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Abstract

The proposed disposal scenario for high-level nuclear waste (spent fuel) in Canada is emplacement within a sealed, deep geological repository (DGR) located in either granitic rock or sedimentary clay. Disposal is based on a multi-barrier approach, with the primary barrier being a sealed container which could be either dual-walled with a copper shell over an inner carbon steel vessel for granitic rock or a single thick-walled steel container for sedimentary clay. This study focuses on the corrosion behaviour of A516 Gr70 carbon steel as well as the corrosion products formed in a variety of groundwater compositions and concentrations expected within a sedimentary clay DGR environment. In particular, the effects of groundwater anions such as Cl\(^-\), HCO\(_3\)/CO\(_3\)^2\(^-\), and SO\(_4\)^2\(^-\) on the corrosion behaviour and corrosion product compositions and morphologies were studied. Several electrochemical and surface characterization techniques were employed to investigate the corrosion behaviour of the steel as well as the identities and morphologies of the subsequent corrosion products.

It was shown that in the presence of trace levels of O\(_2\), Cl\(^-\) is able to induce passivation of the steel surface by the catalytic conversion of Fe\(^{2+}\) to Fe\(^{3+}\) with passivation induced in this manner then leading to the initiation of breakdown sites. The addition of HCO\(_3\)/CO\(_3\)^2\(^-\) to highly concentrated Cl\(^-\) solutions led to a competition between the catalytic formation of Fe\(^{III}\) oxides and the stabilization of soluble Fe\(^{2+}\) by complexation with HCO\(_3\)/CO\(_3\)^2\(^-\). In addition, an increase in the total carbonate concentration increased the breakdown potential by preventing the stabilization of pits by buffering the development of acidity required for propagation. In contrast, SO\(_4\)^2\(^-\) was shown not to interfere with the Cl\(^-\)-catalyzed oxidation to Fe\(^{III}\) oxides in the presence of trace O\(_2\) but to have a significant effect on the breakdown potential, possibly due to its ability to be more strongly adsorbed to the Fe\(^{III}\) surface.

Electrochemical experiments performed under totally anaerobic conditions showed that an increase in [Cl\(^-\)] promoted corrosion leading to an increased roughening of the steel surface. This was attributed to an acceleration of the cathodic reaction on exposed Fe\(_3\)C bands from the pearlite structure. The addition of groundwater ions led to a suppression of the anodic kinetics due to the accumulation of CaCO\(_3\) crystals. Addition of HCO\(_3\)/CO\(_3\)^2\(^-\) to buffer the pH to 8.85 led to a significant decrease in the corrosion rate. This was attributed to the growth of a Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) barrier layer with additional protection provided by an outer layer of Fe\(_2\)(OH)\(_2\)CO\(_3\).
Complementary long-term corrosion studies showed that an initial period of humid air exposure led to the formation of a γ-Fe$_2$O$_3$ layer which was subsequently reduced to Fe$_3$O$_4$ by galvanic coupling to steel dissolution over approximately the first 100 days of exposure. Corrosion occurred preferentially at pearlite grains due to the lower cathodic overpotential on the Fe$_3$C lamellae. Addition of groundwater ions suppressed steel corrosion due to the rapid deposition of CaSO$_4$ and CaCO$_3$ crystals. High levels of Mg$^{2+}$ were shown to promote the formation of aragonite, a polymorph of CaCO$_3$ known to cause a reduction in steel corrosion rates. Finally, the addition of HCO$_3^-/CO_3^{2-}$ led to the rapid formation of Fe$_2$(OH)$_2$CO$_3$, attributed to the initial γ-Fe$_2$O$_3$ layer whose reduction led to high [Fe$^{3+}$] and the promotion of Fe$_2$(OH)$_2$CO$_3$ deposition. However, thermodynamic transformation of Fe$_2$(OH)$_2$CO$_3$ to FeCO$_3$ appeared to induce some localized corrosion/pitting processes.

The influence of H$_2$O$_2$ on steel corrosion under deaerated and totally anaerobic conditions was studied to determine whether radiolytic oxidants produced by the radiation fields in the fuel waste form would influence corrosion of the inside of a failed waste container. The interaction of the H$_2$O$_2$ with the steel was confirmed by the presence of Fe$^{III}$-containing corrosion products. The results showed that continuous steel corrosion can be expected in an anaerobic environment but that passivation occurred in the deaerated experiment. However, passivation was attributed to the higher levels of dissolved O$_2$ present and not the addition of H$_2$O$_2$ used as a surrogate for radiolytic oxidants. As such, active steel corrosion should be maintained inside a failed container and the soluble corrosion products (Fe$^{2+}$ and H$_2$) will be available to suppress fuel corrosion and radionuclide release.
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Chapter 1

Introduction

1.1. PROJECT BACKGROUND

Currently, nuclear power accounts for over 50% of Ontario’s electricity needs and 15% of Canada’s overall electricity supply [1, 2]. Nuclear power is an attractive means of generating electricity as it is one of the safest and cleanest forms of energy generation. However, for nuclear energy to remain a viable and sustainable option, the issue of waste disposal must be addressed. The main waste product produced from nuclear energy in Canada is the used CANDU (CANadian Deuterium Uranium) fuel bundles, consisting of high-density ceramic pellets of uranium dioxide (UO$_2$), which are discharged from the reactor core. Canada presently has 19 operational reactors which are producing ~88,000 used fuel bundles per year [3]. Currently, there are a total of 2.6 million used fuel bundles being stored at the reactor sites with a projected scenario of 4.4 million bundles by the end of all nuclear reactor lifetimes [3].

The current design for the long-term management of used nuclear fuel in Canada is based on a multi-barrier approach and deep geological disposal. The barrier system consists of the used fuel bundles, a durable metal container, a clay buffer/sealing system, a deep, stable, geologic environment and the geologic formation. The current proposal is to place the repository at a nominal depth between 500 and 1000 m in either the crystalline rock of the Canadian Shield or the Ordovician sedimentary rock formations in Ontario’s Michigan Basin [4, 5]. A key barrier is the container in which the fuel bundles will be sealed. For a crystalline repository environment the proposed container will consist of an inner carbon steel vessel to provide the structural support for an outer corrosion resistant copper coating. For sedimentary clay environments, a single-walled container made only from carbon steel has been considered due to the significant cost reduction over the coated container design and the fact a shorter-lived steel container can be considered due to the enhanced sealing properties provided by the sedimentary clay. Figure 1-1 illustrates the concept for the deep geological repository (DGR) for both crystalline rock and sedimentary clay host environments.

While Canada has chosen to proceed with the copper coated container design, many countries (for example, France, Switzerland, and Belgium) are still considering the single-walled carbon steel container [6]. It is therefore judicious to assess the corrosion damage which the steel
container may experience in the saline groundwaters anticipated. Furthermore, while not expected to fail, it remains beneficial to study the corrosion behaviour of the steel vessel should the copper coating of the dual-walled design be compromised.

![Figure 1-1: Proposed design for a Canadian deep geologic repository (DGR) using (a) a dual-walled copper coated container for crystalline host rock; (b) a single-walled carbon steel container for sedimentary host clay [7].](image)

### 1.2. AQUEOUS CORROSION

#### 1.2.1. Thermodynamics of Corrosion

Corrosion is the degradation of a substance (usually a metal) caused by an interfacial reaction with the environment to which it is exposed [8]. Corrosion processes can be viewed as a short circuited galvanic cell in which an anodic reaction is coupled to a cathodic reaction. For example, the oxidation of Fe in anaerobic aqueous media involves the oxidation of Fe and the reduction of water,

\[
Fe \rightarrow Fe^{2+} + 2e^- \quad (1-1)
\]

\[
2H_2O + 2e^- \rightarrow H_2 + 2OH^- \quad (1-2)
\]
The overall corrosion reaction is the sum of these two half reactions:

$$Fe + 2H_2O \rightarrow Fe^{2+} + H_2 + 2OH^-$$  \hspace{1cm} (1-3)$$

For the overall reaction in equation 1-3 to occur spontaneously, the free energy ($\Delta G_{rxn}$) of the reaction given by

$$\Delta G_{rxn} = \sum v_P \mu_P - \sum v_R \mu_R$$  \hspace{1cm} (1-4)$$

must be negative. In equation 1-4 $v_P$ and $v_R$ are the stoichiometric coefficients of the products and reactants and $\mu_P$ and $\mu_R$ are the chemical potentials of the products and reactants. The chemical potential of any species $i$ can then be written as a function of its activity which accounts for deviations from ideality

$$\mu_i = \mu_i^o + RT \ln \alpha_i$$  \hspace{1cm} (1-5)$$

where $\mu_i^o$ represents the chemical potential of species $i$ in its standard state, $R$ is the gas constant (8.314 J mol$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$), $T$ is the temperature in Kelvin, and $\alpha_i$ is the activity of species $i$. Substitution of equation 1-5 into equation 1-4 for the simple reaction given in equation 1-6 would yield equation 1-7,

$$aA + bB \rightarrow cC + dD$$  \hspace{1cm} (1-6)$$

$$\Delta G = \Delta G^o + RT \ln \left( \frac{\alpha_C^a \alpha_D^d}{\alpha_A^a \alpha_B^b} \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (1-7)$$

Additionally, the free energy ($\Delta G$) and standard free energy ($\Delta G^o$) of an electrochemical system can be described by

$$\Delta G = -nFE$$  \hspace{1cm} (1-8)$$

$$\Delta G^o = -nFE^o$$  \hspace{1cm} (1-9)$$

where $n$ is the number of electrons transferred in the reaction, $F$ is Faraday’s constant (96485 C mol$^{-1}$), $E$ is the equilibrium potential, and $E^o$ is the standard equilibrium potential. Substituting equations 1-8 and 1-9 into equation 1-7 yields the Nernst Equation
\[ E^e = E^\circ - \frac{RT}{nF} \ln \left( \frac{\alpha_B^d \alpha_B^e}{\alpha_A^a \alpha_A^b} \right) \]  \hspace{1cm} (1-10)

which can be used to calculate the equilibrium potential for a reversible reaction under conditions other than the standard state. However, in dilute solutions the activity of each species can be adequately approximated by their concentration and equation 1-10 can be re-written as

\[ E^e = E^\circ - \frac{RT}{nF} \ln \left( \frac{[C]^c [D]^d}{[A]^a [B]^b} \right) \]  \hspace{1cm} (1-11)

For corrosion reactions, the driving force will be the difference in the equilibrium potentials, derived from the Nernst Equation, between the anodic half reaction,

\[ M^{n^+} + ne^- \rightleftharpoons M \]  \hspace{1cm} (1-12)

\[ E^e_{M^{n^+}/M} = E^\circ_{M^{n^+}/M} - \frac{RT}{nF} \ln \left( \frac{1}{[M^{n^+}]} \right) \]  \hspace{1cm} (1-13)

and the cathodic half reaction.

\[ Ox + ne^- \rightleftharpoons Red \]  \hspace{1cm} (1-14)

\[ E^e_{Red/Ox} = E^\circ_{Red/Ox} - \frac{RT}{nF} \ln \left( \frac{[Red]}{[Ox]} \right) \]  \hspace{1cm} (1-15)

The thermodynamic possibilities for a material exposed to an aqueous medium can be calculated from the Nernst equation and a table of standard potentials and represented in the form of a Pourbaix (E-pH) diagram, which summarizes these possibilities as a function of potential and pH [9]. Figure 1-2 shows the Pourbaix diagram for the Fe-H\_2O system for different activities of Fe\^{2+} at 25°C. Since most corrosion reactions take place in aqueous media, the stability limits for the oxidation and reduction of H\_2O define the range of applicable conditions. The Nernst equation for the reduction of water is given by,
\[ 2H_2O + 2e^- \rightarrow H_2 + 2OH^- \]  
\[ E^e = E^o - \frac{(8.314)(295.15)}{(2)(96485)} \ln[OH^-]^2 \]  
\[ E^e = E^o - \frac{0.059}{2} \log[OH^-]^2 \]

where the partial pressure of H\(_2\) is assumed to 1. Rearranging the equation in terms of pH yields

\[ E^e = -0.059 \text{ pH} \]

Similarly for the oxidation of water with an \(O_2\) partial pressure of 1,

\[ O_2 + 4H^+ + 4e^- \rightleftharpoons 2H_2O \]

and,

\[ E^e = 1.23 V - 0.059 \text{ pH} \]

**Figure 1-2:** Pourbaix diagram for the Fe-H\(_2\)O system with various Fe\(^{2+}\) activities at 25°C. Solid lines represent the stability ranges for the solid species while the dotted lines show the stability ranges for aqueous species (not considered here) [9].
Plotting these two equations in Figure 1-2 yields the diagonal dashed lines (a) and (b) between which H$_2$O is thermodynamically stable and aqueous corrosion may be supported.

For Fe dissolution,

$$\text{Fe}^{2+} + 2e^- \rightleftharpoons \text{Fe}$$

\[ E^\circ = -0.447 \text{ V} \] (1-22)

the Nernst equation is

\[ E_{\text{Fe}^{2+}/\text{Fe}}^e = E_{\text{Fe}^{2+}/\text{Fe}}^\circ - \frac{RT}{2F} \log \frac{1}{[\text{Fe}^{2+}]} \] (1-24)

\[ E_{\text{Fe}^{2+}/\text{Fe}}^e = -0.447 \text{ V} + 0.0295 \log [\text{Fe}^{2+}] \] (1-25)

Since the dissolution reaction (equation 1-22) involves no protons, the equilibrium potential is independent of pH and appears as a series of horizontal lines (line (23) in Figure 1-2) dependent only on the concentration of the Fe$^{2+}$. At potentials below this line Fe is stable while at potentials above this line it will oxidize to aqueous Fe$^{2+}$. While the stability region of the Fe metal is seen to become larger as [Fe$^{2+}$] increases, it can be noted from Figure 1-2 that there is no region on the E-pH diagram in which Fe metal is stable in the presence of water. As such, Fe is considered to be a base metal.

In addition, Fe can react to form Fe$_3$O$_4$ via the reaction

$$\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4 + 4\text{H}_2\text{O} + 8e^- \rightleftharpoons 3\text{Fe} + 8\text{OH}^-$$

(1-26)

for which the Nernst Equation can be expressed as

\[ E_{\text{Fe}^{2+}/\text{Fe}}^e = E_{\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4/\text{Fe}}^\circ - \frac{RT}{8F} \log [\text{OH}^-]^8 \] (1-27)

\[ E_{\text{Fe}^{2+}/\text{Fe}}^e = -0.085 \text{ V} - 0.059 \text{ pH} \] (1-28)

It is clear from equation 1-28 that the equilibrium potential for the formation of Fe$_3$O$_4$ is dependent on both the potential and the pH. As such, this reaction will be represented by a diagonal line with a slope of -0.059, line (13) in Figure 1-2. Above this line Fe$_3$O$_4$ is the stable species, while below Fe metal is stable. Fe$_3$O$_4$ can then be oxidized to form Fe$_2$O$_3$ by the reaction
3Fe₂O₃ + 2H⁺ + 2e⁻ ⇌ 2Fe₃O₄ + H₂O \hspace{1cm} (1-29)

for which the Nernst equation can be expressed as

\[
E_{\text{Fe₃O₄/Fe₂O₃}}^{\text{e}} = E_{\text{Fe₃O₄/Fe₂O₃}}^{\text{e}} - \frac{RT}{2F} \log \frac{1}{[H^+]^{2}}
\hspace{1cm} (1-30)
\]

\[
E_{\text{Fe₃O₄/Fe₂O₃}}^{\text{e}} = 0.221 \, V - 0.059 \, pH
\hspace{1cm} (1-31)
\]

The oxidation of Fe₃O₄ to Fe₂O₃ is seen as the diagonal line (17) in Figure 1-2 below which Fe₃O₄ is the stable species and above which Fe₂O₃ is the stable species.

Furthermore, the Fe³⁺ species produced via equation 1-22 can also oxidize to either Fe₃O₄ or Fe₂O₃ via the following reactions

\[
Fe₃O₄ + 8H⁺ + 2e⁻ ⇌ 3Fe²⁺ + 4H₂O
\hspace{1cm} (1-32)
\]

\[
Fe₂O₃ + 6H⁺ + 2e⁻ ⇌ 2Fe²⁺ + 3H₂O
\hspace{1cm} (1-33)
\]

leading to lines (26) and (28) in Figure 1-2 calculated by the following Nernst Equations

\[
E_{\text{Fe²⁺/Fe₃O₄}}^{\text{e}} = 0.980 \, V - 0.2364 \, pH - 0.0886 \log[Fe²⁺]
\hspace{1cm} (1-34)
\]

\[
E_{\text{Fe²⁺/Fe₂O₃}}^{\text{e}} = 0.728 \, V - 0.1773 \, pH - 0.059 \log[Fe²⁺]
\hspace{1cm} (1-35)
\]

Figure 1-3 shows a simplified Pourbaix diagram highlighting the regions in which the Fe is immune to corrosion, in a passive state due to Fe₃O₄ or Fe₂O₃ film formation, and in active corrosion conditions. From Figure 1-3 it is clear that passivation of Fe is only possible for alkaline, oxidizing conditions. While Pourbaix diagrams are useful in determining which species may be thermodynamically stable under specific conditions, they do not give any information on the properties of the oxide species produced, in particular its ability to passivate the Fe. In addition, Pourbaix diagrams are unable to provide any information on the kinetics of the system.
1.2.2. Kinetics of Corrosion

While thermodynamics is useful in predicting which reactions may occur in a corrosion process, the corrosion kinetics express the rates at which corrosion can occur. In a corrosion cell, the positive anodic current due to the dissolution of the metal is equal and opposite in sign to the negative current from the reduction of the oxidant. The resulting corrosion current \( I_{\text{CORR}} \), is carried by the electrons through the metal and by ions in the solution.

\[
I_{\text{CORR}} = I_a = |I_c|
\]  

(1-36)

A simple way to measure the corrosion current is through mass loss measurements using Faraday’s law,

\[
I_{\text{CORR}} = \frac{mnF}{Mt}
\]  

(1-37)

\[
\text{Rate} = \frac{I_{\text{CORR}}M}{nF}
\]  

(1-38)

where \( m \) is the mass (g) of corroded metal, \( M \) is the molar mass of the material (g mol\(^{-1}\)), and \( t \)
is the duration of exposure in seconds. While this is a relatively straightforward way to determine the corrosion rate, $I_{CORR}$ is often unknown since it cannot be readily measured.

The equal rates of the cathodic and anodic reactions for a metal dissolution reaction at equilibrium can be expressed as an exchange current density ($i_0$),

$$i_0 = i_a = |i_c|$$  \hspace{1cm} (1-39)

where $i_a$ is the anodic current density for the forward reaction and $i_c$ is the cathodic current density for the reverse reaction. The current-potential relationship can be described by the Butler-Volmer (B-V) equation

$$i_{net} = i_0 \left[ e^{\left(\frac{anF}{RT}\eta\right)} - e^{\left(\frac{(1-a)nF}{RT}\eta\right)} \right]$$  \hspace{1cm} (1-40)

where $\alpha$ is the transfer coefficient of the reversible reaction and $\eta$ is the overpotential defined with reference to the equilibrium potential ($\eta = E \pm E^e$). Figure 1-4 illustrates the B-V relationship for the reversible Fe dissolution/precipitation reaction. When the reaction is polarized to a large anodic overpotential, the current can be simplified to

$$i_a = i_0 \left[ e^{\left(\frac{anF}{RT}\eta\right)} \right]$$   \hspace{1cm} (1-41)

Similarly, for large cathodic overpotentials the current can be expressed by

$$i_c = i_0 \left[ -e^{\left(\frac{(1-a)nF}{RT}\eta\right)} \right]$$   \hspace{1cm} (1-42)

Writing equations 1-41 and 1-42 logarithmically yields the following linear relationships

$$\log i_a = \log i_0 + \frac{anF\eta}{2.303RT}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1-43)

$$\log i_c = \log i_0 - \frac{(1-a)nF\eta}{2.303RT}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1-44)

Plotting the $\eta$ versus $\log i_a$ gives an intercept of $i_0$ and a slope of
Figure 1-4: Potential-current (Butler-Volmer) relationship for the Fe dissolution/deposition reaction. Solid lines indicate the measureable current while the dashed lines show the partial currents for the forward and reverse reactions [10].

\[
\frac{2.303RT}{\alpha nF}
\]  

(1-45)

which is known as the anodic Tafel slope, commonly denoted by the coefficient \( \beta \). A similar process can be performed for \( \log i_c \) to obtain the cathodic Tafel slope.

However, a corrosion reaction involves the coupling of two separate reactions at the unique potential at which the anodic and cathodic currents are equal and opposite in sign (equation 1-36), Figure 1-5. If the equilibrium potentials of the half reactions are sufficiently separated, the point at which \( E_{CORR} \) occurs will involve only the anodic current for Fe dissolution and the cathodic current for proton reduction. As such, \( i_{CORR} \) will exhibit a BV-like relationship as given by the Wagner-Traud equation,
\[ i_{\text{net}} = i_{\text{CORR}} \left[ e^{\left(\frac{2.3(E-E_{\text{CORR}})}{\beta_a}\right)} - e^{\left(\frac{2.3(E-E_{\text{CORR}})}{\beta_c}\right)} \right] \]  

where \( \beta_a \) and \( \beta_c \) are the anodic and cathodic Tafel coefficients. Plotting equation 1-46 logarithmically yields an Evan’s diagram which can be used to predict the behaviour of the anodic and cathodic half reactions based on changes in \( E_{\text{CORR}} \) and \( i_{\text{CORR}} \), Figure 1-6. The position of \( E_{\text{CORR}} \) will be determined by the half reaction with the larger \( i_0 \) while the kinetics of the reaction with the smaller \( i_0 \) will control the value of \( i_{\text{CORR}} \).

**Figure 1-5:** Current-potential relationships for the Fe dissolution and proton reduction reactions (solid black lines). As shown, the anodic reaction has a large \( i_0 \) while the cathodic reaction has a small \( i_0 \). The dashed red line shows the current that would be measured for the coupled reaction. As shown, the anodic reaction has a large \( i_0 \) compared to the cathodic reaction and hence determines the position of \( E_{\text{CORR}} \).
Figure 1-6: Current-potential relationships for the anodic dissolution of Fe and the cathodic reduction of protons plotted as an Evan’s diagram [10].
1.3. MATERIALS BACKGROUND

1.3.1. Carbon Steel

Iron is an allotropic metal which, depending on temperature, can exist in at least three different structures [11, 12]. Figure 1-7 shows that as liquid Fe metal is cooled it first forms a solid body-centered cubic (BCC) δ-phase, known as delta ferrite, followed by a face-centered cubic (FCC) γ-phase, known as austenite, before finally reverting back to a BCC structure, designated the α-phase. The α-phase, also known as ferrite, can exist in both a non-magnetic and a magnetic form above and below 768 °C, respectively. While the magnetic properties change at this temperature, the Fe structure remains unchanged based on X-ray studies [11]. At increased pressures, a third allotrope (ε-Fe) of hexagonal close-packed (HCP) structure is possible, Figure 1-8 [12]. The alloying elements within the steel, most importantly the C content, will determine the point at which these structural changes occur. Fe alloys are classified as either steels (<2.14 wt% C) or cast irons (>2.14 wt% C) [11]. The equilibrium phase diagram for the Fe-C system showing these distinctions is given in Figure 1-9. Carbon steel, or mild steel, is a Fe-C alloy with low levels of impurities such as Mn, S and Si, where C atoms are located interstitially within the octahedral holes of the BCC structure of the α-Fe [12]. Carbon steels can be classified into three major categories: low, medium, and high-carbon steel based on an increasing C content. Low-carbon steels are generally classified by a C content of less than 0.25% and are the most widely produced [13].

Figure 1-10 shows the equilibrium diagram for steels with a C content lower than 2%. The vertical dashed lined indicates a low-carbon steel containing roughly 0.25% C. Initially, at point c, only the austenitic phase is present. Upon cooling to point d, the steel begins to undergo an allotropic change to BBC α-Fe, indicated in red. Because C is more soluble in the interstitial spaces of the FCC structure, the change to BCC results in a decrease in C solubility, leading to the removal of C atoms from solid solution in regions undergoing transition to α-Fe and their re-dissolution in the residual austenite. When the temperature reaches the point designated by the horizontal red line, the remaining FCC austenite, with a C content which has been increased to 0.8%, undergoes a eutectoid reaction to form α-Fe and the precipitation of cementite (Fe₃C, 6.67 wt% C) in regions surrounding the α-Fe. This process leads to the formation of alternating bands of α-Fe and Fe₃C, collectively known as pearlite. As indicated by point f in Figure 1-10,
there is a mixture of two different types of α-Fe. The ferrite initially formed before the eutectoid temperature is known as proeutectoid α-Fe while the ferrite within the pearlite structure is

![Cooling curve showing the allotropic conversions for pure Fe at atmospheric pressure](image1)

**Figure 1-7:** Cooling curve showing the allotropic conversions for pure Fe at atmospheric pressure [14].

![Triple point showing the possible interconversions of Fe allotropes α (BCC), γ (FCC), and ε (HCP)](image2)

**Figure 1-8:** Triple point showing the possible interconversions of Fe allotropes α (BCC), γ (FCC), and ε (HCP) [12].
known as eutectoid $\alpha$-Fe due to its formation about the eutectoid temperature [15]. The amount of Fe$_3$C, and therefore pearlite, is dependent on the amount of C present within the alloy. Increasing the C content will increase the pearlite percentage until it is the only phase present (0.8 wt% C) [12]. Figure 1-11 shows optical images of the $\alpha$-Fe and pearlite structure for the A516 Gr70 (0.23 wt% C) steel used in the studies presented in this thesis. The interstitial C atoms and the Fe$_3$C within the pearlite are what help to inhibit the glide of dislocations within the lattice structure, thus increasing the strength of the material over that of pure iron [11, 12].

While the low carbon levels (< 0.25 wt%) of low-carbon steels mean that they are softer and weaker than their high-carbon counterparts, they are an ideal structural material as they maintain their toughness and ductility as well as ease of machinability and weldability [12, 13]. More than a billion tonnes of steel are consumed annually with low-carbon steels being used in castings, structural shapes, automobile components and sheets which are used in the fabrication of pipelines, storage tanks, pressure vessels, bridges, and buildings [12, 13].

![Iron-carbon phase diagram](image)

**Figure 1-9:** The iron-carbon phase diagram showing the distinction between steels and cast irons as a function of carbon content [15].
Figure 1-10: Illustration of the microstructures of a hypoeutectoid steel (containing less than 0.76 wt% C) as it is cooled from the austenite phase region to below the eutectoid temperature \([15]\).

Figure 1-11: Optical images of the A516 Gr70 carbon steel used within this thesis showing grains of \(\alpha\)-Fe (white) and pearlite (brown).
1.3.2. Iron Oxides

Fe based alloys have become so prevalent in construction materials due to the large abundance of Fe, the relative ease of alloying and fabrication techniques available, and the extreme versatility of their mechanical and physical properties [13]. However, the main downfall of Fe based alloys is their low corrosion resistance. Upon contact with aqueous media, Fe will corrode to produce a variety of oxides and hydroxides. The phase formed will depend on a multitude of factors including the pH, temperature, oxidant availability, and the ion content of the exposure environment. The following sections describe the properties of the oxide and hydroxide phases found to form in the studies presented in this thesis.

1.3.2.1. Magnetite (Fe$_3$O$_4$)

Magnetite is a black phase with an inverse spinel structure of mixed oxidation state and formula Fe$^I$Fe$^II$O$_4$, simplified to Fe$_3$O$_4$ [16, 17]. The inverse spinel consists of a cubic close-packed array of oxygen ions where the cations are located within the tetrahedral and octahedral interstices. The unit cell is FCC with 32 O$^{2-}$ ions leaving 16 octahedral and eight tetrahedral locations for the Fe cations. In Fe$_3$O$_4$ the Fe$^{III}$ ions occupy the tetrahedral locations along with half of the octahedral locations. The remaining eight octahedral locations are occupied by the Fe$^{II}$ ions [18]. The resulting oxide is comprised of alternating layers of octahedra (Fe$^{II}$) and mixed octahedra/tetrahedra (Fe$^{III}$). The anti-parallel and unequal magnitude of the spins in these layers causes the ferrimagnetic properties of Fe$_3$O$_4$ [17]. In fact, Fe$_3$O$_4$ is the world’s oldest known magnetic material.

1.3.2.2. Maghemite (γ-Fe$_2$O$_3$)

Maghemite (γ-Fe$_2$O$_3$) is a defect spinel structure which differs from Fe$_3$O$_4$, and contains only the Fe$^{III}$ oxidation state. The increased charge is compensated for by the random introduction of cation vacancies into the octahedral sites. As such, γ-Fe$_2$O$_3$ is often referred to as an Fe$^{II}$ deficient form of Fe$_3$O$_4$ [17, 18]. The overall formula, Fe$_2$O$_3$, is derived from a unit cell which contains 32 O$^{2-}$ ions (as in Fe$_3$O$_4$), 21.3 Fe$^{II}$ ions and 2.3 vacancies [17]. The γ-designation is used to distinguish the maghemite phase from that of hematite (α-Fe$_2$O$_3$). Like Fe$_3$O$_4$, γ-Fe$_2$O$_3$ is ferrimagnetic at room temperatures due to the location of Fe$^{III}$ in both tetrahedral and octahedral sites. γ-Fe$_2$O$_3$ has been shown to form via transformation from other iron oxide states. In such cases the crystal structure of the produced γ-Fe$_2$O$_3$ is generally the same as that
of the oxide from which it formed. For example, oxidation of Fe$_3$O$_4$ results in cubic or irregularly shaped particles while dehydroxylation of lepidocrocite (γ-FeOOH) will lead to the growth of thin and narrow crystals [17]. γ-Fe$_2$O$_3$ appears brown or brownish-red in colouring.

1.3.2.3. **Akaganeite (β-FeOOH)**

Akaganeite (β-FeOOH) is a polymorph of the iron oxyhydroxide grouping. It possesses a tetragonal unit cell structure with the O$^2-$ and OH$^-$ anions in a BCC array causing β-FeOOH to be less dense than either α- or γ-FeOOH [17, 19]. The Fe$^{III}$ cations occupy octahedral locations. The structure of β-FeOOH is composed of double chains of edge-shared octahedra which share corners with adjacent chains. This gives rise to a 3D structure, Figure 1-12, which contains tunnels confined by rows of octahedra [17, 19]. Occupation of these tunnels by chloride ions aids in their stabilization. Removal of the chloride ions has been shown to induce transformation to goethite (α-FeOOH) or α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ [17]. As such, akaganeite is only formed in chloride containing environments. β-FeOOH is paramagnetic at room temperature but becomes antiferromagnetic below 290 K (however this temperature has been known to change based on synthesis conditions) [17]. β-FeOOH is yellow-brown in appearance.

*Figure 1-12: Illustrative representation of the akaganeite tunnel structure. Triangular prisms represent the edge-sharing octahedra while the spheres are the Cl$^-$ ions which stabilize the tunnels [17].*
1.3.2.4. **Lepidocrocite (γ-FeOOH)**

A second iron oxyhydroxide polymorph, lepidocrocite (γ-FeOOH), has an orthorhombic unit cell where the O$^{2-}$ and OH$^{-}$ anions are located in cubic close-packed (CCP) arrays and the Fe$^{III}$ ions are found within the octahedral interstitial spaces. While both β-FeOOH and γ-FeOOH are formed from double chain octahedra of Fe(O$_6$OH)$_6$, γ-FeOOH forms corrugated sheets of octahedra separated by empty octahedral locations rather than a tunnel structure like β-FeOOH [17]. On the macroscale, γ-FeOOH generally forms tabular crystal morphologies. However, slow crystal growth or high temperatures have been shown to lead to the formation of long and narrow crystal structures while rapid precipitation has led to thin, crumpled sheets [17]. γ-FeOOH is paramagnetic at room temperature and due to the layered structure antiferromagnetic below 77 K, which is much lower than any other iron oxide or hydroxide [17]. γ-FeOOH is yellow to orange in appearance.

1.3.2.5. **Green Rusts**

Green rusts (GRs) are Fe$^{II}$-Fe$^{III}$ layered double-hydroxide compounds isostructural with pyroaurite (Mg$_6$Fe$_2$(OH)$_{16}$CO$_3$) and are made up of HCP sheets of Fe$^{II}$(OH)$_6$ octahedra exhibiting an Fe(OH)$_2$ structure. The substitution of Fe$^{III}$ into the layers gives the structure a positive charge which is then balanced by anions located between the octahedral sheets [17, 20-22]. The most common anions found within GR are chloride (GR1) and sulphate (GR2), although halogens, carbonate, perchlorate and nitrate have also been seen [17]. In the absence of other anions it has been suggested that OH$^{-}$ anions are found between the layer structures [23]. Due to the Fe(OH)$_2$ structure incorporated into the layers, GRs exist in the form of hexagonal platelets, are blue-green in colour, and a common corrosion product associated with high concentrations of Fe$^{2+}$ [17].

1.3.2.6. **Ferrihydrite (Fe$_5$O$_7$(OH)•4H$_2$O)**

Synthetic and natural ferrihydrite are poorly ordered with two forms being reported, 2-line and 6-line, due to their XRD pattern which give 2 or 6-8 reflections as the structural order increases [17]. Due to the poor ordering of ferrihydrite and the inability to separate the OH and adsorbed H$_2$O within the structure, an exact formula is not yet agreed upon. Several groups report a structure of Fe$_5$O$_7$(OH)•4H$_2$O for the bulk formula [24-26]. Music et al. [25] report a hexagonal crystal system for the ferrihydrite structure. Ferrihydrite is characterized by small crystal sizes.
and low structural order. However, the higher ordered 6-line ferrihydrite has been shown to appear with a hexagonal structure [17]. The 2-line ferrihydrite easily transforms into either α-FeOOH or α-Fe₂O₃ in water at room temperatures [27]. Ferrihydrite ranges from pale to bright yellow, orange or brown-yellow in colour [16]. However, it is generally less yellow in hue than β-FeOOH, γ-FeOOH, and γ-Fe₂O₃ [17].

1.3.2.7. **Chukanovite (Fe₂(OH)₂CO₃)**

Chukanovite (Fe₂(OH)₂CO₃) is an iron hydroxycarbonate from the rosasite-malachite mineral group. It is composed of edge-sharing Fe octahedra forming ribbons interlinked via corner-shared locations to form corrugated octahedral layers. The carbonate groups are inserted within the structure which allows interlayer linking. Two octahedral Fe locations exist within the structure, one in which the Fe cation is coordinated to four oxygen atoms and two hydroxyl groups, and a second where the Fe is coordinated to four hydroxyl groups and two oxygen atoms. The former location is a larger octahedron with a higher level of distortion than the latter [28]. Chukanovite has been shown to grow as plate-like crystals during corrosion processes [29-31]. Saheb et al. [32] have shown that Fe₂(OH)₂CO₃ forms in carbonate rich anaerobic environments while work by Remazeilles et al. [33] showed its formation is dependent on the ratio of Fe²⁺ to both OH⁻ and CO₃²⁻ concentrations.

1.4. **CORROSION OF CARBON STEEL IN AQUEOUS SYSTEMS**

1.4.1. **Corrosion under Disposal Conditions**

1.4.1.1. **Evolution of the Waste Vault**

The corrosion behaviour of a steel container will be dependent on the environmental conditions to which it is exposed including: water saturation of the repository, temperature gradients, redox conditions, pore-water chemistry, microbial activity, mass transport, residual stress and external loads, and gas transport mechanism. Generally, the repository environment will evolve such that corrosion of the container will become less severe over time, with possible short term localized corrosion processes eventually giving way to uniform corrosion [6]. Initially, when the container temperature is high, the repository will contain insufficient moisture to support container corrosion. However, as the temperature at the container surface begins to decrease and the relative humidity increases, the deliquescence of salt deposits will allow corrosion to
begin. While the supply of H\textsubscript{2}O will initially be rate-limiting, the DGR will eventually become fully saturated and corrosion will progress in a continuous aqueous phase. It has been estimated that saturation of the DGR in a sedimentary clay environment could take 100’s to 1000’s of years due to the low permeability of the host rock [4, 6]. The low hydraulic conductivity of the sedimentary host rock may even push saturation times to tens of thousands of years [34].

Initially, the DGR redox conditions will be oxidizing due to O\textsubscript{2} trapped during the sealing process. Over time this O\textsubscript{2} will be consumed by the corrosion of the container, microbial activity, and the oxidation of Fe\textsuperscript{II} and other oxidizable minerals. It is generally accepted that this aerobic phase will last 10’s of years to a maximum of 100-200 years [4, 6, 35]. Because the processes of water saturation and O\textsubscript{2} consumption are occurring at the same time, the extent of steel corrosion will be dictated by the transition between these phases. Should the O\textsubscript{2} be consumed at a rate faster than the establishment of saturated conditions, the corrosion of the steel should proceed in a uniform manner with relatively low corrosion rates. However, if saturation were to occur quickly, a period of saturated, aerobic conditions would be established. Under these conditions three exposure phases, based on the evolution of the repository temperature, saturation, and redox conditions, can be defined:

1. An aerobic, unsaturated vapour phase
2. An aerobic, saturated aqueous phase or an anaerobic, unsaturated vapour phase
3. An anaerobic, saturated aqueous phase

Figure 1-13 highlights the evolution of the DGR environment as a function of the saturation [6]. Additionally, the chemistry of the pore-water will evolve over time. Initially, the chemistry will be determined by the nature of the water used to saturate the bentonite back-fill material and the content of the mineral impurities of the clay. King [6] describes the evolution in pore-water chemistry as follows;

1. Dissolution of soluble mineral impurities in the water used for wetting of the sealing materials.
2. Ion exchange with the bentonite, resulting in the uptake of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} and the release of Na\textsuperscript{+} ions.
3. Precipitation of the least soluble minerals as water is driven away from the container by the thermal gradient.

5. Dissolution of minerals as the sealing materials re-wet, starting with the most soluble species.

6. Full saturation of the sealing materials.

Over time, the pore-water will equilibrate with the surrounding groundwater which is expected to be highly saline at the proposed depth of a repository in the reference Michigan Basin host environment.

![Figure 1-13: Evolution of the environmental conditions expected within a DGR as a function of the stage of saturation. Overlaid colours represent the transition over time of the temperature from hot to cool [6].](image-url)
1.4.1.2. **Steel Corrosion under Nuclear Waste Disposal Conditions**

In the initial aerobic, unsaturated conditions there will be insufficient moisture to support corrosion. Under the anaerobic conditions in phase 3 (section 1.4.1.1), the steel container will corrode indefinitely in contact with the groundwater, Figure 1-2. King and Kolar [34] have developed a model for the anaerobic corrosion of carbon steel used fuel containers in sedimentary host rock in order to predict long-term container lifetimes. Since the corrosion behaviour will depend on the establishment of saturated conditions in the repository, a reference time for full saturation of 10,000 years was assumed in the initial model calculations. Figure 1-14 shows the evolution of the corrosion rate divided into four distinct stages. Stage (I) corresponds to the initial anaerobic, unsaturated phase in which no significant corrosion is expected to occur. In stage (II) the initial corrosion rate will be high due to dissolution of the base metal. However, the rate will decrease as protective surface films (assumed to be Fe$_3$O$_4$) form on the surface. This inhibiting effect dominates until Stage (III) when a minimum porosity of the Fe$_3$O$_4$ film is achieved and the corrosion rate begins to increase due to the continued saturation of the area surrounding the container. Beyond 10,000 years when full saturation has been achieved the corrosion rate decreases slowly as the container begins to cool. After 100,000 years, a steady state corrosion rate of -0.1 um/year is established. Sensitivity analyses for the time until full saturation were also conducted and are presented in Figure 1-15. For the shortest time to full saturation of 1,000 years the corrosion rate was seen to be highest due to the increased temperature of the fuel container when wetting first occurs. Conversely, for a saturation time of 100,000 years the corrosion rate is shown to decrease due to the lower temperatures of the fuel container upon wetting. From these results it was predicted that fuel container failure would occur after 8,200 years, 19,500 years, and 190,000 years for saturation times of 1,000 years, 10,000 years, and 100,000 years, respectively, for a corrosion allowance of 2 cm.

The rates predicted by the model by King and Kolar [34] are similar to those measured on archaeological artefacts which are often used as long-term analogues for the corrosion behaviour of carbon steel in repository conditions.
Figure 1-14: Corrosion rates as a function of time illustrating the evolution in the stages of corrosion for a reference time for full saturation of 10,000 years [34].

Figure 1-15: Effect of saturation time on the corrosion rate of the steel container [34].
1.4.2. The Role of Dissolved O$_2$

The anaerobic corrosion of carbon steel is thought to occur slowly due to the rate-limiting nature of the H$_2$O reduction reaction on the steel surface

$$2H_2O + 2e^- \rightarrow H_2 + 2OH^-$$ (1-47)

The primary corrosion product of anaerobic steel corrosion is widely accepted to be Fe(OH)$_2$ according to the reaction 1-48.

$$Fe + 2H_2O \rightarrow Fe(OH)_2 + 2H^+ + 2e^-$$ (1-48)

However, Fe(OH)$_2$ is not considered to be either thermodynamically or kinetically stable [36], and as such will convert to other iron oxides/hydroxides depending on the redox conditions of the exposure environment. Figure 1-16 shows a general reaction scheme illustrating the differences in the corrosion products formed in anaerobic and slightly oxidizing conditions [36]. Under anaerobic conditions Fe(OH)$_2$ generally coverts to Fe$_3$O$_4$ via the Schikorr reaction:

$$3Fe(OH)_2 \rightarrow Fe_3O_4 + H_2O + H_2$$ (1-49)

Increases in temperature and pH (Figure 1-2) also favour the formation of Fe$_3$O$_4$. However, in the presence O$_2$, Fe$^{\text{III}}$ oxides/oxyhydroxides become the favoured corrosion product species. Increased levels of O$_2$ are seen to favour the formation of α-, β-, and γ-FeOOH while trace levels of O$_2$ are seen to cause the formation of mixed Fe$^{\text{II}}$/Fe$^{\text{III}}$ green rusts (GRs). Under highly oxidizing conditions these species may oxidize further to α- or γ-Fe$_2$O$_3$. Mabuchi et al. [37] characterized the corrosion products formed over a range of [O$_2$] over a 4-day period. They showed that with increasing [O$_2$], the fraction of Fe$^{\text{III}}$ phases increased with γ-Fe$_2$O$_3$ and subsequently α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ forming over a Fe$_3$O$_4$ base layer. Figure 1-16 also indicates that the Fe$^{\text{III}}$ containing FeOOH phases can be reduced to Fe$_3$O$_4$ under reducing conditions in the presence of excess Fe$^{2+}$. Ishikawa et al. [38] showed that the ease of reduction of the FeOOH species to Fe$_3$O$_4$ was in the order β-FeOOH > γ-FeOOH >> α-FeOOH. As such, it would appear that any FeOOH corrosion products that may be formed on the steel container due to the presence of trace levels of O$_2$ will be reduced to Fe$_3$O$_4$ as conditions become progressively more anoxic with time [36].
1.4.3. The Role of Groundwater Anions

The groundwaters of the sedimentary clay DGR are expected to be highly saline and to contain anions such as $\text{HCO}_3^-/\text{CO}_3^{2-}$ and $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$. Some anion species, such as $\text{HCO}_3^-/\text{CO}_3^{2-}$, are known to increase the stability of Fe$^{\text{II}}$ species while others, such as $\text{Cl}^-$, are thought to stabilize Fe$^{\text{III}}$ species [36]. Consequently, the products formed on the steel container surface are expected to have a complex dependence on the dissolved $[\text{O}_2]$, pH, and anion content of the solution.

1.4.3.1. The Effects of Chloride

Several authors have previously shown that $\text{Cl}^-$ has the ability to accelerate the conversion of Fe$^{\text{II}}$ to Fe$^{\text{III}}$ in the presence of trace $\text{O}_2$ [39-49]. Kurimura et al. [43] proposed that this oxidation was catalyzed by chelation and could be facilitated by a ligand bridging mechanism involving $\text{Cl}^-$ as observed for the oxidation of Cr$^{\text{II}}$ to Cr$^{\text{III}}$ [50]. Figure 1-16 shows that in the presence of trace $\text{O}_2$, GRs can form as intermediate corrosion products. However, in addition to further oxidation to produce FeOOH species, GR is also metastable with respect to Fe$_3\text{O}_4$ at pH values greater than 5 (as expected for DGR groundwaters) making Fe$_3\text{O}_4$ a likely conversion product of GRs via dehydration and oxidation [51]. In the presence of high $[\text{Cl}^-]$, an excess of $\text{Cl}^-$ may be incorporated into the GR structure leading to further oxidation of Fe$^{\text{II}}$ to Fe$^{\text{III}}$ in order for the
structure to remain neutral. This increase in the Cl\(^-\) to Fe ratio is seen to cause the formation of γ-FeOOH [46, 51-55].

Refait and Genin [46] studied the effect of Cl\(^-\) to OH\(^-\) ratios on the distribution of iron corrosion products. They observed that an increase in the [Cl\(^-\)] of the exposure environment favoured the formation of γ-FeOOH at the expense of Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\). Taylor [56] suggested that this effect was due to the preferential adsorption of Cl\(^-\) over OH\(^-\) at the Fe surface which would hinder the formation of Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\). Therefore, it would appear that a competition exists between the formation of Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) at low [Cl\(^-\)] and the formation of γ-FeOOH at high [Cl\(^-\)], equation 1-50.

\[
Fe \rightarrow Fe(OH)_2 \quad \text{GR} \quad Fe_3O_4 \rightarrow \gamma FeOOH
\]

Furthermore, at extremely high [Cl\(^-\)] the increased Cl\(^-\) intercalation into a GR structure has been shown to cause preferential oxidation to β-FeOOH rather than γ-FeOOH [52]. This is not surprising as β-FeOOH is known to only form in Cl\(^-\) containing environments. The Cl\(^-\) anion is incorporated into the FeOOH structure which aids in stabilization of the octahedral tunnels, Figure 1-12.

1.4.3.2. The Effects of Bicarbonate/Carbonate

The presence of HCO\(_3\)/CO\(_3\)\(^2-\) has been shown to accelerate both the anodic and cathodic reactions involved in corrosion. Acceleration of the cathodic reaction is due to the increased availability of H\(^+\) from the dissociation of HCO\(_3\)\(^-\) while the acceleration of the anodic reaction is due to stabilization of Fe\(^{2+}\) species through complexation to form species such as FeHCO\(_3\)\(^+\), Fe(HCO\(_3\))\(_2\), and Fe(CO\(_3\))\(_2\)\(^-\) [57-64]. Additionally, an increase in the HCO\(_3\)/CO\(_3\)\(^2-\) content of the exposure environment is shown to favour CO\(_3\)\(^2-\) containing corrosion products [59]. Depending on the conditions, siderite (FeCO\(_3\)) is known to form competitively with chukanovite (Fe\(_2\)(OH)\(_2\)CO\(_3\)) [32, 65-67]. FeCO\(_3\) is known to be the major corrosion product formed on steel pipelines exposed to groundwater saturated soils [68]. Fe\(_2\)(OH)\(_2\)CO\(_3\) has been found as a corrosion product of steel in oxygen-poor clay environments such as those expected within the
DGR [31, 32, 59, 65, 69-75]. Previous authors have shown that high \([\text{Fe}^{2+}]\), moderate \([\text{HCO}_3^-/\text{CO}_3^{2-}]\), and slightly alkaline \(pH\) will promote the formation of \(\text{Fe}_2(\text{OH})_3\text{CO}_3\) over \(\text{FeCO}_3\) [31, 33, 59, 67, 71, 73, 76]. Observation of the Pourbaix diagram for \(\text{Fe}\) in \(\text{HCO}_3^-/\text{CO}_3^{2-}\) containing environments shows that \(\text{Fe}_2(\text{OH})_3\text{CO}_3\) is favoured in slightly alkaline conditions but that it is metastable with respect to \(\text{FeCO}_3\), Figure 1-17. Consequently, it is possible that over extended periods of time \(\text{Fe}_2(\text{OH})_3\text{CO}_3\) may thermodynamically convert to \(\text{FeCO}_3\). This is consistent with the observation of inner \(\text{Fe}_2(\text{OH})_3\text{CO}_3\) layers and outer \(\text{FeCO}_3\) layers found on archaeological artefacts exposed to anoxic carbonated groundwaters [32, 33, 59, 65, 67, 77].

**Figure 1-17:** Pourbaix diagram of iron in carbonate containing aqueous media at 25°C for equilibria involving \(\text{Fe}_2(\text{OH})_3\text{CO}_3\) (dotted lines) and \(\text{FeCO}_3\) (solid lines) [67].
1.4.3.3. The Effects of Sulphate

Previous studies of the effects of $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ on the corrosion of carbon steel suggest that $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ is an aggressive anion towards steel corrosion in anoxic and alkaline environments [59, 78-85]. Zhu et al. [85] suggest that this is due to the loss of protective oxides due to an accelerated dissolution caused by replacement of adsorbed $\text{OH}^-$ ions by $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ leading to a situation in which a small anode is coupled to a large cathode. Others have attributed its aggressiveness to an increase in solution conductivity [86] or to the formation of complexes with iron such as those observed in the case of $\text{HCO}_3^-$/$\text{CO}_3^{2-}$ [79]. Furthermore, several studies have shown that carbon steel is susceptible to localized pitting events in the presence of $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ [81, 84, 85]. However, these studies were performed in solutions containing $\text{HCO}_3^-$ with only little $\text{Cl}^-$ which may have changed the behaviour of the steel in comparison to if it had been exposed to $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ only.

1.5. THESIS OBJECTIVES

The overall goal of this thesis was to investigate the corrosion behaviour of A516 Gr70 carbon steel in a variety of solutions containing the species anticipated in the groundwater of a sedimentary clay DGR. In particular, the effects of groundwater anions such as $\text{Cl}^-$, $\text{HCO}_3^-$/$\text{CO}_3^{2-}$, and $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ on the corrosion behaviour and corrosion product compositions and morphologies were studied. In addition, the effects of trace levels of $\text{O}_2$ were studied in order to investigate how corrosion might progress if saturated conditions are achieved before all the available $\text{O}_2$ has been consumed. The overall thesis objectives are:

- To determine whether corrosion on the inside of a failed container is influenced by water radiolysis products produced by the radioactive decay processes occurring in the fuel waste form.
- To study the effects of $\text{HCO}_3^-$/$\text{CO}_3^{2-}$ and $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ in highly concentrated $\text{Cl}^-$ solutions on the corrosion behaviour of A516 Gr70 carbon steel under anoxic and near anoxic conditions.
- To identify the corrosion products formed on the steel surface after exposure to solutions with different compositions.
- To develop a long term understanding of the evolution of the corrosion behaviour of A516 Gr70 carbon steel in highly concentrated $\text{Cl}^-$ solutions under conditions expected in sedimentary clay groundwaters.
1.6. THESIS SUMMARY

This thesis describes the application of electrochemical and surface analysis techniques to determine the corrosion behaviour of carbon steel as applied to nuclear waste storage containers.

Electrochemical methods used included the measurement of corrosion potentials ($E_{\text{CORR}}$) over periods ranging from 14 to 60 days, linear polarization resistance (LPR) measurements to provide insight into the steel corrosion rates, and electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) to characterize the corroding interface. Surface analytical techniques were used to characterize the composition and morphology of the corrosion product films. Raman spectroscopy and infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) were used to identify the corrosion product deposits formed during exposure to the various environments. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) was used to determine the morphology of the surface films while focused ion beam (FIB) milling was used to cross-section the corrosion films and determine the influence of corrosion on the steel surface. Energy dispersive X-ray (EDX) spectroscopy was used to determine the elemental composition of the corrosion products.

Chapter 2 briefly outlines the electrochemical and surface analytical techniques used as well as the experimental designs.

In Chapter 3 the influence of $H_2O_2$ on the corrosion of carbon steel was investigated under deaerated conditions, when traces of dissolved $O_2$ could be present, and under anaerobic conditions, when the $[O_2]$ would be expected to be at the ppb level. The goal of this chapter was to determine whether or not $H_2$ and $Fe^{2+}$ produced from steel corrosion will be continuously produced inside a failed container and available to scavenge radiolytically produced $H_2O_2$ thereby suppressing fuel corrosion.

In Chapter 4 the effects of $Cl^-$ along with $HCO_3^-/CO_3^{2-}$ and $SO_4^{2-}$ in highly concentrated $Cl^-$ solutions were investigated under deaerated conditions when trace levels of $O_2$ are present. A series of $E_{\text{CORR}}$ and $R_p$ measurements were performed in solutions containing increasing concentrations of $Cl^-$ as well as solutions containing 5.0 M $Cl^-$ with increasing concentrations of $HCO_3^-/CO_3^{2-}$ or $SO_4^{2-}$. This chapter describes the possible consequences should the saturation of the DGR environment occur before all of the initial $O_2$ is consumed.
In Chapters 5 and 6 the effects of \([\text{Cl}^-]\) and \(\text{HCO}_3^-/\text{CO}_3^{2-}\) on the corrosion behaviour of carbon steel, specifically the corrosion product identity and morphology, under totally anaerobic conditions was investigated. Four exposure solutions were developed: (i) a low \([\text{Cl}^-]\); (ii) a high \([\text{Cl}^-]\); (iii) a high \([\text{Cl}^-]\) buffered by \(\text{HCO}_3^-/\text{CO}_3^{2-}\); (iv) a simulated sedimentary clay groundwater. Chapter 5 focused on the electrochemical behaviour of carbon steel exposed to each of the four exposure solutions by \(E_{\text{CORR}}\) and \(R_p\) measurements over extended 60 day periods. This chapter describes the effect of groundwater composition on the anodic and cathodic reaction kinetics.

Chapter 6 focused on the evolution in corrosion product identities and morphologies over long-term periods of exposure (up to 30 months). A series of 16 steel coupons were exposed to each of the four exposure solutions and removed at periodic intervals to track the evolution in the corrosion products. A combination of surface analysis techniques were used to identify the corrosion products and observe their morphologies.

Chapter 7 summarizes the results and conclusions of the current project as applied to the use of carbon steel containers for the long-term disposal of spent Canadian nuclear fuel in sedimentary clay environments.
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Chapter 2
Experimental Techniques and Details

2.1. EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN

2.1.1. Electrochemical Cell and Equipment

All electrochemical experiments were conducted in a standard three-electrode, three-compartment glass cell. The cell was comprised of a main central chamber separated by glass frits from two side arms. The reference electrode was a commercial saturated calomel reference electrode (SCE, Fisher Scientific) placed in one side arm of the cell and connected to the main chamber by a Luggin capillary, the tip of which was placed just below the surface of the working electrode. All potentials throughout this thesis are reported on the SCE scale (+0.241 V vs. SHE). The counter electrode was a Pt sheet, spot welded to a Pt wire and housed in the second side arm of the cell. The cell was fitted with a glass gas dispersion tube with a fritted end used to deaerate the solution by sparging with Ar gas.

In bench-top experiments, the cell was housed within a grounded Faraday cage to minimize interference from external noise. For anaerobic experiments, the cell was placed in an anaerobic chamber to avoid the influx of atmospheric O₂. Experiments performed on the bench-top were run with a Solartron 1480 multistat running CorrWare™ software to control applied potentials and to record current responses. For experiments performed within the anaerobic chamber, a Solartron Analytical Modulab running Modulab XM ECS software was used.

2.1.2. A516 Gr70 Carbon Steel Working Electrode

All electrodes were fabricated from A516 Gr70 carbon steel (0.23 C; 1.11 Mn; 0.07 P; 0.03 S; 0.26 Si; 0.01 Cu; 0.01 Ni; 0.02 Cr; 0.004 Mo; 0.036 Al; 0.019 V; 0.003 O [wt.%], balance Fe). The steel coupons were first prepared by ultrasonication in ethanol and type 1 water to remove adhered contaminants from the steel surface. Once cleaned, coupons were mounted in a high-performance epoxy resin (Hysol EE 4190) with a single exposed flat face (0.7854 cm²). The connection between the electrode and the stainless steel rod used to connect the electrode to external measuring circuits was covered, first with laboratory film (Parafilm), and then with several layers of Teflon tape to prevent exposure of the connection to the electrolyte. Prior to each experiment, the electrode was wet polished with 180, 600, 800, 1000, and 1200 grit SiC
paper and rinsed with type 1 water before being placed directly into solution or into the anaerobic chamber.

2.1.3. Solution Preparation

All solutions were prepared using Type 1 water with a resistance of 18.2 MΩ.cm, purified using a Thermo Scientific Barnstead Nanopure Model 7143 filtration system to remove organic and inorganic impurities. Specific details of the composition of the electrolyte solutions used are provided in the individual experimental sections found in subsequent chapters. A Thermo Scientific Orion Star A211 pH meter was used to measure the pH before and after electrochemical measurements, with either a Thermo Scientific Orion 8104BNUWP or 9156DJWP pH electrode for the anaerobic chamber and benchtop measurements, respectively. For experiments performed on the bench-top, all solutions were deaerated at a high flow rate with ultra-high purity (UHP) Ar (Praxair) for at least 60 minutes prior to experimentation. Ar sparging was continued at a decreased flow rate throughout the duration of each experiment. For anaerobic experiments, the Type 1 water was sparged with UHP Ar at a high flow rate for at least 90 minutes prior to being sealed and transferred into the anaerobic chamber. The flask was sealed with the entire volume filled with the Ar sparged water to avoid any trapped air. Preparation of the solution was completed within the anaerobic chamber and no additional Ar sparging was necessary.

2.1.4. Anaerobic Exposure Experiments

A series of four exposure environments were examined to determine the effects of simulated groundwater, various chloride concentrations, and pH buffering on the corrosion behaviour of A516 Gr70 carbon steel. For each exposure environment, a total of 16 carbon steel coupons were prepared with dimensions of 1 cm x 1 cm x 0.5 cm. Each face of the steel coupon was polished with 180, 600, 800, 1000, and 1200 grit SiC paper and rinsed with type 1 water before being left exposed to air for one week to mimic the natural oxides which would form on the steel waste container surface before emplacement in a repository. Samples were then placed within sample slots on a specially designed Teflon sample holder housed within a 2 L beaker, Figure 2-1. All solutions were prepared as outlined for anaerobic experiments in section 2.1.3. The pH of the simulated groundwater and chloride solutions was set to 6.3 ± 0.5 using a Thermo Scientific Orion 8104BNUWP pH electrode connected to a Thermo Scientific Orion Star A211 pH
meter while for the buffered chloride solution the natural pH was maintained. Coupons were immersed in each of the exposure solutions and removed for analysis after exposure periods from two days to 30 months. Samples were analysed using a combination of Raman spectroscopy, infrared spectroscopy (FTIR), scanning electron microscopy (SEM), energy dispersive X-ray (EDX) spectroscopy, and focused ion beam milling (FIB).

![Figure 2-1: Magnified image of carbon steel coupons emplaced in the sample slots of a Teflon holder; (b) image of the Teflon sample holder placed in a modified 2 L beaker.](image)

### 2.2. ELECTROCHEMICAL TECHNIQUES

#### 2.2.1. Corrosion Potential ($E_{\text{CORR}}$)

The corrosion potential ($E_{\text{CORR}}$) is the potential measured when the oxidation of a material is coupled, in the absence of an applied potential, to a supporting reduction reaction. Figure 2-2 shows the Butler-Volmer relationships for the two electrochemical reactions involved in a corrosion reaction, in the illustrated case, the corrosion of Fe. At the equilibrium potential for the Fe dissolution/deposition reaction ($E^{\text{e}}_{\text{Fe/Fe}^{2+}}$) both the forward and reverse reactions are occurring at the same rate (i.e. the system is at a reversible equilibrium):

$$Fe \rightleftharpoons Fe^{2+} + 2e^- \quad (2-51)$$

A similar reversible equilibrium is established at the equilibrium potential of the water reduction/H$_2$ oxidation reaction ($E_{H_2O/H_2}^{\text{e}}$):

$$2H_2O + 2e^- \rightleftharpoons H_2 + 2OH^- \quad (2-52)$$
For the corrosion of the Fe metal to occur, the anodic dissolution of Fe must combine with the cathodic reduction of water yielding the overall corrosion reaction:

\[
Fe + 2H_2O \rightarrow Fe^{2+} + H_2 + 2OH^- \tag{2-53}
\]

This coupling can only occur when the anodic and cathodic currents for the two half reactions are equal. Therefore, there is only a single potential at which these half reactions can couple. This potential is the corrosion potential \(E_{\text{CORR}}\). Each of the reactions has polarized the other away from its equilibrium potential by an amount which is dependent on the slope of the respective current-potential relationships.

Figure 2-2: Current-potential (Butler-Volmer) relationships for the Fe dissolution/deposition and water reduction/H\(_2\) oxidation reactions illustrating their coupling at the \(E_{\text{CORR}}\).
2.2.2. Linear Polarization Resistance (LPR) Measurements

2.2.2.1. Basic Principles of LPR

Linear polarization resistance (LPR) is an electrochemical technique which measures the interfacial polarization resistance ($R_P$). Figure 2-3 illustrates that, for small overpotentials ($\eta=E_{\text{CORR}} \pm E_{\text{applied}}$), the slope of the current-potential relationship for a corrosion process is approximately linear and can be expressed by Ohm’s Law:

$$\frac{\Delta E}{\Delta I} = R_P$$  \hspace{1cm} (2-54)

where $R_P$ represents the polarization resistance which is the ratio of the applied potential to the corresponding current response. For small overpotentials from $E_{\text{CORR}}$, the measured current can be described by:

$$i = i_{\text{CORR}} \left[ e^{\frac{2.303(E-E_{\text{CORR}})}{\beta_a}} - e^{\frac{2.303(E-E_{\text{CORR}})}{\beta_c}} \right]$$  \hspace{1cm} (2-55)

where $i_{\text{corr}}$ is the corrosion current and $\beta_a$ and $\beta_c$ are the Tafel slopes of the anodic and cathodic reactions.

Approximating the exponential terms with the first two terms of a power expansion series and simplifying yields:

$$i = i_{\text{CORR}} \left[ \left( 1 + \frac{2.303(E-E_{\text{CORR}})}{\beta_a} \right) - \left( 1 - \frac{2.303(E-E_{\text{CORR}})}{\beta_c} \right) \right]$$  \hspace{1cm} (2-56)

$$i = i_{\text{CORR}} \left[ \left( 1 + \frac{2.303\Delta E}{\beta_a} \right) - \left( 1 - \frac{2.303\Delta E}{\beta_c} \right) \right]$$  \hspace{1cm} (2-57)

$$\beta_a\beta_c \frac{i}{i_{\text{CORR}}} = \left[ \frac{2.303\Delta E}{\beta_a} + \frac{2.303\Delta E}{\beta_c} \right] * \beta_a\beta_c$$  \hspace{1cm} (2-58)

$$\frac{\beta_a\beta_c i}{i_{\text{CORR}}} = 2.303\Delta E\beta_c + 2.303\Delta E\beta_a$$  \hspace{1cm} (2-59)

which can be rearranged to yield:
\[
\frac{\Delta E}{i} = R_p = \frac{\beta_a \beta_c}{2.303 i_{\text{CORR}} (\beta_a + \beta_c)}
\]  \hspace{1cm} (2-60)

As shown in equation 2-60, known as the Stern-Geary equation, the linear slope of the polarization curve yields the \( R_p \) which is shown to be inversely proportional to \( i_{\text{CORR}} \) and subsequently the corrosion rate [1]. If the values of the Tafel constants are known, the \( R_p \) value can also be used to calculate the value of the corrosion current density \( (i_{\text{CORR}}) \) which can then be related to the corrosion rate of the system.

For this technique to be valid, we assume that corrosion damage is uniform, there is a single anodic and cathodic reaction, the Tafel constants are known, the resistance of the solution is negligible and the value of \( E_{\text{CORR}} \) is stable [2].

Figure 2-3: Current-potential relationship for a corrosion process demonstrating the linearization for small overpotentials from \( E_{\text{CORR}} \) used in polarization resistance measurements.
2.2.2.2. **LPR Experimental Details**

LPR measurements were performed between $E_{\text{CORR}}$ measurements at various intervals (i.e. every 6 or 8 hours) during long term corrosion experiments. LPR measurements were executed by scanning the potential ± 10 mV from $E_{\text{CORR}}$ at a scan rate of 0.1667 mV/s. The $R_p$ values were then determined from the linear slope of the potential-current relationship.

2.2.3. **Cyclic Voltammetry**

2.2.3.1. **Basic Principles of Cyclic Voltammetry**

Voltammetry is an electrochemical method which follows the current response as a function of a potential applied to the working electrode [3]. Cyclic voltammetry is a potentiodynamic technique which employs a triangular potential waveform, Figure 2-4, to determine the electrochemical reactivity of a system [4]. To generate the cyclic voltammogram (CV), a linear potential ramp is applied between $t_0$ and $t_1$, the switching potential. The ramp is then reversed to bring the potential back to the initial value at $t_2$ [3]. The potential range scanned should cover the standard or equilibrium potential for the reaction of interest (i.e. the $E^\circ$ for the dissolution of Fe in the present case). Depending on the rate of the reaction under study, a suitable scan rate is chosen.

For a reversible reaction involving soluble species, such as the one illustrated in Figure 2-5, the chemical species under study experiences an anodic oxidation on the forward scan and a cathodic reduction on the reverse scan (with the direction of the scan indicated by the red arrows). The peak current values are proportional to the concentrations of the species being oxidized and reduced and the separation between the potentials at which the peaks occur can be calculated theoretically.

For an irreversible reaction, peaks become broader and more separated. If the reaction is totally irreversible, the peak on the return cathodic scan is no longer seen. For reactions involving the oxidation and dissolution of a metal, more complex curves are observed due to the formation of oxide films and deposits. Depending on the properties of the films and deposits formed no current may be observed on the reverse scan [3].
Figure 2-4: Potential-time profile used to generate a CV in which the potential is increased from $t_0$ to the switching potential at $t_1$ and then back to the initial potential at $t_2$.

Figure 2-5: Schematic of a typical CV recorded for a reversible electrochemical reaction involving soluble species.
2.2.3.2. Cyclic Voltammetry Experimental Details

Prior to each CV the electrode was cathodically cleaned at -1.3 V for two minutes to reduce any air formed oxide followed by three minutes at -1.1 V to allow H₂ bubbles, formed by the reduction of water at -1.3 V, to detach from the surface. The electrode potential was then scanned from -1.1 V to a pre-determined anodic limit and back again. In some experiments several scans were performed to various anodic potential limits. The potential range chosen depended on the specific reactions being investigated. In the case of experiments performed in this work the anodic limit was chosen to capture passive film formation and breakdown processes. Measurements for benchtop experiments were performed at a scan rate of 5 mV/s with a current value recorded either every mV or half mV. Measurements in the anaerobic chamber were performed at a scan rate of 1 mV/s with a current recorded every mV.

2.2.4. Electrochemical Impedance Spectroscopy (EIS)

2.2.4.1. Basic Principles of EIS

Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) is used in corrosion science to determine both interfacial and materials parameters related to the corrosion processes occurring and to determine the properties of oxide films and corrosion product deposits which may be formed [2, 5]. As with any other spectroscopic technique, EIS is based upon an observed response to an applied excitation to the system under study. EIS involves a potential excitation applied to the working electrode in the form of a sine wave followed by the measurement of the ensuing sinusoidal current response, Figure 2-6. From the response the impedance can be obtained, and parameters such as resistances and capacitances may be calculated. Figure 2-7 shows a Nyquist plot which can be used to determine the values of the system resistances for the film (R_{film}) and solution (R_{s}). Bode plots, Figure 2-8, show the relationship between the resistance and capacitance values and frequency. In order to interpret their significance, EIS spectra are commonly interpreted in terms of an electrical equivalent circuit used to represent the properties of the interface. Figure 2-9 shows an example of an equivalent circuit for the impedance of a corrosion film controlled by the transfer of charge carriers across the film and includes the film capacitance (C_{film}) and resistance (R_{film}).
2.2.4.2. **EIS Experimental Details**

EIS measurements were performed every 48 hours following a series of $E_{\text{CORR}}$ and $R_p$ measurements in simulated groundwater solutions under anaerobic conditions. EIS experiments were conducted using a Solartron Analytical Modulab potentiostat/FRA running Modulab XM ECS software. A sinusoidal potential perturbation of ±10 mV was applied around $E_{\text{CORR}}$. The corresponding current response was measured over a frequency range from $10^5$ Hz to $10^3$ Hz with 11 data points recorded per decade. Kramers-Kronig transformations were applied to all EIS data to confirm their validity.

![Diagram of EIS experiment](image)

**Figure 2-6:** Illustrative representation of the sinusoidal potential excitation used in EIS and the corresponding sinusoidal current response. The phase shift is given by $\theta$.

![Nyquist plot](image)

**Figure 2-7:** Graphical representation of a typical Nyquist plot.
Figure 2-8: Graphical representation of Bode plots of log |Z| and θ against the log of the frequency.

Figure 2-9: Equivalent circuit representative of a passive metal covered with an oxide film.
2.3. SURFACE ANALYTICAL TECHNIQUES

2.3.1. Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM)

2.3.1.1. Basic Principles of SEM

Information on the physical nature of a sample surface can be obtained through optical microscopy. However, the resolution is limited by diffraction effects to roughly the wavelength of the white light being used. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) can be used to obtain more detailed image resolution. This enhanced resolution is achieved due to the much shorter wavelength of the electron which minimizes diffraction effects. A standard SEM instrumental arrangement is shown in Figure 2-10 [6]. A beam of electrons (200 eV – 30 keV) is thermionically produced from an electron gun, usually fitted with a W filament. This beam of electrons is then accelerated through a series of condenser and objective lenses which act to reduce the diameter of the beam. It is the objective lens that largely determines the special resolution of the instrument which can never be better than the incident beam diameter. Typical SEM beam diameters are on the order of 10 nm but the use of a field emission source can reduce the diameter to 1 nm [7]. The SEM micrograph is created by scanning the electron beam horizontally along the sample surface in perpendicular directions. Just above the objective lens are two deflection coils connected in series which generate a magnetic field, supplied by a sawtooth-wave generator operating at a line frequency in the y-direction which deflects the electron beam in the x-direction. A second sawtooth-wave generator generates the slower y-scan. The overall procedure is known as rastering and leads to sequential beam coverage over a rectangular area of the sample. The size of the rastered area of the sample surface is what gives rise to the magnification of the SEM rather than the strength of the objective lens as in light microscopy [7].

The electron beam penetrates the sample surface producing secondary electrons (SE), backscattered electrons (BSE), X-rays and Auger electrons. SEs are the most commonly used to produce SEM images and are generated from inelastic collisions between the primary electrons and the electrons in the K-orbital of an atom. Inelastic scattering reduces the kinetic energy of the primary electrons by repeated random scattering or absorption. The depth at which the electrons come to rest within the solid is known as the penetration depth and the volume of the
sample containing most of these scattered electrons is the interaction volume. Figure 2-11 illustrates the interaction volume based on incident beam energy [7].

Because most SEs start with relatively low energies, the average distance they tend to travel within a solid is very small. As such, many SEs do not have enough energy to escape the solid. Those which do escape the solid typically come from very shallow depths, known as the escape depth, causing the technique to be surface sensitive. Features which are at higher positions on the sample will appear brighter in the SE image due to the increased probability of the SEs reaching the detector, leading to the topographical contrast of the image [7].

The most common SE detector is the Everhart-Thornley detector which uses a positive bias to attract the SEs which are then accelerated toward a scintillator, converting the electrons to photons before they pass through a photomultiplier tube which amplifies the signal.

![General schematic for an SEM instrumental arrangement](image-url)

*Figure 2-10: General schematic for an SEM instrumental arrangement [6].*
**Figure 2-11:** Excitation volume caused by an incident electron beam showing the regions of signal generation, and the effects of increasing the incident energy ($E_0$) and atomic number ($Z$).

2.3.1.2. **SEM Experimental Details**

SEM was used to image the electrode surface to determine the morphology of the corrosion films formed after electrochemical or corrosion experiments. Depending on the experiment, either a Hitachi S-4500 (Hitachi, Japan) Field Emission SEM or LEO (Zeiss) 1540XB FIB/SEM was used. Unmounted samples were adhered to the sample stage either via a small vice-grip (LEO 1540XB) or by carbon stickers (Hitachi S-4500). Mounted samples were electrically connected to the stage by Cu tape which was used to cover the epoxy resin and reduce charging. Areas of interest on the sample surface were imaged at accelerating voltages of 1 keV (LEO 1540XB) or 5 keV (Hitachi S-4500). SE mode was used to image all samples at various magnifications (250 – 25,000X). SEM images presented within this thesis are representative of the entirety of the sample surface.
2.3.2. Energy Dispersive X-Ray (EDX) Spectroscopy

2.3.2.1. Basic Principles of EDX

In addition to the SEs used in SEM to image a sample surface, characteristic X-rays are generated from deeper within the interaction volume produced by the incident beam, Figure 2-11. These X-rays are analyzed using Energy Dispersive X-ray (EDX) Spectroscopy and yield a quantitative elemental analysis of a sample. A small fraction of the electrons from the incident beam in the SEM can in-elastically excite electrons within the inner shell of atoms on the sample surface. Some of the kinetic energy of the incident electron is transferred to this inner-shell (usually the K-shell) electron causing it to be ejected. The vacancy left in the inner shell can then be filled by an outer shell electron, Figure 2-12. The excess energy due to this de-excitation process is released in the form of a photon whose energy is given by the difference in binding energies between the upper and lower orbital levels. Because the x-ray photon is characteristic of the binding energy differences of the orbital levels and of the atomic structure of the element, the elemental composition of the sample can be measured. This element specificity is related to the atomic number (Z) of the element as shown in Figure 2-11. As the atomic number is increased, the interaction volume of the electrons within the sample is subsequently decreased. Repeated scanning of a selected area on the sample can generate an EDX map of the elemental locations and, based on the signal intensity, quantitative measurements of the elemental composition are possible [7].

Spectrum generation requires the separation of the x-ray signal either by energy (EDX) or wavelength (WDX). In EDX the x-ray emission signal is sorted via an energy dispersive x-ray detector. There are several advantages to distinguishing via x-ray energies over wavelengths. Firstly, the speed of data acquisition is much greater since a wide range of energies can be measured simultaneously. Second, since the detector can be placed very close to the specimen, a large percentage of the emitted x-rays can be analyzed whereas a wavelength dispersive detector requires excess space for crystal movement. Finally, the cost of an EDX detector is much lower than that of a WDX detector. The EDX detector is equipped with a protective Be, or more recently diamond or boron nitride, window and a semiconductor diode fabricated from a Si(Li) crystal [7].
**Figure 2-12:** Schematic showing the creation of an electron hole due to an incident electron beam and the subsequent X-Ray photon released due to an outer shell electron filling the inner shell hole.

2.3.2.2. **EDX Experimental Details**

EDX elemental maps of sample surfaces and cross-sections were produced using a LEO (Zeiss) 1540XB FIB/SEM. All electrodes were mounted on the SEM stage as described in the SEM analysis. The sample was tilted to an angle of 54° to facilitate x-ray collection by the detector. A beam voltage of 10 keV was generally used for x-ray excitation of the sample surface.

2.3.3. **Focused Ion Beam (FIB) Milling**

2.3.3.1. **Basic Principles of FIB**

Focused Ion Beam (FIB) instruments are complimentary to SEM systems and in addition to imaging are useful in milling, deposition, and implantation. The use of relatively heavy ions in the beam allows for fine milling of the sample surface to produce a cross-sectional view. The most common ion used in modern FIB instruments is Ga⁺ generated through the use of a liquid-metal ion source (LMIS). Ga is an ideal candidate since its mass is heavy enough for milling without causing immediate surface damage, its low melting point allows for liquid phase storage during operation, its low volatility at the melting point conserves the supply of metal, and its low
vapour pressure allows it to be used in elemental form. Additionally, Ga is easily distinguishable from other elements and will therefore not interfere with elemental analyses [8].

Ga atoms are heated to a molten metal state where they flow to the tip of a W needle which is placed just above an extractor. The extractor is held at a higher voltage than the source which produces a large electric field and ionizes the liquid. The Ga\(^+\) ions are then ejected and accelerated towards the sample surface through a series of electrostatic lenses and a beam-limiting aperture. The aperture acts to mitigate the beam current reaching the sample and thus controls the milling rate and image quality. Upon contact with the sample surface the Ga\(^+\) ions can induce elastic collisions with surface atoms, leading to the ejection of neutral atoms or secondary ions from the outer layers of the material. This removal of surface species can penetrate into the sample, producing a large trench which can then be imaged in cross-section.

Imaging in FIB systems, similar to SEM, can be achieved through the detection of SEs which are generated either through inelastic collisions from the incident beam or by recoil atoms from prior collisions. However, most dual beam FIB systems employ a secondary field emission electron beam for imaging purposes, as illustrated in Figure 2-13 [9].

*Figure 2-13: General schematic of a dual-beam FIB and SEM arrangement [10].*
2.3.3.2. **FIB Experimental Details**

FIB was used in this research to cross-section samples to expose the oxide/metal interface which can be used to investigate oxide film/deposit thickness and morphology as well as to estimate the corrosion damage based on penetration depths into the base metal. FIB cuts were performed using a LEO (Zeiss) 1540XB FIB/SEM running in FIB mode with a gallium ion source. Cuts were performed with the sample tilted to an angle of 54°. Initial cutting was done at high beam currents (30 nA) to remove a trench of material ahead of the area of interest. Once close to the desired point of cross-section the beam was reduced to a current value of 1 nA to ensure a smooth polished surface for imaging. Sample preparations were performed as in the case of SEM analysis.

2.3.4. **Vibrational Spectroscopies**

In this study, both Raman spectroscopy and Infrared (IR) spectroscopy were used to identify corrosion product deposits.

2.3.4.1. **Basic Principles of Raman Spectroscopy**

Figure 2-14 shows a schematic of a Raman microscope, built from a standard optical microscope, by adding an excitation laser, a monochromator, and a sensitive detector such as a charge-coupled device (CCD) or photomultiplier tube (PMT).

Raman spectroscopy irradiates a sample with a monochromatic incident laser beam in either the visible, near infrared, or the ultra-violet regions of the spectrum while observing the scattered light in a perpendicular orientation. The excitation of a molecule involves a virtual state as the excitation wavelength is sufficiently far from the nearest absorption band [4]. The resultant scattered light is of two types: Rayleigh scattering and Raman scattering. While the Rayleigh scattering signal is strong, it represents an oscillating dipole radiating at the same frequency as the incident beam and does not provide significant information about the structure of the molecular states. Raman scattering (ca. $10^{-5}$ % of the incident beam intensity) [11] occurs when the scattered light is shifted in energy from the incident beam. Raman shifted photons of lower energy than the incident beam give rise to Stokes lines while shifted photons of higher energy give rise to anti-Stokes lines, Figure 2-15.
From the Maxwell-Boltzmann distribution law, the population of molecules occupying the \( v = 0 \) state in Figure 2-15 is much larger than the population in the \( v = 1 \) state. As such, the Stokes lines will be of greater intensity than the anti-Stokes lines under the same conditions making it customary to measure only the Stokes side of the spectrum [11]. A plot of the intensity of the shifted light versus the Raman shift can be used to identify chemical species by comparison to reference spectra available in the literature or through the use of digital databases. Figure 2-16 illustrates typical experimental and reference Raman spectra for magnetite (Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\)).

For the vibrational mode of a molecule to be Raman active it must experience a change in polarizability during the vibration. When placed in an electric field molecules suffer distortions due to the attraction of the positively charged nucleus towards the negative pole of the field and the attraction of the electrons to the positive pole. Charge separation within the molecule produces an induced dipole moment. However, in molecules the induced dipole moment and the electric field are vectors in the \( x, y, \) and \( z \) directions. This can be represented as a matrix known as the polarizability tensor:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
P_x \\
P_y \\
P_z
\end{bmatrix}
= \begin{bmatrix}
\alpha_{xx} & \alpha_{xy} & \alpha_{xz} \\
\alpha_{yx} & \alpha_{yy} & \alpha_{yz} \\
\alpha_{zx} & \alpha_{zy} & \alpha_{zz}
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
E_x \\
E_y \\
E_z
\end{bmatrix}
\] (2-61)

where \( P \) is the dipole moment, \( \alpha \) is the polarizability, and \( E \) is the field strength of the radiation. If one of the components of the polarizability tensor is changed during the vibration, the vibration is considered to be Raman active. For molecules with a center of symmetry the mutual exclusion principle applies in which symmetric vibrations are Raman active while antisymmetric vibrations are IR active [11].
**Figure 2-14:** Schematic of a Renishaw Raman microscope system [12, 13].

**Figure 2-15:** Energy level diagram showing the electron transitions for Rayleigh scattering and Stokes and Anti-Stokes Raman shifts. $E_1$ and $E_2$ represent the first and second discrete energy levels. The ground state is given by $v=0$ and $v=1$, and 2 represent the first and second vibrational excited states within the molecule.
2.3.4.2. Basic Principles of Fourier Transform Infrared (FTIR) Spectroscopy

IR spectroscopy relies on the same type of quantized vibrational changes associated with Raman scattering. As such, the IR spectrum is frequently similar to that of the Raman spectrum for the same species. In IR spectroscopy an incident beam of IR radiation is used to excite the naturally occurring vibrations within the molecule. The relative positions of atoms, even in solids, are not fixed but change due to constant vibrations about the molecular bonds. These vibrations are broadly classified as either stretching, the continuous change in interatomic distance between two atoms, or bending vibrations, caused by a change in the angle between bonds [4]. If the frequency of the molecular vibration exactly matches that of the incident radiation, absorption will occur, inducing a change in the amplitude of the vibration. This absorption (or transmittance) of the radiation is then plotted versus wavenumber (cm$^{-1}$) to form the IR spectrum. Since each material is a different combination of atoms, no two compounds will produce the same IR spectrum which makes this technique useful for the identification of unknown samples.

Figure 2-16: Comparison of a reference spectrum for magnetite (Fe$_3$O$_4$) to an experimentally collected Fe$_3$O$_4$ spectrum.
FTIR employs an optical device called an interferometer which produces a signal incorporating all of the IR frequencies. Most interferometers use a beamsplitter which divides the incident IR beam into two optical beams. The first beam reflects off a mirror which is fixed in place while the second beam reflects off a second mirror which is moved in small increments from the beamsplitter. When the two beams recombine at the beamsplitter, the path of one beam is at a fixed length while the other has changed as the mirror moved. This process allows the simultaneous collection of all of the IR frequencies in a very short period of time. In order to convert this interferogram to a wavenumber spectrum, the frequencies are decoded via a Fourier transformation. Additional advantages of FTIR instrumentation include: increased sensitivity, mechanical simplicity, and the ability for internal calibration [4]. Figure 2-17 shows an experimentally obtained FTIR spectrum for Fe$_2$(OH)$_2$CO$_3$ (chukanovite).

**Figure 2-17:** Example of an experimental FTIR spectrum obtained for a chukanovite (Fe$_2$(OH)$_2$CO$_3$) corrosion product.
2.3.4.3. **Raman vs. IR Spectroscopy**

While both Raman and IR provide information about the vibrational frequencies of molecules, there are advantages and disadvantages to each. Due to the differences in selection rules for each technique, some vibrations are only Raman-active while others are IR-active. As such, Raman and IR are often used in conjunction as complimentary techniques. Raman, however, provides some significant advantages over conventional IR techniques. Since water molecules are weak Raman scatterers, it is possible to collect Raman spectra of samples in aqueous solution. This is particularly advantageous in the in-situ analysis of corroding samples over an extended period of time. Similarly, Raman spectra can also be collected on samples which may be air-sensitive or hygroscopic through the use of sealed glass vessels. Unlike IR, the glass does not absorb the Raman radiation. The laser source in Raman also provides an advantage due to the reduced spot size that can be analyzed, which is useful when only small quantities of sample are available or when the sample surface is highly heterogeneous, a common occurrence in corroded samples. Finally, a region covering 4,000 to 50 cm\(^{-1}\) can be scanned in a single recording with Raman. IR, however, requires a change of the gratings, beam splitters, filters, and detectors to cover the same spectral range [11].

Disadvantages of using Raman over IR include: (i) the requirement of a strong laser source to observe weak Raman scattering which may cause localized heating and photodecomposition effects; (ii) irradiation by the laser source can cause significant fluorescence in certain compounds; and (iii) a Raman system is more expensive than a conventional IR system [11].

2.3.4.4. **Raman Experimental Details**

Raman spectroscopy was used in order to identify the corrosion products formed on electrode surfaces during electrochemical and corrosion experiments. Samples were excited using a Renishaw 2000 Raman spectrometer equipped with a 50 mW HeNe laser at a wavelength of 632.8 nm. The laser beam was focused using an optical microscope with a 50X objective lens. The Raman spectrometer was calibrated at room temperature daily using a Si crystal standard. GRAMS 386 Raman software was used both to collect and manipulate the spectra. Each spectrum was measured for an exposure time of 60 seconds over the range of 2000 to 120 cm\(^{-1}\) at laser powers of either 10 or 25%. Several representative spectra were recorded over each sample surface.
2.3.4.5. **FTIR Experimental Details**

FTIR was used to confirm the identity of chukanovite (Fe$_2$(OH)$_2$CO$_3$) on the sample surfaces due to its Raman inactivity. Samples were analyzed with a Bruker-Hyperion 2000 FTIR spectrometer in reflectance mode. Each spectrum was collected via the average of 32 scans over a gold background. Three or four spectra were collected from different areas for each sample.

2.4. **REFERENCES**
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3.1. INTRODUCTION

A by-product of the use of nuclear energy is the generation of spent fuel. As of 2014, roughly 2.51 million bundles of used CANDU fuel are being stored at Canadian reactor sites [1]. In Canada the plan is to seal the spent nuclear fuel in metallic containers and emplace them in a deep geologic repository (DGR) [2]. This approach is based on multiple barriers with the primary barrier being a carbon steel vessel with an outer corrosion resistant Cu coating [3]. While copper is thermodynamically stable under anoxic conditions, and should undergo minimal corrosion [4, 5], the consequences of container failure must be considered.

Within a failed container, two corrosion fronts will be established; one on the fuel surface and a second on the inner surface of the steel vessel (Figure 3-1), on the conservative assumption that the Zircaloy fuel cladding does not act as a barrier. While the groundwaters entering the container will be anoxic after the initial emplacement period, radioactive decay processes in the fuel will cause water radiolysis with the radiolytic oxidants leading to fuel corrosion [6]. The dominant oxidant will be H$_2$O$_2$ due to alpha-radiolysis [7-9]. The H$_2$O$_2$ can either cause fuel corrosion, decompose, or be transported away from the surface.

The second corrosion front on the surface of the steel will lead to the production of soluble Fe$^{2+}$ and H$_2$ as well as a surface layer of magnetite (which is not expected to protect the steel). The model recently developed by Wu et al. [9] shows that corrosion of the fuel will be strongly influenced by the interaction of radiolytic oxidants with the products of steel corrosion. The Fe$^{2+}$ will react with the radiolytic H$_2$O$_2$ via the Fenton reaction,

$$2Fe^{2+} + H_2O_2 \rightarrow 2Fe^{3+} + 2OH^-$$  \hspace{1cm} (3-62)

with model calculations indicating that [Fe$^{2+}$] in the range 0.1 μM to 1.0 μM will consume 67% to 90% of the radiolytic H$_2$O$_2$. However, the dominant species controlling fuel corrosion was found
to be H₂, which calculations suggested could completely suppress fuel corrosion when present at µM levels.

For Fe²⁺ and H₂ to control redox conditions inside a failed container it is imperative that the steel vessel remain in the active condition. A possible mechanism by which the steel could be passivated and Fe²⁺/H₂ production suppressed, is passivation of the steel by reaction with radiolytic H₂O₂ transported via the groundwater in the container. In this chapter, we investigate the corrosion of carbon steel in H₂O₂-containing solutions under both deaerated conditions, when traces of dissolved O₂ could be present in the solution and under anaerobic conditions, when dissolved O₂ levels would be expected to be ~0.1 ppb. The results of this study are then compared to model calculations, to assess whether or not steel passivation is a possibility.

![Diagram of corrosion reactions](image)

**Figure 3-1**: Interactions of the steel and UO₂ corrosion products and radiolytically produced oxidants within a failed spent nuclear fuel container.

### 3.2. EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS

#### 3.2.1. Materials and Electrode Preparations

Electrodes were fabricated using A516 Gr 70 carbon steel (0.23 C; 1.11 Mn; 0.07 P; 0.03 S; 0.26 Si; 0.01 Cu; 0.01 Ni; 0.02 Cr; 0.004 Mo; 0.036 Al; 0.019 V; 0.003 O [wt.%], balance Fe). For the experiment performed under deaerated conditions on the bench-top, the electrode was a rectangular rod 6.5 cm in length with a 1 cm height and width. For the experiment performed in an anaerobic chamber, the electrode was a circular coupon (1.0 cm diameter and 0.5 cm
thickness) emplaced in a high-performance epoxy resin with a single exposed flat face (0.7854 cm$^2$). The connection between the electrode and the stainless steel rod used to connect the electrode to external measuring circuits was covered first with laboratory film (Parafilm), and then with several layers of Teflon tape to prevent exposure of the connection to the electrolyte. The electrodes were wet polished with a series of SiC papers (Presi) to a final 1200 grit finish and rinsed in type I water (conductivity of 18.2 MΩ.cm) before emplacement either directly into the electrolyte solution or into an anaerobic chamber in preparation for electrolyte exposure.

3.2.2. Electrochemical Cell and Equipment

Experiments were conducted in a three-compartment glass electrochemical cell equipped with a Pt counter electrode and a saturated calomel reference electrode (SCE; 0.241 V vs. SHE). All potentials are reported on the SCE scale. In the deaerated bench-top experiment, the cell was housed within a grounded Faraday cage to minimize interference from external noise. For the anaerobic experiment, the cell was placed in an anaerobic chamber to avoid the influx of atmospheric O$_2$. For the deaerated bench-top experiment a Solartron 1480 multistat running CorrWare software was used to control applied potentials and to record current responses. For the experiment performed in the anaerobic chamber, a Solartron Analytical Modulab was used with raw data converted and analyzed using CorrView software.

3.2.3. Experimental Solutions

Experiments were performed in a solution containing 0.1 M NaCl, 0.1 M Na$_2$SO$_4$ and 0.01 M NaHCO$_3$/Na$_2$CO$_3$ set to a pH of 8.9 ± 0.5 (i.e., within the pH range of 7-10 expected for groundwaters) [10]. In the bench-top deaerated experiment, the solution was continuously sparged with UHP Ar beginning one hour prior to measurements. In the anaerobic chamber experiment, ultra-high purity water was sparged with UHP Ar at a high flow rate for one hour prior to being sealed and transferred into the anaerobic chamber. The flask was sealed with the entire volume of the flask filled with water to avoid trapped air. Once inside the chamber no additional Ar sparging was necessary.

3.2.4. Experimental Procedure

Electrodes were cathodically cleaned at a potential of -1.3 V for three minutes to reduce air-formed surface oxides. The potential was then held at -1.1 V to avoid further H$_2$ production
while H₂ bubbles were removed from the surface. Throughout an experiment the corrosion potential \( E_{\text{CORR}} \) was monitored continuously and the polarization resistance \( R_p \) measured using the linear polarization resistance (LPR) technique every 3 to 6 hours for the deaerated and anaerobic cases. The \( R_p \) is calculated from the linear relationship between current and potential observed by scanning the potential ± 10 mV from the \( E_{\text{CORR}} \) at a scan rate of 0.1667 mV/s.

Prior to the first addition of H₂O₂, the steel was allowed to corrode and \( E_{\text{CORR}} \) monitored to ensure similar behaviour in both experiments. Subsequently, small amounts of H₂O₂ were added to achieve concentrations in the range 0.1 to 20 µM, values expected to be conservatively large compared to anticipated concentrations within a failed container. The experiments were terminated when either passivation occurred or further additions of H₂O₂ had no apparent influence on \( E_{\text{CORR}} \) and \( R_p \).

### 3.2.5. Surface Analysis

To identify the iron corrosion products formed, a Renishaw 2000 Raman spectrometer with a 632.8 nm laser line, using an optical microscope with a 50X magnification objective lens, running GRAMS 386 software was used. To identify the film morphology, SEM was performed using a Hitachi S-4500 Field Emission SEM for the deaerated experiment and a LEO (Zeiss) 1540XB FIB/SEM for the anaerobic experiment.

### 3.2.6. Computational Modelling

The mathematical model is numerically solved using COMSOL Multiphysics (version 4.3.1.151, COMSOL Inc.) a commercial simulation package based on the finite element model. The model was simulated using the diluted species transportation module of the COMSOL software.

### 3.3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### 3.3.1. Electrochemical Analysis

Figure 3-2 and Figure 3-3 show the \( E_{\text{CORR}} \) and \( R_p \) values recorded under deaerated and anaerobic exposure conditions over periods of 35 and 66 days, respectively. The red stars indicate the time the LPR measurements were made immediately after a H₂O₂ addition. In both experiments, the value of \( E_{\text{CORR}} \) is \(< -0.800 \text{ V} \) prior to H₂O₂ addition confirming that corrosion is proceeding...
under anoxic conditions by reaction of the steel with water. Under these conditions, the steel would be expected to form a magnetite layer

$$3Fe + 4H_2O \rightarrow Fe_3O_4 + 4H_2$$  (3-63)

accompanied by dissolution as Fe$^{2+}$, the latter being a process accelerated by the anion content of the solution, for example HCO$_3$/$CO_3^{2-}$, which is known to form soluble complexes such as FeHCO$_3^+$, Fe(CO$_3$)$_2^{2-}$, and Fe(HCO$_3$)$_2$. The increases in $R_p$ values in both environments are consistent with the thickening of a surface Fe$_3$O$_4$ layer. This initial film growth appears to occur slightly differently in the two experiments, the $R_p$ value increasing to a substantially higher value in the anaerobic compared to the deaerated solution (Figure 3-2 and Figure 3-3). However, even prior to the addition of H$_2$O$_2$, $R_p$ begins to decrease again in the anaerobic experiment, Figure 3-3. This may indicate the initially formed Fe$_3$O$_4$ film is restructured, possibly developing some porosity.

*Figure 3-2: Corrosion potential ($E_{CORR}$) under deaerated conditions. Points indicate the $R_p$ values, with the red points showing $R_p$ values recorded after an addition of H$_2$O$_2$.***
**Figure 3-3**: Corrosion potential ($E_{\text{CORR}}$) under anaerobic conditions ([O$_2$] $\sim$ 0.1 ppb). Points indicate $R_p$ values, with the red points showing $R_p$ values recorded after the addition of H$_2$O$_2$.

**Figure 3-4**: Metastable transients in $E_{\text{CORR}}$ recorded under (a) deaerated and (b) anaerobic corrosion conditions.
On first adding $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ (at (1) in both experiments, Figure 3-2 and Figure 3-3) the $E_{\text{CORR}}$ responds but only transitorily, eventually recovering to the value prior to the addition. This response is seen as positive-going spikes in the potential, and is more noticeable for the anaerobic experiment (Figure 3-3). Minor changes in $R_p$ are also observed but are less readily discernible. Further additions of $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ to the deaerated experiment (indicated by the red stars in Figure 3-2) again show only transitory changes in $E_{\text{CORR}}$ but also temporary decreases in $R_p$ confirming the surface is reactive in the presence of $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$. Increasing $[\text{H}_2\text{O}_2]$ to 5.2 µM after 10 days ((2) in Figure 3-2) led to a significant increase in $R_p$ but only a small decrease in $E_{\text{CORR}}$. The most likely reason for the increase in $R_p$ is an accelerated growth of the $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$ surface layer or a decrease in its porosity. On increasing the $[\text{H}_2\text{O}_2]$ to ≥ 6.1 µM ((3) in Figure 3-2) the $E_{\text{CORR}}$ begins to increase slightly accompanied by successive decreases in $R_p$ as the $[\text{H}_2\text{O}_2]$ is increased. Figure 3-4(a) shows that metastable transients occur during this period as $R_p$ decreases. The positive surge in $E_{\text{CORR}}$ followed by a slower recovery to the original value would be consistent with enhanced anodic dissolution occurring at fracture sites in the surface film followed by their subsequent repair as the film is either reformed or corrosion product is deposited within the breakdown site. While Figure 3-4(a) indicates that two spikes in $E_{\text{CORR}}$ occur in sequence this is not always the case. One explanation for the behaviour shown is that the initial film breakdown stimulates an adjacent second breakdown. Figure 3-4(b) shows similar behaviour but the transients are of shorter duration. Whether or not steel corrosion is accompanied by $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ decomposition,

$$2\text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{O}_2 + 2\text{H}_2\text{O} \quad (3-64)$$

as might be expected on a conductive and probably catalytic $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$ surface, cannot be discerned in these experiments.

After 22 days at a total added $[\text{H}_2\text{O}_2]$ of 16.1µM ((4) in Figure 3-2) the slight increase in $E_{\text{CORR}}$ is accompanied by a doubling of $R_p$. This is rapidly followed by a major and irreversible transition in $E_{\text{CORR}}$ to a value in the region of −0.550 V. This transition is accompanied by a substantial decrease in $R_p$. During and after this transition $R_p$ becomes erratic and no longer a reliable indication of the corrosion rate. Similar coincidental behaviour in $E_{\text{CORR}}$ and $R_p$ on carbon steel has been observed previously under similar deaerated conditions [11, 12]. The increase in $R_p$ during the early stages of the transition can be interpreted as an attempt by the steel to passivate and the subsequent decrease as the transition progresses to completion to the
formation of localized corrosion sites; i.e., pitting. Under these conditions the \( R_p \) values reflect the presence of these actively corroding locations and are no longer a reliable indication of the general corrosion behaviour of the steel.

Despite the considerably higher \( R_p \) values the steel electrode exposed in the anaerobic experiment shows a similar decrease in \( R_p \) without any significant increase in \( E_{\text{CORR}} \) as the \([\text{H}_2\text{O}_2]\) is increased, Figure 3-3 (12 to 30 days). However, further increases in \([\text{H}_2\text{O}_2]\) to a final concentration of 6.0 µM ((5) in Figure 3-3) did not stimulate the transition observed in the deaerated experiment, with \( E_{\text{CORR}} \) achieving a steady-state value of \(~-0.800 \text{ V} \) after 65 days.

Based on a comparison of the two experiments and previous observations, the transition to a passive state undergoing localized corrosion observed in the deaerated experiment can be attributed to the slow passivation of the steel surface caused by the low background concentration of dissolved \( \text{O}_2 \) present in this experiment. The alternative source of \( \text{O}_2 \), \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) decomposition by equation 1-3, is present in both experiments, but apparently not in sufficient quantities to induce passivation.

### 3.3.2. Surface Analysis of Steel in the Deaerated Solution

Figure 3-5 shows the SEM micrographs recorded on the steel surface after the 35 day exposure to the deaerated solution. The low magnification micrograph (Figure 3-5 (a)) shows that the surface is covered with a uniformly distributed compact film with areas apparently covered with a thin deposit. A number of individual larger crystals (or deposits) are also visible. The compact film is thin enough that the polishing lines of the initially prepared steel are still discernable. Figure 3-5(b) shows that the thin deposit is comprised of hexagonal crystals grown at fractures/faults in the compact layer. This morphology is consistent with the observation of potential transients, Figure 3-4(a), indicating periodic breakdown of the compact layer followed by their repair due to the subsequent deposition of corrosion product. Several well-formed hexagonal crystals (Figure 3-5 (c)), on the order of 5-15 µm in size, were also dispersed over the sample surface.

Figure 3-6 shows the ex-situ Raman analyses for both the general surface regions and the large hexagonal crystals (Figure 3-5 (c)). Spectra (a) and (b) recorded on the general surface indicate the presence of green rust (GR) which is a frequently observed corrosion product on iron surfaces when traces of dissolved \( \text{O}_2 \) are present [13] as would be the case in the deaerated
GRs are layered double-hydroxide (LDH) compounds isostructural to pyroaurite (Mg$_6$Fe$_2$(OH)$_{16}$CO$_3$) consisting of HCP sheets of Fe$^{lll}$(OH)$_6$ octahedra where some Fe$^{ll}$ has been replaced by Fe$^{lll}$. The substitution of Fe$^{lll}$ into the layers lends a positive charge to the structure which is then balanced by anions located between the Fe(OH)$_2$ sheets [14-16]. The most commonly found anions within the GR structure are chloride (green rust I) and sulphate (green rust II). The small bands, Figure 3-6(a) and (b), from ca. 200-250 cm$^{-1}$ (ref. 219-221 cm$^{-1}$) [17] suggest that the coordinating anion of the green rust formed in this deaerated experiment is chloride rather than carbonate or sulphate, indicating an idealised structure for the GR(Cl$^-$) of [Fe$_3^{lll}$Fe$_3^{lll}$(OH)$_8$][Cl$•$(H$_2$O)$_3$] [18, 19]. The bands at 433 and 516 cm$^{-1}$ (ref. 434 and 510 cm$^{-1}$) [17] are assigned to the Fe$^{ll+}$—OH and Fe$^{lll+}$—OH stretching modes of the green rust structure [17]. From the Raman data, the hexagonal plates seen within Figure 3-5(b) can be assigned to the GR(Cl$^-$) corrosion product. Several others have also reported GR to have a hexagonal platelet structure [13, 20].

**Figure 3-5:** SEM micrographs showing the morphology of the corrosion film and deposits on the steel surface after corrosion under deaerated conditions.

Raman analysis of the large hexagonal crystals (line 6(c)) seen in Figure 3-5(c) suggests they are composed of ferrihydrite based on Raman bands at 359, 512 and 706 cm$^{-1}$ (ref. 370, 510 and 710 cm$^{-1}$) [21]. The band centered at 1370 cm$^{-1}$ (ref. 1340 cm$^{-1}$) may originate from the ferrihydrite as observed by Mazzetti and Thistlethwaite [21]. Two forms of ferrihydrite are reported, 2-line and 6-line, so named because their XRD patterns show 2 or 6-8 reflections as the structural order increases [13]. The lack of asymmetry in the peak at 706 cm$^{-1}$ and the greater intensity of the band at 359 cm$^{-1}$ to that of the 512 cm$^{-1}$ suggests that the form of ferrihydrite formed during the corrosion process was the 6-line form [21]. The structure seen within Figure 3-5(c) for the SEM micrograph showing a highly ordered hexagonal crystal is also in
line with the formation of a more structurally ordered ferrihydrite. Ferrihydrite ($\text{Fe}_{10}\text{O}_{4}(\text{OH})_2$) has been shown to form in atmospheric corrosion conditions [22, 23] and is a metastable precursor to phases such as hematite ($\alpha\text{-Fe}_2\text{O}_3$).

The absence of a Raman signal for $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$ (expected at 667 cm$^{-1}$) is at first surprising since one would have expected the compact film to be dominantly this phase. This suggests either the compact film is amorphous or has been converted to GR by $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ or the traces of dissolved $\text{O}_2$ present in this experiment. This latter explanation would support our conclusion that the general surface is at least partially passivated as indicated by the major transition in $E_{\text{CORR}}$, Figure 3.2.

Figure 3-6: Raman spectra (a-c) recorded at various locations on the steel after corrosion under deaerated conditions (Figure 3-2).
3.3.3. Surface Analysis of Steel in the Anaerobic Solution

Figure 3-7 shows the SEM micrographs recorded on the steel surface after 66 days of exposure within the anaerobic experiment. The film appears to be thin and compact as evidenced by the visible polishing lines (Figure 3-7(a)). The cracking of the film was likely induced by the vacuum exposure of both the anaerobic chamber and that of the SEM itself. Figure 3-7(b) shows that the film is composed of a fine crystalline film interspersed with smooth hexagonal crystals. One small region of the surface was shown to be covered in a thicker and highly irregularly shaped crystalline corrosion product (Figure 3-7(c)).

![SEM micrographs showing the morphology of the corrosion film and deposits on the steel surface after corrosion under anaerobic conditions.](image)

Figure 3-8 shows the ex situ Raman spectra recorded at several locations across the surface. The band at 667 cm\(^{-1}\) (ref. 667 cm\(^{-1}\)) [24] in line (a) is assigned to the strongest A\(_{1g}\) mode of Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) [24]. Formation of Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) confirms the anoxic corrosion of the steel under anaerobic conditions.

Two bands centered between 1300 and 1600 cm\(^{-1}\) within line (a) are attributed to Fe\(_3\)C residues left behind from the dissolution of the α-Fe in the pearlite grain structure of the steel [24]. Evidence of Fe\(_3\)C residues of pearlite indicates the presence of areas covered only by a thin Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) film, which would further suggest that the surface of the steel coupon has not been passivated by the addition of the H\(_2\)O\(_2\). The large fluorescence in the spectrum, Figure 3-8(a), is due to electronic excitations of the underlying Fe metal [11].

Spectra (b) and (c) show bands at 330, 420 and 730 cm\(^{-1}\) which could indicate the formation of either akaganeite (β-FeO(OH)\(_x\)Cl\(_{1-x}\)) [25] which is known to form under chloride containing aqueous conditions [26, 27], or maghemite (ref. 350, 505, 660 and 710 cm\(^{-1}\)) [28]. Réguer et al. reported strong Raman bands at 310, 390, and 720 cm\(^{-1}\) in conjunction with less intense bands
at 490, 540, and 610 cm\(^{-1}\) for akaganeite [27]. Rémazeilles et al. reported broad bands at 308, 390, 418 and 722 cm\(^{-1}\) [26]. The large discrepancy in the assignment of Raman bands for \(\beta\)-FeOOH may be due to the various chloride contents possible within the structure which has been shown to alter the Raman spectra [27]. The absence of the distinct peak at 310 cm\(^{-1}\) for akaganeite and the band at 660 cm\(^{-1}\) for maghemite makes the structure elucidation precarious. All iron oxides present a main peak in the region of 650-700 cm\(^{-1}\), except in the case of hematite, goethite, and lepidocrocite [28]. As such, the classification of many iron oxides requires examination of the shape and broadness of the peaks in this region. In our case, the breadth of the peak in this region, as well as the relatively low intensity of the spectrum makes it hard to definitively state its compositions. There is good possibility that spectra (b) and (c) may be caused either by a very poorly crystalline iron species or a mixture of several iron oxide/oxyhydroxide species.

The Raman band at 1072 cm\(^{-1}\) (ref.1070-1072 cm\(^{-1}\), Figure 3-8(d)) is due to the \(\nu_2\) C—O symmetric stretching of a coordinated CO\(_3^2^-\) species [29, 30]. However, the lack of any other Raman bands in the spectrum suggests that while the CO\(_3^2^-\) component of the film is seen, the remainder of the corrosion product structure is not Raman active. The highly crystalline morphology seen in the SEM micrograph in Figure 3-7(c) is similar to that seen in our own work (subsequent Chapters), on specimens not exposed to H\(_2\)O\(_2\), which also displayed the characteristic C—O stretching Raman band at 1072 cm\(^{-1}\). FTIR analysis of these samples confirmed the formation of chukanovite (Fe\(_2\)(OH)\(_2\)CO\(_3\)). Pandarinathan et al. also showed the same structural morphology for chukanovite formed during the corrosion of sand-deposited carbon steel in CO\(_2\) saturated brines at 80°C [31].
Figure 3-8: Raman spectra (a-d) recorded at various locations on the steel after corrosion under anaerobic conditions (Figure 3-3).

3.3.4. Computational Modelling

A model developed previously to determine fuel corrosion rates [9] was adapted to include the possibility of the reaction of H$_2$O$_2$ with the inner surface of the steel vessel. This model takes into account the consumption of H$_2$O$_2$ by the corrosion of the fuel, decomposition catalyzed by the fuel surface, and by reaction with soluble Fe$^{2+}$ from the corroding steel vessel in the Fenton reaction (equation 3-62). The expected long term corrosion rate of the steel is expected to be around 0.1 µm/a [32] with corrosion proceeding on a magnetite-covered surface. The model was used to investigate the [H$_2$O$_2$] expected on the inside surface of the steel container.

Figure 3-9(a) shows the [H$_2$O$_2$] profile between the fuel surface, at which it is produced (0 mm on the plot), and the steel surface set at a distance of 1 mm from the fuel surface. Such a separation is conservatively close and minimizes the transport range for H$_2$O$_2$ between the two...
surfaces. After 500 s the $[\text{H}_2\text{O}_2]$ at the fuel surface is calculated to be $4.05 \times 10^{-10}$ M. Figure 3-9(b) shows that the $[\text{H}_2\text{O}_2]$ at the steel surface becomes negligibly small after ~8000 s of radiolytic $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ production at the fuel surface. The variability in calculated values is due to the “noise” encountered in the numerical calculations at such low values.

Since the corrosion rate of the steel is uncertain, the sensitivity of the model to various parameters and reactions was evaluated. As expected, increasing the distance between the fuel and steel surfaces to more realistic separations leads to a decrease in $[\text{H}_2\text{O}_2]$ at the steel surface. Figure 3-10 shows the influence of steel corrosion rate on the predicted $[\text{H}_2\text{O}_2]$ at the steel surface. An increase in corrosion rate from 0.1 µm/a to 1.0 µm/a leads to a decrease in this concentration. This can be attributed to the increase in $[\text{Fe}^{2+}]$ and $[\text{H}_2]$ both of which increase the consumption rate of $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$. For $\text{H}_2$ this is by reaction with $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ catalyzed on the noble metal particles in the spent fuel and for $\text{Fe}^{2+}$ by reaction with $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ in the Fenton reaction. Additional calculations show that the key reaction consuming $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ and preventing its transport to the steel surface is the Fenton reaction (equation 3-62). For the $[\text{H}_2\text{O}_2]$ at the steel surface to approach the micro-molar level this reaction would have to not occur, which is extremely unlikely.

![Figure 3-9: (a) $[\text{H}_2\text{O}_2]$ profile from the UO$_2$ surface (0.0 mm) to the surface of the carbon steel (1.0 mm) after 500 s: (b) plot of $[\text{H}_2\text{O}_2]$ at the carbon steel surface as a function of the period of $\alpha$-radiolysis at the fuel surface (surface separation, 1 mm). The different coloured points are for a series of simulations using different time intervals in the calculation with slight variations being produced due to the fact the calculated values are for a boundary parameter (a discontinuity in the calculation).]
Based on these calculations we can conclude that it is highly unlikely that H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} concentrations will reach the micro-molar levels at which an influence on steel corrosion would become significant, which, as discussed above, would be in the 1 to 10 \mu M range. Even for concentrations in this range there is no experimental indication that exposure to H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} would lead to passivation of the steel and suppression of the production of the redox scavengers, Fe\textsuperscript{2+} and H\textsubscript{2}.

![Figure 3-10](image)

**Figure 3-10**: The influence of the steel corrosion rate on the [H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2}] at the steel surface as a function of the period of \alpha-radiolysis at the fuel surface (surface separation, 1 mm).

### 3.4. CONCLUSIONS

The influence of H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} on the corrosion of carbon steel has been investigated under deaerated conditions, when traces of dissolved O\textsubscript{2} could be present, and under anaerobic conditions, when the [O\textsubscript{2}] would be expected to be at the ppb level.

Under anaerobic conditions active steel corrosion, producing Fe\textsuperscript{2+} and H\textsubscript{2} was maintained up to [H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2}] = 6 \mu M. For deaerated conditions, passivation leading to pitting occurred for added [H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2}] \geq 10 to 15 \mu M. However, this was attributable to the presence of traces of dissolved O\textsubscript{2} despite the continuous Ar sparging.
The presence of non-passivating Fe\textsuperscript{III}-containing corrosion products confirmed the interaction of H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} with the steel.

Model calculations indicate that [H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2}] > 10\textsuperscript{-9} M are effectively unachievable at the steel surface.

Based on these results it can be concluded that active steel corrosion will be maintained inside a failed waste container, and that the soluble corrosion products will be available to suppress fuel corrosion and radionuclide release.
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Chapter 4

The Effects of Groundwater Anions on the Corrosion of A516 Gr70 Carbon Steel

4.1. INTRODUCTION

In the anoxic environment anticipated in deep geological repositories for spent nuclear fuel, carbon steel containers are expected to corrode anaerobically. The corrosion behaviour, including the corrosion rate and the composition of the corrosion products, has been shown to have a complex dependence on temperature, pH, redox conditions, immersion time, and the chemistry of the groundwater [1-8]. While a variety of groundwater species such as Ca$^{2+}$, Mg$^{2+}$, Cl$^-$, SO$_4^{2-}$, CO$_3^{2-}$, and SiO$_3^{2-}$ are known to affect carbon steel corrosion, the nature and content of anions have a strong influence on the electrochemical behaviour and nature of the corrosion product deposits [2, 5, 6, 8].

The groundwater in a Canadian nuclear repository could contain high levels of Cl$^-$, an anion known to be highly aggressive in the corrosion of carbon steel [9]. Due to the clay based bentonite backfill material used in the repository, the groundwaters contacting the carbon steel container will be near neutral or slightly alkaline (pH 8.4) and may contain fairly high levels of carbonate. Consequently, it is possible that the corrosion products formed on the container could contain carbonates such as FeCO$_3$ (siderite), carbonated green rusts, and Fe$_2$(OH)$_3$CO$_3$ (chukanovite) [7, 8]. Refait et al. [8] suggest that the nature of the corrosion products formed in CO$_3^{2-}$ containing environments will be controlled mainly by the interfacial ratios of [CO$_3^{2-}$]/[Fe$^{2+}$] and [OH$^-$]/[Fe$^{3+}$]. In addition, SO$_4^{2-}$ has been shown to be an aggressive anion increasing the anodic dissolution rates and pitting sensitivity of carbon steel [1, 2, 5, 6, 10-14].

Several researchers have attempted to rank the aggressiveness of various anions on carbon steel corrosion. Vyskocil [15] claimed that the aggressiveness of anions in neutral solutions decreased in the order F$^-$ > SO$_4^{2-}$ > Cl$^-$ > Br$^-$ > I$^-$. King and Davidson [16] suggested that the corrosion rate decreased with decreasing complexation; i.e., P$_2$O$_7^{4-}$ > PO$_4^{3-}$ > Cl$^-$ > SO$_4^{2-}$ > ClO$_4^-$. Kolotyrkin [17] distinguished anions based on their aggressive (Cl$^-$, Br$^-$, I$^-$) or non-aggressive (SO$_4^{2-}$, ClO$_4^-$, CO$_3^{2-}$, NO$_3^-$, CrO$_4^{2-}$) tendency. Tamura et al. [18] suggested that the corrosion rate decreased in the order ClO$_4^-$ > NO$_3^-$ > Cl$^-$ > SiO$_4^{2-}$ > Br$^-$ > I$^-$ > SO$_4^{2-}$, and Tanaka et al. [19] found that the influence of anions on the formation of artificial rust particles decreased in the order
SO$_4^{2-}$ ≥ Cl$^-$ > NO$_3^-$. The differences between these studies can be ascribed to the various anion concentrations and differences in pH and temperature used.

The objective of the research described in this chapter is to explore the effect of common groundwater anions on the electrochemical and corrosion behaviour of A516 Gr70 carbon steel, with a primary focus on the effects of Cl$^-$, HCO$_3$-/CO$_3^{2-}$ and SO$_4^{2-}$, with an emphasis on highly concentrated Cl$^-$ solutions. A combination of electrochemical techniques were employed to characterize the corrosion behaviour under deaerated conditions and Raman spectroscopy and scanning electron microscopy (SEM) techniques were used to study the identity and morphology of the corrosion products.

4.2. **EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS**

4.2.1. **Materials and Electrode Preparations**

Electrodes were fabricated as circular coupons (1.0 cm diameter) from a 0.5 cm thick plate of A516 Gr 70 carbon steel (0.23 C; 1.11 Mn; 0.07 P; 0.03 Si; 0.03 Al; 0.01 Cu; 0.01 Ni; 0.02 Cr; 0.004 Mo; 0.036 Al; 0.019 V; 0.003 O [wt.%], balance Fe). Each electrode was set in a high-performance epoxy resin such that only a single face was exposed (0.7854 cm$^2$). Connection to external measuring circuits was achieved with a stainless steel rod first covered with Parafilm and then with several layers of Teflon tape to avoid exposure to the electrolyte. Each electrode was wet polished with 180, 600, 800, 1000, and 1200 grit SiC papers (Presi), and rinsed in type 1 water (conductivity 18.2 MΩ.cm) before being placed in the solution.

4.2.2. **Electrochemical Cell and Equipment**

Experiments were conducted in a three-compartment glass electrochemical cell. A Pt foil connected to a Pt wire was used as the counter electrode and a saturated calomel electrode (SCE; 0.241 V vs. SHE) as the reference electrode. All potentials are reported on the SCE scale. The electrochemical cell was housed within a grounded Faraday cage to minimize interference from external noise sources. Corrosion potentials ($E_{CORR}$), polarization resistance ($R_p$) measurements, and cyclic voltammograms were recorded using a Solartron 1480 multistat running CorrWare software.
4.2.3. Experimental Procedure

Prior to $E_{\text{CORR}}$/LPR experiments, electrodes were cathodically cleaned at -1.3 V for three minutes to reduce any air formed surface oxides, and the potential held at -1.1 V for seven minutes to remove H$_2$ bubbles from the sample surface. $R_p$ values were determined at six hour intervals via linear polarization resistance (LPR) measurements by scanning the potential over the range $E_{\text{CORR}}$ ±10 mV at a scan rate of 0.1667 mV/s. $E_{\text{CORR}}$ experiments were run for a period of ~14-15 days.

Prior to cyclic voltammetry (CV) experiments, electrodes were cathodically cleaned at -1.3 V and -1.1 V for two and three minutes, respectively. The potential was then scanned from -1.1 V to various anodic limits at a scan rate of 5 mV/s.

4.2.4. Experimental Solutions

Experiments were performed in a series of solutions containing only Cl$^-$ or a combination of 5.0 M Cl$^-$ with various concentrations of HCO$_3$/CO$_3^{2-}$ or SO$_4^{2-}$. The highly concentrated (5.0 M) Cl$^-$ solutions were used to mimic the high salinity of the sedimentary groundwaters at the repository depth. In comparison, the concentration of Cl$^-$ in seawater is only approximately 0.6 M. The pH was set to 8.9 ± 0.5 to simulate the expected pH range of the groundwater (pH 7-10). Before starting the experiment each solution was continuously sparged at a high flow rate with ultra-high purity Ar for at least one hour. Ar sparging was continued throughout the experiment at a reduced flow rate to maintain minimal levels of dissolved O$_2$ within the solution.

4.2.5. Surface Analysis

Iron corrosion products were identified using a Renishaw 2000 Raman spectrometer equipped with a 632.8 nm laser line and an optical microscope with a 50X magnification objective lens. GRAMS 386 Raman software was used for the collection and fitting of spectra. SEM was used to determine the morphology of corroded surfaces using either a Hitachi S-4500 Field Emission SEM or LEO (Zeiss) 1540XB FIB/SEM.
4.3. RESULTS

4.3.1. Effects of Chloride

4.3.1.1. $E_{\text{CORR}}$ and $R_p$ Measurements

Figure 4-1 shows the $E_{\text{CORR}}$ values recorded on the steel coupons, over exposure periods of 6-15 days, to deaerated solutions containing [Cl$^-$] of 0.01, 1.00, and 5.00 M. The dashed horizontal lines represent the equilibrium potentials ($E^\circ$) for the transformation of metallic iron to Fe$_3$O$_4$ (-0.851 V) and the oxidation of Fe(OH)$_2$ to α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ (-0.755 V) calculated from the Nernst equation at a pH of 8.9. The equilibrium for the oxidation of Fe(OH)$_2$ to α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ was chosen as it represents the lowest potential at which an Fe$^{III}$ oxide can be thermodynamically expected. Subsequently, this equilibrium will be designated Fe$^{II}$ ox $\rightleftharpoons$ Fe$^{III}$ ox, since, as will be shown, a wide range of Fe$^{III}$ oxides and oxyhydroxides can form. Figure 4-2 shows the $R_p$ values recorded during the $E_{\text{CORR}}$ experiments.

It is evident from Figure 4-1 that the $E_{\text{CORR}}$ values for the two lower [Cl$^-$] experiments are lower than the values recorded in 5.0 M. For the two lower [Cl$^-$], the $E_{\text{CORR}}$ values suggest that the surface oxide may be predominantly Fe$_3$O$_4$ with little conversion to Fe$^{III}$ oxides. Figure 4-2 shows the $R_p$ values recorded in the [Cl$^-$]=0.01 solution remain approximately constant at 20 kΩcm$^2$, indicating a relatively high constant corrosion rate, while the $E_{\text{CORR}}$ values are slightly erratic, suggesting minor corrosion events occur on the electrode surface. For [Cl$^-$]=1.00 M, $R_p$ decreases slightly from 30 kΩcm$^2$ to 20 kΩcm$^2$ over the duration of the experiment as $E_{\text{CORR}}$ rises from -0.820 V to -0.795 V. This combination of an increasing corrosion rate ($\alpha R_p^{-1}$) and $E_{\text{CORR}}$ suggests a slight acceleration of the cathodic reaction, likely caused by H$_2$O reduction on a surface oxide layer expected to be Fe$_3$O$_4$.

For the three experiments in [Cl$^-$]=5.00 M solutions, $E_{\text{CORR}}$ initially ranges between -0.780 V and -0.760 V. These values approach the $(E^\circ)_{Fe^{II\,\text{ox}}/Fe^{III\,\text{ox}}}$ boundary. Over the first 2 days of exposure, the $R_p$ values are similar in all three experiments suggesting similar corrosion behaviour. For experiments #2 and #3 $R_p$ increases in a similar manner over the first six days. The increase in the $E_{\text{CORR}}$ compared to the low [Cl$^-$] solutions could reflect slight differences in the dissolved [O$_2$] of the solution. However, the more positive $E_{\text{CORR}}$ values are accompanied by a significant increase in the $R_p$ values, suggesting a less reactive surface. One possibility is that the
high \([\text{Cl}^-]\) leads to \(\text{Cl}^-\) adsorption and an accelerated conversion of \(\text{Fe}^{II}\) to \(\text{Fe}^{III}\) in the oxide surface. The steady increase in \(R_p\) in experiment #2 suggests a slow passivation of the steel surface, consistent with a slow oxidation due to reaction with \(\text{O}_2\). After 11 days \(E_{\text{CORR}}\) increases by \(~15-20\) mV to a value close to the \((E^{e})_{\text{Fe}^{II}\text{ox}/\text{Fe}^{III}\text{ox}}\) boundary leading to a marked increase in \(R_p\). This behaviour is consistent with the suppression of the anodic reaction by the formation of an insulating \(\text{Fe}^{III}\) oxide. Subsequently, around \(~13\) days both \(E_{\text{CORR}}\) and \(R_p\) decrease, indicating a slight reactivation of the anodic reaction most likely caused by the local breakdown of the passivating \(\text{Fe}^{III}\) oxide. The small decrease in \(R_p\) suggests any breakdown locations were only moderately active or few in number. This apparent passive film breakdown and onset of localized corrosion occurs when \(E_{\text{CORR}}\) reaches the equilibrium line for the \(\text{Fe}^{II}\) ox/\(\text{Fe}^{III}\) ox transformation.

**Figure 4-1:** Corrosion potentials \((E_{\text{CORR}})\) measured in solutions containing various \([\text{Cl}^-]\). Dashed lines represent the thermodynamic boundaries for the oxidation of \(\text{Fe}\) to \(\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4\) and \(\text{Fe(OH)}_2\) to \(\alpha\)-\(\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3\). The latter equilibrium is subsequently designated \(\text{Fe}^{II}\) ox/\(\text{Fe}^{III}\) ox.
In experiment #1, $E_{\text{CORR}}$ increased more rapidly to values more positive than $(E^\circ)_{Fe^{II\text{ox}}} / Fe^{III\text{ox}}$. Over this initial 3 day period $R_p$ values are close to those observed in the other two experiments in 5.0 M Cl\textsuperscript{-} solution. However, after $\sim$3 days, a major $E_{\text{CORR}}$ transient is observed leading to a significant decrease in $R_p$. Similar major events occur after $\sim$6 and 7 days, Figure 4-3, each with sudden increases in $E_{\text{CORR}}$ accompanied by a decrease in $R_p$ (increase in corrosion rate) suggesting a breakdown of the $Fe^{III}$ passivating oxide, formed as $E_{\text{CORR}}$ increased to and exceeded $(E^\circ)_{Fe^{II\text{ox}}} / Fe^{III\text{ox}}$, leading to high corrosion rates at local breakdown sites. The subsequent decrease in $E_{\text{CORR}}$ is not accompanied by a change in $R_p$ (e.g., over the time period 3 to 6 days) consistent with the maintenance of a high localized corrosion rate in response to the evolution of conditions within the breakdown site(s). The subsequent events occurring after 6.5 and 7 days (lines (b) and (c), Figure 4-3) lead to further minor decreases in $R_p$ confirming the onset of localized corrosion at sites which do not subsequently repair. The magnitude and number of such events in experiment #1 compared to experiment #2 and the much lower $R_p$ values indicate highly active localized corrosion in the first case.

---

**Figure 4-2:** Polarization resistance ($R_p$) measurements for solutions containing various [Cl\textsuperscript{-}] as given in Figure 4-1.
4.3.1.2. Raman Spectroscopy

Figure 4-4(a) shows Raman spectra recorded on various locations on the steel surface after exposure to the [Cl\(^-\)=0.01 M solution. Spectrum (1), recorded on the corrosion product deposit, suggests the presence of green rust (GR), with minor bands at 425 and 506 cm\(^{-1}\) assigned to the Fe\(^{2+}\)—OH and Fe\(^{3+}\)—OH stretching modes of the green rust structure [20-26]. Since Cl\(^-\) is the only anion present, the coordinating interlayer anion of the GR will be either Cl\(^-\), with the idealized structure \([\text{Fe}_{3}^{II}\text{Fe}^{III}(\text{OH})_{6}]^{x+}[\text{Cl} \cdot n\text{H}_{2}\text{O}]^{-}\), or OH\(^-\) with the structure \([\text{Fe}_{(1-x)}^{II}\text{Fe}_{x}^{III}(\text{OH}^{-})_{2}]^{x+}[x\text{OH}^{-} \cdot (1-x)\text{H}_{2}\text{O}]^{x-}\) [27-29]. Differentiating between the two is difficult since both exhibit Raman bands in the 200-250 cm\(^{-1}\) region. The presence of minor amounts of GR can be attributed to a slight ingress of O\(_2\) into the electrochemical cell from the surrounding air environment.
The Raman band at 673 cm\(^{-1}\) in spectra (2) and (3) is attributed to the A\(_{1g}\) mode of Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) [3, 20, 23, 24, 26, 30-37]. The formation of Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) indicates the dominance of anoxic corrosion via H\(_2\)O reduction, confirming that only traces of dissolved O\(_2\) were present in this experiment, consistent with the measured E\(_{\text{CORR}}\) (Figure 4-1) which was well below the \((E^\circ)_{Fe^{II}_{ox}/Fe^{III}_{ox}}\) boundary, making Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) the expected phase. The large intensity and the sharpness of the peaks indicate the Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) film is crystalline and relatively thick. The absence of Fe\(^{III}\) oxides indicates that the Cl\(^-\) catalyzed oxidation of the Fe\(^{II}\) film is minimal at this low [Cl\(^-\)], at least after only 15 days of exposure.

**Figure 4-4:** Ex-situ Raman spectra (1-7) recorded at various locations on the steel surface after exposure to (a) [Cl\(^-\)]\(=0.01\) M and (b) [Cl\(^-\)]\(=1.00\) M.
Figure 4-4(b) shows the Raman spectra recorded on various locations on the steel surface exposed to the \([\text{Cl}^-]=1.00\ \text{M}\) solution. The peaks located at 248 and 376 cm\(^{-1}\) (spectra 4-6) confirm the presence of lepidocrocite (\(\gamma\text{-FeOOH}\)) [20, 22, 26, 30, 34, 38-42], with the peak at 671 cm\(^{-1}\) (spectra 4, 5) attributed to \(\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3\). The presence of both \(\gamma\text{-FeOOH}\) and \(\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3\) suggests a surface partially oxidized by reaction with dissolved \(\text{O}_2\), but not passivated, as expected given the low \(E_{\text{CORR}}\) and small \(R_p\) values. It is possible that the oxidation of \(\text{Fe}^{II}\) to \(\text{Fe}^{III}\) in the surface of the corrosion product film occurs more rapidly at this higher [Cl\(^-\)]. Spectrum (7) shows peaks at 317, 422, 508, and 723 cm\(^{-1}\) attributable to akaganite (\(\beta\text{-FeOOH}\)) which is known to form on archaeological artefacts corroded in Cl\(^-\) containing soils [31]. However, maghemite (\(\gamma\text{-Fe}_2\text{O}_3\)) also exhibits broad peaks at 350, 500, and 700 cm\(^{-1}\) [22, 30, 32, 43]. Given the relatively high [Cl\(^-\)], \(\beta\text{-FeOOH}\) appears to be the most likely phase. The broad bands at low Raman shifts and the presence of a broad band in the region of 1270 to 1690 cm\(^{-1}\) (attributable to carbonaceous residues at a corroded site) indicate this was a slightly more corroded location covered with a poorly crystalline deposit.

Figure 4-5 shows the Raman spectra recorded on the coupons corroded in each of the three [Cl\(^-\)]=5.00 M solutions. All three spectra recorded after experiment #1 exhibit peaks at 243 and 378 cm\(^{-1}\) indicating the formation of \(\gamma\text{-FeOOH}\), Figure 4-5(a). The band at 659 cm\(^{-1}\) in (1) could be due to either \(\gamma\text{-FeOOH}\) or \(\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3\). While the accepted location for the main band of \(\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3\) is closer to 670 cm\(^{-1}\), several studies have seen this characteristic \(\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3\) peak at Raman shifts as low as 661 cm\(^{-1}\) [30, 35, 40]. However, the clear presence of bands for \(\gamma\text{-FeOOH}\) in all three spectra suggests the band at 659 cm\(^{-1}\) can also be attributed to \(\gamma\text{-FeOOH}\). The broad peaks at 726 and 722 cm\(^{-1}\) in spectra (2) and (3) are more difficult to assign since \(\beta\text{-FeOOH} (720 \text{ cm}^{-1})\) [22, 31, 44, 45], \(\gamma\text{-Fe}_2\text{O}_3 (700-710 \text{ cm}^{-1})\) [22, 30, 32, 43], and ferrihydrite (680 cm\(^{-1}\) to 720 cm\(^{-1}\)) [20, 25, 26, 35, 46] all have peaks in this region. Given the high [Cl\(^-\)] and the minor peak at \(\sim 300 \text{ cm}^{-1}\) [31, 44, 45] (spectrum 3) \(\beta\text{-FeOOH}\) seems the most probable phase. The two broad peaks between 1270-1700 cm\(^{-1}\) can be attributed to \(\text{Fe}_3\text{C}\) residues after dissolution of \(\alpha\text{-Fe}\) from pearlite grains in the steel [3, 33], and suggests that spectrum (3) was recorded on a more heavily corroded location on the surface. The universal presence of \(\gamma\text{-FeOOH}\) and \(\beta\text{-FeOOH}\) is consistent with the presence of an \(\text{Fe}^{III}\) surface layer expected at the positive value of \(E_{\text{CORR}}\) measured in this solution (Figure 4-1). The positive \(E_{\text{CORR}}\) and major localized events, leading to low \(R_p\) values, observed in this experiment are consistent with the general surface coverage by \(\text{Fe}^{III}\) oxide/hydroxide.
Figure 4-5: Ex-situ Raman spectra (1-11) recorded at various locations on the steel surface after exposure to [Cl\textsuperscript{-}]=5.00 M environments.

Spectra (4), (5), and (6) recorded after exposure to [Cl\textsuperscript{-}]=5.00 M (#2), Figure 4-5(b), were recorded on areas of the surface covered by a uniform corrosion film; i.e. not exhibiting significant deposits. The Raman band at 667 cm\textsuperscript{-1} and the very broad weak band in the 1300 to 1600 cm\textsuperscript{-1} region indicate a thin layer of Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4} over a slightly corroded surface. Also, the suggestion of bands in the 200 to 400 cm\textsuperscript{-1} range would be consistent with oxidation of the outer layers of this Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4} layer to an Fe\textsuperscript{III} state as expected at the positive \( E_{CORR} \) achieved. The peaks at 289 and 415 cm\textsuperscript{-1} in spectrum (7), recorded on a location covered by a deposit (suggesting localized corrosion) are difficult to assign, although only Fe\textsuperscript{III} oxides generally exhibit Raman bands in this spectral region. Raman bands located at 410 and 425 cm\textsuperscript{-1} have been
assigned to Fe(OH)$_2$ [22], which is known to form as a precursor to other iron oxides. While the deposit cannot be identified, the Fe$_3$C signature in the region 1175-1650 cm$^{-1}$ suggests more extensive corrosion at this location than observed at locations (4) to (6). The background fluorescence can be attributed to electronic excitation of the exposed metal surface. The apparent dominance of Fe$_3$O$_4$ with a slightly oxidized surface indicates that the large increase in the $R_p$, Figure 4-2, can be attributed to a slow passivation process to produce a thin layer difficult to detect by Raman spectroscopy. The spectra recorded on the surface of the specimen exposed in experiment #3, Figure 4-5(c), show similar peaks to those observed in both experiments #1 and #2 with large areas of the surface covered by a thin Fe$_3$O$_4$ film, possibly with a slightly oxidized surface (spectra 8 to 10), and some areas more heavily corroded and covered by Fe$^{III}$ oxyhydroxide deposits (spectrum (11)).

**Table 4-1:** Summary of corrosion products identified by Raman spectroscopy in the range of chloride environments investigated

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Oxide Phase</th>
<th>0.01 M</th>
<th>1.00 M</th>
<th>5.00 M (#1)</th>
<th>5.00 M (#2)</th>
<th>5.00 M (#3)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fe$_3$O$_4$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-FeOOH</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>γ-FeOOH</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α-Fe$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>γ-Fe$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.3.1.3. **Scanning Electron Microscopy on surfaces and cross-sections prepared by Focused Ion Beam Milling**

Figure 4-6 shows SEM micrographs recorded on the steel surface after 15 days exposure in [Cl$^-$]=0.01 M. The low magnification image indicates the surface is relatively uncorroded, Figure 4-6(a), but higher magnification indicates corrosion has occurred on a minor scale, Figure 4-6(c). Corrosion is non-uniform with partially detached layers and a scattered deposit. The micrographs do not reveal deposits with a morphology indicating the presence GR(Cl$^-$) (observed in the Raman spectra) suggesting only minimal amounts are present. Also, very little evidence of localized corrosion is observed despite the fluctuations in $E_{CORR}$ and to a lesser degree $R_p$. This is
consistent with the Raman evidence, Figure 4-4(a), and indicates the surface is generally covered by only a thin surface layer of Fe$_3$O$_4$.

SEM micrographs after 13 days exposure to the [Cl$^-$]=1.00 M solution are shown in Figure 4-7. The visibility of polishing lines, Figure 4-7(a), confirms only a very thin surface film is present. This is confirmed by FIB cross-sections cut through these locations (Figure 4-8) and consistent with the low value of $E_{\text{CORR}}$, Figure 4-1. The fine structure of the general surface is shown in Figure 4-7(b), and is likely a mix of Fe$_3$O$_4$ and $\gamma$-FeOOH based on the Raman analyses, consistent with some surface oxidation due to the presence of traces of dissolved O$_2$. Figure 4-7(c) shows the morphology of small deposits seen in several locations. Cross-sectional analysis through one of these deposits is shown in Figure 4-9. The magnified image, Figure 4-9(b), shows that the deposit is porous, possibly exposing the base metal, but that no significant localized corrosion has occurred. The Raman spectrum (7 in Figure 4-4(b)) confirms such locations are more heavily corroded and covered with deposited akaganeite, $\beta$-FeOOH.

**Figure 4-6**: SEM micrographs showing the morphology of the corrosion product after exposure to the solution containing [Cl$^-$]=0.01 M.

**Figure 4-7**: SEM micrographs showing the morphology of the corrosion product after exposure to the solution containing [Cl$^-$]=1.00 M.
Figure 4-8: FIB cross-sections for the general corrosion film on the steel exposed to $[\text{Cl}^-]=1.00$ M.

Figure 4-9: FIB cross-sections for corrosion deposits formed on the steel surface exposed to $[\text{Cl}^-]=1.00$ M.

Figure 4-10 shows the SEM micrographs recorded after exposure to $[\text{Cl}^-]=5.00$ M (#1). These images are consistent with the electrochemical observations which show a positive $E_{\text{CORR}}$ and a number of potential transients indicating either individually large or a large number of localized corrosion sites on a generally passive surface, Figure 4-10(a). The clear visibility of the polishing lines confirms the general surface is passivated by a thin film. The accumulation of corrosion product seen at local sites, Figure 4-10(b), and a FIB cross-section through such a deposit, Figure 4-11(a), confirms that the deposits are located over shallow pits on the steel surface which the low $R_p$ values (Figure 4-2) show remain active over the ensuing exposure period. Figure 4-11(b) and (c) show additional FIB cross-sections through a second active location with the
backscattered electron image, Figure 4-11(c), more clearly outlining the interface between the base metal and deposited corrosion product. Again, pitting beneath such a deposit is shallow.

Figure 4-12 shows the SEM micrographs recorded after 15 days of exposure to the [Cl]\(^{-}\)=5.00 M (#2) environment. The surface is generally featureless, Figure 4-12(a), with some minor localized corrosion events which are much less frequent than in experiment #1. This is consistent with the noiseless E\(_{\text{CORR}}\) and steady increase in R\(_p\) prior to the minor breakdown events which occur after \(\sim 11\) days of exposure (Figure 4-1 and Figure 4-2). The strong Raman signal for residual Fe\(_3\)C, spectrum (7) in Figure 4-5, is most likely recorded on such a region. Figure 4-12(b) shows the morphology of the general surface film, seen as darker regions in Figure 4-12(a), which Raman analysis indicates is Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\). The observation of Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) coupled with an E\(_{\text{CORR}}\) which approaches the \((E^{\text{e}})_{\text{Fe}^{II\text{ox}}/\text{Fe}^{II\text{ox}}}\) boundary indicates oxidation of the outer surface of the Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) consistent with the large R\(_p\) values recorded. The relatively small drop in the R\(_p\) compared to that recorded in [Cl]\(^{-}\)=5.00 M (#1) can be attributed to the much smaller density of film breakdown locations and their limited propagation which is confirmed by the absence of the large events shown to occur in experiment (#1). It is possible this is due to a lower O\(_2\) concentration in this experiment.

SEM micrographs recorded in the shorter experiment (#3) in [Cl]\(^{-}\)=5.00 M (Figure 4-13) exhibit similar features to those observed in the [Cl]\(^{-}\)=1.00 M experiment which are comprised of a thin layer which the Raman analysis suggests is Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\), Figure 4-13(b), and a fine corrosion deposit, Figure 4-13(c), which appears to be a mixture of \(\alpha\)-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) and \(\gamma\)-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\). The yellow/orange colour of the deposit supports this phase assignment.

**Figure 4-10:** SEM micrographs showing the morphology of the corrosion product after exposure to the [Cl]\(^{-}\)=5.00 M (#1) solution.
**Figure 4-11:** FIB cross-sections through corrosion deposit accumulations on the steel exposed to $[Cl^-]=5.00$ M (#1).

**Figure 4-12:** SEM micrographs showing the corrosion product morphology after exposure to $[Cl^-]=5.00$ M (#2).

**Figure 4-13:** SEM micrographs showing the corrosion product morphology after exposure to $[Cl^-]=5.00$ M (#3).
4.3.1.4. Cyclic Voltammetry

Figure 4-14(a) shows a series of CVs recorded to increasing anodic limits in the [Cl\(^-\)]=0.01 M solution. Since the linear increase in current with potential indicates an ohmic effect due to low solution conductivity the experiment was repeated at an increased ionic strength by adding 0.10 M NaClO\(_4\), Figure 4-14(b). The vertical lines indicate the range of \(E_{\text{CORR}}\) values measured in the corrosion experiment, Figure 4-1, and show \(E_{\text{CORR}}\) was located at the base of the active dissolution region. The current increases at more positive potentials and shows no tendency to passivate confirming that, under voltammetric conditions, active dissolution occurs. The low \(R_p\) values, Figure 4-2, confirm that passivation did not occur in this experiment.

**Figure 4-14:** Cyclic voltammograms recorded to increasing anodic limits on steel coupons exposed to (a) [Cl\(^-\)]=0.01 M; (b) [Cl\(^-\)]=0.01 M & [ClO\(_4\)^-]=0.10 M; (c) [Cl\(^-\)]=1.00 M; and (d) [Cl\(^-\)]=5.00 M. Dashed vertical lines represent the range of \(E_{\text{CORR}}\) measured during the corrosion experiments.
For $[\text{Cl}^-]=1.00$ M, (Figure 4-14(c)) $E_{\text{CORR}}$ values are again at the foot of the active dissolution curve, while for $[\text{Cl}^-]=5.00$ M, Figure 4-14(d), the onset of active dissolution is significantly suppressed. This is best appreciated by comparing the anodic currents at $0.7$ V for the three Cl$^-$ solutions. For 0.01 M and 0.1 M the current is approximately the same, but for 5.00 M it is considerably lower. This shift of active dissolution to more positive potentials is consistent with the claim that, in the corrosion experiments the surface can be initially stabilized against active dissolution by the catalyzed oxidation of the surface by traces of O$_2$ when $[\text{Cl}^-]$ is high. A surface stabilized in this manner would then be more susceptible to the breakdown processes observed at this $[\text{Cl}^-]$. These results are consistent with previous work performed by Lee at al. [4] which showed that Cl$^-$ was able to suppress the anodic reaction of Fe to Fe$^{2+}$.

4.3.2. Effects of Carbonate

4.3.2.1. $E_{\text{CORR}}$ and $R_p$ Measurements

Since specimens exposed to $[\text{Cl}^-]=5.00$ M exhibited the highest $E_{\text{CORR}}$ values and are the most likely to exhibit localized corrosion, the influence of $[\text{HCO}_3^-/\text{CO}_3^{2-}]$ was examined at this $[\text{Cl}^-]$. The observation of shallow pits clearly indicated pit initiation occurred in the concentrated Cl$^-$ solution in the absence of any buffer capacity. Hydrolysis of Fe$^{2+}$ produced by active dissolution at local sites would lead to the increase in local acidity required to maintain active propagation providing some O$_2$ remained available. Here, the influence of added HCO$_3^-$/CO$_3^{2-}$ on the pitting process is investigated.

Figure 4-15 shows $E_{\text{CORR}}$ values recorded over an 11-13 day exposure period to deaerated 5.00 M Cl$^-$ solutions containing two different HCO$_3^-$/CO$_3^{2-}$ concentrations. The dashed horizontal lines show the $E^\circ$ for the oxidation of Fe to Fe$_2$O$_4$ (-0.851 V) and the $(E^\circ)_{\text{Fe}^{II}_{\text{ox}}/\text{Fe}^{III}_{\text{ox}}}$ boundary (-0.755 V). When $[\text{HCO}_3^-/\text{CO}_3^{2-}]_T=0.001$ M, $E_{\text{CORR}}$ initially achieves a value in the range of -0.760 V, as observed in $[\text{Cl}^-]=5.00$ M (Figure 4-1), suggesting the formation of a surface oxide with a high Fe$^{III}$ content. Both $E_{\text{CORR}}$ and $R_p$ (Figure 4-16) values follow the same trend as observed in the absence of HCO$_3^-$/CO$_3^{2-}$ (Figure 4-1 and Figure 4-2), with $R_p$ increasing as $E_{\text{CORR}}$ increases, consistent with a slow passivation of the surface by traces of dissolved O$_2$. The transients in $E_{\text{CORR}}$ indicate many attempts to initiate localized corrosion sites which lead to erratic $R_p$ values. However, the establishment of stable active locations leading to a permanent decrease in $R_p$, as observed in the absence of HCO$_3^-$/CO$_3^{2-}$, (Figure 4-1 and Figure 4-2) is not observed. This could
be attributed to the ability of the HCO$_3^-$/CO$_3^{2-}$ to buffer, at least partially, the attempted increase in acidity caused by Fe$^{2+}$ hydrolysis at breakdown sites, which allows repassivation to occur.

For both experiments at the higher [HCO$_3^-$/CO$_3^{2-}$]$_T$=0.01 M, E$_{CORR}$ and R$_P$ behave almost identically, lower steady values of E$_{CORR}$ being observed and only a slow increase in R$_P$ occurring. As expected at lower E$_{CORR}$ values, local film breakdown events were not observed. While E$_{CORR}$ remains steady over the course of the experiments, the R$_P$ values are seen to rise slowly indicating a slow reaction with O$_2$ which could eventually lead to passivation. The lower values of R$_P$ compared to those observed at the lower [HCO$_3^-$/CO$_3^{2-}$]$_T$ indicate that the passivation of the surface either did not occur or did not progress to the same extent. This, along with the lower E$_{CORR}$, suggests the surface film is likely Fe$_3$O$_4$ rather than an Fe$^{III}$ oxide.

Figure 4-15: Corrosion potentials (E$_{CORR}$) for solutions in [Cl$^-]=5.00$ M containing HCO$_3^-$/CO$_3^{2-}$ at concentrations of 0.001 M and 0.01 M. Dashed horizontal lines represent the thermodynamic boundaries for the oxidation of Fe to Fe$_3$O$_4$ and Fe(OH)$_2$ to $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$. 
Figure 4-16: Polarization resistance ($R_p$) measurements for solutions containing $[\text{Cl}^-]=5.00\ M$ and $\text{HCO}_3^-/\text{CO}_3^{2-}$ at concentrations of $0.001\ M$ and $0.01\ M$ as given in Figure 4-15.

Figure 4-17 and Figure 4-18 show the $E_{\text{CORR}}$ and $R_p$ values measured in $[\text{HCO}_3^-/\text{CO}_3^{2-}]=0.10\ M$. The transient behaviour of $E_{\text{CORR}}$, designated by the dashed vertical lines in both Figure 4-17 and Figure 4-18, can be attributed to unintended variations in dissolved $\text{O}_2$ content in the system. Within the various time periods shown both $E_{\text{CORR}}$ and $R_p$ increase as the glass frit in the tip of the gas sparging tube became clogged with precipitate formed in the extremely concentrated solution. This allowed the slow ingress of $\text{O}_2$. The dashed lines show the times at which the tube was replaced and Ar-sparging re-established which lead to a decrease in both $E_{\text{CORR}}$ and $R_p$. These excursions are consistent with changes in the kinetics of the anodic reaction; i.e., the corrosion rate decreases ($R_p$ increases) as $E_{\text{CORR}}$ increases, indicating the slow leakage of $\text{O}_2$ into the cell leading to oxidation of the surface to $\text{Fe}^{\text{III}}$ and partial passivation. On re-establishing Ar-sparging the process is reversed and the corrosion rate increases as $E_{\text{CORR}}$ is decreased. The increase in corrosion rate on depletion of $\text{O}_2$ indicates a loss of passivity (only partially established in this case) and the adoption of $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ reduction as the cathodic reaction. This, and
the low $E_{\text{CORR}}$ values (<-0.80 V) maintained under Ar-sparged conditions, indicate that at a high $[\text{HCO}_3^-/\text{CO}_3^{2-}]_T$ active dissolution conditions are maintained by the ability of $\text{HCO}_3^-/\text{CO}_3^{2-}$ to complex $\text{Fe}^{2+}$.

**Figure 4-17:** Corrosion potential ($E_{\text{CORR}}$) (solid line) and polarization resistance ($R_p$) (points) values recorded in $[\text{Cl}^-]=5.00$ M and $[\text{HCO}_3^-/\text{CO}_3^{2-}]=0.10$ M (#1). The dashed vertical lines indicate points at which the Ar sparging system needed to be replaced due to precipitate build-up.
**Figure 4-18:** Corrosion potential ($E_{CORR}$) (solid line) and polarization resistance ($R_p$) (points) values recorded in $[Cl^-]=5.00$ M and $[HCO_3^-/CO_3^{2-}]=0.10$ M (#2). The dashed vertical lines indicate points at which the Ar sparging system needed to be replaced due to precipitate build-up

### 4.3.2.2. Raman and Infrared Spectroscopies

Figure 4-19(a) shows Raman spectra recorded on various surface locations after exposure to the $[HCO_3^-/CO_3^{2-}]=0.001$ M solution. Spectra (1), (2), and (3) indicate the presence of green rust (GR), with the bands at 427 and 510 cm$^{-1}$ assigned to the Fe$^{2+}$—OH and Fe$^{3+}$—OH stretching modes of the green rust structure. The composition of the solution suggests the coordinating anion in the GR interlayers could be OH$^-$, Cl$^-$, or CO$_3^{2-}$. Both CO$_3^{2-}$ and Cl$^-$ anions have been reported to generate peaks around $\sim$220 cm$^{-1}$ [21, 24], and either of these anions may be incorporated within the GR structure, although it has been noted that GR has a preference for incorporating CO$_3^{2-}$. The Raman band located at 674 cm$^{-1}$ in each of the four spectra for $[HCO_3^-/CO_3^{2-}]=0.001$ M is attributed to the $A_{1g}$ mode of Fe$_3$O$_4$ while the band at 300 cm$^{-1}$ in spectrum (4) is attributed to a $t_{2g}$ mode of Fe$_3$O$_4$ [30, 32, 36]. The Raman band located at 405 cm$^{-1}$ remains
Despite $E_{\text{CORR}}$ approaching the $(E^\circ)_{\text{Fe}^{II} \text{ox}/\text{Fe}^{III} \text{ox}}$ boundary when the outer surface might be expected to be oxidized to Fe$^{III}$, this is not supported by the Raman data.

Figure 4-19: Ex-situ Raman spectra (1-12) recorded at various locations on the steel surface after exposure to 5.0 M Cl$^{-}$ solutions containing (a) [HCO$_3^-$/CO$_3^{2-}$]=0.001 M; and (b-c) [HCO$_3^-$/CO$_3^{2-}$]=0.01 M.

Figure 4-19(b) shows the Raman spectra recorded on various locations on the surface after exposure to the [HCO$_3^-$/CO$_3^{2-}$]=0.01 M (#1) solution. The Raman bands located at 249 and 378 cm$^{-1}$ in spectra (5), (6), and (7) indicate the presence of γ-FeOOH. Given the value of $E_{\text{CORR}}$ this suggests some surface oxidation has occurred. The Raman bands seen in spectrum (8) are difficult to assign but the general shape and the position of the bands are consistent with the...
spectra observed at locations which have experienced localized corrosion to yield a poorly crystalline Fe\textsuperscript{III} deposit (peaks in the range < 800 cm\textsuperscript{-1}) over a more extensively corroded location (peaks within the region 1200-1700 cm\textsuperscript{-1}), Figure 4-4(b) and Figure 4-5(a) and (c).

The single Raman band seen at 673 cm\textsuperscript{-1} in Figure 4-19(c) for [HCO\textsubscript{3}/CO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{2-}]\textsubscript{T}=0.01 M (#2) shows Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4} is the dominant phase which is not unexpected at the low values of E\textsubscript{CORR} measured in this experiment, Figure 4-15. The single peak in each of the spectra indicates that the surface is uniformly covered in Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4}. The relatively low intensity of the broad bands suggests the film is very thin, which is not unexpected after a 6 day exposure period.

Figure 4-20(a) shows the Raman spectra recorded on various locations after exposure to the [HCO\textsubscript{3}/CO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{2-}]\textsubscript{T}=0.10 M (#1) solution. The band at 663 cm\textsuperscript{-1} (spectrum (3)) can be attributed Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4}, which is not unexpected since the E\textsubscript{CORR} in the electrochemical experiment was low. The bands located at 380 and 722 cm\textsuperscript{-1} are more difficult to assign but are attributable to Fe\textsuperscript{III} phases. Their breadth and the broad band located in the region 1200-1700 cm\textsuperscript{-1} indicates a deposit-covered corroded location. The Raman band at 1070 cm\textsuperscript{-1} is attributed to the v\textsubscript{1} C–O symmetric stretching of a coordinated CO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{2-} species [20, 47, 48]. For spectra (1) and (2) there is no other detectable Raman bands suggesting that while the CO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{2-} component of the film is clearly seen, the remainder of the structure is not Raman active. Figure 4-21 shows two FTIR spectra recorded at different locations on the sample surface. While the corrosion deposit in these regions may not be fully Raman active, the clear IR active spectra indicate the presence of chukanovite (Fe\textsubscript{2}(OH)\textsubscript{2}CO\textsubscript{3}) [49, 50]. The peaks at ~780 cm\textsuperscript{-1}, ~840 cm\textsuperscript{-1} and ~950 cm\textsuperscript{-1} can be attributed to the v\textsubscript{4} in-plane bending of CO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{2-}, the v\textsubscript{2} out of plane bending of CO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{2-}, and the δ-OH bending mode, respectively. The peaks centered over 1350-1520 cm\textsuperscript{-1} are due to C–O stretching while those in the range 3300-3475 cm\textsuperscript{-1} are due to O–H stretching. Both the Raman and FTIR analyses show the surface of the coupon exposed to [HCO\textsubscript{3}/CO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{2-}]\textsubscript{T}=0.10 M (#1) is largely covered by a film of Fe\textsubscript{2}(OH)\textsubscript{2}CO\textsubscript{3} with a small amount of detectable Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4} and possibly an Fe\textsuperscript{III} oxide such as β-FeOOH or γ-Fe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}.

The Raman spectra recorded on various locations on the steel coupon exposed to [HCO\textsubscript{3}/CO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{2-}]\textsubscript{T}=0.10 M (#2) are shown in Figure 4-20(b), the Raman band at 674 cm\textsuperscript{-1} confirming presence of Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4}. Despite the similarity in E\textsubscript{CORR} and R\textsubscript{p} values in experiments #1 and #2 (Figure 4.21 and Figure 4.22) no Fe\textsubscript{2}(OH)\textsubscript{2}CO\textsubscript{3} is observed in experiment #2, suggesting the iron carbonate phase is precipitated only after extensive exposure. The two Raman bands seen within spectrum (7) at
326 and 425 cm\(^{-1}\) are not readily assigned. Table 4-2 summarizes the surface species detected by Raman spectroscopy on the steel coupons corroded in each of the HCO\(_3^-\)/CO\(_3^{2-}\) exposure environments.

**Figure 4-20:** Ex-situ Raman spectra (1-7) recorded at various locations on the steel surface after exposure to 5.0 M Cl\(^-\) solutions containing [HCO\(_3^-\)/CO\(_3^{2-}\)]\(\approx\)0.10 M.
**Figure 4-21:** Ex-situ FTIR spectra recorded at two locations on the steel surface after exposure to a 5.0 M Cl\(^-\) solution with [HCO\(_3\)-/CO\(_3^{2-}\)]=0.10 M (#1).

**Table 4-2:** Summary of corrosion products identified by Raman spectroscopy for HCO\(_3\)-/CO\(_3^{2-}\) containing exposure environments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Oxide Phase</th>
<th>0.001 M</th>
<th>0.01 M (#1)</th>
<th>0.01 M (#2)</th>
<th>0.10 M (#1)</th>
<th>0.10 M (#2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fe(_3)O(_4)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-FeOOH</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>γ-FeOOH</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe(_2)(OH)(_2)CO(_3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.3.2.3. **Scanning Electron Microscopy**

Figure 4-22 shows the SEM micrographs recorded after 11 days of exposure in the [HCO$_3$/CO$_3^{2-}$]$_T$=0.001 M solution. The surface is covered by deposits suggesting the occurrence of many localized corrosion events, Figure 4-22(a), consistent with the erratic values of $E_{\text{CORR}}$. The large values of $R_p$ indicate that such locations repassivated due to the formation of Fe$^{III}$ oxide/hydroxide deposits, Figure 4-22(b-c), assigned as GR by Raman analyses. The thin platelets, Figure 4-22(d-f) are deposited on relatively uncorroded areas of the surface which Raman analyses show is Fe$_3$O$_4$.

![SEM micrographs](image)

**Figure 4-22:** SEM micrographs showing the corrosion product morphology after exposure to a 5.0 M Cl$^-$ solution containing [HCO$_3$/CO$_3^{2-}$]$_T$=0.001 M.

The SEM micrographs recorded on the steel surface after 13 days exposure to the [HCO$_3$/CO$_3^{2-}$]$_T$=0.01 M (#1) exposure environment, Figure 4-23, show only the presence of a thin surface film with no deposits indicating pitting as expected given $E_{\text{CORR}}$ was low (-0.800 V) and exhibited no breakdown transients. The fine structured minor deposit appears to be γ-FeOOH, Figure 4-23(c). SEM images recorded after exposure to the [HCO$_3$/CO$_3^{2-}$]$_T$=0.01 M (#2) solution show similar features, but fewer deposited crystals consistent with the Raman analyses indicating the dominant presence of Fe$_3$O$_4$. 
SEM micrographs recorded on the steel surface after 15 days exposure to the \([\text{HCO}_3^-/\text{CO}_3^{2-}]_{r.}\) =0.10 M (#1) solution are shown in Figure 4-24. Most of the surface is covered with a thin featureless film, Figure 4-24(a), with patches of thin platelets shown in more detail in Figure 4-24(b). The thin featureless area is consistent with the presence of \(\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4\) as indicated by the Raman analyses. The thin platelets, Figure 4-24(b), show the morphology expected for the \(\text{Fe}_2(\text{OH})_2\text{CO}_3\) detected by FTIR [50-53]. In these experiments, the periodic loss of Ar-sparging lead to localized corrosion sites generally covered by \(\text{Fe}_2(\text{OH})_2\text{CO}_3\) showing that at such a high \([\text{HCO}_3^-/\text{CO}_3^{2-}]\) dissolution occurred mainly as \(\text{Fe}^{2+}\) which redeposited rather than being further oxidized to produce \(\text{Fe}^{III}\) compounds. Although not shown, similar surface features were observed in the second experiment at this \([\text{HCO}_3^-/\text{CO}_3^{2-}]\).

**Figure 4-23:** SEM micrographs showing the corrosion product morphology after exposure to a 5.0 M Cl\(^-\) solution containing \([\text{HCO}_3^-/\text{CO}_3^{2-}]\)=0.01 M (#1).

**Figure 4-24:** SEM micrographs showing the corrosion product morphology after exposure to a 5.0 M Cl\(^-\) solution containing \([\text{HCO}_3^-/\text{CO}_3^{2-}]\)=0.10 M (#1).
4.3.2.4. Cyclic Voltammetry

Figure 4-25(a) shows CVs recorded in 5.00 M NaCl solutions containing 0.01 M and 0.20 M [HCO$_3^-$/CO$_3^{2-}$]. A number of features are clear:

(i) The current for the cathodic reaction is higher at the higher [HCO$_3^-$/CO$_3^{2-}$] which can be attributed to the reduction of protons supplied by the dissociation of HCO$_3^-$.

(ii) The anodic current in the region of the peak is increased, confirming that dissolution as Fe$^{2+}$ is accelerated by carbonate complexation as Fe(CO$_3$)$_2^{2-}$.

(iii) The current on the reverse scan is revived in the potential range within which the anodic peak occurs at the higher [HCO$_3^-$/CO$_3^{2-}$] indicating that the formation of a passive oxide is inhibited by HCO$_3^-$/CO$_3^{2-}$.

Figure 4-25(b) shows the anodic peak currents recorded at -0.700 V (indicated by the vertical line in (a)) as a function of [HCO$_3^-$/CO$_3^{2-}$] in 1.00 M and 5.00 M NaCl solutions. In both cases an increase in [HCO$_3^-$/CO$_3^{2-}$]$_r$ causes an increase in anodic current although the influence of HCO$_3^-$/CO$_3^{2-}$ is suppressed at the higher [Cl$^-$].

Figure 4-25(c) shows the anodic polarization scans recorded in 5.00 M Cl$^-$ extended until a sharp increase in current indicated passive film breakdown. Figure 4-25(d) shows the breakdown potentials as a function of [HCO$_3^-$/CO$_3^{2-}$] for both [Cl$^-$]. The values of the breakdown potentials were obtained by extrapolating the linear region of the active dissolution current back to the potential axis. For both [Cl$^-$] the breakdown potential increases with [HCO$_3^-$/CO$_3^{2-}$], and for [HCO$_3^-$/CO$_3^{2-}$] > 0.05 M are more positive at the lower [Cl$^-$]; i.e., film breakdown is suppressed by HCO$_3^-$/CO$_3^{2-}$ but less so at the higher [Cl$^-$]. It is noteworthy that this is the opposite of what was observed in exposure environments containing only Cl$^-$ when the onset of active dissolution was delayed at higher [Cl$^-$].
Figure 4-25: (a) Cyclic voltammograms starting at a potential of -1.1 V recorded on steel in a [Cl⁻]=5.00 M solution containing either 0.01 M or 0.20 M HCO₃⁻/CO₃²⁻; (b) anodic currents at -0.7 V as a function of [HCO₃⁻/CO₃²⁻]; (c) anodic polarization scans starting at a potential of -1.1 V recorded up to the onset of film breakdown in a [Cl⁻]=5.00 M solution containing increasing [HCO₃⁻/CO₃²⁻]; (d) breakdown potentials as a function of [HCO₃⁻/CO₃²⁻].
4.3.3. Effects of Sulphate

4.3.3.1. $E_{\text{CORR}}$ and $R_p$ Measurements

Figure 4-26 shows the $E_{\text{CORR}}$ values recorded over exposure periods from 11-35 days in deaerated 5.00 M Cl$^-$ solutions containing [SO$_4^{2-}$] ranging from 0.001 M to 0.50 M. The dashed horizontal line shows the $E^o$ for the oxidation of Fe$^{II}$ ox to Fe$^{III}$ ox (-0.755 V). Figure 4-27 shows the corresponding $R_p$ values. At [SO$_4^{2-}$]=0.001 M, $E_{\text{CORR}}$ ($\sim$-0.770 V) and $R_p$ values are similar to those recorded in [Cl$^-$]=5.00 M (#2 and #3), Figure 4-1 and Figure 4-2. In experiments in which $E_{\text{CORR}}$ increases to > ($E^o$)$_{Fe^{II}_{\text{ox}}/Fe^{III}_{\text{ox}}}$, $R_p$ increases to values indicating passive conditions. Once the $E^o$ is exceeded a high density of metastable transients is observed, indicating localized corrosion events. Despite these events the $R_p$ values, while becoming erratic, do not decrease to < 100 kΩcm$^2$ indicating transient localized corrosion not the establishment of stable propagating pits. Similar behaviour is observed at the other [SO$_4^{2-}$]. If $E_{\text{CORR}}$ increased to values close to or exceeding ($E^o$)$_{Fe^{II}_{\text{ox}}/Fe^{III}_{\text{ox}}}$, $R_p$ increases to values indicating passivity and localized initiation/repassivation events are observed. If $E_{\text{CORR}}$ does not increase to such positive values then $R_p$ remains < 100 kΩcm$^2$ and localized corrosion events are avoided. This behaviour can be contrasted with that observed in HCO$_3^-$/CO$_3^{2-}$ solutions in which $E_{\text{CORR}}$ remains lower and localized events are less frequent, Figure 4-15 and Figure 4-16. It is also clear that the trends in $E_{\text{CORR}}$ and $R_p$ are not determined by the [SO$_4^{2-}$] suggesting that the dominant influence on $E_{\text{CORR}}$/$R_p$ are traces of dissolved O$_2$ which determine the extent of surface oxidation and, hence, whether or not passivation occurs.

4.3.3.2. Raman Spectroscopy

Figure 4-28(a) shows the Raman spectra recorded on various locations after exposure to [SO$_4^{2-}$]=0.001 M. The absence of significant Raman bands in (1) suggests the presence of an undetectably thin or amorphous deposit. Spectra (2) and (3), recorded at locations with visible corrosion deposits, exhibit the bands in the range 1200-1500 cm$^{-1}$ which indicate the presence of amorphous carbon residue left behind after dissolution of α-Fe from a pearlite matrix. The absence of peaks in the range 700 cm$^{-1}$ to < 200 cm$^{-1}$ indicate these corroded locations are not associated with identifiable deposits, although optical analysis showed a corrosion product was present. This is consistent with the $E_{\text{CORR}}$/$R_p$ measurements which indicate the occurrence of only metastable local events which would result in only minor corrosion product deposits.
Raman spectra recorded on various locations after exposure to \([\text{SO}_4^{2-}] = 0.01\) M, Figure 4-28(b), show \(\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4\) (667 cm\(^{-1}\)) to be present as expected since \(E_{\text{CORR}}\) did not increase to the \((E^{e})_{\text{Fe}^{II}\text{ox}/\text{Fe}^{III}\text{ox}}\) boundary and \(R_p\) values remained low. The very shallow band between 1200 and 1700 cm\(^{-1}\) indicates this \(\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4\) film allows some corrosion of the underlying steel.

The optical image in Figure 4-29 shows both dark and yellow/orange regions are present on the steel surface after exposure to \([\text{SO}_4^{2-}] = 0.10\) M (#1). Raman spectra ((1) and (2)) recorded on the yellow/orange deposit, Figure 4-30(a), show the bands at 247 and 376 cm\(^{-1}\) associated with \(\gamma\)-\(\text{FeOOH}\). The small broad peak at \(\sim 660\) cm\(^{-1}\) may indicate a poorly crystalline or thin layer of \(\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4\) in the black areas. The presence of areas of \(\text{Fe}^{III}\) oxides/hydroxides on a generally uncorroded surface is consistent with the positive \(E_{\text{CORR}}\) values and the proliferation of metastable events at long exposure times.

**Figure 4-26:** Corrosion potentials \((E_{\text{CORR}})\) for solutions containing 5.00 M \(\text{Cl}^-\) and various concentrations of \(\text{SO}_4^{2-}\). The dashed horizontal line represents the thermodynamic boundary for the transformation of \(\text{Fe(OH)}_2\) to \(\alpha\text{-Fe}_2\text{O}_3\).
Figure 4-27: Polarization resistance ($R_p$) measurements for solutions containing 5.00 M Cl and various concentrations of $SO_4^{2-}$ as given in Figure 4-26.
In the second shorter experiment in 0.10 M SO$_4^{2-}$ (§2), $E_{\text{CORR}}$ remained well below $(E^{\text{c}})_{\text{Fe}^{II}\text{ox}/\text{Fe}^{III}\text{ox}}$ and localized corrosion events were avoided, Figure 4-26. The Raman spectra, Figure 4-30(b), indicated a Fe$_3$O$_4$ covered surface as expected, although the enhanced intensity in the region 200 to 600 cm$^{-1}$ suggests the presence of some Fe$^{III}$ oxyhydroxides.

The optical image in Figure 4-31 shows a generally uncorroded surface with a number of small locations which are probably pits after exposure to the [SO$_4^{2-}$]=0.50 M (#1) solution. Spectra (1-3), Figure 4-32(a), were recorded on the lightly corroded areas of the surface. The Raman bands located at 432 and 508 cm$^{-1}$ are characteristic of the Fe$^{2+}$—OH and Fe$^{3+}$—OH stretching modes of GR, and given the solution composition ([Cl$^-$]=5.00 M and [SO$_4^{2-}$]=0.50 M) the interlayer anion...
will be either Cl$^-$ or SO$_4^{2-}$. The faint Raman band at 982 cm$^{-1}$ suggests GR(SO$_4^{2-}$) in this experiment. Spectrum (4) was recorded on a more obviously corroded location confirmed by the strong, but broad, peak at 1324 cm$^{-1}$ indicating the presence of residual Fe$_3$C from corroded pearlite grains. The peaks in the range ~250 cm$^{-1}$ to 350 cm$^{-1}$ can be attributed to Fe$^{III}$ oxyhydroxides (most likely α- and γ-FeOOH) which would be expected to accumulate at such locations. The absence of Fe$_3$O$_4$ (i.e., a peak at 667 cm$^{-1}$) confirms this spectrum was recorded on a more corroded location.

Similar behaviour was observed after exposure to [SO$_4^{2-}$]=0.50 M (#2) although in this experiment a peak attributable to Fe$_3$O$_4$ (~666 cm$^{-1}$) was observed, Figure 4-32(b). The blue hued locations in Figure 4-31 appear to be γ-FeOOH. Table 4-3 summarizes the iron oxide phases formed in SO$_4^{2-}$ containing exposure environments.

**Table 4-3:** Summary of corrosion products identified by Raman spectroscopy for SO$_4^{2-}$ containing exposure environments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Oxide Phase</th>
<th>0.001 M</th>
<th>0.01 M</th>
<th>0.10 M (#1)</th>
<th>0.10 M (#2)</th>
<th>0.50 M (#1)</th>
<th>0.50 M (#2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fe$_3$O$_4$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α-FeOOH</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>γ-FeOOH</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 4-29:** Raman optical image recorded on the steel surface exposed to a 5.0 M Cl$^-$ solution containing [SO$_4^{2-}$]=0.10 M (#1). Crosshairs indicate location of Raman spectra collection.
Figure 4-30: Ex-situ Raman spectra (1-4) recorded at various locations on the steel surface after exposure to 5.0 M Cl\textsuperscript{-} solutions containing [SO\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{2-}]=0.10 M.

Figure 4-31: Raman optical image recorded on the steel surface exposed to a 5.0 M Cl\textsuperscript{-} solution containing [SO\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{2-}]=0.50 M (#1). Crosshairs indicate location of Raman spectra collection.
Figure 4-32: Ex-situ Raman spectra (1-9) recorded at various locations on the steel surface after exposure to 5.0 M Cl solutions containing [SO$_4^{2-}$]=0.50 M.

4.3.3.3. Scanning Electron Microscopy

The surface features are similar to those observed in other solutions. If $E_{\text{CORR}}$ remains low (-0.770 V), $R_p$ does not increase to very high values, and metastable film breakdown events are avoided and corrosion occurs generally with some areas covered with very thin crystalline deposits as shown for [SO$_4^{2-}$]=0.01 M in Figure 4-33. The optical images and the accompanying Raman analyses indicate corrosion is not extensive and probably occurs most readily on the pearlite grains. In the experiments in which the $E_{\text{CORR}}$ becomes very positive and metastable events are observed, minor breakdown sites are observed on the surface (not shown).
4.3.3.4. Cyclic Voltammetry

Figure 4-34 shows two CVs recorded at a high and a low \([\text{SO}_4^{2-}]\) in highly concentrated chloride solutions of \([\text{Cl}^-]=5.00\,\text{M}\). Figure 4-34(b) shows the currents recorded at \(-0.700\,\text{V}\) taken from the voltammograms and shows there is no significant dependence of the anodic dissolution current on \([\text{SO}_4^{2-}]\) at this potential. For comparison, the influence of \([\text{HCO}_3^-/\text{CO}_3^{2-}]\) on the current at this potential is also shown. The clear influence on the anodic dissolution due to \(\text{HCO}_3^-/\text{CO}_3^{2-}\) is not observed for \(\text{SO}_4^{2-}\). The anodic polarization scans for each \([\text{SO}_4^{2-}]\) in \([\text{Cl}^-]=5.00\,\text{M}\), Figure 4-34(c), show there is no measurable effect of the \(\text{SO}_4^{2-}\) on the breakdown potential. Figure 4-34(d) compares the breakdown potentials in \(\text{SO}_4^{2-}\) and \(\text{HCO}_3^-/\text{CO}_3^{2-}\) solutions, and shows \(\text{SO}_4^{2-}\) is more effective than \(\text{HCO}_3^-/\text{CO}_3^{2-}\) in suppressing breakdown.

**Figure 4-33**: SEM micrographs showing the corrosion product morphology after exposure to a 5.0\,\text{M} \text{Cl}^- solution containing \([\text{SO}_4^{2-}]=0.01\,\text{M}\).
Figure 4-34: (a) cyclic voltammograms recorded for steel exposed to a [Cl\textsuperscript{-}]=5.00 M solution containing 0.01 M or 0.20 M SO\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{2-}; (b) anodic dissolution currents measured at -0.7 V as a function of anion concentration; (c) anodic polarization scans recorded up to the onset of film breakdown in a [Cl\textsuperscript{-}]=5.00 M solution containing increasing [SO\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{2-}]; (d) breakdown potentials as a function of [SO\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{2-}] and [HCO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{-}/CO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{2-}].
4.4. DISCUSSION

4.4.1. Effects of Chloride

Electrochemical data showed that as the [Cl\textsuperscript{-}] was increased the values of E\textsubscript{CORR} and R\textsubscript{p} generally increased. The exception to this observation was the E\textsubscript{CORR} value for [Cl\textsuperscript{-}]=0.01 M which was more positive than for the [Cl\textsuperscript{-}]=1.00 M solution. However, cyclic voltammetry measurements revealed that the electrochemistry of this solution was distorted by the low ionic strength in such a low [Cl\textsuperscript{-}] solution. The value of E\textsubscript{CORR} in this solution may reflect this. The tendency for Cl\textsuperscript{-} to cause an increase in both E\textsubscript{CORR} and R\textsubscript{p} suggests that the Cl\textsuperscript{-} is able to induce passivation of the surface by formation of Fe\textsuperscript{III} oxides. This hypothesis is supported by published studies [16, 18, 54-62]. Based on the mechanism proposed by Weiss [63], Kurimura et al. [56] proposed that the oxidation of Fe\textsuperscript{2+} to Fe\textsuperscript{3+} could be catalyzed by chelation,

\[
Fe^{2+} + X^- \rightleftharpoons FeX^+ \quad (4-65)
\]

\[
FeX^+ + O_2 \rightarrow FeX^{2+} + O_2^- \quad (4-66)
\]

where X\textsuperscript{-} represents the chelating species which can be regenerated via the following equilibrium process:

\[
FeX^{2+} \rightleftharpoons Fe^{3+} + X^- \quad (4-67)
\]

The highly reactive O\textsubscript{2}\textsuperscript{-} species produced from the chelation step can then go on to oxidize a further three Fe\textsuperscript{2+} ions to Fe\textsuperscript{3+}.

\[
O_2^- + H^+ \rightarrow HO_2 \quad (4-68)
\]

\[
Fe^{2+} + HO_2 + H^+ \rightarrow Fe^{3+} + H_2O_2 \quad (4-69)
\]

\[
Fe^{2+} + H_2O_2 \rightarrow Fe^{3+} + OH^- + OH \quad (4-70)
\]

\[
Fe^{2+} + OH \rightarrow Fe^{3+} + OH^- \quad (4-71)
\]

The overall reaction for the oxidation of Fe\textsuperscript{2+} catalyzed by chelation is then given by:

\[
4Fe^{2+} + 2H^+ + O_2 \rightarrow 4Fe^{3+} + 2OH^- \quad (4-72)
\]

or,
Kurimura et al. [56] state that the acceleration of the oxidation process is due to the stabilization of the oxidized form by chelation. If this is the case, the oxidation rate of Fe\(^{2+}\) will depend on the complexing affinity of the chelating anion for the Fe\(^{3+}\) state. Acceleration of the oxidation of Fe\(^{2+}\) has been shown to increase with increasing [Cl\(^-\)] [54-59]. Previous work by Ulrich and Anson suggests that this acceleration due to chelation could be facilitated by a ligand bridging mechanism of adsorbed Cl\(^-\) as observed for the oxidation of Cr\(^{2+}\) to Cr\(^{3+}\) [64]. In the present case the catalysis appears to be a slow surface reaction with an initially formed Fe(OH)\(_2\), or more likely Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\), surface layer being slowly oxidized on the outer surface to an undefined Fe\(^{III}\) state. Isostructurally, it is easy to convert Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) to \(\gamma\)-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) suggesting this is the most likely product of the Cl\(^-\) catalyzed oxidation. Any Fe\(^{III}\) formed in this manner would be extremely insoluble allowing the surface to be slowly passivated as oxidation proceeds.

Consistent with the need for a high [Cl\(^-\)] in order to convert the outer layer of Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) to Fe\(^{III}\) oxide, only Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) and traces of GR are observed after corrosion in the 0.01 M Cl\(^-\) solution and both \(E_{corr}\) and \(R_p\) remain low. It is commonly accepted that the first product of the anaerobic corrosion of iron is Fe(OH)\(_2\), which, due to its low thermodynamic stability, is subsequently converted to magnetite via the Schikorr reaction [3, 7, 23]:

\[
3Fe(OH)_2 \rightarrow Fe_3O_4 + H_2 + 2H_2O
\]  

The presence of both Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) and GR after corrosion in a low Cl\(^-\) solution suggests a number of possible mechanisms. The GR could have formed by reaction of this Fe(OH)\(_2\) with traces of O\(_2\). Then, since GR is metastable with respect to Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) at pH values > 5, Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) could have formed as a conversion product of GR via dehydration and oxidation [65] rather than directly via reaction 4-74, although formation via both routes would be possible.

Increasing the Cl\(^-\) content of the exposure solution would lead to an excess of intercalated Cl\(^-\) ions within the structure of GR(Cl\(^-\)), and to maintain electroneutrality, a number of Fe\(^{2+}\) ions, equal in number to that of the incorporated Cl\(^-\) anions, would be oxidized to Fe\(^{3+}\). This increase in the [Cl\(^-\)]/[Fe] ratio leads to \(\gamma\)-FeOOH formation at the expense of Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) as observed previously [27, 59, 65-68]. Taylor [69] suggested that the effect of the Cl\(^-\) was due to preferential adsorption of Cl\(^-\) over OH\(^-\) on the electrode surface which hindered the elimination of water by
condensation of neighbouring OH\textsuperscript{-} anions to form the Fe-O-Fe bonds required for Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4} formation. As such, the Cl\textsuperscript{-} content of the exposure environment leads to a competition between the formation of Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4} at low [Cl\textsuperscript{-}] and \(\gamma\)-FeOOH at higher [Cl\textsuperscript{-}].

\[
Fe \rightarrow Fe(\text{OH})_2 \quad \rightarrow \quad Fe_3O_4
GR \quad \rightarrow \quad Fe_3O_4
\quad \quad \quad \quad \rightarrow \quad \gamma FeOOH
\]  

(4-75)

This evolution in composition is evident in the corrosion products as the [Cl\textsuperscript{-}] is increased. When [Cl\textsuperscript{-}]=1.00 M, the presence of both Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4} and \(\gamma\)-FeOOH shows a turning point in the ability of the Cl\textsuperscript{-} to catalyze Fe\textsuperscript{2+} to Fe\textsuperscript{3+} possibly via the transformation of GR. However, the simultaneous presence of Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4} indicates its formation persists either via direct anaerobic corrosion or via GR conversion. Each of the [Cl\textsuperscript{-}]=5.00 M experiments exhibits small differences in the corrosion products. For [Cl\textsuperscript{-}]=5.00 M (#2) only Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4} and residual Fe\textsubscript{3}C were identified. This would suggest that the O\textsubscript{2} level in this solution was too low for surface oxidation despite the high [Cl\textsuperscript{-}]. The identification of Fe\textsubscript{3}C indicated that while the electrochemistry and SEM suggested the surface had passivated, there remains open areas in the corrosion product where the film is only partially protective. For [Cl\textsuperscript{-}]=5.00 M (#3), Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4} remained the dominant corrosion product, whereas for [Cl\textsuperscript{-}]=5.00 M (#1), \(\gamma\)-FeOOH was the dominant corrosion product. This would indicate that the trace levels of O\textsubscript{2} present combined with the high [Cl\textsuperscript{-}] were sufficient to drive the Cl\textsuperscript{-} induced catalysis of Fe\textsuperscript{II} oxidation to Fe\textsuperscript{III} via transformation of GR to \(\gamma\)-FeOOH.

The presence of \(\beta\)-FeOOH could also be attributed to the increased [Cl\textsuperscript{-}] ([Cl\textsuperscript{-}]=1.00 M and 5.00 M (#1)), since an increase in the Cl\textsuperscript{-} intercalation in the GR precursor has been shown to cause preferential oxidation to \(\beta\)-FeOOH [27]. However, the appearance of the \(\beta\)-FeOOH as a corrosion product is always accompanied by the presence of Fe\textsubscript{3}C indicating that \(\beta\)-FeOOH is formed at regions of higher activity. Under the conditions (high [Cl\textsuperscript{-}]; traces of O\textsubscript{2}) when surface passivation does occur and pit initiation is observed, the breakdown sites accumulate deposits which are generally Fe\textsuperscript{III} oxyhydroxides although the composition is difficult to determine since the Raman peaks are commonly broad indicating poor crystallinity. The extent of propagation at these locations will be limited by the availability of dissolved O\textsubscript{2}. 
Thus, the difference between the repeated experiments in 5.0 M Cl⁻ (#1 and #2) appears to be a difference in available O₂. In #1 E_corr rapidly approaches \((E^{o})_{Fe^{II}_{ox}/Fe^{III}_{ox}}\) leading to the early onset of film breakdown events. Once initiated, \(R_p\) falls to a low value which is then sustained indefinitely, indicating the presence of sufficient O₂ to maintain propagation. By contrast, in #2, \(E_{corr}\) increases more slowly and breakdown events take a substantial period of time to occur and are considerably smaller and limited, the \(R_p\) value decreasing only marginally. This indicates a much lower O₂ concentration.

These results indicate a dual role for Cl⁻ when present at high concentration. In the presence of dissolved O₂ it can catalyze surface oxidation leading to passivity. However, once passivity is achieved it can lead to the initiation of breakdown sites, the expected influence of Cl⁻ on passive oxide films.

**4.4.2. Effects of Carbonate**

From the cyclic voltammetry data, two important trends can be noted. First, as the [HCO₃⁻/CO₃²⁻] increases an increase in both the cathodic and anodic dissolution current is observed. The acceleration of the cathodic reaction is due to the additional H⁺ supplied by the dissolution of HCO₃⁻. In addition, it is possible that the HCO₃⁻ may in fact act as a secondary cathodic reaction.

\[
2HCO_3^- + 2e^- \rightarrow H_2 + 2CO_3^{2-} \tag{4-76}
\]

The acceleration of anodic dissolution in the presence of HCO₃⁻/CO₃²⁻ has been reported previously and is attributed to the stabilization of the dissolved Fe²⁺ via complexation as FeHCO₃⁺ and Fe(HCO₃)₂ at the pH in this experiment [3, 4, 8, 70-74]. This acceleration is more marked at lower [Cl⁻] suggesting a competition between the influence of Cl⁻ in accelerating Fe²⁺ oxidation to Fe³⁺ and that of HCO₃⁻/CO₃²⁻ to stabilize and dissolve Fe²⁺. In the most concentrated HCO₃⁻/CO₃²⁻ solution the difficulties in maintaining the Ar-purge gas flow lead to fluctuations in \(E_{corr}\) and \(R_p\) attributable to changes in the anodic reaction kinetics. These responses indicate that the slow oxidation of the Fe₃O₄ surface is reversible at least in the early stages when the extent of oxidation to Fe³⁺ is minor and the surface is not passivated. The ability to reverse the oxidation process suggests that Fe³⁺ in the oxide surface can be reduced to the more soluble Fe²⁺ state, a process which could be driven by reaction with soluble Fe²⁺ [75] or by galvanic coupling.
of the reductive dissolution of the Fe\textsuperscript{III} oxide with the corrosion of the substrate steel surface [76, 77].

Secondly, an increase in the [HCO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{-}/CO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{2-}] increased the anodic breakdown potential to more positive values. This competition between the two anions can be attributed to one of two possible effects: (i) the anions compete for adsorption sites on the oxide surface with Cl\textsuperscript{-} more likely to be adsorbed at lower [HCO\textsubscript{3}/CO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{2-}] leading to breakdown and pit initiation [4, 72, 78]; (ii) if film breakdown is to lead to pit propagation local acidity, due to hydrolysis of dissolved Fe\textsuperscript{2+}, must be achieved and maintained. In carbonate solutions this acidity could be neutralized. At the pH (8.9) employed in these experiments, HCO\textsubscript{3} would be the dominant species, the pKa for the equilibrium

\[
HCO_3^- \rightleftharpoons H^+ + CO_3^{2-}
\]

being \(\sim10.3\), and the equilibrium

\[
H_2O + CO_2 \rightleftharpoons H^+ + HCO_3^- \quad (4-78)
\]

being \(\sim6.35\).

At [HCO\textsubscript{3}/CO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{2-}]=0.001 M, the positive \(E_{\text{CORR}}\) indicates the high Cl\textsuperscript{-} content has the dominant influence on surface chemistry, confirmed by the nature of the corrosion products, the mixture of Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4} and GR being similar to that observed when only Cl\textsuperscript{-} was present. This indicates that the [HCO\textsubscript{3}/CO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{2-}] is too small to stabilize Fe\textsuperscript{2+} species and that the Cl\textsuperscript{-} causes preferential stabilization of Fe\textsuperscript{3+} [3, 4, 72]. This is consistent with the occurrence of localized corrosion events. However, the small [HCO\textsubscript{3}/CO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{2-}] appears to be sufficient to inhibit the transformation from metastable to stable pitting by buffering the pH at these breakdown sites allowing repassivation to occur.

When [HCO\textsubscript{3}/CO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{2-}] is increased to 0.01 M the lower \(E_{\text{CORR}}\) and \(R_p\) values show Cl\textsuperscript{-} no longer dominates the surface chemistry, possibly due to its replacement on the surface by HCO\textsubscript{3}/CO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{2-}, which would stabilize the formation of soluble Fe\textsuperscript{2+} and prevent Cl\textsuperscript{-} induced oxidation. Raman analyses showed Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4} to be the generally observed corrosion product, consistent with this assignment, although the observation of \(\gamma\)-FeOOH and \(\beta\)-FeOOH, confirms that traces of dissolved O\textsubscript{2} still dominated the nature of the corrosion products. At the highest [HCO\textsubscript{3}/CO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{2-}] (0.10 M) the low \(E_{\text{CORR}}\) and \(R_p\) values and the observation of Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4} and Fe\textsubscript{2}(OH)\textsubscript{2}CO\textsubscript{3} as the
dominant corrosion products confirms that the surface chemistry is $\text{HCO}_3^-/\text{CO}_3^{2-}$ dominated not Cl\textsuperscript{−} dominated.

$\text{Fe}_2(\text{OH})_2\text{CO}_3$ has been observed as a corrosion product in O\textsubscript{2}-poor environments [8, 47, 52, 79-86] and is found as a large component of the rust layers on archaeological artefacts recovered from anoxic, water saturated soils [47, 50, 81, 87, 88]. $\text{Fe}_2(\text{OH})_2\text{CO}_3$ is known to form competitively and together with siderite ($\text{FeCO}_3$) [47, 81, 87, 89]. Azoulay et al. [89] propose that $\text{Fe}_2(\text{OH})_2\text{CO}_3$ can form via direct precipitation from solution or in the conversion of Fe(OH)$_2$:

$$2\text{Fe}^{2+} + 2\text{OH}^- + \text{CO}_3^{2-} \rightarrow \text{Fe}_2(\text{OH})_2\text{CO}_3$$ (4-79)

$$2\text{Fe(OH)}_2 + 2\text{H}^+ + \text{CO}_3^{2-} \rightarrow \text{Fe}_2(\text{OH})_2\text{CO}_3 + 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$$ (4-80)

Analysis of the Pourbaix diagram, Figure 4-35, shows that $\text{Fe}_2(\text{OH})_2\text{CO}_3$ is expected in neutral to slightly alkaline conditions, and its oxidation to $\alpha$-$\text{FeOOH}$, while possible, would require oxidizing conditions not expected in our experiments. Of more interest is the fact that $\text{Fe}_2(\text{OH})_2\text{CO}_3$ is thermodynamically metastable with respect to $\text{FeCO}_3$ and would be expected to transform to $\text{FeCO}_3$ over an extended exposure period. Our experiments are of relatively short duration and it is possible that over a longer exposure period $\text{Fe}_2(\text{OH})_2\text{CO}_3$ would transform to $\text{FeCO}_3$ [8, 78, 89]. This is consistent with the observation of inner $\text{Fe}_2(\text{OH})_2\text{CO}_3$ and outer $\text{FeCO}_3$ corrosion layers observed on archaeological artefacts exposed to anoxic groundwaters containing $\text{HCO}_3^-/\text{CO}_3^{2-}$ [8, 47, 50, 81, 88, 89].
4.4.3. Effects of Sulphate

The considerably more positive $E_{\text{CORR}}$ and larger $R_p$ values obtained when $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ as opposed to $\text{HCO}_3^-$/$\text{CO}_3^{2-}$ is present shows $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ does not stabilize $\text{Fe}^{2+}$ and does not, therefore, inhibit the $\text{Cl}^-$ catalyzed oxidation of the surface by traces of dissolved $\text{O}_2$. As a consequence, film breakdown processes are frequent leading to the expected Raman signature for such locations, peaks in the 200 to 600 cm$^{-1}$ range and broad bands in the 1200 to 1700 cm$^{-1}$ range indicating the presence of $\text{Fe}^{\text{III}}$ oxyhydroxides over a carbonaceous residue left behind by corrosion of pearlite grains. Irrespective of the $[\text{SO}_4^{2-}]$ such pitted locations do not propagate extensively which reflects both the limited amount of available $\text{O}_2$ and the inability of $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ to accelerate anodic dissolution of the steel. This latter point is demonstrated in the CVs which show no significant effect of this anion on the anodic dissolution current.

The breakdown potentials determined in CVs, Figure 4-34(d), show $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ is much more effective than $\text{HCO}_3^-$/$\text{CO}_3^{2-}$ in retarding film breakdown. Since the pKa for the $\text{HSO}_4^-/$$\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ dissociation
equilibrium is 1.92, this cannot be attributed to the ability of $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ to buffer the acidity which accompanies the development of pits. This would suggest that $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ can displace $\text{Cl}^-$ from the Fe$^{\text{III}}$ oxide surface at sufficiently positive potentials, thereby stabilizing the oxide against breakdown. By contrast, $\text{HCO}_3^-/\text{CO}_3^{2-}$ appears unable to do this except at significantly higher concentrations. A possibility is that at low $[\text{HCO}_3^-/\text{CO}_3^{2-}]$ any ability of the ion to suppress breakdown is counterbalanced by its ability to stabilize the Fe$^{2+}$ state once breakdown occurs.

### 4.5. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

The electrochemical behaviour as well as the corrosion products formed on carbon steel is dependent on the anion content of the exposure environment as well as the levels of dissolved $\text{O}_2$.

An increase in $[\text{Cl}^-]$ led to an increase in $E_{\text{CORR}}$ and $R_p$ which suggested a less reactive surface state explained by the ability of $\text{Cl}^-$ to induce passivation by the stabilization of Fe$^{\text{III}}$ oxides in the presence of traces of $\text{O}_2$. The catalysis of this oxidation appears to be a slow reaction with the outer surface of Fe$_3\text{O}_4$ and is dependent on the availability of dissolved $\text{O}_2$. When passivation does occur (high $[\text{Cl}^-]$; traces of $\text{O}_2$) pitting can occur with an accumulation of Fe$^{\text{III}}$ oxyhydroxides leading to partial passivation of breakdown sites. At high concentrations, $\text{Cl}^-$ exerts a dual role first catalyzing surface oxidation leading to passivity, and then causing the initiation of breakdown sites on the passivated surface. The presence of $\text{Cl}^-$ also influences the final corrosion product with low $[\text{Cl}^-]$ favouring the formation of Fe$_3\text{O}_4$ while high $[\text{Cl}^-]$ promotes the formation of $\gamma$-FeOOH.

The addition of $[\text{HCO}_3^-/\text{CO}_3^{2-}]$ accelerates the anodic dissolution of Fe$^{2+}$ by stabilization of soluble complexes such as Fe$\text{HCO}_3^+$ and Fe(Fe$\text{HCO}_3$)$_2$. This leads to a competition between the stabilization of Fe$^{2+}$ by $\text{HCO}_3^-/\text{CO}_3^{2-}$ and the oxidation to Fe$^{\text{III}}$ oxides catalyzed by $\text{Cl}^-$. An increase in $[\text{HCO}_3^-/\text{CO}_3^{2-}]$ also shifted the breakdown potential to more positive values due to the ability of the $\text{HCO}_3^-/\text{CO}_3^{2-}$ to buffer local acidity and prevent pit propagation. Even at low $[\text{HCO}_3^-/\text{CO}_3^{2-}]$ (0.001 M), $\text{HCO}_3^-/\text{CO}_3^{2-}$ can buffer the pH at breakdown sites allowing repassivation to occur.

The increased values of $E_{\text{CORR}}$ and $R_p$ in the presence of $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ indicate this anion does not prevent the $\text{Cl}^-$ catalyzed oxidation to Fe$^{\text{III}}$ oxide in the presence of traces of $\text{O}_2$. Passivation of the surface in this manner causes more frequent breakdown processes but their propagation appears limited due to the inability of $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ to promote Fe$^{2+}$ dissolution. Additionally, $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ was shown to
have a more pronounced effect on the film breakdown potential than HCO$_3^-$/CO$_3^{2-}$, suggesting it may be more strongly adsorbed on the Fe$^{III}$ oxide surface.
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Chapter 5

The Electrochemical Behaviour of A516 Gr70 Carbon Steel Exposed to Anoxic Simulated Groundwater Environments

5.1. INTRODUCTION

Sedimentary clay environments have been chosen as a potential site for the use of single-walled carbon steel containers for the disposal of high level nuclear waste in Canada. The emplacement of the container within sedimentary clays provides an additional barrier to the release of radionuclides should container failure occur. However, the composition of groundwaters in sedimentary clay environments may differ between individual locations. As such, it is prudent to determine the effects of various groundwater compositions on the corrosion of the steel container. Specifically, the effects of [Cl\(^-\)] and [HCO\(_3^-\)/CO\(_3^{2-}\)] are of top priority since Cl\(^-\) and HCO\(_3^-\)/CO\(_3^{2-}\) have been shown to influence the corrosion behaviour of the steel as well as the identity of the corrosion products formed.

Since the design life of a container in a Deep Geologic Repository (DGR) is very long, it is important to determine the corrosion behaviour of steel over extended periods of time under conditions which simulate the groundwater environment. Archaeological artefacts have commonly been used to determine the behaviour of iron over extended periods of time (up to 450 years). However, the groundwaters in which these objects were corroded may not closely simulate those of the DGR sedimentary clay environment. In this and the subsequent chapter, results of a study of the corrosion behaviour of A516 Gr70 carbon steel over extended periods of time are presented. The electrochemical results, recorded over a period of 60 days, are complimentary to the results of exposure experiments conducted over a 30 month time scale presented in Chapter 6.

The objective of the research described in this chapter is to explore the effects of [Cl\(^-\)] and HCO\(_3^-\)/CO\(_3^{2-}\) buffering on the electrochemical behaviour of A516 Gr70 carbon steel as well as the composition and morphology of the corrosion deposits formed over a 60 day exposure period. In addition, experiments were conducted in a simulated groundwater solution comparable to those expected within sedimentary clay repository environments. A combination of electrochemical techniques was employed to monitor the corrosion behaviour of the steel while
Raman and FTIR spectroscopy and scanning electron microscopy were used to identify the corrosion product deposits and determine their morphology.

5.2. EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS

5.2.1. Materials and Electrode Preparations

Electrodes were fabricated using A516 Gr70 carbon steel (0.23 C; 1.11 Mn; 0.07 P; 0.03 S; 0.26 Si; 0.01 Cu; 0.01 Ni; 0.02 Cr; 0.004 Mo; 0.036 Al; 0.019 V; 0.003 O [wt.%], balance Fe) in the form of circular coupons 1.0 cm in diameter and 0.5 cm in height. Each electrode was fixed in a high-performance epoxy resin (Hysol EE 4190) with a single face (surface area = 0.7854 cm²) exposed. Each coupon was wet polished with 180, 600, 800 and 1000 grit SiC papers (Presi). A stainless steel rod was connected to the back of the electrode to facilitate connection to external measuring circuits. The steel rod and connection were sealed with laboratory film (Parafilm) and several layers of Teflon tape to avoid exposure to the electrolyte. The electrodes were then transferred into an anaerobic chamber and further polished with 1200 grit SiC paper to remove any air-formed oxides and rinsed with deaerated type 1 water prior to emplacement in the experimental solution.

5.2.2. Electrochemical Cell and Equipment

All experiments were conducted in a standard three-electrode, three-compartment glass cell. The main chamber of the cell was separated from the two side compartments by glass frits. The reference electrode was a commercial saturated calomel electrode (SCE, Fisher Scientific) placed in one side compartment of the cell and connected to the main chamber via a Luggin capillary, the tip of which was positioned just below the surface of the working electrode. All potentials are reported against the SCE scale (+0.241 V vs. SHE). A Pt sheet welded to a Pt wire housed in the second side compartment of the cell acted as the counter electrode. The electrochemical cell was placed in an anaerobic chamber to avoid any influx of atmospheric O₂. Corrosion potential (E_{CORR}), polarization resistance (R_p) and electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) measurements were recorded using a Solartron Analytical Modulab running Modulab XM ECS software.
5.2.3. Experimental Procedure

$E_{\text{CORR}}$ measurements were performed over a period of 47-60 days to monitor the corrosion behaviour of the steel coupons in a series of four solutions. $R_p$ measurements were taken at 8 hour intervals using the linear polarization resistance (LPR) technique using a ±10 mV change from $E_{\text{CORR}}$. Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) measurements were made every 48 hours using a potential perturbation of $E_{\text{CORR}}$ ±10 mV over the frequency range of $10^5$ to $10^3$ Hz with a data collection rate of 11 points per decade.

5.2.4. Experimental Solutions

A series of three exposure solutions were used to investigate the effects of $[\text{Cl}^-]$ and buffering by $\text{HCO}_3^-/\text{CO}_3^{2-}$, Table 5-1. Additionally, a simulated groundwater solution mimicking the composition of Canadian sedimentary clay groundwater (given in Table 5-2) was also used. The pH of solutions (i), (ii), and (iv) were set to 6.3 ± 0.5 to mimic the expected pH range of sedimentary groundwaters at the repository level. The pH of solution (iii) was allowed to maintain its naturally buffered pH value of 8.85. Before preparing each solution, type 1 water was deaerated on the benchtop using ultra-high purity Ar at a high flow rate for at least 90 minutes prior to being sealed and transferred to the anaerobic chamber. The flask was sealed such that the entire volume was filled with deaerated Type 1 water to avoid any trapped air. Final preparation of the solution was completed within the anaerobic chamber where no additional measures were required to ensure anoxic conditions.

Table 5-1: Chemical composition of solutions (i-iii) containing Cl and $\text{HCO}_3^-/\text{CO}_3^{2-}$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exposure Solution</th>
<th>$[\text{Cl}^-]$ (M)</th>
<th>$[\text{HCO}_3^-]$ (M)</th>
<th>$[\text{CO}_3^{2-}]$ (M)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(i)</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.002213</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(ii)</td>
<td>4.77</td>
<td>0.002213</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(iii)</td>
<td>4.77</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 5-2: Chemical composition of solution (iv) representing the expected composition of sedimentary clay groundwater in the Canadian Shield.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cation</th>
<th>Concentration (M)</th>
<th>Anion</th>
<th>Concentration (M)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ca(^{2+})</td>
<td>0.811</td>
<td>Br(^-)</td>
<td>0.021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K(^+)</td>
<td>0.320</td>
<td>Cl(^-)</td>
<td>4.758</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg(^{2+})</td>
<td>0.337</td>
<td>HCO(_3^-)</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na(^+)</td>
<td>2.175</td>
<td>SO(_4^{2-})</td>
<td>0.019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr(^{2+})</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.2.5. Surface Analysis

Iron corrosion products formed on the coupon surfaces were identified using Raman and/or infrared spectroscopy. Raman analyses were conducted using a Renishaw 2000 Raman spectrometer equipped with a 632.8 nm laser line and an optical microscope with a 50X magnification objective lens. GRAMS 386 Raman software was used for the collection and manipulation of spectra. FTIR analysis was performed using a Bruker-Hyperion 2000 FTIR spectrometer in reflectance mode. Each spectrum was collected via the average of 32 scans on a gold background. Visualization of the corrosion product morphology was determined using either a Hitachi S-4500 Field Emission SEM or LEO (Zeiss) 1540XB FIB/SEM crossbeam system.

5.3. RESULTS

5.3.1. \(E_{\text{CORR}}\) and \(R_p\) Measurements

Figure 5-1 shows the \(E_{\text{CORR}}\) values recorded on electrodes exposed for 47-60 days under anoxic conditions in solutions (i-iv) while Figure 5-2 shows the corresponding \(R_p\) values. The dashed horizontal line in Figure 5-1 represents the equilibrium potential for the oxidation of metallic Fe to Fe\(^{2+}\) (-0.859 V) calculated from the Nernst equation for an \([\text{Fe}^{2+}]=10^{-6}\ \text{M}\). \(E_{\text{CORR}}\) for solution (i) decreases over the first 35 days of exposure from -0.773 V to -0.789 V, while \(R_p\) increases from 4 kΩcm\(^2\) to 72 kΩcm\(^2\). This combination of a decrease in \(E_{\text{CORR}}\) and an increase in the \(R_p\) indicates a suppression of the cathodic reaction rate. The increase in \(R_p\) with time indicates the development of a corrosion-inhibiting oxide/hydroxide suggesting a blocking of both the anodic and cathodic reactions with the dominant influence being on the cathodic reaction. Since anoxic conditions are maintained in the anaerobic chamber, a Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) film would be expected. Beyond 35
days, $E_{\text{CORR}}$ continues to decrease marginally accompanied by a minor increase in $R_p$ to a final value of 113 kΩcm$^2$, consistent with a slowly increasing suppression of the cathodic reaction.

In solution (ii) $E_{\text{CORR}}$ is considerably more positive (-0.745 V to -0.739 V) and effectively constant over the 47 day exposure. The $R_p$ values are lower and do not increase with time in contrast to the behaviour at the lower [Cl$^{-}$]. The lower $R_p$ values coupled with a considerably higher $E_{\text{CORR}}$ suggest a more rapid corrosion rate on a thinner Fe$_3$O$_4$ film, as indicated by the 87 kΩcm$^2$ difference in the steady-state $R_p$ values, Figure 5-2. This indicates the surface remains more active in solution (ii) with the higher [Cl$^{-}$]. This suggests an opposite effect of a high [Cl$^{-}$] on the corrosion rate under anoxic conditions (this Chapter) than when traces of dissolved O$_2$ are present (Chapter 4). There is no indication of localized events in either solution.

![Figure 5-1: Corrosion potential ($E_{\text{CORR}}$) measurements recorded on steel exposed to solutions (i) to (iv) (Table 5-1 and Table 5-2).]
When 0.10 M HCO$_3^-$/CO$_3^{2-}$ is added to the high [Cl$^-$/ solution a significant decrease in $E_{\text{CORR}}$ is observed, Figure 5-1, consistent with the findings of Chapter 4, which showed that an increase in [HCO$_3^-$/CO$_3^{2-}$] led to a decrease in $E_{\text{CORR}}$. Over the first 10 days, $E_{\text{CORR}}$ decreases to ~-0.825 V accompanied by a steep increase in $R_p$ from 19 kΩcm$^2$ to 470 kΩcm$^2$. In the high Cl$^-$/HCO$_3^-$/CO$_3^{2-}$ solution the initial fall in $E_{\text{CORR}}$ accompanied by a large increase in $R_p$ (decrease in corrosion rate) suggests a large decrease in the rate of the cathodic reaction. If active conditions prevailed then this would be unexpected. The most likely explanation is that this initial period involves the galvanically coupled reduction of surface Fe$^{III}$ oxide to anodic dissolution of the substrate steel. That this is observable in this experiment but not in the others may reflect the differences in pH, the removal of surface oxide requiring a longer time at this higher pH. Closer inspection of the $E_{\text{CORR}}$/$R_p$ behaviour in the other experiments show a similar effect is observed.
but of much shorter duration. For the duration of the experiment, \( E_{\text{CORR}} \) steadily increases (-0.795 V) while \( R_p \) remains effectively constant at a value of 1100 kΩcm\(^2\). However, while increasing slightly, \( E_{\text{CORR}} \) experiences fluctuations on the order of 10-20 mV over the exposure period of 5 to 35 days. These fluctuations, and the accompanying changes in \( R_p \), are shown in more detail in Figure 5-3. Both parameters show concurrent increases and decreases indicating fluctuations in the rate of the anodic reaction. At such a low \( E_{\text{CORR}} \) this could indicate the periodic and localized interruption of \( \text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4 \) formation by \( \text{CO}_3^{2-} \) catalyzed \( \text{Fe}^{2+} \) dissolution. A possibility is that this reflects the dissolution of \( \text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4 \) and the deposition of \( \text{FeCO}_3 \) (as discussed below). The observation of very high \( R_p \) values with a very low \( E_{\text{CORR}} \) is different to the behaviour observed when traces of dissolved \( \text{O}_2 \) are present (Chapter 4) when large \( R_p \) values are generally associated with more positive \( E_{\text{CORR}} \) values, a combination taken to indicate slow passivation of the steel surface.

The value of \( E_{\text{CORR}} \) in the simulated groundwater solution initially increases slightly over the first 15-20 days of exposure accompanied by an increase in \( R_p \) from 4 kΩcm\(^2\) to 50 kΩcm\(^2\). Given the similar [Cl\(^-\)] and the absence of a significant [HCO\(_3\)/CO\(_3\)\(^2-\)], the \( E_{\text{CORR}} \) values in solutions (ii) and (iv) would be expected to be similar. However, from Figure 5-1, \( E_{\text{CORR}} \) in the simulated groundwater experiment (solution (iv)) was much higher. This increase in \( E_{\text{CORR}} \), coupled with a lower corrosion rate (higher \( R_p \) value), indicates that the dominant influence on the corrosion rate is the suppression of the anodic reaction. The \( \text{CO}_3^{2-} \) and \( \text{SO}_4^{2-} \) concentrations are low in the groundwater and would not be expected to significantly increase the corrosion rate. Also, in the absence of traces of dissolved \( \text{O}_2 \) the formation of passivating \( \text{Fe}^{III} \) phases would not be expected. Beyond 20 days \( E_{\text{CORR}} \) decreases to a final value of -0.725 V while the \( R_p \) remains unchanged. The constant \( R_p \) value suggests the steel has achieved steady-state corrosion, which is similar to that observed in solution (i). However, this constant corrosion rate is achieved \( \sim 10 \) days earlier in the simulated groundwater solution (iv), indicating a more rapid suppression of the rate by corrosion.
Figure 5-3: Corrosion potential ($E_{CORR}$) (solid line) and polarization resistance ($R_p$) (points) measurements for steel exposed to solution (iii) (Table 5-1) over a period of 5-35 days showing simultaneous fluctuations in the values of both $E_{CORR}$ and $R_p$.

5.3.2. Electrochemical Impedance Spectroscopy

Figure 5-4 shows the impedance data collected on the steel electrode exposed to solution (i). The Bode plots, Figure 5-4(a-b), show what appears to be a single time constant response with the absolute impedance increasing over time in the low frequency range. A single time constant circuit, Figure 5-5, was used to fit the spectra. A constant phase element (CPE) was used to account for the non-ideality of the capacitive response of the film, ($R_p)_{EIS}$ is the polarization resistance, and $R_s$ the solution resistance. Figure 5-4(c) shows the data plotted in the Nyquist form. Figure 5-4(d) shows the expanded Nyquist spectra recorded over the first 9 days of exposure. An additional feature is visible in the low frequency range, as shown in the inset, Figure 5-4(e). This behaviour suggests that multiple surface states, leading to an inductive effect, are observed in the early period of exposure, when $E_{CORR}$ is increasing. This is most likely attributable to active corrosion of the steel surface during the early stages. Figure 5-4(f)
compares the values of \((R_p)_{EIS}\), obtained by fitting the spectra, to the polarization resistance \((R_p)\) values (from Figure 5-2). The similarity between \((R_p)_{EIS}\) and \(R_p\) shows the two sets of measurements are consistent. Also shown in Figure 5-4(f) are the capacitances \((C)\) obtained by using the Brug method for converting the CPE, deemed appropriate since the exponent of the CPE was between 0.8 and 0.83. The Brug conversion was used since frequency dispersion due to a general roughening of the surface was most likely to produce non-ideality in the capacitance. The calculated capacitance increased from 65 to 95 \(\mu F/cm^2\) over the 60 day exposure period. Such a value suggests the interfacial capacitance can be attributed to the double layer on a rough surface. The values are too high to be attributed to a passive film, which is not unexpected since the \((R_p)_{EIS}\) values are relatively low. The slight increase in the value of the capacitance over the exposure period is consistent with an on-going roughening of the surface.

Figure 5-6(a) and (b) present the Bode plots measured over the 47 day exposure period in solution (ii) which show an increase in absolute impedance over the low frequency range. The Nyquist plot, Figure 5-6(c), indicates a single time constant response, and the equivalent circuit in Figure 5-5 was again used to fit the spectra, yielding \((R_p)_{EIS}\) values consistent with the \(R_p\) values (from Figure 5-2), Figure 5-6(d). Also given in Figure 5-6(d) are the capacitance values, extracted from the CPE, using a Brug conversion. The values of the CPE exponent ranged between 0.84 and 0.89, which are significantly larger than those observed for solution (i), suggesting a more ideal capacitance. As for solution (i), the capacitance values increased over the exposure period from 60 \(\mu F/cm^2\) to 120 \(\mu F/cm^2\), consistent with a double layer capacitance measured on an increasingly rough surface. While the absolute values of the double layer capacitance will change with the concentrations of the different solutions (i.e., increasing [Cl]), we wouldn’t expect these variations to have a large effect on the capacitance values and their comparisons.
Figure 5-4: EIS data for steel exposed to solution (i) (Table 5-1) showing (a) and (b) Bode plots; (c) Nyquist plots; (d) the first three Nyquist plots on a larger scale; (e) the low frequency plot for the spectra taken after 3 days (black); (f) a comparison of $R_p$ (from LPR) and $(R_p)_{EIS}$ (from fitted EIS plots) and the capacitance (C) (from the fitted EIS plots). Inset legends show the exposure time, in days, at the time of the EIS measurement.
Figure 5-5: One time-constant equivalent circuit used to fit impedance spectra for solutions (i) to (iv) consisting of a constant phase element representative of the film capacitance (CPE), a polarization resistance \( (R_p)_{EIS} \) and the solution resistance \( (R_s). \)

Figure 5-6: EIS data for steel exposed to solution (ii) (Table 5-1) showing (a) and (b) Bode plots; (c) Nyquist plots; (d) a comparison of \( R_p \) (from LPR) and \( (R_p)_{EIS} \) (from fitted EIS plots) and the capacitance \( (C) \) (from the fitted EIS plots). Inset legends show the exposure time, in days, at the time of the EIS measurement.
Figure 5-7(a-b) shows the Bode plots obtained over a 60 day period of exposure to solution (iii). The phase angle plot, Figure 5-7(a), suggests the possibility of two time constants, the phase angle remaining constant at high frequency (100 Hz) but increasing at low frequency as observed in solutions (i) and (ii). This would suggest it may be possible to separate the charge transfer resistance at high frequencies from the film resistance at low frequency. However, while fitting to a two time constant circuit yielded a visually better fit, it also led to large errors associated with the calculated values. Consequently, the single time constant equivalent circuit, Figure 5-7, was again used to fit the spectra, although it should be noted that the low frequency data suggests features not accounted for in the equivalent circuit. Figure 5-7(d) shows the $(R_p)_{EIS}$

![Figure 5-7](image)

**Figure 5-7:** EIS data for steel exposed to solution (iii) (Table 5-1) showing (a) and (b) Bode plots; (c) Nyquist plots; (d) a comparison of $R_p$ (from LPR) and $(R_p)_{EIS}$ (from fitted EIS plots) and the capacitance ($C$) (from the fitted EIS plots). Inset legends show the exposure time, in days, at the time of the EIS measurement.
values are consistent with the $R_P$ values. The capacitance values obtained as described above are presented in Figure 5-7(d). The CPE exponent was in the range 0.88 to 0.95, which was significantly higher than in the other solutions, indicating very little frequency dispersion and a much more uniform surface than observed for the more active conditions present in solutions (i) and (ii). The capacitance values increase only slightly from 20 to 25 µF/cm$^2$ over the duration of the experiment. These low capacitances are consistent with a film-free surface and suggest the surface remains un-roughened by significant corrosion, consistent with the considerably reduced corrosion rate. This may reflect the influence of the increased pH in this solution.

![Figure 5-8: EIS data for steel exposed to solution (iv) (Table 5-2) showing (a) and (b) Bode plots; (c) Nyquist plots; (d) a comparison of $R_P$ (from LPR) and $(R_P)_{EIS}$ (from fitted EIS plots) and the capacitance (C) (from the fitted EIS plots). Inset legends show the exposure time, in days, at the time of the EIS measurement.](image)

Figure 5-8(a-b) show the Bode plots obtained over 59 days of exposure to the simulated groundwater solution (iv). The spectra were fitted to the single time constant circuit, and the $(R_P)_{EIS}$ values were identical to the $R_P$ values. Capacitance values for the surface film are given in Figure 5-8(d). The CPE exponent values remain constant for the duration of the exposure at
~0.91 indicating a uniform surface and little frequency dispersion. The calculated capacitance values were also constant, suggesting a uniform surface, between 60 and 70 \( \mu F/cm^2 \). While larger than observed in solutions (i) and (ii), their consistency indicates no increase in surface roughness with exposure time.

5.3.3. Surface Analyses

Figure 5-9 shows SEM micrographs recorded after 60 days of exposure to solution (i). Figure 5-9(a) shows a low magnification image, representative of the steel surface, which appears to be generally roughened and possibly covered with a very thin but uniform corrosion film. The general roughening of the surface is consistent with the slow increase in capacitance which suggested an on-going roughening of the sample surface. Figure 5-9(b) and (c) suggest that any film present, if at all, is extremely thin. Figure 5-9(d) shows a large area exhibiting a different morphology found to form on some regions of the sample surface. Closer examination, Figure 5-9(e), shows this patch is composed of thin and irregularly spaced plate-like crystals consistent with the morphology of \( \text{Fe}_2(\text{OH})_3\text{CO}_3 \), as discussed in Chapter 4, for samples exposed to a solution containing \( \text{HCO}_3^-/\text{CO}_3^{2-} \). Figure 5-9(f) shows a second location within this deposit which appears to be covered with a thicker and more dense deposit. Figure 5-9(g) is a low magnification image which shows four such locally corroded regions (observed as dark circles) which are on the order of 12-15 \( \mu \text{m} \) in diameter and exhibit a film morphology consistent with \( \text{Fe}_2(\text{OH})_3\text{CO}_3 \), Figure 5-9(h) and (i). The demarcation between these patches and the general surface suggests, while not pits, these areas experienced enhanced dissolution.

The Raman spectra recorded on the steel surface exposed to solution (i) are shown in Figure 5-10. The single band at 1069 \( \text{cm}^{-1} \) in each spectrum can be attributed to the \( \nu_1 \) C–O symmetric stretching of a coordinated \( \text{CO}_3^{2-} \) species [1-3]. The lack of any other visible features within the spectra suggests that the \( \text{CO}_3^{2-} \) containing species is not Raman active. The FTIR spectra recorded on three different locations on the surface, Figure 5-11, confirm the presence of \( \text{Fe}_2(\text{OH})_3\text{CO}_3 \) [4, 5]. The prominent peaks at 1364 and 1527 \( \text{cm}^{-1} \) are due to C–O stretching while those at 3319 and 3482 \( \text{cm}^{-1} \) are due to O–H stretching. The peaks at 770 \( \text{cm}^{-1} \) and 835 \( \text{cm}^{-1} \) are attributed to the \( \nu_4 \) in-plane and \( \nu_2 \) out-of-plane bending of \( \text{CO}_2^{2-} \) while the peak at 951 \( \text{cm}^{-1} \) is due to the \( \delta\text{-OH} \) bending mode. The FTIR spectra indicate that the crystalline film observed in Figure 5-9(d-f) and in the small circles in Figure 5-9(g-i) is \( \text{Fe}_2(\text{OH})_3\text{CO}_3 \). The absence of a Raman band at 667 \( \text{cm}^{-1} \), indicating the presence of \( \text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4 \) [1, 6-15], would suggest either the active
metal surface is exposed or any surface film present is too thin to be detected by Raman spectroscopy. In addition, the absence of a Raman signature between 1200 and 1700 cm$^{-1}$, indicating the presence of residual Fe$_3$C from corroded pearlite grains, confirms that the extent of corrosion is minimal.

Figure 5-9: SEM micrographs of the corrosion product formed after 60 days of exposure to solution (i) (Table 5-1) for (a-c) the general steel surface; (d-f) patches of crystalline Fe$_2$(OH)$_2$CO$_3$; and (g-i) additional locations showing distribution (g) and the morphology ((h) and (i)) of the crystalline patches.
Figure 5-10: Ex-situ Raman spectra recorded on the steel coupon after 60 days of exposure to solution (i) (Table 5-1).

Figure 5-11: Ex-situ FTIR spectra recorded on three locations of the steel after 60 days of exposure to solution (i) (Table 5-1).
Figure 5-12 shows the Raman spectra recorded on the surface exposed to solution (ii) (Table 5-1) for 47 days. Each of the three spectra recorded are featureless with no discernable Raman bands. Figure 5-13(a,d,g) shows the SEM micrographs, recorded at low magnification across the sample surface, confirming the steel has been corroded lightly in a uniform manner across the entire surface, consistent with the slow active corrosion process indicated by the positive $E_{\text{CORR}}$ values and higher corrosion rates, compared to solution (i), indicated by the $R_p$ values. Furthermore, the general corrosion morphology confirms that the increase in capacitance over the duration of the exposure period can be attributed to a general roughening of the sample surface caused by active corrosion. The SEM images show a surface which is generally uniformly covered with some areas covered with a very thin layer of crystalline corrosion product. The thin nature of the corrosion product, if one is present, would explain why no Raman bands were seen in Figure 5-12.

**Figure 5-12:** Ex-situ Raman spectra (1-3) recorded at various locations on the steel coupon after 47 days of exposure to solution (ii) (Table 5-1).
Figure 5-14 shows the SEM micrographs recorded on the steel surface exposed to solution (iii) (Table 5-1) for 57 days. As observed for carbonate-containing solution (i), several regions of the sample surface were covered with dark patches of corrosion product, Figure 5-14(a), with magnified images, Figure 5-14(b-c), showing a morphology consistent with the presence of Fe$_2$(OH)$_2$CO$_3$. The Raman spectra, Figure 5-15, and FTIR spectra, Figure 5-16, confirm the presence of Fe$_2$(OH)$_2$CO$_3$ as observed in solution (i).

**Figure 5-13:** SEM micrographs recorded on three locations on the surface after 47 days of exposure to solution (ii) (Table 5-1).
Figure 5-14(d-f) shows that limited amounts of corrosion product are present with areas thinly covered by crystals consistent with the structure of Fe₂(OH)₂CO₃. Figure 5-14(g-h) shows a location with a corrosion product of different morphology consisting of small clumps of multifaceted cubic crystals. While there was no evidence for FeCO₃ (siderite) in the Raman or FTIR spectra, this morphology has been associated with siderite. The presence of siderite would not be unexpected at the high [HCO₃⁻/CO₃²⁻]=0.10 M in this solution. Whether or not the surface is covered with any oxide (presumably Fe₃O₄) is uncertain since this phase is not detected by Raman spectroscopy.

**Figure 5-14:** SEM micrographs recorded on the steel surface after 57 days of exposure to solution (iii) (Table 5-1) showing: (a-c) a large patch of Fe₂(OH)₂CO₃; (d-f) the uneven distribution of Fe₂(OH)₂CO₃ on the steel surface; (g-h) a location covered by small multi-faceted cubic crystals.
Figure 5-15: Ex-situ Raman spectra recorded on the steel coupon after 57 days of exposure to solution (iii) (Table 5-1).

Figure 5-16: Ex-situ FTIR spectra recorded on the steel coupon after 57 days exposure to solution (iii) (Table 5-1).
Figure 5-17 shows the SEM micrographs of the steel surface exposed for 60 days in the simulated groundwater solution (iv) (Table 5-2). Some areas of the surface are covered by loosely adhered crystalline deposits, Figure 5-17(a), while Figure 5-17(b-c) shows the morphology of the underlying surface product. Figure 5-17(d-i) show two additional regions on the surface with denser coverages by crystals but with the same underlying corrosion morphology. Figure 5-17(g) shows a region of the surface extensively covered by a deposit, comprising well-formed disc-shaped or spherical star-shaped crystals, Figure 5-17(h-i).

Figure 5-17: SEM micrographs recorded on the steel surface after 60 days of exposure to solution (iv) (Table 5-2) showing: (a-f) two regions on the sample surface with different coverages by deposits but exhibiting the same underlying morphology; (g) a region covered by a more dense crystal layer with the morphology of the disc-shaped and spherical star-shaped crystals shown in (h) and (i).
Figure 5-18 shows Raman spectra recorded on several locations. Spectrum (5) was recorded at a location covered with deposited crystals, identified as vaterite, a rare polymorph of CaCO$_3$. Vaterite exhibits either a doublet or triplet for the $\nu_1$ symmetric stretching found between 1073 and 1091 cm$^{-1}$, with the strongest band at 1091 cm$^{-1}$ [16], whereas aragonite or calcite (the other two polymorphs of CaCO$_3$) exhibit a single sharp peak at 1085 cm$^{-1}$ [16]. The additional Raman bands in the $\nu_1$ stretching region (1073-1091 cm$^{-1}$) as well as the strength of the band at 1091 cm$^{-1}$ suggests the formation of vaterite. This assignment is confirmed by the doublet at 714 and 753 cm$^{-1}$ which corresponds to the $\nu_4$ in-plane bending mode of the molecular CO$_3^{2-}$, and the peaks centered around 205-371 cm$^{-1}$ which correspond to the translational and rotational lattice modes. It is likely that the crystals begin growing with the disc-like morphology but when growth is particularly rapid, twinning of adjacent crystals occurs leading to the star-shaped crystal structures.

![Raman spectra](image)

**Figure 5-18:** Raman spectra (1-5) recorded at various locations on the steel coupon after 60 days of exposure to solution (iv) (Table 5-2). Dotted spectrum represents the reference spectrum of Fe$_3$O$_4$. 
The morphology of the surface film underneath the growing vaterite crystals can be seen for two locations in Figure 5-17(b-c) and (e-f). While differing in roughness, the general morphology at each location is the same. Raman spectra (1-4) recorded on such locations, Figure 5-18, show a single band located at 667 cm\(^{-1}\) which can be attributed to Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\). It is noteworthy that a Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) film is identifiable after exposure to this solution but not after exposure to solution (ii) (Figure 5-1) which also had a high [Cl\(^-\)] and low pH (6.3 ± 0.5). This may reflect the very positive \(E_{\text{CORR}}\) observed in solution (iv) which is close to the equilibrium potential, \((E^0)_{\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4/\text{Fe}}\), at this potential (≈-0.700 V). It is possible the presence of this surface film accounts for the higher \(R_p\) values (lower corrosion rate) measured in the simulated groundwater.

5.4. DISCUSSION

The influence of [Cl\(^-\)] on the corrosion rate is to increase it, with an increase in [Cl\(^-\)] leading to an increase in \(E_{\text{CORR}}\) accompanied by an increase in corrosion rate (decrease in \(R_p\)). At the low [Cl\(^-\)] the morphology of the corroded surface is clearly visible and illustrates the general nature of the corrosion process. The narrow strips outlining the grain features are not likely residual iron carbide along grain boundaries. Magnification of an apparently less corroded grain shows the residual lamellar structure left behind as the \(\alpha\)-Fe is preferentially dissolved from pearlite grains leaving a residue of Fe\(_3\)C. The more heavily attacked grains are \(\alpha\)-Fe grains. Clearly if any oxide film is present it is extremely thin. At the high [Cl\(^-\)] the corrosion rate is substantially higher, consistent with the larger and increasing capacitance values which indicate the development of a rougher surface; this is confirmed by the SEM images. In both cases Raman analyses detect no oxide films.

The combination of an increase in \(E_{\text{CORR}}\) and the corrosion rate at the higher [Cl\(^-\)] indicates that the increase can be attributed to an acceleration of the cathodic reaction. The \(R_p\) values show that initially the corrosion rates in the two solutions ((i) [Cl\(^-\)] = 0.10 M; (ii) [Cl\(^-\)] = 4.77 M) are effectively the same and only deviate for exposure times ≥20 days. It is over this period that surface roughness increases markedly at the higher [Cl\(^-\)], but achieves a steady-state at the lower [Cl\(^-\)]. The slight decrease in \(E_{\text{CORR}}\) and accompanying increase in \(R_p\) over the exposure period 30 to 60 days suggests a slight suppression of the cathodic kinetics. Presently, the explanation of this effect of Cl\(^-\) is uncertain but possibly explained by surface roughening which could lead to a greater exposure of Fe\(_3\)C, the most likely location of the cathodic reaction. However, this is not supported by the Raman analyses which show no significant band in the
region 1200 to 1700 cm$^{-1}$ and the SEM images which show a general surface roughening rather than any locations where the residual bands of Fe$_3$C are clearly visible due to the preferential anodic dissolution of the α-Fe bands within pearlite grains. This is in contrast to bench top experiments (Chapter 4) when traces of O$_2$ are available when such residues were commonly observed. This suggests that when H$_2$O is the oxidant the cathodic reaction is more uniformly distributed. By contrast, when O$_2$ supports corrosion, the Fe$_3$C strips within the pearlite grains act as preferential cathodes leading to the preferential dissolution of the α-Fe within these grains.

At the lower [Cl$^-$/HCO$_3^-$] distinct patches of chukanovite (Fe$_2$(OH)$_2$CO$_3$) are present, consistent with dissolution as Fe$^{2+}$ and re-deposition in the carbonate-containing solution. The distinct separation between the generally corroded surface and the more heavily corroded patches covered with Fe$_2$(OH)$_2$CO$_3$ suggests the exposed surface is at least partially protected despite an inability to detect any oxide by Raman spectroscopy. At the higher [Cl$^-$] similar, but less well defined, patches of crystalline material are observed but Raman does not detect any Fe$_2$(OH)$_2$CO$_3$. The absence of Fe$_3$O$_4$, or its presence as a thin layer only, would be consistent with the high solubility of Fe$^{2+}$ at pH = 6.3 [17].

While chukanovite (Fe$_2$(OH)$_2$CO$_3$) is known to form in anoxic environments [5, 18-20], it was unexpected due to the low (0.002213 M) [HCO$_3^-$] in comparison to [Cl$^-$] in these solutions. The effect of Cl$^-$ not HCO$_3^-$ would be expected to dominate as observed in experiments conducted in the presence of trace O$_2$ (Chapter 4), in which the formation of Fe$_2$(OH)$_2$CO$_3$ in high [Cl$^-$] solutions was not observed until the [HCO$_3^-$/CO$_3^{2-}$] reached 0.10 M. This is consistent with reports that at low [CO$_3^{2-}$] the main corrosion product expected is Fe$_3$O$_4$ with Fe$_2$(OH)$_2$CO$_3$ becoming the main product in solutions with moderate [CO$_3^{2-}$] [21]. Refait et al. [21] showed that the nature of the corrosion products formed in carbonated media is dependent on the interfacial concentration ratios of CO$_3^{2-}$ to Fe$^{2+}$ and OH$^-$ to Fe$^{2+}$, with the ideal conditions for Fe$_2$(OH)$_2$CO$_3$ over Fe$_3$O$_4$ formation being [OH$^-$]/[Fe$^{2+}$]=1 and [CO$_3^{2-}$]/[Fe$^{2+}$]=0.5.

Since the present experiments were conducted at a pH of 6.3 ± 0.5 two competing phenomena could control the formation of corrosion products on the surface. First, the relatively low pH would be expected to inhibit the formation of Fe$_2$(OH)$_2$CO$_3$ due to a low [OH$^-$]/[Fe$^{2+}$] ratio, it being well known that Fe$_2$(OH)$_2$CO$_3$ generally forms in neutral to slightly alkaline solutions [19, 22-25]. However, at the relatively low pH the solubility of the Fe$^{2+}$ is high and it is possible the
increased interfacial [Fe$^{2+}$] led to the solubility product being exceeded allowing Fe$_2$(OH)$_2$CO$_3$ formation even at such low [HCO$_3^-$]. This suggests the dominant effect controlling the formation of Fe$_2$(OH)$_2$CO$_3$ is the [Fe$^{2+}$]. Several authors have reported that the formation of Fe$_2$(OH)$_2$CO$_3$ is driven by an excess supply of Fe$^{2+}$ rather than simple precipitation kinetics [5, 12, 19, 22, 23]. In addition, it has been reported that the rate of Fe$_3$O$_4$ formation in anoxic solutions is slow, which would also favour Fe$_2$(OH)$_2$CO$_3$ formation at low [HCO$_3^-$] [12].

In the simulated groundwater solution (iv) the increase in both $E_{\text{CORR}}$ and $R_p$ over the first 15-20 days suggests the anodic reaction is suppressed, after which the corrosion rate remained constant. By comparison to solution (ii), which has the same [Cl$^-$] and [HCO$_3^-$], the value of $E_{\text{CORR}}$ was more positive and $R_p$ higher, indicating that the additional groundwater ions in solution (iv) influenced the corrosion rate. This suggests that the vaterite (CaCO$_3$) deposit is at least partially protective. The rapid initial increase in $R_p$ over the first 20 days may reflect the formation of Fe$_3$O$_4$ and accumulation of vaterite. While vaterite may be a rare polymorph of CaCO$_3$, several authors have claimed that the growth of calcareous corrosion deposits is protective on steel [26-30].

The difference in corrosion behaviour in the pH=8.9 solution (iii) compared to those conducted in the other three solutions clearly demonstrates the important influence of pH. The observation of a lower $E_{\text{CORR}}$ is to be expected based on thermodynamics. However, the differences in $R_p$ values indicate a significant difference in corrosion kinetics, the rate being decreased markedly, consistent with the low and constant capacitance values. It has been reported that the corrosion layers formed in carbonated media are passivating in nature which could explain the high $R_p$ values. However, the expected layer of Fe$_3$O$_4$ is not detected with Raman and FTIR analyses which showed Fe$_2$(OH)$_2$CO$_3$ as the only identifiable corrosion product. SEM images confirmed the presence of large amounts of Fe$_2$(OH)$_2$CO$_3$ forming in non-uniform patches across the surface. Despite this, studies of iron archaeological artefacts show dense layers of Fe$_3$O$_4$ tend to form in contact with the metal surface followed by the formation of iron carbonates (FeCO$_3$ and Fe$_2$(OH)$_2$CO$_3$) at the Fe$_3$O$_4$/solution interface [18, 22, 31]. Electrochemical studies in simulated soils indicate the presence of a compact and non-porous corrosion product layer at the metal interface most likely responsible for the corrosion kinetics [32-34]. Synchrotron XRD and XPS studies of passive films formed over short durations in neutral to slightly alkaline borate buffer solutions indicate this dense interfacial layer was composed of a
spinel-type iron oxide intermediate in composition between Fe$_3$O$_4$ and γ-Fe$_2$O$_3$ [33]. Synchrotron STXM studies on an archaeological iron nail exposed to anoxic, carbonated soil for a period of >450 years [34] confirmed the presence of a 100 nm interfacial layer at the metal interface, which X-ray absorption spectra indicated was predominantly composed of a mixture of Fe$_3$O$_4$ and γ-Fe$_2$O$_3$ in proportions of roughly 1/3 and 2/3 respectively. A thin Fe$_3$O$_4$/γ-Fe$_2$O$_3$ spinel layer in contact with the metal surface has been identified passive [18, 31, 34].

The presence of a thin Fe$^{II}$/Fe$^{III}$ spinel oxide at the metal interface combined with the outer Fe$_2$(OH)$_2$CO$_3$ layer could explain the relatively low resistivities of these corrosion layers observed with macroscopic techniques. The low resistivity of both Fe$_3$O$_4$ (p=3 x 10$^{-3}$ Ωm) and γ-Fe$_2$O$_3$ (p=5 x 10$^{-3}$ Ωm) allows for the formation of a conductive network in what would otherwise be an insulating matrix of carbonates [34]. The constant corrosion rate observed after 10 days can then be attributed to the growth of an interfacial Fe$_3$O$_4$ barrier layer. The noise associated with the E$_{CORR}$ and R$_p$ values indicate variations in the kinetics of the anodic reaction suggesting any thin Fe$_3$O$_4$ barrier layer present is unstable, and the ensuing Fe$^{2+}$ dissolution could then account for the precipitation or growth of Fe$_2$(OH)$_2$CO$_3$ [34]. While the outer Fe$_2$(OH)$_2$CO$_3$ layer may play a role in corrosion protection by slowing the transport of dissolved ions to and from the metal, it has also been shown to be highly porous and cracked which allows water to maintain contact with the metal through a network of micro- and nano-pores leading to a slow and constant corrosion process [18, 34]. This could explain why the sample surface has not yet been completely covered by the Fe$_2$(OH)$_2$CO$_3$ film even after a period of 60 days exposure.

The results obtained in solution (iii) with a pH=8.9 can be compared to those presented in Chapter 4 for experiments conducted in solutions with the same [Cl$^-$], with and without added HCO$_3^-$/CO$_3^{2-}$, and in the presence of traces of dissolved O$_2$. In a solution containing only Cl$^-$, high R$_p$ values (75 to 160 kΩ cm$^2$) were accompanied by E$_{CORR}$ values in the range of -0.75 V to -0.77 V indicating passivation of the steel surface. As a consequence, local film breakdown leading to shallow pitting occurred and the localization of corrosion within these pits lead to low R$_p$ values (~20 kΩ cm$^2$) providing a sufficient supply of dissolved O$_2$ was maintained. When HCO$_3^-$/CO$_3^{2-}$ was added, E$_{CORR}$ was significantly reduced and the R$_p$ values remained low (20 to 80 kΩ cm$^2$).
5.5. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

The effects of [Cl\textsuperscript{−}], and HCO\textsubscript{3}/CO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{2−} on the corrosion behaviour and corrosion product identity and morphology were investigated. The results were compared to those obtained in a simulated sedimentary groundwater.

The increase in [Cl\textsuperscript{−}] caused an increase in the corrosion rate of the steel and a shift from a general and uniform corrosion of the surface at low [Cl\textsuperscript{−}] to more aggressive and non-uniform corrosion at high [Cl\textsuperscript{−}] leading to an increased roughness of the sample surface. At the higher [Cl\textsuperscript{−}] an acceleration of the cathodic kinetics was observed possibly due to the exposure of Fe\textsubscript{3}C in the pearlite grains during the roughening of the sample surface, leading to an increased surface area on which the cathodic reaction could occur.

Even in a solution with low [HCO\textsubscript{3}] (solution (i)), chukanovite (Fe\textsubscript{2}(OH)\textsubscript{2}CO\textsubscript{3}) was formed in non-uniform patches on the steel surface. While generally expected to form in slightly alkaline conditions, it was shown that the interfacial [Fe\textsuperscript{2+}] was most likely the main factor leading to Fe\textsubscript{2}(OH)\textsubscript{2}CO\textsubscript{3} deposition. Increased dissolution of the steel led to an increased interfacial [Fe\textsuperscript{2+}] which was then able to combine with available HCO\textsubscript{3} in the bulk solution leading to the precipitation of Fe\textsubscript{2}(OH)\textsubscript{2}CO\textsubscript{3}.

Addition of anticipated groundwater ions for a sedimentary clay environment (solution (iv)) caused an initial suppression of the anodic kinetics followed by a constant corrosion rate. The difference in behaviour between solution (iv) and solution (ii) with comparable [Cl\textsuperscript{−}] and [HCO\textsubscript{3}] showed that the groundwater ions influence the steel corrosion rate. The initial increase in R\textsubscript{p} likely reflects the formation of the Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4} film and a deposited layer of vaterite (CaCO\textsubscript{3}), the latter offering partial protection to the steel surface.

The addition of 0.10 M HCO\textsubscript{3}/CO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{2−} to buffer the pH to 8.85 leads to a significant decrease in corrosion rate. While not observed by Raman spectroscopy, Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4} formation would be expected to reduce the corrosion rate while the outer layer of Fe\textsubscript{2}(OH)\textsubscript{2}CO\textsubscript{3} observed would provide additional protection of the surface. Growth of such a barrier layer would explain the constant corrosion rate observed after 10 days of exposure. The subsequent noise observed in the E\textsubscript{CORR} and R\textsubscript{p} values is then attributed to the unstable nature of this Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4} layer with its dissolution leading to the precipitation of Fe\textsubscript{2}(OH)\textsubscript{2}CO\textsubscript{3}. 
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Chapter 6

Long Term Analysis of the Corrosion Product Identities and Morphologies Formed on A516 Gr70 Carbon Steel Exposed to Anoxic Simulated Groundwater Environments

6.1. INTRODUCTION

While electrochemical monitoring of steel coupons exposed to simulated groundwater solutions may provide some insight into the corrosion behaviour of steel waste containers (Chapters 4 and 5), there are limitations to the length of such experiments. As such, the experiments described in this chapter were designed to follow the corrosion process over an extended period of time, up to 30 months. The experiments were designed to mimic the conditions expected in a DGR environment, although the bentonite backfill material was not included. Experiments were conducted under anaerobic conditions in solutions which varied in $\text{[Cl}^{-}\text{]}$ and pH and in a simulated groundwater solution for exposure periods up to 30 months. Specimens were exposed to an initial period of air exposure prior to emplacement in the solutions to simulate the initial state of the container when first emplaced. Samples removed from experiments were analyzed using techniques such as Raman spectroscopy, FTIR spectroscopy, scanning electron microscopy and focused ion beam milling.

6.2. EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS

6.2.1. Materials and Electrode Preparations

A total of 64 steel coupons were fabricated using A516 Gr70 carbon steel (0.23 C; 1.11 Mn; 0.07 P; 0.03 S; 0.26 Si; 0.01 Cu; 0.01 Ni; 0.02 Cr; 0.004 Mo; 0.036 Al; 0.019 V; 0.003 O [wt.%], balance Fe). Each coupon was cut from a 0.5 cm thick plate with the dimensions 1 cm x 1 cm. Each coupon was polished on all six sides with 180, 600, 800, 1000, and 1200 grit SiC papers (Presi), and then rinsed with methanol and Type 1 water to remove any organic contaminants. Prior to exposure, each coupon was placed on a Teflon sample holder and left exposed to air for a period of one week. This was done to simulate the air formed oxide that would be present when a disposal container is first emplaced in a DGR.

6.2.2. Experimental Setup

Four exposure environments were tested to determine the effects of groundwater composition on the corrosion process, with 16 specimens being exposed to each environment. Steel coupons
were placed in 2 L beakers fitted with an air tight lid. The lids were fabricated from Teflon and fitted with an O-ring seal. A small (1 mm) hole was drilled in the top of the lid to allow any H₂ gas formed during the corrosion process to be vented. Figure 6-1 shows schematics of the sample holder. Sixteen separate sample compartments were machined so that all sides of each coupon would be exposed to the solution. Two small ledges were left to prevent coupons from falling to the bottom of the beaker. The four small, circular, holes drilled into the Teflon plate allowed legs to be added so that the holder was held ~0.5 cm from the bottom of the beaker. Figure 6-2 shows the 16 coupons on the Teflon holder which was placed in a 2 L beaker. This holder was then transferred into an anaerobic chamber, and the solution poured into the beakers which were then sealed with the Teflon lids. The solutions were allowed to adopt the ambient temperature of the anaerobic chamber which fell between 24 and 26°C. Samples were removed periodically over a period of 30 months and analyzed using a series of surface analysis techniques to track the progress of the corrosion process. Table 6-1 lists the removal schedule for the steel coupons from each of the four exposure environments.

Figure 6-1: Schematic showing the top and bottom views of the Teflon sample holder used to support 16 steel coupons in each of 4 exposure solutions.
Figure 6-2: Steel coupons emplaced on a Teflon holder which was subsequently placed in a modified 2 L beaker. The coupons shown had not been polished and are shown to demonstrate the experimental set-up.

Table 6-1: Removal times for steel coupons exposed to a series of four exposure environments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Removal Times</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 week</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 weeks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 weeks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 weeks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 months</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6.2.3. Experimental Solutions

Table 6-2 and Table 6-3 list the chemical compositions of the four solutions. Solutions (i) and (ii) were used to investigate the effects of $[\text{Cl}]$ while solution (iii) included $\text{HCO}_3^-/\text{CO}_3^{2-}$ which was shown to have a significant effect on steel corrosion, Chapter 4. Solution (iv) simulated the high ionic concentration of the groundwater anticipated in sedimentary clays. The pH of solutions (i), (ii), and (iv) were set to 6.3 ± 0.5 to mimic that expected in groundwaters at the repository level. The pH of solution (iii) was maintained at its natural level (initially 8.85) set by $\text{HCO}_3^-/\text{CO}_3^{2-}$ buffering. Type 1 water was deaerated using ultra-high purity Ar gas at a high flow rate for at
least 90 minutes prior to being sealed and transferred to the anaerobic chamber. The flask used for transfer was filled to capacity and sealed with a glass stopper and Parafilm to ensure no trapped air was present in the solution flask during the transfer process. Final preparation of each solution was carried out within the anaerobic chamber. No additional measures were required to maintain the anoxic conditions of each solution.

Table 6-2: Chemical compositions of exposure solutions (i) to (iii).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exposure Solution</th>
<th>[Cl\textsuperscript{-}] (M)</th>
<th>[HCO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{-}] (M)</th>
<th>[CO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{2-}] (M)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(i)</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.002213</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(ii)</td>
<td>4.77</td>
<td>0.002213</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(iii)</td>
<td>4.77</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6-3: Chemical composition of solution (iv) made up to simulate sedimentary clay groundwater in the Canadian Shield.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cation</th>
<th>Concentration (M)</th>
<th>Anion</th>
<th>Concentration (M)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ca\textsuperscript{2+}</td>
<td>0.811</td>
<td>Br\textsuperscript{-}</td>
<td>0.021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K\textsuperscript{+}</td>
<td>0.320</td>
<td>Cl\textsuperscript{-}</td>
<td>4.758</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg\textsuperscript{2+}</td>
<td>0.337</td>
<td>HCO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{-}</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na\textsuperscript{+}</td>
<td>2.175</td>
<td>SO\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{2-}</td>
<td>0.019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr\textsuperscript{2+}</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6.2.4. Surface Analysis

The corrosion products formed on coupon surfaces were identified using either Raman or infrared spectroscopy. Raman analyses were conducted using a Renishaw 2000 Raman spectrometer equipped with a 632.8 nm laser line and an optical microscope with a 50X magnification objective lens. Collection and manipulation of the spectra, including their deconvolution, was performed using GRAMS 386 Raman software. Prior to deconvolution, each spectrum was baseline corrected using multiple points with the level and zero function of the Raman software. The overlapping peak of interest (representing Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4} and γ-Fe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}) was deconvoluted between 550 and 900 cm\textsuperscript{-1} by fitting the sample spectra to a reference spectrum for γ-Fe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}.

A Bruker-Hyperion 2000 FTIR spectrometer was used under reflectance mode for FTIR analyses. Each spectrum was collected as an average of 32 scans. Gold was used to collect the background
spectrum. The general morphology of the corrosion product was determined using either a Hitachi S-4500 Field Emission SEM or LEO (Zeiss) 1540XB FIB/SEM cross beam system. Cross-sectional analyses were performed using the LEO (Zeiss) 1540XB FIB system equipped with a Ga ion gun to profile and to observe the corroded steel interface.

6.3. RESULTS

The results presented in this chapter are a selection of the data collected for each of the four exposure solutions. All of the Raman and SEM data collected, but not presented in this chapter, can be found in Appendix A.

6.3.1. Solution (i): Low Chloride Concentration

6.3.1.1. Raman Spectroscopy

From the observations in the previous chapters, the Raman spectra can be generally divided into three regions, Figure 6-3, which can aid in the classification of the corrosion products. The characteristic peak for Fe$_3$O$_4$ (667 cm$^{-1}$) is found in region (1) (600 to 800 cm$^{-1}$), but commonly overlaps with the main peak for the structurally similar γ-Fe$_2$O$_3$ (700 cm$^{-1}$, broad). In this study the combined peak in this region is deconvoluted to determine the individual fractions of these phases present on the corroded surface. Region (2) (200 to 570 cm$^{-1}$) is generally characteristic of Fe$^{III}$ containing oxides and oxyhydroxides (γ-Fe$_2$O$_3$, α-FeOOH, γ-FeOOH). When poorly crystalline and/or present simultaneously it is often difficult to distinguish the nature of the phase present by analysis of peaks in this region. Also, bands for GR as well as the t$_{2g}$ and e$_{1g}$ modes of Fe$_3$O$_4$ can also be present in this region. Finally, region (3) (1200 to 1700 cm$^{-1}$) generally contains broad peaks which indicate a more extensively corroded surface, the peaks indicating the presence of carbonaceous residue at corroded pearlite locations. However, γ-Fe$_2$O$_3$ can also exhibit broad peaks in this region. In support of this classification, reference spectra for commonly observed oxides and oxyhydroxides are presented in Appendix A.

Figure 6-4(a) through Figure 6-9(a) show the Raman spectra recorded on various surface locations on specimens exposed to solution (i) for increasing periods of time. After exposure for 4 days, the Raman spectra all appear similar with a broad peak centered at 672 cm$^{-1}$ as well as a significant shoulder in the region of 720 cm$^{-1}$, indicating the presence of both Fe$_3$O$_4$ [1-10] and γ-Fe$_2$O$_3$ [3, 7, 11, 12]. The presence of γ-Fe$_2$O$_3$ could also be responsible for the shallow broad
peaks observed in region (2) (γ-Fe₂O₃, Appendix A) and the broad peak (spectra (4), (6), and (7)) in the region 1300-1660 cm⁻¹ (region (3)) indicating the presence of carbonaceous residue (Fe₃C) on lightly corroded pearlite grains [1, 8].

![Sample Raman spectrum highlighting the three regions in which characteristic features aiding in the identification of corrosion products can be found.](image)

**Figure 6-3:** Sample Raman spectrum highlighting the three regions in which characteristic features aiding in the identification of corrosion products can be found.

Figure 6-4(b) through Figure 6-9(b) show deconvolutions of the spectra within region (1) (Figure 6-3) into the main contributing peaks for Fe₃O₄ (672 cm⁻¹) and γ-Fe₂O₃ (705 cm⁻¹). However, it should be noted that both Fe₃O₄ and γ-Fe₂O₃ contain bands at these locations. The gray band observed in the deconvolution is an artefact required by the Raman software to obtain an appropriate fit and is not assigned to any Fe oxide or oxyhydroxide species. While several spectra were collected across the specimen surface, only the spectrum closest to the specimen average is shown. The deconvolution was accomplished by fitting the experimental spectra to a reference spectrum for γ-Fe₂O₃ which, like Fe₃O₄, exhibits peaks at both of these locations. The Fe₃O₄ reference peak ratio (Fe₃O₄: γ-Fe₂O₃; 8.2) was obtained by fitting a reference Fe₃O₄ spectrum to the γ-Fe₂O₃ spectrum. From the deconvolution in Figure 6-4(b) it can be seen that the peak area for both Fe₃O₄ and γ-Fe₂O₃ are similar after 4 days of exposure. Such a peak ratio, nearing unity, is indicative of a γ-Fe₂O₃ dominated film.
Over the first 12 months of exposure the spectra appear very similar, Figure 6-4(a) to Figure 6-8(a). While the peak positions remain similar, the deconvoluted spectra, Figure 6-4(b) to Figure 6-8(b), show a subtle progression in which the peak for Fe$_3$O$_4$ becomes dominant over time. Some locations ((6), (7) after 14 days; (7), (8) after 252 days) appear more corroded than others with significant peak intensities in region (3) indicating Fe$_3$C residues on corroded pearlite grains. Following 604 days of exposure substantial changes in the Raman spectra were observed, Figure 6-9. The broad band in region (3) (1200 to 1700 cm$^{-1}$) is more intense and better defined peaks are observed in region (2), suggesting a more corroded surface which may have Fe$^{III}$ oxide or oxyhydroxides phases present, although Fe$_3$O$_4$ can exhibit peaks in region (2). That a slightly more oxidized surface is present is suggested by the prominence of the shoulder on the Fe$_3$O$_4$ peak in region (1) when the bands in region (2) and (3) are more developed. While, to our knowledge, no ingress of O$_2$ occurred over the exposure period from 365 to 604 days, such a change in composition would be explained by the introduction of traces of O$_2$ causing the oxidation of the outer surface of Fe$_3$O$_4$ to the isostuctural $\gamma$-Fe$_2$O$_3$.

To determine the ratio of Fe$_3$O$_4$ to $\gamma$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ the areas under the two peaks separated by deconvolution (as exhibited in Figure 6-4(b) to Figure 6-9(b)) were plotted as a ratio in Figure 6-10. The horizontal dashed lines indicate the ratios expected if only either $\gamma$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ or Fe$_3$O$_4$ were present. The ratio after 4 days of exposure indicates a dominantly $\gamma$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ film, consistent with the presence of an air-formed oxide. Over the subsequent 14 days of exposure the ratio increases to a value of 3.2 indicating a decrease in $\gamma$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ and/or an increase in the amount of Fe$_3$O$_4$ present on the surface. As shown by a comparison of Figure 6-4 and Figure 6-5, an increase in the area of the Fe$_3$O$_4$ peak as well as a decrease in the peak area for the $\gamma$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ is clear. This change in composition is most likely due to the reductive dissolution of $\gamma$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ caused by galvanic coupling to the anodically corroding steel leading to Fe$_3$O$_4$. Such a process is consistent with the presence of peaks in region (3) indicative of the presence of some more extensively corroded regions. The area ratio remains constant up to an exposure time of 56 days, Figure 6-10. The error bars associated with the calculated ratio beyond 56 days of exposure become unacceptably large. This could be due either to the difficulty in accurately deconvoluting the spectra or the non-homogeneity of the surface composition. For longer exposure periods (up to 365 days), the area ratio increases to an average value of 5.74 suggesting a clear dominance of Fe$_3$O$_4$. After 365 days the spectra, Figure 6-8(a), no longer show any evidence for carbonaceous residues (assumed to be Fe$_3$C of pearlite grains) suggesting that
the surface has become completely covered by the Fe$_3$O$_4$ corrosion product. Over the subsequent exposure period (365 to 604 days) the ratio appears to increase to the reference value for Fe$_3$O$_4$ but the deconvolution process becomes unreliable as indicated by extremely large error bars. This ratio is not considered meaningful and is not shown in Figure 6-10.

**Figure 6-4:** (a) Raman spectra (1-6) recorded at various locations on a steel specimen after 4 days of exposure to solution (i); (b) deconvolution of the spectrum closest to the sample average.

**Figure 6-5:** (a) Raman spectra (1-7) recorded at various locations on a steel specimen after 14 days of exposure to solution (i); (b) deconvolution of the spectrum closest to the sample average.
Figure 6-6: (a) Raman spectra (1-5) recorded at various locations on a steel specimen after 110 days exposure to solution (i); (b) deconvolution of the spectrum closest to the sample average.

Figure 6-7: (a) Raman spectra (1-8) recorded at various locations on a steel specimen after 252 days exposure to solution (i); (b) deconvolution of the spectrum closest to the sample average.
**Figure 6-8:** (a) Raman spectra (1-8) recorded at various locations on a steel specimen after 365 days exposure to solution (i); (b) deconvolution of the spectrum closest to the sample average.

**Figure 6-9:** (a) Raman spectra (1-7) recorded at various locations on a steel specimen after 604 days exposure to solution (i); (b) deconvolution of the spectrum closest to the sample average.
Figure 6-10: Evolution of the area ratio for the Fe$_3$O$_4$ (672 cm$^{-1}$) and γ-Fe$_2$O$_3$ (705 cm$^{-1}$) Raman peaks after exposure to solution (i), calculated from the deconvoluted spectra.

6.3.1.2. Scanning Electron Microscopy

Figure 6-11 shows, that after 4 days of exposure to solution (i), the surface was covered by patches of corrosion products with the distribution possibly reflecting more heavily corroded pearlite grains. Examination of the surface in between these deposits suggests a general roughening as well as the initial stages of Fe$_3$O$_4$ formation, Figure 6-11(c), consistent with the onset of an anodic corrosion process. The crystalline platelets comprising the film morphology are consistent with the presence of a Fe$^{III}$ oxide, Figure 6-11(d-i), present due to corrosion in humid air prior to immersion in the solution. This would be consistent with the Raman spectra which showed that the surface film is dominated by γ-Fe$_2$O$_3$ with little Fe$_3$O$_4$ formed over this short exposure period.

After 14 days of exposure these patches of γ-Fe$_2$O$_3$ are less prominent, Figure 6-12. The general morphology of the surface film, Figure 6-12(c) and (f), suggests the crystals have dissolved into
the bulk solution. This is again consistent with the Raman spectra which showed a change in the 
Fe$_3$O$_4$/γ-Fe$_2$O$_3$ phase ratio in favour of Fe$_3$O$_4$. The area of the surface shown in Figure 6-12(g-i) 
looks more corroded than the general surface. The general surface morphology is similar to that 
observed in the short experiments discussed in Chapter 4. The Raman analyses recorded on the 
specimen exposed for 110 days suggest Fe$_3$O$_4$ is the dominant corrosion product, Figure 6-6, 
while Figure 6-13 shows that the platelets initially present have disappeared. The sample 
surface appears to be uniformly corroded, Figure 6-13(c) and (f). Higher magnifications, Figure 
6-13(h-i), show regions with the layered morphology indicating residual Fe$_3$C at corroded 
pearlite locations after preferential dissolution of the α-Fe in the pearlite grain.

Continued exposure up to 252 days (Figure 6-14) shows little change in the morphology of the 
damaged surface with a slight increase in the extent of corrosion product build-up, Figure 
6-14(c) and (f). Figure 6-14(f) shows preferential corrosion of the pearlite grain structures. Figure 
6-14(g) to (i) show some regions of localized damage are present. After 365 days of exposure the 
morphology of the damaged surface remains the same confirming that, in general, only slow 
anoxic corrosion is occurring, consistent with the Raman analyses indicating Fe$_3$O$_4$ is the 
dominant corrosion product. After 604 days of exposure the surface is considerably rougher, 
Figure 6-16(c, f), consistent with the stronger Raman bands (region 3) for carbonaceous residue. 
Also, the presence of a more ubiquitous deposit suggests some deposition of corrosion product 
from solution. This is likely to be Fe$^{III}$ oxide or oxyhydroxides since Fe$^{2+}$ would be considerably 
more soluble than Fe$^{3+}$ at the pH of this solution. It is possible even traces of O$_2$ in the chamber 
could account for this and the Raman observations that Fe$^{III}$ oxides are present.
Figure 6-11: SEM micrographs recorded on three locations of a specimen surface (a-c), (d-f), and (g-h) after 4 days of exposure to solution (i) showing the distributions of crystalline γ-Fe₂O₃ and the general surface morphology.
Figure 6-12: SEM micrographs recorded on a specimen surface after 14 days of exposure to solution (i) showing: (a-f) the general surface morphology; (g-i) a region exhibiting a higher degree of corrosion damage.
Figure 6-13: SEM micrographs recorded on a specimen surface after 110 days of exposure to solution (i) showing: (a-f) the general surface morphology; (g-i) a layered morphology consistent with the remnants of Fe₃C from ferrite dissolution of pearlite grains.
Figure 6-14: SEM micrographs recorded on a specimen surface after 252 days of exposure to solution (i) showing: (a-e) the general surface morphology; (f) the preferential corrosion of ferrite in pearlite grains; (g-i) a region of localized damage.
Figure 6-15: SEM micrographs recorded on a specimen surface after 365 days of exposure to solution (i) showing: (a-f) the general surface morphology; (g-i) a region exhibiting a more significant corrosion deposit.
Figure 6-16: SEM micrographs recorded on a specimen surface after 604 days of exposure to solution (i) showing: (a-c) the increased roughening of the specimen surface; (d-f) the increase in corrosion product coverage.
6.3.1.3. **Focused Ion Beam Milling**

Figure 6-17 shows FIB cross-sections for three locations ((a-c), (d-e), and (g-f)) on the specimen exposed to solution (i) for 252 days. The corrosion product is very thin and in some locations porous. Figure 6-17(c) and (f) clearly show the residual Fe₃C bands after corrosion of α-Fe lamellae bands from pearlite grains. This is particularly obvious in Figure 6-14(f). Even at apparently more heavily corroded locations, penetration of corrosion into the steel is generally uniform. In some locations, Figure 6-17(g-i), corrosion appears to create void space underneath surface oxide layers.

FIB cross-sections taken on the specimen exposed for 540 days show locations, Figure 6-18(a), which appear to have undergone shallow localized corrosion. The backscatter image in Figure 6-18(b) shows the film on the general surface is very thin. Figure 6-18(c) confirms that shallow pitting had occurred at this location. Figure 6-18(d-f) shows the cross-section of a location which appears to have undergone significant localized damage. The backscattered image, Figure 6-18(f), highlights the corrosion product (dark)/base metal (light) interface. In some regions, the damage appears to penetrate more than 10 µm into the base metal. Although confirming evidence is lacking, it is possible these are boundaries between pearlite and α-Fe grains within which the Fe₃C acts as a local cathode for the anodic dissolution of the adjacent α-Fe.

Figure 6-19 shows the FIB cross-sections for the final sample exposed for 604 days. Even after this extended period, the film on the generally corroded surface remains extremely thin. The deposits are clearly porous and often undermined by corrosion. Figure 6-19(c) shows the preferential dissolution of the α-Fe from pearlite grains leaving behind the lamellae of Fe₃C. Additionally, the angled crevasse on the left side of this image may be the preferentially corroded boundary between a pearlite and α-Fe grain. It is possible that Figure 6-19(g-i) shows a cross-section taken at one of the locations similar to that seen in Figure 6-16(g-h). It is likely that the undermining corrosion occurs at α-Fe/pearlite boundaries and could suggest the cathodic reaction is supported on pearlite grains. The porous nature of the corrosion product is most likely maintained by the formation of H₂ by H₂O reduction at the metal surface.
Figure 6-17: FIB cross-section micrographs recorded on a specimen surface after 252 days of exposure to solution (i) showing: (a-b) and (d-e) the thin nature of the corrosion film; (c) and (f) the residual bands of Fe₃C left behind after preferential dissolution of the α-Fe in the pearlite grains; (g-i) a region exhibiting void spaces created by corrosion under the surface oxide layer.
Figure 6.18: FIB cross-section micrographs recorded on a specimen surface after 540 days of exposure to solution (i) showing: (a-b) a region of shallow localized corrosion; (c) the formation of a localized pit; (d-f) a region which has undergone significant localized damage. Backscatter images in (b), (c), and (f) highlight the interface between the base metal (bright) and the oxide film (dark).
Figure 6-19: FIB cross-section micrographs recorded on a specimen surface after 604 days of exposure to solution (i) showing: (a-f) the thin nature of the corrosion film; (c) lamellae of Fe$_3$C left after the preferential dissolution of α-Fe from the pearlite grains; (g-i) a region exhibiting void spaces created by corrosion under the surface oxide layer.
6.3.2. **Solution (ii): High Chloride Concentration**

6.3.2.1. **Raman Spectroscopy**

Figure 6-20(a) through Figure 6-25 show the Raman spectra recorded on various locations of the specimens exposed to solution (ii) for increasing periods of time. After 4 days the spectra are similar to those recorded in solution (i) after the same exposure period, Figure 6-20(a). The peak in region (1) (600 to 800 cm\(^{-1}\)) indicates the presence of both Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) and γ-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\). When the shoulder at 720 cm\(^{-1}\) (indicative of the presence of γ-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\)) is prominent in region (1), broad peaks in region (2) confirm the presence of Fe\(^{\text{III}}\) oxides, in particular γ-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\). In addition, these two features are accompanied by the broad bands in region (3) which indicates sites dominated by γ-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) are more heavily corroded than those covered by Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\). The deconvolutions of the spectra closest to the sample average are shown in Figure 6-20(b) through Figure 6-24(b), and Figure 6-26 shows the evolution of the peak area ratios over the exposure period. The ratios show that over the first 110 days of exposure, γ-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) is replaced by Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) as was seen in solution (i). This is confirmed by the Raman spectra (Figure 6-21) which show a single peak at 672 cm\(^{-1}\) combined with the disappearance of the broad peaks in regions (2) and (3), consistent with the reductive dissolution of the γ-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) coupled to the anodic oxidation of the steel (to Fe\(^{2+}\) and Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\)) as proposed for the early exposure period in solution (i).

After a period of 182 days, an unscheduled power outage caused a failure of the anaerobic chamber and a subsequent influx of O\(_2\) into the sample environment. Comparison of the Raman spectra in Figure 6-21(a) and Figure 6-22(a) (i.e., for exposure periods not including and including the O\(_2\) influx) shows the reformation of γ-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) indicated by the shoulder in region (1) and the reintroduction of broad peaks in regions (2) and (3). This is confirmed by the observed decrease in the Raman peak area ratio recorded on specimens extracted immediately after the O\(_2\) incursion. After 548 days however, the dominant phase is again Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) with the bands in regions (2) and (3), indicating a more heavily corroded surface, absent, Figure 6-23. This indicates that the O\(_2\) incursion produced Fe\(^{\text{III}}\) oxides which were subsequently cathodically reduced by coupling to Fe dissolution and Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) formation. After 716 days the Raman features in regions (2) and (3) indicating the presence of Fe\(^{\text{III}}\) species are again present, suggesting a possible second O\(_2\) incursion, Figure 6-24. Figure 6-25 shows the Raman spectra recorded after the full exposure period of 910 days. The peak in region (1) is at 672 cm\(^{-1}\) and there is no indication of a shoulder suggesting the presence of γ-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\). In addition, two of the three shallow
peaks in region 200 to 600 cm\(^{-1}\) can be attributed to Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) since the bands for Fe\(_3\)C in region (3) are no longer visible. The third minor peak is not attributable to any iron oxide or oxyhydroxide. These spectra indicate the surface is comprehensively covered by Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\).

**Figure 6-20:** (a) Raman spectra (1-7) recorded at various locations on a steel specimen after 4 days exposure to solution (ii); (b) deconvolution of the spectrum closest to the sample average.

**Figure 6-21:** (a) Raman spectra (1-6) recorded at various locations on a steel specimen after 110 days exposure to solution (ii); (b) deconvolution of the spectrum closest to the sample average.
Figure 6-22: (a) Raman spectra (1-8) recorded at various locations on a steel specimen after 252 days exposure to solution (ii); (b) deconvolution of the spectrum closest to the sample average.

Figure 6-23: (a) Raman spectra (1-9) recorded at various locations on a steel specimen after 548 days exposure to solution (ii); (b) deconvolution of the spectrum closest to the sample average.
Figure 6-24: (a) Raman spectra (1-7) recorded at various locations on a steel specimen after 716 days exposure to solution (ii); (b) deconvolution of the spectrum closest to the sample average.

Figure 6-25: Raman spectra (1-7) recorded at various locations on a steel specimen after 910 days exposure to solution (ii).
Figure 6-26: Evolution of the area ratio for the Fe₃O₄ (672 cm⁻¹) and γ-Fe₂O₃ (705 cm⁻¹) Raman peaks after exposure to solution (ii), calculated from the deconvoluted spectra.
6.3.2.2. **Scanning Electron Microscopy**

Figure 6-27 shows the SEM micrographs recorded after 4 days of exposure to solution (ii). The low magnification images show a slightly corroded surface. While there are fewer patches of corrosion product deposit compared to the number on the specimen extracted after a similar exposure period in solution (i) this surface probably reflects the surface prior to immersion. The early stages of corrosion of pearlite features can be seen in Figure 6-27(c). The area ratio calculated from deconvoluted Raman spectra confirms the presence of both Fe$_3$O$_4$ and γ-Fe$_2$O$_3$. Figure 6-27(g-h) show some areas of the surface are more heavily corroded.

After a period of 110 days exposure a visible compact film covered with a scattered deposit is observed. The underlying corrosion film, Figure 6-28(b-c) and (e-f), appears compact with some regions exhibiting platelet structures. The cracked pattern can be attributed to dehydration on removing the specimen from the anaerobic chamber as well as in the chamber of the SEM. Figure 6-28(h) shows the morphology of the overlying corrosion deposits. The corrosion product deposits on the order of 15-30 µm in diameter, Figure 6-28(i), indicate some localized corrosion has occurred, a process that would require the presence of traces of O$_2$.

Following the O$_2$ incursion after roughly six months of exposure a change in the morphology of the corrosion product was observed, Figure 6-29. While the morphology does appear to remain consistent with those seen previously, the underlying corrosion film appears to have lost some structural definition, becoming more amorphous in nature, Figure 6-29(a-f). Since the Raman data suggested formation of γ-Fe$_2$O$_3$ it is possible that is what constitutes the deposit, Figure 6-29(h-i). After 548 days exposure, the morphology of the corrosion product has not changed but there appears to be more of it, Figure 6-30. The scattered deposit appears to be in the form of particulates ≤ 1 µm in diameter, Figure 6-30. Similar features are observed after 716 days, Figure 6-31. It is likely these particulates were formed by oxidation of dissolved Fe$^{2+}$ to the highly insoluble Fe$^{3+}$ state when the solution absorbed O$_2$ during the loss of atmospheric control in the anaerobic chamber. The presence of dissolved O$_2$ would also lead to a thickening of the general corrosion layer. After the full exposure period of 910 days, the extent of deposition has increased suggesting the surface is slowly accumulating Fe$^{III}$ oxide deposited from the solution, Figure 6-32, with the Raman analysis suggesting the deposit is amorphous or has been converted to Fe$_3$O$_4$ by galvanic coupling to the corroding steel.
Figure 6.27: SEM micrographs recorded on a specimen surface after 4 days of exposure to solution (ii) showing a lightly corroded surface with (c) early stages of visible pearlite corrosion; (d-f) a region of crystalline corrosion product; (g-i) a more heavily corroded location.
Figure 6-28: SEM micrographs recorded on a specimen surface after 110 days of exposure to solution (ii) showing: (a-f) regions of the general surface with a compact underlying film with interspersed platelet structures; (g-h) regions with overlying corrosion product deposits; (i) localized corrosion products on the order of 15-30 µm.
Figure 6-29: SEM micrographs recorded on a specimen surface after 252 days of exposure to solution (ii) showing: (a-f) the general surface and underlying corrosion layer; (g-i) corrosion product deposits, possible $\gamma$-Fe$_2$O$_3$. 
Figure 6-30: SEM micrographs recorded on a specimen surface after 548 days of exposure to solution (ii) showing: (a-f) the general surface with increasing levels of corrosion product deposits; (g-i) the morphology of the overlying corrosion product deposits.
Figure 6-31: SEM micrographs recorded on a specimen surface after 716 days of exposure to solution (ii) showing: (a-f) regions with a visible underlying corrosion layer; (g-i) a region exhibiting extensive overlying corrosion product deposits.
Figure 6-32: SEM micrographs recorded on a specimen surface after 910 days of exposure to solution (ii) showing: (a-c) the general underlying corrosion film; (d-i) the increasing extent of surface coverage by corrosion product deposits.
6.3.2.3. **Focused Ion Beam Milling**

Figure 6-33(a-c) shows a FIB cross-section of a specimen after 252 days of exposure for the area shown in Figure 6-29(f). The use of the backscatter detector highlights the interface between the base metal (bright) and the oxide film (dark), Figure 6-33(b). From the cross-section it can be seen that the penetration under a compact film is minor, whereas regions covered with a more textured film experience more extensive penetration. This is clear in Figure 6-33(d-f) which shows a cross-section cut through one of the overlying corrosion product deposits. The corrosion product film to the side of the deposit is very thin while the location directly under the deposit experienced more significant penetration. The EDX map shown in Figure 6-33(f) highlights the interface of the metal (blue) and the oxide film (pink). Although not clear in these images it is possible the extent of corrosion, and hence corrosion product deposition, is dictated by the grain structure of the steel. Figure 6-33(g-i) shows a cross-section through a large corrosion deposit on the sample surface. It is clear that the corrosion deposit is thickest over the more extensively corroded area confirming the porosity of the deposit at this location.

Figure 6-34 shows FIB cross-sections for three locations on the sample removed after 548 days of exposure to solution (ii). By comparison to the images in Figure 6-33, the cross-sections show that the corrosion product has thickened from ≤ 1 µm to as thick as ~3 µm in some places over the additional 296 days of exposure. Figure 6-34(e) shows bright bands within the oxide structure. While first noted after the 252 day exposure period, Figure 6-33(h), the bands are much more extensive and clearly much larger and more resolved. An EDX map for Fe and C recorded on this location, Figure 6-34(f), shows that the bright bands are residual Fe₃C while the dark bands are Fe oxide. This confirms that the α-Fe lamellae in the pearlite grains have been converted to oxide. It would also appear that the locations covered by thicker deposits and experiencing deeper metal penetration coincide with the pearlite structure, Figure 6-34(b) and (e). Figure 6-34(g-i) shows a cross-section cut through a large corrosion deposit, which is porous and non-protective in nature and located over a region of localized corrosion. Again, Fe₃C is seen in the oxide, Figure 6-34(h).

Figure 6-35 shows FIB cross-sections cut on a specimen exposed for the full 910 day exposure period. The compact film on the steel surface has achieved a thickness in the range of ~3-5 µm and is generally uniform and in most locations appears protective. Locations which have undergone deeper penetration are clearly spreading laterally across the surface rather than
developing into deep pits. The accumulation of an outer layer of deposited particles can be clearly seen.

Figure 6-33: FIB cross-section micrographs recorded on a specimen surface after 252 days of exposure to solution (ii) showing: (a-c) that penetration beneath the compact film is minor; (d-f) a cut through an overlying deposit which has experienced more extensive penetration; (g-i) a cut through a large corrosion deposit at a location which has experienced more extensive corrosion. The backscatter image in (b) highlights the interface between the base metal (bright) and the oxide film (dark). EDX maps (f and i) further highlight the interface by mapping of elemental oxygen (pink) and iron (blue).
Figure 6-34: FIB cross-section micrographs recorded on a specimen surface after 548 days of exposure to solution (ii) showing: (a-f) a thickening of the film to ~3 µm with more penetrating damage beneath thicker regions; (e) bright bands within the oxide structure, which the EDX map (f) for iron (blue) and carbon (green), shows are residual Fe₃C bands of the pearlite grains; (g-i) a cut through a large deposit which appears porous and non-protective. The EDX maps (c,i) highlight the interface between the base metal and the oxide film by mapping of elemental oxygen (pink) and iron (blue).
Figure 6-35: FIB cross-section micrographs recorded on a specimen surface after 910 days of exposure to solution (ii) showing: (a-c) a ~3-5 µm thick corrosion layer which appears generally uniform and protective; (d-i) regions showing deeper penetration which has spread laterally rather than developing as deep pits. The backscatter images ((b-c), (e-f), (i)) highlight the interface between the base metal (bright) and the oxide film (dark).
6.3.3. Solution (iii): Buffered, High Chloride Concentration

6.3.3.1. Raman and Infrared Spectroscopies

The evolution of the corrosion product compositions formed in solution (iii) can be seen in Figure 6-36 through Figure 6-41. Figure 6-36 shows the Raman spectra recorded on a steel coupon after 4 days of exposure. As observed in the other solutions, most locations analyzed exhibit the features expected after a period of humid air corrosion; a peak at 672 cm\(^{-1}\) with a shoulder at 720 cm\(^{-1}\) (region (1)); a broad featureless band between 200 and 570 cm\(^{-1}\) (region (2)); and a broad band in the region 1200 to 1700 cm\(^{-1}\) (region (3)). Again, as observed in the other solutions the prominence of the shoulder at 720 cm\(^{-1}\) coincides with the intensity in region (2) and the presence of the broad band in region (3) consistent with the presence of corroded locations on the steel surface prior to first immersion. For location (4), Raman bands located at 437 cm\(^{-1}\) and 512 cm\(^{-1}\) can be attributed to the Fe\(^{2+}\)–OH and Fe\(^{3+}\)–OH stretching modes of green rust (GR) [2, 6, 12-15] suggesting its rapid formation at some locations. Given the high \([\text{Cl}^{-}]\) it is likely that the coordinating interlayer anion of the GR is Cl\(^-\) with a structure of \([\text{Fe}^{II}\text{Fe}^{III}(\text{OH})_8]^+\text{[Cl} \cdot n\text{H}_2\text{O}]^-\) [16-18]. Spectrum (5) shows a single band at 1088 cm\(^{-1}\) which can be attributed to the \(\nu_1\) C–O symmetric stretching of a coordinated CO\(_3^{2-}\) species [2, 19-21]. It has been reported that the reference Raman band of siderite (FeCO\(_3\)) is 1089 cm\(^{-1}\) [19] while chukanovite (Fe\(_2\)(OH)\(_2\)CO\(_3\)) has a reference band at 1070 cm\(^{-1}\) [20]. The band in spectrum (5) appears at 1088 cm\(^{-1}\) suggesting the formation of FeCO\(_3\). However, the multiple species present on the sample surface may cause some shifting of the Raman bands, and the previously observed formation of Fe\(_2\)(OH)\(_2\)CO\(_3\) in a HCO\(_3^-\)/CO\(_3^{2-}\) solution (Chapter 5) suggests that the formation of Fe\(_2\)(OH)\(_2\)CO\(_3\) is likely. Interestingly, when the peak at 1088 cm\(^{-1}\) is present the peak in region (1) is minor and regions (2) and (3) are effectively absent, suggesting the carbonate containing phase masks the underlying surface phases.

The masking is apparently confirmed by the Raman spectra recorded after 14 days exposure, Figure 6-37(a), which show the peak in region (1) is generally visible in the absence of the peak at 1073 cm\(^{-1}\) and vice-versa. As observed over the shorter exposure period, the formation of GR persists. The band found at 1071/1073 cm\(^{-1}\) in spectra (1) and (3-5) is consistent with the coordinated CO\(_3^{2-}\) anion of Fe\(_2\)(OH)\(_2\)CO\(_3\)[22, 23], whose presence is confirmed by the FTIR spectra presented in Figure 6-37(b).
After an exposure time of 109 days, the only visible Raman bands are those at 1082 cm\(^{-1}\), Figure 6-38(a), which the FTIR spectra, Figure 6-38(b), confirm is Fe\(_2\)(OH)\(_2\)CO\(_3\). The absence of Raman bands for any other species suggests that the Fe\(_2\)(OH)\(_2\)CO\(_3\) corrosion product has become ubiquitous and is thick enough to totally obscure any other corrosion product. Fe\(_2\)(OH)\(_2\)CO\(_3\) remains the only corrosion product visible in both the Raman and FTIR spectra after 252 days, Figure 6-39. After 365 days a Raman band for Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) at 670 cm\(^{-1}\) is observed at location (6), Figure 6-40, suggesting formation of a Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) sublayer has occurred. The presence of Raman bands at both 1069 and 1085 cm\(^{-1}\) suggests both Fe\(_2\)(OH)\(_2\)CO\(_3\) and FeCO\(_3\) are present. As outlined in Chapter 4, Fe\(_2\)(OH)\(_2\)CO\(_3\) is thermodynamically metastable with respect to FeCO\(_3\) and its conversion over extended periods of time would not be unexpected [24-26]. This phenomenon is observed in archaeological artefacts which have developed inner Fe\(_2\)(OH)\(_2\)CO\(_3\) and outer FeCO\(_3\) corrosion layers after exposure to anoxic groundwaters containing HCO\(_3\)/CO\(_3^{2-}\) [20, 23, 24, 26-28]. After a final exposure period of 639 days, the Raman spectra show broad peaks at 552-544 cm\(^{-1}\) which may be due to the presence of Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\), Figure 6-41(a). This is consistent with the observation of Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) after 365 days. However, the band at 552 cm\(^{-1}\) is not the main band of Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) suggesting that the corrosion product may be highly amorphous or not attributable to Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\). The sharp Raman band at 1071 cm\(^{-1}\) along with the FTIR spectra show that Fe\(_2\)(OH)\(_2\)CO\(_3\) remains the dominant corrosion product found on the surface, Figure 6-41(b). This emergence of Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) over a long exposure period indicates its on-going formation throughout the exposure period.
Figure 6-36: Raman spectra (1-5) recorded at various locations on a steel specimen after 4 days of exposure to solution (iii).

Figure 6-37: (a) Raman spectra (1-5) and (b) FTIR spectra recorded at various locations on a steel specimen after 14 days of exposure to solution (iii).
Figure 6-38: (a) Raman spectra (1-6) and (b) FTIR spectra recorded at various locations on a steel specimen after 109 days of exposure to solution (iii).

Figure 6-39: (a) Raman spectra (1-3) and (b) FTIR spectra recorded at various locations on a steel specimen after 252 days of exposure to solution (iii).
Figure 6-40: (a) Raman spectra (1-6) and (b) FTIR spectra recorded at various locations on a steel specimen after 365 days of exposure to solution (iii).

Figure 6-41: (a) Raman spectra (1-5) and (b) FTIR spectra recorded at various locations on a steel specimen after 639 days of exposure to solution (iii).
6.3.3.2. Scanning Electron Microscopy

Figure 6-42 shows the SEM images recorded on the surface of a specimen removed after 4 days of exposure to solution (iii). Figure 6-42(a-c) shows the surface is completely covered by an oxide with the needle-like acicular morphology expected for Fe$_2$(OH)$_2$CO$_3$ consistent with the Raman spectra. Several locations across the surface also displayed large localized corrosion product deposits (60-100 µm in diameter), Figure 6-42(d-f) and (g-i), suggesting the formation of these deposits may be due to pitting. The morphology of these deposits is different to that of the surrounding Fe$_2$(OH)$_2$CO$_3$, and could correspond to the GR or γ-Fe$_2$O$_3$ observed in the Raman spectra. After a 14 day exposure, the morphology of the corrosion products remains unchanged, Figure 6-43. Figure 6-43(c) and (e-f) show large hexagonal crystals which are observed on the Fe$_2$(OH)$_2$CO$_3$ surface layer. The structure is likely to be the GR which was identified in the Raman analyses. Evidence for small locally corroded sites remains, but they are not as obvious as those observed in the first few days, Figure 6-43(g-i).

After 109 days, a deposit with a different morphology emerges in the Fe$_2$(OH)$_2$CO$_3$ crystals. This is most obvious in Figure 6-44(c). The multi-faceted cubes suggest the formation of FeCO$_3$. Since Fe$_2$(OH)$_2$CO$_3$ is thermodynamically metastable with respect to FeCO$_3$ this may indicate the slow transformation of Fe$_2$(OH)$_2$CO$_3$ into FeCO$_3$.

After 252 days of exposure these cubic structures become more regular and denser, supporting the claim that they are FeCO$_3$ crystals formed from the transformation of Fe$_2$(OH)$_2$CO$_3$, Figure 6-45. The remainder of the surface remains covered in a coherent layer of Fe$_2$(OH)$_2$CO$_3$ as suggested by the Raman and FTIR analyses as well as hexagonal crystals (Figure 6-45(e) and (g)) which may indicate the continued presence of GR. After 365 days the surface remains covered with Fe$_2$(OH)$_2$CO$_3$ but an additional morphology is observed. Small amorphous deposits are visible, Figure 6-46(c), on top of the Fe$_2$(OH)$_2$CO$_3$ deposit. In addition, Figure 6-46(d-f) and (g-h) show the localized corrosion deposits remain. It is possible, and in effect most likely, these features were present throughout the total exposure period. The morphology within deposits at these locations appears to be a mixture of Fe$_2$(OH)$_2$CO$_3$ and FeCO$_3$.

After a final exposure period of 639 days the surface remains covered in Fe$_2$(OH)$_2$CO$_3$ but the small deposits are more numerous in number, Figure 6-47(a-c). In addition, localized corrosion deposits, Figure 6-47(d-i), remain frequent across the surface. The morphology of such features
appears less crystalline and may account for the additional features seen in the Raman spectra which cannot be attributed to Fe$_2$(OH)$_2$CO$_3$.

**Figure 6-42:** SEM micrographs recorded on a steel specimen after 4 days of exposure to solution (iii) showing: (a-c) needle-like acicular crystals expected of Fe$_2$(OH)$_2$CO$_3$; (d-i) large localized corrosion products 60-100 µm in diameter.
**Figure 6-43:** SEM micrographs recorded on a steel specimen after 14 days of exposure to solution (iii) showing that the morphology of the corrosion product has remained unchanged but that small hexagonal crystals (c, e-f) appear across the surface and evidence for small locally corroded sites (g-i).
Figure 6-44: SEM micrographs recorded on a steel specimen after 109 days of exposure to solution (iii) showing the emergence of a different morphology of cubic structure best observed in (c) which suggests the formation of FeCO₃.
**Figure 6-45**: SEM micrographs recorded on a steel specimen after 252 days of exposure to solution (iii) showing a general $\text{Fe}_2(\text{OH})_2\text{CO}_3$ film with an increase in the density of the cubic structure, supporting $\text{FeCO}_3$ formation as well as hexagonal crystals in (e) and (g) which may indicate the presence of GR.
Figure 6-46: SEM micrographs recorded on a steel specimen after 365 days of exposure to solution (iii) showing: (a-c) the dominance of Fe$_2$(OH)$_3$CO$_3$ with small amorphous deposits (c) visible on top of the Fe$_2$(OH)$_3$CO$_3$ deposit; (d-i) two regions of localized corrosion deposits.
Figure 6-47: SEM micrographs recorded on a steel specimen after 639 days of exposure to solution (iii) showing: (a-c) a Fe₂(OH)₂CO₃ film with more numerous small deposits; (d-f) a region with a localized corrosion deposit; (g-i) the size distribution of additional localized corrosion deposits.
6.3.3.3. Focused Ion Beam Milling

Figure 6-48 shows cross-sectional images for three locations after 252 days of exposure. Figure 6-48(a-b) shows a cross-section cut through the Fe$_2$(OH)$_2$CO$_3$ film formed ubiquitously across the surface. The film is grown from Fe$^{2+}$ dissolved at that location, the crystals being directly attached to the substrate. This is confirmed in the backscatter image, Figure 6-48(b), which highlights the location of the metal/oxide interface. Growth of a porous film directly from the base metal suggests, at least in the short term, that a corrosion inhibiting film is not forming as observed in solutions (i) and (ii). In addition, the damage to the metal surface is uniform across the cross-section indicating these are locations of general corrosion.

However, cross-sectional analyses of the isolated deposits show they are located over regions of enhanced localized corrosion. At these locations the deposit is highly porous, Figure 6-48(c-d), and not protective. A similar feature is shown in Figure 6-48(e-f), and suggests Fe$_2$(OH)$_2$CO$_3$ has reformed at the metal/oxide interface underneath the original deposit.

Figure 6-49 shows the FIB cross-sections of three locations on the sample surface after 546 days of exposure. Cross-sectioning of the large deposit shown in Figure 6-49(a-c) indicates that this is a more active location on the steel surface. However, the backscatter image shows only shallow penetration into the metal, Figure 6-49(c). The thickness of the deposit over such a shallow penetration indicates it is porous and unprotective. In contrast, the deposit shown in Figure 6-49(d-f) shows a significant penetration into the sample surface indicating an active pitting corrosion process had occurred. The bright region seen in the center of Figure 6-49(f) is more than likely due to back deposited Ga from the milling process rather than a location of uncorroded steel. Cross-sectional analysis of the general Fe$_2$(OH)$_2$CO$_3$ surface film shows the thickness of the film has remained unchanged and confirms that the corrosion process has not accelerated and the Fe$_2$(OH)$_2$CO$_3$ film is likely protective, Figure 6-49(g-h).

Figure 6-50 shows the FIB cross-sections for two locations after 639 days of exposure. Cross-sectioning of a large deposit (Figure 6-47(a-c)) shows the film to be porous suggesting this site remained active. Beneath the deposit, damage has penetrated ~8 µm into the steel while the surrounding areas show little penetration. A cross-section through the general surface film, Figure 6-50(d-f), shows little damage to the base metal. While the Fe$_2$(OH)$_2$CO$_3$ deposit looks
unprotective it is possible that the growth of a Fe₃O₄ layer (detected by Raman spectroscopy) on the steel surface is providing corrosion protection.

**Figure 6-48**: FIB cross-section micrographs recorded on a steel specimen after 252 days of exposure to solution (iii) showing: (a-b) the general Fe₂(OH)₃CO₃ film in which the crystals are attached directly to the substrate which is confirmed by the backscatter image (b); (c-d) a cut through a region of isolated deposit showing that they are located over regions of active localized corrosion; (e-f) a cut through a region of active corrosion suggesting Fe₂(OH)₃CO₃ has reformed at the metal/oxide interface under the original deposit.
Figure 6-49: FIB cross-section micrographs recorded on a steel specimen after 546 days of exposure to solution (iii) showing: (a-c) a cut through a localized deposit indicating a more active location with shallow penetration shown in the backscatter image (c); (d-f) a cut through a region with significant penetration indicating an active pitting process had occurred; (g-h) a cut through the general Fe$_2$(OH)$_3$CO$_3$ film showing that its thickness has remained unchanged.
Figure 6-50: FIB cross-section micrographs recorded on a steel specimen after 639 days of exposure to solution (iii) showing: (a-c) a cut through a large deposit showing the film at this location to be porous and suggesting this site remained active; (d-f) a cut through the general $\text{Fe}_2(\text{OH})_3\text{CO}_3$ covered surface which shows little penetration into the metal. The backscatter images in (b), and (d) highlight the interface between the base metal (bright) and oxide film (dark).
6.3.4. Solution (iv): Simulated Groundwater Solution

6.3.4.1. Raman Spectroscopy

Figure 6-51 shows the Raman spectra recorded on the specimen exposed for 5 days to solution (iv). The minor Raman bands found at 426 and 502 cm\(^{-1}\) in spectrum (1) are attributable to GR, but the lack of any other Raman bands makes determination of the coordinating interlayer anion difficult. It is possible that, due to the complex nature of the simulated groundwater solution, any one of OH\(^-\), Cl\(^-\), CO\(_3\)^{2-}, and SO\(_4\)^{2-} could contribute to the GR structure. Spectrum (2) is consistent with Raman spectra observed for CaSO\(_4\) (gypsum) [29]. The internal modes of the SO\(_4\)^{2-} anion can be assigned to Raman bands at 415 and 494 cm\(^{-1}\) for the \(v_2\) out-of-plane bending mode, the bands at 619 and 670 cm\(^{-1}\) to the \(v_4\) in-plane bending mode, 1008 cm\(^{-1}\) to the \(v_1\) symmetric stretching, and 1136 cm\(^{-1}\) to the \(v_3\) asymmetric stretching. The very small peak at 1008 cm\(^{-1}\) in spectrum (3) confirms the formation of CaSO\(_4\), with the remaining Raman bands indicating the formation of the CaCO\(_3\) polymorph, aragonite [5, 30]. Previously, in Chapter 5, it was shown that a steel coupon exposed to a similar simulated groundwater solution for 60 days was covered with vaterite, another polymorph of CaCO\(_3\). Since the Raman spectra for each polymorph (including calcite) differ it is possible to distinguish between them. While the Raman bands located at 152 and 1085 cm\(^{-1}\) in spectrum (3) could be attributed to aragonite or calcite, the bands at 206 cm\(^{-1}\) and 704 cm\(^{-1}\) confirm the formation of aragonite. The bands at 152 and 206 cm\(^{-1}\) are attributed to the rotational and translational lattice modes of the CaCO\(_3\) structure while the bands at 704 and 1085 cm\(^{-1}\) are due to the \(v_4\) in-plane bending and \(v_1\) symmetric stretching, respectively. Spectrum (4) indicates a mixture of both CaSO\(_4\) (Raman bands 415, 494, 619, 670, 1008, and 1136 cm\(^{-1}\)) and aragonite (Raman bands 208 and 1084 cm\(^{-1}\)) is present. The persistence of minor peaks for GR in most of the spectra indicates that the surface is not fully protected by the CaSO\(_4\) and CaCO\(_3\) deposits over this early exposure period.

After an exposure period of 42 days the Raman spectra confirm the presence of GR (432 and 511 cm\(^{-1}\)) and the non-uniform presence of CaSO\(_4\) and CaCO\(_3\), Figure 6-52. However, the addition of a band at 672 cm\(^{-1}\) in spectra (3) and (4) indicates formation of Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\), and the peaks at 1135 and 1600 cm\(^{-1}\) in spectrum (4) show the residual Fe\(_3\)C from corroded pearlite grains is just detectable.
After an exposure period of 110 days, Figure 6-53, Fe$_3$O$_4$ (676 cm$^{-1}$) becomes detectable at many locations while the bands for GR are no longer observed. Spectrum (3) shows that when CaSO$_4$ and CaCO$_3$ are not present (no bands at 1010 and 1084 cm$^{-1}$) the underlying corroded surface is visible (peaks at 1347 and 1604 cm$^{-1}$ in region (3)). Spectra (4-6) indicate that when CaSO$_4$ and CaCO$_3$ are dominant on the surface the corrosion products on the underlying steel surface cannot be detected. The decrease in the relative peak height (1010 cm$^{-1}$ for CaSO$_4$) to that for CaCO$_3$ (1084 cm$^{-1}$) suggests an on-going formation of CaCO$_3$ or a redissolution of CaSO$_4$.

After a period of 728 days exposure, Figure 6-54, Raman bands for CaSO$_4$ and CaCO$_3$ are no longer present. Instead spectra (1-5) all exhibit Raman bands at 533-550 cm$^{-1}$ and 668-679 cm$^{-1}$, consistent with the presence of Fe$_3$O$_4$ [3, 5, 7]. However, the broadness and relatively low intensity of the peaks suggest that the Fe$_3$O$_4$ is neither highly crystalline nor very thick contrary to what might be expected after such a prolonged exposure period. This observation suggests continued coverage of the surface by CaSO$_4$ and CaCO$_3$ crystals may have hindered steel corrosion leading to the growth of the Fe$_3$O$_4$ film. After the full 910 day exposure period, Figure 6-55, the surface is free of any CaSO$_4$ or CaCO$_3$ deposits. The very weak and broad band at 549 cm$^{-1}$ can be attributed to poorly crystalline Fe$_3$O$_4$, suggesting its growth has been severely hindered by the early presence of CaSO$_4$/CaCO$_3$. The peaks at 1300-1400 cm$^{-1}$ and 1615 cm$^{-1}$, attributable to residual Fe$_3$C on corroded pearlite grains, confirm observable corrosion has occurred.
Figure 6-51: Raman spectra (1-4) recorded at various locations on a steel specimen after 5 days of exposure to solution (iv).

Figure 6-52: Raman spectra (1-6) recorded at various locations on a steel specimen after 42 days of exposure to solution (iv).
**Figure 6-53**: Raman spectra (1-6) recorded at various locations on a steel specimen after 110 days of exposure to solution (iv).

**Figure 6-54**: Raman spectra (1-5) recorded at various locations on a steel specimen after 728 days of exposure to solution (iv).
Figure 6-55: Raman spectra (1-4) recorded at various locations on a steel specimen after 910 days of exposure to solution (iv).

6.3.4.2. Scanning Electron Microscopy

Figure 6-56(a-c) shows the extent of coverage by the CaSO₄ and CaCO₃ after an exposure period of only 5 days. The fine morphology of the crystal structures can be seen in Figure 6-56(d-f). The long rectangular crystals are the expected structure for CaSO₄ and the star-shaped crystals are the expected structure of aragonite. The finely detailed spheres, Figure 6-56(f), are likely a polymorph of CaCO₃ associated with the aragonite crystals seen growing in close proximity. Alternatively, they could be calcite, or possibly vaterite, the latter having been seen in electrochemical experiments performed in a similar solution in Chapter 5. Figure 6-56(g-i) shows an area of exposed steel which exhibits the surface roughening seen on the surfaces corroded in the Cl⁻ only solutions. The emerging crystalline corrosion product seen in Figure 6-56(i) is likely the GR identified by Raman analysis.

After 42 days the underlying metal surface is almost completely obscured by the CaSO₄ and CaCO₃ crystals, Figure 6-57. After 56 days this coverage persists, Figure 6-58, but some regions
of the steel surface are visible and appear corroded, Figure 6-58(b-c), exhibiting a roughness similar to that observed on a specimen corroded in a similar solution (solution (iv)) in Chapter 5, on which Fe₃O₄ was observed under a deposit of vaterite crystals.

After 728 days the surface is clear of CaSO₄/CaCO₃ crystals which have redissolved, Figure 6-59. The visible surface shows a coherent oxide layer (Figure 6-59(a-c) and (d-f)), and a significant corrosion product deposit (Figure 6-59(g-i)). The morphology of the general film, as well as the deposits, resembles those seen for the Fe₃O₄ film formed over an extended period of time in solution (ii) containing a similar [Cl⁻]. The apparently amorphous nature of the film would explain the broad and low intensity Raman bands observed for Fe₃O₄. Figure 6-60 shows that after the full exposure period of 910 days the corrosion product morphology remains unchanged. An apparently thick and protective layer of Fe₃O₄ remains with a scattered deposit spread across the surface. The similarity of the composition and morphology of the films grown over extended time periods in both solution (ii) and (iv) confirm that, regardless of the crystal coverage, the corrosion behaviour is similar in the two concentrated Cl⁻ solutions and that the additional groundwater ions of solution (iv) do not play a strong role in the corrosion product identity and morphology.
Figure 6-56: SEM micrographs recorded on a steel specimen after 5 days of exposure to solution (iv) showing: (a-c) the extent of CaSO₄ and CaCO₃ crystal coverage; (d-f) the fine crystal morphology with the long rectangular crystals the expected structure of CaSO₄ and the star-shaped crystals the expected structure of CaCO₃ (aragonite); (g-i) a region of the exposed steel exhibiting a roughening of the surface.
Figure 6-57: SEM micrographs recorded on a steel specimen after 42 days of exposure to solution (iv) showing that the underlying steel surface is almost completely obscured by the CaSO$_4$ and CaCO$_3$ crystals.
**Figure 6-58**: SEM micrographs recorded on a steel specimen after 56 days of exposure to solution (iv) showing that the crystal coverage continues while regions of visible surface (b-c) appear roughened.
Figure 6-59: SEM micrographs recorded on a steel specimen after 728 days of exposure to solution (iv) showing: (a-f) the visible surface which exhibits a coherent oxide layer; (g-i) a region with a significant corrosion product deposit.
Figure 6-60: SEM micrographs recorded on a steel specimen after 910 days of exposure to solution (iv) showing an apparently thick protective layer of Fe$_3$O$_4$ with scattered corrosion deposits.
6.3.4.3. **Focused Ion Beam Milling**

Due to the coverage of the steel surface by the CaSO$_4$ and CaCO$_3$ crystals, the cutting of FIB cross-sections was not possible for samples extracted prior to 728 days of exposure. Figure 6-61 shows the FIB cross-sections for two locations on the steel surface after 728 days. Cross-sectioning through both the deposit (Figure 6-61(a-c)) and the more generally covered surface (Figure 6-61(d-f)) showed that no significantly greater penetration into the steel occurred under the deposit but some regions of the general surface appeared to be more extensively corroded than others. This is in contrast to samples removed at a similar time interval from solution (ii) (with a similar [Cl$^-$]) in which the corrosion across the general surface was more-or-less uniform. However, the characteristic bands of Fe$_3$C left behind by the corrosion of the pearlite structure can be seen within the oxide film, Figure 6-61(e-f).

Figure 6-62 shows the FIB cross-sections after the full exposure period of 910 days. The cross-sections show considerable void space appears between the corrosion product deposit and the steel substrate. Backscatter images, Figure 6-62(b) and (d), highlight the separation between the oxide film and base metal. The depth of penetration appears similar to that on the sample exposed for 728 days. The delamination of the film from the steel may be due to the drying out process when the specimen was extracted from the solution. Comparison of images of these specimens to those for specimens exposed to solution (ii) indicates more extensive corrosion occurred in the absence of the groundwater species. This may be due to protection of the steel due to the deposition of CaSO$_4$ and CaCO$_3$. Only after the crystals have redissolved was active corrosion of the base metal visible.
Figure 6-61: FIB cross-section micrographs recorded on a steel specimen after 728 days of exposure to solution (iv) showing: (a-c) a cut through a localized deposit showing some undercutting of the substrate; (d-f) a cut through a general corroded region showing no significant penetration into the steel. The backscatter image in (f) highlights the interface between the base metal (bright) and oxide film (dark).

Figure 6-62: FIB cross-section micrographs recorded on a steel specimen after 910 days of exposure to solution (iv) showing regions exhibiting considerable void space between the product and substrate. Backscatter images (b, d) highlight the separation of the oxide film (dark) and the base metal (bright).
6.4. DISCUSSION

In the solutions dominated by Cl\(^-\) the evolution of the corrosion process can be directly observed since the additional anions present in the other solutions do not obscure the changes on the surface due to corrosion. Due to the prior exposure of the specimens to a humid laboratory atmosphere the surfaces are already visibly corroded prior to first immersion. The dominant surface corrosion layer is \(\gamma\)-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) and some areas of the surface, possibly those associated with pearlite grains, are already visibly more corroded than others.

Over the first \(~50\) days this \(\gamma\)-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) disappears most likely via its reduction driven by galvanic coupling to the corroding steel substrate. This would be consistent with the claims of Stratmann et al. [31] who proposed that in anoxic environments, such as periods of high water content in the pore structure of rusts formed during wet/dry cycling, the dissolution of iron metal is balanced by the reduction of Fe\(_{\text{III}}\) oxides within the rust layers. In our experiments this reductive dissolution of the Fe\(_{\text{III}}\) oxides could occur in the acidic conditions (6.3 ± 0.5) used which, according to Stratmann et al. [31] would lead to the reduction of \(\gamma\)-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) to Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) via the following mechanism:

\[
\begin{align*}
Fe & \rightarrow Fe^{2+} + 2e^- \\
4\gamma - Fe_2O_3 + Fe^{2+} + 2e^- & \rightarrow 3Fe_3O_4
\end{align*}
\]

At the pH used in our experiments the solubility of Fe\(^{2+}\) would be high, which would kinetically favour reaction 6-82. In addition, this is facilitated by the similarity in crystal structures of the two oxides. Both exhibit inverse spinel structures in which the O\(^-\) sub-lattices are almost identical, the only difference being the slightly different octahedral and tetrahedral holes occupied by the Fe\(^{3+}\)/Fe\(^{4+}\) cations [31, 32].

After \(~100\) days, Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) is the dominant surface phase and appears to be present as a uniformly distributed film. Also, only minor amounts of deposited oxide are observed over moderate exposure periods (up to \(~250\) days) but a significant deposit accumulates over extended exposure periods. These observations are not unexpected since the Fe\(^{2+}\) solubility is quite high at pH 6.3.

Corrosion appears to be preferentially located at pearlite grains, the cross-sectional analysis of the samples exposed for extended periods of time (252 to 910 days) showing the remnants of
Fe₃C bands from the original pearlite structure of the steel coupon. This was particularly evident as bright bands within the darker oxide layer as shown in the cross-section in Figure 6-34(e). EDX mapping of this location showed a coincidence in the signals for both elemental Fe and C, confirming the presence of Fe₃C inside the surrounding Fe₃O₄ film, Figure 6-34(f). Several authors have stated that the Fe₃C of the pearlite structure has a lower overpotential for the cathodic reaction than the surrounding α-Fe and could, therefore, support microgalvanic coupling by accelerating the cathodic reaction leading to the preferential dissolution of the α-Fe in the pearlite grains [33-37]. The conversion of α-Fe lamellae to Fe₃O₄ leads eventually to the Fe₃C bands being entrapped within the oxide. While this process is most evident in Figure 6-34(e-f), it can be seen within most of the FIB cross-sections suggesting that this process has occurred uniformly at pearlite grains across the entire sample surface.

Some authors have suggested that exposure of Fe₃C causes an acceleration in the corrosion rate in this manner [35, 36]. However, this acceleration may only be temporary since, as claimed by others [37], the corrosion rate would eventually be suppressed by the accumulation of Fe₃O₄ within the reduced Fe₃C lamellae. However, bands within the already conductive Fe₃O₄ facilitate the coupling of these locations as cathodes supporting corrosion of α-Fe grains [36]. The observation of some apparent intergranular corrosion between grains may support this claim. This intergranular effect was most apparent in Figure 6-19(c) and may be involved in the creation of the void space beneath the corrosion product layer, Figure 6-19(g-i).

The early behaviour in the dilute (solution (i)) and concentrated (solution (iii)) chloride solutions is effectively the same. This is not unexpected under anoxic conditions, the results in Chapter 4 showing that this difference in [Cl⁻] was only important when traces of dissolved O₂ were present when a Cl⁻ catalyzed passivation of the surface could occur at the higher concentration. However, the O₂ incursion which occurred after 182 days in the high [Cl⁻] solution did lead to a change in behaviour between the two solutions. As expected, oxidation to γ-Fe₂O₃, and possibly other Fe oxyhydroxides, was observed. Subsequently, the consequences of this change in redox conditions were observable over the following 718 days. The final general corrosion product layer was thicker than in the low [Cl⁻] solution. This can be attributed partially to the extended exposure period but also to the increase in overall extent of corrosion due to the availability of an increased O₂ inventory. However, while the Raman analyses show oxidation to γ-Fe₂O₃ occurs as a consequence of the presence of O₂, it also shows that eventually Fe₃O₄ is re-established as
the dominant corrosion product. This can be attributed to the microgalvanic coupling of \( \gamma \)-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) reduction to Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) to Fe dissolution as described above. Unfortunately, the deconvolution of the Raman spectra in region (1) becomes too unreliable to demonstrate the effectiveness of this conversion. The slow accumulation of deposited particulates can also be attributed to the temporary establishment of more oxidizing conditions which lead to the oxidation of soluble Fe\(^{2+}\) and the deposition of the considerably less soluble Fe\(^{3+}\).

In the presence of HCO\(_3\)/CO\(_3\)^2\(-\) (solution (iii)) the surface rapidly becomes covered by Fe\(_2\)(OH)\(_2\)CO\(_3\), although the formation of GR is observed after short exposure periods. It is possible that the formation of GR can be attributed to trace levels of O\(_2\) in the solution and catalysis of the oxidation of Fe\(^{2+}\) to Fe\(^{3+}\) reaction at the high [Cl\(^-\)] [38-48]. Since GR is metastable with respect to Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\), especially as conditions become more anoxic, Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) is probably partially formed as a conversion product of the GR dehydration and oxidation [1, 49] accounting for its disappearance beyond short exposure periods.

While Fe\(_2\)(OH)\(_2\)CO\(_3\) was expected to form, based on the observation that it was formed in shorter (60 days) experiments as described in Chapter 5, its formation in this experiment was considerably faster. The freshly polished steel coupon exposed to solution (iii) (Chapter 5) only slowly grew an interfacial layer of Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) followed by the slow accumulation of an outer Fe\(_2\)(OH)\(_2\)CO\(_3\) layer. For the specimens described in this chapter the surface was already partially covered with a thin \( \gamma \)-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) layer formed by humid air exposure prior to immersion. It is likely that the high [Fe\(^{2+}\)], caused by the conversion of this oxide to Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) coupled to dissolution in the presence of [HCO\(_3\)/CO\(_3\)^2\(-\)] at a pH >8.9, promoted the formation of Fe\(_2\)(OH)\(_2\)CO\(_3\) over other iron carbonates such as FeCO\(_3\) [23, 24, 26, 50-53]. ICP-OES analysis of the solution after a period of roughly 252 days showed no detectable traces of dissolved iron in the solution. This indicates that the available Fe\(^{2+}\) is rapidly converted to Fe\(_2\)(OH)\(_2\)CO\(_3\).

The combination of an inner Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) interfacial layer on the metal surface with an outer Fe\(_2\)(OH)\(_2\)CO\(_3\) layer would offer an explanation of the corrosion kinetics and the growth of the film over the full 639 day period. Thin interfacial layers of Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\)/\( \gamma \)-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) are well known to control the kinetics of iron corrosion [54, 55], and it has been suggested that the protective nature of a Fe\(_2\)(OH)\(_2\)CO\(_3\) film can be attributed to the presence of a thin underlying spinel oxide layer [52, 54-56]. The SEM images and FIB cross-sections of samples exposed for the full 639 day exposure period indicated a constant corrosion rate since the corrosion product layer did not
thicken with exposure time, Figure 6-48(b) and Figure 6-49(h). This observation is consistent with published results [57] that showed a constant corrosion rate for iron in carbonated media due to the parallel growth and dissolution of an interfacial spinel layer on the metal surface.

The eventual formation of cubic and multi-faceted cubic crystals within the Fe$_2$(OH)$_2$CO$_3$ film after 109 days exposure and their persistence for the duration of the experiment suggests the eventual conversion of Fe$_2$(OH)$_2$CO$_3$ to FeCO$_3$. The metastable nature of Fe$_2$(OH)$_2$CO$_3$ with respect to FeCO$_3$ over extended periods of time is thought to be responsible for the formation of Fe$_2$(OH)$_2$CO$_3$ and FeCO$_3$ strata on archaeological artefacts exposed to carbonated media [23, 24, 26, 53, 55]. The metastable nature of Fe$_2$(OH)$_2$CO$_3$ with respect to FeCO$_3$ is illustrated by the Pourbaix diagram in Figure 6-63 [26]. FeCO$_3$ ingrowths in Fe$_2$(OH)$_2$CO$_3$ corrosion products have previously been seen on multiple natural samples [22, 58].

**Figure 6-63:** Pourbaix diagram of iron in carbonated aqueous media at 25°C for equilibria involving Fe$_2$(OH)$_2$CO$_3$ (dotted lines) and FeCO$_3$ (solid lines) [26].
Pandarinathan et al. [53] have reported that defects within the corrosion layers, as well as mixed oxides of \( \text{Fe}_2(\text{OH})_2\text{CO}_3 \) and \( \text{FeCO}_3 \), have the ability to induce localized corrosion and pitting processes, which could account for the localized corrosion events seen in Figure 6-48 to Figure 6-50, after 252, 546, and 639 days of exposure. Their voltammetric measurements on steel exposed to carbonated media under a simulated sand deposit showed a positive hysteresis in the reverse scan taken to indicate the development of the active locations shown to exist by SEM.

In the simulated groundwater solution the rapid formation of gypsum \((\text{CaSO}_4)\) and aragonite \((\text{CaCO}_3)\) deposits in as little as 2 days (Appendix A) obscures the early stages of any corrosion processes, although the presence of GR is detectable. The development of \( \text{CaCO}_3 \) deposits have been linked to reduced corrosion rates on steel surfaces due to their ability to act as a physical barrier blocking the surface from oxidizing species [59-63].

The composition of the exposure solution influences which of the polymorphs (calcite, aragonite, vaterite) will form and the nature of the steel surface affects the morphology and the rate of coverage [59, 61]. The development of aragonite over calcite is thought to increase the corrosion resistance of the base metal due to its better adhesion to the metal surface compared to calcite [63]. Previous authors have suggested that the presence of \( \text{Mg}^{2+} \) can promote the formation of aragonite over calcite in freely corroding systems [60-63]. Ben Amor et al. [61] suggest that aragonite may form exclusively when \([\text{Mg}^{2+}] \geq 0.27 \text{ M}\). The high \([\text{Mg}^{2+}]\) in the simulated groundwater (0.337 M) could then explain why the only polymorph to form on the sample surface was aragonite. At low \([\text{Mg}^{2+}]\) the \( \text{Mg}^{2+} \) ions are adsorbed onto the surface of calcite nuclei and block their further growth. At higher \([\text{Mg}^{2+}]\) the \( \text{Mg}^{2+} \) ions can be partially incorporated into the calcite crystal structure increasing its solubility and decreasing its ability to provide surface protection [63]. However, \( \text{Mg}^{2+} \) ions have no apparent effect on the growth of aragonite, and it is the hindrance of calcite formation which promotes the formation of aragonite. Moller [60] reported a reduction in steel corrosion rates in the presence of \( \text{Mg}^{2+} \) compared to rates measured in an identical solution without \( \text{Mg}^{2+} \). This reduction in rate was attributed to aragonite formation promoted by \( \text{Mg}^{2+} \) leading to a more comprehensive coverage of the surface and a limitation of the diffusion of oxidants to the surface.

The high density of aragonite crystals grown in the simulated groundwater (solution (iv)) appear to impede, at least initially, the steel corrosion rate which would explain the difference in
corrosion product morphology and thickness after exposure to solutions (ii) and (iv), which differ only in the addition of the added groundwater ions such as Ca\(^{2+}\) and Mg\(^{2+}\). However, while the crystal coverage may appear complete, EIS studies have shown corrosion could occur [61]. In our experiment this would explain the detection of GR and Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) over the initial 110 day exposure period and the continued growth of Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) until the conclusion of the exposure term at 910 days. That corrosion had occurred was confirmed by the Raman and SEM analyses once the CaSO\(_4\) and CaCO\(_3\) had redissolved.

6.5. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

The long-term corrosion behaviour of steel was investigated in solutions which varied in [Cl\(^-\)] and pH as well as in a simulated sedimentary groundwater solution. These studies are meant to be complimentary to the electrochemical experiments outlined in Chapter 5.

The initial surface layer, present on first immersion, was shown to be γ-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\), formed as a result of humid air exposure prior to immersion. Over the first 100 days of exposure this film was reduced to Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) by galvanic coupling to the dissolution of the steel substrate,

\[
\text{Fe} \rightarrow \text{Fe}^{2+} + 2e^- \quad (4-83)
\]

\[
4\gamma - \text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3 + \text{Fe}^{2+} + 2e^- \rightarrow 3\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4 \quad (4-84)
\]

with the high solubility of Fe\(^{2+}\) at the experimental pH kinetically promoting the conversion. Corrosion appeared to be preferentially located at pearlite grains with oxide accumulating in the Fe\(_3\)C bands as the α-Fe lamellae were converted to Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\). This preferential corrosion of pearlite can be attributed to the lower overpotential of Fe\(_3\)C lamellae for the cathodic reaction allowing them to act as preferential cathodes. However, this process may be suppressed over time by the slow accumulation of an Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) layer on the surface and within the Fe\(_3\)C lamellae.

While the corrosion in solutions (i) and (ii) was very similar in the initial stages, an incursion of O\(_2\) caused a change in behaviour for solution (ii) which was shown to develop γ-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) corrosion products as well as a generally thicker corrosion product layer. However, Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) was eventually established as the dominant corrosion product, confirming the microgalvanic coupling of γ-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) reduction to Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) to Fe dissolution.
The addition of $\text{HCO}_3^-/\text{CO}_3^{2-}$ leading to an increase in pH to 8.85 led to the rapid formation of $\text{Fe}_2(\text{OH})_3\text{CO}_3$. The more rapid growth of this layer (in comparison to that in a similar solution (Chapter 5)) was attributed to the initial $\gamma$-$\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$ layer formed via humid air exposure prior to immersion. The high local $[\text{Fe}^{2+}]$ produced by the reduction of this layer to $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$ promoted the rapid formation of $\text{Fe}_2(\text{OH})_3\text{CO}_3$. The reduction of $\gamma$-$\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$ leading to the formation of an interfacial layer of $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$ combined with the outer layer of $\text{Fe}_2(\text{OH})_3\text{CO}_3$ was shown to slow the corrosion kinetics. The protective nature of $\text{Fe}_2(\text{OH})_3\text{CO}_3$ has often been attributed to a thin interfacial spinel oxide layer as seen in these experiments. However, the eventual thermodynamic transformation of $\text{Fe}_2(\text{OH})_3\text{CO}_3$ to $\text{FeCO}_3$, consistent with the strata formed on archaeological artefacts, appeared to induce some localized corrosion/pitting processes observed in SEM/FIB images.

Addition of anticipated groundwater ions caused the formation of $\text{CaSO}_4$ and $\text{CaCO}_3$ crystals in as little as 2 days, which, consistent with the findings described in Chapter 5, were shown to impede the steel corrosion due to their ability to provide a physical barrier between oxidizing species and the steel surface. This crystal coverage explains the differences in morphology and thickness of the corrosion product layers grown in solutions (ii) and (iv) (which have similar $[\text{Cl}^{-}]$). However, corrosion was shown to occur after the dissolution of the crystals in the form of GR and $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$. In addition, the high $\text{Mg}^{2+}$ content of the groundwater solution was shown to promote the formation of aragonite, a $\text{CaCO}_3$ polymorph known to cause a reduction in steel corrosion rates.
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Chapter 7

Conclusions and Future Research

7.1 CONCLUSIONS

The primary focus of this research was on the corrosion of A516 Gr70 steel under the environmental conditions anticipated in a nuclear waste repository. Various environmental features, such as anion content (Cl\(^-\), HCO\(_3\)/CO\(_3\)\(^2-\), and SO\(_4\)\(^2-\)), trace O\(_2\) content, and pH were investigated. Also, the effects of H\(_2\)O\(_2\) on the steel corrosion process were investigated to determine how corrosion of the inside of a container would be influenced by the radiolytic oxidants produced by the fuel waste form inside the container.

The influence of H\(_2\)O\(_2\) on the corrosion of carbon steel was investigated under deaerated conditions, when traces of O\(_2\) could be present, and under anaerobic conditions, when the [O\(_2\)] would be expected to be at the ppb level. Under anaerobic conditions active steel corrosion, producing Fe\(^{2+}\) and H\(_2\) was maintained up to an added [H\(_2\)O\(_2\)] of 6 µM. For deaerated conditions, passivation leading to pitting occurred for added [H\(_2\)O\(_2\)] ≥ 10 to 15 µM but was attributed to the presence of traces of dissolved O\(_2\) despite continuous Ar-sparging. While passivation of the surface should not occur under anaerobic conditions, the presence of non-passivating Fe\(^{III}\)-containing corrosion products confirmed the interaction of the H\(_2\)O\(_2\) with the steel. Model calculations showed that [H\(_2\)O\(_2\)] > 10\(^{-9}\) M are effectively unachievable at the steel surface and therefore, it can be concluded that active steel corrosion will be maintained inside a failed waste container, and that the soluble corrosion products (Fe\(^{2+}\) and H\(_2\)) will be available to suppress fuel corrosion and radionuclide release.

The electrochemical behaviour as well as the corrosion products formed on carbon steel was shown to be dependent on the anion content of the exposure environment, specifically, Cl\(^-\), HCO\(_3\)/CO\(_3\)\(^2-\), and SO\(_4\)\(^2-\). An increase in the [Cl\(^-\)] led to an increase in E\(_{CORR}\) and R\(_p\) which suggested a less reactive surface state explained by the ability of Cl\(^-\) to induce passivation by the stabilization of Fe\(^{III}\) oxides in the presence of traces of dissolved O\(_2\). At high concentrations, Cl\(^-\) exerts a dual role first catalyzing surface oxidation leading to passivity, and then causing the initiation of breakdown sites on the passivated surface. The presence of Cl\(^-\) was also shown to influence the final corrosion products with low [Cl\(^-\)] favouring the formation of Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) while high [Cl\(^-\)] promotes the formation of γ-FeOOH.
The addition of \([\text{HCO}_3^-/\text{CO}_3^{2-}]\) was shown to accelerate the anodic dissolution of \(\text{Fe}^{2+}\) by stabilization of soluble complexes such as \(\text{FeHCO}_3^+\) and \(\text{Fe}(\text{HCO}_3)_2\). This led to a competition between the stabilization of \(\text{Fe}^{2+}\) by \(\text{HCO}_3^-/\text{CO}_3^{2-}\) and the oxidation to \(\text{Fe}^{\text{III}}\) oxides catalyzed by \(\text{Cl}^-\). An increase in \([\text{HCO}_3^-/\text{CO}_3^{2-}]\) also shifted the breakdown potential to more positive values due to the ability of the \(\text{HCO}_3^-/\text{CO}_3^{2-}\) to buffer local acidity and prevent pit propagation. Even at low \([\text{HCO}_3^-/\text{CO}_3^{2-}]\) (0.001 M), \(\text{HCO}_3^-/\text{CO}_3^{2-}\) can buffer the pH at breakdown sites allowing repassivation to occur.

The increased values of \(E_{\text{CORR}}\) and \(R_p\) in the presence of \(\text{SO}_4^{2-}\) indicated that this anion does not prevent the \(\text{Cl}^-\) catalyzed oxidation to \(\text{Fe}^{\text{III}}\) oxide in the presence of traces of \(\text{O}_2\). Passivation of the surface in this manner causes more frequent breakdown processes but their propagation appears limited due to the inability of \(\text{SO}_4^{2-}\) to promote \(\text{Fe}^{2+}\) dissolution. Additionally, \(\text{SO}_4^{2-}\) was shown to have a more pronounced effect on the film breakdown potential than \(\text{HCO}_3^-/\text{CO}_3^{2-}\), suggesting it may be more strongly adsorbed on the \(\text{Fe}^{\text{III}}\) oxide surface.

Further electrochemical studies for periods of up to 60 days were performed in an anaerobic chamber to determine the behaviour of carbon steel corrosion over extended periods of time in the absence of traces of \(\text{O}_2\). These studies focused on the effects of [\(\text{Cl}^-\)], \(\text{HCO}_3^-/\text{CO}_3^{2-}\), and a simulated sedimentary clay groundwater solution. An increase in [\(\text{Cl}^-\)] caused an increase in the corrosion rate of the steel, as indicated by an increased roughness of the steel surface. At the higher [\(\text{Cl}^-\)] (4.77 M) an acceleration of the cathodic kinetics was observed which was attributed to the exposure of \(\text{Fe}_3\text{C}\) in the pearlite grains during corrosion, leading to an increased surface area on which the cathodic reaction could occur.

Even in a solution with low \([\text{HCO}_3^-]\) (0.002213 M; solution (i)), chukanovite (\(\text{Fe}_2(\text{OH})_2\text{CO}_3\)) was formed in non-uniform patches on the steel surface. While generally expected to form in slightly alkaline conditions, it was shown that the interfacial \(\text{[Fe}^{2+}]\) was most likely the main factor leading to \(\text{Fe}_2(\text{OH})_2\text{CO}_3\) deposition. Increased dissolution of the steel led to an increased interfacial \(\text{[Fe}^{2+}]\) which was then able to combine with available \(\text{HCO}_3^-\) in the bulk solution leading to the precipitation of \(\text{Fe}_2(\text{OH})_2\text{CO}_3\).

Addition of anticipated groundwater ions for a sedimentary clay environment (solution (iv)) caused an initial suppression of the anodic kinetics followed by a constant corrosion rate. The difference in behaviour between solution (iv) and solution (ii) with comparable [\(\text{Cl}^-\)] and [\(\text{HCO}_3^-\)]
showed that the groundwater ions influence the steel corrosion rate. The initial increase in $R_p$ likely reflects the formation of the Fe$_3$O$_4$ film and a deposited layer of vaterite (CaCO$_3$), the latter offering partial protection to the steel surface.

The addition of 0.10 M HCO$_3^-$ /CO$_3^{2-}$ to buffer the pH to 8.85 led to a significant decrease in the corrosion rate. While not observed by Raman spectroscopy, Fe$_3$O$_4$ formation would be expected to reduce the corrosion rate while the outer layer of Fe$_2$(OH)$_2$CO$_3$ observed would provide additional protection of the surface. Growth of such a barrier layer would explain the constant corrosion rate observed after 10 days of exposure. The subsequent noise observed in the $E_{CORR}$ and $R_p$ values is then attributed to the unstable nature of this Fe$_3$O$_4$ layer with its dissolution leading to the precipitation of Fe$_2$(OH)$_2$CO$_3$.

Complementary to electrochemical studies, a series of long-term exposure experiments were conducted, for exposure periods of up to 30 months, to determine the changes in corrosion product composition and morphology over extended corrosion periods. The initial surface layer, present on first immersion, was shown to be $\gamma$-Fe$_2$O$_3$, formed as a result of humid air exposure prior to immersion. Over the first 100 days of exposure this film was reduced to Fe$_3$O$_4$ by galvanic coupling to the dissolution of the steel substrate,

$$\text{Fe} \rightarrow \text{Fe}^{2+} + 2e^-$$

$$4\gamma - \text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3 + \text{Fe}^{2+} + 2e^- \rightarrow 3\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$$

with the high solubility of Fe$^{2+}$ at the experimental pH kinetically promoting the conversion. Corrosion appeared to be preferentially located at pearlite grains with oxide accumulating in the Fe$_2$C bands as the $\alpha$-Fe lamellae were converted to Fe$_3$O$_4$. This preferential corrosion of pearlite can be attributed to the lower overpotential of Fe$_3$C lamellae for the cathodic reaction allowing them to function as preferential cathodes. However, this process may be suppressed over time by the slow accumulation of an Fe$_3$O$_4$ layer on the surface and within the Fe$_3$C lamellae.

While the corrosion in solutions (i) and (ii) was very similar in the initial stages, an incursion of O$_2$ caused a change in behaviour for solution (ii) which was shown to develop $\gamma$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ corrosion products as well as a generally thicker corrosion product layer. However, Fe$_3$O$_4$ was eventually established as the dominant corrosion product, confirming the microgalvanic coupling of $\gamma$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ reduction to Fe$_3$O$_4$ to Fe dissolution.
The addition of $\text{HCO}_3^-/\text{CO}_3^{2-}$ leading to an increase in pH to 8.85 led to the rapid formation of $\text{Fe}_2(\text{OH})_2\text{CO}_3$. The more rapid growth of this layer (in comparison to that in a similar solution (Chapter 5)) was attributed to the initial $\gamma$-$\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$ layer formed via humid air exposure prior to immersion. The high local [Fe$^{2+}$] produced by the reduction of this layer to Fe$_3$O$_4$ promoted the rapid formation of Fe$_2$(OH)$_2$CO$_3$. The reduction of $\gamma$-$\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$ leading to the formation of an interfacial layer of Fe$_3$O$_4$ combined with the outer layer of Fe$_2$(OH)$_2$CO$_3$ was shown to slow the corrosion kinetics. The protective nature of Fe$_2$(OH)$_2$CO$_3$ has often been attributed to a thin interfacial spinel oxide layer as seen in these experiments. However, the eventual thermodynamic transformation of Fe$_2$(OH)$_2$CO$_3$ to FeCO$_3$, consistent with the strata formed on archaeological artefacts, appeared to induce some localized corrosion/pitting processes observed in SEM/FIB images.

Addition of anticipated groundwater ions caused the formation of CaSO$_4$ and CaCO$_3$ crystals in as little as 2 days, which, consistent with the findings described in Chapter 5, were shown to impede the steel corrosion due to their ability to provide a physical barrier between oxidizing species and the steel surface. This crystal coverage explains the differences in morphology and thickness of the corrosion product layers grown in solutions (ii) and (iv) (which have similar [Cl$^-$]). However, corrosion was shown to occur after the dissolution of the crystals in the form of GR and Fe$_3$O$_4$. In addition, the high Mg$^{2+}$ content of the groundwater solution was shown to promote the formation of aragonite, a CaCO$_3$ polymorph known to cause a reduction in steel corrosion rates.

### 7.2 CONSEQUENCES OF STEEL CONTAINER CORROSION

Based on the studies in this thesis, a number of conclusions can be made about the viability of a carbon steel waste container.

The results of Chapter 4 explored the effects of trace levels of O$_2$ on steel corrosion. Such conditions could arise after short periods of emplacement of a container in the repository if saturation of the clay surrounding the container occurs before the complete consumption of the initially trapped O$_2$. Reaction with trace levels of O$_2$ could lead to passivation when the general corrosion rate would be very slow but minor amounts of pitting could occur. This only seems likely in very saline groundwaters if the pH is $\geq 9$. Under less alkaline conditions passivation leading to pitting should not occur.
If totally anoxic conditions prevail the Fe$^{III}$ oxide initially present on the container surface on emplacement will reduce to Fe$_3$O$_4$ through galvanic coupling to steel dissolution leading to minor localized corrosion damage. Subsequently, corrosion will be general and in the absence of trace levels of O$_2$ no passivation/pitting would be expected. The slow accumulation of a Fe$_3$O$_4$ film would be expected.

Studies in simulated groundwater solutions showed that the presence of additional groundwater ions would promote the formation of calcareous deposits. These deposits would be partially protective but steel corrosion would continue slowly beneath them.

The results in Chapter 3 show that the radiolytic production of oxidants, in particular H$_2$O$_2$, inside a failed waste container will allow continued corrosion of the steel. The concentration of H$_2$O$_2$ that could reach the inner container wall should be negligible. Consequently, steel corrosion leading to the production of H$_2$O$_2$ scavengers (Fe$^{2+}$ and H$_2$) will continue, leading to a suppression of radionuclide release from the fuel wasteform.

### 7.3 FUTURE RESEARCH

In this study, an attempt was made to determine the corrosion behaviour of A516 Gr70 carbon steel in a variety of sedimentary clay groundwater environments in both the presence and absence of trace levels of O$_2$.

The studies performed in the presence of H$_2$O$_2$ (Chapter 3) examine the consequences of container failure. However, the lack of repeat experiments under both the deaerated and anaerobic environments suggests that additional experiments for each would lend insight into their reproducibility. In addition, it would be beneficial to perform the anaerobic experiment under increased [H$_2$O$_2$] to confirm that while the H$_2$O$_2$ is seen to react with the steel surface, it is unable to induce passivation. This would confirm the results of the deaerated experiments which suggested the passivation was due to trace levels of O$_2$ within the solution.

Under anaerobic conditions, both short-term electrochemical (Chapter 5) and long-term surface analysis (Chapter 6) studies were performed. However, these studies did not present any quantitative results of the corrosion damage under these conditions. It would be beneficial to perform quantitative analysis on the film thicknesses and depths of corrosion penetration in each of the studied environments through the use of FIB cross-sectioning and statistical analysis.
In addition, it may prove beneficial to use mass loss measurements to convert the corrosion damage into a rate of penetration in order to determine the time at which failure of the container could occur.

In this study the corrosion behaviour was monitored under ambient conditions. However, during the initial stages of container corrosion the temperature of the repository may be as high as 80°C. As such, it would be beneficial to supplement the results found within this study with results of similar experiments performed at elevated temperatures more representative of the DGR environment. In addition, experiment may be performed under a range of temperature conditions from ambient to 80°C to study the evolution of the container corrosion behaviour as the DGR begins to cool over time.

When emplaced in a repository the container would be surrounded by compacted clay. This will lead to modification of the local exposure environment and introduce the possibility that corrosion will be affected by the ability of this clay to absorb dissolved Fe(II) species. A similar series of experiments to those presented in this thesis should be performed in the presence of compacted clay.
Appendix A

Supporting Raman Spectra and SEM images for the Long-Term Evolution of Carbon Steel Corrosion under Anoxic Simulated Groundwater Environments

A.1. REFERENCE RAMAN SPECTRA

Figure A-1: Reference Raman spectrum for $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$ (magnetite).
Figure A-2: Reference Raman spectrum for γ-Fe₂O₃ (maghemite).

Figure A-3: Reference Raman spectrum for α-FeOOH (goethite).
Figure A-4: Reference Raman spectrum for γ-FeOOH (lepidocrocite).

A.2. SOLUTION (I): LOW CHLORIDE CONCENTRATION

A.2.1. Raman Spectroscopy

Figure A-5: (a) Raman spectra recorded at various locations on a steel specimen after 2 days of exposure to solution (i); (b) deconvolution of the spectrum closest to the sample average.
Figure A-6: (a) Raman spectra recorded at various locations on a steel specimen after 4 days of exposure to solution (i); (b) deconvolution of the spectrum closest to the sample average.

Figure A-7: (a) Raman spectra recorded at various locations on a steel specimen after 7 days of exposure to solution (i); (b) deconvolution of the spectrum closest to the sample average.
Figure A-8: (a) Raman spectra recorded at various locations on a steel specimen after 14 days of exposure to solution (i); (b) deconvolution of the spectrum closest to the sample average.

Figure A-9: (a) Raman spectra recorded at various locations on a steel specimen after 28 days of exposure to solution (i); (b) deconvolution of the spectrum closest to the sample average.
Figure A-10: (a) Raman spectra recorded at various locations on a steel specimen after 42 days of exposure to solution (i); (b) deconvolution of the spectrum closest to the sample average.

Figure A-11: (a) Raman spectra recorded at various locations on a steel specimen after 56 days of exposure to solution (i); (b) deconvolution of the spectrum closest to the sample average.
Figure A-12: (a) Raman spectra recorded at various locations on a steel specimen after 110 days of exposure to solution (i); (b) deconvolution of the spectrum closest to the sample average.

Figure A-13: (a) Raman spectra recorded at various locations on a steel specimen after 182 days of exposure to solution (i); (b) deconvolution of the spectrum closest to the sample average.
Figure A-14: (a) Raman spectra recorded at various locations on a steel specimen after 252 days of exposure to solution (i); (b) deconvolution of the spectrum closest to the sample average.

Figure A-15: (a) Raman spectra recorded at various locations on a steel specimen after 365 days of exposure to solution (i); (b) deconvolution of the spectrum closest to the sample average.
Figure A-16: (a) Raman spectra recorded at various locations on a steel specimen after 540 days of exposure to solution (i); (b) deconvolution of the spectrum closest to the sample average.

Figure A-17: (a) Raman spectra recorded at various locations on a steel specimen after 604 days of exposure to solution (i); (b) deconvolution of the spectrum closest to the sample average.
**Figure A-18:** Evolution of the area ratio between the Fe$_3$O$_4$ (672 cm$^{-1}$) and γ-Fe$_2$O$_3$ (705 cm$^{-1}$) Raman peaks after exposure to solution (i), calculated from the deconvoluted Raman spectra.
A.2.2. Scanning Electron Microscopy

Figure A-19: SEM micrographs recorded on a specimen surface after 2 days of exposure to solution (i) showing: (a-c) the distribution of crystalline corrosion product; (d-f) the general surface morphology; (g-i) a region exhibiting a more significant degree of corrosion.
Figure A-20: SEM micrographs recorded on a specimen surface after 7 days of exposure to solution (i) showing: (a-c) the general surface morphology; (d-f) a region of crystalline corrosion product; (g-i) a region exhibiting a larger corrosion deposit and the surrounding surface morphology.
Figure A-21: SEM micrographs recorded on a specimen surface after 28 days of exposure to solution (i) showing: (a-c) the general surface morphology; (d-f) a region of minor corrosion product accumulation; (g-i) a region of heavier corrosion product accumulation.
Figure A-22: SEM micrographs recorded on a specimen surface after 42 days of exposure to solution (i) showing: (a-c) the general surface morphology; (d-e) a location with deposited corrosion product; (f) a region exhibiting the characteristic lamella of Fe₃C left after the preferential dissolution of α-Fe from pearlite grains; (g-h) a region of localized damage; (i) a possible pitted location.
Figure A-23: SEM micrographs recorded on a specimen surface after 56 days of exposure to solution (i) showing: (a-f) the general surface morphology; (g-h) a region with a larger corrosion deposit; (i) a region exhibiting the characteristic lamella of Fe₃C left after the preferential dissolution of α-Fe from pearlite grains.
Figure A-24: SEM micrographs recorded on a specimen surface after 182 days of exposure to solution (i) showing: (a-f) the general surface morphology; (g-i) a region of localized corrosion damage.
**Figure A-25:** SEM micrographs recorded on a specimen surface after 540 days of exposure to solution (i) showing: (a-c) the general surface morphology; (d-f) a region exhibiting additional corrosion deposits; (g-i) a region of localized corrosion damage.
A.3. SOLUTION (II): HIGH CHLORIDE CONCENTRATION

A.3.1. Raman Spectroscopy

Figure A-26: (a) Raman spectra recorded at various locations on a steel specimen after 2 days of exposure to solution (ii); (b) deconvolution of the spectrum closest to the sample average.

Figure A-27: (a) Raman spectra recorded at various locations on a steel specimen after 4 days of exposure to solution (ii); (b) deconvolution of the spectrum closest to the sample average.
**Figure A-28:** (a) Raman spectra recorded at various locations on a steel specimen after 7 days of exposure to solution (ii); (b) deconvolution of the spectrum closest to the sample average.

**Figure A-29:** (a) Raman spectra recorded at various locations on a steel specimen after 14 days of exposure to solution (ii); (b) deconvolution of the spectrum closest to the sample average.
Figure A-30: (a) Raman spectra recorded at various locations on a steel specimen after 28 days of exposure to solution (ii); (b) deconvolution of the spectrum closest to the sample average.

Figure A-31: (a) Raman spectra recorded at various locations on a steel specimen after 42 days of exposure to solution (ii); (b) deconvolution of the spectrum closest to the sample average.
Figure A-32: (a) Raman spectra recorded at various locations on a steel specimen after 56 days of exposure to solution (ii); (b) deconvolution of the spectrum closest to the sample average.

Figure A-33: (a) Raman spectra recorded at various locations on a steel specimen after 110 days of exposure to solution (ii); (b) deconvolution of the spectrum closest to the sample average.
Figure A-34: (a) Raman spectra recorded at various locations on a steel specimen after 182 days of exposure to solution (ii); (b) deconvolution of the spectrum closest to the sample average.

Figure A-35: (a) Raman spectra recorded at various locations on a steel specimen after 252 days of exposure to solution (ii); (b) deconvolution of the spectrum closest to the sample average.
Figure A-36: (a) Raman spectra recorded at various locations on a steel specimen after 365 days of exposure to solution (ii); (b) deconvolution of the spectrum closest to the sample average.

Figure A-37: (a) Raman spectra recorded at various locations on a steel specimen after 548 days of exposure to solution (ii); (b) deconvolution of the spectrum closest to the sample average.
Figure A-38: (a) Raman spectra recorded at various locations on a steel specimen after 716 days of exposure to solution (ii); (b) deconvolution of the spectrum closest to the sample average.

Figure A-39: Raman spectra recorded at various locations on a steel specimen after 910 days of exposure to solution (ii).
Figure A-40: Evolution of the area ratio between the Fe$_3$O$_4$ (672 cm$^{-1}$) and γ-Fe$_2$O$_3$ (705 cm$^{-1}$) Raman peaks after exposure to solution (ii), calculated from the deconvoluted spectra.
Figure A-41: Comparison of the evolution in the area ratio for the $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$ (672 cm$^{-1}$) and $\gamma$-$\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$ (705 cm$^{-1}$) Raman peaks between solutions (i) and (ii), calculated from the deconvoluted Raman spectra.
A.3.2. Scanning Electron Microscopy

Figure A-42: SEM micrographs recorded on a specimen surface after 2 days of exposure to solution (ii) showing: (a-c) the general surface morphology; (d-f) a region of localized crystalline corrosion product; (g-i) the distribution and morphology of heavily corroded locations.
**Figure A-43**: SEM micrographs recorded on a specimen surface after 7 days of exposure to solution (ii) showing: (a-c) the general surface morphology; (d-f) a region with crystalline corrosion product; (g-h) a region exhibiting more extensive corrosion damage; (i) a location with a large localized corrosion product deposit.
Figure A-44: SEM micrographs recorded on a specimen surface after 14 days of exposure to solution (ii) showing: (a-c) crystalline corrosion product; (d-f) a region of secondary corrosion product morphology; (g-i) the general surface morphology.
Figure A-45: SEM micrographs recorded on a specimen surface after 28 days of exposure to solution (ii) showing: (a-c) a region of general corrosion product deposit; (d-f) a more significantly corroded region with crystalline morphology; (g-i) a region of localized corrosion damage.
Figure A-46: SEM micrographs recorded on a specimen surface after 42 days of exposure to solution (ii) showing: (a-c) the general surface morphology; (d-f) a region of more significant corrosion product deposit; (g-i) a region of localized corrosion damage.
Figure A-47: SEM micrographs recorded on a specimen surface after 56 days of exposure to solution (ii) showing: (a-f) the general surface morphology; (g-i) a region of localized corrosion damage.
Figure A-48: SEM micrographs recorded on a specimen surface after 182 days of exposure to solution (ii) showing: (a–c) the general surface morphology; (d–f) a region of more significant corrosion damage; (g–h) a region exhibiting more significant corrosion product deposits; (i) the underlying general surface morphology beneath the corrosion product deposits.
Figure A-49: SEM micrographs recorded on a specimen surface after 365 days of exposure to solution (ii) showing: (a-c) the general surface morphology; (d-f) a more significant crystalline corrosion product deposit; (g-i) a region of the general surface highlighting the smooth underlying corrosion product layer.
A.4. SOLUTION (III): BUFFERED, HIGH CHLORIDE CONCENTRATION

A.4.1. Raman Spectroscopy

Figure A-50: Raman spectra recorded at various locations on a steel specimen after 2 days of exposure to solution (iii).
Figure A-51: Raman spectra recorded at various locations on a steel specimen after 4 days of exposure to solution (iii).

Figure A-52: Raman spectra recorded at various locations on a steel specimen after 7 days of exposure to solution (iii).
Figure A-53: (a) Raman and (b) FTIR spectra recorded at various locations on a steel specimen after 14 days of exposure to solution (iii).

Figure A-54: (a) Raman and (b) FTIR spectra recorded at various locations on a steel specimen after 30 days of exposure to solution (iii).
Figure A-55: (a) Raman and (b) FTIR spectra recorded at various locations on a steel specimen after 38 days of exposure to solution (iii).

Figure A-56: (a) Raman and (b) FTIR spectra recorded at various locations on a steel specimen after 56 days of exposure to solution (iii).
Figure A-57: (a) Raman and (b) FTIR spectra recorded at various locations on a steel specimen after 109 days of exposure to solution (iii).

Figure A-58: (a) Raman and (b) FTIR spectra recorded at various locations on a steel specimen after 182 days of exposure to solution (iii).
Figure A-59: (a) Raman and (b) FTIR spectra recorded at various locations on a steel specimen after 252 days of exposure to solution (iii).

Figure A-60: (a) Raman and (b) FTIR spectra recorded at various locations on a steel specimen after 365 days of exposure to solution (iii).
Figure A-61: (a) Raman and (b) FTIR spectra recorded at various locations on a steel specimen after 546 days of exposure to solution (iii).

Figure A-62: (a) Raman and (b) FTIR spectra recorded at various locations on a steel specimen after 639 days of exposure to solution (iii).
A.4.2. Scanning Electron Microscopy

Figure A-63: SEM micrographs recorded on a specimen surface after 2 days of exposure to solution (iii) showing: (a-c) the general surface with no apparent corrosion product; (d-f) a region of crystalline corrosion product; (g-i) a region of localized corrosion product deposit.
Figure A-64: SEM micrographs recorded on a specimen surface after 7 days of exposure to solution (iii) showing: (a-f) the general surface morphology, consistent with Fe$_2$(OH)$_3$CO$_3$; (g-l) a region of localized corrosion product deposit.
Figure A-65: SEM micrographs recorded on a specimen surface after 30 days of exposure to solution (iii) showing: (a-f) the general surface morphology, consistent with Fe$_2$(OH)$_3$CO$_3$; (g-i) a region of localized corrosion product deposit.
Figure A-66: SEM micrographs recorded on a specimen surface after 38 days of exposure to solution (iii) showing: (a-i) the general surface morphology, consistent with Fe₄(OH)₂CO₃.
Figure A-67: SEM micrographs recorded on a specimen surface after 56 days of exposure to solution (iii) showing: (a-f) the general surface morphology, consistent with Fe$_2$(OH)$_3$CO$_3$. 
Figure A-68: SEM micrographs recorded on a specimen surface after 182 days of exposure to solution (iii) showing: (a-i) the general surface morphology, consistent with Fe$_2$(OH)$_2$CO$_3$. 
Figure A-69: SEM micrographs recorded on a specimen surface after 546 days of exposure to solution (iii) showing: (a-f) the general surface morphology, consistent with Fe$_2$(OH)$_2$CO$_3$; (g-i) the size distribution of localized corrosion product deposits.
A.5. SOLUTION (IV): SIMULATED GROUNDWATER SOLUTION

A.5.1. Raman Spectroscopy

Figure A-70: Raman spectra recorded at various locations on a steel specimen after 3 days of exposure to solution (iv).
Figure A-71: Raman spectra recorded at various locations on a steel specimen after 5 days of exposure to solution (iv).

Figure A-72: Raman spectra recorded at various locations on a steel specimen after 7 days of exposure to solution (iv).
Figure A-73: Raman spectra recorded at various locations on a steel specimen after 28 days of exposure to solution (iv).

Figure A-74: Raman spectra recorded at various locations on a steel specimen after 42 days of exposure to solution (iv).
Figure A-75: Raman spectra recorded at various locations on a steel specimen after 56 days of exposure to solution (iv).

Figure A-76: Raman spectra recorded at various locations on a steel specimen after 110 days of exposure to solution (iv).
Figure A-77: Raman spectra recorded at various locations on a steel specimen after 728 days of exposure to solution (iv).

Figure A-78: Raman spectra recorded at various locations on a steel specimen after 910 days of exposure to solution (iv).
A.5.2. Scanning Electron Microscopy

Figure A-79: SEM micrographs recorded on a specimen surface after 2 days of exposure to solution (iv) showing: (a-c) the morphology of crystal deposits; (d-f) the general surface morphology beneath the crystals; (g-i) three locations showing the distribution of crystal coverage.
Figure A-80: SEM micrographs recorded on a specimen surface after 7 days of exposure to solution (iv) showing: (a-b) a region where the underlying surface remains visible; (c) the preferential dissolution of α-Fe from the pearlite grains; (d-f) a region of significant crystal coverage; (g-i) a secondary location of crystal coverage and the morphology of the formed crystals.
Figure A-81: SEM micrographs recorded on a specimen surface after 14 days of exposure to solution (iv) showing: (a-f) regions in which the underlying surface morphology remains visible; (g-i) a region of significant crystal coverage.
Figure A-82: SEM micrographs recorded on a specimen surface after 28 days of exposure to solution (iv) showing: (a-c) a region of significant crystal coverage; (d-i) two regions where the underlying surface morphology is visible.
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