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Abstract

Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) is the third leading cause of death in the world. Identifying clinically relevant COPD phenotypes has the potential to reduce the global burden of COPD by helping to alleviate symptoms, slow disease progression and prevent exacerbation by stratifying patient cohorts and forming targeted treatment plans. In this regard, quantitative pulmonary imaging with hyperpolarized $^3$He magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and thoracic computed tomography (CT) have emerged as ways to identify and measure biomarkers of lung structure and function. $^3$He MRI may be used as a tool to probe both functional and structural properties of the lung whereby static-ventilation maps allow the direct visualization of ventilated lung regions and $^3$He apparent diffusion coefficient maps show the lung microstructure at alveolar scales. At the same time, thoracic CT provides quantitative measurements of lung density and airway wall and lumen dimensions. Together, MRI and CT may be used to characterize the relative contributions of airways disease and emphysema on overall lung function, providing a way to phenotype underlying disease processes in a way that conventional measurements of airflow, taken at the mouth, cannot. Importantly, structure-function measurements obtained from $^3$He MRI and CT can be extracted from the whole-lung or from individual lung lobes, providing direct information on specific lung regions. In this thesis, my goal was to identify pulmonary imaging phenotypes to provide a better understanding of COPD pathophysiology in ex-smokers with and without airflow limitation. This thesis showed: 1) ex-smokers without airflow limitation had imaging evidence of subclinical lung and vascular disease, 2) pulmonary abnormalities in ex-smokers without airflow limitation were spatially related to airways disease and very mild emphysema, and, 3) in ex-smokers with COPD, there were distinct apical-basal lung phenotypes associated with disease severity. Collectively, these findings provide strong evidence that quantitative pulmonary imaging phenotypes may be used to characterize the underlying pathophysiology of very mild or early COPD and in patients with severe disease.
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CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD) is characterized by progressive airflow limitation caused by a combination of airways disease and emphysema due to inflammatory responses in the lung to noxious particles (1). The objective of Chapter 1 is to provide an overview of the global health burden imposed by COPD and the pathophysiology, symptoms and medical complications associated with the disease. Chapter 1 will also describe the conventional methodology for measuring pulmonary function and diagnosing COPD and discuss the use of pulmonary imaging for identifying clinically relevant COPD biomarkers and phenotypes.

1.1 Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease: A Leading Cause of Death Worldwide

Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) affects 10% of the adult population worldwide (2). A decade ago, COPD was the 4th leading cause of death in the world. At that time, based on global estimates of the rising use of tobacco smoke and biomass fuels, concomitant with the aging population, the World Health Organization (WHO) and others projected COPD to rise to the 3rd leading cause of death in the world between the years 2020 – 2030 (1, 3). Despite this threat, therapies and research efforts did not result in a reduced COPD mortality rate and it subsequently became the 3rd leading cause of death in the world in the year 2010, a decade earlier than expected (4).

Table 1-1. Leading Causes of Death Worldwide in 2012 (Adapted from The World Health Organization Report 2014 (5))

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Disease</th>
<th>Deaths (millions)</th>
<th>% Deaths Worldwide</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Ischemic Heart Disease</td>
<td>7.35</td>
<td>13.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Cerebrovascular Disease</td>
<td>6.67</td>
<td>11.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>COPD</td>
<td><strong>3.10</strong></td>
<td><strong>5.6</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Lower Respiratory Infections</td>
<td>3.05</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Respiratory Cancers</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
COPD also causes life-altering disability to those affected and is expected to become the 5th leading cause of disability worldwide by the year 2030 making it one of the fastest rising disability threats in the world (Table 1-2).

Table 1-2. Worldwide leading causes of disability in 2004 and their predicted rank in 2030 (Adapted from ‘The Global Burden of Disease: 2004 Update, World Health Organization’ (3))

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2004 Rank*</th>
<th>Disease or Injury</th>
<th>2030 Rank*</th>
<th>Disease or Injury</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Respiratory Infections</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Depressive Disorders</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Diarrhoeal Diseases</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Heart Disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Depressive Disorders</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Traffic Accidents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Heart Disease</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Cerebrovascular Disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>HIV / AIDS</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>COPD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Cerebrovascular Disease</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Respiratory Infections</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Premature birth</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Hearing loss</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Birth trauma</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Vision problems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Traffic Accidents</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>HIV / AIDS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Neonatal Infections</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Diabetes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>COPD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Rank based on the disability adjusted life years (DALY), one DALY is equivalent to the loss of one year of full health

Given the staggering statistics on the mortality and disability rates, it is not surprising that COPD also has the highest rate for hospital admissions and readmissions among chronic illnesses in the United States, Canada and Europe (Figure 1-1) (6, 7).

Along with having a significant frequency of hospitalization, it is estimated that each hospitalized COPD exacerbation costs on average $10,000 CAN and the overall national economic burden for COPD hospitalizations ranges from $646 million to $736 million each year (8). Unfortunately, these costs are expected to rise in tandem with the increasing burden of COPD disability over the next two decades.
Collectively, these reports on the prevalence of COPD mortality, morbidity and disability have established that the burden of COPD is a global health issue. At the same time however, epidemiological studies are showing that anywhere from 20-80% of individuals with impaired lung function consistent with COPD are not diagnosed nor treated for the condition (9, 10). This may partly explain one reason why COPD is such a deadly and disabling disease as it suggests that individuals are not likely to seek care or treatment for their respiratory symptoms until their lung function has declined severely and may not be able to be adequately controlled with treatment or surgery. As will be explained in more detail in this thesis, another explanation for the high mortality and disability rates of COPD is related to poor characterization of pulmonary pathophysiology of at-risk individuals who may be in the early subclinical stages.

It has become obvious that there is room for improvement in regard to screening methods and diagnostic strategies for COPD. In the following subsections of the Introduction I

**Figure 1-1. Hospitalizations and repeat hospitalizations by health condition**
Adapted from the Canadian Institute for Health Information (CIHI) (6)
will provide background knowledge on pulmonary pathophysiology in the healthy and COPD lung with emphasis on the varying contributions of airways disease and emphysema from patient to patient. In the latter part of the introduction I will discuss conventional lung function testing and pulmonary imaging methods for characterizing, diagnosing and grading COPD. Chapters 2, 3 and 4 are original research investigations focused on identifying clinically meaningful pulmonary imaging biomarkers and phenotypes to provide a better understanding of airflow limitation in ex-smokers with and without COPD. Lastly Chapter 5, the Conclusion, will summarize the key pulmonary imaging findings from the studies in Chapters 2, 3 and 4 and discuss future work needed to address unanswered questions pertaining to pulmonary imaging abnormalities and their clinical relevance in ex-smokers with and without COPD.

1.2 COPD: A Heterogeneous Disease of the Lung

COPD is an umbrella term that encompasses airways disease and emphysema. Both airways disease and emphysema contribute differently to airflow limitation in each and every patient making the clinical presentation of COPD vary immensely among individuals who are demographically the same, who have the same smoking history and even among people with the same lung function and respiratory symptoms. Decades of research has been put in to characterizing the pathophysiological and biochemical processes in the airways and lung parenchyma involved in lung function decline. In this section I will discuss how pathophysiological changes to the airways and alveoli cause the irreversible airflow limitation characteristic of COPD

1.2.1 Chronic Bronchitis

Chronic bronchitis is characterized by extended periods of excessive cough and mucus and sputum production. The inflammation triggered by noxious particles (from cigarette smoke or other pollutants) in the large or central airways (> 4mm in diameter) is the key cause of bronchitis. The inflammatory response imposed by tobacco smoke triggers increased production of mucus and sputum with concomitant decrease in mucus clearance from the large central airways due to damage to the airway epithelial barrier.
There is also evidence that the bronchial walls thicken (causing the airway lumen to narrow) as a result of the abnormal accumulation of connective tissue within the medium and large airway walls (11). Methods have been developed (12) to diagnose chronic bronchitis based on the ratio of mucus gland to bronchial wall thickness (Reid Index) however an clinical definition based on the frequency and duration an individual experiences of a debilitating cough is more commonly used (1).

1.2.2 Small Airways Disease

The airways < 2mm in diameter are the major site of airflow obstruction in COPD (13). These are airways (bronchioles) beyond the fourth generation of branching (Figure 1-2). As the bronchial tree branches non-dichotomously, the number of airways with each successive generation increases and so does their total cross sectional area (14). The majority (75%) of airway resistance in the bronchial tree is attributable to the large (central) conducting airways (conducting airways > 2mm) while only a fraction of resistance is located in the small airways of the respiratory zone (15). Therefore, the small airways contribute very little to overall airway resistance. However, it is in these airways that disease can accumulate over long timeframes without having a significant effect on overall lung function and without causing noticeable respiratory symptoms (16).

As already mentioned in 1.2.1, the introduction of toxins to airway epithelium increases mucus production, injures and impairs the mucociliary escalator and triggers an inflammatory response in the bronchi. This is true for the small airways also. The excess mucus and inflammatory markers (B cells, CD4 and CD8 lymphocytes) that are produced in response to the noxious particles accumulate and obstruct the small airways. There is also evidence that a portion of mucus present in the small airways in COPD may actually be aspirated there from the large and central airways (15). In addition to airway obstruction, the abnormal deposition of inflammatory cells and connective tissue in the small airway wall causes fibrosis of the airway wall and airway lumen obstruction in severe disease (13). The progression of COPD with respect to lung function decline from mild to severe grades has been most closely associated with the thickening of the airway wall and surrounding compartments and less closely related to the degree of inflammation and mucus secretion within the small airways (13).
Figure 1-2. Diagram of airway tree including the conducting and respiratory zones and showing the airway generations. The conducting zone comprises the large and small central airways up to the 16th generation that do not participate in gas exchange. The transition and respiratory zone comprise airways >17th generation. Surface area increases with airways generation and gas exchange processes occur in the respiratory bronchioles, alveolar ducts and sacs. Reproduced from Respiratory Physiology: The Essentials, 8th Edition (14)
1.2.3 Emphysema

Emphysema is defined as dilation and destruction of lung parenchyma distal to the terminal bronchiole (17) (see Figure 1-3). In emphysema the airspaces involved in gas exchange enlarge and coalesce which reduces the surface area within the lung to carry out necessary gas exchange processes. There are several subphenotypes of emphysema which are named according to the lung units that are involved. Centrilobular, or centriacinar emphysema arises from damage and enlargement of the respiratory bronchioles (Figure 1-2 and 1-3) and is most commonly associated with cigarette smoking. Centrilobular emphysema predominantly occurs in the apical-lung and it is postulated that this is a result of the elevated ventilation (V) – perfusion (Q) ratio (V/Q) in this part of the lung (14). Since the ventilation largely outweighs perfusion (~3/1) in the apical lung, it is thought that noxious particles from cigarette smoke may be deposited in the distal airspaces without passing into the pulmonary circulation inducing inflammation and subsequent destruction of parenchymal tissue. A second form of emphysema, panlobular or panacinar emphysema, is more common in non-smoking related COPD and in particular alpha-1 antitrypsin deficiency (AATD). AATD is an autosomal hereditary disorder and the panlobular emphysema characteristic of AATD destroys the structure of the entire distal bronchiole starting with the alveolar ducts and sacs and gradually degrading the structure of the respiratory bronchiole (Figure 1-2 and 1-3) (18). AATD is a result of reduced serum levels of alpha-1 antitrypsin, a protease that is responsible for protecting the lung from proteolytic activity of neutrophil elastase. The unchecked levels of neutrophil elastase result in destruction of the alveolar walls. AATD associated panlobular emphysema has been found to occur predominantly in the highly perfused basal-lung region where neutrophils and proteases are circulating within the blood (18). Lastly, paraseptal emphysema is defined as dilation of the airspaces near or adjacent to the lung pleura and septa. In paraseptal emphysema the most peripheral airspaces (Figure 1-2 and 1-3) are destroyed but typically these emphysematous lesions are asymptomatic and not associated with cigarette smoke but with lung trauma such as pneumothorax (19).
Figure 1-3. Schematic of healthy, panlobular, centrilobular and paraseptal acini.
1.2.4 COPD – Asthma Overlap

Although Asthma is not the direct focus of work presented in this thesis, a significant proportion of individuals who experience symptoms of COPD also exhibit symptoms consistent with Asthma. This clinical presentation has recently been termed ‘Asthma-COPD Overlap Syndrome (ACOS)’ and warrants explanation (20, 21). While COPD encompasses both an airway and emphysema component, asthma is characterized by inflammatory and hyperresponsive airways. Unlike in COPD, the airway obstruction in asthma is reversible with the use of inhaled corticosteroids and long-acting bronchodilators. Although there are clearly defined clinical characteristics to stratify asthma and COPD patients (20), these guidelines fail to acknowledge the spectrum of ACOS. This is an issue because based on these guidelines asthmatics are often excluded from large-scale and longitudinal COPD studies even if they are current- or ex-smokers. One study (22) found in a group of adults (> 55 yrs) with either COPD or asthma, 65% of subjects had overlap of asthma and COPD while only 16% and 21% of subjects had definite asthma or COPD respectively. There are many possible origins of the ‘overlap’ between asthma and COPD and most likely the origin is different for every patient with ACOS. It is widely known that asthma is most commonly diagnosed in childhood, but childhood respiratory disease is also closely linked to the development of impaired lung function and COPD later in life. At the same time, cigarette smoking and an asthma diagnosis are risk factors for the development of COPD, and cigarette smoking alone promotes asthma. Therefore there is substantial overlap even among the individual risk factors for asthma and COPD which may accumulate and increase the likelihood of developing just one of these diseases on its own (23, 24).

1.2.5 COPD – Bronchiectasis Overlap

Bronchiectasis is another chronic respiratory illness that has been closely linked with COPD. It is characterized by persistent bronchial sepsis due to irreversibly damaged and enlarged bronchi (25). The enlarged airways are injured in such a way that they are not able to clear mucus adequately. Mucus builds up within the large and small bronchi promoting a cycle of repeated lung infections. Each subsequent infection imposes more damage to the airways and a cyclical process ensues. There are many causes of
bronchiectasis ranging from abnormal congenital etiology and childhood development disorders to poorly healed respiratory infections. There is evidence that bronchiectasis is present in anywhere from 30% to 70% of patients with COPD (26-28) and those patients with concomitant COPD and bronchiectasis experience a more severe clinical course involving more hospitalizations and higher mortality (29). It is unclear what the exact underlying mechanisms involved in the development of co-occurring COPD and bronchiectasis are. It could be that the constant exposure to toxins in cigarette smoke repeatedly trigger respiratory infections promoting bronchiectic pathophysiology in the lungs of COPD patients. Another hypothesis is that the airway obstruction presented in bronchiectasis due to mucus plugging imposes airflow limitation severe enough to warrant a COPD diagnosis (30). It is not known for sure whether bronchiectasis is caused by COPD and repeated exposure to cigarette smoke or if the opposite is correct and COPD is a consequence of bronchiectasis pathophysiology. It seems likely that the same is true for ACOS and the exact cause of concomitant bronchiectasis and COPD is different for each patient.

1.3 COPD and Cardiovascular Disease

Over the past two decades there has been increasing evidence that both COPD and cardiovascular disease, and in particular vascular atherosclerosis are closely related. Large-scale epidemiological and experimental studies (31-35) have established that cardiovascular diseases and complications are the leading cause of hospitalization among COPD patients and are second only to lung cancer as the leading cause of death. Therefore it is clear that there is a serious burden of cardiovascular disease in COPD patients. Both COPD and cardiovascular disease share a common risk factor in cigarette smoke and air and gas pollution, however it is now known that the mechanistic link between cardiovascular and pulmonary disease pathophysiology extends beyond the toxicity imposed by inhaled toxins (32). The ‘lung permeability’ theory was recently proposed (36) as a potential mechanism for atherogenesis (atherosclerotic plaque development) in COPD patients. Figure 1-4 presents a schematic of this mechanism. This concept suggests that inflammatory stress similar to what occurs in COPD patients
might cause the alveolar – capillary layer to become increasingly permeable to inflammatory mediators. These inflammatory markers, mainly the interleukins, are free to pass from the lungs into the systemic circulation where they trigger a secondary inflammatory response of procoagulant and inflammatory markers from the liver (C-reactive protein, fibrinogen, serum amyloid protein) that promote atherogenesis at various locations throughout the body. While this process may occur chronically in the inflamed COPD lung, cyclical insults of cigarette smoke would cause the alveolar – capillary layer to become chronically permeable, up-regulating the atherogenic response. Given this mechanistic hypothesis it seems plausible that there is a dose-response relationship between the severity of lung disease and vascular disease and several studies (35, 37-41) have confirmed that this is the case. The severity of atherosclerosis has been shown to be correlated with lung function decline whereby COPD patients have quantitatively more atherosclerotic plaque (37, 40, 42, 43) and more plaque that is vulnerable to rupture causing embolism and stroke (44). However it is not known for certain if cardiovascular disease causes COPD or vice-versa, and the question about ‘which comes first’ cannot yet be answered with certainty (45). What is known is that cardiovascular disease and acute ischemic events play one of the most important roles in the clinical course of COPD patients (46).
**Figure 1-4.** Schematic of the mechanistic link between COPD and atherosclerosis. Reproduced with permission from ‘Imaging Evidence of the Relationship Between Atherosclerosis and Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease’ *Imaging in Medicine; DOI: 10.2217/im.13.70, 2013 (47)*
1.4 Subclinical COPD and Identifying Susceptible Individuals

1.4.1 Undiagnosed, Early and Subclinical COPD

Typically, individuals with COPD present with obvious symptoms of airflow limitation including chronic cough, breathlessness and wheezing. These symptoms are related to the various contributions of airways disease and emphysema that reduce the ability to move air in and out of the lungs and participate in gas exchange. However there are many ex- and current-smokers who experience respiratory symptoms and may or may not have airflow limitation consistent with COPD and never receive treatment for a pulmonary condition. Ex- and current-smokers are most likely to encounter pulmonary function testing or be referred to a respirologist by a primary care provider only when pulmonary symptoms start to alter their exercise capacity and drastically impact their quality of life. A study in the province of Ontario, Canada reported that up to 75% of ex- and current-smokers had impaired lung function consistent with COPD but had not previously sought medical help for respiratory illness; (9) this may have reflected the fact that most of these individuals could still carry-out day-to-day activities. Unfortunately, other studies (48, 49) have recognized that COPD underdiagnosis is common in other countries as well. There are several reasons COPD might go undiagnosed and untreated in the general population. First, since lung function decline is a chronic process, it can take decades before obvious symptoms present. At the same time, many ex- and current-smokers often attribute impaired lung function to normal aging and not an indicator of respiratory illness. Second, certain individuals may be ‘protected’ from being diagnosed with airflow limitation because of anatomical or comorbid conditions that prevent them from performing diagnostic pulmonary function tests (to be discussed in section 1.4.2). Lastly, as was previously mentioned in section 1.2.2, the small airways are the major site of airflow obstruction in COPD, but these airways only account for a fraction of overall airway resistance. Given this, it has been speculated that disease processes can occur in the small airways or the ‘silent zones’ over very long timeframes without having a noticeable influence on lung function (16). Therefore it is likely that small airways
disease can accumulate without detection until a critical point is reached and overall lung function starts to decline rapidly.

1.4.2 Airflow Limitation in Obese and Overweight Individuals

Obesity, defined as a body mass index (BMI) greater than 30kg/m², and being overweight is a biomarker for dyspnea which is one of the major and predominant symptoms of COPD (50). Therefore, breathlessness in overweight individuals might be directly related to their obesity or a manifestation of an underlying and untreated lung condition such as COPD. High BMI is associated with a decrease in functional residual capacity (FRC) and total lung capacity (TLC) due to the increase in adipose tissue in the thoracic trunk of affected individuals (51). The smaller lung capacity combined with increased adipose deposits cause impaired movement of the diaphragm, and reduced chest wall compliance and ultimately both the forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV1) and forced vital capacity (FVC) decrease. It has also been reported that the decrease in FVC is more severe than FEV1, leading to a subsequent increase in FEV1/FVC in these individuals (52, 53). Therefore overweight and obese individuals might be ‘protected’ from developing airflow limitation based on the FEV1/FVC ratio due to extrapulmonary or anatomical impairment (50). As a result, many overweight individuals may go untreated due to the absence of a COPD diagnosis (on spirometry) even though they may experience dyspnea that is attributable to airflow limitation and not solely a symptom associated with being overweight. These individuals may make up a large percentage of people with ‘undiagnosed’ or very early or mild COPD. At the same time, it is difficult to know for sure if the symptoms these subjects experience are secondary only to obesity or directly related to impaired lung function – this is postulated to be the primary reason obese or overweight individuals do not seek further treatment from a respirologist beyond the primary care setting.

1.4.3 Identifying Individuals Susceptible to Developing COPD

The Fletcher and Peto curve (Figure 1–5) that describes the natural history of COPD popularized the concept that both smokers and non-smokers alike, reach and maintain
maximum lung function during the first half of adulthood before lung function starts to decline (54). Fletcher and Peto suggested that while both smokers and non-smokers experience a decline in lung function, smokers decline much more rapidly and develop airflow obstruction due to their rapidly declining trajectory. The concept of rapid declining lung function in smokers has been confirmed more recently but the rate of change in lung function over time is now known to be highly variable (55). Recently, the seminal findings of Fletcher and Peto were extended when it was suggested that there exists another group of individuals who develop COPD aside from ‘rapid decliners’. These individuals attain a low maximal lung function in adulthood and subsequently experience a rate of decline of lung function that is normal (similar to what is observed in non-smokers). This study found that among COPD patients, approximately half experienced a rapid-decline in lung function leading to airflow limitation while the other half reached a low maximal lung function before declining at a normal rate (56). This was an exciting finding because it suggested that lung function at young ages and maximally attained lung function in adulthood might serve as important biomarkers related to the development of COPD.

At the same time, it is clear that identifying which ‘trajectory’ an individual follows may not be enough to identify who will develop COPD. Many ex- and current-smokers have an ‘early’ or subclinical form of COPD that does not satisfy the criteria for a COPD diagnosis based on lung function or pulmonary symptoms. Disease might accumulate ‘silently’ (16) in the small airways (13) of the peripheral lung for many years without any symptomatic or lung function indications making it difficult to identify which trajectory an individual might follow (rapid decliner, normal decliner etc.). The identification of early and subclinical COPD in individuals who smoke or who have smoked has many benefits with respect to treatment planning and lifestyle change recommendations. Concomitant with the objectives of any COPD treatment plan, which are to reduce recurrent respiratory symptoms and prevent exacerbation episodes, the identification of very early forms of the disease can help individuals and their health care team put together a targeted therapy strategy to achieve the most optimal outcome for a certain individual at the onset of disease.
Unfortunately, as discussed in section 1.4.1, many smokers develop respiratory symptoms over the span of several years but may not consider them significant enough to seek help from a primary care provider who could help kickstart a treatment plan. In this way COPD progression may continue for decades before treatment begins at which point it may be in the severe and debilitating stages and be very difficult to manage. Furthermore, as will be described in more detail in section 1.5, conventional and gold-standard pulmonary function tests for assessing lung function and diagnosing COPD do not fully characterize small changes in lung function that might indicate very early or subclinical disease progression. Therefore the combination of very few individuals visiting the primary care setting along with poor characterization of small changes by gold-standard tools for diagnosing COPD makes the identification, treatment and longitudinal monitoring of COPD from the earliest stages extremely difficult in clinical practice.

Figure 1–5. Lung function decline over time in never-smokers, current-smokers and individuals who quit smoking. Adapted from ‘The Natural History of Chronic Airflow Obstruction’ (54) and ‘Lung-Function Trajectories Leading to Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease’ (56).
In the next section I will describe the methodology for measuring pulmonary function and diagnosing COPD. An overview of these methods will highlight the inherent drawbacks of these conventional breathing tests for characterizing the early and subclinical forms of COPD – another potential reason the prevalence of underdiagnosis and undertreatment of COPD is so high.

1.5 Pulmonary Function Tests

Established methods for measuring pulmonary function focus on the assessment of expiratory flow volumes through spirometry, lung volumes through plethysmography and the diffusing capacity of the lungs.

1.5.1 Spirometry

Spirometry tests measure the volume of air an individual inhales or exhales as a function of time. Spirometry can be used to measure expiratory volumes and flow, although expiratory volumes are diagnostic for COPD. In particular, the spirometry measurements of the forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV\textsubscript{1}) and the forced vital capacity (FVC) are the two most widely used measurements. FVC is the maximal volume of air that can be forcefully exhaled from maximal inspiration while FEV\textsubscript{1} is the maximal volume of air that is exhaled in just the first second of a forced expiration maneuver.

The American Thoracic Society (ATS) and European Respiratory Society (ERS) have collaborated to publish standards for which spirometry testing worldwide should follow when collecting measurements (57). First, since spirometry is the diagnostic test for both Asthma and COPD, measurements should always be collected by a trained and certified technician. Second, the spirometry test to measure FEV\textsubscript{1} and FVC is broken down into three distinct phases: 1) Maximal inspiration, 2) a ‘blast’ of exhalation and 3) continued exhalation until the end of the test. Third, for the test to be considered acceptable, a minimum of three forced expiration maneuvers repeatable to within 0.15L of each other must be performed. Lastly, ATS and ERS specify that the National Health and Nutrition
Examination Survey (NHANES III) reference standard equations be used to provide appropriate percent predicted spirometry values based on sex, age, height and ethnicity (58). This allows measurements to be recorded on a ‘percentage predicted’ (~pred) scale from 0% to 100% (or higher) instead of interpreting raw volume measurements that vary greatly depending on age, sex, height and ethnicity.

The global initiative for chronic obstructive lung disease (GOLD) has deemed spirometry as the diagnostic tool for COPD and grading disease severity (1). According to GOLD guidelines, assessing airflow limitation in an individual should be carried out after the administration of a short-acting inhaled bronchodilator. A post-bronchodilator FEV\textsubscript{1} consistently below 70% of the FVC (FEV\textsubscript{1}/FVC < 70%) indicates that irreversible airflow limitation is present which warrants a COPD diagnosis. Once an individual’s measurements fall below the FEV\textsubscript{1}/FVC < 70% threshold, their severity of airflow limitation is graded based on FEV\textsubscript{1} percent predicted. There are 4 grades of COPD disease severity as shown in Table 1-3 and severity increases with each grade.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GOLD Grade</th>
<th>Severity</th>
<th>FEV\textsubscript{1}/FVC &lt; 70% and -</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GOLD 1</td>
<td>Mild</td>
<td>FEV\textsubscript{1} ~\textsubscript{pred} ≥ 80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOLD 2</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>50% ≤ FEV\textsubscript{1} ~\textsubscript{pred} &lt; 80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOLD 3</td>
<td>Severe</td>
<td>30% ≤ FEV\textsubscript{1} ~\textsubscript{pred} ≤ 50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOLD 4</td>
<td>Very Severe</td>
<td>FEV\textsubscript{1} ~\textsubscript{pred} &lt; 30%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GOLD: Global initiative for chronic obstructive lung disease, FEV\textsubscript{1}: Forced expiratory volume in one second, FVC: Forced vital capacity, ~\textsubscript{pred}: Percent of predicted value

1.5.2 Plethysmography

Plethysmography is used to measure lung volumes. Similar to spirometry, the ATS and ERS have specified guidelines (59) for measuring eight lung volumes as shown in Figure 1-6. The inspiratory reserve volume (IRV) is maximum volume that can be inhaled from the end of normal inspiration. The tidal volume (TV) is the volume of air an individual inhaleds or exhaleds during normal ‘tidal’ breathing. The expiratory reserve volume (ERV)
is the volume of air that can be exhaled from end-expiration of tidal breathing. The inspiratory vital capacity (IVC) is the volume of air that can be moved in and out of the lungs during full inspiration and full expiration. The residual volume (RV) is the amount of air or gas that remains in the lungs after maximal expiration. The inspiratory capacity (IC) is the maximum amount of air that can be inhaled from expiration during tidal breathing. The functional residual capacity (FRC) is the sum of RV and ERV, it is the amount of air remaining in the lungs at end expiration of tidal breathing. Finally, the total lung capacity (TLC) is the volume of air in the lungs after maximal inspiration. **Figure 1-6** shows an inspiratory – expiratory curve with all lung volumes labelled.

**Figure 1-6.** Inspiratory – expiratory curve showing lung volumes measured by plethysmography.

### 1.5.3 Diffusing Capacity of the Lung

The diffusing capacity of the lung for carbon monoxide is commonly used to evaluate pulmonary microcirculation and diffusion of gases across the alveolar – capillary membrane. There are two terms used for this measurement; the diffusing capacity for carbon monoxide ($D_{LCO}$) and the transfer factor for carbon monoxide ($T_{LCO}$). While
both are acceptable, there is no concrete consensus as to which term is the correct one, although it has been suggested, but not agreed upon, that $T_L^{CO}$ is more appropriate because the measurement does not solely reflect diffusion (60). Throughout the rest of this Thesis the $D_L^{CO}$ term will be used when referring to this measurement.

To measure diffusing capacity a trained technician coaches an individual through a maneuver involving 1) full exhalation to RV, 2) maximum inhalation to TLC, 3) a ~ 10 second breath-hold at TLC and 4) exhalation (61). During the inhalation step the test subject will inhale a gas mixture of helium (~10%), carbon monoxide (~0.3%) and room air (~85-90%). During the breath-hold step the carbon-monoxide and helium diffuse across the alveolar-capillary membrane throughout the lung. Finally, after the breath-hold the residual carbon-monoxide and helium is expired and this concentration is compared to the amount that was inhaled to estimate the amount of carbon monoxide that diffused into the pulmonary circulation. One important consideration when collecting $D_L^{CO}$ measurements is that the first bolus of air expired after the breath-hold was predominantly in the ‘anatomical dead-space’ of the large and central airways where gas exchange does not occur. Therefore, technology for measuring $D_L^{CO}$ discards this dead-space air (the volume of which is known through an equation: $2.2\text{ml} \cdot \text{kg body weight}$) and only collects the air which was in contact with the respiratory zone. In-line with the standardization of spirometry and plethysmography, the ATS and ERS have specified standards for the collection of $D_L^{CO} - T_L^{CO}$ measurements as well (61).

### 1.6 Measuring Exercise Capacity and Pulmonary Symptoms

Measuring exercise capacity with a walk test and breathlessness and pulmonary symptoms through questionnaires can be used along with pulmonary function tests to better understand the impact of pulmonary function on daily activity.

#### 1.6.1 The Six-Minute Walk Test

The six-minute walk test (6MWT) is an exercise test that is designed to measure the distance an individual can walk on a flat surface in six minutes (62). The test is supposed
to reflect the exercise capacity of activities a person would experience in their daily lives. Importantly, the results of the six-minute walk test, the six-minute walk distance (6MWD) in COPD patients have been shown to be reproducible, correlate with frequency of exacerbation episodes and mortality in COPD (63).

1.6.2 The St. George’s Respiratory Questionnaire

The St. George’s Respiratory Questionnaire (SGRQ) is used to measure the impact of respiratory disease on an individual’s overall health, daily activities and perceived well-being (64). The questionnaire has four scores to rate the different components of respiratory disease: the symptoms score, the impact score, the activity score and the total score. For each component score the values range from zero to one-hundred with higher scores being more severe. Similar to other established measures of pulmonary function, SGRQ scores in COPD patients have been shown to be reproducible (64-66) and associated with other measures of pulmonary function (67).

1.6.3 Modified Medical Research Council Dyspnea Scale

The modified Medical Research Council (mMRC) dyspnea scale measures the impact of breathlessness on an individual’s daily activity. The mMRC uses a straightforward scale of five grades ranging from 0 (no respiratory disability) to 4 (severe dyspnea with many limitations) to measure disability due to dyspnea (Table 1-4). It has been found that mMRC dyspnea scores are related to disease severity and predict all-cause mortality in COPD patients (68, 69).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>Not troubled with breathlessness except with strenuous exercise</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Shortness of breath when hurrying on the level or walking up a slight hill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Walks slower than people of the same age on the level because of breathlessness or has to stop for breath when walking at own pace on the level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Stops for breath after walking ~100m or after a few minutes on the level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Too breathless to leave the house or breathless when dressing or undressing</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1-4. Modified Medical Research Council (mMRC) Dyspnea Scale (Adapted from ‘Evaluation of Clinical Methods for Rating Dyspnea’(70))
1.7 The Role of Imaging to Study COPD

Although FEV$_1$ serves as the major endpoint for assessing the severity of airflow limitation and diagnosing COPD, it is clear that spirometry alone cannot fully characterize the heterogeneity of the disease. As previously mentioned in Section 1.2, COPD is an umbrella term encompassing large and small airways disease and emphysematous tissue destruction of the lung parenchyma, and the extent of each of these abnormalities vary from patient to patient (71). Therefore, simply measuring expiratory volumes at the mouth does not provide any regional information on lung pathophysiology nor indications on the relative contributions of airways disease and emphysema to lung function decline. In this regard, thoracic computed tomography (CT) and pulmonary magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) have emerged as robust and reliable tools for in vivo imaging of COPD. In recent years both CT and MRI have played important roles in advancing our knowledge on COPD heterogeneity and underlying pathophysiology and have simultaneously introduced the concept of radiological phenotyping to COPD to provide better stratification and targeted treatment options within COPD populations.

1.7.1 High-resolution Computed Tomography of the Lung

Conventional x-ray imaging is inherently limited to providing two-dimensional (2D) images of three-dimensional (3D) anatomical structures. CT technology overcomes this drawback by rotating the conventional x-ray tube around a patient to construct a 3D volume of the region of interest. The 3D volume created from the CT scan is made up of voxels (volume elements), each of which has a Hounsfield Unit (HU) associated with it based on the attenuation of x-rays through that region. The HU scale uses water as a reference, where water has a HU = 0, air has HU = -1000 and solid structures such as bone have HU > +1000 (72). Ultimately, CT provides 3D images of the anatomy based on density and since many tissues and organs within the body have different densities, good contrast can be achieved with conventional CT imaging.

As described in Section 1.2, one pathophysiological phenotype of COPD is emphysema. When the alveoli are destroyed, alveolar ducts and sacs coalesce and the distal airspaces
enlarge to ultimately cause a decrease in parenchymal density. Therefore, on CT images, emphysematous regions within the lung have attenuation values close to that of air (≤-950HU) and appear darker than the higher density parenchyma surrounding them. Extensive research and debate is ongoing to determine an optimal HU threshold to define emphysema on CT. Currently, the most commonly used HU threshold to identify emphysema is -950HU, whereby regions of the lung with attenuation ≤-950HU are considered to be emphysematous. This threshold has been confirmed to correspond to emphysematous lesions on pulmonary histology of macroscopic (73) and microscopic (74) emphysema. **Figure 1-7** shows emphysematous lung regions ≤-950HU on CT in a healthy volunteer and a COPD patient. It is important to note that other thresholds are also in use for the purpose of identifying mild emphysema (-910HU) and gas trapping on expiratory CT (-856HU) (75-77). Another method for quantifying emphysema on CT is focused on the HU below which fifteen percent of all x-ray attenuation values fall (PD_{15}). Using this method, the measurement of emphysema is a HU not a percent of the lung as it is with the threshold cutoff. While both the threshold and percentile methods are widely used and considered appropriate for cross-sectional studies, the 15th percentile analysis is recommended for longitudinal studies because it is less sensitive to changes in CT scanner technology that may occur over time (78). At the same time, methods for quantitatively describing the size and distribution of emphysematous clusters (regions of RA_{950}) on CT have also emerged (79). The value of measuring these low attenuation clusters (LAC) relies on the concept that emphysematous lesions tend to coalesce and form larger clusters (bullae) as emphysema progresses. The total number of emphysematous clusters (clusters of voxels ≤-950HU) is plotted against the cluster size in the log-log domain. The slopes of the plots can then be described by the power law and the value of the slope is obtained by linear regression and used to quantify the LAC. In this manner subjects with early or mild forms of emphysema have smaller and more emphysematous clusters and subsequently have a more negative LAC (higher slope) while COPD subjects who have larger emphysematous bullae and fewer total clusters have a more positive LAC (flatter slope).

Along with regionally mapping and quantifying emphysema within the lung, thoracic CT is also used to evaluate airway structure. By measuring the cross section of the airways,
measurements of the airway lumen and wall thickness can be assessed. The measurements of airway wall area percent (WA%) and lumen area (LA) were the first to be shown to significantly correlate with measures of lung function in COPD patients where the airway wall thickness increased and airway lumen area decreased as FEV$_1$ decreased (80). However this early work focused predominantly on measuring the large and central airways which do not reflect the main site of airflow obstruction in COPD. Subsequent studies have transitioned to measuring higher generation airways (81), counting the number of airways visible on CT (82) and estimating small airway dimensions (83). Figure 1-7 shows CT airway trees in a healthy volunteer and COPD patient. Visibly, the COPD subject has few and thinner airways than the healthy subject.

![Figure 1-7. Thoracic CT and segmented airway tree of a healthy volunteer and a COPD patient.](image)

Emphysema, or areas of the lung ≤-950HU on CT are shown in yellow. Compared to the healthy subject, the COPD patient has more severe emphysema and fewer airways present as measured by CT.
Lastly, an important consideration for using CT to evaluate and monitor COPD is the radiation dose associated with each scan. In COPD, longitudinal monitoring is necessary for evaluating disease progression or response to treatment or surgery. The radiation dose associated with a single conventional chest CT is estimated to be ~7 mSv which is equivalent to 2.8yr of natural background radiation (84). Therefore, if CT is the only option available for pulmonary imaging at a specific centre or within a region, it is clear that careful planning is required in order to longitudinally image a COPD patient because of the risk of radiation-induced cancers from cumulative dose of serial CT scans. One potential solution to the burden of radiation dose associated with chest CT scanning is the further development of iterative reconstruction techniques and their incorporation into clinical use instead of, or along with, filtered back projection (FBP) reconstruction approaches. Unlike FBP which is rapid and requires little computational processing, iterative approaches correct image data using a variety of models and require long computing times (85). Current iterative reconstruction techniques can produce thoracic CT images of similar or better quality (based on signal-to-noise ratio) using a lower dose than images acquired using FBP (86). The downside is that the reconstructive approach used during acquisition influences quantitative (and potentially qualitative) measurements of emphysema and airways disease. Therefore, scientists and clinicians would need to meet and overcome the challenge of standardizing reconstructive approaches across academic centres where large-scale and long-term follow-up studies are ongoing in order to compare and concatenate data.

1.7.2 Nuclear Medicine: Ventilation Scintigraphy

Single photon emission computed tomography (SPECT) is a tool that can provide information of pulmonary ventilation and perfusion through the use of radiolabeled aerosols and gases. In SPECT, gamma radiation from an injected or inhaled radiopharmaceutical is received by detectors which convert the radiation into visible light that can be used to produce an image based on its spatial and quantitative information. For pulmonary ventilation imaging using SPECT, a radiolabeled aerosol or gas inhaled at the mouth during tidal breathing is used to provide gamma energy necessary to create ventilation images. The most important consideration for ventilation imaging with
SPECT is the choice of which radiotracer to use. Within the past thirty years $^{99m}$Tc-Technegas aerosol has emerged as the most widely used radiotracer for pulmonary SPECT. Other popular radiotracers used for pulmonary SPECT include $^{99m}$Tc labelled with diethylaminetriaminepentacetic acid (DTPA), $^{133}$Xe, and $^{81m}$Kr. Unlike Technegas aerosol however, the laborious and expensive production along with their nuclear and physical properties have limited their use in ventilation studies. $^{133}$Xe has a half-life on the order of days and low gamma radiation energy which has deterred its routine use. $^{81m}$Kr on the other hand has an optimal gamma energy and short biological half-life making it suitable for ventilation imaging. However the availability of rubidium generators required to produce the metastable Kr are limited, expensive and require almost daily replacement so the production of $^{81m}$Kr for ventilation imaging is often not feasible. At the same time an important consideration acknowledged by physicists and clinicians using these techniques was the size of these radiotracers being inhaled. Particles larger than 2µm are predominantly deposited in the large and central airways whereas particles <1µm are deposited in the distal alveoli, therefore larger size radiotracers (>1µm) do not provide much information about distal lung regions when used for ventilation imaging. $^{99m}$Tc-DTPA particles are 1µm-2µm in size which has made it a popular alternative to $^{99m}$Tc-Technegas aerosol. Technegas labelled with $^{99m}$Tc is a newer solid aerosol type radiotracer with particle sizes ranging from 0.005µm-0.2µm (87). The small size combined with Compton-scattering predominant gamma energy and easy and inexpensive production have made it the radiotracer of choice for ventilation scintigraphy. Several studies have compared the common radiotracers used for ventilation scintigraphy and have consistently reported superior results with $^{99m}$Tc-Technegas (88-90). Importantly, both $^{99m}$Tc-Technegas and $^{81m}$Kr have been shown to produce similar quality ventilation images (91), however Technegas is favored due to its inexpensive and efficient production.

SPECT imaging of pulmonary ventilation has been used extensively for the assessment and diagnosis of pulmonary embolism but has not been widely exploited to evaluate and characterize COPD. Unlike CT (Section 1.7.1) techniques, SPECT pulmonary structure-function relationships and measurements in COPD are not as well established which has
limited its routine use in clinical practice and subsequently in large-scale epidemiological COPD studies.

1.7.3 Pulmonary Magnetic Resonance Imaging

Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is a tool that provides high-resolution images of the anatomy based on the magnetic properties of the protons in a tissue. Unlike CT, MRI does not require the use of ionizing radiation from x-ray beams or any other forms of contrast making it an attractive option for pulmonary imaging at first glance. However, the physical properties of the lungs are very different from other tissues in the body and pose unique challenges to generate clinically useful pulmonary images using conventional MRI methods.

When a sample containing protons is placed inside an MRI machine, the nuclear magnetic moment of the protons within the sample or tissue align with the magnetic field. To collect the signal necessary to generate an image a radiofrequency pulse (RF) is applied which tilts the protons nuclear magnetic moments away from its axis in the magnet. After this, the proton magnetization returns to its equilibrium state through longitudinal ($T_1$) and transverse ($T_2$) components of relaxation. Relaxation causes a changing magnetic field which induces a voltage in a receive coil (Faraday’s Law of induction) that is spatially encoded to produce an MR image. The signal intensity in an MR image is proportional to the proton density of the sample being imaged (92).

The lungs have a tissue density that is approximately ten times lower than other tissues in the body, meaning they also have ten times lower proton density per gram of tissue compared to other tissues as well (93). Therefore, assuming perfect imaging conditions, the achievable MRI signal of the lungs is still ten-fold weaker than other tissues. Secondly, the lungs are almost entirely made up of air – tissue boundaries. Oxygen in room air is paramagnetic and in tissue it is diamagnetic and therefore there is a net magnetic susceptibility difference at each and every air – tissue boundary. This magnetic susceptibility difference spread over millions of air – tissue boundaries produces a highly inhomogeneous local magnetic field in the lungs which causes extremely fast relaxation of the protons after the initial RF pulse and associated with this, rapid signal decay. With
the combination of a low proton density and highly inhomogeneous local field within the lungs, conventional MRI methods such as those applied clinically to tissues like the brain or liver do not produce clinically relevant images of the lung parenchyma or airways. Until recently, this has limited the application of MRI to evaluate COPD predominantly to perfusion and hemodynamic studies using contrast-enhanced techniques (94). Figure 1-8 shows conventional MR images in a healthy individual and a COPD patient. It is visibly obvious that the proton MR image does not provide a wealth of information on pulmonary parenchymal structure or function. However, an emerging series of MRI pulse sequences, called ‘ultra-short echo time’ (UTE) pulse sequences have been developed (95) and optimized to capture the rapidly decaying MR signal in the lung before it is lost. These UTE sequences are different from conventional proton MRI sequences in that they combine a fast RF pulse and rapid data acquisition steps to measure relaxation before it is completely lost. Recent research with UTE sequences suggests that UTE pulmonary MRI is capable of producing images of similar quality to thoracic CT (96).

Pulmonary MRI with hyperpolarized noble gases ($^3$He and $^{129}$Xe) is another method of providing high-resolution images of the lungs that has gained traction in research settings over the past few decades. This MRI method is based on previous nuclear physics research (97) that recognized the potential for capturing MR signal from polarized $^3$He and $^{129}$Xe in the gaseous phase. This previous work showed that through a technique called ‘spin-exchange optical pumping’, collisions between $^3$He or $^{129}$Xe with gaseous rubidium could transfer angular momentum to the noble gas nuclei amplifying the achievable MR signal with these gases. In the early stages this work focused on using $^{129}$Xe but became more common in $^3$He due its ease in MR imaging compared to $^{129}$Xe (98). Currently however this area of MRI research is transitioning back to $^{129}$Xe owing to its lower cost and higher natural abundance over $^3$He. Once the noble gas of choice is hyperpolarized, to collect images of the lungs an individual must inhale the gas and perform a short breath-hold while an MRI sequence collects the data. Several methods exist for doing this but the most commonly used method is to coach the patient to breathe the gas in from a bag (99). After a subject inhales the noble gas from the bag, there is an option of collecting ‘static-ventilation’ images or diffusion-weighted MR images, both of
which provide distinct measurements on lung pathophysiology. Figure 1-8 shows $^3$He (cyan) and $^{129}$Xe (purple) static-ventilation MR images in a healthy volunteer and a COPD patient. Compared to the healthy subject, the COPD patient exhibits markedly greater ventilation heterogeneity on both $^3$He and $^{129}$Xe images.

**Figure 1–8.** $^1$H, $^3$He and $^{129}$Xe MRI of a healthy and COPD patient. Reproduced with permission from ‘Imaging Evidence of the Relationship Between Atherosclerosis and Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease’ *Imaging in Medicine; DOI: 10.2217/iim.13.70, 2013* (47).
Static-ventilation hyperpolarized noble gas images show which regions of the lung participate in ventilation. Several studies have confirmed that static-ventilation MR images show that healthy non-smoking subjects without airflow limitation exhibit homogeneous ventilation signal throughout their lungs (shown in Figure 1-8 and 1-9) (100, 101). In contrast, in subjects with COPD, it has been observed (101-104) that ventilation signal is heterogeneous with many regions within the lung not receiving any ventilation at all. Importantly, these regions of signal void or ‘ventilation defects’ have also been shown to be reproducible over time (105). Ventilation defects have become central to image analysis techniques for quantifying disease on noble gas MR images since they are speculated to represent regions of the lung with underlying disease. Initially, visual grading of ventilated and unventilated regions was used to evaluate disease severity from noble gas MR images (101), but more recently, methods that normalize the volume of ventilation defects to the total thoracic cavity volume to calculate a ventilation defect percent (VDP) have become more common (106).

Diffusion-weighted MRI is a tool that provides information on the movement of nuclei in a sample. With regards to hyperpolarized noble gas MRI of the lung, diffusion-weighted methods have been harnessed to provide details on alveolar microstructure (107). After the gas is inhaled, diffusion-weighted MRI pulse sequences can be applied to sensitize the data acquisition to the diffusion of gas within the alveoli. Over a pre-specified time-interval, the gas nuclei move within the airspaces, bouncing off the alveolar walls. Diffusion-weighted sequences provide information pertaining to the distance the nuclei travel per unit time within the airspaces. Ultimately, this type of imaging is used to calculate the apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) that reflects the amount of restriction of the gas nuclei in the distal lung airspaces. These are valuable measurements for assessing structural changes to the lungs in COPD because emphysematous destruction is characterized by airspace enlargement. Previous work (107-110) has established that noble gas MRI ADC measurements are correlated with lung function in COPD and importantly, the ADC measures of microstructure seem to be more sensitive to early- or pre-clinical changes to lung structure than conventional pulmonary function testing and CT (111).
Figure 1-9 shows $^3$He static-ventilation and diffusion-weighted MR images in a healthy subject and four COPD patients with increasing grades of severity. In the healthy subject, the ventilation pattern is homogeneous throughout the lung with the lung periphery and contour of the diaphragm clearly visualized by the $^3$He gas. Similarly, in the healthy subject the ADC map shows low ADC throughout the lung. In contrast, in the COPD subjects the ventilation signal becomes increasingly heterogeneous with more and larger ventilation defects visible in more severe grades of disease. Concomitant with the increasing ventilation heterogeneity, ADC maps get brighter (worse) indicative of worse microstructural emphysema with increasing disease severity.
Figure 1-9. Static-ventilation (SV) and apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) $^3$He MR images in a healthy volunteer and four COPD patients with increasing severity of airflow limitation.

1.8 COPD Phenotypes

It is now known that the relative contributions of airways disease and emphysema on airflow limitation vary greatly from patient to patient (71). With this emerging knowledge, phenotyping COPD patients based on underlying pathophysiology has become an attractive concept as it would allow scientists and clinicians to stratify patients into distinct groups to study clinical features and form targeted treatment plans. At the same time, advances in quantitative pulmonary imaging with CT and MRI have become the methods of choice for identifying distinct COPD phenotypes. Since imaging is well suited to measure airway dimensions and quantify the severity of emphysema, imaging studies have focused on three phenotypes in particular; an emphysema – predominant phenotype, an airways disease – predominant phenotype and a mixed airways disease – emphysema phenotype. Whether or not an individual phenotype predicts worse pulmonary function or outcomes is an area of active research but studies have shown distinct clinical features among different phenotypes (112). For example, it has been shown that patients with predominant emphysema have worse exercise capacity, tend to have more exacerbations and have more rapid disease progression than patients with airways disease (113, 114). While it has also been found that subjects with predominant airways disease exhibit worse overall symptoms including coughing and wheezing (114). However, a combination of both airways disease and emphysema appears to be associated with the highest risk of exacerbations and debilitating respiratory symptoms (113). Interestingly the development of a predominant phenotype does not appear to be related to smoking history, whereby patients who have the same number of pack years may develop either emphysema or airways disease or a mix of the two. Given this, it seems likely that there is an important genetic component which determines the phenotype individuals will develop during the course of the disease and several studies have provided evidence of this (115, 116).

Along with whole-lung phenotyping methods, ‘regional’ emphysema phenotyping studies have also emerged (117-120). These studies are motivated by the fact that patients with the same amount of emphysema show high heterogeneity in clinical features. Unfortunately, phenotyping patients based on the spatial location of emphysema has
yielded inconsistent results and the clinical relevance of emphysema distribution is still not entirely clear.

Given that smoking history is not associated with the development of a particular COPD phenotype over another, it seems plausible that the different pathophysiological and biochemical construction of the lungs between males and females might be partly responsible for phenotype heterogeneity among cohorts. Currently, more females than males have COPD. It is not known for sure what has caused the drastic increase in COPD diagnoses among females over the past few decades, but one hypothesis attributes the rising incidence of COPD among females to their steadily increasing use of cigarette smoking since World War II (121). In line with this theory, biochemical and epidemiological studies suggest that female lungs are more susceptible to the toxic effects of cigarette smoke than males (122). With regards to COPD phenotypes, it has been found that females predominantly suffer from airways disease while males have more emphysema (123, 124). A better understanding of the connection between COPD phenotype and sex might serve as a valuable endpoint to treat COPD, and sophisticated imaging techniques may provide valuable information on this relationship in the future (123, 125, 126).

The two major goals of COPD therapy are 1) to alleviate respiratory symptoms and 2) to prevent or avoid exacerbation episodes. Phenotyping COPD patients has the potential to do both of these things. However, many questions remain unanswered with regards to the origins of COPD phenotypes in pre- or sub-clinical disease. It seems likely that if we could develop a better understanding of the origins and progression of lung disease phenotypes as they occur in pre- or subclinical stages, we would be able to create patient-specific treatment plans to better satisfy the two major goals of COPD therapy.

1.9 Thesis Hypothesis and Objectives

The prevalence and underdiagnosis of COPD and shortcomings of COPD treatments may be attributable to the paucity of information that exists on early- or subclinical structural
and functional changes to the lungs of ex- or current-smokers. This may be partly due to the fact that imaging studies have focused on cohorts with diagnosed and established COPD to provide a better understanding of pathophysiology in disease and less on susceptible individuals without airflow limitation (127). Furthermore, most histological investigations on the underlying origins of disease and disease progression have also been biased to post-mortem or post-surgical specimens of excised lung tissue from localized regions of the lung (13, 128, 129). Therefore, there is little information available regarding susceptible groups of ex-smokers without evidence of airflow limitation. This would help to provide a better understanding on pre- or subclinical COPD pathophysiology and perhaps strategies on how to treat it. Pulmonary imaging with hyperpolarized $^3$He MRI and CT can provide high-resolution images and quantitative measurements of pulmonary ventilation, alveolar microstructure and macroscopic emphysema. Therefore, the overarching goal of this thesis was to exploit sensitive and direct pulmonary imaging measurements in ex-smokers without airflow limitation to better characterize and phenotype early- or subclinical forms of COPD.

As described in Section 1.3, there is strong evidence to support underlying mechanistic links between COPD and cardiovascular disease. Studies investigating the relationship between comorbid vascular and lung disease have predominantly focused on either cardiovascular disease or COPD patients who have a long-standing diagnosis of either condition. Therefore, while it has been established that a relationship between lung function decline and cardiovascular disease exists, little is known about the pre- or subclinical origins of this comorbid relationship. Furthermore, few studies have exploited pulmonary structural and functional imaging with MRI and CT to characterize whether a particular COPD phenotype is associated with cardiovascular disease complications. Hence, the aim of Chapter 2 was to evaluate $^3$He MRI, CT and carotid ultrasound data from ex- and never-smokers without airflow limitation or diagnosis of cardiovascular disease to provide a better understanding of the pathophysiological link between subclinical COPD and vascular disease. We hypothesized that ex- and never-smokers without airflow limitation would show pulmonary imaging evidence of airways disease that would be related to subclinical atherosclerosis independently of smoking history.
Findings in **Chapter 2** showed that ex-smokers without spirometry evidence of airflow limitation showed evidence of pre- or subclinical lung disease on $^3$He MR images. In that study, ex-smokers without airflow limitation had significantly higher $^3$He VDP than never-smokers but were not different with regards to pulmonary function test measurements. This suggested that ex-smokers without airflow limitation have pre- or subclinical airways disease and/or emphysema that is apparent on $^3$He MRI but not with spirometry or other breathing tests. In **Chapter 3** our objective was to improve our understanding of the underlying lung disease phenotype that cause $^3$He ventilation defects in ex-smokers without airflow limitation by using regional $^3$He MRI ventilation and diffusion-weighted measurements and regional CT airway and emphysema measurements. Owing to research that suggested airway obstruction and obliteration is the dominant COPD phenotype in mild disease, we hypothesized that $^3$He ventilation defects in ex-smokers without airflow limitation would be spatially and quantitatively related to airway remodeling but not emphysema.

The study in **Chapter 3** showed that hyperpolarized $^3$He ventilation heterogeneity is present in ex-smokers without airflow limitation and the underlying etiology of ventilation defects in such subjects could be phenotyped using regional MRI and CT measurements. We therefore wanted to use regional MRI and CT measurements to phenotype ex-smokers with a COPD diagnosis. It is widely known that ex-smokers with COPD exhibit ventilation defects and emphysema throughout the lung, however the clinical relevance of the spatial location of ventilation heterogeneity and emphysema within the lung is not completely understood. Our objective in **Chapter 4** was to phenotype ex-smokers with COPD based on the apical-to-basal distribution of ventilation abnormalities and emphysema to better understand how regional phenotypes change as COPD progresses. Data presented in **Chapter 4** show that with increasing disease severity there is a basal-to-apical progression of ventilation abnormalities and an apical-to-basal progression of emphysema, suggestive of distinct apical-basal lung COPD phenotypes.
Lastly, Chapter 5 will provide an overview and summary of the findings and conclusions from Chapters 2-4, address study specific and general limitations of the imaging studies presented in this thesis and provide motivation for future imaging studies in COPD.
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CHAPTER 2

To provide a better understanding of the mechanistic links between pre- or sub-clinical atherosclerosis and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease we acquired three-dimensional carotid ultrasound and pulmonary hyperpolarized $^3$He magnetic resonance imaging measurements in ex- and never-smokers without clinical evidence of vascular or pulmonary disease.

The contents of this chapter have been previously published in COPD: Journal of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (JCOPD) and permission to reproduce the article was granted by Taylor and Francis Publishing Group on behalf of JCOPD and is provided in Appendix D.


2 Pulmonary Abnormalities and Carotid Atherosclerosis in Ex-Smokers Without Airflow Limitation

2.1 Introduction

Cardiovascular disease is the single largest cause of hospitalization in patients with mild and moderate chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), and after lung cancer, the leading cause of death (1, 2). In addition, in COPD patients, there is a dose-response relationship for pulmonary structure-function abnormalities with carotid atherosclerosis (3-8), coronary artery calcification (9-12) and vascular dysfunction (13-16). While these studies have shown the presence of cardiovascular disease in patients with COPD that cannot be explained by smoking history alone (3, 4, 6-8, 17), the mechanisms by which cardiovascular disease may be accelerated in COPD are not clear, nor is our understanding of these relationships in early or milder subclinical stages.

While the diagnosis and monitoring of COPD is mainly based on spirometry measurements of airflow obstruction (18, 19), such measurements cannot fully characterize the pathophysiologica changes that occur in obstructive lung disease (20). These include regional small-airways disease and microstructural changes in the terminal
bronchi and parenchyma. In this regard, regional imaging measurements provided by hyperpolarized $^3$He magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) are highly sensitive to pulmonary abnormalities in asymptomatic ex-smokers (21, 22) and never-smokers with second-hand smoke exposure (23). Similarly, airway morphology and parenchyma density x-ray computed tomography (CT) measurements from the ECLIPSE (24) and COPDGene (25) studies showed the utility of CT phenotypes (26) for stratifying COPD patients (27-29).

Cardiovascular disease, predominated by large vessel atherosclerosis, is associated with obstructive lung disease (30, 31) that can be regionally and quantitatively evaluated using carotid ultrasound (US). The burden of atherosclerosis can be quantified (32) using carotid intima-media thickness (IMT) measurements and this is believed to reflect medial hypertrophy and intima abnormalities, and importantly, IMT correlates with cardiovascular outcomes (32, 33). In a similar manner, three-dimensional ultrasound (3DUS) carotid atherosclerosis measurements (34) of carotid wall and plaque abnormalities are sensitive predictors of cardiovascular risk (35-37) and in some patients, these provide a better estimate of plaque burden and risk (37).

There is a paucity of direct evidence relating subclinical lung disease and atherosclerosis in otherwise healthy ex-smokers. To provide a better understanding of the relationship between carotid atherosclerosis and pulmonary abnormalities common to ex-smokers with obstructive lung disease, our objective was to acquire highly sensitive pulmonary and carotid 3D imaging measurements in never- and ex-smokers with normal pulmonary function. We hypothesized that quantitative 3D imaging phenotypes would provide a way to tease out potential relationships for early or mild sub-clinical emphysema or airways disease with atherosclerosis in subjects at risk.

2.2 Materials and Methods

2.2.1 Study subjects

Ex-smokers (≥10 pack-year smoking history) without symptoms or a physician-diagnosis of COPD and normal spirometry (FEV$_1$/FVC ≥ 70%) as well as never-smokers (<1 pack-year smoking history) with no history of chronic respiratory or significant or uncontrolled
cardiovascular disease between 50-90 years of age were recruited. These subjects enrolled in response to advertisements placed within the community and at local healthy aging exercise and atherosclerosis prevention clinics. All subjects provided written informed consent to a protocol approved by a local research ethics board and Health Canada.

2.2.2 Spirometry and plethysmography

Spirometry, plethysmography and diffusing capacity of carbon monoxide (DL_{CO}) measurements were performed according to the American Thoracic Society guidelines (19). An ndd EasyOne spirometer (ndd Medizintchnik AG, Zurich, Switzerland) was used to measure FEV_1 and FVC. Whole body plethysmography was performed for lung volumes and DL_{CO} measurements were recorded using a gas analyzer (MedGraphics Corporation, St. Paul, Minnesota, USA).

2.2.3 Imaging

High-resolution B-mode ultrasound (US) images were acquired (ATL HDI 5000; Philips, Bothel, Washington, USA) as previously described (38) using a 50 mm L12-5 MHz transducer (frequency=8.5 MHz, Philips). Gain, focal points and time-depth compensation were optimized by the sonographer taking into consideration neck size, carotid anatomy and tissue depth. Two dimensional images were reconstructed into a 3D volume as previously described (39, 40). MRI was performed on a whole body 3.0 Tesla Discovery 750MR (General Electric Health Care, Milwaukee, Wisconsin, USA) MRI system. $^1$H and $^3$He MRI were performed as previously described (41) with the subject in an inspiration breath-hold (FRC+1L). For ex-smokers only, computed tomography (CT) was acquired within 10 minutes of MRI and at the same lung volume (FRC+1L) to ensure similar parenchymal distension in a method previously described (41).

2.2.4 Image analysis

Carotid IMT was measured from the longitudinal plane of the 3DUS volume using Prowin 24.0 software (Medical Technologies International Inc., Palm Desert, California,
USA) as previously described (42). Carotid total plaque volume (TPV) and vessel wall volume (VWV) were measured as previously described (43).

\(^3\)He MRI ventilation defect percent (VDP) and apparent diffusion coefficients (ADC) were measured using semi-automated segmentation generated using custom-built software in MATLAB R2007b (The Math-works Inc., Natick, Massachusetts, USA) as previously described (44, 45). CT volumes were analyzed using Pulmonary Workstation 2.0 (PW2, VIDA Diagnostics Inc., Coralville, Iowa, USA). Pulmonary CT images were analyzed for airway dimensions including wall area percent (WA%), lumen area (LA) and standardized wall thickness of airways with an inner perimeter of 10 mm (Pi10) (46). In addition, the relative area of the lung with CT attenuation values less than -950HU (RA\(_{950}\)) and total airway count were also measured using PW2.

2.2.5 Statistics

Normality of data was tested using the Shapiro-Wilk test and when significant, the Mann-Whitney U test for nonparametric data was performed using SPSS Statistics V20.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, Illinois, USA). Unpaired two tailed t-test comparisons were performed using GraphPad Prism V4.0 (GraphPad Software Inc., California, USA) and Welch’s correction used when the F test for equal variances was significant. The Holm-Bonferroni correction (47) was performed for multiple unpaired t-test comparisons. Multiple regression analyses were performed in SPSS to determine the relationship between carotid US measurements with \(^3\)He MRI VDP and pulmonary function parameters. Partial correlations were computed using SPSS. Multiple regression and correlation models were adjusted for age, BMI and DL\(_{CO}\) since these parameters are established risk factors for cardiovascular and pulmonary diseases (8, 48). Results were considered significant when the probability of two-tailed type I error was less than 5% (p<0.05) and summary data are presented as mean ± SD unless otherwise indicated.
2.3 Results

As shown in Table 2-1, 61 subjects including 27 ex-smokers (73±9yr, 18 male) and 34 never-smokers (72±6yr, 18 male) were evaluated. Except for BMI, (p=0.001) there were no significant differences between subject groups for demographic characteristics.
Table 2-1. Demographic, pulmonary function, thoracic CT and carotid ultrasound data for all study subjects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data Description</th>
<th>Ex-smokers n=27</th>
<th>Never-smokers n=34</th>
<th>Significance of Difference p*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age yrs (±SD)</td>
<td>73 (9)</td>
<td>72 (6)</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male n</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI kg·m⁻² (±SD)</td>
<td>30 (3)</td>
<td>27 (3)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pack years (±SD)</td>
<td>27 (18)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Years quit (±SD)</td>
<td>26 (6)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV₁ %pred (±SD)</td>
<td>106 (16)</td>
<td>106 (18)</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FVC %pred (±SD)</td>
<td>97 (13)</td>
<td>101 (17)</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV₁/FVC (±SD)</td>
<td>81 (7)</td>
<td>77 (5)</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLC %pred (±SD)</td>
<td>102 (14)</td>
<td>106 (15)</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IC %pred (±SD)</td>
<td>107 (14)</td>
<td>107 (22)</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RV %pred (±SD)</td>
<td>110 (26)</td>
<td>109 (29)</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RV/TLC (±SD)</td>
<td>42 (7)</td>
<td>39 (13)</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raw%pred (±SD)</td>
<td>115 (72)</td>
<td>76 (34)</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DLCO %pred (±SD)</td>
<td>83 (17)</td>
<td>87 (20)</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADC cm²/s (±SD)</td>
<td>0.29 (0.04)¹</td>
<td>0.26 (0.03)²</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VDP % (±SD)</td>
<td>7 (3)</td>
<td>3 (2)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMT mm (±SD)</td>
<td>0.84 (0.10)</td>
<td>0.77 (0.09)</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPV mm³ (±SD)</td>
<td>250 (200)</td>
<td>60 (90)</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VWV mm³ (±SD)</td>
<td>910 (190)³</td>
<td>890 (170)⁴</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5th gen. WA % (±SD)</td>
<td>61 (2)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5th gen. LA mm² (±SD)</td>
<td>17 (11)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pi10 mm (±SD)</td>
<td>4.1 (0.17)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RA₉₅₀ % (±SD)</td>
<td>1.5 (1.4)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Airway Count n (±SD)</td>
<td>127 (35)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


*Data are Holm-Bonferroni corrected p-values for unpaired t-test comparisons

¹n=26, ²n=29, ³n=22, ⁴n=30
Figure 2-1. Representative $^{3}$He MRI and 3DUS images for two never-smokers (S1 and S2) and two ex-smokers (S3 and S4). Never-smoker S1 is a 67-year old female with FEV$_1$=120%, FEV$_1$/FVC=0.77, $^{3}$He MRI VDP=3%, TPV=20mm$^3$ and IMT=0.79mm. Never-smoker S2 is a 68-year old male with FEV$_1$=93%, FEV$_1$/FVC=0.79, $^{3}$He MRI VDP=2%, TPV=30mm$^3$ and IMT=0.73mm. Ex-smoker S3 is a 79-year old female with FEV$_1$=88%, FEV$_1$/FVC=0.71, VDP=8%, TPV=500mm$^3$ and IMT=0.94mm. Ex-smoker S4 is a 85-year old male with FEV$_1$=139%, FEV$_1$/FVC=0.79, VDP=8%, TPV=340mm$^3$ and IMT=0.96mm. The axial 3DUS image of the common carotid artery shows the intima-lumen boundary in green and carotid plaque-lumen boundary in yellow. The longitudinal 3DUS carotid image shows IMT segmentation of the common carotid artery.
**Figure 2-1** shows $^3$He MRI centre coronal slices and 3DUS axial and longitudinal images for representative ex- and never-smokers. $^3$He MRI ventilation images show homogeneous ventilation in never-smokers, whereas there is heterogeneous signal intensity with visually obvious ventilation defects in ex-smokers. 3DUS axial images show carotid plaque that is qualitatively greater in the two ex-smokers.

Quantitative results are provided in **Figure 2-2** and **Table 2-1** that show that the ex-smokers subgroup had significantly greater TPV, $(250\pm200\text{mm}^3, p=0.002)$ and $^3$He MRI VDP, $(7\pm3\%, p=0.001)$ than never-smokers. No significant differences were observed for VWV $(p=1.0)$, IMT $(p=0.11)$, ADC $(p=0.20)$ or FEV$_1$ $(p=0.89)$. For ex-smokers, CT mean RA$_{950}$ $(1.5\pm1.4\%)$, airway wall thickness at an internal perimeter of 10mm (Pi10, $4.1\pm0.17\text{mm}$), WA% $(61\pm2\%)$, and LA $(17\pm11\text{mm}^2)$ were within normal range as previously published (49, 50).

---

**Figure 2-2.** Imaging phenotypes in asymptomatic ex-smokers and never-smokers. Ex-smokers have significantly greater: A) TPV $(p=0.002)$ and D) VDP $(p=0.001)$ than never-smokers. No significant differences were observed for: B) IMT $(p=0.11)$, C) VWV $(p=1.0)$, E) ADC $(p=0.20)$. Holm-Bonferroni corrected $p$-values are shown.
Using previously established age-normalized values for IMT (51), 28 subjects (15 ex-smokers and 13 never-smokers, 28/61=46%) exceeded the upper limit of normal for IMT and 33 subjects (12 ex-smokers and 21 never-smokers, 33/61=54%) had normal IMT. As shown in Figure 2-3, subjects with abnormally elevated IMT had significantly greater VDP (p=0.04) than subjects with normal IMT, but the two subgroups were not significantly different with respect to ADC (p=0.06), FEV₁%pred (p=1.0) or DLCO%pred (p=0.85). CT measurements for the 15 ex-smokers with abnormal IMT were not significantly different than ex-smokers with normal IMT for RA₉₅₀ (p=0.96), WA% (p=0.66) or LA (p=0.63).

**Figure 2-3.** Never- and ex-smokers with IMT ≥ age-related upper limit of normal (IMT >97.5% confidence interval (CI)) and subjects with IMT < age-related normal limit (IMT ≤97.5 CI). A) Subjects with abnormally elevated IMT have statistically significantly different ³He MRI VDP (p=0.04) than subjects with normal IMT. No significant differences were observed for: B) ADC (p=0.06), C) FEV₁ (p=1.0) or D) DLCO (p=0.85). Comparisons displayed are Holm-Bonferroni corrected p-values.
As shown in Figure 2-4, univariate Pearson correlations between $^{3}$He MRI VDP and carotid ultrasound measurements revealed a moderate significant relationship for VDP with carotid IMT ($r=0.42$, $p=0.004$), TPV ($r=0.41$, $p=0.006$) and VWV ($r=0.40$, $p=0.007$). Multivariate regression models for IMT, VWV and TPV are provided in Table 2-2. VDP was a significant determinant of IMT ($\beta=0.41$, $p=0.001$), VWV ($\beta=0.45$, $p=0.003$) and TPV ($\beta=0.38$, $p=0.005$). For the ex-smokers alone, CT-derived measurements including RA$_{950}$ and airway count, WA%, LA and Pi10 did not correlate with carotid US measurements of IMT, TPV and VWV.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>IMT</th>
<th></th>
<th>VWV</th>
<th></th>
<th>TPV</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>$0.005$</td>
<td>$0.02$</td>
<td>$-0.08$</td>
<td>$0.63$</td>
<td>$0.18$</td>
<td>$0.20$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>$0.20$</td>
<td>$0.11$</td>
<td>$-0.11$</td>
<td>$0.44$</td>
<td>$0.09$</td>
<td>$0.47$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV$_1$</td>
<td>$-0.03$</td>
<td>$0.81$</td>
<td>$0.21$</td>
<td>$0.18$</td>
<td>$0.10$</td>
<td>$0.48$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DL$_{CO}$</td>
<td>$0.15$</td>
<td>$0.22$</td>
<td>$-0.08$</td>
<td>$0.59$</td>
<td>$-0.10$</td>
<td>$0.46$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VDP</td>
<td>$0.41$</td>
<td>$0.001$</td>
<td>$0.45$</td>
<td>$0.003$</td>
<td>$0.38$</td>
<td>$0.005$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Dependent Variables: IMT, $r^2=0.27$, $p=0.001$; VWV, $r^2=0.21$, $p=0.08$; TPV, $r^2=0.16$, $p=0.02$
Figure 2-4. Relationships for carotid atherosclerosis and pulmonary VDP. Significant relationships between $^3$He MRI VDP and: A) carotid IMT ($r=0.42$, $p=0.004$), B) VWV ($r=0.40$, $p=0.007$) and C) TPV ($r=0.41$, $p=0.006$).
2.4 Discussion

We tested the hypothesis that atherosclerosis and pulmonary structure-function measurements were significantly different in ex-smokers without airflow limitation or symptoms consistent with COPD than in never-smokers. We observed: 1) ex-smokers had significantly greater carotid TPV and worse $^3$He VDP than never-smokers, but were not significantly different with respect to pulmonary function test measurements, 2) 28 subjects including 15 ex- and 13 never-smokers with abnormally elevated IMT had significantly worse VDP but ADC, FEV$_1$, DL$_{CO}$ and CT measurements were not different compared to subjects with normal IMT, 3) $^3$He VDP was significantly related to carotid atherosclerosis measurements (IMT, VWV and TPV) but pulmonary function tests were not, and 4), multivariate regression models showed that VDP, a measurement of small airway function, was the only significant determinant of carotid artery IMT, VWV and TPV.

In these older subjects without COPD, we observed, as expected, that spirometry and plethysmography measurements were not different in ex- and never-smokers, but importantly $^3$He VDP was significantly worse for ex-smokers. This finding is concordant with previous findings in asymptomatic ex-smokers that showed significant differences in $^3$He MRI ventilation defects compared to never-smokers (22). Although we did not observe differences between subgroups for $^3$He MRI ADC, abnormally elevated $^3$He ADC has been previously reported in asymptomatic smokers (21) and never-smokers with second-hand smoke exposure (23). Our finding of abnormal $^3$He ventilation in the absence of abnormal DL$_{CO}$, ADC or CT RA$_{950}$ in ex-smokers is in agreement with the notion that “silent” airway disease (20) and small airway remodeling (52) may be the source of ventilation defects in these subjects. We think that the significant differences observed for VDP in the absence of differences in DL$_{CO}$, ADC or RA$_{950}$ suggests mild, sub-clinical airway abnormalities, although we must point out that a definitive structure-function etiology for $^3$He ventilation defects (46, 53, 54) is yet to be determined. It is also worth noting that for the healthy ex-smokers evaluated here, there was no CT evidence of emphysema or airways disease and such values were in agreement with those reported in healthy non-smokers in the COPDGene study (49, 50). This finding
underscores the sensitivity of $^3$He MRI ventilation measurements for detecting functional abnormalities that may not be apparent using CT or spirometry.

Previous work in COPD patients (3, 4, 6, 7, 17, 55, 56) reported a relationship for IMT with FEV$_1$ and emphysema measurements, but this was not observed here in ex-smokers without COPD. We were likely underpowered to detect differences in IMT between subgroups as these previous studies investigating IMT in COPD and healthy older subjects used sample sizes ranging from 305 to 14,480 subjects. On the other hand, significantly elevated 3DUS TPV in ex-smoker subgroup was consistent with previous work (35, 36) that showed smaller sample sizes can be used when employing 3D measurements of plaque as compared to IMT. Although no difference was observed for IMT between ex- and never-smokers, when age-normalized IMT values were used to stratify subjects (51), subjects with abnormal IMT had significantly worse VDP. This finding suggests that in both never- and ex-smokers with elevated IMT, there is evidence of mild, subclinical airways disease that may be related to factors other than cigarette smoking.

We also observed significant univariate relationships for $^3$He VDP with carotid artery IMT, TPV and VWV, consistent with previous studies that showed relationships between spirometry measurements and carotid IMT (3, 4, 6, 17, 55, 56), although this is the first report of such relationships in subjects with normal pulmonary function. Similarly, multivariate regression models that controlled for cardiovascular and pulmonary disease risk factors showed that VDP was the only significant determinant of carotid artery IMT, VWV and TPV. It is also important to note that carotid TPV and VWV provide measurements of intima echogenic (TPV and VWV) and echolucent (VWV) plaque (38, 57, 58). Hence, these relationships between VDP and IMT, VWV and TPV suggest that in this relatively small group of never- and ex-smokers, mild, subclinical airways disease may be related to carotid plaque burden and wall thickening. While it was recently shown that COPD patients may have atherosclerotic plaque characteristics that make them more vulnerable to rupture (6), we did not evaluate carotid plaque composition here. The significant carotid plaque burden quantified in some of the ex-smokers investigated here may be amenable to more complex image methods (59) to develop a better understanding.
of plaque composition/texture and outcomes. We acknowledge that the main limitation of this study was the relatively small sample size of never- and ex-smokers, and that this may have limited our power to detect any potential differences in IMT and FEV$_1$ between subgroups. Certainly, one of the strengths of 3D imaging is that significantly different measurements can be detected in small groups of subjects, because of the high dynamic range and sensitivity of 3D measurements to structure-function abnormalities. This is an important consideration when powering studies to detect differences in small groups of subjects. Finally, we cannot infer the temporal or causal nature of carotid and pulmonary abnormalities in this cross-sectional evaluation. Questions related to “which came first” still need to be answered in longitudinal natural disease and intervention studies (60).

In conclusion, we acquired sensitive 3D imaging measurements of atherosclerosis and pulmonary structure-function in order to illuminate potential relationships between early or mild sub-clinical pulmonary disease with atherosclerosis in otherwise healthy ex-smokers. Although a number of studies have provided evidence that pulmonary and carotid abnormalities are both present and related in COPD patients, here, this important relationship was shown in never- and ex-smokers smokers with normal pulmonary function. Whilst the clinical relevance of these observations is not yet clear, these data suggest that lung abnormalities and carotid atherosclerosis in never- and ex-smokers without airflow limitation may be directly related. As our knowledge of comorbid lung and vascular disease increases, such findings may potentially have implications for patient management decision strategies in preclinical stages.
2.5 References


CHAPTER 3

The study in Chapter 2 showed how hyperpolarized $^3$He MRI reveals clinically relevant ventilation defects in the lungs of ex-smokers without airflow limitation. This suggests that ex-smokers without spirometry evidence of COPD may suffer from a form of pre- or sub-clinical obstructive lung disease attributable to airway and/or parenchymal abnormalities which are not detected using conventional spirometry or plethysmography. Here, we aimed to provide a better understanding of the underlying pathophysiology of ventilation defects in ex-smokers without airflow limitation by collecting regional $^3$He ventilation and diffusion-weighted imaging data, as well as regional thoracic computed tomography airway and emphysema measurements in ex-smokers without airflow limitation.

The contents of this chapter have been previously published in Academic Radiology and permission to reproduce the article was granted by Elsevier Publishing and is provided in Appendix D.


3 Ventilation Heterogeneity in Ex-smokers without Airflow Limitation

3.1 Introduction

Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) is characterized by irreversible airflow limitation caused by small airway remodeling, airway obliteration (1) and emphysematous tissue destruction (2). COPD is typically diagnosed after respiratory symptoms become obvious and intolerable (3), and this usually occurs when spirometry measurements of lung function reflect airflow limitation and obstruction. However it is well-understood that ex- and current-smokers may report normal lung function (3) and mild symptoms and this may represent an early or ‘subclinical’ phase. A deep understanding of the underlying morphological changes that accompany this ‘subclinical’ phase is lacking, mainly because methods for evaluating pulmonary function cannot detect very mild or early structure-function abnormalities.

Hyperpolarized $^3$He magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) ventilation heterogeneity has been shown in healthy older subjects (4) and patients with COPD (5) and asthma (6). To
evaluate the underlying anatomical and structural determinants of ventilation heterogeneity, thoracic x-ray computed tomography (CT) has been used to help determine the spatial relationship of airways disease and emphysema with ventilation abnormalities. For example, recent work (7) provided evidence that in COPD, $^3$He ventilation defects represent regions of emphysema and airways disease and that this relationship depends on disease severity. In asthma (6), $^3$He ventilation heterogeneity was also shown to be spatially related to abnormally remodeled airways. Whilst previous work reported the presence of ventilation heterogeneity in ex-smokers with normal spirometry (8), the underlying etiology and clinical relevance of these findings is not well-understood.

In order to better understand the pathophysiological features of ventilation heterogeneity in the ‘subclinical phase’ of obstructive lung disease in otherwise normal healthy ex-smokers, we evaluated a group of well-characterized ex-smokers without airflow limitation using both MRI and CT. Based on previous work using micro-CT that suggested that airway obstruction and obliteration may provide the dominant contribution to airflow limitation in COPD (1), we hypothesized that in normal ex-smokers, $^3$He ventilation abnormalities would be spatially and quantitatively related to airway remodeling and not emphysema.

### 3.2 Materials and Methods

#### 3.2.1 Study Subjects

Study participants provided written informed consent to a protocol approved by a local research ethics board and Health Canada and was compliant with the Personal Information Protection and Electronic Documents Act (PIPEDA, Canada) and Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA, USA). Research volunteers were recruited from a tertiary health care practice. Each visit was completed in approximately two hours when spirometry, plethysmography, the six-minute walk test (6MWT), St. George’s Respiratory Questionnaire (SGRQ), $^3$He MRI and CT were completed.
3.2.2 Spirometry and Plethysmography

Spirometry was performed according to the American Thoracic Society guidelines (9). Whole-body plethysmography was used to measure lung volumes (MedGraphics Corporation, St. Paul, Minnesota, USA) and the attached gas analyzer was used to measure diffusing capacity of the lung for carbon monoxide (DLCO).

3.2.3 Imaging

MRI was performed on a 3T Discovery MR750 (General Electric Health Care, Milwaukee, Wisconsin, USA) system with subjects in inspiratory breath hold at functional residual capacity (FRC)+1L. $^1$H MRI was acquired prior to $^3$He MRI after inhalation of 1L high purity, medical grade nitrogen ($N_2$) from FRC using the whole-body radiofrequency coil and a fast spoiled gradient-recalled-echo sequence (10). $^3$He MRI was acquired with subjects in inspiratory breath-hold after inhalation from FRC of a 1L mixture of hyperpolarized $^3$He (5ml/kg body weight) diluted with $N_2$. $^3$He static-ventilation and diffusion-weighted MRI was performed as previously described (10, 11).

CT was acquired within 30 minutes of MRI using a 64 slice Lightspeed VCT system (General Electric Health Care, Milwaukee, Wisconsin, USA) and a single spiral acquisition from apex to base with the subjects in the supine position. Subjects were transported to the CT suite by wheelchair to prevent the potential for exercise-induced changes between MRI and CT image acquisitions. CT was acquired during inspiratory breath-hold of FRC+1L of $N_2$ as previously described (12). The total effective dose was 1.8 mSv as calculated using manufacturer settings and the ImPACT CT dosimetry calculator based on Health Protection Agency (UK) NRPB-SR250.

3.2.4 Image analysis

Ventilation heterogeneity or regions of “signal void” were quantified as $^3$He ventilation defect percent (VDP) using semi-automated software generated in MATLAB (Mathworks, Natick, Massachusetts, USA) as previously described (13). Lobar VDP was generated by registering the segmented thoracic CT lobe mask from VIDA Pulmonary
Workstation 2.0 (VIDA Diagnostics Inc., Coralville, Iowa, USA) to $^3$He MRI ventilation images using deformable registration, and generating VDP for each lobe (right upper lobe (RUL), right middle lobe (RML), right lower lobe (RLL), left upper lobe (LUL), left lower lobe (LLL)) using hierarchical k-means clustering (13). All ex-smokers were classified on the basis of the 95% confidence interval for VDP in healthy elderly never-smokers of 4.3% as previously described (4). Therefore, ex-smokers with VDP<4.3% were classified as normal whereas ex-smokers with VDP≥4.3% were classified as having abnormally-elevated VDP. $^3$He MRI apparent diffusion coefficients (ADC) were generated as previously described (11).

CT volumes were evaluated using Pulmonary Workstation 2.0 to generate airway wall area percent (WA%), lumen area (LA) and airway count. It was previously shown that airway lumen area is related to body surface area (BSA) (14, 15) and therefore LA was normalized to BSA (LA/BSA). CT WA%, LA and LA/BSA were measured for subsegmental bronchi including RB1, RB5, RB8, LB1 and LB8 airways because each of these feed individual lobes (RB1-RUL, RB5-RML, RB8-RLL, LB1-LUL and LB8-LLL) and they were measureable for each subject. Emphysema was also measured using Pulmonary Workstation 2.0 including the relative area of the lung with attenuation ≤ -950 Hounsfield units (HU) (RA$_{950}$) for whole-lung and each individual lung lobe.

### 3.2.5 Statistics

All tests were performed in IBM SPSS V22 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, Illinois, USA). Normality was tested using the Shapiro-Wilk test. A one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to compare multiple parameters and univariate comparisons were investigated using unpaired two-tailed t-tests (parametric data) or Mann-Whitney U tests (non-parametric data). Spearman correlations were performed for non-parametric data.

### 3.3 Results

A summary of demographic, pulmonary function test and imaging measurements is provided in Table 3-1 for all 60 subjects, while Supplemental Table 3I-1 (online) provides a subject listing of data. All participants reported normal spirometry
measurements (FEV₁=104±13%, FEV₁/FVC=80±6%) and no subjects reported Global initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease (GOLD) unclassified COPD (16). Eighteen subjects (18/60=30%) reported normal VDP and 42 (42/60=70%) subjects reported abnormally-elevated VDP. As shown in Figure 3-1 for four representative subjects, volunteers with normal VDP showed small or no ventilation defects along the periphery of the lung, whereas participants with abnormally-elevated VDP showed evidence of patchy ventilation throughout the lung and on the periphery. The qualitative spatial relationship for patchy ventilation and subsegmental airway morphology reconstructed from CT is also shown in Figure 3-1.
Figure 3. 3He MRI Ventilation and CT airway trees in representative ex-smokers with normal (S1–S3) and abnormally-elevated VDP (S4–S6). S1=70y female, 12 pack years, FEV$_1=93\%$ and VDP=2\%. S2=59y female, 18 pack years, FEV$_1=97\%$ and VDP=2\%. S3=51y female, 20 pack years, FEV$_1=103\%$ and VDP=4\%. S4=74y male, 50 pack years, FEV$_1=89\%$ and VDP=6\%. S5=79y male, 10 pack years, FEV$_1=88\%$ and VDP=8\%. S6=74y male, 60 pack years, FEV$_1=95\%$ and VDP=11\%.

As shown in Table 3-1, subjects with abnormally-elevated VDP were not significantly different (p>0.05) with respect to pulmonary function test or CT measurements but they did report significantly greater (p=0.02) 3He ADC than subjects with normal VDP.

Table 3-1. Demographic, pulmonary function and imaging data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter (±SD)</th>
<th>All (n=60)</th>
<th>Normal VDP (n=18)</th>
<th>Elevated VDP (n=42)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age yr</td>
<td>69 (9)</td>
<td>67 (10)</td>
<td>70 (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male n (%)</td>
<td>38 (63)</td>
<td>9 (50)</td>
<td>29 (69)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pack years</td>
<td>28 (16)</td>
<td>27 (14)</td>
<td>28 (17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI kg/m$^2$</td>
<td>29 (4)</td>
<td>29 (5)</td>
<td>30 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FVC%$_{pred}$</td>
<td>97 (13)</td>
<td>100 (10)</td>
<td>95 (14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV$<em>1$%$</em>{pred}$</td>
<td>104 (13)</td>
<td>106 (12)</td>
<td>102 (14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV$_1$/FVC %</td>
<td>80 (6)</td>
<td>80 (6)</td>
<td>80 (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RV/TLC%$_{pred}$</td>
<td>103 (15)</td>
<td>104 (12)</td>
<td>103 (16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DL$<em>{CO}$%$</em>{pred}$</td>
<td>80 (20)</td>
<td>87 (16)</td>
<td>77 (22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6MWD m</td>
<td>404 (95)</td>
<td>433 (81)</td>
<td>392 (98)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SGRQ total</td>
<td>36 (26)</td>
<td>22 (20)</td>
<td>23 (22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WA %</td>
<td>65 (2)</td>
<td>65 (2)</td>
<td>65 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LA mm$^2$</td>
<td>14 (3)</td>
<td>13 (2)</td>
<td>14 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LA/BSA mm$^2$/m$^2$</td>
<td>7 (2)</td>
<td>7 (1)</td>
<td>7 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Airway count</td>
<td>115 (37)</td>
<td>106 (28)</td>
<td>119 (40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RA$_{950}$ %</td>
<td>1.2 (1.0)</td>
<td>0.8 (0.5)</td>
<td>1.4 (1.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VDP %</td>
<td>6 (3)</td>
<td>3 (1)</td>
<td>7 (3)$^\dagger$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADC cm$^2$/s</td>
<td>0.28 (0.04)</td>
<td>0.26 (0.03)</td>
<td>0.29 (0.03)$^\dagger$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BMI: Body mass index, FVC: Forced vital capacity, FEV$_1$: Forced expiratory volume in one second, RV: Residual volume, TLC: Total lung capacity, DL$_{CO}$: Diffusing capacity for carbon monoxide, SGRQ: St. Georges Respiratory Questionnaire, 6MWD: Six minute walk distance, WA%: Mean fifth generation airway wall area percent, LA: Mean fifth generation airway lumen area, BSA: Body surface area, RA$_{950}$: Relative area of the lung parenchyma with attenuation ≤ -950HU, VDP: 3He MRI ventilation defect percent, ADC: 3He MRI apparent diffusion coefficient, SD: standard deviation

$^\dagger$Significantly different p<0.05
Table 3-2 shows quantitative airway morphology and emphysema measurements for subjects with normal and abnormally-elevated VDP. No significant differences were observed for whole-lung airway (mean of fifth generation airways) WA% (p=0.88), LA (p=0.50) or LA/BSA (p=0.57) and this was consistent in all lung lobes. Table 3-2 and Figure 3-2 show that only 3He MRI ADC was significantly greater in the apical lung (RUL: p=0.02, RML: p=0.04 and LUL: p=0.009) in subjects with elevated VDP.

Table 3-3 shows the relationships for VDP with airway morphology and emphysema measurements. Whole lung VDP was significantly correlated with whole lung ADC (r=0.40, p=0.001) and whole lung RA_{95} (r=0.34, p=0.008). As shown in Table 3-3 and in more detail in Figure 3-3, regional VDP correlated with regional ADC in each of the lung lobes (RUL: r=0.32, p=0.01, RML: r=0.46, p=0.002, RLL: r=0.38, p=0.003, LUL: r=0.35, p=0.006 and LLL: r=0.37, p=0.004). As shown in Table 3, whole lung VDP did not correlate with whole lung (mean of fifth generation airways) WA%, LA or LA/BSA. There were no significant correlations for regional VDP and airway morphological measurements in the RML (RB5 morphology), LUL (LB1 morphology) or LLL (LB8 morphology). However, as shown Figure 3-4 in more detail, RUL VDP significantly correlated RB1 morphological measurements (LA: r=-0.37, p=0.004, LA/BSA: r=-0.42, p=0.0008) and RLL VDP significantly correlated with RB8 morphological measurements (WA%: r=0.28, p=0.03, LA: r=-0.28, p=0.03, LA/BSA: r=-0.37, p=0.004).
Table 3-2. Whole lung and regional measurements for ex-smokers with normal and elevated VDP

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter (±SD)</th>
<th>Normal VDP (n=18)</th>
<th>Elevated VDP (n=42)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Whole Lung</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>WA%</strong></td>
<td>65 (2)</td>
<td>65 (2)</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>LA mm²</strong></td>
<td>13 (2)</td>
<td>14 (4)</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>LA/BSA mm²/m²</strong></td>
<td>7 (1)</td>
<td>7 (2)</td>
<td>0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VDP %</td>
<td>3 (1)</td>
<td>7 (3)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADC cm²/s</td>
<td>0.26 (0.03)</td>
<td>0.29 (0.03)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RA&lt;sub&gt;950&lt;/sub&gt; %</td>
<td>0.8 (0.5)</td>
<td>1.4 (1.1)</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Right upper lobe</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RB1 WA%</td>
<td>62 (2)</td>
<td>61 (4)</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RB1 LA mm²</td>
<td>25 (5)</td>
<td>25 (11)</td>
<td>0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RB1 LA/BSA mm²/m²</td>
<td>13 (3)</td>
<td>13 (6)</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VDP %</td>
<td>3 (2)</td>
<td>5 (4)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADC cm²/s</td>
<td>0.24 (0.03)</td>
<td>0.27 (0.03)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RA&lt;sub&gt;950&lt;/sub&gt; %</td>
<td>0.7 (0.6)</td>
<td>1.2 (1.2)</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Right middle Lobe</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RB5 WA%</td>
<td>63 (4)</td>
<td>62 (4)</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RB5 LA mm²</td>
<td>22 (13)</td>
<td>21 (8)</td>
<td>0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RB5 LA/BSA mm²/m²</td>
<td>12 (7)</td>
<td>11 (4)</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VDP %</td>
<td>6 (9)</td>
<td>9 (10)</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADC cm²/s</td>
<td>0.24 (0.03)</td>
<td>0.27 (0.04)</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RA&lt;sub&gt;950&lt;/sub&gt; %</td>
<td>1.6 (1.0)</td>
<td>2.0 (1.8)</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Right lower lobe</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RB8 WA%</td>
<td>62 (3)</td>
<td>62 (3)</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RB8 LA mm²</td>
<td>21 (8)</td>
<td>21 (7)</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RB8 LA/BSA mm²/m²</td>
<td>11 (5)</td>
<td>11 (4)</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VDP %</td>
<td>5 (3)</td>
<td>8 (6)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADC cm²/s</td>
<td>0.25 (0.03)</td>
<td>0.27 (0.03)</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RA&lt;sub&gt;950&lt;/sub&gt; %</td>
<td>0.6 (0.4)</td>
<td>1.0 (1.0)</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Left Upper Lobe</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LB1 WA%</td>
<td>63 (3)</td>
<td>63 (3)</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LB1 LA mm²</td>
<td>15 (4)</td>
<td>18 (6)</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LB1 LA/BSA mm²/m²</td>
<td>8 (2)</td>
<td>9 (3)</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VDP %</td>
<td>4 (4) (3)</td>
<td>8 (8)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADC cm²/s</td>
<td>0.25 (0.03)</td>
<td>0.28 (0.04)</td>
<td>0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RA&lt;sub&gt;950&lt;/sub&gt; %</td>
<td>1.0 (0.6)</td>
<td>1.8 (1.7)</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Left Lower Lobe</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LB8 WA%</td>
<td>59 (4)</td>
<td>60 (4)</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LB8 LA mm²</td>
<td>30 (11)</td>
<td>28 (12)</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LB8 LA/BSA mm²/m²</td>
<td>17 (8)</td>
<td>14 (6)</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VDP %</td>
<td>3 (1)</td>
<td>7 (3)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADC cm²/s</td>
<td>0.25 (0.03)</td>
<td>0.27 (0.04)</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RA&lt;sub&gt;950&lt;/sub&gt; %</td>
<td>0.7 (0.4)</td>
<td>1.1 (1.1)</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

WA%: Wall area percent, LA: Lumen area, BSA: Body surface area, RB1: Right upper lobe apical bronchus, RB5: Right middle lobe lateral bronchus, RB8: Right lower lobe subsegmental bronchus, LB1: Left upper lobe apical bronchus, LB8: Left lower lobe subsegmental bronchus, RA<sub>950</sub>: Relative area of the lung parenchyma with attenuation ≤ -
950HU, VDP: $^3$He MRI ventilation defect percent, ADC: $^3$He MRI apparent diffusion coefficient, **Mean of fifth generation airway

Figure 3-2. Whole lung and regional emphysema measurements in ex-smokers with normal (n=18) and abnormally-elevated VDP (n=42). ADC was significantly greater in apical lung in subjects with elevated VDP. A) Whole lung ADC (p=0.02), B) Right upper lobe ADC (p=0.02), C) Left upper lobe ADC (p=0.009), D) Right middle lobe ADC (p=0.04), E) Left lower lobe ADC (p=0.07), F) Right lower lobe ADC (p=0.07).
Table 3-3. Relationship of $^3$He MRI VDP with airways disease and emphysema measurements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Spearman r</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Whole Lung VDP</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>WA%</strong></td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>LA</strong></td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>LA/BSA</strong></td>
<td>-0.04</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADC</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_{A950}$</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Right Upper Lobe VDP</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RB1 WA%</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RB1 LA</td>
<td>-0.37</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RB1 LA/BSA</td>
<td>-0.42</td>
<td>0.0008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADC</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_{A950}$</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Right Middle Lobe VDP</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RB5 WA%</td>
<td>-0.11</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RB5 LA</td>
<td>-0.003</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RB5 LA/BSA</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADC</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_{A950}$</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Right Lower Lobe VDP</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RB8 WA%</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RB8 LA</td>
<td>-0.28</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RB8 LA/BSA</td>
<td>-0.37</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADC</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_{A950}$</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Left Upper Lobe VDP</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LB1 WA%</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LB1 LA</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LB1 LA/BSA</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADC</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_{A950}$</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Left Lower Lobe VDP</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LB8 WA%</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LB8 LA</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LB8 LA/BSA</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADC</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_{A950}$</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

WA%: Wall area percent, LA: Lumen area, BSA: Body surface area WL; Whole lung, RB1: Right upper lobe apical bronchus, RB5: Right middle lobe lateral bronchus, RB8: Right lower lobe subsegmental bronchus, LB1: Left upper lobe apical bronchus, LB8: Left lower lobe subsegmental bronchus, $R_{A950}$: Relative area of the lung parenchyma with attenuation ≤ -950HU, VDP: $^3$He MRI ventilation defect percent, ADC: $^3$He MRI apparent diffusion coefficient, **Mean of fifth generation airways
Figure 3-3. Relationships for whole lung and regional VDP with $^3$He MRI emphysema measurements. A) Whole lung (WL) VDP correlated with WL ADC ($r=0.40$, $p=0.001$), B) RUL VDP correlated with RUL ADC ($r=0.32$, $p=0.01$), C) RLL VDP correlated with RLL ADC ($r=0.38$, $p=0.003$), D) RML VDP correlated with RML ADC ($r=0.46$, $p=0.002$), E) LUL VDP correlated with LUL ADC ($r=0.35$, $p=0.006$), F) LLL VDP correlated with LLL ADC ($r=0.37$, $p=0.004$).
Figure 3-4. Relationships for regional VDP with CT airways disease measurements. Right upper lobe (RUL) VDP correlated with A) RB1 LA (r=-0.37, p=0.004), B) RB1 LA/BSA (r=-0.42, p=0.0008) but not C) RB1 WA% (r=0.24, p=0.06). Right lower lobe (RLL) VDP correlated with D) RB8 LA (r=-0.28, p=0.03), E) RB8 LA/BSA (r=-0.37, p=0.004) and F) RB8 WA% (r=0.28, p=0.03).
3.4 Discussion

We acquired $^3$He MRI and CT in 60 ex-smokers without airflow limitation and made the following observations: 1) 42 of 60 volunteers reported abnormally-elevated $^3$He VDP and these subjects reported normal airflow and lung volume measurements that were not significantly different from 18 ex-smokers with normal VDP, 2) there was significantly greater (worse) apical lung $^3$He ADC in the 42 ex-smokers with abnormally-elevated VDP as compared to ex-smokers with normal VDP, but there were no other imaging differences between the subgroups, 3) $^3$He VDP was significantly correlated with whole lung and regional ADC, and, 4) whole lung $^3$He VDP was not correlated with whole lung airway morphological measurements, although RUL and RLL VDP was associated with thicker airway walls (WA%) and narrowed airway lumen (LA) in those regions of interest.

We observed that 42 ex-smokers reported VDP ≥ 95% confidence interval for VDP in never-smokers (4). Although all participants had a significant smoking history (mean pack years=28), spirometry, plethysmography and CT showed no evidence of lung function decline or lung destruction and these same measurements showed no significant differences in subjects with elevated VDP compared to subjects with normal VDP. Previous work (8) in ‘healthy’ smokers showed significantly different MRI ventilation measurements as compared to never-smokers and COPD smokers and our findings build on this previous work by showing that ex-smokers with abnormally-elevated VDP also showed significantly greater whole-lung and apical lung $^3$He ADC. These MRI findings were not observed using CT measurements of emphysema. In this regard, it is important to note that $^3$He ADC provides a surrogate measure of microstructural emphysema (17) and was previously shown to be sensitive to very mild emphysematous changes by detecting differences in subjects exposed only to second-hand smoke (18). We observed that subjects with elevated VDP reported greater whole-lung and apical lung $^3$He ADC. Previous work (19) evaluated the anatomical ADC distribution in more severe COPD and established the presence of elevated ADC in the apical lung regions and this is in agreement with predominant centrilobular emphysema in such patients. However to our knowledge the spatial distribution of ADC in ex-smokers without COPD has not yet been
evaluated. We did not observe differences in CT emphysema (RA<sub>950</sub>) measurements. However, previous research (20) showed that CT-derived emphysema measurements may underestimate the extent of emphysema in very mild disease. The mean RA<sub>950</sub> in the ex-smokers in our study was very low (mean RA<sub>950</sub> = 1.2%) and this may reflect why CT RA<sub>950</sub> was not significantly different between subgroups. Nevertheless, these results suggest that ex-smokers with a significant smoking history and abnormal <sup>3</sup>He VDP but without airflow limitation may also have a mild or subclinical form of centrilobular emphysema that is not detected using CT or conventional pulmonary function tests.

Whole lung and regional VDP were correlated with ADC which suggests that ventilation abnormalities and very mildly abnormal parenchyma co-existed in these otherwise normal ex-smokers. We evaluated regional (lobar) correlations for <sup>3</sup>He VDP and ADC because a direct spatial comparison previously described in COPD patients (7) was not feasible here. This previous approach (7) evaluated the direct spatial relationship between <sup>3</sup>He ventilation defects and emphysema by evaluating the overlap of RA<sub>950</sub> (emphysema) regions and <sup>3</sup>He ventilation defects. As compared with COPD patients with severe emphysema (RA<sub>950</sub>&gt;15%), the ex-smokers here reported very low or normal RA<sub>950</sub> and therefore the spatial overlap of <sup>3</sup>He ventilation defects and RA<sub>950</sub> could not be evaluated. We wonder about the long-term consequences of these very mild abnormalities in ventilation and emphysema measurements and whether these are predictors of a transition to COPD. Future longitudinal evaluations in these subjects are warranted and will be undertaken.

It was not expected that whole-lung airway measurements would show differences between subgroups since it is widely appreciated that obstructive disease is regionally (and not uniformly) distributed in the lung (21). However it was surprising that we did not detect or measure abnormal or different regional airway morphologies in the ex-smokers with abnormally elevated VDP, especially since whole-lung and lobar VDP was significantly greater in these participants. We think one explanation for this may be related to the airways we measured and the fact that they may not have been distal enough to reflect such mild disease. We did observe that RUL and RLL VDP were significantly correlated with RB1 and RB8 airway morphological abnormalities, but these
relationships were not significant in the other lung lobes. Nonetheless, the finding that
airway walls thicken and airway lumens narrow with increasing ventilation heterogeneity
in the RUL and RLL suggests a relationship between airway morphology and ventilation
in these ex-smokers without COPD. These findings also highlight some of the limitations
of CT and advantages of MRI for pulmonary imaging and characterization of very mild
lung disease. As described elsewhere (22, 23), CT measurements are limited because of
the inherent spatial resolution limit achievable on thoracic CT scans. On the other hand,
MRI ADC provides a way to reveal subtle parenchymal changes to the alveolar
microstructure and the subjects in our study certainly exemplify a patient group in whom
MRI is highly advantageous.

We must acknowledge several limitations to our study. First, we measured five
individual subsegmental airways (RB1, RB5, RB8, LB1 and LB8) and not all
subsegmental airways. We chose these five airways in particular because they were most
easily visible and measureable on thoracic CT and because they lead to the individual
lung lobes of interest. While it is possible to quantitatively evaluate more distal
subsegmental airways, the reproducibility of this in all subjects would be difficult to
determine. Second, our findings were derived on a VDP threshold determined in never-
smokers from a previous ³He MRI study (4). The range of VDP for all subjects in our
study was 2% - 18% and the VDP data distribution was not normally distributed, but
reflected a Poisson distribution centered at 5-6%. This partially explains why 42 of 60
subjects reported abnormal VDP. If this threshold was greater, for example 5%, 33 of 60
subjects would have reported abnormally-elevated VDP. Nevertheless, the results of our
analysis point to very mild emphysema and airways disease in ex-smokers without
airflow limitation. We must also acknowledge that ³He MRI may only be employed at a
limited number of research centres worldwide due to the limited supply of ³He gas and
need for specialized equipment and software. However, pulmonary functional MRI using
hyperpolarized ¹²⁹Xe is emerging as an attractive alternative with the potential for
implementation and translation. In this regard, longitudinal measurements in these 60 ex-
smokers will be undertaken using ¹²⁹Xe and more conventional ¹H MRI methods. We
also recognize that although this study evaluated 60 ex-smokers – a relatively large study
by MRI standards, the sample size may have limited our ability to detect significant
relationships for CT airway morphology and emphysema measurements between subgroups. Finally, while this study did not evaluate current smokers (with or without airflow limitation), future work investigating MRI ventilation measurements in current-smokers would also provide an understanding of acute effects of cigarette smoke on pulmonary ventilation.

In conclusion, in ex-smokers without airflow limitation, $^3$He MRI identified a subgroup with abnormally elevated ventilation defect percent – a measure of ventilation heterogeneity. While there were no other pulmonary function or CT differences in ex-smokers with normal or abnormal VDP, there was significantly different MRI ADC and there was a relationship for whole-lung and regional VDP with ADC and for regional VDP with regional CT airway morphological abnormalities. Taken together, these data suggest that ex-smokers with normal airflow and lung volumes may represent a subclinical COPD phenotype that warrants further longitudinal investigation.
3.5 References


CHAPTER 4

In Chapter 3 we observed that hyperpolarized $^3$He MRI ventilation abnormalities in ex-smokers without chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) were spatially related to airways disease and microstructural emphysema. Results from Chapters 2 and 3 and previous research from our group and others established that individuals with COPD exhibit ventilation abnormalities and emphysema throughout the lung. Therefore we were interested in how individuals could be grouped into clinically meaningful phenotypes based on the location of pulmonary abnormalities within the lung and furthermore, how these regional phenotypes might change with disease progression. Therefore, here we used $^3$He MRI and CT to phenotype COPD ex-smokers based on the apical – basal distribution of ventilation abnormalities and emphysema and evaluate the change in phenotype with disease progression.

The contents of this chapter have been published in COPD: Journal of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (JCOPD) and permission to reproduce the article was granted by Taylor and Francis Publishing Group on behalf of JCOPD and is provided in Appendix D.


4 Regional Heterogeneity of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease Phenotypes: Pulmonary $^3$He Magnetic Resonance Imaging and Computed Tomography

4.1 Introduction

Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) is characterized by irreversible airflow obstruction, mainly due to airways disease, emphysema, or a combination of airway and parenchymal abnormalities (1). While both airways disease and emphysema influence the clinical course of COPD, the emphysema phenotype is associated with more rapid disease progression, more frequent exacerbation episodes and significantly worse mortality than the airways disease phenotype (2-4). In this regard, high-resolution computed tomography (CT) has emerged as a robust method for quantifying and spatially mapping emphysema and its progression (5-7). At the same time, heterogeneity in the
clinical presentation of patients (8, 9) with the same lung function and emphysema measurements, has prompted several CT studies (10-19) to provide a better understanding of the clinical relevance of the spatial distribution of emphysema. While these previous studies have yielded important results, the clinical relevance and physiological outcomes associated with different emphysema (10, 14, 17, 20) and airways disease (21, 22) distribution is not well-understood.

Hyperpolarized $^3$He magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) has emerged as another imaging approach for quantifying airways disease and emphysema phenotypes in COPD (23-25). $^3$He and $^{129}$Xe MRI ventilation imaging readily identifies regions of signal void (26) that are believed to reflect poorly ventilated regions of the parenchyma (27). In COPD patients, $^3$He ventilation defects are highly reproducible over long periods of time in stable patients (28), predict COPD exacerbations (29), and diminish in response to short-acting bronchodilator therapy (30) and bronchoscopic lung volume reduction using stents (31). Previous work (32) showed that $^3$He ventilation defects in asthma are spatially related to abnormal airway morphology and it has been suggested (29) that in COPD, ventilation defects may be related to airways disease, emphysema or a combination of both. However, the relationship of the distribution of $^3$He ventilation defects and progression of COPD has not yet been investigated. Therefore, our objective here was to investigate the relationship between COPD severity and the spatial distribution of ventilation defects measured using MRI and emphysema measured using CT in a relatively large group of COPD ex-smokers.

### 4.2 Methods

#### 4.2.1 Study Subjects

This study was registered as NCT02279329 (ClinicalTrials.gov, National Institute of Health, Maryland, USA). Study subjects with a clinical diagnosis of COPD provided written informed consent to a protocol that was compliant with the Personal Information Protection and Electronic Documents Act (PIPEDA, Canada) and Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA, USA) and approved by a local research ethics board and Health Canada. Each two-hour visit consisted of spirometry,
plethysmography, the six-minute-walk-test (6MWT) for the six-minute walk distance (6MWD), St. George’s Respiratory Questionnaire (SGRQ), $^3$He MRI and inspiratory CT. Subjects were clinically diagnosed and classified according to the Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease (GOLD) criteria (33) and/or clinical symptoms over a 3 month period in addition to a smoking history of $>$10 pack years.

4.2.2 Spirometry and Plethysmography

Spirometry measurements, including the forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV$_1$) and forced vital capacity (FVC), were acquired according to the American Thoracic Society guidelines (34). Whole-body plethysmography was used to measure lung volumes (residual volume (RV) and total lung capacity (TLC)) (MedGraphics Corporation, St. Paul, Minnesota, USA) and a stand-alone gas analyzer (attached to plethysmograph) was used to measure diffusing capacity of the lung for carbon monoxide (DL$_{CO}$).

4.2.3 Imaging

Subjects were randomized to first receive either MRI or CT and image acquisition was performed within 15 minutes of each other. For both MRI and CT, image acquisition was completed within 5-7 minutes of patients being made comfortable in a supine position. MRI was performed using a 3.0 Tesla Discovery MR750 (General Electric Health Care, Milwaukee, Wisconsin, USA) system, as previously described (35), using a whole-body gradient set with a maximum gradient amplitude of 1.94G/cm and a single-channel, rigid elliptical transmit/receive chest coil (Rapid Biomedical GmbH, Rimpar, Germany) with patients in inspiratory breath-hold at functional residual capacity (FRC) + 1L. Anatomical $^1$H MRI was acquired prior to $^3$He MRI after inhalation of 1L medical grade nitrogen (N$_2$) from FRC using the whole-body radiofrequency coil and a $^1$H fast-spoiled, gradient-recalled-echo sequence using a partial echo [16s total data acquisition, posterior to anterior repetition time (TR)/echo times (TE)/flip angle=4.7ms/1.2ms/30°, field of view (FOV)=40x40cm, bandwidth 24.4 kHz, matrix=128x80, 15-17 slices, 15mm slice thickness, zero gap] as previously described (35). $^3$He static-ventilation MR images were acquired with a mixture of hyperpolarized $^3$He (5ml/kg body weight) diluted to 1L with
N₂ and using a fast-gradient echo method using a partial echo [14s total data acquisition, posterior to anterior, TR/TE/flip angle=4.3ms/1.4ms/7°, FOV=40x40cm, bandwidth=48.8kHz, matrix=128x80, 15-17 slices, 15mm slice thickness, zero gap]. ³He diffusion-weighted MRI was also performed as previously described (27), however we did not evaluate apparent diffusion coefficients (ADCs) as estimates of emphysema because these values only report from regions of normal ventilation which would have biased the ADC-based emphysema estimates.

CT was acquired using a 64 slice Lightspeed VCT system (General Electric Health Care, Milwaukee, Wisconsin, USA) using a single spiral acquisition from apex to base (64×0.625mm collimation, 120kVp, 100mA, tube rotation time=500ms, pitch=1.25, standard reconstruction kernel and 1.25mm slice thickness). All subjects were transported from the MRI suite to the CT suite by wheelchair to prevent the potential of any exercise-induced dilatation of the airways or lung parenchyma. CT was acquired during inspiratory breath-hold of high-purity, medical grade N₂ at the same volume as MRI (FRC+1L) as previously described (36) to enable direct comparison to MR images. The total effective dose for each CT scan was 1.8 mSv as calculated using manufacturer settings and the ImPACT CT dosimetry calculator based on Health Protection Agency (UK) NRPB-SR250.

4.2.4 Image Analysis

Hyperpolarized ³He MR static-ventilation images were used to generate whole-lung ³He ventilation defect percent (VDP) using semi-automated software generated using MATLAB (Mathworks, Natick, Massachusetts, USA) (37).

Inspiratory CT images were evaluated using VIDA Pulmonary Workstation 2.0 (VIDA Diagnostics, Coralville, Iowa, USA). Emphysema was measured for whole-lung and individual lung lobes using the relative area of the lung parenchyma with attenuation ≤ -950 Hounsfield units (HU) (RA₉₅₀). Airway wall area percent for the 5th generation airways (WA%) and airway wall thickness for airways with an internal perimeter of 10mm (Pi10) were estimated as previously described (38).
Ventilation defect percent for each lung lobe (right upper lobe (RUL), right lower lobe (RLL), left upper lobe (LUL), left lower lobe (LLL)) was generated by registering the thoracic CT segmentation of the lung lobes (VIDA Pulmonary Workstation 2.0) to $^3$He MRI ventilation images using deformable registration as previously described (39).

4.2.5 Distribution of Emphysema and Ventilation Defects

Apical-lung (AL) and basal-lung (BL) predominant emphysema phenotypes were defined using a method adapted from a previously described technique (10) whereby $RA_{950}$ in the BL ($RA_{950BL} = RA_{950RLL} + RA_{950LLL}$) and $RA_{950}$ in the AL ($RA_{950AL} = RA_{950RUL} + RA_{950LUL}$) were used to generate the difference between AL and BL $RA_{950}$ ($\Delta RA_{950}$). A positive $\Delta RA_{950}$ was indicative of AL-predominant distribution, and a negative $\Delta RA_{950}$ indicated BL-predominant emphysema distribution such that:

$$AL\text{-predominant emphysema: } RA_{950AL} - RA_{950BL} = + \Delta RA_{950} \quad (1)$$

$$BL\text{-predominant emphysema: } RA_{950AL} - RA_{950BL} = - \Delta RA_{950} \quad (2)$$

In a similar manner, regional $^3$He VDP was used to identify AL- and BL-predominant $^3$He ventilation defect phenotypes. The ventilation defect percent in BL ($VDP_{BL} = VDP_{RLL} + VDP_{LLL}$) was subtracted from the ventilation defect percent in the AL ($VDP_{AL} = VDP_{RUL} + VDP_{LUL}$) to generate the difference between AL- and BL-VDP ($\Delta VDP$), whereby a positive $\Delta VDP$ indicated an AL-predominant distribution of ventilation defects and a negative $\Delta VDP$ indicated a BL-predominant distribution of ventilation defects such that:

$$AL\text{-predominant ventilation defects: } VDP_{AL} - VDP_{BL} = + \Delta VDP \quad (3)$$

$$BL\text{-predominant ventilation defects: } VDP_{AL} - VDP_{BL} = - \Delta VDP \quad (4)$$

All participants were classified in AL- and BL-predominant subgroups using both CT and $^3$He MRI measurements for all lobes except the right middle lobe (RML) because recent research (40) has shown that the RML contributes disproportionately to imaging measurements of emphysema.
### 4.2.6 Statistics

All statistical tests were performed in IBM SPSS V22 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, Illinois, USA). A one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to compare parameters between COPD grades of severity. Comparisons between imaging measurements of AL and BL subgroups were performed using unpaired t-tests with Holm-Bonferroni corrections to adjust for family-wise error. Pearson correlations were used for univariate analyses and p-values were adjusted for family-wise error. All statistical figures were created in GraphPad Prism V6 (GraphPad Software Inc., La Jolla, California, USA).

### 4.3 Results

We evaluated 100 COPD ex-smokers (n=22 GOLD I, n=46 GOLD II and n=32 GOLD III/IV). Table 4-1 shows the demographic and pulmonary function measurements for all subjects and stratified by GOLD grade severity. Measurements of pulmonary function and volumes including FEV₁, FVC, FEV₁/FVC, RV, RV/TLC and DL_{CO} worsened with increasing GOLD grade severity (all p<0.0001). Similarly, SGRQ total score increased (p<0.0001) and 6MWD decreased (p=0.001) with increasing disease severity.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>All (n=100)</th>
<th>GOLD I (n=22)</th>
<th>GOLD II (n=46)</th>
<th>GOLD III/IV (n=32)</th>
<th>p*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age yr</td>
<td>71 (8)</td>
<td>75 (7)</td>
<td>70 (8)</td>
<td>70 (8)</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male n (%)</td>
<td>65 (65)</td>
<td>19 (86)</td>
<td>27 (59)</td>
<td>19 (59)</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pack years</td>
<td>50 (30)</td>
<td>48 (36)</td>
<td>48 (27)</td>
<td>54 (31)</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI kg/m²</td>
<td>27 (4)</td>
<td>28 (4)</td>
<td>27 (4)</td>
<td>26 (5)</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6MWD m</td>
<td>377 (91)¹</td>
<td>419 (47)²</td>
<td>388 (90)³</td>
<td>323 (98)⁴</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV₁% pred</td>
<td>62 (23)</td>
<td>95 (12)</td>
<td>64 (9)</td>
<td>36 (7)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FVC% pred</td>
<td>90 (20)</td>
<td>109 (12)</td>
<td>92 (14)</td>
<td>73 (16)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV₁/FVC %</td>
<td>50 (12)</td>
<td>63 (5)</td>
<td>52 (8)</td>
<td>37 (8)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RV% pred</td>
<td>160 (48)</td>
<td>123 (30)</td>
<td>148 (34)</td>
<td>202 (45)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RV/TLC % pred</td>
<td>133 (27)</td>
<td>107 (18)</td>
<td>127 (17)</td>
<td>159 (22)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DL_{CO} % pred</td>
<td>55 (20)</td>
<td>69 (19)</td>
<td>57 (16)</td>
<td>42 (18)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SGRQ Total Score</td>
<td>40 (19)</td>
<td>23 (16)</td>
<td>37 (14)</td>
<td>56 (12)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BMI: Body mass index, FEV₁: Forced expiratory volume in one second, FVC: Forced vital capacity, RV: Residual volume, TLC: Total lung capacity, DL_{CO}: Diffusing
capacity for carbon monoxide, SGRQ: St. George’s Respiratory Questionnaire, 6MWD: Six-minute walk distance, $^1n=91$, $^2n=21$, $^3n=44$, $^4n=26$, *ANOVA corrected p-value*
Figure 4-1 shows $^3$He MR static-ventilation and CT images for COPD ex-smokers stratified by GOLD grade with ventilation defects and corresponding emphysema. $^3$He ventilation is shown in cyan and emphysema is shown using an RA$_{950}$ mask (voxels ≤-950HU in yellow). It was visibly obvious that ex-smokers (S1, S2, S3, S4 and S5) with BL-predominant $^3$He ventilation defects showed ventilation heterogeneity in the lower lung lobes while ex-smokers (S6, S7, S8, S9 and S10) with AL-predominant $^3$He ventilation defects had ventilation abnormalities localized to the upper lung lobes. At the same time, corresponding CT emphysema masks were not spatially concordant with the BL and AL ventilation defect phenotypes in milder COPD (as shown in subjects S1 and S2 as well as in S6 and S7). However in moderate-severe grade ex-smokers (such as S3, S4 and S5 and also S8, S9 and S10) the spatial relationship between ventilation defects and CT RA$_{950}$ was more obvious.

Table 4-2 provides a summary of whole-lung and regional RA$_{950}$ and VDP as well as airway measurements for all study subjects and stratified by GOLD grade. Whole-lung and regional RA$_{950}$ (RUL, RLL, LUL, LLL, RA$_{950}$AL, RA$_{950}$BL) were significantly worse (all p<0.05) with increasing GOLD grade. Similarly, whole-lung and regional VDP (RUL, RLL, LUL, LLL, VDP$_{AL}$, VDP$_{BL}$) was significantly worse (all p<0.05 except LLL VDP p=0.05) with increasing disease severity. No significant differences were observed for ∆RA$_{950}$ (p=0.7) or ∆VDP (p=0.9) between GOLD grades and there were no differences for airway Pi10 (p=0.6) or mean fifth generation WA% (p=0.5) or LA (p=1.0) between GOLD grades. Women had significantly decreased VDP in the RLL and LLL and significantly different VDP$_{BL}$ and ∆VDP compared to men for GOLD II and GOLD III/IV while no significant differences were observed for the 3 women in the GOLD I subgroup (data not shown).
Figure 4-1. Thoracic $^{3}$He MRI and CT of COPD ex-smokers with representative apical- and basal-lung predominant $^{3}$He ventilation defects and corresponding emphysema. Ex-smokers with basal-lung predominant $^{3}$He ventilation defects (S1, S2, S3, S4 and S5) show ventilation heterogeneity localized to the basal-lung while subjects with apical-lung predominant ventilation defects (S6, S7, S8, S9 and S10) show abnormalities localized in the apical lung. Emphysema is shown using a yellow RA$_{950}$ mask. Note that the trachea was excluded from VDP and RA$_{950}$ measurements.

Table 4-3 reports whole-lung and regional imaging measurements for MRI and CT AL- and BL-predominant phenotypes. Based on $^{3}$He MRI VDP, 72 subjects reported BL-
predominant VDP while 28 reported AL-predominant VDP. Subjects with AL-predominant VDP reported significantly greater whole-lung (p=0.03) and upper lobe (RUL p=0.002, LUL p=0.01, RA\textsubscript{950AL} p=0.003) emphysema measured using RA\textsubscript{950}. Based on CT RA\textsubscript{950} measurements, 71 subjects reported AL-predominant RA\textsubscript{950} while 29 subjects reported BL-predominant RA\textsubscript{950}. Subjects with AL-predominant RA\textsubscript{950} had significantly diminished VDP in the RLL (p=0.002) and basal-lung (VDP\textsubscript{BL} p=0.003).

**Figure 4-2** shows the distribution (in percent) for subjects with AL- and BL-predominant MRI and CT phenotypes by GOLD grade. BL-predominant ventilation defects dominated the mild-moderate grades (AL/BL: GOLD I=18%/82%, GOLD II=24%/76%) while there was a more uniform distribution for severe GOLD grades (AL/BL: GOLD III=40%/60%, GOLD IV=43%/57%). The opposite trend was observed for CT emphysema phenotypes whereby the AL-predominant RA\textsubscript{950} phenotype was more typical in the mild-moderate grade COPD (AL/BL: GOLD I=84%/16%, GOLD II=72%/28%) and in severe grade COPD, the distribution was more equivalent (AL/BL: GOLD III=64%/36%, GOLD IV=43%/57%).

**Table 4-4** shows the spatial relationships for whole-lung and regional RA\textsubscript{950} with VDP. The strongest correlations were observed for VDP and RA\textsubscript{950} in the apical lobes (RUL: r=0.77, p<0.0001, LUL: r=0.65, p<0.0001) with moderate to weak correlations in the basal lobes (RLL: r=0.48, p<0.0001, LLL: r=0.37, p=0.0001).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>All (n=100)</th>
<th>GOLD I (n=22)</th>
<th>GOLD II (n=46)</th>
<th>GOLD III/IV (n=32)</th>
<th>p*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RA&lt;sub&gt;950&lt;/sub&gt; %</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whole lung</td>
<td>11 (10)</td>
<td>5 (4)</td>
<td>9 (9)</td>
<td>18 (12)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RUL</td>
<td>12 (14)</td>
<td>6 (8)</td>
<td>10 (13)</td>
<td>19 (15)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RLL</td>
<td>9 (11)</td>
<td>2 (2)</td>
<td>7 (8)</td>
<td>17 (13)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LUL</td>
<td>12 (14)</td>
<td>5 (4)</td>
<td>11 (16)</td>
<td>19 (14)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LLL</td>
<td>8 (9)</td>
<td>3 (2)</td>
<td>6 (5)</td>
<td>15 (12)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RA&lt;sub&gt;950AL&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>24 (27)</td>
<td>11 (10)</td>
<td>21 (28)</td>
<td>38 (28)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RA&lt;sub&gt;950BL&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>17 (19)</td>
<td>5 (3)</td>
<td>12 (12)</td>
<td>32 (24)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>∆RA&lt;sub&gt;950&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>7 (19)</td>
<td>6 (9)</td>
<td>9 (21)</td>
<td>6 (20)</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Airways</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pi10 mm</td>
<td>4.2 (0.5)</td>
<td>4.2 (0.2)</td>
<td>4.2 (0.7)</td>
<td>4.3 (0.2)</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WA%</td>
<td>65 (2)</td>
<td>65 (2)</td>
<td>65 (2)</td>
<td>65 (2)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LA mm&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>13 (5)</td>
<td>13 (3)</td>
<td>13 (6)</td>
<td>13 (3)</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VDP %</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whole lung</td>
<td>18 (10)</td>
<td>9 (5)</td>
<td>17 (8)</td>
<td>26 (7)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RUL</td>
<td>20 (18)</td>
<td>11 (11)</td>
<td>18 (17)</td>
<td>30 (19)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RLL</td>
<td>29 (20)</td>
<td>17 (10)</td>
<td>28 (20)</td>
<td>38 (22)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LUL</td>
<td>19 (15)</td>
<td>11 (6)</td>
<td>19 (14)</td>
<td>25 (17)</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LLL</td>
<td>24 (17)</td>
<td>17 (8)</td>
<td>25 (19)</td>
<td>28 (16)</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VDP&lt;sub&gt;AL&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>40 (31)</td>
<td>22 (15)</td>
<td>37 (29)</td>
<td>55 (33)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VDP&lt;sub&gt;BL&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>53 (35)</td>
<td>34 (17)</td>
<td>52 (37)</td>
<td>66 (35)</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>∆VDP</td>
<td>-13 (40)</td>
<td>-12 (15)</td>
<td>-16 (40)</td>
<td>-11 (50)</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RA<sub>950</sub>: Relative area of the lung with attenuation values ≤ -950HU, HU: Hounsfield unit, RA<sub>950AL</sub>=RA<sub>950RUL</sub>+RA<sub>950LUL</sub>, RA<sub>950BL</sub>=RA<sub>950RLL</sub>+RA<sub>950LLL</sub>, ∆RA<sub>950</sub>=RA<sub>950BL</sub>-RA<sub>950AL</sub>, Pi10: Airway wall thickness of airways with an internal perimeter of 10mm, WA%: Mean fifth generation airway wall area percent, LA: Mean fifth generation airway lumen area, VDP: Ventilation defect percent, VDP<sub>AL</sub>=VDP<sub>RUL</sub>+VDP<sub>LUL</sub>, VDP<sub>BL</sub>=VDP<sub>RLL</sub>+VDP<sub>LLL</sub>, ∆VDP=VDP<sub>AL</sub>-VDP<sub>BL</sub>, RUL: Right upper lobe, RLL: Right lower lobe, LUL: Left upper lobe, LLL: Left lower lobe, *ANOVA corrected p-value
Table 4-3. \(^3\)He MRI and CT apical-lung (AL) and basal-lung (BL) phenotype measurements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter (±SD)</th>
<th>BL VDP (n=72)</th>
<th>AL VDP (n=28)</th>
<th>p*</th>
<th>BL RA(_{950}) (n=29)</th>
<th>AL RA(_{950}) (n=71)</th>
<th>p*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RA(_{950}) %</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whole lung</td>
<td>9 (8)</td>
<td>16 (13)</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>11 (9)</td>
<td>11 (11)</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RUL</td>
<td>9 (11)</td>
<td>20 (17)</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>8 (8)</td>
<td>14 (15)</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RLL</td>
<td>8 (10)</td>
<td>11 (11)</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>13 (12)</td>
<td>7 (10)</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LUL</td>
<td>9 (12)</td>
<td>20 (16)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>9 (8)</td>
<td>14 (16)</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LLL</td>
<td>7 (9)</td>
<td>9 (11)</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>12 (11)</td>
<td>6 (8)</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RA(_{950})AL</td>
<td>18 (22)</td>
<td>40 (32)</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>17 (15)</td>
<td>28 (30)</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RA(_{950})BL</td>
<td>15 (18)</td>
<td>21 (22)</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>25 (22)</td>
<td>14 (17)</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔRA(_{950})</td>
<td>3 (17)</td>
<td>19 (17)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>-8 (8)</td>
<td>14 (18)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Airways</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pi10 mm</td>
<td>4.3 (0.5)</td>
<td>4.2 (0.2)</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>4.1 (0.8)</td>
<td>4.2 (0.2)</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WA%</td>
<td>65 (2)</td>
<td>65 (2)</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>66 (2)</td>
<td>65 (2)</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LA mm(^2)</td>
<td>13 (5)</td>
<td>14 (3)</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>13 (4)</td>
<td>13 (5)</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VDP %</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whole lung</td>
<td>17 (10)</td>
<td>21 (9)</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>20 (10)</td>
<td>17 (9)</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RUL</td>
<td>14 (12)</td>
<td>36 (22)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>15 (11)</td>
<td>22 (20)</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RLL</td>
<td>32 (21)</td>
<td>21 (14)</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>41 (23)</td>
<td>24 (17)</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LUL</td>
<td>15 (11)</td>
<td>31 (17)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>16 (12)</td>
<td>21 (15)</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LLL</td>
<td>27 (18)</td>
<td>17 (9)</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>32 (22)</td>
<td>21 (13)</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VDP(_{AL})</td>
<td>29 (21)</td>
<td>66 (34)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>32 (22)</td>
<td>43 (33)</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VDP(_{BL})</td>
<td>59 (37)</td>
<td>37 (22)</td>
<td>0.049</td>
<td>73 (42)</td>
<td>45 (28)</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔVDP</td>
<td>-30 (28)</td>
<td>29 (34)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>41 (35)</td>
<td>-2 (36)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RA\(_{950}\): Relative area of the lung with attenuation values ≤ -950HU, HU: Hounsfield unit, RA\(_{950}\)AL = RA\(_{950}\)RUL + RA\(_{950}\)LUL, RA\(_{950}\)BL = RA\(_{950}\)RLL + RA\(_{950}\)LLL, ΔRA\(_{950}\) = RA\(_{950}\)AL - RA\(_{950}\)BL, Pi10: Airway wall thickness of airways with an internal perimeter of 10mm, WA%: Mean fifth generation airway wall area percent, LA: Mean fifth generation airway lumen area, VDP: Ventilation defect percent, VDP\(_{AL}\) = VDP\(_{RUL}\) + VDP\(_{LUL}\), VDP\(_{BL}\) = VDP\(_{RLL}\) + VDP\(_{LLL}\), ΔVDP = VDP\(_{AL}\) - VDP\(_{BL}\), RUL: Right upper lobe, RLL: Right lower lobe, LUL: Left upper lobe, LLL: Left lower lobe, *ANOVA corrected p-value
**Figure 4-2.** GOLD Grade distribution of AL- and BL-predominant VDP and RA<sub>950</sub> phenotypes. A) Basal-lung ventilation defects predominated in mild-moderate COPD subjects. AL/BL: GOLD I=18%/82%, GOLD II=24%/76%, GOLD III=40%/60% and GOLD IV=43%/57%. B) Apical-lung emphysema predominated in mild-moderate COPD subjects. AL/BL: GOLD I=84%/16%, GOLD II=72%/28%, GOLD III=64%/36% and GOLD IV=43%/57%.

**Table 4-4.** Regional relationships for ventilation defects (VDP) and emphysema (RA<sub>950</sub>) for all subjects and by GOLD Grade

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>All</th>
<th>GOLD I</th>
<th>GOLD II</th>
<th>GOLD III/IV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Whole lung</td>
<td>0.61 (&lt;0.0001)</td>
<td>0.73 (0.0006)</td>
<td>0.47 (0.003)</td>
<td>0.42 (0.06)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RUL</td>
<td>0.77 (&lt;0.0001)</td>
<td>0.72 (0.001)</td>
<td>0.70 (&lt;0.0001)</td>
<td>0.77 (&lt;0.0001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LUL</td>
<td>0.65 (&lt;0.0001)</td>
<td>0.44 (0.1)</td>
<td>0.62 (&lt;0.0001)</td>
<td>0.59 (0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RLL</td>
<td>0.48 (&lt;0.0001)</td>
<td>0.34 (0.3)</td>
<td>0.34 (0.02)</td>
<td>0.39 (0.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LLL</td>
<td>0.37 (0.0001)</td>
<td>0.28 (0.2)</td>
<td>0.38 (0.02)</td>
<td>0.36 (0.04)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.4 Discussion

To provide a better understanding of the apical and basal lung regional distribution of emphysema and airways disease phenotypes in COPD, we stratified patients based on functional MRI and parenchymal CT measurements. We observed: 1) patients with mild-moderate COPD reported BL-predominant ventilation defects and AL-predominant emphysema, and, 2) patients with severe COPD reported ventilation defects and emphysema that was homogeneously (or evenly) distributed in the apical and basal lung regions.

Numerous studies have investigated CT measurements of emphysema, their spatial/regional distribution and their relationship with clinical findings. However, there is no consensus about the clinical meaning or physiological outcomes that can be directly related to the distribution of emphysema (10, 14, 17, 20, 41) or airways disease (21, 22). For example, some studies (12, 14, 16) reported that apical-predominant emphysema was associated with diminished pulmonary function, while other studies (13, 17, 20, 41, 42) showed that homogeneous or basal-lung emphysema was related to poorer lung function in patients. Others have reported that (10) the regional location of emphysema may not be clinically important. Unlike CT-based measurements of emphysema, MRI ventilation defects are resultant from both airways disease and emphysema in COPD patients (29).

Similar to previous studies (11, 42-44), we observed that smoking-related emphysema was more severe in apical lung regions, especially in the milder grade subgroups. However, we also observed that ventilation abnormalities were predominantly present in the lung bases in the mild COPD stages. For both emphysema and ventilation abnormalities, the apical-basal gradients lessen in more severe disease. The fact that VDP and RA950 were quantitatively and strongly correlated appears paradoxical, given their regional differences. However, this may be related to the fact that in COPD patients, the temporal onset of ventilation abnormalities and emphysema is similar, but this study showed that their presence and site of initiation are likely regionally different. Previous MRI studies have shown that in more severe COPD, ventilation defects may reflect both emphysema and airways disease or collapse (45), and this may explain some
of the differences in the regional distribution of ventilation abnormalities in severe COPD.

Patients with mild-moderate and severe disease also showed different distributions of AL- and BL-predominant phenotypes. Similar to a previous report (12), we observed that the relationship between basal-lung emphysema and impaired airflow was stronger than the relationship with apical-lung emphysema. Since ventilation is greater at the lung bases it would follow that increased emphysema at the bases would have a greater impact on FEV$_1$ than emphysema at the apices. To our knowledge, the spatial relationship between ventilation defects and FEV$_1$ has not yet been reported. This finding will be investigated further in the future as phenotyping COPD patients based on the distribution of ventilation abnormalities may help explain symptoms or disease progression.

We also wanted to better understand how ventilation abnormalities and emphysema might be related to one another in the apical and basal lung regions. VDP and RA$_{950}$ were significantly correlated in all lung regions but showed the strongest correlations in the apical-lung. Basal-lung ventilation defects predominated in mild-moderate GOLD grades, and a more homogeneous distribution of ventilation defects was observed in more advanced grade COPD; these differences suggest that over time, regional ventilation abnormalities become more homogeneously distributed during disease progression. This is in agreement with previous micro-CT analyses (1) that showed that in early stages, there was evidence of mainly terminal airway obliteration and not emphysematous destruction. In the future, longitudinal studies of ex- and current-smokers who are at risk of developing COPD may provide a deeper understanding of the regional initiation and progression of airways disease and emphysema.

Finally, we must also acknowledge a number of study limitations. First, hyperpolarized $^3$He MRI is not widely used and likely never will be, because of the high cost and limited global supply of $^3$He gas, and this limits the applicability of the results presented here. However, our team and others (46, 47) are transitioning to pulmonary MRI using $^{129}$Xe gas which is much more readily available (24, 48), less expensive and poised on the threshold of regulatory approval and clinical translation. We should also note that the
phenotyping approach used here was adapted from previous work (10) and was based on the binary classification of AL- and BL-predominant phenotypes. Binary classification methods based on a threshold do not stratify subjects based on ∆VDP and ∆RA\textsubscript{950} so all subjects with a positive ∆VDP and ∆RA\textsubscript{950} were classified as AL-predominant and those with a negative ∆VDP and ∆RA\textsubscript{950} were classified as BL-predominant. This straightforward method can certainly be improved by using three- or multi-dimensional indices and thresholds. In addition, we did not take into account emphysema or ventilation heterogeneity distributions between the lung core and rind regions as previously described (12-14, 49). CT images were acquired at the same inhalation volume as MRI (FRC+1L) rather than full inspiration in order to enable the direct comparison of MRI and CT phenotypes at the same lung volume. For this reason, the estimates of emphysema generated here based on CT cannot be directly compared with other quantitative CT emphysema estimates acquired at full inspiration. We note, however that in gas-trapped COPD patients, the differences between full inspiration and FRC+1L is approximately 1L and that the whole-lung RA\textsubscript{950} values reported here derived at a smaller lung volume are similar to those reported in the COPDGene study (18, 50).

Expiratory CT scans were not performed and in future studies, paired inspiratory-expiratory CT scans and phenotyping ex-smokers using novel parametric response mapping (PRM) techniques (21) might provide a better understanding of apical- and basal-predominant phenotypes. Furthermore, although acquired, ADC-based measures of emphysema from \textsuperscript{3}He MRI were not used to quantify emphysema here because of the bias that results from the fact that only well-ventilation regions report ADC. Future studies comparing regional ADCs with CT RA\textsubscript{90} may be useful to further verify the apical to basal pattern observed here. We must also point out that some of the patients classified with GOLD I COPD (ie. Subject 1 in Figure 4-1: 69 year-old female, FEV\textsubscript{1}=84%\textsubscript{pred}, FVC=94%\textsubscript{pred}, FEV\textsubscript{1}/FVC=69%) were within the lower limit of normal (LLN) spirometry values for the mean age of this subgroup (75±7 years). The mean values of 95±12%\textsubscript{pred} and 109±12%\textsubscript{pred} for FEV\textsubscript{1} and FVC respectively for the GOLD I subgroup (as show in Table 4-1) were also greater than the LLN as previously described (51). It is worth noting, however, that for this study, the diagnosis and classification of COPD included clinical symptoms over a 3 month period, a smoking history of >10 pack
years as well as the GOLD thresholds. We also acknowledge that this study focused on COPD patients with mainly mild-moderate disease (grade I COPD=22 subjects and grade II COPD=46 subjects) and that there was a small number of GOLD III (n=25) and GOLD IV (n=7) subjects and therefore our findings must be interpreted in this context.

In conclusion, we phenotyped ex-smokers with COPD based on the apical-basal distribution of emphysema and ventilation defects. We observed that a BL-predominant distribution of ventilation defects and AL-predominant distribution of emphysema was present in subjects with mild–moderate airflow obstruction. With increasing disease severity, there may be a basal-to-apical progression of ventilation abnormalities and an apical-to-basal progression of emphysema.
4.5 References


CHAPTER 5

5 Conclusions and Future Directions

This last chapter will provide a summary of the research findings in Chapters 2 – 4 and address important research questions that remain unanswered. I will also discuss limitations to the research work presented throughout the thesis and outline future directions that have been motivated by the work presented in Chapters 2 – 4.

5.1 Overview and Research Questions

COPD is the only one of the leading causes of morbidity and mortality that has a steadily increasing mortality rate (1). This increasing mortality rate is suspected to be attributable to poor performance of conventional pulmonary function tests to: 1) characterize early or subclinical lung disease, 2) to identify susceptible individuals and 3) to diagnose individuals in the mild stages. It has become clear over the last few decades that spirometry alone is unable to fully characterize the extreme heterogeneity of the disease (2, 3). In this regard, advances in pulmonary imaging with CT and MRI have become more sophisticated, now able to provide whole-lung and regional quantitative measures of emphysema and airways disease in vivo. The major goal of this thesis was to exploit regional and direct measurements of pulmonary ventilation from hyperpolarized $^3$He MRI to tease out clinically relevant pre- and subclinical lung disease phenotypes in ex-smokers with and without airflow limitation. The specific research questions addressed here were: 1) is there a mechanistic link between pre- or subclinical airflow limitation and vascular disease (Chapter 2)? 2) What is the underlying, pathophysiological phenotype of disease causing $^3$He ventilation abnormalities in ex-smokers without airflow limitation (Chapter 3)? 3) Can the apical-to-basal distribution of MRI ventilation abnormalities and CT emphysema be used to identify clinically relevant COPD phenotypes (Chapter 4)? Does the apical – basal phenotype change with COPD progression (Chapter 4)?

5.2 Summary and Conclusions

In Chapter 2 we collected carotid three-dimensional ultrasound and hyperpolarized $^3$He MRI from ex- and never-smokers without airflow limitation or a diagnosis of
cardiovascular disease to better understand the mechanistic link between pre- or subclinical lung and vascular disease. First, we observed that ex-smokers showed significantly more carotid plaque, indicative of carotid atherosclerosis, and more $^3$He ventilation heterogeneity, a sign of subclinical lung disease, compared to never-smokers. Second, carotid ultrasound measurements of plaque, wall thickness and vessel wall volume correlated with $^3$He ventilation heterogeneity for all subjects. Together these results suggest that there is a subclinical phenotype in ex-smokers without airflow limitation characterized by mild carotid atherosclerosis and airflow limitation that is not obvious on spirometry.

In Chapter 3 we aimed to better characterize the underlying pathophysiology of $^3$He ventilation defects in ex-smokers without airflow limitation using regional $^3$He MRI and CT measurements of emphysema and airways disease. In 60 ex-smokers without airflow limitation, 42 subjects had abnormal $^3$He ventilation heterogeneity while 18 had normal ventilation. Those ex-smokers with abnormal $^3$He ventilation exhibited worse whole-lung and apical-lung $^3$He ADC and we also observed that ventilation defects were spatially related to abnormal airway morphology and microstructural emphysema (ADC) in all subjects. These results suggested that there is a phenotype of ex-smokers with airflow limitation characterized by abnormal $^3$He ventilation, abnormal airway morphology and very mild emphysema.

In Chapter 4 our objectives were: 1) to phenotype COPD ex-smokers based on the apical-to-basal distribution of $^3$He ventilation defects and CT emphysema and 2) to evaluate how apical-basal disease phenotypes change with COPD progression. Out of 100 COPD patients, seventy-two reported basal-lung (BL) -predominant MRI ventilation defects and 71 reported apical-lung (AL) -predominant CT emphysema. BL-predominant ventilation defects and AL-predominant emphysema were the major phenotypes in mild-moderate COPD. In severe COPD there was a more uniform distribution for ventilation defects and emphysema. Together these results suggest that with increasing disease severity (worse airflow obstruction) there is a basal-to-apical progression of ventilation abnormalities and an apical-to-basal progression of emphysema.


5.3 Limitations

This section will provide an overview of the major limitations of each study presented in this thesis and how they can be overcome in future work.

5.3.1 Study Specific Limitations

The study in Chapter 2 was dependent on the collection of carotid three-dimensional ultrasound scans from every subject. The quality of carotid ultrasound scans is highly dependent on; 1) the anatomy of the patient being imaged and 2) having a highly trained technician perform the ultrasound scan (4). In our study, all ultrasound data acquired was sufficient quality for analysis but the scans had to be repeated several times for many individuals. This increased the overall time for ultrasound acquisition and time of each patient visit at our centre. This led us to believe that such a study might not be feasible in large cohorts of subjects, especially in patients with disease who are not as mobile and require careful monitoring even for short study visits. This is an issue because the relatively small sample size in our study may have limited our power to detect clinically meaningful differences in pulmonary function or ultrasound measurements of atherosclerosis. A large-scale cohort study exploiting pulmonary imaging and carotid ultrasound may provide more useful information on the comorbidity between atherosclerosis and airflow limitation, however a challenge would be collecting high-quality ultrasound data in a feasible timeframe. A second limitation to this study was the vessel-wall-volume (VWV) measurement (5) that was used to measure carotid atherosclerosis in the study subjects. We were not surprised that the one-dimensional measurement of carotid intima-media thickness (IMT) was not significantly different between ex- and never-smokers as the dynamic range for this parameter is extremely small which is known to reduce its sensitivity to small changes (6). However, the three-dimensional measurement of total plaque volume (TPV) revealed that ex-smokers had more carotid plaque than never-smokers while VWV, the second three-dimensional measurement, showed no difference between the two subgroups. This was an unexpected result because the VWV is the sum of plaque and carotid wall volume and we observed quantitatively more plaque in the ex-smokers. However, several carotid ultrasound studies (7, 8) have established that the VWV measurement is truly dependent on the
anatomy of the carotid artery and two subjects with a different amount of carotid plaque may have the same vessel-wall-volume. With this in mind, the refined vessel-wall measurement, termed the ‘vessel-wall-plus-plaque thickness’ (VWT) (9) which overcomes the size dependence of vessel wall volume, might provide clinically meaningful three-dimensional ultrasound findings in these ex- and never-smokers.

In **Chapter 3** we endeavored to provide a better understanding of the underlying etiology of $^3$He ventilation defects in ex-smokers without airflow limitation using regional MRI and CT measurements. One major limitation to this study was that for regional airway measurements we measured only one individual subsegmental airway that feeds into each lung lobe and not all airways associated with each lobe. We observed significant spatial relationships between ventilation defects in the right lung lobes and subsegmental airway morphology feeding into those lobes but did not observe these significant relationships in the left lung. The lobes of the left lung are larger than those in the right lung (10) and therefore lobar ventilation in the left lung is spread across more airways. By measuring only one subsegmental airway in each lobe we may have potentially missed abnormal airway morphology of other airways in each lobe. Furthermore, the subsegmental airways we measured were 3rd – 6th generation airways which are cartilaginous airways of the conducting zone. We chose to measure these airways in particular because they were consistently measurable in cross-section and clearly visible on thoracic CT for each and every subject, whereas higher generation airways were not always visible and measureable in every subject. Previous work (11) suggested that subsegmental airway morphology measured on CT reflects that of the small airways, however there is also evidence (12) that this may not always be the case. We must also acknowledge that the ability to measure up to a maximum airway generation is an inherent limitation of CT due to the achievable resolution on these scans (13). A second major limitation to this study was that we used a threshold cut off to subdivide ex-smokers into abnormally elevated ventilation defect percent (VDP) and those within the normal range for VDP and therefore our results are dependent on this threshold value. This threshold was based on the upper-limit of normal (95% confidence interval) of VDP in a well characterized group of never-smokers from a previous study (14). We are unsure how our findings would have changed if a different threshold value (ie. 90% confidence interval) was used.
Although it is likely we would have observed slightly different results with a more conservative VDP threshold.

In Chapter 4 our goal was to phenotype COPD ex-smokers based on the apical-to-basal distribution of ventilation abnormalities and emphysema and to determine if apical-basal phenotype changes with disease progression. We divided subjects into apical- and basal-lung predominant phenotypes based on a method previously described by Gietema (15).

In our study phenotypes were defined as follows (where RA_{950} is the relative area with attenuation ≤ -950HU): RA_{950} in the basal-lung (RA_{950BL} = RA_{950RLL} + RA_{950LLL}) and RA_{950} in the apical-lung (RA_{950AL} = RA_{950RUL} + RA_{950LUL}) were used to generate the difference between apical- and basal-lung RA_{950} (ΔRA_{950}) where ΔRA_{950} = RA_{950AL} - RA_{950BL}. A positive ΔRA_{950} was indicative of apical-lung-predominant emphysema, and a negative ΔRA_{950} indicated basal-lung-predominant emphysema. Similarly, regional $^3$He VDP was used to specify apical-lung- and basal-lung-predominant $^3$He ventilation defect phenotypes where the ventilation defect percent in the basal-lung (VDP_{BL} = VDP_{RLL} + VDP_{LLL}) was subtracted from the ventilation defect percent in the apical-lung (VDP_{AL} = VDP_{RUL} + VDP_{LUL}) to generate the VDP difference between the apical- and basal-lung- (ΔVDP). A positive ΔVDP indicated an apical-lung-predominant ventilation defects and a negative ΔVDP indicated basal-lung-predominant ventilation defects. Therefore the method we used to phenotype COPD subjects was very specific and it is entirely possible that our findings may have been different if we chose to use a different apical- basal-phenotyping strategy/equation as several others have been described (16-19). At the same time, the binary classification method we used to phenotype subjects might be oversimplistic for characterizing such a heterogeneous and multi-component disease such as COPD. Other strategies that phenotype COPD subjects based on the spatial location of disease using three- or multi-dimensional thresholds may offer more information on how the spatial location of disease impacts lung function. In addition, we did not explore the distribution of ventilation abnormalities and emphysema between the lung core and rind regions to phenotype COPD subjects as previous studies have done (16-18, 20). Phenotyping based on lung core – rind distribution may offer another useful method to identify clinically meaningful COPD subgroups.
5.3.2 General Limitations

The studies present in Chapters 2 – 4 all focus on using $^3$He MRI to phenotype ex-smokers. $^3$He MRI is an extremely costly research technique and is only used in a handful of research centres worldwide. It requires expensive spin-exchange optical pumping polarization equipment, specialized transmit – receive radiofrequency coils and highly trained physicists and technicians to operate polarization equipment and the MRI scanner. Therefore, just being able to construct and operate a hyperpolarized noble gas research centre alone is a large endeavor (21). At the same time, while we predominantly focused on the use of $^3$He noble gas, the global supply of this gas is declining and its price is increasing quickly. Currently, 1 litre of $^3$He costs in excess of $1K. Therefore given the limited supply of $^3$He along with the expense and resources required to operate a hyperpolarized noble gas MRI research centre, the transition of this imaging method into clinical setting does not seem likely. Concomitantly, the expense of $^3$He MRI ties in with the general limitation common in each study presented in this thesis of small sample sizes. While we believe our results are clinically relevant, we acknowledge that the number of subjects used in each study was much smaller than those in other large-scale lung imaging studies that used CT such as ECLIPSE (22), COPDGene (23) and MESA (24). Future hyperpolarized noble gas studies may benefit from multi-centre trials where more subjects can be recruited for imaging as was previously done in the Polarized Helium to Image the Lung (PHIL) trial (25).

Despite the limitations imposed by the declining levels of $^3$He, it is important to note that noble has MR images of ventilation were first proposed (26) with $^{129}$Xe gas which is substantially more abundant and cost effective than $^3$He. Furthermore, recent improvements in commercialization of $^{129}$Xe polarization equipment and software have made global exploitation of $^{129}$Xe MRI a possibility.

Along with general limitations imposed by $^3$He MRI in our studies, there may also be inherent limitations in our CT measurements. We aimed to provide an understanding on the structure-function relationships of $^3$He ventilation abnormalities and airway and parenchymal disease but it is established that CT is not sensitive to very mild or subclinical changes to the airways and parenchyma (27). First, due to CT resolution
limits, even among the most compliant subjects and highest quality scans, airways can only be counted and measured with confidence up to about the 10\textsuperscript{th} generation (13). And often there will be extreme heterogeneity among the airway generations that can be measured in COPD cohorts making the most commonly reported measurements from the large and central airways of the conducting zone. The highest generation airways we reported were from the 6\textsuperscript{th} generation airways in Chapter 3 and these were ex-smokers with normal spirometry and without severe disease. Therefore the resolution limits imposed by CT may have limited our power to find significant results using these airway measurements, since the airways being measured were more central than the small airways < 2mm which are the origin of airflow limitation in smoking related COPD. At the same time, it has also been shown (27) that CT measurements of emphysema cannot detect small emphysematous lesions, such as those that may occur in early or mild forms of COPD. Therefore another limitation inherent in the studies in Chapters 2 – 4 is related to the ability of CT to measure mild or microstructural emphysema. In this regard, we found that $^3$He MRI ADC measurements showed much higher sensitivity to early and mild emphysema as these measurements predominantly measure microstructural emphysema.

5.4 Future Directions

5.4.1 Longitudinal Hyperpolarized $^3$He MRI of Ex-smokers With and Without Airflow Limitation

Longitudinal imaging studies monitoring pulmonary pathophysiology in susceptible groups of ex- and current smokers are essential to improve our understanding of COPD progression and treatment outcomes. The Thoracic Imaging Network of Canada (TINCan) is a three-year follow-up pulmonary imaging study of $^3$He MRI and CT in ex-smokers with and without airflow limitation (28). Previous research has established that that $^3$He MRI ventilation measurements are reproducible (29), reflect response to therapy (30) and predict COPD exacerbation episodes (31) and therefore we believe it is valuable to investigate how and if pulmonary MRI ventilation measurements change over time.
Thus far, 82 ex-smokers (33 ex-smokers without airflow limitation, 48 ex-smokers with COPD (FEV₁/FVC<70%)) have undergone ³He MRI, inspiratory CT, pulmonary function testing and the six-minute walk test and completed the St. George’s Respiratory Questionnaire at baseline and follow-up at approximately three-years. Figure 5-1 shows ³He ventilation images at baseline and follow-up and the unventilated lung regions at follow-up for representative subjects (The change in ventilation heterogeneity, or change in ventilation defect percent (ΔVDP) is calculated as $\Delta VDP = VDP_{Follow-up} - VDP_{Baseline}$).

**Figure 5-1.** ³He ventilation images in ex-smokers at baseline and follow-up (3yr). Visually and quantitatively ex-smokers with COPD had a greater change and annual rate of change (ROC) in ³He ventilation over the three-years. S1 is a 65yr male ex-smoker without COPD $\Delta VDP = +3\%$ (ROC=$+1\%/yr$), S2 is a 76yr male ex-smoker with moderate COPD $\Delta VDP = +6\%$ (ROC=$+2\%/yr$) and S3 is a 72yr female ex-smoker with severe COPD $\Delta VDP = +10\%$ (ROC=$3\%/yr$).
This longitudinal pulmonary study will address several compelling questions including:

1) Are there regional and/or whole-lung changes in $^3$He ventilation heterogeneity from baseline to follow-up in ex-smokers with and without airflow limitation?

2) Are there differences in $\Delta VDP$ and annual rate of change (ROC) in VDP between ex-smokers with mild, moderate and severe COPD?

3) How do longitudinal pulmonary imaging measurements compare to longitudinal conventional pulmonary function test and exercise capacity measurements?

4) How do CT measurements of emphysema and airways disease relate to longitudinal pulmonary MRI measurements?

5) Do baseline and follow-up MRI measurements predict mortality in ex-smokers with and without airflow limitation?

5.4.2 Hyperpolarized $^3$He MRI Apparent Diffusion Coefficients: A Potential Tool to Evaluate Alpha-1 Antitrypsin Augmentation Therapy

Alpha-1 antitrypsin deficiency (AATD) results in an imbalance of neutrophil elastase and alpha-1 antitrypsin (AAT) causing panlobular emphysema and airflow limitation. AAT augmentation therapy is approved for the treatment of AATD-related lung disease and influences lung function decline in some AATD patients (32). Since $^3$He MRI ADC measurements are highly reproducible measurements of alveolar microstructure that are sensitive to emphysematous changes in the lungs, we believe that acquiring longitudinal $^3$He MRI ADC measurements in AATD patients might serve as a sensitive and direct method to evaluate disease progression and response to AAT augmentation therapy. **Figure 5-2** shows $^3$He ADC maps in three AATD patients and three ex-smokers with centrilobular emphysema at baseline and 3-year follow-up. AATD patients reported elevated $^3$He ADC in the basal-lung while COPD ex-smokers reported elevated ADC in the apical-lung. At the same time, AATD patients reported increased whole-lung ADC at 3 year follow-up compared to COPD ex-smokers who reported the same ADC at baseline and follow-up. The AATD subject receiving weekly augmentation therapy had a smaller increase in whole-lung ADC (+0.01 cm$^2$/s) compared to subjects not receiving
augmentation therapy (S2 and S3, +0.02 cm²/s). Similarly, the basal-lung ADC did not change in the AATD subject on augmentation therapy but increased in both AATD subjects not receiving therapy.

Figure 5-2. ³He ADC in alpha-1 antitrypsin patients & ex-smokers with emphysema at baseline and three-year follow-up. S1, 66yr male never-smoker undergoing augmentation therapy once/week. S2, 62yr female ex-smoker (44pck yr) and S3, 52yr male never-smoker not receiving augmentation therapy. S4, S5 and S6 are ex-smokers (>20 pack yr) with moderate (GOLD II) and severe (GOLD III) COPD.
Future work on this proof-of-concept longitudinal study of $^3$He MRI ADC measurements in AATD will provide answers to the following questions:

1) Do $^3$He MRI ADC measurements change regionally and throughout the whole lung in AATD patients over time?

2) Are changes in ADC measurements different between AATD patients and COPD patients with centrilobular emphysema?

3) How do ADC measurements change longitudinally in AATD patients receiving augmentation therapy compared to those who are not?

5.4.3 Second-Order Texture Analysis of Hyperpolarized $^3$He MR Images: Beyond the Ventilation Defect

Current image processing methods for evaluating ventilation heterogeneity on $^3$He MR images focus on quantifying the volume of ventilation defects throughout the lung as the ventilation defect percent (VDP). Unfortunately, these measurements do not exploit the valuable information inherent in the ventilation signal itself. We and other groups have observed that patients with the same VDP can have different local and regional ventilation patterns as depicted on MRI and different respiratory diseases, suggesting that there are measureable differences in ventilation heterogeneity that are not reflected by the VDP measurement alone. Figure 5-3 shows an example of this where the $^3$He ventilation images from an ex-smoker without COPD, a COPD ex-smoker and an asthmatic subject have the same VDP but each subject has different regions of ventilation heterogeneity and second-order texture measurements of ventilation signal. Therefore we believe that a texture analysis approach of analyzing the $^3$He ventilation signal may provide physiologically-relevant information on underlying disease processes.
Figure 5-3. $^3$He static-ventilation and $^3$He texture maps and measurements for a representative ex-smoker without airflow limitation, COPD subject and asthmatic subject.

The Ex-smoker is a 79 year-old female with FEV$_1$=88%, VDP=10%, $f_3=0.96$, $f_{12}=-0.34$ and $f_{13}=0.98$, the COPD subject is a 57 year-old female with FEV$_1$=93%, VDP=10%, $f_3=0.97$, $f_{12}=-0.35$ and $f_{13}=0.98$ and the Asthmatic is a 49 year-old female with FEV$_1$=69%, VDP=10%, $f_3=0.97$, $f_{12}=-0.35$ and $f_{13}=0.97$.

The preliminary work for this research was focused on 1) developing a second-order texture analysis algorithm that measures sixteen ($f_1$ – $f_{16}$) texture features through statistical evaluation of a grey level co-occurrence matrix (GLCM) (33), 2) using the algorithm to collect texture measurements from ex-smokers without COPD, ex-smokers with COPD and asthmatics with similar VDP and 3) performing statistical analyses on texture measurements to investigate any differences between lung disease phenotypes and testing the sensitivity and specificity of texture measurements for predicting lung disease phenotype. A semi-automated second-order texture analysis algorithm was created in MATLAB and is shown in Figure 5-4.
Figure 5-4. Second-order texture analysis algorithm for hyperpolarized $^3$He MR images

Texture information was only of interest in the $^3$He ventilation signal and not from the regions surrounding it. Therefore we constructed an algorithm that could semi-automatically extract the $^3$He ventilation signal without the surrounding signal void and then calculate texture parameters of the signal. 1, is the raw data $^3$He static-ventilation MR image coronal centre slice. 2 is the $^3$He static-ventilation MR image coronal centre slice with the trachea is removed. 3 is the filtered $^3$He image (filtered with a 10×10 median filter) which is used to extract 4, the maximum entropy threshold mask. 4 is then multiplied by 1 to generate 5 which is the $^3$He ventilation signal with no surrounding noise. Four gray-level co-occurrence matrices (6, one for each direction of interest; (-1,0), (-1,-1), (0,-1) and (1,-1)) are generated from 5 and are subsequently used to extract the sixteen texture features used in this study ($f_1-f_{16}$).
The sixteen second-order texture parameters measured by the semi-automated algorithm from are listed in Table 5-1 (33).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 5-1. Second-order texture measurements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$f_1$: Angular Second Moment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$f_2$: Contrast</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$f_3$: Correlation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$f_4$: Variance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$f_5$: Homogeneity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$f_6$: Sum Average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$f_7$: Sum Variance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$f_8$: Sum Entropy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$f_9$: Entropy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$f_{10}$: Difference Variance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$f_{11}$: Difference Entropy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$f_{12}$: Information Measures of Correlation 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$f_{13}$: Information Measures of Correlation 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$f_{14}$: Cluster Shade</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$f_{15}$: Cluster Prominence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$f_{16}$: Autocorrelation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sixty subjects consisting of 20 ex-smokers without COPD, 20 ex-smokers with COPD and 20 asthmatics with VDP < 20% were included in a preliminary analysis which found that $f_3$, $f_{12}$ and $f_{13}$ were significantly different on one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) analysis (Table 5-2).

This early work with second-order texture analysis in $^3$He MRI provided compelling data and motivated subsequent research from our group using texture analysis in asthmatics.
Table 5-2. Second-order texture measurements for all study subjects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Ex-smokers (n=20)</th>
<th>Mild COPD (n=20)</th>
<th>Asthma (n=20)</th>
<th>ANOVA p-value*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$f_1$ ($\times 10^4$)</td>
<td>100 (10)</td>
<td>80 (80)</td>
<td>100 (8)</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$f_2$</td>
<td>70 (62)</td>
<td>63 (44)</td>
<td>63 (48)</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$f_3$</td>
<td>0.95 (0.01)</td>
<td>0.96 (0.01)</td>
<td>0.96 (0.01)</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$f_4$</td>
<td>4610 (3420)</td>
<td>5080 (3210)</td>
<td>6350 (4830)</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$f_5$</td>
<td>0.29 (0.07)</td>
<td>0.29 (0.06)</td>
<td>0.30 (0.07)</td>
<td>0.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$f_6$</td>
<td>120 (42)</td>
<td>120 (39)</td>
<td>140 (60)</td>
<td>0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$f_7$ ($\times 10^3$)</td>
<td>17 (13)</td>
<td>19 (12)</td>
<td>23 (19)</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$f_8$</td>
<td>5 (0.4)</td>
<td>5 (0.4)</td>
<td>5 (0.4)</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$f_9$</td>
<td>8 (0.7)</td>
<td>8 (0.6)</td>
<td>8 (0.7)</td>
<td>0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$f_{10}$</td>
<td>70 (62)</td>
<td>63 (44)</td>
<td>63 (48)</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$f_{11}$</td>
<td>2.7 (0.4)</td>
<td>2.7 (0.3)</td>
<td>2.6 (0.4)</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$f_{12}$</td>
<td>-0.31 (0.03)</td>
<td>-0.34 (0.03)</td>
<td>-0.34 (0.03)</td>
<td>0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$f_{13}$</td>
<td>0.97 (0.01)</td>
<td>0.98 (0.01)</td>
<td>0.98 (0.01)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$f_{14}$ ($\times 10^3$)</td>
<td>38 (159)</td>
<td>118 (160)</td>
<td>41 (154)</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$f_{15}$ ($\times 10^3$)</td>
<td>3630 (6070)</td>
<td>5080 (5760)</td>
<td>4950 (6180)</td>
<td>0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$f_{16}$</td>
<td>4570 (3390)</td>
<td>5050 (3190)</td>
<td>6310 (4810)</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$f_1$: Angular second moment, $f_2$: Contrast, $f_3$: Correlation, $f_4$: Variance, $f_5$: Homogeneity, $f_6$: Sum Average, $f_7$: Sum Variance, $f_8$: Sum Entropy, $f_9$: Entropy, $f_{10}$: Difference Variance, $f_{11}$: Difference Entropy, $f_{12}$: Information Measures of Correlation 1, $f_{13}$: Information Measures of Correlation 2, $f_{14}$: Cluster Shade, $f_{15}$: Cluster Prominence, $f_{16}$: Autocorrelation

The Future of Texture Analysis in Pulmonary MRI: Applications in $^{129}$Xe and $^{19}$F MRI

The theory and data presented in this subsection laid the groundwork for the manuscript titled ‘Second-Order Texture Measurements of $^3$He Ventilation MRI: Proof-of-Concept Evaluation of Asthma Bronchodilator Response’ published in Academic Radiology (DOI: 10.1016/j.acra.2015.10.010). The manuscript was co-authored by Nanxi Zha, Damien Pike, Sarah Svenningsen, Dante PI Capaldi, David G McCormack and Grace Parraga.

This manuscript confirmed that texture analysis methods can be applied to $^3$He ventilation MR images and used to extract measurements that quantify ventilation heterogeneity and change in response to therapy (34, 35). However, in the future it is likely that pulmonary MRI studies using inhaled gases may transition from the use of $^3$He
to $^{129}$Xe and fluorinated ($^{19}$F) gases. Therefore, owing to this previous research that has revealed clinically meaningful results in $^3$He, scientists and clinicians should start investigating and implementing texture analysis algorithms (first, second, third and/or higher order) in $^{129}$Xe and $^{19}$F MR images. Texture analysis algorithms and texture measurements, unlike quantitative measurements of ventilation defects, take advantage of ventilation signal present within the lung. Early work with $^{129}$Xe has shown that ventilation defects are larger in $^{129}$Xe MR images compared to $^3$He MR images (36). This means that $^{129}$Xe MR images have less ventilation signal from which to extract texture measurements from compared to $^3$He MR images. This may cause the texture measurements to be different between $^{129}$Xe to $^3$He MR images and importantly, the clinically important change in response to therapy previously observed in $^3$He MR images may also change or not be detected using texture measurements from $^{129}$Xe or $^{19}$F images. Future work using $^{129}$Xe and $^{19}$F MRI should focus on providing a better understanding of how/if texture measurements show clinically relevant changes in response to therapy in these images analogous to those observed with $^3$He MRI. Since most previous work with pulmonary MRI and pulmonary ventilation has focused on ventilation defects (regions of signal void), a deeper understanding of pulmonary ventilation signal present in the lung through texture measurements could provide scientists and clinicians with novel findings related to ventilation heterogeneity and lung disease phenotypes.

5.5 Significance and Impact

Spirometry measurements are continuously used as the gold-standard for assessing pulmonary function and airflow limitation in COPD patients. Although expiratory airflow measurements are globally used as diagnostic criteria for COPD, it is now widely known that these measurements alone do not adequately characterize the heterogeneity of COPD with regards to lung function and structure. In light of this, imaging phenotypes of COPD have emerged as a solution to identify and characterize clinically relevant components of pulmonary structure and function in patients beyond conventional pulmonary function testing. In this thesis we demonstrated that $^3$He MRI measurements of pulmonary structure and function provide a way to phenotype ex-smokers without
airflow limitation and COPD patients. In this regard, in ex-smokers with normal spirometry there was $^3$He MRI evidence of pulmonary structural and functional abnormalities that were concordant with carotid atherosclerosis and mild microstructural emphysematous destruction or gas trapping. In COPD patients, $^3$He MRI provided a way to identify distinct apical- and basal-lung predominant disease phenotypes and we observed that these phenotypes were different according to disease severity.

Taken together, these results show how hyperpolarized noble gas MRI can be used as a tool to identify and characterize clinically relevant structural and functional changes that occur in COPD. As advances in pulmonary imaging continue, and novel quantitative measurements emerge to evaluate lung disease, the important findings from this thesis will serve as a foundation for knowledge for understanding COPD phenotypes.
5.6 References


APPENDIX

APPENDIX A – Imaging Evidence of the Relationship Between Carotid Atherosclerosis and Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease

As the focus of this thesis is on pulmonary imaging phenotypes of COPD, and given that the study in Chapter 1 provides an overview and understanding on the relationships between MR imaging measurements of airflow limitation and 3DUS measurements of carotid atherosclerosis, In Appendix A we have provided the review article titled ‘Imaging Evidence of the Relationship between Atherosclerosis and Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease’ which is published in Imaging in Medicine (DOI: 10.2217/iim.13.70, 2013). Permission to reproduce the chosen section(s) of this article was granted by Future Medicine® and is provided in Appendix D.


REVIEW ARTICLE:
Imaging Evidence of the Relationship between Atherosclerosis and Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease

ABSTRACT

Tobacco smoking is a risk factor for both pulmonary disease and atherosclerosis and a number of studies have provided experimental and epidemiological evidence about the potential links between chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) and atherosclerosis that is not explained by tobacco smoking alone. While this evidence is very compelling, the direct mechanisms that potentially accelerate atherosclerosis development in patients with COPD have not been established. At the same time, major
advances in quantitative pulmonary and vascular non-invasive imaging tools have advanced the development and validation of surrogate or intermediate endpoints of these chronic disorders. This article provides a review of emerging and established imaging methods that have the potential to quantify pulmonary disease and atherosclerosis non-invasively and robustly, in the same patients over time; we summarize studies that endeavored to evaluate lung structure-function and atherosclerosis in order to provide novel insights on disease pathogenesis and progression.

INTRODUCTION AND OVERVIEW: Is there a relationship between chronic lung and vascular disease that is not explained by smoking history?

There is increasing evidence that chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) and atherosclerosis may be directly and mechanistically related beyond shared risk factors of cigarette smoking and exposure to environmental pollutants. Large scale investigations such as the Lung Health Study (1), the Multi-ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis (MESA) Lung Study (2) and the Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities (ARIC) study (3), along with population based studies on COPD mortality and morbidity (4-6) have established that impaired lung function is a risk factor for vascular disease, independent of cigarette smoking. While atherosclerosis and chronic pulmonary disease share common risk factors such as cigarette smoke, air pollution, biomass exposure, poor nutrition and in much of the developed world, low socio-economic status (7), the relationship between COPD and atherosclerosis-related morbidities such as ischemic heart disease and stroke extend beyond these shared risk factors. However, the exact mechanisms underlying this relationship are not well understood (8).

As shown in Figure 1, recently, van Eeden and others have proposed the “lung permeability” theory as an important mechanism behind the relationship between COPD and atherosclerosis (8). These authors and others suggest that inhalation of the environmental irritant (e.g. cigarette smoke, air pollution particles, respiratory viral or bacterial microbes) is the inciting event. In response, the host mounts a robust inflammatory reaction to control and eliminate these environmental irritants, preventing
the direct translocation of toxic chemicals, respiratory pathogens and air pollution particles into the systemic circulation and enabling the host defenses to remove these unwanted visitors. To promote this process, airway epithelial cells, alveolar macrophages and various circulating hematopoietic cells (e.g. neutrophils) synthesize cytokines, chemokines and reactive oxygen and nitrogen species in the lungs. Because the lungs are highly vascularized, it is possible although not proven, that during periods of inflammatory and oxidant stress, the alveolar-capillary interface may become highly permeable and allow inflammatory mediators to migrate into the systemic circulation. Some of these inflammatory mediators (e.g. interleukin-6 (IL-6) and interleukin 1-beta) can coax the liver to increase production of secondary mediators of inflammation such as C-reactive protein, fibrinogen, serum amyloid protein and pro-coagulant factors, and the bone marrow to increase the synthesis and release of leukocytes into the systemic circulation, causing an amplification of the inflammatory signal. With chronic lung insult (as in the case of cigarette smoking or living in highly polluted areas), the alveolar-capillary interface may “re-model” and become persistently “leaky”, leading to a state of chronic (low-grade) systemic inflammation even after the environmental exposure is removed (as in the case of smoking cessation). The constantly elevated level of inflammatory markers may contribute to the genesis and progression of atherosclerosis. However recent work in this area (9, 10) has shown that systemic inflammation is not the only factor contributing to atherogenesis in COPD. In fact, systemic inflammation is common to several COPD comorbidities (9) and it was recently shown that COPD patients with cardiovascular disease differ only in levels of IL-6 (10). This suggests there must be other drivers of cardiovascular disease in COPD. Potential mediators such as nutrition, inactivity, genetic factors or medication may promote vascular dysfunction in COPD patients.

To date, the large majority of studies evaluating links between pulmonary and vascular disease have focused on pulmonary function tests to assess lung function and mainly carotid atherosclerosis intermediate endpoints such as intima media thickness. One major limitation of “global” pulmonary function tests is that they provide no anatomical or regional information of the lungs (11). This limitation has been surmounted by the advent of non-invasive pulmonary imaging techniques that can directly quantify
pulmonary structure-function correlates and enable accurate phenotyping of disease (12-14).

The risk of atherosclerosis can be monitored with or without vascular imaging techniques. Commonly, blood level measurements of lipids such as low density lipoprotein (LDL), high density lipoprotein (HDL) and triglycerides and less frequently, inflammatory mediators such as C-reactive protein and myeloperoxidase are used to provide surrogate measures of lipid profile and systemic inflammation which may play a role in atherogenesis. In addition to blood sampling, the ankle brachial index (ABI) - the ratio of the systolic pressure of the ankle to the arm, is also widely used as another “non-imaging” surrogate measure of atherosclerosis (15, 16). Although these non-imaging methods have been used in pulmonary-vascular disease studies, they are limited in the fact that they provide measurements of “generalized” atherosclerosis or vascular disease (15). From a direct imaging perspective, carotid ultrasound (US) measurements have emerged as reliable tools for evaluating atherosclerosis burden because they correlate well with future cardiovascular events (17, 18) and importantly, the method is relatively rapid, inexpensive and uncomplicated to acquire and quantify. Although there is still some controversy as to which ultrasound measurement best estimates risk of outcomes such as stroke (19), there is no disagreement that extensive development of non-invasive imaging methods to directly quantify atherosclerosis (20-24) has stimulated the development of improved medical treatment options and improved outcomes (25).

As several excellent reviews are available on chronic respiratory disease (26) and cardiovascular risk (7, 27-29), and owing to the limited use of angiography (30-33) and intravascular ultrasound (IVUS) (34, 35) for research purposes outside of coronary artery disease, here we focus on emerging pulmonary and atherosclerosis imaging techniques. There are few studies published that use imaging to interrogate pulmonary and vascular disease in the same patients. As summarized in Table 1, a number of key studies have primarily used imaging to investigate the relationship between carotid atherosclerosis and pulmonary function in COPD. Collectively, these studies provide a strong foundation for future studies that may incorporate novel imaging methods of both pulmonary and vascular disease in smokers and ex-smokers.
### Table 1: Summary of studies investigating lung and heart disease using imaging

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Sample Size</th>
<th>Age (yrs)</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Pulmonary measurements</th>
<th>Cardiovascular measurements</th>
<th>Study Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ebrahim [55]</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>53/47</td>
<td>Britain</td>
<td>- FEV&lt;sub&gt;i&lt;/sub&gt;, VC</td>
<td>- ABI, Doppler US</td>
<td>Decreased stroke volume in COPD patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engström [117]</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>55/47</td>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>- PEF, FEV&lt;sub&gt;i&lt;/sub&gt;, FVC, VC</td>
<td>- Carotid US</td>
<td>Decreased FEV&lt;sub&gt;i&lt;/sub&gt;, associated with ABI and IMT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schroeder [3]</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>43/57</td>
<td>ARIC (USA)</td>
<td>- ABI, FVC, FEV&lt;sub&gt;i&lt;/sub&gt;, FEV&lt;sub&gt;i&lt;/sub&gt;/FVC</td>
<td>- CT coronary calcification</td>
<td>Decreased FEV&lt;sub&gt;i&lt;/sub&gt;, associated with &lt;ABI and &gt;IMT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iwamoto [105]</td>
<td>305</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>100/0</td>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>- FEV&lt;sub&gt;i&lt;/sub&gt;, FVC, FEV&lt;sub&gt;i&lt;/sub&gt;/FVC</td>
<td>- Carotid US</td>
<td>- IMT and plaque highest in smokers with COPD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dransfield [124]</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>79/21</td>
<td>NLST (USA)</td>
<td>- CT aortic calcification</td>
<td>- CT coronary calcification</td>
<td>- Lower FEV&lt;sub&gt;i&lt;/sub&gt;,VC and FVC higher in subjects with COPD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>van Gestel [107]</td>
<td>585</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>78/22</td>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>- CT aortic distensibility, MRI aortic distensibility</td>
<td>- CT coronary calcification</td>
<td>Decreased IMT associated with COPD severity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kim [106]</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>98/2</td>
<td>Korea</td>
<td>- CT aortic distensibility, MRI aortic distensibility</td>
<td>- CT coronary calcification</td>
<td>- FEV&lt;sub&gt;i&lt;/sub&gt;CO negatively correlated with FEV&lt;sub&gt;i&lt;/sub&gt;, FVC and FEV&lt;sub&gt;i&lt;/sub&gt;/FVC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matsuoka [112]</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>57/43</td>
<td>LTRC (USA)</td>
<td>- CT aortic distensibility, MRI aortic distensibility</td>
<td>- CT coronary calcification</td>
<td>- Aortic calcification related to %CSA&lt;5mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frantz [118]</td>
<td>448</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>46/78</td>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>- CT aortic distensibility, MRI aortic distensibility</td>
<td>- CT coronary calcification</td>
<td>- Lower FEV&lt;sub&gt;i&lt;/sub&gt; and IMT negatively correlated with ABI and IMT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barr [2]</td>
<td>3642</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>45/45</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>- CT coronary calcification</td>
<td>- CT coronary calcification</td>
<td>- CT coronary calcification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rasmussen [111]</td>
<td>1135</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>57/43</td>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>- CT coronary calcification</td>
<td>- CT coronary calcification</td>
<td>- CT coronary calcification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chae [123]</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>57/43</td>
<td>Korea</td>
<td>- CT coronary calcification</td>
<td>- CT coronary calcification</td>
<td>- CT coronary calcification</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1: Schematic for proposed mechanism explaining atherosclerosis burden in patients with chronic lung disease related to smoking or environmental toxins.

Chronic exposure to toxins, mainly cigarette smoke and/or air pollution triggers an inflammatory response within the lung airspaces that is dominated by alveolar macrophages. The inflammatory markers within the alveoli spill [8] into the vascular system where they stimulate the liver and bone marrow to release various inflammatory and coagulation mediators such as; C-reactive protein (CRP), fibrinogen, platelets and leukocytes. The systemic inflammatory response that follows promotes atherogenesis in combination with high levels of circulating cholesterol, coagulation factors and inflammatory mediators.
IMAGING ATHEROSCLEROSIS:

Carotid Arteries

Carotid atherosclerosis is the main cause of transient ischemic attack and ischemic stroke, and is believed to be closely linked to atherosclerosis in the coronary and peripheral vascular beds that cause myocardial infarction, and leg claudication, respectively (36). As shown in Figure 1 and in more detail in Figure 2, the carotid artery bifurcates within the neck and in most subjects, this allows for direct and non-invasive imaging through the neck tissues using ultrasound methods. In the longitudinal or transverse view, atherosclerotic plaque is typically observed near the bifurcation and in the internal carotid artery and in the axial view, wall thickening and lumen stenosis can be visualized.

Several imaging techniques have evolved to better understand the morphology of atherosclerosis and monitor its progression over time. B-mode carotid ultrasound is a non-invasive, relatively inexpensive imaging technique that provides direct morphological measurements at the site of future cardiovascular events (18, 37). Doppler ultrasound is commonly used for evaluating carotid stenosis (38). For research purposes, three-dimensional US (3DUS) and carotid magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) are unique among carotid imaging methods in their ability to readily distinguish between different atherosclerotic tissue components and plaque. This provides a way to potentially assess carotid plaque vulnerability (20). Distinct from carotid atherosclerosis imaging, imaging the heart using thoracic computed tomography (CT) for the detection and quantification of coronary artery calcifications has also emerged as a means to non-invasively evaluate atherosclerosis risk (33).
Figure 2: Schematic for Carotid Artery Atherosclerosis.

Axial or Cross-sectional view: The common carotid artery (CCA) is shown with atherosclerotic plaque in yellow under a thin fibrous cap within the intima layer and modest lumen narrowing or arterial stenosis.

Longitudinal or Transverse view: The CCA bifurcates approximately at the jaw line into the internal carotid artery (ICA) and the external carotid artery (ECA), at the bifurcation (BF) point. The lumen-intima, and media-adventitia boundaries and calcified atherosclerotic plaque are readily visible in both the axial and longitudinal views of the artery.

B-mode ultrasound

Brightness-mode (B-mode) ultrasound (US) is typically used to image carotid arteries for atherosclerotic burden principally because it is non-invasive, it is relatively inexpensive and it is widely available in most clinical facilities. To obtain a two-dimensional (2D) grey-scale image, high frequency ultrasound pulses are transmitted to internal tissues and their reflections (which themselves are dependent on the different acoustic impedances of the tissues) are converted to different pixel intensities (39, 40). A good example of this is provided in Figure 3 and shows the IMT measurement that is now recognized as robust and reliable for evaluating atherosclerotic burden (41). It provides a 1D measurement of
the mean distance between the lumen-intima and media-adventitia boundaries of the common carotid artery (CCA) (40). To standardize IMT measurements, guidelines were established by the Mannheim Intima-Media Thickness Consensus (42, 43). The 2D ultrasound images used to segment IMT are either generated by navigating a reconstructed 3D volume to visualize the desired plane (44), or by directly acquiring a 2D image using the ultrasound probe (23). Importantly, IMT measurements do not incorporate a measure of carotid plaque, as users are encouraged to perform IMT segmentation only in regions of the CCA that are void of plaque to ensure they capture an accurate representation of the intima-media layer (42, 43).

**Doppler ultrasound**

Carotid Doppler ultrasound incorporates B-mode and Doppler ultrasound imaging (45). The recorded B-mode 2D image provides structural and anatomical information about the vessel and surrounding tissues, while the Doppler component provides useful information about the blood flow in the vessel (46). This offers a way to determine the velocity of blood in a vessel and provides information regarding the degree of stenosis in the artery (46). Atherosclerotic stenosis and blood flow velocity are directly proportional; therefore arteries with larger plaques present with a greater blood velocity (46). Even though carotid disease is still diagnosed using conventional angiography, carotid Doppler US is suggested to be the most accurate non-invasive imaging modality in screening individuals for carotid artery stenosis (38, 46).

**Three-dimensional ultrasound**

Figure 4 shows a schematic for the methodology of three dimensional (3D) ultrasound (US) volume acquisition. These scans differ slightly from 2D acquisition because the US probe is translated along the neck of the individual. This “linear translation” (21) along the neck acquires a series of 2D images that are co-registered spatially into a 3D volume (40). As shown in Figure 3, several measurements have been developed to date that utilize reconstructed 3D US volumes in order to monitor atherosclerotic disease progression. These measurements include 2D total plaque area (TPA) measurement, and the 3D total plaque volume (TPV) and vessel wall volume (VWV) measurement (47-50).
The TPA ultrasound measurement provides a 2D measurement that quantifies the area of a plaque along the longitudinal view of the artery. The user is required to outline the plaque as it appears in its largest dimension which can be somewhat subjective. The segmentation can be performed on the US scanner by finding the plane of interest and freezing and magnifying the image (47) and the cursor is used to trace around the selected plaque (47). The reconstructed 3D volume can also be used to isolate the longitudinal view of interest and perform the measurement by tracing the perimeter of the plaque using computer software. Previous research (47, 51) has demonstrated that TPA is associated with serum cholesterol levels, hypertension myocardial infarction, risk of stroke and risk of death.

Three-dimensional TPV and VWV measurements have been developed to monitor atherosclerotic disease progression, while providing useful information about the development of atherosclerotic plaques. The first technical development of TPV (48) used a manual segmentation approach where the volume of the plaque was generated from the area of a series of axial slices. However, more recently, TPV has been generated using a semi-automated approach (52) and this has helped to improve speed and reproducibility of the measurements.

A 3D IMT measurement that includes plaque can be generated from 3DUS – the 3DUS vessel wall volume (VWV) (41). 3DUS VWV provides a circumferential wall thickness measurement, including plaque (41, 53) and can be used to track changes over short time scales (54) and recent research has shown that these measurements correlate well with age and sex (40). Ultrasound imaging measurements have been widely used to understand cardiovascular disease and patient outcomes (47, 55), although it is difficult to distinguish between atherosclerotic plaque components.
Figure 3: B-mode and 3DUS of the Common Carotid Artery.

Top panel: Conventional B-mode ultrasound common carotid artery image in the transverse plane and the generation of intima-media thickness (IMT) measurement. Bottom panel: 3DUS common carotid artery in the axial or cross-sectional plane with the generation of total plaque volume (TPV), and vessel wall volume (VWV) ultrasound measurements.
Figure 4: Three-Dimensional Ultrasound Image Acquisition.

Left panel: Typical 3DUS scanning procedure. The subject is supine with head turned to enable access to R or L carotid artery. The mechanical mover shown in the left panel holds the transducer in place and translates it up the neck. Middle panel: Schematic for transducer acquiring a series of two-dimensional images that are co-registered to generate a three-dimensional (3D) volume. Right panel: 3D volume for the common carotid artery in the axial or transverse plane.

Carotid magnetic resonance imaging

Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) provides a way to distinguish between soft tissues, allowing for the evaluation of plaque composition and potentially, plaque vulnerability to rupture and thrombosis (56). Plaque vulnerability is typically assessed by examining different characteristics such as the fibrous cap, lipid core and localized calcifications in the carotid arteries (20, 57). In particular, the thickness of the fibrous cap is of great interest, since rupturing of this component would expose the necrotic lipid core to the blood flow which is believed to cause thromboembolic events; recent studies (58) have shown that carotid MRI findings correlate with histology and are sensitive in detecting thin and ruptured fibrous layers (59). Other studies (60, 61) have reported the use of a gadolinium-based contrast agent to obtain a higher contrast between atherosclerotic plaque characteristics and have found that the contrast between plaque structures can be enhanced even more.
Thoracic computed tomography

As shown in Figure 5, thoracic CT can be used to reveal and quantify calcifications within the coronary arteries as a surrogate measurement of advanced coronary artery disease and atherosclerosis (33). Such calcified lesions within the arterial wall are much denser than surrounding cardiovascular tissue and are visualized as regions of greater x-ray attenuation on thoracic CT scans. Commonly, a threshold of ≥130HU is used to identify calcified lesions on thoracic CT based on the scoring method previously described by Agatston (62). This approach has been the most widely-used quantification method for coronary calcifications and studies have shown that Agatston scores correlate with future cardiovascular events (63, 64). The use of coronary artery calcifications has not been widely-used however to evaluate the relationship between vascular and pulmonary disease.

Figure 5: Axial CT view of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease Patients with Coronary Calcifications.

Yellow arrows point to coronary calcifications which are easily visible by increased x-ray attenuation within cardiovascular tissues.
IMAGING CHRONIC OBSTRUCTIVE PULMONARY DISEASE

COPD is characterized by chronic airflow limitation and systemic inflammation and is currently the fourth leading cause of death worldwide, affecting approximately 10% of the population over 40 years of age (65). COPD is a heterogeneous disease comprised of airway inflammation, alveolar destruction (emphysema), mucociliary dysfunction, pulmonary hypertension, and small airway remodeling (11, 66). Traditionally, COPD is diagnosed using the spirometry threshold of the forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV₁) <70% of the forced vital capacity (FVC). However, it has been suggested that FEV₁ and FVC are relatively insensitive to the pathophysiological changes that occur well before lung function declines (66). Consequently, FEV₁ and FVC alone do not sufficiently characterize lung disease onset, progression or response to treatment, especially early in the disease (67, 68). Moreover, spirometry provides only a global measurement of overall lung disease with no regional structural or functional information to identify the diseased area or the underlying pathology. These drawbacks have provided the major motivation behind the development and optimization of sensitive and non-invasive pulmonary imaging modalities that can locate, characterize and quantify pathophysiological changes that cause lung function decline.

Pulmonary imaging offers several advantages for characterization of COPD: 1) it is sensitive to early structural and functional changes in the lungs (69-73), 2) it allows visualization of the pathophysiological processes contributing to airflow limitation (14, 74), 3) it provides regional information of which anatomical locations of the lung are affected by disease (14, 75), and, 4) patients can potentially be stratified for treatment based on lung disease phenotype identified using pulmonary imaging (74, 76-78).

Thoracic X-ray computed tomography of COPD

The development of quantitative tools to measure airway dimensions and parenchyma structure using high-resolution computed tomography (CT) have made it the pulmonary imaging modality of choice (13, 14, 78, 79). As previously mentioned, pulmonary function tests do not correlate well with morphological changes in the lung (11, 67, 68). CT however, is well suited to distinguish whether lung function decline is attributable to
emphysema or airways disease. Thoracic CT images of pulmonary structure are based on tissue attenuation of x-rays in the lung parenchyma and surrounding vasculature. Therefore, high-resolution images of pulmonary structure can be acquired without the use of contrast agents.

Smoking-related emphysema is characterized by alveolar destruction which leads to increased lung volume, decreased surface area for gas exchange and either localized or uniform emphysematous lesions to the lung parenchyma (66). The emphysematous lesions have markedly reduced density which can be identified by regions of lower x-ray attenuation values in Hounsfield units from the density frequency histogram taken from CT images (78). One approach for quantifying the extent of emphysema has employed a threshold cutoff for voxels with attenuation values below a predetermined Hounsfield unit as emphysematous tissue (78). CT attenuation thresholds have been used to identify emphysema at -910HU (80), -950HU (81), -960HU and -970HU (82). However, -950HU has emerged as the most common cutoff to distinguish between emphysematous and healthy lung tissue (81). Another widely-used method for determining emphysema severity is with a “percentile cutoff” (eg. the lowest 15th percentile) that identified the percent of emphysematous tissue based on the frequency distribution of attenuation values across a group of subjects (78, 82, 83). Both techniques are considered acceptable for cross-sectional COPD studies. However, the Alpha-1 Foundation workshop committee recommended (84) that only the percentile cutoff approach be used for longitudinal studies since technical variations have less influence on these measurements (84). Another technique for quantifying emphysema also incorporated attenuation cutoff values, but used a statistical approach to identify low attenuation clusters (LAC) (85, 86). Briefly, the size of an emphysematous lesion (connected voxels below a certain attenuation value) is plotted against the total number of “clusters” of connected voxels on a log-log plot (cluster size on x axis, number of clusters on y-axis). The slope of this relationship, which follows a power law, represents the size of the emphysematous lesion (87). Typically flatter curves with lower slope values represent larger emphysematous lesions.
Airways disease can be assessed using CT-derived measurements of airways dimensions. Airway remodeling in COPD is prominently caused by inflammation within the airway wall epithelium and mucous plugs in the airway lumen (66). Therefore, CT measurements of airway wall area (WA) and lumen area (LA) are of interest for evaluating the severity of airways disease. The primary limitation of CT airway analysis lies within the limits of x-ray resolution and dose (78). Previous work (88) has established that the major site of airflow limitation in COPD is in airways <2 mm in diameter. These airways, however, are difficult to visualize on CT (89). Many different computational algorithms have been used to measure airways (90-93) however, it remains unknown which algorithm provides the most useful airway data (84). Figure 6 shows representative coronal CT images and CT-derived airway trees and LAC maps for two healthy (FEV₁/FVC≧.70) and two COPD subjects (FEV₁/FVC<.70). Compared to the healthy subjects, the COPD patients have larger and greater heterogeneity of attenuation values which are reflected in the large LAC clusters corresponding to these low attenuation regions. These images are a good representation of how CT along with quantification software can be used to evaluate disease severity.
Figure 6: Thoracic x-ray Computed tomography (CT) in Healthy older subjects and patients with Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease.

Left panels: CT coronal and axial centre slice scans Right panels: Corresponding CT-derived volume rendered airway tree next to co-registered airway tree with low attenuation cluster (LAC) map for two healthy subjects (S1 and S2) and two COPD subjects (S3 and S4).
**Magnetic Resonance Imaging of COPD**

Until recently, pulmonary magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) has been challenging owing to the low proton ($^1$H) density (and in contrast high air density) within the lungs. Conventional MRI generates signal and tissue contrast based on the perturbation of $^1$H which is bound to both water and fat in most tissues of the body. Distinct from other organs, the lungs have very low proton density which results in low contrast and little to no morphological information on pulmonary $^1$H MR images (25). This has motivated the development and optimization of MRI techniques that are able to visualize lung structure and function. Namely, hyperpolarized noble gas MRI and ultra-short echo time (UTE) $^1$H MRI have emerged as promising methods to non-invasively image the lungs and have been used to study COPD.

Hyperpolarized noble-gas MRI provides a way to visualize lung function by imaging ventilation within the lung. As shown in Figure 7, this breath-hold technique can be performed using hyperpolarized $^3$He or $^{129}$Xe gases (94) to generate images of ventilation within the lungs. These “static-ventilation” (SV) images provide functional information by visualizing gas distribution, and as shown in Figure 8, ventilation distribution in healthy subjects is homogeneous, with gas filling all regions within the lung (95). In contrast, COPD patients have regions of signal void, or ventilation defects, which represent areas of the lung that do not participate in ventilation (25). To quantify hyperpolarized $^3$He and $^{129}$Xe MRI data the volume of gas visualized in the images can be normalized to patient’s thoracic cavity volume to generate a ventilation defect volume (VDV) (96), a ventilation defect percent (VDP) (97) and percent ventilation volume (PVV) (71). Although it is likely that ventilation defects represent disease, the exact etiology underlying them is not entirely clear. In COPD, these ventilation abnormalities may be due to airway disease, emphysema or a combination of both diseases. Another possibility is that these areas may be slow filling and are not ventilated during the breath-hold scan. In the future, uncovering the pathophysiology of noble gas ventilation defects in COPD will require the accurate registration of structural CT images with functional MR images, integrating other lung structural modalities such as optical coherence tomography (98) and comparing MRI data with histology (99). Beyond functional
imaging, noble gas MRI can also be used to probe lung microstructure by using diffusion-weighted MRI which can characterize the dimensions of acinar ducts and alveoli, the main structures involved in gas exchange (12, 100, 101). By sensitizing the MRI system to diffusion of the noble gas atoms, apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) maps can be generated and quantified to assess lung microstructure (100). In COPD, emphysema is associated with acinar duct enlargement and alveolar destruction (66). These larger alveolar spaces in diseased subjects will generate higher ADC values on diffusion-weighted MRI scans compared to small, intact alveoli of a healthy volunteer (75, 99) because the gas atoms have a larger distance per unit time to travel. This is represented in Figure 8 where the ADC maps display brighter colors as COPD/emphysema severity increases, representing an increase in ADC values and larger airspaces in these subjects. A limitation to diffusion-weighted MRI in noble gas imaging of the lungs is related to the ventilation patterns mentioned previously. ADC values can be extracted only for regions of the lung that participate in ventilation, therefore in patients with high VDP the structure of the VDV cannot be probed.

Independent of MRI methods that employ tracer gases to visualize lung structure and function, conventional $^1$H MRI using short echo times can be used to visualize pulmonary structure (12). Such methods have been employed to try to reduce air-tissue interface artifacts (102) which are typically abundant on pulmonary MRI, allowing acquisition of images that can provide structural information by differentiating between regions of low and high proton density (103). This has potentially useful applications to COPD because low proton-density regions may represent emphysematous lesions whereas regions of high proton density could represent areas of other diseases or lung injuries such as edema (25, 103).

**Imaging Relationships Between Lung Disease and Atherosclerosis**

While cardiovascular and respiratory diseases are the first and third leading causes of death in the United States (104), both diseases are typically evaluated as separate conditions. However, the last two decades have seen numerous studies providing imaging evidence that both diseases are related (1, 2, 5, 6, 57, 105-115). These studies,
summarized in Table 1, have established two important clinical findings: 1) COPD patients have an increased burden of atherosclerosis in the form of carotid atherosclerosis and coronary artery plaque, and, 2) the relationship linking lung and heart diseases is complex and involves both structural and functional abnormalities to the lungs and vascular system.

Figure 7: Conventional anatomical $^1$H MR and functional $^3$He and $^{129}$Xe MR images.
Application of Carotid Ultrasound to Lung and Atherosclerosis Studies

Carotid ultrasound semi-automated measurements of IMT make it well-suited to be used in large-scale studies investigating atherosclerosis and lung disease. Studies using carotid ultrasound to investigate a relationship between atherosclerosis and lung disease started to emerge over a decade ago (55, 116, 117). In one study (55), subjects from the British Regional Heart Study were evaluated and the investigators observed a cross-sectional relationship between carotid IMT and spirometry. Shortly after, a significant association between spirometry and Doppler ultrasound measurements of stenosis in an elderly population of Swedish men was reported (117). Another study (116) used carotid ultrasound to examine carotid IMT and the development of carotid plaques in relation to peak expiratory flow (PEF) in an elderly cohort from France. Consistent with the two other studies, they found that reduced lung function (reduced PEF) was associated with increased atherosclerotic burden through elevated IMT and increased carotid plaque occurrence. Four years later this area of research was revisited (3) in a large cohort recruited from the Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities (ARIC) study. Using carotid ultrasound IMT and the ankle-brachial index (ABI) or the ratio of ankle to arm systolic blood pressure in tandem with spirometry measurements, a cross-sectional association was observed between spirometry and IMT and ABI. This study invited future research on the coincident findings of lung disease and atherosclerosis using a number of imaging techniques. Subsequent work focused on the relationship of carotid ultrasound measurements of atherosclerosis and spirometry measurements of pulmonary function.

Several years later, a study (105) in 305 Japanese volunteers showed that COPD smokers had significantly greater IMT and plaque compared to smokers and non-smokers without airflow limitation. Furthermore it was observed that FEV₁ was independently associated with IMT after adjustment for age, BMI and blood pressure. The finding that IMT was elevated in patients with airflow limitation was replicated two years later (106) in a
Korean study that showed greater IMT in COPD patients compared to non-COPD subjects. This group also observed a significant correlation between IMT and spirometry (FEV₁, FEV₁/FVC and FVC). There is evidence that this relationship may work in both directions, meaning that cardiovascular disease patients may have equally high risk of developing respiratory diseases as COPD patients are of developing cardiovascular conditions. Another research team obtained pre-operative spirometry and carotid ultrasound measurements in a group of vascular surgery patients undergoing aortic or carotid artery surgeries and classified the patients based on severity of COPD (107). They observed that subjects with spirometry evidence of airflow limitation had significantly greater IMT than non-COPD vascular surgery patients. In the five-year follow-up period nearly 30% of vascular surgery patients died, and after adjusting for confounders, elevated IMT was associated with increased total mortality. This work was in agreement with another investigation that evaluated (118) relationships between carotid plaque, FEV₁ and VC along with total lung capacity (TLC), residual volume (RV) and diffusion capacity of the lung for carbon monoxide (DL_{CO}). The researchers observed that subjects with plaque had statistically significantly lower FEV₁, VC and DL_{CO} and higher RV but no significant difference in TLC. This was the first study to probe pulmonary measurements beyond spirometry and PEF, and showed that these relationship were complex, with lung structure-function implications (118).

**Application of Carotid Magnetic Resonance Imaging to Lung and Atherosclerosis Studies**

Few studies have utilized carotid MRI to study lung and heart disease that is coincident in patients. One recent study (57) evaluated a subgroup from the Rotterdam cohort (119) using carotid MRI, carotid ultrasound and pulmonary function tests. The results were consistent with other studies, showing elevated carotid wall thickening in subjects with airflow limitation. However the carotid MRI data added valuable information about carotid plaque characteristics in COPD subjects that had not previously been reported. They showed that:1) the severity of stenosis measured using carotid MRI was greater in COPD patients, 2) subjects without COPD had higher incidence of plaque calcification than subjects with COPD, and, 3) carotid plaque in COPD patients were more likely to
have a large lipid core, a recognized plaque component that is associated with cerebrovascular events (120). These results were the first to associate carotid plaque characteristics with COPD cases and increased awareness that COPD is a risk factor for cardiovascular disease and importantly for debilitating ischemic events such as stroke.

**Application of Pulmonary Imaging to Lung and Atherosclerosis Studies**

Thus far, pulmonary imaging has not played a large role in studies focused on the relationship between lung and heart disease in smokers. In Figure 9, we show the direct comparison of carotid 3DUS total plaque volume and intima media thickness with hyperpolarized $^3$He MRI ventilation defect percent in a never- and 2 ex-smokers with normal pulmonary function and without airflow limitation from our own centre. In a similar approach, a study from the Emphysema and Cancer Action Project (EMCAP) (121) incorporated the use of thoracic CT to evaluate flow-mediated dilation (FMD) of the brachial artery in a group of ex-smokers with early or very mild COPD. The results showed that low FEV$_1$ and greater indices of emphysema was associated with attenuated FMD, suggesting that vascular endothelial function is influenced by lung function and structure. Other findings from the Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis (MESA) Lung Study (2, 122) also used thoracic CT to measure emphysema in subjects without cardiovascular disease, and evaluated subclinical atherosclerosis with carotid ultrasound and cardiac CT to determine vascular phenotypes. Inverse associations were observed between spirometry and IMT, similar to previously described (3, 55, 105-107), but novel insights with respect to the relationship between emphysema and vascular disease were also provided. Although whole lung emphysema percent was not related to IMT, upper-lobe emphysema was significantly related with internal carotid IMT. Other studies (123, 124), have furthered our understanding of this relationship between emphysema and vascular disease by showing that emphysema percentage is related not only to carotid atherosclerosis, but also to calcification scores in the aorta, coronary arteries, as well as aortic and mitral annuli. Collectively, these studies provide strong evidence of a relationship between atherosclerosis and emphysema and support the notion of complex, heterogeneous vascular and lung disease in ex-smokers.
Figure 8: $^3$He MRI ventilation and ADC maps.

Top Row: Healthy older never-smoker. Bottom Rows: Four representative COPD subjects with GOLD stages I–IV. Greyscale $^1$H anatomical MRI is co-registered to aqua-blue ventilation pattern from $^3$He MRI. Corresponding apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) maps generated from diffusion-weighted MRI using $b=1.6$ s/cm$^2$. 
Application of Thoracic and Vascular Imaging to Evaluate Pulmonary and Cardiovascular Disease

The development of cardiovascular imaging modalities that can provide surrogate measurements of vascular disease has played an important role in characterizing lung-vascular disease phenotypes. In 2003, real-time MRI of aortic flow (125) was used to quantify left ventricle stroke volume and cardiac performance in healthy control and COPD subjects (114). The investigators observed that the COPD subjects had decreased cardiac performance compared to healthy volunteers and that the non-uniform breathing patterns in the COPD patients resulted in decreased stroke-volume. Taken together these results provided evidence that the abnormal breathing patterns and shallow respiration that may accompany COPD may be related to cardiovascular complications. Thoracic CT has also been used to provide a way to measure vascular changes and coronary artery calcification in patients with lung disease. Three studies in particular (111-113), have used thoracic CT to investigate the relationship between aortic calcification and pulmonary physiology using pulmonary function tests. Importantly, associations between the severity of aortic calcification were reported with lung function and morphologic parameters. Interestingly, (112) this work showed that pulmonary vascular alterations were correlated with aortic calcification, whereas other studies (111, 113) showed associations between aortic calcifications and FEV₁. A number of studies (126-128) have also investigated vascular dysfunction and arterial stiffness in COPD patients using pulse wave velocity (PWV), a functional measurement of vascular tone. These findings showed that COPD patients exhibit increased arterial stiffness (126) and furthermore, that the degree of arterial stiffness was related to the severity of airflow limitation (127, 128).

FUTURE PERSPECTIVE

A number of recent studies have demonstrated the value of emerging and well-established vascular and pulmonary imaging approaches for furthering our understanding on the direct relationship between advanced lung and vascular disease in smokers and ex-
smokers that cannot be explained on the basis of tobacco-smoking alone. In particular, carotid ultrasound measurements are valuable tools for the evaluation of cardio- and cerebrovascular risk.

Beyond the evaluation and screening for inflammatory markers, the incorporation of carotid ultrasound and perhaps other atherosclerosis imaging methods into the clinical workflow and treatment plan for COPD may help identify significant peripheral, cardiovascular or cerebrovascular atherosclerosis. Similarly, the acquisition of spirometry and other pulmonary function measurements in ex-smokers with cardiovascular disease or vascular risk factors would help detect airflow limitation that might otherwise go undiagnosed (8, 106). In mild COPD especially, cardiovascular events are a major source of morbidity and mortality (8), so it is possible that advanced imaging of both diseases may help treatment decisions that improve outcomes overall and cardiovascular events in particular. Regardless, it is important to keep in mind that the underlying mechanisms linking COPD and atherosclerosis are still not clear, and as recently described (9, 10) systemic inflammation may only provide a partial explanation for the links between COPD and advanced progressive atherosclerosis.

The incorporation of pulmonary functional imaging into vascular disease studies will provide new insight on the role of pulmonary morphological changes in the pathophysiology of vascular disease. Such studies will build on previous findings of emphysema in subjects with subclinical atherosclerosis (2, 123, 124). In addition, the notion of different vascular and lung disease phenotypes (74, 76, 77, 129-132) also holds promise for this area of research. In the future, a large-scale prospective study should be executed to monitor longitudinal changes in vascular and pulmonary conditions using sophisticated imaging techniques. Longitudinal monitoring of vascular and pulmonary disease in ex-smokers will provide more insight onto the genesis and progression of vascular disease changes in COPD patients and using imaging, there is potential to monitor treatment effects and phenotype relationships. At present, there is some evidence supporting a mechanistic link between pulmonary and vascular disease that is not explained by tobacco smoking alone, however the direct mechanisms that potentially accelerate atherosclerosis in ex-smokers with COPD have not been firmly established.
At the same time, major advances in quantitative pulmonary and vascular non-invasive imaging tools have advanced the development and validation of surrogate or intermediate endpoints of these chronic disorders. Based on this foundation of previous research, there is a clear role for novel vascular and pulmonary imaging methods to identify the direct potential links in future studies.
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APPENDIX B – Pulmonary Imaging Abnormalities in an Adult Case of Congenital Lobar Emphysema

Appendix B reports pulmonary imaging findings in a rare case of congenital lobar emphysema in an adult. While imaging of congenital pulmonary disorders is not the focus of this thesis, data from this Case Report highlights how imaging measurements of airway morphology and emphysema can be used to identify and characterize bullous emphysema which is a phenotype of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. The contents of Appendix B have been previously published in the Journal of Radiology Case Reports and permission to reproduce the article was granted by Dr. Roland Talanow, MD, PhD (editor-in-chief) and is provided in Appendix D.


Pulmonary Imaging Abnormalities in an Adult Case of Congenital Lobar Emphysema
D Pike, S Mohan, W Ma, JF Lewis and G Parraga

Congenital lobar emphysema (CLE) is a rare pulmonary abnormality that is typically detected in the neonatal period, although rare cases have been reported in early adulthood (1). CLE is commonly associated with bronchial abnormalities/obstruction and vascular dysfunction, resulting in ventilation-perfusion mismatch, hyperinflation and dyspnea (2). Diagnosis is often based on x-ray computed tomography (CT) findings of hyperlucent and/or hyperinflated lung lobes along with malformations of the pulmonary vasculature or mediastinum. Here, we used imaging to quantitatively evaluate the function and morphology of alveolar and airway structures in a young adult with acute breathlessness and chest pain.

A twenty-year-old female with a one-year, five-cigarette/day smoking history and no previous chronic respiratory disease presented with severe chest pain and shortness of breath. Chest x-ray showed localized left upper lobe (LUL) hyperlucency whereupon she provided written informed consent to a Health Canada and local ethics board approved research protocol. The subject underwent two visits, 75 days apart that included spirometry, plethysmography and hyperpolarized 3He magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) to evaluate regional pulmonary ventilation as well as parenchymal morphology using the
\textsuperscript{3}He apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) – a surrogate measurement of emphysema (3). MRI was performed in breath-hold after inhalation of a 1L \textsuperscript{3}He/N\textsubscript{2} gas mixture from functional residual capacity (FRC) using a fast-gradient-recalled-echo (FGRE) sequence, previously described (4). \textsuperscript{3}He MRI ventilation images were quantified to generate ventilation defect percent (VDP) (4) which is the volume of the lung not participating in ventilation, normalized to total lung volume. For the generation of \textsuperscript{3}He ADC, diffusion-weighted images were acquired as previously described (4). To evaluate parenchymal microstructure, we adapted a method (3) whereby \(D_L\) and \(D_T\) were defined as the longitudinal and transverse diffusion coefficients in the acinar ducts respectively with \(R\) representing the outer radius and \(h\) the depth of the intra-acinar duct.

Thoracic CT was acquired once within twenty minutes of MRI using a 64-slice Lightspeed VCT scanner (GEHC, Milwaukee, WI, USA) as previously described (4). Pulmonary Workstation 2.0 (VIDA Diagnostics, Iowa City, IA, USA) was used to generate emphysema measurements including the relative area of the lung with attenuation values \(<-950\text{HU} (\text{RA}_{950})\), low attenuation clusters (LAC), and as well airway measurements including airway wall area percent (WA\%) and lumen area (LA).

Spirometry, plethysmography and diffusing capacity of carbon monoxide (DL\textsubscript{CO}) measurements showed there was modestly abnormal forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV\textsubscript{1}, V1:V2 70\%:75\%), and highly abnormal residual volume (RV, V1:V2 125\%:150\%), and airways resistance (Raw, V1:V2 185\%:180\%), but normal DL\textsubscript{CO} (V1:V2 100\%:115\%). Figure 1 shows CT low attenuating area (LAA) and low attenuation clusters (LAC) and \textsuperscript{3}He MRI ventilation imaging with a large LUL ventilation defect spatially coincident with the CT- and \textsuperscript{3}He MRI-defined emphysematous region. \textsuperscript{3}He MRI morphometric maps (higher intensity representing greater acinar duct dimensions) are also shown for the intra-acinar duct outer radius (\(R\)), depth (\(h\)), and inner radius (\(R-h\)). Quantitative LUL measurements showed abnormal RA\textsubscript{950} (LUL: 13\%, other lobes: 0\%), LAC (LUL: -1.5, other lobes: -2.3 to -1.8) and VDP (LUL: 29\%, other lobes: 1\%-7\%). For the LUL, acinar outer and inner radii were markedly abnormal (490\textmu m and 310\textmu m respectively) compared to the other lung lobes (300-310\textmu m and 130-140\textmu m respectively). CT airway measurements for the subsegmental bronchus leading to
the LUL were abnormal (WA%: 50%, LA: 19mm²) compared to other airways of the same generation (WA%: 57%-66%, LA: 10mm²-16mm²).

Figure 1: Thoracic CT and ³He MRI measurements, co-registration and analysis in the axial, sagittal and coronal planes for the 20 year-old female with congenital lobar emphysema presented in this case.

CT LAA: CT low attenuation area (LAA, in red, attenuation < -950HU) with the thoracic CT as the background (in grey-scale), CT LAC: 3D low attenuation clusters (LAC) analysis generated using VIDA Pulmonary Workstation 2.0, ³He MRI-CT: ³He MRI static ventilation image (in blue) registered to thoracic CT (in grey-scale), ³He MRI ADC: ³He MRI apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) maps for b=1.6cm²/s, where
brighter yellow-green represents higher ADC values, \( R \): intra-acinar duct outer radius generated from \(^3\)He MRI co-registered with thoracic CT (in grey-scale), \( h \): intra-acinar duct depth generated from \(^3\)He MRI co-registered with thoracic CT (in grey-scale), \( R-h \): intra-acinar duct inner radius co-registered with thoracic CT (in grey-scale).

In Figure 2, the CT-derived airway tree is shown in yellow co-registered with the \(^3\)He MRI ventilation image with the hyperlucent region outlined in white and the fissure between the LUL and LLL shown in black. The left upper subsegmental bronchus is abnormally terminated with the proximal end apparently disconnected from the rest of the airway tree. Relative dimensions for this abnormal airway and a similar generation normal airway are shown in schematic along with acinar duct morphological measurements for normal and abnormal LUL parenchyma estimated using \(^3\)He MRI and the Weibel model (5) assumptions.

**Figure 2:** Registered CT airway tree with \(^3\)He MRI and CT for the 20 year-old female with congenital lobar emphysema presented in this case. The white contour outlines the emphysematous region in the left upper lobe (LUL), the black contour outlines the entire LUL. There is a noticeable mediastinal shift onto the contralateral right lung due to hyperinflation in the LUL. The subsegmental bronchus feeding into the LUL was abnormally terminated and not connected to the rest of the airway tree and dimensions of the resulting airway segment were abnormal (top panel). Acinar duct schematics for the
abnormal LUL parenchyma (middle panel) and normal parenchyma (bottom panel) are shown to scale and were estimated using Weibel model assumptions.

**DISCUSSION**

Albeit a rare diagnosis in adults, CLE is an important differential for unilateral hyperlucent lung. The number of adult diagnoses has recently increased due to incidental radiological findings, but to our knowledge, this is the first report of quantitative, non-invasive regional measurements of airway morphology, parenchymal microstructure and $^3$He ventilation. Thoracic CT showed that the hyperlucent region was highly localized, with a mediastinal shift to the contralateral right side likely related to LUL over-inflation - a common finding in CLE. Interestingly, we also observed focal obliteration of the proximal aspect of the tertiary bronchi serving the LUL apico-posterior segment (the LUL-LB1+2 bronchus). This leads to a lack of connection between this segment and the central airways although there was no evidence of localized endobronchial mucus plugging, foreign body or other masses resulting in extra-luminal compression. The distal segment of the apico-posterior bronchus was present but highly abnormal with a thinner wall (decreased WA%) and widening of the lumen (high LA) compared to similar airways in other regions, consistent with an occult atretic bronchus. The precise relationship between bronchial atresia and CLE is unknown although the timing and level of embryofetal airway obstruction may explain the variety of congenital lung lesions.

We also observed a markedly elevated (worse) LUL VDP compared to the other lobes and a heterogeneous pattern of $^3$He signal filling inwards from the edges of the emphysematous region of the apico-posterior region. We propose collateral ventilation into the emphysematous area as a potential mechanism for this finding, first described in excised emphysematous lungs (6) and COPD patients (7). In emphysema, there is lower resistance to collateral flow that may involve the 1-2 micrometer pores of Kohn, the 30 micrometer channels described by Lambert, or the 80-150 micrometer interbronchiolar paths described by Martin (8).

In contrast to healthy lung tissue in the unaffected lobes, there was an elevated outer and inner radius of the LUL acinar ducts as previously shown in patients with emphysema.
There was also an elevated acinar duct sleeve depth and this is a novel finding that contrasts with results in emphysema ex-smokers where decreased alveolar duct sleeve depths were reported.

Finally, although we observed significant airway and parenchymal abnormalities, airflow limitation was mild and DL\textsubscript{CO} was normal in this adult patient. There was evidence of gas trapping and very high airways resistance, both of which may be related to the atretic bronchus and slower time constants for LUL emptying which may have been worsened by collateral ventilation. The increased airways resistance may also be driving collateral ventilation into the emphysematous lobe and hyperinflation— a potential source of severe symptoms in this patient. Other pathologies that should be considered when encountering hyperlucencies on x-ray or CT that resemble CLE (in infants or adults) include; bronchial atresia, congenital pulmonary airway malformations (CPAM) and spontaneous or traumatic pneumothorax.

Bronchial atresia is generally asymptomatic and most commonly diagnosed incidentally using x-ray or CT, similar to CLE. CLE often coexists with bronchial atresia making it difficult to differentiate these pathologies independently. In adult cases, both bronchial atresia and CLE show locally hyperinflated/emphysematous regions however, bronchial atresia patients exhibit mucoceles (club-like or rounded shape pathologies at the proximal ends of segmental or subsegmental bronchi) which are easily visualized on thoracic CT. Further investigation with bronchoscopy after the location of mucoceles may then identify blind-ending bronchi characteristic of bronchial atresia.

Congenital pulmonary airway malformations (CPAMs) appear on CT as cysts attached to segmental bronchi and are frequently observed concomitant with other congenital lung lesions such as bronchopulmonary sequestration or bronchial atresia. The diagnoses of CPAM typically occurs in the neonatal period and is sub-categorized into one of five subtypes with “type 3 CPAM” being the most similar to CLE because it affects at least one entire lobe. In prenatal cases, ultrasound most often identifies the presence of CPAM as hyperechoic lesions with microcystic patterns. If these malformations are not detected
until adulthood, recurrent pulmonary infections are the most common finding that subsequently may result in incidental chest x-ray or CT findings.

Finally, CLE may resemble pneumothorax on chest x-ray and/or CT as evidenced by a prominent mediastinal shift opposite the hyperlucent lobe. However, CLE and pneumothorax can be differentiated using conventional chest x-ray where the absence of bronchovascular abnormalities indicates pneumothorax and not CLE. Pneumothorax is more easily differentiated from other diagnoses of localized hyperlucency because these cases most frequently report to urgent care following trauma to the thorax, when the possibility of congenital lesions can be ruled out.

It is important to note that with the increasing use of chest CT, all these pulmonary pathologies may be observed in young adults and frequently, the exact genesis of the malformations may never be determined. In summary, quantitative thoracic imaging provides a way to definitively diagnose and quantify the critical structure-function relationships in an adult case of CLE in whom established measurements of pulmonary function (DL$_{CO}$, FEV$_1$) do not.

**TEACHING POINT**

In a 20 year old with acute, severe chest pain and dyspnea, thoracic CT and $^3$He MRI revealed an abnormal atrectic bronchus leading to a left upper lobe (LUL) congenital lobar emphysema with acinar duct morphologies that were very different from smoking-related emphysematous bullae. There was also functional imaging evidence of collateral ventilation leaking from the fissure into the LUL, providing an explanation for severe symptoms in this adult in whom pulmonary function (Diffusing capacity of carbon monoxide (DL$_{CO}$), Forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV$_1$)) measurements do not.
Table 1: Summary Table for Congenital Lobar Emphysema (CLE)

| Etiology | • Characterized by compression of lung tissue – emphysema, that is usually localized to one but can be several lung lobes  
• Usually the upper lobes are affected (>50% of cases)  
• Trapped gas within the localized emphysematous region causes displacement of the mediastinum into the opposite lung |
| Incidence | • Prevalence of 1 in 20,000 to 1 in 30,000 |
| Gender Ratio | • Affects males more than females 3:1 |
| Age Predilection | • Nearly all cases present within the first year after birth but rare cases have been diagnosed in adulthood |
| Risk Factors | • Prenatal vascular abnormalities  
• Congenital heart disease (ventricular septal defects, ductus arteriosus) |
| Treatment | • Lobectomy is the accepted treatment for CLE  
• In older patients conservative management may be effective  
• In infants and neonates an emergency thoracotomy is sometimes performed |
| Prognosis | • Following surgical intervention, patients generally develop normal lung function without respiratory impairment in follow-up (>1 year) |
| Findings on Imaging | • Localized hyperlucency or regions of reduced density (<-950HU) on x-ray computed tomography (CT) scans  
• Mediastinal shift towards the opposite lung from the affected lobe  
• Tracheo-bronchial and abnormalities  
• Localized abnormalities to the pulmonary vasculature in the affected lung lobe |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Disease</th>
<th>Clinical</th>
<th>X-ray</th>
<th>CT</th>
<th>Other Modalities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pulmonary Causes</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Congenital Lobar Emphysema</strong></td>
<td>Dyspnea, tachypnea, wheezing, tachycardia and cyanosis are common symptoms.</td>
<td>Hyperlucency of involved lobe, most commonly left upper lobe (LUL).</td>
<td>Localized hyperlucency and loss of parenchymal density in an individual lung lobe.</td>
<td>MRI: Prominent region of signal void on ³He MRI ventilation images. Elevated apparent diffusion coefficients (ADC) on diffusion-weighted ³He MR images. Abnormally narrowed bronchus or bronchial atresia may be present, however many cases do not have any demonstrable airway anomaly.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Flattening of ipsilateral diaphragm.</td>
<td>Abnormally narrowed bronchus or bronchial atresia may be present, however many cases do not have any demonstrable airway anomaly.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Scant lung markings are usually present within the radiolucent area.</td>
<td>Abnormally narrowed bronchus or bronchial atresia may be present, however many cases do not have any demonstrable airway anomaly.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bronchial Atresia</strong></td>
<td>Usually asymptomatic and diagnosed incidentally on x-ray in an older child or adult.</td>
<td>Hilar-mass like shadows with hyperexpansion, oligemia and hyperlucency of the peripheral lung fields in the affected lobe.</td>
<td>Presence of a mucocele (club-like or rounded in shape) with focal interruption of a lobar, segmental, or subsegmental bronchus.</td>
<td>Bronchoscopy: A blind-ending bronchus may be found in up to 50% of cases, which is pathognomonic. Helpful to exclude acquired causes of bronchial obstruction such as foreign body, strictures and tumors.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Since no communication exists with the normal tracheobronchial tree, infection is rare.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Hyperinflation of the affected lobe or segment and emphysematous changes of the peripheral lung fields and occlusion of the bronchus central to the mucocele are diagnostic criteria.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Congenital pulmonary airway malformations (CPAM)</strong></td>
<td>Rare cystic lung lesions arising from proliferation of tubular bronchial structures.</td>
<td>Localized patchy density representing the cystic mass with an area of hyperinflation and parenchymal oligemia.</td>
<td>Over-inflation with malformed multiple air cysts (irregular and thin walled) connected to the segmental bronchus and well demarcated from the normal lung parenchyma.</td>
<td>Ultrasound: Usually for prenatal diagnosis revealing a homogenously hyperechoic lesion with microcystic pattern.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5 subtypes with 80% diagnosed in the neonatal period.</td>
<td>Type 3 CPAM can involve an entire lobe or several lobes.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>In adulthood, recurrent pulmonary infection is uncommon.</td>
<td>TCPAMs hat present during adulthood most commonly present in the lower lobes.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others: Airways obstruction due to bronchial compression from extrinsic factors (cardiomegaly, lymphadenopathy), endobronchial obstruction (tumor, foreign body, mucus plug) and obliterative bronchiolitis, Unilateral ballus/bullae and post-pneumonectomy are other differentials.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 2. Differential Diagnosis Table for Congenital Lobar Emphysema Continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Disease</th>
<th>Clinical</th>
<th>X-ray</th>
<th>CT</th>
<th>Other Modalities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pleural Space Causes</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Pneumothorax | - Dyspnea, tachypnea, tachycardia, cyanosis, decreased breath sounds, tracheal deviation in tension pneumothorax.  
- May be spontaneous, traumatic or iatrogenic. | - Visceral pleural line (and lung) is separated from parietal pleural (and chest wall) with hyperlucency of gas in between.  
- Tracheal deviation and mediastinal shift may be present. | - Most sensitive imaging modality to detect pneumothorax.  
- Can detect small pneumothoraces, hydropneumothorax, loculated pneumothorax, bronchopleural fistulas, underlying emphysema/bullous lung diseases. | **Ultrasound:** Used in point-of-care situations eg: emergency department/ICU. Absence of ‘lung sliding’, seen as a shimmering line due to sliding of visceral and parietal pleural relative to each other is suspicious for a pneumothorax. |

**Others:** A contralateral pleural effusion may sometimes appear as a hyperlucent hemithorax
ABBREVIATIONS
CLE: Congenital lobar emphysema
CPAM: Congenital pulmonary airway malformations
LUL: Left upper lobe
LLL: Left lower lobe
$^{3}$He MRI: Hyperpolarized helium-3 magnetic resonance imaging
FGRE: Fast gradient recalled echo sequence
CT: Computed tomography
VDP: Ventilation defect percent
ADC: Apparent diffusion coefficient
$Ra_{950}$: Relative area of the lung with attenuation values < -950HU
LAA: Low attenuation areas
LAC: Low attenuation clusters
WA: Airway wall area
LA: Airway lumen area
FEV$_1$: Forced expiratory volume in one second
Raw: Airways resistance
DL$_{CO}$: Diffusing capacity of the lung for carbon monoxide
FRC: Functional residual capacity
$D_L$: Longitudinal diffusion coefficient of acinar ducts
$D_T$: Transverse diffusion coefficient of the acinar ducts
$R$: Outer radius of the intra-acinar ducts
$h$: Depth of the intra-acinar ducts
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Protocol Title: Longitudinal 3He Magnetic Resonance Imaging of Health Lung

Department and Institution: Imaging, Robarts Research Institute
Sponsor: CIHR-CANADIAN INSTITUTE OF HEALTH RESEARCH

Ethics Approval Date: November 09, 2010
Expiry Date: September 30, 2014

Documents Reviewed and Approved:
UWO Protocol (including instruments noted in Section B.1), Letter of Information and Consent Form dated Sept. 27, 2010 version 2, and Advertisement.

Documents Received for Information:
Clinical Study Protocol Version #1 27 August 2010; IB NC100152-Inhalation (Hyperpolarized 3He) 6th edition 09 Sep 05; NC100152 IB April 7, 2009; Product Monograph Ventolin HFA
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During the course of the research, no deviations from, or changes to, the protocol or consent form may be initiated without prior written approval from the HSREB except when necessary to eliminate immediate hazards to the subject or when the change(s) involve only logistical or administrative aspects of the study (e.g., change of monitor, telephone number). Expeditious review of minor change(s) in ongoing studies will be considered. Subjects must receive a copy of the signed information/consent documentation.

Investigators must promptly also report to the HSREB:

a) changes increasing the risk to the participant(s) and/or affecting significantly the conduct of the study;
b) all adverse and unexpected experiences or events that are both serious and unexpected;
c) new information that may adversely affect the safety of the subjects or the conduct of the study.

If these changes/adverse events require a change to the information/consent documentation, and/or recruitment advertisement, the newly revised information/consent documentation, and/or advertisement, must be submitted to this office for approval.

Members of the HSREB who are named as investigators in research studies, or declare a conflict of interest, do not participate in discussion related to, nor vote on, such studies when they are presented to the HSREB.

Chair of HSREB: Dr. Joseph Gilbert
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APPENDIX E – Curriculum Vitae

EDUCATION

August 2015 - present  
**Doctor of Medicine (MD) Candidate**  
Memorial University of Newfoundland, St. John’s,  
Newfoundland and Labrador, Canada  
Faculty of Medicine, Health Sciences Centre

August 2012 - present  
**Doctor of Philosophy (PhD) Candidate**  
The University of Western Ontario, London, Ontario, Canada  
Department of Medical Biophysics  
*Supervisor:* Grace Parraga PhD  
*Supervisory Committee:* Daniel Hackam MD, PhD, FRCPC, David McCormack MD, FRCPC, Aaron Fenster PhD, FCCPM

Sep. 2008 – April 2012  
**Bachelor of Science (BSc)**  
Memorial University of Newfoundland, St. John’s, Newfoundland and Labrador, Canada  
Department of Biochemistry and Department of Physics and Physical Oceanography  
*Supervisors:* Valerie Booth PhD, Michael Morrow PhD

RESEARCH EXPERIENCE

June 2012 – present  
Graduate Student Research Assistant  
Department of Medical Biophysics  
The University of Western Ontario  
*Project:* Hyperpolarized $^3$He Magnetic Resonance Imaging Phenotypes of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease  
*Supervisor:* Dr. Grace Parraga

April 2012 – June 2012  
Research Assistant, Summer Research Assistantship  
Department of Biochemistry/Department of Physics and Physical Oceanography  
Memorial University of Newfoundland  
*Project:* Characterizing Antimicrobial Peptide Membrane Interactions Using $^{31}$P Nuclear Magnetic Resonance  
*Supervisors:* Dr. Valerie Booth and Dr. Michael Morrow
Sep. 2011 – April 2012
Research Assistant (part time)
Department of Biochemistry/Department of Physics and Physical Oceanography
Memorial University of Newfoundland
Project: Differential Scanning Calorimetry of Antimicrobial Peptides
Supervisors: Dr. Valerie Booth and Dr. Michael Morrow

May 2011 – Sep. 2011
Research Assistant, Summer Student Assistantship
Department of Biochemistry/Department of Physics and Physical Oceanography
Memorial University of Newfoundland
Project: Antimicrobial Peptide Structure-Function in Membranes
Supervisors: Dr. Valerie Booth and Dr. Michael Morrow

Sep. 2010 – April 2011
Research Assistant (part time)
Department of Biochemistry/Department of Physics and Physical Oceanography
Memorial University of Newfoundland
Project: Antimicrobial Peptide Membrane Activity in E. Coli using $^{31}$P Nuclear Magnetic Resonance
Supervisors: Dr. Valerie Booth and Dr. Michael Morrow

May 2010 – Sep. 2010
Research Assistant, Summer Research Assistantship
Department of Biochemistry/Department of Physics and Physical Oceanography
Memorial University of Newfoundland
Supervisors: Dr. Valerie Booth and Dr. Michael Morrow

ACADEMIC AWARDS, SCHOLARSHIPS AND DISTINCTIONS

Apr. 2016
Mach Gaensslen Foundation Research Scholarship
Provincial
Awarded to support the research endeavors of the authors with the highest ranked research proposals to the MGF Research Program
$5000

Feb. 2016
Memorial University of Newfoundland Medical Research Forum
Oral Scientific Presentation and Abstract Award (First Place)
Provincial
Awarded to the author and speaker of the highest ranked scientific abstract and oral presentation
$3000
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Funding Organization</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mar. 2015</td>
<td>Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC) Doctoral Postgraduate Scholarship (Declined)</td>
<td>National Awarded to the highest caliber doctoral scholars pursuing research in the natural sciences and engineering based on academic excellence, research ability and potential, scientific communication and leadership abilities</td>
<td>$42,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb. 2015</td>
<td>International Society for Magnetic Resonance in Medicine (ISMRM) Abstract Scholarship and Educational Stipend Award</td>
<td>International Awarded to support the attendance of students, postdoctoral and clinical trainees to present abstracts at the scientific meeting</td>
<td>$500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sep. 2014</td>
<td>Western Graduate Research Scholarship, The University of Western Ontario</td>
<td>Institutional Awarded to a full time graduate student who has maintained first-class academic average</td>
<td>$4,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sep. 2014</td>
<td>Canadian Institutes of Health Research (CIHR) Vascular Research Scholar</td>
<td>Provincial Awarded to CIHR Vascular Research Fellows who have made exceptional scientific progress in the field of vascular research through peer-reviewed publications and presentations</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 2014</td>
<td>Ontario Graduate Scholarship - Queen Elizabeth II Graduate Scholarship in Science and Technology</td>
<td>Provincial Awarded to a select number of graduate students enrolled in Ontario universities based on academic merit, research excellence and high impact contributions to their field</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar. 2014</td>
<td>American Thoracic Society International Conference Respiratory Structure and Function Scholarship</td>
<td>International Awarded to the authors of the highest ranked abstracts submitted for presentation at the international ATS conference</td>
<td>$500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Award</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb. 2014</td>
<td>Alan C. Groom Award Nominee</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Institutional</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Select graduate students who have given exceptional oral presentations at the University of Western Ontario Medical Biophysics Seminar are nominated for the Alan C. Groom Seminar Award</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct. 2013</td>
<td>Radiological Society of North America (RSNA) Research Trainee Prize</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>International</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Awarded to the most outstanding scientific contribution submitted and accepted to the RSNA international meeting for presentation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$1000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sep. 2013</td>
<td>Western Graduate Research Scholarship, The University of Western Ontario</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Institutional</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Awarded to a full time graduate student who has maintained first-class academic average</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$4,500</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July 2013</td>
<td>Radiological Society of North America Research Trainee Nominee</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>International</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The highest ranked abstracts submitted to the international meeting are nominated by the RSNA committee for the Trainee Research Prize</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr. 2013</td>
<td>University of Western Ontario School of Graduate Studies Out of Province Scholarship</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Institutional</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Awarded to the highest ranked full time out-of-province graduate students at The University of Western Ontario</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$500</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan. 2013</td>
<td>International Society for Magnetic Resonance in Medicine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>International Conference Abstract Award</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>International</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Awarded to the authors of the highest ranked scientific abstracts submitted to the ISMRM International Conference</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$440</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Western Graduate Research Scholarship, The University of Western Ontario</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Institution</td>
<td>Title</td>
<td>Eligibility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sep. 2012</td>
<td>Ontario</td>
<td><strong>Institutional</strong>&lt;br&gt;Awarded to a full time graduate student who has maintained first-class academic average</td>
<td>$4,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sep. 2012</td>
<td>University of Western Ontario School of Graduate Studies</td>
<td>Out of Province Scholarship&lt;br&gt;&lt;b&gt;Institutional&lt;/b&gt;&lt;br&gt;Awarded to the highest ranked full time out-of-province graduate students at The University of Western Ontario</td>
<td>$500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sep. 2012</td>
<td>Canadian Institutes of Health Research (CIHR) Strategic Training Program in Vascular Research</td>
<td><strong>Provincial</strong>&lt;br&gt;Awarded to graduate trainees who show the potential to perform transdisciplinary research and provide high-impact contributions to the understanding, treatment and prevention of vascular diseases</td>
<td>$24,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr. 2011</td>
<td>Harlow University</td>
<td>Travel Award (Memorial University Biochemistry Research Program)&lt;br&gt;&lt;b&gt;Institutional&lt;/b&gt;&lt;br&gt;Awarded to undergraduate students who are undertaking a semester of studies at Memorial University Harlow Campus based on academic merit</td>
<td>$500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PEER-REVIEWED PUBLICATIONS AND PROCEEDINGS

A. Peer Reviewed Journal Manuscripts (16 Published)

Published (16)


B. Peer Reviewed Presentations and Conference Proceedings (11 Oral (Podium) Presentations, 33 Poster Presentations)

Oral (Podium) Presentations and Conference Proceedings (11)

   *Speaker


5. N Zha, DPI Capaldi, D Pike, DG McCormack, IA Cunningham and G Parraga. Principal component analysis of the CT density histogram to generate parametric response maps of COPD. Imaging Network Ontario Symposium. London, ON, Canada

6. N Zha, DPI Capaldi, D Pike, DG McCormack, IA Cunningham and G Parraga. Principal Component Analysis of the CT Density Histogram to Generate Parametric Response Maps of COPD. The International Society for Optical Engineering Annual Scientific Meeting 2015, Orlando, FL, USA


**Associated Award (International):** The Radiological Society of North America Research Trainee Prize, $1000

**Peer Reviewed Poster Presentations and Conference Proceedings (33)**


3. DPI Capaldi, N Zha, **D Pike**, K Sheikh, DG McCormack, G Parraga. $^3$He MRI and CT Parametric Response Mapping of Small Airways Disease: The Battle-Ground for Ground Truth. *The International Society of Magnetic Resonance in Medicine Annual Scientific Meeting 2015, Toronto, ON, Canada*

4. DPI Capaldi, K Sheikh, S Svenningsen, **D Pike**, DG McCormack, G Parraga. MRI Measurements of Regional Ventilation Heterogeneity: Ventilation Defect Clusters. *The International Society of Magnetic Resonance in Medicine Annual Scientific Meeting 2015, Toronto, ON, Canada*

6. **D Pike, M Kirby, D Capaldi, N Zha, DG McCormack, HO Coxson and G Parraga.** Differences in Pulmonary Ventilation in Ex-smokers with and without COPD after Three years: Longitudinal Results of the TINCan Cohort. *The American Thoracic Society Annual Scientific Meeting 2015, Denver, CO, USA*


8. **D Pike, M Kirby, D Capaldi, N Zha, DG McCormack, HO Coxson and G Parraga.** Differences in Pulmonary Ventilation in Ex-smokers with and without COPD after Three years: Longitudinal Results of the TINCan Cohort. *London Health Research Day 2015, London, ON, Canada*

9. **D Pike, M Kirby, D Capaldi, N Zha, DG McCormack, HO Coxson and G Parraga.** Differences in Pulmonary Ventilation in Ex-smokers with and without COPD after Three years: Longitudinal Results of the TINCan Cohort. *Imaging Network Ontario Symposium 2015, London, ON, Canada*


12. **N Zha, DPI Capaldi, D Pike, DG McCormack, IA Cunningham and G Parraga.** Principal component analysis of the CT density histogram to generate parametric response maps of COPD. *London Health Research Day 2015, London, ON, Canada*

13. **S Svenningsen, G Paulin, A Wheatley, D Pike, J Suggett, DG McCormack and G Parraga.** Oscillating Positive Expiratory Pressure (oPEP) Therapy in Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease and Bronchiectasis. *European Respiratory Society Congress 2014, Munich, Germany*

14. **D Pike, TL Lindenmaier; LM Mielniczuk, DG McCormack and G Parraga.** An Airways Disease Phenotype is related to Pulmonary Artery Abnormalities in COPD. *The American Thoracic Society Annual Scientific Meeting 2014. San Diego, CA, USA*


**Associated Award (International):** American Thoracic Society Respiratory Structure and Function Scholarship, $500


19. **D Pike, M Kirby, DD Sin, DG McCormack, HO Coxson and G Parraga.** Thoracic Imaging Network of Ontario Symposium 2014, Toronto, ON, Canada


**Associated Award (International):** International Society for Magnetic Resonance in Medicine Abstract Scholarship, $450


33. D Pike, M Morrow and V Booth. Characterizing Membrane Interactions of Antimicrobial Peptide MSI-78 Using $^{31}$P Nuclear Magnetic Resonance. *Memorial University of Newfoundland Research Symposium 2012, St. John's, NL, Canada*
ACADEMIC CONFERENCES WITH PROCEEDINGS FEATURED

National Student Research Forum, Galveston, TX, *International*  
Memorial University Medical Research Conference, St. John’s, NL, *Provincial*

2015  International Society of Magnetic Resonance in Medicine (ISMRM) Conference, Toronto, ON, *International*  
American Thoracic Society Conference, Denver, CO, *International*  
Imaging Network of Ontario, Toronto, ON, *National*  
London Health Research Day, London, ON, *Provincial*

2014  International Society of Magnetic Resonance in Medicine Conference (ISMRM), Milan, ITA, *International*  
American Thoracic Society Conference, San Diego, CA, *International*  
Imaging Network of Ontario, Toronto, ON, *National*  
London Imaging Discovery Day, London, ON, *Provincial*  
London Health Research Day, London, ON, *Provincial*

2013  Radiological Society of North America (RSNA), Chicago, IL, *International*  
International Society of Magnetic Resonance in Medicine (ISMRM) Conference, Salt Lake City, UT, *International*  
American Thoracic Society Conference, Philadelphia, PA, *International*  
Imaging Network of Ontario, Toronto, ON, *National*  
London Imaging Discovery Day, London, ON, *Provincial*  
London Health Research Day, London, ON, *Provincial*

PROFESSIONAL MEMBERSHIPS

Feb. 2016 – present  Canadian Interventional Radiology Association (CIRA)  
Jan. 2016 – present  Clinician Investigator Training Association of Canada (CITAC)  
Sep. 2015 - present  Canadian Medical Association (CMA)  
Jan. 2013 – present  Radiological Society of North America (RSNA)  
Jan. 2013 – present  Canadian Thoracic Society (CTS)  
2015 Medical Resident Research Trainee Supervision
Resident (PGY-3 Internal Medicine): Michael Mitar, MD
Project: Longitudinal Evaluation of Emphysema in Alpha-1 Antitrypsin Deficiency Patients using Diffusion-weighted ³He MRI: Monitoring Response to Augmentation Therapy
Abstract: Evaluation of Emphysema in Alpha-1 Antitrypsin Deficiency Patients using ³He MRI – A Potential Tool for Monitoring Response to Augmentation Therapy.

2015 Medical Resident Research Trainee Supervision
Resident (PGY-2 Internal Medicine): Chris Davis, MD

2014 Medical Student Research Trainee Supervision
Pre-clerkship MD Candidate: Nanxi Zha, BEeng

2013 Medical Resident Research Trainee Supervision
Resident (PGY-2 Internal Medicine): Sindu Mohan, MD

2012 Undergraduate Summer Student Supervision
Student: William Alexander, Faculty of Engineering, Memorial University
6 Week Project: Differential Scanning Calorimetry of Bacterial Membranes

2011 Undergraduate Summer Student Supervision
Student: Kathleen O’Grady, Faculty of Engineering, Memorial University
6 Week Project: ³¹P Solid State Nuclear Magnetic Resonance of Dynamic Systems
RELEVANT GRADUATE COURSEWORK

*Conceptual Magnetic Resonance Imaging* (Instructor: Charles McKenzie PhD) – 93%
*Principles of Medical Imaging* (Instructors: David Holdsworth PhD, Maria Drangova PhD, Keith St. Lawrence PhD, Ting Yim-Lee PhD) – 80%
*Nuclear Magnetic Resonance* (Instructor: Blaine Chronik PhD) – 84%
*Vascular Imaging* (Instructor: Aaron Fenster PhD, FCCPM) – 99%
*Research Ethics and Biostatistics* (Instructor: Aaron Fenster PhD, FCCPM) – 94%
*Inferencing From Data Analysis* (Instructor: Yves Bureau PhD) – 85%
*Scientific Communications* (Instructor: Terry Thompson PhD) – 94%
*Digital Image Processing* (Instructor: Hanif Ladak PhD, P. Eng) – 92%
*Imaging Principles* (Instructor: Ian Cunningham PhD, FCCPM, FAAPM) – 90%

LEADERSHIP, VOLUNTEER AND COMMUNITY ACTIVITIES

2016  
**Volunteer**, Memorial University Faculty of Medicine Clinical Research Interest Group – *Co-founder and President*

**Volunteer**, Memorial University Faculty of Medicine Undergraduate (MD) Research Representative

**Volunteer**, Memorial University Faculty of Medicine Undergraduate (MD) Radiology Representative

2015  
**Volunteer**, Memorial University Faculty of Medicine Medical Doctor (MD) Research Representative

**Volunteer**, Memorial University Faculty of Medicine Medical Doctor (MD) Radiology Representative

**Volunteer**, Memorial University Faculty of Medicine Medical Doctor (MD) Research Representative

**Volunteer**, Camp Delight Oncology Camp Counsellor and Mentor

**Volunteer**, Memorial University Faculty of Medicine Monte Carlo Fundraiser

**Volunteer**, Schulich Medicine and Dentistry Society Medical Biophysics Graduate Recruitment Committee

**Member**, London Ontario Soccer League

**Volunteer**, Track 3 Ski School Instructor

**Volunteer**, Schulich Medicine and Dentistry Society Medical Biophysics Graduate Representative

2014  
**Volunteer**, Schulich Medicine and Dentistry Society Medical Biophysics Graduate Recruitment Committee

**Volunteer**, Schulich Medicine and Dentistry Society Medical Biophysics Graduate Representative

**Participant/Member**, MEC Charity Road Race Series (*London, Ontario*)

**Member**, London Ontario Soccer League

**Volunteer**, Track 3 Ski School Instructor
Member, Network of Imaging Students (NOiSe)

2013
**Participant/Member**, Forest City Road Race Series (*London, Ontario*)
**Member**, London Ontario Soccer League
**Volunteer**, Track 3 Ski School Instructor
**Member**, Network of Imaging Students (NOiSe)

2012
**Participant**, Forest City Road Race Series Half-Marathon (*London, Ontario*)
**Participant**, MEC Charity Road Race Series (*London, Ontario*)
**Member**, Network of Imaging Students (NOiSe)
**Volunteer**, Canadian Ski Patrol (Nationwide On-hill Certification), St. John’s, NL
**Volunteer**, Memorial University Campus Foodbank, St. John’s Campus
**Member**, Hockey Newfoundland and Labrador, Provincial Goaltending Development Committee
**Member**, Memorial University Faculty of Biochemistry Vice President of Athletics
**Volunteer**, Hockey Newfoundland and Labrador Midget AAA Goaltending Coach

2011
**Volunteer**, Canadian Ski Patrol (Nationwide On-hill Certification), St. John’s, NL
**Volunteer**, Memorial University Campus Foodbank, St. John’s Campus
**Volunteer**, Women in Science and Engineering (WISE), Mentor
**Member**, Hockey Newfoundland and Labrador, Regional Goaltending Development Committee
**Volunteer**, Hockey Newfoundland and Labrador Midget AAA Goaltending Coach

2010
**Volunteer**, Canadian Ski Patrol (Nationwide On-hill Certification), St. John’s, NL
**Volunteer**, Memorial University Campus Foodbank, St. John’s Campus
**Volunteer**, Memorial University Science Matters, Career Development Programme
**Member**, Hockey Newfoundland and Labrador, Regional Goaltending Development Committee
**Volunteer**, Hockey Newfoundland and Labrador Midget AAA Goaltending Coach

2009
**Volunteer**, Canadian Ski Patrol (Nationwide On-hill Certification), St. John’s, NL
**Volunteer**, Memorial University Campus Foodbank, St. John’s Campus
**Member**, Hockey Newfoundland and Labrador, Regional Goaltending Development Committee