Water-fat magnetic resonance imaging for the assessment of human fetal adipose tissue

Stephanie A. Giza, Western University

Supervisor: McKenzie, Charles A., The University of Western Ontario
Joint Supervisor: de Vrijer, Barbra, The University of Western Ontario
A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the Doctor of Philosophy degree in Medical Biophysics
© Stephanie A. Giza 2023

Follow this and additional works at: https://ir.lib.uwo.ca/etd

Part of the Medical Biophysics Commons

Recommended Citation

This Dissertation/Thesis is brought to you for free and open access by Scholarship@Western. It has been accepted for inclusion in Electronic Thesis and Dissertation Repository by an authorized administrator of Scholarship@Western. For more information, please contact wlsadmin@uwo.ca.
Abstract

Adipose tissue is crucial for providing heat and energy to infants, especially at transitions such as birth and therefore must begin developing in utero. This development may be altered due to an adverse uterine environment, increasing the risk of developing later-life metabolic diseases such as obesity. An early assessment of fetal adipose tissue development through lipid accumulation could be key to understanding metabolic programming and minimizing this risk.

Water-fat magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) can non-invasively measure the lipid concentration of tissues and can therefore monitor the development of adipose tissue via tissue lipid concentration. This work demonstrated the feasibility of measuring fetal adipose tissue volumes and lipid concentrations in the third trimester. Both measures increased with gestational age, indicating this technique is sensitive to the tissue expansion and accumulation of lipids within the adipose tissue, an important improvement over previous MRI techniques limited to volume measures only.

Two water-fat MRI techniques were compared for measuring fetal adipose tissue lipid concentration; modified two-point Dixon and chemical-shift encoded MRI. It was found that the two techniques produced reliable fetal adipose tissue lipid concentration measures; however, only chemical-shift encoded MRI is suitable for assessing the lipid concentration of the fetal liver.

A regional variability of fetal adipose tissue lipid concentrations was found, reflecting the different gestational ages that adipose tissue compartments begin developing. Two compartments that begin development simultaneously but contain one of the two main types of adipose tissue, brown (generates heat) and white (stores energy), also had different lipid concentrations. This is an encouraging result suggesting that water-fat MRI could be used to differentiate fetal brown and white adipose tissues.

In conclusion, this dissertation contains applications of water-fat MRI techniques to assess the lipid concentration of fetal adipose tissue. It highlights factors that affect lipid
concentration, including gestational age and adipose tissue region and type. These factors, and the choice of water-fat MRI technique, are important considerations for future studies aiming to use fetal tissue lipid concentrations to assess fetal metabolic programming.
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Summary for Lay Audience

Babies born to mothers with diseases like obesity and diabetes that affect how their body processes food are at an increased risk of developing these diseases later in life. Since increases in fat tissue are associated with these diseases, investigating the development of fat tissue before birth may provide information about a baby’s risk of developing these diseases. This thesis uses a special magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) technique, water-fat MRI, to measure the amount of fat tissue and the amount of fats within that tissue (fatty content).

Previous ultrasound and MRI techniques only measured the volume of fetal fat tissue, but with water-fat MRI, measuring the fatty content of the fetal fat was also possible. This fatty content increases through pregnancy, as one of the last parts of fetal fat tissue development includes the accumulation of fats in the fat tissue cells.

There are a few ways that water-fat MRI can be performed, and a comparison of two popular techniques is included. Both techniques can be used in the fetal fat tissue, but only one can be used in the fetal liver because of the effects of fetal liver blood cell production on the fatty content measurement.

During fetal fat tissue development, the accumulation of fats in different areas begins at different times during pregnancy. As a result, there was a higher fatty content in areas that begin developing earlier in pregnancy than in those that develop later in pregnancy.

The two main types of fat tissue are brown fat, which produces heat, and white fat, which stores energy. The fatty content was different between these two types of fat tissue.

The research in this thesis highlights the factors that will affect fatty content measurements, including the time during pregnancy and the area and type of fat tissue being measured. These results provide the information necessary to design future studies using water-fat MRI to study fetal fat tissue development, which may eventually provide insight into the development of early risks for later-life diseases affecting how the body processes food.
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma$</td>
<td>Chemical shift between water and lipid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma_p$</td>
<td>Chemical shift of the $p^{th}$ lipid peak</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Chapter 1

1 Introduction

Developmental programming of metabolic diseases is the concept that the environment a fetus experiences in utero can impact their metabolism for their entire life. Excess adipose tissue is linked to metabolic disorders such as diabetes and obesity. Examining fetal adipose tissue development may provide insight into the prenatal programming of such disorders at a time when interventions can have life-long impact. This thesis provides the building block necessary for the non-invasive measurement of fetal adipose tissue lipid content simultaneously with volume and distribution measurements through water-fat MRI.

This introductory chapter provides information necessary to understand the following thesis chapters. A description of fetal adipose tissue development is given to aid in interpreting the results presented in Chapters 2 and 4. A description of the development of water-fat MRI provides the background necessary to understand the advantages and limitations of the imaging techniques used in this thesis. An overview of ultrasound and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) studies examining fetal adipose tissue is provided to highlight the knowledge gap this thesis aims to fill.

1.1 Metabolic Health and Programming

Obesity is a major global health problem, with over a third of the World’s adult population suffering from overweight or obesity [1]. This number is higher in developed countries and increasing in developing countries [2]. In 2018, 36.3% and 26.8% of Canadian adults were overweight and obese, respectively [3]. Defined by the ratio between an individual’s weight and height (body mass index, BMI) exceeding 30 kg/m², obesity is usually associated with increased adipose tissue [1]. Many chronic health conditions are associated with obesity, including type 2 diabetes and cardiovascular disease [1].

With such high rates of obesity and associated metabolic dysfunction in adult populations, we see similarly high rates of obesity and metabolic dysfunction in women
of reproductive age. Poor metabolic health in pregnancy carries risks for both the mother and offspring; however, in this thesis, I will focus on the impact on the offspring. Maternal obesity and diabetes (both before or during pregnancy) are independently related to birth weight and high infant percent body fat, with an even greater risk when both obesity and diabetes are present [4]. This does not just pose a risk during fetal life and infancy, as offspring of mothers with obesity have an elevated risk of increased adipose tissue through to adulthood [5]. Increased adiposity is related to metabolic dysfunction as early as infancy [6,7], indicating that the adverse metabolic environment a fetus experiences during their gestation affects their metabolic health throughout their lives.

This metabolic programming is often described as part of the developmental origins of health and disease (DOHaD) hypothesis, which suggests that the environment a fetus experiences *in utero* can impact that individual’s lifelong health [8]. Alterations in the maternal metabolic environment will alter the metabolic, inflammatory, and epigenetic signals and products passing through the placenta to the fetus, affecting the fetal metabolic environment. For example, the supply of triglyceride precursors, such as free fatty acids and glucose, passed to the fetus will affect the amount of triglyceride a fetus can create and store within their adipose tissue [7]. Thus, maternal conditions such as obesity and diabetes can also affect the development of the fetus and result in alterations in adipose tissue development [7]. A better understanding of the early life development of adipose tissue may help reduce the burden of metabolic health concerns for future generations by aiding in developing strategies to mitigate negative fetal programming.

This thesis describes a non-invasive method to study fetal adipose tissue development that can be used for studies aiming to improve metabolic health.*

---

1.2 Fetal Adipose Tissue

Fetal adipose tissue is of interest for investigating the effects of developmental programming because it reflects the fetus’s energy balance through pregnancy [9]. For instance, fetuses of mothers with diabetes have an increased risk of being born with macrosomia, defined as growth over the 90th percentile for gestational age (GA) or birthweight above 4000g, which has been attributed to an increase in adipose tissue [10]. Changes in adipose tissue may be an early indicator of an altered fetal metabolism that could result in the development of metabolic syndrome later in life.*

Two main types of adipose tissue must be considered, white adipose tissue and brown adipose tissue. Each type comprises connective tissues, blood vessels and adipose cells called adipocytes, but they have different appearances and functions.

1.2.1 White Adipose Tissue

The largest white adipose tissue depot is in subcutaneous adipose tissue, with smaller depots within the body, including visceral adipose tissue in adults. White adipocytes, the primary cell type within white adipose tissue, are large cells that, when fully developed, are dominated by a single large lipid vacuole which occupies nearly 90% of the cell volume [11].

White adipose tissue stores potential energy as triglycerides in these large lipid vacuoles [12]. In times of starvation, white adipose tissue breaks down these triglycerides into glycerol and free fatty acids. The glycerol then travels to the liver, where it is used to make glucose through gluconeogenesis [12]. The free fatty acids are used by skeletal muscle and the heart for oxidative metabolism or sent to the liver to produce ketone bodies which are used for energy in organs including the brain [12].

White adipose tissue also has an important role as an endocrine organ; it releases factors involved in fat mass regulation and development, blood flow, lipid and cholesterol metabolism and immune system function [13].

While adipose tissue has a bad reputation, it is a vital organ, especially early in life. Humans are the fattest species at birth [12], with 15% of a newborn’s body weight contributed by adipose tissue [14]. Human infants have much more white adipose tissue at birth than other species but similar levels of brown adipose tissue [12]. The role of white adipose tissue as an energy storage organ may help explain why humans have evolved to have such a large amount of adipose tissue at birth, as humans have also developed to have a brain with very high energy demands at birth [12]. It has been hypothesized that these two traits are linked and that the large energy reserve in an infant’s white adipose tissue can support the significant energy demands of their brain in times of nutritional disruption, such as birth and weaning [12,15]. For infants to have a sizeable adipose tissue reserve ready at birth, adipose tissue development must begin prenatally.

Development begins with the structural subunit of white adipose tissue, the fat lobule, a group of adipocytes with a vascular network surrounded by a membrane [16]. Before 14 weeks gestation, connective tissue is present where this fat lobule will develop, containing multipotent mesenchymal stem cells [16,17]. The earliest signs of fat lobule development occur after 14 weeks in the buccal fat pad when the mesenchymal cells begin to cluster and early blood vessels begin to form [16]. As the capillary network develops, the mesenchymal cells differentiate into unipotent adipoblasts and then preadipocytes, which do not contain lipids at this stage of their development [16,17]. Lipid storage begins around gestational week 16, and multiple small lipid vacuoles are present in the cytoplasm of the preadipocytes [18,16]. At this GA, definitive fat lobules can be seen with light microscopy as the surrounding mesenchyme thickens to form the septa that separate neighbouring fat lobules [16]. As the preadipocytes develop into adipocytes, the lipid vacuoles combine to create a single vacuole that will grow to occupy nearly 90% of the cell volume at maturity [11]. Figure 1.1 shows the cellular development of adipocytes.
This process begins at different time points in different white adipose tissue regions or compartments [19]. The white adipose tissue begins developing first in the head and neck around 14 weeks gestation, then in different trunk regions from 14.5 – 16 weeks gestation, and finally in the upper and lower limbs from 15 – 16.5 weeks’ gestation [19]. This temporal development is described as “cranial to caudal and proximal to distal” [19,17].

1.2.2 Brown Adipose Tissue
Adults have small deposits of brown adipose tissue located in the axillary, cervical, supraclavicular, and paravertebral regions [20-22], while infants have much more brown adipose tissue located in the axillary, cervical, perirenal and periaortic regions [23,24].

The primary cell within brown adipose tissue is the brown adipocyte, which contains multiple small lipid vacuoles when activated [25,26]. Brown adipocytes contain many mitochondria, which contribute to the brown colour of the tissue [25,26]. Brown adipose tissue has more blood vessels than white adipose tissue, which also causes the tissue to look brown [26]. This additional blood supply aids in distributing heat via warmed blood,
as the primary role of brown adipose tissue is to produce heat through non-shivering
thermogenesis [26]. Brown adipose tissue is especially critical for infants whose primary
source of thermoregulation is via non-shivering thermogenesis [27].

Brown adipocytes are activated to create heat using the unique uncoupling protein, UCP-1, which functions within the mitochondria [28]. Typically, an electrochemical gradient is created across the mitochondrial matrix, which is used to generate adenosine triphosphate (ATP). UCP-1 disrupts this electrochemical gradient and dissipates that energy as heat [28]. In addition to containing more blood vessels, brown adipose tissue has more nerves than white adipose tissue because the sympathetic nervous system activates it in response to cold exposure [29].

Developing brown adipocytes look like mature brown adipocytes in that they have multiple small lipid vacuoles surrounded by many mitochondria. While both have multiple small lipid vacuoles, a developing brown adipocyte can be distinguished from a developing white adipocyte because the white adipocyte has fewer mitochondria than the brown adipocyte, and the mitochondria do not lie adjacent to the lipid vacuoles (Figure 1.2) [24-26]. The lipid vacuoles begin combining during white adipocyte development, while the brown adipocyte maintains multiple lipid vacuoles to maturity [25,26]. As early as infancy, MRI has distinguished brown adipose tissue from white adipose tissue because MRI is sensitive to the lower lipid content of brown adipocytes [30-32]. It should be noted that if the mature brown adipose tissue is not activated over long periods, it is also possible for the lipid content of brown adipocytes to increase and the vacuoles to incorporate into a single large vacuole (Figure 1.1) [24-26]. This process is called the whitening of brown adipose tissue and results in the tissue appearing very similar to white adipose tissue [26].
Figure 1.2 Electron micrograph of developing adipocytes from a newborn rabbit. The developing brown adipocyte is on the left and contains many more mitochondria adjacent to the multiple lipid vacuoles compared to the developing white adipocyte on the right, with some small lipid vacuoles and one large lipid vacuole. This figure was reproduced from Hull, D., The structure and function of brown adipose tissue, British Medical Bulletin, 1966, 22(1), pages 92 - 96 [26] by permission of Oxford University Press. See Appendix C for permission.
1.3 Adipose Tissue Assessment

Body composition measurements separate the body into fat mass and fat-free mass compartments. Many techniques can measure fat mass, including anthropometrics such as BMI or skinfold thickness, hydrostatic weighing, air displacement plethysmography, bioelectric impedance analysis, and dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry [33,34]. There are challenges in applying these techniques to pregnant individuals, and while adjustments can be made for pregnancy for many of these techniques, their main limitation is that they do not allow separate assessments of fetal and maternal fat mass [35].

Imaging can assess adipose tissue volume and distribution, with ultrasound and MRI suitable for fetal adipose tissue assessment in utero [36]. Other imaging modalities, such as X-ray and computed tomography, are not commonly used in pregnancy due to the risks associated with ionizing radiation [37].

1.3.1 Ultrasound

Ultrasound is frequently used in pregnancy to assess structure, blood flow and limited functional parameters [38]. Its relatively small field of view and typical 2D image acquisition and presentation makes visualization of the entire fetus difficult late in gestation [39]. Despite these limitations, ultrasound is the most common imaging method used to assess fetal development, and it has been applied to assess fetal adipose tissue. The subcutaneous adipose tissue layer is visible on ultrasound, and the tissue's thickness, area and volume can be measured.

Quantitative ultrasound methods to assess the lipid content in the adult liver have been developed, including backscatter and attenuation value [40-43] and hepato-renal attenuation ratio [44,42,43]. These techniques correlate reasonably well to proton density fat fraction (PDFF) [45], a quantitative magnetic resonance measurement, which is considered the noninvasive reference standard for quantifying hepatic lipid [46] and will be discussed further in section 1.4.2.5. Quantitative ultrasound techniques have shown utility in quantifying lipids in the adult liver, but they have not been applied to measuring lipids within adipose tissue or specifically for fetal adipose tissue. Typically, methods used to quantify lipids within a tissue include biopsy, which is far too invasive and risky...
to perform in the fetus for research purposes and suffers from sampling error, and MRI, which will be discussed in the following sections.

1.3.2 Magnetic Resonance Imaging

MRI was developed in the 1970s and is used to visualize the internal anatomy and function of the human body [47]. In the 1980s, the technique was applied to visualize the pregnant anatomy and offered a new modality to visualize the fetus and placenta [48]. Safety concerns, including concerns about potential mutagenicity [48], limited the use of MRI in pregnancy for many years. The current use of in utero MRI follows guidelines such as those by the American College of Radiology [49]. Recent safety studies have not found an increase in adverse outcomes during pregnancy and early childhood after in-utero exposure to MRI if contrast agents are not used [50-52].

The use of in utero MRI is increasing in research and clinical practice, as MRI offers many advantages over other imaging modalities [53]. MRI does not use ionizing radiation and is noninvasive, both of which are crucial in studying fetuses [51]. It is a modality with a large field of view, allowing visualization of the entire pregnant uterus and its content in an image volume [54]. MRI provides excellent soft-tissue contrast and is multi-parametric, allowing the flexibility of providing many sources of contrast in a single examination [55].

MRI does have limitations such as accessibility [56], cost [57], and barriers to health centers without access to advanced MRI techniques [56], as well as the expertise to use them in utero. Furthermore, MRI is a relatively slow imaging technique and is therefore sensitive to maternal and fetal motion, the latter of which is random and unpredictable [58]. When investigating the small anatomy of a developing fetus, partial volumes and spatial resolution can also be limiting. Additionally, the MRI system can limit the

patient’s size that can be imaged, particularly in the late second and third trimesters. The increasing availability of larger bore MRI systems (≥70 cm bore) alleviates this issue. Despite these limitations, in-utero MRI can provide a wealth of knowledge about fetal growth, development, and programming not previously available.*

### 1.4 MRI Physics

The following sections review the MRI physics concepts necessary to understand the advantages and disadvantages of the techniques used in this thesis. To that end, a detailed description of water-fat MRI techniques is the focus.

#### 1.4.1 MRI Signal Generation and Contrasts

The most abundant MR visible nuclei in the body are that of hydrogen, also referred to as protons. These hydrogen nuclei have magnetic moments, and when many align with each other, they generate enough of a magnetic field to detect through MRI. These magnetic moments precess around a large magnetic field, such as that of an MRI machine. In aggregate, they align with the main magnetic field of the MRI, generating a net magnetization. To generate an MRI signal, a radiofrequency pulse rotates the magnetization by pushing it out of alignment with the main magnetic field, such that a portion of the net magnetization is in a plane perpendicular to the main magnetic field, called the transverse plane. The transverse magnetization then precesses around the main field, generating a varying electromagnetic field that can be detected with a radiofrequency coil, turning the rotation of the magnetization into an electric signal. The MRI signal is detected during an echo, with the duration of the data collection centered around the echo time (TE). The signal has an amplitude related to the amount of transverse magnetization, and a frequency equal to the precession rate of the magnetization. The properties of this signal are used to generate different contrasts that

allowing the assessment of structure and function of the body. Before discussing adipose tissue assessment by MRI, three primary sources of contrast in MRI are worth noting: proton density, T1 and T2.

Proton density is the MRI contrast source present in all conventional MR images (excluding MR of other visible nuclei). Proton density is proportional to how many hydrogen atoms the MRI signal comes from in a particular location. The more hydrogen atoms in a given tissue volume, the more signal will be generated from that tissue.

T1 is an MR tissue property. It measures how quickly the hydrogen nuclei return to an unexcited state after a radiofrequency pulse excites them. The signal is generated by exciting hydrogen nuclei with a radiofrequency pulse, then measuring the signal during the time the hydrogen nuclei are returning to a relaxed state. Therefore, when measuring the signal at some time after the radiofrequency pulse, the tissues will have a different amount of signal depending on how quickly they experience T1 relaxation. Tissues with a fast T1 relaxation will have more signal relative to tissue with slower T1 relaxation, and images that use this to generate contrast are referred to as T1-weighted images.

T2 is another MR tissue property. It is related to signal decay stemming from the precession of magnetizations not being exactly the same. A hydrogen nucleus will experience a magnetic field that is the combination of the applied (main) magnetic field and the magnetic fields generated by nearby nuclei. Therefore, as molecules (and therefore nuclei) move around, the local magnetic field experienced by the nuclei will change with time. The precession rate of hydrogen nuclei will briefly change in response to this changing local magnetic field, resulting in the accumulation of phase relative to other nuclei. Phase refers to a magnetic moment's direction in the transverse plane. When nuclei accumulate different phase (since they are all experiencing different local magnetic fields), they become misaligned with each other within the transverse plane resulting in a decrease in the net transverse magnetization. The process of misalignment of the magnetic moments is called dephasing. Techniques using additional radiofrequency pulses or gradients can reverse some dephasing and temporarily increase
the signal. This signal increase is called an echo and occurs at the echo time. Therefore, we collect our data centred on the echo time to avoid some of these dephasing effects.

The main magnetic field also contains spatial inhomogeneities that increase the rate at which the magnetic moments dephase. These inhomogeneities cause an “effective T2” decay, which we call T2* decay. T2 or T2* decay happens more quickly than T1 relaxation. Images that take advantage of T2 or T2* decay are referred to as T2 or T2* weighted images.

1.4.2 Water-fat MRI

Neither ultrasound nor conventional MRI techniques can quantify the lipid within the adipose tissue, but water-fat MRI is a technique that separates the signal in MRI into its parts from water and lipid, allowing lipid quantification.

The bulk of hydrogen MRI signal is from the hydrogens in water and lipids, which are highly abundant in the human body [59]. Due to the different chemical structures of water and lipid and thus different electromagnetic shielding, the hydrogens on the different molecules experience different local magnetic fields, resulting in different precession frequencies [60]. There is a difference of 3.35 parts per million (ppm) between the frequencies of water and lipid [60], called the water-lipid chemical shift.

1.4.2.1 Two-point Dixon

In 1984, Dixon realized that this frequency difference could be used to separate the composite MR signal into water-only and lipid-only parts [59]. After a radiofrequency pulse, the hydrogen magnetizations from water and lipid are in phase, which is to say they are pointing in the same direction (Figure 1.3)[59]. After the radiofrequency pulse, the hydrogen magnetizations can begin precessing around the main magnetic field. Since water and lipid magnetizations precess with different frequencies, they will move from pointing in the same direction to pointing in different directions, eventually pointing in opposite directions, then returning to point back in the same direction, and this will repeat with a period inversely proportional to their chemical shift [59]. When the magnetizations
from water and lipid point in opposite directions, we call this the opposed phase (Figure 1.3) [59].

Figure 1.3 Water (blue) and lipid (red) magnetization are shown in the transverse plane. The magnetizations are pointing in the same direction during In Phase and opposite directions in the Opposed Phase.

The signal we detect in MRI is the total magnetization or the vector sum of the water and lipid magnetizations, Eq. 1.1.

\[ S = W + F \cdot e^{i\theta} \]  
\[
\text{Eq. 1.1}
\]

Here S is the acquired signal, W and F and the magnitudes of the magnetizations of water and lipid, and \( \theta \) is the phase angle of lipid relative to water due to their chemical shift. As the water and lipid magnetizations move from being in-phase (\( \theta=0^\circ \)) to opposed phase (\( \theta=180^\circ \)) and repeat, the signal moves through periods of maximum and minimums, again with a period inversely proportional to the chemical shift between water and lipid [59]. If we use images taken at a maximum and minimum of the signal, we have an
image with water and lipid in phase and one with water and lipid in the opposed phase [59]. The in-phase image represents water plus lipid, Eq. 1.2, and the opposed-phase image represents water minus lipid, Eq. 1.3 [59].

\[
S_{\text{in phase}} = (W + F) \quad \text{Eq. 1.2}
\]

\[
S_{\text{opposed phase}} = (W - F) \quad \text{Eq. 1.3}
\]

We can then add these images to obtain a water-only image, Eq. 1.4, and subtract them to obtain a lipid-only image, Eq. 1.5 [59].

\[
S_{\text{in phase}} + S_{\text{opposed phase}} = (W + F) + (W - F) = 2 * W \quad \text{Eq. 1.4}
\]

\[
S_{\text{in phase}} - S_{\text{opposed phase}} = (W + F) - (W - F) = 2 * F \quad \text{Eq. 1.5}
\]

Once the lipid and water signals have been separated, a fat fraction can be calculated in Eq. 1.6 to describe the proportion of the signal that comes from lipids.

\[
\text{fat fraction} = \frac{F}{F + W} \quad \text{Eq. 1.6}
\]

The major limitation of this technique is due to magnetic field inhomogeneities [59]. These field inhomogeneities change the phase of the magnetizations, which introduces errors in our water and lipid images when using a simple addition and subtraction method [59]. When included in the signal equation, we have Eq. 1.7:

\[
S = (W + F * e^{i\theta}) * e^{i\phi} \quad \text{Eq. 1.7}
\]

Here \( \phi \) represents phase errors due to magnetic field inhomogeneities. We can expand the \( \theta \) and \( \phi \) terms, which have a dependency on the time the echo data is collected, TE:

\[
\theta = \gamma * B_0 * \sigma * TE \quad \text{Eq. 1.8}
\]

\[
\phi = \gamma * \Delta B_0 * TE \quad \text{Eq. 1.9}
\]

Here \( \gamma \) is the gyromagnetic ratio of hydrogen, \( B_0 \) is the main magnetic field, \( \sigma \) is the chemical shift between water and lipid, and \( \Delta B_0 \) is the magnetic field inhomogeneity. Putting these into Eq. 1.7 we get:

\[
S = (W + F * e^{i\gamma * B_0 * \sigma * TE}) * e^{i\gamma * \Delta B_0 * TE} \quad \text{Eq. 1.10}
\]
This means that when $\Delta B_0$ is not equal to zero, the addition/subtraction of in-phase and opposed-phase images will not provide a clean separation of water and lipid signals. For example:

$$S_{in\,phase} + S_{opposed\,phase}$$

$$= (W + F) * e^{i\gamma \Delta B_0 \cdot TE_{in\,phase}} + (W - F) * e^{i\gamma \Delta B_0 \cdot TE_{opposed\,phase}}$$

Eq. 1.11

### 1.4.2.2 Three-Point Dixon

A solution to the errors introduced by magnetic field inhomogeneities was presented with three-point Dixon techniques. If another image is collected, solving for $\phi$ is possible [61-63]. An additional in-phase image is collected with a different TE than the first, then the two in-phase images can be used to calculate phase differences due to magnetic field inhomogeneities [61-63]. That extra phase can be removed from the data, and a simple addition/subtraction can be used as in the original two-point Dixon technique.

Another method accounts for the magnetic field inhomogeneities by estimating the water and lipid signals simultaneously as the magnetic field inhomogeneities [64]. In this technique, the images are collected with three different phase angles between water and lipid; however, the phase angles are not required to be in phase and opposed phase but are flexible [64]. This method calculates $W$, $F$, and $\phi$ using least-squares fitting and an initial $\phi$ guess, and then calculates the difference between the calculated $\phi$ and the $\phi$ guess [64]. The process is repeated with the newly calculated $\phi$ as the new $\phi$ guess until the difference in $\phi$ is below a selected threshold [64]. The most well-known implementation of this technique is termed iterative decomposition of water and fat with echo asymmetry and least squares estimation (IDEAL) [64,65].

### 1.4.2.3 Modified Two-Point Dixon

Another method to overcome the errors introduced by magnetic field inhomogeneities is modified two-point Dixon [66]. This technique estimates the phase by placing constraints on the amount of phase variation allowed between neighbouring pixels [66]. After
correcting for the phase variation across the image, water and fat are separated by adding or subtracting the in-phase and opposed-phase images [66].

While the three-point Dixon and modified two-point Dixon techniques address the major limitation of the original two-point Dixon, there are still limitations that need to be discussed. The first limitation is that they only use a single lipid frequency, but lipid produces a spectrum of frequencies corresponding to hydrogens at different locations on a triglyceride molecule. Some MRI properties will also bias the fat fraction, including T1 and T2*. The above techniques minimize T1 bias by using a small flip angle and will be discussed next, while the lipid spectrum and T2* limitations will be addressed in Section 1.4.2.5.

1.4.2.4 Correction of T1 Bias

The W and F terms in Eq. 1.10 represent the signal from water and lipid, respectively, which is the proton density of the water and lipid (Mw and Mf, respectively) modulated by a T1-weighting term:

$$W = \frac{M_w \left(1 - e^{-\frac{TR}{T1w}}\right) \sin \alpha}{\left(1 - e^{-\frac{TR}{T1w}}\cos \alpha\right)}$$  \hspace{0.5cm} \text{Eq. 1.12}

$$F = \frac{M_f \left(1 - e^{-\frac{TR}{T1f}}\right) \sin \alpha}{\left(1 - e^{-\frac{TR}{T1f}}\cos \alpha\right)}$$  \hspace{0.5cm} \text{Eq. 1.13}

Here we can see a dependency on T1, TR (repetition time, the time the nuclei are allowed to relax before the next excitation), and flip angle $\alpha$ [67,68]. When using small flip angles, $\cos \alpha$ approaches 1 and $\sin \alpha \equiv \alpha$, and we can remove the T1 dependency [69]:

$$W = \frac{M_w \left(1 - e^{-\frac{TR}{T1w}}\right) \alpha}{\left(1 - e^{-\frac{TR}{T1w}}\right)} = M_w$$  \hspace{0.5cm} \text{Eq. 1.14}

$$F = \frac{M_f \left(1 - e^{-\frac{TR}{T1f}}\right) \alpha}{\left(1 - e^{-\frac{TR}{T1f}}\right)} = M_f$$  \hspace{0.5cm} \text{Eq. 1.15}
Of course, with a small $\alpha$ we are reducing our signal in the process. We find a balance between lowering T1 dependency and receiving a sufficient signal to overcome the noise by using a flip angle of $7^\circ$. So far, three-point Dixon and modified two-point Dixon techniques have addressed biases from magnetic field inhomogeneities and T1 relaxation. The lipid spectrum and T2* effects are still biasing the measurement of water and lipid signals.

1.4.2.5 Chemical-Shift Encoded MRI

An expansion of the IDEAL technique described above is generally called chemical-shift encoded (CSE) MRI. This technique collects data during multiple gradient echoes and performs nonlinear curve-fitting through an iterative process to separate the water and lipid signals [70]. It also addresses the biases mentioned above, the multipeak lipid spectrum and T2* decay.

The model uses a multipeak lipid frequency spectrum, which is crucial for correctly identifying the signal from lipids rather than water (Figure 1.4) [71,72]. When using only a single peak, part of the lipid signal is incorrectly assigned as the water signal, as some of the lipid frequency peaks occur nearer to the water frequency than the single lipid frequency used in the Dixon techniques from sections 1.4.2.1 to 1.4.2.3 [72].

Eq. 1.7 can be altered to include the multipeak lipid spectrum:

$$S = (W + F \sum_{p=1}^{P} \rho_p e^{i\gamma B_0 \sigma_p \cdot TE}) * e^{i\gamma B_0 \Delta B_0 \cdot TE}$$  \hspace{1cm} \text{Eq. 1.16}$$

Here $\rho_p$ is the relative amplitude of the $p^{th}$ lipid peak, and $\sigma_p$ is the chemical shift of the $p^{th}$ lipid peak[72]. Both the relative amplitudes and the chemical shifts are known \textit{a priori}. The lipid frequency spectrum of the adult liver is used in this model, with six peaks included in the spectrum [72,73].
Figure 1.4 Schematic of triglyceride structure and chemical shifts. The peaks are labelled to identify which hydrogen proton on the triglyceride molecule corresponds to which peak. This figure was reproduced from Berglund, J., Ahlström, H., Kullberg, J., Model-based mapping of fat unsaturation and chain length by chemical-shift imaging – phantom validation and in vivo feasibility, Magnetic Resonance in Medicine, 2012, 69(6), pages 1815 - 1827 by permission of John Wiley and Sons. See Appendix D for permission.

The other source of bias that CSE-MRI addresses compared to the previous Dixon techniques is that from T2* decay. It takes some time to collect multiple gradient echoes; during this time, the magnetization is undergoing T2* decay. It is possible to fit this decay simultaneously with the fitting of W, F and ΔB₀ (magnetic field inhomogeneities).
A single T2* value is fit for the voxel, and it is combined with the ΔB₀ term as shown in Eq. 1.17:

\[ S = (W + F \sum_{p=1}^{P} \rho_p \cdot e^{i \gamma \cdot B_0 \cdot \sigma_p \cdot TE}) \cdot e^{i \gamma \cdot \Delta B_0 \cdot TE} \cdot e^{-TE/T2^*} \quad \text{Eq. 1.17} \]

\[ S = (W + F \sum_{p=1}^{P} \rho_p \cdot e^{i \gamma \cdot B_0 \cdot \sigma_p \cdot TE}) \cdot e^{i(\gamma \cdot \Delta B_0 + iR_2^*) \cdot TE} \quad \text{Eq. 1.18} \]

The inverse of T2* is R2*, giving Eq. 1.19:

\[ S = (W + F \sum_{p=1}^{P} \rho_p \cdot e^{i \gamma \cdot B_0 \cdot \sigma_p \cdot TE}) \cdot e^{i(\gamma \cdot \Delta B_0 + iR_2^*) \cdot TE} \quad \text{Eq. 1.19} \]

Now the ΔB₀/R2* term is fit to the data yielding a complex field map, which is then decomposed into its magnitude (R2*) and phase (ΔB₀) components. Ultimately, CSE-MRI provides four images: water, lipid, ΔB₀, and R2*.

When all these sources of bias are addressed, the fat fraction measured by CSE-MRI is equivalent to that measured with spectroscopy, which is considered the non-invasive reference standard [74].

Using Eq. 1.6, 1.14, and 1.15, the fat fraction then becomes:

\[ \text{fat fraction} = \frac{F}{F+W} = \frac{M_f \alpha}{M_f \alpha + M_w \alpha} = \frac{M_f}{M_f + M_w} = \text{PDFF} \quad \text{Eq. 1.20} \]

In this case, with these sources of bias addressed, the measured fat fraction is equal to the PDFF [75]. PDFF is defined as the “ratio of the density of mobile protons from triglycerides and the total density of protons from mobile triglycerides and mobile water” [75]. PDFF is considered the standardized MR-based biomarker of tissue lipid concentration as it is accurate, precise, robust and reproducible [75]. It is a validated measure of the MR visible lipid within tissues and ranges from 0 to 100%.

The acquisition used in this thesis acquires six spoiled gradient echoes with a spacing that has been determined to maximize the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) [71]. These echoes are acquired in 2 shots of 3 echoes [71] with parallel imaging acceleration to allow 3D acquisition of the entire uterus within a single breath hold. Parallel imaging reduces the amount of data necessary to reconstruct an image, therefore reducing the imaging time [76]. As seen in Eq 1.20, PDFF does not depend on imaging parameters such as TR, TE,
and slice thickness; therefore, these parameters can be adjusted to allow coverage of the entire uterus in a breath hold manageable for most participants.

1.5 Fetal Adipose Tissue Imaging

In this section, I will describe some of the literature that used ultrasound or MRI to assess fetal adipose tissue.

1.5.1 Ultrasound Assessment of Fetal Adipose Tissue

Ultrasound has been used to measure fetal adipose tissue's thickness, area and volume on the thighs, arms and abdomen (reviewed in [77]). Bernstein et al. examined lean and adipose tissues from 19 to 40 weeks gestation and found that in the extremities, both lean and adipose tissue areas had accelerating growth, with adipose tissue area undergoing a 10-fold increase over the investigated period [78].

Ultrasound measures of fetal adipose tissue have been used to study adipose tissue in fetal growth restriction and macrosomia, as well as in maternal conditions such as diabetes and obesity. While these studies have provided information on the amount of tissue through measures of thickness, area, or volume, they do not quantify the lipid content of the tissue.

1.5.2 MRI Assessment of Fetal Adipose Tissue

In the 1980s, the earliest reports of MR images in pregnancy include a description of visible fetal adipose tissue [48,79]. Today, the ability to image adipose tissue, including that of the fetus, has far surpassed these early descriptions. MRI is considered a gold-standard technique for simultaneously measuring the content and distribution of adipose tissue [80]. The assessment of fetal adipose tissue with MRI is described in the following studies.

In 1985, fetal adipose tissue was well visualized on T1 weighted and inversion recovery images, and the thickness could be measured after 32 weeks gestation [81]. A group of fetuses was ranked based on the amount of observed subcutaneous adipose tissue, from most to least adipose tissue. Macrosomic fetuses had the most adipose tissue, while the
two fetuses with the least or no discernible subcutaneous adipose tissue suffered from fetal growth restriction [82]. The most promising result from this study showed a potential for MRI assessment of fetal adipose tissue to differentiate between fetal growth restriction and small for gestational age (SGA). SGA describes a constitutionally small fetus, defined as below the 10th percentile, while fetal growth restriction represents undergrowth relative to the genetic potential of a fetus due to placental dysfunction and/or reduced oxygen and nutrient supply during pregnancy. The two fetuses with SGA appeared to have normal amounts of adipose tissue, falling within the rankings of control fetuses, compared to much lower observable adipose tissue in two fetuses with fetal growth restriction [82].

Fetal adipose tissue quantification was the next step, and in 1989, T1 images were used to measure the fetal adipose tissue volume [83]. The average adipose tissue volume/fetal volume for a group of control fetuses was 17.2% (11.8 - 25%), while in a group of fetuses with diabetic mothers, it was elevated to 27.4% (15.6 – 33.6%), and in a single growth restricted fetus it was reduced to 3.6% [83]. There is some overlap between the control and diabetic groups; however, it still provides evidence that fetal adipose tissue quantification by MRI can detect differences between two metabolically different groups. Later in 1993, T1 weighted images were also used to assess macrosomia, and increased fetal subcutaneous adipose tissue correlated with an increased risk of macrosomia in a group of mothers with diabetes [84].

It was not until 2011 that the next study was published; T1 weighted images were also used to measure the smallest thickness of fetal subcutaneous adipose tissue on the trunk and extremities [85]. The trunk subcutaneous adipose tissue thickness ranged from 2 mm at 29 weeks to 4.5 mm at the end of gestation, while extremities had 2 mm subcutaneous adipose tissue at 29 weeks, which expanded to 6 mm at the end of gestation [85]. They also compared the measurements of this control group to those of a group with mothers who had well-controlled diabetes and found that most of the diabetic group’s measurements fell within the values of the control group [85]. Those in the diabetic group that did not fall within the control group had subcutaneous adipose tissue thickness smaller than the control group [85]. These results show that if diabetes is well controlled,
the fetus does not have increased adipose tissue thickness, suggesting that negative fetal programming is reduced.

In 2013, semi-automated segmentation was combined with T1-weighted, water-suppressed images to measure the fetal adipose tissue volume [86]. A pixel-intensity histogram had a bimodal distribution, and the peak not associated with background noise was selected to quantify the fetal adipose tissue [86]. This technique was applied to control fetuses and those with diabetic mothers and found a strong correlation between fetal adipose tissue volume and birthweight centile, with increased amounts of fetal adipose tissue in the diabetic group [86]. They also manually evaluated the presence of intra-abdominal adipose tissue and found that it was identified more frequently in the diabetic group than in controls [86]. Finally, they showed it was possible to combine adipose tissue measurements and fetal volumes with the density of lipid and water to calculate estimated fetal weight (EFW), although they did not report on the accuracy of this EFW calculation [86].

In a 2018 study comparing MRI to histology, T1-weighted images provided information on fetal adipose tissue development. The adipose tissue to muscle T1-weighted signal intensity ratios were measured and increased with GA, with adipose tissue being hypointense relative to adjacent muscle until 29 weeks and hyperintense after that [87]. This finding corresponded to a change in adipose tissue from mostly multivacuolated cells before 29 weeks to mostly univacuolated cells after 29 weeks, as found by histology in a group of control fetuses at autopsy [87]. The adipose tissue to muscle intensity ratio was assessed in multiple locations, including the chin, buttocks, thighs, trunk and scalp, and they all had similar slopes with GA but different intercepts [87]. This result indicates that all the tissues develop at a similar rate (conversion of cells from multivacuolated to univacuolated) but may start this process at different GA, in agreement with previous histology studies [19].

These MRI studies used weighted images to differentiate adipose tissue from surrounding tissues and allow volume measurement. However, the pixel intensity values are not quantitative on their own. Ratios between tissues can be applied, as done in one study,
but interpreting these intensity ratios is complicated. Changes in either tissue can cause the ratio to change; for example, a change in the T1 of muscle or adipose tissue could be responsible for changes in adipose to muscle intensity ratio. Additionally, changes in the T1 of adipose tissue could be due to development other than lipid accumulation since other microenvironment changes could co-occur.

It is clear from both the ultrasound and MRI literature that noninvasive assessment of fetal adipose tissue provides information that could be used clinically or to investigate DOHaD. However, none of the techniques discussed above are directly sensitive to the lipid within the adipose tissue, and this thesis aims to address this gap. Using water-fat MRI to measure the amount of lipid within the tissue rather than the amount of tissue alone may provide additional information that helps us better understand fetal adipose tissue development and how it can be altered.

1.5.3 Water-Fat MRI for Fetal Adipose Tissue Assessment

In this thesis, I aim to use this water-fat MRI to assess human fetal adipose tissue. Additional challenges arise when applying an MRI technique to pregnancy compared to infants, children or adults, including the comfort of our volunteers and motion.

The work included in this thesis investigates fetal adipose tissue during the third trimester (27+ weeks gestation), as it is well known that this is the time when fetal adipose tissue is developing rapidly. Of course, during the third trimester, a pregnant woman’s abdomen is larger than in earlier trimesters, making undergoing an MRI more challenging. To increase the comfort of our participants, we used a large bore (70 cm diameter) MRI and worked with the participants to find a comfortable position, have them lay on their side or provide comfort with pillows and wedges for support.

Motion during MRI in pregnancy is a two-part problem, as there are two subjects that can be moving during the image acquisition [58]. Since we are imaging the mother’s abdomen, her respiratory motion is a major concern. We addressed maternal respiratory motion by imaging during maternal breath hold and monitored the mother’s breathing and breath hold capabilities using a monitor placed around the mother’s abdomen. After
imaging 18 participants, we determined that 16 seconds was an acceptable breath-hold time for 95% of our participants and used this as our initial imaging time. The length of breath hold could then be lowered as necessary. Fetal motion is the other major source of motion that must be considered. Fetal motion is unpredictable, but some techniques can be used to reduce its effects. We scheduled our MRI study visits during the afternoon, as this is a time of fetal sleep after lunch. Additionally, we performed 2D acquisitions earlier in the MRI exam as these acquisitions are less motion sensitive, giving the fetus time to become accustomed to the noise and vibration of the MRI and settle down before the more motion-sensitive 3D water-fat MRI acquisition. Finally, our main defense against fetal (and maternal) motion is to image quickly. We used image acceleration techniques to acquire a 3D image covering the entire fetal volume within our 16 second breath hold. All images were viewed during the MRI exam, and any determined to be too degraded by motion were repeated.

1.6 Thesis Outline

Throughout this thesis, I will use water-fat MRI to assess the lipid content of fetal adipose tissue. At the onset of this work, I found no other studies that provided a non-invasive method to measure the lipid content of adipose tissue during the fetal period, and I believe such a method will prove useful for the investigations into fetal metabolic health and programming.

In Chapter 2, I examine the feasibility of using water-fat separated MRI to measure fetal adipose tissue. I used modified two-point Dixon imaging to measure fetal trunk adipose tissue's fat signal fraction (FSF) during the third trimester. This work contains the first description of a change in the fat fraction of fetal adipose tissue with increasing gestation, as previous methods did not use water-fat separated techniques.

In Chapter 3, I examine whether there are differences in the fat fraction measured using modified two-point Dixon or with CSE-MRI. I demonstrate that the fat fraction measured in fetal subcutaneous adipose tissue is comparable between the methods during the third trimester. A false lipid signal from the fetal liver was seen when using a modified two-point Dixon that was corrected by using CSE-MRI.
In Chapter 4, I demonstrate that CSE-MRI is sensitive to the regional variation in adipose tissue development. The PDFF was assessed in different body compartments during the third trimester, with higher values measured in regions that develop earlier in gestation. This work has promising implications for the identification of brown and white adipose tissue in the fetus using MRI.

In Chapter 5, I summarize the work presented in this thesis and propose future directions.
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Chapter 2

2 Feasibility of measuring fetal adipose tissue with 3D water-fat separated magnetic resonance imaging


2.1 Introduction

It has been suggested that the trigger for abnormal adipose tissue deposition and metabolism is set in utero [1], as both limited and excessive fetal growth are associated with increased risks for obesity and metabolic syndrome later in life [2-4]. Differences in adipose tissue in neonates, normally 14% of weight at birth, explain 46% of the variation seen in birth weight [5]. Also, neonates of obese mothers with gestational diabetes have been found to have abnormal adipose tissue distribution when measured 1-3 weeks after birth [6]. Ultrasound and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) have found that fetal adipose tissue thickness and/or volume is altered with diabetic pregnancies, growth restriction and macrosomia [7-15]. This suggests that assessment of fetal adipose tissue development in utero has the potential to provide additional insights into fetal health and nutritional status, especially in circumstances where altered metabolism may put the fetus at risk for adverse pregnancy outcomes [16,17].

As fetal adipocytes develop, the amount of lipid they contain increases, a process for which 3D water-fat MRI is sensitive. Previous MRI studies have differentiated adipose tissue (lipid) from lean tissue (water) based on different T1 values, an intrinsic MRI tissue property [10,12,11]. However, these methods have been restricted to assessing the volume of subcutaneous tissue as they cannot measure the relative amounts of lipid and water within tissues. Water-fat MRI can separate the water signal from the lipid signal,
allowing water-fat MRI to provide a quantitative ratio of signal from lipid and water in a tissue. Measuring the lipid content of the fetal adipose tissue may give more insight into the expansion of adipose tissue in fetal development, adding to the tools used to assess fetal health in late pregnancy.

This study aims to assess whether fetal adipose tissue volume can be measured reliably using 3D water-fat MRI. We hypothesize that water-fat MRI will be sensitive to increases in the adipose tissue lipid volume and lipid content as a function of gestational age (GA), mirroring the increased lipid deposition as the fetus grows. This study aims to provide an initial demonstration of the ability of MRI to measure fetal adipose tissue development during the third trimester of pregnancy. If successful, these measurements would be useful for assessing abnormal fetal growth and metabolic health.

2.2 Materials and Methods

This study was approved by the Western University Research Ethics Board (HSREB# 103845, see Appendix A). Between January 2014 and October 2015, pregnant women over the age of 18 with singleton pregnancies between 29 and 35w GA were recruited from Obstetrics clinics at London Health Sciences Centre for the sole indication of participation in the MRI study. Patients with medical contraindications or body habitus preventing them from safely undergoing a non-contrast MRI were excluded. Consenting participants underwent a fetal MRI in a wide-bore (70 cm diameter) 1.5 Tesla (T) MRI (General Electric Optima 450w, Milwaukee, WI, USA) with a 32-coil abdominal phased array. Women were positioned supine or rolled towards a left lateral decubitus position with a cushion under either their back or right side for comfort. Scout images (T2 weighted Single Shot Fast Spin Echo (SSFSE)) were acquired to locate the fetus and determine its orientation. Water-only and fat-only images were produced during a maternal breath hold with a modified 3D two-point Dixon (Liver Acceleration Volume Acquisition (LAVA) Flex) acquisition in a plane axial to the fetal abdomen (TR 6.0-6.4 ms, flip angle 5°, Field of View 48 cm, 160×160 pixels, slice thickness 4 mm, 38-82 slices, 2× parallel MRI acceleration with Autocalibrating Reconstruction for Cartesian imaging (ARC), acquisition time 10-24 s).
Total fetal volume was manually segmented from 2D SSFSE images using OsiriX (v5.6)[18] by tracing the boundary of the lower signal intensity of the fetus relative to the high signal intensity of the amniotic fluid. MRI estimated fetal weight (EFW) was calculated from total fetal volume using a fetal density formula (MRI EFW = 0.12 + 1.031 × fetal volume) [19].

Clinical data on maternal and fetal characteristics were collected prospectively from mothers and infants after delivery. Gestational age was estimated with ultrasound in the first trimester as described by the Society of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists of Canada (SOGC) Clinical Practice Guideline [20], with an error of ± 2 days [21]. Sonographic estimates of fetal weight were taken from the closest ultrasound studies prior to the date of the MRI (range 0-35 days) using the Hadlock 2 formula [22]. MRI EFW percentiles have previously been shown to be more accurate than ultrasound EFW percentiles when measured within 3 hours of caesarean delivery [23]. The EFW calculated from both MRI and ultrasound were then used with custom weight standards from Gardosi and Francis (2015) to calculate the fetal weight percentiles [24]. Birthweights were recorded from infant charts, and birthweight percentiles were calculated using the standards from Kramer et al. [25].

Fat signal fraction (FSF) image volumes were calculated voxel-by-voxel from the lipid and water signal intensities (FSF = Lipid/(Water+Lipid)) for the entire MRI volume collected, which always included the fetal abdomen. Using OsiriX v5.6 (Pixmeo, Bernex, Switzerland), 3D FSF volumes were reformatted to axial to the fetal abdomen when the original images were not oriented optimally, usually due to fetal movement after scout images. The fetal trunk subcutaneous adipose tissue (fTSAT) was manually segmented from the FSF images by manually tracing the boundary of high FSF of the adipose tissue relative to the approximately zero FSF of the surrounding tissues (amniotic fluid and internal fetal tissues). This segmentation was performed in all slices (25 -51 slices) between the axillary and hip skin folds. The trunk subcutaneous adipose tissue was chosen as it contributes to abdominal circumference measures frequently performed with ultrasound to assess fetal growth.
The lipid volume within the fTSAT region was calculated by correcting the manually segmented fTSAT volume by the mean FSF of that volume in each slice. Through this method, we could correct for partial volumes and determine the quantitative amount of lipid in the fTSAT. Measured variables included the amount of lipid in the fTSAT (lipid volume), lipid volume normalized to fetal volume (lipid volume/fetal volume) and the mean FSF in the fTSAT.

To assess inter-rater reliability, three independent readers (SG, CO and DM) each manually segmented 10 FSF sets and the segmented volumes were compared using intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC). Intra-rater reliability was assessed by one reader (SG) with 10 FSF manually segmented twice two months apart with the segmented volumes compared using ICC.

Pearson correlations and linear regression analyses were used to examine bivariate relations between fetal adipose tissue measurements (lipid volume, lipid volume/fetal volume, and FSF) and GA at MRI and MRI EFW percentile. All statistical analyses were conducted in SPSS v.24 (IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY, USA), and p-values <0.05 were considered statistically significant.

2.3 Results

Eighteen women were recruited for the study. One participant with a high body mass index (BMI) (61 kg/m²) was successfully positioned in the MRI bore but was unable to commence imaging due to claustrophobia. The remaining 17 participants provided images of adequate quality for segmentation of the fTSAT; intra-abdominal adipose tissue content was insufficient for segmentation.

The median number of previous pregnancies was 1 (range 0-2), and the mean maternal age at delivery was 32 years (range 23-41 years). The mean pre-pregnancy BMI of participants was 34.6 kg/m² (range 19.2-52.5 kg/m²). Most participants were recruited from our specialized clinic for elevated BMI and pregnancy, resulting in a high percentage of participants with a pre-pregnancy BMI in the obese categories (76%). Seventeen percent of the participants had a BMI in the normal range, while 6% were
overweight. Seventy percent of the participants were non-diabetic, 6% had pre-existing type 1 diabetes, 12% had pre-existing type 2 diabetes, and 12% had gestational diabetes. The mean GA at MRI was 32 weeks (range 29-34), and 53% of the fetuses examined were female. The mean birthweight percentile was 58.1 (range 0.5-96.6), with 12% of the fetuses below the 10th and 23% above the 90th percentile.

Figure 2.1. (A) 3D rendering of total fetal adipose tissue, (B) 3D rendering of fTSAT segmentation on total fetal adipose tissue, (C) 2D fTSAT segmentation on fetus with 10 ml lipid volume, and (D) 2D fTSAT segmentation on fetus with 80 ml lipid volume. 3D rendering in panel A shows the distribution of adipose tissue above a 10% FSF threshold. The rendering in B shows a different orientation so that the limbs do not obscure the upper and lower boundaries of the fTSAT segmentation demonstrated in green. Three orthogonal planes of Fast Imaging Employing Steady-state Acquisition (FIESTA) images are shown to reference maternal anatomy, and an axes marker is included to indicate maternal orientation. The 2D images in panels C and D show fetuses that had similar ultrasound EFW percentiles (C = 98%, D = 96%) but different lipid volumes, in part due to differences in the mean
FSF (C = 12%, D = 30%). This can be seen as a brighter segmented region in panel D and panel C. It is also important to note that these fetuses have different GA at MRI (C = 30 weeks and D = 34 + 1 weeks). 2D images are displayed axial to the fetal abdomen to show a comparison of slices through the umbilicus.

Total fetal volume and fTSAT volume were segmented for all 17 participants. Figure 2.1 shows a visualization of the fetal adipose tissue with the fTSAT segmentation highlighted in green, created using 3D Slicer (v4.5.0)[26,27]. The ICC for the inter-rater reliability was 0.936 (p < 0.001), and the ICC for the intra-rater reliability was 0.992 (p < 0.001).

Figure 2.1 also shows the fTSAT segmentation for two different participants. The fetuses have different GA at MRI (C; 30 weeks and D; 34+1 weeks) and very different FSF (C = 12% and D = 30%) with different lipid volumes (C = 10 mL and D = 80 mL), illustrated with different greyscale values within the segmented regions. It is important to note that both fetuses have similar ultrasound EFW percentiles (C = 98% and D = 96%), suggesting that FSF and lipid volume are affected by GA.

Over the GA period studied, fetal lipid volume showed a rapid increase from 4 mL at 30 weeks to 48 mL at 34 weeks gestation (Figure 2.2A), or 0.3% to 1.8% lipid volume/fetal volume. This is paralleled by an increase in FSF from 10% to 24% from 30 to 34 weeks (Figure 2.2B). These increases demonstrate the positive correlations between GA at MRI and lipid volume (r = 0.63, p = 0.007), GA at MRI and lipid volume/fetal volume (r = 0.65, p = 0.005), and GA at MRI and FSF (r = 0.65, p = 0.005) (Figure 2.2A & B).
A positive correlation was found between GA and lipid volume ($p = 0.007$), between GA and mean FSF ($p = 0.005$), and between custom MRI EFW percentile and lipid volume ($p = 0.012$). Lipid volume error bars represent a 5% estimated error in lipid volume measurement; some error bars are too small to be visualized. FSF measurement error bars represent the standard deviation of values across slices measured.

The relationships between adipose tissue measures and fetal weight indices were also examined. Custom EFW percentiles calculated from MRI volumes were positively correlated with lipid volume ($r = 0.62$, $p = 0.009$), lipid volume/fetal volume ($r = 0.55$, $p = 0.022$) and FSF ($r = 0.60$, $p = 0.012$) (Figure 2.2C & D). Due to the small sample size and heterogeneous population, we did not analyze for differences in the FSF or lipid volume of the fTSAT with BMI less than or greater than 30 kg/m$^2$ ($n = 4$; BMI < 30 kg/m$^2$, and $n = 13$; BMI $\geq$30kg/m$^2$), maternal diabetes ($n = 12$; non-diabetic, and $n = 5$;
diabetes), fetal growth restriction or macrosomia (n = 11; 10\textsuperscript{th} percentile < BW < 90\textsuperscript{th} percentile, n = 2; BW < 10\textsuperscript{th} percentile, and n = 4; BW > 90\textsuperscript{th} percentile).

2.4 Discussion

We describe a method for measuring fetal abdominal subcutaneous adipose tissue with 3D water-fat MRI, for the first time allowing monitoring of fetal adipose tissue development by assessing the lipid content of adipose tissue rather than tissue volume alone. Measurements were made by defining a region of interest in the fetal trunk based on soft tissue landmarks that were easily and consistently recognizable regardless of the fetal position, size or GA. This methodology resulted in a segmentation technique with excellent inter- and intra-rater reliabilities.

We observed a rapid lipid accumulation between 29 and 34w GA, with an increase from 10 to 24% in FSF and from 4 to 48 mL in trunk subcutaneous tissue volume. Previous studies describe a rapid increase in fetal subcutaneous tissue thickness in the fetal leg and abdomen between 29 and 40 weeks with MRI [11], or 19 and 40 weeks with ultrasound [28,29]. These findings highlight the importance of this GA window for fetal subcutaneous adipose tissue development.

The increase in FSF during this time is of interest since the measurement of FSF is a much more direct indicator of adipocyte lipid content than adipose tissue volume. Indeed, the FSF measured in the fetuses at all GA studied was below that of mature adult adipose tissue. Even at birth, neonatal adipose tissue is not fully developed as neonatal fat fractions have been reported to be 67.7% [30] and 77.9% [31], compared to a fat fraction of approximately 90% in adults [31]. The linear regression indicates a fetal fat fraction of 24% at 34w, suggesting that a further rapid increase in fat fraction and lipid volume can be expected between 34 and 40w GA.

In our study, we elected to measure fetal abdominal adipose tissue development because abdominal subcutaneous tissue thickness measured by ultrasound is correlated to neonatal body composition while allowing for assessment of intraabdominal adipose tissue. However, none of the fetuses had any measurable amounts of intraabdominal adipose
tissue, possibly because very little intraabdominal adipose tissue develops at this GA [12] or there is not enough lipid accumulation to be visualized with MRI. The lowest measurable FSF in our study was 4%, based on the noise signal in amniotic fluid (data not shown), and therefore intra-abdominal or intrahepatic fat fractions below this percentage should not be reported.

Water-fat MRI is an MRI technique that provides separate water and lipid images. In this study, these images were acquired using a modified two-point Dixon technique; this technique has a faster image acquisition, making it less likely to be affected by fetal motion. Additionally, it has better signal-to-noise efficiency than other water-fat MRI, which will allow it to measure relatively lower fat fractions [32,33]. While this sequence has recognized limitations of sensitivity to T2* effects (caused by, e.g. iron) and incomplete lipid spectrum modelling (MRI lipid signal at multiple frequencies due to the complex chemical structure of triglyceride) [34], the work in Chapter 3 demonstrates that these effects do not cause bias in estimates of fat fraction with modified two-point Dixon in fTSAT [35]. However, it should be noted that in Chapter 3, we did demonstrate biases in estimates of fat fraction with modified two-point Dixon in other tissues, including the liver [35].

Our study was limited by the small sample size, its cross-sectional nature and a selection bias from recruiting from the elevated BMI pregnancy clinic resulting in the high percentage of patients with elevated BMI. In our institution, 44% of women are overweight or obese, with 20% of the total maternal population having a BMI greater than 30 kg/m² (unpublished data). This pilot study demonstrated the feasibility of MRI in the early third trimester in a large-bore MRI, even in patients with significant obesity (BMI>40; n=5). Due to the small sample size and heterogeneous population, we were unable to comment on normal values for GA or ‘normal’ and ‘abnormal’ adipose tissue development. While FSF is strongly affected by GA, other factors, including maternal BMI, maternal diabetes, fetal sex and natural variation in fetal growth and development, could also be contributing to the FSF differences seen in our study data.
Future studies with comparisons between normal pregnancies and those affected by high maternal BMI, maternal diabetes, and fetal growth extremes (fetal growth restriction and macrosomia) are needed to establish the utility of fetal lipid measurements in clinical practice or research.

We also acknowledge some limitations of our manual segmentation method. First, a fetal position with a curved rather than straight spine resulted in an inability to extend the segment to the axillary or hip skinfold on both the right and left sides of the fetus, in which case the measurement leading to the smallest trunk volume was chosen. This resulted in an underestimation of fetal adipose tissue volume, which can be overcome by performing segmentations in a program that allows editing in 3D, so that the start/end points can be drawn between the left and right sides. Second, partial volume effects would lower the mean FSF and lead to a universal and likely consistent underestimation of fetal lipid accumulation. More accurate estimates of FSF may be obtained using a segmentation program that allows for the segmentation to be eroded to exclude such partial volume pixels.

We note that there is a fetus with a very low MRI EFW percentile (0.5%) and one fetus with a very high MRI EFW percentile (99.7%). While these fetuses were identified as small or large with conventional ultrasound EFW percentile and birthweight percentile, these extreme values raise questions about the custom EFW percentile calculation. Future research should include measuring fat fractions in pregnancies in women of normal BMI with gestational weight gain within the recommended Institute of Medicine (IOM) limits (11.5-16 kg [36]), and at both earlier and later GA, to determine the MRI growth and development trajectories of fetal adipose tissue. Limitations in the lowest threshold for fetal fat fraction measurement (4%) will limit the earliest gestation that changes in subcutaneous lipid content can be adequately measured.

2.5 Conclusions

In conclusion, we have shown that fetal adipose tissue volumes can be reliably measured while correcting for the lipid content of the adipose tissue using 3D water-fat MRI. We have also confirmed our hypothesis that fetal adipose tissue lipid volume and lipid
content increase with GA. Further investigation with a larger sample size and MRI quantitative PDFF measurement should be pursued to further investigate the potential utility of these adipose tissue measurements.
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Chapter 3

3 Comparison of modified two-point Dixon and chemical shift encoded magnetic resonance imaging water-fat separation methods for fetal fat quantification


3.1 Introduction

The assessment of abnormalities in fetal adipose tissue development may provide insight into fetal metabolic health because it is reflective of the energy balance within the fetus [1]. This energy balance may be disrupted in the case of placental insufficiency or when there are disruptions in maternal metabolism (obesity, diabetes) [2]. Previous imaging studies have found increased amounts of adipose tissue in macrosomic fetuses [3-5] and fetuses of diabetic mothers [6-8], and decreased adipose tissue in fetal growth restriction fetuses [8-10]. However, there are limited imaging studies that have examined the lipid content of the fetal adipose tissue, which changes through gestation as adipocytes are maturing.

Water-fat magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is a reasonable technique to assess fetal adipose tissue development as it is sensitive to the amount of lipid within a tissue [11,12]. Two-point Dixon and chemical-shift encoded (CSE)-MRI are water-fat MRI methods that can be used to assess the lipid content of fetal adipose tissue. Each of these techniques have different strengths and weaknesses.

The modified two-point Dixon technique uses an opposed-phase gradient recalled echo combined with two-point Dixon water-fat separation [13]. Using two echoes, two-point Dixon produces in-phase and opposed-phase images, which are added or subtracted to
give lipid-only and water-only images. These can then be used to produce a fat signal fraction (FSF: lipid/(water + lipid)) image. Like two-point Dixon, CSE-MRI is a gradient recalled echo sequence, but instead of two echoes, it acquires six echoes that are used for water-fat separation [14-16]. The addition of multiple echoes allows for the modelling of more variables than that of modified two-point Dixon. In CSE-MRI, the fat fraction values calculated are equal to proton density fat fraction (PDFF) because of the additional variables [14-16].

Both techniques correct for $B_0$ field inhomogeneities; in modified two-point Dixon this is done through post-processing using a region-growing phase-correction algorithm developed by Ma [13]. In CSE-MRI, the $B_0$ field inhomogeneities are accounted for in the model used to fit the data [16]. Both modified two-point Dixon and CSE-MRI can use small flip angles to reduce the effects of T1 relaxation and therefore minimize T1 bias [17].

CSE-MRI differs from modified two-point Dixon in the modelling of both R2* relaxation and a six-peak lipid spectrum to the acquired data [13-16]. Meisamy et al. showed through comparison to magnetic resonance spectroscopy (MRS) that failing to correct for R2* relaxation and a multi-peak lipid spectrum introduces a bias in the fat fraction measured in the adult liver [15]. Additional corrections for eddy currents and noise bias are also included in the reconstruction [17,18]. Since these biases are not corrected in modified two-point Dixon, the FSF measured may not be as accurate as the PDFF obtained through CSE-MRI.

The strength of modified two-point Dixon lies in its availability and speed. Modified two-point Dixon is a more widely available sequence than CSE-MRI, which increases the use of this technique in multiple institutions. CSE-MRI requires the acquisition of images at more echo times (TEs) than modified two-point Dixon (at least 6 echoes vs. 2), resulting in inherently longer acquisitions for the same resolution and anatomic coverage. Additionally, the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) of CSE-MRI is reduced due to the modelling of additional factors (R2*) not included in the modified two-point Dixon signal model. For this reason, modified two-point Dixon may allow the acquisition of
images with both better SNR and/or shorter scan time, which is key in fetal imaging where motion is a major concern.

The purpose of this study was to compare modified two-point Dixon and CSE-MRI techniques for the quantification of fetal fat volume and PDFF/FSF.

3.2 Materials and methods

This study was approved by our institution’s Human Studies Research Ethics Board (REB# 103845, see Appendix A). Informed consent was obtained when women were recruited from low-risk and specialized high-body mass index (BMI) obstetric clinics at our institution. Inclusion criteria consisted of the following: pregnant women over the age of 18 between 29 and 38 weeks gestational age (GA). Patients with any medical contraindication to safely undergoing a non-contrast MRI, weight/body habitus that would prevent a successful MRI study, or multiple pregnancy were excluded. One participant was excluded due to medical contraindication to safely undergoing a non-contrast MRI. Clinical data were collected from the participant’s charts, including GA at the time of MRI, pre-pregnancy BMI, and diagnoses of fetal growth restriction or maternal diabetes (Table 3.1). Ultrasound was used to determine gestational age as described by the Society of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists of Canada (SOGC) Clinical Practice Guideline [19], with an error of ± 2 days [20].

Consenting participants underwent a fetal MRI in a wide-bore (70 cm diameter) 1.5 T MRI (General Electric Optima 450w, Milwaukee, WI, USA) with a 32-coil abdominal phased array. Women were positioned left decubitus or rolled towards a left lateral decubitus position with a cushion under either their back or their right side for comfort. Scout images (T2 weighted single shot fast spin echo (SSFSE)) were acquired to locate the fetus and determine its orientation.
Table 3.1 Participant demographics. Data listed as n (%). Total N = 21. BMI = body mass index

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maternal BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Underweight: &lt; 18.5</td>
<td>2 (9.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal: 18.5 – 24.9</td>
<td>10 (47.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overweight: 25 – 29.9</td>
<td>1 (4.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class I Obesity: 30 – 34.9</td>
<td>1 (4.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class II Obesity: 35 – 39.9</td>
<td>3 (14.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class III Obesity: ≥ 40</td>
<td>3 (14.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetic Status</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-existing Type-1 Diabetes</td>
<td>1 (4.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-existing Type-2 Diabetes</td>
<td>1 (4.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gestational Diabetes</td>
<td>2 (9.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-diabetic</td>
<td>17 (81.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Growth Restriction</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Growth Restricted</td>
<td>3 (14.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appropriate Growth</td>
<td>18 (85.7%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Both 3D CSE-MRI (specific implementation iterative decomposition of water and fat with echo asymmetry and least squares estimation (IDEAL)-IQ) and modified 3D two-point Dixon (specific implementation Liver Acceleration Volume Acquisition (LAVA)-Flex) volumes were acquired in a plane axial to the fetal abdomen during a maternal breath hold (imaging parameters in Table 3.2). The two-point Dixon and CSE-MRI volumes were prescribed to match the anatomic coverage as closely as possible. Phase field of view and resolution, and slice thickness were altered as necessary to allow acquisition in the maternal breath hold, approximately 16 seconds. A second 3D CSE-MRI volume with anatomic coverage matched to the first acquisition was acquired at the end of each MRI exam.

Water-only, lipid-only, PDFF and R2* maps were reconstructed from the 3D CSE-MRI data using the method of Yu et al. [16,21,22]. Water-only and lipid-only images were reconstructed from the 3D Dixon data using the method of Ma et al. [13]. FSF image volumes were calculated voxel-by-voxel from the two-point Dixon lipid and water signal intensities (FSF = Lipid/(Water+Lipid)).
Table 3.2. Imaging parameters for modified two-point Dixon and CSE-MRI acquisitions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Modified two-point Dixon</th>
<th>CSE-MRI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Repetition Time</td>
<td>6.0 – 6.6 ms</td>
<td>9.7 – 12.7 ms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flip Angle</td>
<td>5°</td>
<td>6–7°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field of View</td>
<td>50 cm</td>
<td>50 cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency Encodes</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>128 – 160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phase Encodes</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>128 – 160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slice Thickness</td>
<td>4 – 6.5 mm</td>
<td>4 – 6.5 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Slices</td>
<td>42 – 64</td>
<td>42 – 78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARC Acceleration Phase</td>
<td>2x</td>
<td>2x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARC Acceleration Slice</td>
<td>2x</td>
<td>2.5x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARC Acceleration Calibration Lines</td>
<td>32x32</td>
<td>32x32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total fetal fat from the entire fetal volume was manually segmented (SG, 2 years experience) from all the PDFF/FSF images using 3D Slicer (4.7.0 nightly build 2016-12-06) [21,22] by tracing along the border of high signal intensity corresponding to fetal fat. This included subcutaneous adipose tissue, perirenal adipose tissue, orbital adipose tissue, paravertebral adipose tissue and bone marrow when visible. Measured variables included the total segmented volume and the mean PDFF/FSF within the segmented volume.

To investigate the failure to correct for R2* decay resulting in the appearance of artefactual “pseudo-fat” in Dixon acquisitions, all modified two-point Dixon volumes were evaluated for the appearance of fetal liver lipid through observation by two trained readers (SG 2 years experience, CM 25 years experience). The image volumes were sorted into either a pseudo-fat group when lipid appeared in the fetal liver, or a pseudo-fat-free group, when no lipid appeared in the fetal liver. The occurrence of elevated R2* in the fetal liver was evaluated by placing a 15mm spherical region of interest (ROI) within the fetal liver. R2* and PDFF values were measured from these liver ROIs on CSE-MRI images.

Statistical analysis
The reliability of fat volume and PDFF/FSF measurements made with modified two-point Dixon and CSE-MRI were tested to assess the consistency of ordering between participants between the modified two-point Dixon and CSE-MRI. The inter-rater reliability of fat volume and PDFF were tested to assess the consistency of ordering between participants between two trained independent readers (SG 2 years experience, PP no previous experience), who each manually segmented 10 CSE-MRI PDFF sets. The inter-rater reliability of modified two-point Dixon segmentation was previously found to be very high in Chapter 2. Test-retest reliability of CSE-MRI derived fat volume and PDFF measurements were assessed by comparing measurements from the two 3D CSE-MRI acquisitions to assess the consistency of ordering between participants for each test. Intraclass correlation was used to test all three reliability assessments.

To assess the level of agreement (i.e., identical results) between modified two-point Dixon and CSE-MRI measurement of fat volume and PDFF/FSF, a one-sample t-test was performed on the fat measurements difference values (modified two-point Dixon minus CSE-MRI). These difference scores were tested against a value of 0, which would indicate that the measurements were identical. The average modified two-point Dixon/CSE-MRI measurement was plotted against the difference between the methods in Bland-Altman plots. The level of agreement for inter-rater and test-retest was examined in the same manner, with Bland-Altman plots using log values when appropriate. Mann-Whitney U tests were applied to detect differences between the pseudo-fat and pseudo-fat free groups’ R2*, PDFF, and GA. All statistical analyses were conducted in SPSS v.24 (IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY, USA), and p-values < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

### 3.3 Results

Twenty-nine women participated in the study. One participant was unable to complete the MRI, 3 were excluded from analysis related to fetal motion, and 2 were excluded from analysis for severe artefact. Two fetuses had no segmentable fat. Of the remaining 21 fetuses, one had severe motion artifacts in modified two-point Dixon, leaving 20 fetuses for the comparison of modified two-point Dixon and CSE-MRI. 3D renderings and segmentations of total fetal fat from modified two-point Dixon and CSE-MRI are
shown in Figure 3.1. The intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC) between modified two-point Dixon and CSE-MRI for fetal fat volume was 0.928 (p < 0.001) and for fetal PDFF/FSF was 0.898 (p < 0.001), indicating strong reliability for both measurements. The t-test for fetal fat volume difference (modified two-point Dixon – CSE-MRI) against 0 was significant (p < 0.001), indicating that the two methods were not statistically identical. The mean and standard deviation for fetal fat volume measured by modified two-point Dixon was 980 ± 360 mL, and by CSE-MRI was 1180 ± 390 mL. The mean difference (modified two-point Dixon – CSE-MRI) for fetal fat volume was -180 mL. The Bland-Altman plot for fetal fat volume is shown in Figure 3.2A. The t-test for fetal PDFF/FSF difference (modified two-point Dixon – CSE-MRI) against 0 was also significant (p = 0.001), again indicating that the two methods were not statistically identical. The mean difference (modified two-point Dixon – CSE-MRI) for PDFF/FSF was 3.0%. The Bland-Altman plot for fetal PDFF/FSF is shown in Figure 3.2B.
Figure 3.1. Total fetal fat (A) segmentation on modified two-point Dixon, (B) segmentation on CSE-MRI, (C) 3D rendering from modified two-point Dixon, (D) 3D render from CSE-MRI. Images A and B are displayed axial to the fetal abdomen through the fetal umbilicus. 3D renders C and D are created from the segmentations in A and B. The hands and feet have limited lipid and, therefore, appear incomplete and patchy in the 3D renders.
Figure 3.2. Bland-Altman plots of fetal (A) fat volume and (B) PDFF/FSF from modified two-point Dixon and CSE-MRI. The solid black line indicates the mean difference between the techniques (A: -180 mL, B: 3.0%), while the two dashed lines indicate the 95% confidence intervals (A: -380, 20 mL, B: -3.6, 9.5%). This demonstrates that modified two-point Dixon underestimates fetal fat volume while overestimating fetal PDFF/FSF compared with CSE-MRI.

Of the 21 fetuses examined, 7 had pseudo-fat appear in their livers with modified two-point Dixon, while no fetuses had detectable liver lipid with CSE-MRI. Sample images, including modified two-point Dixon lipid images, CSE-MRI PDFF images, and CSE-MRI R2* maps for pseudo-fat and pseudo-fat free groups, are shown in Figure 3.3. Mann-Whitney U tests indicated that there was a significant difference in the fetal liver R2* between the pseudo-fat and pseudo-fat free groups (p < 0.001) (Figure 3.4A), but not in their liver PDFF measured by CSE-MRI (p = 0.332) (Figure 3.4B) or their GA at MRI (p = 0.654) (Figure 3.4C). All the fetuses with pseudo-fat had liver R2* values ≥ 45 s-1, whereas all the fetuses without pseudo-fat had liver R2* values ≤ 35 s-1.
Figure 3.3. Comparison of pseudo-fat free and pseudo-fat fetuses. (A) Modified two-point Dixon lipid image without pseudo-fat in the liver, (B) Modified two-point Dixon lipid image showing pseudo-fat in the liver, (C) CSE-MRI PDFF image from the same participant and slice as A, (D) CSE-MRI PDFF image from the same participant and slice as B, (E) CSE-MRI R2* map from the same participant and slice as A, and (F) CSE-MRI R2* map from the same participant and slice as B. Images are displayed axial to the fetal abdomen, with the spherical liver ROI outlined in red. Lipid images have been windowed and levelled to display the signal in the fetal livers. The fat fraction measured in image C (pseudo-fat free) was 6.9%,
and in image D (pseudo-fat) was 3.7%. The R2* measured in image E (pseudo-fat free) was 31 s⁻¹, and in image F (pseudo-fat) was 45 s⁻¹.

Figure 3.4. Box and whisker plots of fetal liver (A) R2* values and (B) PDFF measured from CSE-MRI, and (C) GA at MRI for the pseudo-fat and pseudo-fat free groups. Mann-Whitney U-test indicates a significant difference between the groups R2* values (p < 0.001), where the pseudo-fat group has a higher fetal liver R2* than the pseudo-fat free group. No significant differences were found in the PDFF or GA between the groups (p = 0.881, p = 0.654). Outliers are shown as circles outside of the box and whisker plots.

Seventeen fetuses had 2 sets of motion-free CSE-MRI images and were used for test-retest reliability. The ICC for fetal fat volume was 0.971 (p < 0.001) and for fetal PDFF was 0.980 (p < 0.001), indicating strong test-retest reliability. The t-test for difference (test-retest) against 0 for fetal fat volume was not significant (p = 0.152), indicating the results were statistically similar. No proportional bias was detected, as shown in the
Bland Altman plot (Figure 3.5A). The t-test for difference (test – retest) against 0 for fetal PDFF was significant (p = 0.045), indicating that the test-retest was not statistically identical. The magnitude of the difference gives an estimate of the bias detected, which was 0.9%. The Bland-Altman plot is shown in Figure 3.5B.

Figure 3.5. Bland-Altman plot of fetal (A) fat volume and (B) PDFF from CSE-MRI test-retest. The solid black line indicates the mean difference between the acquisitions (A: -50 mL, B: 0.9%), while the two dashed lines indicate the 95% confidence intervals (A: -340, 230 mL, B: -2.4, 4.2%). This demonstrates that there is no proportional bias in the fetal fat volume between the acquisitions, and a small bias (<1%) for higher PDFF measurements in the first CSE-MRI acquisition relative to the second acquisition.

The ICC between the two readers for fetal fat volume was 0.897 (p = 0.002), and for fetal PDFF was 0.946 (p < 0.001). This shows strong inter-rater reliability. The t-tests for difference (reader 1 – reader 2) against 0 for fetal fat volume and PDFF were not significant (p = 0.847 and p = 0.706), indicating the results were statistically similar. No proportional bias was detected, as shown in the Bland-Altman plots (Figure 3.6).
Figure 3.6. Bland-Altman plot of fetal (A) fat volume and (B) PDFF measured by two readers. The solid black line indicates the mean difference between the two readers’ measurements (A: 20 mL, B: -0.4%), while the two dashed lines indicate the 95% confidence intervals (A: -480, 520 mL, B: -7.2, 6.4%). This demonstrates that there is no proportional bias between the readers’ measurements of fetal fat volume or PDFF.

3.4 Discussion

Our results indicate that modified two-point Dixon and CSE-MRI measurements of fat fraction and fat volume are reliable, but not in agreement. Although both methodologies have good ICCs and can be used for comparison between participants, differences between measured total fetal fat fraction warrants questions regarding the accuracy of one or both methods.

Modified two-point Dixon has biases present in the FSF because it does not correct for R2* and does not use a multi-peak lipid spectrum [13]. Meisamy et al. have shown that failing to incorporate these two factors into the modelling of signal from Dixon methods introduces a bias compared to spectroscopy results [15]. This bias can result in the appearance of pseudo-fat in tissue with elevated R2* values when no lipid actually exists in that tissue.

In our imaging of fetal fat, we observed examples of this bias in the fetal liver. When the fetal liver had elevated R2* (short T2*), pseudo-fat appeared in the liver on modified
two-point Dixon lipid images. This was not seen in the CSE-MRI images, since R2* is accounted for in the modelling. This was a common occurrence, with one-third of the fetuses we imaged having the appearance of pseudo-fat in the liver on modified two-point Dixon images. It has previously been shown that the fetal liver in the third trimester has a larger R2* (50 s-1 at 1.5 T) compared to that in the adult liver (36 s-1 at 1.5 T) [23]. This occurs because the fetal liver is a major hemopoietic site during fetal development and therefore acts as a reservoir for iron in the third trimester [23]. R2*-weighted gradient recalled echo sequences have previously been used in the investigation of hemochromatosis [24], and together these results suggest that CSE-MRI could be used in the future to investigate hematopoiesis through gestation as well as prenatal detection of hemochromatosis.

We believe that CSE-MRI should be the preferred method for measuring fetal fat fraction, as the biases present in modified two-point Dixon have been corrected [16,25,26]. This has been shown in the adult liver by comparing to spectroscopy [15], and it is likely that similar factors are at play in the fetus, as demonstrated in the fetal livers from our study.

Previous studies have used PDFF to distinguish brown adipose tissue from white adipose tissue in infants, as brown adipose tissue has a lower PDFF [27-29]. Unbiased fat fraction measurements are key to this differentiation; therefore, CSE-MRI would be best suited to an investigation of brown adipose tissue in the fetus. This would be especially important for assessing brown adipose tissue across gestation, as white adipose tissue has a lower lipid content at earlier GA [30], and therefore the difference between the fat fractions of brown and white adipose tissues may be small.

Test-retest showed that CSE-MRI is a reliable method for measurement of fetal fat volumes and PDFF. The volumes measured with the CSE-MRI test-retest agreed; however, their PDFF values were not statistically identical. The mean bias between the two methods was less than 1%, which is small enough that there should be no practical difference in the values measured. The inter-rater reliability and agreement of the fetal fat
volumes and PDFF were also good, indicating that this is a robust method for assessment of fetal adipose tissue.

While test-retest of the CSE-MRI shows it is a reliable method, this does not account for the cases where one of the image sets was severely motion corrupted. One potential solution is to use a radial water-fat separation MRI technique which would be more motion robust [31,32]. These radial techniques allow the images to be collected free-breathing, which would allow collection of images with better SNR and increased resolution. Both improvements would be of great benefit to imaging the fetal adipose tissue, as these are smaller structures with lower PDFF values than seen in adults or even neonates. However, current implementations of radial water-fat separation MRI tend to have scan times measured in minutes, so they may be vulnerable to artefacts from motion of the fetus. Nevertheless, fetal adipose tissue imaging should be considered a promising future application for radial water-fat separation MRI techniques.

The high cost of MRI and the need for repeated measurements to follow fetal growth and adipose tissue development make it unlikely that fetal water-fat MRI will ever be used as a screening tool for abnormal growth in uncomplicated pregnancies. However, there is a clinical and research need for improved non-invasive assessment of fetal health and metabolic development during pregnancy, specifically in high-risk populations such as women with obesity, gestational diabetes and at risk for preeclampsia. Measurement of fetal adipose tissue development using water-fat MRI may, for instance, assist clinicians in the management of pregnancies with obesity and/or diabetes, a population with threefold increases in stillbirth rates in whom it is more difficult to determine abnormal fetal growth using conventional ultrasound [33,34]. In this population, fetal growth curves have limitations [35] and underlying deficiencies in placental function are inflammatory in nature [36], a process to which ultrasound is insensitive. This inability to assess fetal and placental health [37,38] leaves these pregnancies at risk for complications and may contribute to the increases in stillbirth, neonatal morbidity and mortality seen in this population. Since deficiencies in placental function will likely have downstream effects on fetal metabolic health, indirect assessment of fetal metabolism through fetal
adipose tissue measurement has potential utility in high-risk pregnancies (high BMI, diabetes) to help direct management of pregnancy and delivery.

Strengths of our study include using 3D techniques, the measurement of total fetal fat and inclusion of a heterogeneous group of participants. By using 3D techniques to measure total fetal fat with both sequences, we minimized the effect of fetal position. Since the fetus is liable to move between sequences, it is possible it is in a different position during modified two-point Dixon and CSE-MRI acquisitions. This positional effect is reduced by the assessment of the entire fetus with a 3D acquisition, resulting in measuring total fetal fat, regardless of fetal position. We recruited a heterogeneous population of participants, including normal and high BMI, growth-restricted fetuses, and diabetic mothers and have demonstrated that our results are applicable to most obstetric populations.

Limitations of this study include testing at one field strength only, limited GA range, and uncertainty about the fetal lipid spectrum. We performed this comparison at 1.5 T only, and although it is expected that results will remain similar at 3.0 T, future studies should be conducted for confirmation. Additionally, future studies at an earlier GA than 29-38 weeks can elucidate whether the performance of two-point Dixon and CSE-MRI are affected by the lower fat fractions expected earlier in gestation. The expectation is that at lower FSF the biases in two-point Dixon are minimized, whereas CSE-MRI will struggle at low PDFF values because of its lower signal-to-noise efficiency. Therefore, it is possible that modified two-point Dixon is preferable at lower GA.

An additional limitation is that we did not examine the lipid spectrum of fetal adipose tissue with MRS, and it is possible that the fetal lipid spectrum differs from the six-peak adult lipid spectrum employed by Quantitative CSE-MRI, potentially introducing a source of bias in the fetal PDFF measurements. If the fetal lipid spectrum differs from the adult lipid spectrum assumed in the model used to fit the CSE-MRI data, errors could be introduced during the separation of water and lipid signals. It has been shown that over the biologically possible range of multipeak lipid spectral models there is minimal difference in PDFF (<2%) when compared in the livers of patients with non-alcoholic
steatohepatitis [39]. So, while it is unlikely that any differences between the fetal and adult lipid spectrum would introduce large errors in PDFF measurement, MRS should be used to determine the fetal lipid spectrum and investigate GA changes and differences from the adult spectrum. This knowledge could be used to alter the spectral model of lipid used in CSE-MRI and allow more accurate PDFF estimation for fetal water-fat imaging.

3.5 Conclusions

In conclusion, either modified two-point Dixon or CSE-MRI can be used to compare fetal fat volumes and PDFF/FSF between participants. Caution should be used when imaging fetal liver with modified two-point Dixon, particularly in the third trimester where elevated R2* effects are common. In terms of potential biases in measuring PDFF and fat volume in the fetus, we feel that CSE-MRI is a better method of choice than modified two-point Dixon.

3.6 References


Chapter 4

4 Water-fat magnetic resonance imaging of adipose tissue compartments in the third trimester fetus


4.1 Introduction

Development and growth in utero are linked to infant and child health; adverse uterine environments increase risks for the development of later-life diseases such as obesity, diabetes, and cardiovascular disease [1]. These risks have been well described in the developmental origins of health and disease (DOHaD) literature and are postulated to be the result of changes in fetal metabolism in response to an altered nutrient supply [1].

With alterations in pregnancy conditions and provision of energy, such as with placental insufficiency or gestational diabetes, growth and development are affected, with some fetuses becoming lean, and some growing excessively. These changes can affect long-term metabolic health irreversibly, especially when met with a nutritional mismatch or continued exposure to insufficient or excess feeding as an infant or child [2]. Assessment of fetal adipose tissue, an indicator of energy deposition for the fetus, offers information about this potential programming in utero.

Fetal adipocyte formation starts with the development of pre-adipocytes, which transition from cells that contain very little lipid to cells with multiple lipid-containing vacuoles [3]. Water-fat magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is a non-invasive technique that measures lipid accumulation in adipocytes by quantification of the ratio of lipid to lipid plus water content, called the proton density fat fraction (PDFF) and expressed in units of % [4], allowing for non-invasive and longitudinal assessment of fetal adipose tissue.
Additionally, there is a capacity to measure R2*, the rate of signal decay in MRI, which is affected by the change in distribution, size, and number of lipid vesicles as the result of differences in the magnetic susceptibilities of lipid and water.

Safe assessment of subcutaneous fetal adipose tissue using MRI in the 3rd trimester of pregnancy has been demonstrated in Chapter 2. Fat signal fraction (FSF) in subcutaneous adipose tissue in the fetal trunk increase from 10% at 30 weeks to 24% at 34 weeks gestational age (GA), increasing to 78% (63 - 89%) in the infant shoulder by 5 months of age [5]. Full maturity of adipocytes is likely not reached until late childhood or adolescence [5], when the cells contain very little water and are dominated by a single, large lipid droplet, resulting in an adipose tissue lipid fraction near 90% [3]. Various locations throughout the body have been assessed for deposition of fetal and neonatal adipose tissue. Ultrasound has been used to measure tissue thickness or fetal subcutaneous adipose volume mainly in thighs and arms; these studies have correlated the amount of adipose tissue to adverse pregnancy outcomes such as gestational diabetes and fetal growth restriction [6-8]. Additionally, the adipose tissue in different compartments may be affected differently in different pregnancy conditions, making it vital to determine volumes and spatial differences of the adipose tissue (and the location in the body) being examined.

There are two main types of adipose tissue with different functions. Differences between them may help with understanding the role of adipose tissue and metabolic health. White adipose tissue is primarily used as an energy storage organ, whereas brown adipose tissue functions as a heat-generating organ. Brown adipose tissue contains multiple small lipid vacuoles and has a lower lipid content. As such, it also has a lower PDFF than white adipose tissue, which is dominated by a single large lipid vacuole when mature [9]; this makes water-fat MRI a useful tool to differentiate between white adipose tissue and brown adipose tissue. Additionally, R2* is higher in brown adipose tissue compared to white adipose tissue in children [5], due to brown adipose tissue having a large number of iron-rich mitochondria and a greater blood supply than white adipose tissue [9]. These results suggest that measurement of both PDFF and R2* may aid in identifying brown adipose tissue and white adipose tissue in utero.
Given the knowledge and development of fetal adipose tissue generated to date, along with the correlation to fetal and adult disease, the aim of this study was to evaluate water-fat MRI as a measurement tool to assess differences in the lipid content of different fetal adipose tissue compartments during a time of pregnancy with rapid lipid accumulation. We also compared perirenal adipose tissue, a known location of brown adipose tissue, with subcutaneous white adipose tissue to provide insight into any differences between brown adipose tissue and white adipose tissue during fetal development. We postulated that PDFF measurement would detect the temporal sequence of fetal adipocyte development in different tissue compartments.

4.2 Materials and Methods

This study was approved by Western University Research Ethics Board (HSREB 103845, see Appendix A). Women older than 18 years with singleton pregnancies in the 3rd trimester were recruited from obstetric clinics and provided informed consent. Patients with medical contraindications to safely undergo a non-contrast MRI, or weight/body habitus that would prevent a successful MRI study were excluded. Women with suspicion of fetal growth restriction or diabetes (pre-existing or gestational) were removed from the analysis. Clinical data were collected from the participants’ charts. The Society of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists of Canada (SOGC) Clinical Practice Guideline for determination of gestational age by ultrasound was followed [10], giving an estimated error of ± 2 days gestational age [11].

Consenting participants underwent fetal MRI in a wide-bore, 70 cm diameter 1.5 T MRI (General Electric Optima 450w, Milwaukee, WI, USA) with a 32-coil abdominal phased array. Women were positioned left decubitus or rolled towards a left lateral decubitus position. Scout images (T2 weighted single shot fast spin echo (SSFSE)) were acquired to locate the fetus and determine its orientation. 3D water-fat MRI (specific implementation iterative decomposition of water and fat with echo asymmetry and least squares estimation (IDEAL)-IQ, GE Healthcare [12-14]) volumes were acquired in a plane axial to the fetal or maternal abdomen during a maternal inspiration breath-hold (TR 9.7-12.7 ms, flip angle 6-7°, Field of View 50 cm, matrix = 160×160, slice thickness 4-6.5 mm,
42-78 slices, autocalibrating reconstruction for cartesian imaging (ARC) acceleration 2x phase 2.5x slice and 32x32 calibration lines, acquisition time 12-24 s). Slice thickness and field of view in the phase encode direction were adjusted as necessary to obtain full fetal coverage in a breath-hold manageable for the participant. Water-only, lipid-only, PDFF and R2* maps were reconstructed from the 3D water-fat MRI data as previously described [12,15,16].

Total fetal adipose tissue was manually segmented (SG, SS) from the PDFF images using 3D Slicer (4.7.0 nightly build 2016-12-06) [17,18] by tracing along the border of high signal intensity corresponding to fetal adipose tissue. The total fetal adipose tissue was then further segmented manually (SG, TK) into the following white adipose tissue compartments (Figure 4.1): cheeks (well delineated high-intensity region extending from corner of mouth to ear), upper arm (shoulders cut on an angle from axilla to outer shoulder to elbow diagonally cut from inner to outer elbow), lower arm (elbow diagonally cut from inner to outer elbow to wrist), thorax (top of shoulders to bottom of lungs), abdomen (bottom of lungs to where thigh meets body), thighs (along body orthogonal to thigh length to knee cut diagonally from inner to outer knee), lower leg (knee cut diagonally from inner to outer knee to ankle), as well as the perirenal (surrounding kidneys) brown adipose tissue compartment.
Figure 4.1. Segmentation of fetal adipose tissue. A. Surface rendering of a female fetus at 32 w 5 d of gestation. B. Total fetal adipose tissue. C. Fetal adipose tissue compartment segmentation. The cheeks are shown in red, thorax in blue, upper arms in dark green, forearms in light green, abdomen in orange, thighs in dark purple and lower legs in light purple. D. Perirenal adipose tissue compartment segmentation. The perirenal compartment, in yellow, is shown within the fetus and identified by white arrows.

Median PDFF [19] and mean R2* values were recorded for each compartment after a 4-neighbour erosion was performed in which pixels of the segmentation are removed when having less than 4 neighbouring pixels that are also part of the segmentation. This was done to reduce partial volume effects from the border between fetal adipose tissue and surrounding organs or amniotic fluid, and 4-neighbours were chosen to balance the removal of edge pixels without removing too much of the segmented volume.

Statistical analysis

Inter-rater reliability was determined with two authors independently performing the measurements in the compartments of the first 15 fetuses; the median PDFF and mean R2* values were compared using the intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC), with 0.9 considered excellent reliability [20].

The rate of PDFF or R2* change with GA was represented by the slope, while the PDFF or R2* at 30 weeks + 2 days gestation was given by the y-intercept (for a meaningful
intercept value, GA was centred to our participants with earliest GA of 30 weeks + 2 days). Pearson correlations were performed between each compartment and GA, and an analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) was used to compare the rate of change and value at 30 weeks + 2 days gestation between the white adipose tissue compartments.

The Pearson correlations and ANCOVA were repeated to compare brown adipose tissue (perirenal) to a single white adipose tissue compartment (upper arm) with similar developmental timing [21] to reduce differences in PDFF or R2* due to developmental difference rather than differences between brown adipose tissue and white adipose tissue. All analyses were conducted using GraphPad Prism 6 Viewer (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA) and SPSS (IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY). P-value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

4.3 Results

This study was performed as a subset of a larger study in which 92 women consented to participate. Twenty-eight participants were eligible for inclusion in this study based on the availability of CSE-MRI images and no suspicion of fetal growth restriction or maternal diabetes. Still, images from one participant were uninterpretable due to fetal motion. 5 fetuses were imaged before 30 weeks; three of these had insufficient adipose tissue to be segmented (GA = 29w 1d, 29w 5d and 29w 6d, birthweight percentiles = 28.4, 28.4% and 82.6%). As a result, participants who were < 30 weeks gestation were excluded from analyses. This resulted in our sample of 22 fetuses from low-risk pregnancies; their demographic data are summarized in Table 4.1. Inspiration breath-hold times were not recorded for 4 participants, but the remaining 18 participants tolerated breath-hold times of 19 ± 3 s. While all images were oriented at least slightly oblique to the fetal abdomen, the closest standard orientation to the fetal abdomen was axial for 15 participants, sagittal for 3 participants, and coronal for 4 participants.
Table 4.1. Participant Demographics. N = 22.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Mean (range) or n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of Previous Pregnancies</td>
<td>1.5 (0 – 6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Previous Births</td>
<td>0.9 (0-3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal Age at Delivery (years)</td>
<td>32 (25 – 38)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-pregnancy BMI</td>
<td>26.2 (17.8 – 41.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Underweight (&lt; 18.5 kg/m²)</td>
<td>2 (9.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal (18.5 – 24.9 kg/m²)</td>
<td>13 (59.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overweight (25.0 – 29.9 kg/m²)</td>
<td>1 (4.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obese (&gt; 30.0 kg/m²)</td>
<td>6 (27.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GA at MRI</td>
<td>34w 4d (30w 2d – 37w 3d)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fetal Sex, Female</td>
<td>8 (36.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birthweight Percentile</td>
<td>47.2 (6.9 – 99.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Below 10th Percentile</td>
<td>2 (9.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Above 90th Percentile</td>
<td>1 (4.5%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The inter-rater reliability was excellent for all measurements of white adipose tissue compartments (ICC > 0.9); measurement of brown adipose tissue in the perirenal tissue compartment was 0.6, showing only moderate agreement. Since the ICC was > 0.9, results are reported from the only author who assessed compartment segmentations on all study participants. The size of the segmented compartments is given in Table 4.2.

Table 4.2. Size of Compartment Segmentation. Values given as mean ± standard deviation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compartment</th>
<th>Number of Voxels</th>
<th>Volume (cm³)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cheeks</td>
<td>1007 ± 286</td>
<td>19.1 ± 5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thorax</td>
<td>2876 ± 892</td>
<td>54.9 ± 19.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper Arms</td>
<td>1636 ± 589</td>
<td>31.4 ± 13.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forearms</td>
<td>1378 ± 553</td>
<td>26.0 ± 10.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abdomen</td>
<td>3362 ± 1585</td>
<td>64.5 ± 32.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perirenal</td>
<td>55 ± 57</td>
<td>1.1 ± 1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thighs</td>
<td>3263 ± 1539</td>
<td>62.2 ± 33.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower Legs</td>
<td>2074 ± 870</td>
<td>39.3 ± 16.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whole Body</td>
<td>29483 ± 9866</td>
<td>560 ± 207</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The rate of PDFF change with GA was significant in all white adipose tissue compartments; that is, PDFF increased with increasing GA (Table 4.3 and Figure 4.2). There were no differences in the rate of PDFF between the various white adipose tissue compartments (p = 0.97). However, there were significant differences in the PDFF in the white adipose tissue compartments at 30 weeks + 2 days gestation (p < 0.0001); the cheek compartment had the highest PDFF, followed by the upper arm, thorax, thighs, forearms, lower legs and abdomen. These results using R2* as a measure with increasing GA are shown in Table 4.4 and Figure 4.3. The rate of R2* change with GA in the thorax, abdomen, lower legs, and whole-body compartments was significant, with R2* increasing as GA increased; the other compartments did not demonstrate a significant difference in R2* with increasing GA. There was no significant difference in the rate of R2* change with GA between the white adipose tissue compartments (p = 0.96), but R2* at 30 weeks + 2 days gestation differed significantly (p = 0.0002), decreasing in order from forearms, upper arms, lower legs, thighs, thorax, abdomen, and cheek.

Table 4.3. Results of Pearson Correlations and ANCOVA of PDFF with GA for All Compartments. \( R^2 \) gives the goodness of fit. The rate of PDFF change with GA (slope ± standard error) is given to describe the line, and the p-value testing if the rate of PDFF change with GA (slope) is different from zero is listed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compartment</th>
<th>( R^2 )</th>
<th>Rate of PDFF Change with GA (PDFF/week)</th>
<th>p-value of rate of PDFF change</th>
<th>PDFF at 30 weeks + 2 days GA (PDFF)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cheeks</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>2.9 ± 0.9</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>37 ± 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thorax</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>2.7 ± 0.9</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>18 ± 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper Arms</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>3.5 ± 0.8</td>
<td>0.0006</td>
<td>20 ± 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forearms</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>2.1 ± 0.9</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>17 ± 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abdomen</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>2.7 ± 0.8</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>12 ± 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perirenal</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>1.2 ± 1.5</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>14 ± 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thighs</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>3.0 ± 0.8</td>
<td>0.0009</td>
<td>18 ± 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower Legs</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>2.4 ± 0.6</td>
<td>0.0007</td>
<td>14 ± 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whole Body</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>2.4 ± 0.7</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>17 ± 3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 4.2. PDFF versus GA. The lines of best fit and individual data points are shown for (A) cheeks, (B) thorax, (C) upper arms, (D) forearms, (E) abdomen, (F) perirenal, (G) thighs, (H) lower legs, and (I) the adipose tissue from the whole body. All compartments except perirenal have PDFFs significantly increasing with GA ($p < 0.001$). The rate of PDFF change with GA, PDFF at 30 weeks + 2 days of gestation and $R^2$ for each are given in Table 4.3. The rates of PDFF change with GA are not significantly different between the white adipose tissue compartments ($p = 0.97$), but the PDFFs at 30 weeks + 2 days of gestation are significantly different ($p < 0.0001$), with the cheeks having a much higher PDFF over the GA range studied. The perirenal brown adipose tissue compartment does not have a significantly different
rate of PDFF change over GA (p = 0.21) compared to the upper arm white adipose tissue compartment, but does have a significantly lower PDFF at 30 weeks + 2 days of gestation (p < 0.0001).

Table 4.4. Results of Pearson Correlations and ANCOVA of R2* with GA for All Compartments. R² gives the goodness of fit. The rate of R2* change with GA (slope ± standard error) and R2* at 30 weeks + 2 days gestation (Y-intercept ± standard error) are given to describe the line, and the p-value testing if the rate of R2* change with GA (slope) is different from zero is also listed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compartment</th>
<th>R²</th>
<th>Rate of R2* Change with GA (s⁻¹/week)</th>
<th>p-value of rate of R2* change</th>
<th>R2* at 30 weeks + 2 days GA (s⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cheeks</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.40 ± 0.37</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>25 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thorax</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.55 ± 0.23</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>26 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper Arms</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.38 ± 0.32</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>28 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forearms</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.66 ± 0.33</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>28 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abdomen</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.62 ± 0.28</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>26 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perirenal</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>-1.6 ± 1.4</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>35 ± 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thighs</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.56 ± 0.35</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>27 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower Legs</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.78 ± 0.33</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>27 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whole Body</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.86 ± 0.36</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>24 ± 2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 4.3. R2* versus GA. The lines of best fit and individual data points are shown for (A) cheeks, (B) thorax, (C) upper arms, (D) forearms, (E) abdomen, (F) perirenal, (G) thighs, (H) lower legs, and (I) the adipose tissue from the whole body. The thorax, abdomen, lower legs and whole body have rates of R2* change with GA significantly different from zero (p < 0.05). The rate of R2* change with GA, R2* at 30 weeks + 2 days of gestation and R² for each are given in Table 4.4. The rates of R2* change with GA are not significantly different between the white adipose tissue compartments (p = 0.96), and the R2* at 30 weeks + 2 days of gestation are significantly different (p = 0.0002). The perirenal brown adipose tissue compartment did not have a significantly different rate of R2* change with GA (p =
0.08) or R2* at 30 weeks + 2 days of gestation (p = 0.16) compared to the upper arm white adipose tissue compartment.

The rate of PDFF change with GA in the perirenal brown adipose tissue compartment was not significant (listed in Table 4.3, shown in Figure 4.2). There was no significant difference between the perirenal brown adipose tissue compartment and the upper arm white adipose tissue compartment. The PDFF at 30 weeks + 2 days gestation was significantly different between the brown adipose tissue and white adipose tissue compartments (p < 0.0001), with upper arm white adipose tissue having a higher PDFF. The rate of R2* change with GA for perirenal brown adipose tissue was not significant for any analysis.

4.4 Discussion

Fetal adipose tissue undergoes rapid development in mid-late gestation, and we demonstrate that water-fat MRI is sensitive to this developmental process after 30 weeks gestation in our sample of healthy pregnant women. We confirmed the result from Chapter 2 that lipid content of the adipose tissue compartments, as measured by PDFF, increases through gestation, and found that there are differences in lipid fractions in different white adipose tissue compartments at any given GA. Our results suggest that different locations accumulate fetal adipose tissue at a similar rate, as indicated by the consistent rate of PDFF change over GA.

The technique of measurement is described and can be replicated; the segmentation method could be applied to other measurements within the adipose tissue (R1 or R2, for example) or adapted to measure other soft tissues in the absence of bony landmarks (arm and leg muscle, for example). It is worth noting that this manual segmentation method is time-consuming, with segmentation of a single fetus’ adipose tissue taking around 4 hours. Our interrater reliability was excellent in all compartments except the perirenal compartment, which illustrates the capacity and consistency of our method of assessing subcutaneous adipose tissue compartments. The perirenal compartment is very small and has a very low PDFF in these fetuses, making it difficult to reliably segment. This approach has the advantage of measuring PDFF and R2* in volumes of interest rather
than using small regions of interest to avoid sampling error, as well as the avoidance of sampling in heterogenous adipose tissues (containing white adipose tissue and brown adipose tissue) such as the shoulders, back and trunk. Analyses of such “compartments” might underestimate PDFF or provide assessments of fetal lipid deposition with unclear metabolic influence. Measuring different adipose tissue compartments can be challenging due to fetal positioning and the inability to use bony landmarks. However, this method has the advantage of assessing a median lipid fraction within the compartment rather than in only one section of the compartment. Additionally, the use of PDFF rather than volume minimizes the impact of any measured changes in the boundaries of each compartment. Better resolution may improve the capacity to reliably measure the perirenal compartment, allowing assessment of brown adipose tissue changes with GA with these methods.

Adipose tissue develops at different GA in different locations, as shown from samples obtained from stillborn human fetuses, starting with the cheeks, followed by the trunk and extremities [21]. We found a similar pattern of development for all white adipose tissue compartments, with development first in cheeks, followed by proximal extremities and trunk and, lastly, the distal extremities and abdomen. Adipose tissue development starts well before the first GA at which adipose tissue lipid fraction can be accurately assessed [21]; however, it is likely that there is a consistent subcutaneous fetal adipose tissue developmental process throughout the body. This means we cannot demonstrate the GA at which adipose tissue starts to develop, but we can provide evidence regarding the sequence of subcutaneous tissue development in the human fetus. Our results also support work using T1-weighted MRI, demonstrating the developmental sequence of adipose tissue/muscle ratio beginning in the face followed by the nuchal region and then the trunk, buttocks and thighs [22]. We would postulate that PDFF provides a more accurate measure for the quantification of lipid content [4] than T1-weighted MRI, as its quantification is independent of comparison to signal intensities of different tissues, such as muscle, whose signal intensity may also be changing with GA. PDFF leaves no ambiguity in interpretation and confirms that the amount of lipid relative to the amount of lipid and water in the fetal adipose tissue compartments is increasing with GA.
The signal decay measure (R2*) utilized in our work showed increases with advancing GA, as the lipid-water heterogeneity increased with increasing number and/or size of lipid vesicles. R2* values published for the upper arm in infants and children suggest that R2* will peak in infancy and then decline in childhood [5]. This is consistent with a peak in the heterogeneity of adipose tissue during infancy before developing into a more homogeneous lipid-dominated tissue in childhood. Further exploration with susceptibility-weighted imaging and biophysical modelling might enhance understanding of adipose tissue microstructural development. A method to probe the adipose tissue microstructure may help distinguish brown adipose tissue and white adipose tissue, as different types of adipose tissue have different numbers and sizes of lipid vesicles.

Methods to non-invasively identify and assess brown adipose tissue and white adipose tissue through gestation are important to understand differences, impacts and any long-term consequences of pathologic tissue development. Water-fat MRI has been used to differentiate between brown adipose tissue and white adipose tissue in one-day-old infants [5,23], but its utility to determine differences during fetal life has not been studied. Brown adipose tissue has been identified in fetuses histologically throughout the thorax and abdomen, particularly in perirenal, supra-iliac, interscapular, intercostal and retropubic deposits [24]. We did not find changes in brown adipose tissue development with advancing GA in the perirenal tissue. This may reflect difficulties in getting an accurate measurement in the very thin tissue; alternatively, other measurements may best assess this lipid type and its development with GA.

Our study utilized a small sample of our obstetrical patients to generate our data. Although the results of the imaging are reliable and consistent, we recognize the limitations of using this set of 22 women to suggest that this represents a “normal distribution” of fetal adipose tissue. Several publications suggest that fetal adipose tissue development is affected by maternal obesity; however, these studies have concentrated on the volume or thickness of the fetal adipose tissue, rather than the concentration of lipid relative to water within the tissue [25-27]. While we have found no significant differences in fetal lipid fraction in women with elevated BMI, suggesting that adipose tissue develops similarly regardless of the presence of obesity (unpublished data), we
recognize that the sample size in the current study is too small to adequately assess an effect of maternal adiposity on fetal tissue compartment PDFF. Since we expect maternal obesity to have similar effects on all tissue compartments, we have included all BMI in this study. Additionally, this study would ideally be performed as a longitudinal study. Rather than imaging individual participants each at unique GA, it would be preferable to image participants multiple times over the third trimester to understand the changes in adipose tissue development during this time period.

Whether the GA sampled in this study are representative of the optimal timing during which we can reliably assess changes in fetal adipose tissue development remains uncertain. Below 30 weeks GA, low fetal adipose tissue lipid content rendered MRI uninterpretable and, therefore, we felt it was not valid. As well, examination of fetal adipose tissue in the second trimester may be complicated by more frequent fetal motion. A potential solution to address this motion is to obtain radial acquisitions with motion sorting; this technique has been used successfully in paediatric populations [28]. With radial acquisitions, each data acquisition contains low spatial frequency information, which allows for evaluation of motion in the data. This information can be used for binning into different motion states (maternal inspiration vs. expiration) and selecting data free of fetal motion. Further study using these techniques may allow assessment of fetal adipose tissue in the second trimester, confirming the GA when the lipid accumulation begins to more fully characterize development and accumulation throughout gestation.

Another important limitation is the combination of the inconsistency in the orientation of the scan plane relative to the fetus with non-isotropic voxels. The orientation of the non-isotropic voxels relative to the fetal adipose tissue compartments is not consistent and could result in different degrees of partial voluming that negatively impacts the accuracy of the segmentation. In order to minimize this problem, isotropic voxels should be used. We believe this would be more appropriate than trying to consistently orient the scan plane (e.g., axial to fetal abdomen) when multiple compartments are being investigated because the fetuses are in variable positions. It would be possible to orient the scan plane
relative to a single compartment (e.g., fetal abdomen), but that would still leave inconsistencies in the other compartments.

4.5 Conclusions

We have demonstrated that different fetal adipose tissue compartments contain different levels of lipid through late pregnancy but have, with the exception of perirenal adipose tissue, a similar rate of lipid accumulation. This study provides important data regarding lipid levels and lipid accumulation rates in fetal adipose tissue compartments in uncomplicated pregnancies; information useful for the design of studies addressing fetal adipose tissue development in relation to fetal metabolic health and development of future disease.

4.6 References


Chapter 5

5 Conclusions

This chapter will conclude the thesis by summarizing and concluding the content from Chapters 2-4, examining the limitations of the work presented, and proposing future directions for research building on the knowledge presented in this thesis.

5.1 Chapter Summaries

In Chapter 2, my co-authors and I demonstrated that it is feasible to use water-fat magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) to assess fetal adipose tissue. Additionally, it was shown that the measurement was reproducible, both between readers and between measurements taken by one reader. In this chapter, I also demonstrated that water-fat MRI is sensitive to the lipid filling of adipose tissue. This study found a correlation between gestational age (GA) and fat signal fraction (FSF) of the adipose tissue, which agrees with histological studies that demonstrated that fetal adipose tissue accumulates lipid in the third trimester [1,2]. This is a measurement that had not been performed non-invasively before and opened the door for further research into the lipid content of fetal adipose tissue and the factors that may affect it.

In Chapter 3, my co-authors and I assessed the effects of the confounding factors of the multiphase spectrum of lipid and T2* decay by comparing the FSF measured with modified two-point Dixon and the proton density fat fraction (PDFF) measured using chemical-shift encoded (CSE)-MRI on fetal adipose tissue measures. This work showed that the two measurements were reliable in fetal adipose tissue and either can be used for comparison between participants. I also demonstrated that T2* decay is an important source of bias in the fetal liver as the FSF measured with modified two-point Dixon was higher than the PDFF measured with CSE-MRI in a third of the fetuses assessed. The repeatability of the PDFF measurement with CSE-MRI was also assessed and found to be excellent both between readers and from test-retest. From this work, we concluded that while the results from modified two-point Dixon and CSE-MRI are reliable, CSE-MRI is the preferred method for assessing fetal adipose tissue. The PDFF obtained from CSE-
MRI is more accurate, as it is the validated MRI-based biomarker of tissue lipid concentration [3].

In Chapter 4, I wanted to explore the capabilities of CSE-MRI in fetal adipose tissue, and I chose to look for differences in the PDFF of different adipose tissue compartments. My co-authors and I measured the PDFF in the white adipose tissue of the cheeks, thorax, abdomen, upper arms, forearms, thighs, and lower legs, and assessed the brown adipose tissue surrounding the kidneys. I showed that all the white adipose tissue compartments accumulated lipids at a similar rate but that at 30 weeks gestation, they had different PDFF values, suggesting that their development began at different times. This agrees with histological studies [1], as those adipose tissue compartments that began accumulating lipids earliest in gestation on histology have the highest PDFF values on MRI. I also compared the perirenal brown adipose tissue to the white adipose tissue of the upper arms as these begin to accumulate lipids at a similar GA according to histology [1]. I did not find a difference in the rate of lipid accumulation, but the PDFF at 30 weeks gestation was different between the two compartments. However, more work could be done to allow water-fat MRI to differentiate between the fetus's brown and white adipose tissues.

5.2 Thesis Conclusions

From the work presented in this thesis, it is clear that water-fat MRI can be used to assess fat fraction in fetal adipose tissue. I have highlighted some major considerations one must remember when assessing fat fraction of fetal adipose tissue through Chapters 2-4, which I will summarize here.

Chapter 3 shows that CSE-MRI should be the preferred method to measure PDFF in the fetus when available. While the measurements from both modified two-point Dixon and CSE-MRI were found to be reliable between the techniques when assessing fetal adipose tissue, the fat fraction measurements were not identical. This is attributed to the additional bias corrections used with CSE-MRI that allow it to give a PDFF measurement rather than the FSF measurement obtained from modified two-point Dixon. This difference may be more important if one seeks to measure the fat fraction of other fetal
tissues, as bias from T2* may increase the estimation of lipids in a tissue. We demonstrated this effect in the liver, where a third of our participants had pseudo-fat appear in the fetal liver with modified two-point Dixon but not CSE-MRI. This is a crucial observation as the liver is a tissue of interest for lipid deposition related to metabolic disorders. Recently, it was shown that CSE-MRI could detect differences in the hepatic PDFF of fetuses of mothers with diabetes [4].

The strongest correlation with fat fraction in third trimester fetal adipose tissue is the gestational age of the pregnancy, as shown in Chapters 2 and 4. This reflects the adipose tissue accumulating lipids within the adipocytes. Combining the results from Chapters 2 and 4, we see a large increase from approximately 10% at 29 weeks to 34% at 37 weeks gestation in the fetal trunk/abdomen. Therefore, the single most important consideration when assessing the fat fraction of fetal adipose tissue must be GA. Future studies aiming to compare individuals need to account for the change in PDFF with GA, either by including it in the analysis or by imaging at a limited GA range.

One must also consider the location of PDFF measurement, as in Chapter 4 I demonstrated differences between adipose tissue compartments. Since different fetal adipose tissue compartments begin accumulating lipids at different times, compartments should be compared against themselves when looking for differences between participants.

It should be noted that water-fat MRI was not only feasible, but also repeatable for the measurement of fat fraction when either modified two-point Dixon or CSE-MRI was used. As shown in Chapters 2-4, this was true across time (intra-rater reliability, and test-retest) and raters (inter-rater reliability). Our measurements were repeatable both for total fetal adipose tissue (Chapter 3) and when individual compartments were considered (Chapters 2 and 4), even without bony landmarks to guide the segmentation.

5.3 Thesis Limitations

The population of pregnant mothers included in this study varied in comorbidities present and GA at the time of MRI and was mostly homogeneous in ethnicity. This is a
limitation as the results cannot be used to define normal adipose tissue development. Such interpretations are also limited by the sample size included in the thesis. While understanding normal fetal adipose tissue development is an admirable goal that is not reached with the work presented here, this thesis does provide the building blocks necessary for such measurements. As some of the questions addressed in this thesis concern the feasibility and limitations of the water-fat MRI to measure fetal adipose tissue, a range of comorbidities and GA gives me confidence that the techniques discussed here can be applied to most pregnancies. Pregnancies that cannot be assessed with water-fat MRI include those with contraindications to MRI and those in the first half of pregnancy when lipid accumulation may be too low to detect with the methods presented here.

A second limitation is the cross-sectional design of the studies included in this thesis. The correlation between GA and fat fraction demonstrated here demonstrates that the fat fraction increases throughout gestation. But a longitudinal study is necessary to truly understand this relationship and model that increase. We have estimated the increase in PDFF with GA to be linear. However, a more complex model is probable, with the increase in PDFF starting slowly in the second trimester, being highest in the middle to the end of the third trimester, then slowing down again sometime in infancy. Longitudinal studies should be designed to tease out the relationship between adipose tissue lipid concentrations and age. Additionally, these longitudinal studies should be used to assess differences between clinical groups, as a change in the developmental trajectory may be found rather than changes in PDFF at a given GA. Longitudinal measurements of growth are frequently used in obstetrics, and this approach should also be applied to fetal adipose tissue lipid accumulation. This is a strength of water-fat MRI over previous histological studies, as the non-invasiveness of MRI allows for multiple measurements of tissue lipid content to be made during pregnancy and beyond.

Additionally, all the work in this thesis was performed at 1.5 T. I predict the results presented here regarding feasibility, bias correction, and repeatability would also be true at higher field strengths. Indeed, the signal to noise ratio (SNR) obtained at a higher field strength would be higher than we had, which may improve the measurements made by
making the delineation of the adipose tissue easier and allowing measurements of lower PDFF values, as expected earlier in gestation, in brown adipose tissue and other tissues such as the liver.

One of the strengths of CSE-MRI is the use of a multi-peak lipid spectrum during the calculation of PDFF. This step is crucial to correctly assigning signal to water or lipid [5,6]. The lipid spectrum used in the iterative decomposition of water and fat with echo asymmetry and least squares estimation (IDEAL) reconstruction is a 6-peak model determined by spectroscopy in the liver of people with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease [7]. This is because the development of IDEAL was targeted at measuring hepatic triglycerides for monitoring hepatic steatosis. Some caution must be taken when using this spectrum for other applications [7]. The lipid spectrum reflects the fatty acid composition of the triglycerides in a sample, and the fatty acid composition is different in different tissues. Fatty acid composition is described by the chain length (CL), number of double bonds (ndb), and number of methylene-interrupted double bonds (nmidb) [7]. The liver spectrum was found to have CL = 17.45, ndb = 1.92, and nmidb = 0.32 [7]. Different values were found in adipose tissue when measured with spectroscopy, with adult adipose tissue having average values of: CL = 17.5, ndb = 2.782, nmidb = 0.723 [8]. Different fatty acid compositions were even found between superficial and deep subcutaneous adipose tissue and visceral adipose tissue [8]. It is reasonable to expect that fetal adipose tissue could also have a different fatty acid composition, and therefore lipid spectrum, from the liver, and possibly adult adipose tissue.

Using an incorrect lipid spectrum could introduce errors in the calculated PDFF [7]. While this is of some concern and represents a limitation of this thesis, there is evidence that any errors introduced using the incorrect lipid spectrum will be small [9]. The bias in PDFF measurements when using different lipid spectra was investigated by varying the spectral model to any biologically plausible combination of CL, ndb, and nmidb [9]. The maximum difference between the generated PDFFs was 1.5%, and the largest bias measured between a possible spectral model and the standard one derived from liver lipids was 1.2% [9]. These are both small errors, so I expect that using the true lipid
spectrum from fetal adipose tissue would not result in large changes in our measured fat fractions.

5.4 Future Directions

The work presented in Chapters 2-4 laid the building blocks for future studies utilizing water-fat MRI to investigate fetal adipose tissue. There are so many exciting research questions I could not address within this thesis, which will ultimately lead to a better understanding of the developmental programming of metabolic diseases and may one day lead to changes in clinical care before, during, and after pregnancy.

5.4.1 Increase the signal-to-noise ratio of water-fat MRI for fetal adipose tissue assessment

We obtained sufficient SNR to measure the fat fraction of subcutaneous adipose tissue (and, in some cases, perirenal adipose tissue) from 29 weeks onward, corresponding to fat fractions of approximately 10% or more. However, we need to increase the SNR to examine lower fat fractions. Lower fat fractions are expected earlier in gestation and possibly in brown adipose tissue. This may be why the perirenal adipose tissue compartment was only identified in fetuses after 32 weeks gestation.

There are a few ways the SNR could be increased; increasing signal or reducing noise both result in a higher SNR. Practically, there are a few ways to achieve this goal; moving to higher field strength, such as 3.0 T, increasing the acquisition time by using a motion robust sequence, or increasing the signal with a higher flip angle.

The MRI signal can be increased by moving to higher field strength since SNR increases linearly with field strength; therefore, if we increase the field strength from 1.5 T to 3.0 T, we should roughly double our SNR. 3.0 T MRI is becoming increasingly available, and many with a 70 cm or larger bore diameter would aid in making pregnant women more comfortable.

Alternatively, noise can be reduced by averaging the signal from multiple acquisitions. Obtaining more acquisitions requires more time, and with the techniques used in this thesis, we are time-limited due to motion. We obtain our images during maternal breath
hold and must keep our acquisition time within the time a pregnant woman can hold her
breath (we used 16 s). Additionally, there is fetal motion to consider, and the longer you
take for image acquisition, the more likely the fetus will move. Therefore, to reduce
noise by averaging more image data, we need to overcome the motion sensitivity of the
sequence. Utilizing a motion-robust sequence, such as a sequence with radial acquisitions
and motion sorting [10-12], would allow for longer acquisition time and could ultimately
provide higher SNR.

These two methods were recently implemented to measure the PDFF in the fetal liver [4].
Imaging at 3.0 T and using a radial acquisition allowed for measurements of PDFFs
under 5%. This method could also be used to measure the PDFF of fetal adipose tissue,
particularly in the second trimester when fat fractions will be low.

Another way to increase the signal, and thus SNR, is to use a higher flip angle. Of course,
this reintroduces the T1 bias, which must be corrected to obtain an accurate measure of
PDFF [13,14]. If the T1 of water and lipid in your tissue of interest is known, you can use
these values, along with your flip angle and TR, to correct the T1 bias [13,14]. If we
recall Eqs. 1.12 and 1.13 from Section 1.4.2.4:

\[
W = \frac{M_w \left( 1 - e^{-TR/T1_{1w}} \right) \sin \alpha}{\left( 1 - e^{-TR/T1_{1w}} \right) \sin \alpha} \quad \text{Eq. 1.12}
\]

\[
F = \frac{M_f \left( 1 - e^{-TR/T1_{1f}} \sin \alpha \right)}{\left( 1 - e^{-TR/T1_{1f}} \cos \alpha \right)} \quad \text{Eq. 1.13}
\]

Then we can rearrange to solve for the water and lipid proton densities, \( M_w \) and \( M_f \)
respectively:

\[
M_w = \frac{W \left( 1 - e^{-TR/T1_{1w}} \cos \alpha \right)}{\left( 1 - e^{-TR/T1_{1w}} \sin \alpha \right) \sin \alpha} \quad \text{Eq. 5.1}
\]
Using these proton densities to calculate fat fraction will give an unbiased PDFF.

A description of the T1 of water and lipid in fetal adipose tissue demonstrates that the T1 values do not change significantly with GA in the third trimester or between adipose tissue compartments [15]. The values reported are 946.6 ± 128.2 msec for water and 224.9 ± 41.9 msec for lipid [15]. After correction, an accurate PDFF would be obtained, and the images would have a higher SNR than with the low flip angle approach. This could be combined with a higher field strength and motion-robust imaging to further increase the SNR.

5.4.2 Assess the entire gestational period of lipid accumulation in fetal adipose tissue

In this thesis, I presented data showing a PDFF increase from 29 weeks to 38 weeks gestation. This does not represent the entire period of lipid accumulation in the fetal adipose tissue, as tissues begin accumulating lipids around 16 weeks gestation and, at term, have not reached adult PDFF values. In infants between 1 and 171 days old, subcutaneous adipose tissue PDFF values in the shoulder ranged from 63.2 - 88.9% [16]. Similarly, in infants between 11 and 56 days of age, subcutaneous adipose tissue in the nuchal region had a PDFF value of 67.7 ± 4.6% [17]. The values in both studies are lower than the values of 90% in adult subcutaneous adipose tissue [18].

It would be fascinating to measure PDFF earlier in gestation, starting between 16 and 18 weeks, as this is when fetal adipocytes have accumulated some lipids [1]. This would rely on the work suggested in Section 5.4.1, as the fat fraction values will be very low this early in gestation. I would expect to see a slow PDFF increase to begin at the early GA, with the increase becoming larger further into the third trimester.

We measured PDFFs in fetuses up to 37 weeks 3 days gestation, and while this is almost the end of gestation, it would be interesting to try and push further. I want to better
model the relationship between PDFF and GA, and going to later GA (and likely infancy) would be required to understand when the PDFF increase begins to slow.

The effect of birth and onset of lactation of offspring adipose tissue PDFF values would be another interesting research question. Infants lose weight after birth, as the body releases stored energy until lactation is well established, and I expect a drop in adipose tissue PDFF values would occur as a result.

Ideally, this would all be performed with longitudinal studies so that the trajectory of PDFF with GA could be appropriately modelled. An understanding of adipose tissue development in “normal” pregnancies would allow for investigation into which clinical groups have altered development.

5.4.3 Investigate differences between different clinical populations

The goal of health research is to improve clinical care. Before water-fat MRI of fetal adipose tissue can impact clinical care, we need to apply it to clinical populations and add to the knowledge obtained from other methods. We are preparing a preliminary study for publication, which used water-fat MRI to assess the development of fetal adipose tissue in populations including fetal growth restriction, macrosomia, maternal obesity and maternal diabetes as a starting point. These groups all represent individuals potentially susceptible to negative metabolic programming, and this study will be the first to assess how the adipose tissue accumulates lipids in these populations. Understanding how lipid accumulation is affected in different clinical populations may allow changes to clinical care early in life when minimizing developmental programming of metabolism could change an individual’s lifelong health trajectory.

An example of an important application is to assess the impacts of maternal diabetes treatment on fetal adipose tissue development. Metformin and insulin are commonly used to treat type 2 diabetes in pregnancy, and studies comparing the two have shown them to be equally safe and effective in controlling maternal glucose levels [19]. The two function differently and consequently can be expected to have different effects on the metabolic environment a fetus experiences when used during pregnancy, and may have
different later-life effects on the offspring’s metabolic health. Metformin reduces hepatic glucose production, stimulates insulin sensitivity in the liver and skeletal muscle, inhibits gluconeogenesis and reduces lipid synthesis [20]. Insulin treatment, by comparison, works to overcome the insulin insensitivity present with type 2 or gestational diabetes. Since metformin reduces lipid synthesis [20], it is possible that fetuses exposed to metformin will have less lipid within their adipose tissue than those receiving insulin treatment. A study following children to 2 years of age suggested that in-utero exposure to metformin treatment may reduce lipid deposition viscerally and promote lipid storage in subcutaneous depots [21]. Water-fat MRI could determine if that difference begins during gestation.

5.4.4 Measure the fatty acid composition of fetal adipose tissue

Water-fat MRI can do more than measure the lipid content within tissues. Since it is sensitive to the lipid spectrum, it is also possible to use water-fat MRI to measure the lipid spectrum. With measurements of the lipid spectrum, it is possible to estimate the fatty acid composition of the tissues through CL, ndb, and nmidb [22,23]. Each peak within the lipid spectrum is related to specific hydrogen nuclei on the triglyceride molecule [7], as shown in Figure 1.4.

Magnetic resonance spectroscopy (MRS) could be used to obtain spectra from fetal adipose tissue, but this is not a simple measurement to make since fetal movement after selection of the MRS voxel could cause the MRS voxel to be outside of the fetal adipose tissue, especially since these tissues are small. This problem is avoided using MRI since we can image the entire uterus.

CSE-MRI has been used with a reconstruction that separates the signal into water and lipid peak components to estimate the contribution of different lipid peaks to the total signal [22-28]. The final output of this reconstruction is CL, ndb, and nmidb maps.

This technique could be applied to fetal adipose tissue to characterize its development further. I believe it would be particularly interesting to investigate fatty acid composition differences between white adipose tissue and brown adipose tissue. In Chapter 4, I found
differences in the PDFF between upper arm white adipose tissue and perirenal brown adipose tissue; however, these were small differences, and because the PDFF also varies with GA and anatomical location, I do not think PDFF alone will be sufficient to determine if an adipose tissue compartment is primarily composed of brown or white adipose tissue. A previous MRS study comparing murine white adipose tissue and brown adipose tissue found differences in the lipid spectrum, with white adipose tissue having higher amounts of unsaturated triglycerides than brown adipose tissue [29]. A combination of differences in PDFF and fatty acid composition may be sufficient to distinguish white adipose tissue from brown adipose tissue in the human fetus. There is disagreement in the literature regarding the classification of fetal adipose tissue as white adipose tissue or brown adipose tissue, and this may present a method to distinguish the tissue types non-invasively.

5.5 Significance and Impact

Although the lipid filling of fetal adipose tissue was studied with histology 40 years ago, this thesis contains the first non-invasive method to measure this process. This allows for studies involving living pregnancies and makes longitudinal assessments possible. This thesis provides the building blocks necessary to design studies utilizing this measurement to study the normal accumulation of lipids in fetal tissues and investigate abnormal development that is expected with the developmental programming of metabolic diseases.

This work also provides some advancement towards distinguishing fetal brown and white adipose tissue non-invasively. While there is still much work to be done here, there is a lot of research interest in brown adipose tissue, and it is likely that brown and white adipose tissue develop and contribute to metabolic dysfunction risk differently. Therefore, we need to be able to identify the tissues correctly to be able to study them effectively.
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