 2.2 Ga (Young et al., 2001). Huronian strata were folded during at least one event known as the Penokean orogeny, which is associated with ocean closure at ca. 1.875 to 1.825 Ga (Van Schmus, 1976; Schulz and Cannon, 2007).

The Huronian Supergroup is composed of four official groups, which are in ascending order: the Elliot Lake, Hough Lake, Quirke Lake and Cobalt groups. However, a fifth, unofficial group, named the Flack Lake Group, has been suggested for the two uppermost formations (Wood, 1973; Long, 2004a; 2009). Three tripartite cycles consisting of a lower paraconglomerate overlain by a fine-grained unit and capped by sandstone are displayed in the Hough Lake, Quirke Lake and Cobalt groups. These cycles are interpreted to represent cycles of glaciation and associated eustatic sea level changes, and reflect the transition from glacially-generated deposits to deeper water deltaic deposits and finally subaerial, predominantly fluvial or shallow marine deposits (McDowell, 1957; Roscoe, 1957; Card et al., 1977; Rice, 1986; Robertson and Card, 1988; Young et al.,
Figure 1.2. Schematic diagram of the basin configuration of the Huronian Supergroup. The Elliot Lake, Hough Lake, and Quirke Lake groups are interpreted to have been deposited in a transform-rift basin, whereas the Cobalt and Flack Lake (unofficial) groups are believed to have been deposited along a passive margin. The syn-volcanic intrusions were likely emplaced during initial rifting. Modified from Young et al. (2001).
The Espanola Formation, which represents the fine-grained interval of the Quirke Lake Group tripartite cycle, is unique in that it is the only carbonate-rich formation in the Huronian Supergroup (Young, 2002; Al-Hashim, 2016). Initiation of the Huronian glaciations was likely related to formation of the supercontinent Kenorland (Williams et al., 1991; Aspler and Chiarenzelli, 1998). Enhanced weathering associated with increased exposure of continental material may have drawn down a large enough quantity of atmospheric carbon dioxide to result in global cooling, which would have subsequently initiated the process of glaciation. Alternatively, the rise of atmospheric oxygen levels may have contributed to a drop in temperature due to the elimination of methane and reduced greenhouse effects (Pavlov et al., 2000; Tang and Chen, 2013).

1.3.1 Elliot Lake Group

The Elliot Lake Group is composed of the Livingstone Creek, Matinenda, and McKim formations, which are underlain by local basal volcanic units. The Livingstone Creek Formation is the oldest unit in the Huronian Supergroup and consists of cross-beded arkosic sandstone with minor carbonate, polymictic conglomerate, siltstone, and wackes (Young et al., 2001). In the western portion of the basin (Thessalon area) the basal volcanic units consist of felsic and mafic rocks, whereas in the central and eastern portions of the basin (Sudbury and Cobalt areas) they are intercalated with rocks of the Matinenda Formation (Bennett et al., 1991; Young et al., 2001). The Matinenda Formation is characterized by pyritic-, quartz-, and uranium-bearing pebble conglomerate and cross-beded arkosic sandstone (Long, 2009). It is interpreted to have been deposited as alluvial fans and braided rivers (Card and Jackson, 1995; Long et al., 1999). The McKim Formation is composed primarily of mudstone and represents offshore deposition from turbidity currents and prodeltaic suspension deposition (Card et al., 1977; Long, 2009).

1.3.2 Hough Lake Group

The Hough Lake Group is composed of the Ramsay Lake, Pecors, and Mississagi formations. The Ramsay Lake Formation is characterized by sandy, clast-rich
paraconglomerate with minor sandstone and siltstone beds and is interpreted to represent deposition as sub-glacial melt-out (Card et al., 1977; Long, 2009). This first glacial deposit of the Huronian Supergroup is overlain by the Pecors Formation, which is composed of laminated mudstone and wackes of prodeltaic origin (Long, 2009). The Pecors Formation is overlain by shallow braided river deposits of the Mississagi Formation (Long, 1978; 2009). This formation is comprised primarily of cross-bedded arkosic to subarkosic sandstone, with conglomerate and mudstone intervals preserved locally (Long, 1978; 2009). Long (2009) proposed a lacustrine setting for a 120 m-thick muddy sandstone interval in the Cobalt area.

1.3.3 Quirke Lake Group

The Quirke Lake Group is represented by the Bruce, Espanola, and Serpent formations. This tripartite cycle is interpreted to represent the second glaciation during deposition of the Huronian Supergroup. The Bruce Formation is composed of clast-rich, matrix-supported, sandy paraconglomerate that is interpreted to have been deposited beneath a floating ice-shelf (Young, 1981b). The middle, fine-grained unit of the Quirke Lake Group is represented by the Espanola Formation, which is composed mainly of limestone, siltstone, and calcareous siltstone (Long, 2009). Deltaic, lacustrine, and tidally-influenced shallow marine settings have been proposed as paleoenvironmental settings (Bernstein and Young, 1990; Veizer et al., 1992; Jumnila and Young, 1995; Card and Jackson, 1995; Al-Hashim, 2016). Stromatolites are described locally in the Espanola Formation (e.g. Hofmann et al., 1980; Al-Hashim, 2016). The overlying Serpent Formation is characterized by cross-bedded arkosic sandstone with local conglomerate and minor siltstone and shale and is generally agreed to have a fluvial origin (Fedo et al., 1997; Long, 2009). The feldspathic nature of the Serpent Formation distinguishes it from the other thick sandstone units of the Huronian Supergroup and it has been attributed to less intense weathering at the time of deposition (Fedo et al., 1997).

1.3.4 Cobalt Group

The Cobalt Group is divided into the Gowganda and Lorrain formations. The Gowganda Formation is composed of two members: the lower Coleman Member, containing
laminated paraconglomerate and mudstone with dropstones, and the upper Firstbrook Member, composed largely of interbedded sandstone and mudstone (Junnila and Young, 1995). Overall, at least two major glacial advances are recognized in the Gowganda Formation, and deposition is interpreted to have occurred beneath a continental ice sheet with periods of deep-water conditions during glacial retreat (Coleman member), followed up-section by a prodeltaic setting (Firstbrook Member) (Young and Nesbitt, 1985; Long and Leslie, 1986). The overlying Lorrain Formation is thick, widespread and characterized by arkosic to quartz-rich sandstone with minor mudstone and quartz-jasper conglomerate. A number of paleoenvironments have been suggested for the Lorrain Formation, including fluvial and shallow marine settings, however the bulk of the formation is generally agreed to have a fluvial origin (Young, 1973a; Lowey, 1985; Chandler, 1986; Roussell and Long, 1998; Long, 2004a).

1.3.5 Flack Lake Group

The unofficial Flack Lake Group is composed of the Gordon Lake and Bar River formations. The Gordon Lake Formation appears to have a gradational contact with the underlying Lorrain Formation, however Wood (1973) described a sharp, unconformable contact between the two formations in drill core. Overall, the formation is characterized by interbedded sandstone, mudstone, and intraformational conglomerate with local basal carbonate (Wood, 1973; Card et al., 1977; Chandler, 1986; 1988; Hill et al., 2016; Hill and Corcoran, 2018). Sedimentary structures indicative of wave, tide, and storm processes are preserved throughout the formation and include various types of ripples, flaser and lenticular bedding, SSDS, and graded beds (Wood, 1973; Hill et al., 2016; Hill and Corcoran, 2018). Evidence of microbial colonization at the time of deposition has been described from two areas where the formation is exposed (Hofmann et al., 1980; Hill et al., 2016; Hill and Corcoran, 2018). Multiple settings have been suggested for the Gordon Lake Formation, from shallow marine to tidal flat to deep marine (Wood, 1973; Frarey, 1977; Card, 1978; Rust and Shields, 1987; Hill et al., 2016; Hill and Corcoran, 2018). The youngest formation of the Huronian Supergroup is the Bar River Formation, which is composed primarily of cross-bedded quartz arenite with minor mudstone interbeds (Wood, 1973; Card et al., 1977; Aranha, 2015). Although most workers agree
that the Gordon Lake and Bar River formations were deposited along a passive margin, there is currently no consensus on the depositional setting represented by either formation. The settings proposed for the Bar River Formation include fluvial, beach with aeolian influence, nearshore to shallow marine, sand shoals, and tidal channel (e.g. Frarey and Roscoe, 1970; Pettijohn, 1970; Wood, 1973; Card, 1978; Chandler, 1984; Rust and Shields, 1987; Aranha, 2015).

1.3.6 Correlative Successions

The Kona Dolomite of the Chocolay Group, Marquette Range Supergroup, Michigan, U.S.A., is interpreted to be broadly correlative with the Gordon Lake Formation (Young, 1983; Ojakangas et al., 2001b; Bekker et al., 2006; Bennett, 2006). It is characterized by dolostone with relatively minor mudstone and sandstone (Larue, 1981). Reported sedimentary features include desiccation cracks, domal and stratiform stromatolites, red beds, evaporite pseudomorphs, ooids, cross-bedding, and ripples (Taylor, 1972; Larue, 1981). Young (1983) suggested that variances in clastic influx may account for the sedimentological differences between the Gordon Lake Formation and Kona Dolomite. He proposed that the Chocolay Group was deposited in a failed rift basin that formed to the west of the area occupied by the Huronian Supergroup.

In terms of sedimentology and stratigraphy, the Snowy Pass Supergroup, Wisconsin, U.S.A., has long been considered similar to the Huronian Supergroup (Young, 1973b; Roscoe and Card, 1993). The Lookout Formation, Lower Libby Creek Group, which is characterized by laminated and rippled sandstone, phyllite, and dolostone, is interpreted to be equivalent to the Gordon Lake Formation (Roscoe and Card, 1993). In Europe, the upper Jatulian subgroup of the Karelian Supergroup, Fennoscandian Shield, has also been generally correlated with the Gordon Lake and Bar River formations (Marmo and Ojakangas, 1984; Ojakangas, 1988; Ojakangas et al., 2001a). This subgroup is composed of mixed chemical-siliciclastic sedimentary rocks and is proposed to have had a marine origin (Ojakangas et al., 2001a). Young (1973) proposed that the Snowy Pass Supergroup may represent a displaced and rotated block of the Huronian basin, whereas Roscoe and Card (1993) suggested that the Huronian Supergroup and the Snowy Pass Supergroup
were contiguous and that the Karelian craton formed at ca. 2.1 Ga during breakup of Kenorland.

1.3.7 Composition of the Paleoproterozoic atmosphere

Evidence of the transition from an anoxic to oxic Earth atmosphere is recorded in the Huronian Supergroup. Evidence for an oxygen-poor atmosphere includes detrital uranium-bearing minerals and pyrite in the Matinenda (Elliot Lake Group) and Mississagi (Hough Lake Group) formations, respectively (Long, 2009), and a lack of red beds in the lower formations. Evidence for an oxygenated atmosphere include red beds in the Gowganda (Cobalt Group) and stratigraphically younger formations, and evaporite minerals in the Gordon Lake Formation (Flack Lake Group) (Wood, 1973; Chandler, 1988). Recognition of stromatolites in the Espanola Formation, microbial mat fragments and stromatolites in the Gordon Lake Formation, and MISS in the Bar River Formation support locally oxygenic conditions at the time of deposition of the upper Huronian Supergroup (Hoffman, et al., 1980; Hill et al., 2016; Al-Hashim, 2016; Hill and Corcoran, 2018).

1.4 Local geology and access

Field investigation of the Gordon Lake Formation was conducted in the summers and falls of 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017 and 2018. The Flack Lake and Bruce Mines areas were mapped in 2014 with overall easy access to outcrop exposures. A boat was required to access the outcrops on Flack Lake. The McGiffin Lake study area in Lady Evelyn-Smoothwater Provincial Park was mapped in 2015. Access to this area was by foot or canoe. In addition, the area was heavily vegetated. In 2016, Baie Fine and a small portion of the western side of Killarney Provincial Park were mapped. Access to this area required a boat or canoe, but it was otherwise easy to navigate between exposures. In 2017, the area from Baie Fine to Killarney Lake was studied and also required the use of a canoe with relatively easy access between both exposures and lakes. Smoothwater Lake in Lady Evelyn-Smoothwater Provincial Park was investigated in the fall of 2017. Access to the lake was gained by float plane and a canoe was used to reach outcrop exposures. In addition to outcrop mapping, five diamond drill cores were logged in the summer of
2018, totaling approximately 725 m of core. Access was granted by the Ontario Geological Survey office in Sault Ste. Marie.

1.5 Structure of the dissertation

This thesis is divided into four main chapters, in addition to introductory (Chapter 1) and concluding (Chapter 6) chapters. Chapter 2 investigates the sedimentology and stratigraphy of the Gordon lake Formation through detailed regional lithofacies analysis. Lithofacies are described and organized into lithofacies associations based on stratigraphic relationships. The results are compared with modern and ancient examples of similar depositional environments, and a depositional model is proposed for the formation.

Chapter 3 recognizes a previously unidentified group of sedimentary structures in the Gordon Lake and Bar River formations in the Flack Lake area, and reassesses the origin of several types of crack structures from the Gordon Lake Formation. Fossil evidence of microbial mats and MISS are presented and used to aid in paleoenvironmental reconstruction.

Chapter 4 evaluates the origin and possible trigger mechanisms responsible for the formation of SSDS in the Gordon Lake succession and investigates the role that microbial mats played in their formation. The conclusions provide information on conditions during and shortly following deposition, in addition to providing insight into basin tectonics.

Chapter 5 contributes to the understanding of the depositional history of the Huronian Supergroup by providing new U-Pb detrital zircon data for the Gordon Lake and Bar River formations. The data reveal a maximum depositional age of the uppermost formations and associated reinterpretation of the origin of the zircon grains.

Attached appendices include a table listing outcrop locations and coordinates (Appendix A), supplementary stratigraphic sections not included in Chapter 2 (Appendix B), supplementary back-scattered electron (BSE) and cathodoluminescence (CL) images of detrital zircon grains (Appendix C), and geochronological data (Appendix D).
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Chapter 2

2 The Paleoproterozoic Gordon Lake Formation, Huronian Supergroup, Canada: a macro-tidal to shallow marine shelf, characterized by microbial, tide, and storm activity

2.1 Introduction

Precambrian sedimentary systems are notoriously difficult to interpret due to the absence of pronounced biological activity, especially bioturbation (Schopf, 1975; Eriksson et al., 2004 and references therein; Flannery et al., 2018). In addition, the paucity of chronological markers, combined with the lack of modern analogues unaffected by biological activity, pose a significant challenge in evaluating ancient depositional models. As a result, considerable dependence is placed on sedimentary structures as environmental indicators, even though many depositional environments share similar sedimentary characteristics, including “diagnostic” structures, and therefore care must be taken when reconstructing Precambrian paleoenvironments.

Previous studies of the Gordon Lake Formation have tended to base their environment analyses on individual structures, such as nodules (e.g. Chandler, 1988), and typically do not consider regional trends. Much of the existing literature proposes that the Gordon Lake Formation was deposited in shallow water (e.g. Wood, 1973; Frarey, 1977), and that it may have formed part of a back-barrier system, although this has never been proven. Studies of barrier systems older than the Holocene are uncommon as they are inherently transient systems with poor preservation potential (Hoyt and Vernon, 1967; Riggs, 2010). Barrier islands and associated features are also thought to have generally been absent on tidally-influenced Precambrian shelves, but this may be a result of poor preservation or difficulty distinguishing these environments from fluvial and offshore deposits (Eriksson et al., 1998, 2004; and references therein; Donaldson et al., 2002).

Building on the work of Hill et al. (2016), Hill and Corcoran (2018) and Hill et al. (2018), this paper aims to: 1) define the major lithofacies of the Gordon Lake Formation, 2) determine the depositional processes responsible for lithofacies development, and 3) propose a viable depositional model for the formation. Understanding the depositional
environment of a well-preserved succession exposed over an area roughly 280 x 125 km, provides critical information on sedimentary processes that took place on non-vegetated surfaces, with only local development of microbial mats. The present study also attempts to explain the depositional transition between the fine-grained sandstone and mudstone of the Gordon Lake Formation, and the underlying and overlying extensive quartz arenite successions.

2.2 Geological Setting

Several momentous global developments occurred during the Proterozoic Eon, including the rise of atmospheric oxygen, evolution of eukaryotes, and multiple extreme climate shifts (Eriksson et al., 2001, 2004). Evidence of rising atmospheric oxygen levels and glacial periods are well-preserved in rocks of the Huronian Supergroup, which is exposed north of Lake Huron, Canada (Figure 1.1). This primarily siliciclastic succession forms part of the Southern Geological Province and unconformably overlies Archean basement of the Superior Province. Basal volcanic units are present locally. Uranium-lead zircon dating of volcanic rocks near the base of the Huronian succession yielded a lower age limit of ca. 2450 Ma (Krogh et al., 1984; Ketchum et al., 2013; Bleeker et al., 2013), whereas an upper age limit of ca. 2220 Ma (Corfu and Andrews, 1986; Bleeker et al., 2015) was determined from U-Pb dating of zircons and baddeleyite from a gabbro (Nipissing) intrusion. A maximum depositional age of 2315 ± 5 Ma was determined for the Gordon Lake and Bar River formations from detrital zircon in a sandstone and claystone bed, respectively (Hill et al., 2018); this date constrains the time of deposition of the upper Huronian Supergroup to between 2315 ± 5 Ma and emplacement of the gabbro intrusions ca. 2215 Ma (Bleeker et al., 2015). Depositional ages of 2308 ± 8 Ma and 2311 ± 1 Ma were reported by Rasmussen et al. (2013) from purported tuff beds in the Gordon Lake Formation. Although the youngest ages of the detrital zircons analyzed by Hill et al. (2018) coincide with those of Rasmussen et al. (2013), it is impossible to prove a volcanic origin for the grains. In general, the rounded nature of the zircon grains and the high-energy setting of the upper Huronian Supergroup suggest a decreased likelihood of preserving a tuff. In addition, the period from 2450 and ca. 2100 Ma is
interpreted to have been quiet in terms of magmatic activity in continental areas (Condie, 1998).

According to Wood (1973) and Long (2009), five groups comprise the Huronian Supergroup: the Elliot Lake, Hough Lake, Quirke Lake and Flack Lake groups, from oldest to youngest. Other workers divide the succession into four groups by incorporating the Flack Lake Group into the Cobalt Group. The maximum thickness of the Huronian succession is approximately 12 km in the southern part of the Huronian belt (Young et al., 2001), and sandstone, mudstone, and paraconglomerate are the dominant lithologies. The lower three groups are allocyclic in nature and consist of a lower paraconglomerate unit overlain by mudstone-carbonate and sandstone units, interpreted respectively as glacial deposits, deeper-water deltaic deposits, and fluvial-marine deposits (Card et al., 1977; Rice, 1986; Robertson and Card, 1988; Young et al., 2001; Long, 2004a, 2009). Huronian strata were folded during at least one event known as the ca. 1.875-1.825 Ga Penokean orogeny (Van Schmus, 1976; Schulz and Cannon, 2007) and have been regionally subject to low greenschist grade metamorphism (Card, 1978a; Young et al., 2001). In contrast, Huronian strata in the Killarney area, have been subject to low amphibolite grade metamorphism (Card, 1978a). The prefix “meta” has been omitted in the present study for simplicity.

The Huronian Supergroup is interpreted to reflect the transition from a transform-rift to passive margin during the breakup of the Archean Supercontinent Kenorland (Aspler and Chiarenzelli, 1998; Long, 2004a). The two major influences on the evolution of the Huronian basin were tectonism and paleoclimate cyclicity (Eriksson et al., 2001). The presence of a reducing atmosphere during deposition of the lower Huronian formations is supported by detrital uranium-bearing minerals in the Matinenda Formation (Elliot Lake Group) and sulphur isotope data from the Mississagi Formation (Zhou et al., 2017). The establishment of a partially-oxygenated atmosphere midway through the succession is supported by red beds, which first appear in the Gowganda Formation (Cobalt Group), and are present throughout stratigraphically higher formations, as are local evaporite minerals (Wood, 1973), microbial mat fragments (Hill et al., 2016; Hill and Corcoran, 2018) in the Gordon Lake Formation (Flack Lake Group), MISS in the Gordon Lake and
Bar River formations (Hill et al., 2016), and stromatolites in the Espanola Formation (Hofmann et al. 1980; Al-Hashim, 2016).

2.2.1 Gordon Lake Formation

Strata now assigned to the Gordon Lake Formation were originally recorded as fine-grained sandstone and minor limestone (Murray, 1858, p. 76), or “banded cherty quartzite” (Collins, 1925, p.111), in the upper part of the Huronian succession. The formation was formally defined by Frarey (1967), and has received relatively little attention compared to the older formations of the Huronian Supergroup. The formation was re-examined because it: 1) records the final stages of transition from an anoxic to oxic early Earth atmosphere (Great Oxidation Event), 2) contains significant and convincing evidence of fossilized microbial life in the Huronian Supergroup, 3) contains the only carbonates in the upper Huronian Supergroup, 4) represents complex deposition of a significant quantity of fine-grained material on a passive margin lacking evidence of burrowing organisms and marine plants, and 5) is part of an economic and historically significant succession that spans the Siderian and early Rhyacian periods.

In the preserved Huronian basin, the Gordon Lake Formation thickens southward, from approximately 300 m near Flack Lake, to approximately 1100 m in the Killarney area (Figure 2.1). It is composed primarily of very fine- to fine-grained sandstone, mudstone, and intraformational conglomerate. Three units have previously been suggested for the Gordon Lake Formation and are described as upper and lower sandy red members and a middle finer-grained, green member (Eisbacher and Bielenstein, 1969; Card et al., 1977; Card, 1978a). The lower upward-fining unit was described as containing interbedded rippled white and red sandstone and siltstone, intraformational breccia and nodular anhydrite. The middle unit was considered a mixture of dark green argillite, chert and fine-grained grey sandstone, with a variety of sedimentary structures, including cross-laminae, ripples, graded beds and SSDS. The upward-coarsening top unit was described as containing red, mudcracked siltstone, argillite and sandstone. The contacts with the underlying Lorrain Formation and overlying Bar River Formation are conformable. Environmental interpretations of the Gordon Lake Formation include lagoon (Rust and
Figure 2.1. General regional geological correlation of the Gordon Lake Formation. Base map modified from Young et al. (2001).
Shields, 1987), tidal flat (Wood 1973; Card, 1976; Siemiatkowska, 1978), storm
influenced shallow marine (Chandler, 1984), and deep water turbidite settings (Card,
Wood (1973) identified hematite ooliths and anhydrite-gypsum nodules in the Flack Lake
area, and interpreted silt grains to be glacial loess, transported by wind. This led to his
interpretation of deposition in a tidal flat setting in a relatively arid, subarctic climate.
Alternatively, Chandler (1988) proposed a hot, generally dry coastal sabkha environment
for the lower Gordon Lake Formation, based on the evaporite nodules near the base, and
suggested a storm-dominated shelf setting for overlying strata. Wood (1973) and
Chandler (1986) observed minor strata-bound copper mineralization at the base of the
Gordon Lake Formation at Welcome Lake and concluded that this was sabkha-related.
Chandler (1986) also observed possible chamosite oolites and “glauconite-like” peloids
in the middle of the formation.

Hofmann et al. (1980) observed fenestral dolostone in the Bruce Mines area, which they
interpreted as primary voids possibly indicating localized microbial mat activity. An
approximately 30 m thick dolostone unit with wavy, irregular laminae “suggestive of
algal mats” was also identified in the Goulais Bay area of Ontario, approximately 60 km
north of Gordon Lake (Bennett et al., 1989). Hill et al. (2016), and Hill and Corcoran
(2018) recognized MISS and microbial mat fragments in the Gordon Lake Formation in
the Flack Lake and Bruce Mines areas, significantly increasing the known occurrences of
biosignatures in the Huronian Supergroup.

2.3 Methodology

Four field areas were selected for lithostratigraphic and sedimentological analysis, based
on the availability and accessibility of exposure of the Gordon Lake Formation. The
study areas are located north-northwest of Bruce Mines (Figure 2.2), around Flack Lake
(Figure 2.3), around McGiffin Lake and at Smoothwater Lake in Lady Evelyn-
Smoothwater Provincial Park (Figure 2.4), and between Baie Fine and Killarney Lake in
Killarney Provincial Park (Figure 2.5). Diamond drill core from the Flack Lake area, now
stored at the Ontario Geological Survey Core Library in Sault Ste. Marie, Ontario, was
also logged. This included hole 68-1 from Canadian Johns Manville Ltd., and holes 063-83-1, 063-83-2, 063-83-3 and 063-83-9 from Canamax Resources Ltd.

Detailed stratigraphic sections were established in all four study areas. At each location, lithology, grain size, and sedimentary and potential biogenic structures were documented. Representative rock samples were collected for petrographic analysis and analyzed in thin section. Point counting was completed on thin sections of sandstone samples using the Indiana method in which polymineralic grains are characterized as lithic fragments (Ingersoll et al., 1984). A minimum of 400 grains were counted on each sample using 1 mm step increments. The process of lithofacies analysis entailed describing rock samples and units, interpreting sedimentary processes that occurred at the time of deposition, and grouping the lithofacies into associations, which reflect paleodepositional environment. In this thesis, each depositional process is represented by a distinct package of rock types called lithofacies. The Munsell Rock Color Book (2019 year revised, 2017 production) was used to describe lithofacies colours. Munsell colour notation combines the values for hue, value, and chroma to form the colour designation.

### 2.4 Lithofacies descriptions and interpretations

Seven distinct lithofacies were identified in the Gordon Lake Formation. These are: 1) very fine- to fine-grained sandstone, 2) fine- to medium-grained sandstone, 3) carbonate, 4) interlaminated to interbedded mudstone and fine-grained sandstone, 5) coarse-grained sandstone, 6) intraformational granule- to pebbly sandstone and conglomerate, and 7) mudstone. The strata can be broadly correlated between different areas based on observed units and details of the stratigraphy. Specific marker beds (event beds) were not identified, however distinctive marker “intervals” were identified. Lateral interfingering of Gordon Lake Formation facies with strata of the Lorrain and Bar River formations was not observed, and although the formation contacts in places appear gradational, uninterrupted coeval deposition is not proven. A summary of lithofacies and accompanying descriptions and interpretations is presented in Table 2.1.
Figure 2.2. Maps of the Bruce Mines study area. A) Geological map, modified from Giblin et al. (1979). Black box outlines the area in B. B) Outcrop location map with bedding measurements. Figure modified from Google Earth Pro (2018); map data from Google, DigitalGlobe.
Figure 2.3. Maps of the Flack Lake study area. A) Geological map, modified from Giblin et al. (1979). Black box outlines the area in B. Legend as in Figure 2.2. B) Outcrop location map with bedding measurements. Figure modified from Google Earth Pro (2018); map data from Google, CNES/Airbus, DigitalGlobe.
Figure 2.4. Maps of the Lady Evelyn-Smoothwater Provincial Park study area. A) Geological map, modified from Card and Lumbers (1977). Black boxes outline the areas in B and C. Legend as in Figure 2.2. B) Outcrop location map around McGiffin Lake with bedding measurements. C) Outcrop location map on Smoothwater Lake with bedding measurements. Figures B and C modified from Google Earth Pro (2018); map data from Google, CNES/Airbus, DigitalGlobe.
Figure 2.5. Maps of the Baie Fine and Killarney Provincial Park study area. A) Geological map, modified from Card (1978b). Black boxes outline the areas in B-E. Legend as in Figure 2.2. B) Outcrop location map of the western end of Baie Fine, with bedding measurements. C) Outcrop location map of the eastern end of Baie Fine, with bedding measurements. D) Outcrop location map between Artist lake (left) to O.S.A. lake (right), with bedding measurements. E) Outcrop location map of the eastern part of Killarney Lake, with bedding measurements. Figures B-E modified from Google Earth Pro (2018); map data from Google, CNES/Airbus, DigitalGlobe, TerraMetrics.
2.4.1 Very fine- to fine-grained sandstone

Lithofacies 1 consists of green to grey, pink to purple, and beige to white (Munsell colours N4, N5, 5G 4/1, 5YR 6/1, 5Y 6/1, 5R 5/4, 10R 5/4, 5R 5/2, 5Y 7/2, N8), thin to medium bedded, very fine- to fine-grained sandstone (Figures 2.6 and 2.7). Beds are 1-45 cm thick, mainly tabular, and have sharp or scoured basal surfaces, and sharp, rippled or erosional upper surfaces. Bedsets are up to 110 cm thick. Lithofacies 1 is characterized by massive bedded units (Figure 2.6), with lesser planar and trough cross-bedding (Figure 2.7a), and plane-parallel (Figure 2.7b), wavy, and ripple cross-laminae. Rounded to elongate mudstone intra-clasts are present at the base of some beds. Hummocky cross-stratification (HCS), mudstone drapes (Figures 2.7c, d), alignment of mudstone clasts along laminae and foresets, synaeresis cracks, climbing and flat-topped ripples (Figure 2.7e), and iron- and heavy mineral-defined laminae are present locally. Beds are generally laterally continuous, but locally pinch out. Sand-rich clastic dikes and small-scale syn-sedimentary faults were observed in association with LF1 in Baie Fine and Lady Evelyn-Smoothwater Provincial Park (Figure 2.7f). Lithofacies 1 is frequently associated with fine- to medium-grained sandstone (LF2), carbonate (LF3), interlaminated to interbedded mudstone and fine-grained sandstone (LF4), intraformational granular to pebbly sandstone and conglomerate (LF6) and mudstone (LF7).

Four samples were examined from LF1 (CH-14-13, CH-14-30, CH-15-19, GL-16-47). Petrographically, the samples are composed of very fine- to fine-grained quartz arenites, lithic wacke and subarkose. Sandstones of LF1 contain monocrystalline quartz (63-91%), plagioclase (7-13%), potassium feldspar (1-2%), and lithic fragments (1-10%), including chert (>95%) and quartzite (<5%). Detrital zircons are present in trace amounts. Minor micaceous material (<1%), calcite cement (~5%), hematite cement (~5%), quartz overgrowths (~3%), opaque grains (1%) and chlorite (<1%) were observed. Hematite rims or staining frequently coat many grains. Lithofacies 1 samples are moderately to
Table 2.1. Summary, description, and interpretation of lithofacies in the Gordon Lake Formation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lithofacies and percentage</th>
<th>Lithology, thickness and geometry</th>
<th>Sedimentary structures &amp; other characteristics</th>
<th>Depositional processes</th>
<th>Associated lithofacies</th>
<th>Interpretation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LF1: Very fine- to fine-grained sandstone (26%)</td>
<td>Very fine- to fine-grained sandstone; thin to medium bedded; tabular overall and locally pinch out; beds are up to 45 cm thick</td>
<td>Sharp or scoured basal surface; rippled, planar or erosional top surface; massive bedding; planar-parallel, wavy, and ripple cross-laminae, planar and trough cross-beds, local mudstone rip-up clasts, HCS, mudstone drapes, heavy mineral and iron-stained laminae; local SSDS</td>
<td>Migration of sinuous- and straight-crested subaqueous dunes; plane-bed transport in upper flow regime; uni- or bidirectional ripple migration; bedload transport; influenced by tides and storms</td>
<td>LF2, LF3, LF4, LF6a, LF7</td>
<td>Subtidal, nearshore, possible lower shoreface zone; storm deposits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LF2: Fine- to medium-grained sandstone (8%)</td>
<td>Fine- to medium-grained sandstone; medium to thick bedded; tabular and laterally continuous; beds are up to 90 cm thick</td>
<td>Wavy or scoured base; bidirectional planar and trough cross-beds, massive to planar laminae (predominantly faint), rare mudstone rip-up clasts</td>
<td>Migration of sinuous- and straight-crested subaqueous dunes, influenced by tidal currents, storm currents and waves; plane-bed transport in upper flow regime</td>
<td>LF1, LF7, LF4</td>
<td>Subtidal sand bodies; tidal channel; storm deposits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LF3: Carbonate (6%)</td>
<td>Lenticular and medium to thick bedded fenestral and sandy dolostone; beds are up to 20 cm thick</td>
<td>Irregular to laminoid fenestrae, normal grading of dolomitic rip-up clasts, thin rippled sandstone interbeds and laminae, stratiform and small-scale domal stromatolites</td>
<td>Deposition in relatively shallow water with low clastic influx; minor influence from storms</td>
<td>LF1, LF7</td>
<td>Deposition in inter- to subtidal environment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LF4: Interlaminated to interbedded mudstone and fine-grained sandstone (13%)</td>
<td>Fine-grained sandstone and mudstone; local quartz granules; beds vary laterally and pinch out locally; beds are up to 25 cm thick</td>
<td>Rippled or sharp upper and lower surfaces; sandstone with locally erosive base; lenticular and flaser bedding, wavy to ripple cross-laminae, shrinkage cracks, wave, interference, and combined flow ripples, local normally-graded beds, reactivation surfaces, MISS, mudstone rip-up clasts and drapes</td>
<td>Alternating suspension settling and traction deposition under the influence of tides, waves, and storms; possible episodes of subaerial exposure; frequent fluctuations in depositional conditions</td>
<td>LF1, LF7</td>
<td>Intermittently subaerially exposed mixed intertidal flats that were occasionally influenced by storms; possibly shallow subtidal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>LF5:</strong> Coarse-grained sandstone (5%)</td>
<td>Coarse-grained sandstone; lenses and thin to medium bedded; contains local pyrite fragments; beds up to 20 cm thick</td>
<td>Mudstone drapes, mudstone rip-up clasts, bidirectional trough cross-beds and local normal grading</td>
<td>Traction deposition from high-energy currents; migration of sinuous-crested subaqueous dunes influenced by tidal currents</td>
<td>LF1, LF7, LF4</td>
<td>Subtidal to shallow shelf deposits by storms or tsunamis; subtidal dunes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>LF6a:</strong> Intraformational granule to pebbly sandstone and conglomerate (7%)</td>
<td>Intraformational granule to pebbly sandstone and conglomerate; lenses and thin to medium bedded; beds are up to 15 cm thick</td>
<td>Erosive basal surface; well-rounded clasts that align parallel to bedding or along foresets; local nodules, normal grading and gradational transition to overlying beds</td>
<td>Traction deposition in the upper flow regime</td>
<td>LF1, LF4 locally, LF7</td>
<td>Subtidal storm deposits; possible influence from tidal currents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>LF6b:</strong> Matrix-supported intraformational conglomerate (&lt;1%)</td>
<td>Pebble to cobble size, well-rounded, typically elongate quartzite clasts; sandstone matrix</td>
<td>Structureless</td>
<td>Traction deposition in the upper flow regime by strong currents or oscillatory waves</td>
<td>None observed</td>
<td>Nearshore setting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>LF7:</strong> Mudstone (35%)</td>
<td>Thin to thick bedded fine- to coarse-grained mudstone; coarse-grained mudstone and very fine-grained sandstone streaks, laminae, and lenses</td>
<td>Local normal grading, shrinkage cracks, large- and small-scale SSDS, minor mudstone rip-up clasts, microbial mat fragments</td>
<td>Primarily suspension settling from standing water; influenced by storms; periodic fluctuations in depositional conditions</td>
<td>LF1, LF3, LF4, LF6a</td>
<td>Subtidal-shallow shelf, storm deposits</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 2.6. Representative stratigraphic section for lithofacies 1 from Smoothwater Lake, Lady Evelyn-Smoothwater Provincial Park. Coordinates for the outcrop are 47°23'39.30"N, 80°41'11.58"W.
Figure 2.7. Representative photos of lithofacies 1, very fine- to fine-grained sandstone. A) trough cross-bedded and rippled sandstone with iron stained laminae, from Smoothwater Lake. B) Planar laminated sandstone, from Flack Lake area. C) Mudstone drapes between wavy to low angle cross-bedded sandstone, from Baie Fine. D) Sandstone beds with scoured bases, capped by mudstone drapes (interbeds), and local rippled sandstones in Baie Fine. E) Flat-topped ripples with local bifurcations, from Baie Fine. F) Small-scale synsedimentary fault, with iron staining, from Baie Fine. Pencils are 14.5 cm long and 8 mm wide.
well sorted, and contain grains that are sub- to well rounded with concavo-convex to sutured contacts. Laminae consist of alternating layers of fine- and very-fine grained sandstone.

**Interpretation**

Lithofacies 1 is interpreted to have formed by processes including migration of sinuous-crested (trough cross-bedding) and straight-crested (planar cross-bedding) subaqueous dunes, as well as by plane-bed transport under upper flow regime conditions (plane-parallel and wavy laminae). Uni- and bidirectional-opposed currents are indicated by ripple cross-laminae. Local combined flow bedload transport is indicated by the presence of HCS. Scoured bases, wavy and cross stratification, along with mudstone rip-up clasts, indicate deposition typically from strong currents (Ricci Lucchi, 1995; Nichols, 2009). Local flat-topped ripples indicate periods of very shallow water conditions during deposition (Tanner, 1962). Mudstone drapes indicate alternation between high- and low-energy conditions, that allowed mud to settle out of suspension. Plane-parallel laminae are typically associated with the upper flow regime, but are known to form in the lower flow regime. However, the latter typically develop when grains are larger than 0.6 mm (Harms et al., 1982), which excludes this as a possible depositional trigger for these structures. Lateral continuity is typically high, generally exceeding outcrop width, and suggests uniform depositional conditions.

The overall sheet-like nature of the sandstone beds may have developed as a result of storms and tidal influence on a shallow open shelf (Anderton, 1976; Raaf et al., 1977), or by poorly confined drainage on a tidal flat (Donaldson et al., 2002). Beds containing a transition from planar laminae to ripples, or vice versa, indicate a shift between unidirectional and oscillatory flow. Clastic dikes and small-scale syn-sedimentary faults indicate episodes of sediment instability, shortly after initial deposition. Periods of current inactivity were characterized by the oscillatory movement of waves, which produced symmetrical ripples. Minor planar cross-bedding containing mudstone rip-up clasts may have formed by either tidal scour or storm activity (Brenchley, 1989; Shaw et al., 2012). Synaeresis cracks may have formed by dewatering during compaction,
resulting in volume change of mudstone layers, which are subsequently infilled by coarser-grained sediments (McMahon et al., 2017). Heavy mineral accumulations are typical of exposed nearshore or shoreline environments and suggest shallow water conditions where winnowing by marine currents and waves can take place (Levson, 1995). However, the lack of associated aeolian deposits points to a subaqueous environment. This lithofacies is interpreted as having been deposited in a nearshore, subtidal setting.

2.4.2 Fine- to medium-grained sandstone

Lithofacies 2 consists of white to grey and pink (Munsell colours N4, N7, 5YR 7/2, 5YR 8/1, 10R 7/4), medium to thick bedded, fine- to medium-grained sandstone (Figures 2.8, 2.9a-c). Beds are typically tabular and laterally continuous within individual outcrops. They may be planar or trough cross-bedded (Figure 2.9a), or massive to planar laminated (Figure 2.9b), and can have a wavy or scoured base (Figure 2.9c). Planar laminae are often faint (0.1-2 mm), but where visible are generally continuous and parallel. Rippled surfaces are uncommon, and rounded mudstone intra-clasts are subordinate or absent. The paleoflow direction of cross-beds indicate that flow operated locally in both unidirectional and opposing directions. Individual beds are approximately 2 cm to 90 cm thick. Massive mudstone cosets up to 20 cm thick are preserved locally. Lithofacies 2 is frequently found in association with LF1, and is present locally near the base, middle, and top of the Gordon Lake Formation.

Two samples were examined petrographically from LF2 (CH-14-17, GL-16-20). These samples are composed of fine- to medium-grained quartz arenite. The samples contain monocrytalline (>99%) and polycrystaline quartz (<1%) as framework components, and hematite (~5%) and calcite cement (~1%), with minor (accessory) chlorite (~2%). Quartz overgrowths (cement) constitute approximately 2% of the samples. Lithofacies 2 has sub- to well rounded sand grains and is moderately to well sorted. Detrital grains are typically between 150 and 425 µm, with most in the 200-250 µm range. Laminae are characterized by finely alternating layers of fine- and medium-grained sandstone.
Figure 2.8. Representative stratigraphic section for lithofacies 2 from Baie Fine. Legend as in Figure 2.6. Coordinates for the outcrop are 46° 2'8.77”N, 81°30'22.25”W.
Figure 2.9. Representative photos of lithofacies 2 (A-C) and 3 (D-G). A) trough cross-beds in the quartz-rich middle section in Baie Fine (Figure 2.8). Pencil points to top. B) Massive bedded sandstone with thin mudstone interbeds, in Baie Fine near the upper formational contact. Arrow points to top. C) Massive to faintly laminated sandstone with scoured base that tapers out laterally on Artist Lake in Killarney Provincial Park. Interpreted as a tidal channel deposit. Thin adjacent beds contain mudstone pebbles, minor cross laminae, ripples, and minor SSDS. One nodule bed was observed above the channel. Beds generally thin- up section. Black arrow points to bed termination. White arrow points to top. D) Lenticular bedded fenestral dolostone near Flack Lake. E) Stromatolitic sandy dolostone on Smoothwater Lake. F) Close-up of stratiform stromatolites from E. G) Close-up of laterally-linked, domal stromatolites from E. Pencils are 14.5 cm long and 8 mm wide and camera lens cap is 5.8 cm wide.
Interpretation

Lithofacies 2 is interpreted to have formed by the migration of sinuous-crested (trough cross-bedding) and straight-crested (planar cross-bedding) subaqueous dunes, alternating with plane-bed transport (planar laminae) in the upper flow regime, and deposition of material with a uniform grain size, such that internal structures are not visible (massive bedding). Planar laminae often appear faint, however the thickness of LF2 beds indicates a greater volume of sediment than would be expected of deposition in the lower flow regime (Eriksson et al., 1995). Scoured bases and cross-bedding also indicate deposition under a strong current. This lithofacies is interpreted to primarily represent deposition in the subtidal zone. The most common sedimentary structures are planar laminae and trough cross-beds. Foreset dip directions are bipolar, which indicates tidal current action. Simple and compound dunes created by tides form in littoral and shelf environments (Longhitano et al., 2012); no compound dunes were identified. The horizontally-laminated beds may have been deposited within tidal channels (McKee et al., 1967; Tirsgaard, 1993), as storm beds (Kreisa, 1981), or in the lower shoreface zone (Nichols, 2009).

Fluctuating energy levels are inferred from the presence of horizontal laminae, characteristic of upper flow regime conditions, and trough cross-bedding and ripples indicative of lower flow regime conditions. Convolute laminae were not observed in LF2, which supports sediment deposition in tidal channels, as opposed to a braid-delta where deposition occurs at a higher rate (Eriksson et al. 1995). The lack of sigmoidal foresets, mudstone drapes, and abrupt channel margins in LF2 suggests that inter-tidal channels may not have been present. A thick, laterally pinching, erosive sandstone bed in Baie Fine is interpreted as a tidal channel (Figure 2.9c). In general, it is difficult to differentiate Precambrian tidal channels from that of Precambrian rivers and offshore beds due to their sheet-like nature (Eriksson et al., 1998), although Long (2019) has identified Archean tidal channels based on inclined mudstone laminae. The thick, faintly laminated to massive LF2 beds at the top of the formation may have been deposited as subtidal sand bodies, with the mudstone interbeds representing deposition either between the sand bodies or during slack water conditions.
In Baie Fine, a general fining-upwards shift from interpreted basal nearshore strata, to subtidal storm deposits, to thick bedded subtidal sandstones suggests that a regression may have occurred following transgression, that established shallower water conditions for deposition of LF2 in the middle of the Gordon Lake Formation.

2.4.3 Carbonate

Lithofacies 3 consists of pink, purple and beige (Munsell colours 5YR 7/2, 5R 4/2, 10R 7/4, 10YR 8/2), fenestral and sandy dolostone (Figures 2.9d-g, 2.10). In the Flack Lake area, thin, wavy to lenticular interbeds of fenestral and sandy dolostone, and sandstone were observed. Irregular to laminoid voids, interpreted as fenestrae, are up to 20 cm long are aligned parallel to bedding (Figure 2.9d). Bedding surfaces are sharp to gradational and the upper surface is locally rippled. In Lady Evelyn-Smoothwater Provincial Park, LF3 is preserved as blocks of interbedded sandy dolostone and sandstone (Figure 2.9e), with calcareous, mm-scale, flat to wavy laminae, and up to 8.5 cm wide and 4.5 cm high laterally linked, laminated domes (Figure 2.9e-g). In the Bruce Mines area, dolostone beds are approximately 8-20 cm thick, and alternate with fine-grained sandstone, mudstone, and intraformational conglomerate. Dolostone beds contain irregular to laminoid fenestrae up to 20 cm long, and local, normally graded, intraformational dolomicrite intra-clasts. Siliciclastic interbeds are planar to wavy laminated, or faintly rippled, and contain minor small-scale SSDS. Calcareous intra-clasts were observed in core. Lithofacies 3 did not outcrop in the Baie Fine-Killarney area.

Three samples were examined petrographically from LF3 (CH-14-19, GL-16-60a, SM-17-9). The fenestral dolostone has a micro- to finely crystalline texture, that can be described as xenotopic (nonplanar). Fenestrae are up to 8 mm high and 7 mm wide, and are filled with a mosaic of hematite-bearing, anhedral, coarse, twinned dolomite spar. Fenestral dolostone beds are well sorted and very fine-grained, with 5-30% siliciclastic grains, including monocristalline (94%) and polycrystalline (1%) quartz, plagioclase feldspar (2%) and lithic fragments (3%). Rounded intraformational dolomicritic clasts 1-4 mm in diameter were also observed. Hematite staining is principally preserved around the fenestrae and is sparse in the matrix.
Figure 2.10. Representative stratigraphic section for lithofacies 3 from the Flack Lake area. Legend as in Figure 2.6. Coordinates for the outcrop are 46°37′30.97″N, 82°50′1.90″W.
The sandy dolostone of LF3 consists of approximately 5-30% detrital siliciclastic grains with diameters of ~80-210 µm, with most grains between 80 and 175 µm. The siliciclastic grains consist of sub- to well rounded monocrystalline quartz (90-96%), plagioclase (3-5%) and potassium feldspar (3-5%). Patchy hematite staining and dark (organic?) irregular laminae and lenses were present locally. Sandy dolostone, from the calcareous flat to wavy and domal laminated structures from Smoothwater Lake, was examined in thin section. The laminae consist of alternating, continuous layers of fine-grained sandstone (approximately 0.75-1.5 mm thick), and sandy dolostone (approximately 0.5 mm thick), with a micro- to finely crystalline xenotopic texture. A minor quantity of disrupted calcareous laminae was observed in thin section.

**Interpretation**

Lithofacies 3 is interpreted to have formed in a shallow marine setting during periods of low clastic influx. The flat to wavy and domal calcareous structures observed at Smoothwater Lake (Figure 2.9e-g) are interpreted as stratiform and domal stromatolites. The presence of dolostone implies that by the end of deposition of the Lorrain Formation there was a return to marine conditions suitable for establishment of microbial communities. Alternating laminae in the sandy dolostone likely formed by fluctuating rates of sediment deposition wherein the sandy laminae represent a higher rate of detrital influx and the dolomitic and stromatolitic laminae represent periods of low detrital influx. The disrupted laminae are here interpreted as primary features, and may have formed by desiccation of the stromatolite surfaces at the time of deposition (Riding, 1991). The overall paucity of ripples suggests low wave activity, however primary sedimentary structures may have been destroyed during dolomitization (Einsele, 2000). Fenestrae are likewise interpreted to have had a microbial origin. These structures have multiple modes of formation, including infill of gas bubbles produced by decaying organic matter (Flügel, 2010), repeat flooding and exposure of carbonate sediments (Shinn, 1968), and desiccation of microbial mats, leading to separation from surrounding sediment (Logan et al., 1974). Fenestrae are often associated with microbially-induced or precipitated sediments that form in carbonate facies on intertidal and supratidal flats (Logan et al., 1974; Mazzullo, 2004), and support carbonate precipitation at least in part influenced by
microbial mats (Grotzinger and Knoll, 1999; Reid et al., 2000; Altermann, 2004; Schopf et al., 2007). Due to the abundance of tidal sedimentary structures and recognition of microbial mat structures in the Flack Lake and Bruce Mines areas, an explanation involving the decay or shrinkage of microbial mats in an inter- to subtidal environment is appropriate for the formation of fenestrae in LF3. Graded beds containing rounded intraformational dolomicritic rip-up clasts likely formed during storm events.

2.4.4 Interlaminated to interbedded mudstone and fine-grained sandstone

Lithofacies 4 consists of interlaminated to interbedded mudstone with fine-grained sandstone (Figures 2.11, 2.13a). The beds are green to grey, pink to purple, and white to grey in colour (Munsell colours 10Y 6/2, 5R 8/2, 5R 4/2, 5RP 2/2, N4, N8). Bedsets can be 10s of centimetres thick and individual beds are 0.5-15 cm thick; on average, mudstone and sandstone beds have equal thickness. Upper and lower contacts are generally sharp and predominantly rippled. The sandstone beds locally have erosive bases. Beds frequently pinch out laterally over 5-10 m. Lenticular and flaser bedding, wavy to ripple-cross laminae, shrinkage cracks, and wave, interference, and combined flow ripples are the dominant sedimentary structures preserved in LF4 (Figure 2.11a, b). Ripples commonly have low, rounded, symmetrical tops, but internally contain different types of laminae, including unidirectional and micro-cross varieties; asymmetrical ripples are rare and where observed had reworked, rounded crests. Reactivation surfaces (Figure 2.11c), single or double mudstone drapes (Figure 2.11d), minor small-scale SSDS, thin normally graded beds, cross-beds (Figure 2.11e), and mudstone clasts are present locally. Microbially induced sedimentary structures (Figure 2.11f) are also preserved in LF4 in the Flack Lake area (see Hill et al., 2016). Identified varieties of MISS include sandcracks, microbial sand and silt chips, large mat chips, remnant gas domes, and iron patches. In Lady Evelyn-Smoothwater Provincial Park, local quartz granules are present in sandstone of LF4. This lithofacies is commonly associated with LF1 and LF7.

Three samples were examined petrographically from LF4 (CH-14-29, CH-14-54, CH-14-78). The samples are composed of fine-grained sandstone, interlaminated and interbedded with fine- to coarse-grained mudstone. The dominant detrital mineral is monocryalline quartz (99%), followed by plagioclase feldspar (1%). Overall, the grains are subangular
Figure 2.11. Representative photos of lithofacies 4. A) Interference ripples, near Flack Lake. B) Lenticular bedding, desiccation cracks, rippled sandstone and mudstone drapes in Killarney Provincial Park. C) Oblique view of a reactivation surface in Lady Evelyn-Smoothwater Provincial Park. White arrow points to the erosion surface. D) Complete tidal cycle deposit in Baie Fine. Arrows point to mudstone drapes that were deposited in slack water between both ebb and flood current reversals. Ripple cross laminae support bipolar-opposed tidal currents. E) Interpreted small tidal channel deposit, from Flack Lake area. F) Microbially induced curved and sinuous sand cracks near Flack Lake. Pencils are 14.5 cm long and 8 mm wide.
to rounded, however local angular to sub-angular silt grains were observed in thin
section. The samples are moderately (sand-size) to well sorted (silt-size). Minor amounts
of detrital zircon, lithic (chert) fragments and biotite were also observed. Dark
carbonaceous material, pyrite, and hematite staining are preserved locally. Bedding
consists of finely alternating layers of fine-grained sandstone (1-23 mm thick) and
mudstone (1-10 mm thick). Bimodal ripple cross-stratification, sandstone lenses,
truncated micro-cross stratification, desiccation cracks, fine grading, and alternating
laminae are common in thin section. Diagenetic chlorite and mudstone drapes
predominantly define laminae.

*Interpretation*

Lithofacies 4 is interpreted to have formed by alternating suspension (mudstone) and
traction (sandstone) deposition under the influence of tides and waves (ripples, flaser, and
lenticular bedding), with a minor influence from storms. Desiccation cracks and
microbially-induced sand cracks formed during periods of subaerial exposure on tidal
flats, whereas microbial mat chips formed through erosion of biofilms by wind or waves
(Schieber, 2004; Eriksson et al., 2007; Hill et al., 2016). Mudstone drapes formed
through suspension settling during slack water periods of tidal cycles (Reineck and
Singh, 1981; Dalrymple, 2010). Reactivation surfaces formed when ripples advancing
during one tidal phase were eroded by the reversing ebb tidal current (Dalrymple, 2010).
Thin, normally graded beds indicate deposition from waning currents, which might be
associated with storms, turbidity currents, or fluvial overbank floods (e.g. Kuenen and
Menard, 1952; Figueiredo et al., 1982; Nichols, 2009). The frequent association of
graded beds with sedimentary structures is possibly indicative of subaerial exposure and
deposition by tides and waves points to a shallow marine setting. In addition, the
observation that storms were one of the main trigger mechanisms for the formation of
SSDS in the Gordon Lake Formation (Hill and Corcoran, 2018), lends support to a
waning storm origin for the graded beds. This lithofacies is typical of mixed intertidal
flats and shallow marine settings.
2.4.5 Coarse-grained sandstone

Lithofacies 5 consists of white to grey (Munsell colours N7, N8), coarse-grained quartz arenite, that locally contains pyrite grains. In the Bruce Mines area, LF5 was observed as a sandstone lens in one outcrop where it is approximately 4 cm thick at its thickest point and pinches out laterally (Figure 2.12a). It contains generally rounded, circular to elongate pyrite grains (Figure 2.12b), and one load structure. Faint cross-laminae are preserved, however sulphide weathering covers much of the surface, obscuring any structures. In Baie Fine and Lady Evelyn Smoothwater Provincial Park, LF5 is massive to planar laminated and trough cross-bedded with heavy minerals defining laminae locally (Figure 2.12c). Mudstone drapes, rippled bedding planes are preserved locally and mudstone clasts were observed at the bases and tops of some beds.

One sample was examined petrographically from LF5 (PY-17-1). The sample is a coarse-grained quartz arenite that contains pyrite grains (Figure 2.13b). It contains monocristalline (>94%) and polycristalline quartz (<1%), plagioclase feldspar (<5%), and is cemented by with microcristalline and silt-sized quartz. The pyrite grains, which form approximately 45% of the coarse-grained material, display an overlapping relationship with several detrital siliciclastic grains; pyrite appears to have formed as a local cement around smaller quartz grains. Detrital grains in sample PY-17-1 are sub- to well rounded and the sample is moderately sorted with a quartz-rich, silty matrix. Grains are loosely packed, but minor, thin quartz overgrowths were observed.

Interpretation

Lithofacies 5 is interpreted to have formed by traction deposition from high-energy currents. In the Bruce Mines area, LF5 has a scoured base, pinches out laterally, is quartz-rich and distinct from the overall fine-grained over- and underlying strata, suggesting that the sediment originated in a foreshore environment and was transported offshore by storm- or tsunami-generated flows (e.g. Dawson and Stewart, 2007). A number of the rounded and elongate grains are interpreted as pyritized chips representing eroded microbial mats that were mineralized selectively. The pyrite likely formed under favourable anoxic conditions provided by decaying microbial mat chips (e.g. Berner,
Figure 2.12. Representative photos of lithofacies 5 and 6. A) Lens of LF5 that tapers out laterally, in the Bruce Mines area. Loading is evident at the base of the bed. B) Photomicrograph of pyrite grain morphologies in A. C) Trough cross-bedding outlined by heavy mineral laminae in Baie Fine. Arrows point to over- and underlying mudstone drapes. Bipolar flow directions were observed in this interval. D) Lithofacies 6a in Lady Evelyn-Smoothwater Provincial Park, interpreted as the base of a fining-upwards storm deposit. E) Lithofacies 6a in Baie Fine. Possible herringbone cross stratification. Note pebbles aligned along foresets. F) Lithofacies 6b in Baie Fine. G) Jasper nodules in thin LF5a bed from Lady Evelyn-Smoothwater Provincial Park. H) Sugary quartz nodules from Baie Fine. Note how bedding was displaced by nodule growth after deposition. Pencils are 14.5 cm long and 8 mm wide.
The regular overlapping relationship with adjacent siliciclastic grains and cement indicate a diagenetic origin. In general, LF5 is associated with LF1 and LF7, indicating deposition from strong currents. This lithofacies is interpreted as representing subtidal to shallow shelf deposits. Coarse-grained sediment would have been transported to the coast by fluvial channels or reworked from adjacent coastal areas or older deposits (Sha and De Boer, 1991). Winnowing by storms or tidal currents may have also contributed to the concentration of coarser-grained sediment in some cases (e.g. Nichols, 2009; Reynaud and Dalrymple, 2012).

2.4.6 Intraformational granular to pebbly sandstone and conglomerate

Lithofacies 6 consists of two subfacies: a) green to white-grey (Munsell colours N7, N8, 5GY 5/2), intraformational granular to pebbly sandstone and conglomerate (Figures 2.12d, e; 2.13b), and b) pink (Munsell colour 10R 7/4), matrix-supported intraformational conglomerate (Figure 2.12f). Lithofacies 6a is found in all of the study areas and is composed of mudstone clasts that are <1 mm to 14 cm wide. The clasts are well rounded and appear circular to elongate in two dimensions, with rare irregular forms. The elongate clasts are predominantly aligned parallel to bedding or along foresets. Lithofacies 6a is typically overlain by LF1 or LF7. Association of LF6a with lenticular bedding, shrinkage cracks, and mudstone drapes of LF4 was observed locally. Beds are from approximately 1 to 15 cm thick, and are massive to faintly laminated. Basal surfaces are erosive, and a gradational transition into the overlying LF1 is common. Normal grading was observed locally. Siliceous and sulphate nodules (Chandler, 1988) (Figure 2.12g, h) were found associated with LF6a in the lower portion of the Gordon Lake Formation, however they were not restricted to this lithofacies.

Two samples were examined petrographically from LF6a (CH-14-43a, CH-15-02). The samples are intraformational pebbly sandstone and conglomerate. The intraformational granules, pebbles and cobbles are composed of mudstone. Morphologically, these grains are well rounded, and appear circular, elliptical or irregular in two dimensions; some mudstone clasts contain fine laminae. The detrital component of the sandstone is predominantly composed of very fine- to fine-grained monocrystalline quartz. Carbonaceous fragments and laminae, and pyrite grains are common features in LF6a.
Alternating 5-6 mm thick laminae of fine- to very-fine grained sandstone lacking mudstone clasts, and 1-13 mm thick intraformational granular to pebbly sandstone and conglomerate were observed in thin section.

Lithofacies 6b is anomalous in the stratigraphy, and was only observed on one small, isolated outcrop exposure in Baie Fine that is highly foliated (Figure 2.12f). In outcrop, it is structureless and contains 1-20 cm wide quartzite clasts that are sub- to well-rounded and typically elongated. One sample from LF6b was examined petrographically (GL-16-9). Clasts were not observed in thin section. The matrix is predominantly composed of fine-grained, monocrystalline quartz (>95%); plagioclase feldspar and detrital zircon grains constitute a minor amount of the matrix. In general, metamorphism has obscured grain contacts. Hematite cement (3%) and chlorite (<1%) are present in the matrix.

*Interpretation*

Lithofacies 6a is interpreted to have formed by traction deposition in the upper flow regime. Mudstone clasts with preserved undeformed laminae indicate a semi-lithified state of the sediment at the time of erosion. In addition, mudstone beds preserved in the process of being eroded were observed in thin section, which indicates local sourcing of mud (Garzanti, 1991; Schieber et al., 2010). Lithofacies 6a forms the base of fining-upward units that are locally overlain by LF1 and LF7. Lithofacies 4 was found substituting for LF7 in some units. These packages are interpreted as storm deposits (e.g. Kreisa, 1981; Duke et al., 1991a; Cheel and Leckie, 1992). Lithofacies 7 and 4 are not always preserved at the top of storm cycles, but where present are typically overlain by LF1. The mudstone beds may represent fair-weather deposits or the tailings of a waning storm. Consecutive storm deposits likely formed through erosion of mudstone cap layers by ensuing storms and incorporation of the clasts into the basal lag layer (LF6a) of a new storm package (e.g. Kreisa, 1981; Chandler, 1988; Duke et al., 1991a; Cheel and Leckie, 1992). This lithofacies is interpreted to have been deposited in the subtidal to lower shoreface zone. Observation of minor lenticular bedding, possible desiccation cracks, and mudstone drapes indicate deposition within the realm of tidal influence and not in a deep...
Figure 2.13. A) Representative stratigraphic section for lithofacies 4 and 7 from the Flack Lake area. Coordinates for the outcrop are 46°35'55.00"N, 82°46'27.30"W. B) Representative stratigraphic section for lithofacies 6a from Lady Evelyn-Smoothwater Provincial Park. Coordinates for the outcrop are 47°21'48.78"N, 80°31'2.06"W. Legend as in Figure 2.6.
offshore setting. However, rippled sand and mud can be deposited in offshore settings during weak storms (Plint, 2010; Daidu, 2013), and a number of crack structures are interpreted to be subaqueous synaeresis cracks. The association of LF6a with inferred storm beds may therefore be consistent with a shallow shelf environment.

Siliceous nodules are interpreted as replacements of syn-depositional evaporites as indicated by the presence of anhydrite inclusions and calcite, dolomite, and ankerite core fillings in thin section, in addition to local up- and down-warping of the over- and underlying host material (Wood, 1973; Chandler, 1988). The common association of LF6a with nodules suggests that porosity may have played a role in formation of the structures or that some of the nodules have a detrital origin. However, nodules preserved in sandstone or mudstone beds may have formed subaqueously (Chandler, 1988).

Lithofacies 6b is interpreted to have formed by traction in the upper flow regime. Storms may have played a role in deposition and remobilized clasts as a storm lag, however the prevalence of sand in the matrix suggests that little winnowing occurred (c.f. Clifton, 2003). Possible depositional environments and deposits include nearshore/beach, tidal channel, subtidal trough/depression, debris flow, or barrier. All but debris flows are affected by waves, tides, or storm currents, in addition to the potential influence from longshore currents. The small size of the exposure and metamorphic overprinting masking sedimentary structures and lateral associations makes interpretation of LF6b very difficult. A shallow marine setting characterized by strong currents or oscillatory waves, such as the nearshore or a subtidal depression or trough, is tentatively proposed due to the high maturity of the matrix, sub- to well-rounded nature of the clasts, and the stratigraphic association with shallow marine and tidally-influenced deposits. Given that this is an isolated outcrop, with no clear bed limits, LF6b may also represent a post-depositional Sudbury-type breccia (e.g. Rousell et al., 2003; Long, 2004a).

2.4.7 Mudstone

Lithofacies 7 consists of green, grey, pink and purple (Munsell colours 5YR 7/2, 5R 4/2, N4, 10Y 6/2, 5GY 5/2, 5Y 6/1, 10GY 5/2, 5G 4/1), fine- to coarse-grained mudstone (Figures 2.13a, 2.14a, b). Mudstone beds are up to approximately 30 cm thick, and appear
massive, or finely planar and wavy laminated. Coarse-grained mudstone to very-fine-grained sandstone streaks and laminae are common, with local thin, lenticular beds of sandstone and graded beds. Crack structures are also common overall. At Flack Lake, LF7 contains synaeresis(?) cracks up to 10 cm long, infilled with fine-grained sandstone, and minor thin lenticular beds of sandstone (Figure 2.14a). Thin, normally graded mudstone beds were also observed in the area. In general, LF7 was observed in association with LF1, LF3, LF4, and LF6a throughout the Gordon Lake Formation.

In the Bruce Mines and Baie Fine areas and in core, LF7 is interbedded with LF1 beds that are massive to planar laminated with flat to undulatory bases and local rippled surfaces. Several intervals hosting a variety of large- and small-scale SSDS (Figure 2.14b), including load casts, pseudonodules and flame structures (see Hill and Corcoran, 2018), normal graded beds and minor intraformational conglomerate are preserved. Lateral variations in thickness are minor. In addition, LF7 in the Bruce Mines area is interbedded with thin to medium bedded, fine- to medium-grained sandstone that is regularly overlain by microbial mats and mat chips. A minor quantity of similar microbial structures was observed in core from the Flack Lake area. Lithofacies 7 also forms a capping mudstone layer on interpreted storm beds across the region. In the Flack Lake area, LF7 can be highly siliceous and resembles chert, however, local sand-sized grains of quartz and muscovite, seen in thin section, indicate a detrital origin for these rocks.

Two samples were examined petrographically from LF7 (CH-15-01, GL-16-78). These samples are composed of fine- to coarse-grained mudstone containing approximately 98% silt-sized monocrystalline quartz, and approximately 2% plagioclase feldspar. The grains are generally sub- to well-rounded and the samples are moderately- to well-sorted. Laminae in LF3 are characterized by alternating 0.2-15 mm thick layers of planar continuous to discontinuous, fine- and coarse-grained mudstone. Small-scale SSDS were observed in thin section.

**Interpretation**

Lithofacies 7 is interpreted to represent suspension settling from standing water. The presence of coarse-grained mudstone to very fine-grained sandstone streaks and laminae
Figure 2.14. Representative photos of lithofacies 7 and bedding types. A) Top of photo shows medium to thick beds of mudstone overlying LF4 in the Flack Lake area. Vertical crack fills and thin lenticular pink sandstone define bedding surfaces. B) Convolute bedding and load structures near Bruce Mines. C) Possible HCS (white arrow) near the top of the formation in Baie Fine. Top to left. D) Thick bedded sandstone (LF2) near the transition with the overlying Bar River Formation in Baie Fine. Top to right. E) Rapid transition from sandy red beds to fine-grained green mudstone beds in a cliff section (marked by arrow) at Lady Evelyn-Smoothwater Provincial Park. Pencil is 14.5 cm long and 8 mm wide and field book is 19 cm long.
and the association of LF7 with LF1 and LF6a point to periodic fluctuations in depositional conditions (Pickett, 2002). This lithofacies is inferred to have been deposited in the subtidal nearshore to shallow shelf zone. Thick mudstone beds require extended periods of quiet water to form, which can be attained during the tidal slack-water flood phase on mud flats or in intertidal ponds, and under fairweather conditions in the subtidal to offshore transition zone (Potter et al., 2005; Dalrymple, 2010). Preservation of long shrinkage cracks near Flack Lake indicates possible extended periods of subaerial exposure, which would have occurred during the ebb tidal phase. Mud is often the topmost constituent of a storm deposit due to the retention of clay and silt sized particles in suspension in high-energy storm currents that get deposited once fairweather conditions are re-established (Duke, 1985; Prave et al., 1996). Interbedded sandstones with erosive bases point to frequent transitions to bedload transport in currents strong enough to erode muddy layers (Schieber, 1999), such as storm and tidal currents. Sandstone with flat or undulatory bedding surfaces may represent episodic storm deposits (Duke, 1985); the graded beds, SSDS, and the infrequent shallow water sedimentary structures support this interpretation.

2.5 Discussion

2.5.1 Paleoenvironmental interpretation and lithofacies associations

This section presents an interpretation of the main sedimentary processes that controlled the deposition and composition of the Gordon Lake Formation, using observations of lithofacies and petrographic analysis. The lithologies and sedimentary structures support deposition in sub-environments developed along an open coast, in mainly siliciclastic, microbial-, tide- and wave-influenced marginal marine to shallow shelf environments.

The seven lithofacies of the Gordon Lake Formation can be arranged into three lithofacies associations: LFA1 (subtidal nearshore), LFA2 (subtidal to shallow shelf), and LFA3 (mixed intertidal flat). Unlike fluvial systems in the Precambrian that experienced episodic discharge and increased rates of runoff due to the absence of vegetation (Long, 2004b), tidal action was constant during deposition and may account for the prevalence of tidal deposits in the geologic record.
2.5.1.1 LFA1: Subtidal nearshore

The association of very fine- to fine-grained sandstone (LFA1), fine- to medium-grained sandstone (LFA2), and coarse-grained sandstone (LFA5) is interpreted to represent deposition in a nearshore setting, such as in subtidal shoals. This association is present in Baie Fine and in Lady Evelyn Smoothwater Provincial Park, near the stratigraphic middle and top of the formation (Figures 2.1, 2.6 and 2.8). Medium to thick bedded, quartz-rich sandstone interbedded with thin to medium bedded mudstone characterize this lithofacies association. Recurring sedimentary structures include planar laminae, massive bedding, and planar and trough cross-bedding with minor ripples. Mudstone in LFA1 is preserved in a relatively small abundance compared to the other lithofacies associations. Minor clastic dikes and small-scale syn-sedimentary faults were locally observed in association with this lithofacies association.

Planar-laminated and bipolar-opposed trough cross-bedded sandstone stratigraphically overlies interpreted sandy storm beds in Baie Fine (see LFA2), and appears to record a transition in depositional processes from primarily storm-induced oscillatory flows to an increased influence of tidal currents. The absence of rippled bedding planes in the upper portion of the middle LFA3 section in Baie Fine is a result of deposition under higher-energy water conditions (Harris and Eriksson, 1990). The upward decrease in mudstone may indicate an increase in the frequency of storm events, which remove fine-grained, fair-weather deposits. The trough cross-bedded sandstone of LFA1 has a high maturity, but does not contain truncating sets of cross-bedding, banded sigmoidal foresets, reactivation surfaces, mudstone drapes, lag deposits, or associated inclined heterolithic bedding, which suggests that LFA1 is unlikely to represent deposition in tidal channels (Hamberg, 1991; Ehlers and Chan, 1999; Dalrymple and Choi, 2007). However, at least one bed of fine- to medium-grained sandstone in Baie Fine resembles a channel (Figure 2.9c). No clear relationship between grain size and cross-bed set thickness was observed, however a number of the sandstone beds exhibit marked lateral variability in thickness. Beds have flat to undulatory surfaces and generally exhibit a tabular form, suggesting a possible broadly-alternating sequence of storm sheet beds and tidal sand shoals (Goldring and Bridges, 1973; Johnson, 1977). The lack of wedge-shaped elements containing cross-
bedding points to a non-barrier subenvironment (Kumar and Sanders, 1974; Murakoshi and Masuda, 1992).

A tidal shelf ridge interpretation may be appropriate for the middle quartz-rich sequence in Baie Fine due to the interpreted under- and overlying offshore storm deposits. In addition, tidal shelf sand ridges are characteristic features of tide-dominated, transgressive shelves and can form in water as shallow as 30 m (Liu et al., 2007). Approximately 6 km northeast of the middle quartz-rich sequence in Baie Fine (46°28.41"N, 81°30'19.69"W) lies a 26 m thick planar-laminated and trough cross-bedded quartz arenite succession interbedded with minor mudstone (located at 46°3′4.46"N, 81°25′48.47"W), and is proposed to be stratigraphically equivalent. The apparent lateral continuity of LFA1 points to a continuous zone of deposition, typified by subtidal deposits and offshore tidal shelf ridges, which can be spaced 1 to 30 km apart and reach lengths of over 20 km (Posamentier, 2002). Overall, LFA1 is thought to represent deposition in the subtidal zone on a storm-influenced continental shelf.

In general, the formational contacts of the Gordon Lake Formation are associated with LFA1. Sandstone of LF1 and LF2, with mudstone interbeds, are characteristic of this interval. A transition from planar to ripple cross-laminae, or vice versa, was observed locally at the tops of convex-up and planar surfaced sandstone beds suggesting shallowing water conditions during deposition or a shift from oscillatory to unidirectional flow (c.f. Ainger and Reineck, 1982). The basal contacts of beds are often erosive in these units and many appear hummocky (Figure 2.14c). Regular intercalation of mudstone beds with sandstone indicates that flow was intermittent, but the absence of mudstone rip-up clasts indicates that the flows were not significantly erosive (Duke and Prave, 1991), or the muds remained fluid. Variations in stratification also points to fluctuations in flow velocity, from rapid deposition of sand, to slack water deposition of mud. The overall lack of simple wave-influenced structures and high-angle cross-beds suggests deposition in a subtidal shelf environment. Hummocky lower surfaces and ripple cross lamination may be a result of emplacement by storms.
2.5.1.2 LFA2: Subtidal to shallow shelf

Multiple examples of storm-generated deposits are preserved in the Gordon Lake Formation, and specifically in fining-upward intervals of LF6a, LF1, and LF7 or LF4 that are interpreted as representing subtidal to shallow shelf deposits (Figure 2.13b). The mudstone caps suggest waning current or fair-weather deposits. These units are best observed in Lady Evelyn-Smoothwater Provincial Park, Baie Fine, and in core from the Flack Lake area. Tide-related sedimentary structures in these intervals are minor.

Overall tabular, thin to medium bedded sandstone and mudstone beds that contain normal grading, planar to wavy laminae, synaeresis cracks, and minor HCS are interpreted as a type of storm deposit. These deposits are best observed in strata at Baie Fine where they are underlain by thin beds and lenses of LF6a, and minor tide-generated deposits. The overlying strata consist of tabular, laminated sandstone with minor mudstone clasts. Small-scale SSDS, including load casts, pseudonodules, and flame structures, were observed throughout the storm beds and indicate local sediment instability.

A second type of storm-influenced, subtidal to shallow shelf deposit consists of stacked interlaminated and interbedded mudstone (LF7) and sandstone (LF1, with minor LF4). Mudstone-rich intervals are characterized by thin to thick bedded mudstone with less common very fine-grained sandstone beds. Normal grading and an abundance of SSDS of varying size and type were observed in LFA2. These deposits are best observed in the Bruce Mines and Baie Fine areas and in core from the Flack Lake area. A few medium-grained sandstone beds containing mudstone pebbles, planar laminae, and low angle cross-bedding were observed adjacent to interpreted storm deposits in Baie Fine. These sands may have been transported offshore during large storm events, or were reworked from older deposits (Sha and De Boer, 1991). Episodes of high-energy reworking can occur when tidal currents, which are strongest in the intertidal and subtidal-offshore zones (Hayes, 2005), are enhanced by storm waves (Johnson, 1977; Aigner and Reineck, 1982). In general, changing water depth produces considerable variability in storm-generated sequences (Kreisa, 1981).
The abundance and variety of SSDS in LFA2 led Hill and Corcoran (2018) to propose a primary storm or tsunami trigger mechanism for their formation. Thin sandy event beds observed in the Bruce Mines area are interpreted to represent tsunami deposits that were frequently colonized by microbial mats. Density inversions, overpressuring by microbial mats, and seismic events were interpreted as possible secondary trigger mechanisms. Based on available outcrop exposure and core, the SSDS-rich middle interval is preserved across at least half of the Huronian basin, pointing to large-scale, possibly basin-wide trigger mechanisms, such as storms, tsunamis, or earthquakes.

2.5.1.3 LFA3: Mixed intertidal flat

This association includes interlaminated to interbedded mudstone and fine-grained sandstone (LF4), alternating with fine-grained sandstone (LF1) and mudstone (LF7), and is interpreted to represent deposition on mixed intertidal flats. Characteristic sedimentary structures of this sub-environment include flaser and lenticular bedding, wave and interference ripples, ripple cross- and planar laminae, bi-directional-opposed ripples separated by mudstone drapes, desiccation cracks, and MISS. Minor small-scale SSDS are developed locally. Tabular, sheet sandstones of this type may have formed as a result of poorly confined drainage on a tidal flat (Donaldson et al., 2002), and small tidal channels have been recognized locally (Figure 2.11e). This lithofacies association was observed mainly in the Flack Lake and Lady-Evelyn Smoothwater Provincial Park study areas, which suggests that overall, tides played an important role in sediment deposition, in addition to waves. Sporadic storm events are indicated by graded bedding.

The alternating association of carbonate (LF3) with very fine- to fine-grained sandstone (LF1) and mudstone (LF7) in the Bruce Mines and Flack Lake areas and in Lady Evelyn-Smoothwater Provincial Park is interpreted to represent deposition on intertidal to subtidal flats. Stratiform stromatolites are known to form in low-energy to intertidal settings and small domal stromatolites in subtidal regions of modern tidal flats (e.g. Eriksson, 1977; Jahnert and Collins, 2012). In the Precambrian, stromatolites may also be expected in subtidal settings (Gebelein, 1976; Pratt, 1982; Grotzinger and Knoll, 1999), as there were no predators to provide grazing pressure. Dongjie et al. (2013) interpreted stratiform and domal stromatolites in the Mesoproterozoic Wumishan Formation, China,
to have formed in upper intertidal and lower intertidal areas, respectively. Similarly, Melezhik et al. (1999) interpreted stratiform stromatolites from the Paleoproterozoic Tulomozerskaya Formation, Russian Karelia, to have formed in the upper tidal zone, ponded tidal flat, lagoon, or playa lake. Laterally-linked stromatolites become isolated with increasing water turbulence (McIntyre and Fralick, 2017), suggesting that the domal stromatolites in LF3 at Smoothwater Lake formed under relatively low energy conditions, a theory that is supported by the presence of wave ripples and lack of cross-bedding.

Thin interbeds and interlaminae of sandstone and mudstone in LFA3 represent vertical accretion and shifts in sand and mud placement on mixed intertidal flats (e.g. Dalrymple, 2010; Daidu, 2013). The abundance of tidal signatures in the Gordon Lake Formation supports the action of tidal currents throughout the majority of deposition. Although the absence of land plants would have led to increased rates of runoff, the colonization of microbial mats would have enhanced sediment stabilization and led to increased preservation of tidal flat deposits and mud (Eriksson and Simpson, 2012). Recognition of MISS and stromatolites in the Gordon Lake Formation support the contribution of biofilms to preservation of the succession.

Although the paleoenvironment represented by the upper Lorrain Formation has been much disputed it is generally agreed that a transgression took place (Chandler, 1986), which would have resulted in increased accommodation for deposition of the Gordon Lake Formation. Upon initiation, the sedimentary processes forming the sandy alluvial and nearshore deposits of the upper Lorrain Formation appear to have changed to tide-dominated processes (Figure 2.15). Bimodal-opposed cross stratification is present in both the upper few metres of the underlying Lorrain Formation at Welcome Lake (Long, 2004a), and overlying Bar River Formation (Rust and Shields, 1987; Aranha, 2015). The Kona Dolomite of the Marquette Range Supergroup, Michigan, is interpreted to be correlative with the lower Gordon Lake Formation (Young, 1983; Bekker et al., 2006; Bennett, 2006), suggesting that a period of overall low sediment influx associated with the onset of transgression may have facilitated carbonate production.
Figure 2.15. Depositional model for the Gordon Lake Formation. Deposition of the lower part of the formation is interpreted to have occurred in the subtidal nearshore to shallow shelf zones along a passive margin. Deposition of the upper part of the formation is interpreted to have occurred in the subtidal nearshore to mixed intertidal flat zones along a passive margin.
2.5.2 Basin dynamics

The upper Huronian Supergroup is interpreted to have been deposited along a passive margin (Young and Nesbitt, 1985; Young et al., 2001; Long, 2004a, 2009). The characteristics of the Gordon Lake Formation support this interpretation, in that it thickens southward towards the proposed paleomargin, does not noticeably change thickness across faults, and contains sedimentary structures indicative of a marginal marine origin (Wood, 1973; Chandler, 1986; 1988; Hill et al., 2016; 2018). Rifting took place during deposition of the lower Huronian Supergroup (Card et al., 1977; Young, 1983; Young et al., 2001; Long, 2004a), and extensional faults would have still been active during deposition of the Gordon Lake Formation. Potential evidence of fault movement at the time of deposition includes SSDS, syn-sedimentary faults, and brecciated beds. A primary trigger mechanism of storm or tsunami waves was interpreted for the SSDS due in part to a lack of firm evidence for seismic activity in the associated intervals (Hill and Corcoran, 2018). Seismic events, however, cannot be excluded. Clastic dikes and small-scale syn-sedimentary faults may have formed due to local instabilities, or slope failure.

The basal, overall fining- and thinning-upward trend in the Gordon Lake Formation (Figure. 2.14e) may signify transgression brought on by regional subsidence of the newly formed Huronian passive margin. In general, it is difficult to evaluate the cause of Paleoproterozoic transgressions, and to conclude the relative importance of eustatic over tectonic influence (Eriksson et al., 1998). The top of the formation is characterized by a coarsening- and thickening-upward succession, which may be a result of regression or an increase in sediment supply. A combination of sea-level rise, subsidence, and microbial mat development may have led to enhanced preservation of strata in the Gordon Lake Formation. Bradley et al. (2018) compiled a list of reported pre-vegetation tidal successions, and determined that out of 40, 21 contain <2% mudstone and are dominated by quartz-rich sandstone. The muddy nature of the Gordon Lake Formation and preservation of lenticular bedding, mudstone drapes, and shrinkage cracks sets it apart from many other Precambrian tide-influenced successions.
2.5.3 Summary of the depositional history of the Gordon Lake Formation

The paleoenvironmental model proposed herein is in general agreement with several findings of previous workers, however the regional approach taken in this study allowed an integrated model to be developed. The vertical association of lithofacies in the Gordon Lake Formation supports deposition from the intertidal zone to shallow shelf. Overall, the formation is characterized by tabular bedding, however many basal surfaces are erosive or undulatory in nature, supporting deposition above storm wave base. Both tide and storm processes played a major role in sedimentation during deposition, as indicated by tide- and storm-generated structures throughout much of the formation. An open coast tidal flat environment is envisioned in part due to the relative abundance of sedimentary structures formed by waves or combined-flows as opposed to channel-fill deposits, which would be expected in a sheltered tidal flat environment (Dalrymple, 2010; Daidu, 2013). Coastal barriers are not considered to have been present during deposition of the formation because dominant tidal currents would have disseminated sand offshore (Hayes, 2005), and tidal inlet deposits are lacking. The tidal range is estimated to be macrotidal (>4m) based on: 1) the presence of wave-reworked strata in the interpreted mixed intertidal and subtidal zones, indicating exposure to open ocean waves at the time of deposition (Hiscott, 1982), 2) the lack of barrier deposits, which are commonly found in micro- and meso-tidal ranges (Nichols, 1989; Shaw et al., 2010), and 3) the closer proximity of the Moon to Earth during the Precambrian, which would have resulted in a larger tidal range (Williams, 2000). Similar tidal deposits and associations have been described from the Paleoproterozoic Palms and Pokegama formations, Canada (Ojakangas, 1983), Ordovician Graafwater Formation, South Africa (Rust, 1977), and early Precambrian Pongola Supergroup, South Africa (Von Brunn and Hobday, 1976).

Deposition of the Gordon Lake Formation is interpreted to have been initiated in response to rising sea levels. This is supported by the gradual shift from an alluvial or nearshore environment of the upper Lorrain Formation to an inferred open coast tidal flat setting of the Gordon Lake Formation, where carbonate deposition took place locally, nodular evaporites formed, and alternations of mud and sand were deposited. Increasing
sea level and storm activity would have led to the accumulation of stacked fining-upward storm units, characterized by a basal layer of intraformational granular to pebbly sandstone or conglomerate, overlain by fine-grained sandstone, and locally capped by mudstone. Fluctuations in sea level would have resulted in deposition of sandy inter-to subtidal deposits overlain by sandy storm beds. A quartz-rich sandstone interval preserved in Baie Fine and Killarney Provincial Park indicates that accommodation fluctuated and experienced at least one reversal, which is considered normal for a transgressive coast (Kraft, 1978). Thin, tabular, scoured mudstone and sandstone beds interpreted as subtidal to shallow shelf deposits overlie the quartz-rich unit and indicate a return to deeper marine conditions. A regression, that decreased the available accommodation space, or an increase in sediment supply (coastal progradation) is indicated by an upward-coarsening and -thickening trend, that extends to the top of the formation. On a small scale, it is possible that some variations in bed thickness may be due to spring and neap tides, or storm influence on sedimentation (Allen, 1985; Li et al., 2000). Waning tidal currents can also cause a significant amount of fine-grained material to be deposited from suspension (Dalrymple et al., 1991).

2.6 Conclusion

Sedimentary structures formed by tides and storms are common in the Gordon Lake Formation. The combination and distribution of lithologies and suites of physical and biogenic sedimentary structures preserved in the formation suggests deposition on a tide- and storm-influenced continental shelf. Seven lithofacies were recognized that comprise three lithofacies associations: subtidal nearshore (LFA1), subtidal to shallow shelf (LFA2), and mixed intertidal flat (LFA3). The overlying Bar River Formation may represent uninterrupted deposition and form the top of a shoaling cycle. The contacts between the Gordon Lake Formation and the underlying Lorrain and overlying Bar River formations are typically obscured by gabbro sills. Further work is needed to elucidate the depositional histories of the under- and overlying formations.
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Chapter 3

3 Microbially induced sedimentary structures in the Paleoproterozoic, upper Huronian Supergroup, Canada

3.1 Introduction

Microbially induced sedimentary structures (MISS; Noffke et al., 1996) develop during growth, metabolism, destruction and decay of microbial mats in siliciclastic-dominated environments (Schieber, 2004; Noffke, 2010). These microbial mats, or biofilms, encrust siliciclastic substrates in diverse natural environments (Gerdes, 2007; Noffke and Chafetz, 2012 and references therein). Although biofilms have existed for billions of years, their preservation in the rock record is highly dependent on the presence of more complex life forms. The majority of Earth’s Proterozoic eon was devoid of eukaryotic organisms. This would have enabled microbial mats to readily colonize clastic sedimentary deposits without the interference of grazers, thereby improving the cohesiveness of sand grains and decreasing erodibility of the sediment (Schieber et al., 2007a; Sarkar et al., 2008; Eriksson et al., 2012). Microbially induced sedimentary structures are therefore an invaluable trace fossil when working with Precambrian sedimentary rocks. Numerous structures interpreted as having been related to microbial activity have been described in the literature (e.g. Hagadorn and Bottjer, 1997; Gehling, 1999; Schieber et al., 2007b, and references therein; Noffke, 2010 and references therein), with several examples of Paleoproterozoic MISS (e.g. Parizot et al., 2005; Banerjee and Jeevankumar, 2005; Chakrabarti and Shome, 2010; Eriksson et al., 2012; Simpson et al., 2013). However, we are unaware of any scientific publications reporting the preservation of MISS in rocks of the Paleoproterozoic Huronian Supergroup.

Here we describe possible microbially induced sedimentary structures from the Gordon Lake and Bar River formations, Huronian Supergroup. Identification of these structures is based on comparisons with modern and other ancient analogues, as well as the six criteria for MISS biogenicity as outlined in Noffke (2009). Recognizing different types of MISS in these rocks can provide critical information regarding sedimentary processes,
hydraulic energy, and paleoenvironmental settings (Noffke, 2010; Bose and Chafetz, 2012).

3.2 Geological Setting

The Paleoproterozoic Huronian Supergroup forms part of the Southern Geological Province, and is well exposed along the north shore of Lake Huron, Canada (Figure 3.1). The siliciclastic-dominated, up to 12 km thick succession contains volcanic formations at the base of the stratigraphy (Figure 3.2). Zircon from a lower rhyolite unit yielded a U-Pb date of ca. 2.45 Ga (Krogh et al., 1984; Ketchum et al., 2013), whereas an upper age limit of ca. 2.22 Ga was determined from primary baddeleyite in gabbro intrusions that cut the stratigraphy (Corfu and Andrews, 1986). An alternative upper age limit of ca. 2.31 Ga was proposed by Rasmussen et al. (2013), as determined from zircon in purported tuff beds in the Gordon Lake Formation. However, Young (2014) argues that these zircons may be of detrital origin.

The Huronian Supergroup unconformably overlies Archean rocks of the Superior Province to the northwest (Card et al., 1977; Card, 1978; Young et al., 2001; Rousell and Card, 2009) and is overlain in the south by a Paleozoic succession with a depositional hiatus of 1.7 b.y. (Corcoran, 2008). The Grenville Front Tectonic Zone separates the Southern and Grenville provinces to the southeast (Card, 1978; Rousell and Card, 2009). Rocks of the Huronian Supergroup in the study area have undergone subgreenschist to greenschist grade metamorphism, but the prefix “meta” is herein omitted for simplicity.

Young and Nesbitt (1985) suggested that the Huronian Supergroup formed in a tectonic setting that evolved from rift basin to passive margin. Long (2004) later interpreted the succession as lower pull-apart basin to upper passive margin deposits. In contrast, Hoffman (2013) suggested that the entire Huronian succession was deposited along a passive margin. However, Young (2014) argued that the lower units have limited areal extent, show minor marine influence, display thickness changes across major faults, and contain seismic-related deposits, all of which suggest deposition in restricted fault-bound basins.
The Huronian Supergroup is composed of five groups, which include in ascending order, the Elliot Lake, Hough Lake, Quirke Lake, Cobalt and Flack Lake groups (Figure 3.2). The cyclical nature of the Hough Lake, Quirke Lake and Cobalt groups form the basis for tripartite divisions consisting of lower diamictite, overlain by siltstone-mudstone-carbonate, and capped by sandstone (Roscoe, 1957; Wood, 1973; Card et al., 1977; Young et al., 2001; Long, 2004). The diamictite units are of glacial origin, whereas the overlying fine-grained formations are interpreted as deeper water deltaic deposits that formed following post-glacial sea level rise (Card et al., 1977; Robertson and Card, 1988; Long, 2009). The sandstone units in each division are mainly interpreted as fluvial deposits (McDowell, 1957; Long, 1978; Chandler, 1988a), although Rice (1986) suggested that the top of the Lorrain Formation (Cobalt Group) may be marine in origin. Young et al. (1991) suggested that the initiation of the Huronian glaciations was related to the formation of the supercontinent Kenorland. Increased exposure of the buoyant
Figure 3.2. General stratigraphy of the Huronian Supergroup. Modified from Long (2004) and Young (2013). Date for Nipissing Gabbro from Corfu and Andrews (1986). Date for Copper Cliff Formation from Krogh et al. (1984) and Ketchum et al. (2013).
supercontinent enabled enhanced rates of continental weathering to occur, drawing down significant amounts of atmospheric CO₂. The resultant drop in temperature would have initiated the process of glaciation. Alternatively, a decrease in the greenhouse effect during each cycle may have occurred through elimination of atmospheric CH₄ during the rise of O₂ (Pavlov et al., 2000; Tang and Chen, 2013). It has also been suggested that the two lower glaciogenic formations, the Ramsay Lake and Bruce, represent early deposition of detritus at the front edge of a mountain ice sheet, which later grew into a continental ice sheet that deposited the thick and laterally extensive Gowganda Formation (Eyles, 1993; Eyles and Januszczak, 2004; Young, 2014).

The Huronian Supergroup contains a record of the transition from an oxygen-deficient to oxygen-rich Earth atmosphere, as recorded in the presence of detrital uranium-bearing minerals in the Matinenda Formation (Elliot Lake Group), followed up-section by the first appearance of red beds in the Gowganda Formation (Cobalt Group), red beds in the Lorrain Formation (Cobalt Group), and red beds and evaporite minerals in the Gordon Lake Formation (Flack Lake Group) (Wood, 1973). The MISS described in this study are preserved in the formations of the Flack Lake Group.

### 3.2.1 Flack Lake Group

The Flack Lake Group consists of the Gordon Lake and Bar River formations (Figure 3.2). The 300-760 m thick Gordon Lake Formation is composed of varicoloured siltstone, argillite, chert, minor sandstone, and anhydrite and gypsum nodules (Card et al., 1977; Card, 1978, 1984; Robertson, 1986; Chandler, 1986, 1988b). The presence of extensive red beds, evaporites and hematite ooliths suggests that a significant amount of oxygen was present in the atmosphere during deposition of these units (Wood, 1973; Chandler, 1988b; Baumann et al., 2011). Reported sedimentary structures within siltstone and argillite units include planar laminae, graded beds, convolute bedding, ball and pillow structures, desiccation cracks and synaeresis cracks, whereas cross laminae and graded beds are common in the sandstone units (Wood, 1973; Robertson, 1976; Card et al., 1977; Card, 1978, 1984; Chandler, 1986; Rust and Shields, 1987; Bennett et al., 1991). Local dolostone containing fenestral cavities was identified near the base of the formation.
The sedimentary structures, combined with evaporite minerals and fenestral fabrics, indicate deposition in a low-energy, tidal-flat, lagoonal or sabkha environment (Wood, 1973; Card et al., 1977; Card, 1978, 1984; Chandler, 1986; Rust and Shields, 1987).

The conformably overlying, 100-900 m thick Bar River Formation is predominantly a quartz arenite succession with minor siltstone interbeds (Wood, 1973; Card et al., 1977, Card, 1978; Chandler, 1984; Rust and Shields, 1987; Bennett et al., 1991). Sandstone units contain massive beds, trough, tangential and planar cross-beds, ripple marks, herringbone cross-stratification, and granule-pebble lags, whereas desiccation cracks and synaeresis cracks are common in the siltstone units (Wright and Rust, 1985; Rust and Shields, 1987; Bennett et al., 1991). Roscoe and Frarey (1970) suggested that the Bar River Formation was deposited in a fluvial environment with mature quartz grains being derived from a regolith source. In contrast, Wood (1973) proposed that the Bar River Formation represents a beach deposit that was subjected to aeolian influence. However, the sedimentary structures, polymodal and bimodal paleocurrent patterns, and textural and compositional maturity are more consistent with deposition in a near-shore, shallow marine environment (Pettijohn, 1970; Robertson, 1976; Card, 1978; Chandler, 1984; Rust and Shields, 1987; Bynoe, 2011). More specifically, Rust and Shields (1987) suggested that the Bar River Formation in the Flack Lake area may have been deposited in a tidal channel environment.

To date, there is no consensus on the depositional settings represented by the Gordon Lake and Bar River formations, although most authors agree that the succession reflects deposition along a continental shelf. We postulate that the predominance of certain types of MISS may help in recognizing the physical sedimentary dynamics and associated depositional setting(s) of the top-most formations of the Huronian Supergroup.

We studied the deposits of the Gordon Lake and Bar River formations in the Flack Lake area, near Elliot Lake, Ontario (Figure 3.1). The rocks were mapped in detail along Highway 639 and along the shoreline of Flack Lake. In this area, the contact between the
Bar River Formation and the underlying Gordon Lake Formation is obscured by a diabase sill. Exposed sections are predominantly flat-lying to gently dipping.

3.3 Upper Huronian Supergroup MISS

Young (1967) proposed that the Gordon Lake and Bar River formations near Flack Lake contained organic vermiform casts, but he later discounted that finding by attributing the elliptical, spindle-shaped and overlapping structures to infilling of shrinkage cracks both from above and below (Young, 1969). Donaldson (1967) suggested that the spindle-shaped structures described from the Flack Lake area in addition to similar structures described from Michigan (e.g. Faul, 1949; Frarey and McLaren, 1963; Hofmann, 1967; Young, 1967) may have formed from desiccation of algal mats. Since that time, the sedimentology of the Gordon Lake and Bar River formations in the Flack Lake area has been investigated by several workers (e.g. Wood, 1973; Card et al., 1977; Wright and Rust, 1985; Chandler, 1986, 1988a, 1988b; Rust and Shields, 1987; Robertson and Card, 1988). However, these authors indicated that the abundant polygonal, linear and elliptical structures in the rocks were desiccation or synaeresis cracks. Although our present detailed investigation affirms that the polygonal structures are desiccation cracks, the spindle-shaped, overlapping linear structures are consistent with microbially induced sedimentation. These structures are herein described according to Schieber’s (2004) process-related classification scheme, which includes development during mat growth, metabolism, physical destruction, and decay. Only the latter two categories of structures were identified in the Flack Lake area.

3.3.1 Mat-Destruction Structures

In the study area, the physical destruction of microbial mats is indicated by various types of sand cracks, microbial mat chips, microbial sand and silt chips, and torn mat chips (Table 3.1). Sand cracks result from rupturing of an overlying microbial mat that has been placed under stress from wind or water, or desiccation (Gerdes, 2007; Eriksson et al., 2007b). Impressions of the tears in the mat may be preserved in the underlying sand or silt. Cracks representing single incipient tears were identified in quartz arenite of the
Bar River Formation and siltstone of the Gordon Lake Formation, and range from 0.5-1.5 cm in size (Figure 3.3a). Sand-filled, 0.5-9.5 cm triradiate cracks are common in sandstones of both formations, and are inferred to have formed when sand was transported to the mat.

**Table 3.1.** Summary of the microbially induced sedimentary structures (MISS) identified in the Gordon Lake and Bar River formations in the Flack Lake area.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mat-related Feature</th>
<th>Gordon Lake Formation</th>
<th>Bar River Formation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sandcracks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>single incipient tears</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>triradiate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>curved</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lenticular</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>spindle</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sinuous</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microbial sand and silt chips</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Torn mat fragments</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mat chips</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gas domes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iron patches</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iron laminae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

surface and filled the open ruptures from above (Figure 3.3b). Fine-grained sandstones and siltstones of the Gordon Lake Formation preserve abundant, up to 30 cm long, lenticular, curved, sinuous, and spindle-shaped cracks (Figure 3.3c and d). These irregular structures, unlike polygonal mud cracks that form through desiccation, reflect the elasticity of microbial mats, in which tearing results in curved or upturned margins (Gerdes, 2007). Although less common in the Bar River Formation, curved cracks at one locality were clearly infilled with sand from above (Figure 3.3e). Locally, cm-size cracks characterize the crests of interference ripples (Figure 3.3f). These cracks are interpreted to have formed when fluid was expelled from microbial mats that colonized the ripple crests. Desiccation of the mat may have also led to the formation of these cracks.

Microbial mat chips develop from high-energy erosion of desiccated, mat-adhered sand, forming curved, irregular fragments (Schieber, 2004; Eriksson et al., 2007a). Mat chips, 2-3.5 cm long, were identified in iron-stained Bar River quartz arenite at one locality (Figure 3.4a). Microbial sand and silt chips are 0.25-9 cm long, and were identified.
mainly in the Gordon Lake Formation (Figure 3.4b-d). These structures develop from abrasion of flipped-over mat margins by water or wind, and are normally preserved as rounded or elongated fragments (Erikssen et al., 2007a). Torn mat chips were identified only in the siltstone and fine-grained sandstone of the Gordon Lake Formation, and range from 7-150 cm long and 3-30 cm wide (Figure 3.4d-f). The edges of the mat chips are sharp, frayed or irregular. Small chips appear to have been curled (Figure 3.4e), which is consistent with erosion of a dried out mat in an environment that is proximal to the site of deposition (Schieber, 2007). Larger, uncurled mat chips contain biolamination and smaller microbial mat chips (Figure 3.4d), which suggest that the mat was wet during erosion (Schieber, 2007). One mat chip appears to have a mottled texture (Figure 3.4f), which probably reflects mat growth prior to erosion.

3.3.2 Mat-Decay Structures

Microbial mat decay in the Flack Lake area is indicated by gas domes, pyrite patches, and iron laminae. Gas domes were identified in fine-grained sandstone of the Gordon Lake Formation, where they are characteristically associated with iron staining (Figure 3.5a). The domes are 1-2 cm across and are surrounded by curved sand cracks. The domes appear to be ruptured locally, resembling radial gas escape structures (c.f. Dornbos et al., 2007; Figure 3.5b), but these characteristics may also be the results of dome erosion during Pleistocene glaciation.

Pyrite patches were identified in the troughs of interference ripples in Bar River quartz arenite at one locality (Figure 3.5c). The pyrite patches are inferred to represent the locations of former microbial mats. The lower portions of a microbial mat are typically anoxic due to the decay of organic matter; this environment is conducive to the formation of reduced minerals, such as pyrite (Berner, 1984; Gerdes et al., 1985). Microbial mats were presumably the dominant source of organic matter during the Paleoproterozoic and would have provided the necessary organic debris within sand of the Bar River Formation at the time of deposition. Iron laminae were identified in quartz arenite of the Bar River Formation at two localities, where they are wavy (Figure 3.5d) and cross-laminated. In general, purple, iron-rich laminae are thinner than pink, quartz-rich
Figure 3.3. Mat- destruction structures identified in the Flack Lake area. Scales include pencil (14.5 cm) and camera lens cap (5.8 cm). (A) Single incipient tears preserved in fine-grained sandstone of the Bar River Formation. (B) Triradiate cracks preserved in fine-grained sandstone of the Gordon Lake Formation. (C) Curved, sinuous sand cracks preserved in siltstone of the Gordon Lake Formation. (D) Curved, corrugated sand cracks preserved in siltstone of the Gordon Lake Formation. (E) Curved sand cracks filled from above preserved in fine-grained sandstone of the Bar River Formation. (F) Curved cracks confined to the crests of interference ripples preserved in fine-grained sandstone of the Bar River Formation.
Figure 3.4. Mat-destruction structures identified in the Flack Lake area. Scales include pencil (14.5 cm) and camera lens cap (5.8 cm). (A) Microbial mat chips preserved in iron stained, fine-grained sandstone of the Bar River Formation. (B) Microbial sand and silt chips preserved in fine-grained sandstone of the Gordon Lake Formation. (C) Microbial sand and silt chips preserved in fine-grained sandstone of the Bar River Formation. (D) Microbial sand and silt chips preserved in fine-grained sandstone of the Gordon Lake Formation. Note the frayed margins of the large mat chip and the biolaminations below the pencil. (E) Microbial mat chips preserved in fine-grained sandstone of the Gordon Lake Formation. Note the curled appearance of these chips. (F) Torn mat chip preserved in the same fine-grained sandstone bed as Figure 4-E. Note the mottled appearance of the mat and distinct torn margins.
Figure 3.5. Mat-decay structures identified in the Flack Lake area. Pencil for scale (14.5 cm). (A) Gas domes preserved in fine-grained sandstone of the Gordon Lake Formation. Note the iron staining concentrated around the domes and the shrinkage cracks in the upper left portion of the figure. (B) Close-up of ruptured gas dome preserved in fine-grained sandstone of the Gordon Lake Formation. Note the radial nature of the dome center. (C) Pyrite patches preserved in the troughs of interference ripples in fine- to medium-grained sandstone of the Bar River Formation. (D) Wavy iron laminae preserved in fine- to medium-grained sandstone of the Bar River Formation.
laminae. The darker laminae may represent periods of calm hydrological conditions during which microbial mats were able to grow, whereas the pink laminae may represent periods of higher energy conditions during which growth of microbial mats was limited (Noffke et al., 2002; Druschke et al., 2009). The permeability of sandstones causes organic matter to be removed fairly early in burial history, therefore the stratiform iron laminae represent residual layers of mat-decay minerals (Schieber et al., 2007b).

3.4 Criteria for the biogenicity of MISS in the upper Huronian Supergroup

Fossil sedimentary structures of the Gordon Lake and Bar River formations in the Flack Lake area fulfill the six criteria for biogenicity, as outlined by Noffke (2009), and are therefore defined as MISS. The first criterion is that the sedimentary rocks must not have been subjected to metamorphism greater than greenschist grade. The studied rocks in the Flack Lake area are of subgreenschist metamorphic grade (Card, 1978). The second criterion states that the sedimentary structures are found at stratigraphic transgression-regression points. Deposition of the Flack Lake Group has been interpreted to have occurred along a continental shelf. Detailed geological mapping of the Gordon Lake Formation in the Flack Lake area supports deposition on a tidal flat, whereas the overlying Bar River Formation in the study area contains structures consistent with a tidal channel or estuarine sand shoal environment. The stratigraphic relationship is therefore consistent with a transgression. However, the occurrence of a regression is not preserved unless the transition from the Lorrain Formation to the overlying Gordon Lake Formation supports a falling water level. The majority of the Lorrain Formation is inferred to have been deposited in a fluvial environment, which does not fit a regressive sequence. However, a regression may have taken place following deposition of the Bar River Formation, although any overlying units have been eroded away.

The third criterion of Noffke (2009) is that the structures are part of the “microbial mat facies”, which involves preferential microbial mat development on quartz-rich, fine-grained sand that is frequently associated with small-scale ripples. The ideal environment for establishment of a microbial colony is one of moderate energy in which currents and
waves are not strong enough to damage or destroy the microbial mat. However, depositional energy must be strong enough that mud and other fine grains remain in suspension, thereby reducing the likelihood of sunlight obstruction (Noffke, 2009). In the Flack Lake area, MISS of the upper Huronian Supergroup are preserved on quartz-rich, fine-grained sandstone and siltstone beds, repeatedly on and in the stratigraphic vicinity of rippled bedding planes.

Criterion 4 states that the distribution of the structures reflects the hydrodynamic conditions of the depositional environment. The types of MISS identified in the Gordon Lake Formation are consistent with distribution in an intertidal to supratidal setting. These environments experience a complex array of hydrodynamic conditions and are therefore generally colonized by more robust organisms, such as microbial mats. These mats influence the erosion and deposition of sediment, thereby resulting in MISS (Noffke and Krumbein, 1999). Models of both ancient and modern MISS distribution in siliciclastic tidal environments illustrate that mat chips are found in the lower intertidal zone, sand cracks in the upper intertidal to lower supratidal zones, and gas domes in the upper and lower supratidal zones (Noffke et al., 2001; Bose and Chafetz, 2009; Noffke, 2009; Tang et al., 2012). Torn mat chips may also be found in the intertidal zone (Noffke et al., 2013). The sedimentary structures of both formations reflect deposition in a shallow marine, tidally-influenced environment, and the identified MISS (Table 3.1) are consistent with this interpretation. Criterion 5 of Noffke (2009) states that the structures resemble and compare geometrically to modern MISS. Microbially induced sedimentary structures appear to have remained largely unchanged throughout Earth’s history, thus the comparison of ancient MISS to modern analogues is not only appropriate, but is integral for determination of a biogenic origin (Noffke, 2009). Examples of modern and ancient MISS (e.g. Dornbos et al., 2007; Eriksson et al., 2007b; Bose and Chafetz, 2012; Tang et al., 2012; Lan et al., 2013; Noffke et al., 2013; Cuadrado et al., 2014) are comparable to the various forms of MISS identified in the Gordon Lake and Bar River formations presented herein.

The final criterion for biogenicity of MISS requires that microtextures identified in thin section denote a relationship to biofilms or microbial mats. In addition to the mesoscopic
structures identified in the Flack Lake outcrops, thin sections from the Gordon Lake Formation reveal a variety of microtextures that are characteristic of microbial mat activities, such as growth and trapping. Wavy crinkled laminae, 0.55-3.25 mm torn mat chips and 0.2-1.8 mm mat chips are interpreted as portions of ancient microbial mat layers (Figure 3.6a-e). Mat chips formed during erosion and transportation of microbial mats. Locally, the mat chips are layered, which reflects successive periods of mat growth prior to erosion (Figure 3.6d), whereas folded mat chips are consistent with transport of eroded material (Figure. 3.6d). Bands of concentrated heavy minerals may represent the edge of a once-present mat layer (Noffke, 2009). Heavy minerals accumulate on mat surfaces where they are trapped and bound to the sticky mat exterior (Gerdes, 2007; Noffke, 2009). Oriented grains, 0.1-0.2 mm in size, are also identified in thin section (Figure. 3.6f). These structures develop when gas production in submerged microbial mats, or desiccation of a subaerial mat causes the mat to break into fragments, which then float and are deposited on muddy sediment (Eriksson et al., 2007b; Schieber, 2007). The positive identification of microbial mat chips on a microscopic scale, as illustrated in Figure 3.6 (a-f), meets the final criterion for biogenicity of MISS in the sedimentary deposits of the Flack Lake Group.

3.5 Discussion

Previous reports of biosignatures in the Paleoproterozoic Huronian Supergroup include stromatolites in the carbonate-rich Espanola Formation (Hofmann et al., 1980; Bekker et al., 2005; Long, 2009; Al-Hashim, 2015) and laminated fenestral dolostone in the Gordon Lake Formation at one locality (Hofmann et al., 1980). The identification of MISS in this study has significantly increased the quantity of biosignatures reported from the Huronian Supergroup, and contributes to the relatively small group of reported Paleoproterozoic examples.

Sand cracks in the study area have previously been interpreted as shrinkage or synaeresis cracks (e.g. Young, 1969; Card, 1978; Chandler, 1984, 1986; Wright and Rust, 1985; Rust and Shields, 1987). Synaeresis cracks are narrow, curved to linear, tapering structures that have a non-polygonal pattern in plan view and contorted sides in cross-
Figure 3.6. Mat microtextures identified in thin sections from the Gordon Lake Formation. (A) Frayed mat chip preserved in fine-grained sandstone. Note the internal layering and torn margin on the right side of the mat chip. (B) Mat chip preserved in siltstone. Note the iron cement concentrated around the wavy carbonaceous laminae. (C) Mat chips preserved in a granule- to pebble-conglomerate with a siltstone to fine-grained sandstone matrix. (D) Close-up of the center of Figure 6-C showing a layered mat chip (L) and curled mat chip (C). (E) Carbonaceous laminae preserved in siltstone. (F) Oriented quartz grains preserved in mudstone.
section (Pratt, 1998; Harazim et al., 2013; Davies et al., 2016). Although there is much debate on the mechanism of formation of synaeresis cracks, many authors agree that the structures form in muddy sediment through the rapid shrinkage of clay under changing salinity conditions in a shallow submarine environment (Jüngst 1934; White, 1961). Other proposed methods of formation include: desiccation (Allen, 1982), desiccation and infilling of evaporite molds (Astin and Rogers, 1991), seismic deformation (Pratt, 1998), and microbial facilitation (Pflüger, 1999; Harazim et al., 2013). Harazim et al. (2013) determined that cracked mudstones of the Ordovician Beach Formation in Newfoundland, Canada, were colonized by microbial mats, whereas non-cracked mudstones show no indication of microbial mat development. The authors suggest that microbial mats may be a pre-requisite for intra-stratal shrinkage crack formation, however Davies et al. (2016) suggest that synaeresis cracks may be polygenetic in nature and that there is no universal mode of formation. The curved, spindle and lenticular structures in the study area occur primarily on fine-sand to siltstone beds in the stratigraphic vicinity of other varieties of MISS, thus favouring a mat-induced origin. Associated sedimentary structures, such as desiccation cracks and flaser and lenticular bedding, support deposition in an environment that experienced periods of subaerial exposure, which is contradictory to synaeresis crack formation, which occurs in a submerged environment. Many of the cracks occur on rippled bedding planes, indicating that the sediment was stabilized, presumably by biofilms. Pyrite grains, horizons and patches are also found in many of the outcrops with sand cracks and may have formed under reducing conditions created by the decay of microbial mats.

A greater diversity and quantity of MISS is preserved in the Gordon Lake Formation compared to the Bar River Formation (Table 3.1). This discrepancy can be attributed to the different depositional environments of the formations within a tidally-influenced setting. The recurrence of desiccation structures in the Gordon Lake Formation documents numerous periods of subaerial exposure. Desiccation cracks were also identified in the Bar River Formation, but in a comparably minor amount. The main rock types in which MISS of the Gordon Lake Formation are found include thin siltstone and fine-grained sandstone beds. These beds are mainly planar to wavy laminated and bedding planes display oscillation ripples, local interference ripples and abundant
desiccation cracks. Our interpretation is that the Gordon Lake Formation was deposited on a tidal flat where microbial mats flourished during relatively calm water conditions (Figure 3.7). Large mat chips and microbial sand and silt chips would have developed during periods of strong wind or wave action, which detached and transported mat chips to an adjacent location. Microbial mat tears and chips are common in wet microbial mats in protected inter- and supra-tidal environments due to the effects of wind shear on very shallow tidal ponds or directly on exposed mats (Bouougri and Porada, 2012). Microbial shrinkage and sand cracks analogous to the types observed in the Flack Lake area occur in the intertidal and lower supratidal zones and often display a range of shapes that are linked to the maturity, cohesiveness, and the extent of desiccation of the microbial mat (Eriksson et al., 2007a). In addition, gas domes generally occur only in the intertidal zone (Dornbos et al., 2007). Similar MISS to those herein described are reported from the tidally influenced Proterozoic succession of the southern North China Platform (Tang et al., 2012), the Neoproterozoic peritidal deposits of the West African Craton (Bouougri and Porada, 2002), and the Mediterranean coast of modern southern Tunisia (Eriksson et al., 2007a).

The quartz arenite nature of the Bar River Formation and internal sedimentary structures, such as tangential and planar cross-beds and granule-pebble lags suggest relatively higher energy conditions at the time of deposition compared with those during deposition of the Gordon Lake Formation. Sand cracks identified in fine- to medium-grained sandstone beds are a reflection of microbial influence, as microbial filaments increase the cohesiveness between sand- and silt-sized sediment grains that would otherwise remain unaltered during desiccation (Gerdes et al., 2000). Microbial mats cannot form in a high-energy environment as they will be eroded before they have sufficient time to establish. However, once established, a microbial mat is robust enough to tolerate high-energy conditions (Noffke, 2010). This may account in part for the lower diversity and the reduced number of MISS in the Bar River Formation relative to the Gordon Lake Formation. The coarser grained, more porous and permeable nature of the Bar River Formation may have also contributed to poorer preservation of microbial mats.
Figure 3.7. Paleoenvironmental setting of upper Huronian Supergroup MISS. Note that the distribution of MISS is related to, but not restricted by, the tidal zones.
Many examples of ancient MISS are found in coastal, passive margin settings (Schieber et al., 2007a), thus the inferred deposition of the upper Huronian Supergroup along a continental margin would be conducive to the development of MISS. The types of MISS identified in the Gordon Lake and Bar River formations are valuable indicators of depositional environment and support the interpretation of deposition in a transgressive, tide-influenced setting.

3.6 Conclusions

Paleoproterozoic microbial mats developed, decayed and were destroyed in shallow marine environments where they influenced sedimentation patterns. The structures described from the Gordon Lake and Bar River formations contribute substantial evidence for microbial colonization during deposition of the upper Huronian Supergroup. The varieties of sand cracks, mat chips, pyrite patches, iron laminae, microbial sand and silt chips, torn mat chips and gas domes identified in the Flack Lake area satisfy the criteria for biogenicity as outlined by Noffke (2009). The differences between the MISS identified in the two formations are a function of varying composition and grain size, which are the direct results of water energy and depth in each depositional environment.
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Chapter 4

4 Processes responsible for the development of soft-sediment deformation structures (SSDS) in the Paleoproterozoic Gordon Lake Formation, Huronian Supergroup, Canada

4.1 Introduction

Soft-sediment deformation structures form when unconsolidated sediment is destabilized by any mechanism that reduces sediment strength, followed by a force that induces deformation (Owen, 1987; van Loon, 2009). These structures have been reported from a variety of modern and ancient sedimentary deposits (e.g. Davenport and Ringrose, 1987; Molina et al., 1998; Chen and Lee, 2013; Sarkar et al., 2014; Stárková et al., 2015; Roy and Banerjee, 2016). Liquefaction and fluidization are common mechanisms that reduce sediment strength and are the results of pore-fluid overpressuring. Fluidization requires a continuous flow of fluid in order to support and transport particles in suspension, whereas during liquefaction, the internal friction of sediment is reduced to nearly zero, causing the material to temporarily act as a fluid (Owen, 1987; Maltman 1994; Owen and Moretti, 2011). Liquefaction and fluidization of near surface sediment may be triggered by a number of processes, such as rapid sedimentation, seismic waves, groundwater movement, breaking waves, and storm action (Maltman, 1994; van Loon, 2009). In general, identification of the trigger mechanism(s) of SSDS is important in understanding conditions at the time of, and shortly following deposition, in addition to evaluating their use as possible indicators of basin tectonism. Combinations of multiple processes and mechanisms are often responsible for the formation of SSDS, resulting in a range of complex bedforms (van Loon, 2009).

This paper describes a variety of SSDS and associated biogenic structures that are exposed in a large outcrop of the Paleoproterozoic Gordon Lake Formation of the Huronian Supergroup in the vicinity of Bruce Mines, Ontario, Canada. The objective of this project was to evaluate the origin and possible trigger mechanisms that produced the SSDS and to determine the role of microbial mats in their formation. The abundance and
variety of SSDS in the study area provides further clues regarding the paleodepositional environment and local basin conditions during and shortly after deposition.

4.2 Geological Setting

The SSDS described here are found in the Gordon Lake Formation of the Huronian Supergroup. The Huronian Supergroup is a Paleoproterozoic succession of primarily siliciclastic rocks that forms part of the Southern Geological Province of Ontario, Canada (Figure 4.1). It is well exposed along the north shore of Lake Huron, and extends northeast into the Cobalt Embayment. Maximum U-Pb ages of 2450 +25/-10 Ma (Krogh et al., 1984) and 2452.5 ± 6.2 Ma (Ketchum et al., 2013) were determined from zircon in a rhyolite unit near the base of the supergroup, whereas primary baddeleyite from gabbro intrusions that cut the stratigraphy provide a minimum age limit of 2219.4 ± 3.5 Ma (Corfu and Andrews, 1986). Rasmussen et al. (2013) proposed an alternative upper age limit of ca. 2.31 Ga, which was determined from zircon in purported tuff beds of the Gordon Lake Formation. These zircons, however, have been interpreted as having a detrital rather than volcanic origin (Young, 2014).

Young and Nesbitt (1985) proposed that the Huronian Supergroup was deposited in a tectonic setting that evolved from rift basin to passive margin. Similarly, Long (2004; 2009) suggested that the succession formed in a pull-apart basin that later transitioned into a passive margin. The Huronian Supergroup is composed of five groups: the Elliot Lake, Hough Lake, Quirke Lake, and Cobalt groups, as well as the informal Flack Lake group. The Hough Lake, Quirke Lake and Cobalt groups contain tripartite divisions (Roscoe, 1957; Wood, 1973; Card et al., 1977; Young et al., 2001; Long, 2004) that are interpreted as having been sequentially deposited by glaciers, deltas and fluvial systems (McDowell, 1957; Card et al., 1977; Long, 1978; Chandler, 1988a; Robertson and Card, 1988; Long, 2009). Soft-sediment deformation structures have been reported throughout the Huronian Supergroup, a stratigraphic summary of which is found in Table 4.1.
Figure 4.1. Simplified geologic map of the distribution of the Huronian Supergroup north of Lake Huron with major fault zones. The study area is located approximately 11.5 km north-northwest of Bruce Mines (small black box). Modified from Young et al. (2001).
Table 4.1. Summary of soft-sediment deformation structures described in the Huronian Supergroup.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Formation</th>
<th>Type of SSDS</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Flack Lake (unofficial)</td>
<td>Bar River</td>
<td>overturned cross-beds</td>
<td>Aranha, 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gordon Lake</td>
<td>ball-and-pillow structures, load casts, flame structures, pseudonodules</td>
<td>Young, 1968; Card, 1976; Card, 1978; Chandler, 1986; This paper and the</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>de-watering pipe, convolute bedding, clastic dikes, syn-sedimentary faults</td>
<td>authors’ personal observations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cobalt</td>
<td>Lorrain</td>
<td>ball-and-pillow structures</td>
<td>Young, 1968</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gowganda</td>
<td>clastic dikes, ball-and-pillow structures</td>
<td>Young, 1968; Chandler, 1973; Card, 1984</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quirke Lake</td>
<td>Serpent</td>
<td>clastic dikes</td>
<td>Young, 1968; Card, 1976</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bruce</td>
<td>clastic dikes</td>
<td>Al-Hashim, 2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hough Lake</td>
<td>Mississagi</td>
<td>clastic dikes</td>
<td>Card, 1976</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pecors</td>
<td>clastic dikes, ball-and-pillow structures</td>
<td>Peredery, 1991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ramsay Lake</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elliot Lake</td>
<td>McKim</td>
<td>clastic dikes, ball-and-pillow structures</td>
<td>Peredery, 1991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Matinenda</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Volcanic units</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple formational</td>
<td></td>
<td>slumps</td>
<td>Young, 1983; Al-Hashim, 2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>contacts proximal to fault structures</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The Huronian Supergroup contains a record of Earth's transition from a reducing to oxygenated atmosphere. Evidence supporting low atmospheric oxygen is provided by sulphur isotopes in pyrite (Zhou et al., 2017), and the presence of detrital uranium-bearing minerals in the Matinenda Formation (Elliot Lake Group). Red beds in the Gowganda and Lorrain formations (Cobalt Group), and in the Gordon Lake and Bar River formations (Flack Lake group) indicate that the atmosphere was oxygenated at the time the upper half of the stratigraphic succession was deposited.

4.2.1 Gordon Lake Formation

The Gordon Lake Formation is the second youngest formation in the Huronian Supergroup and is part of the Flack Lake group. It is 300-1100 m thick and generally agreed to have been deposited along a continental shelf; a transgressive relationship has been interpreted between the Gordon Lake Formation and overlying Bar River Formation (Hill et al., 2016). Red beds, evaporites, hematite ooliths, and microbially induced sedimentary structures (MISS) have been reported from the formation, suggesting that the atmosphere at the time of deposition contained a significant amount of oxygen (Wood, 1973; Chandler, 1988b; Baumann et al., 2011; Hill et al., 2016). Existing evidence for microbial colonization at the time of deposition includes MISS in the Flack Lake area (Hill et al., 2016) and fenestral fabrics in dolostone in the Bruce Mines area near the base of the formation (Hofmann et al., 1980).

The Gordon Lake Formation is fine-grained overall and is composed of siltstone and mudstone, with minor sandstone, chert and intra-formational conglomerate (Card et al., 1977; Card, 1978, 1984; Robertson, 1986; Chandler, 1986, 1988b). Reported sedimentary structures include ripples, planar laminated siltstone and mudstone beds, graded siltstone and sandstone beds, ball-and-pillow structures, desiccation cracks, MISS, and cross-laminated sandstone beds (Wood, 1973; Robertson, 1976; Card et al., 1977; Card, 1978, 1984; Chandler, 1986; Rust and Shields, 1987; Bennett et al., 1991; Hill et al., 2016). The sedimentary structures, combined with evaporites and fenestral fabrics, indicate deposition under alternating flow energy and multi-directional flow conditions in a tidally

The Gordon Lake Formation has been interpreted to be correlative with the Kona Dolomite in the Marquette Range Supergroup, Michigan, USA, (Young, 1983; Bekker et al., 2006; Bennett, 2006). Algal structures are abundant in the Kona Dolomite and ooids are also preserved. Overall, the two formations share similarities in appearance and lithology, suggesting that the lowermost beds of the Gordon Lake Formation may represent an eastern extension of the algal-rich, shallow-marine environment. In addition, Bennett (2006) and Ramsay and Fralick (2017) observed hummocky cross-stratification (HCS) in some outcrops in Fenwick Township, approximately 60 km northwest of the Old Soo Road outcrop. The difference between the two formations can be explained by the area occupied by the Gordon Lake Formation receiving a greater influx of clastic material during deposition.

The main outcrop in the study area is located along Old Soo Rd., approximately 630 m south of Gordon Lake and 11.4 km north-northwest of Bruce Mines, Ontario (Figure 4.2). One of the most important structural elements in the study area is the Murray Fault system, a generally east-west trending fault zone that extends over 200 km east to the Grenville front (Card et al., 1975; Young et al., 2001). Many formations within the Huronian Supergroup display a significant increase in thickness and metamorphic grade south of the Murray Fault, however metamorphic grade does not change across the fault in the Bruce Mines area (Jackson, 1994). The Murray Fault has been interpreted as an early normal growth fault that was active during deposition of the Huronian Supergroup and later converted into a reverse or thrust fault during the Penokean orogeny (Roscoe, 1969; Card, 1978; Zolnai et al., 1984). Long (2004; 2009) suggested that the high angle of the Murray Fault, in addition to a kinked Archean/Proterozoic contact southwest of the Sudbury structure, indicate that the fault has a strike-slip origin. Conversely, Jackson (2001) argued that the Murray Fault may not have been active during deposition of the Huronian Supergroup and that compressional deformation resulted in the formation of thrust faults, which may account for the juxtaposition of units. This argument, however,
Figure 4.2. Geological map of the Bruce Mines area. The study area is indicated by the white rectangle. Roads not shown for the purpose of clarity. Coordinates for the outcrop are 46°24'08.6"N, 83°49'22.4"W. Modified from Giblin et al. (1979).
does not explain the increased thickness distribution in the Huronian Supergroup south of the Murray Fault.

4.3 Bruce Mines area exposure

The exposure of the Gordon Lake Formation along Old Soo Road consists primarily of medium to thinly bedded, light grey and purple mudstone, dark grey and pink siltstone and fine-grained sandstone, and chert, with minor medium-grained sandstone (Figures 4.3 and 4.4). Grain size increases slightly toward the top of the section and off-white, fine- to medium-grained sandstone and green mudstone characterize the top 3 m of the outcrop. The exposure is approximately 136 m thick with 47 m of intervening cover. The beds strike at 291º and dip at 19º. Structures consistent with a shallow marine environment, such as ripples, low angle cross-bedding and desiccation cracks, are well preserved in the top few metres of the section. Lenticular bedding, planar to wavy lamination, graded beds, minor intraformational conglomerate (Figure 4.3b), and rippled sandstone beds are visible in the lower parts of the section. Undeformed beds are massive, planar to wavy laminated, or normally graded. In general, SSDS are the most common features in the outcrop (Figures 4.3a-c; 4.4a-e; 4.5). Based on the three general subdivisions of the Gordon Lake Formation proposed by Eisbacher and Bielenstein (1969), the outcrop is considered to represent part of the middle and upper units of the formation.

4.3.1 Soft-sediment deformation structures

The SSDS are well developed in siltstone to fine-grained sandstone beds. The SSDS include: 1) load casts, 2) convolute bedding, 3) pseudonodules, 4) ball-and-pillow structures, 5) flame structures and 6) one observed dewatering pipe.

Load casts and convolute bedding are the most abundant SSDS throughout the outcrop. Load casts are from 6 to 106 cm wide, and 3 to 36 cm high, and are most visible where siltstone or fine-grained sandstone has descended into underlying mudstone. These load structures include a variety of shapes, but most have a simple form (c.f. Owen, 2003).
These structures are commonly symmetric, but rare intervals of asymmetric forms are present. Internal lamination is often preserved. Beds containing load casts are laterally continuous (Figure 4.4e). Two beds approximately 5.5 m from the base of the outcrop contain extremely well-preserved load casts (Figure 4.4d); load casts within the lower bed contain laminations that pass laterally into undeformed strata.

Convolute bedding is most common in the interbedded siltstone and fine-grained sandstone intervals in the lower and middle parts of the stratigraphy. These beds display complex syn-sedimentary folding, are mainly highly deformed and disrupted, and the intensity of convolution declines upwards in some beds.

Pseudonodules are approximately 1 to 15 cm wide and appear throughout the exposure, but are most common in the middle section. They are present in both detached and partially-detached configurations. Texturally, these structures consist of siltstone to medium-grained sandstone, with mudstone rip-up clasts and heavy minerals. They are typically coarser grained than the surrounding strata (Figures 4.6a-f). The detrital grains are predominantly composed of quartz, mudstone and chert, however, minor feldspar grains are also present. The pseudonodules are poorly sorted, and most grains are subangular to subrounded. Morphologically, these structures are well rounded (Figure 4.6a and b) and many are circular (Figures 4.6b, 7c), but are not folded around themselves. Many pseudonodules appear to have formed beneath a stabilized surface (Figures 4.6b and e; 7c). Where they appear undeformed, the beds that acted as the source of the pseudonodules are approximately 0.5 to 2 cm thick.

Ball-and-pillow structures are 15 to 120 cm wide and 10 to 78 cm thick. They occur where siltstone to fine-grained sandstone sinks into mudstone and siltstone beds. Morphologically, these structures are highly rounded, circular to irregular, and elliptical. The ball structures predominantly contain thin internal laminae, which is often connected circularly (Figure 4.4b), however, chaotic internal laminae were also identified. Several of the largest ball-and-pillow structures exhibit internal water-escape structures. The largest ball-and-pillow structures are found in the lowest part of the outcrop (Figure 4.3c).
Figure 4.3. Exposure of the Gordon Lake Formation in the study area. A) Lowest stratigraphic interval exposed along Old Soo Road, composed of mudstone, siltstone, chert, fine-grained sandstone and minor medium-grained sandstone. B) Discontinuous intraformational conglomerate with small-scale load structures indicated by white arrows. C) Large flame (white arrow) and ball-and-pillow structures (black arrow). Note the chaotic nature of the base of this outcrop. Pencil is 14.5 cm long and field book is 19 cm long.
Figure 4.4. Continuation of the Gordon Lake Formation exposure in the study area. A) Stratigraphic continuation of the outcrop in Figure 4.3a. This section contains thin beds of mudstone, siltstone, fine-grained sandstone and minor medium-grained sandstone. The white circle indicates the start of the stratigraphic section in Figure 5. B) Large ball structures and load casts. Note the thin internal laminations. White arrow indicates an internal water-escape structure. Photo colour saturation increased to enhance structures. C) Convolute bedding and load casts. Note the dark microbial mat capping a bedding surface. D) Simple load casts with flame structures. White arrow indicates relatively undeformed laminae. E) Load casts and flame structures. F) Line markings highlighting the main deformation styles in Figure 4e. Person for scale is 178 cm tall, pencil is 14.5 cm long and field book is 19 cm long.
Figure 4.5. Stratigraphic section illustrating the lower to middle portion of the Gordon Lake Formation exposure on Old Soo Road. Starting interval indicated in Figure 4.4a. Abbreviations: alt., alternating; ms, mudstone; slst, siltstone; fsst, fine-grained sandstone; msst, medium-grained sandstone.
Flame structures are most common in the lower half of the exposure and are up to 51 cm high. They occur where mud was pushed up into overlying siltstone to fine-grained sandstone beds. Local flame structures have truncated tops.

One structure interpreted as a dewatering pipe is present within a bed containing pseudonodules. The sediment-infilled portion of the pipe is approximately 20 cm long and contains fine- to medium grained sandstone and a light grey chert pebble at its base (Figure 4.6f). The bed containing the dewatering pipe may be laminated, but any laminations are largely obscured due to weathering on the bed’s surface.

4.3.2 Microbial mats

Many SSDS in the interval 10-20 m from the base of the outcrop formed above and below purple iron-stained beds that contain wavy, wrinkled laminae (Figures 4.4c; 4.7a and d; 4.8a, b, e, f), botryoidal texture (Figures 4.7b and e), and ovoid patches with irregular relief (Figures 4.8c and d). Laterally continuous, flat to wavy, light and dark laminae were observed in multiple fine-grained, purple beds and during petrographic analysis. A number of small cavities parallel to wavy laminae (Figure 4.7d) were also observed in several beds. An in situ microbial mat origin is inferred for these structures, as their morphology and size resemble documented examples of both modern (e.g. Gerdes, 2007; Bouougri and Porada, 2011) and ancient microbial mats (e.g. Allwood et al., 2006; Noffke et al., 2008), and mat microtextures are present in thin sections. Microbial microtextures include: concave-upward (Figure 4.8e), wavy, and crinkled carbonaceous laminae (Figure 4.8f), fine sand to medium silt quartz grains aligned along faint laminae (Figure 4.8e), and silt-sized grains preserved within carbonaceous laminae (Figure 4.8e, f). These microtextures reflect the baffling, trapping and binding of microbial mats (Noffke, 2010). The small cavities resemble fenestrae.

Irregular, laminated fragments, 5 to 11 cm long, were identified at the same stratigraphic interval as the in situ mats (Figure 4.7f). Some of these structures display ragged edges. Purple, 15 to 100 cm long elongated patches, with irregular negative relief and a spongy texture were also observed in the study area (Figures 4.8c and d). The edges of these structures are generally sub-rounded, but appear torn or eroded. We interpret both of
these types of structures as microbial mat chips, the former containing biolamination. The ragged edges of the small mat chips may have formed during erosion of a wet mat. The mottled surface of the large mat chips may indicate mat growth prior to erosion or soft-sediment deformation. Intervals containing microbial mats and mat chips typically display weathering of sulphide minerals (Figure 4.6a, b, f; 4.7a, c) and are stained with iron oxides (Figures 4.4c; 4.7a-e; 4.8a-d). The lower sections of microbial mats are conducive to the formation of reduced minerals, such as pyrite, as they are naturally anoxic due to the presence of decaying organic matter (Berner, 1984; Gerdes et al., 1985).

Several thin, fine-grained, pink, undulating beds with an irregular surface are present throughout the outcrop, and are most common within the microbial mat-mat chip interval above small pseudonodules (Figures 4.6a, b, f; 4.7c). The speckled nature of the beds, presence of sulphide minerals, and evidence that they were cohesive suggests that the sediment was stabilized and bound by microbial mats.

4.4 Discussion

In the pursuit of accuracy, we have endeavored to take a context-based approach to demonstrate how the SSDS in Gordon Lake Formation in the Bruce Mines area formed. All aspects of sedimentology and paleoenvironment have been used to assess possible autogenic and allogenic trigger mechanisms.

4.4.1 Significance of the assemblage of SSDS in the study area

The varied assemblage of SSDS in the Bruce Mines area points to variations relating to starting conditions, lithologies, paleoenvironment, and triggers. The SSDS observed in the study area formed in fine-grained, unconsolidated, water-saturated sediment that was at or near the surface at the time of deformation. Silts and fine-grained sands are easily liquefied and plastically deformed because the cohesion between grains is not high and they are light enough to be supported by pore fluid (Moretti et al., 1999; Owen and Moretti, 2011). The rock types and sedimentary structures support deposition under
Figure 4.6. Pseudonodules and dewatering pipe. A) Small, elongate to circular pseudonodules containing sulphide minerals (white-arrow) and mud rip-up clasts (black arrow). B) Two pseudonodules below a biofilm-bound surface (pencil top). The left structure contains abundant sulphides and mud rip-up clasts, whereas the right structure contains internal laminations and minor sulphides. C) Thin section photomicrograph illustrating the general grain size, sorting, roundness and mineralogy of a pseudonodule. Note the curled, plastic nature of the leftmost mud clast (black arrow). D) Photomicrograph illustrating the general grain size, sorting, roundness and mineralogy of a pseudonodule, and the contact with the surrounding sediment. Note the wispy, soft-sediment deformation patterns that formed in the surrounding sediment during pseudonodule development. E) Small pseudonodules (white arrow) detached from an event layer (oblique section). Note the path of water-escape that successfully pierced through the stabilized layer (thin black arrow) and one that did not (thick black arrow). The bright, undulating bed is interpreted to be biofilm-bound (thick white arrow). F) Cylindrical structure interpreted as a dewatering-pipe. The dashed lines outline the neck of the pipe. Note the chert pebble outlined in black at the base of the pipe and the pseudonodule to the left of the pipe (black arrow). Pencil is 14.5 cm long and 8 mm wide.
Figure 4.7. Microbial mats and mat chips identified in the Bruce Mines area (oblique sections). A) Laterally and vertically continuous microbial mat with fine, wavy laminations. Note the irregular, undulating surface of the mat and slightly botryoidal horizon (white arrow). B) Close-up of the mat surface from (A) and its botryoidal texture. Photo colour saturation increased to enhance structures. C) Base of microbial mat shown in (A). Note the horizon of sulphide minerals (thick white arrow) and pseudonodules detaching from the base of the biofilm (black arrows). A small, laminated pseudonodule on the right is detached (thin white arrow). D) Fine-grained sandstone bed with wavy laminae and numerous voids (fenestrae?) in the top 2 cm of the bed, interpreted to be a microbial mat. E) Close-up of a second microbial mat displaying wavy to slightly pseudo-columnar appearance. Photo colour saturation increased to enhance structures. F) Small, layered mat-chip with ragged margins. Pencil is 14.5 cm long and 8 mm wide.
Figure 4.8. Assortment of structures in the middle interval of the outcrop exposure. A) Two examples of load (thin white arrow) and water-escape structures (thin black arrow) in beds beneath a microbially-stabilized event layer (thick black arrow). The interval immediately above the load structures contains convolute laminae (thick white arrow). B) Small, flat to wavy microbial mats within a fine-grained sandstone bed. C) Large mat-chip surrounded by mudstone and siltstone. Note the ragged margins of the chip and the load structures immediately below. D) Close-up of a large mat-chip showing spongy, irregular texture and mat margins. E) Concave-upward carbonaceous laminae. Note the faint horizontal laminations above and below the laminae, which are often defined by trapped sand and silt sized quartz grains. F) Irregular, wavy, carbonaceous laminae. Note the silt grains bound within the laminae. Pencil is 14.5 cm long.
relatively calm conditions in a shallow marine setting. In order for such a large quantity of fine-grained material to be deposited, a shallow shelf or continental slope setting may be appropriate. The sandy beds that sourced the pseudonodules are here interpreted as event deposits, as they are anomalous in the stratigraphy, coarser grained than the overlying and underlying beds, and have abrupt contacts. Minor intra-formational conglomerate and graded beds may have formed during storm events, whereas microbial mats would have colonized during periods of low sediment influx. The top of the exposure contains desiccation cracks and is interpreted as being deposited in a shallow, tidally-influenced setting. This interpretation compares favorably to the tidal flat environment suggested for the deposits of the Gordon Lake Formation in the Flack Lake area (Wood, 1973; Hill et al., 2016). Shallow marine environments are dynamic settings and in this context a variety of trigger mechanisms and driving forces are considered in Section 4.4.3 below.

4.4.2 SSDS elsewhere in the Gordon Lake Fm

A summary of the SSDS found in the Gordon Lake Formation is listed in Table 4.1; the Gordon Lake Formation contains a significantly higher assortment, and likely quantity, of SSDS than other formations in the Huronian Supergroup. Outcrops of the Gordon Lake Formation in the Baie Fine, Flack Lake, and Cobalt plains areas contain neither the same quantity, nor size of SSDS as are present in the study area near Bruce Mines (Young, 1968; Card, 1976; Card, 1978; Chandler, 1986). This is significant as it suggests that the western portion of the basin was either affected by different intensities of sedimentary processes or local events. After the study area, the Baie Fine area contains the next highest abundance of SSDS, followed by only a few observed examples in the Flack Lake and Cobalt plains areas. This suggests that the trigger mechanism(s) may have been restricted to the western and southern portions of the basin, which are interpreted to have been offshore settings. Overall, outcrops in the Baie Fine area contain comparable types of SSDS as to the study area. Clastic dikes and two small-scale syn-sedimentary faults were observed in the Baie Fine and Cobalt plains areas, suggesting that at least one high energy, basin-wide event occurred.
4.4.3 Possible triggers for SSD in the Gordon Lake Fm

In consideration of the structural setting and stratigraphic context of the Gordon Lake Formation, there are six possible triggers for SSDS formation: 1) loading by storm waves (Molina et al., 1998; Alfaro et al., 2002; Chen et al., 2009), 2) impact of breaking waves (Kerr and Eyles, 1991), 3) tsunamis (Takashimizu and Masuda, 2000; Meshram et al., 2011), 4) tidal shear (Greb and Archer, 2007), 5) rapid sediment loading (Postma, 1983; Moretti et al., 2001; Oliveira et al., 2009), and 6) seismic disturbance (Moretti and Sabato, 2007; Roy and Banerjee, 2016).

4.4.3.1 Loading by breaking and storm waves

Breaking waves in shallow water can trap a pocket of air that causes a rapid, high impact force on the bed that is then spread through the sediment (Dalrymple, 1979). Breaking waves can also lead to the compaction of sediment, which decreases the likelihood of liquefaction and deformation (Dalrymple, 1979). Storm waves increase stress through both cyclic, recurrent loading and the abrupt impact of breaking waves (Seed and Rahman, 1978; Owen, 1987). Liquefaction and fluidization occur because the interstitial pore pressure of sediment increases rapidly in response to the pressure differences between the crest and trough of storm waves (Seed and Lee, 1966; Molina et al., 1998) and an increase in wave height (Dalrymple, 1979). In addition, cyclic loading by long period waves can induce liquefaction (Seed and Lee, 1966; Seed and Rahman, 1978; Figueiredo et al., 1982).

Sedimentary structures are frequently obscured in the SSDS-rich lower and middle portions of the Gordon Lake Formation exposure. Those that are visible, in conjunction with the predominance of fine-grained deposits and a paucity of HCS, suggest that deposition occurred in relatively calm water that was unaffected by persistent wave action. The large quantity of SSDS suggests that the sediment in the study area was unconsolidated during and shortly following burial. Impact of breaking waves is, therefore, an unlikely trigger mechanism for the formation of SSDS in the study area.
Many beds in the study area are thicker than the largest deformed beds reported from modern tidal flats (e.g. 30-cm-thick; Greb and Archer, 2007), which suggests that a high-energy, non-tidal related trigger mechanism is responsible for their formation. Outcrops of the Gordon Lake Formation in other areas contain several structures that formed as a result of storm processes (including hummocks) and graded beds were found in the study area. The exposure in this study does not permit the horizontal association of SSDS with HCS or other storm deposits. Locally, flame structures have truncated tops, meaning that they formed near surface and were likely wave induced; however, many modern truncated flame structures have been linked to tsunamis (Matsumoto et al., 2008). Although HCS was not observed in the outcrop, it is probable that recurrent loading by storm waves occurred during deposition and was a trigger for some of the SSDS; a large storm event may have led to the formation of the largest SSDS observed (Figure 4.3c).

4.4.3.2 Tsunamis

High-energy events along a passive margin can also be linked to tsunamis. Unlike storms, tsunami waves typically generate a strong, uninterrupted unidirectional current, which may trigger soft-sediment deformation on runup (Matsumoto et al., 2008). The lack of high amplitude HCS in the study area supports a high-energy, non-storm trigger mechanism for the formation of the SSDS. As such, the sandy event beds may represent tsunami deposits. Alternating coarse- and fine-grained laminae were observed in several pseudonodules that originated from the event deposits, and may indicate multiple surges of water. The plastic nature of many mudstone clasts in the pseudonodules (Figure 4.6c) suggests that the beds were not fully consolidated when the events took place. The lack of structural evidence for prolonged induration also supports a tsunami trigger (Benson et al., 1997), in addition to the lateral variability throughout the exposure, few depositional layers, presence of SSDS, graded beds, and mud rip-up clasts. The event beds are quite thin, however, tsunami event deposit thicknesses of as little as 0.5 cm have been reported (Table 3 in Morton et al., 2007). Perhaps the tsunamis were relatively small-scale events that neither rapidly nor significantly altered the hydrologic regime of the area.
Mud rip-up clasts are more likely to be preserved in tsunami deposits as opposed to storm deposits, as they are subjected to less turbulence and shorter agitation time, which leads to a smaller likelihood of disintegrating (Morton et al., 2007). Rip-up clasts were observed throughout the Gordon Lake Formation exposure and in the event beds. Preservation of a chert pebble in the dewatering pipe also supports a tsunami trigger because a significant amount of energy is needed to transport a pebble from a near shore setting. Modern truncated flame structures have been linked to tsunamis (Matsumoto et al., 2008), and truncated flame structures were observed in the study area. Both storm and tsunami deposits may contain heavy mineral laminae (Morton et al., 2007). In general, storm and tsunami deposits share many similarities and can be difficult to distinguish, particularly if outcrops are not well exposed or if there are no fossils to aid in interpretation, which is the case in the majority of Precambrian successions.

4.4.3.3 Tidal shear and tidal bores

Tidal shear may produce SSDS through a rapid increase in applied stress (Dalrymple, 1979; Greb and Archer, 2007) and must therefore always be evaluated as a potential trigger mechanism for soft-sediment deformation in tidally-influenced settings. For example, an ebb tide coinciding with a rapid water level fall would be favourable for soft-sediment deformation (Dalrymple, 1979). Lateral shear stress from current drag may also trigger deformation in unstable density gradients, leading to the formation of asymmetrical load casts (Moretti et al., 2001).

Greb and Archer (2007) report modern contorted bedding, flow rolls, dish and flame structures, and small dewatering pipes from the macrotidal estuary at Turnagain Arm, Alaska. Flow rolls and contorted beds in that area were previously interpreted as earthquake induced, however the authors observed similar SSDS forming in a single tidal cycle in the absence of a seismic shock (Greb and Archer, 2007). Soft-sediment deformation structures from Cambrian tidal deposits in NW Estonia display an intimate relationship with the tidal sediments and structures (Pöldsaar and Ainsaar, 2015); for example, the tips of flame structures indicating opposing flow directions (tidal currents) within consecutive horizons of SSDS. This relationship was not observed in the study
area. If the paleoenvironment of the Gordon Lake Formation was a long, thin, shallow inlet it is possible that some of the SSDS in the study area may have formed from tidal bores, however there is no indication of such a setting. Asymmetric load casts were observed infrequently in the exposure and may have formed as a result of current shear. Overall, it is unlikely that tidal shear was a primary trigger for the SSDS observed in the Gordon Lake Formation near Bruce Mines.

4.4.3.4 Rapid sediment loading and density inversions

A layer of denser sediment deposited on top less dense sediment creates an inverse density gradient. The heavier material tends to sink due to a higher potential energy accumulated during deposition, which causes deformation (Anketell et al., 1970). Similarly, a reduction in the shear strength of a bed can occur when coarser-grained sediments are rapidly deposited on top of finer-grained sediments (Moretti et al., 2001).

The abundance of microbial mats in the study area suggests that sedimentation rates were not high, as extensive microbial mats would have formed only during periods of slow deposition. In addition, slope-related flows are rare, and the dominant SSDS are load structures, therefore rapid sediment loading is not interpreted as a primary trigger mechanism.

The ubiquity of load casts in the outcrop, however, indicate that inverse density gradients probably drove the formation of many SSDS. Relatively simple, undeformed load structures are interpreted to have been induced by density inversion, whereas the more disrupted load structures may have formed in response to overpressuring. Preservation of internal laminae suggests that the sediments remained relatively uniform throughout deformation and were subject to stretching and not turbulence. In addition, flexure of more competent mud intervals during deformation may have caused the interbedded siltstone layers to readjust plastically (Dzulynski and Smith, 1963), resulting in some of the chaotic forms observed in outcrop.
4.4.3.5 Seismic disturbance

A number of SSDS in the Bruce Mines area fulfill some of the six criteria for recognizing seismites, as outlined by Owen and Moretti (2011). These include 1) large areal extent, 2) lateral continuity, 3) vertical repetition, 4) morphology comparable with structures described from seismically-affected beds, 5) proximity to active faults, and 6) zonation of complexity or frequency with short distance from fault.

In general, the Gordon Lake Formation is not well-exposed, thus it is difficult to conclude a large areal extent of the SSDS in the Bruce Mines area. However, SSDS have been observed in other areas where the Gordon Lake Formation is exposed, illustrating that a large area has been affected. Tsunamis and meteorite impacts are also capable of affecting large areas, therefore it is not a reliable criterion for a seismic trigger (Shanmugam, 2016). The longest lateral continuity of SSDS provided in outcrop is approximately 20 m, however SSDS are known to be laterally discontinuous (Alfaro et al., 2002; Greb and Archer, 2007). Vertical repetition of SSDS is easily apparent, as the structures were observed on various scales throughout the entire exposed section. Deformed beds are generally not distinct and the intervening beds show considerable evidence of soft-sediment deformation, which is inconsistent with the expected representation of a seismic trigger.

The large SSDS, highly chaotic and convolute bedding, and abundance of pseudonodules are comparable with structures described throughout the literature as having been induced by earthquakes (e.g. Moretti and Sabato, 2007; Nehyba, 2014; Roy and Banerjee, 2016; van Loon and Dechen, 2013). These structures would have required either a relatively large amount of energy or some considerable component of horizontal stress, or both, during formation, which suggests a seismic interpretation. The main outcrop in this study is located approximately 1.2 km north of the Murray Fault (Figure 4.2), therefore fulfilling the criteria of close proximity to a fault. Because the Murray Fault is interpreted to have undergone normal growth faulting during deposition of the lower Huronian Supergroup (Roscoe, 1969; Card, 1978; Zolnai et al., 1984), it is possible that local
seismic disturbances would have occurred during deposition of the Gordon Lake Formation.

The six criteria outlined above are not diagnostic of seismic triggers and some criteria, such as large areal extent, are difficult to apply on an outcrop scale (Owen and Moretti, 2011). We hesitate to use the term “seismite” here to classify the SSDS, as it holds many problems in application, such as not conveying depositional origin (Shanmugam, 2016).

4.4.3.6 Summary of trigger mechanisms

Given the frequency and various degrees of disruption throughout the outcrop, a combination of trigger mechanisms is suggested for the SSDS of the Gordon Lake Formation in the Bruce Mines area. Loading by long period storm waves and tsunamis appear to be the most likely trigger mechanisms, with seismic shock, inverse density gradients, and overpressuring as possible less common driving forces and trigger mechanisms. Although it is tempting to suggest a direct seismic trigger for the highly deformed beds, these beds are relatively uncommon, and are not evident on the large scale needed to link to significant fault movements. Many of the SSDS are not highly deformed and it is doubtful that seismic disturbances would occur with such a high frequency along a passive margin. Non-seismogenic triggers, such as storm or tsunami waves, would have been more common in such a setting.

In this paper an attempt has been made to elucidate the deformation triggers ultimately responsible for the formation of SSDS in the Gordon Lake Formation in the Bruce Mines area, however it is possible that there is no single “cause”. There are clear challenges in determining the order of events; for example, an earthquake-triggered tsunami can lead to mass wasting that consequently triggers a secondary tsunami (Shanmugam, 2016). In general, caution must be taken when determining the mechanism of SSDS formation in shallow marine environments, as there are multiple possible trigger mechanisms.

4.4.4 Significance of microbial mats

Recognition of microbial mats and mat-related structures in the study area increases the quantity of biosignatures in the Paleoproterozoic Huronian Supergroup and may help
with paleoenvironmental interpretation. Modern microbial mats are most often observed in shallow marine-tidal-supra-tidal settings (Eriksson et al. 2010), however throughout the Precambrian, microbes extensively colonized shallow-marine environments, where they affected sedimentation during and after deposition (Catuneanu, 2007). In general, mat morphologies are reflections of the physical, chemical and biological adjustments made by microbial colonies to local conditions (Eriksson et al. 2010). The lack of evidence for repeated subaerial exposure and low number of destruction-related microbial mat structures in the studied exposure supports a subtidal setting. Flat-wavy laminated microbial mats are frequently associated with intertidal zones, whereas pustular and discrete buildups are associated predominantly with subaqueous settings. In addition, multi-laminated biofilms require weeks to months to form (Gerdes and Klenke, 2003) and must be exposed in an environment with a low-rate of sedimentation, which supports a subtidal paleoenvironment.

In addition to the processes discussed in Section 4.4.3, a number of biological, chemical, and physical forces, such as plant roots, burrows, crystal growth and the desiccation of clays, may also influence the formation of SSDS (Owen, 1987). During the Paleoproterozoic, microbial mats were the only biological agents that could have influenced soft-sediment deformation. Microbial mats can act as permeability barriers and may increase the likelihood of forming SSDS by creating impenetrable seals beneath which pore-pressure increases (Obermeier, 1996; Harazim et al., 2013). The underlying pore fluid is unable to evenly dissipate upwards through the sediment, which results in overpressuring until the fluid is able to perforate the weakest segment(s) of the mat, leading to soft-sediment deformation. Conversely, microbial mats increase the cohesion, and therefore stability, of the sediment they colonize, which often leads to less-pronounced loading, flexible sediment, or rolling-up and folding during soft-sediment deformation (Schieber, 1986; Schieber, 2007; Le Ber et al., 2015). Deformation behaviour of microbially-bound sediment may differ than non-microbially bound sediment, as a portion of the initial mat cohesiveness may be maintained for some time after burial (Schieber, 2004). Extensive vertical growth of microbial mats may also limit the formation of SSDS by creating a relatively rigid framework (Schieber, 2007; Le Ber et al., 2015).
In the study area, the undulating pink beds overlie the relatively coarse-grained event layers that formed many of the small pseudonodules, and may have provided a favorable surface for microbial mats to colonize. A sequence of microbial mats a few cm thick is interpreted to have formed over the pink bed shown in Figure 4.7c, which may have prevented more pseudonodules from forming. There is local evidence for successful and unsuccessful penetration of the overlying mat-bound bed during soft-sediment deformation (Figure 4.6e). Gases produced during microbial mat decay may also leave voids in the sediment through which pore water later escapes (Menon et al., 2016). Microbial mats may have contributed to the morphology and perhaps formation of some SSDS in the study area, but were not likely a predominant mechanism that drove their formation.

It is possible that during growth, microbial mats in the study area were affected by wave or current activity, which could indicate that the small and large, purple mat chips may have formed through tearing, dragging, and erosion by these agents. Conversely, although uncommon, seismically-deformed microbialites and stromatolites have been reported throughout the geologic record in carbonate, siliceous, and siliciclastic rocks (Pratt, 1994; Behr and Röhricht, 2000; Nogueira et al., 2003; Donaldson and Chiarenzelli, 2007; Martín-Chivelet et al., 2011; Hongshui et al., 2013; van Loon and Dechen, 2013). Similar characteristics are shared between the deformed biofilms in this study and seismically-deformed stromatolites reported from the Mesoproterozoic Wumishan Formation, Changping District, China (van Loon and Dechen, 2013), and the Cambro-Ordovician Nepean Formation, Ottawa, Canada (Donaldson and Chiarenzelli, 2007).

4.5 Conclusion

A large exposure of the Gordon Lake Formation in the Bruce Mines area contains numerous SSDS of varying morphology and size. Many beds were violently disturbed, whereas others show limited deformation. Main trigger mechanisms responsible for the deformation include storm waves and tsunamis, however, proximal earthquakes and overpressuring by means of permeability barriers (biofilms) may have had a minor
influence. Density inversions may have also drove the formation of SSDS. Other outcrops of the Gordon Lake Formation in the Bruce Mines area do not contain the same abundance of soft-sediment deformation features, which may be due to their position in the stratigraphy, a lower degree of water saturation, or absence of driving mechanisms related to soft-sediment deformation. Evidence of microbial colonization in the study area extends the convincing indication of microbial colonization during deposition of the Gordon Lake Formation to two areas.
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5 New U-Pb geochronology evidence for 2.3 Ga detrital zircon grains in the youngest Huronian Supergroup formations, Canada

5.1 Introduction

The Huronian Supergroup is a thick, well-exposed record of the Paleoproterozoic (Figure 5.1) and has been studied extensively throughout the past century (see summary in Young et al., 2001). The succession records minor initial volcanism and extensive clastic sediment deposition over a span of approximately 230 m.y. based on reported U-Pb ages of ~2.45 Ga from basal volcanic rocks (e.g. Krogh et al., 1984; Ketchum et al., 2013) and ~2.22 Ga from gabbro intrusions that cut the entire succession (e.g. Corfu and Andrews, 1986; Noble and Lightfoot, 1992). Direct dating of the rocks of the Huronian Supergroup is difficult due to a lack of intercalated volcanic rocks in the section (Figure 5.1b).

Krogh et al. (1984) were the first to conduct U-Pb dating of zircon grains from the Copper Cliff Formation rhyolite at the base of the Huronian Supergroup (Figure 5.1b), for which they determined an U-Pb age of 2450 +25/-10 Ma. Several additional U-Pb analyses of zircon, baddeleyite and titanite from lower intrusive rocks interpreted to be cogenetic with the volcanic rocks of the Huronian Supergroup, the Thessalon Formation, and of zircon from the Copper Cliff Formation have since been dated (Table 5.1). A minimum U-Pb age of ca. 2.22 Ga is often cited, based on baddeleyite and rutile in the Nipissing gabbro, as determined by Corfu and Andrews (1986; 2219.4 +3.6/-3.5 Ma), and others (Table 5.1). Rasmussen et al. (2013) analyzed zircon from tuff beds in the Gordon Lake Formation, which produced U-Pb ages of 2308 ± 8 Ma, 2308 ± 10 Ma, and 2311 ± 11 Ma. No other workers, however, have identified tuff beds in the Gordon Lake Formation. The intent of this short research communication is to present new detrital zircon U-Pb ages for sedimentary samples from the Gordon Lake and Bar River formations in the Flack Lake area, Ontario, in order to contribute to the understanding of the depositional history of the upper Huronian Supergroup and its maximum depositional age. The Gordon Lake Formation is composed primarily of mudstone, siltstone and fine-
Figure 5.1. Distribution and stratigraphy of the Huronian Supergroup north of Lake Huron. A) Location of study area in Ontario, Canada. B) General stratigraphy of the Huronian Supergroup. Location of samples shown with black stars. Maximum depositional ages (M.D.A.) from this study are shown in bold. Lower age from Krogh et al. (1984) and Ketchum et al. (2013). C) Simplified geologic map of the distribution of the Huronian Supergroup, modified from Young et al. (2001). Gordon Lake Formation sample collected at 46°36'17.1"N 82°46'13.2"W and Bar River Formation sample collected at 46°35'52.7"N 82°45'33.7"W.
Table 5.1. Summary of reported upper and lower age limits for the Huronian Supergroup.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Formation/Unit</th>
<th>U-Pb age</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Lower age limit</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gabbro-anorthosite intrusion (East Bull Lake)</td>
<td>2472.2 ± 0.8 Ma</td>
<td>Clough and Hamilton, 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gabbro-anorthosite-syenite intrusion (East Bull Lake)</td>
<td>2475.1 ± 1.2 Ma</td>
<td>Heaman, 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Granophyre intrusion (Agnew Lake)</td>
<td>2491 ± 5 Ma</td>
<td>Krogh et al., 1984</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>River Valley pluton</td>
<td>2475 +2/-1 Ma</td>
<td>Easton et al., 1999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hearst dikes</td>
<td>2445.8 +2.9/-2.6 Ma</td>
<td>Heaman, 1997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Murray granite</td>
<td>2460 ± 6 Ma</td>
<td>Bleeker et al., 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opx–hornblendite (GFTZ*)</td>
<td>2468 ± 5 Ma</td>
<td>Corfu and Easton, 2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Granitic intrusions (GFTZ)</td>
<td>2475 +25/-15 Ma</td>
<td>Corfu and Easton, 2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper Cliff Fm (rhyolite)</td>
<td>2450 +25/-10 Ma</td>
<td>Krogh et al., 1984</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper Cliff Fm (rhyolite)</td>
<td>2452.5 ± 6.2 Ma</td>
<td>Ketchum et al., 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper Cliff Fm (rhyolite)</td>
<td>2455 ± 3 Ma</td>
<td>Bleeker et al., 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Livingstone Creek Fm (sst**)</td>
<td>2497 ± 10 Ma</td>
<td>Rainbird and Davis, 2006; Craddock et al., 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matinenda Fm (sst)</td>
<td>2648.5 ± 6.3 Ma</td>
<td>Easton and Heaman, 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mississagi Fm (sst)</td>
<td>2445 ± 9 Ma</td>
<td>Rainbird and Davis, 2006; Craddock et al., 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Upper age limit</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senneterre dikes</td>
<td>2216 +8/-4 Ma</td>
<td>Buchan et al., 1998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nipissing gabbro</td>
<td>2217 ± 1.6 Ma</td>
<td>Andrews et al., 1986</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nipissing gabbro</td>
<td>2219.4 +3.6/-3.5 Ma</td>
<td>Corfu and Andrews, 1986</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nipissing gabbro</td>
<td>2217.8 +6/-3 Ma</td>
<td>Buchan et al., 1994</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nipissing gabbro</td>
<td>2215 ± 1 Ma</td>
<td>Bleeker et al., 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nipissing gabbro suite (Kerns and Triangle Mtn intrusions)</td>
<td>2217.2 ± 4 Ma</td>
<td>Noble and Lightfoot, 1992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gordon Lake Fm (tuff)</td>
<td>2209.6 ± 3.5 Ma</td>
<td>Noble and Lightfoot, 1992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gordon Lake Fm (sst)</td>
<td>2308 ± 8 Ma</td>
<td>Rasmussen et al., 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bar River Fm (claystone)</td>
<td>2308 ± 10 Ma</td>
<td>Rasmussen et al., 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2311 ± 11 Ma</td>
<td>Rasmussen et al., 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2315 ± 5 Ma</td>
<td>This paper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2302 ± 19 Ma</td>
<td>This paper</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*GFTZ = Grenville Front Tectonic Zone; **sst = sandstone
grained sandstone, with minor intraformational conglomerate. Based on sedimentary structures, such as interference ripples and microbially induced sedimentary structures (MISS), the Gordon Lake Formation was deposited in a tidally influenced depositional setting (Hill et al., 2016; Hill and Corcoran, 2018). The overlying Bar River Formation is a 900 m thick quartz arenite succession that contains evidence of marine and tidal influence, such as herringbone cross-stratification, and is interpreted to have been deposited in a tidal channel or sand shoal setting (Aranha, 2015). Chandler (1986; 1988) suggested a coastal sabkha setting for the Gordon Lake Formation from evaporite nodules at the base of the formation, a view supported by Wood (1973). Wood (1973) also used hematite ooliths and abundant, often bimodal cross-beding to advance a tidal flat and beach origin for the Gordon Lake and Bar River formations, respectively.

5.2 Methods

Uranium-lead age data were determined from detrital zircon in sandstone sample CH-14-50 collected from the Gordon Lake Formation and claystone sample RA-14-79 collected from the Bar River Formation, in the Flack Lake area. Sample preparation and analyses were conducted at the Jack Satterly Geochronology Laboratory, University of Toronto. Samples were crushed using a jaw crusher followed by a disk mill. Initial separation of heavy minerals was carried out with a Wilfley table. This was followed by paramagnetic separations with the Frantz isodynamic separator and density separations using bromoform and methylene iodide. Final sample selection for geochronology was by hand picking under a microscope, choosing the freshest, least cracked zircon grains.

Grains were mounted on double sided tape and ablated on natural (unpolished) surfaces using a New Wave 213 nm laser with diameters of 12-25 microns at 5 Hz and 5 J/cm² fluence. Data were collected on 88Sr (10 ms), 206Pb (30 ms), 207Pb (70 ms), 232Th (10 ms) and 238U (20 ms) using a VG Series 2 Plasmaquad ICPMS. Sensitivity was enhanced by the use of a 75 l/sec rotary pump (S-option) connected to the expansion chamber. Immediately prior to each analysis, the spot was pre-ablated over a larger area than the beam diameter for about 10 seconds to clean the surface and remove any surface alteration. Following a 10 second period of baseline accumulation, the laser sampling beam was turned on and data were collected for 35 seconds followed by a 50 second
washout period. About 150 measurement cycles per sample were produced and ablation
pits were about 15 microns deep. In some cases, data profiles show rapidly varying
emission due to chemical zoning of zircon. Instability was dampened through the use of a
75 ml mixing chamber in-line with the He flow transporting the ablated sample to the
plasma. Data were edited and reduced using custom VBA software (UTILLAZ program)
written by D.W. Davis. $^{206}\text{Pb}/^{238}\text{U}$ ratios show only slight fractionation caused by hole
depth through the run and most of the $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb}$ and $^{206}\text{Pb}/^{238}\text{U}$ data can be averaged.
No corrections were made for common Pb, because this peak was too small to be
measured precisely. Common Pb should be negligible in fresh zircon, but would have the
effect of pushing data to the right away from the concordia curve, if present. It is
therefore insignificant for concordant data. $^{88}\text{Sr}$ was monitored from zircon in order to
detect intersection of the beam with zones of alteration or inclusions, or penetration of the
beam through the sample. Pb-U data were rejected from areas with excess $^{88}\text{Sr}$ signal.
The Th/U ratio of zircon can be a useful petrogenetic indicator and was also measured,
although it is only a rough estimate because the ratio is not constant in the standard.
Zircon from sample DD91-1, a monzodiorite from the Pontiac province of Quebec dated
at $2682 \pm 1$ Ma (Davis, 2002) was used as a standard. Sets of 3 sample measurements are
bracketed by measurements on standards.

Backscattered electron (BSE) and cathodoluminescence (CL) imaging were carried out
on the zircon grains. The images are available in Appendix C; BSE images are on the left
and CL images on the right for sample CH-14-50. Zircons in sample RA-14-79 were
imaged in BSE and the first group was also imaged in CL.

5.3 Results

5.3.1 CH-14-50 Sandstone, Gordon Lake Formation

CH-14-50 is a fine- to medium-grained, pink sandstone that yielded moderately abundant
zircon. All grains are rounded or subrounded and most are stubby (Figures 5.2b and
5.3a). A subpopulation of long prismatic grains was also mounted and analyzed (Figures
5.2c and 5.3a). U-Pb analyses of 75 grains were all concordant or near-concordant.
Concordia data for zircon are plotted in Figure 5.3b using the Isoplot program of Ludwig (1998, 2003). The majority of ages (75%) are late Archean and many of these cluster within error with an average age of 2689 ± 6 Ma (Figure 5.3b). Many of the long prismatic grains are Paleoproterozoic and the 14 youngest $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb}$ ages are considered to comprise a single population with an average age of 2315 ± 10 Ma (MSWD – 0.9). The U-Pb age data for detrital zircons from the Gordon Lake and Bar River formations is presented in the supplementary material in Appendix D.

### 5.3.2 RA-14-79 Claystone, Bar River Formation

Sample RA-14-79 is composed of green claystone with very fine-grained, quartz-rich lenses. The sample yielded only a small amount of zircon, consisting mostly of small, rounded, stubby grains with a few long prisms (Figures 5.2a and 5.4a). U-Pb analyses of 27 grains are all concordant or near-concordant (Figure 5.4b), clustering into three or four groups. The four youngest grains yield data that overlap, giving an average $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb}$ age of 2302 ± 19 Ma (MSWD – 0.7). A slightly older group also clusters within error with an average age of 2364 ± 16 Ma (5 data, MSWD – 0.5). It is difficult to know whether these ages correspond to distinct units that formed significant components of provenance, but the youngest agrees with the youngest age cluster from the Gordon Lake Formation sample. Three of the long prisms are in the youngest age group. An intermediate aged cluster of 6 grains gives an average age of 2525 ± 15 Ma. Detrital grains with ages around 2500 Ma are also present in sample CH-14-50 (Figure 5.3b). Several analyses give late-Archean ages whereas the oldest, which is somewhat discordant, gives a $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb}$ age of 3158 Ma.

### 5.4 Discussion and Conclusion

Detrital zircon ages from samples of the Gordon Lake and Bar River formations, upper Huronian Supergroup, yield late Archean ages (61%), but also a significant contribution from source rocks that formed at ca. 2500 Ma and ca. 2300 Ma (Figure 5.5). The proportion of post-Archean material is greater in the Bar River Formation (RA-14-79) sample than in the Gordon Lake Formation (CH-14-50) sample, which may be a result of
Figure 5.2. Backscattered electron (left) and cathodoluminescence (right) images of representative zircon grains from the different age populations in the Gordon Lake and Bar River formations. A) Four zircons from the Bar River Formation. Grains 1, 2 and 4 have an age of ca. 2300 Ma and grain 3 has an age of ca. 2700. B) Four zircons from the Gordon Lake Formation. Grains 21 and 22 have an age of ca. 2700 Ma and grains 23 and 24 have an age of ca. 2500 Ma. C) Three long prismatic zircons from the Gordon Lake Formation with an age of ca. 2300 Ma.
Figure 5.3. U-Pb data from the Gordon Lake Formation. A) 91 zircon grains, 75 of which were used for radiometric dating. B) U-Pb concordia plot showing data on 75 detrital zircons from quartz-rich sandstone sample CH-14-50 in the Gordon Lake Formation. The inset shows the youngest data.
Figure 5.4. U-Pb data from the Bar River Formation. A) 36 zircon grains, 27 of which were used for radiometric dating. B) U-Pb concordia plot showing data on the detrital zircons from sample BR-14-79 in the Bar River Formation.
Figure 5.5. Age probability density diagrams with superimposed histograms for $^{207}\text{Pb}^{206}\text{Pb}$ ages on detrital zircon grains from sample CH-14-50 of the Gordon Lake Formation (top), and sample BR-14-79 of the Bar River Formation (bottom), Huronian Supergroup.
the grain size of the sample and dilution of coarser-grained material. The best constrained age on the youngest detrital grains puts a time limit on deposition of the upper part of the Huronian Supergroup between $2315 \pm 5$ Ma and intrusion of the Nipissing gabbro at about 2220 Ma (Corfu and Andrews, 1986).

The youngest ages determined for the Gordon Lake Formation sample (CH-14-50) coincide with those determined by Rasmussen et al. (2013) ($2311 \pm 11$ Ma and $2308 \pm 10$ Ma). Both the samples analyzed by Rasmussen et al. (2013) and CH-14-50 were collected from the Flack Lake area, but the exact coordinates of samples HU372, GLF-FL, FL-GLF and JT98/4 from Rasmussen et al. (2013) are unknown (coordinates for our study can be found in Figure 5.1 caption). The authors collected samples from purported tuff beds in the Gordon Lake Formation and interpret that the main age peak of ca. 2310 Ma represents the timing of felsic volcanism. We were unable to identify tuff beds in the Gordon Lake Formation in the Flack Lake area. The zircons in CH-14-50 are rounded, however some of the long and prismatic grains that cluster around $2315 \pm 5$ Ma are more euhedral, which may represent a volcanic component, but this is impossible to prove. In general, the high energy nature of the Gordon Lake and Bar River formations decreases the likelihood of preserving a tuff. Rasmussen et al. (2013) interpret zircons younger than 2310 Ma to have undergone post-depositional resetting, however the zircon grains that are also older than Nipissing gabbro (2220 Ma) may represent the youngest detrital grains in the rock, as opposed to being metamorphosed.

Rainbird and Davis (2006) dated detrital zircon grains from sandstone samples throughout the Huronian succession. The authors’ age probability density diagram for $^{207}\text{Pb}^{206}\text{Pb}$ ages from the Bar River Formation differs significantly from our diagram for the Bar River Formation claystone (cf. Rainbird and Davis, 2006). This may be due to sample collection in different parts of the basin. A large cluster is present at ca. 2700 Ma, which is more aligned with our data from the Gordon Lake Formation. Vallini et al. (2006) determined an age of $2317 \pm 6$ Ma for the youngest detrital zircon populations in the glaciogenic Enchantment Lake Formation of the Chocolay Group, Marquette Range Supergroup. The stratigraphically higher Sturgeon Quartzite has a maximum depositional age of $2306 \pm 9$ Ma (Vallini et al., 2006). The Sunday Quartzite, which is equivalent to
the Sturgeon Quartzite, is correlative to the Lorrain Formation of the Huronian Supergroup. Overall, these data generally agree with our maximum depositional age for the Gordon Lake Formation.

The data reveal that the zircon grains in this study were derived from at least three sources. Potential sources include significant contribution from Archean basement rocks of the Superior Province, in addition to two younger sources with ages of ca. 2500 and 2300 Ma. The lowermost volcanic units of the Huronian Supergroup and associated intrusions may have provided the ca. 2500 Ma zircons. The source of the ca. 2300 Ma zircons is more problematic as there are few known sources of zircons that age in the Superior Province. An age of $2376.3 \pm 2.3$ Ma was determined for the younger of two phases of the Creighton granite (Smith, 2002), which is found in the Sudbury region, approximately 120 km east of the study area. However, it may be inferred from the presence of the ca. 2300 Ma long, prismatic zircons in the Gordon Lake Formation sample that these grains were locally derived from volcanic material and not heavily reworked. In addition, oscillatory zoning present in several long prismatic zircons supports a magmatic interpretation (Figure 5.2c). Vallini et al. (2006) obtained similar results from the Chocolay Group in Michigan and suggest that sequences of ca. 2300 Ma sedimentary-volcanic material may have existed at the time of deposition and are now largely removed; a portion of this material is preserved as detrital zircon grains in the lower units of the Chocolay Group. The authors infer that the ca. 2300 Ma source was restricted to the eastern side of the basin, which places it closer to the Huronian basin. Several of the analyzed zircon grains from the Bar River and Gordon Lake formations also display crosscutting of original zoning obtained during erosion, suggesting a polycyclic history for several zircons. Other internal structures of the analyzed zircon grains include sector and weak zoning.
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Chapter 6

6 Conclusions

This thesis investigated the lithostratigraphic, sedimentological, and geochronological characteristics of the Paleoproterozoic Gordon Lake Formation, Huronian Supergroup, Ontario, Canada. The main ideas presented here provide insight into the paleodepositional setting represented by the formation, and allow inferences to be made about basin tectonism. This thesis also evaluated the maximum depositional age of the Gordon Lake Formation using U-Pb detrital zircon geochronology in order to contribute to the understanding of the depositional history of the Huronian Supergroup. This chapter summarizes the results of this project and offers suggestions for future research.

6.1 Lithofacies and lithofacies associations

Four study areas were chosen for sedimentary facies analysis based on outcrop exposure, and are located near Bruce Mines, near Flack Lake, in Lady Evelyn-Smoothwater Provincial Park, and in Baie Fine and Killarney Provincial Park. Seven distinct lithofacies were identified in the four study areas: very fine- to fine-grained sandstone (LF1), fine- to medium-grained sandstone (LF2), carbonate (LF3), interlaminated to interbedded mudstone and fine-grained sandstone (LF4), coarse-grained sandstone (LF5), intraformational granular to pebbly sandstone and conglomerate (LF6a and b), and mudstone (LF7). These lithofacies were grouped into three lithofacies associations, in ascending order: subtidal nearshore (LFA1), subtidal to offshore transition (LFA2), and mixed intertidal flats (LFA3).

Lithofacies association 1 is composed of very fine- to fine-grained sandstone (LF1), fine- to medium-grained sandstone (LF2), and coarse-grained sandstone (LF5), with a minor amount of mudstone (LF7). Characteristic sedimentary structures include planar laminae, massive bedding, and planar and trough cross-bedding, with local ripples, SSDS, and synaeresis cracks. The LFA1 is interpreted to have been deposited in a subtidal nearshore environment, possibly the lower shoreface.
Lithofacies association 2 is composed of a series of distinct storm deposits, that include fining-upward intervals of intraformational granule to pebbly sandstone and conglomerate (LF6a), very fine- to fine-grained sandstone (LF1), and mudstone (LF7) or interlaminated to interbedded mudstone and fine-grained sandstone (LF4); tabular, thin to medium bedded sandstone (LF1 and LF2) and mudstone beds (LF7) that contain synaeresis cracks and HCS; stacked interlaminated to interbedded mudstone and sandstone (LF1, with minor LF4) that are characterized by SSDS of varying size and type, and normally graded beds. This lithofacies association is interpreted to have been deposited in the subtidal to offshore transition zone.

Lithofacies association 3 is composed of interlaminated to interbedded mudstone and fine-grained sandstone (LF4), alternating with fine-grained sandstone (LF1), and mudstone (LF7). Characteristic sedimentary structures include flaser and lenticular bedding, wave and interference ripples, ripple cross- and planar laminae, bipolar-opposed ripples separated by mudstone drapes, desiccation cracks and MISS. The LFA3 is interpreted to have been deposited as mixed intertidal flats.

6.2 Microbial influence on sedimentation

Fossil evidence of early life was observed in the Bruce Mines, Flack Lake, and Lady Evelyn-Smoothwater Provincial Park study areas, and include microbial mat fragments and stromatolites. A biological origin for the structures is supported by their similarities to documented examples of MISS and stromatolites in both modern and ancient successions, the sand-dominated (MISS) and calcareous-dominated (stromatolites) nature of the substrate in which they are preserved, and key microtextures identified in thin section. Microtextures include curled, frayed and layered mat chips, carbonaceous laminae, oriented grains, concentrated heavy minerals, and fenestrae. The types of MISS identified in the Gordon Lake Formation support the interpretation of an intertidal to subtidal depositional environment. Although fossil evidence of life is rare in the rocks of the Huronian Supergroup, identification of MISS in the Flack Lake area, stromatolites in Lady Evelyn-Smoothwater Provincial Park, and microbial mat fragments in the Bruce Mines area, provides a significant and convincing indication of microbial colonization at the time of deposition. Microbial mats increased the cohesion, and therefore preservation
potential, of sedimentary structures in the Gordon Lake Formation, and may have been partially responsible for the preservation of the large quantity of fine-grained sediment.

### 6.3 Other influences on sedimentation

A significant quantity of interpreted tide- and storm-generated sedimentary structures and deposits are present in the Gordon Lake Formation. These were observed in all four study areas; tide-generated deposits are preserved throughout the succession and storm-generated deposits are most abundant towards the middle of the formation. Characteristic tide-generated sedimentary structures include mudstone drapes, bipolar-opposed cross-bedding and ripple cross-laminae, flaser and lenticular bedding, interference ripples and desiccation cracks. Characteristic sedimentary structures formed by storm currents and waves include SSDS, HCS, graded beds, and upward-finig units. The primary trigger mechanism of the SSDS is proposed to be storm or tsunami activity, however earthquakes, overloading brought about by density inversions or microbial mats, or a combination of these processes, may have influenced the formation of SSDS to a lesser degree. Seismic events may have influenced local parts of the basin during deposition, as the underlying extensional faults would still have been active during deposition of the upper formations. The lack of barrier deposits and evidence of open marine conditions and strong tidal currents, supports the interpretation that the Gordon Lake Formation represents a macrotidal- to shallow shelf, characterized by microbial, tide, and storm activity.

### 6.4 Timing of deposition

A maximum depositional age of $2315 \pm 5$ Ma was determined from detrital zircon grains in a sandstone sample from the Flack Lake area. This age constrains the timing of deposition of the upper part of the Huronian Supergroup between ca. 2315 Ma and intrusion of gabbro approximately 95 m.y. later. Sediment was derived predominantly from Archean rocks of the Superior Province, with relatively minor contributions from basal volcanic rocks of the Huronian Supergroup (age ca. 2500 Ma), and an unknown source with an age of ca. 2300 Ma.
6.5 Future studies

In order to establish a more complete understanding of the paleodepositional settings of the upper Huronian Supergroup, further work is needed to elucidate the depositional histories of the under- and overlying, Lorrain and Bar River formations, respectively. Future research recommendations for the Gordon Lake Formation (GLF) include: 1) isotope geochemistry of the different types of nodules and chert and their use as environmental indicators, 2) whole rock major, trace, and rare earth element geochemical investigations of the GLF and their relation to climate, provenance, diagenesis, and recycling, 3) lithostratigraphic analysis of the relationships between the GLF and the underlying Lorrain and overlying Bar River formations, 4) study of why the GLF is highly siliceous compared to the other formations in the Huronian Supergroup, 5) investigation of the different colours of the GLF strata to determine primary vs. diagenetic signatures, and 6) assessment of mechanisms of MISS formation from petrographic study.
Appendices

Appendix A: Outcrop locations with coordinates.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Station number</th>
<th>Coordinates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bruce Mines area</td>
<td>224</td>
<td>46°24'16.09&quot;N, 83°50'22.67&quot;W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>225</td>
<td>46°24'15.70&quot;N, 83°50'16.37&quot;W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>226</td>
<td>46°24'7.88&quot;N, 83°49'20.17&quot;W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>374</td>
<td>46°23'57.08&quot;N, 83°47'58.56&quot;W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>375</td>
<td>46°23'52.44&quot;N, 83°47'55.54&quot;W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>376</td>
<td>46°23'43.67&quot;N, 83°52'46.20&quot;W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>377</td>
<td>46°23'42.42&quot;N, 83°46'22.40&quot;W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>378</td>
<td>46°23'36.70&quot;N, 83°54'49.07&quot;W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>379</td>
<td>46°25'1.99&quot;N, 83°54'24.59&quot;W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>380</td>
<td>46°24'55.40&quot;N, 83°54'46.40&quot;W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>381</td>
<td>46°26'58.56&quot;N, 83°57'28.08&quot;W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>382</td>
<td>46°26'53.05&quot;N, 83°57'16.56&quot;W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>383</td>
<td>46°26'51.47&quot;N, 83°57'4.25&quot;W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>384</td>
<td>46°26'20.40&quot;N, 83°51'54.65&quot;W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>385</td>
<td>46°25'0.77&quot;N, 83°54'49.54&quot;W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>200</td>
<td>46°36'13.93&quot;N, 82°47'25.73&quot;W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>221</td>
<td>46°36'30.38&quot;N, 82°47'17.12&quot;W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>220</td>
<td>46°37'42.49&quot;N, 82°48'27.47&quot;W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>230</td>
<td>46°35'55.00&quot;N, 82°46'27.26&quot;W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>240</td>
<td>46°35'14.17&quot;N, 82°57'51.98&quot;W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>241</td>
<td>46°35'18.67&quot;N, 82°57'59.40&quot;W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>243</td>
<td>46°35'25.80&quot;N, 82°58'26.58&quot;W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>244</td>
<td>46°37'30.97&quot;N, 82°50'1.90&quot;W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>248</td>
<td>46°37'49.69&quot;N, 82°49'2.68&quot;W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>255</td>
<td>46°36'26.57&quot;N, 82°47'1.39&quot;W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>256</td>
<td>46°36'24.70&quot;N, 82°46'53.98&quot;W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>258</td>
<td>46°36'16.99&quot;N, 82°46'15.49&quot;W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>279</td>
<td>46°36'24.70&quot;N, 82°46'51.89&quot;W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>281</td>
<td>46°36'15.19&quot;N, 82°46'7.00&quot;W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>47°20'56.11&quot;N, 80°27'59.75&quot;W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>47°20'15.86&quot;N, 80°27'26.46&quot;W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>47°20'41.71&quot;N, 80°26'59.96&quot;W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>300</td>
<td>47°22'45.70&quot;N, 80°31'51.38&quot;W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>301</td>
<td>47°22'49.08&quot;N, 80°31'54.08&quot;W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>302</td>
<td>47°22'29.78&quot;N, 80°31'16.97&quot;W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>303</td>
<td>47°21'48.78&quot;N, 80°31'2.06&quot;W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>305</td>
<td>47°23'22.85&quot;N, 80°31'4.15&quot;W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>306</td>
<td>47°22'26.65&quot;N, 80°30'46.44&quot;W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>309</td>
<td>47°22'29.96&quot;N, 80°30'49.50&quot;W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>669</td>
<td>47°23'39.30&quot;N, 80°41'10.00&quot;W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>670</td>
<td>47°23'39.30&quot;N, 80°41'11.58&quot;W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>671</td>
<td>47°23'14.06&quot;N, 80°41'30.70&quot;W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>672</td>
<td>47°22'9.37&quot;N, 80°41'26.38&quot;W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>673</td>
<td>47°22'59.77&quot;N, 80°40'10.09&quot;W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>674</td>
<td>47°23'9.28&quot;N, 80°40'4.69&quot;W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>675</td>
<td>47°23'59.50&quot;N, 80°41'15.40&quot;W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>676</td>
<td>47°24'38.99&quot;N, 80°41'10.68&quot;W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>677</td>
<td>47°24'38.30&quot;N, 80°41'11.60&quot;W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>678</td>
<td>47°24'38.09&quot;N, 80°41'14.10&quot;W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>679</td>
<td>47°24'38.10&quot;N, 80°41'14.10&quot;W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baie Fine-Killamey Provincial Park</td>
<td>313</td>
<td>46° 1'45.62”N, 81°30'55.87”W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>314</td>
<td>46° 1'46.52”N, 81°30'53.71”W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>315</td>
<td>46° 1'47.99”N, 81°30'50.90”W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>316</td>
<td>46° 1'49.28”N, 81°30'49.03”W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>317</td>
<td>46° 1'50.05”N, 81°30'45.29”W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>318</td>
<td>46° 1'51.05”N, 81°30'35.86”W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>319</td>
<td>46° 1'51.17”N, 81°30'25.02”W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>320</td>
<td>46° 1'51.60”N, 81°30'19.58”W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>321</td>
<td>46° 1'55.88”N, 81°30'18.47”W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>322</td>
<td>46° 2' 2.62”N, 81°30'17.64”W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>323</td>
<td>46° 2' 2.87”N, 81°30'17.64”W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>324</td>
<td>46° 2' 2.87”N, 81°30'17.64”W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>325</td>
<td>46° 2' 2.87”N, 81°30'17.64”W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>326</td>
<td>46° 2' 2.87”N, 81°30'17.64”W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>327</td>
<td>46° 2' 2.87”N, 81°30'17.64”W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>328</td>
<td>46° 2' 2.87”N, 81°30'17.64”W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>329</td>
<td>46° 2' 2.87”N, 81°30'17.64”W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>330</td>
<td>46° 2' 2.87”N, 81°30'17.64”W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>331</td>
<td>46° 2' 2.87”N, 81°30'17.64”W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>332</td>
<td>46° 2' 2.87”N, 81°30'17.64”W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>333</td>
<td>46° 2' 2.87”N, 81°30'17.64”W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>334</td>
<td>46° 2' 2.87”N, 81°30'17.64”W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>335</td>
<td>46° 2' 2.87”N, 81°30'17.64”W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>336</td>
<td>46° 2' 2.87”N, 81°30'17.64”W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>337</td>
<td>46° 2' 2.87”N, 81°30'17.64”W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>338</td>
<td>46° 2' 2.87”N, 81°30'17.64”W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>339</td>
<td>46° 2' 2.87”N, 81°30'17.64”W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>340</td>
<td>46° 2' 2.87”N, 81°30'17.64”W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>341</td>
<td>46° 2' 2.87”N, 81°30'17.64”W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>342</td>
<td>46° 2' 2.87”N, 81°30'17.64”W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>343</td>
<td>46° 2' 2.87”N, 81°30'17.64”W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>344</td>
<td>46° 2' 2.87”N, 81°30'17.64”W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>345</td>
<td>46° 2' 2.87”N, 81°30'17.64”W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>346</td>
<td>46° 2' 2.87”N, 81°30'17.64”W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>347</td>
<td>46° 2' 2.87”N, 81°30'17.64”W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>348</td>
<td>46° 2' 2.87”N, 81°30'17.64”W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>349</td>
<td>46° 2' 2.87”N, 81°30'17.64”W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>350</td>
<td>46° 2' 2.87”N, 81°30'17.64”W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>351</td>
<td>46° 2' 2.87”N, 81°30'17.64”W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>352</td>
<td>46° 2' 2.87”N, 81°30'17.64”W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>353</td>
<td>46° 2' 2.87”N, 81°30'17.64”W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>354</td>
<td>46° 2' 2.87”N, 81°30'17.64”W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>355</td>
<td>46° 2' 2.87”N, 81°30'17.64”W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>356</td>
<td>46° 2' 2.87”N, 81°30'17.64”W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>357</td>
<td>46° 2' 2.87”N, 81°30'17.64”W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>358</td>
<td>46° 2' 2.87”N, 81°30'17.64”W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>359</td>
<td>46° 2' 2.87”N, 81°30'17.64”W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>360</td>
<td>46° 2' 2.87”N, 81°30'17.64”W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>361</td>
<td>46° 2' 2.87”N, 81°30'17.64”W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>362</td>
<td>46° 2' 2.87”N, 81°30'17.64”W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>363</td>
<td>46° 2' 2.87”N, 81°30'17.64”W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>364</td>
<td>46° 2' 2.87”N, 81°30'17.64”W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>365</td>
<td>46° 2' 2.87”N, 81°30'17.64”W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>366</td>
<td>46° 2' 2.87”N, 81°30'17.64”W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>367</td>
<td>46° 2' 2.87”N, 81°30'17.64”W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>369</td>
<td>46° 1'10.49&quot;N, 81°36'45.00&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>370</td>
<td>46° 1'12.54&quot;N, 81°36'40.72&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>371</td>
<td>46° 1'14.59&quot;N, 81°36'20.92&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>372</td>
<td>46° 1'11.71&quot;N, 81°36'14.14&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>463</td>
<td>46° 3'13.36&quot;N, 81°23'13.24&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>464</td>
<td>46° 3'12.24&quot;N, 81°23'20.22&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>465</td>
<td>46° 3'11.48&quot;N, 81°23'21.77&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>466</td>
<td>46° 3'10.18&quot;N, 81°23'27.06&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>467</td>
<td>46° 3'14.59&quot;N, 81°23'38.54&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>468</td>
<td>46° 3'13.71&quot;N, 81°23'25.12&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>469</td>
<td>46° 3'11.71&quot;N, 81°23'19.93&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>470</td>
<td>46° 2'59.14&quot;N, 81°23'18.96&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>471</td>
<td>46° 2'58.92&quot;N, 81°23'18.20&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>472</td>
<td>46° 2'57.62&quot;N, 81°23'18.00&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>473</td>
<td>46° 3'17.57&quot;N, 81°23'57.57&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>474</td>
<td>46° 3'15.10&quot;N, 81°23'57.35&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>475</td>
<td>46° 3'13.95&quot;N, 81°23'60.07&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>476</td>
<td>46° 3'34.34&quot;N, 81°23'89.95&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>477</td>
<td>46° 3'36.07&quot;N, 81°23'29.29&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>478</td>
<td>46° 3'40.64&quot;N, 81°23'30.30&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>479</td>
<td>46° 3'31.25&quot;N, 81°23'15.47&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>480</td>
<td>46° 3'29.30&quot;N, 81°23'37.72&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>481</td>
<td>46° 3'31.93&quot;N, 81°23'46.03&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>482</td>
<td>46° 3'15.05&quot;N, 81°23'48.05&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>483</td>
<td>46° 3'22.14&quot;N, 81°24'09.94&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>484</td>
<td>46° 3'17.53&quot;N, 81°23'56.62&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>485</td>
<td>46° 3'12.96&quot;N, 81°23'57.77&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>486</td>
<td>46° 3'45.57&quot;N, 81°23'48.00&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>487</td>
<td>46° 3'31.71&quot;N, 81°23'48.62&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>488</td>
<td>46° 3'55.08&quot;N, 81°24'20.00&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>489</td>
<td>46° 3'14.04&quot;N, 81°21'59.44&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>490</td>
<td>46° 3'21.13&quot;N, 81°21'59.44&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>491</td>
<td>46° 3'17.96&quot;N, 81°21'53.82&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>492</td>
<td>46° 3'15.34&quot;N, 81°22'10.02&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>493</td>
<td>46° 3'12.28&quot;N, 81°22'23.23&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>494</td>
<td>46° 3'34.38&quot;N, 81°22'04.80&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>495</td>
<td>46° 3'31.01&quot;N, 81°21'53.10&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>496</td>
<td>46° 3'37.42&quot;N, 81°21'43.88&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>497</td>
<td>46° 3'16.34&quot;N, 81°21'39.17&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>498</td>
<td>46° 3'17.68&quot;N, 81°21'45.97&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>499</td>
<td>46° 3'17.82&quot;N, 81°21'49.14&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500</td>
<td>46° 3'28.91&quot;N, 81°21'46.87&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>501</td>
<td>46° 3'28.04&quot;N, 81°21'52.70&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>502</td>
<td>46° 3'30.42&quot;N, 81°21'56.66&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>503</td>
<td>46° 3'32.54&quot;N, 81°21'59.58&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>504</td>
<td>46° 3'34.78&quot;N, 81°22'48.87&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>505</td>
<td>46° 3'34.63&quot;N, 81°22'27.14&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>506</td>
<td>46° 3'34.99&quot;N, 81°22'10.78&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>507</td>
<td>46° 3'37.08&quot;N, 81°22'14.56&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>508</td>
<td>46° 3'37.76&quot;N, 81°22'26.44&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>509</td>
<td>46° 3'39.67&quot;N, 81°22'28.45&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>510</td>
<td>46° 3'34.29&quot;N, 81°22'23.74&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>511</td>
<td>46° 3'42.62&quot;N, 81°22'16.86&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>512</td>
<td>46° 3'45.11&quot;N, 81°22'14.99&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>513</td>
<td>46° 3'48.85&quot;N, 81°22'15.16&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>514</td>
<td>46° 3'51.77&quot;N, 81°21'58.54&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>515</td>
<td>46° 3'56.34&quot;N, 81°21'56.99&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>516</td>
<td>46° 3'55.84&quot;N, 81°21'34.52&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Latitude</td>
<td>Longitude</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>522</td>
<td>46° 3'53.10&quot;N, 81°21'27.50&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>523</td>
<td>46° 3'52.78&quot;N, 81°21'24.66&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>525</td>
<td>46° 3'50.83&quot;N, 81°21'20.63&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>527</td>
<td>46° 3'47.05&quot;N, 81°21'17.21&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>529</td>
<td>46° 3'49.07&quot;N, 81°21'12.35&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>530</td>
<td>46° 3'50.80&quot;N, 81°21'14.39&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>531</td>
<td>46° 3'56.23&quot;N, 81°21'11.95&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>532</td>
<td>46° 3'54.14&quot;N, 81°21'39.17&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>533</td>
<td>46° 3'50.04&quot;N, 81°21'40.72&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>535</td>
<td>46° 3'45.94&quot;N, 81°21'38.84&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>536</td>
<td>46° 3'38.74&quot;N, 81°21'28.08&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>537</td>
<td>46° 3'34.09&quot;N, 81°21'32.44&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>538</td>
<td>46° 3'27.29&quot;N, 81°21'34.52&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>540</td>
<td>46° 3'25.42&quot;N, 81°21'28.08&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>541</td>
<td>46° 3'27.92&quot;N, 81°21'34.52&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>542</td>
<td>46° 3'26.71&quot;N, 81°21'43.60&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>543</td>
<td>46° 3'24.62&quot;N, 81°21'51.70&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>544</td>
<td>46° 3'55.18&quot;N, 81°21'47.20&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>545</td>
<td>46° 3'52.99&quot;N, 81°21'43.96&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>546</td>
<td>46° 3'56.40&quot;N, 81°21'41.87&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>548</td>
<td>46° 3'54.56&quot;N, 81°21'32.94&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550</td>
<td>46° 3'51.61&quot;N, 81°21'29.27&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>551</td>
<td>46° 3'51.18&quot;N, 81°21'34.45&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>552</td>
<td>46° 3'52.22&quot;N, 81°21'40.39&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>553</td>
<td>46° 3'53.48&quot;N, 81°21'46.62&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>555</td>
<td>46° 3'46.54&quot;N, 81°21'35.75&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>556</td>
<td>46° 3'46.79&quot;N, 81°21'31.97&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>557</td>
<td>46° 3'46.25&quot;N, 81°21'26.28&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>558</td>
<td>46° 3'44.34&quot;N, 81°21'22.03&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>559</td>
<td>46° 3'53.88&quot;N, 81°21'27.00&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>561</td>
<td>46° 3'59.24&quot;N, 81°21'35.93&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>562</td>
<td>46° 3'30.12&quot;N, 81°21'29.12&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>563</td>
<td>46° 3'35.54&quot;N, 81°21'27.58&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>564</td>
<td>46° 3'38.50&quot;N, 81°21'31.28&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>565</td>
<td>46° 3'12.17&quot;N, 81°21'32.76&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>566</td>
<td>46° 3'13.32&quot;N, 81°21'33.55&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>567</td>
<td>46° 3'20.52&quot;N, 81°21'48.35&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>568</td>
<td>46° 3'15.30&quot;N, 81°26'19.68&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>569</td>
<td>46° 3'11.23&quot;N, 81°26'24.22&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>570</td>
<td>46° 3'13.93&quot;N, 81°26'26.02&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>571</td>
<td>46° 3'13.90&quot;N, 81°26'30.55&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>572</td>
<td>46° 3'11.88&quot;N, 81°26'32.17&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>573</td>
<td>46° 3'39.11&quot;N, 81°26'34.51&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>574</td>
<td>46° 3'38.46&quot;N, 81°26'28.10&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>575</td>
<td>46° 3'37.45&quot;N, 81°26'27.46&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>576</td>
<td>46° 3'35.80&quot;N, 81°26'23.93&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>577</td>
<td>46° 3'36.62&quot;N, 81°26'15.54&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>578</td>
<td>46° 3'36.55&quot;N, 81°26'06.04&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>579</td>
<td>46° 3'35.58&quot;N, 81°26'06.57&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>580</td>
<td>46° 3'34.46&quot;N, 81°25'58.47&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>581</td>
<td>46° 3'31.03&quot;N, 81°25'40.94&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>582</td>
<td>46° 3'31.00&quot;N, 81°25'41.34&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>583</td>
<td>46° 3'32.68&quot;N, 81°25'31.55&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>584</td>
<td>46° 3'29.95&quot;N, 81°25'28.45&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>585</td>
<td>46° 3'32.51&quot;N, 81°25'40.11&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>586</td>
<td>46° 3'33.44&quot;N, 81°24'58.25&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>587</td>
<td>46° 3'35.21&quot;N, 81°24'45.83&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>588</td>
<td>46° 3'35.21&quot;N, 81°24'42.44&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>589</td>
<td>46° 3'37.33&quot;N, 81°24'41.54&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>590</td>
<td>46° 3'33.16&quot;N, 81°24'35.14&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>591</td>
<td>46° 3'30.92&quot;N, 81°24'9.70&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>592</td>
<td>46° 3'26.46&quot;N, 81°24'19.98&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>593</td>
<td>46° 3'27.50&quot;N, 81°24'21.85&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>594</td>
<td>46° 3'16.85&quot;N, 81°24'22.18&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>595</td>
<td>46° 3'15.62&quot;N, 81°24'26.64&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>596</td>
<td>46° 3'13.00&quot;N, 81°24'29.56&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>597</td>
<td>46° 3'11.45&quot;N, 81°24'36.76&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>598</td>
<td>46° 3'13.10&quot;N, 81°24'57.70&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>599</td>
<td>46° 3'12.67&quot;N, 81°24'36.13&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>600</td>
<td>46° 3'11.84&quot;N, 81°24'11.33&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>601</td>
<td>46° 3'12.10&quot;N, 81°24'6.25&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>602</td>
<td>46° 3'12.67&quot;N, 81°24'54.55&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>603</td>
<td>46° 3'12.67&quot;N, 81°24'46.33&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>604</td>
<td>46° 3'11.45&quot;N, 81°24'32.33&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>605</td>
<td>46° 3'11.45&quot;N, 81°24'28.87&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>606</td>
<td>46° 3'11.45&quot;N, 81°24'19.98&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>607</td>
<td>46° 3'11.45&quot;N, 81°24'19.98&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>608</td>
<td>46° 3'11.45&quot;N, 81°24'19.98&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>609</td>
<td>46° 3'11.45&quot;N, 81°24'19.98&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>610</td>
<td>46° 3'11.45&quot;N, 81°24'19.98&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>611</td>
<td>46° 3'11.45&quot;N, 81°24'19.98&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>612</td>
<td>46° 3'11.45&quot;N, 81°24'19.98&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>613</td>
<td>46° 3'11.45&quot;N, 81°24'19.98&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>614</td>
<td>46° 3'11.45&quot;N, 81°24'19.98&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>615</td>
<td>46° 3'11.45&quot;N, 81°24'19.98&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>616</td>
<td>46° 3'11.45&quot;N, 81°24'19.98&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>617</td>
<td>46° 3'11.45&quot;N, 81°24'19.98&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>618</td>
<td>46° 3'11.45&quot;N, 81°24'19.98&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>619</td>
<td>46° 3'11.45&quot;N, 81°24'19.98&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>620</td>
<td>46° 3'11.45&quot;N, 81°24'19.98&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>621</td>
<td>46° 3'11.45&quot;N, 81°24'19.98&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>622</td>
<td>46° 3'11.45&quot;N, 81°24'19.98&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>623</td>
<td>46° 3'11.45&quot;N, 81°24'19.98&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>624</td>
<td>46° 3'11.45&quot;N, 81°24'19.98&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>625</td>
<td>46° 3'11.45&quot;N, 81°24'19.98&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>626</td>
<td>46° 3'11.45&quot;N, 81°24'19.98&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>627</td>
<td>46° 3'11.45&quot;N, 81°24'19.98&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>628</td>
<td>46° 3'11.45&quot;N, 81°24'19.98&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>629</td>
<td>46° 3'11.45&quot;N, 81°24'19.98&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>630</td>
<td>46° 3'11.45&quot;N, 81°24'19.98&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>631</td>
<td>46° 3'11.45&quot;N, 81°24'19.98&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>632</td>
<td>46° 3'11.45&quot;N, 81°24'19.98&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>633</td>
<td>46° 3'11.45&quot;N, 81°24'19.98&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>634</td>
<td>46° 3'11.45&quot;N, 81°24'19.98&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>635</td>
<td>46° 3'11.45&quot;N, 81°24'19.98&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>636</td>
<td>46° 3'11.45&quot;N, 81°24'19.98&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>637</td>
<td>46° 3'11.45&quot;N, 81°24'19.98&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>638</td>
<td>46° 3'11.45&quot;N, 81°24'19.98&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>639</td>
<td>46° 3'11.45&quot;N, 81°24'19.98&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>640</td>
<td>46° 3'11.45&quot;N, 81°24'19.98&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>641</td>
<td>46° 3'11.45&quot;N, 81°24'19.98&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>642</td>
<td>46° 3'11.45&quot;N, 81°24'19.98&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>643</td>
<td>46° 3'11.45&quot;N, 81°24'19.98&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>644</td>
<td>46° 3'11.45&quot;N, 81°24'19.98&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>645</td>
<td>46° 3'11.45&quot;N, 81°24'19.98&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>646</td>
<td>46° 3'11.45&quot;N, 81°24'19.98&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>647</td>
<td>46° 2'43.19&quot;N, 81°30'50.24&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>648</td>
<td>46° 2'40.06&quot;N, 81°30'1.19&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>649</td>
<td>46° 2'37.61&quot;N, 81°30'17.17&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>650</td>
<td>46° 2'35.70&quot;N, 81°30'22.86&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>651</td>
<td>46° 2'36.10&quot;N, 81°30'30.64&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>652</td>
<td>46° 2'30.95&quot;N, 81°30'38.34&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>653</td>
<td>46° 2'31.31&quot;N, 81°30'43.92&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>654</td>
<td>46° 2'39.23&quot;N, 81°30'31.93&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>655</td>
<td>46° 2'42.79&quot;N, 81°30'22.18&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>656</td>
<td>46° 2'45.31&quot;N, 81°30'13.46&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>657</td>
<td>46° 2'50.53&quot;N, 81°29'39.01&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>658</td>
<td>46° 2'43.51&quot;N, 81°28'36.91&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>659</td>
<td>46° 2'39.55&quot;N, 81°28'31.40&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>660</td>
<td>46° 2'38.87&quot;N, 81°28'27.12&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>661</td>
<td>46° 2'41.46&quot;N, 81°28'48.25&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix B: Supplementary stratigraphic sections. Refer to legend below.
#226 – Note that chert is silicified mudstone in this section.
#226 continued
#226 continued

24 m

purple silt laterally with many 2ndry pyrite

23 m

25 m

alt. ms and very thin fsst beds

22 m

alt. chert and fsst beds

26 m

28 m

alt. ms and fsst

alt. ms and silt

load casts laterally

vog est. pyrite-rich

break - low lying outcrop not included in strat section (lots of SSDS, balls, mat chips), then last big break
#226 continued

![Diagram]

- Similar alternation beds for ~1.5 m
- HCS laterally
- Thin ms layer on ripples
- Thin alternating sst/ms beds
- Interlaminated ms & ms ms laminae

- Thin alternating sst/chert beds

- Thin alternating sst/chert beds

- Thin graded sst-ms beds
Gabbro dyke
+ 2.9 m pink beds 4-25 cm thick, planar to x-beds
+ 1.4 m same lithologies & structures
#221

All beds of silt, fast and ms 0.5-3 cm thick, fast is planar lam-rippled

Interference ripples

MISS

+1.8 m repeating lithologies & structures

MISS

Interference ripples

7 m

MISS

Interference ripples

6 m

MISS

Thin ms laterally

Interference ripples

5 m

MISS

Mud drape over ripples

10 cm

0 m

0.1 m

0.2 m

0.3 m

0.4 m

0.5 m

0.6 m

0.7 m

0.8 m

0.9 m

1 m

1.1 m

1.2 m

1.3 m

1.4 m

1.5 m

1.6 m

1.7 m

1.8 m

1.9 m

2 m

2.1 m

2.2 m

2.3 m

2.4 m

2.5 m

2.6 m

2.7 m

2.8 m

2.9 m

3 m
CJM core 68-1

- finely lam.
- white-pink-purple qtz-rich sst; green f-vfg sst; finely lam.
- white-pink-purple qtz-rich sst; planar lam., minor x-lam., and dark speckles near top
- white-pink-purple qtz-rich sst; planar lam., minor x-lam., minor conglom. and siltst
- breccia
- white-pink-purple qtz-rich sst; x-planar lam.; dark speckles at base; pink conglom. locally
- white-pink-purple qtz-rich sst; x-planar lam., occasional ms
- pink qtz-rich sst; x-planar lam.; rare ms interbeds; dark speckles
- green; fine x-wavy interlam.; minor thin beds pink-purple sst; SSDS; local ms clasts, motting, carb.?, & rusty weath.
- purple; x-wavy interlam.; SSDS, local motting; red sst towards bottom
- breccia
- pink-grey-green qtz-rich sst; motting throughout, planar lam. (red locally)
- pink-purple-white-green sst with local motting (pyrite?); x-planar lam.
- wavy interlam-interbed. v-fast. siltst-ms, local mottled beds up to 3 cm thick
- green interbed. (up to 2 cm thick); fine wavy lam., SSDS, local calcareous (?) clasts & fracture
- green; SSDS (HGS below)
- calcareous clasts?
- finely lam.
- interbed.; wavy lam.
- white-pink-purple qtz-rich sst; green f-vfg sst; finely lam.; local ms lam.; f-meat mottled locally

ms vsat msat vsat
CJM core 68-1 continued

- 120 m: green interbed, ms-silt-sst; few SSDS, finely lam., rare clasts
- 110 m: purple, fine lam., few clasts
- 100 m: breccia
- 90 m: green-purple, very muddy overall; some brecciation, some wavy lam. visible, pyrite
- 80 m: purple-black sst, pyrite, local motting
- 70 m: gray-purple ms-sst; local motting, ripples, wavy bedding
- 60 m: thin interbed, pink-purple-green sat-silt-mt; fine lam., elongated ms clasts, SSDS, one local nodule?
- 50 m: baked purple strata: difficult to see structures, some lam. visible
- 1 m: baked pink sat

140 m: interbed, green-purple ms-silt-sst; finely lam., SSDS, no clasts, lenticular bedding towards top

130 m: finely lam., mottled
- finly lam., SSDS, minor pebble beds
- finly lam., SSDS
- purple, mottled
- green interbed, ms-silt-sst; few SSDS, finely lam., rare clasts
CJM core 68-1 continued
CJM core 68-1 continued
CJM core 68-1 continued

- 270 m: Alt. pink-purple-green sst-silt-mbs; finely lam., many des. cracks, red. spots
- Minor x-lam., small clasts throughout
- Small clasts, many weathered out
- Minor des. cracks and ms clasts
- Tan-white qtz-rich sst; qtz pebbles

- 260 m: Calcareous?
- Thicker packages of alt. pink sst & green ms, pebbles in sst
- Lam. purple sst, green ms, pink sst, small green ms clasts throughout; one pebbly pink sst bed
- Calcareous?
- Purple sst, small green ms clasts throughout

- 280 m: Mottled
- Pink-purple sst; green ms clasts, some weathered out
- Weathered cut clasts

- Qtz arenite
- Qtz arenite; weathered out holes top of section
- Qtz arenite, Fe stained
- Qtz-rich, faintly lam.
CJM core 68-1 continued

- Last six boxes are BR Fm -
+ 5.56 m granular-pebbly, unconsolidated qtz arenite, weathered out qtz pebbles

- 340 m
  - red. spots

- 330 m
  - qtz arenite
  - alt. pink-purple-green sst-

- 300 m
  - sst-sst-ms; finely lam., mudlier towards top
#305 continued
#306 (right) and 309 (left). Note that chert in these sections is silicified mudstone.
#6 continued

- Rusty at top
- Rusty throughout
- Knobby/vuggy (calcarenous?)
- Medium scale planar to low angle trough xlam
- Vugs along base elongated along laminae

[Diagram showing stratigraphic sections with labeled layers and features at different depths (5 m, 6 m, 7 m, 8 m, 9 m).]
#6 continued
while fg-mg quartz arenite (beds 1-14 cm thick) alt. with ms (1.5-5 cm thick); low angle to planar laminae

pink fg-mg sst (beds 1-14 cm thick; planar-wavy lam.) alt. with ms (2 mm-4 cm thick); HCS, normal graded beds (1.5-4 cm thick), ripples, mud drapes locally

pink fg-mg sst alt. with ms; locally deformed sst beds, minor HCS; difficult to see overall

fg-mg sst (up to 35 cm thick) alt. with ms (2-12 cm thick); low angle to planar laminae, beds pinch out locally
q.a. = light grey, quartz-rich sst that appears massive

+ -3 m at 100 ft. vfg-fgsst (beds 1-25 cm thick) and mudstone (0.5-1 cm thick); planar laminae; minor pebble horizons

+ 1.35 m of alt. vfg-fgsst (beds 1-7 cm thick) and mudstone (0.5-1 cm thick); minor shrinkage cracks and chert clasts; planar to wavy laminae; minor lenticular bedding
clast-supported conglomerate
- clasts 0.25-7 cm wide
- composed of pink sst & intraform. material
- approx. same % of each clast type

clast-supported conglomerate with lg GLF clast (~2 m wide)
- other clasts 0.25 cm-2 m wide
- subrounded to angular
- few very small pink sst clasts

2 m

alt. beds of cg-nq sst, 3-6 cm thick

vqg-pebbly sst

mg-cg sst, planar to wavy lam.

convolute bedding

gsll

gsll

mg-cg sst, tabular

clast-supported conglomerate
- pink sst and intraform. material
- sst clasts more rounded than other clasts

0 m fault/offset with direction NNW (~340-160°)

20 cm
#325C (left) and #325D (right)

clast-supported pebble conglomerate; irregular relief (may contain carbonate?), angular to subrounded sst clasts (arenite)

clast-supported conglomerate; angular to subrounded sst clasts (mainly pink), <18 cm wide (most clasts <10 cm)

marker ms with 325E

clast-supported conglomerate; clasts up to 9 cm wide, angular arenite, some lam. but mainly massive

pinches out before reaching 325C

conglomeratic sst, holes resembling weathered-out clasts, cg matrix; laterally continuous with top of 365C

8.5 m west of 325B

31.8 m west of 325E
#325E

intraf orm. conglomerate

4 m

marker ms with 325D

mudstone drape overlying ripples

clast-supported conglomerate; clasts from SLF (1-35 cm thick) & pink sst (1-6 cm thick); surrounded to angular clasts

2 m

lenticular bedding

tabular

flame struct. & syn-sed faulting; some beds pinch out laterally

Lorraine Fm; structures not visible

0 m

ms vfeet mfeet voss
#335 lower

- sulphide weathering
- planar to wavy laminae
- thinly bedded, alternating f.g. and m.g. sst, some rippled tops
- load structures
- graded
- ripples
- pseudonodules

Scale: 10 cm
#339 lower (left) and upper (right)
nudules: up to 8 cm wide, oval, elongated along bedding

fgst lam. more wavy than mst

recessive weathering

few pebble stringers along lam.

faintly lam.

pebbles along faint planar lam.

small nodules throughout, max. 3 cm wide

massive nodules: up to 9 cm wide

few nodules throughout, max. 4 cm wide

ms vsst fast msst csst gran
#342 continued
#467 continued

(12 m from shoreline) + ~11 m covered strata

weathered out granules locally
underlain by ~4m very
covered thinly bedded,
green f.g. sst and ms beds;
shrinkage cracks, ripples

cms laminae
covered by brush

8.1 m of cover
covered f.g.
green strata
#580 continued
#617 continued
Appendix C: Supplementary back-scattered electron (BSE; left) and cathodoluminescence (CL; right) images of detrital zircon grains from the Gordon Lake and Bar River formations.

CH-14-50, zircon grains 1-12

CH-14-50, zircon grains 13-24
CH-14-50, zircon grains 25-36

CH-14-50, zircon grains 37-48
CH-14-50, zircon grains 49-60

CH-14-50, zircon grains 61-72
CH-14-50, zircon grains 73-84

CH-14-50, zircon grains 85-91
RA-14-79, zircon grains 1-20 (only grains 1-4 imaged in CL; the rest imaged in BSE)

RA-14-79, zircon grains 21-36 (imaged in BSE)
Appendix D: Laser-ablation inductively coupled plasma mass spectroscopy (LA-ICP-MS) data from detrital zircons in the Gordon Lake and Bar River formations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Spot</th>
<th>U (ppm)</th>
<th>Pb$^{206}$</th>
<th>Th/U</th>
<th>$^{207}$Pb/$^{235}$U</th>
<th>1 Sig</th>
<th>$^{206}$Pb/$^{238}$U</th>
<th>1 Sig</th>
<th>Err. Correl.</th>
<th>$^{207}$Pb/$^{206}$Pb Age (Ma)</th>
<th>1 Sig</th>
<th>$^{207}$Pb/$^{235}$Pb Age (Ma)</th>
<th>1 Sig</th>
<th>$^{206}$Pb/$^{238}$U Age (Ma)</th>
<th>1 Sig</th>
<th>Disc. (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CH-14-50</td>
<td>Sandstone, Gordon Lake Formation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1a</td>
<td>CH14-50-55md</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>8.724</td>
<td>0.151</td>
<td>0.4373</td>
<td>0.0064</td>
<td>0.8431</td>
<td>2284</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2310</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2339</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>CH14-50-82srd, lpr</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>9.305</td>
<td>0.182</td>
<td>0.4645</td>
<td>0.0073</td>
<td>0.8012</td>
<td>2291</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2369</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>2459</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>CH14-50-77srd</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>8.984</td>
<td>0.149</td>
<td>0.4451</td>
<td>0.0062</td>
<td>0.8420</td>
<td>2304</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2336</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2373</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>CH14-50-84srd, lpr</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>9.129</td>
<td>0.222</td>
<td>0.4511</td>
<td>0.0070</td>
<td>0.6400</td>
<td>2309</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>2351</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>2400</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>CH14-50-17srd, st</td>
<td>224</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>9.182</td>
<td>0.152</td>
<td>0.4531</td>
<td>0.0066</td>
<td>0.8730</td>
<td>2311</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>2356</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2409</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>CH14-50-81srd, st</td>
<td>272</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>8.642</td>
<td>0.163</td>
<td>0.4263</td>
<td>0.0066</td>
<td>0.8173</td>
<td>2311</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>2301</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>2289</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>CH14-50-79srd, lpr</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>8.723</td>
<td>0.162</td>
<td>0.4299</td>
<td>0.0065</td>
<td>0.8142</td>
<td>2313</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>2310</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>2305</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>CH14-50-91srd, lpr</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>9.257</td>
<td>0.184</td>
<td>0.4552</td>
<td>0.0071</td>
<td>0.7839</td>
<td>2317</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>2364</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>2419</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>CH14-50-88srd, lpr</td>
<td>424</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>9.910</td>
<td>0.194</td>
<td>0.4859</td>
<td>0.0078</td>
<td>0.8220</td>
<td>2322</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>2426</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>2553</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>CH14-50-29srd, st</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>9.068</td>
<td>0.211</td>
<td>0.4426</td>
<td>0.0074</td>
<td>0.7176</td>
<td>2330</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>2345</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>2362</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>CH14-50-90srd, lpr</td>
<td>354</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>9.560</td>
<td>0.182</td>
<td>0.4662</td>
<td>0.0074</td>
<td>0.8317</td>
<td>2331</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>2393</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>2467</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>CH14-50-99srd, lpr</td>
<td>303</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>10.121</td>
<td>0.183</td>
<td>0.4934</td>
<td>0.0071</td>
<td>0.7973</td>
<td>2332</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>2446</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>2586</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>CH14-50-9srd, st</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>8.950</td>
<td>0.172</td>
<td>0.4345</td>
<td>0.0068</td>
<td>0.8131</td>
<td>2339</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>2333</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>2326</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>CH14-50-85srd, lpr</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>9.638</td>
<td>0.193</td>
<td>0.4671</td>
<td>0.0075</td>
<td>0.8059</td>
<td>2342</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2401</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>2471</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CH14-50-27md</td>
<td>931</td>
<td>420</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>9.716</td>
<td>0.218</td>
<td>0.4515</td>
<td>0.0083</td>
<td>0.8166</td>
<td>2414</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>2408</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>2402</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>CH14-50-24srd, st</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>11.025</td>
<td>0.192</td>
<td>0.4870</td>
<td>0.0069</td>
<td>0.8066</td>
<td>2499</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>2525</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2558</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>CH14-50-7srd, st</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>10.843</td>
<td>0.190</td>
<td>0.4772</td>
<td>0.0073</td>
<td>0.8719</td>
<td>2506</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>2510</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2515</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>CH14-50-16srd</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>10.639</td>
<td>0.453</td>
<td>0.4657</td>
<td>0.0167</td>
<td>0.8405</td>
<td>2514</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>2492</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>2465</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>CH14-50-48srd</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>11.304</td>
<td>0.187</td>
<td>0.4910</td>
<td>0.0067</td>
<td>0.8304</td>
<td>2528</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2549</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2575</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>CH14-50-4md, st</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>10.410</td>
<td>0.251</td>
<td>0.4218</td>
<td>0.0087</td>
<td>0.8507</td>
<td>2643</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>2472</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>2269</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>CH14-50-80srd, lpr</td>
<td>385</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>11.437</td>
<td>0.218</td>
<td>0.4618</td>
<td>0.0072</td>
<td>0.8171</td>
<td>2649</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>2559</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>2448</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>CH14-50-83md, lpr</td>
<td>738</td>
<td>384</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>12.937</td>
<td>0.292</td>
<td>0.5211</td>
<td>0.0103</td>
<td>0.8745</td>
<td>2653</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>2675</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>2704</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>CH14-50-23md, st</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>9.657</td>
<td>0.156</td>
<td>0.3888</td>
<td>0.0051</td>
<td>0.8197</td>
<td>2654</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2403</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2117</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>CH14-50-43rd, st</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>13.653</td>
<td>0.238</td>
<td>0.5484</td>
<td>0.0080</td>
<td>0.8394</td>
<td>2658</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2726</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2818</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>CH14-50-60srd</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>12.845</td>
<td>0.216</td>
<td>0.5143</td>
<td>0.0071</td>
<td>0.8267</td>
<td>2663</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2668</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2675</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>CH14-50-57srd, st</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>13.381</td>
<td>0.272</td>
<td>0.5350</td>
<td>0.0092</td>
<td>0.8466</td>
<td>2666</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>2707</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>2763</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>CH14-50-19srd</td>
<td>419</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>12.867</td>
<td>0.223</td>
<td>0.5125</td>
<td>0.0074</td>
<td>0.8298</td>
<td>2672</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2670</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2667</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>CH14-50-41srd, st</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>13.253</td>
<td>0.211</td>
<td>0.5267</td>
<td>0.0070</td>
<td>0.8366</td>
<td>2675</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>2698</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2728</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>CH14-50-26md</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>13.766</td>
<td>0.232</td>
<td>0.5471</td>
<td>0.0077</td>
<td>0.8332</td>
<td>2676</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2734</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2813</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>CH14-50-40md</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>13.473</td>
<td>0.239</td>
<td>0.5353</td>
<td>0.0078</td>
<td>0.8149</td>
<td>2676</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>2713</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>2764</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>CH14-50-8srd, st</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>12.976</td>
<td>0.251</td>
<td>0.5153</td>
<td>0.0082</td>
<td>0.8183</td>
<td>2677</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>2678</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>2679</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>CH14-50-15srd</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>13.482</td>
<td>0.264</td>
<td>0.5342</td>
<td>0.0085</td>
<td>0.8117</td>
<td>2681</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>2714</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>2759</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spot</td>
<td>U (ppm)</td>
<td>Pb\textsuperscript{206}</td>
<td>Th/U</td>
<td>(207\text{Pb}/235\text{U})</td>
<td>1 Sig</td>
<td>(206\text{Pb}/238\text{U})</td>
<td>1 Sig</td>
<td>Err. Correl.</td>
<td>(207\text{Pb}/206\text{Pb}) Age (Ma)</td>
<td>1 Sig</td>
<td>(207\text{Pb}/235\text{Pb}) Age (Ma)</td>
<td>1 Sig</td>
<td>(206\text{Pb}/238\text{U}) Age (Ma)</td>
<td>1 Sig</td>
<td>Disc. (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH14-50</td>
<td>Sandstone, Gordon Lake Formation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>CH14-50-11rd, st</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>13.265</td>
<td>0.237</td>
<td>0.5238</td>
<td>0.0078</td>
<td>0.8318</td>
<td>2686</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2699</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>2715</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>CH14-50-3rd, st</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>13.359</td>
<td>0.283</td>
<td>0.5269</td>
<td>0.0092</td>
<td>0.8273</td>
<td>2688</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2705</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2728</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>CH14-50-49md</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>13.552</td>
<td>0.247</td>
<td>0.5344</td>
<td>0.0079</td>
<td>0.8083</td>
<td>2689</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>2719</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>2760</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>CH14-50-31md, st</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>13.451</td>
<td>0.276</td>
<td>0.5299</td>
<td>0.0089</td>
<td>0.8186</td>
<td>2690</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>2712</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>2741</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>CH14-50-56rd, st</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>13.292</td>
<td>0.226</td>
<td>0.5234</td>
<td>0.0073</td>
<td>0.8177</td>
<td>2691</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2701</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2714</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>CH14-50-12rd, st</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>13.313</td>
<td>0.251</td>
<td>0.5239</td>
<td>0.0083</td>
<td>0.8360</td>
<td>2692</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>2702</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>2716</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>CH14-50-47md</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>13.466</td>
<td>0.218</td>
<td>0.5295</td>
<td>0.0071</td>
<td>0.8236</td>
<td>2693</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2713</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2739</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>CH14-50-30rd</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>13.174</td>
<td>0.233</td>
<td>0.5180</td>
<td>0.0075</td>
<td>0.8189</td>
<td>2693</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>2692</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>2691</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>CH14-50-18rd</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>14.111</td>
<td>0.232</td>
<td>0.5542</td>
<td>0.0075</td>
<td>0.8220</td>
<td>2695</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2757</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2842</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>CH14-50-59md</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>13.707</td>
<td>0.235</td>
<td>0.5378</td>
<td>0.0077</td>
<td>0.8372</td>
<td>2697</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2730</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2774</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>CH14-50-35rd, st</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>13.712</td>
<td>0.239</td>
<td>0.5378</td>
<td>0.0076</td>
<td>0.8129</td>
<td>2697</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>2730</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2774</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>CH14-50-1rd, st</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>13.905</td>
<td>0.255</td>
<td>0.5452</td>
<td>0.0084</td>
<td>0.8441</td>
<td>2698</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2743</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>2805</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>CH14-50-22rd, st</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>13.470</td>
<td>0.229</td>
<td>0.5278</td>
<td>0.0074</td>
<td>0.8287</td>
<td>2699</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2713</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2732</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>CH14-50-42rd, st</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>13.568</td>
<td>0.223</td>
<td>0.5314</td>
<td>0.0073</td>
<td>0.8349</td>
<td>2700</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2720</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2747</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>CH14-50-76nd, lpr</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>13.288</td>
<td>0.236</td>
<td>0.5198</td>
<td>0.0075</td>
<td>0.8183</td>
<td>2702</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>2700</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>2698</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>CH14-50-6nd</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>13.972</td>
<td>0.249</td>
<td>0.5462</td>
<td>0.0081</td>
<td>0.8349</td>
<td>2703</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2748</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>2809</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CH14-50-45md</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>13.017</td>
<td>0.219</td>
<td>0.5089</td>
<td>0.0070</td>
<td>0.8173</td>
<td>2703</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2681</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2652</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>CH14-50-20sr</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>13.726</td>
<td>0.214</td>
<td>0.5365</td>
<td>0.0071</td>
<td>0.8428</td>
<td>2703</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>2731</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2769</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>CH14-50-32sr</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>12.902</td>
<td>0.207</td>
<td>0.5038</td>
<td>0.0068</td>
<td>0.8430</td>
<td>2705</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>2673</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2630</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>CH14-50-44md</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>14.794</td>
<td>0.295</td>
<td>0.5773</td>
<td>0.0096</td>
<td>0.8319</td>
<td>2706</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>2802</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>2938</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>CH14-50-58sr</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>13.337</td>
<td>0.234</td>
<td>0.5203</td>
<td>0.0075</td>
<td>0.8251</td>
<td>2706</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2704</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>2700</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>CH14-50-2mnd</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>13.107</td>
<td>0.221</td>
<td>0.5106</td>
<td>0.0073</td>
<td>0.8503</td>
<td>2709</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2687</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2659</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>CH14-50-37sr</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>13.424</td>
<td>0.240</td>
<td>0.5208</td>
<td>0.0079</td>
<td>0.8476</td>
<td>2715</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2710</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>2703</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>CH14-50-51mnd</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>14.124</td>
<td>0.236</td>
<td>0.5479</td>
<td>0.0077</td>
<td>0.8435</td>
<td>2716</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2758</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2816</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>CH14-50-33lpr</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>12.994</td>
<td>0.259</td>
<td>0.5037</td>
<td>0.0085</td>
<td>0.8492</td>
<td>2717</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>2679</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>2629</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>CH14-50-50lpr</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>13.795</td>
<td>0.257</td>
<td>0.5340</td>
<td>0.0079</td>
<td>0.7977</td>
<td>2719</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>2736</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>2758</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>CH14-50-34mnd</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>14.105</td>
<td>0.269</td>
<td>0.5450</td>
<td>0.0087</td>
<td>0.8421</td>
<td>2722</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>2757</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>2804</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>CH14-50-38rd</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>13.826</td>
<td>0.243</td>
<td>0.5333</td>
<td>0.0078</td>
<td>0.8286</td>
<td>2725</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2738</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>2755</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61</td>
<td>CH14-50-28mnd</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>14.091</td>
<td>0.262</td>
<td>0.5432</td>
<td>0.0084</td>
<td>0.8319</td>
<td>2726</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>2756</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>2797</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td>CH14-50-86lpr</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>14.697</td>
<td>0.298</td>
<td>0.5645</td>
<td>0.0090</td>
<td>0.7893</td>
<td>2732</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2796</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>2885</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td>CH14-50-54rd</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>13.980</td>
<td>0.225</td>
<td>0.5368</td>
<td>0.0074</td>
<td>0.8597</td>
<td>2732</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>2748</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2770</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>CH14-50-36lpr</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>14.280</td>
<td>0.263</td>
<td>0.5458</td>
<td>0.0082</td>
<td>0.8221</td>
<td>2740</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>2769</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>2808</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>CH14-50-21lpr</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>14.532</td>
<td>0.264</td>
<td>0.5540</td>
<td>0.0080</td>
<td>0.8005</td>
<td>2744</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>2785</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>2842</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66</td>
<td>CH14-50-87lpr</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10.525</td>
<td>0.331</td>
<td>0.4005</td>
<td>0.0093</td>
<td>0.7358</td>
<td>2747</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>2482</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>2171</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spot</td>
<td>U (ppm)</td>
<td>Pb&lt;sup&gt;206&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Th/U</td>
<td>&lt;sup&gt;207&lt;/sup&gt;Pb/&lt;sup&gt;235&lt;/sup&gt;U</td>
<td>1 Sig</td>
<td>&lt;sup&gt;206&lt;/sup&gt;Pb/&lt;sup&gt;238&lt;/sup&gt;U</td>
<td>1 Sig</td>
<td>Err. Correl.</td>
<td>207Pb/206Pb Age (Ma)</td>
<td>1 Sig</td>
<td>207Pb/235Pb Age (Ma)</td>
<td>1 Sig</td>
<td>206Pb/238U Age (Ma)</td>
<td>1 Sig</td>
<td>Disc. (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH14-50</td>
<td>Sandstone, Gordon Lake Formation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67 CH14-50-25rd, st</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>14.966</td>
<td>0.313</td>
<td>0.5675</td>
<td>0.0098</td>
<td>0.8284</td>
<td>2753</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>2813</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2897</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>-7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68 CH14-50-10rd</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>14.426</td>
<td>0.414</td>
<td>0.5456</td>
<td>0.0126</td>
<td>0.8074</td>
<td>2757</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>2778</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>2807</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69 CH14-50-46md, st</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>14.578</td>
<td>0.270</td>
<td>0.5506</td>
<td>0.0083</td>
<td>0.8180</td>
<td>2760</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>2788</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>2828</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70 CH14-50-52md</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>15.270</td>
<td>0.251</td>
<td>0.5707</td>
<td>0.0080</td>
<td>0.8556</td>
<td>2777</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>2832</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2911</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>-6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71 CH14-50-53md</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>15.376</td>
<td>0.244</td>
<td>0.5691</td>
<td>0.0074</td>
<td>0.8257</td>
<td>2793</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2839</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2904</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72 CH14-50-14rd</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>15.315</td>
<td>0.306</td>
<td>0.5659</td>
<td>0.0092</td>
<td>0.8174</td>
<td>2795</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>2835</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>2891</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73 CH14-50-59md, st</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>15.708</td>
<td>0.264</td>
<td>0.5763</td>
<td>0.0082</td>
<td>0.8517</td>
<td>2807</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>2859</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2934</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>-6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74 CH14-50-13rd</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>16.087</td>
<td>0.302</td>
<td>0.5829</td>
<td>0.0089</td>
<td>0.8139</td>
<td>2828</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>2882</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>2960</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>-6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75 CH14-50-5md</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>16.048</td>
<td>0.285</td>
<td>0.5772</td>
<td>0.0089</td>
<td>0.8682</td>
<td>2840</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>2880</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>2937</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RA14-79</td>
<td>Claystone, Bar River Formation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 RA14-79-26rd, lpr</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>8.686</td>
<td>0.181</td>
<td>0.4366</td>
<td>0.0076</td>
<td>0.8384</td>
<td>2279</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>2306</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>2335</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 RA14-79-24rd, st</td>
<td>274</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>8.651</td>
<td>0.152</td>
<td>0.4288</td>
<td>0.0062</td>
<td>0.8186</td>
<td>2304</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>2302</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2300</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RA14-79-32rd, lpr</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>8.699</td>
<td>0.168</td>
<td>0.4296</td>
<td>0.0067</td>
<td>0.8027</td>
<td>2310</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2307</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>2304</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>RA14-79-30md</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>9.094</td>
<td>0.173</td>
<td>0.4470</td>
<td>0.0068</td>
<td>0.8028</td>
<td>2318</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>2348</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>2382</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>RA14-79-9md, st</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>9.018</td>
<td>0.172</td>
<td>0.4345</td>
<td>0.0068</td>
<td>0.8209</td>
<td>2352</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>2340</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>2326</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>RA14-79-11md</td>
<td>223</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>4.743</td>
<td>0.223</td>
<td>0.2283</td>
<td>0.0104</td>
<td>0.9726</td>
<td>2354</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>1775</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>1326</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>RA14-79-18rd, st</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>9.608</td>
<td>0.177</td>
<td>0.4617</td>
<td>0.0069</td>
<td>0.8141</td>
<td>2357</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>2398</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>2447</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>RA14-79-22md, st</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>9.555</td>
<td>0.169</td>
<td>0.4578</td>
<td>0.0067</td>
<td>0.8333</td>
<td>2362</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>2393</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2430</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>RA14-79-1rd, st</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>9.245</td>
<td>0.169</td>
<td>0.4414</td>
<td>0.0064</td>
<td>0.7889</td>
<td>2368</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>2363</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>2357</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>RA14-79-2md, st</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>8.755</td>
<td>0.151</td>
<td>0.4140</td>
<td>0.0057</td>
<td>0.7893</td>
<td>2384</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>2313</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2233</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>RA14-79-21rd, st</td>
<td>289</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>8.692</td>
<td>0.242</td>
<td>0.4051</td>
<td>0.0101</td>
<td>0.8948</td>
<td>2409</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>2306</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>2192</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>RA14-79-27rd, st</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>6.550</td>
<td>0.165</td>
<td>0.2915</td>
<td>0.0062</td>
<td>0.8492</td>
<td>2487</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>2053</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>1649</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>RA14-79-25rd, st</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>11.143</td>
<td>0.210</td>
<td>0.4880</td>
<td>0.0070</td>
<td>0.7592</td>
<td>2514</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>2535</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>2562</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>RA14-79-16rd, st</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>11.449</td>
<td>0.191</td>
<td>0.4993</td>
<td>0.0068</td>
<td>0.8202</td>
<td>2521</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2560</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2611</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>RA14-79-23md, st</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>10.280</td>
<td>0.221</td>
<td>0.4477</td>
<td>0.0080</td>
<td>0.8297</td>
<td>2523</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2460</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2385</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>RA14-79-34rd, lpr</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>11.016</td>
<td>0.196</td>
<td>0.4793</td>
<td>0.0067</td>
<td>0.7842</td>
<td>2525</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>2524</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>2524</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>RA14-79-10md</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>10.726</td>
<td>0.212</td>
<td>0.4656</td>
<td>0.0068</td>
<td>0.7427</td>
<td>2529</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>2500</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>2464</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>RA14-79-6rd, st</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>11.558</td>
<td>0.223</td>
<td>0.4991</td>
<td>0.0079</td>
<td>0.8252</td>
<td>2537</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>2569</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>2610</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>RA14-79-17rd, st</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>10.047</td>
<td>0.236</td>
<td>0.4278</td>
<td>0.0085</td>
<td>0.8448</td>
<td>2561</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>2439</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>2296</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>RA14-79-33rd, st</td>
<td>313</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>6.450</td>
<td>0.160</td>
<td>0.2740</td>
<td>0.0058</td>
<td>0.8590</td>
<td>2565</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>2039</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>1561</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>RA14-79-5md, st</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>10.901</td>
<td>0.195</td>
<td>0.4527</td>
<td>0.0065</td>
<td>0.7983</td>
<td>2603</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>2515</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>2407</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>RA14-79-12md, st</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>12.597</td>
<td>0.240</td>
<td>0.5013</td>
<td>0.0076</td>
<td>0.7990</td>
<td>2674</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>2650</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>2619</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Grain Code</td>
<td>206Pb/238U</td>
<td>207Pb/235U</td>
<td>207Pb/206Pb</td>
<td>U/Th</td>
<td>Th/U</td>
<td>206Pb/235U</td>
<td>207Pb/206Pb</td>
<td>206Pb Age (Ma)</td>
<td>207Pb Age (Ma)</td>
<td>Discordance</td>
<td>Error Correl.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>RA14-79-15rd</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>12.323</td>
<td>0.208</td>
<td>0.4816</td>
<td>0.0068</td>
<td>0.8335</td>
<td>2703</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2629</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2534</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>RA14-79-3rd</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>14.590</td>
<td>0.294</td>
<td>0.5562</td>
<td>0.0090</td>
<td>0.8028</td>
<td>2744</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2789</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>2851</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>RA14-79-14rd, st</td>
<td>272</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1.662</td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td>0.0633</td>
<td>0.0013</td>
<td>0.9106</td>
<td>2745</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>994</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>396</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>RA14-79-35rd, lpr</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>14.149</td>
<td>0.281</td>
<td>0.5391</td>
<td>0.0088</td>
<td>0.8164</td>
<td>2745</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>2760</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>2780</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>RA14-79-4rd</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>18.379</td>
<td>0.341</td>
<td>0.5421</td>
<td>0.0082</td>
<td>0.8142</td>
<td>3158</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>3010</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>2792</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NOTES:**
Samples are individually sorted from lowest to highest 207Pb/206Pb age
rnd - rounded, srd - subrounded, lpr - long prismatic, st - stubby
Err. Correl. - Error correlation coefficient for concordia coordinates
Disc. - Discordance
Relation between ages and concordia coordinates: \( Y = 206\text{Pb}/238\text{U} = \exp(L_{238}(206-238\text{Age})) - 1 \); \( X = 207\text{Pb}/235\text{U} = \exp(L_{235}(207-235\text{Age})) - 1 \)
207Pb/206Pb = 137.88\*X/Y; U decay constants (L238 & L235) from Jaffey et al. (1971).
*Analysis number refers to the order in which the grains were analyzed. The grains are numbered (spot) according to the numbering on the whole-grain mount LA140919.
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