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Abstract and Key Words

This thesis details the development of a novel cobalt catalyst for the Mukaiyama oxidative cyclization of THF (tetrahydrofuran) rings. The Mukaiyama cyclization has been an effective methodology for the formation of trans-THF rings and has been utilized in the synthesis of several natural products. The first Chapter in this document outlines the development of a water soluble Co(nmp)$_2$ catalyst and its improved performance in the Mukaiyama cyclization.

The second chapter details further applications of the Co(nmp)$_2$ catalyst. These applications include failed attempts at alkyne cyclizations and the successful application to trisubstituted 2,2,5-THF products. Applications of Co(nmp)$_2$ in total synthesis and synthetic methodology are also discussed.
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1. The Synthesis of Tetrahydrofuran Derivatives

Tetrahydrofuran (THF) ring systems have attracted considerable attention as synthetic targets due to their prevalence in naturally occurring molecules demonstrating significant biological activity.\(^1\) The discovery of a new class of polyketide natural products, known as acetogenins, derived from plants in the *Annonaceae* family, in recent years has only served to strengthen the field of study.\(^2\) These and many other compounds containing THF ring structures are often part of fused and/or macrocyclic ring systems. THF natural product’s potent bioactivity has been shown to produce potential anti-cancer properties. To explore these properties for pharmaceutical application, it is necessary to produce the molecules on a large scale. As a direct result of the need for large scale synthesis of THF rings and natural products, many innovative methods have been developed to facilitate their synthesis. These methods use a diverse array of strategies, which include radical cyclization,\(^3\) chlorohydrin displacement,\(^4\) donor-acceptor cyclopropane annulations\(^5\) and many others.\(^6\)
1.1 $S_N2$ THF Cyclization Methods

1.1.0 General mechanism

A THF ring is a cyclic 5-membered ether and the C – O bond of this structure is the predominant retrosynthetic disconnection. There are various ways in which the C – O bond can be formed but the most straightforward is an $S_N2$ reaction between an alcohol and a leaving group. As a fundamental reaction in organic chemistry, the Williamson ether synthesis provides a simple illustration of THF synthesis via an $S_N2$ pathway.\(^7\) Alcohol 1.01 can be treated with base to form an alkoxide species. This can undergo backside attack displacing the bromide leaving group. This is a clean and simple illustration of how an $S_N2$ pathway would proceed but in actual application it can produce side products through an elimination pathway. Several methods have been developed to avoid the elimination product.

Scheme 1. Williamson Ether Synthesis

1.1.1 Chlorohydrin cyclization

The Britton group has applied chlorohydrin cyclization to the formation of THF rings and have adapted it to oxygenated THF rings (Scheme 2).\(^4\) The ability to control and
modify the stereochemical outcome of this reaction makes this methodology very interesting. Various reaction conditions can be applied to a single starting material to access all of the possible stereoisomers of the product THF. These modes of reactivity include epoxide formation and opening, direct cyclization and Lewis acid mediated cyclization. These reactions occur in good yield and with diastereomeric ratios (dr) up to 20:1. This strategy is very robust and is limited only by the synthesis of the starting material.

Synthesis of the THF products can be achieved via treatment of chlorodiol 1.05 with KOH in ethanol to afford epoxide 1.06 in 97% yield (Scheme 3). Epoxide 1.06 can be treated with 10 mol % BF₃·OEt₂ in CH₂Cl₂ to afford THF 1.07 in 92% yield. Chlorodiol 1.03 reacted under the same conditions producing a 96% yield over 2 steps (Scheme 2). The epoxide intermediate allows for retention of stereoconfiguration at the chloride position into the THF product. This occurs as the epoxide inverts the stereocentre and subsequent attack re-establishes the previous configuration.

Scheme 2. Britton BF₃ THF Synthesis
Britton’s initial conditions allow for the synthesis of 4,5-trans THF products with both 2,5-cis and trans relative diastereoselectivity based on the correct chlorodiol. An alternative set of conditions were necessary to invert the stereochemistry of the 5 position in the THF product. Britton found that AgOTf was able to coordinate the chloride and adjacent alcohol together to both activate the chloride position and discourage epoxide formation (Scheme 4). The conformation of the silver complex allowed for attack of the pendant alcohol and access to the desired 4,5-trans products. The reaction required stoichiometric amounts of AgOTf and Ag2O, which were found to decrease decomposition, presumably by scavenging TfOH. In addition to Britton’s original reaction conditions, it was found that microwave assisted transformations gave excellent yields of the dihydroxylated THF product (1.10, Scheme 5).
1.1.2 Epoxide Opening Synthesis

Epoxide cascade reactions are an efficient method for forming several cyclic ethers in a single reaction pot. By strategically placing epoxide and alcohol moieties, many ring systems can cyclize under the same conditions. Jamison and co-workers used this method to access tetrahydropyran (THP) moieties. The Jamison method allows for a pendant alcohol to attack a single epoxide, creating a newly formed THP with an alcohol functionality (Scheme 6). This alcohol can then undergo the same reaction and is propagated through several tethered epoxide centres.
While the Jamison method selectively produces 6 membered rings, it is also possible to access multiple THF rings in a one-pot synthesis. Hoye used an epoxide opening strategy for the bis-THF (+)-parviflorin. Diepoxide 1.14 was subjected to Sharpless asymmetric dihydroxylation conditions to provide the diol precursor for an “inside-out” epoxide opening cascade (Scheme 7). Treatment with TFA afforded the THF product (1.15) in 85% yield over two steps.

Scheme 7. Hoye bis-THF Synthesis
1.2 THF Formal Cycloaddition Methods

1.2.0 Formal Cycloaddition General Mechanism

A cycloaddition is the addition of two unsaturated compounds to form a cyclic molecule with a lower bond order than the precursor and the reaction occurs in a concerted fashion. A *formal* cycloaddition gives the cycloaddition product without a concerted reaction mechanism. The general mechanism shown in Scheme 8 depicts a dipolarophile undergoing a nucleophilic attack to create zwitterionic intermediate II, which can then form the cycloadduct. This concept has been explored in many unique transformations and several will be discussed in further detail below.

![Scheme 8. General [3+2] Formal Cycloaddition Mechanism](image)

1.2.1 Johnson’s Donor-Acceptor Cyclopropane THF Synthesis

Donor-acceptor cyclopropanes have been adapted to form an extensive array of cycloaddition products arising from a wide array of dipolarophiles. The Johnson cyclopropane annulation with aldehydes can selectively give *cis*THF products. The addition of Sn(OTf)2 to cyclopropane 1.19 and an aldehyde gave near quantitative yields
for almost all examples. The reaction shows excellent diastereoselectivity for larger R groups (Ph, iPr) and almost full retention of stereochemistry from the starting cyclopropane (Scheme 9).

![Scheme 9. Johnson Cyclopropane Annulation with Aldehydes](image)

Retention of the enantiomeric purity of the cyclopropane occurs through an \( \text{S}_2 \) attack of the chiral centre (Scheme 10). After the initial attack, the zwitterionic species (Scheme 10, I) will rotate to allow attack of the aldehyde carbon by the malonate. Bond rotation in intermediate I will force the molecule into a cis configuration as this puts both phenyl groups in the more stable equatorial positions in the final product (1.20a).

![Scheme 10. Johnson Annulation Mechanism](image)

A unique variation on this reaction occurs with the use of a \( \text{MgCl}_2 \) Lewis acid and an equivalent amount of chiral ligand (Scheme 11). These reaction conditions allow the use of a racemic cyclopropane (1.21) starting material, which is resolved to a highly
enantioenriched product (1.22). Good yield and high diastereoselectivity are conserved from the previous Sn(OTf)$_2$ catalyzed reactions.

![Diagram showing the reaction of 1.21 rac to form 1.22](image)

Scheme 11. Enantioselective Cyclopropane Annulation

Two major factors contribute to the enantioenriched product produced from a racemic mixture. The first is background reactivity of the cyclopropane. Magnesium iodide is able to open a donor-acceptor cyclopropane ring and subsequently reform the same compound (Scheme 12). Given no preference to the reformation of a single enantiomer, the cyclopropane will always revert back to a 1:1 er of the starting material. Secondly, the chiral PyBOX (1.23) catalyst causes a dynamic kinetic resolution, resulting in excellent er of the THF products. The addition of the PyBOX ligand causes a single enantiomer of the racemic mixture to react at a higher rate than the other. These conditions are able to deplete one enantiomer of the starting material through selectively reacting with a single enantiomer and then converting the other to the kinetically favoured starting material.
1.2.2 Prins Cyclization

The Prins cyclization is an acid-catalyzed reaction producing a cyclic acetal from an aldehyde and alkene (Scheme 13). Activation of the aldehyde by acid catalyst allows for nucleophilic attack by the alkene moiety (I, Scheme 11). This produces a carbocation intermediate (II) that can react through several pathways. The excess aldehyde can react with intermediate II and form an acetal (1.31). Water can terminate the reaction to form both the hydrated product (1.32) and the elimination product (1.33). The hydration product is formed by direct attack of the carbocation by water. The elimination product uses water as a base to cause β-elimination and give an allylic alcohol.
Scheme 13. General Prinz Cyclization

Panek and coworkers were able to use the carbocation intermediate of the Prins cyclization for the synthesis of THF rings.\textsuperscript{12} Their strategy begins with a BF\textsubscript{3}-OEt\textsubscript{2} catalyzed addition of a (E)-crotylsilane (1.34) into various aldehydes (Scheme 14). Transition state I produces the \textit{anti}-product as the major diastereomer allowing for high diastereoselectivity in the final THF. Where the Panek method differs from a standard Prins reaction is in quenching the carbocation intermediate II; by strategically placing a silyl group, which can stabilize the carbocation, they are able to “lock” the structural conformation of the molecule. This unstable intermediate (III) can then be attacked by the newly formed alcohol to give the final THF product (1.35). Panek’s method allows for the creation of three stereocentres and a THF ring in highly diastereoselective fashion.
1.3 Radical Cyclizations of Substituted THF Rings

Radical reactions provide an efficient way to produce cyclic compounds and have been utilized in the production of THF rings. Radical cyclizations require an initiation step to form a radical species, and a classic initiator is AIBN (1.36), which under high temperature or light stimulus decomposes into two equivalents of isobutynitrile radical (I) (Scheme 15). This radical can then be transferred to Bu3SnH, which will go on to react with the cyclization substrate (II). The tin radical selectively attacks halogen species 1.37 to form a carbon radical on the substrate (III). The radical then cyclizes forming the 5-membered ring, as Baldwin’s rules favour 5-exo-tet over 6-endo-tet. Finally, the resultant radical (IV) is quenched by Bu3SnH to form the product (1.38) and propagate the reaction.
13.0 Carbon Centred Radical THF Cyclization

Carbon-centred radical cyclizations have been used to create THF compounds in natural product synthesis.\textsuperscript{14} The Kim group used a diethyl tartrate-derived cyclization precursors (1.39) to access bis-THF structures (Scheme 16). When precursor 1.39 was submitted to radical cyclization conditions it afforded 81\% of the desired product (1.40). Kim’s cyclization conditions produced the cis product exclusively and was extended to include the synthesis of THP rings.

1.3.1 Sammis Oxygen Centred Radical Cyclization

Radical cyclizations have been applied in the synthesis of trans-THF rings. The Sammis group has developed conditions for generation of an oxygen-centred radical that cyclizes onto strategically placed silyl enol ethers (Scheme 17).\textsuperscript{3} This reaction selectively forms the THF exo addition product over the endo-pyran, conforming to Baldwin’s rules.
These reaction conditions produce good yields with up to 9:1 diastereoselectivity (Scheme 17). Diastereoselectivity arises from transition state 1.43 as transition state 1.44 is disfavoured due to steric interactions of the silyl group (Figure 1). Placing a sterically bulky group at R₃ helps force the silyl enol ether into the desired transition state (1.43) improving dr (diasteromeric ratio). THF products with little substitution about the ring show a lower dr of 3:1 but maintain high yields.

![Scheme 17. Sammis' Radical Cyclization](image)

1.4 Transition Metal Catalyzed THF Synthesis

1.4.0 Cross Metathesis

Transition metal-catalyzed reactions have played a major role in expanding the scope of organic synthesis. Many of these reactions have been applied to the formation of cyclic compounds including THF rings. One such transition metal-catalyzed reaction is olefin metathesis – discovered by Zeigler in the 1950's and made synthetically versatile by Grubbs in 1992 - it has become a staple in synthesis. Grubbs along with Schrock and
Chauvin were awarded the Nobel prize in chemistry in 2005 for their contributions in alkene metathesis. Cross metathesis allows for the combination of various olefins to add substituents to an alkene effectively. When used in the synthesis of cyclic molecules this process is referred to as ring closing metathesis (RCM). Ring-closing metathesis requires the use of Mo or Ru complexes (1.47). These complexes are able to form a metallocycle (I) by cycloaddition to an alkene, which then eliminates a waste alkene and forms a carbene complex (II) (Scheme 19). The carbene is now able to undergo an intramolecular formation of a carbocycle intermediate (III). This intermediate can eliminate the catalyst to afford a DHF (dihydrofuran) product (1.46), which can be reduced under subsequent reaction conditions to afford a THF. Grubbs demonstrated this reactivity using Schrock catalyst 1.47 at 5 mol % loading in benzene. Precursor 1.45 cyclizes selectively to the cis alkene due to ring constraint in good yield.

![Scheme 18. Grubbs Ring Closing Metathesis](image-url)
1.4.1 Metal Catalyzed Trost Annulation

Palladium catalysis has been utilized by Trost to form THF rings with aldehydes.\textsuperscript{19} Trost synthesized THF rings using allyl acetate with a pendant metal functionality (\textbf{1.53}) (Scheme 20). The palladium catalyst undergoes oxidative addition to the allyl acetate forming a $\pi$-allyl complex (\textbf{I}) and the pendant tin species is removed, by nucleophilic attack of acetate, allowing the nucleophilic reactivity of the trimethylenemethane species (\textbf{II}). Aldehyde is added to the reaction mixture and is attacked by the nucleophilic trimethylenemethane leaving a newly formed alkoxide species, which undergoes cyclization with the $\pi$-allyl complex to form the exomethylene THF product (\textbf{1.55}). Trost was able to form the desired THF product (\textbf{1.51}) in 73\% yield when using aldehyde \textbf{1.50} (Scheme 21).

The stereochemical outcome of the Trost cyclization reaction is \textit{cis} and the er is conserved from the nucleophilic alcohol (Scheme 22). When the benzoate starting material \textbf{1.56} is displaced by Pd$^0$, it forms complex (\textbf{I}). This transition state allows backside attack on the palladium complex to form the \textit{cis} product. Steric interactions of the oxygen centre
are cited as the predominant reason for the facial selectivity but further studies also incorporate 1,3 interactions as an integral factor in the resultant dr.

Scheme 20. Trost Annulation Mechanism

Scheme 21. Trost Annulation

Scheme 20. Intramolecular Trost Annulation
Meyer and co-workers used Trost’s strategy to form the C7-C22 fragment of amphotinolide K. Under their cyclization conditions THF precursor 1.58 forms both the tin alkoxide and palladium complex which undergoes cyclization to afford THF fragment 1.59 in 88% yield (Scheme 23).

Scheme 21. Synthesis of a THF Fragment of Amphidinolide K
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2. Cobalt Catalyzed Oxidative Cyclization

The use of molecular oxygen as an oxidizing agent is the most cost effective and atom economical choice for oxidation reactions (akin to $\text{H}_2$ in reductions). Unfortunately, molecular oxygen reactivity is typically not high enough to allow for it to be a useful reagent for most chemical transformations. A number of methods have been developed to allow the use of oxygen as the stoichiometric oxidant in conjunction with a catalytic transition metal complex.\(^1\) Such oxidation reactions include, but are not limited to, epoxidation,\(^2\) olefin dehydrogenation,\(^3\) peroxygenation\(^4\) and alcohol oxidation.\(^5\)

2.1 The Mukaiyama Discovery

In 1989 the Mukaiyama group was studying the use of molecular oxygen with cobalt catalysts to perform various oxidations of alcohols and alkenes.\(^6\) One such oxidation was the use of Co(L)\(_2\) type complexes (Figure 2) to produce trimethylsilylperoxides in good yield (Scheme 24).\(^7\)

\[ \begin{align*}
\text{OH} & \quad \text{modp} \quad \text{2.01} \\
\text{OH} & \quad \text{tfa} \quad \text{2.02}
\end{align*} \]

\text{Figure 2. Mukaiyama’s Ligands}

\[ \begin{align*}
\text{2.03} & \quad \text{Et}_3\text{SiH} & \quad \text{Co(modp)\(_2\), O}_2 & \quad \text{2.04} & \quad \text{Solvent} & \quad \text{2.05}
\end{align*} \]

\text{Scheme 22. Mukaiyama Trimethylsilylperoxide Synthesis}
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Alkene</th>
<th>Time/h</th>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Yield</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Ph" /></td>
<td>5</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="OOSiEt₃" /></td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="O" /></td>
<td>12</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="OOSiEt₃" /></td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="O" /></td>
<td>10</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="OOSiEt₃" /></td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Ph" /></td>
<td>12</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Ph" /></td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a) Reaction conditions: Triethylsilane, alkene and Co(modp)₂ reacted under O₂ atmosphere in DCE.

Table 1. Mukaiyama Silylperoxide Oxidation of Alkenes

This was accompanied by the use of an extended set of similar catalysts to perform oxidation, reduction, or hydrations of alkenes. Further extension of this work revealed that when subjecting a pent-4-ene-1-ol to the hydration reaction conditions, cyclization and oxidation occurred rather than olefin hydration (Scheme 24, Table 1)). Various Co(II) complexes were examined from Co(tfa)₂ (2.02) to Co(modp)₂(2.01) (Figure 2). It was shown that the oxidative activity was present only in cobalt species with a reduction potential from approximately 0 and 0.5 eV relative to Ag/Ag⁺ in acetonitrile. This reactive range was demonstrated in Mukaiyama’s hydration chemistry and the trend holds true for the oxidative cyclization. Electron withdrawing groups, located on the cobalt ligand, such as amides, esters, and trifluoromethyl groups, have been shown to produce cobalt species with reduction potentials in the 0.4 to 0.5 eV. This range of cobalt species has produced the highest yields and selectivity towards to the cyclized THF product. In Mukaiyama’s case, the Co(modp)₂ (2.01) catalyst proved to be the most effective and continued as the catalyst of choice. The reaction conditions were optimized and it was found that moderate temperatures such as 50 °C were optimal as opposed to 75 °C, which resulted in lower yields and an increase in undesired side products with Co(tfa)₂ (Table 2). The addition of peroxides decreased reaction times and increased yields (Entries 5-7, Table 2).
shown that electron donating and withdrawing groups had little effect on the reaction

Scheme 23. Mukaiyama’s Initial Discovery

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Catalyst</th>
<th>Temperature (°C)</th>
<th>Additive (mol %)</th>
<th>Time (h)</th>
<th>Yield (%)</th>
<th>2.07</th>
<th>2.08</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Co(tfa)_2</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Co(tfa)_2</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Co(acac)_2</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Co(modp)_2</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Co(modp)_2</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>tBuOOH (50)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Co(modp)_2</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>tBuOOH (100)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Co(modp)_2</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>CHP (100)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Mukaiyama’s Initial Cyclization Optimization

While providing good yields and excellent dr, the examples do not include any oxidatively sensitive functional groups or acid sensitive functional groups. Other major limitations of this study are substitution being limited to differentiation of the C2 position on the tetrahydrofuran ring and only terminal alkenes were explored (Table 3). It was shown that electron donating and withdrawing groups had little effect on the reaction (Table 3, Entries 2 and 3), as did steric bulk (Table 3, Entry 8). A decrease in yield was observed in substrates with bulky groups at the two position with dr remaining greater than 97:3 trans:cis selectivity at the C2 and C5 positions.
Scheme 24. Mukaiyama Oxidative Cyclization

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Substrate</th>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Yield (%)</th>
<th>dr (trans %)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td><img src="image1" alt="Substrate 1" /></td>
<td><img src="image2" alt="Product 1" /></td>
<td>73</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td><img src="image3" alt="Substrate 2" /></td>
<td><img src="image4" alt="Product 2" /></td>
<td>69</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td><img src="image5" alt="Substrate 3" /></td>
<td><img src="image6" alt="Product 3" /></td>
<td>60</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td><img src="image7" alt="Substrate 4" /></td>
<td><img src="image8" alt="Product 4" /></td>
<td>79</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td><img src="image9" alt="Substrate 5" /></td>
<td><img src="image10" alt="Product 5" /></td>
<td>62</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td><img src="image11" alt="Substrate 6" /></td>
<td><img src="image12" alt="Product 6" /></td>
<td>69</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td><img src="image13" alt="Substrate 7" /></td>
<td><img src="image14" alt="Product 7" /></td>
<td>53</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td><img src="image15" alt="Substrate 8" /></td>
<td><img src="image16" alt="Product 8" /></td>
<td>55</td>
<td>&gt;99</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Mukaiyama’s Substrate Scope for the Oxidative Cyclization
A mechanism was hypothesized by Mukaiyama in his original work, however, experimental validation of the proposed mechanism was absent. Mukaiyama hypothesized coordination of the cobalt catalyst to the alcohol and alkene sections of the pentenol (Scheme 27). An oxygen-centered radical (I) is formed and stereoselectivity guided towards the alkene via $\pi$-bond interaction with the cobalt centre. Due to steric factors, the cobalt complex resides opposite the R group (II) of the alkenol and forms a \textit{trans}-THF junction. This leaves a cobalt-stabilized radical (IV), which can either be oxidized by molecular oxygen then terminated with solvent to form the major hydroxylated THF product (2.08) or quenched before oxidation to form the alkyl THF (2.07).

Scheme 25. Mukaiyama's Proposed Mechanism
2.2 Hartung’s Mechanism of the Mukaiyama Cyclization

In a more recent work, the Hartung group has proposed an alternative mechanism,\textsuperscript{10} in which the coordination of dioxygen to the paramagnetic cobalt species forms a strong Lewis acid and metal oxidizing agent (Scheme 28). The $O_2$ cobalt species then coordinates to the alcohol in the starting material (Scheme 29). Hartung states that Co(acac)\textsubscript{2} complexes have the ability to oxidize alkenes to the radical cation. A single electron transfer occurs between the alkene and the Co(III) centre (VII). Without the restraint of the double bond, the former alkene carbons are free to rotate into a more suitable chair transition state that allows for hydroxyl attack onto the cation to form the $trans$-THF ring (IX). The reaction is terminated with quenching of the oxygen-centred radical to form the alcohol and loss of Co(III)OH (X).

\textbf{Scheme 26. Cobalt Activation of O\textsubscript{2}}

\textbf{Scheme 27. Hartung's Proposed Mechanism}
Hartung was able to provide evidence for his mechanism through an extensive substrate scope using a cobalt complex of 2.09 (Figure 3). The proposed radical cation intermediate (VIII) was supported by the lack of diastereoselectivity when a non-terminal alkene was cyclized. A similar observation was also seen by Pagenkopf and co-workers in 2006 and is discussed in section 2.4.3 (Table 4). These observations preclude an epoxide intermediate as high selectivity would be expected for such an intermediate. A large substrate scope showed high diastereoselectivity towards 2,5-trans-configurations in the final product with 2,3 trans and 2,4 cis also giving moderate diastereoselectivity. The latter relationships can be overcome by a stronger influence by 2,5 trans diastereoselectivity. This is attributed to the chair transition state involving the radical cation intermediate.

![Figure 3. Ligand Used in the Hartung Studies](image)

![Figure 4. Diastereoselectivity of the Mukaiyama Cyclization](image)

An important step in any catalytic sequence is regeneration of the catalyst. As it is apparent that Co(III)OH will not catalyze the cyclization, there must be a pathway for the
renewal of the original complex. The use of a suitable hydrogen donor proves vital to this sequence as the Co(III)OH (I, Scheme 30) by-product coordinates itself to a donor molecule (often iPrOH) and performs an oxidation to create the oxidized donor molecule and H₂O, which are lost leaving the hydridocobalt(III) complex (III). This is then reduced by abstraction by O₂ (IV). The production of acetone during mechanistic studies supported Hartung’s pathway. During NMR studies using deuterated isopropanol- d₈, it was found that solvent was a major proton source for termination of the radical species.

Hartung noted several limitations including the inability to form 2,5-substituted THFs with bulky substitution patterns in appreciable yield and pyran ring formation being inaccessible using the same reaction conditions. Despite these limitations, increased utility for this chemistry was obtained by expanding the electrophiles that are capable of terminating the primary radical produced during the catalytic cycle. By utilizing alternative reaction conditions, a toluene/cyclohexadiene (CHD) solvent system with added BrCCl₃
can produce the primary bromide product (2.12, Scheme 31).\textsuperscript{11} Alternatively, CHD as the sole reaction solvent allows for reductive termination of the catalytic cycle to produce the fully reduced product (2.07).

![Scheme 29. Hartung Reductive Termination of an Oxidative Cyclization](image)

2.3 Applications

Given the Mukaiyama oxidative cyclization’s inherent synthetic utility, several syntheses have been reported with the Co(II) catalyst as the THF-forming step. The first was the synthesis of gigantetrocin A by Wang and Shi followed by Evans’ synthesis of mucocin (2.13).\textsuperscript{12} The Mukaiyama oxidative cyclization was also utilized by the Pagenkopf group in the synthesis of aplysiallene (2.24)\textsuperscript{13} and bullatacin (2.17)\textsuperscript{14}, which will be addressed later in section 2.4.0.

![Figure 5. Mucocin](image)

The synthesis of mucocin (2.13) shows how a rather simple starting material can very quickly be formed into a more complex molecule via oxidative cyclization of the appropriate precursor (Scheme 32). Sharpless epoxide 2.14 is treated with allyl Grignard reagent to afford THF precursor 2.15. Application of the cobalt-catalyzed oxidative
cyclization conditions forms *trans*-THF ring 2.16, generating a new stereocentre with a very high degree of diastereocontrol. This sequence demonstrates the convenience of the oxidative cyclization.

![Scheme 30. Oxidative Cyclization of Mucocin Precursor](image)

2.4 The Pagenkopf Group

2.4.0 Natural Product Synthesis – Bullatacin and Aplysiallene

A driving force for exploration of the Mukaiyama oxidative cyclization has been the pursuit of several natural product syntheses within the Pagenkopf group. Bullatacin\(^{14}\) and aplysiallene\(^{13}\) have unique structural complexities and the former displaying high bioactivity making it an interesting target and a more complex application of the THF cyclization.

![Figure 6. Bullatacin](image)
Monoprotection of diol and performing the THF cyclization in a stepwise fashion produced examination can be constructed in a similar manner to bullatacin (2.17), completed in 2006, the Mukaiyama oxidation to create the central \textit{bis}-THF core (2.22). The starting diepoxide was opened by allyl magnesium bromide to form dipentenol substrate 2.19. From the dipentenol substrate, a cyclization reaction would have produced the core of bullatacin, but despite a published precedent, the reaction proceeded in an unacceptable yield (Scheme 33). Monoprotection of diol and performing the THF cyclization in a stepwise fashion produced the finished core in 45% over 7 steps (Scheme 34).

Aplysiallene (2.24) contains an interesting fused \textit{bis}-THF core, which under closer examination can be constructed in a similar manner to bullatacin (2.17). Unlike the synthesis of bullatacin, the THF core was successfully cyclized in good yield; however, attempts to desymmetrize the tetrahydrofuran core were unsuccessful. Wang and Pagenkopf were then able to apply a similar strategy to that of the bullatacin synthesis to
access the THF core. Initial desymmetrization of diol precursor 2.25 followed by two sequential cyclizations gave the THF core (2.27) of aplysiallene in good overall yield (Scheme 35).

Figure 7. Aplysiallene

Scheme 33. Key Steps in the Synthesis of Aplysiallene

2.4.1 “Revamped” Synthesis of The Mukaiyama Ligands

While being an efficient method of obtaining THF rings, the Mukaiyama catalyst was not without drawbacks. The catalyst is prepared using CoCl₂ and KOH conditions in order to coordinate the morpholine derived ligand (modp) (Scheme 36). The catalyst also produced inconsistent yields in the cyclization of THF rings, and this is hypothesized to be due to low purity and decomposition of the catalyst. The Pagenkopf group was able to redesign the synthetic route to the catalyst by utilizing cobalt-2-(ethylhexanoate)₂ in place of CoCl₂, which allowed for the complexation to occur in consistently good yield (Scheme 37). Also, unlike the original procedure to produce the Mukaiyama catalyst, which was
a brown wax, the new method produced a crystalline powder allowing for convenient use in the laboratory setting.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{2.28} & \quad \text{2.29} & \quad \text{Et}_3\text{N, CH}_2\text{Cl}_2 & \quad 16\text{h, 100\%} \\
\begin{array}{c}
\text{\text{1) Pinacolone,} \\
\quad \text{\text{BuOK, THF, 16 h}}
\end{array} & \quad \text{2) AcOH} & \quad 78\% \\
\begin{array}{c}
\downarrow \\
\text{2.01}
\end{array} & \quad \text{2.30}
\end{align*}
\]

Scheme 34. Modp Ligand Synthesis

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{2.01} & \quad \text{CoCl}_2, \text{KOH} & \quad \text{Co(mdp)}_2 & \quad 70\% \\
\begin{array}{c}
\text{Brown wax}
\end{array} & \quad \text{2.01} & \quad \text{Co(mdp)}_2 & \quad \text{tan, crystalline powder}
\end{align*}
\]

Scheme 35. Synthesis of Co(mdp)_2

2.4.2 Crystal Structures

Throughout the course of the previously described natural product synthesis projects, effort was directed to the refinement and expansion of the Mukaiyama methodology. Several variations of the cobalt catalysts were prepared in hopes of producing a catalyst with higher stability and overall performance in the oxidative cyclization. Conveniently, x-ray quality crystals were obtained during these studies and
the structure of the catalyst was found. At that point in time, very little structural information was known as the paramagnetic cobalt centre makes NMR experimentation near impossible. After the synthesis of a broad range of ligands with varying substitution patterns, one was successfully crystallized in both the Co(II) and Co(III) oxidation states. The Co(II) species was shown to be a bidentate structure with a single cobalt centre (Figure 8). The Co(III) species, however, was shown to contain two cobalt centres with bridging hydroxyl groups and two associated ligands (Figure 8).

![Figure 8. X-Ray Crystal structures of Co(DiBn)$_2$](image)

2.4.3 Substrate Scope Exploration

Through a series of experiments conducted in the Pagenkopf lab the general reactivity scope of the cobalt catalyzed cyclization was explored (Table 4). The reactivity
of the cobalt centre with various homoallylic alcohols was tested and it was found that they do not cyclize. It is also important to note that the E/Z configuration of the alkene substrate is not affected by the reaction conditions and this selectivity allows for an enhanced synthetic utility (Scheme 38). When both alkenes 2.32 and 2.33 were subjected to cyclization conditions, only the starting material was recovered. The chemoselectivity and lack of isomerization allows a greater tolerance for external functionality on a substrate molecule, increases this methodology’s utility in synthesis.

Cyclization precursors with an exo-methylene unit gave the desired methyl-substituted product in similar yield to the unsubstituted starting material (Entry 2, Table 4). Placing a geminal dimethyl group on the terminal end of the alkene resulted in the complete shutdown of reactivity, with only starting material obtained (Entry 3, Table 4). Substitution on the two position of the alcohol gave a good yield, but only a 1.7:1 cis/trans diastereoselectivity (Entry 4, Table 4). A pentanol with phenyl substitution at the three position was able to cyclize in good yield and produced an 8:1 (trans: cis) ratio at the 2,3 substitution. Similar results were also obtained by Hartung10, which assisted in elucidating the previously discussed mechanism (Scheme 29). An internal alkene could be cyclized

![Scheme 36. Isomerization Experiment](image-url)
but without any selectivity towards the stereochemistry of the produced secondary alcohol.

The internal alkene cyclization was performed using both cis and trans alkenes resulting
in virtually the same product ratios, likely due to a common radical intermediate (Table 4,
Entries 6-7).

Scheme 37. Mukaiyama Oxidative Cyclization

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Alcohol</th>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Yield</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>![Image](1.7:1 cis/trans)</td>
<td><img src="82" alt="Image" /></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>![Image](1.2:1 syn:anti)</td>
<td><img src="75" alt="Image" /></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td><img src="0" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="83" alt="Image" /></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>![Image](1.7:1 cis/trans)</td>
<td>![Image](8:1 trans:cis)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>![Image](1.2:1 syn:anti)</td>
<td><img src="71%25" alt="Image" /></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>![Image](1.3:1 syn:anti)</td>
<td><img src="53%25" alt="Image" /></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Substitution Effect on dr.
2.5 Objectives of the Current Research

Despite the high dr, simplicity of starting materials, and good yields of the Mukaiyama oxidative cyclization, there is great difficulty when attempting to remove the decomposed cobalt by-products while isolating the product. During the purification of cyclization products with flash column chromatography, the green cobalt residue will streak on silica and coelute with the desired THF product. Difficulty in obtaining purified products was amplified when attempting to scale-up reactions to produce large quantities of starting material for synthesis. Even after multiple purification attempts, cobalt species may still remain and contaminate the product. This is not only a problem because the product is impure but also because the paramagnetic cobalt species causes characterization via NMR spectroscopy to be difficult or in some cases impossible. As this transformation played an integral part of many current synthetic projects within the Pagenkopf group, it was decided that dedication of resources to surmount this challenge was warranted. The first and seemingly simplest option was to modify the existing ligands. Many methods have been developed in order to overcome solubility problems in other catalytic reactions, these include but are not limited to sulfonated ligands and ionic liquids (Figure 9). These modifications aim to add functionality without affecting the desirable reactivity of the original ligand. The method envisioned to work the best with the Mukaiyama catalyst was to modify the morpholine ring of the modp (2.01) ligand by replacing morpholine with a 1-methyl piperazine unit (2.37). This would allow the ligand to be converted to the ammonium species and extracted via an acid workup.
2.6 Results & Discussion

With a (Z)-2-hydroxy-5,5-dimethyl-1-(4-methyl-1-piperazinyl)-2-hexene-1,4-dione ligand (nmp) target in mind, the synthesis of a highly polar or ideally aqueous-soluble Mukaiyama catalyst began.\textsuperscript{18} The synthesis began with condensation of 1-methylpiperazine with ethyloxalyl chloride (Scheme 40). A subsequent Claisen condensation with pinacolone afforded the ligand. Previously reported conditions called for the use of aqueous acetic acid to quench the reaction and form the enol product. When these conditions were used in the synthesis of 2.37, poor yields were observed due to formation of the ammonium species and loss in the aqueous workup. This was solved by using two equivalents of acetic acid in CH\textsubscript{2}Cl\textsubscript{2} and a simple filtration to remove the residual potassium acetate salt. Complexation of the ligand to the cobalt(II) centre was challenging as standard condition led to poor yields and a purple solid that performed poorly in the oxidative cyclization. A purple color is indicative of unreacted cobalt(II) ethylhexanoate species, which interferes with the desired cyclization reaction. Previous studies within the
Pagenkopf group have provided crystallographic evidence that showed adventitious water in the crystal structure and its importance in synthesizing the various cobalt catalysts.\(^1\)

![Co(II) Complex](image)

**Figure 11. Cobalt NMP Catalyst**

It was found that the addition of several equivalents of water into the complexation mixture afforded a tan powder, which is a typical appearance for previous catalysts.

![Scheme 38](image)

**Scheme 38. Synthesis of Co(nmp)\(_2\)**

This powder was subsequently tested against the standard piper (2.36) and modp (2.01) catalyst systems (Figure 10) with several TBS protected pentenols of varying side chain length (Table 5). These were chosen due to their ease of separation from the standard cobalt complexes allowing a more accurate and reproducible yield of the desired catalyst. Comparing all three test substrates, the nmp catalyst (2.38) provided an efficient method of extraction and improved yields by up to 28%. It is important to note that three methods
of extraction were examined: an aqueous acid wash (1 M HCl), a phosphate buffer wash, and methylation. All three successfully removed the cobalt species from the reaction but the strong acid was abandoned in favour of the two milder options as strongly acidic conditions can cause decomposition of sensitive functionalities.

![Scheme 39. Initial Co(nmp)₂ Reaction Conditions](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Starting Material</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>modp (2.01)</th>
<th>piper (2.36)</th>
<th>nmp (2.37)ᵃ</th>
<th>nmp (2.37)ᵇ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.41a</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.41b</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.41c</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ᵃ pH 4 phosphate buffer,ᵇ Methylation of the catalyst with MeI

Table 5. Preliminary catalyst comparison

After determining that the newly designed nmp catalyst (2.37) gave superior yields, experimentation into the optimal catalytic loading began (Table 6). The modp catalyst performed optimally at catalyst loadings as low as 10 mol %. Poor yields and by-product formation started to occur at low loadings using modp (2.01) and piper (2.36) ligands, along with complete consumption of the starting material. As the cobalt species produced product it began to degrade and the degradation products began to decompose the THF methanol products. In addition to decomposition pathways, the formation of reduction products could be observed as previously demonstrated by Mukaiyama. In contrast, the nmp catalyst (2.38) proved to be effective with catalyst loadings as low as 5 mol % and degraded harmlessly at loadings of 3 and 1 mol %. The lack of decomposition products
from the Co(nmp)₂ allowed for the clean production of THF products and the absence of any observable THF methyl reduction products. The production of a single product in exceptional yield contributed significantly to the ease of purification and subsequently to the synthetic utility of the newly designed catalyst \( (2.38) \).

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Catalyst and Catalytic Loading Conditions} \\
\text{Entry} & \quad \text{Catalyst} & \quad \text{Mol \%} & \quad \text{Yield (\%)} \\
1 & \text{Co(modp)₂} & 15 & 68 \\
2 & \text{Co(modp)₂} & 10 & 65 \\
3 & \text{Co(modp)₂} & 5 & 47^a \\
4 & \text{Co(nmp)₂} & 15 & 95 \\
5 & \text{Co(nmp)₂} & 10 & 97 \\
6 & \text{Co(nmp)₂} & 5 & 93 \\
7 & \text{Co(nmp)₂} & 3 & 57 (93)^b \\
8 & \text{Co(nmp)₂} & 1 & 10 (77)^b \\
\end{align*}
\]

\( ^a \) All starting material was consumed.
\( ^b \) Yield based on recovered starting material.

Several examples were completed by Nick Morra and Barb Bajtos

Table 6. Catalyst Loading Studies

Initial Co(nmp)₂ \( (2.38) \) results (Table 5 and 6) led to the examination of the versatility of the Co(nmp)₂ catalyst and to determine if it was superior to the previous catalyst (Co(modp)₂ \( (2.38) \) and Co(piper)₂ \( (2.36) \)) across a wide variety of substrates. The
substrates were put through reaction conditions with all three catalysts and various purification methods were employed with the Co(nmp)$_2$ complex (2.38) (Table 7). The use of a buffer wash was effective but did not offer any improvement in yield as compared to the piper catalyst (2.36) (Table 7). These problems were also encountered initially with the methylation procedure but switching the extraction solvent from hexanes to dichloromethane allowed for an optimal extraction. In all cases, the purified product was obtained in over 80% yield with many instances over 90% (Table 7). In some cases, a thin pad of silica was used to filter the catalyst from the reaction mixture. While this method can be substrate-dependent it is by far the most efficient in those cases. Two enantiomerically pure examples were prepared using the Co(nmp)$_2$ catalyst. These examples represent the core structures of Aplysiallene and Bullatacin. The yields were either equal or superior to those reported in literature (Table 7, Entry 10-11).$^{13,14}$
Scheme 41. Substrate Scope Reaction Conditions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Yield %</th>
<th>modp</th>
<th>piper</th>
<th>nmp</th>
<th>MeI</th>
<th>Buffer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>MOMO</td>
<td>69&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>64&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>54</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>BnO</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>66</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>PMPO</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>81&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>85</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>46</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td>62</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>58</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td>54</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>45</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td>71</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>80</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td>38</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>46</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td>55&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>78</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td>69&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>79</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>) Typical reaction conditions: cyclization precursor (1 eq), Co(nmp)2 (10 mol %), tBuOOH (10 mol %), iPrOH (10 mL), O₂ (1 atm), 55 °C. <sup>b</sup>) This yield is a mixture of THF product contaminated with cobalt species that could not be removed by repeated chromatography. Thus, the yield is artificially elevated. <sup>c</sup>) See ref. <sup>13</sup> d) See ref. <sup>14</sup>. e) Several examples were performed by Barb Bajtos, Andrew Stevens and Ben Machin.

Table 7. Substrate Scope
2.7 Applications of Co(nmp)$_2$ in Total Synthesis

Throughout the development of the Co(nmp)$_2$ catalyst a major driving force for the research was the concurrent studies towards the total synthesis of amphidinolide C (2.43). While the synthesis of this molecule was possible with Co(modp)$_2$, the newly developed nmp ligand allowed for a higher yielding step as well a simplified and more practical application in the laboratory.

The C(18) to C(34) fragment of amphidinolide C was prepared by Nick Morra via the Mukaiyama cyclization (Scheme 44). Sharpless epoxide derived pentenol 2.45 was cyclized to the corresponding THF methanol product in 97 % yield. The pendant alcohol serves as a functional handle for the construction of “tail” segment of the natural product (2.43). Fragment 2.47 was efficiently synthesized on gram scale in high yield.
Scheme 42. The Gram Scale Synthesis of the C(18)-C(34) Fragment of Amphidinolide C

The C(1) – C(9) fragment of amphidinolide C was also constructed using the Mukaiyama cyclization to form the THF core (Scheme 45). The cyclization precursor was derived from a Sharpless epoxide but, unlike the previously described fragment, it required a one carbon homologation to give the required PMB ether. Cyclization unsurprisingly gave the desired THF product in 92% yield. Further functionalization was undertaken to prepare for an envisioned Stille coupling and macrolactonization. Despite the efficient synthesis of all sections of amphidinolide C, the total synthesis of the target was not realized using this route.

Scheme 43. The Gram Scale Synthesis of C(1) – C(9) Fragment of Amphidinolide C
In 2013, Furstner and co-workers completed the total synthesis of amphidinolide F using Co(nmp)$_2$ catalyzed Mukaiyama oxidative cyclization (Scheme 46). An alkyne-substituted precursor 2.51 was cyclized to afford THF methanol 2.52. Alkyne-substituted THF 2.52 would allow for Furstner’s ring closing alkyne metathesis and esterification assembly steps. Furstner also completed the total synthesis of amphidinolide C in 2015, utilizing a similar strategy to form the core of the molecule.

![Scheme 44. Furstner’s Synthesis of the C(18)-C(28) fragment of Amphidinolide F](image)

**2.8 Summary and Conclusions**

It has been over 25 years since the initial discovery of the Mukaiyama oxidative cyclization and in that time period it has been advanced to become an integral methodology in THF synthesis. Many had observed the possible applications of Mukaiyama’s methodology and demonstrated its synthetic utility in total synthesis. Even with a great deal of attention, it took several years before a mechanism was proposed and experimentally elucidated. The Hartung group produced an important body of work in this field, allowing a great deal of development in the Mukaiyama methodology. While in
search of a convenient purification method for products of the oxidative cyclization, a new nmp ligand suitable for the Mukaiyama oxidative cyclization was designed and synthesized. The challenge of producing a catalyst with a simplified purification method was also met as it is now possible to remove the cobalt catalyst through acid extraction, methylation and ultimately a simple filtration. The greatest achievement of this process was that the newly designed Co (nmp)_2 catalyst was shown to produce a higher reactivity towards the pentanol substrates and more resistance to decomposition. The novel Co(nmp)_2 catalyst was subsequently applied in the synthesis of amphidinolide C and F, with replication of the previously observed high yields. This newly developed catalyst is a great advancement in the Mukaiyama oxidative cyclization, and higher yields accompanied by improved purification techniques will allow for a broad range of use.
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3. Application of Co(NMP)$_2$ in Expanded THF Substitution Patterns

3.1 Initial Scope Expansion for Co(nmp)$_2$

Results from the initial Co(nmp)$_2$ (2.38) catalyst studies led to interesting questions about the reactivity of the catalyst. One of these was that an exo dig type cyclization could be possible, thus leading to the formation of an enol. This enol could subsequently tautomerize to generate an aldehyde. Unfortunately, after 6 days under standard cyclization conditions both the TMS-protected (3.02) and unprotected (3.01) alkynols showed no cyclization product (Scheme 47).

Another area of exploration that was envisioned was the ability to perform enantioselective transformations with the oxidative cyclization. This could potentially be introduced by modification of the ligand, where a chiral functionality is appended to one of the ligand ends (Figure 13). The use of a chiral entity in place of the either the rBu group or the piperazine ring could possibly invoke some enantioselectivity. Ideally, this catalyst would react specifically with a single enantiomer of the parent pentenol and produce an enantio-enriched THF product. Alternatively, this could facilitate the transformation of racemic pentenols to enantio-enriched substrate. To date, using camphor in place of pinacolone has been attempted but requires further investigation.
3.2 Synthesis of 2,2,6 – Trisubstituted THF Methanols

There are many natural products in which oxygen heterocycles are substituted on the carbon atom adjacent the hetero atom (2,5 in the case of THFs). Examples include monensin (Figure 14)\textsuperscript{1} and ionomycin (Figure 15).\textsuperscript{2} Monensin and ionomycin are in a class of compounds known as ionophores. These molecules are able to coordinate to various ions from Ca(II) to Pb(II). Monensin is used in the dairy and beef industries as it has been shown to improve the ability of cattle to metabolize feed. This is due to the molecule’s ability to facilitate ion transportation through lipid membranes as an antiporter.\textsuperscript{3} In dairy cattle increased production of milk is observed along with lowered rates of gastrointestinal disease.\textsuperscript{3}
Ionomycin is a Ca(II) ionophore, which like Monensin, shows a high binding affinity to metal ion centres. Ionophores have the ability to readily bind with calcium and facilitate it’s transport across lipid membranes, which has led to their application in biological studies of intracellular ion concentrations.

Due to the interesting biological activities of Ionomycin, and other polyether ionophores, it has been the subject of synthetic studies. Launtens and co-workers completed the synthesis of Ionomycin in 2002. The synthetic strategy towards the methyl-substituted THF core was a simple epoxidation/ cyclization. The geraniol-derived starting material was obtained in 7 steps from the naturally occurring terpenoid. Using a vanadium catalyst and TBHP the trisubstituted alkene was epoxidized (Scheme 49). Subsequent treatment with TsOH allowed for the cyclization product to be formed in a 7.8:1 mixture of the desired cis product over the trans. Similar chemistry was subsequently used to create the second THF in the final natural product.
3.3 Initial Studies with Co(nmp)$_2$

With various natural products containing additional methyl functionality it was decided that functionality studies with the new Co(nmp)$_2$ catalyst would begin. Various substitution patterns have been tolerated by the oxidative cyclization on every position of the THF ring except trisubstitution in a 2,2,5 pattern. Hartung showed that, using traditional ligands, the cyclization was unable to occur or occurred in very poor yields.$^{10}$ Preliminary experiments within the Pagenkopf lab found that Co(modp)$_2$ was also unable to produce the cyclized methyl-substituted THF product in substantial yield but also performs a competitive hydration of the alkene (Scheme 50). When Co(nmp)$_2$ was used, the result was an almost 100% mass recovery and a mixture of cyclized product and starting material.
Initial studies carried out by Andrew Stevens\textsuperscript{5} gave trace amounts of the \textit{trans}-THF product under the original NMP cyclization conditions but a simple increase in temperature from 50 °C to 80 °C afforded 72% yield of a mixture of starting material and desired product (Table 8). This gave an excellent starting point for further optimization. Increased catalyst loading was found to lower yields but increased the ratio of the desired product to starting material (Entries 2-4). A significant increase in yield was observed with an increase in reaction concentration (Entries 5-10). Solvent effects on the reaction were also manipulated in hopes of achieving higher yield. It was hypothesized that solvents capable of increased O\textsubscript{2} content would be beneficial to the transformation. Trifluoroethanol, benzene, and dichloroethane all produced poor results in yield and product ratio whereas sBuOH showed quantitative mass recovery of starting material and product was observed, with a 7:1 product to starting material ratio (Entries 11-14). The optimal catalyst loading was re-evaluated using sBuOH as the reaction solvent. An optimal catalyst loading of 30 \% was found to give a quantitative yield of 9.7:1 product to starting material (Entries 15-16). The catalyst loading was found to be able to be reduced to 17.5 mol \% without compromising the conversion, though lower loadings were unsuccessful (Entry 17).
inactive compounds were the cause of the discrepancy between crude and purified yield.

A simple experiment was conducted in which a known concentration of mesitylene, as an internal standard, was added to the crude product in order to determine if contamination by a salt or other NMR-inactive compounds were the cause of the discrepancy between crude and purified yield.

Table 8. Optimization study on methyl substituted THFs

Although these initial findings were very promising, a serious problem was discovered by use of column chromatography to separate the starting material from the products. The recovered yields were significantly less than expected. A simple experiment was completed by Andrew Stevens. SM = Starting Material, PDT = Product.

---

\[ \text{Scheme 49. 2,2,5 Substituted THF Cyclization Conditions} \]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Catalyst Loading (%)</th>
<th>Conversion (sm/pdt)</th>
<th>Yield (total)</th>
<th>Temp</th>
<th>Solvent</th>
<th>mL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>trace - - - - -</td>
<td>55 iPrOH 8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.5:1 - - - - -</td>
<td>80 iPrOH 8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1.9:1 - - - - -</td>
<td>80 iPrOH 8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1.3:1 - - - - -</td>
<td>80 iPrOH 8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.0:1.0 - - - - -</td>
<td>80 iPrOH 4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1.0:5.2 - - - - -</td>
<td>80 iPrOH 4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.0:3.6 - - - - -</td>
<td>80 iPrOH 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1.0:5.2 - - - - -</td>
<td>80 iPrOH 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1.0:2.6 - - - - -</td>
<td>80 iPrOH 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1.0:1.8 - - - - -</td>
<td>80 iPrOH 0.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>- - - - - - -</td>
<td>70 CF&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;CH&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;OH 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1.0:7.2 quant - -</td>
<td>80 sBuOH 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1.0:2.6 - - - - -</td>
<td>70 C&lt;sub&gt;6&lt;/sub&gt;H&lt;sub&gt;6&lt;/sub&gt; 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1.0:1.4 - - - - -</td>
<td>70 C&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;H&lt;sub&gt;4&lt;/sub&gt;Cl&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt; 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.0:9.7 quant - -</td>
<td>80 sBuOH 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1.0:4.7 quant - -</td>
<td>80 sBuOH 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>1.0:5.1 quant - -</td>
<td>80 sBuOH 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Yield is a weighted average of starting material to product as the overall conversion produced little to no by-products.

*This work was completed by Andrew Stevens. SM = Starting Material, PDT = Product.
The mesitylene peaks were integrated to known product peaks and the ratio was used to calculate the yield of product. It was determined that indeed the crude yields were reliable and that the composition of the crude mixture was causing decomposition of the product upon flash-column chromatography. Efforts were put towards an effective method for the purification of these THF products. It appears that these trisubstituted THFs are unstable on silica gel and require other means of purification. When an effective method of purification can be determined, a larger scope of substrates will be examined.

Several different substrates were examined in order to see the effects of steric and electronics on the reaction. Most reactions gave quantitative crude yields as a mixture of unconverted starting material and product (Table 9). Both methyl and ethyl substitution were shown to be capable substrates in the reaction (Table 9, Entries 1-2). Long-chain allyl and homo allyl substituents were also able to undergo the reaction although the allyl substrate performed poorly in the reaction. The use of bulky sterically hindering groups gave poor yields (Entry 5), as did the electron donating phenyl acetylene group (Entry 6). Finally, oxaspirocycles were formed in modest yield in both the [4.5] and [4.4] varieties (Entries 7-8).
Figure 16. Conditions for methyl THF cyclization

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Isolated Yield (%) brsm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td><img src="image1.png" alt="Product" /></td>
<td>42 (58)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td><img src="image2.png" alt="Product" /></td>
<td>56 (83)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td><img src="image3.png" alt="Product" /></td>
<td>55 (75)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td><img src="image4.png" alt="Product" /></td>
<td>20 (26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td><img src="image5.png" alt="Product" /></td>
<td>25 (32)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td><img src="image6.png" alt="Product" /></td>
<td>19 (20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td><img src="image7.png" alt="Product" /></td>
<td>41 (51)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td><img src="image8.png" alt="Product" /></td>
<td>39 (73)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td><img src="image9.png" alt="Product" /></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

^ Several examples were completed by Andrew Stevens.

Table 9. Preliminary substrate scope for methyl substituted THFs
3.4 Applications of Co(nmp)2 in the Synthesis of Cyclocapitelline

The newly discovered capability of the Mukaiyama oxidative cyclization with Co(nmp)2 to produce 2,2,5 substituted THF rings was utilized in the synthesis of cyclocapitelline (Scheme 52).\(^5\) Geoff Phillips used a geraniol-derived tertiary alcohol 3.17 was cyclized under the optimized reaction conditions developed in the previously described substrate exploration. The cyclization of 3.17 produced a mixture of diastereomers of 3.18 in a 3:1 (trans:cis) ratio. This mixture was used without separation to access cyclocapitelline (3.19) and isocyclocapitelline in 12 steps from geraniol.

![Scheme 50. The Synthesis of Cyclocapitelline](image)

3.5 Oxime Synthesis with Co(nmp)2

Yu and co-workers utilized the Co(nmp)2 catalyst in their synthesis of β,γ-unsaturated oxazolines (Scheme 53).\(^6\) Yu determined the under standard Co(nmp)2 cyclization conditions an oxime such as 3.21 can be cyclized to form 4,5 dihydroisoxazoles in good yield. Substitution on the α-carbon gave diastereoselectivity but with no example over 2:1. Alternatively, the Co(nmp)2 catalyst can be subjected to Hartung’s conditions using CHD in toluene to produce the reduced methyl product (3.20).\(^7\)
The Co(nmp)$_2$ catalyst gave good yields under these conditions, consistent with those under oxidative conditions.

![Scheme 51. Yu Cyclization of Oximes](image)

**3.6 Summary and Conclusion**

There has been significant progress towards expanding several new substitution patterns within the substrate scope. Developing a better understanding of product decomposition will be essential to fully understand the limits of this reaction and efforts are already underway to fully explore this. This will include the use of protecting groups or other derivatization to stabilize the molecule for purification and possibly the development of a different method of purification. The use of Co(nmp)$_2$ to cyclize new varieties of compounds has greatly expanded the scope and future possibilities of these reactions in natural product synthesis. I have successfully examined 2,2,5-trisubstituted THFs in varying degrees of complexity. Subsequently, the synthesis of cyclocapitelline was accomplished using the Co(nmp)$_2$ catalyst and the reaction conditions developed for trisubstituted. An interesting application to the synthesis of oximes was also undertaken by the Yu group. Overall, the Co(nmp)$_2$ catalyst has allowed for the expansion of the Mukaiyama oxidative cyclization substrate scope and will be at the forefront of any further developments in this field.
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4. Experimental Procedures

All reactions were run under an argon atmosphere unless otherwise indicated. Flasks were oven-dried and cooled in a desiccator prior to use unless water was used in the reaction. Solvents and reagents were purified by standard methods.\(^1\) Dichloromethane, diethyl ether, and tetrahydrofuran (THF) were purified by passing the solvents through activated alumina columns. \textit{iso}-Propanol (99.5\%, 0.2\% \(\text{H}_2\text{O}\)) was used as received from Caledon Laboratory Chemicals. All other chemicals were of reagent quality and used as obtained from commercial sources unless otherwise noted. The progress of reactions were monitored by thin layer chromatography (TLC) performed on F254 silica gel plates. The plates were visualized by staining with ceric ammonium molybdate (CAM)\(^2\) or \(p\)-anisaldehyde. Column chromatography was performed with Silica Flash P60 60 Å silica gel from Silicycle according to the Still method.\(^3\) Centrifugations were conducted with an International Clinical Centrifuge model CL at approx. 8000 rpm for 10 min (International Equipment Company, USA).

The \(^1\text{H}\) and \(^{13}\text{C}\) NMR data were obtained on 400 or 600 MHz spectrometers. All spectra were obtained in deuterated chloroform and were referenced to residual chloroform at \(\delta\) 7.25 ppm for \(^1\text{H}\) spectra and the center peak of the triplet at \(\delta\) 77.0 (t) for \(^{13}\text{C}\) spectra. When peak multiplicities are given, the following abbreviations are used: s, singlet; d, doublet; dd, doublet of doublets; ddd, doublet of doublet of doublets; t, triplet; q, quartet; m, multiplet; br, broad; app, apparent. EI high resolution mass spectra were obtained using a spectrometer at an ionizing voltage of 70 eV. Melting points are uncorrected.
Synthesis of Co (nmp)$_2$

**Ethyl 2-(4-methylpiperazin-1-yl)-2-oxoacetate (2.40)**

To a solution of $N$-methylpiperazine (22.2 mL, 200 mmol, 1 eq) and triethyl amine (27.8 mL, 200 mmol, 1 eq) in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (200 mL) at 0 °C was added ethyl oxalyl chloride (22.4 mL, 200 mmol, 1 eq). The reaction was warmed to room temperature and stirred for 16 h. The resulting heterogeneous mixture was quenched with NaHCO$_3$ solution (200 mL) and the phases were separated. The aqueous phase was extracted with CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (3 × 100 mL), the organic phases were combined and washed with brine (200 mL), dried over anhydrous MgSO$_4$ and concentrated under reduced pressure to afford an orange oil (39.6 g, 99%). The product was used without further purification. R$_f$ 0.10 (66% EtOAc/Hex); $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 4.30 (q, $J$ = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 3.64-3.61 (m, 2H), 3.43-3.41 (m, 2H), 2.42-2.40 (m, 4H), 2.29 (s, 3H), 1.33 (t, $J$ = 7.1 Hz, 3H). $^{13}$C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 162.9, 160.3, 62.3, 55.1, 54.3, 46.2, 41.4, 14.2; HRMS m/z calcd for CoH$_{16}$N$_2$O$_3$ [M+H$^+$]: 200.1161, found: 200.1163.
(Z)-2-Hydroxy-5,5-dimethyl-1-(4-methylpiperazin-1-yl)hex-2-ene-1,4-dione (2.37)

A solution of tert-BuOK (4.48 g, 40 mmol, 2 eq) in THF (100 mL) was added to a solution of pinacolone (2.50 mL, 20 mmol, 1 eq) and glyoxylate 2.40 (4.00 g, 20 mmol, 1 eq) in THF (20 mL) at 0°C and warmed to rt (room temperature). The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 16 h and subsequently treated with 40 mL of 1M HOAc in CH₂Cl₂. After stirring for 0.5 h the slurry was filtered through celite and concentrated under reduced pressure to afford an orange syrup (4.32 g, 85%). The product was used without further purification. Rf 0.15 (5% MeOH/EtOAc); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 5.97 (s, 1H), 3.66-3.58 (m, 4H), 2.46-2.43 (m, 4H), 2.31 (s, 3H), 1.19 (s, 9H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 200.9, 185.3, 163.8, 95.3, 55.1, 54.3, 45.8, 41.6, 27.2; HRMS m/z calcd for C₁₃H₂₂N₂O₃ [M+H⁺]: 254.1630, found: 254.1644.

Co²⁺(nmp)₂ (2.38)

To a solution of NMP ligand 2.37 (2.54 g, 10 mmol, 2 eq) in benzene (50 mL) was added Co(II) ethylhexanoate (65 wt % solution, 1.88 M in mineral spirits, 5 mmol, 1 eq). The reaction was stirred for 10 min, water (0.36 mL, 20 mmol) was added and the reaction stirred for 16 h at rt. Hexanes (200 mL) was added and the brown solids were separated by centrifugation. The solids were triturated with hexanes and this was repeated an additional three times. The product was then transferred to a flask and the remaining
solvent was removed under reduced pressure to afford the Co(NMP)$_2$ catalyst (2.69 g, 95%) as a beige solid. LRMS: $m/z$ [M + Na]$^+$ calc. for C$_{78}$Co$_3$H$_{126}$N$_{12}$NaO$_{18}$: 1718.72; found: 1718.8; combustion analysis: calc. for Co(NMP)$_2$·(H$_2$O)$_3.5$, C 49.68, H 7.86, N 8.91; found: C 49.58%, H 7.53%, N 8.84%. Based on previously obtained crystal structures of related compounds, it is believed that the structure of the catalyst is similar, comprised of three cobalt atoms and six ligands per unit cell. Two outer cobalt atoms, each surrounded by three ligands, flank an inner cobalt atom. Inclusion of water in the crystal structure is likely, as elemental analysis of samples after prolonged drying over P$_2$O$_5$ in a drying pistol results in data that requires 3.5 water molecules per cobalt atom.

**Synthesis of Pentenol substrates and products**

**General Grignard Procedure:**

A 250 mL round bottom flask equipped with a reflux condenser was charged with allyl magnesium bromide (1.0 M solution in ether, 60 mL, 60 mmol, 1.3 eq) and cooled to 0 °C with a water-ice bath. The epoxide (46.1 mmol, 1 eq) was added through the reflux condenser manually via syringe at a rate that produced a steady reflux. Once the addition was complete, the condenser was washed with 10 mL of dry diethyl ether and the ice bath was removed. After 0.2 h excess allyl magnesium bromide was quenched with half saturated aqueous NH$_4$Cl and the aqueous layer was extracted with diethyl ether (3 × 20 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried (MgSO$_4$), filtered through celite and concentrated under reduced pressure.

**General Grignard Addition to Aldehydes Procedure:** A 250 mL round bottom flask equipped with a reflux condenser was charged with homoallyl magnesium bromide (1.0 M
solution in ether, 60 mL, 60 mmol, 1.3 eq) and cooled to 0 °C with a water-ice bath. The aldehyde (46.1 mmol, 1 eq) was added through the reflux condenser manually via syringe at a rate that produced a steady reflux. Once the addition was complete the condenser was washed with 10 mL of dry diethyl ether and the ice bath was removed. After 0.2 h excess allyl magnesium bromide was quenched with half saturated NH₄Cl and the aqueous layer was extracted with diethyl ether (3 × 20 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over MgSO₄, filtered through celite and concentrated under reduced pressure.

**General Cyclization Procedure:** The cyclization precursor (1.0 mmol, 1.0 eq) was added as a solution in 10 mL iPrOH to a flask charged with Co(L)₂ (0.10 mmol, 0.10 eq) under 1 atm of O₂ (balloon). At room temperature, tert-butyl hydroperoxide (5.33 M in isoctane, 19 μL, 0.1 mmol, 0.1 eq) was added in one portion, and the resulting solution was heated at 55 °C for 16 h. The crude reaction mixture was purified using one of the methods listed below.

**Purification Procedure A (Co(modp)₂ and Co(piper)₂):** The reaction mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel using EtOAc-hexanes for elution

**Purification Procedure B (Co(NMP)₂ methylation):** The reaction mixture was cooled to rt, purged of O₂ with argon and methyl iodide (62 μL, 1.0 mmol, 1.0 eq) was added. After 16 h the reaction mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure and the residue was dissolved in water (20 mL) and CH₂Cl₂ (20 mL). The layers were separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (4 × 20 mL). The combined organic layers were
washed with brine, dried MgSO₄, filtered through celite and concentrated under reduced pressure.

**Purification Procedure C (Co(NMP)₂ buffer wash):** The reaction mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure and the residue was dissolved in hexanes (20 mL) and buffer (20 mL, pH 5.5).

The heterogeneous mixture was separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with hexanes (4 × 20 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with buffer, brine, dried (MgSO₄), filtered through celite and concentrated under reduced pressure.

**Purification Procedure D (Co(NMP)₂ acid wash):** The reaction mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure and the residue was dissolved in hexanes (20 mL) and 1 M HCl (20 mL). The heterogeneous mixture was separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with hexanes (4 × 20 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with buffer, brine, dried with anhydrous MgSO₄, filtered through celite and concentrated under reduced pressure.

**Purification Procedure E (Silcia Gel Filtration):** The reaction mixture was filtered through a thin pad of silica layered on top of a thin pad of celite. The reaction flask and silica pad were washed with an additional 100 mL of EtOAc. The EtOAc filtrate solution was concentrated under reduced pressure.
(5-((tert-Butyldimethylsilyloxy)methyl)tetrahydrofuran-2-yl)methanol (2.42a)

The title compound was prepared using the general cyclization procedure to afford a clear yellow oil (39 – 93%). R_f 0.33 (33 v/v % EtOAc/Hex); ^1^H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 4.13-4.04 (m, 2H), 3.66-3.57 (m, 3H), 3.47 (dd, J = 11.4, 6.2 Hz, 1H), 2.01-1.93 (m, 2H), 1.89 (br s, 1H), 1.79-1.73 (m, 1H), 1.71-1.65 (m, 1H), 0.89 (s, 9H), 0.05 (s, 6H); ^1^C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 79.8, 79.7, 65.9, 64.9, 28.2, 27.3, 25.9, 18.4, −5.3; HRMS m/z calcd for C_{12}H_{26}O_{3}Si [(M+H)^+] : 247.1729, found: 247.1729.

(S)-1-(tert-Butyl-dimethyl-silanyloxy)-hept-6-en-3-ol (2.41a)

The title compound was prepared using the general Grignard procedure, to afford a clear colorless oil (99.6%, 11.24 g) which was used without further purification. R_f 0.60 (30 v/v % EtOAc/Hex); ^1^H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 5.83 (ddd, J = 17.1, 10.3, 6.6 Hz, 1H), 5.08-4.92 (m, 2H), 3.92-3.78 (m, 3H), 3.45 (br s, 1H), 2.25-2.05 (m, 2H), 1.72-1.48 (m, 4H), 0.89 (s, 9H), 0.07 (s, 6H); ^1^C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 138.7, 114.5, 71.6, 62.8, 38.2, 36.6, 29.8, 25.8, 18.1, -5.5.
1-(tert-Butyldimethylsilyloxy)oct-7-en-4-ol (2.41c)

The title compound was prepared using the general Grignard procedure, to afford colorless oil (95%, 3.2 g). Rf 0.58 (30 v/v % EtOAc/Hex); 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 5.85-5.75 (m, 1H), 5.01 (dd, J = 17.2, 1.2 Hz), 4.91 (dd, J = 10.2, 1.2 Hz), 3.64-3.49 (m, 3H), 2.74 (br s, 1H), 2.20-2.06 (m, 2H), 1.65-1.33 (m, 6H), 0.86 (s, 9H), 0.03 (s, 3H); 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 138.7, 114.5, 70.8, 63.5, 36.4, 34.6, 30.1, 29.0, 25.9, 18.2, -5.4; HRMS m/z calcd for C₁₄H₃₀O₂Si [(M+H)⁺]: 259.2093, found: 259.2089.

(5-(3(tert-Butyldimethylsilyloxy)-propyl)tetrahydrofuran-2-yl)methanol (2.42c)

The title compound was prepared using the general cyclization procedure to afford a clear yellow oil (74 - 95%). Rf 0.30 (33 v/v % EtOAc/Hex); 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 4.09 (ddd, J = 14.1, 6.6, 3.5 Hz, 1H), 3.97-3.92 (m, 1H), 3.64-3.59 (m, 3H), 3.49-3.45 (m, 1H), 2.06-2.00 (m, 1H), 1.98-1.94 (m, 1H), 1.92-1.87 (m, 1H), 1.69-1.47 (m, 6H), 0.88 (s, 9H), 0.03 (s, 6H); 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 79.3, 78.8, 65.1, 63.1, 32.1, 32.0, 29.5, 27.5, 25.9, 18.3, -5.3; HRMS m/z calcd for C₁₄H₃₀O₃Si [(M+H)⁺]: 275.2042, found: 275.2032.
**1-(Methoxymethoxy)oct-7-en-4-ol (Table 7, Entry 1)**

![Chemical Structure](image)

The title compound was prepared using the general Grignard procedure, to afford a colorless oil (44%, 0.592 g). R\(_f\) 0.32 (33 v/v % EtOAc/Hex); \(^1\)H NMR (400MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta\) 5.84 (ddt, \(J = 17.0, 10.3, 6.6\) Hz, 1H), 5.05 (ddd, \(J = 17.2, 3.5, 1.6\) Hz, 1H), 4.97 (ddd, \(J = 10.2, 3.3, 1.4\) Hz, 1H), 4.63 (s, 2H), 3.65 (br s, 1H), 3.57 (t, \(J = 6.3\) Hz, 2H), 3.36 (s, 3H), 2.27-2.16 (m, 1H), 2.16-2.04 (m, 2H), 1.79-1.69 (m, 2H), 1.66-1.60 (m, 1H), 1.58-1.46 (m, 3H); \(^1^3\)C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta\) 138.6, 114.7, 96.4, 71.0, 67.9, 55.2, 36.6, 34.5, 30.0, 26.0; HRMS m/z calcd for C\(_{10}\)H\(_{20}\)O\(_3\) [(M+H)\(^+\)]: 189.1491, found: 189.1506.

**5-(3-(Methoxymethoxy)propyl)tetrahydrofuran-2-yl)methanol (Table 7, Entry 1)**

![Chemical Structure](image)

The title compound was prepared using the general cyclization procedure to afford a light yellow oil (54 – 85%). R\(_f\) 0.05 (30 v/v % EtOAc/Hex); \(^1\)H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta\) 6.83 (s, 4H), 4.14-4.12 (m, 1H), 4.04-3.91 (m, 3H), 3.77 (s, 3H), 3.67-3.62 (m, 1H), 3.52-3.51 (m, 1H), 2.10-1.95 (m, 3H), 1.89-1.77 (m, 3H), 1.74-1.55 (m, H); \(^1^3\)C NMR (100MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta\) 153.7, 153.1, 115.4, 114.6, 79.0, 78.9, 68.4, 65.0, 55.7, 32.1, 32.0, 27.5, 6.1; HRMS m/z calcd for [(M+H)\(^+\)]: 205.1440, found: 205.1427.
1-(Benzyloxy)oct-7-en-4-ol (Table 7, Entry 2)

The title compound was prepared using the general Grignard procedure, to afford yellow oil (47%, 1.01 g) R$_f$ 0.32 (33 v/v % EtOAc/Hex); $^1$H NMR (400MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 7.36-7.27 (m, 5H), 5.83 (ddt, $J$ = 17.1, 10.3, 6.6 Hz, 1H), 5.03 (ddd, $J$ = 17.2, 3.5, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 4.95 (ddd, $J$ = 10.2, 3.1, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 4.51 (s, 2H), 3.65-3.59 (m, 1H), 3.55-3.46 (m, 3H), 2.25-2.16 (m, 1H), 2.14-2.09 (m, 1H), 1.79 – 1.70 (m, 2H), 1.56-1.45 (m, 3H); $^{13}$C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 138.6, 128.4, 127.7, 114.6, 73.0, 70.48, 36.5, 34.7, 30.0, 26.1; HRMS m/z calcd for [M$^+$]: 234.1620, found: 234.1627.

1-(4-Methoxyphenoxy)oct-7-en-4-ol (Table 7, Entry 3)

The title compound was prepared using the general Grignard procedure, to afford dark orange oil (82%, 1.514 g). R$_f$ 0.32 (30 v/v % EtOAc/hex); $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 6.80 (s, 4H), 5.82 (ddt, $J$ = 17.0, 10.1, 6.8 Hz, 1H), 5.03 (d, $J$ = 16.0 Hz, 1H), 4.96 (d, $J$ = 10.2 Hz, 1H), 3.91-3.86 (m, 2H), 3.72 (s, 3H), 3.65-3.64(m, 1H), 2.49 (br s, 1H), 2.24-2.09 (m, 2H), 1.91-1.77 (m, 2H), 1.69-1.61 (m, 1H), 1.57-1.49 (m, 3H); $^{13}$C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 153.8, 152.83, 138.34, 116.0, 115.26, 114.43, 70.7, 68.46, 55.46, 36.35, 33.85, 29.85, 25.48; HRMS m/z calcd for C$_{15}$H$_{22}$O$_3$ [M$^+$]: 250.1569, found: 250.1568.
(5-(3-(4-Methoxyphenoxy)propyl)tetrahydrofuran-2-yl)methanol (Table 7, Entry 3)

The title compound was prepared using the general cyclization procedure to afford a clear yellow oil (39 – 93%). R$_f$ 0.24 (50 v/v % EtOAc/Hex); $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 6.83 (s, 4H), 4.14-4.12 (m, 1H), 4.04-3.91 (m, 3H), 3.77 (s, 3H), 0.77 (m, 1H), 3.52-3.51 (m, 1H), 2.08-1.98 (m, 3H), 1.89-1.77 (m, 3H), 1.74-1.55 (m, 4H); $^{13}$C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 153.7, 115.4, 114.6, 78.9, 68.4, 65.0, 55.7, 32.1, 32.0, 27.5, 26.12; HRMS m/z calcd for C$_{15}$H$_{22}$O$_4$ [M$^+$]: 266.1518, found: 266.1509.

Nona-1,8-dien-5-ol (Table 7, Entry 4)

The title compound was prepared using the general Grignard procedure, to afford a clear colourless oil (81%, 1.59 g) R$_f$ 0.35 (20 v/v % EtOAc/Hex); $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 5.88-5.78 (m, 2H), 5.04 (d, J = 16.8 Hz, 2H), 4.96 (d, J = 10.2 Hz, 2H), 3.64 (br s, 1H), 2.23-2.08 (m, 4H), 1.61-1.49 (m, 5H); $^{13}$C NMR (100 Hz, CDCl$_3$) δ 138.5, 114.7, 70.9, 36.5, 30.0; HRMS m/z calcd for C$_9$H$_{16}$O [M$^+$]: 140.1201, found: 140.1202.
(5-(But-3-enyl)tetrahydrofuran-2-yl)methanol (Table 7, Entry 4)

The title compound was prepared using the general cyclization procedure to afford a clear yellow oil (40 – 95%). \( R_f \) 0.2 (30 v/v % EtOAc/Hex); \(^1\)H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \( \delta \) 5.84-5.78 (m, 1H), 5.04-4.93 (m, 2H), 4.09 (ddd, \( J = 10.5, 6.4, 3.5 \) Hz, 1H), 3.96-3.91 (m, 1H), 3.61 (dd, \( J = 10.4, 3.2 \) Hz, 1H), 3.47 (dd, \( J = 11.4, 6.2 \) Hz, 1H), .22–2.00 (m, 4H), 1.98-1.92 (m, 1H), 1.72-1.62 (m, 2H), 1.56-1.49 (m, 2H); \(^13\)C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \( \delta \) 138.3, 114.6, 78.8, 78.8, 64.9, 34.8, 31.9, 30.4, 27.5; HRMS m/z calcd for C\(_9\)H\(_{16}\)O\(_2\) [(M+H)\(^+\)]: 157.1229, found: 157.1232.

1-phenylhept-6-en-3-ol (Table 7, Entry 7)

The title compound was prepared according to the general Grignard addition to aldehydes procedure to afford a clear colourless oil (90%, 2.00 g). \( R_f \) 0.48 (30 v/v % EtOAc/hexanes); \(^1\)H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \( \delta \) 7.32-7.29 (m, 2H), 7.23-7.19 (m, 3H), 5.85 (ddt, \( J = 17.0, 10.3, 6.6 \) Hz, 1H), 5.06 (dq, \( J = 17.0, 1.8 \) Hz, 1H), 4.99 (dd, \( J = 10.0, 1.2 \) Hz, 1H), 3.68-3.64 (m, 1H), 2.81 (ddd, \( J = 14.1, 10.0, 5.9 \) Hz, 1H), 2.68 (ddd, \( J = 13.8, 9.7, 7.0 \) Hz, 1H), 2.25-2.19 (m, 1H), 2.17-2.11 (m, 1H), 1.83-1.73 (m, 2H), 1.64-1.54 (m, 2H); \(^13\)C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \( \delta \) 142.0, 138.4, 128.3, 125.7, 114.7, 70.77, 39.0, 36.5, 32.0, 30.0; HRMS m/z calcd for C\(_{13}\)H\(_{18}\)O [M\(^+\)]: 176.1201, found: 176.1195.
(5-phenethyltetrahydrofuran-2-yl)methanol (Table 7, Entry 8)

\[
\text{The title compound was prepared using the general cyclization procedure to afford a clear yellow oil (49 – 86\%). Rf 0.23 (30 v/v % EtOAc/hexanes); } \\
^1\text{H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl}_3\text{) } \delta 7.31-7.18 (m, 5H), 4.18-4.12 (m, 1H), 4.00-3.93 (m, 1H), 3.65 (ddd, } J = 11.3, 7.0, 3.1 \text{ Hz, 1H), 3.50 (dt, } J = 11.5, 6.0 \text{ Hz, 1H), 2.79-2.63 (m, 2H), 2.09-1.88 (m, 4H), 1.82-1.55 (m, 3H); } \\
^{13}\text{C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl}_3\text{) } \delta 141.9, 128.3, 128.2, 125.7, 78.9, 64.9, 37.3, 32.4, 31.9, 27.5; \text{ HRMS m/z calcd for C}_{13}\text{H}_{18}\text{O}_2 [M^+]: 206.1307, found: 206.1309; combustion analysis: calc. for C}_{13}\text{H}_{18}\text{O}_2, C 75.69, H 8.80; found: C 75.01\%, H 8.62\%.
\]

(E)-(5-styryltetrahydrofuran-2-yl)methanol (Table 7, Entry 9)

\[
\text{The title compound was prepared using the general cyclization procedure to afford a clear yellow oil (38 - 88\%). Rf 0.34 (40 v/v % EtOAc/hexanes); } \\
^1\text{H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl}_3\text{) } \delta 7.40-7.20 (m, 5H), 6.58 (d, } J = 16.0 \text{ Hz, 1H), 6.19 (dd, } J = 16.0, 6.6 \text{ Hz, 1H), 4.59 (q, } J = 6.6 \text{ Hz, 1H), 4.26-4.20 (m, 1H), 3.70 (dd, } J = 11.7, 3.1 \text{ Hz, 1H), 3.54 (dd, } J = 11.7, 5.9 \text{ Hz, 1H), 2.21-2.13 (m, 1H), 2.07-2.00 (m, 1H), 1.83-1.72 (m, 2H); } \\
^{13}\text{C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl}_3\text{) } \delta 136.7, 130.8, 130.1, 128.5, 127.6, 126.5, 80.0, 79.6, 65.1, 33.1, 27.6; \text{ HRMS m/z calcd for C}_{13}\text{H}_{16}\text{O}_2 [M^+]: 204.1150, found: 204.1158.}
The following compounds have been previously reported: Compounds 6a, 5b, 7b, Table 3, Entry 2, Product; 7 Table 3, Entry 5, Starting Material; 8 Table 3, Entry 5, Product; 9 Table 3, Entry 6, Starting Material; 10 Table 3, Entry 6, Product; Table 3, Entry 7, Starting Material; 11 Table 3, Entry 7, Product; 6 Table 3, Entry 10, Starting Material; Table 3, Entry 9, Starting Material; 12 Table 3, Entry 11, Product; Table 3, Entry 10, Product; Table 3, Entry 11 Starting Material; Table 3, Entry 11, Product.

**Synthesis of Hexenol substrates**

**General Procedure for Cyclization:** The cyclization precursor (1.0 mmol, 1.0 eq) was added to a solution of 2 mL sBuOH and preoxidized Co(L)2 (0.10 mmol, 0.10 eq) under 1 atm of O2 (balloon). The resulting solution was heated at 70 °C for 16 h. The crude reaction mixture was filtered through a thin pad of silica and washed with CH2Cl2. The crude product was purified by silica chromatography (gradient 10 v/v % to 40 v/v %, EtOAc/Hexanes).

**General Procedure for Grignard Addition to Ketones:**

A 250 mL round bottom flask equipped with a reflux condenser was charged with alkyl magnesium bromide 1.0 M solution in ether, 60 mL, 60 mmol, 1.3 eq) and cooled to 0 °C with a water-ice bath. The ketone (46.1 mmol, 1 eq) was added through the reflux condenser manually via syringe at a rate that produced a steady reflux. Once the addition was complete the condenser was washed with 10 mL of dry diethyl ether and the ice bath was removed. After 0.2 h excess alkyl magnesium bromide was quenched with half saturated NH4Cl and the aqueous layer was extracted with diethyl ether (3 x 20 mL). The
combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over MgSO$_4$, filtered through celite and concentrated under reduced pressure.

**2-Phenylhex-5-en-ol (3.13)**

![2-Phenylhex-5-en-ol](image)

The title compound was prepared using the general Grignard addition to ketones procedure to afford a light yellow oil (85%, 1.72 g). R$_f$ 0.44 (20 v/v % EtOAc/hexanes); $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 7.57 (d, $J = 7.0$ Hz, 1 H), 7.37 - 7.44 (m, 3 H), 7.31 (t, $J = 7.8$ Hz, 2 H), 7.18 - 7.25 (m, 2 H), 5.67 - 5.86 (m, 1 H), 4.85 - 4.98 (m, 2 H), 2.00 - 2.05 (m, 1 H), 1.83 - 1.94 (m, 3 H), 1.75 (s, 1 H), 1.51 - 1.56 (m, 3 H); $^{13}$C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 147.7, 138.2, 128.6, 126.6, 124.7, 114.5, 74.7, 43.0, 30.4, 28.5; HRMS m/z calcd for C$_{12}$H$_{16}$O [M+] 176.1201, found: 176.1199.

**2-Methyl-2-Phenyltetrahydrofuran-2-yl) methanol (3.14)**

![2-Methyl-2-Phenyltetrahydrofuran-2-yl) methanol](image)

The title compound was prepared using the general cyclization procedure to afford alight yellow oil (42%, 40.4 mg). R$_f$ 0.23 (20 v/v % EtOAc/hexanes); $^1$H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 7.38 - 7.45 (m, 2 H), 7.30 - 7.35 (m, 2 H), 7.20 - 7.24 (m, 1 H), 4.13 - 4.24 (m, 1 H), 3.76 (d, $J = 11.7$ Hz, 1 H), 3.51 - 3.61 (m, 1 H), 2.21 - 2.30 (m, 1 H), 1.99 - 2.18 (m, 2 H), 1.75 - 1.89 (m, 2 H), 1.50 - 1.57 (m, 3 H); $^{13}$C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 147.7, 128.0, 126.7, 124.6, 124.2, 85.2, 79.0, 65.7, 65.4, 39.4, 39.2, 30.4, 29.6, 29.6, 27.5, 27.3; HRMS m/z calcd for C$_{12}$H$_{16}$O$_2$ [(M+H)$^+$]: 193.1229, found: 193.1223.
4-Methylocta-1,7-diene-4-ol (Table 9, Entry 4)

The title compound was prepared using the general addition of Grignard to ketones procedure to afford a yellow oil (99%, 1.40 g). Rf 0.29 (20 v/v % EtOAc/hexanes); 1H NMR (CDCl₃, 600MHz): δ 5.80 - 5.91 (m, 2 H), 5.09 - 5.20 (m, 2 H), 5.05 (d, J = 17.6 Hz, 1 H), 4.96 (d, J = 10.5 Hz, 1 H), 2.25 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 2 H), 2.12 - 2.19 (m, 2 H), 1.51 - 1.61 (m, 3 H), 1.19 ppm (s, 3 H); 13C NMR (CDCl₃, 101MHz): δ 139.2, 134.2, 119.1, 114.7, 72.4, 46.7, 41.1, 28.6, 27.0 ppm; LRMS m/z calcd for C₉H₁₆O [M+] 140.1, found: 140.1.

3-Methyl-1-phenylhept-6-en-1-yn-3-ol (Table 9, Entry 6)

A 1 L round bottom flask was charged with phenylacetylene (4.39 mL, 40 mmol, 1 eq) in 400 ml THF under argon. The solution was cooled to -78 °C (CO₂ (s)/acetone) and BuLi (24 mL, 48 mmol, 1.2 eq) was added. The solution was stirred for 5 min. Hex-5-en-2-one was added to the flask at -78 °C and warmed to rt. The reaction was monitored by TLC (20 v/v % EtOAc/hexanes). When starting material was consumed the reaction mixture was quenched with half saturated aqueous NH₄Cl. The aqueous layer was extracted with ethyl acetate (3 x 30 mL). The combined organics were washed with brine, and dried over.
anhydrous MgSO₄. The solution was filtered through a pad of celite and concentrated under reduced pressure. The resultant oil was purified by silica gel column chromatography (gradient 10:1 to 4:1 v/v % EtOAc:hexanes) to afford a clear colourless oil (71%, 5.71 g). Rf 0.40 (20 v/v % EtOAc/hexanes); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 600 MHz): δ 7.40 - 7.48 (m, 2 H), 7.28 - 7.34 (m, 3 H), 5.85 - 5.99 (m, J = 16.9, 10.2, 6.7, 6.7 Hz, 1 H), 5.12 (d, J = 17.0 Hz, 1 H), 5.02 (d, J = 11.7 Hz, 1 H), 2.31 - 2.47 (m, 2 H), 2.11 (br. s., 1 H), 1.81 - 1.92 (m, 2 H), 1.61 ppm (s, 3 H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 151MHz): δ 138.4, 131.6, 128.2, 122.7, 114.9, 92.5, 83.7, 68.5, 42.7, 30.0, 29.3, 28.3 ppm; HRMS m/z calcd for C₁₄H₁₆O [(M-H)⁺] 199.1123, found 199.1125.

5-Phenylethynyltetrahydrofuran-2-methanol (Table 9, Entry 6)

![5-Phenylethynyltetrahydrofuran-2-methanol](image)

The title compound was prepared according to the general cyclization procedure to afford a light yellow oil (19%, 20.5 mg). Rf 0.21 (30 v/v % EtOAc/hexanes); ¹H NMR (400MHz ,CDCl₃) δ 7.41 (dd, J = 3.3, 6.4 Hz, 2 H), 7.26 - 7.31 (m, 3 H), 4.22 - 4.38 (m, 1 H), 3.70 - 3.81 (m, 1 H), 3.54 (dt, J = 5.4, 11.4 Hz, 1 H), 2.08 - 2.38 (m, 2 H), 1.80 - 1.93 (m, 2 H), 1.64 (s, 3 H); ¹³C NMR (101MHz ,CDCl₃) δ 131.7, 131.6, 128.2, 128.2, 91.9, 83.0, 80.7, 79.2, 77.3, 77.2, 64.9, 41.0, 40.3, 28.0, 27.6, 27.1.

3-Methyl-1-(trimethylsilyl)hept-6-en-1-yn-3-ol

![3-Methyl-1-(trimethylsilyl)hept-6-en-1-yn-3-ol](image)
The title compound was prepared as per the synthesis of compound 3-Methyl-1-phenylhept-6-en-1-yn-3-ol (Table 9, Entry 6) to afford a clear colourless oil (39%, 168.5 mg). Rf 0.50 (20 v/v % EtOAc/hexanes); $^1$H NMR (CDCl$_3$, 400MHz): $\delta$ 5.87 (dd, $J$ = 16.8, 10.2 Hz, 1 H), 5.06 (d, $J$ = 17.2 Hz, 1 H), 4.97 (d, $J$ = 10.2 Hz, 1 H), 2.18 - 2.34 (m, 2 H), 1.97 (br. s., 1 H), 1.73 (ddd, $J$ = 9.7, 6.5, 2.9 Hz, 2 H), 1.46 (s, 3 H), 0.15 ppm (s, 6 H); $^{13}$C NMR (CDCl$_3$, 101MHz): $\delta$ 138.4, 114.8, 109.2, 87.8, 68.3, 42.5, 29.9, 29.3, -0.1 ppm; HRMS m/z calcd for C$_{11}$H$_{20}$OSi [(M-H)$^+$] 195.1213.

5-Methyltridec-1-en-5-ol

The title compound was prepared by the general Grignard addition to ketones procedure to afford a yellow oil (83%, 2.1 g). Rf 0.82 (30 v/v % EtOAc/hexanes); $^1$H NMR (CDCl$_3$, 400MHz): $\delta$ 5.73 - 5.94 (m, 1 H), 5.03 (d, $J$ = 17.2 Hz, 1 H), 4.94 (d, $J$ = 10.2 Hz, 1 H), 2.05 - 2.20 (m, 2 H), 1.51 - 1.58 (m, 2 H), 1.41 - 1.48 (m, 2 H), 1.24 - 1.34 (m, 14 H), 1.16 (s, 3 H), 0.80 - 0.92 ppm (m, 3 H); $^{13}$C NMR (CDCl$_3$,101MHz): $\delta$ 139.1, 114.3, 72.7, 42.0, 40.8, 31.9, 30.2, 29.6, 29.3, 28.4, 26.9, 23.9, 22.7, 14.1 ppm; HRMS m/z calcd for C$_{11}$H$_{20}$OSi [M+] 212.2140, found 212.2136.

(Z) -4-Methyl-1-phenylocta-1,7-diene-4-ol
A 10 mL round bottom flask was charged with 3-methyl-1-phenylhept-6-en-1-yn-3-ol (502 mg, 2.5 mmol, 1 eq) in 3 mL of MeOH. Quinoline (100 mg, 0.75 mmol, 0.28 eq) and Lindlar’s Catalyst (104.5 mg, 0.25 mmol, 0.1 eq.) were added to the solution. H\textsubscript{2} (g) was bubbled through the solution for 2 min and then placed under H\textsubscript{2} using a balloon. The reaction was monitored by TLC (20 v/v % EtOAc:hexanes). When no alkyne was observed the reaction was filtered through a pad of celite and the solvent was removed by rotary evaporator. The crude product was purified by silica gel column chromatography (10 % EtOAc:hexanes) to afford a pale yellow oil (35%, 175 mg) \( R_f \) 0.47 (30 v/v % EtOAc/hexanes); \textsuperscript{1}H NMR (CDCl\textsubscript{3}, 600 MHz): \( \delta \) 7.28 - 7.35 (m, 4 H), 7.22 - 7.25 (m, 1 H), 6.52 (d, \( J = 12.9 \) Hz, 1 H), 5.77 - 5.85 (m, 1 H), 5.66 (d, \( J = 12.9 \) Hz, 1 H), 5.00 (d, \( J = 17.0 \) Hz, 1 H), 4.93 (d, \( J = 8.2 \) Hz, 1 H), 2.13 - 2.19 (m, 2 H), 1.62 - 1.68 (m, 2 H), 1.54 - 1.58 (m, 1 H), 1.33 ppm (s, 3 H); \textsuperscript{13}C NMR (CDCl\textsubscript{3}, 151 MHz): \( \delta \) 138.8, 138.2, 137.4, 128.8, 128.3, 128.1, 127.0, 114.4, 74.5, 42.7, 29.5, 28.6 ppm; HRMS m/z calcd for C\textsubscript{11}H\textsubscript{20}OSi [M+] 202.1358, found 202.1349.

\( (E)\)-3-Methyl-1-phenylhepta-1,6-dien-3-ol

![Chemical structure](image)
A 10 mL round bottom flask was charged with 3-Methyl-1-phenylhept-6-en-1-yn-3-ol (537.4 mg, 2.5 mmol, 1 eq) in 2 mL Ether. The solution was cooled to 0 °C and added Red-Al (1.27 g, 4.1 mmol, 1.6 mL) dropwise as a solution in toluene. The mixture was allowed to warm to rt and was monitored by TLC (20 v/v % EtOAc:hexanes). When the reaction was complete by TLC it was quenched by addition of 1M H$_2$SO$_4$. The aqueous layer was extracted with ether (3 x 5 mL). The organic layers were combined and washed with brine and dried over MgSO$_4$. Solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified via silica gel chromatography (10 v/v % EtOAc:hexanes) to afford a yellow oil (39%, 211.5 mg). R$_f$ 0.39 (20 v/v % EtOAc/hexanes); $^1$H NMR (CDCl$_3$, 400 MHz): $\delta$ 7.33 - 7.37 (m, 2 H), 7.29 (t, $J = 7.6$ Hz, 2 H), 7.15 - 7.24 (m, 1 H), 6.56 (d, $J = 16.0$ Hz, 1 H), 6.24 (dd, $J = 16.0$, 1.6 Hz, 1 H), 5.75 - 5.88 (m, 1 H), 4.96 - 5.06 (m, 1 H), 4.93 (d, $J = 10.2$ Hz, 1 H), 2.08 - 2.18 (m, 2 H), 1.66 - 1.73 (m, 2 H), 1.55 (s, 1 H), 1.37 ppm (d, $J = 1.6$ Hz, 3 H); $^{13}$C NMR (CDCl$_3$,100 MHz): $\delta$ 138.8, 136.9, 136.4, 128.6, 127.4, 127.3, 126.4, 114.6, 73.3, 41.7, 28.5, 28.4.; HRMS m/z calcd for C$_{11}$H$_{20}$OSi [M+] 202.1358, found 202.1351.

1-(but-3-enyl)cyclohexanol (Table 9, Entry 10)
The title compound was prepared using the general addition of Grignards to ketones procedure to afford a clear colourless oil (69%, 798 mg). R_f 0.83 (30 v/v % EtOAc/hexanes); ^1^H NMR (CDCl$_3$, 400 MHz): δ 5.78 - 5.91 (m, J = 17.0, 10.3, 6.6, 6.6 Hz, 1 H), 5.03 (d, J = 17.2 Hz, 1 H), 4.94 (d, J = 10.2 Hz, 1 H), 2.08 - 2.18 (m, 2 H), 1.36 - 1.64 (m, 13 H), 1.30 - 1.36 (m, 2 H), 1.18 - 1.30 ppm (m, 1 H); ^1^3^C NMR (CDCl$_3$, 101MHz): δ 139.3, 114.3, 71.4, 41.4, 37.5, 27.4, 25.8, 22.2 ppm; HRMS m/z calcd for C$_{10}$H$_{18}$O [M+] 154.1356, found 154.1358.

**Oxaspiro[4.5]decan-2-ylmethanol (Table 9, Entry 10)**

![Oxaspiro[4.5]decan-2-ylmethanol](image)

The title compound was prepared using the general cyclization procedure to afford a yellow oil (34%, 154.5 mg). R_f 0.19 (30 v/v % EtOAc/hexanes); ^1^H NMR (CDCl$_3$, 400 MHz): δ 4.02 - 4.13 (m, 1 H), 3.66 (dd, J = 11.3, 2.3 Hz, 1 H), 3.44 (dd, J = 11.1, 5.3 Hz, 1 H), 2.10 (br. s., 1 H), 1.83 - 1.96 (m, 1 H), 1.59 - 1.81 (m, 5 H), 1.27 - 1.57 ppm (m, 8 H); 13C NMR (CDCl$_3$, 101MHz): δ 78.1, 65.2, 38.3, 37.3, 27.1, 25.6, 24.0, 23.7 ppm; HRMS m/z calcd for C$_{10}$H$_{18}$O$_2$ [M+]170.1307, found 170.1306.

**1-(but-3-enyl)cyclopentanol (Table 9, Entry 9)**

![1-(but-3-enyl)cyclopentanol](image)
The title compound was prepared using the general Grignard addition to ketones procedure to afford a light yellow oil (42%, 441.7 mg). R\(_f\) 0.66 (30 v/v % EtOAc/hexanes); \(^1\)H NMR (CDCl\(_3\), 400MHz): \(\delta\) 5.76 - 5.99 (m, 1 H), 5.04 (d, \(J = 17.2\) Hz, 1 H), 4.94 (d, \(J = 10.2\) Hz, 1 H), 2.12 - 2.26 (m, 2 H), 1.72 - 1.87 (m, 3 H), 1.47 - 1.72 (m, 10 H), 1.36 ppm (d, \(J = 2.3\) Hz, 2 H); \(^{13}\)C NMR (CDCl\(_3\),101MHz): \(\delta\) 139.3, 114.3, 82.5, 40.5, 39.8, 29.3, 23.8 ppm; HRMS m/z calcd for C\(_9\)H\(_{16}\)O[M+]140.1203, found 140.1198.

**Oxaspiro[4.4]nonan-2-ylmethanol (Table 9, Entry 9)**

![Oxaspiro[4.4]nonan-2-ylmethanol](image)

The title compound was prepared using the general cyclization procedure to afford a yellow oil (41%, 34.6 mg). R\(_f\) 0.15 (30 v/v % EtOAc/hexanes); \(^1\)H NMR (CDCl\(_3\), 400 MHz): \(\delta\) 4.00 - 4.08 (m, 1 H), 3.66 (dd, \(J = 11.3, 3.1\) Hz, 1 H), 3.46 (dd, \(J = 11.3, 5.5\) Hz, 1 H), 1.87 - 2.00 (m, 2 H), 1.65 - 1.85 (m, 8 H), 1.49 - 1.63 ppm (m, 4 H); \(^{13}\)C NMR (CDCl\(_3\), 101MHz): \(\delta\) 78.3, 65.4, 38.9, 38.1, 36.6, 27.6, 24.0 ppm;

**2,2,3-Trimethylhept-6-en-ol (Table 9, Entry 5)**

![2,2,3-Trimethylhept-6-en-ol](image)
The title compound was prepared using the general Grignard addition to ketones procedure to afford a yellow oil (84%, 943.1 mg). R\(_f\) 0.50 (20 v/v % EtOAc/hexanes); \(^1\)H NMR (CDCl\(_3\), 400 MHz): \(\delta\) 5.79 - 5.92 (m, 1 H), 5.04 (d, \(J = 17.2\) Hz, 1 H), 4.94 (d, \(J = 10.2\) Hz, 1 H), 2.05 - 2.28 (m, 2 H), 1.46 - 1.68 (m, 2 H), 1.12 (s, 3 H), 0.93 ppm (d, \(J = 2.0\) Hz, 9 H); \(^{13}\)C NMR (CDCl\(_3\), 101 MHz): \(\delta\) 139.6, 114.3, 76.3, 38.1, 35.2, 28.5 ppm; LRMS m/z calcd for C\(_{10}\)H\(_{20}\)O [(M-CH\(_3\))\(^+\)] 141.1279, found 141.1.

2-(4-methoxyphenyl)hex-5-en-2-ol

\[\text{O} \]
\[\text{H} \]

The title compound was prepared using the general Grignard addition to ketones procedure to afford a light orange oil. R\(_f\) 0.42 (30 v/v % EtOAc/hexanes); \(^1\)H NMR (CDCl\(_3\), 400 MHz): \(\delta\) 7.30 - 7.37 (m, 2 H), 6.85 - 6.89 (m, 2 H), 5.71 - 5.85 (m, 1 H), 4.88 - 5.01 (m, 2 H), 3.80 (s, 3 H), 1.85 - 2.06 (m, 4 H), 1.74 - 1.79 (m, 1 H), 1.54 ppm (s, 3 H); \(^{13}\)C NMR (CDCl\(_3\), 101 MHz): \(\delta\) 158.2, 139.9, 138.8, 125.9, 114.5, 113.4, 74.4, 55.2, 43.1, 30.3, 28.6 ppm; HRMS m/z calcd for C\(_{13}\)H\(_{18}\)O\(_2\) [M+] 206.1307, found 206.1313.

5-Methylona-1,8-dien-5-ol (Table 9, Entry 3)
The title compound was prepared by the general Grignard addition to ketones procedure to afford a clear colourless oil (99%, 1.80 g). R$_f$ 0.45 (20 v/v % EtOAc/hexanes); $^1$H NMR (CDCl$_3$, 400MHz): $\delta$ 5.78 - 5.90 (m, 2 H), 5.04 (dd, $J = 17.2$, 1.6 Hz, 2 H), 4.95 (dd, $J = 10.2$, 2.0 Hz, 2 H), 2.07 - 2.17 (m, 5 H), 1.52 - 1.59 (m, 5 H), 1.27 (s, 1 H), 1.18 ppm (s, 3 H); $^{13}$C NMR (CDCl$_3$, 101MHz): $\delta$ 138.9, 114.4, 72.6, 40.9, 28.3, 26.8 ppm; HRMS m/z calcld for C$_{10}$H$_{18}$O [M+]154.1358, found 154.1353.

(5-(but-3-enyl)tetrahydrofuran-2-yl)methanol (Table 9, Entry 3)

The title compound was prepared using the general cyclization procedure to afford a light yellow oil (55%, 43 mg). R$_f$ 0.17 (30 v/v % EtOAc/hexanes); $^1$H NMR (600 MHz ,CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 5.83 (dd, $J = 10.5$, 17.0 Hz, 1 H), 5.02 (d, $J = 17.6$ Hz, 1 H), 4.93 (d, $J = 9.9$ Hz, 1 H), 3.67 (d, $J = 2.3$ Hz, 1 H), 3.44 - 3.49 (m, 1 H), 2.05 - 2.15 (m, 2 H), 1.87 - 1.98 (m, 2 H), 1.75 - 1.85 (m, 2 H), 1.67 - 1.75 (m, 1 H), 1.54 - 1.66 (m, 3 H), 1.19 - 1.32 (m, 3 H); $^{13}$C NMR (101 MHz CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 138.9, 114.1, 83.4, 79.1, 78.3, 65.3, 65.1, 40.9, 40.2, 37.1, 36.7.
Images of Co(modp)2 Column

Figure 17. The varying bands of decomposed cobalt catalyst (modp and piper ligands).

Bands are clearly shown to elute at widely varying Rf values during column chromatography. These bands in many cases overlap with the cyclized product and in several instances have been shown to make accurate characterization extremely difficult.
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Spectra 1. Ethyl-2-(4-methylpiperazin-1-yl)-2-oxoacetate (2.37)
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$^1$H NMR (CDCl$_3$, 400 MHz)
$^{13}$C NMR (CDCl$_3$, 100 MHz)
Spectra 6. [(2R, 5R)-5-[2-(tert-Butyl-dimethyl-silanyloxy)-ethyl]-tetrahydrofuran-2-yl]-methanol (2.42b)
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Spectra 7. 1-(tert-butyldimethylsilyloxy)-oct-7-en-4-ol (2.41c)
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Spectra 8. (5-(3-tert-Butyldimethylsilyloxy)propyl)tetrahydrofuran-2-yl)-methanol (2.42c)
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Spectra 9. 1-(Methoxymethyloxy)-oct-7-en-4-ol
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Spectra 10. (5-(3-Methoxymethoxy)propyltetrahydrofuran-2-yl)methanol
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Spectra 11. 1-(Benzoyloxy)-oct-7-en-ol
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$^{13}$C NMR (CDCl$_3$, 100 MHz)
Spectra 12. (5-(3-(Benzyloxy)propyl)tetrahydrofuran-2-yl) methanol (Table 7, Entry 2)

$^1$H NMR (CDCl$_3$, 400 MHz)
$^{13}$C NMR (CDCl$_3$, 100 MHz)
Spectra 13. 1-(4-Methoxyphenyloxy)oct-7-en-4-ol

$^1$H NMR (CDCl$_3$, 400 MHz)
$^{13}$C NMR (CDCl$_3$, 100 MHz)
Spectra 14. (5-(3-(4-Methoxyphenoxy)propyl)tetrahydrofuran-2-yl)-methanol

(Table 7, Entry 3)

$^1$H NMR (CDCl$_3$, 400 MHz)
$^{13}$C NMR (CDCl$_3$, 100 MHz)
Spectra 15. Nona-1,8-dien-5-ol

$^1$H NMR (CDCl$_3$, 400 MHz)
$^{13}$C NMR (CDCl$_3$, 100 MHz)
Spectra 16. (5-(But-3-enyl)-tetrahydro-furan-2-yl)-methanol (Table 7, Entry 4)

\(^1\text{H} \text{NMR (CDCl}_3, 400 \text{ MHz)}\)
$^{13}$C NMR (CDCl$_3$, 100 MHz)
Spectra 17. 1-Phenyl-pent-4-en-ol

$^1$H NMR (CDCl$_3$, 400 MHz)
$^{13}$C NMR (CDCl$_3$, 100 MHz)
Spectra 18. (5-Phenyl-tetrahydro-furan-2-yl)-methanol

(Table 7, Entry 6)

$^1$H NMR (CDCl$_3$, 400 MHz)
$^{13}$C NMR (CDCl$_3$, 100 MHz)
Spectra 19. 1-Phenyl-hex-5-en-2-ol

$^1$H NMR (CDCl$_3$, 400 MHz)
Spectra 20. (5-Benzyl-tetrahydro-furan-2-yl)-methanol (Table 7, Entry 7)

$^1$H NMR (CDCl$_3$, 600 MHz)
Spectra 21. 1-Phenyl-hepta-1,6-dien-3-ol

$^1$H NMR (CDCl$_3$, 400 MHz)
$^{13}$C NMR (CDCl$_3$, 100 MHz)
Spectra 22. (5-Styryl-tetrahydrofuran-2-yl)-methanol

(Table 7, Entry 9)

$^1$H NMR (CDCl$_3$, 400 MHz)
$^{13}$C NMR (CDCl$_3$, 100 MHz)
Spectra 23. (5-(but-3-enyl)tetrahydrofuran-2-yl)methanol

(Table 9, Entry 3)

$^1$H NMR (CDCl$_3$, 400 MHz)
$\text{C NMR (CDCl}_3, \ 100 \text{ MHz})$
Spectra 24. 4-Methylocta-1,7-diene-4-ol

$^1$H NMR (CDCl$_3$, 600 MHz)
Spectra 25. 3-Methyl-1-phenylhept-6-en-1-yn-3-ol

\[ ^1H \text{NMR (CDCl}_3, 600 \text{ MHz)} \]
$^{13}$C NMR (CDCl$_3$, 100 MHz)
Spectra 26. 3-Methyl-1-(trimethylsilyl)hept-6-en-1-yn-3-ol

$^1$H NMR (CDCl$_3$, 600 MHz)
$^{13}$C NMR (CDCl$_3$, 100 MHz)
Spectra 27. 5-Methyltridec-1-en-5-ol

$^1$H NMR (CDCl$_3$, 600 MHz)
$^{13}$C NMR (CDCl$_3$, 100 MHz)
Spectra 28. (E)-3-Methyl-1-phenylhepta-1,6-dien-3-ol

NMR (CDCl₃, 600 MHz)
Spectra 29. (Z)-3-Methyl-1-phenylhepta-1,6-dien-3-ol

$^1$H NMR (CDCl$_3$, 600 MHz)
13C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz)
Spectra 30. 1-(but-3-enyl)-Cyclohexanol

$^1$H NMR (CDCl$_3$, 600 MHz)
$^{13}$C NMR (CDCl$_3$, 100 MHz)
Spectra 31. 1-(but-3-enyl)-Cyclopentanol

$^1$H NMR (CDCl$_3$, 600 MHz)
$^{13}$C NMR (CDCl$_3$, 100 MHz)
Spectra 32. 2,2,3-trimethylhept-6-en-3-ol

$^1$H NMR (CDCl$_3$, 600 MHz)
$^{13}$C NMR (CDCl$_3$, 100 MHz)

(Table 9, Entry 7)

$^1$H NMR (CDCl$_3$, 600 MHz)
$^{13}$C NMR (CDCl$_3$, 100 MHz)
Spectra 34. 1-oxaspiro[4.5]decan-2-ylmethanol

(Table 9, Entry 8)

$^1$H NMR (CDCl$_3$, 600 MHz)
$^{13}$C NMR (CDCl$_3$, 100 MHz)
Spectra 35. (5-Phenyl-5-methyltetrahydrofuran-2-yl)methanol

(Table 9, Entry 1)

$^1$H NMR (CDCl$_3$, 600 MHz)
Spectra 36. 2-Phenylhex-5-en-2-ol

$^1$H NMR (CDCl$_3$, 600 MHz)
Spectra 375-Phenylethynyltetrahydrofuran-2-methanol

(Table 9, Entry 6)

$^1$H NMR (CDCl$_3$, 600 MHz)
Spectra 38. (5-(but-3-enyl)tetrahydrofuran-2-yl)methanol

(Table 9, Entry 3)

$^1$H NMR (CDCl$_3$, 600 MHz)
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