10-1-2006

Book Review: Auto/Biography and Identity: Women, Theatre and Performance

Kim Solga  
*The University of Western Ontario, ksolga@uwo.ca*

Follow this and additional works at: [https://ir.lib.uwo.ca/englishpub](https://ir.lib.uwo.ca/englishpub)

Citation of this paper:
[https://ir.lib.uwo.ca/englishpub/218](https://ir.lib.uwo.ca/englishpub/218)
ambitious and consciously international in its consideration of feminism and gender. The remainder of the volume, however, is divided into 10 sections corresponding to theatre in specific languages: between the expansive globalism of the opening discussion and the restrictive regionalism of the rest, the intervening space of national, translingual connections is ignored completely. Each section contains a quick historical overview of modern theatre in that language and the place of women in it, followed by short, inconsistent headnotes that often omit even the basic dates for authors and works. There are no lists of dramatic personae preceding the plays, and no substantial information about staging. Some of Mukherjee’s editorial decisions are also questionable. Usha Ganguli practices theatre primarily in Hindi, but is grouped with the Bengali playwrights because she is based in Calcutta (Kolkata), where Bengali is the majority language. The attribution of Fida to Neelam Mansingh Chowdhry is problematic, because the Punjabi poet Surjit Patar adapted this Racine play in 1997, and Chowdhry was its director. However, Patar is not acknowledged anywhere in relation to the play, even as a collaborator. These editorial lapses do not override the significance of Mukherjee’s collection for readers, scholars, and theatre enthusiasts. However, if editorial practices were more rigorous when such valuable material is presented to a national and international readership, especially under so prestigious an imprint as Oxford University Press, the final product would be immeasurably more satisfying.

Aparna Dharwadker

doi:10.1057/palgrave.fr.9400309

Auto/biography and identity: women, theatre and performance


Even as interest in feminist theory and criticism in theatre and performance studies continues to wane (or, perhaps, finds itself remapped and redirected), interest in women, autobiography and performance is on the upswing. Auto/biography and Identity enters the field two years after the publication of Sidonie Smith and Julia Watson’s collection Interfaces: Women, Autobiography, Image, Performance, but, in some contrast to this earlier text and its emphasis on the visual, Auto/biography locates itself specifically at the nexus between women’s autobiographical writing and performance. As Gale and Gardner note in their introduction, by exploring theatrical women’s writing about themselves alongside their often multiply-coded, fractious performances of their
subjectivities and experiences, they hope to enable ‘connections between texts 
and performances, past and present practitioners, professional and private 
selves, individuals and communities, all of which have in some way renegotiated 
identity through autobiography’ (p. 5).

The book is divided into three parts, and, as is often the case with edited 
collections, the divisions often seem somewhat arbitrary. In fact, as I moved 
from section to section, I found myself revising the book’s given structure and 
imagining a new organizational frame. For example, the opening section, ‘Telling 
Tales: Autobiographical Strategies’ contains, alongside articles by Viv Gardner on 
a clutch of turn-of-the-century actresses and Elaine Aston on Adrienne 
Kennedy’s autobiographical pieces, a thoroughly compelling take on Susan 
Glaspell’s ‘disappearing subject’ by Nicola Shaughnessy. In its thoughtful 
theorization of both the physical place of the playwright and the playwright’s own 
preoccupations with place in her work, Shaughnessy’s article might have more 
productively been read alongside Jen Harvie’s evocative and provocative thinking 
on the work of Janet Cardiff and Tracey Emin in the third section. Similarly, 
readers might benefit from allying Deirdre Heddon’s respectful yet interrogative 
examination of the role performance plays in the construction of lesbian 
communities in contemporary Glasgow alongside other articles in the collection 
focused on the pressures and challenges of negotiating minority or marginalized 
subjectivities – including Gabrielle Griffin’s writing on gender-bending 
performance artist Claire Dowie, and Bella Merlin’s informative commentary on 
Tilly Wedekind’s struggle to move beyond her performatic association with the 
infamous Lulu.

These minor structural quibbles aside, I found the book to be particularly strong 
in sharing detailed information about lives and writings of which many theatre 
researchers might have no knowledge. The first two parts of the book, ‘Telling 
Tales’ and ‘The Professional/Confessional Self,’ seem largely focused on theatre 
history, featuring articles on the self-fashioning of Emma Robinson (by Susan 
Croft), Lena Ashwell (by Maggie Gale), Tilly Wedekind (by Merlin), as well as Alma 
Ellerslie, Kitty Marion and Ina Rozant (by Gardner). On the flip side of these 
historical narratives lies a clutch of articles on contemporary performance artists 
and community practitioners, though largely UK-based (one exception here is a 
quick but thorough retrospective of Latina theatre and performance art by 
Caridad Svich).

In all cases, these articles are richly informative, creating a useful introduction 
to their subject matter for students and professionals alike. And yet, this very 
virtue also turns out to be the book’s greatest weakness. Time and again I found 
myself yearning for less information and more theorization, for a truly 
provocative reading – rather than simply a workmanlike reviewing – of exciting 
subject matter. Some articles do not disappoint on this front: while I had 
problems with the pseudo-psychoanalytic structure of her analysis, Bella Merlin’s
commentary on Tilly Wedekind's struggle to assert an independent, extra-theatrical subjectivity free of both Frank and Lulu was utterly fascinating. Meanwhile, Jen Harvie's topical and theoretically sophisticated look at how Cardiff and Emin negotiate women's corporeal experience even as they trouble the sites at (and means through) which that experience may be located is far and away the finest stuff in the collection. Nevertheless, I found it hard not to become frustrated by the uneven quality of the analysis in Auto/biography, as well as by some authors' reliance on old and worn, if not outmoded, discursive and theoretical frames.

These concerns aside, I would certainly recommend Auto/biography and Identity to anyone interested in women's theatre history and in contemporary women's performance art in the UK; it offers a helpful overview of what Gardner and Gale rightly point to as a neglected site of investigation – the work women create specifically about themselves, and the politics of that work's creation.
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