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Abstract

Vocal performance in birds, measured as the ability to rapidly produce broadband trills, has been proposed to reflect male quality. However, little is known about whether performance is consistent across a male’s song repertoire, or whether better performers also have larger repertoires. I quantified vocal performance in 21 male song sparrows (Melospiza melodia). Performance varied among and within males, but was significantly repeatable when considering multiple exemplars of the same trill type within an individual’s repertoire. This suggests that within-individual variation in performance reflects trill types varying in complexity and performance difficulty. Performance was positively and significantly correlated to song repertoire size, but not with male age, bill or body size. While repeatability of performance between multiple exemplars of the same trill suggests vocal performance could potentially be a reliable signal in birds with multi-song repertoires, performance appears to overlap with song repertoire size in the information conveyed.
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1 Introduction

1.1 Animal Communication

Animals have evolved a wide diversity of ways to communicate information from signaler to receiver. Communication involves the transfer of information by a signal, defined as any display or change in behaviour by a signaler that results in a response from another individual (the receiver), and subsequent improvement of the signaler’s fitness (Smith and Harper 1995). Signals are generally selected to be as detectable, discriminable, and memorable as possible to receivers and may involve visual, tactile, olfactory and/or acoustic components (Guilford and Dawkins 1991). Communication, and thus signaling, occurs in a wide variety of contexts including offspring begging to solicit parental care as in American robins (Turdus migratorius; Smith and Montgomerie 1991), male sand fiddler crabs (Uca pugilator) waving their claws to deter rivals (Pope 2000), aposematic displays by poison frogs (family Dendrobatidae) to deter predators (Summers and Clough 2001), and the chemical signaling of queens used in kin recognition of honey bees (Apis mellifera capensis; Moritz and Crewe 1988). This diversity of contexts and modalities therefore provides multiple opportunities for selection to act.

Sexually selected signals are arguably the best-studied examples of animal communication, due to widespread interest in how animals choose and compete for mates. They are also incredibly diverse in form and content (e.g. structural displays such as the tails of male red-collared widowbirds (Euplectes ardens; Pryke and Andersson 2005); carotenoid-based plumage colour of male house finches (Haemorhous mexicanus;
Hill 1991), and the courtship pheromones used by male arctiid moths (*Utetheisa ornatrix*; Kelly *et al.* 2012)). Signals may be favoured through intrasexual selection, as when an individual (usually male) signals to communicate its fighting ability, condition, and/or aggressive intent to same-sex rivals (Gil and Gahr 2002, Searcy and Nowicki 2005, Catchpole and Slater 2008). For example, in many species of northern swordtail fish (genus *Xiphophorus*), males produce a dynamic display of vertical bars of pigment, which is known to communicate aggression and willingness to fight (Moretz and Morris 2006). Alternatively, signals may be favoured by intersexual selection, that is, in the context of mate choice. Because the sex that invests more in parental effort (usually females) is a limiting resource for the lower-investing sex (usually males), the lower-investing sex frequently evolves ornaments or displays to attract the higher-investing sex (Trivers 1972, Hurd and Enquist 2005, Searcy and Nowicki 2005). For example, male túngara frogs (*Physalaemus pustulosus*) use a whine-chuck call to attract females (Baugh and Ryan 2010). Finally, some signals are used in both intra- and inter-sexual contexts. In many songbird species (suborder Passeri), for example, male song functions in both a territorial and mate attraction capacity (Catchpole and Slater 2008). Regardless of the context in which a signal is favoured, it must ultimately provide useful information to the receiver in order to be maintained.

Signal theory predicts that receivers should only attend to signals that are honest (Searcy and Nowicki 2005). In the context of sexual selection, an honest signal is one that reliably indicates some aspect of the signaler’s quality or environment such that a prospective mate or a potential rival is able to extract usable information from it (Nowicki and Searcy 2005). How signal honesty is maintained is of particular interest in
the context of sexual selection, as selection on signalers is expected to favour signal exaggeration. That is, males that ‘cheat’ by exaggerating their quality to potential mates and/or rivals should achieve a fitness advantage (Smith and Harper 1995). For example, male fiddler crabs (*Uca annulipes*) that have lost their big claw appear to successfully “bluff” potential rivals and attract mates by regenerating large but energetically cheap and structurally weak replacement claws (Backwell *et al.* 2000). Therefore in order for signals to be reliable and consistent indicators of quality, some mechanism must exist by which they remain honest.

One resolution to the problem of signal honesty is provided by the handicap principle, which posits that in order to be honest, signaling must be costly to the signaler (Zahavi 1975). Displaying a sexually selected signal associated with a reduction in survival (the handicap) can be viewed as having passed a type of test, because only high-quality individuals can incur the costs and still survive (Zahavi 1975). Refinements of this idea suggest that costly signals may be condition-dependent rather than all-or-none, such that the degree to which a costly trait is expressed depends on (and thus reveals) the quality or current condition of the signaler (Iwasa *et al.* 1991). For example, in male field crickets (*Gryllus campestris*) increasing food availability results in improved body condition, and an associated increase in call frequency (Holzer *et al.* 2003). An increase in food availability provides sufficient energy to overcome the cost of frequent calling, and it is this cost by which the honesty of the signal is maintained.

### 1.2 Performance as an Honest Signal

Recent attention has focused on motor performance as an honest signal, which includes displays of both vigour (the ability to perform physically demanding acts for an
extended period of time) and skill (the ability to perform fine motor tasks well; Byers et al. 2010). In male bobolinks (*Dolichonyx oryzivoru*), for example, extended flying bouts require considerable vigour and the length of such bouts predicts both male condition and subsequent fledging success (Mather and Robertson 1992). Skill may also be salient to many sexually selected displays as the motor actions required to produce or perform the displays are often more intricate than those required for other daily tasks. Sexually selected displays requiring vigour and/or skill may also approach an upper limit of performance constrained by physiology, anatomy or developmental stability due to the need to coordinate multiple systems (e.g. musculoskeletal and nervous systems). For these reasons, signals displaying motor performance ability have been proposed as excellent candidates for honest signals, because motor performance cannot be faked (Byers et al. 2010).

1.2.1 Maximal versus Typical Performance

Despite the difficulty in faking motor performance, variation may still exist at a within-individual level as many displays are not performed at a maximal level at all times. Therefore motor performance can be measured as either typical performance (e.g. the average of multiple observations), or maximal performance (e.g. the best of multiple observations). Podos et al. (2009) suggest that maximal performance merits special investigation as for many traits individuals will only perform at maximal levels in specific contexts, such as the need to avoid a predator. Much research on maximal performance has focused on locomotion in lizards (Irschick and Garland 2001). For example, in an observational study of the lizard *Anolis lineatopus*, individuals typically moved at only 10 – 20% of their maximum speed during undisturbed motion, at 70% of
maximum speed during feeding, and at 90% of maximum speed during predator evasion (Irschick 2003). This demonstrates that animals may not approach maximal performance levels frequently. Moreover, individual lizards overlapped considerably in typical performance (e.g. similar undisturbed walking speeds), with variation between individuals only being exposed at maximal levels (Irschick and Garland 2001, Irschick et al. 2008). Although maximal performance may be expressed only occasionally, it is more likely than typical performance to reflect variation in physiology and morphology, and to be the direct target of selection (Irschick et al. 2008). In other words, non-maximal (typical) performance may be only weakly related to quality, because considerable variation in performance may occur within individuals. Maximum performance may thus be particularly important to assess in signals for which performance varies substantially at the within-individual level. Specifically, where repeatability of performance (defined as the proportion of variance in a trait or signal that occurs among rather than within individuals; Lessells and Boag 1987) is low, receivers may attend primarily to maximal performance to assess among-individual variation in quality.

1.3 Complex Signals

While performance may provide receivers information through displays of vigour or skill, signals may also encompass more than one sensory modality, or otherwise have multiple features to which receivers can attend. For example, nestling common magpies (*Pica pica*) signal nutritional need through postural changes combined with vocalizations (Redondo and Castro 1992), and male satin bowerbirds (*Ptilonorhynchus violaceus*) combine vocal mimicry and postural displays to attract females (Doucet and Montgomerie 2003). Complex or multi-component signals may reflect either of two
situations (Møller and Pomiankowski 1993, Johnstone 1996). First, the various components may encode similar (redundant) information about the signaler, potentially enhancing the receiver’s overall ability to assess quality or condition. This is referred to as the ‘backup signal’ hypothesis (Johnstone 1996). Alternatively, each signal component may encode distinct information concerning the signaler’s condition. This is referred to as the ‘multiple messages’ hypothesis (Johnstone 1996). Different signal components may also overlap partially but not completely in the information they convey (MacDougall-Shackleton et al. 2009a). In each case, however, each component communicates some aspect of the signalers quality or condition to the receiver.

1.3.1 Birdsong as a Complex Signal

The learned song of oscine songbirds comprises several components to which receivers of both sexes may attend. These include song output (e.g. the number of songs produced per unit time), local song structure (e.g. the degree to which songs are shared with other members of the population), song complexity (e.g. the number of distinct song types in an individual’s repertoire), and vocal performance (the ability to produce physically challenging song; Searcy and Nowicki 2005). The relative importance of these components and the degree to which each is implicated in intra- and/or inter-sexual selection, is expected to vary between species (Gil and Gahr 2002). For example, in song sparrows (Melospiza melodia), females choose mates based in part on song complexity (Reid et al. 2004), whereas in northern mockingbirds (Mimus polyglottis) song complexity is implicated primarily in male-male competition for territories (Howard 1974), while in swamp sparrows (Melospiza georgiana) song complexity is very low and sexual selection acts primarily on other aspects of song (Ballentine et al. 2004).
Therefore while song complexity may be of more importance in some species, it is still but one of multiple aspects of song that may be attended to by receivers.

1.3.2 Song Complexity

Of the components of birdsong reviewed above, song complexity has received the most study (Searcy and Nowicki 2005). Many species of songbird are age-limited learners, such that vocal learning is restricted to a sensitive period during the first few months of life (Marler and Peters 1987). In age-limited learners, including song sparrows, song complexity remains fixed throughout adulthood (Nordby et al. 2002). Female preference for large repertoires has been shown in many species including song sparrows (Searcy 1984, Reid et al. 2004), European starlings (Sturnus vulgaris; Mountjoy and Lemon 1996), and great tits (Parus major; Baker et al. 1986); although see Byers and Kroodsma (2009). This widespread preference by female songbirds for males with large song repertoires, suggests that by attending to song complexity females gain information regarding the quality of the singer. Indeed, in European starlings male song complexity is positively correlated with age and body condition (Mountjoy and Lemon 1996). Similarly, in an island population of song sparrows male song complexity is positively related to paternal care (Reid et al. 2005a) as well as individual genetic diversity and cell-mediated immune function (Reid et al. 2005b). This suggests females may obtain both direct and indirect benefits from choosing males with large song repertoires.

Whereas it is easy to envision how singing at high rates of output is likely to be more costly than singing at lower rates (e.g. more energetically demanding and less foraging time), the costs associated with song learning (e.g. why learning a repertoire of ten song types is more costly than a repertoire of five song types) are less immediately
apparent. The developmental stress hypothesis (Nowicki et al. 1998, 2002) posits that the honesty of song complexity is maintained by the costs of developing neural structures associated with song learning during early life. Supporting this, in a recent study of song sparrows, song repertoire size, syllable repertoire size and song learning accuracy were all affected by experimentally-induced early-life stress in the form of food restriction and corticosterone treatment (Schmidt et al. 2013b). Similarly, experimental studies of both European starlings and zebra finches (Taeniopygia guttata) have found that early-life stress in the form of food restriction results in reduced adult song complexity (Buchanan et al. 2003, Spencer et al. 2003). Thus by attending to song complexity, females may gain information about the degree to which the singer avoided or withstood early-life stressors such as disease, parasitism or food limitation (Nowicki et al. 1998, 2002). Such information may in turn confer direct (material) and/or indirect (genetic) benefits to the mates of complex singers.

1.3.3 Vocal Performance

A more recently examined component of song to which receivers may attend is vocal performance (Podos 1997, Searcy and Nowicki 2005, Catchpole and Slater 2008). Because motor performance in general has been identified as an honest (un-fakeable) signal (Byers et al. 2010), it follows that due to the complex mechanism required to produce songs, individual singers will likely vary in their ability to perform physically challenging aspects of song. Many different measures have been used to quantify vocal performance, including song amplitude (Forstmeier et al. 2002), use of ‘special’ syllables characterized by rapid frequency modulation (Vallet and Kreutzer 1995), rate of syllable production (Vallet et al. 1998), ‘sound density’ or the ratio of syllables to inter-syllabic
intervals (Leadbeater et al. 2005, Holveck and Riebel 2007, Cardoso et al. 2007, 2009),
and ‘predicted amplitude’ or the amplitude of particularly challenging song types
(Cardoso et al. 2007, 2009). Therefore while each (of the above) methods differ in the
characteristic of song measured, they all consider the ability of a bird to perform
physically challenging aspects of song. Research on vocal performance of birds has
proven fruitful because of the complex nature of this behavior and its many physically
challenging components.

The most frequently used measure of vocal performance, however, is ‘vocal
delevation’ (Podos 2001). This approach examines the trilled components of a bird’s song
(Figure 1) and plots frequency bandwidth (the difference between the maximum and
minimum frequencies in the trill) as a function of trill rate (the number of repetitions of a
trilled syllable per unit time). For each song, the orthogonal deviation from an upper
performance boundary established for the species or study population is then determined.
Thus, a song with low vocal deviation reflects superior vocal performance (Podos 2001).
Vocal deviation is relatively straightforward to measure even under field conditions, and
has the advantage of being widely applicable because repeated, frequency-modulated
trills occur in the songs of many songbird species (Podos 1997). Finally, the
biomechanics governing the inherent trade-off between trill rate and frequency bandwidth
are relatively well understood (Podos 1997).

The intricacies of birdsong and the complex motor skill it requires make vocal
performance an excellent candidate for an honest signal (Nowicki and Searcy 2005,
Byers et al. 2010). Birdsong is inherently difficult to produce, because of the physicality
and physiology involved (Nowicki et al. 1992, Suthers 2004, Byers et al. 2010). Sound
Figure 1 – An annotated spectrogram of an exemplar song sparrow song. A note is defined as an unbroken trace on the spectrogram, a syllable consists of one or more notes that always occur together in the same order, and a trill consists of a syllable repeated several times.
production occurs in the syrinx, which is located at the base of the trachea; the syrinx is particularly well developed in true (oscine) songbirds (Catchpole and Slater 2008). Each side of the syrinx can operate independently, such that there are two potential sites at which sound can originate (Suthers 2004). The sounds mix upon entering the trachea, and the vocal tract moves in coordination to filter out sounds and modify the final song (Nowicki 1987, Riede et al. 2006). By stretching or compressing its neck, a singing bird can dynamically alter the length of its vocal tract (Suthers 2004). Finally, the bill is another important modulator of sounds and frequency. Bill movements affect song frequencies such that opening the bill produces a high frequency and closing the bill produces a low frequency (Westneat et al. 1993, Hoese et al. 2000). Therefore rapidly modulating the frequency of sound produced requires that the bill be opened and closed as quickly as possible. This requirement limits the speed with which frequency can be modulated, based on the distance the bill is required to travel. It is this morphological constraint that makes it difficult for songbirds to produce broadband trills at a rapid rate, and is the basis of trill performance as an honest signal (Podos 1997).

Variation in the speed with which individuals can maneuver this mechanism leads to variation in frequency bandwidth and trill rate, and thus to variation in vocal deviation and vocal performance. Plotting the relationship between frequency bandwidth and trill rate generally yields a triangular distribution, with the majority of trills occupying the lower left-hand corner and none in the upper right-hand corner of the distribution above the upper performance limit (Figure 2; Podos 1997, Ballentine et al. 2004). This relationship has been found in a variety of bird species including multiple species of neotropical woodcreepers (Subfamily: Dendrocolaptinae; Derryberry et al. 2012), yellow
Figure 2 - Theoretical diagram of the distribution of songs in acoustic space. The dashed line represents the estimated vocal performance limit established by an upper bound regression. Theory suggests that individual songs will be found in the shaded area, as songs above the performance limit are deemed too physically challenging. The four points represent theoretical trill examples. Squares represent low performance songs with a large deviation from the estimated performance limit, while circles represent high performance songs with a small deviation. Adapted from Ballentine et al. (2004).
warblers (*Satohaga petechia*; Beebee 2004), Darwin’s small tree finch (*Camarhynchus parvulus*; Christensen et al. 2006), Darwin’s medium ground finch (*Geospiza fortis*; Huber and Podos 2006), red-winged blackbirds (*Agelaius phoeniceus*; Cramer and Price 2007), banded wrens (*Thryothorus pleurostictus*; Illes et al. 2006, Vehrencamp et al. 2013), house wrens (*Troglodytes aedon*; Cramer 2013), dark-eyed junco (*Junco hyemalis*; Cardoso et al. 2007, 2009, 2012), much of the Emberizid family (Podos 1997) including swamp sparrows (*Melospiza georgiana*; Ballentine et al. 2004) and Lincoln’s sparrows (*Melospiza lincolnii*; Caro et al. 2010), as well as one non-passerine, brown skuas (*Catharacta antarctica lonnbergi*; Janicke et al. 2008). Thus while the song structure of each species may differ, the trilled components of their song still share the characteristic trade-off between frequency bandwidth and trill rate used to measure vocal deviation.

1.3.3.1 Bill Morphology as a Constraint on Vocal Performance

Due to the intimate relationship between bill movement and sound production, several studies have examined the relationship between bill morphology and vocal performance. In Lincoln’s sparrows, vocal deviation increases with increasing bill length (Sockman 2009) and in swamp sparrows, multiple species of neotropical woodcreepers (Subfamily: Dendrocolaptinae) and multiple species of Darwin’s finches, vocal deviation increases with bill length, width and depth (Podos 2001, Ballentine 2006, Huber and Podos 2006, Derryberry et al. 2012), suggesting that larger bills impede the movements required to produce rapid broadband trills. Thus, some of the among-individual variation in vocal performance may reflect morphological constraints imposed by bill size, in so far as long or deep bills cannot open and close as rapidly as smaller bills (Podos 2001).
1.3.3.2 Vocal Performance as an Indicator of Quality

In light of claims that signals relying on motor performance should be particularly honest (Byers et al. 2010), a growing number of studies have investigated the relationship between vocal performance and various aspects of male quality and/or reproductive success. While recent studies of dark-eyed juncos (Cardoso et al. 2012) and banded wrens (Cramer 2013) have found no relationship between vocal deviation and measures of male quality (including body condition, age, and parasite load), other studies have found associations between vocal deviation and male quality or reproductive success. For example, female swamp sparrows perform more copulation solicitation displays in response to low-deviation than to high-deviation songs (Ballentine et al. 2004), indicating a preference for songs of superior vocal performance. Moreover, male swamp sparrows with better vocal performance (low vocal deviation) tend to be older and heavier (and thus presumably of better quality) than their high-deviation counterparts (Ballentine 2009). The discrepancy between studies may reflect differences in vocal complexity. Whereas swamp sparrow song is very simple, consisting only of rapid repeated trill syllables with a single song type per male (Ballentine et al. 2004), both dark-eyed juncos and banded wrens have relatively complex repertoires (Cardoso et al. 2007, Cramer 2013). Therefore, vocal performance may be a less reliable indicator of male quality in species with relatively complex song repertoires than in species with simple song (Cardoso et al. 2012).

Little is known about whether vocal performance is an honest indicator of quality in birds with complex vocal repertoires. To the extent that some song types are inherently more challenging than others to perform well (Figure 3), species with large repertoires
Figure 3 - Examples of two hypothetical trills with identical frequency bandwidth and trill rate, but which differ in performance difficulty. Trill A would be easier to perform as the ending frequency of the first note and starting frequency of the second note are matched, thus not requiring a reconfiguration of the syrinx and vocal tract between notes. Trill B would be more difficult to perform as the mismatch between the ending frequency of the first note and starting frequency of the second note would require significant vocal maneuvering. Adapted from Podos et al. (2009).
may thus have a concomitantly large within-individual component of variation in performance. Thus receivers may not be able to easily assess among-individual variation in vocal performance, because much variation is introduced by differences in song types among and within the repertoires of individual singers. Indeed, Cardoso et al. (2009) found that several aspects of song performance in dark-eyed juncos (e.g. proportion of sound, residual intervals, predicted amplitude) showed more variation within males than between males. Related to this, Logue and Forstmeier (2008) suggest that large song repertoires may allow singers to disguise poor vocal performance. That is, when countersinging the same song type with a better-performing rival, poor performers may switch to a new and unshared song type such that the ability of receivers to directly compare vocal performance is compromised. If so, receivers may be limited in their ability to assess male quality by attending to vocal performance in species with complex vocal repertoires.

1.4 Objectives and Hypotheses

Song sparrows provide an excellent opportunity to examine the signal value of vocal performance in a species with complex song repertoires. Male song sparrows learn to sing during early life (Marler and Peters 1987), and have repertoires of between 5 – 13 song types (Pfaff et al. 2007). Previous studies, including several done on my study population, have shown that song complexity in this species is influenced by conditions experienced during early development (Schmidt et al. 2013b) and is positively associated with phenotypic traits including body condition, physiological condition, immunological competence, and stress responsiveness (Pfaff et al. 2007, Schmidt et al. 2012, 2013a, 2013b). Song complexity has also been shown to correlate with other aspects of song,
specifically local song structure and song output, suggesting that multiple aspects of song may encode redundant information regarding the quality of the signaler (MacDougall-Shackleton et al. 2009a). Finally, although the vast majority of research on song in this species has focused on song complexity rather than vocal performance, performance is readily measurable because song sparrow song consists of trilled as well as untrilled syllables (Figure 1).

In this thesis my first objective was to characterize the repeatability (defined as the fraction of variation that is due to differences between individuals; Lessell and Boag 1987) of vocal performance in song sparrows. I hypothesized that because each individual’s repertoire contains multiple song and trill types, within-individual variation in vocal performance should be substantial and thus repeatability should be low. I also sought to determine whether average or maximal vocal performance better characterizes the expected trade-off between frequency bandwidth and trill rate. Given that the consistency in vocal performance within individuals is expected to be low, I predicted that the trade-off between frequency bandwidth and trill rate should be more apparent when using maximum vocal rather than average performance.

The second major objective of my thesis was to determine how vocal performance is related to age, body size, and bill size. Previous empirical work on swamp sparrows found that vocal performance was higher in older and larger-bodied males, and that individual males tended to improve vocal performance between their first and second breeding seasons (Ballentine 2009). From this, I predicted that vocal performance should similarly be related to age in song sparrows, such that older males should also be better performers. Moreover, based on principles of biomechanics (Westneat et al. 1993, Hoese
et al. 2000) and previous empirical work on multiple species (Podos 2001, Huber and Podos 2006, Ballentine 2006, Sockman 2009), I hypothesized that vocal performance would be constrained by bill size and predicted that males with larger bills should have lower vocal performance.

My final objective was to examine the relationship between song complexity and vocal performance. No causal relationship is expected between song complexity and vocal performance, nor are these aspects of song likely to be correlated through shared developmental timing: song complexity is established during neural development in the first few months of life whereas vocal performance is believed not to be established until the sensorimotor phase later in the first year (Podos et al. 2009). However, carryover effects of stress or condition from one life history stage to the next (e.g. Norris et al. 2004) may result in a positive relationship between these components of song despite their being established at different times of development. Indeed, Podos et al. (2009) suggest that birds recovering from early life stress may be unable to establish and maintain a baseline level of vocal performance later in life. Consistent with the idea of carryover effects, song complexity has been shown to correlate with song output in song sparrows despite the different developmental timescales over which these aspects of song develop (MacDougall-Shackleton et al. 2009a). Thus, I predicted that vocal performance and song complexity should be positively related such that males with more complex song repertoires should also have higher vocal performance.
2 Materials and Methods

2.1 General Field Methods

I carried out field work at the Bracken property near Newboro, Ontario, Canada (44° 38.6’ N, 76° 19.0’ W) owned by the Queen’s University Biological Station. All measurements and recordings were conducted on a long-term study population of approximately 35 – 40 breeding pairs of free-living, colour-banded, song sparrows (Melospiza melodia). The study population is migratory, but adults show high levels of breeding philopatry upon returning to the site each spring, generally returning to the same breeding territory as the previous year (MacDougall-Shackleton et al. 2009a).

Birds were captured in mist-nets or seed-baited Potter traps, measured, and their repertoires recorded between April 9th and June 2nd, 2012. Newly captured individuals were fitted with a numbered Canadian Wildlife Service band, as well as a unique combination of coloured leg bands for visual identification. Males were identified by the presence of a cloacal protuberance, then tarsus length, unflattened wing length, and mass were measured for each male. Tarsus length and unflattened wing length were measured to the nearest 0.1 mm using dial calipers, and mass was measured to the nearest 0.2 g using a spring-loaded scale. These morphological measurements were made either by myself or one of two other members of the field crew. I did not assess inter-observer measurement error for these morphological measurements, but in general, these three measurements are generally consistent between observers (0.54 to 6.40% measurement error; Lougheed et al. 1991).
In addition to measuring body size, I measured six aspects of bill size in an effort to fully characterize the size of both the upper and lower bill. Similar to body size measurements, bill size measurements were made to the nearest 0.1 mm using dial calipers. However, to eliminate inter-observer measurement error, I conducted all bill measurements myself. These measurements are summarized in Figure 4. Upper bill length was measured from above as the horizontal length of the exposed culmen of the upper mandible (Figure 4A – L1 to L3), and lower bill length was measured as the length from base to tip of the exposed portion of the lower mandible (Figure 4A – L6 to L4). Upper bill depth was measured from the side as the depth of the upper mandible at the position of the nares (Figure 4A – L2 to L7), and lower bill depth was measured from the side as the depth of the lower mandible at the position of the nares (i.e. directly below the landmark for upper bill depth; Figure 4A – L7 to L5). Upper bill width was measured as the width of the upper mandible at the position of the nares (nostrils; Figure 4B – landmarks L8 to L10). Lower bill width was measured as the width of the lower mandible at the position of the nares (i.e. directly below the landmark for upper bill width; Figure 4B – L9 to L11).

To assess the extent of within-observer measurement error for bill measurements, I re-measured the bills of five males that were captured twice. These re-measurements were done blind to the original measured values. For each of the six measured aspects of bill size, the first and second measurements were positively correlated (Pearson’s r ranging from 0.36 to 0.87) but not significantly so (p ranging from 0.13 to 0.90), however the lack of statistical significance may reflect low statistical power as only five individuals were measured twice. Lower bill length was the most consistent across
Figure 4 – A schematic diagram illustrating the three bill measures (length, width and depth) taken from each of the upper and lower bill of all males. A shows a side-view of a representative song sparrow bill, while B shows a front-view. Upper length was measured from landmarks L1 to L3. Lower length was measured from L6 to L4. Upper depth was measured from L2 to L7. Lower depth was measured from L7 to L5. Upper width was measured from L8 to L10 across the top mandible. Lower width was measured from L9 to L11 across the lower mandible.
multiple measurements (Pearson’s \( r = 0.87, p = 0.13 \)), and was therefore the only measurement used as an estimate of bill size in further analyses. This parallels other studies, which have similarly used bill length as an estimate of overall bill size (Sockman 2009).

I determined the age of each male using banding records dating back to 2002. Some males had been banded as nestlings in previous years and their ages were known with certainty. Others (“adult recruits”) were first captured and banded as adults in 2012 or previous years; these adult recruits are assumed to have been yearlings when they were first captured. This is warranted because adult recruits tend to have shorter wings (characteristic of yearlings) than birds known to be two years or older; because each spring we capture and band all breeding adults in our study area; and because of the short distance (<75 m) in which banded birds move territories each year (Lapierre et al. 2011). Thus I am confident that adult recruits were indeed one year of age upon first capture and therefore that the age data are accurate.

2.2 Recording Methods

I recorded the full song repertoires of 21 breeding male song sparrows, between April 10th and May 12th, 2012, within the first five hours of sunrise (approximately 06:00 – 11:00). While some of these males had had their repertoires recorded in previous years, performance is a dynamic trait (see Byers et al. 2010) and therefore I considered only repertoires recorded in 2012 in order to examine current performance. Performance is also context-dependent (see Podos et al. 2009) so I did not use conspecific song playback to elicit singing, as this apparent territorial challenge might have artificially elevated motivation and thus vocal performance. Instead I recorded only spontaneous bouts of
song, although because males frequently engage in countersinging, some of my recordings likely reflect song produced in the context of a naturally-occurring territorial challenge. Otherwise, my methods for recording full song repertoires followed those previously established for this study population (Pfaff et al. 2007). Specifically, I considered an individual’s repertoire to be recorded in full once 300 or more consecutive songs or 450 non-consecutive songs had been recorded.

I recorded songs directly to the memory disk of a Marantz Professional PMD 671 solid-state recorder using a Telinga Twin Science Pro parabolic microphone (Uppsala, Sweden). Songs were digitized and spectrograms were created using Raven Pro sound analysis software (v.1.4; Bioacoustics Research Program, Cornell Lab of Ornithology, Ithaca, NY, U.S.A.). For all song analyses, I created Hann-type spectrograms with a -3 dB bandwidth filter at 248 Hz in order to filter out background noise. I removed additional background noise below 1000 Hz and above 10 000 Hz using a frequency pass filter in Raven Pro, as song sparrow song does not exceed these frequency extremes.

2.3 Song Analysis Methods

2.3.1 Song Repertoire Size

I determined the song repertoire size for each male through visual inspection and sorting of spectrograms into distinct song types. Song sparrows sing with eventual variety, repeating one song type multiple times before switching to a new song type. I did not count slight variations in song (e.g. the addition or omission of a single syllable) as a new song type. Consistent with previous studies on this species and other populations of song sparrows, song repertoire size for males ranged from 5-12 song types.
2.3.2 Vocal Performance and Vocal Deviation

To estimate vocal performance, I measured vocal deviation, defined as the ability to produce trilled elements that approach the upper performance boundary defined by the trade-off between frequency bandwidth and trill rate (Podos 1997). I began by categorizing the individual trill types (e.g. trills consisting of unique syllables) in each male’s repertoire based on visual examination of spectrograms. A given trill type can be common to multiple song types in an individual’s repertoire; males in my study had 5-9 unique trill types (mean ± standard deviation = 7.10 ± 1.14) in their repertoire. Next, following methods outlined by Podos (2001), for each trill type in each bird’s repertoire, I selected ten exemplars of the bird producing that trill type. This yielded a total 50 – 90 exemplars per bird, depending on the number of trill types in its repertoire. Exemplars were chosen based on order of appearance in the recording (i.e. the first ten examples of a particular trill type in a recorded repertoire were used when possible), as well the recording quality and signal to noise ratio of each exemplar (i.e. exemplars with excessive background noise or unusually low amplitude were skipped and the next acceptable exemplar was used instead).

From each sampled exemplar, I measured trill rate in Hz from the spectrogram as the number of times the trilled syllable (consisting of one or more note traces on the spectrogram; Figure 1) was repeated, divided by the duration (in seconds) of the entire trill. Also from each sampled exemplar, I measured frequency bandwidth from the spectrogram as the difference in Hz between the maximum and minimum frequencies at 90 percent signal energy. This 90 percent criterion was used to compensate for variation in amplitude among recordings and among exemplars.
From these measurements, I calculated the upper vocal performance boundary for the population following methods described by Podos (2001) and Blackburn (1992). Trill exemplars were binned into 3 Hz bins based on trill rate (7 bins total; 0-3 Hz, 3-6 Hz, 6-9 Hz, 9-12 Hz, 12-15 Hz, 15-18 Hz, and 18-21 Hz), and the trill exemplar with the largest frequency bandwidth was selected from each bin. I then ran a simple least-squares regression through the resultant subset of seven points. This regression line represents the estimated vocal upper performance boundary for this population. While the choice of bin size is arbitrary, Blackburn (1992) argues that between 6-15 bins is ideal, as this is the region where the estimates of slope are largely independent of sample size and number of bins. Increasing the number of bins beyond this range results in a statistically powerful regression, but is less accurate in reflecting the upper performance boundary (Blackburn 1992). My use of seven 3 Hz bins is comparable to previous studies in other songbirds, which have used 10 bins varying in size from 1-5 Hz, depending on observed variation in trill rates (Podos 1997, Ballentine et al. 2004). The combination of bin number and size in this study should thus provide an accurate estimate of the upper performance boundary while remaining fairly robust to small sample size and thus permitting statistical significance testing.

After generating the upper performance regression as described above, I calculated the average trill rate and frequency bandwidth across all ten sampled exemplars of each trill type for each individual bird. Thus, each bird was represented by 5-9 (depending on the number of trill types in its repertoire) values of trill rate and frequency bandwidth, and these average values for each trill type in each individual’s repertoire were added to the frequency bandwidth – trill rate distribution.
calculated the orthogonal distance from each of these points to the upper boundary regression, resulting in 5-9 values of trill deviation per individual (again depending on number of trill types in the repertoire). From these values, I calculated the *average vocal deviation* for each male as the mean of these orthogonal distances across all of its trill types.

To measure each individual’s maximum vocal performance (that is, its minimum vocal deviation), I used similar methods as described above except that the vocal deviation score for each individual was not the average value of all trill types. Instead, I plotted all trill exemplars sampled (50-90 per male) onto the frequency bandwidth – trill rate distribution, and the single exemplar with the lowest distance to the upper performance boundary was used as that individual’s *minimum vocal deviation*. This approach minimizes the effect of sub-maximal performance and the within-individual variation in performance seen across multiple trill exemplars (Podos et al. 2009).

2.4 Repeatability of Vocal Performance

To determine whether individuals differ consistently in vocal performance as assessed by vocal deviation, I calculated repeatability (defined as the intraclass correlation coefficient, or the proportion of variation resulting from among-individual rather than within-individual variance components) of vocal deviation. I conducted one-way ANOVAs in JMP (v.10; SAS, Cary, NC, U.S.A.) to determine among- and within-individual variance components and then used these to calculate repeatability following Lessells and Boag (1987). Because I was particularly interested in the degree to which variation in trill type difficulty within an individual’s repertoire contributes to within-individual variation in vocal deviation, I calculated four separate estimates of
repeatability. Specifically, I calculated repeatability of vocal performance based on (1) all trills in a male’s repertoire; (2) all simple trills in a male’s repertoire; (3) a single, randomly chosen, simple trill type from each male’s repertoire; and (4) a single, randomly chosen, complex trill type from each male’s repertoire. If within-individual variation in vocal deviation is due in part to variation in trill type difficulty, the first two estimates of repeatability (which include variation resulting from trill types within an individual’s repertoire varying in difficulty) should be lower than the last two, which exclude this component of variation.

To supplement the repeatability analysis and more directly investigate the main source of among- and within-individual variation in vocal performance, I conducted a nested ANOVA. Maximum vocal performance (minimum vocal deviation) was the response variable, with bird identity and trill type nested within bird identity as the explanatory variables. If most variation in vocal deviation is attributable to differences among individuals (i.e. some birds are consistently better performers than others), we should find a significant effect of bird identity on vocal deviation. In contrast, if most variation in vocal deviation is attributable to differences among trill types within the repertoires of individuals (i.e. some trills are harder to perform well than others), we should find a significant effect of trill type nested within bird identity on vocal deviation. Finally, a finding of no main effect of either bird identity or trill type nested within bird identity would indicate that vocal performance varies substantially within individuals even over multiple renditions of the same trill type. Such a pattern might suggest that vocal performance is not very stable and presumably not informative to receivers.
2.5 Simple versus Complex Trills

I observed substantial variation among trill types in the number of notes (continuous traces on a spectrogram) that comprised the trill syllable (Figure 5). Because this variation might affect the difficulty of rapidly repeating a particular trilled syllable (i.e. syllables composed of many notes cannot be repeated as quickly as those composed of a single note), I classified trill types by the number of notes comprising the trilled syllable. ‘Simple’ trills were those consisting of two or fewer notes in the trilled syllable, and ‘complex’ trills were those consisting of three or more notes in the trilled syllable (Figure 5).

To compare vocal performance as measured from all trill types versus the subset of trill types defined as ‘simple’, I used average frequency bandwidth and trill rate values for each trill type in each bird’s repertoire (as described above) to calculate average vocal deviation using two different distributions. The first distribution included all trill types (simple and complex) and the second consisted of simple trills only. I then characterized the upper performance boundary lines separately for each graph as described above, in order to determine whether the frequency bandwidth-trill rate trade-off is equally apparent regardless of whether all trill types or only simple trills are considered.

2.6 Data Analysis

All statistical analyses were two-tailed and were completed in JMP (v.10; SAS, Cary, NC, U.S.A.).
Figure 5 – Spectrograms of trills from song sparrows, illustrating an example of a simple trill (A) and a complex trill (B). Trill A is comprised of syllables containing two notes and is therefore classified as a simple trill. Trill B is comprised of syllables containing four notes and is therefore classified as a complex trill. The syllable in trill A is repeated a total of five times; that in trill B is repeated a total of three times.
2.6.1 Vocal Performance as a Function of Age, Bill size and Body size

To examine how maximum vocal performance (measured as minimum vocal deviation) varies with age, bill and body size, I used a standard least-squares general linear model regression. Age, bill size and body size were used as predictors of maximum vocal performance. Age was included as a categorical predictor with males being classified as either ‘second year’ (i.e. one year old) or ‘after second year’. Bill size was measured as the lower bill length (see section 2.1) and was a continuous predictor. Mass was used as a proxy for body size following the methods of Ballentine (2009) and was also a continuous predictor. Interaction terms were included in the initial model, but were not significant and subsequently removed from the final model.

To determine whether maximum vocal performance varies with song repertoire size, I used a Pearson’s product-moment correlation between song repertoire size and minimum vocal deviation. Repertoire size was not included as a predictor in the GLM described above because no causal relationship was expected between repertoire size and vocal performance. One apparent statistical outlier was removed from the correlation, as both its leverage and Cook’s distance values exceeded criteria for removal (observed and threshold leverage values were 0.29 and 0.19 respectively; observed and threshold Cook’s distances were 1.22 and 1 respectively). Notably, the single individual removed from the correlation was the oldest bird in the population (age 7, compared to a mean age of 1.62 years for the remaining males in my study).
3 Results

3.1 Repeatability of Vocal Performance

To test the degree to which vocal performance shows consistent among-individual variation, I calculated the repeatability of: (1) all trills in a male’s repertoire; (2) all simple trills in a male’s repertoire; (3) a single, randomly chosen, simple trill type from each male’s repertoire; and (4) a single, randomly chosen, complex trill type from each male’s repertoire. Males showed low repeatability of vocal performance for all trills and for only simple trills. Indeed, repeatability of vocal deviation across all trill types within an individual’s repertoire was low and not significantly different from zero ($F_{20,128} = 0.98$, repeatability = -0.003, $p = 0.49$; Table 1). Similarly, repeatability of vocal performance across the subset of simple trill types within an individual’s repertoire was also low and not significantly different from zero ($F_{20,46} = 0.63$, repeatability = -0.13, $p = 0.87$; Table 2). This low repeatability of vocal performance for all trills and for only simple trills suggests considerable variation in performance within individuals.

In contrast, males showed high repeatability of vocal performance across multiple examples of the same trill type. The repeatability of vocal performance across ten exemplars of one randomly selected trill type per individual was high and significantly greater than zero for both simple trill types ($F_{20,189} = 185.12$, repeatability = 0.95, $p <0.0001$; Table 3) and complex trill types ($F_{20,189} = 29.41$, repeatability = 0.74, $p <0.0001$; Table 4). Together, these findings suggest that within-individual variation in performance is largely due to performance differences among trills within an individual’s repertoire (trill types differ in their inherent difficulty) rather than to variation in performance across multiple renditions of the same trill type.
Maximum vocal performance also differed between males and the variation within males was explained by differences in performance between trill types. I used a nested ANOVA to more directly assess the main source of variation in vocal deviation, with minimum vocal deviation as the response variable, and bird identity and trill type nested within bird identity as the explanatory variables. Bird identity explained a significant proportion of variation in minimum vocal deviation ($F_{20,130} = 43.28$, $p < 0.0001$, Table 5), suggesting that males differ in maximum vocal performance. Moreover, trill type nested within bird identity also explained a significant proportion of variation in minimum vocal deviation ($F_{20,130} = 43.85$, $p < 0.0001$, Table 5), suggesting that the majority of within-individual variation in performance arises due to differences among trill types in inherent difficulty. These findings parallel the results of the repeatability analyses, which also suggested that the majority of variation within individuals was due to variation in performance between trill types.

3.2 Average versus Maximum Vocal Performance

Maximum vocal performance better addresses the trade-off between frequency and bandwidth and trill rate in song sparrows. Indeed, when maximum and average vocal performance were calculated for each individual, the upper boundary regression calculated from measures of maximum vocal performance was more steeply negative than that calculated from measures of average vocal performance, and only the maximum-performance-derived upper boundary regression was statistically significant (maximum performance: slope = -154.12, SE = 47.21, $r^2 = 0.68$, $p = 0.02$; average performance: slope = -131.76, SE = 62.74, $r^2 = 0.47$, $p = 0.09$). This suggests that maximum vocal performance better addresses the trade-off between frequency bandwidth
and trill rate in song sparrow song than does average performance, and thus my
subsequent analyses focus on maximum performance.

3.3 Complex versus Simple Trill Performance

Simple trills tended to have lower vocal deviation and thus higher performance
when compared to complex trills. When plotting the frequency bandwidth and trill rate of
all trill types (simple and complex), the majority of the points were located in the lower-
left corner of the plot (Figure 6A). By contrast, plotting only the subset of simple trills
yielded a triangular distribution (Figure 6B) characteristic of a trade-off between
frequency bandwidth and trill rate (Podos 1997). Supporting this, the regression of
frequency bandwidth as a function of trill rate used to characterize the upper performance
boundary for complex and simple trills combined was not statistically significant ($r^2 =
0.47, p = 0.09$), but the upper performance boundary regression for simple trills alone was
statistically significant ($r^2 = 0.85, p = 0.003$). Moreover, the upper performance
boundary regression for simple trills alone was more steeply negative than that for simple
and complex trills combined (slopes of -291.47 and -131.76, respectively). Vocal
performance was higher (i.e. vocal deviation was lower) for simple than for complex
trills, as assessed by orthogonal deviation from the upper boundary regression of complex
and simple trills combined (two-sample t-test, $t_{147} = -5.62, p < 0.0001$).

3.4 Vocal Performance as a Function of Age, Bill Size and Body Size

I used a multiple regression to examine the effects of age (yearling versus older),
bill size and body size on maximum vocal performance (i.e. minimum vocal deviation).
Contrary to my original predictions, vocal performance did not vary with age, bill size or body size (Table 6).

3.5 Vocal Performance and Song Complexity

Vocal performance and song complexity were negatively related in this population of song sparrows. Indeed, after removing one outlying data point (corresponding to the oldest bird in the population; see section 2.6.3), the negative relationship between minimum vocal deviation and song repertoire size was significant (Figure 7; Pearson’s r₁₉ = -0.44, p = 0.05). That is, males with lower minimum vocal deviation (and thus superior maximum vocal performance) also tended to have larger song repertoires.
Table 1 - Repeatability of vocal performance across all trill types performed by each male. N=21 male song sparrows each with 5-9 trill types measured.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source of variation</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>Sum of squares</th>
<th>Mean squares</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Among males</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1262.34</td>
<td>63.12</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Within males</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>8231.02</td>
<td>64.30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2 - Repeatability of vocal performance across all simple trill types performed by each male. N=21 male song sparrows each with 1-6 simple trill types measured.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source of variation</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>Sum of squares</th>
<th>Mean squares</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Among males</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>516.68</td>
<td>25.83</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Within males</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>1874.74</td>
<td>40.76</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Table 3** - Repeatability of vocal performance across ten exemplars of one randomly chosen simple trill type, from each of 21 male song sparrows.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source of variation</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>Sum of squares</th>
<th>Mean squares</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Among males</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>6523.36</td>
<td>326.17</td>
<td>185.12</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Within males</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>333.01</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4 - Repeatability of vocal performance across ten exemplars of one randomly chosen complex trill type, from each of 21 male song sparrows.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source of variation</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>Sum of squares</th>
<th>Mean squares</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Among males</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>10454.93</td>
<td>522.75</td>
<td>29.41</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Within males</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>3359.51</td>
<td>17.78</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 5 – Summary of nested ANOVA with maximum vocal performance (measured as minimum vocal deviation) of 21 male song sparrows as the dependent variable.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source of variation</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>Sum of squares</th>
<th>$F$</th>
<th>$P$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bird identity</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>12675.36</td>
<td>43.28</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trill Type [Bird identity]</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>83476.79</td>
<td>43.85</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 6 – Maximum frequency bandwidth versus trill rate for complex (open circles; n = 149 trill types in the repertoires of 21 male song sparrows) and simple (filled circles; n = 67 trill types in the repertoires of 21 male song sparrows) trill types. The solid line represents the upper performance boundary, calculated independently for each distribution. Distribution A, showing both simple and complex trill types had a non-significant upper performance boundary (slope = -131.76, $r^2 = 0.47$, $p = 0.09$). Distribution B, showing only simple trills, had an upper performance boundary that was both steeper than A and significant (slope = -291.47, $r^2 = 0.85$, $p = 0.003$). Distribution B also shows the triangular distribution characteristic of performance trade-offs (Podos 1997).
Table 6 – Results of a general linear model with maximum vocal performance (measured as minimum vocal deviation) of 21 male song sparrows as the dependent variable.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Predictor</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>Sum of squares</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body Size (Mass)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6.23</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bill Size (Lower length)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>28.62</td>
<td>3.59</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall Model</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>167.83</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 7 - Relationship between song repertoire size and minimum vocal deviation in 20 male song sparrows. Minimum vocal deviation and song repertoire size show a significant negative correlation (Pearson’s $r_{19} = -0.44$, $p = 0.05$).
4 Discussion

Despite increasing interest in performance-related sexually selected displays in general (Byers et al. 2010), and songbird vocal performance in particular (Podos 1997, 2001, Ballentine et al. 2004, Ballentine 2006, 2009, Cardoso et al. 2012, Cramer 2013) studies of vocal performance have focused on species with simple vocal repertoires (e.g. swamp sparrows, Lincoln’s sparrows, Darwin’s finches, yellow warblers). Examining vocal performance in a species with more complex song (multiple song types per male) requires first evaluating the degree to which this trait varies among versus within individuals (e.g. across different song types within a repertoire). Vocal performance, as measured by trill vocal deviation, was not repeatable across multiple trill types within individuals’ repertoires, but was highly repeatable across multiple exemplars of a single trill type per individual. A supplementary analysis also showed that the majority of within-individual variance in vocal performance was due to performance differences between trill types. Maximum vocal performance (i.e. minimum vocal deviation) better characterized the trade-off between frequency bandwidth and trill rate than did average vocal performance. Similarly, simple trills better characterized this trade-off than did all trill types combined. Maximum vocal performance was not associated with age or body size, but was correlated with song repertoire size, such that males with lower minimum vocal deviation tended to have more complex song repertoires. Collectively, my results suggest that vocal performance varies substantially both within and among individual male song sparrows, and that performance in this population does not indicate age or body size, nor does it appear constrained by bill size. Instead, if vocal performance
conveys any information regarding the quality of the singer, it may be largely redundant with that conveyed by song complexity.

4.1 Repeatability of Performance

In order for a signal to be of use to a receiver it must be reliable. One aspect of reliability identified by Searcy and Nowicki (2005) is consistency, meaning that a reliable signal should be repeatable across multiple displays by the same individual. Therefore in order for vocal performance to be a meaningful signal from which receivers can extract useful information, it should be repeatable across multiple displays of the same trill type, and potentially, across multiple trill types within an individual’s repertoire. My results provide some support for consistent individual differences among song sparrows in vocal performance. When comparing performance across multiple exemplars of a single, randomly chosen, trill type per individual, vocal performance was significantly repeatable (Table 3, 4). That is, a male who sings a particular trill type once with low vocal deviation tends to show similar low vocal deviation upon subsequent repetitions of the same trill type. Ballentine et al. (2004) similarly calculated repeatability and found consistent differences among male swamp sparrows in how well they performed the same song type. In conjunction with these findings, the high repeatability of vocal performance across multiple trill exemplars in an individuals repertoire, suggests that vocal performance is a good candidate for a reliable signal.

By considering only one trill type per individual, the analysis described above (Tables 3, 4) effectively removes the within-individual component of variation associated with variation in inherent difficulty among song (trill) types within an individual’s repertoire. To assess the extent to which variation in difficulty among trill types
contributes to observed variation in vocal performance, I also calculated repeatability of vocal deviation across all trill types (Table 1) or all simple trill types (Table 2) in an individual’s repertoire. As a complementary analysis I conducted a nested ANOVA with trill type nested within bird identity. When calculated in this way, repeatability of vocal deviation among trill types was low and not significantly different from zero whether considering all the simple trills, or all trill types, in an individual’s repertoire (Table 1, 2). Similarly, the nested ANOVA suggested that the majority of within-individual variance in vocal performance was due to differences in performance between trill types. These findings, combined with the high repeatability of performance across a single trill type per individual (Table 3, 4) suggest that vocal performance varies among trill types. This pattern, and the observation that complex trill types generally showed higher deviation from the upper performance boundary than did simple trill types (Figure 6A) supports the idea presented by Podos et al. (2009) that some trill types are more challenging than others to perform well (Figure 3).

Variation in performance difficulty may also limit receivers’ ability to directly compare vocal performance across two or more singers, especially when each is producing a different trill type. Indeed, Logue and Forstmeier (2008) note that song repertoires may constrain receivers’ ability to compare vocal performance across individuals. They suggest that multi-song repertoires may have evolved through selection pressure on males to conceal poor vocal performance. Thus, if male A has better vocal performance than neighbouring male B, but B’s repertoire contains song types not shared with A, receivers may be unable to directly compare performance, especially if B preferentially uses unshared song types when countersinging with A (Logue and
Forstmeier 2008). It is in this way that complex repertoires may have therefore evolved to provide reproductive advantages to singers with poor vocal performance.

4.2 Average versus Maximum Performance

Relative to average performance, maximum performance has been proposed to better reflect among-individual variation in quality, physiology or morphology, especially when performance varies substantially at the within-individual level (Irschick et al. 2008; Podos et al. 2009). In my study, low repeatability of vocal performance among trill types in an individual’s repertoire and the difference in vocal deviation between complex and simple trills suggest that maximum vocal performance (minimum vocal deviation) may indeed more reliably reflect differences among individuals in quality and performance ability than average performance. Supporting this, plotting maximum vocal performance yielded a significantly negative slope of the upper boundary regression representing the performance limit, whereas plotting average vocal performance did not. This suggests that maximum performance better addresses the trade-off between frequency bandwidth and trill rate in this species.

4.3 Complex versus Simple Trill Performance

The low repeatability of vocal performance among trill types in an individual’s repertoire, combined with high repeatability of performance across multiple renditions of a single trill type, suggests that some trills are easier to perform than others. One characteristic that likely affects the level of difficulty associated with a particular trill is the inherent complexity of the trill syllable. That is, for a given frequency bandwidth, it may be harder to quickly repeat syllables consisting of more notes than to quickly repeat
syllables consisting of fewer notes, because producing more notes per unit time requires faster movement of the bill, vocal tract and syrinx (Podos 1997). Consistent with this, vocal deviation was greater on average for complex trills (containing three or more notes) than for simple trills (containing two or fewer notes; Figure 6A). This suggests that simple trills with fewer note elements are in fact easier to perform than complex trills.

Indeed, my results suggest that when using the vocal deviation approach to measure vocal performance in species with multiple trill types varying in complexity, the complexity of the trill syllable must be taken into consideration. In song sparrows, the trade-off between bandwidth and trill rate is more clearly apparent when considering simple trill types only than when considering all trill types (Figure 6). This is likely because when all trill types are considered, variation in inherent complexity (e.g. number of notes) introduces substantial variation in the difficulty of performance, which my measure of vocal deviation did not account for.

4.4 Vocal Performance as a Function of Age, Bill Size and Body Size

Contrary to my original predictions, maximum vocal performance did not vary with age, bill size or body size (Table 6). This finding suggests that although vocal performance varies significantly among (as well as within) individuals, this variation does not reflect phenotypic quality as assessed by age or body size in song sparrows, nor does bill size appear to constrain vocal performance. Indeed it appears vocal performance may in fact decline in later life, as the oldest male in my study population, with a known age of 7, had the poorest vocal performance of all birds measured. By contrast, male age is related to vocal performance in swamp sparrows, which are congeners of song sparrows. Specifically, in swamp sparrows older males tend to be
better performers (Ballentine 2009). However, studies on other species have also failed to detect a relationship between age and vocal deviation (dusky warblers *Phylloscopus fuscatus*, Forstmeier *et al.* 2002; dark-eyed junco, Cardoso *et al.* 2012; house wren, Cramer 2013). In house wrens, although male age did not predict vocal deviation it did predict song consistency, another aspect of vocal performance. Specifically, older males were better able to repeat a given song type consistently (Cramer 2013). Thus while swamp sparrows showed a relationship between age and vocal performance, it is not expected that all species should show a similar trend as song structure is unique to each species. Similarly, I cannot exclude the possibility that song consistency or some other unmeasured aspect of vocal performance varies with age in song sparrows, and may be used by females to assess the age of potential mates.

Also contrary to my original prediction was the finding of no relationship between maximum vocal performance and body size, suggesting that body size does not influence vocal performance in song sparrows. This may be explained by the way in which body size influences the mechanics of bird song. Indeed, in an across-species analysis Ryan and Brenowitz (1985) showed that for a number bird species (including non-Passeriformes and both oscine and sub-oscine Passeriformes) larger males tend to have larger syrinxes and therefore produce songs with lower fundamental frequencies. However, measures of vocal deviation rely on the magnitude of the change in frequency (frequency bandwidth) and the speed of this change (trill rate), not on fundamental frequency. Thus even if a small-bodied individual produces a higher fundamental frequency than a larger individual, he may still be able to modulate that frequency to achieve similar vocal performance (as measured by vocal deviation). Indeed, while body
size is positively associated with vocal performance in some species (Darwin’s finches, Podos 2001; swamp sparrows, Ballentine 2009), several studies on other species have found no relationship (yellow warbler, Beebee 2004; Darwin’s small tree finch, Christensen et al. 2006; dark-eyed junco, Cardoso et al. 2012; house wren, Cramer 2013). Additionally, one of the studies reporting a relationship between body size and vocal performance pooled multiple populations of Darwin’s finches, varying substantially in body size (Podos 2001). Therefore a lack of variation in body size in my study population of song sparrows may have inhibited my ability to detect an effect of size on performance. I also cannot exclude the possibility that vocal deviation is influenced by, and thus advertises, other aspects of phenotype or quality that were not measured in my study (e.g. physiological condition, hormone profiles or health status). However, similar to the situation with dark-eyed juncos (Cardoso et al. 2012), vocal performance does not appear to signal body size in this study population of song sparrows.

Perhaps most surprisingly, and in contrast to my prediction that large-billed birds would have poorer vocal performance, I found no relationship between bill size and vocal performance. This lack of relationship suggests that vocal performance may not be constrained by bill size in my study population, in contrast to previous empirical work in other species (Podos 2001, Ballentine 2006, Huber and Podos 2006, Derryberry et al. 2012). However, the studies that found a relationship between vocal deviation and bill size examined this relationship between species (Podos 2001, Derryberry et al. 2012), between geographically distinct populations of the same species (Ballentine 2006), or a single population with known divergence in bill size (Huber and Podos 2006). Thus, in each of these studies bill size varied substantially due to well-characterized differences in
feeding ecology among species of Darwin’s finch (Podos 2001), among populations of swamp sparrows (Ballentine 2006), or among individuals within a population of Darwin’s finches (Geospiza fortis, Huber and Podos 2006). Conversely, studies conducted within a single population have generally failed to find any relationship between bill size and vocal deviation (Ballentine et al. 2004, Beebee 2004, Christensen et al. 2006) except where considerable within-population divergence in bill size and feeding ecology was already established (Huber and Podos 2006). Thus, although species and population differences in feeding ecology and bill size may well generate species and population differences in vocal performance, this variation may not be biologically significant at the within-population level at which courtship and territorial interactions generally occur. Geographically, song sparrows are widely distributed over North America, with over 30 morphologically and ecologically distinct subspecies (Zink and Dittman 1993), thus the relationship between bill size and vocal performance may be more apparent at the among-population than the within-population level.

4.5 Vocal Performance and Song Complexity

Males with superior vocal performance tended to have larger song repertoires (Figure 7). The one exception to this trend was the male removed from the regression. This male was also the oldest male in the population, with the largest song repertoire size (12 song types) and the poorest vocal performance of all males measured. There are at least two potential explanations for this relationship. First, repertoire size may confound estimates of maximum vocal performance, because birds with more trill types in their repertoires have more “opportunities” to sing at least one high-performance rendition (Cardoso et al. 2012). However, this seems unlikely because although song repertoire
size was correlated with vocal deviation, the number of trill types in an individual’s repertoire was not (Pearson’s $r_{20} = -0.39$, $p = 0.09$). Moreover, a captive-rearing experiment conducted on birds from the same study population revealed a significant positive relationship between paternal repertoire size and offspring vocal performance, despite offspring being removed from their parents at three days of age (Schmidt et al. 2013b). This cross-generation association provides further support that the relationship I observed between performance and repertoire size is real rather than an artifact.

A second potential explanation for the relationship between vocal performance and song complexity is that each of these aspects of song may be influenced by condition during early life. That is, vocal performance and song repertoire size may be developmentally correlated (Spencer and MacDougall-Shackleton 2011) if both are established over similar developmental periods and if both are sensitive to variation in early-life conditions (Nowicki et al. 1998, 2002). Although vocal performance is thought to develop during the sensorimotor phase of song learning (Podos et al. 2009), somewhat later than the sensory phase in which song repertoire size is established (Marler and Peters 1987), condition during one phase may well carry over to the next (Norris et al. 2004, Podos et al. 2009). Therefore, variation among males in their ability to withstand developmental stress may also contribute to the observed positive relationship between song complexity and vocal performance.

Female song sparrows prefer males with larger repertoires and male repertoire size has been linked to several aspects of phenotypic quality in this species (Searcy 1984, Nowicki et al. 1998; Reid et al. 2005a, 2005b; MacDougall-Shackleton et al. 2009b; Schmidt et al. 2012). Therefore, the relationship I observed between song complexity and
vocal performance might suggest that vocal performance also conveys some aspect of the singer’s quality or condition. If so, the signal content of vocal performance seems likely to be redundant with that provided by song complexity, supporting the ‘backup signal’ hypothesis of multiple signals encoding similar information (Johnstone 1996). It is also possible that vocal performance may better reflect an individual’s current condition than song repertoire size, and could explain why the oldest male measured had both the largest song repertoire, and poorest vocal performance. However, it does not follow that song complexity and vocal performance are equally useful to receivers or that receivers rely equally on both aspects of song when assessing a singer. Instead, repertoire size seems likely to be a more efficient and honest signal of quality due to its established relationship to early-life stress (Schmidt et al. 2013b). Selection favouring complex vocal repertoires in this species may have contributed to reducing the utility of vocal performance as a signal. This is because even though vocal performance may not be “fakeable”, poor performance can still be concealed by switching to song types that are not shared with neighbouring males, thus preventing receivers from directly comparing vocal performance (Logue and Forstmeier 2008), or by preferentially using the less difficult trill types within one’s repertoire. Therefore although vocal performance may indeed be associated with some aspect of quality not measured here, repertoire size may be more readily assessed.

An alternative possibility is that vocal deviation does not reflect quality in this and other species with complex vocal repertoires (Cardoso et al. 2012). Byers et al. (2010) suggest that measures of motor performance should reliably signal quality if they are close to performance boundaries, but it is possible that the complexity of song
sparrow song constrains the maximum achievable trill rate and frequency bandwidth and thus reduces signaling value (Cardoso et al. 2012). Additionally, the importance of accurate vocal copying during song learning may also constrain rapid frequency modulation. That is, vocal deviation may be limited not just by the singer’s own motor performance ability, but also by that of conspecific tutors from whom his trill types were copied. Accurately copying a slow-paced or shallow-bandwidth model trill will result in a low estimate of vocal performance regardless of the singer’s true motor performance capabilities.

4.6 Conclusions

Most previous studies of vocal deviation as a measure of vocal performance have focused on species with simple trilled song (Podos 2001, Ballentine et al. 2004, Beebee 2004, Illes et al. 2006, Caro et al. 2010, Cramer 2013). I compared the utility of measuring average versus maximum performance, and simple trills versus all trill types, when applying the vocal deviation approach to species with complex multi-song repertoires. I also characterized the proportion of variation occurring within individuals (both within and across trill types in an individual’s repertoire) and among individuals. Although I found significant differences in maximum performance among individuals, I also noted substantial within-individual variation associated with different trill types that may constrain the ability of receivers to directly compare vocal performance among males.

Having identified the most appropriate way to measure vocal performance in song sparrows, I examined the degree to which performance reflects other aspects of individual phenotype. Contrary to my original predictions, and empirical findings from
species with simple repertoires, maximum vocal performance did not vary with age, body size, or bill size. However, high-performance singers also tended to have larger song repertoires. The signal content of vocal performance may thus be similar to that of song complexity, but it remains to be determined whether receivers can or do attend to variation among singers in their vocal performance.
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AUP Title: Mating Signals, Gene Flow, And Disease Resistance In Songbirds
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Official Notice of Animal Use Subcommittee (AUS) Approval: Your new Animal Use Protocol (AUP) entitled "Mating Signals, Gene Flow, And Disease Resistance In Songbirds" has been APPROVED by the Animal Use Subcommittee of the University Council on Animal Care. This approval, although valid for four years, and is subject to annual Protocol Renewal.
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3. Purchases of animals other than through this system must be cleared through the ACVS office. Health certificates will be required.
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