# Western SGraduate & Postdoctoral Studies

# Western University Scholarship@Western

**Electronic Thesis and Dissertation Repository** 

4-19-2012 12:00 AM

# Fatty Acid $\omega$ -Hydroxylases in Soybean

Jessica Koteles, The University of Western Ontario

Supervisor: Dr. Mark Bernards, *The University of Western Ontario* A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the Master of Science degree in Biology © Jessica Koteles 2012

Follow this and additional works at: https://ir.lib.uwo.ca/etd

### **Recommended Citation**

Koteles, Jessica, "Fatty Acid ω-Hydroxylases in Soybean" (2012). *Electronic Thesis and Dissertation Repository*. 447. https://ir.lib.uwo.ca/etd/447

This Dissertation/Thesis is brought to you for free and open access by Scholarship@Western. It has been accepted for inclusion in Electronic Thesis and Dissertation Repository by an authorized administrator of Scholarship@Western. For more information, please contact wlswadmin@uwo.ca.

# FATTY ACID ω-HYDROXYLASES IN SOYBEAN

(Thesis format: Monograph)

by

Jessica Koteles

Graduate Program in Biology and Environment and Sustainability

A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science

The School of Graduate and Postdoctoral Studies The University of Western Ontario London, Ontario, Canada

© Jessica Koteles 2012

# THE UNIVERSITY OF WESTERN ONTARIO SCHOOL OF GRADUATE AND POSTDOCTORAL STUDIES

# **CERTIFICATE OF EXAMINATION**

Supervisor

Examiners

Dr. Mark Bernards

Supervisory Committee

Dr. Susanne Kohalmi

Dr. Sheila Macfie

Dr. Sangeeta Dhaubhadel

Dr. Shiva Singh

Dr. Mark Gijzen

The thesis by

# Jessica <u>Koteles</u>

entitled:

# Fatty Acid ω-Hydroxylases in Soybean

is accepted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science

Date

Chair of the Thesis Examination Board

# ABSTRACT

Soybean (*Glycine max* (L.) Merr.) is an important and widely cultivated crop. A substantial cause of soybean yield loss is due to root rot caused by the pathogen *Phytophthora sojae*. There is an established correlation between preformed soybean root aliphatic suberin and high levels of partial resistance to *P. sojae*. Since fatty acid  $\omega$ -hydroxylase (FA $\omega$ H), which introduces a second functional group to fatty acids, is a critical enzyme in suberin biosynthesis, characterization of soybean FA $\omega$ Hs was undertaken. Six putative soybean FA $\omega$ Hs (Gm FA $\omega$ Hs) were identified using a sequence-based *in silico* approach. Gene-specific primers were developed and relative expression was analyzed across various tissues using RT-PCR. While differential expression patterns were detected for Gm FA $\omega$ H-1, Gm FA $\omega$ H-2, Gm FA $\omega$ H-3 and Gm FA $\omega$ H-4, neither Gm FA $\omega$ H-5 nor Gm FA $\omega$ H-6 were detected in any of the tissues examined. In comparison with RNA-Seq and EST *in silico* data, RT-PCR profiles revealed contrasting expression patterns.

Keywords: Soybean, suberin, *Phytophthora sojae*, resistance, fatty acid ω-hydroxylase

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I am extremely thankful to my supervisor, Dr. Mark Bernards, for his guidance and support throughout this project. His constant encouragement made completing this thesis possible.

Thank you to Dr. Susanne Kohalmi and Dr. Mark Gijzen for sharing their advice and wisdom. To Susanne who endured long meetings discussing molecular biology and to Mark who provided both *in silico* guidance and the soybean seed used in this research.

To the members of my lab and fellow graduate students on the 4th floor of NCB, thank you for your continual friendship, support, coffee breaks, advice and being there to listen whenever I had something to celebrate or complain about.

I am deeply thankful to my family for encouraging me throughout my entire academic career. To my parents whose unconditional love and perspective always made me believe I could succeed.

Finally, thank you to Albert who was there for me every step of the way. His daily support helped me get past seemingly impassible obstacles.

# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

ABSTRACT	
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTSiv	
TABLE OF CONTENTSv	
LIST OF TABLES	
LIST OF FIGURES	i
LIST OF APPENDICESx	
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONSxi	
CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION	
1.1 Soybean1	
1.2 <i>Phytophthora sojae</i> : basic life cycle and infection process1	
1.3 Plant defenses against pathogens	
1.4 Cultivar development	
1.5 Suberin	
1.5.1 The suberin poly(aliphatic) domain (SPAD)7	
1.5.2 Fatty acid ω-hydroxylases9	
1.5 Rationale of approach	
1.6 Objective of thesis	
CHAPTER 2: MATERIALS AND METHODS14	
2.1 <i>In silico</i> analysis14	
2.2 RNA extraction and DNase digestion15	
2.3 DNase digestion of RNA16	

2.4 Generation of cDNA from isolated RNA	17
2.5 Genomic DNA isolation for PCR optimization	17
2.6 Primer design and optimization	18
2.7 PCR purification and sequencing	20
2.8 Semi quantitative RT-PCR of putative $Gm FA\omega Hs$ in soybean tissues	21
CHAPTER 3: RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	22
3.1 <i>In silico</i> analysis of putative <i>Gm</i> $FA\omega Hs$	22
3.2 <i>In silico</i> expression profiles	29
3.3 Designing gene-specific primers	35
3.4 Checking and optimizing primers	38
3.5 RT-PCR analysis of tissue-specific gene expression	45
3.6 A role for $FA\omega H$ s in suberin formation	51
CHAPTER 4: CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS	54
BIBLIOGRAPHY	56
CURRICULUM VITAE	87

# LIST OF TABLES

Table 1:	Primer sets used for PCR amplification of potential $Gm FA\omega H$		
	genes	.19	
Table 2:	Pairwise nucleotide comparisons of putative $Gm FA\omega Hs$	.23	
Table 3:	Pairwise amino acid comparisons of putative Gm FA <sub>00</sub> Hs	.27	
Table 4:	RNA-Seq expression data for the six putative $Gm FA\omega Hs$	.31	
Table 5:	EST data for five of the putative $Gm FA\omega Hs$	.34	
Table 6:	Characteristics of the $Gm FA\omega H$ primers designed for		
	RT-PCR	.37	
Table 7:	Tissue expression comparison of the putative $Gm FA\omega Hs$ from		
	RT-PCR, EST and RNA-Seq data	.52	

# LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1:	The relationship between preformed whole-root suberin and	
	partial resistance of soybean to <i>P. sojae</i>	.5
Figure 2:	The proposed structure of potato suberin	.8
Figure 3:	The $\omega$ -hydroxylation of fatty acids	.11
Figure 4:	Homology trees of the six putative Gm $FA\omega Hs$	.25
Figure 5:	Amino acid sequence alignment of the related proteins	
	CYP86A1, CYP86A33, CYP86A32, CYP86A9 and the six	
	putative Gm FAωHs	28
Figure 6:	Phylogenetic tree of the predicted full length amino acid	
	sequence comparison between known CYP86As and CYP94A	S
	with the six putative Gm FA <sub>0</sub> Hs	.30
Figure 7:	Nucleotide sequence alignment of the six putative	
	$Gm FA\omega Hs$	.36
Figure 8:	Optimizing MgCl <sub>2</sub> concentration for PCR amplification of	
	soybean $FA\omega H$ genes	.39
Figure 9:	Optimizing annealing temperature for PCR amplification of	
	soybean $FA\omega H$ genes	.40
Figure 10	: Optimizing cycle number for RT-PCR amplification of soybea	ın
	$FA\omega H$ genes	.42
Figure 11	: PCR products of each putative $Gm FA\omega H$ generated from	
	genomic DNA	44

Figure 12:	Analysis of various tissue samples contamination
Figure 13:	RT-PCR tissue expression of six putative $Gm FA\omega Hs$ using 'A'
	samples47
Figure 14:	RT-PCR tissue expression of six putative $Gm FA\omega Hs$ using 'B'
	samples49

# LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix I:	Characteristics of soybean pods and seeds used to designate			
	M2 and L1 stages	.63		
Appendix II:	Extended table of RNA-Seq data	.64		
Appendix III:	Contig maps of assembled soybean ESTs	.65		
Appendix IV:	Sequence assembly of five of the putative $Gm FA\omega Hs$ and			
	their corresponding sequencing results	.68		
Appendix V:	Permission for copyrighted material	.86		

# LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

- BLAST Basic Local Alignment Search Tool
- CYP86A Cytochrome P450 Subfamily 86, type A
- EST Expressed Sequence Tag
- Gm FA0H Glycine max Fatty Acid Omega Hydroxylase
- NCBI National Center for Biotechnology Information
- PCR Polymerase Chain Reaction
- RT-PCR Reverse Transcription Polymerase Chain Reaction
- SPAD Suberin Poly(Aliphatic) Domain
- SPPD Suberin Poly(Phenolic) Domain
- SRS Substrate Recognition Site
- T<sub>m</sub> Melting Temperature
- UTR Untranslated Region

## **CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION**

#### 1.1 Soybean

Soybean (*Glycine max* [L.] Merr.), a legume, is one of the most widely harvested crops in the world. The high protein, high oil beans are used for human consumption, animal rations and edible oils as well as many industrial products. Soybean production has experienced an eight-fold increase in Canada since 1976 (Dorff, 2009). In 2006, 1.2 million hectares of soybean were grown in Canada, 73% of which was in Ontario (Dorff, 2009). A substantial cause of soybean yield loss worldwide is root rot, caused by the pathogen *Phytophthora sojae* (Kaufmann and Gerdemann) and significant effort has been expended in improving soybean resistance to this devastating pathogen.

### 1.2 Phytophthora sojae: basic life cycle and infection process

*Phytophthora sojae* is a soil-borne organism that causes root rot in soybean and is capable of causing substantial economic loss (Tooley and Grau, 1984; Dorrance et al., 2003). *Phytophthora sojae* survives as oospores in soil and plant debris. Oospores can survive for a number of years in a dormant state, and can withstand freezing and long periods of cold temperatures. Oospores of *P. sojae* have endogenous dormancy and not all will germinate at the same time even when conditions are highly favorable (Tyler, 2007). Oospores germinate to form mycelia under high soil moisture conditions, and the mycelia then produce sporangia and motile zoospores under continuous or intermittent saturated soil conditions (Tyler, 2007). Zoospores are attracted to soybean root exudates, which

contain isoflavones (specifically daidzein and genestein) and possibly other compounds secreted from the roots (Zentmyer, 1961; Morris and Ward, 1992; Tyler et al., 1996; Morris et al., 1998). Once they reach a root, the zoospores lose their flagella, produce a cell wall (encyst) and germinate (Dorrance et al., 2007). Germ tubes penetrate into the root by growing through the middle lamellae of the epidermal cells (Tyler, 2007). Continued growth of hyphae occurs through cortical air spaces with haustoria formation to facilitate feeding off nearby cells (biotrophic phase). Eventually the endodermis is breached, allowing the hyphae to colonize the xylem (Enkerli et al., 1997). Herein the pathogen switches to a necrotrophic growth habit and aggressively attacks the plant. *Phytophthora sojae* can spread quickly throughout the plant, causing large, water-soaked, necrotic lesions containing dead cells. Eventually the root and stem tissues become completely colonized, and collapse. New oospores are produced within the dead tissue, and these are returned to the soil as the host plant dies and rots.

## 1.3 Plant defenses against pathogens

Plants possess multiple types of defenses against pathogens including both structural and chemical defenses. Structural defenses include waxy epidermal cuticles of aboveground tissues, suberized bark and suberin depositions in modified cell walls of underground dermal layers. Chemical defenses mainly consist of secondary metabolites including terpenoids, phenolics (e.g., isoflavonoids) and alkaloids (Freeman and Beattie, 2008). These chemical defenses have high energy costs and nutrient requirements that are associated with production and maintenance (Freeman and Beattie, 2008). Additionally,

defenses can be preformed (innate) or inducible. Preformed defenses can be physical barriers (e.g., cutin, suberin) or secondary metabolites with antimicrobial, antifeedant or insecticidal properties (Arnason and Bernards, 2010). As an example, phytoanticipins are antimicrobial compounds that accumulate in plants prior to an attack (Arnason and Bernards, 2010). Induced defenses can involve the *de novo* synthesis of secondary metabolites, activation of preformed metabolites or reinforcement of existing or deposition of new physical barriers (including new cell wall deposits, lignin and suberin) (Arnason and Bernards, 2010). One example is the induced formation of phytoalexins, which are antimicrobial compounds produced only after infection (Hammerschmidt, 1999). Preformed and induced structural and chemical defenses when combined, comprise the resistance of a plant to a potential pathogen.

In soybean there are two main mechanisms through which resistance to *P. sojae* is manifested: race-specific and partial resistance (also called innate resistance, quantitative resistance, tolerance or field resistance). Race-specific resistance is a gene-for-gene relationship and fourteen different single resistance genes for resistance against *P. sojae* (Rps genes) have been described (Grau et al., 2004). Partial resistance to *P. sojae* in soybean is expressed and inherited quantitatively, effective against all the races of the pathogen, and is exemplified by reduced colonization and slower lesion expansion (Schmitthenner, 1985; Tyler, 2002; Burnham et al., 2003). In general, partial resistance is a multi-gene trait that is believed to involve numerous defense components (e.g., preformed or induced physical barriers, phytoanticipins, phytoalexins, etc.), while gene-for-gene resistance involves pre-defense recognition between host and pathogen.

# 1.4 Cultivar development

Soybean root rot has traditionally been managed by incorporating single gene resistance against *P. sojae* into commercial cultivars. However, the pathogen has the ability to develop new pathotypes that overcome these Rps genes, rendering them ineffective. This is known as pathotype (or race) shift, and generally, new virulent races of the pathogen evolve within an 8 to 15 year window (Olah and Schmitthenner, 1985; Dorrance et al., 2003). The development of new virulent pathogen races in response to race-specific resistant cultivars has led to recorded failures in disease control (Schmitthenner, 1985; Kaitany et al., 2001). Consequently, current disease management recommendations emphasize the use of partial resistance as a more durable strategy to control *P. sojae* (St. Martin et al., 1994; Burnham et al., 2003; Dorrance et al., 2003). Finding additional sources of partial resistance and incorporating this resistance into commercial cultivars will be essential for effective disease management especially as the number and complexity of pathotypes of *P. sojae* continues to increase in areas of soybean production.

Increased partial resistance may be possible through the enhancement of preexisting plant defenses. For example, Thomas et al. (2007) established that a strong correlation exists between preformed soybean root suberin (especially the poly[aliphatic] domain [SPAD]) and high levels of partial resistance to *P. sojae*, (Figure 1). Two genotypes were studied in detail: 'Conrad,' which has a high degree of partial resistance to *P. sojae*, and line OX760-6, which is susceptible to this pathogen. Conrad had almost twice as much preformed root suberin components as OX760-6, in both the epidermal and



Figure 1: The relationship between preformed whole-root suberin and partial resistance of soybean to *P. sojae* (modified from Thomas et al., 2007). Data obtained for aliphatic suberin components were plotted against plant mortality data obtained from four independent plantings of the same recombinant inbred lines at two different field sites naturally infested with *P. sojae*. The data for the parental lines 'Conrad' (diamonds) and OX760-6 (squares) are highlighted. (With permission from the American Society of Plant Biologists.)

endodermal tissues (Thomas et al., 2007). Additionally, it was subsequently shown that *P. sojae* progression through soybean roots was significantly slower in Conrad compared with OX-760-6, primarily due to growth impediment at the epidermal and endodermal layers of the root (Ranathunge et al., 2008).

These studies suggest that an additional approach to soybean crop protection, in which levels of preformed suberin are increased through breeding, could provide a strategy to reduce the extent of *P. sojae*-mediated root rot in soybean. Furthermore, if appropriate molecular markers for this trait can be developed and used for progeny screening, the development time for new cultivars with enhanced partial resistance to *P. sojae* could be reduced by up to 3 years relative to conventional selection processes (Vaino Poysa, personal communication). Thus, it is essential to identify key elements involved in suberin deposition (especially the poly[aliphatic] component) in order to help facilitate the development of molecular markers for screening.

## 1.5 Suberin

Suberin is a cell wall modification found in specialized cells of plants, particularly in the epidermis and endodermis of underground components (roots, stolons) (Esau, 1977). It acts as a preformed barrier to pathogens and aids in water retention. Suberin deposition is induced by wounding, where it serves to seal wounded tissue, preventing opportunistic pathogens from entering exposed tissue, as well as the loss of water and nutrients. Suberin is composed of two distinct domains: a poly(phenolic) domain (SPPD) similar to lignin and a poly(aliphatic) domain (SPAD) similar to cutin (reviewed in Bernards, 2002). The SPAD is thought to reside between the cell wall and plasma membrane, often appearing as repeating lamellae while the SPPD is thought to be in the cell wall (Bernards, 2002) (Figure 2). Since the SPAD appears to correlate with soybean partial resistance to *P. sojae*, its structure and biosynthesis are considered in more detail below.

#### 1.5.1 The suberin poly(aliphatic) domain (SPAD)

The structure of the polymeric matrix of the SPAD derives from the formation of a three dimensional matrix of aliphatic monomers cross-linked by ester bonds with glycerol acting as the linkage between acyl groups (reviewed in Bernards, 2002). The covalently cross-linked matrix is embedded with waxes, which impart the water retention properties of the macromolecule. The main structural components of the SPAD are 1-alkanols,  $\omega$ -hydroxyalkanoic acids,  $\alpha, \omega$ -dioic acids, hydroxy-substituted octadecanoates, and glycerol (Kolattukudy, 1980, 1984; Holloway, 1983; Graça and Pereira,1997). Waxes include fatty acids, 1-alkanols and alkanes, which are often very long chains (C22-28). The SPAD is thought to be assembled and deposited on the inner surface of primary walls, and glycerol is hypothesized to covalently link it to the SPPD (Schmutz et al. 1994; Graça and Pereira 2000). The SPPD is composed mainly of hydroxycinnamic acids, hydroxycinnamoyl amides, and hydroxycinnamoyl alcohols (Bernards et al, 1995; Negrel et al., 1996; Kolattukudy, 1980, 1984; Zeier and Schreiber, 1997, 1998; Bernards and Lewis, 1998; Zeier et al, 1999; Bernards, 2002).



Figure 2: The proposed structure of potato suberin. A) The poly(phenolic) domain (SPPD) is a part of the primary cell wall and is covalently attached to the cell wall. B) The poly(aliphatic) domain (SPAD) extends inwards from the primary cell wall in repeating dark and light bands referred to as the suberin lamellae. P = phenolic, C = carbohydrate, S = aliphatic suberin (Adapted from Bernards, 2002).

The formation of the SPAD involves the modification of fatty acids, including chain elongation to form very long chain fatty acids, reduction to primary alcohols, or oxidation to  $\omega$ -hydroxy fatty acids and  $\alpha, \omega$ -dioic acids via fatty acid  $\omega$ -hydroxylation and subsequent oxidation (Yang and Bernards, 2006).

Fatty acid biosynthesis occurs in the plastid with desaturation occurring before export into the cytosol (Harwood, 1988). In potato, this step is thought to represent a "decision making" step in the allocation of carbon to suberin aliphatic monomers because saturated fatty acids are further generally elongated into very long chain fatty acids at the endoplasmic reticulum before modification to primary alcohols or alkanes (in the case of suberin waxes), while desaturated fatty acids are almost exclusively further oxidized to  $\omega$ -hydroxy fatty acids and  $\alpha, \omega$ -dioic acids via fatty acid  $\omega$ -hydroxylation (Yang and Bernards, 2006). It is this  $\omega$ -hydroxylation step that is critical to the structure of the SPAD because it introduces a second functional group into the main monomers, which allows them to cross-link into a polymeric matrix.

# 1.5.2 Fatty acid $\omega$ -hydroxylases

The enzymes involved in the oxidation of fatty acids are fatty acid  $\omega$ hydroxylases; these are cytochrome P-450 enzymes that catalyze the terminal carbon hydroxylation of fatty acids. Cytochrome P-450s are P-450 monooxygenases which are heme-dependent oxidases that utilize NADPH to reductively cleave atmospheric dioxygen to produce a functional organic substrate and a molecule of water (Schuler and Werck-Reichhart, 2003). For proper function, cytochrome P-450s require a NADPH- cytochrome P-450 reductase (Gonzalez and Korzekwa, 1995; Schuler and Werck-Reichhart, 2003). The reductase is required as it interacts directly with the cytochrome P-450 enzyme in the transfer of one oxygen atom into a substrate while the other oxygen atom is reduced to H<sub>2</sub>O using two electrons from NADPH (Gonzalez and Korzekwa, 1995; De Vetten et al., 1999). The enzyme class is named P-450 because the reduced carbon monoxide complex that is formed during catalysis absorbs light at 450 nm (Gonzalez and Korzekwa, 1995). Cytochrome P-450s are typically located in either the endoplasmic reticulum, the inner mitochondrial membrane, or in chloroplasts (Gonzalez and Korzekwa, 1995).

In plants, multiple cytochrome P-450 subfamilies have been identified as  $\omega$ hydroxylases: including CYP86A and CYP94A (Duan and Schuler, 2005), CYP86B (Compagnon et al., 2009) and CYP704B (Dobritsa et al., 2009; Li et al., 2010). Members of the CYP94A subfamily catalyze the initial  $\omega$ -hydroxylation as well as subsequent oxidation of the  $\omega$ -hydroxy fatty acid to the corresponding dioic acid (Figure 3). The CYP86A subfamily has been described in *Arabidopsis thaliana* (Duan and Schuler, 2005; Rupasinghe et al., 2007) and CYP86A1 has been shown to be a functional  $\omega$ -hydroxylase involved in suberin monomer biosynthesis (Hofer et al., 2008). In *Solanum tuberosum*, CYP86A33 was shown to be involved in suberin biosynthesis through RNAi knock-down experiments, where the loss of function resulted in the alteration of suberin composition, and an impairment of the periderm's barrier to water (Serra et al., 2009). The  $\omega$ hydroxylase(s) of soybean have not been described in the literature. Due to the inherent low abundance of cytochrome P-450 enzymes and their



Figure 3: The  $\omega$ -hydroxylation of fatty acids. The  $\omega$ -hydroxylation of fatty acids by two cytochrome P-450 subfamilies: CYP86A and CYP94A. Members of the CYP86A subfamily catalyze the  $\omega$ -hydroxylation of fatty acids. Members of the CYP94A subfamily catalyze the  $\omega$ -hydroxylation as well as the subsequent oxidation of the terminal carbon to the corresponding dioic acid.

membrane association, traditional protein purification approaches are not feasible for their characterization. Therefore, a molecular biology approach may make the characterization of soybean  $\omega$ -hydroxylases more feasible. This approach has been made more accessible through the recent completion and annotation of the soybean genome (Schmutz et al., 2010).

## 1.5 Rationale of approach

Since aliphatic suberin in soybean root correlates to partial resistance to *P. sojae*, suberin is an excellent target for study in the improvement of soybean innate resistance to *P. sojae*. Furthermore,  $\omega$ -hydroxylases (specifically CYP86As) are vital to the composition of the aliphatic domain of suberin in other plant species (especially *Arabidopsis* and potato). Therefore, the characterization of this subfamily of genes in soybean will be essential to our understanding of suberin deposition in soybean roots. This characterization will not only aid in potential molecular marker development for breeding selection, but will allow further understanding of the suberin/resistance relationship. It will also provide a possible mechanism for altering suberin composition to study the effects on *P. sojae*'s ability to infect soybean roots.

# 1.6 Objective of thesis

The objective of the research in this thesis is to identify potential CYP86A genes in soybean and establish their tissue expression profiles. Since CYP86A proteins are found in very low abundance in cells and are unstable, traditional protein purification is unreliable. Therefore, a molecular biological approach will be used. Initially, an *in silico* approach will be used to identify potential candidate CYP86A genes from the soybean genome (Schmutz et al., 2010). Unique primers will then be designed for each gene, and the expression patterns of the putative CYP86A genes will be analyzed in various soybean tissues. This PCR-mediated expression data will be compared to existing EST (various public EST databases) and RNA-Seq (soybase.org) expression data available for soybean.

# **CHAPTER 2: MATERIALS AND METHODS**

#### 2.1 In silico analysis

Sequences of characterized fatty acid  $\omega$ -hydroxylases (FA $\omega$ Hs) from Arabidopsis thaliana (CYP86A1, accession #: NM 125276) and Solanum tuberosum (CYP86A33, accession #: EU293405) were used in a Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (BLAST) search of the soybean genome databases Phytozome.net and compbio.dfci.harvard.edu (Gene Index). Multiple sequence alignments of the putative genes and known CYP86As were performed in DNAMAN to show similarities. CYP86A substrate recognition sites (SRSs) were identified in accordance with Rupasinghe et al. (2007). A phylogenetic tree with 100 bootstrap trials was generated to show the putative genes relationships to each other and to known CYP86As and CYP94As. RNA-Seq and expressed sequence tag (EST) data were utilized to gain insight into the tissue expression patterns of the six putative fatty acid ω-hydroxylases. RNA-Seq data for the six genes was compiled from the atlas at Soybase.org. In collaboration with Dr. Martina Stromvick (McGill University), existing EST data was assembled and analyzed using the software Phrap (P. Green, University of Washington, Seattle, http://www.phrap.org/). Additionally, probe sets that represent the putative soybean  $FA\omega Hs$  on the Affymetrix soy chip were identified via Soybase.org.

## 2.2 RNA extraction and DNase digestion

All steps involving handling of RNA were done using RNase-free methods. This included creating an RNase-free zone on the bench top using RNaseZap, using distinct RNase-free microcentrifuge tubes and barrier tips, baking the mortar and pestle at 250°F overnight and frequently changing gloves. In order to isolate RNA, seeds of the Conrad cultivar were grown in the University of Western Ontario greenhouse in Pro-Mix soil. Seeds and pods of the M2 and L1 stages were obtained from Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada (Southern Crop Protection and Food Research Centre, London, ON, Canada) (Appendix I). The QIAGEN RNeasy Plant Mini Kit was used to isolate RNA from 9 distinct tissues: old leaf (> 14 days post germination), young leaf (< 10 days old), stem, flower, M2 (29-35 days post anthesis) and L1 (35-43 days post anthesis) stage pods, M2 and L1 stage seeds, and root. In order to perform a biological replicate, all tissue types (except seed and pod) were collected from two different pots containing multiple soybean plants ('A' and 'B'). These plants were all grown in the same environment and plants within a pot were grouped. Seed and pod tissue samples were separated into two groups after being collected in the field. Between 70 and 90 mg of fresh weight of each tissue was used. Tissue was frozen in liquid nitrogen, ground with a mortar and pestle, and transferred to a RNase-free, liquid nitrogen cooled microcentrifuge tube. Once the liquid nitrogen had evaporated, 450 µL of Buffer RLT was added, and the sample vortexed vigorously and incubated at 56°C for 3 minutes in a water bath to help disrupt the tissue. The lysate was transferred to a QIAshredder spin column and centrifuged for 2 minutes at high speed in a microcentrifuge (17,000 x g). The supernatant of the flow-through was carefully transferred to a new microcentrifuge tube and mixed with 0.5 volumes of ethanol (96-100%). The sample was then added to an RNeasy spin column and centrifuged for 15 seconds at 8,000 x g. The flow-through was discarded and 700  $\mu$ L of Buffer RW1 was added before centrifuging for 15 seconds at 8,000 x g. After discarding the flow-through, 500  $\mu$ L of Buffer RPE was added and the sample centrifuged for 15 seconds at 8,000 x g. Once again the flow-through was discarded and 500  $\mu$ L of Buffer RPE was added and the sample centrifuged for 15 seconds at 8,000 x g. Once again the flow-through was discarded and 500  $\mu$ L of Buffer RPE was added and the sample centrifuged for 2 minutes at 8,000 x g. To eliminate possible carryover of Buffer RPE, the column was centrifuged for an additional minute at full speed. The column was then placed in a clean microcentrifuge tube and the RNA was eluted by adding 30-50  $\mu$ L of RNase-free H<sub>2</sub>O, letting it sit for 5 minutes and then centrifuging for 1 minute at 8,000 x g. In tissues where the RNA yield was lower, the eluate was re-added to the column and centrifuged for an additional minute at 8,000 x g.

#### 2.3 DNase digestion of RNA

All steps involving handling of RNA were done using RNase-free methods as previously described. Prior to generation of cDNA, the RNA samples were treated with DNase to digest contaminating DNA by following the QIAGEN RNeasy Plant Mini Kit protocol. Briefly, each RNA sample was mixed with 10  $\mu$ L of Buffer RDD, 2.5  $\mu$ L DNase I and RNase-free H<sub>2</sub>O to a final volume of 100  $\mu$ L. After incubating on the bench top for 10 minutes the QIAGEN RNeasy Plant Mini Kit RNA cleanup protocol was used. To the newly digested sample, 350  $\mu$ L of Buffer RLT was added. After mixing the reaction, 250  $\mu$ L of ethanol (96-100%) was added and mixed well by pipetting. The mixture was then added to a RNeasy spin column and centrifuged for 15 seconds at 8,000 x g. After discarding the flow-through, 500  $\mu$ L of Buffer RPE was added and the sample was centrifuged at 8,000 x g for 15 seconds. Once again the flow-through was discarded, 500  $\mu$ L of Buffer RPE was added and the sample was centrifuged at 8,000 x g for 2 minutes. In order to eliminate residual Buffer RPE, the column was subsequently centrifuged at high speed (17,000 x g) for 1 minute. The column was placed in a clean microcentrifuge tube and the RNA was eluted by adding 40  $\mu$ L of RNase-free H<sub>2</sub>O, letting it sit for 5 minutes and then centrifuging for 1 minute at 8,000 x g.

# 2.4 Generation of cDNA from isolated RNA

All steps involving handling of RNA were done using RNase-free methods as previously described. To prepare cDNA, 2.5  $\mu$ g of RNA was combined with 1  $\mu$ L Oligo dT (0.5  $\mu$ g/ $\mu$ L), 1  $\mu$ L dNTPs (10 mM) and Milli-Q® H<sub>2</sub>O to a final volume of 12  $\mu$ L and heated at 65°C for 5 minutes. The mixture was cooled on ice for 2 minutes and then 4  $\mu$ L 5X First Strand buffer, 2  $\mu$ L DTT (0.1 M) and 1  $\mu$ L RNase Inhibitor (10 units) were added. After heating the mixture at 42°C for 2 minutes, 1  $\mu$ L SuperScript Reverse Transcriptase (200 units) was added. The mixture was incubated at 42°C for 50 minutes and then the SuperScript Reverse Transcriptase was inactivated at 70°C for 15 minutes.

#### 2.5 Genomic DNA isolation for PCR optimization

In order to optimize PCR conditions for each gene-specific primer set, genomic DNA was isolated from Conrad stem and leaf tissue using a CTAB (Cetyltrimethyl

Ammonium Bromide) protocol (modified from Kang and Yang, 2004). An extraction buffer (1.4 M NaCl, 100 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 20 mM EDTA pH 8.0 and 2% CTAB) was heated to 65°C with 0.2% v/v  $\beta$ -mercaptoethanol and 2 µL of RNase A per 600 µL aliquot. Plant tissue was ground in a mortar using a pestle and liquid nitrogen. Approximately 200 mg of ground tissue was resuspended in 600 µL of the preheated extraction buffer and incubated at 65°C. After an hour, 600 µL of Chloroform:Isoamyalcohol (24:1) was added and the mixture vortexed before centrifugation at 10,000 x g for 12 minutes. The top liquid phase was transferred to a clean microcentrifuge tube and 0.7 volumes of isopropanol was added in order to precipitate the DNA. The mixture was cooled at 4°C for 30 minutes and then centrifuged at 10,000 x g for 20 minutes at 4°C. After the supernatant was discarded, the pellet was washed with ice cold ethanol (70%) and centrifuged at 10,000 x g for 5 minutes. The pellet was then dried completely using an aspirator and resuspended in 50 µL of Milli-Q® H<sub>2</sub>O.

### 2.6 Primer design and optimization

Primers were designed to unique regions in the 5' and 3' untranslated regions (UTRs) of the six putative  $Gm FA\omega Hs$  (Table 1). Genomic DNA was used as the template to determine the optimal salt concentration, annealing temperature and cycle number for each primer pair. Salt concentration was optimized for each primer set by running PCR at 48°C, for 33 cycles and a range of different MgCl<sub>2</sub> concentrations (1.25 - 5.00 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>). Using the chosen optimal salt concentration for each primer set, the annealing

<u>Table 1</u>: Primer sets used for PCR amplification of potential *Gm FA* $\omega$ *H* genes. The *ACT-11* primer sequences were obtained from Hu et al. (2009). F = forward and R = reverse. The sizes of the products (bp) are based on using cDNA. T<sub>m</sub> = (w<sup>A</sup>+x<sup>T</sup>)\*2 + (y<sup>G</sup> +z<sup>C</sup>)\*4 where w<sup>A</sup>,x<sup>T</sup>,y<sup>G</sup> and z<sup>C</sup> are the number of the A, T, G and C nucleotide bases in the sequence, respectively.

Gene	Size of Product (bp)	t Primer Sequences		
<i>Gm FAωH-1</i> 1833 F 5' AGTCGCTCTGCTCGT R 5' CAGAGGAAATGATA		F 5' AGTCGCTCTGCTCGTTCGCTC R 5' CAGAGGAAATGATACAGCACCGT	68 68	
Gm FAwH-2	1625	F 5' GGTCATATCAGGCTCTAGCTC R 5' GGTTTGAGATCACTTGGGTACACATT	64 74	
Gm FAwH-3	2013	F 5' GGAGTATGGTGAGGCATTGTC R 5' CCATCTAGTGAACACCTGGTC	64 64	
Gm FAwH-4	2012	F 5' TGGTGCAGCTGATGAGAG R 5' CCTCCAATACCCTATGCTTC	56 60	
Gm FAwH-5	1779	F 5' CAAGATATATGTGCATGTCCAC R 5' TAATTCTGCAGCACAATGACG	62 60	
Gm FAwH-6	1767	F 5' CTGACAGCGACTCTAGAAGGC R 5' CCATCTTCCAACAACTGTAAACCG	66 70	
ACT-11	126	F 5' ATCTTGACTGAGCGTGGTTATTCC R 5' GCTGGTCCTGGCTGTCTCC	70 64	

temperature was increased to find one that yielded a single band of expected size. Cycle number was determined by removing PCR samples after a certain number of cycles (17, 19, 21, 23, 25, 27, 29, 31, 33, and 35 cycles) and analyzing them on a 1% agarose gel. The relative brightness of the bands was determined using BioRad Quantity One Software Version 4.5.1. The cycle numbers were plotted against the intensity of the bands on the gel, and a cycle in the middle of the exponential phase was chosen. In order to check the specificity of the primers, each primer pair was checked with genomic DNA using the optimal salt and temperature determined by the above process.

#### 2.7 PCR purification and sequencing

The PCR products generated using optimized salt concentration and annealing temperature with genomic DNA as the template were run on a 1% agarose gel and stained with ethidium bromide to check for specificity. The gels were visualized on a Bio-Rad Gel Doc (Universal Hood II, S76S/01511). PCR reaction products for each putative *Gm FA* $\omega$ *H* were purified for sequencing using a QIAGEN QIAquick PCR Purification Kit as per the manufacturer's instructions. Five volumes of Buffer PB were added to 1 volume of the PCR sample and mixed (1 volume = 100 µL). The mixture was added to a QIAquick Spin column and centrifuged for 1 minute at 15,000 x g. After the flow-through was discarded, 750 µL of Buffer QG was added to the column and the sample was centrifuged at 15,000 x g for 1 minute. After the flow-through was discarded again, the column was re-centrifuged for 1 minute at 15,000 x g to remove all traces of ethanol. The column was placed in a clean microcentrifuge tube and the DNA was eluted by adding 30

µL of Buffer EB (10 mM Tris-Cl, pH 8.5), letting the column sit for 5 minutes and then centrifuging for 1 minute at 15,000 x g. The purified PCR reactions were sent to Robarts Research for sequencing via the Big Dye Terminator (BDT) v3.1 from Applied Biosystems, followed by purification via Sephadex spin using Pall Scientific Filter plates and GS 50 Sephadex. The purified samples were loaded onto the Applied Biosystems 3730 DNA Analyzer, and the data analyzed using Sequence Analysis Software v 5.2 from Applied Biosystems.

# 2.8 Semi quantitative RT-PCR of putative $Gm FA\omega Hs$ in soybean tissues

The optimal PCR amplification conditions (Section 2.6) were used to determine the tissue expression of the 6 putative  $Gm FA\omega H$  genes in RNA extracts from 9 different tissues: root, flower, M2 and L1 stage seeds, M2 and L1 stage pods, stem, old leaf, and young leaf. PCR was run using the six primer pairs and *ACT-11* primers (94°C 4 min.; 21-33 cycles of [94°C 50 sec., 56-67°C 50 sec., 72°C 2 min.]; 72°C 10 min., 4°C  $\infty$ ) and the products were run on a 1% agarose gel and stained with ethidium bromide. The gels were visualized on a Bio-Rad Gel Doc (Universal Hood II, S76S/01511) for qualitative analysis.

#### **CHAPTER 3: RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

#### 3.1 In silico analysis of putative Gm FAwHs

Initial *in silico* analysis revealed a putative soybean  $\omega$ -hydroxylase, CYP86A24 (*Gm FA\omegaH-1*), which had been tagged due to its high identity with known CYP86As but never further characterized (Li and Yu, unpublished data). When a BLAST search was performed using the sequence of *Gm FA\omegaH-1* against the soybean genome, five additional genes with high sequence similarity were found. These putative soybean  $\omega$ -hydroxylases were named in terms of their decreasing identity to *Gm FA\omegaH-1* (Table 2). A high number of genes was expected because of the palaeopolyploidic nature of the soybean genome (Schmutz et al., 2010).

The six putative *Gm FA* $\omega$ *H*s are scattered over six of the twenty soybean chromosomes. As indicated by their genomic locus numbers (Glyma08g01890, Glyma05g37700, Glyma03g01050, Glyma07g07560, Glyma14g37130, Glyma11g26500), *Gm FA* $\omega$ *H-1* is located on the very top of chromosome 8, *Gm FA* $\omega$ *H-2* is located very close to the bottom of chromosome 5, *Gm FA* $\omega$ *H-3* is located on the very top of chromosome 3, *Gm FA* $\omega$ *H-4* is located on the top arm of chromosome 7, *Gm FA* $\omega$ *H-5* is located near the bottom of chromosome 14 and *Gm FA* $\omega$ *H-6* is located on the lower arm of chromosome 11. The distribution of the genes amongst various chromosomes is consistent with that observed for the *A. thaliana* CYP86A subfamily (Duan and Schuler, 2005).

	Gm FAwH-1	Gm FAwH-2	Gm FAwH-3	Gm FAwH-4	Gm FAwH-5
Gm FAwH-2	93.6				
Gm FAwH-3	72.9	72.7			
Gm FAwH-4	72.3	72.2	93.2		
Gm FAwH-5	64.4	64.2	63.0	62.7	
Gm FAwH-6	64.0	63.5	62.1	62.4	76.3

<u>Table 2</u>: Pairwise nucleotide comparisons of putative  $Gm FA\omega Hs$ . The percent nucleotide identity between the six putative  $Gm FA\omega Hs$  is shown. Analysis done in DNAMAN.

Comparisons conducted at the nucleotide level indicate that these genes have very different structural organizations, with  $Gm FA\omega H-1$  having a single intron interrupting the coding sequence at position -90 nucleotides (nt) (relative to ATG; i.e., in the 5' UTR), Gm  $FA\omega H-5$  having a single intron interrupting the coding sequence at position 675 nt (relative to ATG),  $Gm FA\omega H-6$  having two introns interrupting the coding sequence at positions 675 nt and 1523 nt (relative to ATG) and Gm FAwH-2, Gm FAwH-3 and Gm  $FA\omega H-4$  having no introns. The intron of Gm  $FA\omega H-5$  and first intron of Gm  $FA\omega H-6$  are relatively large (816 nt and 855 nt, respectively) and are 42.7% identical to one another. The intron of Gm FA $\omega$ H-1 (356 nt) and the second intron of Gm FA $\omega$ H-6 (148 nt) are smaller and, except for the splice-site junctions, no significant matches exist between these introns and the larger ones. As indicated in homology trees generated for the six putative Gm  $FA\omega H$ 's (Figure 4), they group into three pairs with, Gm  $FA\omega H$ -1 and Gm FA $\omega$ H-2, Gm FA $\omega$ H-3 and Gm FA $\omega$ H-4, and Gm FA $\omega$ H-5 and Gm FA $\omega$ H-6 grouping together. Pairwise comparisons between these sequences indicate that  $Gm FA\omega H-1$  and Gm  $FA\omega H-2$  have the highest degree of nucleotide identity within their coding sequence (93.6%) (Table 2). Gm FA $\omega$ H-3 and Gm FA $\omega$ H-4 have the same degree of identity with Gm FA $\omega$ H-1 (72.9% and 72.3%, respectively) as well as a high degree of identity with each other (93.2%). Gm FA $\omega$ H-5 and Gm FA $\omega$ H-6 have the lowest degree of identity with Gm FA $\omega$ H-1 (64.4% and 64.0%, respectively) as well as the lowest degree of identity within their pairing (76.3%).

Pairwise comparisons conducted at the protein level indicate that these proteins are well conserved throughout most of their coding sequence and display the same


Figure 4: Homology trees of the six putative Gm FA $\omega$ Hs. Trees showing percent identity of A) nucleotide sequence of coding region and B) full length predicted amino acid sequences of the six putative Gm FA $\omega$ Hs.

derived relationships as seen at the nucleotide level (Table 3). Gm FA $\omega$ H-3 and Gm FA $\omega$ H-4 have the highest degree of sequence identity (95.9%), with Gm FA $\omega$ H-1 and Gm FA $\omega$ H-2 similarly identical (95.8%). Gm FA $\omega$ H-5 and Gm FA $\omega$ H-6 have lower similarity to each other (78.7%) and with the four other putative Gm FA $\omega$ Hs (63.3% - 65.3%). Since the six putative Gm FA $\omega$ Hs have >55% amino acid sequence identity they are likely part of the same subfamily of genes. These peptides differ in their number of amino acids, ranging from 508 (Gm FA $\omega$ H-6), 520 (Gm FA $\omega$ H-5), 528 (Gm FA $\omega$ H-1 and Gm FA $\omega$ H-2), and 532 (Gm FA $\omega$ H-4), to 533 (Gm FA $\omega$ H-3). Alignments at the primary sequence level indicate that nearly all of these length differences exist at the C terminus, with Gm FA $\omega$ H-5 extending 12 residues, Gm FA $\omega$ H-2 extending 20 residues, Gm FA $\omega$ H-1 extending 21 residues and Gm FA $\omega$ H-3 and Gm FA $\omega$ H-4 extending 24 residues beyond the termination point of Gm FA $\omega$ H-6 (Figure 5). Based on secondary structure prediction in DNAMAN, these extensions form random coil structures extending from the external surface of these proteins.

The six putative Gm FA $\omega$ Hs were aligned with other CYP86A proteins, including one that has been demonstrated to be a FA $\omega$ H (CYP86A1 in *A. thaliana*) (Figure 5). This alignment revealed a high degree of conservation in the putative substrate recognition sites defined for CYP86As (Rupasinghe et al., 2007) between the six putative Gm FA $\omega$ Hs and confirmed CYP86As. All six putative Gm FA $\omega$ Hs are nearly identical in SRS1, SRS4, SRS5 and SRS6, more variable in SRS2, and most variable in SRS3 (Figure 5). These substrate recognition sites are thought to contribute to defining catalytic site specificity.

	Gm FAωH-1	Gm FAωH-2	Gm FAωH-3	Gm FAωH-4	Gm FAωH-5
Gm FAωH-2	95.8				
Gm FA <sub>0</sub> H-3	75.7	75.3			
Gm FAωH-4	75.5	75.1	95.9		
Gm FAωH-5	65.0	64.5	64.8	65.0	
Gm FAωH-6	65.3	64.6	63.3	63.3	78.7

<u>Table 3</u>: Pairwise amino acid comparisons of putative Gm FA $\omega$ Hs. The percent amino acid identity between the six putative Gm FA $\omega$ Hs is shown. Analysis done in DNAMAN.



Figure 5: Amino acid sequence alignment of the related proteins CYP86A1, CYP86A33, CYP86A32, CYP86A9 and the six putative Gm FA $\omega$ Hs. Amino acids that are identical, >=75% similar or >=50% similar are shaded in dark blue, red or light blue, respectively. Putative substrate recognition sites are underlined (Rupasinghe et al., 2007). CYP83A1 At is used as an outgroup.

A rooted phylogenetic tree of potentially orthologous fatty acid  $\omega$ -hydroxylases from different organisms was constructed based on multiple sequence alignments (Figure 6). Included in this comparison were fatty acid  $\omega$ -hydroxylases known to exist in plants, including both the CYP86A and CYP94A subgroups. Notably, Gm FA $\omega$ H-5 and Gm FA $\omega$ H-6 are the closest of the putative Gm FA $\omega$ Hs to align with CYP86A1 from *A*. *thaliana*, a known fatty acid  $\omega$ -hydroxylase. The similarities between the six sequences and where they fall in the phylogenetic tree with other known CYP86As suggests that they are all CYP86As.

#### 3.2 In silico expression profiles

Existing *in silico* information was utilized to gain insight into the tissue expression patterns of the six putative *Gm*  $FA\omega Hs$ . This information came from RNA-Seq (Soybase.org) and EST (various public EST databases) data available for the six putative *Gm*  $FA\omega Hs$ . In addition, soybean microarray data was accessed.

RNA-Seq data was compiled from the atlas at Soybase.org (Table 4; Appendix II). This RNA sequencing data (RNA-Seq) was derived from high throughput sequencing of total RNA isolated from various soybean tissues at different developmental stages. The sequences obtained were then mapped to the soybean genome for identification (Severin et al., 2010). This unbiased, broad-based sequencing of the transcriptome allowed for an *in silico* examination of the tissue expression for all six putative *Gm FA* $\omega$ *H*s. It indicated that all six putative *Gm FA* $\omega$ *H*s were expressed, albeit in different tissues. For example, *Gm FA* $\omega$ *H*-*1* appeared to be most highly expressed in young leaves and M2 stage seeds



Figure 6: Phylogenetic tree of the predicted full length amino acid sequence comparison between known CYP86As and CYP94As with the six putative Gm FAωHs. Bootstrapped values indicating the level of significance (%) by the separation of the branches are shown in red. The branch length indicates the extent of the difference according to the scale at the top. The alignment used to construct the tree was performed in DNAMAN using ClustalW. CYP83A1\_At is used as an outgroup. All sequences were obtained from NCBI. At: *Arabidopsis thaliana*; Ct: *Candida tropicalis*; Gm: *Glycine max*; Mt: *Meticago truncatula*; Nt: *Nicotiana tabacum*; Os: *Oryza sativa*; Qs: *Quercus suber*; St: *Solanum tuberosum*; Ta: *Triticum aestivum*; Vs: *Vivica sativa*.

<u>Table 4</u>: RNA-Seq expression data for the six putative *Gm FA\omegaHs*. The raw data for the gene expression counts for the uniquely mappable reads are presented. The tissues included match those used in this study for RT-PCR verification. A more comprehensive table of RNA-Seq data, including several additional tissue types and developmental stages, is presented in Appendix II. DAF = days after flowering. Data were obtained from Soybase.org/soyseq.

			Seed M2		Seed L1	
Gene	Root	Flower	Seed 28DAF	Seed 35DAF	Seed 42DAF	Young Leaf
Gm FAωH-1	0	293	422	216	32	462
Gm FAωH-2	0	201	162	34	6	155
Gm FAωH-3	14	37	43	47	22	60
Gm FAωH-4	11	33	25	27	14	86
Gm FAωH-5	284	7	41	18	2	1
Gm FAωH-6	152	2	1	0	0	0

and to a lesser extent in flower and L1 stage seeds. Gm  $FA\omega H-2$  was shown to be most expressed in flower, M2 stage seeds and young leaves. Gm  $FA\omega H-3$  and 4 had similar, and relatively low expression in young leaves, seeds at the M2 and L1 stage, and flowers.  $Gm FA\omega H-5$  and 6 showed the least diverse expression with root being the tissue with the highest expression for both, while  $Gm FA\omega H$ -5 also showed some expression in M2 stage seeds. An extended table of RNA-Seq data (Appendix II) indicates that the highest expression for  $Gm FA\omega H-1$  (over 700) was observed for pods that were younger than the M2 stage. Also, Gm FA $\omega$ H-6 showed high expression (916) in nodules. Neither of these tissues were represented in our RT-PCR tissue expression analysis (Section 3.5). On the whole, these expression numbers are relatively low for RNA-Seq data (where a highly expressed gene is two orders of magnitude higher), and may be due to the high sequence identity between the six putative  $Gm FA\omega H$  genes. Previous studies have indicated the potential for under-representing the total number of counts for genes within closely related gene families as short read sequences that map to multiple locations in a genome are largely discarded (Mortazavi et al., 2008).

Since  $Gm FA\omega H$ -1 was the only soybean  $FA\omega H$  annotated in the NCBI Unigene database, M.A. Bernards and I collaborated with Dr. Martina Stromvick (McGill University) in order to make use of existing expressed sequence tag (EST) data more fully. ESTs that corresponded with a putative  $Gm FA\omega H$  were assembled into contiguous sequences (contigs) with the software Phrap (P. Green, University of Washington, Seattle, http://www.phrap.org/). This allowed for a more extensive *in silico* tissue expression analysis of the vast soybean EST data set. In total, 11 contigs were compiled (Appendix III) which represented five out of the six Gm FA $\omega$ Hs. Gm FA $\omega$ H-1, Gm FA $\omega$ H-2, Gm  $FA\omega H-3$  and  $Gm FA\omega H-5$  were represented by two contigs each, while  $Gm FA\omega H-4$  was represented by 3 contigs. Gm  $FA\omega H-6$  was not represented in any of the EST data. In order to establish the tissue-specific expression of each of the five  $Gm FA\omega H$  genes represented in the data, the origin of each library used to generate the EST data was compiled from NCBI (Table 5). In general, the majority of ESTs were from libraries generated from seeds, though ESTs from root, flowers, pods, stems and other tissues were also available (Table 5). Overall,  $Gm FA\omega H-1$  was found to be expressed in a variety of tissues, while Gm FA $\omega$ H-3, Gm FA $\omega$ H-4 and Gm FA $\omega$ H-5 had EST representation in libraries from seed only. Most ESTs of  $Gm FA\omega H-2$  were found in libraries from seed, but a couple were also found in libraries constructed from flowers. While the EST data for Gm FA $\omega$ H-1 matched that of the expression profile from Unigene, the fact that a large number of ESTs were from libraries that were generated from whole soybean plant tissue meant that there was limited tissue expression data. In general, the expression profiles predicted by source-cDNA library EST analysis showed corresponding and opposing expression patterns, compared to RNA-Seq, for all six genes. For example, RNA-Seq analysis showed high expression of  $Gm FA\omega H-5$  and  $Gm FA\omega H-6$  in root tissue, while analysis of EST data only showed a role of  $Gm FA\omega H-1$  in this tissue. This variation in root expression data emphasizes the need for RT-PCR-based verification of gene specific expression (Section 3.5).

Soybean microarray data is readily available. Four of the putative  $Gm FA\omega Hs$  are represented as probe sets on the Affymetrix soy chip, although they are not unique. Gm

<u>Table 5</u>: EST data for five of the putative *Gm FA\omegaHs*. A raw count of the number of ESTs generated from libraries from various tissues corresponding to those used in this study is presented. Contigs were assembled from source cDNA libraries and the frequency of occurrence in various tissues established.

Gene	Root	Flower	Seed	Pod	Stem	Leaf	Other*
Gm FAωH-1	2	8	4	3	1	3	25
Gm FAωH-2	0	2	16	0	0	0	12
Gm FAωH-3	0	0	7	0	0	0	1
Gm FAωH-4	0	0	19	0	0	0	1
Gm FAωH-5	0	0	23	0	0	0	0

\*Includes EST libraries generated from whole plants, multiple tissues and other tissues not included in the RT-PCR study (shoot tips and hypocotyls).

 $FA\omega H-1$  and  $Gm FA\omega H-2$  are both represented by Gma.11025.1.S1\_at and GmaAffx. 49459.1.A1\_at and  $Gm FA\omega H-3$  and  $Gm FA\omega H-4$  are both represented by Gma. 13695.1.A1\_at. Since these probe sets correspond to more than one gene, the microarray data for these four genes is not reliable.

### 3.3 Designing gene-specific primers

To determine tissue expression for each  $Gm FA\omega H$  gene in vitro, unique genespecific primers were designed. Due to the  $Gm FA\omega H$  genes having high identity in their coding region (Table 2), primers were designed to the 5' and 3' UTRs (Figure 7). An alignment was performed in DNAMAN to find regions of low identity amongst the six Gm  $FA\omega H$  genes. Sequences ranging from 18 - 26 base pairs (bp) were chosen because this length is long enough for adequate specificity and short enough for primers to bind easily to the template at the annealing temperature (Table 6). The primers were designed within 363 bp of the start codon in the 5' UTR and within 100 bp of the stop codon in the 3'UTR (Figure 7, Table 6). By necessity, the Gm  $FA\omega H-2$  reverse primer is very close to the stop codon albeit still within the coding sequence. The G/C content of each primer was kept between 40.9 - 61.9% (Table 6), which allowed for enough annealing strength (G-C base pairs have three hydrogen bonds) while keeping the melting temperature  $(T_m)$ at a favorable level (Li et al., 1997). The T<sub>m</sub> is the temperature at which one half of the DNA duplex will dissociate to become single stranded (Rychlik et al., 1990). Single base pair modifications were incorporated within primers, as required, to ensure (1) no self annealing, (2) that two primer complementarity was kept to a minimum between primer

GmF AwH-1 GmF AwH-2 GmF AwH-3 GmF AwH-4 GmF AwH-5 GmF AwH-6	СТТТАВАА ТТОТАТОТАТОТАТОТТТТТТТТСТТТТССТАТАТОВАВСЯАВАЯ САВТАСТТОГСТТАВС. ТСТТАВТАТОСССВАССТСОСТ. СОСТТАВЛОВСЯ АТСАСАВССА ССАТСИТСТАВСА СО ВАТТА СТАСТТОСТТСТ. СТТАТТАСИ СТССССАВАТАСТТОГСТТАВС. ТСТТАВТАТОСССВАССТСОСТ. А.С. ВССОТТСАВСВОТТСАСВАТСАСАТИСТССАВСИ СТАТАТАВСА СВАВАТТА СТАСТТССТСТ. СТТАТТАСИ СТСССАВАТАТОСТ СТОТА СТТТТВ ПОСТОТСАСТАВССИ СТССССТСА ВССОТТСАВСВИТТССАВАСИ СТАТАВСА СВАВАТТА СТАСТТСТС СТТАТТАСИ СТСССАТАТАТОСС СТОТОВАСТТТТВ ПОСТОТСАСТАВССИ СТССССТ. СТАТАВСА СВАВАТА СТСССТСТСАВАТСТ. СТАТОСАТИТОССАВА АЛССА СТССОСССАВА. ТАВСТСТ СТСАСТАВСИ СТССССТ АТАВАТСТ СТСТСТСАСТАТТАСАСТСТТА А ПЛЕСКАТОСА ТСАВСОС СВАВАССО ТОСТОСАТИТОСАВА АЛССА СТСОСССАВА. ТАВСТС ТСАССТ. СТАВССТ СОСТОССАТОСТА ТСАВСИ С СТАВАТТИТТССАВАТОТОСАВСАТСТВОССАВА
GmF AwH-1 GmF AwH-2 GmF AwH-3 GmF AwH-4 GmF AwH-5 GmF AwH-6	
GmF AwH-1 GmF AwH-2 GmF AwH-3 GmF AwH-4 GmF AwH-5 GmF AwH-6	
GmF AwH-1 GmF AwH-2 GmF AwH-3 GmF AwH-4 GmF AwH-5 GmF AwH-6	
GmF & wH-1 GmF & wH-2 GmF & wH-3 GmF & wH-4 GmF & wH-5 GmF & wH-6	ANTOTOTACCAAGGEATCTCAAACC ANTOTOTACCAAGGEATCTCAAACC ANTOTOCATCAAGGEATCTCAAACC ANTOTOCATCAAGGEATCTCAAACC ANTOTOCATCAAGGEATTCAAACC ANTOTOCATCAAGGEATTCAAACC ANTOTOCATCAAGGEATTCAAACC ANTOTOCATCAAACCEATTCAAACC ANTOTOCATCAAACCEATTCAAACC ANTOTOCATCAAACCEATTCAAACC CCCGT.CACCGEGTCEAACAAACC CCCGT.CACCGEGTCEAACAAACC CCCGT.CACCGEGTCEAACAAACC CCCGT.CACCGEGTCEAACAAACC CCCGT.CACCGEGTCEAACAAACC CCCGT.CACCGEGTCEAACAAACC CCCGT.CACCGEGTCEAACAAACC CCCGT.CACCGEGTCEAACAAACC CCCGT.CACCGEGTCEAACAAACC CCCGT.CACCGEGTCEAACAAACC CCCGT.CACCGEGTCEAACAAACC CCCGT.CACCGEGTCEAACAAACC CCCGT.CACCGEGTCEAACAAACC CCCGT.CACCGEGTCEAACAAACC CCCGT.CACCGEGTCEAACAAACAACC CCCGT.CACCGEGTCEAACAAACAACC CCCGT.CACCGEGTCEAACAAACAAACAAACAAACAAAAAAAAAAA
GmF AwH-1 GmF AwH-2 GmF AwH-3 GmF AwH-4 GmF AwH-5 GmF AwH-6	З ОНН Сабата сатса техте состата на балата та та та та та та та та та са

Figure 7: Nucleotide sequence alignment of the six putative  $Gm FA\omega Hs$ . Nucleotides that are identical, >=75% similar or >=50% similar are shaded in dark blue, red or light blue, respectively. Forward primers are indicated by red boxes and reverse primers by blue boxes. The open reading frame is denoted as a grey box.

<u>Table 6</u>: Characteristics of the *Gm*  $FA\omega H$  primers designed for RT-PCR. The length, distance from the coding region and G/C content are indicated for each primer. FOR = forward and REV = reverse.

Primer	Length (bp)	Distance From Coding Region* (bp)	G/C Content (%)	
Gm FAωH-1 FOR	21	102	61.9	
$Gm FA\omega H$ -1 REV	23	100	47.8	
$Gm FA\omega H-2$ FOR	21	70	52.4	
$Gm FA\omega H-2 \text{ REV}$	26	53**	42.3	
<i>Gm FAωH-3</i> FOR	21	363	52.4	
<i>Gm FAωH-3</i> REV	21	7	52.4	
$Gm FA\omega H-4$ FOR	18	358	55.6	
$Gm FA\omega H-4 \text{ REV}$	20	17	50.0	
$Gm FA\omega H$ -5 FOR	22	160	40.9	
$Gm FA\omega H$ -5 REV	21	14	42.9	
$Gm FA\omega H$ -6 FOR	21	143	57.1	
<i>Gm FAωH-6</i> REV	24	52	45.8	

\*The forward primers are shown as base pairs upstream from the start codon and the reverse primers are shown as downstream from the stop codon.

\*\*The  $Gm FA\omega H-2$  reverse primer is upstream of the stop codon.

sets and (3) that T<sub>m</sub>'s were kept within 10°C for primer pairs. To ensure specificity to each gene, final primer sequences were "BLASTed" against the soybean genome.

# 3.4 Checking and optimizing primers

Primers were optimized and checked for specificity. Genomic DNA was used to establish optimal salt (MgCl<sub>2</sub>) and temperature conditions. Magnesium is a required cofactor for thermostable DNA polymerases (Chien et al., 1976). Excess Mg<sup>2+</sup> in the reaction can increase non-specific primer binding and increase the non-specific background of the reaction (Williams, 1989). Therefore, Mg<sup>2+</sup> concentration is important for controlling the specificity of the annealing reaction. In order to find the optimal salt concentration for each primer set, PCR was run at a low temperature, high cycle number and a range of different MgCl<sub>2</sub> concentrations (Figure 8a). Salt concentrations with high clarity were chosen for each primer set, including the housekeeping gene actin, *ACT-11* (Figure 8b). The primers worked best at a range of salt concentrations (2.50 - 4.78 mM).

The annealing temperature was also optimized for specificity. Using the  $T_m$  calculated for each primer as a guide (lowest  $T_m$  per primer pair minus 5°C), each primer set was tested *in vitro* for the optimal annealing temperature to ensure maximum specificity (Figure 9). A temperature that is neither too low nor too high is ideal. A consequence of having an annealing temperature too low is that one or both primers will anneal to sequences other than the true target, as internal single-base mismatches or partial annealing may be permitted (Rychlik et al., 1990). This can lead to nonspecific amplification and, consequently, reduced yield of the desired product (Rychlik et al.,



Figure 8: Optimizing MgCl<sub>2</sub> concentration for PCR amplification of soybean  $FA\omega H$  genes. A) 1% agarose gel showing PCR products generated using a range of MgCl<sub>2</sub> concentrations for *Gm*  $FA\omega H$ -5. Labels across the top indicate MgCl<sub>2</sub> concentration in mM. The arrow shows the expected product band and the black box denotes the chosen concentration. Select bands are labeled in the 10 kb ladder lane (M). B) The chosen MgCl<sub>2</sub> concentration for each of the six putative *Gm*  $FA\omega H$ -5.



Figure 9: Optimizing annealing temperature for PCR amplification of soybean  $FA\omega H$  genes. A) 1% agarose gel showing PCR products generated using increasing temperature for *Gm*  $FA\omega H$ -5. Labels across the top indicate annealing temperature in °C. The black box shows the chosen temperature. Select bands are labeled in the 10 kb ladder lane (M). B) The chosen annealing temperature for each of the six putative *Gm*  $FA\omega H$ s and the positive control, *ACT-11*. These were found using the same process as with *Gm*  $FA\omega H$ -5.

1990). Conversely, too high an annealing temperature may yield little product, as the likelihood of primer annealing is reduced (Rychlik et al., 1990). For each primer set, including the housekeeping gene actin (*ACT-11*), the highest temperature that generated a band was chosen (Figure 9b). The reason for this was the observation that in many cases secondary bands were observed even when a seemingly high temperature was used when cDNA was used as the template (data not shown).

To enable semi-quantitative RT-PCR, the cycle number for each primer pair was chosen within the exponential phase of band intensity. This is because PCR amplification is not logarithmic at a low or high number of amplification cycles (Prediger, 2001). Logarithmic or exponential amplification usually occurs only during the middle cycles (Prediger, 2001). Comparison between gene expression levels and tissues can therefore only be done for bands derived during this phase. This ensures that in each reaction the amount of each reagent is not depleted. PCR samples obtained with each primer set were removed after a prescribed number of cycles and were analyzed on a 1% agarose gel. An example is shown for Gm FA $\omega$ H-5 (Figure 10a). The relative intensity of the bands was determined, and the number of cycles plotted against the relative intensity of the bands on the gel (Figure 10b). A cycle within the exponential phase was chosen for each  $Gm FA\omega H$ (Figure 10c), and used as the cycle number for subsequent RT-PCR. This analysis was also done with the housekeeping gene, actin (ACT-11), as it was ultimately used as a baseline in subsequent RT-PCR analyses. Cycle numbers for the gene specific primer sets, on the whole, were high and ranged from 27 - 33 cycles. ACT-11's cycle number was relatively low at 21 cycles.



Figure 10: Optimizing cycle number for RT-PCR amplification of soybean  $FA\omega H$  genes. A) 1% agarose gel showing PCR products generated using increasing cycle number for  $Gm FA\omega H$ -5. Labels across the top indicate cycle number. Select bands are labeled in the 10 kb ladder lane (M). B) Graph of intensity of bands at each cycle number for Gm  $FA\omega H$ -5. The intensity is measured as a background-adjusted volume (band intensity\*area). The black box shows the chosen cycle number within the exponential phase. C) The chosen cycle number for each of the six putative  $Gm FA\omega H$ -5.

Since the specificity of these primers was vital to the outcome of tissue-specific expression analyses, each primer pair was checked with genomic DNA using the optimal salt concentration and temperature determined. This analysis resulted in a single band of expected size for each gene; *Gm FA* $\omega$ *H*-1 (2193 bp), *Gm FA* $\omega$ *H*-2 (1625 bp), *Gm FA* $\omega$ *H*-3 (2013 bp), *Gm FA* $\omega$ *H*-4 (2012 bp), *Gm FA* $\omega$ *H*-5 (2595 bp) and *Gm FA* $\omega$ *H*-6 (2770 bp) (Figure 11). The expected band sizes for *Gm FA* $\omega$ *H*-5 and 6 are relatively large because of introns in genomic DNA. The primers for *Gm FA* $\omega$ *H*-1 consistently generated smears, especially near the end of the data collection presented herein, and various troubleshooting attempts could not eliminate this problem.

To ensure each PCR reaction only had a single product (i.e., each primer pair amplified only one gene) and to further verify that the primers were amplifying the specific gene they were designed to amplify, the PCR reactions that generated the products shown in Figure 11 were purified and sent for sequencing. The sequencing results (Appendix IV) confirmed that each PCR reaction yielded a single product, except *Gm FA* $\omega$ *H*-1. The smearing of *Gm FA* $\omega$ *H*-1 most likely lead to the observed complex sequencing result. Otherwise, alignments of each of the other PCR products, corresponding to the five other genes, revealed a 99% match to the expected gene. This gave confidence that each primer pair was unique to each gene.



Figure 11: PCR products of each putative  $Gm FA\omega H$  generated from genomic DNA. 1% agarose gel showing the PCR products that were produced using the MgCl<sub>2</sub> concentration and annealing temperature optimized for each gene. Expected sizes for the genomic bands are indicated below.  $GmFA\omega H$ -5 and  $GmFA\omega H$ -6 have relatively large genomic band sizes because of introns. Select bands are labeled in the 10 kb ladder lane (M).

# 3.5 RT-PCR analysis of tissue-specific gene expression

The differential expression of each putative  $Gm FA\omega H$  was further examined *in vitro* using PCR based on the conditions optimized for each primer pair (Section 3.4) and equal amounts of cDNA from various soybean tissues (cv. Conrad), including root, flower, seed (M2 and L1 stages), pod (M2 and L1 stages), stem and old and young leaves. This was done with both non-DNase-treated (data not shown) and DNase-treated samples. A biological replicate was also performed using two groups of samples ('A' and 'B'). All RT-PCR results were qualitatively assessed against the expression level of a housekeeping gene transcript (*ACT-11*). Genomic DNA was used as a positive control to show that the conditions worked with each primer and a negative control (no transcript) was also included to make sure there wasn't any contamination or nonspecific amplification. Additionally, a control experiment was run with tissue samples generated without reverse transcriptase to ensure that any amplification that occurred in the sample was derived from the synthesized cDNA and not genomic DNA or other amplicon contamination. These are illustrated for *ACT-11* (Figure 12).

While smearing continued to be a problem for the *Gm FA* $\omega$ *H-1* primer set, faint bands of the correct size were visible with cDNA from flower and M2 and L1 stage pod tissues (Figure 13). This corresponded well with both the RNA-Seq and EST data in that flower tissue showed high expression levels with both of these *in silico* analyses. Conversely, RT-PCR did not reveal *Gm FA* $\omega$ *H-1* expression in young leaves or seeds, which was where the highest expression was found with RNA-Seq and, at least moderate expression in EST data. Pods at the M2 or L1 stage were not included in the



Figure 12: Analysis of various tissue samples contamination. 1% agarose gels showing PCR products generated with a housekeeping gene, *ACT-11*, using cDNA involved in RT-PCR tissue expression analysis ('B' samples). Labels across the top indicate tissues from which the cDNA was generated. The gel on top represents samples using cDNA generated using reverse transcriptase (with RT), while the gel below represents samples generated without reverse transcriptase (without RT). The positive control (+) is genomic DNA sample and the negative control (-) is a reaction mixture without added transcript (cDNA). Molecular weights (bp) are shown on the right hand side of each gel.



Figure 13: RT-PCR tissue expression of six putative *Gm FA* $\omega$ *H*s using 'A' samples. Segments from 1% agarose gels in the molecular weight region of expected PCR products generated using the primer-pair optimized conditions (MgCl<sub>2</sub> concentration, temperature profile and cycle number) are shown for each primer pair. Labels across the top indicate tissues from which the cDNA was obtained. *ACT-11* is a housekeeping gene transcript that is used to qualitatively assess the results and ensure adequate cDNA is present for each preparation. The positive control (+) is a genomic DNA sample and the negative control (-) is a reaction mixture without added transcript (cDNA). Molecular weights (bp) are shown on the right hand side of each gel.

RNA-Seq data; however, my RT-PCR data indicate that expression would be higher in younger pods than older pods. As a consequence of the gel smearing obtained with *Gm*  $FA\omega H$ -1 primers, it was hard to determine specific *Gm*  $FA\omega H$ -1 tissue expression.

*Gm FA* $\omega$ *H-2* expression was observed in flower, M2 and L1 pods (Figures 13 and 14), and both old and young leaves (Figure 13). This corresponded to the RNA-Seq data in that flower showed the highest gene expression and young leaf showed moderate gene expression. Alternatively, both the RNA-Seq and EST data predicted *Gm FA* $\omega$ *H-2* expression in seeds whereas this was not observed with RT-PCR. Again, M2 and L1 pods were not represented by RNA-Seq but my RT-PCR data suggests that *Gm FA* $\omega$ *H-2* would be expressed in younger pods.

*Gm FA\omegaH-3* showed low expression in root (Figure 14), which corresponded with the RNA-Seq data. While both the RNA-Seq and EST data suggested that *Gm FA\omegaH-3* should be expressed in seeds, my RT-PCR data did not detect any gene expression in seed. Similarly, RNA-Seq predicted *Gm FA\omegaH-3* expression in flowers and young leaves, though this was not observed with my RT-PCR analysis.

Like its most closely matched potential homolog *Gm FA\omegaH-3, Gm FA\omegaH-4 appeared to be expressed in root and flower (Figure 14), which corresponded with the RNA-Seq data. However, <i>Gm FA\omegaH-4 expression was found to be higher in young leaves, flowers and seeds with RNA-Seq, than predicted by my RT-PCR analysis. Similarly, EST data indicates relatively high expression in seed, while I saw little, if any, via RT-PCR.* 



Figure 14: RT-PCR tissue expression of six putative *Gm FA* $\omega$ *Hs* using 'B' samples. Segments from 1% agarose gels in the molecular weight region of expected PCR products generated using the primer-pair optimized conditions (MgCl<sub>2</sub> concentration, temperature profile and cycle number) are shown for each primer pair. Labels across the top indicate tissues from which the cDNA was obtained. *ACT-11* is a housekeeping gene transcript that is used to qualitatively assess the results and ensure adequate cDNA is present for each preparation. The positive control (+) is a genomic DNA sample and the negative control (-) is a reaction mixture without added transcript (cDNA). Molecular weights (bp) are shown on the right hand side of each gel.

 $Gm FA\omega H$ -5 and 6 were not detected in any tissue via RT-PCR (Figure 13 and 14). While  $Gm FA\omega H$ -5 was shown to have high expression in seeds (EST) and roots (RNA-Seq), the highest level of expression of  $Gm FA\omega H$ -6 in RNA-Seq was in nodules, a tissue that wasn't studied in RT-PCR.

*Gm*  $FA\omega H$  gene expression in leaves is consistent with what is expected of suberin and cutin deposition. Suberin deposition is expected within the bundle sheath cells in leaves and the cuticle is actively deposited in young leaves (Esau, 1977). Additionally, some *Gm*  $FA\omega H$  genes should also contribute to hydroxy fatty acid formation for incorporation into the cutin found on the surface of flowers, seed coats and leaf surfaces (Kolattukudy, 2001). However, my primary interest is in the *Gm*  $FA\omega H$  genes expressed in root tissue, because suberin deposition in underground tissues correlates with *P. sojae* resistance (Thomas et al., 2007; Ranathunge et al., 2008). My RT-PCR data indicated that *Gm*  $FA\omega H$ -3 and *Gm*  $FA\omega H$ -4 are expressed in roots while the EST data indicated *Gm*  $FA\omega H$ -1 expression in root. By contrast, RNA-Seq data showed that *Gm*  $FA\omega H$ -5 and 6 had high levels of expression of all the *Gm*  $FA\omega H$ s in root. At this stage, it is not clear which *Gm*  $FA\omega H$ s are most abundantly expressed in soybean roots, and therefore responsible for the formation of the hydroxylated fatty acids found in soybean root suberin.

Variation in expression amongst the six  $Gm FA\omega H$  genes was anticipated because often genes within a gene family show differential patterns of expression dependent on tissue type and as a result of environmental and chemical stresses (Duan and Schuler, 2005). Overall, expression patterns from RT-PCR, RNA-Seq and ESTs matched for some genes and tissues and varied for others. Because of the demonstrated specificity of the gene-specific primers (using genomic DNA template; Section 3.4), and the positive control analysis of the RNA extracts from my tissue samples (demonstrated with actin; Figure 12), I have confidence in my RT-PCR results. However, there remains a need to replicate my results beyond the two independent analyses completed herein, both to confirm the patterns I have observed and ensure that I have captured the true expression patterns of the family of *Gm FA* $\omega$ *H*s. Both the RNA-Seq and EST data are dependent on computer models of sequence specificity. These six identified putative *Gm FA* $\omega$ *H* genes have such high identity between them that it is possible that sequence counts of expression could be misallocated. Nevertheless, variation amongst the three expression analyses is expected because of the variation of tissue harvesting. I.e., tissues may have been harvested during different developmental stages leading to a variation in expression patterns.

## 3.6 A role for $FA\omega Hs$ in suberin formation

The goal of this research was to find soybean  $FA\omega H$  genes that are likely involved in suberin biosynthesis. From the RT-PCR, EST and RNA-Seq expression data (Table 7), tissue-specific roles for each of the six putative  $Gm FA\omega H$ s can be hypothesized.

 $Gm FA\omega H-1$  and  $Gm FA\omega H-2$  showed expression in flower in all three types of expression analyses indicating that these genes are likely involved in cutin biosynthesis. Expression was also shown in seed, pod, stem ( $Gm FA\omega H-1$  only) and leaf furthering their involvement in cutin biosynthesis. Additionally,  $Gm FA\omega H-1$  was shown to be

<u>Table 7</u>: Tissue expression comparison of the putative  $Gm FA\omega Hs$  from RT-PCR, EST and RNA-Seq data. The tissue expression of each putative  $Gm FA\omega H$  for six broad tissue types is indicated by the presence of the type of analysis.

Gene	Root	Flower	Seed	Pod	Stem	Leaf
Gm FAωH-1	EST	RT-PCR EST RNA-Seq	EST RNA-Seq	RT-PCR EST	EST	EST RNA-Seq
Gm FAωH-2		RT-PCR EST RNA-Seq	EST RNA-Seq	RT-PCR		RT-PCR RNA-Seq
Gm FAωH-3	RT-PCR RNA-Seq	RNA-Seq	EST RNA-Seq			RNA-Seq
Gm FAωH-4	RT-PCR RNA-Seq	RT-PCR RNA-Seq	EST RNA-Seq			RNA-Seq
Gm FAωH-5	RNA-Seq	RNA-Seq	EST RNA-Seq			

Gm FAωH-6

RNA-Seq

expressed in root in EST analysis which gives evidence that it may be involved in suberin biosynthesis as well.

The expression analysis of  $Gm FA\omega H-3$  and  $Gm FA\omega H-4$  gives evidence for involvement in both suberin and cutin biosynthesis. Both the RT-PCR and RNA-Seq data showed expression in root indicating a connection with suberin biosynthesis whereas expression in flower, seed and leaf from a variety of data demonstrates involvement in cutin biosynthesis.

Lastly, RNA-Seq analysis showed expression of  $Gm FA\omega H-5$  in root, flower and seed indicating an involvement in both suberin and cutin biosynthesis.  $Gm FA\omega H-6$  only showed expression in root in RNA-Seq analysis giving evidence that it may be exclusively involved in suberin biosynthesis.

This research indicates that  $Gm FA\omega H$ -1,  $Gm FA\omega H$ -3,  $Gm FA\omega H$ -4,  $Gm FA\omega H$ -5 and  $Gm FA\omega H$ -6 are expressed in root and are likely involved in suberin biosynthesis.

## **CHAPTER 4: CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS**

Using a comparative sequence-based *in silico* approach, six putative  $FA\omega Hs$  were identified in the soybean genome. These six genes were shown to have high sequence identity making them a probable gene family, likely arising from the palaeopolyploidic nature of the soybean genome. Gene-specific primers were developed, RT-PCR conditions optimized and the specificity shown using genomic DNA. RT-PCR was then performed with various tissues, and revealed differential expression patterns for *Gm*  $FA\omega H-1$ , *Gm*  $FA\omega H-2$ , *Gm*  $FA\omega H-3$  and *Gm*  $FA\omega H-4$ . Neither *Gm*  $FA\omega H-5$  nor *Gm*  $FA\omega H-6$  were detected in any of the tissues used. RNA-Seq and EST *in silico* data showed both corresponding and opposing expression patterns for all six genes. The expression patterns of the six putative *Gm*  $FA\omega H-1$ , *Gm*  $FA\omega H-3$ , *Gm*  $FA\omega H-4$ , *Gm*  $FA\omega H-5$  and *Gm*  $FA\omega H-6$  were shown to be expressed in roots by one or more of these expression analyses and therefore could be involved in suberin biosynthesis.

Due to the differing expression data noted above, tissue expression of the six putative  $Gm \ FA\omega Hs$  will need to be confirmed in order to determine which specific gene(s) are expressed in roots; root expression of  $Gm \ FA\omega H$  genes is expected to be linked to the deposition of suberin in this tissue. Subsequently, an RNAi (interference) knockout approach, targeting the root specific  $Gm \ FA\omega H$  genes can be developed. The latter can be accomplished using *Agrobacterium rhizogenes*-derived hairy roots, which are readily generated and avoid the necessity for transgenic plant regeneration (Nilsson and Olsson, 1997). Hairy roots as a vehicle for studying suberin formation and its role in *P. sojae* resistance is currently being characterized in the Bernards lab. Knocking out selective *Gm FA* $\omega$ *H*s in root tissue can address two important hypotheses. First, the hypothesis that specific *Gm FA* $\omega$ *H* genes are involved in root suberin biosynthesis can be tested by selectively inhibiting individual *Gm FA* $\omega$ *H* genes and analyzing the impact of suberin deposition in the knockout mutants using chemical analysis (e.g., MeOH-HCl depolymerization of aliphatic suberin; Meyer et al., 2011). If suberin is compromised in either quantity or quality, it will demonstrate the importance of specific fatty acid  $\omega$ -hydroxylases in the formation of root suberin. The second hypothesis, that the quantity of root suberin is correlated with resistance to *P. sojae* can be tested with an infection study, wherein the ability of soybean knockout mutants to slow down infection by *P. sojae* is monitored (Subramanian et al., 2005; Ranathunge et al., 2008). If mutants with compromised suberin show enhanced susceptibility to *P. sojae*, it will support the hypothesis that suberin is involved in the resistance to *P. sojae*.

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Arnason, J. T., and Bernards, M. A. 2010. Impact of constitutive plant natural products on herbivores and pathogens. Can. J. Zool. 88: 615–627. doi:10.1139/Z10-038
- Bernards, M A. 2002. Demystifying suberin. Can. J. Bot. **80**: 227–240. doi: 10.1139/ B02-017.
- Bernards, M. A., and Lewis, N. G. 1998. The macromolecular aromatic domain in suberized tissue: a changing paradigm. Phytochemistry, 47: 915–933. doi:10.1016/ S0031-9422(98)80052-6.
- Bernards, M. A., Lopez, M. L., Zajicek, J., and Lewis, N. G. 1995. Hydroxycinnamic acid-derived polymers constitute the polyaromatic domain of suberin. J. Biol. Chem. 270: 7382–7386.
- Burnham, K., Dorrance, A., VanToai, T., and St. Martin, S. K. 2003. Quantitative trait loci for partial resistance to *Phytophthora sojae* in soybean. Crop Sci. **43**: 1610–1617. doi:10.1111/j.1439-0523.2010.01799.x.
- Chien, A., Edgar, D. B., and Trela, J. M. 1976. Deoxyribonucleic acid polymerase from the extreme thermophile *Thermus aquaticus*. J Bacteriol. **127**: 1550–1557.
- Compagnon, V., Diehl, P., Benveniste, I., Meyer, D., Schaller, H., Schreiber, L., Franke, R., et al. 2009. CYP86B1 is required for very long chain omega-hydroxyacid and α, ω-dicarboxylic acid synthesis in root and seed suberin polyester. Plant Physiol. 150: 1831–1843. doi:10.1104/pp.109.141408.
- De Vetten, N., ter Horst, J., van Schaik, H. P., de Boer, A., Mol, J., and Koes, R. 1999. A cytochrome *b5* is required for full activity of flavonoid 3', 5'-hydroxylase, a cytochrome P450 involved in the formation of blue flower colors. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. **96**: 778–783.
- Dobritsa, A. A., Shrestha, J., Morant, M., Pinot, F., Matsuno, M., Swanson, R., Møller, B. L., et al. 2009. CYP704B1 is a long-chain fatty acid ω-hydroxylase essential for sporopollenin synthesis in pollen of *Arabidopsis*. Plant Physiol. **151**: 574–589. doi: 10.1104/pp.109.144469.

- Dorff, E. 2009. The soybean, agriculture's jack-of-all-trades, is gaining ground across Canada. Canadian Agriculture at a Glance. Statistics Canada Catalogue no. 96-325-XIE.
- Dorrance, A. E., McClure, S. A., and St. Martin, S. K. 2003. Effect of partial resistance on phytophthora stem rot incidence and yield of soybean in Ohio. Plant Dis. 87: 308– 312.
- Dorrance, A.E., Mills, D., Robertson, A. E., Draper, M. A., Giesler, L. and Tenuta, A. 2007. Phytophthora root and stem rot of soybean. The Plant Health Instructor. doi: 10.1094/PHI-I-2007-0830-07.
- Duan, H., and Schuler, M. 2005. Differential expression and evolution of the *Arabidopsis* CYP86A subfamily. Plant Physiol. **137**: 1067–1081. doi:10.1104/pp.104.055715.
- Enkerli, K., Hahn, M. G., and Mims, C. W. 1997. Ultrastructure of compatible and incompatible interactions of soybean roots infected with the plant pathogenic oomycete *Phytophthora sojae*. Can. J. Bot. **75**: 1493–1508.
- Esau, K. 1977. Anatomy of seed plants. John Wiley and Sons, New York.
- Freeman, B.C., and Beattie, G. A. 2008. An Overview of plant defenses against pathogens and herbivores. The Plant Health Instructor. doi: 10.1094/PHI-I-2008-0226-01.
- Gonzalez, F. J., and Korzekwa, K. R. 1995. Cytochromes P450 expression systems. Annu. Rev. Pharmacol. Toxicol. **35**: 369–390. doi:10.1146/annurev.pa.35.040195.002101.
- Graca, J., and Pereira, H. 1997. Cork suberin: A glyceryl based polyester. Holzforschung, 51: 225–234. doi:10.1515/hfsg.1997.51.3.225.
- Graça, J., and Pereira, H. 2000. Diglycerol alkendioates in suberin: building units of a poly(acylglycerol) polyester. Biomacromolecules, 1: 519–522.
- Grau, C. R., Dorrance, A. E., Bond, J. and Russin, J. S. 2004. Fungal Disease. *In*Soybeans: Improvement, production, and uses. Agronomy Monograph, 3rd ed. Vol.
  16. *Edited by* H.R. Boerma and J.E. Specht. Madison, WI: Amercian Society of
  Agronomy, Crop Science Society of America, Soil Science Society of America. Pp.
  679–763.

- Hammerschmidt, R. 1999. Phytoalexins: What have we learned after 60 years? Annu. Rev. Phytopathol. **37**: 285–306. doi:10.1146/annurev.phyto.37.1.285.
- Harwood, J. L. 1988. Fatty acid metabolism. Ann. Rev. Plant Physiol. Plant Mol. Biol. **39**: 101–138. doi:10.1146/annurev.pp.39.060188.000533.
- Holloway, P. 1983. Some variations in the composition of suberin from the cork layers of higher plants. Phytochemistry, 22: 495–502. doi:10.1016/0031-9422(83)83033-7.
- Höfer, R., Briesen, I., Beck, M., Pinot, F., Schreiber, L., and Franke, R. 2008. The *Arabidopsis* cytochrome P450 CYP86A1 encodes a fatty acid ω-hydroxylase involved in suberin monomer biosynthesis. J. Exp. Bot. **59**: 2347–2360. doi:10.1093/ jxb/ern101.
- Hu, R., Chengming, F., Hongyu, L., Qingzhu, Z. And Fu, Y-F. 2009. Evaluation of putative reference genes for gene expression normalization in soybean by quantitative real-time RT-PCR. BMC Mol. Biol. 10: 93. doi:10.1186/1471-2199-10-93.
- Kang, T. J., and Yang, M. S. 2004. Rapid and reliable extraction of genomic DNA from various wild-type and transgenic plants. BMC Biotech. 4: 20. doi: 10.1186/1472-6750-4-20.
- Kaitany, R. C., Hart, L. P., and Safir, G. R. 2001. Virulence composition of *Phytophthora* sojae in Michigan. Plant Disease, 85: 1103–1106. doi:10.1094/PDIS.2001.85.10.1103
- Kolattukudy, P. E. 1980. Biopolyester membranes of plants: Cutin and suberin. Science, 208: 990–1000. doi:10.1126/science.208.4447.990.
- Kolattukudy, P. E. 1984. Biochemistry and function of cutin and suberin. Can. J. Bot. **62**: 2918–2933. doi:10.1139/b84-391.
- Kolattukudy, P. E. 2001 Polyesters in higher plants. *In* Advances in biochemical engineering biotechnology. Vol. 71: Biopolyesters. *Edited by* T. Scheper. Springer-Verlag, Berlin. pp. 1–19.
- Li, H., Pinot, F., Sauveplane, V., Werck-Reichhart, D., Diehl, P., Schreiber, L., Franke, R., et al. 2010. Cytochrome P450 family member CYP704B2 catalyzes the ω-hydroxylation of fatty acids and is required for anther cutin biosynthesis and pollen exine formation in rice. Plant Cell, **22**: 173–190. doi:10.1105/tpc.109.070326.

- Li, P., Kupfer, K. C., Davies, C. J., Burbee, D., Evans, G. A., and Garner, H. R. 1997.
   Primo: A primer design program that applies base quality statistics for automated large-scale DNA sequencing. Genomics, 40: 476–485. doi:10.1006/geno.1996.4560.
- Meyer, C., Peterson, C. A., and Bernards, M. A. 2011. Spatial and temporal deposition of suberin during maturation of the onion root exodermis. Botany, 89: 119–131. doi: 10.1139/B10-092.
- Morris, P. F., and Ward, E. W. B. 1992. Chemoattraction of zoospores of the soybean pathogen, *Phytophthora sojae*, by isoflavones. Physiol. Mol. Plant Pathol. 40: 17–22. doi:10.1016/0885-5765(92)90067-6.
- Morris, P. F., Bone, E., and Tyler, B. M. 1998. Chemotropic and contact responses of *Phytophthora sojae* hyphae to soybean isoflavonoids and artificial substrates. Plant Physiol. **117**: 1171–1178.
- Mortazavi, A., Williams, B. A., McCue, K., Schaeffer, L., and Wold, B. 2008. Mapping and quantifying mammalian transcriptomes by RNA-Seq. Nat. Methods, **5**: 621–628. doi:10.1038/nmeth.1226.
- Negrel, J., Pollet, B., and Lapierre, C. 1996. Ether-linked ferulic acid amides in natural and wound periderms of potato tuber. Phytochemistry, 43: 1195–1199. doi:10.1016/ S0031-9422(96)00500-6.
- Nilsson, O., and Olsson, O. 1997. Getting to the root: The role of the *Agrobacterium rhizogenes* rol genes in the formation of hairy roots. Physiol. Plant. **100**: 463–473. doi:10.1111/j.1399-3054.1997.tb03050.x.
- Olah, A. F., and Schmitthenner, A. F. 1985. A growth chamber test for measuring phytophthora root rot tolerance in soybean seedlings. Phytopathology, 75: 546–548. doi:10.1094/Phyto-75-546.
- Prediger, E. A. 2001. Quantitating mRNAs with relative and competitive RT-PCR. Methods in Mol. Biol. **160**: 49–63. doi:10.1385/1-59259-233-3:049.
- Ranathunge, K., Shao, S., Qutob, D., Gijzen, M., Peterson, C. A., and Bernards, M. A.
  2010. Properties of the soybean seed coat cuticle change during development. Planta,
  231: 1171–1188. doi:10.1007/s00425-010-1118-9.

- Ranathunge, K., Thomas, R. H., Fang, X., Peterson, C. A., Gijzen, M., and Bernards, M.
  A. 2008. Soybean root suberin and partial resistance to root rot caused by *Phytophthora sojae*. Phytopathology, **98**: 1179–1189. doi:10.1094/ PHYTO-98-11-1179.
- Rupasinghe, S. G., Duan, H., and Schuler, M. A. 2007. Molecular definitions of fatty acid hydroxylases in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Proteins, **68**: 279–293. doi:10.1002/prot. 21335.
- Rychlik, W., Spencer, W. J., and Rhoads, R. E. 1990. Optimization of the annealing temperature for DNA amplification *in vitro*. Nucleic Acids Res. **18**: 6409–6412.
- Schmitthenner, A. F. 1985. Problems and progress in control of phytophthora root rot of soybean. Plant Disease, 69: 362–368. doi:10.1094/PD-69-362.
- Schmutz, A., Jenny, T., and Ryser, U. 1994. A caffeoyl fatty-acid glycerol ester from wax associated with green cotton fiber suberin. Phytochemistry, **36**: 1343–1346. doi: 10.1016/S0031-9422(00)89721-6.
- Schmutz, J., Cannon, S. B., Schlueter, J., Ma, J., Mitros, T., Nelson, W., Hyten, D. L., et al. 2010. Genome sequence of the palaeopolyploid soybean. Nature, 463: 178–183. doi:10.1038/nature08670.
- Schuler, M. A., and Werck-Reichhart, D. 2003. Functional genomics of P450s. Annu. Rev. Plant Biol., **54**: 629–667. doi:10.1146/annurev.arplant.54.031902.134840.
- Serra, O., Soler, M., Hohn, C., Sauveplane, V., Pinot, F., Franke, R., Schreiber, L., et al. 2009. CYP86A33-targeted gene silencing in potato tuber alters suberin composition, distorts suberin lamellae, and impairs the periderm's water barrier function. Plant Physiol. 149: 1050–1060. doi:10.1104/pp.108.127183.
- Severin, A. J., Woody, J. L., Bolon, Y.-T., Joseph, B., Diers, B. W., Farmer, A. D., Muehlbauer, G. J., et al. 2010. RNA-Seq atlas of *Glycine max*: A guide to the soybean transcriptome. BMC Plant Biology, **10**: 1–16. doi:10.1186/1471-2229-10-160.
- St. Martin, S. K., Scott, D. R., Sschmitthenner, A. F., and McBlain, B. A. 1994.
  Relationship between tolerance to phytophthora rot and soybean yield. Plant Breed.
  113: 331–334. doi:10.1111/j.1439-0523.1994.tb00745.x.
- Subramanian, S., Graham, M. Y., Yu, O., and Graham, T. L. 2005. RNA interference of soybean isoflavone synthase genes leads to silencing in tissues distal to the transformation site and to enhanced susceptibility to *Phytophthora sojae*. Plant Physiol. **137**: 1345–1353. doi:10.1104/pp.104.057257.
- Thomas, R., Fang, X., Ranathunge, K., Anderson, T. R., Peterson, C. A., and Bernards, M.
  A. 2007. Soybean root suberin: Anatomical distribution, chemical composition, and relationship to partial resistance to *Phytophthora sojae*. Plant Physiol. 144: 299–311. doi:10.1104/pp.106.091090.
- Tooley, P. W., and Grau, C. R. 1984. Field characterization of rate-reducing resistance to *Phytophthora megasperma* f. sp. *glycinea* in soybean. Phytopathology, 74: 1201– 1208. doi:10.1094/Phyto-74-1201.
- Tyler, B. M. 2002. Molecular basis of recognition between phytophthora pathogens and their hosts. Annu. Rev. Phytopathol. 40: 137–167. doi:10.1146/annurev.phyto. 40.120601.125310.
- Tyler, B. M. 2007. *Phytophthora sojae*: root rot pathogen of soybean and model oomycete. Mol. Plant Pathol. **8**: 1–8. doi:10.1111/j.1364-3703.2006.00373.x.
- Tyler, B. M., Wu, M-H., Wang, J-M., Cheung, W., and Morris, P. F. 1996. Chemotactic preferences and strain variation in the response of *Phytophthora sojae* zoospores to host isoflavones. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 62: 2811–2817.
- Williams, J.F. 1989. Optimization strategies for the polymerase chain reaction. Biotechniques, 7: 762–769.
- Yang, W-L., and Bernards, M. A. 2006. Wound-induced metabolism in potato (*Solanum tuberosum*) tubers: Biosynthesis of aliphatic domain monomers. Plant Signal. Behav.
  1: 59–66.
- Zeier, J., and Schreiber, L. 1997. Chemical composition of hypodermal and endodermal cell walls and xylem vessels isolated from *Clivia miniata* (identification of the biopolymers lignin and suberin). Plant Physiol. **113**: 1223–1231. doi:10.1104/pp. 113.4.1223.

- Zeier, J., and Schreiber, L. 1998. Comparative investigation of primary and tertiary endodermal cell walls isolated from the roots of five monocotyledoneous species:
  Chemical composition in relation to fine structure. Planta, 206: 349–361. doi: 10.1007/s004250050410.
- Zeier, J., Goll, A., Yokoyama, M., Karahara, I., and Schreiber, L. 1999. Structure and chemical composition of endodermal and rhizodermal/hypodermal walls of several species. Plant Cell Environ. 22: 271–279. doi:10.1046/j.1365-3040.1999.00401.x.
- Zentmyer, G. A. (1961). Chemotaxis of zoospores for root exudates. Science, **133**: 1595–1596. doi:10.1126/science.133.3464.1595.

**Appendix I:** Characteristics of soybean pods and seeds used to designate M2 and L1 stages. Tissue was collected in the field by using the visual attributes of M2 and L1 stages. Once in the lab, five samples of each group were measured and weighed to verify their developmental stage. DAP = days after pollination. Samples were obtained from Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada (Southern Crop Protection and Food Research Centre, London, ON, Canada). Guidance for characterization was received from Agriculture Canada and Ranathunge et al. (2010).

Stage	DAP (days)	Size (cm)	Whole weight (g)	Pod weight (g)	Number of seeds	Weight of seeds (g)	Average weight/ seed	Seed shape
M2	29-35	4.0	1.10	0.53	3	0.5730	0.1910	Flat
M2		4.0	0.92	0.43		0.4770	0.1590	
M2		3.8	1.07	0.47		0.5650	0.1883	
M2		3.9	1.12	0.49		0.5751	0.1917	
M2		4.0	0.95	0.41		0.5025	0.1675	
L1	35-43	3.6	1.38	0.46	3	0.8475	0.2825	Round
L1		4.0	1.47	0.56		0.8352	0.2784	
L1		3.7	1.37	0.52		0.8379	0.2793	
L1		3.6	1.32	0.49		0.8113	0.2704	
L1		3.6	1.37	0.51		0.8513	0.2838	

Appendix II: Extended table of RNA-Seq data. Generated from Soybase.org/Soyseq.

DAF = days after flowering.

	Young Leaf	Flower	One cm Pod	Pod 10DAF	Pod 14DAF	Seed 10DAF	Seed 14DAF	Seed 21DAF	Seed 25DAF	Seed 28DAF	Seed 35DAF	Seed 42DAF	Root	Nodule
Gm FAwH-1	462	293	754	740	362	10	40	35	224	422	216	32	0	0
Gm FAwH-2	155	201	357	346	167	44	91	47	174	162	34	9	0	7
Gm FAwH-3	60	37	48	42	49	45	44	19	77	43	47	22	14	0
Gm FAwH-4	86	33	34	44	34	25	35	15	70	25	27	14	7	9
Gm FAwH-5	-	7	14	8	0	16	37	7	35	41	18	0	284	67
Gm FAwH-6	0	2	2	N	-	0	0	0	-	-	0	0	152	916

**Appendix III:** Contig maps of assembled soybean ESTs. The ESTs were assembled into contigs with the software Phrap (P. Green, University of Washington, Seattle, http://www.phrap.org/). Images of the contigs were created with the contigimage software from the BioData suite (J. Freeman, Center for Computational Genomics and Bioinformatics, University of Minnesota, http://www.ccgb.umn.edu/). The name of each EST is on the left (in cyan if it was reverse complemented) and the base pair position is on the X axis. Nucleotide bases are indicated as follows: A = green, C = cyan, G = orange, T = red, N = gray and \* = dark gray. Data was obtained from Dr. Martina Stromvick (McGill University).







	10	100	200	300	400	200
Contig6 CONSENSUS						
BI468958.1						
GD910463.1						
FK466542.1						
FK648821.1						
GD791694.1						
FK456217.1						
GE110669.1						
EK609066.1						
GD701528 1						



	0	100	<u></u>	20 30	400	200	200	700	800	1000 1000
Contig8 CONSENSUS										
C0981982.1 B0296535.1 AW705071.1 B0508800.1 AW459834.1 BE804512.1 D899032.1										
BE821470.1 BG511598.1 AW457977.1 BW679966.1 BW676785.1										







**Appendix IV:** Sequence assembly of five of the putative  $Gm FA\omega Hs$  and their corresponding sequencing results. Differences between sequence results and primary sequence were checked in the corresponding electropherogram and those nucleotides that were clearly misreads were changed and indicated with lowercase. The different colors indicate distinct contigs. Assembly performed in DNAMAN.



Consensus Gm_FAwH-2 2FOR 2REV	GTAATAGCAGCCTCTAGCTCCTCCGGTGAGGGAAGGTGCAAGCAA	60
Consensus Gm_FAwH-2 2FOR 2REV	TTGTTTTGGGAGTTGGGCCCAGCACTCACATGGACGCAGCAACGGCTTTAATGATCCTAT TTGTTTTGGGAGTTGGGCCCAGCACTCACATGGACGCAGCAACGGCTTTAATGATCCTAT TTGTTTTGGGAGTTGGGCCCAGCACTCACATGGACGCAGCAacggctTTAATGATCCTAT	120
Consensus Gm_FAwH-2 2FOR 2REV	CAGCAATAGCGGCCTATTTAATATGGTTCACCTTGGTCACTCGCTCCCTCAAAGGTCCAC CAGCAATAGCGGCCTATTTAATATGGTTCACCTTGGTCACTCGCTCCCTCAAAGGTCCAC CAGCAATAGCGGCCTATTTAATATGGTTCACCTTGGTCACTCGCTCCCTCAAAGGTCCAC	180
Consensus Gm_FAwH-2 2FOR 2REV	GTGTCTGGCCCCTATTGGGCAGCCTCCCTGGCCTCATCCAACACGCCAACCGCATGCACG GTGTCTGGCCCCTATTGGGCAGCCTCCTGGCCTCATCCAACACGCCAACCGCATGCACG GTGTCTGGCCCCCTATTGGGCAGCCTCCCTGGCCTCATCCAACACGCCAACCGCATGCACG	240
Consensus Gm_FAwH-2 2FOR 2REV	ACTGGATCGCTGACAACCTCCGCGCGTGCGGCGGCACGTACCAGACCTGCATCTGCGCCC ACTGGATCGCTGACAACCTCCGCGCGTGCGGCGGCACGTACCAGACCTGCATCTGCGCCC ACTGGATCGCTGACAACCTCCGCGCGTGCGGCGGCACGTACCAGACCTGCATCTGCGCCC	300
Consensus Gm_FAwH-2 2FOR 2REV	TCCCYTTCCTCGCCCGAAAACAGTGCCTGGTGACTGTCACGTGCGACCCCAAAAACCTCG TCCCCTTCCTCGCCCGAAAACAGTGCCTGGTGACTGTCACGTGCGACCCCCAAAAACCTCG TCCCTTTCCTCGCCCGAAAACAGTGCCTGGTGACTGTCACGTGCGACCCCCAAAAACCTCG	360
Consensus Gm_FAwH-2 2FOR 2REV	AGCACATCCTCAAGCTTCGCTTCGACAACTACCCAAAAGGCCCCACGTGGCAGTCCGCCT AGCACATCCTCAAGCTTCGCTTC	420
Consensus Gm_FAwH-2 2FOR 2REV	TCCACGATTTGCTCGGCGAAGGCATCTTCAACTCCGACGGCGACACGTGGCTCTTCCAGC TCCACGATTTGCTCGGCGAAGGCATCTTCAACTCCGACGGCGACACGTGGCTCTTCCAGC TCCACGATTTGCTCGGCGAAGGCATCTTCAACTCCGACGGCGACACGTGGCTCTTCCAGC	480
Consensus Gm_FAwH-2 2FOR 2REV	GTAAGACTGCCGCACTGGAATTCACCACTCGCACCCTGCGCCAAGCCATGGCGCGCTGGG GTAAGACTGCCGCACTGGAATTCACCACTCGCACCCTGCGCCAAGCCATGGCGCGCGC	540
Consensus Gm_FAwH-2 2FOR 2REV	TGAACCGAGCCATAAAGCACAGGTTCTGCCCCATCCTAGCCACGGCTCAGAAAGAA	600
Consensus Gm_FAwH-2 2FOR 2REV	AATCAGTGGATCTTCAAGACCTCTTGCTTCGGCTCACTTTTGACAACATATGCGGCTTGG AATCAGTGGATCTTCAAGACCTCTTGCTTCGGCTCACTTTTGACAACATATGCGGCTTGG AATCAGTGGATCTTCAAGACCTCTTGCTTCGGCTCACTTTTGACAACATATGCGGCTTGG	660

Consensus Gm_FAwH-2 2FOR 2REV	CTTTCGGCCAAGACCCGCAAACCCTAGCCGCGGGGCTTCCAGATAACGCTTTCGCTCTC CTTTCGGCCAAGACCCGCAAACCCTAGCCGCGGGGCTTCCAGATAACGCTTTCGCTCTCT CTTTCGGCCAAGACCCGCAAACCCTAGCCGCGGGGGCTTCCAGATAACGCTTTCGCTCTCT	720
Consensus Gm_FAwH-2 2FOR 2REV	CCTTCGACCGAGCCACTGAAGCCACTCTACAACGCTTTATTCTGCCGGAGATCTTGTGGA CCTTCGACCGAGCCACTGAAGCCACTCTACAACGCTTTATTCTGCCGGAGATCTTGTGGA CCTTCGACCGAGCCACTGAAGCCACTCTACAACGCTTTATTCTGCCGGAGATCTTGTGGA GCTTtATTcTGCCGGAGATcTTGtGGA	780
Consensus Gm_FAwH-2 2FOR 2REV	AGCTGAAGCGATGGCTCAGGCTCGGAATGGAAGTGAGCCTGAGCCGGAGCCTCAAACACA AGCTGAAGCGATGGCTCAGGCTCGGAATGGAAGTGAGCCTGAGCCGGAGCCTCAAACACA AGCTGAAGCGATGGCTCAGGCTCGGAATGGAAGTGAGCCTGAGCCGGAGCCTCAAACACA AGCTGAAGcGAtGgcTCAGgCTCGGAATGGAAGTGAgCcTGAGCcGGAGCcTCAAACACA	840
Consensus Gm_FAwH-2 2FOR 2REV	TCGACCAGTACCTCTCCCACATCATCAAGAACCGCAAGCTGGAGCTGCTGAATGGTAATG TCGACCAGTACCTCTCCCACATCATCAAGAACCGCAAGCTGGAGCTGCTGAATGGTAATG TCGACCAGTACCTCTCCCCACATCATCAAGAACCGCAAGCTGGAGCTGCTGAATGGTAATG TCGACCAGTACCTCTCcCACATCATCAAGAACCGCAAGCTGGAGCTGCTGAATGGTAATG	900
Consensus Gm_FAwH-2 2FOR 2REV	GGTCCCACCACGACGACCTCTTGTCCCGCTTCATGAGGAAGAAAGA	960
Consensus Gm_FAwH-2 2FOR 2REV	AGGAGTTCCTCCAACACGTGGCACTCAACTTCATCCTCGCTGGGCGCGACACGTCATCGG AGGAGTTCCTCCAACACGTGGCACTCAACTTCATCCTCGCTGGGCGCGACACGTCATCGG AGGAGTTCCTCCaACACGtgGcaCTCAACTTCATCCTCGCTGGGCGCGACACGTCATCGG AGGAGTTCCTCCAACACGTGGCACTCAACTTCATCCTCGCTGGGCGCGACACGTCATCGG	1020
Consensus Gm_FAwH-2 2FOR 2REV	TCGCGCTCAgCTGGTTCTTCTGGcTCTGCGTCAAGAACCCGCGCGtGGAGGAAAACATCC TCGCGCTCAGCTGGTTCTTCTGGCTCTGCGTCAAGAACCCGGCGCGTGGAGGAAAACATCC TCGCGCTCANCTGGttCTTCTGGNTCtGCGTCAAgAACCCGgcgCGNg TCGCGCTCAGCTGGTTCTTCTGGCTCTGCGTCAAGAACCCGCGCGTGGAGGAAAACATCC	1080
Consensus Gm_FAwH-2 2FOR 2REV	TAAACGAACTCTGTACTGTTCTTCTGTCCACGCGTGGAGATAACATCTCCACGTGGCTGA TAAACGAACTCTGTACTGTTCTTCTGTCCACGCGTGGAGATAACATCTCCACGTGGCTGA TAAACGAACTCTGTACTGTTCTTCTGTCCACGCGTGGAGATAACATCTCCACGTGGCTGA	1140
Consensus Gm_FAwH-2 2FOR	ACGAGCCTCTTGTGTTCGATGAGGTAGACCGTTTGGTCTACCTCAAGGCAGCATTGTCTG ACGAGCCTCTTGTGTTCGATGAGGTAGACCGTTTGGTCTACCTCAAGGCAGCATTGTCTG	1200
2REV	ACGAGCCTCTTGTGTTCGATGAGGTAGACCGTTTGGTCTACCTCAAGGCAGCATTGTCTG	
Consensus Gm_FAwH-2 2FOR	AGACACTGCGGCTTTATCCCTCCGTGCCGGAGGACTCCAAACATGTTGTGAAGGATGATG AGACACTGCGGCTTTATCCCTCCGTGCCGGAGGACTCCAAACATGTTGTGAAGGATGATG	1260
2REV	AGACACTGCGGCTTTATCCCTCCGTGCCGGAGGACTCCAAACATGTTGTGAAGGATGATG	
Consensus Gm_FAwH-2 2FOR	TTTTGCCTAATGGAACCTTCGTTCCGGCAGGTTCCGCGGTGACCTATTCAATTTACTCTG TTTTGCCTAATGGAACCTTCGTTCCGGCAGGTTCCGCGGTGACCTATTCAATTTACTCTG	1320
2REV	TTTTGCCTAATGGAACCTTCGTTCCGGCAGGTTCCGCGGTGACCTATTCAATTTACTCTG	

Gm_FAwH-2 2FOR 2REV	TTGGGAGGATGAAGTTCATTTGGGGAGAGGACTGCCTCGAGTTCAAGCCTGAGCGGTGGC TTGGGAGGATGAAGTTCATTTGGGGAGAGGACTGCCTCGAGTTCAAGCCTGAGCGGTGGC TTGGGAGGATGAAGTTCATTTGGGGAGAGAGGACTGCCTCGAGTTCAAGCCTGAGCGGTGGC	1380
<b>a</b>		1 4 4 0
Consensus Gm_FAwH-2 2FOR	TCTCTCCTGAAGGGGACAAAATTCAGGTGCAAGACTCTTACAAGTTTGTTT	1440
2REV	TCTCTCCTGAAGGGGACAAAATTCAGGTGCAAGACTCTTACAAGTTTGTTT	
Consensus Gm_FAwH-2 2FOR	CGGGACCTAGGCTTTGTTTGGGGAAGGACTTGGCTTACTTGCAGATGAAGTCAATCGCCG CGGGACCTAGGCTTTGTTTGGGGAAGGACTTGGCTTACTTGCAGATGAAGTCAATCGCCG	1500
2REV	CGGGACCTAGGCTTTGTTTGGGGAAGGACTTGGCTTACTTGCAGATGAAGTCAATCGCCG	
Consensus Gm_FAwH-2 2FOR	CCGCGGTGCTCCTGCGCCACCGCCTCGCTGTCGCGCCGGGCCACCGCGTCGAACAGAAGA CCGCGGTGCTCCTGCGCCACCGCCTCGCTGTCGCGCCGGGCCACCGCGTCGAACAGAAGA	1560
2REV	CCGCGGTGCTCCTGCGCCACCGCCTCGCTGTCGCGCCGGGCCACCGCGTCGAaCAGAAGA	
Consensus Gm_FAwH-2 2FOR	TGTCACTCACACTGTTCATGAAGTACGGTCTCAAGGTGAATGTGTACCCAAGGGATCTCA TGTCACTCACACTGTTCATGAAGTACGGTCTCAAGGTGAATGTGTACCCAAGGGATCTCA	1620
2REV	TGTCACTCACACt	
Consensus Gm_FAwH-2 2FOR	AACCCGTGCTGGAAAAATTAACCACCATCA AACCCGTGCTGGAAAAATTAACCACCATCA	1650
2REV		
2REV Group#2 Consensus Gm_FAwH-3 3FOR 3REV	CATGGTGATGCATTGTCAAGAAACCAGTCTGGCCACAATAACTCTTCACTAAACCACTTC CATGGTGATGCATTGTCAAGAAACCAGTCTGGCCACAATAACTCTTCACTAAACCACTTC AAACCaCTTC	60
2REV Group#2 Consensus Gm_FAwH-3 3FOR 3REV Consensus Gm_FAwH-3 3FOR 3REV	САТGGTGATGCATTGTCAAGAAACCAGTCTGGCCACAATAACTCTTCACTAAACCACTTC САТGGTGATGCATTGTCAAGAAACCAGTCTGGCCACAATAACTCTTCACTAAACCACTTC АААССаСТТС АААССаСТТС АССТАТАААТСТСТСТТСАССАТТАСАСТСТТАСССТСАТТАТАСТАС	60 120
2REV Group#2 Consensus Gm_FAwH-3 3FOR 3REV Consensus Gm_FAwH-3 3FOR 3REV Consensus Gm_FAwH-3 3FOR 3REV	CATGGTGATGCATTGTCAAGAAACCAGTCTGGCCACAATAACTCTTCACTAAACCACTTC CATGGTGATGCATTGTCAAGAAACCAGTCTGGCCACAATAACTCTTCACTAAACCACTTC AAACCaCTTC AAACCaCTTC ACCTATAAATCTCTCTTCACCATTACACTCTTACCCTCATTATACTAC	60 120 180
2REV Group#2 Consensus Gm_FAwH-3 3FOR 3REV Consensus Gm_FAwH-3 3FOR 3REV Consensus Gm_FAwH-3 3FOR 3REV Consensus Gm_FAwH-3 3FOR 3REV Consensus Gm_FAwH-3 3FOR 3REV	CATTCATTTCCTCCTCATAACCCATTCCACAAAACCACTTCCACTAAACCACTTCCACTAAACCACTTCCACAAAACCACTTCCACAAAACCCACTTCCACAAAACCCACTTCCACCA	60 120 180 240

Consensus Gm_FAwH-3 3FOR 3REV	ATTTTCCCTTCAAGTTTCTTCATCATCTTGTAGTTTCGAGTCTTTGATTATTGGGGGTG ATTTTCCCTTCAAGTTTCTTCATCATCTTGTAGTTTCGAGTCTTTGATTATTGGGGGTG ATTTTCCCTTCAAGTTTCTTCATCATCTTGTAGTTTCGAGTCTTTGATTATTGGGGGTG	360
Consensus Gm_FAwH-3 3FOR 3REV	GTTAATATTGCACTTGCACTATGGAAACTTGCACGGCTCTATTGTTTTTAACGGCGATCA GTTAATATTGCACTTGCACTATGGAAACTTGCACGGCTCTATTGTTTTTAACGGCGATCA GTTAATATTGCACTTGCACTATGGAAACTTGCACGGCTCTATTGTTTTTAACGGCGATCA	420
Consensus Gm_FAwH-3 3FOR 3REV	CAGCATACTTGATATGGTTCACGTTCATCTCACGGTCGCTGAAGGGTCCACGTGTCTGGG CAGCATACTTGATATGGTTCACGTTCATCTCACGGTCGCTGAAGGGTCCACGTGTCTGGG CAGCATACTTGATATGGTTCACGTTCATCTCACGGTCGCTGAAGGGTCCACGTGTCTGGG	480
Consensus Gm_FAwH-3 3FOR 3REV	CCTTATTGGGCAGTCTCCCAGGCCTCATAGACAACTGTGACCGCATGCAT	540
Consensus Gm_FAwH-3 3FOR 3REV	GCGACAACCTACGCGCGTGTGGCGGCACGTACCAGACCTGCATCTGTGCAATCCCCTTCC GCGACAACCTACGCGCGTGTGGCGGCACGTACCAGACCTGCATCTGTGCAATCCCCTTCC GCGACAACCTACGCGCGTGTGGCGGCACGTACCAGACCTGCATCTGTGCAATCCCCTTCC	600
Consensus Gm_FAwH-3 3FOR 3REV	TCGCCAAGAAGCAGGGTCTCGTGACTGTCACGTGCGACCCGAGGAACTTGGAGCACATAC TCGCCAAGAAGCAGGGTCTCGTGACTGTCACGTGCGACCCGAGGAACTTGGAGCACATAC TCGCCAAGAAGCAGGGTCTCGTGACTGTCACGTGCGACCCGAGGAACTTGGAGCACATAC	660
Consensus Gm_FAwH-3 3FOR 3REV	TCAAGACACGCTTCGACAATTACCCTAAAGGACCCACGTGGCATGCTGTCTTTCATGATC TCAAGACACGCTTCGACAATTACCCTAAAGGACCCACGTGGCATGCTGTCTTTCATGATC TCAAGACACGCTTCGACAATTACCCTAAAGGACCCACGTGGCATGCTGTCTTTCATGATC	720
Consensus Gm_FAwH-3 3FOR 3REV	TGTTGGGTGATGGGATCTTTAACACCGATGGTGACACATGGCTGTTCCAGCGCAAGACAG TGTTGGGTGATGGGATCTTTAACACCGATGGTGACACATGGCTGTTCCAGCGCAAGACAG TGTTGGGTGATGGGATCTTTAACACCGATGGTGACACATGGCTGTTCCAGCGCAAGACAG	780
Consensus Gm_FAwH-3 3FOR 3REV	CTGCGCTGGAATTTACCACCCGGACGCTGCGCCAAGCCATGGCCAGGTGGGTG	840
Consensus Gm_FAwH-3 3FOR 3REV	CCATCAACCGGCTCTGTCTGATTCTCGAAAAAGCCGAGAATCAAGTCCAGCCGGTTGATC CCATCAACCGGCTCTGTCTGATTCTCGAAAAAGCCGAGAATCAAGTCGAGCCGGTTGATC CCATCAACCGGcTCTGTCTGAtTCTCgaAAAAGCCgAGAATCAAGTCNAGCCGGTTGAtC	900
Consensus Gm_FAwH-3 3FOR 3REV	TGCAAGACTTAATGCTTCAGCTCAtTTTTGATAATATTTGTGGGTTGGATTTCGGGCGAG TGCAAGACTTAATGCTTCGGCTCACTTTTGATAATATTTGTGGGGTTGGCTTTCGGGCGAG TGCAAgActTAAtGctTCNGCTCANTTTTgatAAtatTTGtGGGTtGGNTTT	960

Consensus	ACCCACAGACTTGTGTGTCAAGTCTGCCCGATAACCGATTTGgCACGGCTTTCGATCGAG	1020
Gm_FAwH-3 3FOR	ACCCACAGACTTGTGTGTCAAGTCTGCCCGATAACCGATTTGCCACGGCTTTCGATCGA	
3REV	cAagtcTgCCCGATaAccGATTTg.CaCGGCTTTCGATCGAG	
Consensus	CCACTGAAGCCACGCTCCAACGATTCATTTTACCTGAGGTATTGTGGAAGGTGAAAAAAT	1080
Gm_FAwH-3 3FOR	CCACTGAAGCCACGCTCCAACGATTCATTTTACCTGAGGTATTGTGGAAGGTGAAAAAAT	
3REV	CCACTGAAgccaCGcTCCAACGATTCATTTTACcTGAGgTATTGTGGAAGGTGAAAAAAT	
Consensus	GGCTTCGGCTTGGGATGGAAGTCAGCTTGAGCCGAAGCCTTGCCCACGTGGACGACCATT	1140
Gm_FAwH-3 3FOR	GGCTTCGGCTTGGGATGGAAGTCAGCTTGAGCCGAAGCCTTGCCCACGTGGACGACCATT	
3REV	GGCTTCGGCtTGGGATGGAAGTCAGCTTGAGCCGAAGCCTTGCCCACGTGGACGACCATT	
Consensus	TGTCAAATGTGATTGAGAAACGCAAGGTAGAGTTGTTGACTCAGCAGAAAGATGGGACTC	1200
Gm_FAwH-3 3FOR	TGTCAAATGTGATTGAGAAACGCAAGGTAGAGTTGTTGACTCAGCAGAAAGATGGGACTC	
3REV	TGTCAAATGTGATTGAGAAACGCAAGGTAGAGTTGTTGACTCAGCAGAAAGATGGGACTC	
Consensus	TTCATGATGACTTGTTGACAAGGTTTATGAGGAAAAAGAATCCTATTCAGACAAGTTTC	1260
Gm_FAwH-3 3FOR	TTCATGATGACTTGTTGACAAGGTTTATGAGGAAAAAAGAATCCTATTCAGACAAGTTTC	
3REV	TTCATGATGACTTGTTGACAAGGTTTATGAGGAAAAAGAATCCTATTCAGACAAGTTTC	
Consensus	TCCAACAAGTGGCGTTGAATTTTATCCTAGCTGGTCGTGACACCTCATCGGTGGCATTAA	1320
Gm_FAwH-3 3FOR	TCCAACAAGTGGCGTTGAATTTTATCCTAGCTGGTCGTGACACCTCATCGGTGGCATTAA	
3REV	TCCAACAAGTGGCGTTGAATTTTATCCTAGCTGGTCGTGACACCTCATCGGTGGCATTAA	
Consensus	GTTGGTTTTTTGGTTGGTGATTCAGAACCCTAAGGTGGAAGAGAAAATTCTACGTGAAA	1380
Gm_FAwH-3 3FOR	GTTGGTTTTTTGGTTGGTGATTCAGAACCCTAAGGTGGAAGAGAAAATTCTACGTGAAA	
3REV	GTTGGTTTTTTGGTTGGTGATTCAGAACCCTAAGGTGGAAGAGAAAATTCTACGTGAAA	
Consensus	TTTGTACAGTCCTGATGGAGACACGTGGCAATGATGACATGGCAAAGTTGTTTGATGAGC	1440
Gm_FAwH-3 3FOR	TTTGTACAGTCCTGATGGAGACACGTGGCAATGATGACATGGCAAAGTTGTTTGATGAGC	
3REV	TTTGTACAGTCCTGATGGAGACACGTGGCAATGATGACATGGCAAAGTTGTTTGATGAGC	
Consensus	CTTTGGCCTTTGAGGAAGTTGACCGTTTGGTTTATCTCAAGGCTGCATTGTCGGAGACAC	1500
Gm_FAwH-3 3FOR	CTTTGGCCTTTGAGGAAGTTGACCGTTTGGTTTATCTCAAGGCTGCATTGTCGGAGACAC	
3REV	CTTTGGCCTTTGAGGAAGTTGACCGTTTGGTTTATCTCAAGGCTGCATTGTCGGAGACAC	
Consensus	TAAGGTTGTACCCTTCAGTGCCGGAGGACTCAAAGCATGTGGTGGCCGACGACGTGCTGC	1560
Gm_FAwH-3 3FOR	TAAGGTTGTACCCTTCAGTGCCGGAGGACTCAAAGCATGTGGTGGCCGACGACGTGCTGC	
3REV	TAAGGTTGTACCCTTCAGTGCCGGAGGACTCAAAGCATGTGGTGGCCGACGACGTGCTGC	
Consensus	CGGACGGGACATTCGTGCCGGCGGGGTTCGTCGGTCACATATTCGATATATTCGGCGGGGA	1620
Gm_FAwH-3 3FOR	CGGACGGGACATTCGTGCCGGCGGGTTCGTCGGTCACATATTCGATATATTCGGCGGGGA	
3REV	CGGACGGGACATTCGTGCCGGCGGGTTCGTCGGTCACATATTCGATATATTCGGCGGGGA	

Consensus Gm_FAwH-3 3FOR	GGTTGAAGTCCACATGGGGTGAGGATTGCATGGAGTTTAGGCCTGAGAGGTGGTTGTCAT GGTTGAAGTCCACATGGGGTGAGGATTGCATGGAGTTTAGGCCTGAGAGGTGGTTGTCAT	1680
3REV	GGTTGAAGTCCACATGGGGTGAGGATTGCATGGAGTTTAGGCCTGAGAGGTGGTTGTCAT	
Consensus Gm_FAwH-3 3FOR	TGGATGGAACAAAGTTCATCATGCATGACTCTTTCAAGTTTGTGGCATTCAATGCTGGCC TGGATGGAACAAAGTTCATCATGCATGACTCTTTCAAGTTTGTGGCATTCAATGCTGGCC	1740
3REV	TGGATGGAACAAAGTTCATCATGCATGACTCTTTCAAGTTTGTGGCATTCAATGCTGGCC	
Consensus Gm_FAwH-3 3FOR	CAAGGATATGTTTGGGGAAGGATTTGGCTTACTTGCAGATGAAGTCCATTGCTGCGGCGG CAAGGATATGTTTGGGGAAGGATTTGGCTTACTTGCAGATGAAGTCCATTGCTGCGGCGG	1800
3REV	CAAGGATATGTTTGGGGAAGGATTTGGCTTACTTGCAGATGAAGTCCATTGCTGCGGCGG	
Consensus Gm_FAwH-3 3FOR	TGCTGCTCCGGCACCGCCTTGTGCTGGTGCCTGGACACCAGGTGGAGCAAAAGATGTCAC TGCTGCTCCGGCACCGCCTTGTGCTGGTGCCTGGACACCAGGTGGAGCAAAAGATGTCAC	1860
3REV	TGCTGCTCCGGCACCGCCTTGTGCTGGTGCCTGGACACCAGGTGGAGCAAAAGATGTCAC	
Consensus Gm_FAwH-3 3FOR	TCACTCTTTTCATGAAAAATGGGCTCAAGGTCAATGTGCATGAGAGGGACTTGAGAGGGA TCACTCTTTTCATGAAAAATGGGCTCAAGGTCAATGTGCATGAGAGGGACTTGAGAGGGA	1920
3REV	TCACTCTTTTCATGAAAAATGGGCTCAAGGTCAATGTGCATGAGAGGGACTTGAGAGGGA	
Consensus Gm_FAwH-3 3FOR	TTATCACAAGTTTAAAAAAAGAAAGGGAGGAGGAGATGTTGATTTGAGAAGTGATGA	1980
3REV	TTATCACAAGTTTAAAAAAAGAAAGGGAGGAGAGA	
Consensus Gm_FAwH-3 3FOR 3REV	AATGTTAGTGACCAGGTGTTCACTAGATGG AATGTTAGTGACCAGGTGTTCACTAGATGG	2010
<b>Group#3</b> Consensus Gm_FAwH-4 4FOR 4REV	ATCTGATGAGAGAGAAAAGGGTGGTGCATTGTCAAGAAACCAGTCTGGCCACAATAAATC ATCTGATGAGAGAGAAAAGGGTGGTGCATTGTCAAGAAACCAGTCTGGCCACAATAAATC aGTCTGGCCaCaaTAAATC	60
Consensus Gm_FAwH-4 4FOR 4REV	TTCACTAAACAACTTCACCTATAAATCTCTCTTCACCATTACACACTTACCCTCATTGTA TTCACTAAACAACTTCACCTATAAATCTCTCTCTCACCATTACACACTTACCCTCATTGTA TTCaCTAAACAACTTCACCTATAAATCTCTCTCTCACCATTACACACTTACCCTCATTGTA	120
Consensus Gm_FAwH-4 4FOR 4REV	CTACTACTACACTCACTCATTCACCTTTACATAAACAAACACAACCTTCTT	180
Consensus Gm_FAwH-4 4FOR 4REV	TCACTTCTCCATTTTCCTCTCTTTCAATCACCCCCATATTCAAAAATGCTCCTCATTGTTC TCACTTCTCCATTTTCCTCTCTTTCAATCACCCCCATATTCAAAAATGCTCCTCATTGTTC TCACTTCTCCATTTTCCTCTCTTTCAATCACCCCCATATTCAAAAATGCTCCTCATTGTTC	240

Consensus Gm_FAwH-4 4FOR 4REV	ACACCCATTCTTGAAAGGTACCTGTTTTTCCAACCACCCCCATTTCTTAAAAAAACTATTT ACACCCATTCTTGAAAGGTACCTGTTTTTCCAACCACCCCCATTTCTTAAAAAAAA	300
Consensus Gm_FAwH-4 4FOR 4REV	TTCCTTCAAGTTTCTTCGTCATTTCTTGTAGTTTTGAGTCTTTGGTTATTGGGGTGGTTG TTCCTTCAAGTTTCTTCGTCATTTCTTGTAGTTTTGAGTCTTTGGTTATTGGGGTGGTTG TTCCTTCAAGTTTCTTCGTCATTTCTTGTAGTTTTGAGTCTTTGGGTTATTGGGGGTGGTTG	360
Consensus Gm_FAwH-4 4FOR 4REV	CACTTGCACTATGGAAACTTGCACGGCTCTGTTGTTTTTAACGGCCATCACAGCATACTT CACTTGCACTATGGAAACTTGCACGGCTCTGTTGTTTTTTAACGGCCATCACAGCATACTT CACTTGCACTATGGAAACTTGCACGGCTCTGTTGTTTTTTAACGGCCATCACAGCATACTT	420
Consensus Gm_FAwH-4 4FOR 4REV	GATATGGTTCACGTTCATCTCACGGTCGCTGAAGGGTCCACGTGTCTGGGCCTTATTGGG GATATGGTTCACGTTCATCTCACGGTCGCTGAAGGGTCCACGTGTCTGGGCCTTATTGGG GATATGGTTCACGTTCATCTCACGGTCGCTGAAGGGTCCACGTGTCTGGGCCTTATTGGG	480
Consensus Gm_FAwH-4 4FOR 4REV	CAGTCTCCCGGGCCTCATTGACAACTGTGACCGCATGCAT	540
Consensus Gm_FAwH-4 4FOR 4REV	ACGCGCGTGTGGCGGCACGTACCAGACATGCATCTGTGCAATCCCCTTCCTCGCCAAGAA ACGCGCGTGTGGCGGCACGTACCAGACATGCATCTGTGCAATCCCCTTCCTCGCCAAGAA ACGCGCGTGTGGCGGCACGTACCAGACATGCATCTGTGCAATCCCCTTCCTCGCCAAGAA	600
Consensus Gm_FAwH-4 4FOR 4REV	GCAGGGTCTCGTGACTGTCACGTGCGACCCGAGGAACTTAGAGCACATACTCAAGACACG GCAGGGTCTCGTGACTGTCACGTGCGACCCGAGGAACTTAGAGCACATACTCAAGACACG GCAGGGTCTCGTGACTGTCACGTGCGACCCGAGGAACTTAGAGCACATACTCAAGACACG	660
Consensus Gm_FAwH-4 4FOR 4REV	CTTCGACAATTACCCTAAAGGGCCCACGTGGCATGCTGTCTTTCATGATCTGTTGGGTGA CTTCGACAATTACCCTAAAGGGCCCACGTGGCATGCTGTCTTTCATGATCTGTTGGGTGA CTTCGACAATTACCCTAAAGGGCCCACGTGGCATGCTGTCTTTCATGATCTGTTGGGTGA	720
Consensus Gm_FAwH-4 4FOR 4REV	TGGGATCTTTAACACCGACGGTGACACGTGGCTGTTCCAGCGCAAGACAGCTGCGCTGGA TGGGATCTTTAACACCGACGGTGACACGTGGCTGTTCCAGCGCAAGACAGCTGCGCTGGA TGGGATCTTTAACACCGACGGTGACACGTGGCTGTTCCAGCGCAAGACAGCTGCGCTGGA	780
Consensus Gm_FAwH-4 4FOR 4REV	ATTCACTACCCGAACGCTGCGCCAAGCCATGGCTCGGTGGGTG	840
Consensus Gm_FAwH-4 4FOR 4REV	GCTCTGCTTGATTCTTAAGAAAGCTAAAGATCAAGCAGAGCCGGTTGATCTTCAAGATTT GCTCTGCTTGATTCTTAAGAAAGCTAAAGATCAAGCAGAGCCGGTTGATCTTCAAGATTT GCTCTGCTTGAŁTCTTAAGAAAGCTAAAGATCAAGCAgAgCCGGTTGATCTTCAAGATTT	900

Consensus Gm_FAwH-4 4FOR 4REV	GATGCTTCGGCTCACTTTTGATAACATTTGTGGGTCTCGCTTTTGGGCGAGACCCACAAAC GATGCTTCGGCTCACTTTTGATAACATTTGTGGGTCTCGCTTTTGGGCGAGACCCACAAAC GATGCTTCGGCTCACTTTTGAtAACaTTTGTGGTCTCGCTTTTGGGCGAGAcCCACAAAC	960
Consensus Gm_FAwH-4 4FOR 4REV	TTGTGTGTTGGGTCTGTCAGATAACCGATTTGCtACGGCTTTTGACCGAGCCACCGAAGC TTGTGTGTTGGGTCTGTCAGATAACCGATTTGCCACGGCTTTTGACCGAGCCACCGAAGC TTGTGTGTTGGGTCTGTCAgAtAa.CGATTTGCNaCGGCTTTtGACCGAGCCAcCgAAgC ATaACCGATTTgCCACGGCTTTTGACCGAGCCaccgAagC	1020
Consensus Gm_FAwH-4 4FOR 4REV	CACGTTACAACGGTTCATTTTGCCTGAGGTGTTGTGGAAGGTTAAGAAATGGCTTCGGCT CACGTTACAACGGTTCATTTTGCCTGAGGTGTTGTGGAAGGTTAAGAAATGGCTTCGGCT Ca CaCGTTACaACGGTTCATTTTGCcTGAGGTGTTGTGgAAGGTTAAGAAATGGCTTCGGCT	1080
Consensus Gm_FAwH-4 4FOR	TGGACTGGAAGTGAGCTTGAGCCGAAGCCTTGTCCATGTGGAGGACCATTTGTCAAATGT TGGACTGGAAGTGAGCTTGAGCCGAAGCCTTGTCCATGTGGAGGACCATTTGTCAAATGT	1140
4REV Consensus Gm_FAwH-4	TGGACTGGAAGTGAGCTTGAGCCGAAGCCTTGTCCATGTGGAGGACCATTTGTCAAATGT TATTGAGAAACGCAAGGTGGAGTTGTTGAGTCAACAAAAAGATGGTACTCTTCATGATGA TATTGAGAAACGCAAGGTGGAGTTGTTGAGTCAACAAAAAGATGGTACTCTTCATGATGA	1200
4FOR 4REV	TATTGAGAAACGCAAGGTGGAGTTGTTGAGTCAACAAAAAGATGGTACTCTTCATGATGA	
Consensus Gm_FAwH-4 4FOR	CTTGTTGACTAGGTTTATGAAGAAAAAGGAATCCTACACGGACAAGTTTCTCCAACATGT CTTGTTGACTAGGTTTATGAAGAAAAAGGAATCCTACACGGACAAGTTTCTCCAACATGT	1260
4REV Consensus Gm_FAwH-4 4FOR	CTTGTTGACTAGGTTTATGAAGAAAAAGGAATCCTACACGGACAAGTTTCTCCCAACATGT GGCGTTGAATTTTATCCTAGCTGGACGTGACACTTCATCGGTGGCATTGAGTTGGTTTTT GGCGTTGAATTTTATCCTAGCTGGACGTGACACTTCATCGGTGGCATTGAGTTGGTTTTT	1320
4REV Consensus Gm_FAwH-4	GGCGTTGAATTTTATCCTAGCTGGACGTGACACTTCATCGGTGGCATTGAGTTGGTTTTT TTGGTTGGTGATTCAGAATCCTAAGGTGGAAGAGAAAATTCTACGTGAAATTTGTACAAT TTGGTTGGTGATTCAGAATCCTAAGGTGGAAGAGAAAATTCTACGTGAAATTTGTACAAT	1380
4FOR 4REV	TTGGTTGGTGATTCAGAATCCTAAGGTGGAAGAGAAAATTCTACGTGAAATTTGTACAAT	
Consensus Gm_FAwH-4 4FOR	CCTAATGGAGACACGTGGTGATGATATGGCAAAGTGGTTGGATGAACCATTGGACTTTGA CCTAATGGAGACACGTGGTGATGATATGGCAAAGTGGTTGGATGAACCATTGGACTTTGA	1440
4REV	CCTAATGGAGACACGTGGTGATGATATGGCAAAGTGGTTGGATGAACCATTGGACTTTGA	
Consensus Gm_FAwH-4 4FOR	GGAAGTTGACCGTTTGGTTTATCTCAAGGCTGCATTGTCGGAGACACTAAGGTTGTACCC GGAAGTTGACCGTTTGGTTTATCTCAAGGCTGCATTGTCGGAGACACTAAGGTTGTACCC	1500
4REV	GGAAGTTGACCGTTTGGTTTATCTCAAGGCTGCATTGTCGGAGACACTAAGGTTGTACCC	
Consensus Gm_FAwH-4 4FOR	TTCAGTGCCGGAGGATTCAAAGCATGTGGTGGCCGACGACGTGCTGCCGGACGGA	1560
4REV	TTCAGTGCCGGAGGATTCAAAGCATGTGGTGGCCGACGACGTGCTGCCGGACGGA	

Consensus Gm_FAwH-4 4FOR 4REV	CGTGCCGGCGGGTTCATCGGTGACATATTCGATATATTCGGCGGGGAGGTTGAAGTCCAC CGTGCCGGCGGGGTTCATCGGTGACATATTCGATATATTCGGCGGGGGAGGTTGAAGTCCAC CGTGCCGGCGGGGTTCATCGGTGACATATTCGATATATTCGGCGGGGAGGTTGAAGTCCAC	1620
Consensus Gm_FAwH-4 4FOR	GTGGGGTGAGGATTGCATGGAGTTTCGCCCTGAGAGGTGGTTGTCATTGGATGGA	1680
4REV	GTGGGGTGAGGATTGCATGGAGTTTCGCCCTGAGAGGTGGTTGTCATTGGATGGA	
Consensus Gm_FAwH-4 4FOR	GTTCATCATGCATGATTCTTTCAAGTTTGTGGCATTCAATGCTGGTCCAAGGATATGTTT GTTCATCATGCATGATTCTTTCAAGTTTGTGGCATTCAATGCTGGTCCAAGGATATGTTT	1740
4REV	GTTCATCATGCATGATTCTTTCAAGTTTGTGGCATTCAATGCTGGTCCAAGGATATGTTT	
Consensus Gm_FAwH-4 4FOR	GGGGAAGGATTTGGCTTACCTGCAGATGAAGTCAATTGCTGCTGCGGTGCTGCTCCGGCA GGGGAAGGATTTGGCTTACCTGCAGATGAAGTCAATTGCTGCTGCGGTGCTGCTCCGGCA	1800
4REV	GGGGAAGGATTTGGCTTACCTGCAGATGAAGTCAATTGCTGCTGCGGTGCTGCTCCGGCA	
Consensus Gm_FAwH-4 4FOR	CCGCCTTGTGCTGGTGCCCGGCCACCAGGTAGAGCAAAAGATGTCACTCAC	1860
4REV	CCGCCTTGTGCTGGTGCCCGGCCACCAGGTAGAGCAAAAGATGTCACTCAC	
Consensus Gm_FAwH-4 4FOR	GAAAAATGGGCTCAAGGTCAATGTGCATGAGAGGGATTTGAGAGGGGTTATCACAAGTAT GAAAAATGGGCTCAAGGTCAATGTGCATGAGAGGGGATTTGAGAGGGGGTTATCACAAGTAT	1920
4REV	GAAAAATGGGCTCAAGGTCAATGTGCATGAGAGGGGATTTGAGAGGGGTTATCACAAGTAT	
Consensus Gm_FAwH-4 4FOR	TAAAAAGGAAAGGGAGGAAGATGTTGATTTGAGAAGTAACGAAAGTTAGTGTGGTGTTCA TAAAAAGGAAAGG	1980
4REV	TAAAAAGGAAAGGGAGGAAGATGTTGALTTGAGAAGTAACGAAA	
Consensus Gm_FAwH-4 4FOR 4REV	ATAGATGAAGCAGAGGGTGTTGGA ATAGATGAAGCAGAGGGTGTTGGA	2004
<b>Group#4</b> Consensus Gm_FAwH-5 5FOR 5REV	GCATGTCCACCTAACGTAGACCACTTTTGTTTTGCCAAAAAATTCCAACCAA	60
Consensus Gm_FAwH-5 5FOR 5REV	TAAACCTTCTCACAATCTCATTCCACATAAATATATAAAACGTACCAAGGATGTTCCTCA TAAACCTTCTCACAATCTCATTCCACATAAATATATAAAACGTACCAAGGATGTTCCTCA TAAACCTTCTCACAATCTCATTCCACATAAATATATAAAACGTACCAAGGATGTTCCTCA	120
Consensus Gm_FAwH-5 5FOR 5REV	TTCTAACCAAGTTAATCATCAACGTGTCTTACAACACCATGATGATGCAAATGGAAACCC TTCTAACCAAGTTAATCATCAACGTGTCTTACAACACCATGATGATGCAAATGGAAACCC TTCTAACCAAGTTAATCATCAACGTGTCTTACAACACCATGATGATGCAAATGGAAACCC	180

Consensus Gm_FAwH-5 5FOR 5REV	TCCCTCTCCTCCTCACTCTAGTAGCAACCCTATCAGCCTACTTCCTTTGGTTCCACCTCC TCCCTCTCCTCCACTCTAGTAGCAACCCTATCAGCCTACTTCCTTTGGTTCCACCTCC TCCCTCCTCCTCACTCTAGTAGCAACCCTATCAGCCTACTTCCTTTGGTTCCACCTCC	240
Consensus Gm_FAwH-5 5FOR 5REV	TCGCCCGAACCCTAACCGGGCCAAAGCCCTGGCCATTAGTGGGGAGCCTCCCAGGCCTTT TCGCCCGAACCCTAACCGGGCCAAAGCCCTGGCCATTAGTGGGGAGCCTCCCAGGCCTTT TCGCCCGAACCCTAACCGGGCCAAAGCCCTGGCCATTAGTGGGGAGCCTCCCAGGCCTTT	300
Consensus Gm_FAwH-5 5FOR 5REV	TCAGGAACCGCGATCGAGTCCACGACTGGATCGCGGATAACCTCCGTGGCAGGGGAGGCT TCAGGAACCGCGATCGAGTCCACGACTGGATCGCGGATAACCTCCGTGGCAGGGGAGGCT TCAGGAACCGCGATCGAGTCCACGACTGGATCGCGGATAACCTCCGTGGCAGGGGAGGCT	360
Consensus Gm_FAwH-5 5FOR 5REV	CCGCAACGTACCAGACGTGCATCATCCCATTCCCTTTCTTGGCACGCAAGAAAGGGTTCT CCGCAACGTACCAGACGTGCATCATCCCATTCCCTTTCTTGGCACGCAAGAAAGGGTTCT CCGCAACGTACCAGACGTGCATCATCCCATTCCCTTTCTTGGCACGCAAGAAAGGGTTCT	420
Consensus Gm_FAwH-5 5FOR 5REV	ACACGGTCACGTGCCATCCAAAGAACCTCGAGCACATCCTCAAGACGCGCTTCGACAACT ACACGGTCACGTGCCATCCAAAGAACCTCGAGCACATCCTCAAGACGCGCTTCGACAACT ACACGGTCACGTGCCATCCAAAGAACCTCGAGCACATCCTCAAGACGCGCTTCGACAACT	480
Consensus Gm_FAwH-5 5FOR 5REV	ACCCAAAAGGCCCCAAGTGGCAAACCGCGTTCCATGATCTTTTGGGCCCAAGGAATCTTCA ACCCAAAAGGCCCCCAAGTGGCAAACCGCGTTCCATGATCTTTTGGGCCCAAGGAATCTTCA ACCCAAAAGGCCCCCAAGTGGCAAACCGCGTTCCATGATCTTTTGGGCCCAAGGAATCTTCA	540
Consensus Gm_FAwH-5 5FOR 5REV	ACAGCGATGGCGAGACATGGCTCATGCAACGTAAAACCGCGGCATTAGAGTTCACCACGC ACAGCGATGGCGAGACATGGCTCATGCAACGTAAAACCGCGGCATTAGAGTTCACCACGC ACAGCGATGGCGAGACATGGCTCATGCAACGTAAAACCGCGGGCATTAGAGTTCACCACGC	600
Consensus Gm_FAwH-5 5FOR 5REV	GAACGTTGAAGCAAGCCATGTCTCGTTGGGTTAACCGATCCATTAAGAACCGGTTGTGGT GAACGTTGAAGCAAGCCATGTCTCGTTGGGTTAACCGATCCATTAAGAACCGGTTGTGGT GAACGTTGAAGCAAGCCATGTCTCGTTGGGTTAACCGATCCATTAAGAACCGGTTGTGGT	660
Consensus Gm_FAwH-5 5FOR 5REV	GCATACTTGACAAAGCGGCGAAAGAACGCGTCTCGGTGGATTTGCAAGACCTTCTTCTGC GCATACTTGACAAAGCGGCGAAAGAACGCGTCTCGGTGGATTTGCAAGACCTTCTTCTGC GCATACTTGACAAAGCGGCGAAAGAACGCGTCTCGGTGGATTTGCAAGACCTTCTTCTGC	720
Consensus Gm_FAwH-5 5FOR 5REV	GGTTAACCTTTGATAATATTTGTGGACTCACGTTCGGCAAAGACCCAGAGACTCTTTCTC GGTTAACCTTTGATAATATTTGTGGACTCACGTTCGGCAAAGACCCAGAGACTCTTTCTC GGTTAACCTTTGATAATATTTGTGGACTCACGTTCGGCAAAGACCCAGAGACTCTTTCTC	780
Consensus Gm_FAwH-5 5FOR 5REV	CGGAGCTACCAGAAAACCCGTTCGCTGTTGCTTTTGACACTGCCACTGAAGCCACCATGC CGGAGCTACCAGAAAACCCCGTTCGCTGTTGCTTTTGACACTGCCACTGAAGCCACCATGC CGGAGCTACCAGAaAACCCCGTTCGCTGTTGCTTTTGACACTGCCACTGAAGCCACCATGC	840

Consensus Gm_FAwH-5 5FOR 5REV	ACAGGTTTGTATAATTACACAATACACCTAATTAAATTTTTTTGGCAATACTATAGTTTT ACAGGTTTGTATAATTACACAATACACCTAATTAAATTTTTTTGGCAATACTATAGTTTT ACAGGTTTGTATAATTACACAATACACCTAATTAAATTTTTTTGGCAATACTATAGTTTT	900
Consensus Gm_FAwH-5 5FOR 5REV	AGAGATTTTCATGACCGCATTCACAACTATAATTTAAAACCTCAAGTAATGTATCCAATA AGAGATTTTCATGACCGCATTCACAACTATAATTTAAAACCTCAAGTAATGTATCCAATA AGAGATTTTCATGACCGCATTCACAACTATAATTTAAAAACCTCAAGTAATGtATCCAATA	960
Consensus Gm_FAwH-5 5FOR 5REV	GCAAAATTTAGACACAGTTTCTTAATTTTCTTTACACTATTTTTCTATTAAACTTGAAAT GCAAAATTTAGACACAGTTTCTTAATTTTCTTTACACTATTTTTCTATTAAACTTGAAAT gCAAAATTTAgACACAGTTTCtTaatTTTCTTTACACTATTTTTCTAtTAAACTTGaAat	1020
Consensus Gm_FAwH-5 5FOR 5REV	TTTATTTTAATATATAATCTATATTATGAACATTTATTATGCACATTATGTATATATA	1080
Consensus Gm_FAwH-5 5FOR 5REV	AGGTGGAATTTTATTTCTAGCTGATTGAAGAATAATAATAGAGAAAGTTTACGATATACT AGGTGGAATTTTATTTCTAGCTGATTGAAGAATAATAATAGAGAAAGTTTACGATATACT	1140
Consensus Gm_FAwH-5 5FOR 5REV	ТТСТАААТТТСАААGGTTTTGTCAATATCTCTCACTTTCTTAGTGTATCAAAACTAATTC ТТСТАААТТТСАААGGTTTTGTCAATATCTCTCACTTTCTTAGTGTATCAAAACTAATTC	1200
Consensus Gm_FAwH-5 5FOR 5REV	AACAACTATTAACAAAAGAAAAAAAAATTGTGCCAAATCTTTAAACCTATGAGTACGCAA AACAACTATTAACAAAAGAAAAAAAATTGTGCCAAATCTTTAAACCTATGAGTACGCAA	1260
Consensus Gm_FAwH-5 5FOR 5REV	CGTAACAACTCTCACAATAAAGTTAACGATGTTAGATATTTAGACACCCACAACTTATAA CGTAACAACTCTCACAATAAAGTTAACGATGTTAGATATTTAGACACCCCACAACTTATAA	1320
Consensus Gm_FAwH-5 5FOR 5REV	АТАСТТСАТСААСАТАТТТСТСТСТТТСТАТСАТАТАGТААССАТАТАААТАА	1380
Consensus Gm_FAwH-5 5FOR 5REV	ТТТТТТТТТТТТТТТАТАТАТАТСТСТСТААТСААGATCTAAACACTTTGTATACAAGAAT ТТТТТТТТТТТТТТТАТАТАТАТСТСТСТААТСААGATCTAAACACTTTGTATACAAGAAT	1440
Consensus Gm_FAwH-5 5FOR 5REV	САТТТТGCCAGTATTAAAAACACTTAAAACAAATGTATCTAAGTGTTGTTGTATATAAAA CATTTTGCCAGTATTAAAAACACTTAAAACAAATGTATCTAAGTGTTGTTGTATATAAAA	1500

Consensus Gm_FAwH-5 5FOR	TTAATTCTTAAGATTATTAATGCTATTGTTTGTATAACCACGTACATTCACAATTACAAT TTAATTCTTAAGATTATTAATGCTATTGTTTGTATAACCACGTACATTCACAATTACAAT	1560
5REV	CaaT	
Consensus Gm FAwH-5	CTAGAGCTCTATGGTATATAGAACTCAGAAATTTTAATATTAATTA	1620
5FOR		
5REV	CTAGAGCTCTATg.TaTATaGaACTCagAAaTTTTAAtaTAAttAATtATGTACTACTAT	
Consensus	ATATTTTGCTCCCCTTGTTAAACGTTTTATGGAGTACAGGTTCCTCTACCCGGGACTAG	1680
Gm_FAwH-5 5FOR	ATATTTTTGCTCCCCTTGTTAAACGTTTTATGGAGTACAGGTTCCTCTACCCGGGACTAG	
5REV	ATATTTTTGCTCCCCTTGTTAAACGTTTTATGGAGTACAGGTTCCTCTACCCGGGACTAG	
Consensus	TGTGGAGATTCCAGAAGCTTTTGTGCATTGGATCGGAGAAAAAGTTAAAGGAGAGCCTCA	1740
Gm_FAwH-5 5FOR	TGTGGAGATTCCAGAAGCTTTTGTGCATTGGATCGGAGAAAAAGTTAAAGGAGAGCCTCA	
5REV	TGTGGAGATTCCAGAAGCTTTTGTGCATTGGATCGGAGAAAAAGTTAAAGGAGAGCCTCA	
Consensus	AAGTTGTGGAAACCTACATGAACGACGCCGTGGCGGATCGCACAGAAGCTCCCTCC	1800
Gm_FAwH-5 5FOR	AAGTTGTGGAAACCTACATGAACGACGCCGTGGCGGATCGCACAGAAGCTCCCTCC	
5REV	AAGTTGTGGAAACCTACATGAACGACGCCGTGGCGGATCGCACAGAAGCTCCCTCC	
Consensus	ACTTGCTCTCGCGTTTCATGAAGAAGCGCGACGCCGCCGGCAGCTCCTTCTCCGCCGCGG	1860
Gm_FAwH-5 5FOR	ACTTGCTCTCGCGTTTCATGAAGAAGCGCGACGCCGCCGGCAGCTCCTTCTCCGCCGCGG	
5REV	ACTTGCTCTCGCGTTTCATGAAGAAGCGCGACGCCGCCGGCAGCTCCTTCTCCGCCGCGG	
Consensus	TGCTTCAGCGCATCGTCCTCAACTTCGTCCTCGCCGGCAGGGACACGTCATCGGTGGCCC	1920
Gm_FAwH-5 5FOR	TGCTTCAGCGCATCGTCCTCAACTTCGTCCTCGCCGGCAGGGACACGTCATCGGTGGCCC	
5REV	TGCTTCAGCGCATCGTCCTCAACTTCGTCCTCGCCGGCAGGGACACGTCATCGGTGGCCC	
Consensus	TCACCTGGTTCTTCTGGCTCCTCACGAACCACCCCGACGTGGAGCAAAAAATAGTGGCTG	1980
Gm_FAwH-5 5FOR	TCACCTGGTTCTTCTGGCTCCTCACGAACCACCCCGACGTGGAGCAAAAAATAGTGGCTG	
5REV	TCACCTGGTTCTTCTGGCTCCTCACGAACCACCCCGACGTGGAGCAAAAAATAGTGGCTG	
Consensus	AGATCGCAACCGTCCTGGCCGACACGCGCGGGGGAGACCGACGCCGCTGGACGGAGGACC	2040
Gm_FAwH-5 5FOR	AGATCGCAACCGTCCTGGCCGACACGCGCGGCGGAGACCGACGCCGCTGGACGGAGGACC	
5REV	AGATCGCAACCGTCCTGGCCGACACGCGCGGGGGAGACCGACGCCGCTGGACGGAGGACC	
Consensus	CTCTCGACTTCGGCGAGGCTGACAGGCTCGTGTACCTCAAGGCGGCGCTGGCCGAAACGC	2100
Gm_FAwH-5 5FOR	CTCTCGACTTCGGCGAGGCTGACAGGCTCGTGTACCTCAAGGCGGCGCTGGCCGAAACGC	
5REV	CTCTCGACTTCGGCGAGGCTGACAGGCTCGTGTACCTCAAGGCGGCGCTGGCCGAAACGC	
Consensus	TGCGTTTATACCCGTCCGTTCCGCAGGACTTCAAGCAGGCCGTGGCCGACGACGTGCTGC	2160
Gm_FAwH-5 5FOR	TGCGTTTATACCCGTCCGTTCCGCAGGACTTCAAGCAGGCCGTGGCCGACGACGTGCTGC	
5REV	TGCGTTTATACCCGTCCGTTCCGCAGGACTTCAAGCAGGCCGTGGCCGACGACGTGCTGC	

Consensus Gm_FAwH-5 5FOR	CGGACGGCACGGAGGTTCCGGCGGGGTCGACGGTGACGTACTCGATATACTCCGCGGGGA CGGACGGCACGGAGGTTCCGGCGGGGGTCGACGGTGACGTACTCGATATACTCCGCGGGGA	2220
5REV	CGGACGGCACGGAGGTTCCGGCGGGGGTCGACGGTGACGTACTCGATATACTCCGCGGGGA	
Consensus Gm_FAwH-5 5FOR	GGGTGGAAACGATATGGGGGGAAAGATTGCATGGAGTTTAAACCGGAGCGATGGCTTTCGG GGGTGGAAACGATATGGGGGGAAAGATTGCATGGAGTTTAAACCGGAGCGATGGCTTTCGG	2280
5REV	GGGTGGAAACGATATGGGGGGAAAGATTGCATGGAGTTTAAACCGGAGCGATGGCTTTCGG	
Consensus Gm_FAwH-5 5FOR	TTCGCGGGGACCGGTTCGAACCGCCAAAAGATGGGTTCAAGTTCGTGGCTTTTAACGCTG TTCGCGGGGACCGGTTCGAACCGCCAAAAGATGGGTTCAAGTTCGTGGCTTTTAACGCTG	2340
5REV	TTCGCGGGGACCGGTTCGAACCGCCAAAAGATGGGTTCAAGTTCGTGGCTTTTAACGCTG	
Consensus Gm_FAwH-5 5FOR	GACCGAGAACTTGTTTGGGCAAGGACTTGGCTTACCTACAAATGAAGTCCGTGGCTGCTG GACCGAGAACTTGTTTGGGCAAGGACTTGGCTTACCTACAAATGAAGTCCGTGGCTGCTG	2400
5REV	GACCGAGAACTTGTTTGGGCAAGGACTTGGCTTACCTACAAATGAAGTCCGTGGCTGCTG	
Consensus Gm_FAwH-5 5FOR	CTGTGCTTCTGCGTTACCGGCTATCGCTGGTTCCCGGTCACCGGGTGGAACAGAAGATGT CTGTGCTTCTGCGTTACCGGCTATCGCTGGTTCCCCGGTCACCGGGTGGAACAGAAGATGT	2460
5REV	CTGTGCTTCTGCGTTACCGGCTATCGCTGGTTCCCGGTCACCGGGTGGAACAGAAGATGT	
Consensus Gm_FAwH-5 5FOR	CTCTCACTTTGTTCATGAAGAATGGGCTCAGGGTGTTCTTGCATCCACGTAAGCTAGAAA CTCTCACTTTGTTCATGAAGAATGGGCTCAGGGTGTTCTTGCATCCACGTAAGCTAGAAA	2520
5REV	CTCTCACTTTGTTCATGAAGAATGGGCTCAGGGTGTTCttGCATCCACGTAAGCTAGAAA	
Consensus Gm_FAwH-5 5FOR	GTGGGCCCGGGGTTGCCACCTCACCTTAAAGCGAGGGTCTTTTCGTCATTGTGCTGCAGA GTGGGCCCGGGGTTGCCACCTCACCT	2580
5rev	GTGGGCCCGGGGTtgCca	
<b>Group#5</b> Consensus Gm_FAwH-6 6FOR 6REV	AGAAGGCCATAAAATTCCCCAACCACCTCTCCTAAAACCTTTCCCATCAT	60
Consensus Gm_FAwH-6 6FOR 6REV	ААТАТАТАТАААААССССТТСААТСААТТСАААСТССАААТААССССААААТСТСААТТА ААТАТАТАТ	120
Consensus Gm_FAwH-6 6FOR 6REV	AAACTGCCCAAACAACATAGAATGGTGCAAATGGATACACTCCATCTTCTCTTCACCCTA AAACTGCCCAAACAACATAGAATGGTGCAAATGGATACACTCCATCTTCTCTCTC	180
Consensus Gm_FAwH-6 6FOR 6REV	GCTGCTCTTTTATCCGCATATTTCGTTTGGTTCCATCTCTTGGCTCGAACCCTAACCGGT GCTGCTCTTTTATCCGCATATTTCGTTTGGTTCCATCTCTTGGCTCGAACCCTAACCGGT GCTGCTCTTTTATCCGCATATTTCGTTTGGTTCCATCTCTTGGCTCGAACCCTAACCGGT	240

Consensus Gm_FAwH-6 6FOR 6REV	CCAAAAGTATGGCCCTTGGTTGGTAGCCTTCCAAGCATGATCGTGAACCGCAATCGGGTT CCAAAAGTATGGCCCTTGGTTGGTAGCCTTCCAAGCATGATCGTGAACCGCAATCGGGTT CCAAAAGTATGGCCCTTGGTTGGTAGCCTTCCAAGCATGATCGTGAACCGCAATCGGGTT	300
Consensus Gm_FAwH-6 6FOR 6REV	CACGACTGGATGGCTGCCAACCTCCGCCAAATCGAGGGATCGGCCACCTACCAAACCTGC CACGACTGGATGGCTGCCAACCTCCGCCAAATCGAGGGATCGGCCACCTACCAAACCTGC CACGACTGGATGGCTGCCAACCTCCGCCAAATCGAGGGATCGGCCACCTACCAAACCTGC	360
Consensus Gm_FAwH-6 6FOR 6REV	ACCCTCACCCTCCCCTTCTTTGCTTGCAAGCAGGCTTTCTTT	420
Consensus Gm_FAwH-6 6FOR 6REV	AGAAACATAGAACACATCCTCCGAACCCGGTTTGACAACTACCCCAAGGGACCCCACTGG AGAAACATAGAACACATCCTCCGAACCCGGTTTGACAACTACCCCCAAGGGACCCCACTGG AGAAACATAGAACACATCCTCCGAACCCGGTTTGACAACTACCCCCAAGGGACCCCACTGG	480
Consensus Gm_FAwH-6 6FOR 6REV	CAGGCAGCCTTCCACGACCTCCTAGGCCAAGGAATCTTCAACAGCGACGGTGACACGTGG CAGGCAGCCTTCCACGACCTCCTAGGCCAAGGAATCTTCAACAGCGACGGTGACACGTGG CAGGCAGCCTTCCACGACCTCCTAGGCCAAGGAATCTTCAACAGCGACGGTGACACGTGG	540
Consensus Gm_FAwH-6 6FOR 6REV	CTGATGCAACGTAAAACCGCTGCCCTAGAGTTCACCACCCGAACCCTAAGACAAGCCATG CTGATGCAACGTAAAACCGCTGCCCTAGAGTTCACCACCCGAACCCTAAGACAAGCCATG CTGATGCAACGTAAAACCGCTGCCCTAGAGTTCACCACCCGAACCCTAAGACAAGCCATG	600
Consensus Gm_FAwH-6 6FOR 6REV	GCTCGCTGGGTGAACCGGACCATAAAAAACCGTCTTTGGTGCATTTTGGATAAAGCTGCT GCTCGCTGGGTGAACCGGACCATAAAAAACCGTCTTTGGTGCATTTTGGATAAAGCTGCT GCTCGCTGGGTGAACCGGACCATAAAAAACCGTCTTTGGTGCATTTTGGATAAAGCTGCT	660
Consensus Gm_FAwH-6 6FOR 6REV	AAGGAAAATGTCTCGGTAGATTTGCAAGACCTTCTTTTGCGTTTAACCTTTGATAATATT AAGGAAAATGTCTCGGTAGATTTGCAAGACCTTCTTTTGCGTTTAACCTTTGATAATATT AAGGAAAATGTCTCGGTAGATTTGCAAGACCTTCTTTTGCGTTTAACCTTTGATAATATT	720
Consensus Gm_FAwH-6 6FOR 6REV	TGTGGACTCACCTTCGGTAAAGACCCCGAAACTCTCTCGCCGGAACTACCCGAAAACCCC TGTGGACTCACCTTCGGTAAAGACCCCCGAAACTCTCTCGCCGGAACTACCCGAAAACCCCC TGTGGACTCACCTTCGGTAAAGACCCCCGAAACTCTCTCGCCGGAACTACCCGAAAAACCCC	780
Consensus Gm_FAwH-6 6FOR 6REV	TTCACTGTTGCCTTTGACACTGCCACAGAAATCACCTTGCAAAGGTACCACTAAATTTTT TTCACTGTTGCCTTTGACACTGCCACAGAAATCACCTTGCAAAGGTACCACTAAATTTTT TTCACTGTTGCCTTTGACACTGCCACAGAAATCACCTTGCAAAGGTACCACTAAATTTTT	840
Consensus Gm_FAwH-6 6FOR 6REV	GCTGTGTTCACATAATTTCAATTTTTTTCCTTATTTTATTTA	900

Consensus Gm_FAwH-6 6FOR 6REV	TTTCATCATATATGACAATAACCATAATATCTTGTGAGCATGAGAGGTCAGTGTTATAGA TTTCATCATATATGACAATAACCATAATATCTTGTGAGCATGAGAGGTCAGTGTTATAGA TTTCATCATATATGACAATAACCATAATATCTTGtGAGCATGAGAGGTCAGTGTtATAGA	960
Consensus Gm_FAwH-6 6FOR 6REV	АТGАТААТААТТАТТТТААТТТТААССАСТСАТТТААТАААТТGTACTATTAAAAATTATT АТGАТААТААТТАТТТТТААТТТТААССАСТСАТТТААТАААТТGTACTATTAAAAATTATT АТGАТААТААТТАТТТТааТТТТАаСсАСТСАТТТаАТАААttGTACTaT	1020
Consensus Gm_FAwH-6 6FOR 6REV	TCCTCTCATCTTATTTGTTGGGAAACGTATCACCTGAAAAACATAAGAATATAAAATACT TCCTCTCATCTTATTTGTTGGGAAACGTATCACCTGAAAAACATAAGAATATAAAATACT	1080
Consensus Gm_FAwH-6 6FOR 6REV	ААТСТСААТТGТАТААТТТGААТАААТАGTTAAGATCAAAATATTCTTTTTAATATGTGT ААТСТСААТТGTATAATTTGAATAAATAGTTAAGATCAAAATATTCTTTTTAATATGTGT	1140
Consensus Gm_FAwH-6 6FOR 6REV	GTATAATTATAAGTTCAAAATTAAACAAATTCATGCCGCTATTATATTTATATTATCTTA GTATAATTATAAGTTCAAAATTAAACAAATTCATGCCGCTATTATATTTATATTATCTTA	1200
Consensus Gm_FAwH-6 6FOR 6REV	TTTGATTCTATTTTATGTACTCTAAGTGTAAGGACATACAGTAAGAAAAGAGAGTTATTA TTTGATTCTATTTTATGTACTCTAAGTGTAAGGACATACAGTAAGAAAAGAGAGTTATTA	1260
Consensus Gm_FAwH-6 6FOR 6REV	TGAAACTTTGAAAAGGCAAGCTAGATGTTAATTGTTTCTTTTATACCAATAATTAAATGA TGAAACTTTGAAAAGGCAAGCTAGATGTTAATTGTTTCTTTTATACCAATAATTAAATGA	1320
Consensus Gm_FAwH-6 6FOR 6REV	ATTTAGACACAACAAAAGAAAGCTTCTTAATAAGAATATAAATCATCATAGGATTTCTC ATTTAGACACAAAAAAAGAAAGCTTCTTAATAAGAATATAAATCATCATAGGATTTCTC	1380
Consensus Gm_FAwH-6 6FOR 6REV	ATAATAATTTCTACTAGCACAAATTAATAAAAAAATTGTAGAGATATGATGAAATGGGA ATAATAATTTCTACTAGCACAAATTAATAAAAAAAATTGTAGAGATATGATGAAATGGGA	1440
Consensus Gm_FAwH-6 6FOR 6REV	АТАСССТТАТТGGATATTTGTTATTTTGTTATCATTATAAATTAAATTCTTCAAAGTTAT АТАСССТТАТТGGATATTTGTTATTTTGTTATCATTATAAATTAAATTCTTCAAAGTTAT	1500
Consensus Gm_FAwH-6 6FOR 6REV	АСАТАСТААААТТТТАТАТАТТТТТАGTTTААТАТСТGTTTACCTGACAATTTTTGTAAT АСАТАСТААААТТТТАТАТАТТТТТАGTTTAATATCTGTTTACCTGACAATTTTTGTAAT	1560

Consensus Gm_FAwH-6 6FOR 6REV	АТТААСGАТААААТАТТТААААТААТТАТТАТАААААТТСАСТААТGАТААТТТТССGTT АТТААСGАТААААТАТТТААААТААТТАТТАТАААААТТСАСТААТGАТААТТТТССGTT	1620
Consensus Gm_FAwH-6 6FOR	GATATAATGTGAAAATTCTTCTTTTTTTTTTTTACATTTTTTTATACATCTATGATTAGG GATATAATGTGAAAATTCTTCTTTTTTTTTT	1680
6REV	TTTTtAtaCATcTATGaTtAGG	
Consensus Gm_FAwH-6 6FOR	CTTCTGTACCCaGtCATAATATGGAGATTCGAGAAGCTTCTTGGCATCGGTAAGGAGAAG CTTCTGTACCCTGGCATAATATGGAGATTCGAGAAGCTTCTTGGCATCGGTAAGGAGAAG	1740
6REV	cTtctgTacccGg.CaTAATAtGGAGATTCGAGAAGCTTCTtGgCATCggTAaGGAGaAG	
Consensus Gm_FAwH-6 6FOR	AAAATACATCAGAGTTTGAAGATCGTCGAAACTTACATGAACGACGCCGTTTCAGCTCGT AAAATACATCAGAGTTTGAAGATCGTCGAAACTTACATGAACGACGCCGTTTCAGCTCGT	1800
6REV	AAAATACATCAGAGTTTGAAGATCGTCGAAACTTACATGAACGACGCCGTTTCAGCTCGT	
Consensus Gm_FAwH-6	GAGAAATCGCCTTCCGACGACTTGCTATCAAGGTTCATAAAGAAGCGTGACGGTGCCGGA GAGAAATCGCCTTCCGACGACTTGCTATCAAGGTTCATAAAGAAGCGTGACGGTGCCGGA	1860
6REV	GAGAAATCgCcTTCCGACGACTTGCTATCAAGGTTCATAAAGAAGCGTGACGGTGCCGGA	
Consensus Gm_FAwH-6 6FOR	AAAACACTGAGTGCTGCCGCTCTGCGACAAATCGCGTTGAACTTCCTCCTCGCCGGCAGG AAAACACTGAGTGCTGCCGCTCTGCGACAAATCGCGTTGAACTTCCTCCTCGCCGGCAGG	1920
6REV	AAAACACTGAGTGCTGCCGcTCTGCGACAAATCGCGTTGAACTTCcTCCTCGCCGGCAGG	
Consensus Gm_FAwH-6 6FOR	GACACGTCGTCGGTGGCGTTAAGCTGGTTCTTCTGGCTCGTCATGAACCACCCCGACGTG GACACGTCGTCGGTGGCGTTAAGCTGGTTCTTCTGGCTCGTCATGAACCACCCCGACGTG	1980
6REV	GACACGTCGTCGGTGGCGTTAAGCTGGTTCTTCTGGCTCGTCATGAACCACCCCGACGTG	
Consensus Gm_FAwH-6 6FOR	GAAGAGAAGATTCTCGACGAACTAACGGCGGTGCTTACTTCCACACGCGGCAGCGACCAG GAAGAGAAGA	2040
6REV	GAAGAAGAATTCTCGACGAACTAACGGCGGTGCTTACTTCCACACGCGGCAGCGACCAG	
Consensus Gm_FAwH-6 6FOR	CGGTGCTGGACAGAGGAAGCAGTGGACTTCGAAGAAGCGGAGAAACTGGTTTACTTAAAA CGGTGCTGGACAGAGGAAGCAGTGGACTTCGAAGAAGCGGAGAAACTGGTTTACTTAAAA	2100
6REV	CGGTGCTGGACAGAGGAAGCAGTGGACTTCGAAGAAGCGGAGAAACTGGTTTACTTAAAA	
Consensus Gm_FAwH-6 6FOR	GCAGCCTTAGCTGAGACACTGCGTTTGTATCCGTCGGTGCCGGAGGATTTCAAGCACGCG GCAGCCTTAGCTGAGACACTGCGTTTGTATCCGTCGGTGCCGGAGGATTTCAAGCACGCG	2160
6REV	GCAGCCTTAGCTGAGACACTGCGTTTGTATCCGTCGGTGCCGGAGGATTTCAAGCACGCG	
Consensus Gm_FAwH-6 6FOR	ATCGCCGACGATGTGTTGCCGGACGGCACGGCGGTTCCGGCAGGTTCAACGGTGACGTAT ATCGCCGACGATGTGTTGCCGGACGGCACGGC	2220
6REV	ATCGCCGACGATGTGTTGCCGGACGGCACGGCGGTTCCGGCAGGTTCAACGGTGACGTAT	

Consensus	TCGATTTACGCAATGGGGAGAATGAAGAGCGTGTGGGGGCGAGGATTGCATGGAGTTTAAA	2280
Gm_FAwH-6	TCGATTTACGCAATGGGGGAGAATGAAGAGCGTGTGGGGGCGAGGATTGCATGGAGTTTAAA	
6FOR		
6REV	TCGATTTACGCAATGGGGAGAATGAAGAGCGTGTGGGGCGAGGATTGCATGGAGTTTAAA	
Consensus	CCGGAGCGGTTTTTATCGGTTCAGGGAGACCGGTTCGAACTGCCAAAAGACGGTTACAAG	2340
Gm_FAwH-6 6FOR	CCGGAGCGGTTTTTATCGGTTCAGGGAGACCGGTTCGAACTGCCAAAAGACGGTTACAAG	
6REV	CCGGAGCGGTTTTTATCGGTTCAGGGAGACCGGTTCGAACTGCCAAAAGACGGTTACAAG	
Consensus	TTCGTTGCGTTTAACGCTGGACCGAGAACCTGTTTGGGGAAGGACTTAGCTTACCTTCAG	2400
Gm_FAwH-6 6FOR	TTCGTTGCGTTTAACGCTGGACCGAGAACCTGTTTGGGGAAGGACTTAGCTTACCTTCAG	
6REV	TTCGTTGCGTTTAACGCTGGACCGAGAACCTGTTTGGGGAAGGACTTAGCTTACCTTCAG	
Consensus	ATGAAGTCTGTGGCTTCCGCTGTGCTTTCTGCGTTACCGGCTGTCGCCGGTTCCCGGTCAC	2460
Gm_FAwH-6 6FOR	ATGAAGTCTGTGGCTTCCGCTGTGCTTCTGCGTTACCGGCTGTCGCCGGTTCCCGGTCAC	
6REV	ATGAAGTCTGTGGCTTCCGCTGTGCTTCTGCGTTACCGGCTGTCGCCGGTTCCCGGTCAC	
Consensus	CGGGTGCAGCAGAAGATGTCGCTCACTCTTCATGAAGCATGGGCTCCGCGTGTTCTTG	2520
Gm_FAwH-6 6FOR	CGGGTGCAGCAGAAGATGTCGCTCACTCTCTTCATGAAGCATGGGCTCCGCGTGTTCTTG	
6REV	CGGGTGCAGCAGAAGATGTCGCTCACTCTTCATGAAGCATGGGCTCCGCGTGTTCTTG	
Consensus	CAGACACGTCAGCTTCAACCGCAGGCCACCACGTCTGCATAGAAAGAA	2580
Gm_FAwH-6 6FOR	CAGACACGTCAGCTTCAACCGCAGGCCACCACGTCTGCATAGAAAGAA	
6REV	CAGACACGTCAGCTTCAACCGCAGGCCACCACGTCTGCATAGAAAGAA	
Consensus	GTGATGCACAGTGTAAAGGGCAACATGGTCATTTCATTGTTATACATGTCAATTTATTT	2640
Gm_FAwH-6 6FOR	GTGATGCACAGTGTAAAGGGCAACATGGTCATTTCATTGTTATACATGTCAATTTATTT	
6REV	GTGATGCACAGTGTAAAGGGCAACATGGTCATTTCATTGTTATACATGTCAATTTATTT	
Consensus	TGTTTCTAAATTTTCTGTATATTTACTTCTGGGAGGGTGAAGAGTGAAATCGTTTGTTGT	2700
Gm_FAwH-6 6FOR	TGTTTCTAAATTTTCTGTATATTTACTTCTGGGAGGGTGAAGAGTGAAATCGTTTGTTGT	
6REV	TGTTTCTAAATTTTCTGTATATTTACTTCTGGGAGGGTGAAGAGTGAAATCGTTTGT	
Consensus	TTGGTTGGGTGGGTGGGTCAAATTTGAGTT	2730
Gm_FAwH-6 6FOR 6REV	TTGGTTGGGTGGGTGGGTCAAATTTGAGTT	

Appendix V: Permission for copyrighted material.

onfirmation Numbe rder Date: 04/22/2	er: 10992200 2012			
ustomer Informatio	on			
Customer: Jessica Ko	oteles			
rder Details				
Plant physiology			Billing Status: Charged to Credit	Ca
Order detail ID:	62410446	Permission Status	s: 🔮 Granted	
ISSN: Publication year: Publication Type: Publisher:	1532-2548 2007 e-Journal AMERICAN SOCIETY OF PLANT	Permission type: Type of use: Requested use: Bopublication	Republish or display content Republish in a dissertation Dissertation	
Rightsholder:	BIOLOGISTS AMERICAN SOCIETY OF PLANT BIOLOGISTS	title: Republishing	University of Western Ontario	
Author/Editor: Your reference:	Raymond Thomas Jessica Koteles' thesis, Section 1.4	organization: Organization	Non-profit 501(c)(3)	
		Republication date:	05/21/2012	
		Circulation/ Distribution:	100	
		Type of content: Description of requested content:	Graph Cosegregation of preformed whole- root aliphatic suberin and soybean partial resistance to P. sojae.	
		Page range(s): Translating to: Requested content's	306 No Translation 05/01/2007	
		publication date: Payment Method:		\$3.

Get Permission | License Your Content | Products & Solutions | Partners | Education | About CCC Privacy Policy | Terms & Conditions

Copyright 2012 Copyright Clearance Center

## **CURRICULUM VITAE**

## Name: Jessica Koteles

## Post Secondary Education and Degrees

University of Waterloo, Honours Biology Specialization in Molecular Biology and Biotechnology, 2009, B.Sc.

## Honours and Awards

Environment and Sustainability Collaborative Research	
Seminar Award	2010 - 2011
Western Graduate Research Scholarship	2009 - 2011
Department of Biology Graduate Student Teaching Award	2009 - 2010
Related Work Experience	
Teaching Assistant, University of Western Ontario	2009 - 2011
Science Outreach Instructor, University of Toronto	2007
Lab Assistant, Department of Biology, University of Waterloo	2006 - 2007
Conferences	
Plant Canada, Plant Adaptation to Environmental Change Meeting	g, 2011
St. Mary's University, Halifax, Nova Scotia	
Poster Presentation: Fatty Acid $\omega$ -Hydroxylases in Soybean	
Canadian Society of Plant Physiologists Eastern Regional Meeting	g, 2010
Brock University, St. Catherine's, Ontario	
Poster Presentation: Fatty Acid ω-Hydroxylases in Soybean	