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Abstract

Pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC) is the third leading cause of cancer death in North America. The highest risk factor for PDAC is recurrent pancreatitis. While the link between PDAC and pancreatitis is unknown, de-differentiation of acinar cells is common to both diseases. Our lab has shown that Activating Transcription Factor 3 (ATF3), a factor upregulated during pancreatic injury, contributes to the development of acinar-to-ductal cell metaplasia (ADM), a precursor phenotype of PDAC. The goal of this study was to identify how ATF3 contributes to ADM. I hypothesize that ATF3 regulates acinar gene expression promoting ADM. We observed decreased ADM development in Atf3−/− acinar cultures, along with expression changes in differentiation genes and ADM promoting pathways (EGFR) in vivo. Assessment following chronic injury indicated absence of ATF3 resulted in decreased tissue damage. These results suggest a novel mechanism where ATF3 promotes ADM through loss of the mature acinar cell phenotype.
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<td>I.P.</td>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRE1</td>
<td>Inositol-requiring enzyme 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JNK</td>
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<tr>
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<tr>
<td>PCR</td>
<td>Polymerase Chain Reaction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDAC</td>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pdx1</td>
<td>Pancreatic and duodenal homebox 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PERK</td>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>PI3k</td>
<td>Phosphoinositide 3-kinase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRSS1</td>
<td>Cationic trypsinogen gene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTEN</td>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ptf1a</td>
<td>Pancreas specific transcription factor 1a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rbpj</td>
<td>Recombining binding protein suppressor of hairless</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAL</td>
<td>Saline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abbreviation</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDS</td>
<td>Sodium dodecyl sulfate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sox9</td>
<td>Sry-related high-mobility group box 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPINK1</td>
<td>Serine protease inhibitor Kazal type 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STAT3</td>
<td>Signal transducer and activator of transcription 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBS</td>
<td>Tris Buffered Solution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TGFα</td>
<td>Transforming Growth Factor Alpha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TTR</td>
<td>Transthyrein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UPR</td>
<td>Unfolded Protein Response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xbp</td>
<td>X-box-binding protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>µg</td>
<td>Micrograms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>µl</td>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>µm</td>
<td>Micrometres</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Chapter 1

1 Introduction

1.1 Overview

Pancreatitis is a debilitating disease defined by inflammation and fibrosis of the exocrine pancreas (Roberts, 2015). Patients diagnosed with pancreatitis are 20 to 60 times more likely to develop pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC), which has a 6-7% survival rate 5 years post-diagnosis (Yadav & Lowenfels, 2013). The factors that link these diseases together are not well understood. Genes involved in stabilization of the mature acinar cell phenotype often have altered expression in pancreatic injury models (Jensen et al., 2005; Kowalik et al., 2007). In contrast, mutations of developmental genes are rare in PDAC patients, suggesting alternative mechanisms promote acinar cell de-differentiation and cancer progression. Our lab has identified Activating Transcription Factor 3 (ATF3), a transcription factor upregulated following activation of the unfolded protein response (UPR), as a potential factor affecting acinar cell gene expression during pancreatitis leading to acinar-to-duct cell metaplasia (ADM), a precursor phenotype of PDAC (Jensen et al., 2005; Shi et al., 2013). The goal of my research is to determine whether ATF3 is a potential link between chronic pancreatitis (CP) and increased susceptibility to PDAC, and to elucidate the signalling pathways by which ATF3 regulates ADM.
1.2 Pancreatitis

The pancreas develops from the endoderm and is divided into endocrine and exocrine portions (Jennings et al., 2015). The exocrine pancreas represents 98% of the total volume and is composed of two specialized cell types: acinar cells and duct cells (Williams, 2010). Acinar cells are responsible for the release of digestive pro-enzyme containing zymogen granules, while duct cells release enzymes, ions and water into the gastrointestinal tract (Williams, 2010; Reichert & Rustgi, 2011). Dysregulation of exocytosis can lead to initiation of the inflammatory response, and loss of epithelial tissue. This pathological process is known as pancreatitis. Pancreatitis is a disease of the pancreas associated with auto-digestion leading to inflammation and fibrosis (Roberts, 2015; Storz, 2017). Pancreatic enzymes are pre-maturely activated in acinar cells leading to tissue destruction (Papachristou et al., 2007). Acute episodes of pancreatic injury are reversible, and complete pancreatic regeneration occurs within 7 days in mouse models of pancreatitis (Grady et al., 1998; Yadav et al., 2011). However, chronic injury leads to extensive damage and fibrosis through alterations in cell organization, cytokine production and stellate cell activation (Grady et al., 1998; Papachristou et al., 2007; Aghdassi et al., 2011). Most cases of pancreatitis are caused by excessive alcohol consumption, or gall stone obstruction from the bile duct (Roberts, 2015). In addition, there are cases of hereditary pancreatitis, in which certain genetic mutations promote disease progression (Aghdassi et al., 2011; Roberts, 2015). These mutations are typically related to digestive enzyme activation, such as PRSS1 (cationic trypsinogen gene), SPINK1 (serine protease inhibitor Kazal type 1), and CTRC (chymotrypsinogen C) (Whitcomb, 2010; Roberts, 2015).
Patients diagnosed with pancreatitis are more likely to develop PDAC (Lowenfels, 2006; Yadav et al., 2011), which is associated with extensive fibrosis, inflammatory infiltration, and acinar cell atrophy (Logsdon & Ji, 2013). The factors that link these diseases together are not well understood and therefore understanding the mechanisms of pancreatic injury are crucial in developing preventative or therapeutic strategies to decrease the incidence of PDAC.

1.2.1 Cell Responses to Injury

Following pancreatitis, the pancreas is challenged to regenerate and replenish damaged cells (Murtaugh & Keefe, 2015). Injury stimulates a de-differentiation of acinar cells to a progenitor-like phenotype, followed by proliferation, before re-differentiation into mature acinar cells (Jensen et al., 2005; Hess et al., 2011). However, if this regenerative process is compromised by recurrent injury, the ability of cells to re-differentiate to the acinar phenotype is lost and this leads to an increased potential for oncogenic transformations. This process is termed acinar-to-ductal metaplasia (ADM), and has been extensively cited as a precursor phenotype to PDAC (Logsdon & Ji, 2009; Morris et al., 2010; Shi et al., 2013; Krah et al., 2015). Histologically, ADM appears in both human and mouse tissue as the accumulation of tubular complexes (Figure 1.1; Hess et al., 2011; Prevot et al., 2012). While there is still debate regarding the true cellular basis of pancreatic metaplasia, it is widely accepted that ADMs are derived, at least in part, from an existing acinar population that has undergone de-differentiation (Means et al., 2005; Houbracken et al., 2016). ADM is an early event in PDAC development and is thought to be the source of neoplasias
Figure 1.1 Schematic illustration depicting acinar-to-duct cell metaplasia

Following pancreatitis, acinar cells are stimulated to de-differentiate in an attempt to repopulate damaged areas. If this process is compromised, acinar cells can de-differentiate into a progenitor-like cell, then re-differentiate into a duct-like cell in a process termed acinar-to-duct cell metaplasia (ADM). This process is driven by decreases in the acinar transcriptional program (Mist1, Nr5a2, Rbpj), and increases in progenitor and ductal programs (Sox9, Pdx1, Rbpj, Krt19).
(Scotti et al., 2012). These cells transform into pre-malignant cells, and can become cancerous when persistent growth factor signalling is present (Ebine et al., 2016). Development of the ADM phenotype occurs through a fine-tuned transcriptional program in which there is a loss of the mature acinar program, and an upregulation of the progenitor and ductal program (Rooman & Real, 2012; Ebine et al., 2016). Identifying the molecular factors responsible for this transformation is crucial to understand the initiation of the ADM process, and how this increases susceptibility to PDAC development (Figure 1.1).

1.2.2 Cellular and Molecular Events in ADM

Several transcription factors are responsible for regulating the acinar differentiation program. When these factors become differentially expressed, either through experimental knockout models, or downregulation in human disease, loss of acinar identity occurs thereby allowing ADM (Prevot et al., 2012; von Figura et al., 2014; Storz, 2017). Normal acinar and duct development occurs through a differentiation process whereby endoderm cells differentiate to pancreatic progenitor cells through combined expression of pancreatic and duodenal homeobox 1 (PDX1), pancreas transcription factor 1a (PTF1A), recombining binding protein suppressor of hairless (RBPJ) and Sry-related high-mobility group box 9 (SOX9) (von Figura et al., 2014; Jennings et al., 2015; Storz, 2017). Acinar cells require the expression of PTF1A/RBPJL, nuclear receptor subfamily 5 group A member 2 (NR5A2), MIST1 and GATA6 for complete differentiation, whereby ductal cells differentiate through factors such as hepatocyte nuclear factor 6 (HNF6), and SOX9 (Pin et al., 2000; von Figura et al., 2014; Jennings et al., 2015). Re-
establishing a progenitor-like transcriptional profile is part of the process of ADM 
(Figure 1.1, Means et al., 2005).

A key regulator for the maintenance of acinar cell identity is MIST1, a basic helix-loop-
helix (bHLH) transcription factor responsible for regulating acinar cell maturation and function (Pin et al., 2000; Shi, 2009). Germ line deletion of Mist1 leads to incomplete acinar cell maturation and pre-mature enzyme activation (Pin et al., 2001; Johnson et al., 2004). This increases sensitivity to pancreatitis due to changes in intracellular communications and exocytosis (Kowalik et al., 2007; Shi, 2009). In addition, Mist1 deletion in combination with expression of cancer promoting factors (such as activated Kirstan Ras (KRAS)) accelerates ADM and PDAC development, while forced Mist1 expression prevents KRAS-induced neoplasia formation (Shi, 2009; Johnson et al., 2012).

RBPJ and RBPJL, contribute to a progenitor or mature acinar cell phenotype, respectively, through interaction with the PTF1A complex. In pancreatic progenitor cells, RBPJ is part of the PTF1A complex targeting PTF1A to genes involved in cell cycle regulation. As cells mature to an acinar cell fate, the PTF1A complex binds to the Rbpjl promoter to increase transcription. RBPJL replaces RBPJ in the PTF1A complex and binds gene promoters necessary for acinar cell development (Masui et al., 2010; Krah et al., 2015). If Ptf1a is lost in murine (6-8 weeks old) acinar cells, they become sensitized to KRAS-oncogenic transformation. This prompts rapid and extensive ADM (Krah et al., 2015).
NR5A2 is another factor that co-operates with the PTF1 complex to regulate acinar function through maintenance of genes involved in exocytosis. NR5A2 is of particular interest in an injury model as it is critical for regeneration following acute pancreatitis (von Figura et al., 2014). While not necessary for pancreatic development, loss of Nr5a2 leads to a downregulation of factors indicative of terminally differentiated acinar cells (i.e. Mist1 and Carboxypeptidase A1 (CPA1)), and ultimately increases the capacity of acinar cells to undergo ADM (von Figura et al., 2014). This creates a permissive environment to drive neoplasia and cancer. In contrast, GATA6 is required for complete maturation and maintenance of acinar differentiation by regulating gene expression of maturation factors such as Mist1 and Rbpjl (Martinelli et al., 2016). Loss of GATA6 results in acinar atrophy, incomplete acinar differentiation, and promotes persistent ADM (Martinelli et al., 2016; Storz, 2017). Evidence of GATA6 loss in human PDAC suggests that it acts as a tumour suppressor, since increased expression locks epithelial cells in a differentiated state, and blocks cancer formation (Martinelli et al., 2016).

Expression of progenitor and ductal markers are essential in driving the metaplastic phenotype (Means et al., 2005; Ebine et al., 2016; Storz, 2017). In normal acinar cells, Sox9 is expressed in low levels (Jensen et al., 2005; Storz, 2017). Ductal factors are expressed in pancreatic acinar cells prior to transformation, thereby priming cells for ADM. HNF6 is responsible for inducing Sox9 expression, which remains elevated in ADM (Prevot et al., 2012). SOX9 also induces expression of Krt19, a duct-specific marker (Kopp et al., 2012). SOX9 is required for neoplastic formation, as loss of Sox9 in combination with additional PDAC promoting factors did not affect pancreas morphology (Kopp et al., 2012). In addition to Sox9, Pdx1 is upregulated during
pancreatitis and PDAC, and is believed to aid in driving the process of ADM (Miyatsuka, 2006; Storz, 2017). *Pdx1* is expressed in pancreatic progenitor cells, which give rise to specialized cells of both endocrine and exocrine tissue. *Pdx1* is typically downregulated after late embryonic development, but is increased in patients and mouse models of PDAC (Miyatsuka, 2006). PDX1 regulates ADM through signal transducer and activator of transcription 3 (STAT3), a regulator of stem cell self-renewal and inflammation (Miyatsuka, 2006).

These changes in gene expression that occur are a result of acinar cell plasticity (Stanger & Hebrok, 2013). When exposed to injury, acinar cells readily de-differentiate to repopulate damaged areas (Jensen *et al.*, 2005). In addition to these genetic changes that drive the ADM process, additional signalling pathways are upregulated that are known to initiate and maintain the de-differentiation and trans-differentiation processes.

### 1.3 Signalling Pathways in Acinar-to-Duct Metaplasia

Pancreatitis leads to activation of signalling pathways that are required to initiate and maintain the de-differentiation and trans-differentiation processes. *In vitro* evidence suggests that multiple factors are implicated in driving the ADM process, including increased epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) signalling (Means *et al.*, 2005; Ardito *et al.*, 2012; Eser *et al.*, 2014). ADM was demonstrated in 3D acinar cultures following EGFR pathway activation (Means *et al.*, 2005; Scotti *et al.*, 2012). Therefore, understanding EGFR signalling, and its effects in cancer development, is necessary to determine increased susceptibility to PDAC following chronic pancreatitis.
EGFR has been implicated in the pathogenesis of many epithelial cancers through inappropriate activation caused by mutations or increased expression of ligands. EGFR is a cell surface receptor belonging to the ErbB family of tyrosine kinases (Ardito et al., 2012; Yewale et al., 2013). EGFR consists of an extracellular signalling domain, a hydrophobic transmembrane domain, an intracellular catalytic tyrosine kinase domain, and several cytosolic tyrosine residues. When ligands, such as epidermal growth factor (EGF) or Transforming Growth Factor Alpha (TGFα) bind to the EGFR extracellular domain, receptor dimerization and activation occurs through auto-phosphorylation (Yewale et al., 2013). These phosphorylated regions act as docking sites for cytosolic proteins responsible for activating downstream events such as proliferation, survival, and cell differentiation (Yewale et al., 2013; Logsdon & Lu, 2016). The activation of EGFR leads to induction of several downstream signalling pathways including phosphoinositide 3-kinase (PI3K), phosphate and tensin homolog (PTEN), protein kinase B (AKT), RAS, and janus kinase (JAK)/STAT (Fitzgerald et al., 2015; Logsdon & Lu, 2016). Of particular interest to ADM development is the RAS pathway, as mutations in the RAS protein, specifically KRAS, have been implicated in PDAC development (Logsdon & Ji, 2009). The RAS pathway consists of the following proteins: Raf (MAPKKK), MEK1/2 (MAPKK) and ERK1/2 (MAPK) (Fitzgerald et al., 2015). Raf kinase phosphorylates and activates MEK1/2, which in turn can phosphorylate and activate ERK1/2. Active ERK proteins activate a variety of downstream cytosolic and nuclear proteins, including nuclear factor kappa-light-chain-enhancer of activated B cells (NFκB) or Transcription Factor AP-1 (JUN), that are responsible for regulating gene expression (Figure 1.2; Chang et al., 2003; Molina & Adjei, 2006; Roberts & Der, 2007).
Figure 1.2 Schematic depiction of the Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor Signalling Pathway

The Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor (EGFR) Signalling Pathway is responsible for regulating cellular processes, such as proliferation and cell cycle progression. Ras phosphorylates and activates Raf, which sequentially activates Mek1/2, and then ERK1/2. ERK proteins activate cytosolic and nuclear proteins, such as nuclear factor kappa-light-chain-enhancer of activated B cells (NFκB) or Transcription Factor AP-1 (JUN), responsible for regulating gene expression.
Dysregulation of the EGFR pathway is common; over 90% of pancreatic cancer cases present with a constitutively active mutation in the KRAS protein (Molina & Adjei, 2006; Aghdassi et al., 2011; Guerra & Barbacid, 2013; Logsdon & Lu, 2016). The most common KRAS mutation, a single nucleotide substitution termed KRAS$^{G12D}$, leads to constitutive signalling and increased proliferation and cell survival, promoting a cancerous phenotype. Evidence of EGFR signalling in ADM and pancreatic cancer development has become a widely studied topic (Molina & Adjei, 2006; Storz, 2017).

Following exposure of pancreatic injury, acinar cells increase EGFR signalling (Ardito et al., 2012). Activation of EGFR signalling in murine pancreata induces ADM and formation of PDAC (Means et al., 2005; Scotti et al., 2012). This is a cell autonomous event as treatment of isolated primary pancreatic acinar cells with TGF$\alpha$ induces transformation into metaplastic duct structures (Means et al., 2005; Liou et al., 2015). EGFR is necessary for ADM since inhibition with the EGFR inhibitor erlotinib leads to normal, non-transformed tissues that present with less duct-like cells. Complete ablation of Egfr eliminates TGF$\alpha$ induced metaplasia in vivo. All components of the EGFR pathway are necessary to transduce signals, as inhibition of downstream factors, such as MEK, also lead to decreased trans-differentiation (Ardito et al., 2012).

Evidence of increased EGFR signalling is also present in cases of human pancreatic cancer (Molina & Adjei, 2006; Guerra & Barbacid, 2013; Shi et al., 2013). In addition to an increased accumulation of EGFR and its ligands in patients with chronic pancreatitis and PDAC, there is evidence of KRAS mutations (Molina & Adjei, 2006; Roberts & Der, 2007; Morris et al., 2010). While direct inhibition of EGFR has not proven to be a
successful therapeutic, in combination with additional therapies and signalling blockades it may be a promising avenue to explore.

Mutant forms of KRAS on their own do not cause the development of ADM and PDAC (Morris et al., 2010; Eser et al., 2014; Logsdon & Lu, 2016). Secondary events are necessary to push the phenotype, including loss of terminal acinar differentiation factors (such as Mist1 or Nr5a2), or initiation of inflammatory pathways (Shi, 2009; Masui et al., 2010; Logsdon & Lu, 2016; Storz, 2017). To fully understand the mechanism by which ADM develops, it is important to investigate additional pathways that become affected during pancreatitis.

1.4 The Unfolded Protein Response

1.4.1 General Overview

The unfolded protein response (UPR) is a cell stress response mechanism that is activated by Endoplasmic Reticulum (ER) stress (Harding et al., 2000; Kubisch et al., 2006; Thompson et al., 2009). The UPR is a highly conserved pathway between yeast and mammals and serves an important function in maintaining protein homeostasis in the cell (Lee et al., 2002; Iwawaki et al., 2010). ER stress occurs when protein folding demand exceeds folding capacity. Such demands can be placed on the cell through changes to gene expression, gene mutations, or environmental factors such as nutrient starvation, ischemia, viruses, or changes in temperature (Lee, 1992; Bernales, 2006; Wu & Kaufman, 2006; Maas & Diehl, 2015). These changes can be detrimental to normal cell function, so the cell must find ways to accommodate these changes to persist survival. To
do so, the cell activates the UPR, which involves signaling between the ER and the nucleus (Bernales, 2006; Wu & Kaufman, 2006; Thompson et al., 2009).

Under physiological conditions, plasma membrane proteins travel to the ER where proper folding is facilitated by ER-resident chaperones. If the ER senses an increased demand for folding capacity, the UPR is activated leading to enlargement of the ER, and increased expression of chaperone proteins (Harding et al., 2000; Kubisch et al., 2006; Sah et al., 2014). However, if the cell is stressed beyond the point of capacity, the UPR activates pathways to decrease protein translation, decrease overall loading of proteins onto the ER, or target unfolded/misfolded proteins for degradation, via ER associated degradation (ERAD) (Wu & Kaufman, 2006; Wu et al., 2007; Maas & Diehl, 2015). If the UPR is activated for a prolonged period, and none of these mechanisms compensate for the changes in protein levels to restore homeostasis, the cell will be targeted for apoptosis to prevent accumulation of damaged proteins (Lee et al., 2002; Bernales, 2006). The coping mechanisms outlined above are activated through three specific arms of the UPR pathway: PKR-like Endoplasmic Reticulum Kinase (PERK), Inositol-requiring enzyme 1 (IRE1), and Activating Transcription Factor 6 (ATF6) (Lee, 1992; Haze et al., 1999; Harding et al., 2000; Bernales, 2006; Liang et al., 2006).

PERK, IRE1, and later ATF6, are activated in response to ER stress through dissociation from BiP/GRP78, an ER resident chaperone protein part of the Hsp70 family (Wei et al., 1995; Bernales, 2006). The first immediate response is PERK and IRE1. Each pathway is activated by dimerization, and autophosphorylation (Harding et al., 2000; Ron et al., 2000). PERK pathway activation leads to eukaryotic initiation factor 2 alpha (eIF2α) phosphorylation, decreased protein translation in the cell, and increased translation of
downstream proteins (ATF4) that function as transcription factors (Haze et al., 1999; Bernales, 2006; Zhang et al., 2008). IRE1 activates endoribonuclease activity, leading to altered splicing of \textit{X-box-binding protein (Xbp)} 1 mRNA, allowing it to generate a transcription factor (spliced [s] XBP1), which increases expression of ER-chaperone proteins and activates ERAD (Calfon et al., 2002; Lee et al., 2002; Wu & Kaufman, 2006; Sah et al., 2014). ATF6 becomes activated through translocation to the cis-golgi compartment, where it is cleaved by site-1 and -2 proteases. Cleaved ATF6 is then translocated to the nucleus to increase transcription of ER-chaperone proteins, and elicit transcriptional activity alongside ATF4 and sXBP1 (Haze et al., 1999; Bernales, 2006; Wu & Kaufman, 2006). These pathways function to maintain physiological levels of protein in the cell (Figure 1.3). This is especially important in pancreatic acinar cells, as they are subjected to high levels of stress, due to the higher than average protein demand (Kubisch et al., 2006; Iwawaki et al., 2010). While the primary function of the UPR is to maintain homeostatic levels of protein, it can contribute to development of pathological processes as well, including pancreatitis (Kubisch et al., 2006; Lugea, 2011; Sah et al., 2014; Karki et al., 2015).

1.4.2 Involvement of UPR in Pancreatitis and PDAC

Due to the acinar cells’ large demand for protein synthesis and activity, they are subjected to higher than normal stress levels (Kubisch et al., 2006). Acute or chronic exposures to elevated stress signals leads to disruption in protein homeostasis in the cell, and initiation of pancreatitis. The ER stress response becomes activated in stressed
Figure 1.3 The Unfolded Protein Response

The unfolded protein response (UPR) is a cell stress mechanism responsible for maintaining protein homeostasis. The Endoplasmic Reticulum (ER) senses when the protein folding demand of the cell exceeds its capacity. The ER will activate 3 specific signalling pathways, PKR-like Endoplasmic Reticulum Kinase (PERK), Inositol-requiring enzyme 1 (IRE1), and Activating Transcription Factor 6 (ATF6), in an attempt to decrease protein translation, decrease protein load, target proteins for degradation, and finally if stress is unresolved, activate cellular apoptosis. All 3 pathways are responsible for activating proteins that will act as transcription factors to aid in activation and regulation of these processes (Cláudio et al., 2013).
pancreatic acinar cells, as evidenced by increased expression of genes that are targets of ER stress mechanisms (Sah et al., 2014). Pancreatic acinar cells increase the ER stress response in response to multiple environmental stimuli used in mouse models of pancreatitis, including forced cholecystokinin (CCK) receptor activation through cerulein injections, injections of L-arginine, and through ethanol feeding (Liang et al., 1996; Kubisch et al., 2006; Kowalik et al., 2007; Lugea, 2011).

Regardless of the method by which pancreatitis is initiated, the UPR is rapidly activated. Following induction of pancreatitis by cerulein injections, PERK and IRE1 are activated by autophosphorylation (Liang et al., 2006). A similar effect is observed when mice are administered L-arginine, as activation of all major UPR pathways were detected within 4 hours of pancreatitis initiation (Lugea, 2011). While chronic alcohol consumption may be a predisposing factor to pancreatitis development in murine models and humans, exposure to this insult alone does not cause the disease to progress. However, ethanol exposure leads to increased UPR activity, as evidenced by increased spliced XBP1 levels (Lugea, 2011; Alahari et al., 2011). This may underlie the susceptibility to pancreatitis if the acinar cells are exposed to additional injury. In addition to UPR activation in the L-arginine and cerulein treated models, there is evidence of upregulation of downstream transcription factors that regulate the UPR response, such as ATF4, ATF3, and CCAAT/enhancer-binding protein alpha (C/EBPα) (Ji et al., 2003; Kubisch et al., 2006).

The primary function of the UPR is to maintain protein homeostasis (Bernales, 2006). However, in instances where the cell has an overwhelming amount of damaged protein, prolonging survival of the cell may be detrimental. In this case, the UPR now becomes pathological. This is especially true of cancerous cells (Gifford et al., 2016). Cancer cells
are constantly under ER stress due to hypoxic conditions, low nutrients, and high loads of mutant protein (Namba & Kodama, 2015). There is evidence in pancreatic cancer that the UPR promotes chemo-resistance through BiP/GRP78 expression. GRP78, an ER-chaperone protein, activates anti-apoptotic pathways leading to chemo-resistant tumours. This demonstrates how UPR activation, and in turn BiP/GRP78 expression, can no longer function to maintain physiological protein levels, but actually promote a cancerous phenotype (Gifford et al., 2016).

Defining the function of downstream transcription factors of the UPR will help in understanding the role of the UPR in pancreatitis and PDAC development. Since these factors do not have roles exclusive to protein homeostasis but may also contribute to the promotion of pancreatic disease, studying them may identify mechanisms of pancreatic disease development.

1.5 Activating Transcription Factor 3

1.5.1 General Overview

The activating transcription factor/cAMP responsive element binding (ATF/CREB) proteins belong to the basic leucine zipper (bZIP) family of transcription factors. All ATF/CREB proteins bind to similar consensus sites and can be grouped based on their amino acid similarities (Hai & Hartman, 2001). These subgroups include ATF1, 2, 3, 4, 6, and 7, which share the bZIP binding element (Hai & Hartman, 2001; Thompson et al., 2009). BZIP proteins can bind to DNA as homodimers with each other, or heterodimers with other transcription factors including C/EBP, AP1, p53, and SMAD (Thompson et
Depending on the binding partner, this can affect the DNA binding specificity and transcriptional activities (Hai & Hartman, 2001).

ATF3 is 181 amino acids, has a molecular mass of 22 kDa, and has only one defined functional domain - the bZIP domain (Thompson et al., 2009). ATF3 interacts with other ATF/CREB proteins, and depending on the promoter it is bound to, can act as an activator or repressor of transcription (Hai & Hartman, 2001; Thompson et al., 2009). The Atf3 promoter contains ATF/CRE and AP1 sites indicating it is regulated by other ATF proteins. Atf3 is a stress-inducible gene. Atf3 mRNA expression is low in quiescent cells, but is induced by a variety of stress signals (Hai & Hartman, 2001; Lu et al., 2006; Thompson et al., 2009). ATF3 expression is increased in a variety of tissues, including heart, liver, kidney, and skin, in response to ischemia, hypoxia, direct mechanical or chemical injury, or wounding (Hai & Hartman, 2001; Pelzer et al., 2006; Lu et al., 2006; Li et al., 2010). Cellular stress signals, such as elevated temperature, cytokine, genotoxic agents, cytotoxic agents, ER stress and DNA damage, also increase ATF3 expression in vitro (Li et al., 2010; Wang et al., 2015; Zhao et al., 2016).

Atf3 mRNA expression is increased within 2 hours of stress stimulation in mouse embryonic fibroblast cells, in this case by thapsigargin treatment and amino acid starvation (Liang et al., 1996; Jiang et al., 2004). This quick response indicates its direct activation by cellular stress response mechanisms, such as the UPR (Liang et al., 1996; Bernales, 2006). Studies have shown that activation of the UPR leads to phosphorylation and increased expression of ATF2 and cJUN, through activation of the IRE1 pathway (Jiang et al., 2004), which can target and regulate promoters containing ATF/CRE or AP1 sites (Liang et al., 1996). cJUN and ATF2 have been shown to work in co-operation
to bind to the Atf3 promoter and activate its transcription (Liang et al., 1996; Jiang et al., 2004). In addition, other arms of the UPR pathway, such as PERK or ATF6, have also been shown to increase Atf3 expression (Jiang et al., 2004; Kowalik et al., 2007). The PERK pathway, upon stress induction, leads to phosphorylation of eIF2α, which blocks its ability to associate in a transcriptional complex, and decreases protein translation and increases ATF4 expression. ATF4 goes on to then increase Atf3 expression through binding to a C/EBP-ATF response element (CARE) located on the Atf3 promoter (Figure 1.4) (Bernales, 2006; Kowalik et al., 2007; Fu & Kilberg, 2013). Atf3 expression is then maintained through blocking of the negative feedback mechanism on eIF2α (Kowalik et al., 2007). The activation of ATF3 is dependent on this pathway as deletion of eIF2 kinase blocks synthesis of Atf3 mRNA and protein (Jiang et al., 2004). As well, in conjunction with eIF2α, ATF6 increases expression of Atf3 (Kubisch et al., 2006).

Atf3 expression is increased through cellular stress mechanisms both directly and indirectly (Hartman et al., 2004; Li et al., 2010; Kwon et al., 2015). The increase in expression following stress exposure suggests the roles ATF3 may play following UPR activation. Understanding how ATF3 may be eliciting its effects as a transcription factor will provide insight into how it may regulate outcomes in damaged acinar cells.
Activating Transcription Factor 3 (ATF3) is activated by the UPR through a variety of cellular stresses. Specifically, PERK proteins sense unfolded proteins in the extracellular space and phosphorylates and de-activates eiF2α, leading to decreased protein translation and increased ATF4 expression. ATF4 then translocates to the nucleus where it binds to C/EBP-ATF response element (CARE) sequences in the ATF3 promoter, activating its expression.
1.5.2 ATF3 Transcriptional Activity

There is some evidence that when ATF3 binds as a homodimer, it acts a transcriptional repressor, and when bound as a heterodimer, it activates transcription (Zhao et al., 2016). ATF3 also exists in an alternatively spliced form that lacks the leucine zipper. The two splice forms of the protein have different transcriptional functions. It has been suggested that the full length isoform (181 amino acids) may repress rather than activate transcription (Hashimoto et al., 2002; Thompson et al., 2009; Wang & Yan, 2015; Kwon et al., 2015). The truncated ATF3 protein, termed ATFΔZip (135 amino acids), lacks the leucine zipper domain, and can therefore not bind to DNA. This shorter isoform can however bind to other transcription factors, and thereby inhibit binding to promoter regions leading to inhibition of transcription (Hashimoto et al., 2002; James et al., 2006; Wang & Yan, 2015; Kwon et al., 2015).

More recently it has been recognized that the function of ATF3 depends on the transcriptional complex it is a part of and the promoter region it is bound to, therefore the role of ATF3 as a repressor or activator cannot be generalized (Thompson et al., 2009, Zhao et al., 2016). ATF3 activates transcription through direct protein-protein interactions with DNA damage inducible transcript 3 (CHOP), which leads to apoptosis (Liang et al., 1996). ATF3 may also regulate gene expression through direct promoter interactions, as evidenced by reduced inflammatory activation in injured kidney cells when ATF3 recruits inhibitory histone deacetylase (HDAC) 1 to the NFκB promoter (Li et al., 2010). ATF3 not only binds to promoter regions to elicit its effects, but also interacts with enhancer regions, as defined by binding to p300 and K27 acetylated histone
H3 (H3k27ac) sites (Zhao et al., 2016). In fact, ATF3 may act as a “primer” for other activating or repressing transcription factors, serving to recruit these proteins to enhancer regions to affect the transcriptional program (Zhao et al., 2016). Overall, ATF3 plays a complex role in regulating transcriptional programs, and the protein interactions and genomic sites where ATF3 binds will define how and which transcriptional programs are being regulated (Li et al., 2010; Zhao et al., 2016).

1.5.3 Physiological protective roles of ATF3

ATF3 has been shown to have protective roles in certain physiological processes including inflammation and apoptosis. Specifically, ATF3 has been shown to negatively regulate transcription of pro-inflammatory cytokines and function as a tumour suppressor (Huang et al., 2008; Li et al., 2010; Kwon et al., 2015).

Deletion of Atf3 in primary macrophages leads to elevated expression of interleukin (IL)-6 and IL-12p40 pro-cytokines. This is specific to asthma, an inflammatory disease of the lungs, where Atf3 null mice exhibit increased airway resistance corresponding to increased inflammatory cell infiltration (Gilchrist et al., 2008; Thompson et al., 2009). In addition to the roles in lung tissue, ATF3 has been shown to protect against acute kidney injury through regulation of NFkB in both a direct and indirect manner. Directly, ATF3 inhibits the inflammatory response following acute kidney damage by binding to the p65 subunit of NFkB, preventing nuclear translocation and downstream activation of inflammation (Kwon et al., 2015). Indirectly, ATF3 recruits inhibitory HDAC1 to the NFkB promoter, therefore inhibiting expression of pro-inflammatory factors (Li et al., 2010).
In addition to these anti-inflammatory effects, ATF3 can act in prostate cancer cells to induce apoptosis. KLF6, a tumour suppressor gene, acts directly on the Atf3 promoter to increase expression, leading to apoptosis. The role of ATF3 as a tumour suppressor is supported by gene knockdown experiments, where loss of Atf3 blocks apoptosis in prostate cancer cell lines (Thompson et al., 2009; Wang et al., 2015; Ebine et al., 2016). Similarly, ATF3 functions in breast cancer cells to induce apoptosis and decrease metastatic potential. There is evidence that high progesterone levels, present in ovarian cancer (OVCA) cell lines, upregulates expression of Atf3 and apoptosis. As well, ATF3 has been implicated in regulating the metastatic potential of OVCA cells as ectopic overexpression of ATF3 decreases invasiveness compared to controls (Syed et al., 2005; Thompson et al., 2009). Overall, these studies suggest the role of Atf3 in decreasing the oncogenic potential in prostate and breast cancer cells through its ability to increase apoptosis and decrease invasiveness.

While ATF3 has been shown to have a protective role in certain physiological processes, such as inflammation or tumour suppression, there is evidence to suggest that it may have detrimental roles as well, and function to promote and maintain a pathological outcome (Bandyopadhyay et al., 2006; Pelzer et al., 2006; Thompson et al., 2009).

1.5.4 ATF3 in pathology

Expression of ATF3 is induced by elevated stress through direct disruption of normal cell function, such as DNA damage, or through cancer promoting environmental signals, such as ultraviolet radiation (Pelzer et al., 2006; Zhao et al., 2016). Since ATF3’s expression is associated with pathological factors, it is predictive that ATF3 would then be
associated with promoting oncogenic transformation of cells. This occurs in many tissues such as breast cancer, prostate cancers and lymphomas (Thompson et al., 2009). Although ATF3 can inhibit cell cycle progression in cancer cells, thereby decreasing cancer growth, there is evidence that overexpression of ATF3 in human prostate cancer cell lines led to increased proliferation and G1 to S growth phase transition (Pelzer et al., 2006), while also promoting metastasis and increased invasiveness (Bandyopadhyay et al., 2006). A similar effect is evident in Hodgkin lymphoma cells, where Atf3 expression is increased through activation of c-JUN, and leads to increased proliferation and viability of these cells (Janz et al., 2006). ATF3’s regulation of these pro-cancer processes may be through inhibition of GADD153. Binding of ATF3 to the GADD153 promoter of HeLA cells induces cell cycle arrest and apoptosis provides mechanism to its oncogenic properties (Hartman et al., 2004; Jiang et al., 2016).

In addition to the role of ATF3 in cancer development, ATF3 can affects cell differentiation. While there is evidence for the role of ATF3 in promoting terminal differentiation (James et al., 2006), it has also been implicated in cell de-differentiation. ATF3 represses adipocyte differentiation through direct transcriptional regulation. C/EBPα and PPARγ are transcription factors that play a critical role in the expression of adipose differentiation genes. Overexpression of ATF3 in 3T3-L1 cells, a cell line derived from fibroblasts, represses adipocyte differentiation through binding to ATF/CRE sites on the C/EBPα promoter (Jang et al., 2012).

The presence of ATF3 in a variety of pathological tissues indicates the detrimental role it may play. Based on the literature, it is clear that the function of ATF3 can vary greatly depending on the tissue type and cell conditions. To understand the role that Atf3 plays in
pancreatitus and ADM development, it is first necessary to explore its mechanism of action in other pancreatic processes.

1.5.5 ATF3 in the pancreas

In addition to the prominent roles ATF3 plays in apoptosis, cellular function and differentiation in other tissue types, there is evidence that ATF3 affects several processes in the pancreas. In pancreatic β-cells, ATF3 has been implicated in apoptosis, differentiation and increasing cell dysfunction (Piper et al., 2004; Hartman et al., 2004; Jang, 2011).

β-cell death is a significant factor in the etiology of type 1 and type 2 diabetes. Patients with these diseases show increased levels of Atf3 in their islets. Cell death is promoted in this tissue through increased activation of NFκB and JNK signalling, both of which are responsible for activating Atf3 expression. Atf3 has been implicated in β-cell apoptosis, as islets deficient in ATF3 are protected from cytokine- and nitric oxide-induced apoptosis. The mechanism by which this occurs is speculated to be through direct interactions with Gadd34/CHOP. The formation of this complex leads to increased expression of specific pro-apoptotic genes. In this instance, the role of Atf3 in the pancreas is detrimental to its function (Hartman et al., 2004).

Atf3 has also been shown to play a pathological role in β-cell function. A study by Jang et al. in 2011 explores the role of ATF3 in regulating PDX1, a key factor of pancreatic development, β-cell differentiation, regeneration and maintenance of function. Activation of the ER stress pathway in β-cells affects PDX1 transcriptional activity. Since Atf3 expression is upregulated during cell stress, its role in regulating Pdx1 expression are
clear. Over-expression of ATF3 decreases Pdx1 expression in β-cells through direct interactions with the Pdx1 promoter, as mutations of the ATF3 binding site in the Pdx1 promoter disrupted this repressive activity. Repression of Pdx1 by ATF3 leads to suppression of insulin expression and secretion, decreasing β-cell functionality (Jang, 2011).

In addition to the role ATF3 plays in repressing β-cell functionality, it may also affect β-cell differentiation and development. When Atf3 is expressed using a transthyretin (TTR) promoter, which is specific to endocrine tissue, pancreatic development is disrupted resulting in reduced islet number, or in some cases, a complete lack of islets (Allen-Jennings et al., 2001). There is evidence that mechanistically, ATF3 causes this to occur through regulation of Pdx1. Pdx1 expression is increased in islets during embryonic development, and its expression is maintained in mature islets. Since ATF3 affects Pdx1 expression, it may also play a role in regulating the cell differentiation process (Piper et al., 2004; Jang, 2011). The role of ATF3 in repressing Pdx1 transcriptional activity in endocrine tissue alludes to its potential effects in exocrine tissues.

While Atf3 has been explored in the endocrine pancreas, there is little evidence of its role in exocrine tissue. Studies have identified the effects of ER stress response on acinar cell function, but none have examined the direct effects of ATF3 and its ability to modulate transcriptional activity in this tissue type.
1.6 Rationale, Objectives, Hypothesis

1.6.1 Rationale and Previous Work

PDAC is the most common disease of the pancreas and is difficult to treat and diagnose (Yadav & Lowenfels, 2013; Eser et al., 2014). Patients present with a lack of symptoms until tumour progression has become advanced, leading to a poor prognosis. Pancreatic cancer is the 3rd most common cause of cancer-related death, and incidence continues to rise (Yadav & Lowenfels, 2013; Cid-Arregui & Juarez, 2015; Siegel et al., 2017). Diagnosis of this cancer remains difficult, as there are no specific tests to detect malignancy, and signs and symptoms of this disease can be easily mistaken for other ailments (Cid-Arregui & Juarez, 2015). Current treatment strategies include pancreatic resection followed by systemic chemotherapy, including administration of gemcitabine (Cid-Arregui & Juarez, 2015). However, these protocols show limited efficacy, and over 95% of patients die within 5 years of diagnosis (Yadav & Lowenfels, 2013).

Understanding the mechanisms by which patients develop increased susceptibility to cancer following pancreatitis is crucial to develop preventative, diagnostic and treatment strategies.

Uncovering how ATF3 affects ADM development may aid in understanding PDAC initiation and progression. Previous results from our laboratory show that intraperitoneal (I.P.) injection of $Atf3^{-/-}$ mice with cerulein leads to increased pancreatic damage and fibrosis 4 hours following injury. However, $Atf3^{-/-}$ mice present with healthy acinar tissue following a period of pancreatic regeneration (72 hours following injury) compared to wild type mice, which show increased ADM, fibrosis, and damage. RNA-sequencing
analysis demonstrates that ATF3 affects genetic expression of transcription factors required for pancreatic terminal differentiation, indicating it may be priming injured acinar cells for pathogenic de-differentiation and trans-differentiation. Additionally, the loss of ATF3 is implicated in decreased EGFR signalling following cerulein administration suggesting it may be affecting ADM through this pathway (Fazio et al., 2017). The main goal of my thesis is to understand how ATF3 promotes ADM through either affecting the mature acinar genetic program, or through EGFR signalling.

1.6.2 Hypothesis

I hypothesize that ATF3 regulates acinar gene expression promoting acinar-to-duct cell metaplasia.

1.6.3 Objectives and Significance

1) Determine if factors that regulate acinar cell differentiation are altered in Atf3\(^{-/-}\) mice

2) Examine the ability for Atf3\(^{-/-}\) acinar cells to undergo ADM in culture

3) Determine if the absence of ATF3 affects recurrent pancreatic injury

This work aims to determine whether ATF3 is a key regulator of the ADM process. If the mechanism by which ADM develops can be determined, better diagnostic and therapeutics can be established which could aid in blocking the progression to PDAC.
Chapter 2

2 Methods

2.1 Mice

Mice with a germ line deletion of exon 2 within the Atf3 gene in a C57Bl6 background have been described previously (Atf3/-; Hartman et al., 2004). Two-to-four month old mice were used for all experiments and both male and female mice were included. All experiments were conducted with the approval of the Animal Care Committee at the University of Western Ontario following protocol guidelines (#2008-117/2017-001). The genotypes of the mice were confirmed by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) with the following primers: wild-type Atf3 - 5' TGAAGAAGGTAAACACACCGTG 3'; common Atf3 - 5' AGAGCTTCAGCAATGGTTTGC 3'; deleted Atf3 - 5' ATCAGCAGCCTCTGTTCCAC 3'. The PCR program used was 40 cycles of denaturing (95°C for 30 seconds), annealing (63.2°C for 30 seconds), and elongation (72°C for 60 seconds).

To initiate acute pancreatic injury, congenic (inbred) wild-type (Atf3+/+) or Atf3-/- mice were given intraperitoneal (I.P.) injections of saline (vehicle control) or cerulein (Sigma, St. Louis, MO; CIP; 50 µg/kg) once per hour for 4 hours or 8 hours according to a previously established protocol (Kowalik et al., 2007). Ceruelin, a CCK analog, activates CCK receptors and induces pancreatic enzyme release (Aghdassi et al., 2011). Mice were sacrificed at 4 hours or 72 hours following the first injection.
Chronic injury was initiated in the mice by injecting saline (vehicle control) or cerulein I.P. (250 µg/kg) twice daily for 14 days. This protocol was adapted from a previously established protocol (Ardito et al., 2012). Mice were allowed to recover for 7 days before sacrifice. During this time period, mice were weighed once daily (a.m.) to assess changes in body weight.

2.2 RNA Isolation, Polymerase Chain Reaction, and Quantitative Real-Time PCR

Upon dissection, a 2-3 mm$^2$ splenic portion of the pancreas was removed and placed in TRIzol Reagent (Invitrogen; Carlsbad, CA). A tissue homogenizer was used to break up the tissue for 30 seconds. RNA was isolated using the PureLink™ RNA Minutes Kit (ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, MA) as per manufacturer’s directions and stored at -80°C. RNA quality was assessed by RNA Integrity analysis using the Bioanalyzer at Roberts Institute at the University of Western Ontario. RNA was quantified using the NanoDrop® ND-1000 UV-Vis Spectrophotometer (ThermoFisher Scientific) and Nanodrop-1000 software.

To perform RT-PCR, RNA was used to generate cDNA through a Reverse Transcriptase reaction using ImProm-II™ Reverse Transcription System (Promega; Madison, WI). Samples were prepared from 1 µg of RNA as per manufacturer’s directions. To determine quality of cDNA, PCR was performed using a PCR master mix (10x DreamTaq Green Buffer, DreamTaq DNA polymerase (Thermofisher Scientific) and the appropriate
diluted primers (20 nM), dH₂O) for *Mitochondrial Ribosomal Protein (Mrpl)* (Table 2.1).

Samples were stored at -20°C.

Quantitative Real Time-Polymerase Chain Reaction (qRT-PCR) was performed using GoTaq® qPCR Master Mix (Promega, Madison, WI). Primers for genes of interest were diluted to a final concentration of 20 nM and combined with GoTaq® qPCR Master Mix and aliquoted (9 µl) to a 365 well plate (see Table 2.1 for primer sequences). qRT-PCR was performed on 1 µg/µl of cDNA in 9uL of GoTaq® qPCR Master Mix for each sample in triplicate using the ViiA™ 7 qRT-PCR machine (Applied Biosystems; Foster City, CA). The program consisted of 40 cycles of denaturing (95°C for 10 seconds), annealing (see Table 2.1 for temperatures – for 40 seconds), and elongation (72°C for 10 seconds). Results were analyzed using the calibrator normalized method with standard curves for efficiency correction according to the equation:

Relative amount = Eₜ⁽Cₚₜ(C)-Cₚₜ(tS)⁾ x Eₚ⁽Cₚₚ(tS)-Cₚₚ(C)⁾

Where :

E = Efficiency (E = 10⁻¹/m; m = slope of standard curve)

Cₚ = Crossing point (derived by Lightcycler software version 3.5)

T = Target gene (i.e. gene of interest)

R = Reference gene (i.e. housekeeping gene)

C = Calibrator (e.g. saline control)

tS = Sample (e.g. treated sample)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Annealing Temp (°C)</th>
<th>Fwd</th>
<th>Rev</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mrpl</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>5' TTGGATATGCAAGTGACCA 3'</td>
<td>5' GCTTCTGCGTTTGAAGTTTC 3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nr5a2</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>5' TGGCGATAAAGTGCTGTTG 3'</td>
<td>5' CCAGCTTCATCCACACG 3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sox9</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>5' CGTCGACACAAGAAAGACCA 3'</td>
<td>5' GCAGCGCCTTGAAGATACAT 3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Krt19</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>5' CTCGGCGGATTACCAACCCT 3'</td>
<td>5' GGCGGAGCATTGTCAATCTGT 3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pdx1</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>5' CTCGGCGGATTACCAACCCT 3'</td>
<td>5' GGCGGAGCATTGTCAATCTGT 3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rbpj</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>5' GTGTTCCTCAGCAAGCGGATA 3'</td>
<td>5' TGCCACCTTCTGTCCGA 3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rbpjl</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>5' ATGGCAAGGTCGAGAGAAAT 3'</td>
<td>5' CTGGTCATTTGCATTGGCTTCA 3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Egf</td>
<td>63.8</td>
<td>5' ATGGCAAGGTCGAGAGAAAT 3'</td>
<td>5' AAAGTCAGTGTAGTGTGTA 3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tgfa</td>
<td>63.8</td>
<td>5' AAAGTGCAGTGTAGTGTGTA 3'</td>
<td>5' CAAGCAGTCTCCTTCCGTC 3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Egfr</td>
<td>60.8</td>
<td>5' CTGGCAAGGCAACAGTAAACA 3'</td>
<td>5' GTTGAAGGCAATGAGGACAT 3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mek</td>
<td>60.8</td>
<td>5' GGGGAACTGAGGATGA 3'</td>
<td>5' GGAGTTGCACTGTCAGTA 3'</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.3 Protein Isolation and Western Blotting

Protein was isolated from the splenic portion of the pancreas. Tissue was homogenized in 100-500 µl of protein isolation buffer (50 mM Tris [pH 7.2], 5 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM CaCl₂, 10 mM DTT, 1% NP40, 100 units/ml DNAse I, 50 µg/ml RNAse A, 10 mM PMSF, 5 µg/ml leupeptin, 5 µg/ml aproptinin, 5 µg/ml pepstatin, 50 mM NaF, 2 mM NaVO₄ in dH₂O). Following homogenization, tissue was sonicated continuously for 10-20 seconds. Samples were then centrifuged at 4°C for 5 minutes at 5,000g, supernatant was removed and samples were stored at -80°C (Pin et al., 2000).

To isolate protein from primary acinar cells in suspension, media was removed and cells rinsed with cold Phosphate Buffer Solution (PBS). Cells were then centrifuged at 16g for 1 minute to pellet. Cell pellets were mixed with the 100-200 µl of protein isolation buffer (described above), and lysed by pipetting up and down several times. Protein was then processed using the same methods as above.

Protein was quantified using the BioRad protein assay, and absorbance was determined either in duplicate using the Ultrospec 2100 pro UV-visible spectrophotometer (Biochrom, Holliston, MA) at 600 nm or triplicate using the Multiskan Ascent 96/384 Plate Reader (ThermoFischer Scientific) at 595 nm.

To perform Western blot analysis, 2 µg, for amylase analysis, or 40 µg for either pERK or tERK analysis, of protein was mixed with 5 µl of 5x sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) loading dye and 1 µl of β-mercaptoethanol, then heated to 100°C for 5 minutes. Samples were resolved on a 10% SDS-polyacrylamide gel at 200V. Protein was transferred to
PVDF membranes (BioRad; Herculus, CA) at 200 mA for 90 minutes. Following transfer, the membrane was rinsed with PBS and incubated at room temperature for 1 hour in dissolved 5% non-fat dried milk (NFDM) in PBS for 60 minutes. The membrane was rinsed with either PBS or 0.1% Tween-20 (Dako; Glostrup Municipality, Denmark) in Tris Buffered Solution (TBS; TBST), depending on the antibody used, 3x for 5 minutes each before incubating with primary antibody. Antibodies against Amylase (1:1000; Abcam Inc., Cambridge, UK) were diluted in 5% NFDM in PBS, where as phosphorylated-ERK1/2 (1:500; Cell Signaling Technology, Danvers, MA) and total ERK (1:250; Cell Signaling Technology, Danvers, MA) were diluted in 5% BSA in TBST. Membranes were incubated overnight at 4°C with the primary antibody. Prior to adding the secondary antibody, membranes were rinsed 3x 5 minutes with either PBS (amylase) or TBST (pERK, tERK). Membranes were incubated in secondary antibody (anti-rabbit horseradish peroxidase; Sigma 1:10,000) diluted in 5% NFDM in PBS, for 60 minutes at room temperature. Following another set of washes as above, the membranes were incubated for 2-3 minutes in Clairty Max™ Western ECL Blotting Substrates (BioRad) at a 1:1 dilution. Blots were visualized using the VersaDoc Imaging System with Quantity One 1-D Analysis software (BioRad).

In some instances, the membrane was stripped of primary antibody prior to reprobing. The membrane was incubated with stripping buffer solution (100 mM 2-mercaptoethanol, 62.5 mM Tris HCl [pH 6.7], 2% sodium dodecyl sulfate in dH2O) for 30 minutes at 55°C, and was then rinsed with TBS, before washing with TBST for 7 minutes. The membrane was washed 2 x 5 minutes each with TBS before adding 5% NFDM in PBS blocking
solution for 60 minutes. The membrane was processed following the same protocol as described above.

2.4 Acinar Cell Isolation and Culture

To establish acinar cell cultures, fresh pancreata from 2-4 month old male and female wild type and $\text{Atf3}^{-/-}$ mouse were dissected and immediately incubated in DMEM media (Gibco, ThermoFisher Scientific) supplemented with 1% Penstrep and 0.1% soybean trypsin inhibitor, previously diffused with oxygen (oxygenation) for 20 minutes. Oxygenation occurs by bubbling oxygen from an oxygen tank through media using a glass pipette. Pancreatic tissue was repeatedly injected with DMEM containing collagenase (200 µL of 1000U/10 ml) using a 1 mL syringe and 26.5 gauge needle. Once the pancreas was distended, it was cut into smaller pieces oxygenated for 1 minute, then rocked at 120 oscillations per minute in a 37°C incubator for 10 minutes. The DMEM-collagenase solution was replaced with fresh solution, and oxygenation repeated for an additional 40 minutes. The tissue homogenate was disrupted further by pipetting though a 10 mL, then 5 mL serological pipette. Homogenates were strained though a 105 µm nylon mesh filter. The nylon filter was washed with DMEM containing 4% Bovine Serum Albumin Fraction V (BSA; Roche, Basel, Switzerland). At this point, the tissue homogenate consisted of individual, or small clumps of acini. The tissue homogenate was divided into 4 samples, and each centrifuged at 45g for 3 minutes to pellet the acini. The supernatant was removed, and acini washed with 40 mL of DMEM+4% BSA. Acini were pelleted as described above, and 2 subsequent washes were repeated with DMEM
containing 1% BSA. Acini were combined to one tube, and incubated at 37°C for 15 minutes.

Acini were cultured in RPMI media (Gibco, ThermoFisher Scientific, supplemented with 1% Penstrep, 1% Newborn Calf Serum (NCBS), 0.1% soybean trypsin inhibitor and 1% dexamethasone) overnight for protein isolation, or embedded in collagen. To embed acini in collagen, supernatant was removed and acini were resuspended in a 1:1 ratio of RPMI media and Rat Tail Type 1 Collagen (3.68 mg/ml; Corning, Corning, NY). Neutralization buffer (2:3 ratio of 0.34 M NaOH and 10x Waymouth Media) was added drop wise to the acini and collagen solution under mild vortexing. Acini were plated in 3 cm dishes (400 µL per dish) and incubated at 37°C until collagen solidified. RPMI media (2 mL) was added and media was replaced the following day, and subsequently every other day. Cultures were treated with 0.1% BSA in PBS or 50 ng/mL of TGFα (diluted in 0.1% BSA in PBS) on the day of isolation, then the 1 and 3 days following isolation.

2.5 Tissue Fixation and Staining

Tissues from the body and neck of the pancreata from mice were isolated and fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde or Shandon cryomatrix embedding resin (ThermoFisher Scientific) for paraffin or cryostat sectioning, respectively. Paraffin sections were embedded following rehydration. Paraffin and cryostat samples were sectioned to 5-7 µm using the HM325 Rotary Microtome (ThermoFisher Scientific) or the Shandon cryostat (ThermoFisher Scientific), respectively.
Acini embedded in collagen matrices were fixed in cryomatrix after one or three days of culture with 4% formaldehyde in PBS overnight. The following day, samples were rinsed with PBS 3x 1 hour each, and 5% sucrose solution was added. Samples were incubated overnight. Over two subsequent days, samples were transferred to 10% and then 20% sucrose solutions. Cells were embedded in Shandon Cryomatrix resin and sectioned to 5-8 µm using the Shandon cryostat.

For immunofluorescence (IF) analysis, cryostat tissue sections were fixed in 4% formaldehyde in PBS for 10 minutes, then washed 3 x 5 minutes in PBS. Sections were permeabilized in 0.1% Triton X-100 in PBS for 10 minutes, followed by 3 x 5 minutes PBS washes. Tissue was incubated in blocking solution consisting of 5% BSA in 0.1% Triton X-100 in PBS, and incubated at room temperature for 30 minutes. Sections were washed with blocking solution 3 x 5 minutes before adding primary antibody diluted in blocking solution and incubated at 4°C overnight. The primary antibodies used were ones raised against amylase (1:1000; Abcam Inc.). Slides were washed 3 x 5 minutes with PBS before adding anti-rabbit fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC;1:250, Jackson ImmunoResearch, West Grove, PA) diluted in blocking solution for 1 hour at room temperature. To allow for visualization of nuclei, sections were incubated for 5 minutes in 4’,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI; 1:1000) diluted in dH2O. Slides were washed 3 x 5 minutes with dH2O and mounted using PermaFluor mounting media (ThermoFisher Scientific). Tissue sections were imaged using an Automated Upright Microscope (Leica Microscope DM5500B, camera DFC450; Leica Microsystems, Wetzlar, Germany) and LAS V4.4 software (Leica Microsystems).
For immunohistochemical (IHC) analysis, paraffin slides were dehydrated through a xylene/ethanol series and then immersed in working solution (0.1 M Citric Acid Monohydrate, 0.1 M sodium citrate dehydrate in dH₂O) and boiled for 45 minutes for antigen retrieval. Sections were cooled to room temperature (~20 minutes). Tissue was processed using the Immunocruz Rabbit ABC Staining System (Santa Cruz, Dallas, TX). Briefly, sections were incubated in a methanol, hydrogen peroxide solution for 10 minutes, permeabilized in 0.2% Triton X-100 in PBS for 12 minutes, then washed with 0.2% Tween-20 in PBS for 5 minutes. Sections were incubated in blocking solution (5% rabbit serum and PBS) for 1 h before incubating in primary antibody raised against SOX9 (1:500, diluted in blocking solution, Abcam Inc., Cambridge, United Kingdom) overnight at 4°C. The following day, sections were rinsed with 0.2% Tween-20 in PBS for 6 minutes, followed by 4 x 6 minutes PBS washes. Sections were then incubated at room temperature for 30 minutes with biotinylated mouse anti-rabbit IgG secondary antibody (diluted 1:1000 as per manufacturer’s instructions) (Sigma). Sections were washed for 6 minutes with 0.2% Tween-20 in PBS, then 2 x 6 minutes with PBS. Sections were incubated for 30 minutes in AB enzyme reagent (avidin, biotinylated HRP, PBS), prepared as per Immunocruz Rabbit ABC staining system directions. Sections were washed 3 x 6 minutes each with PBS, incubated in Peroxidase substrate for 6-20 minutes, until nuclei staining was visible on positive controls. Sections were washed 3 x 5 minutes in dH₂O, then counterstained with hematoxylin for 1 minute, followed by dehydration through ethanol/xylene.

For histological examination of tissues, sections were stained with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) and Masson’s Trichrome. For paraffin tissue sections, slides were deparaffinized
in xylene 3 x 5 minutes, then rehydrated through ethanol and dH$_2$O. For frozen cell sections, the previous steps were omitted, and slides were placed directly into 70% ethanol for 2 minutes. Slides were then stained with H&E. Tissue was subsequently dehydrated in 90% ethanol for 1 minute, then 100% ethanol 2x for 3 minutes, before being placed in xylene 3x for 5 minutes. Paraffin tissue sections were stained for Masson’s Trichrome using kit instructions. Following staining, slides were mounted using Permount and imaged using an Automated Upright Microscope (Leica Microscope DM5500B, camera DFC450 FX) and LAS V4.4 software (Leica Microsystems).

2.6 Cyst, Cell Thickness and Lesion Area Quantification

To assess acinar-to-duct cell metaplasia in culture, acinar cell clusters were visually assessed on days 1 and 3 following isolation using an inverted, phase-contrast microscope (Leica DMIL). Culture in 3D collagen matrix allows for cysts to develop in multiple planes of focus. For these in vitro studies, I established a set of criteria to identify ADM structures: i) a lumen had to appear in a cluster of acinar cells, ii) be visible in multiple planes of focus, iii) and appear with flattened cellular structures surrounding it. To capture the entirety of the plate, 50 acinar cell clusters were counted, and ADM quantified.

Cell thickness, of acinar cells that make up putative ADM in TGF$\alpha$-treated cultures, was analyzed on paraffin sections stained with H&E, and assessed using ImageJ software. Cell thickness was determined according to the width of the nuclei surrounding the perimeter of putative ADM. Nuclei were measured at 3 distinct, evenly spaced points around the structure (Appendix 1). An average of 3 measurements per cyst were
calculated, for 1-6 cysts per genotype on days 1 or 3 of culture. Data presented is the average of three wild type and three $Atf3^{-/-}$ mice.

To assess the degree of damage and inflammation present in pancreatic tissue, H&E slides were imaged using the Aperio CS2 Digital Scanner and Aperio Imagescope Software (Leico Biosystems Imaging Inc.) Images were then analyzed using ImageJ (National Institutes of Health, Maryland, USA) software to determine the area of damage and inflammation (lesion area) relative to total tissue area.

2.7 Statistical Analysis

Differences between experimental groups for cell culture analysis, as well as body weight analysis for the chronic pancreatitis experiment were compared using a repeated measures two-way ANOVA, followed by Tukey’s post hoc test. QRT-PCR results were analyzed using an unpaired t-test, with the exception of $Rbpj/Rbpjl$ ratio, which were analyzed by two-way ANOVA, followed by Tukey’s post hoc test. Western blots and lesion area quantification were analyzed by an unpaired t-test. Significance is considered a P-value $<$0.05.
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3 Results

3.1 Atf3 promotes gene expression changes indicative of ADM development

Previous work suggested that loss of ATF3 resulted in reduced ADM in vivo (Fazio et al., 2017). To determine the underlying mechanisms, congenic wild-type (Atf3+/+) and Atf3−/− mice were treated with cerulein, and analyzed 4 and 72 hours after initial injections. Expression of RBPJ and RBPJL, both of which compete for binding to the PTF1A complex, were assessed as markers of a de-differentiated (progenitor-like) and differentiated (acinar) phenotype, respectively. At 4 hours post-CIP, Rbpj mRNA levels were significantly increased in pancreatic tissue of wild-type mice (2.16±0.34 fold), while Rbpjl mRNA levels was not statistically different (p>0.05) compared to saline. Conversely, pancreatic tissue from Atf3−/− mice showed no changes in either Rbpj or Rbpjl mRNA levels at 4 hours post-CIP (p>0.05; Figure 3.1A). At 72 hours post-CIP, mRNA levels of Rbpj remained significantly higher in CIP-treated wild-type mice (6.04±1.01 fold), while Rbpjl was unchanged from saline-treated controls. Similar comparisons in Atf3−/− mice at 72 hours post-CIP revealed significantly increased Rbpj mRNA levels (3.74±0.80 fold), with no changes in Rbpjl mRNA levels compared to saline-treated controls (p>0.05; Figure 3.1A). Since RBPJ and RBPJL compete for PTF1A, we examined the ratio of the mRNA levels of the two genes following CIP (Masui et al., 2010). At 4 hours post-CIP, the ratio of Rbpj/Rbpjl was significantly increased in both wild-type and Atf3−/− mice when compared to saline treatment.
A.  

**Rbpj**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SAL</th>
<th>CIP</th>
<th></th>
<th>SAL</th>
<th>CIP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wild Type</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>Atf3/Δ</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 hours</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>72 hours</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Rbpjl**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SAL</th>
<th>CIP</th>
<th></th>
<th>SAL</th>
<th>CIP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wild Type</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>Atf3/Δ</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 hours</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>72 hours</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B.  

**Rbpj/Rbpjl**

<table>
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<tr>
<th></th>
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<th>CIP</th>
<th></th>
<th>SAL</th>
<th>CIP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wild Type</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>Atf3/Δ</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 hours</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>72 hours</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Saline**
- **CIP**

* Statistical significance noted in graphs.
Figure 3.1 *Atf3*−/− mice show altered ratios of *Rbpj* and *Rbpjl* gene expression during acute CIP. (A) QRT-PCR analysis of *Rbpj* and *Rbpjl* expression in pancreatic tissue from wild type and *Atf3*−/− mice at 4 and 72 hours following saline (SAL) or cerulein (CIP) treatment. *Rbpj* expression was significantly increased in wild-type mice at both 4 and 72 hours post-CIP compared to saline, while in *Atf3*−/− mice, *Rbpj* was only elevated at 72 hours post-CIP compared to saline (⁎p<0.05). *Rbpjl* expression was not statistically different compared to saline for either genotypes at 4 or 72 hours post CIP (p>0.05). (B) When assessed as a ratio of *Rbpj* to *Rbpjl*, both relative to *Mrpl*, *Rbpj/Rbpjl* expression was significantly increased in wild type and *Atf3*−/− 4 hours post-CIP compared to saline, and only in wild-type tissue 72 hours post-CIP compared to saline (⁎p<0.05; n=4-6). Mean±SEM is shown.
(p<0.0001). This effect was maintained in wild-type mice at 72 hours, where CIP-treated mice showed a significantly increased ratio compared to all groups. In contrast, no changes were detected in the ratio of Rbpj/Rbpjl in Atf3−/− mice at 72 hours post CIP (p<0.0001; Figure 3.1B). This suggests loss of Atf3 does not alter progenitor mRNA levels to affect the ADM phenotype.

I next assessed expression of Nr5a2, a marker of acinar cell maturation. In wild-type mice, expression of Nr5a2 was significantly decreased 4 hours following CIP treatment (0.68±0.05 fold), but was not significantly different 72 hours following treatment (p>0.05; Figure 3.2) compared to saline-treated mice. Comparatively, Nr5a2 expression was not different in Atf3−/− mice at either time point, suggesting ATF3 may not be targeting Nr5a2 expression following initial injury (p>0.05).

MRNA levels of genes that represent a progenitor-like phenotype, and are re-activated during ADM, were assessed by qRT-PCR analysis. Pdx1 exhibited no changes in expression following CIP at either time point in both genotypes (Figure 3.3A). Conversely Sox9 expression was significantly increased in pancreatic tissue from wild-type mice at both 4 (6.17±1.23 fold) and 72 hours (4.95±0.85 fold) following CIP (Figure 3.3B) compared to saline. Similar analysis in pancreatic tissue from Atf3−/− mice revealed a significant increase in Sox9 expression only at 4 hours (2.41±0.28 fold) following injury, but not at 72 hours post CIP (p>0.05). These results suggested that ATF3 may be required for increased Sox9 expression and increased progenitor gene expression during ADM.
Figure 3.2 Wild type mice show decreased \( Nr5a2 \) expression during acute CIP. QRT-PCR analysis of \( Nr5a2 \) expression 4 and 72 hours after saline (SAL) and cerulein (CIP) treatment. \( Nr5a2 \) was significantly decreased 4 hours post-CIP in wild-type mice compared to saline treated mice (\( p<0.05 \)), while there was no statistical difference for \( Nr5a2 \) 72 hours post-CIP (n=4-6) compared to saline. No statistical difference was observed in \( Atf3^{-/-} \) models 4 and 72 hours following CIP compared to saline (n=4-6; \( p<0.05 \)). Mean±SEM is shown.
A. **Pdx1**
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B. **Sox9**
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C. **Krt19**
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Figure 3.3 Wild type mice show increased gene expression of pancreatic progenitor and duct markers at later time points in acute CIP (A) QRT-PCR analysis of Pdx1 expression at 4 and 72 hours after saline (SAL) or cerulein (CIP) treatment indicated no significant difference in wild type and Atf3−/− (p>0.05) compared to saline controls. QRT-PCR analysis of (B) Sox9 and (C) Krt19 at 4 and 72 hours following CIP. Expression of Sox9 and Krt19 was significantly increased in pancreatic tissue at 4 hours post-CIP in both wild-type and Atf3−/− compared to saline, however expression remained significantly increased at 72 hours compared to saline in only CIP-treated wild-type mice (*p<0.05; n=4-6). Mean±SEM is shown.
To assess the effect of *Atf3* on the expression of a specific duct cell marker, qRT-PCR was performed for *Krt19* (Figure 3.3.C). Expression of *Krt19* was significantly increased in wild-type mice following CIP at both time points (4 hours, 6.29±0.46 fold; 72 hours, 4.30±1.81 fold) compared to saline (Figure 3.3C). However, *Krt19* expression was only increased in *Atf3*−/− CIP-treated mice at 4 hours (2.94±0.15 fold), and not at 72 hours post CIP (p>0.05), suggesting ATF3 was required for ductal marker expression. Alternatively, ATF3 may be responsible for promotion of a progenitor phenotype, thereby allowing ductal differentiation to occur. To confirm that SOX9 expression was specific to ADM, I performed IHC and showed that 72 hours following injury, SOX9 accumulated in lesioned areas (pancreatic tissue with damage and evidence of ADM) in wild-type mice (Figure 3.4). While some SOX9 expression was observed in pancreatic tissue from *Atf3*−/− mice, this accumulation of SOX9 was reduced compared to wild type CIP-treated models and found mostly in putative acinar cells (Figure 3.4).

Signaling through the EGFR pathway is required for ADM development (Ardito *et al.*, 2012; Eser *et al.*, 2014). To determine if ATF3 was promoting ADM development by affecting EGFR signaling, mRNA expression of *Egfr* and a downstream regulator of the pathway, *Mek1*, was assessed (Figure 3.5A). *Egfr* expression was significantly increased in pancreatic tissue from wild-type mice at both 4 (8.27±0.66 fold) and 72 (6.29±0.93 fold) hours following CIP compared to saline, while *Atf3*−/− mice showed increased *Egfr* expression only 4 hours following CIP compared to saline (4.66±1.03 fold) (Figure 3.5A). Increased *Mek1* expression is observed 4 (1.94±0.18 fold) and 72 (5.86±1.27 fold) hours following injury in wild-type mice compared to saline, but not at either time point in *Atf3*−/− mice (p>0.05; Figure 3.5B). Analysis of EGFR ligands 4 hours post-CIP shows
Figure 3.4 SOX9 accumulation occurs in ADM lesions in wild type pancreatic tissue. (A) Immunohistochemical analysis for SOX9, a pancreatic progenitor marker, shows more positive staining of SOX9⁺ cells (white arrows) in wild-type tissue following CIP relative to tissue from *Atf3*⁻/⁻ mice at the same time point. White arrowheads indicate no SOX9 expression. Black boxes indicate regions of higher magnification. Scale bars = 50 µm.
Figure 3.5 Absence of ATF3 affects mRNA levels of EGFR signalling pathway members and ligands during acute CIP. QRT-PCR analysis of EGFR pathway members (A) Egfr and (B) Mek1 following saline (SAL) or cerulein (CIP) treatment. Increased expression of Egfr compared to saline was detected at both 4 and 72 hours post-CIP in pancreatic tissue of wild-type mice. Atf3−/− mice show significantly increased expression of Egfr at 4 hours, but not 72 hours (p>0.05), post-CIP compared to saline. Mek1 expression is significantly increased at 4 and 72 hours following injury in wild-type mice (p<0.05), with no statistically difference in Mek1 expression in Atf3−/− mice post-CIP at either time point compared to saline (p>0.05; n=4-6). (C) QRT-PCR assessment of EGFR ligands Egf and Tgfα show significantly decreased expression of Tgfα in both wild-type and Atf3−/− mice 4 hours post-CIP compared to saline (p<0.05); however, no statistical differences in Egf expression was detected in mice of either genotype following CIP at the same time point (p>0.05; n=4-6). Mean±SEM is shown.
a significant decrease in Tgfα expression following CIP in both wild-type (0.64±0.05 fold) and Atf3−/− mice (0.43±0.12 fold) compared to saline; however no significant differences following cerulein treatment compared to saline were detected in the expression of Egf (p>0.05; Figure 3.5C).

To see if the changes in mRNA expression were reflective of changes to EGFR pathway protein abundance, the ratio of active ERK (pERK) to total ERK was assessed at 4 hours post- CIP. pERK/tERK ratio in wild type and Atf3−/− mice was compared relative to saline (Figure 3.6A). As insufficient n values did not allow for statistical analysis, comparisons were made between only cerulein-treated samples. While the ratio of pERK to tERK seemed higher in CIP-treated wild-type mice, the increase was not significant when compared to samples from CIP-treated Atf3−/− mice (p>0.05; Figure 3.6B). The variability in these findings may reflect the low number of mice included in this analysis (n=3).

3.2 Atf3−/− acini form morphologically distinct structures compared to wild type acini in culture

During CIP, ATF3 appeared to be required for changes in gene expression that prime cells for acinar cell de-differentiation, and promote transdifferentiation to a more duct-like phenotype. To determine if the ability to undergo ADM is dependent on ATF3 expression, acinar cells from wild-type and Atf3−/− mice were isolated and treated with TGFα, a ligand for EGFR signaling known to be involved in ADM development (Means et al., 2005; Shi et al., 2013; Liou et al., 2015). Cultured cells were embedded in collagen, either left untreated, treated with a vehicle (BSA) or 50 ng/mL TGFα, and followed for 3 days post-isolation (Figure 3.7A). Cysts (putative ADM) were counted for a total of 50 acinar clusters per
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Figure 3.6 Loss of ATF3 does not affect EGFR pathway protein abundance

(A) Western blot analysis of phosphorylated ERK (pERK) levels relative to total ERK (tERK) in pancreatic tissue of wild-type and Atf3−/− mice 4 hours following saline and CIP treatment. No statistical analysis was completed due to insufficient n values (n=2). (B) Western blot analysis of pERK levels relative to tERK for only CIP-treated tissue indicates no statistical difference in ERK abundance in Atf3−/− tissue relative to wild-type tissue (p=0.25; n=3). Mean±SEM is shown.
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Figure 3.7 Morphology of wild-type and $Atf3^{-/-}$ acini cysts following TGFα treatment.

(A) Representative bright field images for wild-type (WT) and $Atf3^{-/-}$ untreated (unt) acini, or acini treated with 0.1% bovine serum albumin (BSA) in PBS or 50 ng/mL TGFα and cultured for 1-3 days. Cysts (black arrows) are observed in both WT and $Atf3^{-/-}$ TGFα-treated acini by day 2 and 3. Scale bars = 100 µm.

(B) Quantification of the number of cysts found in 50 acinar cell clusters isolated from WT and $Atf3^{-/-}$ mice for untreated, and BSA and TGFα treated cells. Both wild type and $Atf3^{-/-}$ cells treated with TGFα produce significantly more cysts than untreated and BSA controls ($^a$ p>0.05), however no statistical significance is observed between wild-type and $Atf3^{-/-}$ cells in any treatment group (n=4). Mean±SEM is shown.
treatment group. Untreated and BSA-treated cells formed cysts (putative ADM) in less than 20% of the total acinar clusters in cells isolated from both wild-type (unt=10.44 cysts; BSA=12.11 cysts) and Atf3⁻/⁻ (unt=10.22 cysts; BSA=11.33 cysts) mice.

Significantly more cysts formed in wild-type cells (26.56 cysts/50 acinar clusters) treated with TGFα (p<0.05) compared to controls. Analysis of cyst formation in Atf3⁻/⁻ cultures showed similar results (25.56 cysts/50 acinar clusters), indicating ATF3 is not necessary for TGFα-mediated cyst formation in culture (Figure 3.7B).

Analysis of the cysts suggested a difference in morphology between wild type and Atf3⁻/⁻ acini. Sections of acinar cell cultures were examined by H&E staining to compare overall morphology. At day 3, wild-type acinar clusters treated with TGFα formed putative ADM structures that showed a squamous (thin and flattened)-cell like morphology (Figure 3.8A). Conversely, Atf3⁻/⁻ cultures did form cyst structures, but were less squamous-cell like, as the perimeter of the acinar cell, and the nuclei within it, were not elongated as seen in wild type cells. Quantitatively, at day 3 in culture, ADM structures from wild-type pancreatic tissue had significantly thinner cells (6.19 ± 0.50 µm vs. 9.22 ± 0.41 µm) composing the perimeter, indicating a more squamous-cell phenotype relative to Atf3⁻/⁻ cysts which had thicker cells composing the perimeter (p<0.05; Figure 3.8B).

Cell thickness alone does not indicate whether ADM that forms between these two genotypes are phenotypically different. Therefore it was necessary to stain for a mature acinar cell marker. BSA and TGFα-treated acinar cells from wild-type and Atf3⁻/⁻ mice were stained against amylase by immunofluorescence. Positive amylase staining was
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Figure 3.8 Atf3\(^{-/-}\) acini form morphologically distinct cysts from wild-type acini. (A) Representative H&E staining of untreated (unt), and BSA and TGF\(\alpha\) treated wild-type (WT) and Atf3\(^{-/-}\) acini cultured for 1-3 days. Cysts (black arrows) are observed in both WT and Atf3\(^{-/-}\) TGF\(\alpha\)-treated acini by day 3. Scale bars = 100 \(\mu\)m. (B) Quantification of cell thickness in cysts of TGF\(\alpha\)-treated acinar cells on days 1 and 3. By day 3, wild-type acinar cells have significantly thinner cells around the perimeter of the cyst, indicating a more squamous-like phenotype (\(^*\)p<0.05; n=1-6 cysts per treatment condition. This was repeated for 3 wild-type and 3 Atf3\(^{-/-}\) mice). Mean±SEM is shown.
observed in wild-type cells at day 1, while decreased staining was observed diminished by day 3 following TGFα treatment. Similar analysis of $Atf3^{-/-}$ cultures showed positive amylase staining at day 1 that was maintained on day 3, including following TGFα treatment. This suggests that while ADM-like structures were present in $Atf3^{-/-}$ cultures, these cells did not lose a mature acinar differentiation status (Figure 3.9).

3.3 $Atf3^{-/-}$ mice show decreased severity of acinar tissue damage in response to recurrent pancreatic injury

Findings thus far suggested that absence of $Atf3$ altered the ADM process both in vivo following acute injury, and ex vivo in culture. These results suggested that loss of ATF3 would affect the response to chronic or recurrent pancreatic injury, which is a significant risk factor to PDAC (Yadav et al., 2011). To examine this, congenic wild-type ($Atf3^{+/+}$) and $Atf3^{-/-}$ mice were exposed to recurrent saline (vehicle control) or cerulein I.P injections (250 µg/kg) twice daily for 14 days and allowed to recover 7 days following the last injection. Body weights were measured throughout the experimental time period, and at 3 and 7 days following the last injection. Wild-type CIP-treated mice showed significant decreases in body weight compared to wild-type saline mice from days 8 to 17, before weight was restored by day 21 (p<0.05; Figure 3.10). Similar analysis in $Atf3^{-/-}$ mice did not reveal significant decreases in body weight relative to wild type saline treated mice.

To assess tissue damage, saline and CIP-treated pancreatic tissues were assessed by histology 7 days following cessation of CIP. H&E staining demonstrated increased fibrosis and inflammatory infiltration in wild-type CIP-treated mice compared to $Atf3^{-/-}$
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Figure 3.9 *Atf3*<sup>−/−</sup> acini maintain amylase expression in cyst structures.

Immunofluorescence staining for amylase in BSA and TGFα-treated wild-type and *Atf3*<sup>−/−</sup> primary acinar cells. Amylase staining is observed in BSA and TGFα-treated cells at day 1 (arrows). Staining is mostly absent in wild-type TGFα-treated cells by day 3 (arrow heads), but remained detectable in *Atf3*<sup>−/−</sup> acini (arrows) suggesting maintenance of the acinar phenotype in *Atf3*<sup>−/−</sup> cells (n=3). Scale bars = 25 µm.
Figure 3.10 Wild-type mice show decreased body weight during recurrent pancreatitis. Chronic pancreatic injury was induced in wild-type (WT) and $Atf3^{-/-}$ mice by recurrent cerulein (CIP) injections (250 µg/kg body weight) twice daily for 14 days. Mice recovered for 7 days before sacrifice. Analysis of body weights over the injection period, as well as 3 and 7 days following cessation of CIP, indicates that presence of ATF3 during injury significantly decreases body weight from days 8 to 17 before weight restoration at day 21 (*p<0.05; n=5). Mean±SEM is shown.
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Figure 3.11 Recurrent pancreatic injury leads to damage in wild-type mice relative to $Atf3^{-/-}$ mice. (A) Representative H&E histology of pancreatic tissue following chronic CIP-induced injury in wild-type and $Atf3^{-/-}$ mice. Saline treated mice show no damage in response to treatment. Cerulein (CIP) treatment induced more damage (as evident by increased ADM and inflammation) in wild-type pancreata compared to $Atf3^{-/-}$ CIP models. Black boxes indicate regions of higher magnification. Black scale bars = 100 µm. White scale bars = 50 µm. Representative of n=5. (B) Quantification for areas of damage and inflammation following chronic injury indicates significantly more lesion area relative to total tissue area following CIP treatment in both wild type and $Atf3^{-/-}$ compared to saline ($p>0.05$), but no significant difference is observed between wild type and $Atf3^{-/-}$ CIP treated samples (n=5). Mean±SEM is shown.
CIP-treated mice (Figure 3.11A). Lesion area (areas of damage and ADM) were assessed relative to total tissue area in wild type and $Atf3^{-/-}$ saline and CIP treated mice. While there was a significant increase in percent lesion area in both the wild type and $Atf3^{-/-}$ CIP models compared to saline ($p>0.05$), there was no significant different in lesion area between CIP treated samples (Figure 3.11B).

To provide further qualitative analysis of damage, trichrome staining was conducted on histological sections of pancreas tissues from wild-type and $Atf3^{-/-}$ mice following chronic injury to assess tissue fibrosis. While damage is increased following CIP treatment in both genotypes, no overt differences in fibrosis were observed between wild-type and $Atf3^{-/-}$ mice (Figure 3.12).

To determine if acinar cell de-differentiation was contributing to increased pancreatic tissue damage observed histologically, amylase protein levels were assessed by western blot. When analyzing individual samples, it appeared the majority of mice showed decreased amylase expression following chronic CIP treatment compared to saline, however no statistical significance was observed in both wild type and $Atf3^{-/-}$ mice ($p>0.05$; Figure 3.13).
Figure 3.12 No differences in tissue fibrosis following chronic injury in wild type and $Atf3^{-/-}$. Representative Masson’s trichrome staining following chronic pancreatic injury in wild type and $Atf3^{-/-}$ mice. Cerulein treatment (CIP) increases damage relative to saline models (white arrows), however no differences in fibrotic tissue accumulation are observed between wild type and $Atf3^{-/-}$ CIP treated mice. Black boxes indicate regions of higher magnification Black scale bars = 100 µm. White scale bars = 50 µm. Representative of n=5. Mean±SEM is shown.
Figure 3.13 Cerulein treatment does not affect amylase expression in wild type and Atf3−/−. (A) Representative western blot analysis of amylase protein levels in pancreatic tissues 7 days following cessation of saline (SAL) or chronic cerulein (CIP). (B) Densitometry analysis of amylase relative to tERK following chronic injury indicates no statistical difference compared to saline in either wild type or Atf3−/− models (p>0.05; n=5). Mean±SEM is shown.
Chapter 4

4 Discussion and Conclusions

4.1 Overview

During acute pancreatic injury, acinar cells undergo de-differentiation as a protective mechanism to reduce further damage (Jensen et al., 2005; Karki et al., 2015). This de-differentiation process into a progenitor-like phenotype allows for regeneration of damaged cells, and repopulation of the exocrine pancreas (Murtaugh & Keefe, 2015; Karki et al., 2015). In murine models with acute episodes of injury, complete regeneration occurs within 7 days (Grady et al., 1998; Yadav et al., 2011). However, if the cells are exposed to a continuous insult, the de-differentiation process becomes fixed, and cells cannot re-differentiate, therefore undergoing ADM (Logsdon & Ji., 2009; Morris et al., 2010). In this thesis, I show that ATF3 represses the acinar differentiation program at early time points following injury, and is required to maintain gene expression changes 72 hours following injury, when regeneration is occurring. Not only does ATF3 affect mRNA levels of genes required for mature acinar cell differentiation, but it also affects ADM-promoting pathways such as EGFR. Loss of Atf3 reduced expression of EGFR pathway regulators (Egfr, Mek1) following injury relative to saline, which may explain the reduced damage, and decreased formation of ADM in primary acinar cultures that are evident in the absence of Atf3.
Patients with chronic pancreatitis are more susceptible to developing PDAC; therefore exploring *Atf3* following chronic insult was necessary (Yadav & Lowenfels, 2013). Loss of *Atf3* resulted in decreased inflammatory infiltration and damage following chronic injury. These findings provide evidence that ATF3 directs ADM development and worsens the pancreatic phenotype following injury. However, it is still important to determine whether *Atf3* is contributing to this worsened phenotype by increasing damage, or preventing the regenerative program.

### 4.2 ATF3 targets transcription factors required for ADM

Previous studies from our lab showed that following acute pancreatic injury, the loss of *Atf3* initially caused a worsened phenotype, with increased damage and fibrosis (Fazio *et al.*, 2017). However, when comparing degree of regeneration at a later time point (72 hours), loss of *Atf3* promoted increased healthy acinar tissue and reduced incidences of damage, while wild-type mice showed increased ADM. The phenotypic changes that were evident histologically are explained by how the differentiation program is affected by insult. *Atf3* contributes to repression of the differentiation program through decreased expression of *Rbpjl* and *Nr5a2* ([Figure 3.1A; Figure 3.2]). It is difficult to conclude whether the decreases in expression that are observed are due to direct ATF3-mediated transcriptional regulation. It may be that *Atf3* is re-activating the progenitor program, as seen through increases in expression of *Sox9* ([Figure 3.3]), and that these cells decrease mature transcription factor expression because they are de-differentiating. However, recently published data from our lab suggests that ATF3 is directly affecting the mature acinar phenotype by repression of *Nr5a2*, *Rbpjl*, and *Mist1* gene expression (Fazio *et al.*, 2017).
ChIP sequencing data suggests that \textit{Atf3} accumulates on the promoter regions of \textit{Nr5a2} and \textit{Rbpjl}, suggesting an influence on the transcriptional programs that maintain differentiated acinar cells. To conclusively demonstrate whether \textit{Atf3} is affecting transcription of these genes, determining what other proteins are binding with \textit{Atf3} to the DNA is necessary. \textit{Atf3} can repress transcriptional programs by recruitment of inhibitory HDACs to gene promoters (Kwon \textit{et al.}, 2015). In line with this result, we have shown that \textit{Atf3} recruits HDAC5 to the \textit{Mist1} promoter, leading to repression, and therefore downregulation of the mature acinar phenotype (Fazio \textit{et al.}, 2017). Therefore, \textit{Atf3} may be functioning in a similar manner to decrease expression of \textit{Nr5a2} and \textit{Rbpjl}.

As stated previously, it cannot be excluded that \textit{Atf3} directly upregulates the progenitor and duct programs to promote ADM. Results from previous ChIP sequencing data suggested that \textit{Atf3} increased expression of \textit{Sox9} and \textit{Krt19} through direct binding to promoter regions (Fazio \textit{et al.}, 2017). There is also evidence that \textit{Atf3} binds to and represses expression of other pancreatic progenitor markers, such as \textit{Pdx1} in \(\beta\)-cells (Jang \textit{et al.}, 2001). This study showed that \textit{Atf3} could directly bind to promoters of pancreatic progenitor factors. In acinar cells, we would expect \textit{Atf3} to promote progenitor gene expression rather than repress progenitor gene expression as it does in \(\beta\)-cells. The difference in \textit{Atf3}’s mechanism of action in \(\beta\)-cells compared to acinar cells may be due to additional proteins, bound along with \textit{Atf3} in a transcriptional complex, that are responsible for directing a decrease in expression. The mechanism by which \textit{Atf3} can cause activation or repression of these factors remains to be determined. Since it is known that \textit{Atf3} can regulate expression of progenitor genes (Jang, 2011), exploring how \textit{Atf3} regulates expression of other progenitor and ductal cell factors, such as \textit{Sox9}
and Krt19, will allow us to determine if Atf3 is responsible for activating transcription of these factors. While changes to Krt19 expression may be secondary to ATF3’s effects, as Sox9 can increase expression of this ductal marker (Murtaugh & Keefe, 2015), it is still worth exploring the transcriptional complexes that ATF3 is a part of which increase progenitor and ductal expression.

In addition to the role that ATF3 plays in regulating the acinar transcriptional program, it may also be forcing ADM through increased EGFR signalling, a known driver of ADM. Activation of EGFR by EGF and TGFα ligands has been shown in both in vitro and in vivo pancreatic injury models (Sandgren et al., 1990; Means et al., 2005). In murine models, upregulation of EGFR signalling through increased TGFα expression by a metallothionein-promoter indicates the necessity of this pathway in initiating ADM, and progressing to PDAC (Sandgren et al., 1990). As well, these changes are an acinar-specific event, as isolated primary pancreatic acinar cells show transformation to a ductal phenotype upon treatment with TGFα (Means et al., 2005). Previous work in our lab has shown that ATF3 has an effect on EGFR signalling, as Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) pathway analysis following RNA-sequencing shows that loss of ATF3 downregulates the expression of genes associated with EGF signalling following injury (Fazio et al., 2017). This is reflected by qRT-PCR analysis, which shows that Egfr is elevated in wild type and Atf3−/− models 4 hours following acute injury relative to saline, but remains elevated at 72 hours in only wild type models (Figure 3.5A). Since overexpression of EGFR is implicated in human PDAC cases (Fitzgerald et al., 2015), it may be that ATF3 is initiating transcription of Egfr and Mek1, leading to overexpression
of these pathways, and potentially increased activation and ADM. The loss of Atf3 may then be protective against EGFR-induced ADM, as it decreases transcription of EGFR.

ATF3 may also affect the EGFR pathway further downstream. Phosphorylated ERK proteins are responsible for activating transcription of various factors required to induce cell functions such as cell survival or proliferation. ERK has been implicated in activating transcription of NFkB and JUN (Chang et al., 2003; Molina & Adjei, 2006; Roberts & Der, 2007), both proteins which are implicated in ATF3 signalling (Jiang et al., 2004; Liang et al., 2006; Kwon et al., 2015). Therefore, pERK may be functioning as a feedback mechanism to further induce ATF3 transcription, which can then act together with NFkB and JUN to induce inflammation and proliferation, and therefore promote ADM. Analysis of this possibility would need to be explored further. A limitation to the current analysis is a lack of significance in pERK levels between genotypes due to insufficient n values (Figure 3.6B). I show that there may be association between EGFR-related genes (Egfr and Mek1) and ERK pathway activation, however to show that ERK activation is a direct result of EGFR signalling needs to be elucidated. Repeating this experiment with increased mice may provide more definitive data.

While the effects of ATF3 on EGFR-mediated ADM have been investigated in this study, to truly understand whether ATF3 is responsible for ADM, it would be necessary to analyze the effects of ATF3 on other ADM-promoting signalling pathways, such as Notch signaling. In combination with additional PDAC driving mutations, such as KRAS, Notch signaling accelerates the reprogramming process (Morris et al., 2010). This indicates that differential expression of multiple developmental signalling pathways is implicated in cancer progression. Loss of ATF3 may therefore be not only decreasing
ADM through EGFR signalling, but through repression of inappropriately re-activated notch signalling. Analysis of downstream factors of Notch signalling between wild-type and Atf3<sup>−/−</sup> mouse tissue would elucidate this possibility.

### 4.3 ADM formation in primary acinar cells is affected by the loss of ATF3

The necessity of ATF3 as a driver of the ADM process was analyzed through primary acinar cultures. Treatment of wild-type and Atf3<sup>−/−</sup> acinar cells with TGFα forced acinar cell de-differentiation into cyst, tubular structures representative of ADMs (Figure 3.7). Interestingly, loss of ATF3 did not lead to decreased cyst formation as predicted. However, the structures that formed were not indicative of ADM, as these cells maintained expression of the mature acinar marker amylase. Differences in cyst formation may not have been observed due to overactive EGFR signalling in both wild type and Atf3<sup>−/−</sup>. As overexpression of EGFR activates ADM (Fitzgerald <i>et al.</i>, 2015), it may be that the Atf3<sup>−/−</sup> acinar cultures were treated with a high concentration of TGFα, and that despite decreases in Egfr and Mek1 expression implicated with a loss of Atf3 (Figure 3.4), activation of this pathway was still able to initiate the ADM process.

However, phenotypic ADMs did not form in Atf3<sup>−/−</sup> cultures, as these cells maintained an acinar phenotype, indicating there still is differential pathway activation (Figure 3.9). These cultures were only analyzed up to a 3 day time point. It is known that cyst structures of true ADMs are able to survive in culture for up to 14 days (Means <i>et al.</i>, 2005). Therefore, these cultures should be analyzed at time points beyond what I have documented in this study. I would predict that Atf3<sup>−/−</sup> cysts would undergo apoptosis if
followed beyond a 3-day time point, while the wild type ADMs persist in culture for days following.

To determine the extent of how ATF3 affects ADM, additional pancreatic enzymes and markers of a mature acinar phenotype should be examined, such as β-catenin. It has been previously shown that maintenance of β-catenin signalling is necessary for blocking ADM, and is an important predictor of acinar cell fate and PDAC development (Morris et al., 2010). If the loss of Atf3 leads to maintained β-catenin, as indicated in our previous in vivo work (Fazio et al., accepted), it provides further validation to the prediction that Atf3 is implicated in ADM.

4.4 Increased damage in wild-type mice may be predictive of decreased ability to regenerate following injury

Chronic pancreatitis increases susceptibility to PDAC development (Yadav & Lowenfels, 2013). As ADM is a known precursor to PDAC development (Logsdon & Ji, 2009), identifying the role of ATF3 in mediating ADM following chronic injury is necessary. Our data shows that loss of ATF3 prevents loss of body weight from days 8 to 14 of injury, and up to 3 days into recovery (Figure 3.10). This suggests that ATF3 may contribute to increased pancreatic damage. As is evident histologically, loss of Atf3 reduced inflammatory infiltration and damage, and decreased ADM. These assessments were completed 7 days following the final injection of cerulein. At the cessation of injury, pancreatic acinar cells will attempt to repair damage and undergo regeneration (Murtaugh & Keefe, 2015). Evidence of increased and maintained damage in wild-type mice during the regenerative period following chronic injury is of particular interest. This raises the question as to whether ATF3 expression contributes to increased ADM through
increasing damage, potentially through increased inflammation, or is blocking the regenerative process.

Increased damage observed with ADM is typically caused by an increased inflammatory response. Following injury, macrophages infiltrate the tissue immediately to try and mediate a tissue repair process (Deschênes-Simard et al., 2013). However, continuous exposure to injury, such as chronic pancreatitis, will alter the immune program, and lead to pathogenic inflammatory injury. Loss of ATF3 may be decreasing the damage following chronic pancreatitis through its effects on NFκB. Interactions between ATF3 and NFκB inhibited inflammatory activity and reduced damage following acute kidney injury (Li et al., 2010; Kwon et al., 2015). It may be that in the case of chronic pancreatic injury, ATF3 interacts with a different transcriptional complex to instead activate NFκB, and promote the inflammatory response leading to increased damage. Another possibility is that loss of ATF3 decreases the damage phenotype by promoting a more differentiated, mature acinar phenotype, and therefore blocking inflammation and ADM. Previous results from our lab have shown that ATF3 represses Mist1, a factor known to reduce pancreatic defects and ADM following exposure to injury (Kowalik et al., 2007; Karki et al., 2015). Along with decreased expression in Nr5a2 (Figure 3.2), the loss of these pro-differentiation factors will sensitize acinar tissue to injury and allow for inflammation to persist, and can therefore activate fibrosis via pancreatic stellate cell activation (Murtaugh & Keefe, 2015). The potential that loss of ATF3 following chronic injury is protecting against increased damage would need to be investigated further.

An alternative possibility is that decreased damage is observed with the loss of ATF3 because of the ability of these cells to regenerate to a greater degree. Wild-type mice
show increased ADM following chronic injury, while the absence of ATF3 leads to a greater accumulation of healthy acinar tissue (Figure 3.11). The inability of wild-type acinar cells to regenerate may be because of ATF3’s effects on signalling pathways required for regeneration to occur. Studies have shown that following injury, acinar cells are replaced by expansion of residual surviving cells, rather than neogenesis from a stem cell population (Murtaugh & Keefe, 2015). Regeneration is driven by the Notch signalling pathway (Siveke et al., 2008; Murtaugh & Keefe, 2015). The Notch pathway is normally activated during embryonic development and regulates exocrine cell fate. However, it is expressed at low levels in adult pancreatic tissue, and only becomes re-activated during the regenerative phase of pancreatitis. Notch signalling will increase expression of RBPJ, driving acinar cell progenitor formation and decreasing ductal and islet differentiation. Maintenance of notch signalling for extended periods leads to inhibition of PTF1A-mediated acinar genes, such as Mist1 and Nr5a2, and leads to decreased differentiation (Stanger & Hebrok, 2013; von Figura et al., 2014; Karki et al., 2015). ATF3 may be acting on Notch signalling to maintain its activation, allowing acinar cells to de-differentiate, in an attempt to undergo regeneration, but not re-differentiate to repopulate damaged areas. Increased notch signalling by ATF3 may therefore be sensitizing acinar cells to oncogenic transformation and promote ADM. Evidence of this occurring is clear in our in vivo genetic analysis following acute injury, as loss of Atf3 shows a decreased ratio of Rbpj/Rbpjl expression following acute pancreatitis (Figure 3.1B). In addition to Notch activation, Nr5a2 is required for normal acinar regeneration as this transcription factor drives terminal differentiation. Since Atf3
is implicated in decreasing expression of this factor (Figure 3.2), it may also be repressing regeneration by this mechanism.

The absence of Atf3 following acute pancreatic injury also decreases expression of factors implicated in the EGFR pathway (Egfr and Mek1), and therefore may also be promoting regeneration by repressing ADM formation mediated by EGFR. ATF3 may be increasing damage following chronic CIP through maintenance of re-activated notch signalling, and increased EGFR activation. To confirm this, further experiments analyzing ATF3s effects on notch signalling, are necessary. Analysis of notch-activating ligands, Jagged-1,-2, and Delta-1 can be assessed to determine if there are differences between genotypes (Su et al., 2006).

In acute models of ADM, we see that loss of ATF3 initially leads to increased damage, however these mice are able to recover to a greater extent compared to the wild-type. Based on these results, we would predict that with chronic pancreatitis, a loss of ATF3 would result in worse damage initially, but that these mice would recover quicker. In order to truly test this hypothesis, the recurrent injury experiment would be repeated with a cohort of mice assessed immediately following injury cessation to assess the differences in damage.

This study included limitations of both the in-vivo and in-vitro work. Due to insufficient n values for acute pancreatic mouse studies, differences between genders could not be assessed. Repeating these experiments with increased n values, and an even group of both male and female mice will allow for this analysis. Difficulties in culturing primary acinar cells beyond 3 days provided limitations to the in-vitro work. Assessment of cyst
development and potential cyst size differences between wild type and \( Atf3^{+/−} \) cells could not be completed since primary acinar cells were not viable beyond 3 days-post isolation. Alterations to the acinar isolation protocol will perhaps increase viability of these cells and allow for extended analysis. Additionally, we cannot conclude that the pancreatic phenotype we observe is not due to the loss of ATF3 in pancreatic stellate cells and macrophages. Development of a pancreas specific ATF3 knock-out will eliminate the potential of these off-target effects.

4.5 Future Directions and Conclusions

To establish the mechanism by which \( Atf3 \) is regulating genetic expression of acinar, progenitor, and duct factors, further analysis must be performed. Determining other transcriptional targets that are bound with \( Atf3 \) in a transcriptional complex will elude to the roles it plays to either activate or repress transcription. Performing co-immunoprecipitation assays will allow for resolution of co-binding activating or repressing factors. Understanding these complexes will allow for a more targeted approach to reduce ADM formation.

The role that \( Atf3 \) plays in the EGFR signalling pathway has been minimally explored. To fully understand why loss of \( Atf3 \) still resulted in cyst formation, treatment of acinar cultures with varying concentrations of TGF\( \alpha \) is important. It will be necessary to perform a concentration gradient of TGF\( \alpha \), and then analyze EGFR activation in both wild type and \( Atf3^{+/−} \) primary cultures. Repeating treatment with the newly determined concentrations and assessing cyst formation for days following treatment will provide a greater insight into this process. To further provide support to ATF3’s role in EGFR signalling, ADM, and finally PDAC formation, introducing a loss of \( Atf3 \) with a mutated
KRAS gene is necessary. Currently, mouse lines are being developed that contain an inducible KRAS\textsuperscript{G12D} driven by an acinar specific Ptf1a-cre. Exploring the role that Atf3 plays in ADM development with a KRAS mutation will allow for a better understanding in how it may be increasing susceptibility to PDAC.

Finally, as alluded to in the discussion, it is unknown whether Atf3 is implicated in increasing damage or repressing regeneration following chronic injury. Repeating the experiment and analyzing the mice at time points immediately following cessation of injury will allow for us to definitively conclude ATF3’s role in either promoting damage or blocking regeneration.

In conclusion, this study identifies Atf3 as potential regulator of ADM. ATF3 may be driving this process through either affecting the acinar genetic program to promote progenitor and ductal cell formation, through increased EGFR signalling, or both (Figure 4.1). While ATF3 is not necessary for cyst formation, as evident in primary acinar cultures, it is implicated in the ADM process since loss of Atf3 results in maintained mature acinar marker expression (amylase). To determine the role of ATF3 in driving the ADM process following chronic injury, and therefore potentially increasing susceptibility to PDAC, analyzing how loss of Atf3 reduces ADM, through either decreasing damage or increasing regenerative capacity, is necessary (Figure 4.1). Further studies to elucidate the mechanism of genetic regulations in the pancreas will provide insight as to how ATF3 may be targeted for either diagnostic or therapeutic purposes.
Figure 4.1 ATF3 promotes acinar-to-duct cell metaplasia by affecting the acinar genetic program and inhibiting pancreatic regeneration following injury. When the pancreas is exposed to injury, it will attempt to regenerate and repopulate damaged acinar cells. However, ATF3 may be blocking this regenerative process and instead promote acinar-to-duct cell metaplasia (ADM) by affecting the acinar genetic program and increasing EGFR signalling. Regeneration may be inhibited by ATF3’s effects on EGFR and Notch signalling, as well as inflammation.
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Appendix 1. Representative analysis of cell thickness

Representative analysis of cell thickness in reference to Figure 9. Using Image J software, 3 points around the cyst were measured across the length of the nuclei to determine the thickness of the cells composing the cyst. An average of 3 distinct points per cyst was recorded.
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