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**Introduction**

Cellular senescence is characterized by silencing of genes involved in DNA replication and cell cycle progression. Stable repression is crucial for preventing inappropriate DNA synthesis and the maintenance of a prolonged senescent state. Many of these genes are targets for E2F transcription factors. The pRB pathway plays a major role in senescence by directly repressing E2Fs and also by regulating chromatin at the promoters of E2F target genes using its LXCXE cleft-dependent interactions. In this study, we sought to investigate the mechanisms by which pRB stably silences E2F target gene transcription during cellular senescence. We report that in mouse embryonic fibroblasts, endogenous promyelocytic leukemia protein (PML) associates with E2F target genes in a pRB LXCXE-dependent manner during HrasV12-induced senescence. Furthermore, using a PML-IV-induced senescence model, we show that the pRB LXCXE binding cleft is essential for PML association with gene promoters, silencing of E2F target genes, and stable cell cycle exit. Binding assays show that pRB can interact with PML specifically during senescence, suggesting that signaling events in senescence regulate assembly of PML and pRB to establish heterochromatin and create a permanent cell cycle arrest.

Stable repression is crucial for preventing inappropriate DNA synthesis and the maintenance of a prolonged senescent state. Many of these genes are targets for E2F transcription factors. The pRB pathway plays a major role in senescence by directly repressing E2Fs and also by regulating chromatin at the promoters of E2F target genes using its LXCXE cleft-dependent interactions. In this study, we sought to investigate the mechanisms by which pRB stably silences E2F target gene transcription during cellular senescence. We report that in mouse embryonic fibroblasts, endogenous promyelocytic leukemia protein (PML) associates with E2F target genes in a pRB LXCXE-dependent manner during HrasV12-induced senescence. Furthermore, using a PML-IV-induced senescence model, we show that the pRB LXCXE binding cleft is essential for PML association with gene promoters, silencing of E2F target genes, and stable cell cycle exit. Binding assays show that pRB can interact with PML specifically during senescence, suggesting that signaling events in senescence regulate assembly of PML and pRB to establish heterochromatin and create a permanent cell cycle arrest.
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models have confirmed this hypothesis, wherein MEFs lacking all the pRB family proteins (TKO MEFs) fail to senesce and immortalize spontaneously in culture. Among the pocket protein family, pRB has been shown to have a unique role in senescence. pRB is required for repression of key cell cycle genes and preventing DNA synthesis in response to oncogene expression. Furthermore, acute knockdown of pRB alone is sufficient to induce DNA synthesis and cell cycle re-entry in senescent MEFs, suggesting a crucial role for pRB in the maintenance of a stable senescent state. This unique role for pRB can be attributed, at least in part, to its ability to regulate the heterochromatinization of cell cycle gene promoters and stable silencing of these genes. However, the mechanistic role of pRB in establishing stable senescence is not understood. Taken together, heterochromatin changes accompany senescence-induced cell cycle arrest; however, it is unclear if these are a direct effect of pRB, or an indirect consequence of its other functions.

The pro-myelocytic leukemia protein (PML) has been shown to be essential for senescence. PML is the primary component of PML nuclear bodies, the sub-nuclear structures that increase in abundance in response to a variety of cellular stresses. Expression of oncogenic HrasV12 in fibroblasts results in a dramatic increase in the number and size of PML nuclear bodies. The essential role for PML in senescence comes from the observation that fibroblasts from Pml−/− embryos fail to senesce and continue proliferating in response to HrasV12. Furthermore, forced expression of PML is sufficient to induce senescence in primary fibroblasts. The Pml gene is subject to extensive alternate splicing, resulting in at least 7 major isoforms (called PML-I through PML-VII) that differ mainly in their C-terminal region. PML-IV, among the major isoforms, is the only one shown to be able to induce senescence when overexpressed, suggesting an important role for this isoform. However, PML-IV fails to induce senescence when expressed in Pml−/− MEFs, suggesting that other isoforms are also required for efficient induction of senescence.

The precise role of PML and its constituent nuclear bodies during senescence is an area of intense research. A functional co-operation between PML and pRB–E2F pathways during senescence was recently reported, pRB and E2Fs were shown to localize to the PML nuclear bodies during senescence, and disruption of pRB–E2F interactions, or degradation of RB family proteins by expression of human papilloma virus E7, was sufficient to compromise PML-IV-induced senescence. This association between PML and pRB–E2F is proposed to be responsible for repression of E2Fs and their target gene expression. However, since HPV-E7 inhibits RB family proteins and PML alike, the precise aspects of pRB or PML function that is required for senescence remain unknown.

We previously showed that MEFs from a gene-targeted mouse carrying a mutant pRB that is specifically defective for LXCXE-type interactions (called Rb1ΔL) are defective for stable repression of E2F target genes during oncogene-induced senescence. This mutation also compromises the stability of senescence arrest and enables escape. In the current study, we explored the mechanism of pRB-mediated silencing and heterochromatinization of E2F-responsive genes using 2 different senescence contexts: oncogene-induced senescence (HrasV12) and PML-induced senescence (PML-IV). Here we show that endogenous PML is enriched at the promoters of E2F target genes in a pRB-LXCXE-dependent manner during both forms of senescence. The same E2F target genes fail to be repressed in Rb1ΔL/ΔL MEFs overexpressing either HrasV12 or PML-IV. Interestingly, Rb1ΔL/ΔL MEFs overexpressing PML-IV fail to enrich the repressive histone mark H3K9me3 at Cen1 and Mem3 gene promoters. This suggests a requirement for PML recruitment by pRB–LXCXE-type interactions to induce heterochromatinization and gene silencing. Furthermore, using co-immunoprecipitation and GST pull-down experiments, we show that PML is only capable of binding pRB under senescent growth conditions, and these interactions are disrupted by mutations in the pRB–LXCXE binding cleft. Our experiments support a model in which pRB interacts with PML in a LXCXE cleft-dependent manner, and this complex mediates heterochromatinization and silencing of E2F genes during senescence. Taken together, our data demonstrates that the interaction between pRB and PML is critical to switching the chromatin state at cell cycle promoters to create a permanent arrest.

**Results**

Defective enrichment of PML on E2F target gene promoters in Rb1ΔL/ΔL cells during senescence

In a previous study we investigated the role of pRB–LXCXE interactions in cellular senescence using MEFs derived from Rb1ΔL/ΔL mice. We reported that Rb1ΔL/ΔL MEFs enter a state of partial senescence in response to oncogenic HrasV12 expression, in which they take on many of the morphological features of senescent cells but fail to stably repress E2F target genes, and these genes remain susceptible to activation by ectopic stimuli. Importantly, the Rb1ΔL mutation allows partially senescent Rb1ΔL/ΔL MEFs to initiate DNA synthesis, re-enter the cell cycle, and resume proliferation. We found defective enrichment of the repressive histone modification H3K9me3 on E2F target gene promoters in Rb1ΔL/ΔL MEFs during senescence and Figure 1A. Our goal in this study was to use Rb1ΔL/ΔL cells to identify components of the mechanism that converts reversible growth arrest into permanent withdrawal from the cell cycle through heterochromatin formation at E2F promoters.

We searched for proteins whose association with E2F-responsive promoters in chromatin immunoprecipitation assays (ChIP) was sensitive to the Rb1ΔL mutation. We examined the Cen1 and Mem3 gene promoters, as these genes are key targets of pRB in proliferative control during senescence. As shown in Figure 1B endogenous PML is enriched at both these promoters during senescence in Rb1ΔL cells. Strikingly, this enrichment is eliminated in Rb1ΔL/ΔL cells, suggesting that PML requires pRB–LXCXE binding cleft-mediated interactions for recruitment. Furthermore, pRB is equally enriched at these promoters in both Rb1ΔL/ΔL and Rb1ΔL/ΔL cells (Fig. 1C). This shows that while pRBΔL is capable of binding to E2F target genes during senescence, this mutation specifically disrupts PML association with
these promoters, suggesting that it participates in the switch from short-term to long-term growth arrest.

**Early events during senescence induction occur normally in** Rb\textsuperscript{1L/ΔL} MEFs

Expression of oncogenic ras in primary fibroblasts induces hyper-proliferation resulting in replicative stress and DNA damage.\textsuperscript{3-5} This leads to the activation of DNA damage signaling and activation of p53 and pRB pathways. Ras expression also leads to induction of PML and PML nuclear body formation in a p53-dependent manner.\textsuperscript{24} Activation of the DNA damage response has also been shown to be important for the maintenance of senescence arrest.\textsuperscript{4} We wanted to investigate whether these signaling events, which are required for senescence induction, are intact in Rb\textsuperscript{1L/ΔL} MEFs and could explain failure to recruit PML to E2F-regulated promoters in senescent Rb\textsuperscript{1L/ΔL} cells.

First, we tested if DNA damage signaling is intact in Rb\textsuperscript{1L/ΔL} MEFs, and if it is activated in response to ras similar to wild-type controls. Rb\textsuperscript{1/+} and Rb\textsuperscript{1L/ΔL} MEFs induced to senesce by expression of oncogenic HrasV12 were stained with antibodies against γH2AX, a marker of DNA double-strand breaks. As a control, we also assessed DNA damage in low passage, proliferating MEFs. As shown in Figure 2A and B, HrasV12 expression induces a significant increase in the number of γH2AX foci both in wild-type and Rb\textsuperscript{1L/ΔL} MEFs. The damage foci can be seen very early after the expression of HrasV12, and this damage also persists during senescence in both genotypes. Furthermore, western blotting for this DNA damage marker in proliferating and senescent cell extracts showed a similar increase in the levels of γH2AX in both wild-type and Rb\textsuperscript{1L/ΔL} MEFs under senescence culture conditions (Fig. 2C).

We next determined if PML bodies are formed normally in our mutant background. We used immunofluorescence staining with an α-PML antibody in Rb\textsuperscript{1/+} and Rb\textsuperscript{1L/ΔL} MEFs expressing oncogenic HrasV12. We observed a clear increase in the number of PML nuclear bodies in senescent cells compared with asynchronously proliferating MEFs (Fig. 2D). Importantly, Rb\textsuperscript{1L/ΔL} MEFs showed accumulation of PML bodies similar to wild-type cells (Fig. 2D and E). We observed a significant shift toward more PML bodies per nucleus (> 10) in MEFs induced to senesce by HrasV12 expression (Fig. 2E).

Taken together the above experiments suggest that the early events in senescence leading up to PML body assembly occur normally in Rb\textsuperscript{1L/ΔL} cells compared with wild type. This suggests that the defective enrichment of PML on E2F target gene promoters we observed is not due to decreased PML accumulation in Rb\textsuperscript{1L/ΔL} MEFs or diminished signals that induce senescence.

**Defective senescence arrest in Rb\textsuperscript{1L/ΔL} MEFs expressing PML-IV**

We next sought to determine if ectopic PML expression could rescue defective association with E2F-regulated promoters in Rb\textsuperscript{1L/ΔL} fibroblasts undergoing senescence. We took advantage of the ability of PML-IV to induce senescence when...
overexpressed in MEFs.19,21 We induced senescence in Rb1+/+ and Rb1Δ/Δ MEFs by expressing FLAG-tagged PML-IV by retroviral transduction and followed the cells over a 10-d time course as above. Following selection in puromycin, cells were re-plated at low density and cultured for 10 more days to investigate the induction of senescence. Since PML induced senescence occurs earlier than HrasV12 (data not shown), we have focused on day 8 as an equivalent endpoint for these experiments. We analyzed PML-IV expressing cells for DNA synthesis, senescence-associated β-galactosidase expression, and E2F target gene expression. As shown in Figure 3A, FLAG-PML-IV is expressed in most cells in both genotypes tested. Eight days post-re-plating, most cells had stopped proliferating, as determined by BrdU labeling at different time points after initial selection and re-plating. In response to PML-IV expression, wild-type MEFs arrest as early as day 1 after re-plating and remain arrested throughout the experiment (Fig. 3B). In contrast, Rb1Δ/Δ cells showed elevated DNA synthesis at earlier time points, as indicated by higher BrdU incorporation relative to wild type (Fig. 3B). However, 8 d post-re-plating Rb1Δ/Δ cells reduce DNA synthesis to control levels (Fig. 3B). Furthermore, both genotypes displayed features of senescent cells at this time point, as they were flat and ubiquitously positive for SA-β-gal expression (Fig. 3C and D). This suggests that pRB–LXCXE interactions are essential for efficient arrest of DNA synthesis and proper cell cycle exit in response to PML-IV expression; however, mutant cells still possess features of senescence in response to PML-IV.

One of the major roles of pRB in senescence is repression of E2F target genes involved in DNA replication and cell cycle advancement.2 We next investigated if the E2F target genes are silenced in Rb1Δ/Δ MEFs in response to PML-IV expression and senescence induction. Based on our results from Figure 3B and C we used 8 d post-re-plating as our time point for assaying E2F target gene message levels, as both Rb1+/+ and Rb1Δ/Δ MEFs showed similar inhibition of DNA synthesis and equal SA-β-gal expression at this time point. We quantified the mRNA levels of 6 known E2F target genes: Ccn1 (cyclin E1), Ccna2 (Cyclin A2), Rbl1 (p107), Tyms (thymidylate synthase), Pcn1 (proliferating cell nuclear antigen), and Mem3 (minichromosome maintenance deficient 3), along with Rplp0 (60S acidic ribosomal protein P0) as a control (Fig. 3E). In proliferating cultures, the expression levels of E2F target genes are similar in Rb1Δ/Δ MEFs compared with wild type (Fig. 3E, left). However, in senescent cultures expressing PML-IV, 8
d post-re-plating, we observed elevated expression of E2F target genes in Rb1Δ/Δ MEFs relative to wild-type controls (Fig. 3E, right). Moreover, western blotting further confirmed the failure of Rb1Δ/Δ MEFs to properly repress E2F target gene expression in response to PML-IV as p107 and Cyclin E protein levels are elevated compared with controls (Fig. 3F).

We next wanted to determine the stability of PML-IV-induced senescence arrest in Rb1Δ/Δ MEFs relative to wild-type controls. In order to do this, we induced senescence by expressing PML-IV and ectopically expressed human E2F1 by subsequent adenoviral infection. In this way we were able to test the propensity of the Rb1Δ/Δ MEF cells to reinitiate DNA synthesis. As shown in Figure 3G, ectopic E2F1 induced higher levels of BrdU incorporation in Rb1Δ/Δ mutant cells compared with wild type. The fold induction of BrdU incorporation in response to ectopic E2F1 expression was also significantly higher in mutant cells. This suggests that the PML-induced senescent cell cycle arrest in Rb1Δ/Δ cells is less stable and is more susceptible than wild-type cells to ectopic proliferative signals.

Taken together, BrdU incorporation, E2F target gene expression analysis, and ectopic E2F1 expression suggest that pRB–LXCXE interactions are required for proper repression of proliferative genes and efficient exit from the cell cycle in response to PML-IV expression. Robust induction of SA-β-gal suggests that Rb1Δ/Δ MEFs respond normally to other aspects of PML-IV-induced senescence. These data suggest that PML-IV induces an incomplete state of senescence, similar to HrasV12, as we have reported previously.10 This suggests that PML function

Figure 3. Defective senescence arrest in Rb1Δ/Δ MEFs expressing PML-IV. Asynchronously growing wild-type and Rb1Δ/Δ MEFs were transduced with retroviruses expressing pBABE-FLAG-PML-IV. After 3 d of drug selection, cells were plated in medium and cultured for the indicated amount of time. (A) Immunofluorescent (IF) staining was performed with an α-FLAG antibody (green) to detect PML-IV. Nuclei were counter stained with DAPI (blue). The inset image shows higher magnification of individual nuclei. (B) Cells of the indicated genotypes were pulsed with BrdU for 4 h, followed by fixation and staining with α-BrdU antibodies. The percentage of BrdU-positive nuclei at the indicated time points following FLAG-PML-IV expression are plotted. (C) PML-IV-expressing cells were stained for senescence-associated β-galactosidase (SA-β-gal) expression 8 d after the expression of PML-IV. (D) The number of SA-β-gal-positive cells in each genotype were quantified and plotted. (E) Quantification of E2F target gene mRNA from wild-type and Rb1Δ/Δ MEFs either from proliferating (left), or PML-IV-expressing senescent cells (right). Samples were normalized to expression of the ribosomal protein gene Rplp0. (F) Western blots to determine the expression of protein products of E2F target genes (p107 and cyclin E) following PML expression are shown. (G) DNA synthesis in response to ectopic E2F1 expression was measured by BrdU incorporation. Two days following Ad-E2F1 infection, cells were pulse labeled with BrdU for 16hrs and positive cells were identified by immunofluorescence microscopy and shown in the graph (bottom left). The fold increase in BrdU incorporation between control and E2F1 infected cells was calculated for both the genotypes and is shown in the graph on the bottom right. The mean fold increase was compared by a t test. The expression level of ectopic E2F1 in both the wild-type and Rb1Δ/Δ MEFs is shown by western blotting along with β-actin as a loading control. An asterisk on a graph indicates a statistically significant difference (t test, P < 0.05), an asterisk on a blot represents a non-specific band. All experiments were reproduced in at least three independent pairs of MEFs. Error bars represent one standard deviation from the mean of at least 3 biological replicates. Scale bars are 50 μM.
is critical to the switch that creates a permanent barrier to proliferation in senescence.

Induction of senescence signals the assembly of PML–pRB complexes that are essential for heterochromatin formation in senescence.

Senescence is associated with a number of chromatin changes, and heterochromatin assembly has been suggested to play an important role. Both pRB and PML have been shown to be involved in heterochromatin formation during senescence. Consequently, we hypothesized that the defective repression of E2F target genes observed in \( Rb^{\Delta L/\Delta L} \) MEFs might be due to the inability of PML to assemble with pRB and regulate heterochromatin at these promoters.

**Figure 4.** The pRB\(^{\Delta L}\) mutation disrupts PML-pRB interactions during senescence. GST pull-down experiments were performed using nuclear extracts from proliferating or senescent MEFs induced to senesce by expression of oncogenic HrasV12. (A) GST pull-down using GST tagged pRB large pocket or pRB large pocket with \( \Delta LXCE \) mutations (\( \Delta L \)). GST alone is used as a negative control. Pull-down fractions were probed with antibodies specific to murine PML and E2F3. (B) GST pull-down as in (A) using GST tagged p107 large pocket. Pull-down fractions were probed with antibodies specific to either murine PML or E2F4. (C) Nuclear extracts from wild-type and \( Rb^{\Delta L/\Delta L} \) MEFs that were induced to senesce by expressing oncogenic HrasV12 were used for co-immunoprecipitation using a sheep \( \alpha\)-RB antibody or a non-specific IgG control. The immunoprecipitated fractions were probed with a monoclonal antibody against PML (Millipore). The blots were then stripped and reprobed for E2F3. An asterisk indicates a non-specific band. (D) Nuclear extracts from proliferating and senescent cells were analyzed by SDS-PAGE and western blotting with a pan PML antibody that recognizes numerous isoforms (SC, shown at left). The same extracts were probed with a murine specific antibody to PML as above (MP, shown to the right). The arrows indicate differentially expressed bands. (E) GST pull-downs were performed as in (A), except the blot was probed with the pan PML antibody that recognizes many PML isoforms. Arrows indicate different PML species that are sensitive to \( \Delta L \) mutations in pRB. Stars indicate cross reactivity with the GST-RB protein. (F) MEFs were transfected with expression constructs for each of the indicated PML isoforms. Following SDS-PAGE and western blotting, membranes were probed with the same pan PML antibody as in (E) to identify the migration pattern of different PML isoforms.
Our analysis of PML bodies in proliferating and senescent cells in Figure 2D and E indicates that PML bodies exist under both growth conditions. Previously, pRB has been shown to bind to PML in interaction assays when overexpressed in cancer cell lines. To distinguish if PML–pRB interactions are simply driven by abundance, or whether there is a regulated assembly process, we tested PML binding to the large pocket fragment of pRB (amino acids 379–928) fused to GST. We performed pull-down experiments with GST-RB or GST-RBΔL using nuclear extracts prepared either from wild-type proliferating MEFs or those made senescent by expressing oncogenic HrasV12. As shown in Figure 4A GST-RB is able to pull-down PML protein from senescent nuclear extracts, but not from proliferating nuclear extracts, even with relatively equal input of PML proteins. In contrast GST-RBΔL is unable to pull-down PML from the same extract (Fig. 4A). Furthermore, GST-p107 is incapable of pulling down PML from the same extracts (Fig. 4B). As a control to show that the GST-RBΔL and GST-p107 proteins are functional, and that equivalent amounts of extract were used in each, we stripped and re-probed the membranes with either E2F3 or E2F4 antibodies, respectively. As shown in Figure 4A, GST-RBΔL is able to pull-down E2F3 as efficiently as wild-type, and GST-p107 is capable of pulling down E2F4 from the nuclear extracts (Fig. 4B). This indicates that GST-RB is specifically capable of interacting with PML from senescent nuclear extracts, it is dependent on LXCXE cleft interactions, and this ability is unique to pRB.

We next wondered whether we could detect endogenous pRB-PML complexes in cells undergoing senescence, and if they are affected by the Rb1ΔL mutation. In order to test this, we performed co-immunoprecipitation assays with a pRB antibody using nuclear extracts from wild-type and Rb1ΔL cells that are induced to senescence by oncogenic HrasV12 expression. As shown in Figure 4C, endogenous pRB associates with PML in wild-type senescent nuclear extracts. This interaction is clearly diminished in Rb1ΔL cells, further supporting our GST pull-down experiments (Fig. 4C). As a control for our immunoprecipitations, we also tested the ability of pRBΔL to pull-down E2F proteins by re-probing the same blot with an antibody against E2F3. As shown in Figure 4C (bottom) pRBΔL is able to immunoprecipitate E2F3 efficiently from the nuclear extracts. It is interesting to note that E2F3 is itself an E2F target gene, and we have previously reported de-regulation of the E2F target genes in Rb1ΔL cells undergoing senescence. This explains the relatively higher level of E2F3 expression in Rb1ΔL extracts (input lanes) and a corresponding increase in the E2F3 immunoprecipitated from these extracts. Taken together, our GST pull-downs and co-immunoprecipitation experiments suggest that senescence-inducing stimuli, such as Hrsv12, signal the generation of a distinct PML body that can assemble with pRB through its LXCXE binding cleft.

To further expand this analysis and better understand the signal that initiates pRB–PML interactions in senescence, we used polyclonal antibodies that recognize most isoforms of PML. First we examined PML protein expression in nuclear extracts from proliferating and HrasV12 senescent fibroblasts (Fig. 4D). This demonstrates the senescent-dependent appearance of bands that react with PML antibodies, most notably at 150 kD molecular weight (Fig. 4D, left panel, upper arrow). Likewise, the monoclonal antibody to PML used previously preferentially recognizes the increase of a 125-kD form of PML (Fig. 4D, right arrow). In GST–RB pull-down assays we observed binding of multiple isoforms of PML with pRB in a LXCXE-dependent manner (Fig. 4E, marked by arrows). To clarify the identity of PML proteins in this pulldown assay, we expressed FLAG-tagged versions of PML I–VI individually by transfection and resolved nuclear extracts by SDS-PAGE and identified PML by western blotting.

**Figure 5.** Defective enrichment of Flag-PML-IV and heterochromatin formation at E2F target gene promoters in Rb1ΔL MEFs. Asynchronously growing wild-type and Rb1ΔL MEFs were transduced with pBABE-FLAG-PML-IV retrovirus. After 3 d of drug selection, cells were re-plated and cultured for 8 more days before processing for chromatin immunoprecipitation. (A) ChiP on wild-type and Rb1ΔL MEFs expressing PML-IV using a α-FLAG antibody or an IgG control. Real-time PCR was used to amplify the immunoprecipitated DNA using primers specific to the promoter regions of Ccn1 (left) and Mcm3 (right). (B) ChiP on wild-type and Rb1ΔL MEFs expressing PML-IV using a α-H3K9me3 antibody or an IgG control. Real-time PCR was used to amplify the immunoprecipitated DNA using primers specific to the promoter regions of Ccn1 and Mcm3. All experiments were reproduced in at least 3 independent pairs of MEFs. Error bars represent one standard deviation from the mean of at least 3 biological replicates. An asterisk indicates a statistically significant difference (t test, P < 0.05).
with pan PML antibodies (Fig. 4F). In agreement with previous publications, PML isoforms range from approximately 50 kD to 120 kD. Our pulldown assays reveal that some PML bands correspond to individual isoforms (e.g., at 60 kD). However, it is notable that others at 150 kD and higher do not. PML has been shown to be extensively modified post-translationally by Sumo, among others in response to stress, which could alter their electrophoretic mobility.22 We hypothesize that pRB–PML interactions in senescence rely on post-translational modification of different PML isoforms, to stimulate their interaction.

In order to determine the functional relevance of pRB–PML interactions in senescence we performed ChIP using α-FLAG antibodies on chromatin from cells that were induced to senesce by expressing FLAG-PML-IV. While we were able to detect FLAG-PML-IV on both Ccne1 and Mcm3 promoters in wild-type MEFs, we could not detect a signal above background in Rb1Δ/Δ MEFs (Fig. 5A). This further suggested that PML–pRB interactions are LXCXE-dependent directly at E2F-responsive gene promoters. We next tested if PML-IV interaction with these gene promoters in Rb1Δ/Δ MEFs is coincident with heterochromatinization by ChIP assay. As shown in Figure 5B, in Rb1Δ/Δ MEFs expressing FLAG-PML-IV, H3K9me3 is enriched at Ccne1 and Mcm3 gene promoters. In contrast, in Rb1Δ/ΔΔ MEFs there is no enrichment of this repressive histone modification following the expression of PML-IV.

The above experiments show that pRB and PML functionally interact to regulate the assembly of repressive heterochromatin at E2F target genes involved in replication and cell cycle progression. Furthermore, this interaction is mediated by the LXCXE binding cleft of pRB and pRB–PML interactions are actively stimulated by senescence.

**Discussion**

Our study demonstrates the cooperative action of PML and pRB during senescence in silencing of E2F target genes involved in DNA synthesis and cell cycle advancement. This interaction is important for heterochromatinization of these promoters as H3K9me3 deposition is blocked when PML and pRB are unable to assemble together at these promoters. Using a mutant version of pRB that is defective for LXCXE-type interactions, we demonstrated that senescence actively stimulates interactions between PML and pRB through this conserved interaction domain on pRB. The complexity of PML protein isoforms that exist in senescent cells likely contributes to their interaction with pRB. This assembly step is key to understanding the events that commit senescent cells to a permanent cell cycle arrest, and our study adds important new knowledge to ongoing work on this question.

Previous work using Rb1Δ/Δ MEFs and mice has allowed us to probe the circumstances where pRB uses LXCXE-type interactions in cell cycle arrest. Surprisingly, pRB–LXCXE interactions are critical for stress-responsive growth arrest, but not in reversible growth arrest or cell cycle arrest in development, even though each paradigm of proliferative control is pRB-dependent.10,29,36 Notably, senescent Rb1Δ/Δ MEFs can re-initiate DNA synthesis in response to ectopic E2F1 expression, whereas wild-type cells are resistant.10 Furthermore, serially cultured Rb1Δ/ΔΔ MEFs escape senescence more readily than their wild-type counterparts, suggesting that defective silencing of proliferative genes can compromise the long-term stability of senescence arrest.10 For these reasons, we have described Rb1Δ/ΔΔ cells as entering into a state of partial senescence, whereby morphological features of senescence and SA-β-gal activity are typical of senescent cells, but their arrest remains reversible. A role for PML in gene silencing in growth control has been suggested, but has been less clear. First, ectopic expression of PML has been shown to inhibit growth of a number of cancer cell lines.31,32 In addition, PML has been shown to be able to suppress the transformation of fibroblasts by activated oncogenes.33,34 Indeed, Pml−/− mice show increased susceptibility to cancer-promoting agents.35,36 Interestingly, in a recent study by Vernier et al. the authors showed that expression of PML in U2OS osteosarcoma cells results in the association of PML with E2F target genes and repression of their expression.23 However, other studies have suggested that the formation of PML nuclear bodies is dispensable for induction of senescence, and that the individual PML proteins are key.21 Our work reconciles these conflicting observations from 2 perspectives. Demonstration that endogenous PML proteins associate with E2F target promoters during the induction of senescence places PML in the right genomic location at the appropriate time to play an active role in repression of these genes by directing heterochromatin assembly. Furthermore, studies that suggest PML body formation is dispensable for senescence pre-date our description of incomplete senescence.10 Thereby, cells that are incapable of assembling PML bodies, but that still become SA-β-gal positive, may be in a similar state of incomplete senescence, where the arrest is not yet permanent.

In our studies we demonstrate that Hras-V12 expression in Rb1Δ/ΔΔ fibroblasts triggers a similar DNA damage response as in control Rb1Δ/Δ cells. In addition, the quantity of PML bodies that are induced by Hras-V12 in Rb1Δ/ΔΔ and Rb1Δ/Δ cells is similar. Differences only appear when PML fails to associate with E2F target genes in senescing Rb1Δ/ΔΔ fibroblasts. We interpret this defect as resulting from the failure of a regulated PML–pRB assembly step. We describe this event as regulated assembly, because similar quantities of PML protein from proliferating cells fail to bind to GST-RB in our assays. This interaction assay is highly relevant to PML–pRB interactions in vivo, because it is disrupted by the same LXCXE binding cleft mutation as is present in Rb1Δ/ΔΔ fibroblasts. Understanding how PML engages this binding site on pRB is complex. Since PML is not reported to contain an LXCXE motif, it may be that the interaction is indirect and could be mediated by one or more proteins that bind to pRB through its LXCXE binding cleft. HDACs are one such potential candidate, as they have been shown to interact with both pRB and PML.36,37 We don’t favor this interpretation, because our previous studies have indicated that HDAC-containing complexes interact with pRB in a GST-RB pull-down assay irrespective of the growth state of the cells.25 Based on this line of reasoning, we expect that PML–pRB interactions are likely quite direct.
There are at least 6 isoforms of PML (I–VI) capable of forming nuclear bodies, and pRB has been shown to bind some isoforms preferentially. Oncogene-induced senescence has also been shown to upregulate PML at the transcriptional and translational levels. Thus, expression of PML increases in senescence, but our data indicates that relatively equal quantities of PML obtained from proliferating cells still fail to bind to GST-RB. We hypothesize that the signal to actively form pRB–PML interactions may be coincident with PML body assembly. Examination of the forms of PML present in nuclear extracts of senescent cells compared with proliferating indicates that senescence generates species of PML that are far larger than the predicted molecular weights of the largest PML isoforms. This implies that post-translational modifications, such as sumoylation, may trigger PML body assembly and/or direct the interaction with pRB.

The precise mechanism of how PML–pRB complexes inhibit the expression of E2F target genes is still unclear. Defective enrichment of the repressive histone modification H3K9me3 in Rb1Δ/Δ MEFs provides a clue. PML might recruit and/or facilitate the incorporation of this mark to render the genes transcriptionally inert. Indeed, both pRB and PML are reported to interact with Suv39h1, the enzyme capable of trimethylating histone H3K9. In addition, Suv39h1 knockout mice are defective for chromatin condensation in senescence. Unfortunately, we were unable to reliably detect an interaction between pRB and Suv39h1 either by co-immunoprecipitation or by ChIP in extracts from senescent MEFs. It is possible that this interaction is very transient, and the conditions we used in our experiments were not conducive to detecting this interaction. Alternatively, a different enzyme may be responsible for incorporating this modification at E2F target gene promoters during senescence in a PML–pRB-dependent manner. Nevertheless, ectopic expression of PML-IV in Rb1Δ/Δ MEFs demonstrates that H3K9me3 addition to promoters is dependent on PML–pRB interactions and the regulated assembly processes described above. Previously, Nielsen et al. have demonstrated that Suv39h enzymes passively interact with GST-RB in an LXCXE cleft-dependent manner. For this reason, we expect that the actual enzymatic methylation of H3K9 is downstream of a cell’s commitment to enter a permanently arrested state. Our data suggests that PML–pRB interactions are likely closer to the switch that converts reversible arrest to permanent through E2F target gene heterochromatinization. Future work in this area will need to focus on the signals that assemble PML–pRB complexes as they hold the key to understanding how senescent cells become committed to permanent cell cycle arrest.

Materials and Methods

Cell culture

Mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs) were generated from E13.5 embryos using standard procedures and cultured as previously described. The Rb1ΔL allele encodes I746A, N750A, and M754A substitutions, and is detected by PCR genotyping as previously reported. All cells were cultured in growth medium that contained Dulbecco modified Eagle medium (DMEM) supplemented with L-glutamine, streptomycin, penicillin, and 10% fetal bovine serum. Cells were maintained in a humidified chamber at 37 °C with 5% CO2. MEFs used for our experiments were all at passage 3 or 4. At these passages, typically only 5–10% of cells in the culture are senescent as determined by senescence-associated β-galactosidase (SA-β-gal) staining, and we generally discard MEF preparations with higher levels of senescent cells. Retroviral transduction with pBABE-H-RasV12, pBABE-flag-PML-IV, or other pBABE-flag-PML constructs was as reported by Serrano et al., and viruses were packaged in Bosc-23 cells. Cells infected with viruses encoding Ras or PML-IV were pre-selected in 4 µg/ml puromycin for at least 3 d before re-plating and further culturing in selection medium for 1, 5, 8, or 10 d depending on the experiment. Senescence associated β-galactosidase (SA-β-gal) staining was performed as described. Infections with Ad-GFP and Ad-E2F1 were performed as previously described, and cells were cultured for an additional 48 h before labeling with BrdU for 16 h or preparing extracts. PML expression plasmids were a kind gift of Lawrence Banks.

Immunofluorescence

Cells on coverslips were fixed in 4% PFA for 10 min at room temperature (RT), permeabilized with 0.5% triton-X-100 for 5 min at RT, blocked with 3% BSA/PBS for 15 min, followed by incubation with primary antibodies diluted in blocking buffer for 1 h at RT or overnight at 4 °C in a humidified chamber. Cells were washed in the blocking buffer 5 min each, 3 times. Cells were incubated with secondary antibody diluted in blocking buffer for 1 h, at RT. Cells were washed again 3 times in PBS followed by mounting on slides with mounting medium containing DAPI before analyzing on an Olympus Fluoview FV1000 confocal microscope.

GST pull-downs and immunoprecipitations

For GST pull-down assays, nuclear extracts were prepared as described before. GST-tagged proteins were expressed in E. coli and purified using glutathione sepharose beads. Nuclear extracts were diluted in low-salt GSE buffer (20 mM Tris [pH 7.5], 200 mM NaCl, 1.5 mM MgCl2, 0.2 mM EDTA, 1 mM dithiothreitol [DTT], and 0.1% NP-40) and incubated with either GST, GST-RB (large pocket, amino acids 379–928), GST-RΔL (large pocket, with I753A, N757A, and M761A substitutions), or GST-p107 (large pocket, amino acids 385–1069) for 1 h. Protein complexes were collected with 25 µl of glutathione sepharose bead slurry for 1 h and eluted in 1× Laemmli buffer before using for western blots. For pRB co-immunoprecipitations (IPs), antibodies were cross-linked to Dynabeads using 5 mM Bis [sulfosuccinimidyl] suberate (BS3) (#21580 Thermo scientific). IPs were performed by incubating the nuclear extracts with cross-linked antibodies in the low-salt GSE buffer by rotating at 4 °C for 16 h followed by eluting in 1× Laemmli buffer before using for western blots.

Chromatin immunoprecipitations

Senescent MEFs were fixed in 1% formaldehyde in PBS for 10 min at room temperature. The reaction was stopped with glycine at a final concentration of 0.125 M. Chromatin was extracted as described before with the following changes. Cells were sonicated for 30 min (30 s on; 30 s off, power high) using...
a Bioruptor sonicator (Diagenode). Diluted chromatin was pre-cleared at 4 °C for 1 h with 40 µl of a 50% slurry of magnetic Protein G beads (Invitrogen) pre-bound with respective IgG. Pre-cleared chromatin was incubated with 5 µg of the indicated antibody overnight at 4 °C with gentle rotation. DNA was purified by using a PCR purification kit from Invitrogen. Real-time PCR amplification was performed using iQSYBGreen master mix on a Bio-Rad CFX Connect Real Time System. Primer sequences were: Ccn1 Forward primer: 5′ GAGAACTTGG TAGACCAACT CTAAA 3′, Ccn1 Reverse primer: 5′ GCAGCTGTTC TTAACTCTGT CTAGT 3′, Mcm3 Forward primer: 5′ GAATGCGAGT CTTCCATGCC 3′, and Mcm3 Reverse primer: 5′ CGGAAGTTTA TGGTGAGAGA 3′.

Antibodies

Anti-H3K9me3 (07–442), anti-γH2AX (Ser139) (05–663), and mouse monoclonal anti-PML (MAB3738) antibodies are from Millipore. Anti-Flag (F1804), and anti-Actin (A2066) antibodies are from Sigma. Anti-pRB (M-153), anti-PML (polyclonal) antibody H-238 (SC-5621), and anti-p107 (SC-3081) are from Santa Cruz Biotechnology. The anti-Cyclin E antibody was purchased from Abcam (ab7959). An anti-mouse pRB antibody was raised in sheep against the C-terminal 136 amino acids (Affinity Biologicals). Antibodies were affinity purified against a peptide corresponding to amino acids 867–881 of the mouse pRB protein using previously reported methods.44
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