## Western University [Scholarship@Western](https://ir.lib.uwo.ca/)

[Digitized Theses](https://ir.lib.uwo.ca/digitizedtheses) [Digitized Special Collections](https://ir.lib.uwo.ca/disc) 

2011

## SPINOPHILIN REGULATION OF GROUP I METABOTROPIC **GLUTAMATE RECEPTOR ACTIVITY**

Sandra Fahim

Follow this and additional works at: [https://ir.lib.uwo.ca/digitizedtheses](https://ir.lib.uwo.ca/digitizedtheses?utm_source=ir.lib.uwo.ca%2Fdigitizedtheses%2F3527&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages) 

#### Recommended Citation

Fahim, Sandra, "SPINOPHILIN REGULATION OF GROUP I METABOTROPIC GLUTAMATE RECEPTOR ACTIVITY" (2011). Digitized Theses. 3527. [https://ir.lib.uwo.ca/digitizedtheses/3527](https://ir.lib.uwo.ca/digitizedtheses/3527?utm_source=ir.lib.uwo.ca%2Fdigitizedtheses%2F3527&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

This Thesis is brought to you for free and open access by the Digitized Special Collections at Scholarship@Western. It has been accepted for inclusion in Digitized Theses by an authorized administrator of Scholarship@Western. For more information, please contact [wlswadmin@uwo.ca.](mailto:wlswadmin@uwo.ca)

#### SPINOPHILIN REGULATION OF GROUP I METABOTROPIC GLUTAMATE RECEPTOR ACTIVITY

THE INIVIRALITY IN MISTER/LONTWING

(Spine title: Spinophilin Regulation of Group I mGluR Activity)

**CERTIFICATE OF EXAMINATION** 

(Thesis format: Monograph)

By

Sandra Fahim

Graduate Program in Pharmacology and Toxicology

/ .

A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science

The School of Graduate and Postdoctoral Studies The University of Western Ontario London, Ontario, Canada

Spinson Sin Riggillidan of George Diference expile Clussomic Riverine

©Sandra Fahim 2011

#### THE UNIVERSITY OF WESTERN ONTARIO

#### <span id="page-2-0"></span>SCHOOL OF GRADUATE AND POSTDOCTORAL STUDIES

#### **CERTIFICATE OF EXAMINATION**

#### **Supervisor**

Examiners

Dr. John DiGuglielmo

Dr. Michael Jackson

Dr. Stephen Sean Gilbert Ferguson

Supervisory Committee

Dr. Sean Cregan

Dr. Jane Rylett

Dr. Greg Kelly

Dr. Lina Dagnino

The thesis by

**Sandra Fahim**

entitled:

## **Spinophilin Regulation of Group I Metabotropic Glutamate Receptor Activity**

is accepted in partial fulfillment of the

requirements for the degree of Master of Science

Date  $\Box$ 

Chair of the Thesis Examination Board

#### <span id="page-3-0"></span>**ABSTRACT**

Group **I** metabotropic glutamate receptors (mGluRl and mGluR5) are activated by glutamate, the major excitatory neurotransmitter in the brain. mGluRl/5 stimulation causes the release of calcium from intracellular stores thereby activating calciumregulated downstream effector enzymes that produce long-lasting changes in synaptic activity that are implicated in memory, learning, and neurodegenerative diseases. Therefore, understanding the precise molecular mechanisms underlying mGluRl/5 desensitization is important in understanding the physiological response caused by these receptors. Recently, we have discovered a novel Group **I** mGluR-interacting protein, spinophilin. Spinophilin is a multifunctional protein that regulates the endocytosis of some GPCRs through its interaction with the receptors third intracellular loop domain. We find that spinophilin over-expression decreases agonist-stimulated internalization of mGluRla, does not affect mGluRla-dependent activation of phospholipase C leading to inositol phosphate formation, but results in attenuated ERK1/2 phosphorylation. Thus, this study provides evidence that spinophilin regulates the signalling and internalization of Group **I** mGluRs.

**Keywords:** metabotropic glutamate receptor, G protein-coupled receptor, spinophilin, internalization, extracellular signal-regulated kinase, signalling

iii

<span id="page-4-0"></span>

### **DEDICATION**

I would like to thank my family and friends for all of their love and support.

please. There is the money or limit one from the their money art.

#### <span id="page-5-0"></span>**ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

I would like to thank my supervisor, Stephen Ferguson for providing the opportunity for me to learn this research. I would like to thank him for all of his assistance in understanding and analyzing my experiments. I would also like to thank him for his assistance in editing this thesis and his guidance and support throughout my degree.

I would like to thank Fabiola Ribeiro, Christie Godin, Jessica Esseltine and Lianne Dale for sharing their extensive knowledge and teaching me new techniques throughout my research. I would also like to thank Lianne Dale for her assistance in confocal microscopy and co-immunoprecipitation experiments. I would like to thank Tamara Cregan for sharing her extensive knowledge and assistance in mouse dissections.

I would like to thank Henry Dunn, Ana Magalhaes, Stephanie Kulhawy, Christie Godin, and Jessica Esseltine for their scientific discussions and guidance through my experiments. I would like to thank Alison Cowley, Tamara Cregan, Lianne Dale, Henry Dunn, Jessica Esseltine, Christie Godin, Stephanie Kulhawy, Ana Magalhaes, and Fabiola Ribeiro for making the lab an enjoyable space to work in and for all of their support and encouragement.

The cDNA encoding for spinophilin was generously provided by Dr. Wang.

**v**

Finally, I would like to thank my family and friends for their support and encouragement. \

<span id="page-6-0"></span>

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**



## **LIST OF TABLES**

Table 1.1: Proteins that Interact with or Co-localize with Spinophilin 21

# **LIST OF FIGURES**



## **LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS**





## **CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION**

#### <span id="page-11-1"></span><span id="page-11-0"></span>**1.1 G-Protein Coupled Receptors**

Currently, 50% of pharmacotherapeutics are targeted towards G-protein coupled receptors (GPCRs) (Gesty-Palmer and Luttrell, 2011). GPCRs reside on the plasma membrane, where they play a role in a cell's ability to sense its local environment via interaction with different types of stimuli including photons, odorants, tastants, amino acids, saccharides, fatty acids, complex polypeptides and neurotransmitters (Vilardaga, 2010). GPCRs are seven transmembrane domain proteins that have an amino terminus that is exposed to the extracellular environment and a carboxyl terminus that is exposed to the intracellular environment. Extracellular signalling molecules are recognized by the extracellular domain which binds to these molecules inducing a change in receptor conformation that allows the initiation of an intracellular signalling cascade. The signalling cascade occurs as a consequence of the interaction of heterotrimeric guanine nucleotide binding proteins (G-proteins) with the GPCR carboxyl terminus and intracellular loops. GPCRs have been classified into three families; family A (e.g. rhodopsin like receptors), family B (e.g. secretin/glucagon receptors) and family C (e.g. metabotropic glutamate receptors). Metabotropic glutamate receptors (mGluRs) are unique from other GPCRs due to variation of sequence and structural homology (Dhami and Ferguson, 2006; Jacoby et al., 2006; Niswender and Conn, 2010).

#### <span id="page-12-0"></span>**1,2 Metabotropic Glutamate Receptors**

Glutamate is the major excitatory neurotransmitter in the brain, it is essential for many physiological processes, including integrative brain function and neuronal cell development (Bordi and Ugolini, 1999; Nakanishi, 1994). It has been found to interact with both ionotropic and metabotropic glutamate receptors (mGluRs). When ionotropic receptors including: N-methyl-D-aspartate receptors (NMDA), alpha-amino-3-hydroxy-5-methyl-4-isoxazolepropionic acid (AMPA) receptors, and kainite type cation channels, become stimulated by glutamate they produce a fast and short cellular response by increasing the amount of sodium and calcium ions in the cell (Nakanishi, 1994). When mGluRs become stimulated by glutamate they also cause an influx of calcium in the cell, and activate downstream effector enzymes that produce long-lasting changes in cellular activity (Figure 1.1) (Bordi and Ugolini, 1999; Ferraguti et al., 1999; Nakanishi, 1994; Niswender and Conn, 2010; Wang, J., et al., 2004; Wang, J., et al., 2007). There are eight types of mGluRs, which are divided into three subgroups based on sequence homology and G protein activation. Group I contains two subtypes mGluR1 and mGluR5, Group II contains mGluR2 and mGluR3 and Group III contains mGluR4, mGluR6, mGluR7, and mGluR8. Upon agonist stimulation, Group I mGluRs couple to the heterotrimeric  $Ga_{q/11}$  protein causing the activation of phospholipase C $\beta$ , while Groups II and III negatively regulate adenylyl cyclase via  $Ga_{i/0}$  (Bordi and Ugolini, 1999; Conn and Pin, 1997). Group I metabotropic receptors are of primary focus in this thesis, and will be discussed further in the introduction.



**Figure 1.1: Glutamate Signalling in the Synapse.** Glutamate interacts with both ionotropic receptors and mGluRs. When ionotropic receptors including: NMDA receptors, AMPA receptors, and kainite type cation channels, become stimulated by glutamate they produce a fast and short cellular response by increasing the amount of calcium in the cell. When mGluRs become stimulated by glutamate, the  $Ga<sub>0/11</sub>$  protein activates PLC, resulting in the formation of  $IP_3$  and DAG. The release of  $IP_3$  activates the IP<sub>3</sub> receptor on the ER, causing the release of  $Ca^{2+}$  from intracellular stores. The increase in  $Ca^{2+}$  and DAG causes the activation of PKC, allowing PKC to phosphorylate its respective substrates (Bordi and Ugolini, 1999; Conn and Pin, 1997; Nakanishi, 1994). Abbreviations: AMPA, alpha-amino-3-hydroxy-5-methyl-4-isoxazolepropionic acid;  $Ca^{2+}$ , calcium; DAG, diacylglycerol; ER, endoplasmic reticulum; IP<sub>3</sub>, inositol 1,4,5-triphosphate; mGluRs, metabotropic glutamate receptors; NMDA, N-methyl-Daspartate receptors; PKC, protein kinase C; PLC, phospholipase C.

#### <span id="page-14-0"></span>**1.2.1 Group I mGluR Structure and Distribution**

Group I mGluRs have a large N-terminal domain, seven  $\alpha$ -helical transmembrane domains that are separated by short intra- and extra-cellular loops and a carboxyl-terminal domain of variable length (Figure 1.2). These receptors interact with glutamate on the extracellular domain that contains two globular domains with a hinge region (Acher et al., 2011; Conn and Pin, 1997; Niswender and Conn, 2010). Group I mGluRs consist of two subtypes of receptors, mGluR 1 (with its splice variants a, b, c and d) and mGluR5 (with its splice variants a and b). The splice variants express carboxyl tails of variable length, causing them to interact with different protein complexes and ultimately function differently (Conn and Pin, 1997; Niswender and Conn, 2010). For example, mGluR la and mGluR5a have long carboxyl tails that are shown to interact with protein phosphatase  $1\gamma$ , Siah-1A, Homer and PDZ proteins, whereas mGluR<sub>1</sub>b lacks the amino acid motifs required for these protein interactions (Croci et al., 2003; Kammermeier and Ikeda, 2001; Kammermeier, 2008; Kitano et al., 2002; Niswender and Conn, 2010; Ronesi and Huber, 2008). Additionally, Group I mGluRs couple to G proteins primarily via their second intracellular loop domains as well as their carboxyl tails (Conn and Pin, 1997; Niswender and Conn, 2010).

In the central nervous system (CNS), Group I mGluRs are located in the hippocampus, cortex, thalamus and cerebellum (Byrnes et al., 2009). mGluR1 is highly expressed in the CA3 region and the dentate gyrus of the hippocampus and Purkinje



**Figure 1.2: Schematic of the Secondary Amino Acid Sequence of mGluRla.**

Illustrated is the glutamate binding domain, transmembrane topology, and the regions involved in agonist binding and G protein coupling (Dhami and Ferguson, 2006). The amino acid residues of the PDZ binding motif are also illustrated. Abbreviations: PDZ, PSD-95/Discs large/ZO-1 homology; TMD, transmembrane domain.

cells in the cerebellum, but it is also expressed in lower quantities in the olfactory bulb, amygdala, thalamus and basal ganglia (Martin et al., 1992; Shigemoto et al., 1992). Additionally, the splice variants mGluR<sub>la</sub> and mGluR<sub>lb</sub> are distributed differently, mGluR<sub>1</sub>b is enriched in the cortex and hippocampus, while both mGluR<sub>1</sub>a and mGluRlb are expressed in the olfactory bulb, thalamus and cerebellum (Ferraguti et al., 1998). Interestingly, mGluR5 is not as abundant as mGluRl in the cerebellum, although it is expressed in abundance in CA1 and CA3 pyramidal cells and granule cells in the olfactory bulb (Bordi and Ugolini, 1999; Romano et al., 1995). Additionally, mGluR5a is found to be abundant in young developing rat brain, and mGluR5b is predominant in adult rat brain (Romano et al., 1996). Group I mGluRs are also expressed in the skin, melanocytes, osteoblasts, heart cells and hepatocytes (Dhami and Ferguson, 2006). However, this thesis is only focused on the signalling and function of Group I mGluRs in the CNS. Group I mGluRs are found both in presynatpic and postsynaptic sites in the brain, although they are primarily located postsynaptically, where they play a large role in regulating neuronal activity (Bordi and Ugolini, 1999; Martin et al., 1992).

#### <span id="page-16-0"></span>**1.2.2 Signalling by Group 1 Metabotropic Glutamate Receptors**

The primary signalling cascade mediated by Group I mGluRs is through coupling to the heterotrimeric  $Ga_{q/11}$  proteins, which ultimately causes an increase of intracellular calcium and stimulation of second messenger dependent proteins that produce long lasting changes inside the cell (Dhami and Ferguson, 2006; Kawabata et al., 1998; Wang, J., et al., 2007). After mGluRl/5 agonist stimulation, the receptor changes its conformation allowing the exchange of GDP to GTP on the heterotrimeric

**6**

 $Ga<sub>q/11</sub>$  protein, this causes the disassociation of the  $Ga<sup>*</sup>GTP$  and G $\beta$ y proteins, allowing them to interact with and activate their respective effector enzymes (Ferguson, 2001; Nakanishi, 1994). The  $Ga_{q/11}$  proteins activate phospholipase C $\beta$ 1, which cleaves phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate ( $\text{PIP}_2$ ) from the plasma membrane resulting in the formation of inositol 1,4,5-triphosphate  $(\text{IP}_3)$  and diacylglycerol (DAG). The release of  $IP_3$  activates the  $IP_3$  receptor on the endoplasmic reticulum, causing the release of calcium  $(Ca^{+2})$  from intracellular stores. The increase in  $Ca^{+2}$  and DAG causes the activation of protein kinase C (PKC), allowing PKC to phosphorylate its respective substrates. The activation of mGluR1/5 causes an oscillatory production of DAG, IP<sub>3</sub>, and  $Ca^{+2}$ , which leads to oscillations in PKC activation (Dale et al., 2001; Dale et al., 2002; Kawabata et al., 1998). Interestingly, the frequency of oscillations differs between mGluR1 and mGluR5, which translate to different PKC oscillations (Dale et al., 2001; Kawabata et al., 1998). Several agonists have been characterized for Group I mGluRs, the most potent being quisqualate, followed by 3,5-dihydroxyphenylglycine glutamate (DHPG) which both primarily activate  $Ga_{0/11}$  proteins, but have also been shown to couple mGluR1/5 with  $Ga<sub>s</sub>$  and  $Ga<sub>i/o</sub>$  proteins, which leads to increased cAMP formation and the release of arachidonic acid (Aramori and Nakanishi, 1992; Bordi and Ugolini, 1999; Conn and Pin, 1997; Dale et al., 2002). Coupling to the heterotrimeric  $Ga<sub>q/11</sub>$  proteins also leads to the activation of effector proteins, such as protein kinase C (PKC), protein kinase D (PKD), proline-rich tyrosine kinase 2 (Pyk2), proto-oncogene tyrosine-protein kinase (Src),  $Ca^{2+}/c$ almodulin-dependent protein kinases II (CaMKII) and extracellular signal-regulated kinases (ERK). mGluRl/5 signalling also leads to the potentiation of voltage-dependent calcium channels and the inhibition of potassium

**7**

conduction (Dale et al., 2001; Dhami and Ferguson, 2006; Krueger et al., 2010; Lu et al., 1999; Nicodemo et al., 2010; Wang, J., et al., 2004).

#### <span id="page-18-0"></span>**I. 2.3 Activation of Mitogen-Activated Protein Kinases**

Agonist stimulation of Group I mGluRs also causes the stimulation of p44 and p42 mitogen-activated protein kinases (MAPK). Two proteins from the MAPK family have been found to be phosphorylated by mGluR1/5 stimulation, extracellular signalregulated kinase 1 (ERK1) and 2 (ERK2). The activation of these proteins allows them to transduce the activity of extracellular and intracellular signals into long lasting changes in the CNS by regulating cellular activities and gene transcription (Wang, J., et al., 2007). Studies have found that DHPG stimulation increases ERK phosphorylation in the spinal cord, hippocampus and glia (Gallagher et al., 2004; Karim et al., 2001; Peavy and Conn, 1998). Additionally, mGluR5 signalling causes ERK2 phosphorylation in astrocytes (Peavy et al., 2001). The activation of MAPK can occur in many ways, some studies have found that it is primarily through a calcium independent route, our group has found that Pyk2 is required for ERK 1/2 phosphorylation in cortical neurons, while others have found that PKC and Homer proteins also contribute to ERK 1/2 phosphorylation (Ferraguti et al., 1999; Mao et al., 2005; Nicodemo et al., 2010; Wang, J., et al., 2007). Additionally, other studies have found that internalization of mGluR1 by  $\beta$ -arrestin causes a direct activation of MAPK, while others have shown that ERK phosphorylation can occur by the  $G\beta\gamma$  subunits and Src tyrosine kinases (Emery et al., 2010; Iacovelli et al., 2003; Luttrell et al., 1997; Wang, J., et al., 2007). Consequently, it is clear that Group I mGluRs activate MAPKs via multiple molecular pathways, thereby

connecting extracellular and intracellular signals to genomic responses that are required for long lasting changes in neuronal plasticity (Ferraguti et al., 1999).

#### <span id="page-19-0"></span>**1.2.4 Role of Group I Metabotropic Glutamate Receptors**

When metabotropic glutamate receptors become stimulated by an agonist, such as glutamate they produce signals that cause long-lasting changes in the cell (Dhami and Ferguson, 2006; Gallagher et al., 2004; Kawabata et al., 1998). As a consequence, Group I mGluRs are involved in the two classical forms of synaptic plasticity, long-term depression (LTD) and long-term potentiation (LTP) (van Dam et al., 2004). LTD induced by glutamate signalling involves a quick cellular response by NMDA receptors while long-term maintenance is mediated by mGluR1/5 (Bashir et al., 1993; Clement et al., 2009; van Dam et al., 2004). Studies have found that mice lacking mGluRl experience a severe motor deficit due to loss of LTD induction in the cerebellum. Additionally, these mice exhibited severe learning impairments, with an impaired mossy fibre LTP (Conquet et al., 1994). Similarly, exposure to an mGluRl antagonist (S)-(+) alpha-amino-4-carboxy-2-methylbezeneacetic acid (LY 367385) impairs corticostriatal LTD (Gubellini et al., 2001). Blockade of either mGluR1 or mGluR5 has also prevented LTP induction in hippocampal slices (Le Duigou and Kullmann, 2011).

Due to the effect Group I mGluRs have on synaptic plasticity, they have been implicated in changes in synaptic activity that play a role in memory, learning, and neurodegenerative diseases including; Huntington's disease, amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS), and Alzheimer's disease (Bordi and Ugolini, 1999; Byrnes et al., 2009; Ribeiro et al., 2011; Riedel, 1996). Additionally, mGluRl/5 receptors are implicated in

excitotoxicity caused by glutamate over-stimulation, which leads to neuronal cell death and ischemia (Calabresi et al., 1999). However, the exact role Group I mGluRs play in neuroprotection or neurodegeneration remain unclear (Pshenichkin et al., 2008). mGluR5 has been shown to protect against excitotoxcity leading to cell death in cerebellular neurons by decreasing NMDA-induced activation of nitric oxide synthase (Llansola and Felipo, 2010). However, other studies have found that mGluR $1/5$ enhanced NMDA receptor mediated neuronal toxicity in cortical cells (Bruno et al., 1995). Due to the effects Group I mGluRs have on synaptic plasticity, potential therapeutics for neurological diseases are targeted towards these receptors. For example, mGluRl antagonists (RS)-l-aminoindan-l,5-dicarboxylic acid (AIDA), 7- (hydroxyimino)cyclopropa[b]chromen-la-carboxylate ethyl ester (CPCCOEt), and LY367385 have been shown to reduce neuronal cell death in cortical cultures (Faden et al., 2001). Similarly, mGluR5 antagonists 2-methyl-6-(phenylethynyl)-pyridine (MPEP) and SIB-1893 have also been shown to reduce neuronal cell death in response to NMDA exposure (O'Leary et al., 2000). However, the precise mechanisms that contribute to the neuroprotective or neurotoxic effects of Group I Metabotropic Glutamate Receptors still need to be defined.

#### <span id="page-20-0"></span>**1.2,5 The Regulation of G-protein Signalling**

The signalling of Group I mGluRs is regulated at many levels under physiological and pathological conditions, for example G-protein signalling is controlled by Regulators of G-protein Signalling (RGS) proteins. RGS proteins terminate Gprotein signalling by acting as GTPase-activating proteins (GAPs) that accelerate Ga-

GTP hydrolysis. The RGS proteins are divided into four groups based on sequence homology, R4, R7, R12 and RZ. The R4 group contains RGS 1-5, 8, 13, 16, 18, and 21, these proteins are able to function as GAP proteins and interact with other regulatory proteins, including the third intracellular loop of GPCRs (Magalhaes et al., 2011). RGS2 and RGS4 interact with  $Ga<sub>o/11</sub>$  proteins and therefore regulate mGluR1/5 signalling. Studies have found RGS4 to block  $Ga_{q/11}$  activation of phospholipase C $\beta$  and mGluR5a inhibition of potassium currents in hippocampal neurons (Hepler et al., 1997; Saugstad et al., 1998). Similarly, RGS2 has been shown interact with  $Ga_{q/11}$ -GTP and decrease mGluRla inhibition of potassium currents (Kammermeier and Ikeda, 1999). The regulation of Group I mGluR signalling, trafficking and distribution is extremely complex and involves many proteins, it is important to understand these mechanisms in order to determine the role mGluR 1/5 play in the CNS.

#### <span id="page-21-0"></span>**1.3 GPCR Desensitization and Endocvtosis**

GPCR signalling begins a feedback mechanism causing receptor desensitization and endocytosis which protects against both acute and chronic receptor stimulation (Ferguson, 2007). The classical model of desensitization involves uncoupling of the GPCR and the G-proteins by receptor phosphorylation, this is mediated by secondmessenger protein kinases or G protein-coupled receptor kinases (GRKs). Second messenger protein kinases, protein kinase C (PKC) or protein kinase A (PKA), can phosphorylate both receptors exposed to agonist and non-agonist activated receptors, which prevents subsequent activation. However, GRKs only phosphorylate the serine and threonine resides in the third intracellular loop and carboxyl-terminal domains of

agonist activated receptors (Ferguson, 2007). Upon GRK interaction, the receptor is then stabilized in a conformation state that promotes the interaction of arrestins. Arrestins preferentially bind to agonist activated and GRK-phosphorylated GPCRs. Upon arrestin interaction, coupling to the G-protein is diminished and endocytosis begins by targeting clathrin-coated vesicles to the receptor (Dale et al., 2001; Dale et al., 2002; Ferguson et al., 1996; Ferguson, 2001; Ferguson, 2007). Upon endocytosis by clathrin-coated vesicles, receptors can undergo resensitization by undergoing dephosphorylation in endosomes and are subsequently recycled back to the cell surface. Receptors may also be targeted for degradation in lysosomes which causes a down regulation of receptor signalling (Figure 1.3) (Ferguson, 2001). However, metabotropic glutamate receptors are unique from other GPCRs, in view of the fact that they undergo both phosphorylation-dependent and -independent desensitization (Dhami and Ferguson, 2006).

#### <span id="page-22-0"></span>**1.3.1 Desensitization of Group I Metabotropic Glutamate Receptors**

Group I mGluRs undergo various feedback mechanisms that protect against over stimulation and cell death. Internalization can occur by multiple mechanisms depending on the initiation of internalization and the splice variant (Dhami et al., 2002). Additionally, signal attenuation can be mediated by a variety of second messengerdependent proteins including: PKC, calmodulin kinase **II,** GRKs, and optineurin (Anborgh et al., 2005; Catania et al., 1991; Dale et al., 2000; Mundell et al., 2003).  $mGluR1/5$  desensitization is attenuated when PKC is inhibited, indicating an important

s



**Figure 1.3: Schematic of GPCR Desensitization and Resensitization.** Upon GRK phosphorylation, the receptor is then stabilized in a conformation state that promotes the interaction of  $\beta$ -arrestin. Endocytosis begins by targeting clathrin-coated vesicles to the receptor, where receptors can undergo resensitization by undergoing dephosphorylation in endosomes and are subsequently recycled back to the cell surface. Receptors may also be targeted for degradation in lysosomes which causes a down regulation of receptor signalling (Dhami and Ferguson, 2006). Abbreviations: βarr, β-arrestin; E, effector enzyme; G, heterotrimeric G protein; GRK, G protein-coupled receptor kinase; GRP, G protein-coupled receptor phosphatase; H, hormone; P, phosphate group.

role for PKC in mGluR desensitization. Interestingly, PKC appears to directly phosphorylate mGluR 1 splice variants la and lc only (Dhami and Ferguson, 2006; Mundell et al., 2003).

A number of GRKs have been found to mediate desensitization of mGluR 1/5, including: GRK2, GRK4, GRK5 and GRK6 (Dale et al., 2000; Dhami and Ferguson, 2006). Studies have found that GRKs cause a reduction in mGluR 1/5 signalling and block mGluR la-stimulated apoptosis in HEK 293 cells (Dale et al., 2000). Interestingly, GRK2 can also cause desensitization of mGluR 1/5 through a phosphorylationindependent mechanism. A study found that the truncation of the mGluR1a carboxyl tail prevents receptor phosphorylation but not desensitization by GRK2 (Dhami et al., 2002). Similarly, the splice variant mGluR lb, which contains a shorter C-tail than mGluR la, is not phosphorylated by GRK2 but still undergoes GRK2 mediated desensitization (Dhami et al., 2002). Phosphorylation-independent desensitization occurs by GRK2 interaction on the second intracellular loop and C-tail of mGluR la, but only requires an interaction with the second intracellular loop of mGluR lb (Dhami et al., 2005).

GRK2 has an amino-terminal RGS homology (RH) domain, a central catalytic domain and a carboxyl-terminal  $G\beta\gamma$  binding pleckstrin homology domain, allowing it to interact with the receptor,  $Ga_{q/11}$ , and  $G\beta\gamma$  simultaneously, and thereby preventing subsequent signalling cascades (Figure 1.4) (Ferguson, 2007). Furthermore, expression of only the N-terminal domain of GRK2 has been shown to attenuate both constitutive and agonist-dependent mGluR la and mGluR lb signalling (Dhami et al., 2002). The GRK2 RH domain inhibits the signalling cascades induced by the  $Ga_{q/11}$  protein by



**Figure 1.4: A Schematic Representation of GRK2 Mediated Desensitization of Group I Metabotropic Glutamate Receptors.** (1) The inactive receptor with the Ga-GDP protein bound. (2) The active receptor bound with the  $Ga$ -GTP protein, which causes the G-protein to disassociate allowing GRK2 to interact with the receptor, the Ga-GTP protein through the GRK2-RH domain, and the G $\beta\gamma$  subunit. (3) GRK interaction maintains the receptor in an inactivated state, preventing the  $Ga$ -GDP protein to interact with the receptor. Abbreviations: GRK, G protein-coupled receptor kinases; PLC $\beta$ , phospholipase C $\beta$ ; RGS, Regulators of G-protein signalling (Ferguson, 2007).

interacting with it in an  $AIF_4^-$ - dependent matter and also functions as a weak GTPaseactivating protein (Carman et al., 1999; Dhami et al., 2002; Ferguson, 2007). Phosphorylation-independent desensitization is a unique feature of Group I mGluRs, thus providing a complex system in which mGluRl/5 signalling is regulated. In addition to phosphorylation-independent desensitization, this GPCR family undergoes another unique form of desensitization, constitutive internalization.

#### <span id="page-26-0"></span>**1.3.2 Constitutive Internalization**

mGluR1/5 constitutive internalization is agonist and phosphorylation independent, although the initiation and mediation of endocytosis remains unclear. Recently, studies have found that the constitutive internalization of mGluR1a is  $\beta$ arrestin- and clathrin-dependent (Pula et al., 2004), although previous studies have demonstrated that mGluRla constitutive internalization is mediated by clathrin-coated vesicles but is  $\beta$ -arrestin and dynamin independent (Figure 1.5) (Dale et al., 2001). Similarly, mGluR5a agonist-independent internalization also remains unknown, studies have found that mGluR5a and mGluR1a both co-localize with clathrin during agonistindependent internalization (Bhattacharya et al., 2004; Dale et al., 2001), yet it has been suggested that mGluR5 constitutive internalization is not mediated by clathrin-coated pits (Fourgeaud et al., 2003). Work from our laboratory has shown that mGluRl/5 constitutive internalization is  $\beta$ -arrestin-independent and is mediated by the association with Ral (small GTP binding protein) and phospholipase D2 (PLD2). More specifically, mGluR 1/5 has been shown to scaffold a protein complex containing Ral, Ral guanine nucleotide exchange factor (RalGDS) and PLD2, and this complex appears to be a

16



**Figure 1.5: Schematic Representation of Agonist-stimulated and Constitutive Endocytosis of mGluRla.** 1) Group I mGluRs undergo the classical model of desensitization that involves receptor phosphorylation, and subsequent  $\beta$ -arrestin interaction. Upon  $\beta$ -arrestin interaction, endocytosis begins by clathrin-coated vesicles targeted to the receptor. 2) Group I mGluR constitutive endocytosis is agonist and phosphorylation independent, although the initiation and mediation of endocytosis remains unclear. Studies have found that the constitutive internalization of mGluR la is mediated by clathrin-coated vesicles but is  $\beta$ -arrestin and dynamin independent. Abbreviations: βarr, β-arrestin; E, effector enzyme; G, heterotrimeric G protein; GRK, G protein-coupled receptor kinase; GRP, G protein-coupled receptor phosphatase.

necessary component for endocytosis in primary cortical neurons and human embryonic kidney (HEK 293) cells (Bhattacharya et al., 2004). Nevertheless, mGluRl/5 undergoes multiple forms of desensitization and it is important to understand them to fully determine the role Group I mGluRs play in physiological and pathological conditions.

Group I mGluRs are unique from other GPCRs in their structure, signalling and trafficking. These receptors play an important role in neuroprotection and neurotoxicity, although the precise mechanism is still yet to be defined (Pshenichkin et al., 2008). Additionally, interacting protein partners have been shown to alter the signalling and may alter the physiological effects induced by Group I mGluRs (Croci et al., 2003; Kammermeier and Ikeda, 2001; Kammermeier, 2008; Kitano et al., 2002; Ronesi and Huber, 2008). A novel protein, spinophilin has recently been identified to interact with Group I mGluRs and this novel protein may regulate the signalling and trafficking of these receptors.

#### <span id="page-28-0"></span>**1.4 Spinophilin**

Spinophilin is a scaffolding protein with multiple domains that is used to bring protein partners into close proximity to respective substrates. Spinophilin was first discovered in the heads dendritic spines and found to interact with protein phosphatase  $1(PP1)$   $\alpha$  and  $\gamma$ , thus it was called spinophilin (Allen et al., 1997). At the same time, another group discovered the same protein to interact with actin filament (F-actin) with similar organization to neurabin, thus it was named neurabin-II, which is now also called spinophilin (Satoh et al., 1998). Spinophilin is closely related to and interacts with its homologue neurabin, having 51% identical amino acids and 74% functional similarities

(Sarrouilhe et al., 2006). In addition to an actin-binding domain and a protein phosphatase 1 binding and regulatory domain, spinophilin also has a PSD-95/Discs large/ZO-1 homology (PDZ) domain, a receptor-interacting domain, three putative Src homology 3 domains, a potential leucine/isoleucine zipper motif and three coiled-coil domains (Figure 1.6) (Sarrouilhe et al., 2006).

Spinophilin has been referred to as a scaffolding protein due to its many binding domains, which allow it to mediate protein-protein interactions used for regulating neuronal cell signalling. Recently, spinophilin has been found to interact with two subfamilies of GPCRs; the dopamine receptors and the  $\alpha$ -adrenergic receptors (Brady et al., 2003; Sarrouilhe et al., 2006; Smith et al., 1999). Furthermore, spinophilin has been found to scaffold other protein partners into close proximity to these receptors such as RGS proteins 1, 2, 4 and 16 (Sarrouilhe et al., 2006; Wang et al., 2005). It is clear that spinophilin has potential to alter cell signalling by mediating protein-protein interactions, which demonstrates spinophilin's important role in synaptic plasticity (Table 1.1).

#### <span id="page-29-0"></span>**1.4.1 Spinophilin and Dendritic Spines**

Dendritic spines are protrusions from the dendritic shaft that receive the majority of excitatory signals in the central nervous system. Changes in number, size and shape are associated with learning, development and changes in synaptic behaviour and activity (Feng et al., 2000). Spinophilin plays an important role in organization and intracellular transport in spine remodelling and morphology by stabilizing and bundling F-actin (Hsieh-Wilson et al., 2003; Satoh et al., 1998). Studies have found that



**Figure 1.6: A Schematic Representation of the Binding Domains of Full Length Spinophilin.** Spinophilin is scaffolding protein that is able to mediate a variety of protein-protein interactions. It is able to interact with F-actin through the actin binding domain, GPCRs through the receptor interacting domain, and PDZ containing proteins through the PDZ domain. Additionally, spinophilin interacts with and regulates protein phosphatase 1 (PP1) through the PP1 binding site. Abbreviations: ABD, actin binding domain; PP1BS, protein phosphatase 1 binding site; PDZ, PSD-95/Discs large/ZO-1 homology domain (Wang et al., 2005).

## **Table 1.1: Proteins that Interact with or Co-localize with Spinophilin.** Summary of

proteins that interact with or co-localize with spinophilin and the respective interacting

domain on spinophilin. Adapted from (Sarrouilhe et al., 2006).



Abbreviations: ABD, actin binding domain; DCAMKL1, doublecortin and

calcium/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase-like 1; GRF, guanine nucleotide exchange

factor; Lfc, Lbc [lymphoid blast crisis]'s first cousin; LIZ, leucine/isoleucine zipper;

PDZ, postsynaptic density-95/discs large/zona occludens-1 motif; PP1, protein

phosphatase 1; RGS, regulator of G-protein signalling; RYR, ryanodine receptor;

TGN38, *trans-G*olgi network protein 38; TRP, the transient receptor potential.

spinophilin deficient mice display an increase in spine density during development *in vivo* and *in vitro.* Furthermore, spinophilin knockout mice display more protrusions from the dendritic shafts but the same number of nerve terminals as wild type mice (Feng et al., 2000). This indicates that spinophilin may regulate spine retraction or decrease initial outgrowth of spines from the dendrite. Nevertheless, it is clear that spinophilin plays an important role in the central nervous system by regulating spine morphology and development.

#### <span id="page-32-0"></span>**1.4.2 Distribution of Spinophilin**

Spinophilin is a ubiquitously expressed protein found in the spleen, lung, kidney, and testis, but it is primarily expressed in the CNS. Spinophilin is primarily found in the hippocampus, specifically the stratum oriens, stratum lacunosum- moleculare and hilus (Allen et al., 1997; Sarrouilhe et al., 2006). Interestingly, the phosphorylation state of spinophilin greatly affects its distribution in the CNS. Spinophilin has phosphorylation sites for many kinases, including mitogen-activated protein kinase ERK2, CaMKII, PKC and PKA. The consensus phosphorylation sites for these proteins are within spinophilin's actin binding domain, causing spinophilin to disassociate from actin upon phosphorylation (Baucum et al., 2010; Feng et al., 2000; Hsieh-Wilson et al., 2003). In rat spinophilin there are seven phosphorylation sites in the actin-binding domain; Ser15 (ERK-site), Ser17 (cyclin-dependent kinase 5 site), Ser94 [cAMPdependent protein kinase (PKA-site)], Seri00 (CaMKII-site), Seri 16 (CaMKII-site), Ser177 (PKA-site) and Ser205 (ERK-site) (Uematsu et al., 2005). Upon phosphorylation in the actin binding domain, spinophilin disassociates from actin and

relocates so that it is no longer distributed in the dendritic spines (Grossman et al., 2002). Upon CaMKII phosphorylation on Ser-100, spinophilin becomes enriched at the synaptic plasma membrane but not in the post synaptic density (PSD) (Grossman et al., 2004). Similarly, upon PKA phosphorylation on Ser-177, spinophilin relocates from the PSD and becomes enriched in the cytosol (Hsieh-Wilson et al., 2003). Furthermore, studies have found that spinophilin regulates GPCRs in a dephosphorylated state. For example, PKA phosphorylation decreases the interaction between spinophilin and both the  $\alpha_2$ -adrenergic receptor and F-actin (Xu et al., 2008). Interestingly, PKA phosphorylation does not disrupt the interaction between spinophilin and protein phosphatase 1 (PP1), which may provide a mechanism in which the spinophilin-PPl complex relocates to regulate other protein partners (Hsieh-Wilson et al., 2003; Uematsu et al., 2005).

#### <span id="page-33-0"></span>**1.4.3 Spinophilin and Protein Phosphatase 1**

Protein phosphatase 1 is a serine/threonine phosphatase that is also located in the dendritic spines, where it is involved in the regulation of hippocampal LTD and LTP (Hsieh-Wilson et al., 1999; Morishita et al., 2001). Spinophilin has been shown to anchor PP1 and bring it to close proximity to interacting proteins, causing a wide array of secondary interactions (Ragusa et al., 2011). Three isoforms of PP1, PP1 $\alpha$ , PP1 $\beta$  and  $PP1y1$ , interact with spinophilin on amino acid residues 417 to 494 (Allen et al., 1997; Sarrouilhe et al., 2006). Spinophilin preferentially binds to the PP1 $\gamma$  subunit, which upon interaction suppresses the phosphorylase phosphatase activity and thereby inactivates PP1. Interestingly, PP1 also dephosphorylates spinophilin which regulates

the function and distribution of spinophilin (Sarrouilhe et al., 2006; Uematsu et al., 2005). Studies have found that spinophilin targets PP1 to respective substrates and allows PP1 to dephosphorylate those proteins, indicating that spinophilin and PP1 interact transiently to allow the reactivation of PP1 (Hsieh-Wilson et al., 1999). For example, spinophilin forms a complex with PP1, allowing it to interact and dephosphorylate proteins and receptors including: actin, ionotropic glutamate receptors, and dopamine receptors (Hsieh-Wilson et al., 1999; Ragusa et al., 2010).

#### <span id="page-34-0"></span>**1.4.4 Spinophilin and Glutamate Receptors**

Spinophilin has been shown to regulate synaptic transmission by targeting PP1 to ionotropic glutamate receptors including: N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor (NMDA) and alpha-amino-3-hydroxy-5-methyl-4-isoxazolepropionic acid (AMPA) receptors. Spinophilin mediates the dephosphorylation of the AMPA and NMDA receptors by anchoring PP1 to the postsynaptic densities (PSD) where the receptors are found, this keeps the receptors in a low activity state (Feng et al., 2000; Yan et al., 1999). Studies have found that the regulation of PP1 on NMDA receptors is diminished in spinophilin knockouts (Feng et al., 2000), indicating that spinophilin is responsible for the enrichment of PP1 in the PSD and contributes to the regulation of ionotropic glutamate receptors.

#### <span id="page-34-1"></span>**1.4.5 Spinophilin and the**  $\alpha_2$ **-Adrenergic Receptors**

The  $\alpha_2$ -adrenergic receptors ( $\alpha_2AR$ ) are a family of GPCRs that are coupled to the heterotrimeric  $Ga_{i/0}$  proteins, which upon agonist stimulation cause the inhibition of adenylyl cyclase and voltage gated calcium currents. Physiologically,  $\alpha_2AR$  stimulation results in lower blood pressure and a sedative, hypnotic effect (Lu et al., 2010). Unlike Group I mGluRs, the  $\alpha_2AR$  only internalizes by receptor phosphorylation and subsequent  $\beta$ -arrestin interaction with the third intracellular loop. Therefore, interactions that occur on the third intracellular loop are important for cell surface retention or internalization (Wang and Limbird, 2002). Recently, studies have found spinophilin to interact with all three subtypes of the  $\alpha_2$ -adrenergic receptors ( $\alpha_2$ AR,  $\alpha_{2B}AR$ ,  $\alpha_{2C}AR$ ) on the third intracellular loop (Brady et al., 2003; Sarrouilhe et al., 2006). Upon  $\alpha_2$ AR agonist stimulation, the G $\alpha_{i/0}$  proteins disassociate and the G $\beta\gamma$ proteins become activated, spinophilin is then recruited to the plasma membrane where it recognizes the  $\alpha_2AR - G\beta\gamma$  complex and interacts with the receptor (Brady et al., 2005; Wang, Q., et al., 2004). Spinophilin competes against GRK2 for the third intracellular loop, preventing receptor phosphorylation and subsequent  $\beta$ -arrestin interactions. Therefore, spinophilin causes the  $\alpha_2AR$  to remain on the plasma membrane, which decreases receptor recycling, and the rate of receptor resensitization (Figure 1.7). In addition to preventing internalization, spinophilin also decreases the acceleration of the MAPK pathway initiated by  $\beta$ -arrestin-induced endocytosis (Wang, Q., et al., 2004).

Interestingly, Lu *et al.* (2010) found that spinophilin knockout mice display an increased hypotensive, sedative, and hypnotic effect in response to  $\alpha_2 AR$  stimulation. These results suggest that deletion of spinophilin may cause an enhanced  $\alpha_2AR-G$ protein coupling that causes the physiological effects observed. Furthermore, Lu *et al.* (2010) found that spinophilin and arrestin dynamically regulate  $\alpha_2 AR$  phosphorylation,


**Figure 1.7: Proposed Model of a-adrenergic Receptor Interactions with G-proteins, Spinophilin, GRK and Arrestin.** Spinophilin competes against GRK for the third intracellular loop of the agonist activated  $\alpha$ -adrenergic receptor. If spinophilin interacts with the receptor first, it prevents receptor phosphorylation by GRK and subsequent  $\beta$ arrestin interaction, thereby decreasing receptor internalization and signal desensitization (Wang, Q., et al., 2004). Abbreviations: βarr, β-arrestin; GRK, G protein-coupled receptor kinase.

internalization, and signalling. They observed that mice lacking arrestin are more resistant to sedation, while mice lacking spinophilin are more sensitive to sedation. This indicates that spinophilin and B-arrestin dynamically regulate the  $\alpha_2AR$  under normal physiological conditions. Thus, it is clear spinophilin plays an important role in regulating the internalization and signalling cascades induced by the  $\alpha_2$ -adrenergic receptors. Furthermore, spinophilin has been found to interact and regulate another subfamily of the  $\alpha$ -adrenergic receptors, the  $\alpha_{1B}$ -adrenergic receptor.

### **1.4.6 Spinophilin and the**  $\alpha_1$ **-Adrenergic Receptors**

The  $\alpha_{1B}$ -adrenergic receptor ( $\alpha_{1B}AR$ ) is coupled to the heterotrimeric  $G\alpha_{0/11}$ protein, which upon agonist stimulation activates phospholipase C resulting in the formation of  $IP_3$  and DAG, thereby causing the subsequent release of calcium from intracellular stores. Recently, Wang *et ai* (2005) found that spinophilin interacts with the third intracellular loop of the  $\alpha_{1B}AR$ , and regulates  $Ga_{q/11}$  signalling by recruiting RSG2 to the plasma membrane. As mentioned earlier, the  $Ga<sub>q/11</sub>$  protein is regulated by RGS2 which is a  $Ga<sub>q/11</sub>$  specific GTPase (Chen et al., 1997; Kammermeier and Ikeda, 1999). Spinophilin interacts with the  $\alpha_{1B}AR$  via the receptor interacting domain, tethers the  $\alpha_{IB}AR$  to the cell surface via its coiled-coil domains and regulates the G $\alpha_q$  signalling by interacting to the N-terminus of RGS2. Studies have found that the co-expression of spinophilin with the  $\alpha_{\text{IB}}AR$  increases the maximum calcium current induced by receptor stimulation but decreased the rate of activation and spontaneously inactivated signalling with continuous stimulation. Additionally, spinophilin was found to be less effective in regulating calcium currents in RGS2 deficient cells and RGS2 was less effective in

inhibiting calcium currents in spinophilin knockout mice (Wang et al.,  $2005$ ; Wang, X., et ah, 2007). This indicates that spinophilin plays an important role in regulating the signalling and trafficking of the  $\alpha_{IB}$ -adrenergic receptor, and provides a new mechanism in which RGS2 regulates GPCRs.

### **1.4.7 Spinophilin and the Dopamine Receptors**

There are five types of dopamine receptors and they are classified in two groups based on the structure, function and pharmacology. Dl-like receptors (D1 and D5) are coupled to heterotrimeric  $Ga<sub>s</sub>$  proteins and have a short third cytoplasmic loop and long a carboxyl-terminal tails. While, D2-like receptors (D2, D3 and D4) couple to heterotrimeric G  $_{i/0}$  proteins and have a large third cytoplasmic loop and short carboxylterminal tails. Spinophilin has been found to interact to the third intracellular loop of the D2 receptor in a yeast two hybrid assay and a GST pull down (Smith et al., 1999; Wang, X., et al., 2007). However, very little research has been done to determine the relevance of this interaction. One study found that chronic antipsychotic treatment targeted to D2 receptors significantly increased expression of spinophilin (Kabbani and Levenson, 2006), while another study found that D2 stimulation decreased the basal level of phosphorylated-Ser94 spinophilin (Uematsu et al., 2005). Similarly, agonist stimulation of D1 receptors causes signalling cascades that alter spinophilin function. Studies found that agonist stimulation of D1 receptors increases PKA phosphorylation of Ser-94 spinophilin (Uematsu et al., 2005). Moreover, spinophilin knockout mice display a diminished ability to phosphorylate AMPA receptors in response to D1 receptor

activation (Allen et al., 2006). Thus, it is clear that spinophilin plays an important role in dopamine signalling observed in physiological and pathological conditions.

### **1,4.8 Role of Spinophilin**

Spinophilin is required for LTD, the regulation of receptors and channels and the formation of dendritic spines (Sarrouilhe et al., 2006). Spinophilin knockout mice have a small reduction in body weight, a reduced hippocampus and exhibit reduced LTD and neuronal apoptosis (Feng et al., 2000). As a consequence, spinophilin has been linked to many neurodegenerative diseases, including Alzheimer's disease (AD) and Parkinson's disease. In disease states such as AD, there is a deficit in higher order cognitive processes, with a primary dysfunction in spines causing synaptic loss. A dysfunction in spines can be attributed to changes in spine morphology, receptor distribution, and changes in synaptic signalling; furthermore, these mechanisms have been shown to be regulated by spinophilin (Feng et al., 2000; Hsieh-Wilson et al., 2003; Sarrouilhe et al., 2006; Satoh et al., 1998). Similarly, Parkinson's disease results in dopamine depletion, which causes morphological alterations in striatal medium spiny neurons and impairment of synaptic plasticity. Furthermore, studies have found that chronic striatal dopamine depletion decreases PPly activity by increasing interaction with spinophilin (Brown et al., 2008).

## **1.5 Goals and Significance of Research**

As previously addressed, many studies have demonstrated that spinophilin has the ability to interact with and regulate receptors found in the central nervous system (Sarrouilhe et al., 2006). Studies have found that spinophilin interaction alters the

signalling and trafficking of  $\alpha$ -adrenergic receptors (Brady et al., 2005; Lu et al., 2010; Wang et al., 2005). Similarly, spinophilin has been found to regulate ionotropic glutamate receptors by mediating dephosphorylation through protein phosphatase 1 recruitment (Feng et al., 2000; Yan et al., 1999). Recently, our lab found spinophilin using a tandem affinity purification proteomic screen to interact with the carboxyl tail of mGluRla and mGluR5a. Furthermore, studies have revealed that the regulation of Group I mGluR signalling is a dynamic process that involves a variety of proteins that scaffold to the carboxyl-tail of mGluR 1/5 receptors (Kammermeier and Ikeda, 2001; Kammermeier, 2008; Kitano et al., 2002; Ronesi and Huber, 2008). Interestingly, there has yet to be an experiment that investigates whether spinophilin interacts with Group I mGluRs and if this interaction regulates mGluR 1/5 signalling. Therefore, my thesis will test the hypothesis that spinophilin associates with Group I mGluRs and this interaction regulates the signalling and trafficking of these receptors.

Group I mGluR signalling has been implicated in a number of neurodegenerative **\** diseases including; Huntington's disease, ALS and Alzheimer's disease (Bordi and Ugolini, 1999; Byrnes et al., 2009; Ribeiro et al., 2011; Wang, J., et al., 2004). However, the exact role mGluRs play in neuroprotection or neurodegeneration remain unclear (Pshenichkin et al., 2008). Thus, a clear understanding of how Group I mGluR interacting proteins regulate receptor signalling is required for the development of novel therapeutics targeted towards neurodegenerative diseases associated with mGluR 1/5 signalling.

# **CHAPTER 2 MATERIALS AND METHODS**

### **2.1 Materials**

Human embryonic kidney (HEK293) cells were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (Manassas, VA, USA). Tissue culture reagents, Alexa Fluor 555, Alexa Fluor 633 antibody labelling reagents, and GFP antibody were purchased from Invitrogen (Burlington, ON, Canada). Quisqualate was purchased from Tocris Cookson Inc. (Ellisville, MO, USA). EZ-Link Sulfo-NHS-SS-Biotin and immobilized NeutrAvidin beads were from Pierce Biotechnology. The rabbit anti-mGluR1 and – mGluR5 antibodies are from Milipore.  $[m\gamma\sigma^3H]$ Inositol was acquired from PerkinElmer Life (Waltham, MA). Dowex 1-X8 (formate form) resin 200 to 400 mesh, DC protein assay kit, horseradish peroxidase-conjugated anti-rabbit, and anti-goat IgG secondary antibodies were from Bio-Rad Laboratories (Mississauga, ON, Canada). Goat antispinophilin antibody was purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology (Santa Cruz, CA). The protein G-Sepharose beads and chemiluminescence Western blotting detection reagents were purchased from GE Healthcare (Oakville, ON, Canada). The spinophilin cDNA clone was a kind gift from Dr. Wang (University of Alabama). Rabbit polyclonal phospho-p42/44 MAP kinase (Thr202/Tyr402) and p42/44 MAP kinase were obtained from Cell Signaling Technology (Pickering, ON, Canada). The rabbit anti-FLAG antibody and all other biochemical reagents were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO).

#### **2.2 Cell Culture**

HEK 293 cells were maintained in Eagle's minimal essential medium supplemented with  $10\%$  (v/v) heat inactivated fetal bovine serum (Invitrogen, Burlington, ON, Canada) and 50  $\mu$ g/ml gentamicin. Cells seeded in 100-mm dishes were transfected using a modified calcium phosphate method with cDNA as indicated in the *Figure Legends.* After transfection (18 h), cells were washed with phosphate buffered saline (PBS), pooled and reseeded on appropriate dishes.

Primary neuronal cultures were prepared from the hippocampus of embryonic day 18 CD1 mouse embryos. Cells were plated on 15 mm glass coverslips coated with poly-L-omithine (Invitrogen) in Neurobasal media with B-27 (Gibco) and N2 (Gibco) supplements,  $2 \text{ mM}$  glutamax,  $50 \text{ µg/ml}$  penicillin, and  $50 \text{ mg/ml}$  streptomycin for  $5 \text{ h}$  at  $37^{\circ}$ C and  $5\%$  CO<sub>2</sub> in a humidified incubator to permit cell attachment. Neurobasal medium was subsequently replaced with culture medium that was replenished every 3 days, cells were cultured for up to 21 days *in vitro.* Culture medium consisted of Neurobasal medium supplemented with B27, 0.5 U/mL penicillin, 0.05 mg/mL streptomycin,  $10 \mu m$  MK-801, 25 mm KCl and 5 pg/mL glial-derived neurotrophic factor. All animal procedures were approved by the University of Western Ontario Animal Care Committee.

### **2.3 Cell Transfection**

HEK 293 cells were transfected using a modified calcium phosphate method in 100 mm dishes. 2 µg of cDNA indicated in *Figure Legends* was added to 450 µL sterile distilled water, followed by the addition of 50  $\mu$ L 2.5 M CaCl<sub>2</sub>. Then 500  $\mu$ L of 2X

HEPES-balanced salt solution (16.4 g NaCl, 0.38 M final; 11.9 g HEPES, 0.05 M final; 0.21 g  $Na<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>$ , 1.5 mM final) was added drop-wise to the mixture. The final mixture was added drop-wise across the surface of the 100-mm cell culture dish. The cells were incubated with the transfection overnight at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere of 95% air,  $5\%$  CO<sub>2</sub>.

Hippocampal neurons were transfected using a modified calcium phosphate method in 15 mm glass coverslips. 4 μg of cDNA indicated in *Figure Legends* was added to 23  $\mu$ L sterile distilled water, followed by the addition of 2.25  $\mu$ L 2 *M* CaCl<sub>2</sub>. Then  $22.3 \mu L$  of  $2X$  HEPES-balanced salt solution was added drop-wise to the mixture. The reaction was allowed to precipitate for 20 minutes in the dark. The final mixture was added drop-wise across the surface of the cell culture dishes containing only neurobasal medium. The cells were incubated with the transfection for 20-60 minutes at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere of 95% air, 5%  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ . After incubation the transfection was washed off by changing the medium and experiments were performed the next day.

s

## **2.4 Co-immunoprecipitation**

HEK 293 cells were transiently transfected with various cDNA constructs as described in the *Figure Legends.* After transfection, the cells were incubated for 5, 10, 15 min in HEPES-buffered saline solution (HBSS) at  $37^{\circ}$ C with or without 30  $\mu$ M of quisqualate as indicated in the *Figure Legends.* The cells were then placed on ice, washed twice with ice-cold phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), and lysed with ice-cold lysis buffer (50 mM Tris, pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl, and 0.1% Triton X-100) containing protease inhibitors (1 mM AEBSF, 10  $\mu$ g/ml leupeptin, and 5  $\mu$ g/ml aprotinin). The

lysates were placed on a rocking platform for 15 min at 4°C and centrifuged at 15,000 RPM for 15 min at 4°C to pellet insoluble material. Cleared supernatant containing 250- 1000  $\mu$ g of protein were incubated with 25  $\mu$ l of Protein G Sepharose beads (GE Healthcare) containing either anti-mGluR1 or  $-mGluR5$  antibodies (Milipore) for 1 h rotating at 4°C to immunoprecipitate the receptor. After incubation, the beads were washed three times with PBS, and proteins were solubilised in a  $3 \times$  SDS sample buffer containing 2-mercaptoethanol. Samples were separated by SDS-PAGE, transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane, and immunoblotted to identify co-immunoprecipitated GFPtagged spinophilin using a primary polyclonal rabbit anti-GFP (1:1000 dilution; Invitrogen/Molecular Probes) followed by a horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary anti-rabbit antibody (1:10,000; Bio-Rad). Receptor and spinophilin protein expression was determined by immunoblotting  $10 \mu$ g of protein from each cell lysate used for immunoprecipitation. Proteins were detected using chemiluminescence with the enhanced chemiluminescence kit from GE Healthcare.

### **2.5 Immunofluorescence Confocal Microscopy**

Confocal microscopy was performed using a Zeiss LSM-510 laser scanning microscope equipped with a Zeiss  $63 \times 1.4$  numerical aperture oil immersion lens (Carl Zeiss Inc., Thomwood. NJ). Live-cell imaging was performed on HEK 293 cells in untreated 35-mm glass-bottomed plates. Cells were kept at 37°C using a heated microscope stage. mGluR1a/5a was labelled with rabbit anti-FLAG (Sigma-Aldrich)conjugated Zenon Alexa Fluor 555 antibody (Invitrogen). Receptor was stimulated with the addition of 30  $\mu$ M of quisqualate (final concentration). Visualization of antibodylabelled receptor with GFP-spinophilin was performed by dual excitation (488/543 nm) and emission band pass from 505 to 530 (GFP) and long pass at 560 (Alexa Fluor 555) filter sets.

Live imaging was performed on primary hippocampal cultures in 15-mm glass coverslips. Cells were kept at 37°C using a heated microscope stage. mGluR5 was labelled with rabbit anti-FLAG (Sigma-Aldrich)-conjugated Zenon Alexa Fluor 555 antibody (Invitrogen). Visualization of antibody-labelled receptor with GFP-spinophilin was performed by dual excitation (488/543 nm) and emission band pass from 505 to 530 (GFP) and long pass at 560 (Alexa Fluor 555) filter sets.

### **2.6 Internalization Assay**

HEK 293 cells transfected with 2  $\mu$ g of FLAG-mGluR1a/5a and either 2  $\mu$ g of GFP or GFP-spinophilin were incubated with HBSS for one hour at 37°C. The cells were then washed with and incubated for 20 min on ice in HBSS, then incubated with 0.5 mg/ml sulfo-NHS-SS-biotin (Pierce Biotechnology) in HBSS for 1 h on ice to biotinylate cell surface proteins. Biotinylation was quenched by incubating cells with 100 mM glycine in HBSS for 30 min on ice. Cells were washed again with HBSS, then incubated for 5 and 15 min in HEPES-buffered saline solution (HBSS) at 37°C with 30  $\mu$ M of quisqualate (Tocris), to cause internalization. Cells were then returned to ice to stop mGluR la/5a internalization. To measure biotin bound to mGluR la/5a that has internalized, residual biotin bound to membrane proteins that remained at the cell surface was removed by incubating cells with 100 mM sodium 2 mercaptoethanesulfonate (MesNa) in HBSS for 45 min. Cells were then washed three

times with HBSS, then lysed with ice-cold lysis buffer (50 mM Tris, pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl, and 0.1% Triton X-100) containing protease inhibitors (1 mM AEBSF, 10  $\mu$ g/ml leupeptin, and  $5 \mu$ g/ml aprotinin). Lysates were centrifuged at 15 000 RPM for 15 min at 4 °C, then equal amounts of protein from each sample were incubated with Neutravidin beads (Pierce Biotechnology) for 1.5 h at 4 °C. After incubation, the beads were washed three times with PBS, and proteins were solubilized in a  $3 \times$  SDS sample buffer containing 2-mercaptoethanol. Samples were separated by SDS-PAGE, transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane, and immunoblotted for mGluRla/5a. Cells subjected to biotinylation, but not incubated at 37 °C to allow receptor internalization, underwent treatment with MesNa as a control to assess biotin stripping efficiency. Cells that were biotinylated, but not stimulated and not stripped with MesNa were a positive control to show total plasma membrane receptor.

### **2.7 Inositol phosphate formation**

HEK293 cells were transiently transfected with  $2 \mu$ g of FLAG-mGluR1a and with  $2 \mu$ g of either GFP or GFP-spinophilin. Forty-eight hours after transfection, inositol lipids were radiolabeled by incubating HEK293 cells overnight with 1  $\mu$ Ci/ml [<sup>3</sup>H]myoinositol (PerkinElmer Life) in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (Invitrogen). Unincorporated  $\int_0^3 H/m$ yo-inositol was removed by washing the HEK293 cells with HBSS. HEK293 cells were then preincubated for 1 hr in HBSS at 37°C and then preincubated in 500  $\mu$ l of HBSS containing 10 mM LiCl for an additional 10 min at 37°C. HEK293 cells were subsequently treated in either the absence or the presence of increasing concentrations (0-100  $\mu$ M) of quisqualate (Tocris) for 30 min at 37 $^{\circ}$ C. The

reaction was stopped on ice by adding  $500 \mu l$  of 0.8 M perchloric acid and then neutralized with 400 µl of 0.72 M KOH and 0.6 M KHCO3. The total  $[^{3}H]$ myo-inositol incorporated into the cells was determined by counting the radioactivity present in 50  $\mu$ l of the cell lysate. Total inositol phosphate was purified from the cell extracts by anion exchange chromatography using Dowex 1-X8 (formate form) 200-400 mesh anion exchange resin (Bio-Rad).  $\int_0^3 H$  Inositol phosphate formation was determined by liquid scintillation using a Beckman LS 6500 scintillation system (LS 6500; Beckman Coulter, Fullerton, CA).

## **2.8 ERK1/2 immunoblots**

HEK293 cells were transiently transfected with 2  $\mu$ g of FLAG-mGluR1a with 2  $\mu$ g of either GFP or GFP-spinophilin. The cells were then stimulated for 0, 2, 5, 10 and 15 minutes with 30  $\mu$ M of quisqualate. Following stimulation, the cells were placed on ice and washed with cold PBS. The cells were lysed using with ice-cold lysis buffer (50 mM Tris, pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl, and 0.1% Triton X-100) containing protease and phosphatase inhibitors (1 mM AEBSF, 10  $\mu$ g/ml leupeptin, and 5  $\mu$ g/ml aprotinin, 1 M NaF, 100  $\mu$ M Na<sub>3</sub>VO<sub>4</sub>). The cells were incubated with the lysis buffer for 15 minutes at 4°C and centrifuged at 15000 RPM for 15 minutes to pellet insoluble material. The protein content of 50 µg samples of cell lysate was determined using a protein assay kit (Bio-Rad). The lysates were then solubilized in a  $3 \times$  SDS sample buffer containing 2mercaptoethanol. Samples were separated by SDS-PAGE, transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane, and immunoblotted for phospho-p42/44 MAP kinase (Thr202/Tyr402) antibody (diluted 1:1000), p42/44 MAP kinase antibody (1:1000) (Cell Signalling),

rabbit anti-Flag antibody (1:1000) (Sigma) and goat anti-spinophilin (1:200) (Santa Cruz).

### **2.9 Immunoblotting**

Membranes were blocked with 10% milk in wash buffer (150 mM NaCl, 10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.0, and 0.05% Tween 20) for 1 h and then incubated overnight with antibodies stated above in wash buffer containing 3% milk. Membranes were rinsed three times with wash buffer and then incubated with secondary horseradish peroxidaseconjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG (1:10,000), and rabbit anti-goat IgG (1:2500) (Bio-Rad) in wash buffer containing 3% skim milk for 1 h. Membranes were rinsed three times with wash buffer and incubated with enhanced chemiluminescence Western blotting detection reagents (GE Healthcare).

## **2.10 Statistical Analysis**

The means  $\pm$  S.E.M. are shown for values obtained for the number of independent experiments indicated in the *Figure Legends.* Quantification of immunoblots of biotinylated receptors was performed using Scion Imaging using immunoblots of similar exposures, and data expressed as mean pixel intensity. GraphPad Prism software (Graph Pad, San Diego, CA) was used to analyze data for statistical significance, as well as to analyze and fit dose-response and time course data. The statistical significance was determined by either an unpaired two tailed t-test, or by one-way ANOVA analysis of variance followed by Dunnett's multiple comparison test.

# **CHAPTER 3 RESULTS**

## **3.1 Identification of Spinophilin as a Group I Metabotropic Glutamate Receptorinteracting Protein**

We used the carboxyl tails (C-tails) of mGluR1a and mGluR5a to identify Group I mGuR-interacting proteins in a tandem affinity purification proteomic screen and identified spinophilin as a novel interactor. In addition to spinophilin, we identified PPly, Homerlb, Homer2, Homer 3 and GIPC as mGluRla/5a-interacting proteins. The mGluR1a and mGluR5a -C tails were previously shown to bind phosphatase  $1\gamma$  (PP1 $\gamma$ ), Siah-1A, Homer and tamalin, a PDZ domain containing protein (Croci et al., 2003; Kammermeier and Ikeda, 2001; Kammermeier, 2008; Kitano et al., 2002; Ronesi and Huber, 2008). The mGluR1a/5a C-tails encode both PP1y and PDZ binding motifs and spinophilin encodes a PDZ domain and is the regulatory subunit for protein phosphatase ly (Hsieh-Wilson et al., 1999; Sarrouilhe et al., 2006). Therefore, we first tested whether spinophilin could be co-immunoprecipitated with either mGluR1a or mGluR5a. We found that GFP epitope-tagged spinophilin was co-immunoprecipitated from HEK 293 cells transiently transfected with either FLAG-tagged mGluRla or mGluR5 and GFP-spinophilin (Figure 3.1). This confirmed that spinophilin was an mGluRla- and mGluR5a-interacting protein.

Previous studies found that spinophilin was recruited to the  $\alpha_2$ -adrenergic receptor in response to agonist stimulation (Brady et al., 2003; Brady et al., 2005). Therefore we examined whether agonist treatment increased the association between



**Figure 3.1: Co-immunoprecipitation of Spinophilin with Group** I **mGluRs.** Western blots demonstrating the co-immunoprecipitation of GFP-spinophilin with FLAGmGluR1a and FLAG-mGluR5a in HEK 293 cells. Western blots also demonstrate the expression of receptors and spinophilin from cell lysates with anti-mGluRl, antimGluR5 and anti-GFP antibodies. HEK 293 cells were transfected with  $2 \mu$ g of GFPspinophilin along with either 2 µg of FLAG-mGluR1a and FLAG-mGluR5a cDNA. The data is representative of 3 independent experiments.

spinophilin and mGluR1/5 by co-immunoprecipitation. Although we consistently observed an association between GFP-spinophilin and both FLAG-mGLuRla and FLAG-mGluR5a, the extent of the interaction varied following agonist stimulation (Figure 3.2). This indicated that the association between spinophilin and mGluRl/5 may be transient.

# **3.2 Subcellular Localization of Spinophilin, mGluRla and mGluR5a in HEK 293 cells**

It is well established that spinophilin interacts with and bundles F-actin (Stephens and Banting, 2000). Therefore, to ensure that GFP-spinophilin was appropriately localized in HEK 293 cells, we transfected GFP-spinophilin in HEK 293 cells and stained for actin (phalloidin). These cells were then imaged by confocal microscopy. We found that spinophilin and actin were clearly co-localized in HEK 293 cells (Figure 3.3). Previous studies demonstrated that spinophilin co-localized with the  $\alpha_2$ -adrenergic receptor on the cell surface of polarized Madin-Darby canine kidney cells  $\setminus$ (Brady et al., 2003). Therefore, we examined the subcellular localization of GFPspinophilin in cells co-expressing FLAG-mGluRla or FLAG-mGluR5a. We found that the co-expression of both FLAG-mGluRla (Figure 3.4A) and FLAG-mGluR5a (Figure 3.4B) increased the localization of GFP-spinophilin at the cell surface.

## **3.3 Co-localization of Spinophilin and mGluR5 in Hippocampal Neurons**

To further determine the subcellular localization of spinophilin, hippocampal neurons were transfected to over-express GFP-spinophilin and red fluorescence protein (RFP) as a non-specific protein to fill the processes in neurons allowing a clear



**Figure 3.2: Co-immunoprecipitation of Spinophilin with Group I mGluRs During Agonist Stimulation.** (A) Western blots demonstrating the immunoprecipitation of the receptors FLAG-mGluRla and the co-immunoprecipitation of GFP-spinophilin in HEK 293 cells with 30  $\mu$ M of quisqualate stimulation for 0, 5, 15 minutes. Western blots also demonstrate the expression of receptors and spinophilin from cell lysates with antimGluRl and anti-GFP antibodies. **(B)** Western blots demonstrating the immunoprecipitation of the receptors FLAG-mGluR5a and the co-immunoprecipitation of GFP-spinophilin in HEK 293 cells with 30  $\mu$ M of quisqualate stimulation for 0, 5, 15 minutes. Western blots also demonstrate the expression of receptors and spinophilin from cell lysates with anti-mGluR5 and anti-GFP antibodies. HEK 293 cells were transfected with 2  $\mu$ g of GFP-spinophilin along with either 2  $\mu$ g of FLAG-mGluR1a and FLAG-mGluR5a cDNA. The data is representative of 3 independent experiments.



**Figure 3.3: Expression of Spinophilin in HEK 293 Cells.** Confocal images of GFPspinophilin (green), phalloidin (red), Hoechst (blue), and co-localization (yellow). HEK 293 cells were transfected with  $2 \mu$ g of GFP-spinophilin and labelled with phalloidin and Hoechst and visualized using confocal microscopy. The data is representative of 3 experiments. Scale bars,  $10 \mu m$ .





**Figure 3.4: Expression of Spinophilin and Group I mGluRs in HEK 293 Cells. (A)** Confocal images of live HEK 293 cells transfected with GFP-spinophilin (green) and FLAG-mGluRla (red). Co-localization is indicated in the overlay images (yellow). **(B)** Confocal images of live HEK 293 cells transfected with expressing GFP-spinophilin (green) and FLAG-mGluR5a (red). Co-localization is indicated in the overlay images (yellow). Cells are transfected with 2  $\mu$ g of cDNA encoding GFP-spinophilin and 2  $\mu$ g of cDNA encoding either FLAG-mGluRla or FLAG-mGluR5a and are stained with Alexa Fluor-conjugated 555 rabbit polyclonal antibody at 4°C. The data is representative of 5 experiments. Scale bars,  $10 \mu m$ .

indication of the spines. GFP-spinophilin was found to be primarily localized within synaptic spines in hippocampal neuronal dendrites (Figure 3.5A). To determine whether GFP-spinophilin was co-localized with Group **I** mGluRs at synaptic densities, hippocampal neurons were transfected to express both FLAG-mGluR5a and GFPspinophilin. Live neurons expressing FLAG-mGluR5a were labelled with Alexa Fluor 555-conjugated FLAG antibody. Consistent with the observation that spinophilin colocalizes with mGluR1/5 in HEK 293 cells, co-localization of the mGluR5 and spinophilin was found along the dendritic processes (Figure 3.5B). The data indicates that spinophilin co-localizes with mGluR5 in the dendritic spines of transfected hippocampal neurons.

## **3.4 Agonist-stimulated mGIuR5a and Spinophilin Internalization**

Spinophilin was previously shown to be recruited to the plasma membrane after  $\alpha_2$ AR agonist stimulation, where it then recognizes the activated  $\alpha_2$ AR- G $\beta$ y complex and tethers the receptor to the plasma membrane (Brady et al., 2003; Brady et al., 2005). Additionally, spinophilin could be co-immunoprecipitated with both mGluRla and mGluR5a and was co-localized with both receptors. Therefore, we tested whether spinophilin over-expression altered agonist-mediated internalization of mGluRla and mGluR5a using a cell surface biotinylation assay. To determine the extent of mGluRla/5a internalization, cell-surface proteins were biotinylated on ice, warmed to 37 °C, and treated with 30  $\mu$ M quisqualate for 0, 5, 15 min. The internalization of mGluRla/5a in response to agonist was determined as the proportion of total biotinylated receptor that was resistant to MESNA stripping following agonist treatment.



**Figure 3.5: Spinophilin is Expressed Primarily along the Spines in Primary Mouse Hippocampal Neurons.** (A) Confocal images of live mouse hippocampal neurons transfected with GFP- spinophilin (green) and red fluorescence protein (red). **(B)** Confocal images of live mouse hippocampal neurons transfected with GFP-spinophilin (green) and FLAG-mGluR5a labelled with Alexafluor 555 conjugated FLAG antibody (red). Co-localization is shown in the overlay image (yellow). Neurons transfected with 4  $\mu$ g of cDNA encoding GFP-spinophilin, and either 2  $\mu$ g of cDNA encoding red fluorescence protein or  $4 \mu$ g of FLAG-mGluR5a cDNA were imaged by confocal microscopy. The data is representative of 3 experiments. Scale bars,  $10 \mu m$ .

**46**

We found that, the internalization of FLAG-mGluR<sub>la</sub> was significantly reduced in the presence of GFP- spinophilin following 5 minutes of agonist treatment  $(30 \mu)$ M quisqualate), but was not significantly different from GFP transfected control at 15 minutes (Figure 3.6). In contrast, the internalization of FLAG-mGluR5a was not significantly reduced in the presence of GFP-spinophilin following 5 minutes of agonist treatment  $(30 \mu M)$  quisqualate), but exhibited a trend towards reduced internalization following 15 minutes agonist treatment (Figure 3.7). Thus, similar to what was previously observed for the  $\alpha_2AR$ , spinophilin antagonized FLAG-mGluRla internalization.

## **3.5 Effect of Spinophilin on mGluRla-mediated Signalling in HEK 293 cells**

It is well established that Group I mGluRs activate the heterotrimeric  $Ga<sub>q/11</sub>$ protein which leads to the activation of phospholipase C, causing the formation of inositol 1, 4, 5 trisphosphate  $(\text{IP}_3)$  and diacylglycerol (DAG), which subsequently causes the release of calcium from intracellular stores (Kawabata et al., 1998). Spinophilin has been found to interact with the  $\alpha_{1B}$  - adrenergic receptor ( $\alpha_{1B}AR$ ), which is also coupled to the heterotrimeric  $Ga_{q/1}$  protein, and functions to enhance  $\alpha_{1B}AR$ -stimulated calcium currents by attenuating  $\alpha_{1B}AR$  endocytosis (Wang et al., 2005). We have shown that spinophilin decreases mGluRla internalization following 5 minutes of agonist stimulation, therefore, we examined whether the GFP-spinophilin over-expression leads to an alteration of FLAG-mGluRla-mediated inositol phosphate (IP) formation. In the absence of GFP-spinophilin, increasing amounts of quisqualate treatment on HEK 293 cells over-expressed with FLAG-mGluR 1 resulted in a dose-dependent increase



**Figure 3.6: Internalization of mGluRla with Spinophilin. (A)** Shown is a representative immunoblot demonstrating the agonist-stimulated internalization of FLAG-mGluRla in the presence of either GFP or GFP-spinophilin following 5 and 15 minutes of agonist treatment with 30  $\mu$ M quisqualate. (B) Densitometry analysis of the immunoblot showing the extent of loss of cell surface protein expression. The data represents the mean  $\pm$  the SEM for 6 independent experiments. \*P<0.05, two-tailed unpaired t-test.



**Figure 3.7: Internalization of mGluR5a with Spinophilin.** (A) Shown is a representative immunoblot demonstrating the agonist-stimulated internalization of FLAG-mGluR5a in the presence of either GFP or GFP-spinophilin following 5 and 15 minutes of agonist treatment with 30  $\mu$ M quisqualate. **(B)** Densitometry analysis of the immunoblot showing the extent of loss of cell surface FLAG-mGluR5a protein expression. The data represents the mean  $\pm$  the SEM for 5 independent experiments.

in IP accumulation over 30 minutes. In HEK 293 cells over-expressing GFP-spinophilin and FLAG-mGluRla, the dose-dependent increase in IP accumulation is not altered (Figure 3.8). This data indicates that spinophilin interaction does not alter mGluRla Gprotein coupling.

In addition to causing the release of calcium from intracellular stores, the activation of the heterotrimeric  $G\alpha_{q/11}$  protein by mGluR1/5 also couples the receptors to the activation of other downstream effector enzymes (Nicodemo et al., 2010) and this might require mGluRla endocytosis. Group I mGluRs activate ERK1/2 through multiple mechanisms, but one mechanism is thought to involve B-arrestin internalization of mGluRla (Emery et al., 2010; Iacovelli et al., 2003; Wang, J., et al., 2007). Therefore, we tested whether GFP-spinophilin might alter mGluRla-stimulated ERK1/2 phosphorylation. We find that the over-expression of GFP-spinophilin results in a significant decrease in FLAG-mGluRla-stimulated ERK1/2 phosphorylation following 2, 5, 10 and 15 minutes stimulation of mGluRla with quisqualate (Figure 3.9). Thus,  $\overline{\phantom{0}}$ spinophilin over-expression selectively attenuates mGluR la-mediated ERK1/2 phosphorylation without affecting receptor G-protein coupling.



**Figure 3.8: Effect of Spinophilin on Agonist-stimulated mGluRla Inositol Phosphate Formation. (A)** mGluR1-stimulated inositol phosphate formation in response to treatment with increasing concentrations of quisqualate for 30 min in the presence of either GFP or GFP-spinophilin. The log  $EC_{50}$  for mGluR1 is -7.058 and the log  $EC_{50}$  for mGluR1 and spinophilin is -7.033. **(B)** Immunoblot showing equivalent FLAG-mGluRla expression in GFP and GFP-spinophilin transfected HEK 293 cells. The data represents the mean  $\pm$  the SEM for 6 independent experiments.



**Figure 3.9: Effect of GFP-spinophilin Over-expression on mGluRla-stimulated ERK1/2 Phosphorylation. (A)** Representative immunoblot for FLAG-mGluRla stimulated ERK1/2 phosphorylation in response to 30  $\mu$ M quisqualate stimulation for 0, 2, 5, 10 and 15 min in the presence of either GFP or GFP-spinophilin. Shown are immunoblots for phosphorylated (p-ERK) and total ERK. **(B)** Densitometry analysis of the immunoblots showing the mean  $\pm$  SD of the change in ERK1/2 phosphorylation for 6 independent experiments. \*P<0.05, ANOVA followed by paired t-test.

## **CHAPTER 4 DISCUSSION**

### **4.1 Identification of Spinophilin as a Group I mGluR Regulatory Protein**

Glutamate is the major excitatory neurotransmitter in the brain and is essential for many physiological processes, including integrative brain function and neuronal cell development (Bordi and Ugolini, 1999; Nakanishi, 1994). Glutamate mediates its functions through a variety of ionotropic and metabotropic glutamate receptors (Nakanishi, 1994). Group I mGluRs modulate synaptic efficacy by coupling to multiple second messenger cascades through the heterotrimeric  $Ga<sub>0/11</sub>$  protein (Dhami and Ferguson, 2006; Kawabata et al., 1998; Wang, J., et al., 2007). Additionally, the activation of these receptors has been implicated in a number of neurodegenerative diseases including: Huntington's disease, ALS and Alzheimer's disease (Bordi and Ugolini, 1999; Byrnes et al., 2009; Ribeiro et al., 2011; Wang, J., et al., 2004). However, the exact role that mGluRs play in both neuroprotection and neurodegeneration remain unclear (Pshenichkin et al., 2008).

Protein-protein interactions have been shown to play an important role in regulating Group I mGluR signal transduction in the central nervous system and it is now established that mGluR la and mGuR5a act as molecular scaffolds for the recruitment of multiple regulatory signalling complexes. For example, mGluR la and mGluR5a have been shown to interact with phosphatase ly, Siah-1 A, Homer and PDZ proteins. Furthermore, these interactions play an important role in the regulation of Group I mGluR signalling cascades (Croci et al., 2003; Kammermeier and Ikeda, 2001; Kammermeier, 2008; Kitano et al., 2002; Ronesi and Huber, 2008). We have found a new Group I-interacting protein, spinophilin that binds to both the mGluRla and mGluR5a C-tails. Spinophilin has been shown to regulate ionotropic glutamate receptors through protein phosphatase 1 (PP1 $\gamma$ ), as well as interact with a number of GPCRs through a receptor interacting domain (Sarrouilhe et al., 2006; Smith et al., 1999; Uematsu et al., 2005; Wang et al., 2005; Yan et al., 1999). Furthermore, spinophilin encodes a PDZ domain, which may mediate the interaction with mGluR1a/5a (Sarrouilhe et al., 2006). Similarly, Group I mGluRs have a PP1 $\gamma$  domain and a PDZ domain at the end of their C-tails (Croci et al., 2003; Kitano et al., 2002). Therefore, the purpose of this thesis was to determine if spinophilin interacts with mGluRla and mGluR5a and to examine whether this interaction is of importance for regulating both mGluRla and mGluR5a trafficking and signalling.

A clear understanding of how Group I mGluR interacting proteins regulate receptor signalling is required for the development of effective therapeutics to treat neurodegenerative diseases associated with mGluRla/5a signalling. In attempt to determine the potential role spinophilin has in the regulation of Group I mGluR signalling and internalization, we have made several key observations: 1) spinophilin associates with mGluRla and mGluR5a and this association may be dynamically regulated by agonist activation, 2) spinophilin antagonizes agonist-stimulated mGluRla internalization , 3) spinophilin does not alter inositol phosphate formation induced by mGluRla stimulation, and 4) spinophilin prevents ERK1/2 phosphorylation in response to mGluRla activation.

## **4.2 Interaction between Spinophilin and Group I mGluRs**

Group I mGluRs function as molecular scaffolds for the recruitment of intracellular and extracellular signalling complexes. mGluRl splice variants (a-d) and mGluR5 splice variants (a and b) are of variable length and therefore function differently and interact with distinct protein complexes. mGluRla and mGluR5a have extremely long C-tails that are known to interact with several proteins including: protein phosphatase  $1\gamma$ , Siah-1A, Homer and PDZ proteins. In contrast, mGluR1 and mGluR5 splice variants lack the extended C-tails and thus do not have the capacity to mediate the essential protein interactions required for Group I mGluR-dependent neuronal plasticity (Croci et al., 2003; Kammermeier and Ikeda, 2001; Kammermeier, 2008; Kitano et al., 2002; Ronesi and Huber, 2008). For example, protein phosphatase 1 (PP1 $\gamma$ ) has been shown to regulate mGluR1/5-mediated ERK1/2 phosphorylation (Voulalas et al., 2005). Additionally, PPly has been shown to interact with AMPA receptors, and regulates their low activity state by allowing their déphosphorylation (Yan et al., 1999). The recruitment of PPly to ionotropic glutamate receptors is also mediated by spinophilin, which recruits PPly to these receptors and also regulates PPly catalytic activity (Hsieh-Wilson et al., 1999; Ragusa et al., 2011; Yan et al., 1999). Thus, spinophilin has the capacity to regulate post synaptic receptors by recruiting enzymes to the receptor complex.

Work done in our laboratory has identified spinophilin, using a tandem affinity purification proteomic screen, as a protein that interacts with the carboxyl tails of mGluRl a and mGluR5a. To confirm these findings, our initial experiments tested

whether spinophilin associated with both mGluR1a and mGluR5a. We observed that GFP epitope-tagged spinophilin co-immunoprecipitated with both FLAG-tagged mGluRla and -mGluR5a. There are two potential mechanisms by which spinophilin may interact with mGluR1a and mGluR5a. Spinophilin either forms a protein complex with PP1 $\gamma$  that is scaffolded to the Group I mGluR PP1 $\gamma$  binding motif or the spinophilin PDZ domain interacts directly with the mGluR1a and mGluR5a PDZ C-tail binding motifs. Further analysis of the mechanisms underlying the interaction between spinophilin and Group I mGluRs, will require the mutation of both the PPly and PDZ motifs in the mGluRla and mGluR5a C-tails and the investigation of spinophilin interactions with mGluR1b which lacks these motifs. Similarly, co-immunoprecipitation experiments with spinophilin,  $PP1\gamma$  and mGluR1/5 need to be conducted. This will help determine whether PPly mediates the association of spinophilin with mGluRla and mGluR5a.

The regulation by spinophilin is determined on the basis of its phosphorylation S state (Baucum et al., 2010; Feng et al., 2000; Grossman et al., 2002; Grossman et al., 2004; Hsieh-Wilson et al., 2003; Uematsu et al., 2005). For example, following PKA phosphorylation of Ser-177, spinophilin relocates from the post synaptic density (PSD) and becomes enriched in the cytosol (Hsieh-Wilson et al., 2003). Phosphorylation at this site also decreases the ability of spinophilin to regulate the trafficking of the  $\alpha_{2A}$ adrenergic receptor (Xu et al., 2008). Therefore, future experiments are required to allow the assessment of whether spinophilin phosphorylation is required for spinophilin binding and regulation of Group I mGluRs.

#### **4. 3 Role of Spinophilin in the Agonist Induced Internalization of mGIuRl**

Spinophilin interacts with and regulates the internalization and signalling of the  $\alpha_2AR$  (Brady et al., 2003; Brady et al., 2005; Wang and Limbird, 2002; Wang, O., et al., 2004). More specifically, spinophilin retains the  $\alpha_2AR$  at the plasma membrane by competing with GRK2 for binding to the third intracellular loop and prevents subsequent p-arrestin-dependent and clathrin-mediated endocytosis of the receptor (Brady et al., 2003; Wang and Limbird, 2002; Wang, Q., et al., 2004). Wang Q., *et al.* (2004) found that spinophilin and  $\beta$ -arrestin dynamically regulate  $\alpha_2AR$  phosphorylation, internalization and signalling both *in vitro* and *in vivo.* Therefore, we tested whether over-expression of spinophilin prevents the agonist-induced internalization of both mGluRla and mGluR5a. We show that spinophilin antagonizes mGluRla endocytosis without increasing G protein-mediated signalling. This suggests the possibility that spinophilin might not compete for GRK2 binding to Group I mGluRs. However, this possibility remains to be determined.

Group I mGluRs undergo internalization by a number of distinct mechanisms depending on how internalization is initiated and the splice variant studied. Both mGluR la and mGluR5a are suggested to undergo internalization via both a classical model of internalization (a GRK-phosphorylation- and  $\beta$ -arrestin-dependent pathway), as well as agonist-stimulated and constitutive pathways that are GRK2-phosphorylationand  $\beta$ -arrestin-independent (Dhami and Ferguson, 2006). However, spinophilin does not attenuate mGluR la G protein signalling, it is also possible that by competing with GRK2 to bind Group I mGluRs, it prevents the phosphorylation-independent

mGluRla/5a internalization (Ribeiro et al., 2009). Future experimentation will be essential for understanding the relative roles of GRK2 and spinophilin in the regulation of the internalization of Group I mGluRs.

Spinophilin tethers the  $\alpha$ -adrenergic receptor to the cell surface via its coiledcoil interactions and by preventing GRK2 interaction (Wang et al., 2005). As a consequence, spinophilin has been described as a scaffolding protein that provides a mechanism for receptors to interact with protein partners and the cytoskeleton (Brady et al., 2003; Sarrouilhe et al., 2006). Consistent with this observation, we find that spinophilin over-expression antagonizes the internalization of mGluRla. To date, a variety of protein interactions with the mGluRla/5a C-tails have been reported to regulate the trafficking and signalling of these receptors (Croci et al., 2003; Kammermeier and Ikeda, 2001; Kammermeier, 2008; Kitano et al., 2002; Ronesi and Huber, 2008). For example, Homer proteins interact with the C-tail of Group I mGluRs (Kammermeier, 2008; Ronesi and Huber, 2008). Similar to spinophilin, Homer proteins **\** function as scaffolds promoting the formation of mGluRl/5 regulated protein complexes at the post synaptic density (Magalhaes et al., 2011). Whether spinophilin contributes in a similar way to the scaffolding of synaptic protein complexes with mGluRla/mGluR5a at post synaptic densities remains to be determined.

## *<sup>4</sup> .<sup>4</sup> .* **Role of Spinophilin in mGluRla-mediated Inositol Phosphate Formation**

Group I mGluRs activate the heterotrimeric  $Ga_{q/11}$  protein which leads to the: 1) activation of phospholipase C $\beta$ , 2) formation of IP<sub>3</sub> and DAG, 3) release of calcium from intracellular stores and 4) activation of effector enzymes such as protein kinase C

and ERK1/2 (Dhami and Ferguson, 2006; Kawabata et al., 1998; Wang, **J.,** et al., 2007). We found that spinophilin over-expression attenuated mGluR1a-mediated ERK1/2 phosphorylation without affecting inositol phosphate formation in response mGluRla stimulation. This indicates that the interaction between spinophilin and mGluR la results in the antagonism of the activation of ERK1/2 signalling without affecting  $G\alpha_{n/11}$ mediated inositol phosphate formation. This suggests that the formation of intracellular scaffolding protein complexes with mGluR la may bias intracellular signalling pathways activated by mGluR1a. This is akin to the observed effect of biased GPCR ligands that influence distinct GPCR conformations to activate selective set of intracellular signalling pathways (Gesty-Palmer and Luttrell, 2011; Niswender and Conn, 2010).

In addition to retaining the  $\alpha_{1B}AR$  to the plasma membrane by preventing  $\beta$ arrestin-mediated  $\alpha_{1B}AR$  endocytosis, spinophilin also recruits RGS2 to the signalling complex to prevent the prolonged activation of signalling cascades induced by the  $Ga<sub>q/11</sub>$ protein (Wang et al., 2005). Additionally, Wang, X., *et al* (2005) found that spinophilin is less effective in regulating calcium currents in RGS2 deficient cells and RGS2 is less effective in inhibiting calcium current in spinophilin deficient cells. To determine whether spinophilin regulates mGluR<sub>1</sub> a signalling by recruiting RGS2, future experiments examining the over-expression and knockdown of both RGS2 and spinophilin on mGluR la signalling is required.

### **4.5 Role of Spinophilin in mGluRl-Mediated ERK1/2 Activation**

It is well established that MAPK activation by Group I mGluRs involves different protein partners and a selection of distinct signalling pathways (Emery et al.,

2010; Iacovelli et al., 2003; Wang, J., et al., 2007). Voulalas *et al.* (2005) have reported that PPly regulates MAPK activation induced by mGluRl/5 stimulation. Specifically, they have shown that the blockade of  $PP1\gamma$  by phosphatase inhibitors increases mGluRl/5-mediated ERK1/2 phosphorylation. Consequently, the potential association of spinophilin in a complex with PP1 and Group I mGluRs may contribute to the direct inactivation of ERK1/2 phosphorylation. To confirm this hypothesis, mGluRlastimulated ERK1/2 phosphorylation needs to be assessed following the over-expression and knockdown of both PPly and spinophilin proteins.

### **4.6 Summary**

In summary, we have shown here that spinophilin associates with mGluRla and mGluR5a, potentially via its association with PPly or mGluRla/5a the PDZ binding motifs. Moreover, we demonstrate that spinophilin antagonizes both mGluRla endocytosis and ERK1/2 phosphorylation. This occurs in the absence of attenuated mGluRla-stimulated inositol phosphate formation. These observations indicate that the interaction of proteins with the intracellular face of Group I mGluRs can influence the agonist-stimulated coupling of receptors to distinct intracellular signalling pathways. This opens the window to specifically target protein interfaces required for selective activation of unique signalling pathways that may be inhibited to treat neurodegenerative diseases.

#### **REFERENCE LIST**

Acher, F.C., Selvam, C., Pin, J.P., Goudet, C., and Bertrand, H.O. (2011). A critical pocket close to the glutamate binding site of mGlu receptors opens new possibilities for agonist design. Neuropharmacology *60,* 102-107.

Allen, P.B., Ouimet, C.C., and Greengard, P. (1997). Spinophilin, a novel protein phosphatase 1 binding protein localized to dendritic spines. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. *94,* 9956-9961.

Allen, P.B., Zachariou, V., Svenningsson, P., Lepore, A.C., Centonze, D., Costa, C., Rossi, S., Bender, G., Chen, G., Feng, J., *et al.* (2006). Distinct roles for spinophilin and neurabin in dopamine-mediated plasticity. Neuroscience *140,* 897-911.

Anborgh, P.H., Godin, C., Pampillo, M., Dhami, G.K., Dale, L.B., Cregan, S.P., Truant, R., and Ferguson, S.S. (2005). Inhibition of metabotropic glutamate receptor signaling by the huntingtin-binding protein optineurin. J. Biol. Chem. *280,* 34840-34848.

Aramori, I., and Nakanishi, S. (1992). Signal transduction and pharmacological characteristics of a metabotropic glutamate receptor, mGluRl, in transfected CHO cells. Neuron *8,* 757-765.

Bashir, Z.I., Bortolotto, Z.A., Davies, C.H., Berretta, N., Irving, A.J., Seal, A.J., Henley, J.M., Jane, D.E., Watkins, J.C., and Collingridge, G.L. (1993). Induction of LTP in the hippocampus needs synaptic activation of glutamate metabotropic receptors. Nature *363,* 347-350.

Baucum, A.J.,2nd, Jalan-Sakrikar, N., Jiao, Y., Gustin, R.M., Carmody, L.C., Tabb, D.L., Ham, A.J., and Colbran, R.J. (2010). Identification and validation of novel spinophilin-associated proteins in rodent striatum using an enhanced ex vivo shotgun proteomics approach. Mol. Cell. Proteomics *9,* 1243-1259.

Bhattacharya, M., Babwah, A.V., Godin, C., Anborgh, P.H., Dale, L.B., Poulter, M.O., and Ferguson, S.S. (2004). Ral and phospholipase D2-dependent pathway for constitutive metabotropic glutamate receptor endocytosis. J. Neurosci. *24,* 8752-8761.

Bordi, F., and Ugolini, A. (1999). Group I metabotropic glutamate receptors: implications for brain diseases. Prog. Neurobiol. *59,* 55-79.

Brady, A.E., Wang, Q., Allen, P.B., Rizzo, M., Greengard, P., and Limbird, L.E. (2005). Alpha 2-adrenergic agonist enrichment of spinophilin at the cell surface involves beta gamma subunits of Gi proteins and is preferentially induced by the alpha 2A-subtype. Mol. Pharmacol. *67,* 1690-1696.
Brady, A.E., Wang, Q., Colbran, R.J., Allen, P.B., Greengard, P., and Limbird, L.E. (2003). Spinophilin stabilizes cell surface expression of alpha 2B-adrenergic receptors. J. Biol. Chem. *278,* 32405-32412.

Brown, A.M., Baucum, A.J., Bass, M.A., and Colbran, R.J. (2008). Association of protein phosphatase 1 gamma 1 with spinophilin suppresses phosphatase activity in a Parkinson disease model. J. Biol. Chem. *283,* 14286-14294.

Bruno, V., Copani, A., Knopfel, T., Kuhn, R., Casabona, G., Dell'Albani, P., Condorelli, D.F., and Nicoletti, F. (1995). Activation of metabotropic glutamate receptors coupled to inositol phospholipid hydrolysis amplifies NMDA-induced neuronal degeneration in cultured cortical cells. Neuropharmacology *34,* 1089-1098.

Byrnes, K.R., Loane, D.J., and Faden, A.I. (2009). Metabotropic glutamate receptors as targets for multipotential treatment of neurological disorders. Neurotherapeutics *6,* 94- 107.

Calabresi, P., Centonze, D., Pisani, A., and Bemardi, G. (1999). Metabotropic glutamate receptors and cell-type-specific vulnerability in the striatum: implication for ischemia and Huntington's disease. Exp. Neurol. *158,* 97-108.

Carman, C.V., Parent, J.L., Day, P.W., Pronin, A.N., Stemweis, P.M., Wedegaertner, P.B., Gilman, A.G., Benovic, J.L., and Kozasa, T. (1999). Selective regulation of Galpha(q/11) by an RGS domain in the G protein-coupled receptor kinase, GRK2. J. Biol. Chem. *274,* 34483-34492.

Catania, M.V., Aronica, E., Sortino, M.A., Canonico, P.L., and Nicoletti, F. (1991). Desensitization of metabotropic glutamate receptors in neuronal cultures. J. Neurochem. *56,* 1329-1335.

Chen, C., Zheng, B., Han, J., and Lin, S.C. (1997). Characterization of a novel mammalian RGS protein that binds to Galpha proteins and inhibits pheromone signaling in yeast. J. Biol. Chem. *272,* 8679-8685.

Clement, J.P., Randall, A.D., and Brown, J.T. (2009). Metabotropic glutamate receptor 1 activity generates persistent, N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor-dependent depression of hippocampal pyramidal cell excitability. Eur. J. Neurosci. *29,* 2347-2362.

Conn, P.J., and Pin, J.P. (1997). Pharmacology and functions of metabotropic glutamate receptors. Annu. Rev. Pharmacol. Toxicol. *37,* 205-237.

Conquet, F., Bashir, Z.I., Davies, C.H., Daniel, H., Ferraguti, F., Bordi, F., Franz-Bacon, K., Reggiani, A., Matarese, V., and Conde, F. (1994). Motor deficit and impairment of synaptic plasticity in mice lacking mGluRl. Nature *372,* 237-243.

Croci, C., Sticht, H., Brandstatter, J.H., and Enz, R. (2003). Group I metabotropic glutamate receptors bind to protein phosphatase 1C. Mapping and modeling of interacting sequences. J. Biol. Chem. *278,* 50682-50690.

Dale, L.B., Babwah, A.V., Bhattacharya, M., Kelvin, D.J., and Ferguson, S.S. (2001). Spatial-temporal patterning of metabotropic glutamate receptor-mediated inositol 1,4,5 triphosphate, calcium, and protein kinase C oscillations: protein kinase C-dependent receptor phosphorylation is not required. J. Biol. Chem. *276,* 35900-35908.

Dale, L.B., Babwah, A.V., and Ferguson, S.S. (2002). Mechanisms of metabotropic glutamate receptor desensitization: role in the patterning of effector enzyme activation. Neurochem. Int. *41,* 319-326.

Dale, L.B., Bhattacharya, M., Anborgh, P.H., Murdoch, B., Bhatia, M., Nakanishi, S., and Ferguson, S.S. (2000). G protein-coupled receptor kinase-mediated desensitization of metabotropic glutamate receptor 1A protects against cell death. J. Biol. Chem. *275,* 38213-38220.

Dale, L.B., Bhattacharya, M., Seachrist, J.L., Anborgh, P.H., and Ferguson, S.S. (2001). Agonist-stimulated and tonic internalization of metabotropic glutamate receptor la in human embryonic kidney 293 cells: agonist-stimulated endocytosis is beta-arrestin 1 isoform-specific. Mol. Pharmacol. *60,* 1243-1253.

Dhami, G.K., Anborgh, P.H., Dale, L.B., Steme-Marr, R., and Ferguson, S.S. (2002). Phosphorylation-independent regulation of metabotropic glutamate receptor signaling by G protein-coupled receptor kinase 2. J. Biol. Chem. *277,* 25266-25272.

Dhami, G.K., Babwah, A.V., Sterne-Marr, R., and Ferguson, S.S. (2005). Phosphorylation-independent regulation of metabotropic glutamate receptor 1 signaling requires g protein-coupled receptor kinase 2 binding to the second intracellular loop. J. Biol. Chem. *280,* 24420-24427.

Dhami, G.K., and Ferguson, S.S. (2006). Regulation of metabotropic glutamate receptor signaling, desensitization and endocytosis. Pharmacol. Ther. *Ill,* 260-271.

Emery, A.C., Pshenichkin, S., Takoudjou, G.R., Grajkowska, E., Wolfe, B.B., and Wroblewski, J.T. (2010). The protective signaling of metabotropic glutamate receptor 1 Is mediated by sustained, beta-arrestin-1-dependent ERK phosphorylation. J. Biol. Chem. *285,* 26041-26048.

Faden, A.I., O'Leary, D.M., Fan, L., Bao, W., Mullins, P.G., and Movsesyan, V.A. (2001). Selective blockade of the mGluRl receptor reduces traumatic neuronal injury in vitro and improvesoOutcome after brain trauma. Exp. Neurol. *167,* 435-444.

Feng, J., Yan, Z., Ferreira, A., Tomizawa, K., Liauw, J.A., Zhuo, M., Allen, P.B., Ouimet, C.C., and Greengard, P. (2000). Spinophilin regulates the formation and function of dendritic spines. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 97, 9287-9292.

Ferguson, S.S. (2007). Phosphorylation-independent attenuation of GPCR signalling. Trends Pharmacol. Sci. *28,* 173-179.

Ferguson, S.S. (2001). Evolving concepts in G protein-coupled receptor endocytosis: the role in receptor desensitization and signaling. Pharmacol. Rev. *53,* 1-24.

Ferguson, S.S., Barak, L.S., Zhang, J., and Caron, M.G. (1996). G-protein-coupled receptor regulation: role of G-protein-coupled receptor kinases and arrestins. Can. J. Physiol. Pharmacol. *74,* 1095-1110.

Ferraguti, F., Baldani-Guerra, B., Corsi, M., Nakanishi, S., and Corti, C. (1999). Activation of the extracellular signal-regulated kinase 2 by metabotropic glutamate receptors. Eur. J. Neurosci. *11,* 2073-2082.

Ferraguti, F., Conquet, F., Corti, C., Grandes, P., Kuhn, R., and Knopfel, T. (1998). Immunohistochemical localization of the mGluRlbeta metabotropic glutamate receptor in the adult rodent forebrain: evidence for a differential distribution of mGluRl splice variants. J. Comp. Neurol. *400,* 391-407.

Fourgeaud, L., Bessis, A.S., Rossignol, F., Pin, J.P., Olivo-Marin, J.C., and Hemar, A. (2003). The metabotropic glutamate receptor mGluR5 is endocytosed by a clathrinindependent pathway. J. Biol. Chem. *278,* 12222-12230.

Gallagher, S.M., Daly, C.A., Bear, M.F., and Huber, K.M. (2004). Extracellular signalregulated protein kinase activation is required for metabotropic glutamate receptordependent long-term depression in hippocampal area CA1. J. Neurosci. *24,* 4859-4864.

Gesty-Palmer, D., and Luttrell, L.M. (2011). Refining efficacy exploiting functional selectivity for drug discovery. Adv. Pharmacol. *62,* 79-107.

Grossman, S.D., Futter, M., Snyder, G.L., Allen, P.B., Naim, A.C., Greengard, P., and Hsieh-Wilson, L.C. (2004). Spinophilin is phosphorylated by Ca2+/calmodulindependent protein kinase II resulting in regulation of its binding to F-actin. J. Neurochem. *90,* 317-324.

Grossman, S.D., Hsieh-Wilson, L.C., Allen, P.B., Naim, A.C., and Greengard, P. (2002). The actin-binding domain of spinophilin is necessary and sufficient for targeting to dendritic spines. Neuromolecular Med. 2, 61-69.

Gubellini, P., Saulle, E., Centonze, D., Bonsi, P., Pisani, A., Bemardi, G., Conquet, F., and Calabresi, P. (2001). Selective involvement of mGlul receptors in corticostriatal LTD. Neuropharmacology *40,* 839-846.

Hepler, J.R., Berman, D.M., Gilman, A.G., and Kozasa, T. (1997). RGS4 and GAIP are GTPase-activating proteins for Gq alpha and block activation of phospholipase C beta by gamma-thio-GTP-Gq alpha. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. *94,* 428-432.

Hsieh-Wilson, L.C., Allen, P.B., Watanabe, T., Naim, A.C., and Greengard, P. (1999). Characterization of the neuronal targeting protein spinophilin and its interactions with protein phosphatase-1. Biochemistry *38,* 4365-4373.

Hsieh-Wilson, L.C., Benfenati, F., Snyder, G.L., Allen, P.B., Naim, A.C., and Greengard, P. (2003). Phosphorylation of spinophilin modulates its interaction with actin filaments. J. Biol. Chem. *278,* 1186-1194.

Iacovelli, L., Salvatore, L., Capobianco, L., Picascia, A., Barletta, E., Storto, M., Mariggio, S., Sallese, M., Porcellini, A., Nicoletti, F., and De Blasi, A. (2003). Role of G protein-coupled receptor kinase 4 and beta-arrestin 1 in agonist-stimulated metabotropic glutamate receptor 1 internalization and activation of mitogen-activated protein kinases. J. Biol. Chem. *278,* 12433-12442.

Jacoby, E., Bouhelal, R., Gerspacher, M., and Seuwen, K. (2006). The 7 TM G-proteincoupled receptor target family. ChemMedChem /, 761-782.

Kabbani, N., and Levenson, R. (2006). Antipsychotic-induced alterations in D2 dopamine receptor interacting proteins within the cortex. Neuroreport *17,* 299-301.

Kammermeier, P.J. (2008). Endogenous homer proteins regulate metabotropic glutamate receptor signaling in neurons. J. Neurosci. *28,* 8560-8567.

Kammermeier, P.J., and Ikeda, S.R. (2001). A role for Seven in Absentia Homolog (Siahla) in metabotropic glutamate receptor signaling. BMC Neurosci. 2, 15.

Kammermeier, P.J., and Ikeda, S.R. (1999). Expression of RGS2 alters the coupling of metabotropic glutamate receptor 1a to M-type K+ and N-type Ca2+ channels. Neuron *22,* 819-829.

Karim, F., Wang, C.C., and Gereau, R.W.,4th. (2001). Metabotropic glutamate receptor subtypes 1 and 5 are activators of extracellular signal-regulated kinase signaling required for inflammatory pain in mice. J. Neurosci. 21, 3771-3779.

Kawabata, S., Kohara, A., Tsutsumi, R., Itahana, H., Hayashibe, S., Yamaguchi, T., and Okada, M. (1998). Diversity of calcium signaling by metabotropic glutamate receptors. J. Biol. Chem. *273,* 17381-17385.

Kitano, J., Kimura, K., Yamazaki, Y., Soda, T., Shigemoto, R., Nakajima, Y., and Nakanishi, S. (2002). Tamalin, a PDZ domain-containing protein, links a protein complex formation of group 1 metabotropic glutamate receptors and the guanine nucleotide exchange factor cytohesins. J. Neurosci. 22, 1280-1289.

Krueger, D.D., Osterweil, E.K., and Bear, M.F. (2010). Activation of mGluR5 induces rapid and long-lasting protein kinase D phosphorylation in hippocampal neurons. J. Mol. Neurosci. *42,* 1-8.

Le Duigou, C., and Kullmann, D.M. (2011). Group I mGluR agonist-evoked long-term potentiation in hippocampal oriens intemeurons. J. Neurosci. *31,* 5777-5781.

Llansola, M., and Felipo, V. (2010). Metabotropic glutamate receptor 5, but not 1, modulates NMDA receptor-mediated activation of neuronal nitric oxide synthase. Neurochem. Int. *56,* 535-545.

Lu, R., Chen, Y., Cottingham, C., Peng, N., Jiao, K., Limbird, L.E., Wyss, J.M., and Wang, Q. (2010). Enhanced hypotensive, bradycardic, and hypnotic responses to alpha2 adrenergic agonists in spinophilin-null mice are accompanied by increased G protein coupling to the alpha2A-adrenergic receptor. Mol. Pharmacol. *78,* 279-286.

Lu, W.Y., Xiong, Z.G., Lei, S., Orser, B.A., Dudek, E., Browning, M.D., and MacDonald, J.F. (1999). G-protein-coupled receptors act via protein kinase C and Src to regulate NMDA receptors. Nat. Neurosci. *2,* 331-338.

Luttrell, L.M., Della Rocca, G.J., van Biesen, T., Luttrell, D.K., and Lefkowitz, R.J. (1997). Gbetagamma subunits mediate Src-dependent phosphorylation of the epidermal growth factor receptor. A scaffold for G protein-coupled receptor-mediated Ras activation. J. Biol. Chem. *272,* 4637-4644.

Magalhaes, A.C., Dunn, H., and Ferguson, S.S. (2011). Regulation of G Protein-Coupled Receptor Activity, Trafficking and Localization by Gpcr-Interacting Proteins. Br. J. Pharmacol. **\**

Mao, L., Yang, L., Tang, Q., Samdani, S., Zhang, G., and Wang, J.Q. (2005). The scaffold protein Homerlb/c links metabotropic glutamate receptor 5 to extracellular signal-regulated protein kinase cascades in neurons. J. Neurosci. *25,* 2741-2752.

Martin, L.J., Blackstone, C.D., Huganir, R.L., and Price, D.L. (1992). Cellular localization of a metabotropic glutamate receptor in rat brain. Neuron *9,* 259-270.

Morishita, W., Connor, J.H., Xia, H., Quinlan, E.M., Shenolikar, S., and Malenka, R.C. (2001). Regulation of synaptic strength by protein phosphatase 1. Neuron *32,* 1133- 1148.

Mundell, S.J., Pula, G., Carswell, K., Roberts, P.J., and Kelly, E. (2003). Agonistinduced internalization of metabotropic glutamate receptor 1 A: structural determinants for protein kinase C- and G protein-coupled receptor kinase-mediated internalization. J. Neurochem. *84,* 294-304.

Nakanishi, S. (1994). Metabotropic glutamate receptors: synaptic transmission, modulation, and plasticity. Neuron *13,* 1031-1037.

Nicodemo, A.A., Pampillo, M., Ferreira, L.T., Dale, L.B., Cregan, T., Ribeiro, F.M., and Ferguson, S.S. (2010). Pyk2 uncouples metabotropic glutamate receptor G protein signaling but facilitates ERK1/2 activation. Mol. Brain *3,* 4.

Niswender, C.M., and Conn, P.J. (2010). Metabotropic glutamate receptors: physiology, pharmacology, and disease. Annu. Rev. Pharmacol. Toxicol. *50,* 295-322.

O'Leary, D.M., Movsesyan, V., Vicini, S., and Faden, A.I. (2000). Selective mGluR5 antagonists MPEP and SIB-1893 decrease NMDA or glutamate-mediated neuronal toxicity through actions that reflect NMDA receptor antagonism. Br. J. Pharmacol. *131,* 1429-1437.

Peavy, R.D., Chang, M.S., Sanders-Bush, E., and Conn, P.J. (2001). Metabotropic glutamate receptor 5-induced phosphorylation of extracellular signal-regulated kinase in astrocytes depends on transactivation of the epidermal growth factor receptor. J. Neurosci. *21,* 9619-9628.

Peavy, R.D., and Conn, P.J. (1998). Phosphorylation of mitogen-activated protein kinase in cultured rat cortical glia by stimulation of metabotropic glutamate receptors. J. Neurochem. *71,* 603-612.

Pshenichkin, S., Dolinska, M., Klauzinska, M., Luchenko, V., Grajkowska, E., and Wroblewski, J.T. (2008). Dual neurotoxic and neuroprotective role of metabotropic glutamate receptor 1 in conditions of trophic deprivation - possible role as a dependence receptor. Neuropharmacology *55,* 500-508. **s**

Pula, G., Mundell, S.J., Roberts, P.J., and Kelly, E. (2004). Agonist-independent internalization of metabotropic glutamate receptor la is arrestin- and clathrin-dependent and is suppressed by receptor inverse agonists. J. Neurochem. *89,* 1009-1020.

Ragusa, M.J., Allaire, M., Naim, A.C., Page, R., and Peti, W. (2011). Flexibility in the PP1:spinophilin holoenzyme. FEBS Lett. 585, 36-40.

Ragusa, M.J., Dancheck, B., Critton, D.A., Naim, A.C., Page, R., and Peti, W. (2010). Spinophilin directs protein phosphatase 1 specificity by blocking substrate binding sites. Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol. *17,* 459-464.

Ribeiro, F.M., Ferreira, L.T., Paquet, M., Cregan, T., Ding, Q., Gros, R., and Ferguson, S.S. (2009). Phosphorylation-independent regulation of metabotropic glutamate receptor 5 desensitization and internalization by G protein-coupled receptor kinase 2 in neurons. J. Biol. Chem. *284,* 23444-23453.

Ribeiro, F.M., Pires, R.G., and Ferguson, S.S. (2011). Huntington's disease and Group I metabotropic glutamate receptors. Mol. Neurobiol. *43,* 1-11.

Riedel, G. (1996). Function of metabotropic glutamate receptors in learning and memory. Trends Neurosci. *19,* 219-224.

Romano, C., Sesma, M.A., McDonald, C.T., O'Malley, K., Van den Pol, A.N., and Olney, J.W. (1995). Distribution of metabotropic glutamate receptor mGluR5 immunoreactivity in rat brain. J. Comp. Neurol. *355,* 455-469.

Romano, C., van den Pol, A.N., and O'Malley, K.L. (1996). Enhanced early developmental expression of the metabotropic glutamate receptor mGluR5 in rat brain: protein, mRNA splice variants, and regional distribution. J. Comp. Neurol. *367,* 403- 412.

Ronesi, J.A., and Huber, K.M. (2008). Homer interactions are necessary for metabotropic glutamate receptor-induced long-term depression and translational activation. J. Neurosci. *28,* 543-547.

Sarrouilhe, D., di Tommaso, A., Metaye, T., and Ladeveze, V. (2006). Spinophilin: from partners to functions. Biochimie *88,* 1099-1113.

Satoh, A., Nakanishi, H., Obaishi, H., Wada, M., Takahashi, K., Satoh, K., Hirao, K., Nishioka, H., Hata, Y., Mizoguchi, A., and Takai, Y. (1998). Neurabin-II/spinophilin. An actin filament-binding protein with one pdz domain localized at cadherin-based cellcell adhesion sites. J. Biol. Chem. *273,* 3470-3475.

Saugstad, J.A., Marino, M.J., Folk, J.A., Hepler, J.R., and Conn, P.J. (1998). RGS4 inhibits signaling by group I metabotropic glutamate receptors. J. Neurosci. *18,* 905-913.

Shigemoto, R., Nakanishi, S., and Mizuno, N. (1992). Distribution of the mRNA for a metabotropic glutamate receptor (mGluR1) in the central nervous system: an in situ hybridization study in adult and developing rat. J. Comp. Neurol. *322,* 121-135.

Smith, F.D., Oxford, G.S., and Milgram, S.L. (1999). Association of the D2 dopamine receptor third cytoplasmic loop with spinophilin, a protein phosphatase-1-interacting protein. J. Biol. Chem. *274,* 19894-19900.

Stephens, D.J., and Banting, G. (2000). In vivo dynamics of the F-actin-binding protein neurabin-II. Biochem. J. *345 Pt 2,* 185-194.

Uematsu, K., Futter, M., Hsieh-Wilson, L.C., Higashi, H., Maeda, H., Nairn, A.C., Greengard, P., and Nishi, A. (2005). Regulation of spinophilin Ser94 phosphorylation in neostriatal neurons involves both DARPP-32-dependent and independent pathways. J. Neurochem. *95,* 1642-1652.

van Dam, E.J., Kamal, A., Artola, A., de Graan, P.N., Gispen, W.H., and Ramakers, G.M. (2004). Group I metabotropic glutamate receptors regulate the frequency-response function of hippocampal CA1 synapses for the induction of LTP and LTD. Eur. J. Neurosci. *19,* 112-118.

Vilardaga, J.P. (2010). Theme and variations on kinetics of GPCR activation/deactivation. J. Recept. Signal Transduct. Res. *30,* 304-312.

Voulalas, P.J., Holtzclaw, L., Wolstenholme, J., Russell, J.T., and Hyman, S.E. (2005). Metabotropic glutamate receptors and dopamine receptors cooperate to enhance extracellular signal-regulated kinase phosphorylation in striatal neurons. J. Neurosci. *25,* 3763-3773.

Wang, J.Q., Fibuch, E.E., and Mao, L. (2007). Regulation of mitogen-activated protein kinases by glutamate receptors. J. Neurochem. *100,* 1-11.

Wang, J.Q., Tang, Q., Parelkar, N.K., Liu, Z., Samdani, S., Choe, E.S., Yang, L., and Mao, L. (2004). Glutamate signaling to Ras-MAPK in striatal neurons: mechanisms for inducible gene expression and plasticity. Mol. Neurobiol. *29,* 1-14.

Wang, Q., and Limbird, L.E. (2002). Regulated interactions of the alpha *2A* adrenergic receptor with spinophilin, 14-3-3zeta, and arrestin 3. J. Biol. Chem. *277,* 50589-50596.

Wang, Q., Zhao, J., Brady, A.E., Feng, J., Allen, P.B., Lefkowitz, R.J., Greengard, P., and Limbird, L.E. (2004). Spinophilin blocks arrestin actions in vitro and in vivo at G protein-coupled receptors. Science *304,* 1940-1944.

Wang, X., Zeng, W., Kim, M.S., Allen, P.B., Greengard, P., and Muallem, S. (2007). Spinophilin/neurabin reciprocally regulate signaling intensity by G protein-coupled receptors. EMBO J. *26,* 2768-2776.

Wang, X., Zeng, W., Soyombo, A.A., Tang, W., Ross, E.M., Barnes, A.P., Milgram, S.L., Penninger, J.M., Allen, P.B., Greengard, P., and Muallem, S. (2005). Spinophilin regulates Ca2+ signalling by binding the N-terminal domain of RGS2 and the third intracellular loop of G-protein-coupled receptors. Nat. Cell Biol. 7, 405-411.

Xu, J., Chen, Y., Lu, R., Cottingham, C., Jiao, K., and Wang, Q. (2008). Protein kinase A phosphorylation of spinophilin modulates its interaction with the alpha 2A-adrenergic receptor (AR) and alters temporal properties of alpha 2AAR internalization. J. Biol. Chem. *283,* 14516-14523.

Yan, Z., Hsieh-Wilson, L., Feng, J., Tomizawa, K., Allen, P.B., Fienberg, A.A., Naim, A.C., and Greengard, P. (1999). Protein phosphatase 1 modulation of neostriatal AMPA channels: regulation by DARPP-32 and spinophilin. Nat. Neurosci. *2,* 13-17.