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Abstract

Pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC) is predicted to be the second deadliest cancer by 2030. Previous studies showed constitutive activation of KRAS (KRAS\textsuperscript{G12D}) is a key genetic driver of PDAC, accelerated by deletion of the epigenetic regulator Enhancer of Zeste Homologue 2 (EZH2). However, contradictory findings suggest multiple roles for EZH2. The goal of this study was to define EZH2’s contribution to early KRAS\textsuperscript{G12D}-driven PDAC. I hypothesized that EZH2 restricts KRAS\textsuperscript{G12D} initiation of PDAC in response to injury. To address this hypothesis, genetically modified mice with targeted deletion of the SET domain of Ezh2 +/- KRAS\textsuperscript{G12D} were exposed to cerulein-induced pancreatic injury and examined for pancreatic lesions. Histological analysis for markers of tissue damage and inflammation showed loss of EZH2 caused no difference in the pre-neoplastic lesion formation but did affect progression, and reduced immune cell infiltration, suggesting a role for EZH2 in limiting early progression of KRAS\textsuperscript{G12D}-mediated PDAC.
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Summary for Lay Audience

In this study, I examined the role of an enzyme Enhancer of Zeste Homologue 2 (EZH2) in the most common type of pancreatic cancer called pancreatic ductal carcinoma (PDAC) in the presence of KRAS mutation in mice. The majority of PDAC patients bear KRAS mutations in their genome. Our previous work showed that there are no differences in the severity of pancreatic tissue injury whether you delete EZH2 or not. However, other studies indicate that EZH2 deletion make the effects of KRAS mutation much stronger on the development of PDAC. Therefore, I wanted to know if the loss of EZH2 is beneficial or harmful for pancreatic tissue recovery from injury in the context of KRAS mutation. My work showed that the loss of EZH2 results in more progressive pancreatic lesions, which increase the possibility of developing into cancer. In addition, I observed more immune cells appeared around the pancreatic lesions of mice lacking Ezh2 expression. My results suggest that EZH2 plays an important role in the development of pancreatic cancer and may be a potential target for anti-cancer therapy.
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1 CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION

1.1 PANCREATIC DUCTAL ADENOCARCINOMA

Pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC) constitutes 95% of all pancreatic cancers and is predicted to be the second leading cause of death related to cancer in Canada by 2030 (Haeberle & Esposito, 2019). The overall five-year survival rate for PDAC is less than 9% due to the lack of early detection methods and effective treatments (Sarantis et al., 2020). PDAC is highly malignant and the prognosis is the poorest of any common solid tumour. PDAC often remains asymptomatic until the tumor becomes advanced. Common symptoms include abdominal pain, weight loss, and jaundice. The majority of patients are diagnosed at late stages when PDAC has already metastasized (Zhang et al., 2019), likely due to the pancreas being located deep in the abdomen and often lacking early symptoms until the tumor spreads to other organs. In 60-70% cases, PDAC develops from the head of the pancreas (Corbo et al., 2012). These cases are often diagnosed earlier because the head of the pancreas contains the pancreatic duct that joins the lower part of the common bile duct, which is responsible for carrying bile through the pancreas into the duodenum. In contrast, PDAC arising from the body and tail of the pancreas usually has a poor prognosis (Sarantis et al., 2020).

The high mortality rate of PDAC is also due to the resistance these tumours show to most current cancer treatments. Most traditional cancer therapies, such as chemotherapy, radiotherapy, and surgery, have limited effects on improving survival. Surgical resection and chemotherapy with gemcitabine are considered the most effective treatments to improve the survival rate of early-stage PDAC patients with resectable and locally advanced tumors, respectively. However, these treatments are not sufficient for late-stage patients (Sarantis et al., 2020) and have relapse rate up to 80% (Low et al., 2011). For these reasons, it is essential to elucidate factors that affect the initiation and progression of PDAC and discover methods of detection and stratification of PDAC. To identify novel targets for treatment, there is a critical need in identifying and understanding mechanisms that
underlie the initiation events in PDAC, as well as the potential to increase patient's survival rate after diagnosis.

1.1.1 ORIGIN OF PDAC

PDAC originates in the exocrine part of the pancreas. The pancreas is a long-flattened gland located in the abdomen surrounded by the spleen, liver and small intestine. The pancreas has two functional components - the exocrine pancreas that produces, stores and delivers digestive enzymes, and the endocrine islets that regulate blood sugar levels (Zhou et al., 2018). The exocrine pancreas is composed of acinar cells, which are responsible for producing and secreting digestive enzymes, and duct cells, which form interposed conduits that transport enzymes into the small intestine (Backx et al., 2022).

PDAC can originate from acinar cells or duct cells with similar phenotypes but different modes of tumor progression (Ferreira et al., 2017). Due to the high expression of duct cell markers and duct morphology, PDAC was originally thought to arise exclusively from duct cells. However, recent studies show evidence of acinar cells adopting duct cell features, with acinar cells the most common cell of origin for PDAC (De La et al., 2008; Ferreira et al., 2017; Xu et al., 2019). Acinar-derived PDAC usually develops via pre-neoplastic precursors called pancreatic intraepithelial neoplasia (PanINs), which is one of the precursor lesions in PDAC. Studies also show acinar cells are more sensitive to pancreatic tumor driver mutations and are more likely to develop into PanIN and PDAC effectively. Duct cells are more resistant to oncogenic drivers and are less likely to develop to PDAC (Brembeck et al., 2003; Guerra et al., 2007; Ray et al., 2011), therefore, our models have focused on expressing oncogenic KRAS within acinar cells.

1.1.2 PDAC PROGRESSION AND MORPHOLOGY

1.1.2.1 ACINAR-TO-DUCT CELL METAPLASIA (ADM)

A key event that can increase the risk of PDAC is a common and reversible process called acinar-to-duct cell metaplasia (ADM), which can be induced by the pancreatic inflammation that occurs in pancreatitis (Liu et al., 2016). ADM is a process in which
pancreatic acinar cells dedifferentiate into progenitor-like cells and then re-differentiate into duct-like cells with duct cell traits. An ADM lesion is characterized by a lobular structure consisting of mainly metaplastic duct-like cells, which are proliferative and replenish the tissue after damage (McDonald, 2022; Fig 1.1). ADM facilitates acinar cell regeneration and reverses loss of pancreatic tissue due to injury, showing the ability of acinar cells to adapt to pressures caused by environmental and/or genetic stress (Wang et al., 2019). However, when oncogenic genetic mutations are acquired and/or environmental stress is persistent, ADM may become irreversible and lead to low-grade PanINs, increasing the risk of further progressing to PDAC (Andrew et al., 2020; Storz, 2017).

Multiple genetic and environmental factors have been implicated in regulating the formation of ADM, including oncogenic KRAS (Hingorani et al., 2003), persistent stress signalling (Liou et al., 2016), inflammatory cytokines (Liou et al., 2013), loss of cell-cell and cell-matrix contacts (Greer et al., 2013; Sawey et al., 2007), loss of cell polarity (Direnzo et al., 2012), and the presence of growth factors that activate epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) (Liou et al., 2016; Storz, 2017). Studies from both human (Liu et al., 2016; Huang et al., 2021) and mouse models (Collins et al., 2012; Habbe et al., 2008) identified the essential role of oncogenic KRAS\textsuperscript{G12D} in the induction of ADM-like change in cell culture and in vivo. In mouse acinar cells, oncogenic KRAS changes gene expression profiles, which results in suppression of acinar-specific genes including carboxypeptidase A1 (Cpa1) (Livshits et al., 2018) and Amylase (Liou et al., 2013), and activation of duct-specific genes such as cytokeratin 19 (CK19) (Liou et al., 2013), mucin 1 (Muc1) (Zhu et al., 2007), and Sry-related high-mobility group box 9 (Sox9) (Zhou et al., 2018).
Figure 1.1 Schematic model of the progression of normal pancreatic acinar cells to PanIN lesions to PDAC. Oncogenic KRAS$^{G12D}$ expression in acinar cells promotes acinar to duct cell metaplasia (ADM) and pancreatic intraepithelial neoplasia (PanIN). This eventually results in PDAC observed in PDAC mice models. PDAC cells release factors that stimulate surrounding residential fibroblasts into activated myofibroblasts (myCAFs) and inflammatory fibroblasts (iCAFs). During tumorigenesis, immune cell populations including T cells, NK cells, and macrophages infiltrate the tumor microenvironment (TME).
1.1.2.2 PANCREATIC INTRAEPITHELIAL NEOPLASIA (PANIN)

PanINs are the most common type of non-invasive precursor lesion that leads to invasive PDAC. Generally, PanIN are asymptomatic and microscopic lesions that measure less than 5 mm in diameter (Distler et al., 2014). The progression from PanIN to PDAC is classified into three different stages according to the level of morphologic dysplasia and accumulation of genetic alterations: low grade (PanIN-1A and PanIN-1B), intermediate grade (PanIN-2), and high grade (PanIN-3). Significant genetic and molecular changes take place in each stage that drive metastasis of PDAC cells, including mutations in KRAS, p53, and SMAD4 (Guo et al., 2016; Figure 1.1).

Low-grade PanINs arise in metaplastic ductal epithelium and can be observed in healthy pancreatic tissue (Habbe et al., 2008). PanIN-1A lesions are flat lesions characterized by simple columnar epithelium with no nuclear dysplasia (Distler et al., 2014). PanIN-1B lesions are papillary epithelial lesions that are similar to PanIN-1A but show nuclear atypia and undulating architecture. PanIN-2 are mucinous epithelial lesions that show loss of cell polarity, with enlarged nuclei that vary in size and shape and have a pseudostratified appearance. Cytologically, PanIN-2 show moderate architectural atypia, which includes nuclear crowding, and nuclear hyperchromasia. PanIN-3 exhibit the most severe dysplasia, which is characterized by extensive loss of nuclear polarity, papillae and cribriform structure formation, poorly oriented nuclei, and cell clusters bud from the papilla into the lumen of the duct. PanIN-3 lesions are stratified, predominantly papillary and rarely flat (Guo et al., 2016; Distler et al., 2014; Hruban et al., 2008; Seeley et al., 2010; Figure 1.1). The accumulation of genetic alterations is often correlated with the histological progression of pancreatic carcinogenesis.

1.1.2.3 PDAC

Grossly, PDAC presents as a solid white-yellowish mass with abnormal contours, most frequently located at the pancreatic head. At diagnosis, PDAC tumors have usually developed beyond the pancreatic anatomical boundary and infiltrated into neighboring structures including associated blood vessels, peripancreatic fat tissue, duodenum, or
stomach (Esposito et al., 2019). PDAC tumors often reach a size between 2-4 cm if localized in the proximal pancreas, or even larger if localized in the body and tail (Haeberle and Esposito, 2019). Microscopically, PDAC lesions appear as irregular small pancreatic ducts consisting of atypical ductal units lined by one or two layers of cuboidal duct cells, embedding in dense desmoplastic stroma (Korc, 2007). Based on transcriptomic analysis of PDAC epithelial cells, Moffitt et al. (2015) identified four subtypes of tumors by separating tumor and stromal gene expression profile. Two tumor-specific subtypes include classical and basal-like PDAC. Patients with classical PDAC have significantly higher survival rate and better diagnosis, while basal-like PDAC is associated with poor diagnosis and clinical outcomes (Moffitt et al., 2015; Shinkawa et al., 2022). The classical PDAC is characterized by the expression of epithelial markers and higher level of differentiation, with the presence of fibrosis and inflammation in pancreatic tissues. Basal-like PDAC is characterized by the expression of mesenchymal markers and poor differentiation (Juiz et al., 2020; Shinkawa et al., 2022). Two stromal-specific subtypes are identified as normal and activated stromal PDAC. Activated stromal PDAC is characterized by two factors that demonstrated gene expression specifically from the stromal area. Patients with activated stromal PDAC have worse diagnosis compared to patients with normal subtype (Moffitt et al., 2015).

1.1.3 GENETIC FACTORS

PDAC tumorigenesis involves progressive accumulation of diverse genetic alterations including point mutations, insertions, deletions, and amplifications in more than 500 genes (Lucito et al., 2007). However, genome-wide sequencing studies identified only four signature genetic mutations for PDAC, including the KRAS oncogene, and tumour suppressor genes TP53, CDKN2A/p16, and SMAD4 (Waddell et al., 2015). These genetic alterations are the main cause of the histological changes that occur in different stages of PDAC progression (Hruban et al., 2008). The majority of PDAC patients carry KRAS and TP53 mutations. Almost 95% PDAC patients carry at least two out of four mutations, while KRAS mutations alone was found in 93% patients. It is reported that patients with less mutations survived significantly longer than patients with more mutations, and that patients
carrying wild-type KRAS or CDKN2A/p16 also survived significantly longer than those with oncogenic KRAS (Schlitter et al., 2017).

1.1.3.1 ONCOGENE KRAS

*Kirsten rat sarcoma viral oncogene homolog (KRAS)* mutations are the most common driver genes of PDAC that occur in early preneoplastic lesions (Waddell et al., 2015; Guo et al., 2016). Approximately 95% of all PDAC patients are found to carry an oncogenic KRAS mutation (Guo et al., 2016). KRAS is located at chromosome 12p12.1 and encodes for a small plasma membrane bound GTPase protein called KRAS (21 kDa), which acts as a molecular switch for multiple downstream signaling pathways. KRAS protein comprises two functional domains, one of which called the G domain that is responsible for binding and hydrolysing guanosine triphosphate (GTP). The second domain is the membrane targeting domain that anchors to the cell membrane and is necessary for the bioactivity of KRAS. The function of KRAS as a molecular switch is controlled by the intrinsic guanosine triphosphate (GTP)- guanosine diphosphate (GDP) cycling (Vigil et al., 2010). In the quiescent state, KRAS is predominantly bound to GDP and is inactive. Extracellular stimulations such as epidermal growth factor (EGF) bind to the epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) and activate tyrosine kinases (Ardito et al., 2012). This leads to rapid transition of KRAS from the GDP-bound inactive state to the GTP-bound active state mediated by guanine nucleotide exchange factors (GEFs) (Vigil et al., 2010). Active KRAS is capable of recruiting effector proteins and, therefore, regulates more than 80 downstream intracellular signalling pathways (Buscail et al., 2020). The deactivation of KRAS is mainly mediated by GTPase-activating proteins (GAPs), which accelerate the hydrolysis of GTP (Figure 1.2). In general, KRAS couples growth factor receptors on the cell membrane to intracellular signalling pathways and eventually promotes cell proliferation, differentiation, migration, and survival by activating various signaling pathways and transcription factors (Buscail et al., 2020).

Common KRAS variants include G12D, G12V, and G12R, while some less common KRAS variants include G12C, Q61H, Q61R, representing different types of amino acid changes due to mutations (Bournet et al., 2016). These are gain-of-function mutations in KRAS that
cause hyperactivation. Among all the PDAC-associated variants in KRAS, G12D is the most frequent type of point mutation that accounts for nearly 40% of all KRAS mutations (Waters and Der, 2018). Two mutational hot spots, G12D and G12V, involve single nucleotide mutations that induce replacement of the GGT (glycine) sequence by the GAT (aspartic acid) sequence and by the GGT (valine), respectively (Bournet et al., 2016). These mutations permanently impair the GTPase activity of KRAS and prevent the conversion of GTP to GDP, resulting in persistent activation of KRAS independent of extracellular stimulation (di Magliano and Logsdon, 2013; Jonckheere et al., 2017). The KRAS protein is therefore capable of constitutively activating various downstream signalling pathways, leading to cancer cell proliferation, invasion and survival.

Numerous studies revealed that KRAS mutations are the main genetic driver that initiates the development of PDAC. However, this progression from normal pancreatic tissue to invasive metastatic PDAC requires additional genetic aberrations and has a long latency period of 10 to 12 years (Iacobuzio-Donahue et al., 2012; Kanji et al., 2013). Several major signaling pathways modulated by aberrant KRAS activation include canonical mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK), NF-κB, and PI3K-AKT-mTOR signalling pathways (Figure 1.2; Collisson et al., 2012; Collins, et al., 2014; Jazirehi et al., 2012; Hassan et al., 2018; Prabhu et al., 2014). The KRAS/MAPK cascade (Figure 1.2) is the best-studied effector pathway normally activated by EGF, which is the main activating protein for KRAS signaling. Constitutively active KRAS first binds to and activates the serine/threonine kinase Raf, which in turn phosphorylates and activates the mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase (MEK1/2). Subsequently, MEK1/2 phosphorylates extracellular signal-regulated kinase (ERK1/2), which eventually translocates to the nucleus where it phosphorylates transcription factors and promotes gene expression involved in cell-cycle progression, cell proliferation and differentiation (Collison et al., 2012; Cargnello et al., 2011). Constitutive up-regulation of the MAPK pathway by KRAS$^{G12D}$ promotes acinar cell dedifferentiation and is essential for the maintenance of PanIN lesions (Collins et al., 2014).
Figure 1.2 Activation of KRAS protein and downstream intracellular signaling pathways. Extracellular ligands such as epidermal growth factor (EGF) which are bound to the epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) and leads to interaction with KRAS protein. The KRAS protein is attached to the cell membrane in order to be activated. KRAS is activated when bound to GTP and is deactivated when bound to GDP. Intrinsic GTP–GDP cycling is controlled by guanine nucleotide exchange factors (GEFs) that can convert GDP to GTP, and by GTPase-activating proteins (GAPs) that can accelerate GTP hydrolysis. Point mutations (e.g., G12D, G12V) impair the GTPase activity of KRAS and prevents deactivation. KRAS is therefore constitutively active and is able to upregulate multiple downstream signaling pathways and nuclear transcription factors, leading to cell proliferation, differentiation, and survival.
PI3K-AKT-mTOR is the second major intrinsic intracellular signalling pathway that contributes to cell cycle regulation (Hassan et al., 2018). KRAS$^{G12D}$ constitutively activates downstream effector Phosphatidyl inositol 3 kinase (PI3K), which in turn phosphorylates protein kinase B (AKT). AKT can activate multiple downstream transcription factors, one of which is mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR), a serine/threonine protein kinase mainly regulating growth and proliferation of cells. As a master switch of many downstream effectors, AKT predominantly functions to promote cell survival mediated by growth factors and to restrict apoptosis (Jazirehi et al., 2012; Hassan et al., 2018). Overactivation of this pathway in PDAC results in limited cell apoptosis, which therefore allows cancer cell proliferation and enhanced PDAC aggressiveness (Jazirehi et al., 2012).

The PI3K-AKT-mTOR pathway also plays a role in modulating crosstalk between tumor cells and the stromal immune cells, which eventually promotes tumor growth and therapy resistance (Yuan et al., 2008). The third intrinsic signaling pathway is the Nuclear factor kappa-light-chain-enhancer of activated B cells (NF-κB). NF-κB is the “matchmaker” of cancer and cancer-associated inflammation in PDAC (Kabacaoglu et al., 2019). By activating a transcription factor called activator protein 1 (AP-1), oncogenic KRAS promotes the production of interleukin-1α (IL-1α), which stimulates constitutive activation of the NF-κB signaling (Ling et al., 2012). This not only results in the activation of multiple target genes responsible for proinflammatory and antiapoptotic responses in PDAC, but also leads to overexpression of sequestosome-1, also known as ubiquitin-binding protein p62, which positively regulates the feedback loop and further increases NF-κB levels (Prabhu et al., 2014).

By activating numerous major intrinsic signaling pathways, KRAS$^{G12D}$ is able to promote irreversible ADM and initiate progression to PDAC. However, additional genetic or environmental factors are required along with KRAS oncogene to promote more progressive PanIN lesions.
1.1.3.2 TUMOR SUPPRESSOR GENES

In addition to KRAS, TP53, CDKN2A/p16, and SMAD4 tumor suppressor genes are mutated in many PDAC cases, with a prevalence of 70%, 21% and 17%, respectively (Waters and Der, 2018; Maddalena et al., 2021). The presence of additional mutational burden in tumor suppressor genes can dramatically accelerate the progression of KRAS$^{G12D}$-mediated PDAC (Hingorani et al., 2005). In contrast to KRAS, TP53, CDKN2A/p16, and SMAD4 are inactivated in most of PDAC cases, while KRAS is activated (Hahn & Schmiegel, 1998).

The majority of mutations in tumor protein p53 (TP53) are missense mutations, leading to excessive production of mutant p53 in tumor cells (Maddalena et al., 2021). Mutations in TP53 essentially promote metastasis of PDAC by preventing growth arrest or senescence of cancer cells (Morton et al., 2010). Cyclin dependent kinase inhibitor 2A (CDKN2A) encodes for the p16 protein, which can negatively regulate the progression of cell cycle (Zhao et al., 2016). Mutations in CDKN2A result in functional inactivation and uncontrolled cell growth and differentiation (Zhao et al., 2016). Mothers against decapentaplegic homolog 4 (SMAD4) mutations are rare in PDAC, but the combination of SMAD4 mutations with other genetic mutations increase the risk of PDAC. It encodes for the SMAD4 protein, which acts as an effector protein that activates the transforming growth factor-β (TGFβ) pathway (Dardare et al., 2020). Given TGFβ primarily plays a role in cell cycle regulation as a tumor suppressor, alterations in the SMAD4 gene contribute to promoting the progression of PDAC (Waddell et al., 2015). However, TGFβ can promote tumour progression at later stages of PDAC, suggesting an opposing role of SMAD4. In contrast to KRAS, which occur early in the disease, CDKN2A and SMAD4 genes usually appear in later stages of PDAC such as PanIN-3, when lesions are histologically recognizable (Kubiczkova et al., 2012; Zhao et al., 2016).

1.1.3.3 GENETIC MODELS OF PDAC

Given the well-known role of oncogenic KRAS in initiating multiple genetic events for PDAC, studying KRAS mutations has been important in improving our knowledge on the
transformation process in PDAC and to develop effective treatments. In the past few decades, transgenic animal models, especially a KRAS$^{G12D}$ mouse model, has been widely used for pathophysiological studies of PDAC (Löhr et al., 2005; Hingorani et al., 2003; Siveke et al., 2007; Jonckheere et al., 2017). Some commonly used mouse lines were developed in which KRAS$^{G12D}$ was conditionally activated by cre recombinase expressed from pancreas-specific genes such as pancreatic and duodenal homeobox 1 (Pdx1), Ptf1a/P48, and Mist1 (Hingorani et al., 2005; Herreros et al., 2012). These models are named $Pdx1^{cre/+}/lox$-stop-lox (LSL)-KRAS$^{G12D}$, $Ptf1a(P48)^{cre/+}/LSL$-KRAS$^{G12D}$, and $Mist1^{cre/+}/LSL$-KRAS$^{G12D}$ (Herreros et al., 2012). In general, these genetically engineered mice have cre recombinase targeted to the specific genes to ensure spatially restricted expression. The cre recombinase then promotes deletion of the LSL region located within the KRAS gene, but upstream of a mutated, constitutively activate KRAS$^{G12D}$.

$Pdx1^{cre/+}/LSL$-KRAS$^{G12D}$ mice are also known as the KC mouse model. PDX1 is a critical transcription factor involved in the development of the pancreas. Specifically, PDX1 facilitates growth and lineage specification of pancreatic multipotent progenitor cells (MPC) during embryogenesis (Kawaguchi et al., 2002; Hingorani et al., 2005). Therefore, KC mice express pancreas wide oncogenic KRAS$^{G12D}$ from early embryonic development (Guerra et al., 2013). Pancreatic transcription factor 1a (Ptf1a) and MIST1 are two of the basic helix-loop-helix (bHLH) transcription factors, whose downregulation is often associated with acinar cell dedifferentiation and loss of acinar cell identity during ADM (Pin et al., 2001; Johnson et al., 2004; Rodolosse et al., 2004). They coordinate to maintain the highly differentiated status of acinar cells and prevent the pancreas from undergoing carcinogenesis (Jakubison et al., 2018). Silencing of Ptf1a and Mist1 genes make acinar cells more prone to undergo irreversible ADM in the context of KRAS$^{G12D}$ hyperactivation (Adell et al., 2000). Transgenic mouse models using Ptf1a and Mist1 as cre driver genes, termed $Ptf1a^{cre/+}$KRAS$^{G12D}$ (PK model) and $Mist1^{cre/+}$KRAS$^{G12D}$ (MK model), respectively, induce acinar-specific activation of KRAS$^{G12D}$ as they are specifically expressed in acinar cells to coordinate transcription network. Notably, when PK model is used without an inducible cre-recombinase, non-specific gene deletion will occur in the pancreas because Ptf1a is originally express in all pancreatic cells (Jakubison et al., 2018; Azizi et al., 2021).
Interestingly, many studies reported pancreas-wide hyperactivation of oncogenic KRAS in adult mice using an inducible Cre/loxP system, resulted in limited spontaneous ADM and PanIN formation, suggesting KRAS\textsuperscript{G12D} alone works inefficiently in driving tumorigenesis (Guerra et al., 2011). KRAS works more effectively when chronic pancreatitis, a major risk factor for PDAC, coexists (Carrière et al., 2009; Guerra et al., 2011). KRAS\textsuperscript{G12D} activation, along with an inflammatory environment, can effectively induce formation of ADM formation and early PanIN lesions (Ferreira et al., 2017).

1.1.4 ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS

In addition to contributions of genetic and epigenetic alterations, there are many known modifiable environmental risk factors for pancreatic cancer including tobacco smoking, alcohol abuse, obesity, diabetes, diet, inactivity, infections, pancreatitis, and certain abdominal surgeries (Boursi et al., 2017). Many studies have indicated that alcohol consumption and tobacco smoking are the strongest risk factors at this time, as they are linked to 60-90\% of chronic pancreatitis cases (Pandol & Raraty, 2007; Tsai & Chang, 2019). In addition, international variation and gender differences in the prevalence of PDAC may be attributed to exposure to environmental risk factors related to different lifestyles or cultures (Parkin et al., 2010; Bossettin et al., 2012).

1.1.4.1 PANCREATITIS

Pancreatitis is one of most common pathologies in the exocrine pancreas resulting from inflammation of the pancreas due to premature activity of digestive enzymes inside acinar cells. In general, enzymes produced by the pancreas are activated after they are transported into the small intestine to help with digestion and glucose homeostasis in the body. If these enzymes become activated while still in the pancreas, they cause irritation of pancreatic cells and result in pancreatitis. The pathophysiology of pancreatitis is characterized by extracellular fluid that causes swelling of tissues, separation of lobule and acini, loss of acinar cell zymogen granules, and enlarged acinar lumen (Magana-Gomez et al., 2006). The most common causes of pancreatitis are alcohol abuse and gall stones. Ethanol mainly induces the initiation of pancreatitis by improper activation of digestive zymogens within
acinar cells and soluble N-ethylmaleimide sensitive fusion protein (NSF) attachment receptor (SNARE) proteins that are required for the fusion of zymogen granules (Clemens et al., 2014). During pancreatitis, the tissue usually displays histological features such as immune cell infiltration and fibrosis (Yadav and Lowenfels, 2013). Pancreatitis can be acute, which happens suddenly and lasts for a few days, or chronic, which occurs over years. Chronic pancreatitis (CP) are the major risk factors for human PDAC (Guerra et al., 2007; Vujasinovic et al., 2020). A large nationwide study conducted by Kirkegard et al. revealed that AP can also promote PanIN formation, while the relationship between AP and PDAC is still debatable. However, CP is proposed to be the most common cause of PDAC initiation as nearly 60% patients with CP appear to develop PanINs to different extents, with 4% of which had high-grade PanIN (Hruban et al., 2008). People with hereditary pancreatitis have a 40-55% possibility of developing PDAC in their lifespan (Yadav and Lowenfels, 2013). For these reasons, pancreatitis models using the cholecystokinin agonist cerulein are widely used in research involving PanINs and PDAC.

1.1.4.2 EXPERIMENTAL MODEL OF PANCREATITIS

Pancreatitis induced in the mouse model by cerulein is currently the most widely used experimental model to study PDAC (Hyun and Lee, 2014). This method is based on the mechanism that cerulein activates high levels of nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate (NADPH) oxidase, the most abundant reactive oxygen species in acinar cells during inflammation and apoptosis, and causes oxidative stress in cells (Kim, 2008). Oxidative stress is linked to pancreatic inflammation and is the major pathogenic factor contributing to pancreatitis (Vaziri, 2004). In brief, cerulein causes pancreatic tissue damage by inducing necrosis, inflammation, and ADM transition. Cerulein-induced pancreatic injury usually allows full recovery within 7 days (Mallen St. Clair et al., 2012). However, in the presence of oncogenic KRAS, pancreatitis-induced ADM rapidly progresses to PanIN and PDAC instead of re-differentiating back into acinar cells for tissue recovery (Ferreira et al., 2017). Cerulein-induced pancreatitis can be either chronic (with repeated dosing over up to 5 weeks) or acute (Huang et al., 2013). Alternative methods to induce chronic or acute pancreatitis (AP) in mouse models include continued alcohol consumption that causes lipopolysaccharides (LPS)-induced pancreatic injury (Vonlaufen
et al., 2011), group B coxsackieviruses (CVB)-induced pancreatitis (Tracy et al., 2000), and intake of choline deficient ethionine-supplemented (CDE) diet (Ida et al., 2010).

1.2 TUMOR MICROENVIRONMENT (TME)

As PDAC develops, tumor cells accumulate genetic and epigenetic alterations and result in significant dysregulation of signaling pathways that cause histological changes in the progression of PDAC, but also reprogram the surrounding microenvironment (Sousa, 2014; Tiomsland et al., 2011; Whatcott et al., 2015). These alterations eventually alter normal physiological function of the stroma, which normally supports tissue regeneration and restrains tumor growth with its component of connective tissues, immune cells, fibroblasts, and vasculature, and generate a favorable tumor microenvironment (TME) (Dunne & Hezel, 2015; Foster et al., 2018). Unlike most other tumors, the PDAC TME can make up the ~90% of the tumor volume, while it could also be as low as 20% depending on high and low cellularity (Karagiannis et al., 2012). Generally, the TME provide cancer cells with innate chemoresistance through the dense stromal compartment, which acts like a physical barrier that prevents successful drug delivery and immune cell infiltration (Provenzano et al., 2012). As an important feature of PDAC, the heterogenous TME is an ecosystem mainly characterized by infiltration of immune cells, dense stroma, and proliferating cancer-associated fibroblasts (CAFs) (Öhlund et al., 2017). It also contains extracellular matrix (ECM) proteins and soluble proteins (cytokines, growth factors) that are believed to contribute to the aggressiveness and drug resistance of PDAC tumor (Figure 1.3). The interactions between TME components and cancer cells are essential for tumor cell survival, proliferation, and development.

1.2.1 CANCER-ASSOCIATED FIBROBLAST (CAF)

Fibroblasts are spindle-shaped cells responsible for secreting extracellular matrix proteins and collagen and build the structural framework in tissues. Fibroblasts play an important role in inflammation and cancer formation by modifying the microenvironment. Cancer cells can stimulate surrounding fibroblasts by releasing stimulating factors, such as TGFβ, that give rise to CAFs (Dvorak, 1986; Yu et al., 2014). A major source of CAFs is activated
pancreatic stellate cells (PSCs), which primarily derive from bone marrow mesenchymal stem cell (Öhlund et al., 2017; Haber et al., 1999). PSCs are the predominant type of fibroblast cell located in exocrine pancreas. In healthy pancreas, PSCs are quiescent star-shaped cells and control the production of ECM proteins during the wound healing process (Tomasek et al., 2002). However, in PDAC, PSCs are activated by stimulating factors released by cancer cells and become high in abundance with myofibroblast-like phenotypes (Murray et al., 2022). Activated PSCs constitute almost half of the stromal area and can produce a large amount of the ECM components, including fibronectin, hyaluronic acid (HA), and collagen I and III, which significantly promote desmoplasia (Binkley et al., 2004). The dense ECM is the main cause of high interstitial fluid pressure (IFP) within the stroma, which limits drug infusion and promotes chemotherapy and radiotherapy resistance (Provenzano et al., 2012). Excessive deposits of ECM and collagen fibers also contribute to the development of pancreatic fibrosis, resulting in stiffness of pancreatic tissue (Ferdek & Jakubowska, 2017). The abilities of PSCs to interact with PDAC cells and form a dense fibrotic stroma facilitate are usually related to the aggressiveness of PDAC and therapy resistance.

PSCs can differentiate into at least two CAF subpopulations with diverse phenotypes and functions. Myofibroblastic CAFs (myCAFs) are located in close proximity to tumor cells, while inflammatory CAFs (iCAFs) are located more distantly from tumor cells (Öhlund et al., 2017) (Figure 1.3). myCAFs are characterized by high expression level of α-smooth muscle actin (α-SMA), an activated fibroblast marker, and are responsible for remodelling of ECM. myCAFs can be both anti-tumor and pro-tumor (Ozdemir et al., 2014). Previous studies revealed that specific deletion of myCAFs in the stroma restricted the development of desmoplasia and tumor aggressiveness (Ozdemir et al., 2014) and high myCAF levels are often associated with poor PDAC diagnosis (Hu et al., 2022). In contrast to myCAFs, iCAFs lack α-SMA expression and are characterized by high expression of interleukin 6 (IL-6). iCAFs play a significant role in the immune regulation by interacting with immune cells, which contribute to immunotherapy resistance of cancer cells. Cancer cells release interleukin 1 (IL-1) that can reprogram iCAFs to produce cytokines and chemokines, such as IL-6, chemokine (C-X-C motif) ligand 1 (CXCL1), chemokine (C-X-C motif) ligand 12 (CXCL12), and chemokine (C-C motif) ligand 2 (CCL2), which enhance immune cell
Figure 1.3 The tumor microenvironment in PDAC is composed of several different cell types. The TME is composed of PDAC tumor cells, inflammatory, myofibroblastic and antigen-presenting CAFs, T lymphocytes, macrophages, vasculature, and desmoplastic stroma. Cancer cells release cytokines and chemokines and interact with surrounding stromal cells through paracrine interaction to promote a microenvironment that favors the growth of tumor cells. ECM, extracellular matrix.
activation through paracrine interactions (Ohlund et al., 2014). PDAC with a higher iCAF abundance is often linked to more reprogrammed metabolism and a higher inflammatory state, suggesting a better response to immunotherapy (Hu et al., 2022). Most studies consider iCAFs as a protective factor against PDAC growth because high iCAF numbers are associated with better diagnosis. However, other studies suggest iCAFs favor cancer progression by synthesizing enzymes responsible for the production of hyaluronan (HA), a major component of the ECM that produces solid stress in PDAC tumor (Elyada et al., 2019).

Recently, genome-wide studies have identified a third CAF subtype termed antigen-presenting CAFs (apCAFs) based on single-cell RNA-seq (scRNA-seq) analysis. apCAFs are capable of expressing high level of major histocompatibility complex class II molecules (MHC II complex), which is able to present antigens to specific T cells (Huang et al., 2022). Therefore, apCAFs are hypothesized to induce immune suppression by inducing specific T cells into regulatory cells (Treg), which can inhibit the immune response in PDAC and contribute to tumorigenesis (Elyada et al., 2019; Huang et al., 2022).

CAFs are also responsible for promoting angiogenesis in PDAC. PDAC is a solid tumor with high interstitial fluid pressure and low microvascular density (MVD), resulting in hypoxic microenvironment. For aggressive tumorigenesis to occur, CAFs secrete angiogenic factors, including vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) and fibroblast growth factor (FGF), to induce formation of new blood vessels that provide more oxygen and nutrients and remove metabolic waste for better growth of cancer cells (Longo et al., 2016). In summary, CAFs play a role in tumorigenesis, metastasis, drug resistance, angiogenesis, and immunosuppressive phenotypes of PDAC, which can either be pro-tumorigenic or anti-tumor.

1.2.2 IMMUNE CELLS

Immune cells are essential components of the TME and affect the progression of PDAC (Mahajan et al., 2018). While PDAC develops, cancer cells are recognized as foreign by the immune system, resulting in immune cells infiltration and accumulation within the stroma. The crosstalk between immune cells and the TME is complicated, as immune cells
can suppress or promote tumor growth depending on the context. The immune system generally has two types of cells: innate immune cells and adaptive immune cells. The innate immune response is a non-specific defence mechanism that detects and destroys tumor cells. Innate immune cells include macrophages, neutrophils, dendritic cells. The adaptive immune response involves cancer cell detection and destruction with specialized immune cells and antibodies. Adaptive immune cells include predominantly T cells, B cells, and natural killer (NK) cells.

The infiltration of tumor-associated macrophages (TAMs) is observed very early in the PDAC development (Feig et al., 2012). Cancer cells can reprogram macrophages by releasing cytokines, such as interleukin 4 (IL-4), and switch them from inflammatory M1 subtype to immune-suppressive M2 subtype, which has tumorigenic functions. TAMs support PDAC cell invasion and aggressiveness mainly by stimulating angiogenesis and inhibiting activation of anti-tumor T effector cells and NK cells (Borrego et al., 1998). Tumor-associated antigens (TAAs) activate T cells via MHC complex and, therefore, trigger enhanced anti-tumor immune responses. In the initial stages of PDAC, T lymphocytes are carried through blood vessels and migrate to small ducts to eliminate the abnormal PanIN cells. When the amount of activated T cells reaches a threshold, the regulatory T cells, or Tregs, become activated to negatively regulate the action of T cells (Tanaka, 2017). CD8+ cytotoxic T cells are one of the subpopulations of T cells responsible for detecting abnormal TAAs and target cancer cells for destruction (Zamora et al., 2018). Cytotoxic T cells also contribute to tumor suppression by inhibiting angiogenic process. Therefore, the presence of cytotoxic T cells in the TME usually represent positive prognosis in PDAC patients (Tanaka, 2017). However, it is reported that CD8+ tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes (TIL) can be inhibited by TAMs (Etzerodt et al., 2019). Cancer cells release TGF-β that promotes the proliferation of Tregs, which secrete immune-suppressive cytokines and result in fewer T effector cells infiltration in the TME. In this manner, Tregs restrict the immune response and is often associated with adverse prognosis (Tanaka, 2017).
1.3 EPIGENETICS

Research into the epigenomics of PDAC has revealed that PDAC initiation and progression is associated not only with genetic alterations, but also epigenetic changes that may play a critical role in cancer metastasis by regulating the expression of genes associated with tumor progression and survival (Thompson et al., 2015; Abukiwan et al., 2018). Three identified types of epigenetic regulations include DNA methylation, histone modifications, and noncoding RNAs (Ennis, 2014). These epigenetic processes result in reversible and heritable modifications to the chromatin, or within the DNA itself, which affects the expression of genes without changing the DNA sequence.

This is achieved by changing the chromatin structure surrounding genes and regulating accessibility to the promoter region (Shen & Laird, 2013). The crosstalk between epigenetic factors and major signaling pathways associated with cell proliferation and apoptotic control may have implications in the development of PDAC (Lomberk et al., 2015). There is evidence suggesting dysregulation of epigenetic pathways normally contributes to the tumorigenesis of cancer (Paradise et al., 2018). PDAC usually involves deregulation of several epigenetic regulators, including methyltransferases, Enhancer of Zeste Homolog 2 (EZH2), and histone deacetylases (HDACs) (Chan-Seng-Yue et al., 2020). Understanding the epigenomics of PDAC and its extensive control over cancer-related genes may help broaden anti-cancer therapeutic options in PDAC.

1.3.1 DNA METHYLATION

DNA methylation is the addition of a methyl group to the 5' carbon of cytosines mediated by DNA methyltransferases (DNMTs), forming 5-methylcytosine (5mC) (Abukiwan & Berger, 2018). This epigenetic reaction occurs mainly at CpG islands, which are genomic regions where C and G bases appear at a higher frequency than predicted and are usually located in the gene promoter regions. Methylation of CpG islands at promoter region interferes with the transcription of the gene, reducing access and, therefore, suppressing gene expression (Antequera & Bird, 1999). DNA methyltransferase 1 (DNMT1), DNMT3A and DNMT3B are major enzymes catalyzing methylation of DNA. DNMT3A
and DNMT3B facilitate de novo DNA methylation, while DNMT1 is responsible for the maintenance of parental methylation patterns (Cheng et al., 2008; Abukiwan & Berger, 2018). Excessive DNA methylation of several genes due to DNMT1 overexpression was detected in 81% of PDAC patients, while less than 4% of healthy individuals presented DNA methylation on these genes in the pancreas (Brancaccio et al., 2019). Silencing of key tumor suppressor genes as a result of DNA methylation is believed to contribute to tumorigenesis of PDAC (Tan et al., 2009). Interestingly, a recent study revealed that not only cancer-associated genes, but also DNMTs themselves are differentially methylated in PDAC (Vincent et al., 2011).

1.3.2 MICRORNA

MicroRNAs (miRNA) are small noncoding, single strand-RNA molecules consisting of 20-23 nucleotides. One of the major mechanisms by which miRNAs regulate gene expression is by binding to the untranslated region of mRNAs and lead to its degradation or reduced translation (Ambro, 2004). In healthy cells, miRNAs are responsible for regulating the expression of about 60% protein coding genes involved in cell differentiation, proliferation, and apoptosis (Winter et al., 2009). The machinery of miRNA has been implicated in physiological and pathological development of various cancers (Abukiwan & Berger, 2018). Many PDAC studies have revealed that miRNAs are dysregulated in the presence of oncogenic KRAS, resulting in increased expression of pro-oncogenes or prohibited expression of tumor suppressor genes (Yonemori et al., 2017). In general, miRNAs mainly have two distinct roles in PDAC, one as tumor suppressors (TSmiRs), and the other as oncogenes (OncomiRs) (Kunej et al., 2012; Abukiwan & Berger, 2018). On the other hand, mutant tumor suppressor genes such as p53 can also downregulate miRNA transcription, resulting in increased cancer cell proliferation and survival (Sohn et al., 1997; Zhang et al., 2010).

1.3.3 HISTONE MODIFICATIONS

Histone modifications regulate gene transcription by affecting the structure of chromatin, whose basic unit is the nucleosomes (Abukiwan & Berger, 2018). Each nucleosome
consists of a small region of DNA (147 base pairs) wrapped around an octamer of eight histone proteins – two each of H2A, H2B, H3, and H4 (Audia & Campbell, 2016). There are many histone modifications including methylation, acetylation, phosphorylation, and ubiquitination, all of which correlate to specific outcomes on gene expression.

Histone methylation is a reversible modification of chromatin where methyl groups are added to the histone tail by histone methyltransferases (HMTs) or removed by histone demethylase (HDMs) (Hyun et al., 2017). Histone methylation usually occurs on the residues of arginine, lysine, and histidine, while lysine alterations are the most common modification in PDAC (Abukiwan & Berger, 2018). Methylation of lysine residue is coordinated by histone lysine methyltransferases (KMTs), which can either be associated with transcriptional repression (H3K9, H3K27, and H3K20 trimethylation) or activation (H3K4, H3K36, and H3K79 trimethylation) of genes (Abukiwan & Berger, 2018). Among KMTs, one of the subfamilies termed EZH2 is a H3K27me3-specific histone lysine methyltransferase (HKMT), able to transfer up to three methyl groups to this residue (Black et al., 2012). Increased expression of EZH2 occurs in many solid cancers, including PDAC, and is believed to have effects on the malignancy of PDAC (Wang et al., 2015; Abukiwan & Berger, 2018).

Histone acetylation is a process by which the lysine residues from the histone are acetylated with an acetyl group by histone acetylases (HATs) or deacetylated by histone deacetylases (HDAC) (Abukiwan & Berger, 2018). Lysine acetylation results in relaxation of chromatin structure and make the DNA accessible to transcription factors (Grunstein, 1997). The balance between histone acetylation and deacetylation is a key in regulating critical gene expression in cells, and unbalanced activity of these enzymes may result in malignant transformation and tumorigenesis in PDAC (Schneider et al., 2011). Recent studies showed a role of HDACs/HATs in the activation of expression of many tumor suppression genes, and the hyperactivation of HDACs is associated with cancer cell proliferation and impaired cell apoptosis regulation (Sato et al., 2004; Haefner et al., 2008).
1.4 ENHANCER OF ZESTE HOMOLOGUE 2 (EZH2)

Numerous studies have revealed that in addition to genetic alterations, abnormal epigenetic regulation is also a determinant of tumorigenesis of various cancers (Thompson et al., 2015; Abukiwan et al., 2018). The aberrant expression of a widely known epigenetic regulator, enhancer of zeste homologue 2 (EZH2), has been implicated in the tumorigenesis of PDAC using transgenic mouse model and human PDAC samples (Patil et al., 2020).

EZH2 is a histone methyltransferase encoded by the \textit{EZH2} gene, located at chromosome 7q35 in human genome, and contains 20 exons with 746 amino acid residues (Cardoso et al., 2000). EZH2 is a key member of the polycomb group (PcG) protein family. PcG is a group of proteins that determine cell fate mainly by epigenetically repressing transcription of genes involved in differentiation and proliferation. PcG proteins form two core complexes, polycomb repressive complexes 1 and 2 (PRC1 and PRC2) (Sauvageau & Sauvageau, 2010). They both play a crucial role in transcriptional suppression of genes through histone tail modification and subsequent chromatin compaction (Duan et al., 2020). Specifically, PRC2 is responsible for mono-, di- and tri-methylation of histone 3 at lysine 27 (H3K27me2/3), while PRC1 monoubiquitylates lysine 119 of histone H2A (H2AK119ub) (Cao et al., 2002; Pengelly et al., 2013). PRC2 is highly conserved in various animals and plants in terms of its function (Chica et al., 2017). PRC2 composed of four main subunits including EZH2, embryonic ectoderm development (EED), suppressor of zeste 12 homolog (SUZ12), and retinoblastoma-binding protein 4/7 (RBBP4/7) (O’Meara, 2012; \textbf{Figure 1.4}). PRC2 performs its function mainly through the core enzymatic subunit EZH2, which catalyzes trimethylation of H3K27 in the cell nucleus and leads to transcriptional silencing of target genes (Völkel et al., 2015). The EZH2 protein has five domains, EED-interaction domain (EID), homologous domain I, homologous domain II, cysteine-rich domain (CXC domain), and SET domain (Laible et al., 1997). The evolutionally conserved SET domain consists of 130-140 amino acids and was initially recognized in and derived from three \textit{Drosophila} proteins: the suppressor of variegation 3-9 (Su(var)3-9), the Enhancer of zeste (E(z)), and the trithorax-group chromatin regulator trithorax (Trx) (Yao et al. 2016; Nutt et al., 2020). The SET domain maintains histone methyltransferase activity of EZH2, and this enzymatic process is facilitated by the CXC
**Figure 1.4 Model of the PRC2 complex.** Enhancer of Zeste Homologue 2 (EZH2) interacts with Suppressor of Zeste 12 (SUZ12) and embryonic ectoderm development (EED) to form the Polycomb repressive complex 2 (PRC2). EZH2 has a SET domain which serves as the methyltransferase for PRC.
domain N-terminal that helps assemble all subunits for proper PRC2 function (Simon et al., 2008). EZH2 has a close homolog, EZH1, which also acts as a methyltransferase of H3K27 and forms an alternative PRC2 with EED and SUZ12. Despite the similarities between EZH1 and EZH2, they function independently of each other and have distinct activities and expression patterns. EZH1 expression is detected in both normal cells and cancer cell lines, while EZH2 is primarily highly expressed in proliferating cells. The PRC2 complex with EZH2 shows considerably higher methyltransferase activity compared to the EZH1-containing PRC2 complex (Margueron et al., 2008).

1.4.1 MECHANISMS OF EZH2

EZH2 has both canonical and non-canonical roles in suppressing transcription of target genes. PRC2-dependent H3K27me3 is the major canonical pathway of gene silencing mediated by EZH2 (Patil et al., 2020). In normal condition, the methyltransferase function of EZH2 is facilitated by EED and SUZ12, the other two core subunits of PRC2. When the PRC2 complex is assembled, EED can recruit PRC2 to H3K27 sites at promoter regions of target genes and stimulate the methyltransferase activity of EZH2. SUZ12 helps maintain the integrity of the PRC2 complex and stabilizes EZH2 activity (Cao & Zhang., 2004). Once PRC2 is recruited to the chromatin, the SET domain catalyzes successive methyl transfers that yield mono-, di- or trimethylated lysine, each has distinct functions (Zee et al., 2010).

Most H3K27 residues are dimethylated in cells, while only 15% regions are trimethylated. Although H3K27me2 mainly serves as a substrate for further EZH2-mediated trimethylation, it also prevents histone tails from being acetylated, which normally antagonizes the silencing effect of EZH2 (Tie et al., 2009). H3K27me3 is a stable chromatin mark associated with repression of gene expression, normally associated with cell fate determination and development (Yin et al., 2019). H3K27me3 acts as a docking site for recruiting chromosomal regulators that results in changes in chromatin structure, which facilitate chromatin compaction and eventually promote transcriptional silencing of downstream genes (Wang et al., 2016). Recent studies revealed that polymerase (Pol) II is found in H2K27me enriched promoters with a low transcription level detected, suggesting
RNA Pol II-mediated transcription elongation could be paused due to chromatin structure changes (Stock et al., 2007; Zhao et al., 2007). In general, the major role of EZH2 in cells is to repress tumor suppressor genes through H3K27me3 and regulate cell proliferation, apoptosis, and cell cycle (Huang et al., 2021).

Numerous studies have also revealed non-canonical roles of EZH2 (He et al., 2012; Lee et al., 2012). This includes non-histone protein methylation, which can either be performed in a PRC-dependent or -independent fashion (He et al., 2012). Increasing evidence suggests EZH2 can induce methylation on multiple non-histone substrates, including transcription factors and chromatin-associated proteins. Specifically, proteins with a similar sequence to the methylated domain of H3K27, which is termed the amino acid sequence R-K-S, can be directly methylated on the lysine residue by EZH2 (Lee et al., 2012). However, the biological consequences of non-histone methylation by EZH2 are context dependent.

EZH2 can also mediate PRC2-independent gene transactivation. Protein kinase B phosphorylates EZH2 in serine 21 (Ser21). Phosphorylated EZH2 directly methylates multiple transcriptional factors independently of the PRC2 complex. For instance, EZH2 activates the signal transducer and activator of transcription 3 (STAT3) signaling pathway by methylating STAT3 (Kim et al., 2013). In castration-resistant prostate cancer (CRPC), EZH2 is capable of methylating androgen receptor (AR) and promoting downstream gene transcription and tumor growth (Xu et al., 2012). EZH2 can directly bind to the promoter region of target genes as a transcription factor. For example, EZH2 can bind to the promoter of transcription factor RelB and activate expression, which helps maintain the self-renewal of breast cancer tumor-initiating cells (Laurence et al., 2016). However, evidence suggest noncanonical EZH2 activities are more evident in cancers, especially when EZH2 is overexpressed (Xu et al., 2015).

Through the three distinct mechanisms mentioned above, EZH2 is capable of regulating various signaling pathways involved in cell cycle, apoptosis, DNA damage repair, and cell fate determination (Nutt et al., 2020; He, 2016). Due to the dramatic functions of EZH2 in various biological processes within the cell, alterations in EZH2 activity can be related to
many cancers, including PDAC. Hence, elucidating the role of EZH2 in the initiation and development of PDAC becomes important for potential therapy development.

1.4.2 IMMUNE MODULATORY FUNCTION OF EZH2

In vitro studies revealed that EZH2 enhances PDAC cancer cell proliferation in cell culture. However, EZH2 plays an opposing role as a suppressor of pancreatic carcinogenesis in mouse models in vivo, indicating that tumor microenvironment may affect the role of EZH2 (Ougolkov et al. 2008; Mallen-St Clair et al., 2012). Oncogenic activation of EZH2 mediates aberrant epigenetic changes not only in pancreatic tumor cells but also immune cell populations, leading to alterations in expression of critical genes involved in cell fate determination and immune dysfunction in the PDAC TME (Chiappinelli et al., 2016). Increasing evidence suggest that, in addition to regulating pancreatic cell plasticity, EZH2 plays an important role in mediating cellular pathways involved in immune invasion and resistance of PDAC cells (Chiappinelli et al., 2016; Jones et al., 2019). Dysregulation of EZH2 function has been observed in many tumor-infiltrating immune cells in the TME including T cells, Tregs, and TAMs and chronic changes in the epigenetic landscape due to EZH2 were widely demonstrated in CD8+ and CD4+ T cells (He et al., 2017). EZH2 promotes the survival and proliferation of effector T cells, while low EZH2 levels in T cells normally leads to poor prognosis (Karantanos et al., 2016). In the PDAC TME, however, the percentage of T cells expressing EZH2 is much lower than in normal tissues, suggesting cancer cells may block the expression of EZH2 in T cells to restrict T cell-mediated immunity (He et al., 2017). Inhibition of EZH2 activity caused repressed function of tumor-specific effector T cells and therefore favors immune suppression and tumor metastasis (Zhao et al., 2016). Tregs are known to negatively affect anti-tumor immunity in PDAC by controlling effector T cell activity (Adeegbe & Nishikawa, 2013). Tumor cells can release factors that convert CD4+ T cells into Tregs. EZH2 is upregulated in activated Tregs (Wang et al., 2018). Epigenetic changes mediated by EZH2 is found essential for the recruitment of tumor-infiltrating Tregs and the repression of immune-mediated tumor control (Wang et al., 2018; Curiel et al., 2004). Taken together, these results demonstrate that EZH2 may have various effects on immune cell populations and associated tumor progression and the consequences are context dependent.
1.4.3 ALTERATIONS OF EZH2 IN PDAC

EZH2 plays a critical role in mediating H3K27me3 through the canonical pathway, inducing transcriptional silencing of regulatory genes involved in cell differentiation, lineage specification, and tissue renewal (Mallen-St Clair et al., 2012). Therefore, alterations of EZH2 activity and function have been implicated in various cancer pathologies, including PDAC (Völkel et al., 2015). In particular, overexpression of EZH2 is frequently correlated with advanced human PDAC progression and poor prognosis, making EZH2 a potential target for anti-cancer therapy (Kim et al., 2015). In PDAC, EZH2 is important in regulating acinar cell reprogramming and tumorigenesis. EZH2 is regularly overexpressed in about 68% of human PDAC cases, while almost 90% progressive PDAC cells accumulate EZH2 in the nucleus (Ougolkov et al., 2008).

Although pancreatic regeneration from injury is largely dependent on acinar cell re-differentiation promoted by EZH2-mediated transcriptional silencing of various genes, overexpression of EZH2 can switch tumor suppressor genes off, thus promoting oncogenic factors for cell proliferation and metastasis. Therefore, excessive EZH2 expression and activity in the pancreas is often linked to advanced stages of pancreatic tumorigenesis and progression toward invasive PDAC (Versemann et al., 2022). In the initial stages of the carcinogenesis, however, EZH2 is transiently upregulated to facilitate pancreatic tissue regeneration. Loss of EZH2 at early stage leads to uncontrolled expansion of proliferative acinar cells and acceleration of KRAS-driven PDAC progression (Mallen-St Clair et al., 2012). In addition to acinar cells, human embryonic stem cells with EZH2 deficiency showed compromised self-regeneration and lack of cell differentiation (Collinson et al., 2016). Chen et al (2017) demonstrated EZH2 can transcriptionally repress the calcium/calcineurin-responsive nuclear factor of activated T cell (NFATc1) gene, which encodes for a key transcription factor responsible for malignant transformation of cells and enable redifferentiation and regeneration of acinar cells. However, constitutive activation of KRAS oncogene can invert EZH2-mediated effects on many intracellular signaling pathways, which serves to transcriptionally activate instead of repressing genes associated with cell differentiation and proliferation (Chen et al., 2017).
KRAS-signaling has been shown to have profound effects on EZH2 function and activity (Mallen-St Clair et al., 2012; Chen et al., 2017). KRAS-mediated PDAC is often accelerated by deletion of EZH2. In previous studies, Chen et al. (2017) suggested the presence of EZH2 resulted in a slight decrease in the level of pancreatic lesions in pancreas of 2-3 months old mice in the context of KRAS\textsuperscript{G12D} hyperactivation. In 6-month-old mice, however, the loss of EZH2 results in decreased level of pancreatic carcinogenesis and PanIN lesions (Chem et al., 2017). Conversely, Mallen St Clair et al. (2012) showed EZH2 is required for tissue repair by promoting gene expression involved in cell regeneration and proliferation, impaired acinar cell regeneration and enhanced KRAS\textsuperscript{G12D}-driven neoplasia (Mallen-St Clair et al., 2012). These contradictory findings may suggest flaws in the mouse models used or distinct, temporal roles for EZH2 in KRAS-mediated PDAC progression.

1.5 RATIONALE, HYPOTHESIS AND OBJECTIVES

Rationale: Although progress has been made to understand the role of EZH2 in PDAC progression, the exact molecular mechanisms underlying the effects of EZH2 on KRAS-driven PDAC are largely unknown. Therefore, further investigation is required to identify specific roles of EZH2 in the context of KRAS-mediated PDAC. In previous studies, EZH2 deletion occurred at early stages of pancreatic development, which may affect the differentiation status of the cells. In addition, the Cre driver used was targeted to the Ptf1a locus, which makes these mice haplo-insufficient for PTF1A, which may also affect acinar cell gene expression. In this study, EZH2 will be deleted in adult pancreatic tissues after acinar cells are fully mature.

Hypothesis: I hypothesize that EZH2 restricts KRAS-driven initiation of PDAC in acinar cells in response to injury.

Objectives:

1. Determine if loss of EZH2 after acinar cell differentiation enhances Kras-driven PanIN formation following pancreatic injury in vivo
2. Determine whether the acinar-specific loss of EZH2 increases KRAS-mediated ADM in an ex vivo culture.
CHAPTER 2: MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 MOUSE STRAINS AND HANDLING

All procedures and methods of mouse colony maintenance and mouse handling were approved by the University of Western Ontario Animal Care Committee (Protocols 2020-157 and 2020-158). Mice were housed in a pathogen-free facility with abundant food and water supply and exposed to a 12-hour light/dark cycle under guidelines approved by the Canadian Council for Animal Care. Mice carrying creERT targeted to the Mist1 allele (Mist1<sup>+/creERT</sup>) were generated to allow for inducible acinar cell-specific gene deletion through the cre-LoxP system. CreERT is activated by tamoxifen and allows cre recombinase to translocate to the nucleus, where it recognizes specific DNA sequences called loxp sites and deletes the DNA sequence between loxp sites (Johnson et al., 2004). Mist1<sup>+/creERT</sup> mice were bred with mice containing an EZH2 allele with the SET domain (exons 16-19) flanked by loxP sites (Ezh2<sup>ΔSET</sup>) and/or mice containing a constitutively active KRAS<sup>G12D</sup> allele downstream of loxp sites flanking a stop codon within the endogenous KRAS allele (lox-stop-lox; LSL, KRAS<sub>LSL-G12D/+</sub> mouse). The resulting lines include Mist1<sup>creERT/+</sup> KRAS<sub>LSL-G12D/+</sub> Ezh2<sup>ΔSET/ΔSET</sup> (referred to as KRAS<sub>LSL-G12D/+</sub> Ezh2<sup>ΔSET</sup> or KE), Mist1<sup>creERT/+</sup> KRAS<sup>G12D/+</sup>, and Mist1<sup>creERT/+</sup> Ezh2<sup>ΔSET/ΔSET</sup> (Figure 2.1). Genotypes of mice were determined at time of weaning and confirmed after experiments through PCR (polymerase chain reaction) of DNA obtained from ear punches using gene-specific primers shown in Table 2.1. All experiments were carried out with adult mice (2-4 months) from Mist1<sup>+/creERT</sup> KRAS<sub>G12D/+</sub> Ezh2<sup>ΔSET</sup> mouse strain on a C57Bl6 background.
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Figure 2.1 Generation of triple-transgenic mice and the experimental timeline. (A) Schematic experimental timeline of cerulein-induced acute pancreatic injury model and time points at which tamoxifen and cerulein were administered. Tamoxifen was given in three doses as indicated in 2 mg per mouse each time. Cerulein was administered through eight hourly intraperitoneal (IP) injections of 50 mg/kg of body weight. (B) Schematic representation of the transgenic lines and how $\text{KRAS}^{G12D}$ and $\text{EZH2}^{DSET}$ were generated by expressing an inducible cre recombinase from the Mist1 gene in acinar cells following tamoxifen exposure. The SET domain is encompassed by exons 16-19 on the EZH2 allele.
Table 2.1 DNA primers used for genotyping

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>WT/Mutation</th>
<th>Forward</th>
<th>Reverse</th>
<th>Amplicon Length</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mcre</strong></td>
<td>WT</td>
<td>5’-GGTTTAAGCAAATT</td>
<td>5’-GAAGCATTTCAGGT</td>
<td>720 bp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GTCAAGTACGG 3’</td>
<td>ATGCTCAG 3’</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cre-ERT</td>
<td></td>
<td>5’-ATAGTAAGTATGGT</td>
<td>5’-GAAGCATTTCAGGT</td>
<td>520 bp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCCGCTACGG 3’</td>
<td>ATGCTCAG 3’</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>KRAS^{G12D}</strong></td>
<td>WT</td>
<td>5’-GTCTTTCCCCAGCAC</td>
<td>5’-AGCTAGCCACCATGCG</td>
<td>650 bp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>AGTGC 3’</td>
<td>TTAAGTCTGCA 3’</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>G12D</td>
<td>5’-CTCTTGCTACGCAC</td>
<td>5’-AGCTAGCCACCATGCG</td>
<td>550 bp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CCCAGC 3’</td>
<td>TTAAGTCTGCA 3’</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ezh2</strong></td>
<td>WT/ΔSET</td>
<td>5’-AGACCCCTGGGGCT</td>
<td>5’-CCAAGACGGCTTGG</td>
<td>523 bp (WT)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>TAATCT 3’</td>
<td>AGGG 3’</td>
<td>563 bp (ΔSET)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.2 TAMOXIFEN ADMINISTRATION AND CERULEIN-INDUCED PANCREATITIS

Tamoxifen (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, #T5648) was prepared in ethanol (Commercial Alcohols) and corn oil (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, #C8267) on the day of the first oral gavage administration. Tamoxifen was re-suspended in 4 ml corn oil and each mouse received one ml (2 mg/mouse/day) every other day over 5 days using a 1 ml syringe and a gavage needle (20G 1”x2.25mm, SIGMA, St. Louis, MO). Cre-recombinase efficiency using this methodology is >95% (Johnson et al., 2012).

Cerulein (MedChemExpress, London, UK, #FI-6934) was freshly prepared from powder to reach a final concentration of 10 µg/ml. Stock cerulein was stored at -20°C. 15 and 17 days after the first dose of tamoxifen, mice received eight hourly intraperitoneal injections of cerulein (50 µg/kg body weight in saline) to induce acute pancreatic injury (Carrière et al., 2009). Control mice received a similar volume of 0.9% saline. Mice were weighed every other day to monitor weight changes and health until sacrificed for the downstream experiments.

2.3 TISSUE FIXATION AND HISTOLOGY

Mice were sacrificed five weeks after the first cerulein injection. The complete pancreas was immediately harvested from each mouse and weighed. The head and tail of the pancreas were placed in tissue cassettes and fixed in 4% formaldehyde for at least 24 hours at 4 °C. Tissues were rinsed in phosphate buffered saline (PBS) post fixation before being dehydrated and embedded in paraffin blocks. Tissue blocks were sectioned at 5 μm thickness on a Microtome (ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, MA), and 2-4 sections were mounted onto glass microscope slides to prepare for use in the downstream staining.

Tissue sections were stained using several histological techniques including hematoxylin and eosin stain (H&E), Periodic acid–Schiff (PAS), Alcian Blue stain (AB), and picrosirius red stain. For all staining methods, tissue sections were de-waxed in xylene (3 x 5 min) and rehydrated in a series of ethanol (100% ethanol 2 x 2 min, 90% ethanol 2 x min, 70%
ethanol 2 x 2 min) followed by tap water for 5 min and distilled water for 1 min. After staining, sections were dehydrated in 70% ethanol (2 x 30 sec), 90% ethanol for 1 minute, 100% ethanol (2 x 3 min), and xylene (3 x 5 min). Sections were coverslipped with permount (ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, #SP15500) and stored in a slide box at room temperature for the downstream histological analysis.

To assess general histology of mouse pancreatic tissues, sections were subjected to H&E staining. Sections were immersed in CAT Hematoxylin (Biocare Medical, #CATHE-M) for 2 minutes and then washed in running tap water for 30 seconds. Sections were placed in freshly filtered Tacha’s Bluing Solution (Biocare Medical, #HTBLU-M) for 30 seconds followed by running tap water for 10 minutes. Finally, sections were dipped in freshly filtered Eosin Y three times, immediately followed by dehydration and mounting. Whole slide scanning and analysis was performed using the Aperio ScanScope (Leica Biosystems Imaging Inc.). Pancreatic lesions were identified and graded based on the extent of acinar cell differentiation, nuclear irregularities, and tissue fibrosis. Scoring of tissue damage such as ADM, PanIN, inflammation and fibrosis was done by Dr. Liena Zhao. The percentage of lesion area verses total tissue area was quantified using Aperio ImageScope software (Leica Biosystems Imaging Inc.). For each genotype, slides obtained from 5-7 different samples that contain the head and tail of the pancreas were assessed, and 10-15 representative images were taken from each sample using a Leica Microscope (Leica Microscope DM5500B, Leica Microsystems, Wetzlar, Germany).

To measure the frequency and grade of preneoplastic lesions, tissue sections were stained using standard Alcian Blue (AB) stain (Abcam Inc., Cambridge, UK, ab150662) for the assessment of acidic mucins. Deparaffinized slides were incubated in acetic acid for 3 minutes and then in Alcian Blue pH 2.5 solution (Abcam Inc., Cambridge, UK, ab150662) for 30 minutes at room temperature. After a few washes, sections were stained in Nuclear Fast Red Solution (Abcam Inc., Cambridge, UK, ab150662) for 5 minutes. To detect glycogen deposits in mucinous structure, Periodic Acid Schiff (PAS) staining (Sigma-Aldrich Inc., St. Louis, MO, #3952) was performed. For each genotype, 5 slides from different samples that contain the head and tail of pancreas were stained. The positive
staining lesions (blue for AB and red for PAS) were identified and quantified as a percent of the total amount of lesions using ImageJ (National Institutes of Health, Maryland, USA).

2.4 IMMUNOHISTOCHEMISTRY

After de-paraffin and rehydration, tissue sections were incubated in antigen retrieval buffer (100X citrate buffer pH 6.0) and put in a steamer for 45 minutes. Sections were cooled to room temperature for 20 minutes. Sections were immersed in 3% H2O2 in methanol to remove endogenous peroxidase activity followed by incubation in cell permeation reagent (0.2% Triton-100 in PBS) for 12 minutes and washing in phosphate-buffered saline/tween (PBST) (0.2% Tween-20 in PBS) for 5 minutes. To prevent non-specific binding of antibodies to the tissue, sections were incubated in blocking solution (1.5% sheep serum in PBS) for 1 hour at room temperature in a wet chamber. Sections were incubated in primary antibodies diluted at recommended ratio in blocking solution overnight at 4 °C. Table 2.2 shows information about antibodies used and corresponding dilution ratio, sources, and species. On the second day, sections were incubated in biotinylated secondary antibody (diluted 1:200 in blocking solution) for 30 minutes at room temperature followed by washing in PBST for 6 minutes and PBS for 4X 6 minutes. IHC was developed using VECTASTAIN rabbit ABC staining kit and DAB peroxidase substrate (Vector Laboratories, SK-4105).

Analysis of acinar cell dedifferentiation and transdifferentiation was performed using ImageJ. The expression of biomarker of acinar cells (amylase) and duct cells (CK19) was visualized as brown stain in histologically relevant area of mouse pancreatic tissues. Quantification of DAB signals was done using the threshold method in ImageJ, which can selectively calculate the brown signal as the percent of total tissue area by adjusting the threshold. The extent of immune cell infiltration in the stromal area was determined using the Aperio ImageScope software. Positive immune cells were manually counted and were divided by the total lesion area. Whole tissue images and representative images (10-15 images obtained per sample) of the lesion area were obtained with the Aperio ScanScope and Leica Microscope, respectively.
Table 2.2 Antibodies used for IHC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antibody</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Catalog #</th>
<th>Dilution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CK19</td>
<td>Rabbit</td>
<td>Abcam</td>
<td>Ab15463</td>
<td>1:200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMYLASE</td>
<td>Rabbit</td>
<td>Cell Signaling</td>
<td>4017</td>
<td>1:400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD3</td>
<td>Rabbit</td>
<td>BD Biosciences</td>
<td>560591</td>
<td>1:200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD8</td>
<td>Rabbit</td>
<td>ThermoFisher</td>
<td>98941</td>
<td>1:200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F4/80</td>
<td>Rabbit</td>
<td>Abcam</td>
<td>Ab111101</td>
<td>1:100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VIMENTIN</td>
<td>Rabbit</td>
<td>Cell Signaling</td>
<td>5741S</td>
<td>1:400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α-SMA</td>
<td>Rabbit</td>
<td>Cell Signaling</td>
<td>19245</td>
<td>1:200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3</td>
<td>Rabbit</td>
<td>ThermoFisher</td>
<td>ab111101</td>
<td>1:200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.5 ACINAR CELL CULTURE

Mice of each genotype, WT, Ezh2\(^{\Delta\text{SET}}\), KRAS\(^{G12D}\), KRAS\(^{G12D}\)Ezh2\(^{\Delta\text{SET}}\) were sacrificed three weeks after the first tamoxifen injection, and the pancreas was harvested and washed in \(\sim 10\) ml of \(1\times\)PBS in a 15 ml falcon tube on ice. 1 ml of collagenase (1 mg/ml) and \(~50\) ml of HEPES (4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1-piperazineethanesulfonic acid) buffer was pre-warmed to 37 °C in a shaking water bath. Pancreatic tissues were transferred into a clean weigh boat without solution. Tissues were injected with 1 ml collagenase using a 1 ml syringe and 25- or 27-gauge needle, then immediately incubated in collagenase solution in 2 ml tubes in 37°C shaking at 75 rpm for 12 minutes. Then tissues were transferred to 50 ml tubes with 6-7 ml DMEM (Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium) and vigorously resuspended to break up clumps of tissue into cells. Once clumps were sufficiently dispersed and the solution was cloudy, cell suspensions were gently pipetted through a pre-wetted 70 um nylon mesh into a 50 ml falcon tube. Cells were centrifuged at 200g in centrifuge (Eppendorf Canada, #5804R) for 1 minute and collected at bottom of tube.

Acinar cells were incubated in 48-well plates with freshly made acinar cell culture media containing DMEM/ F12 Medium (Gibco, Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA), 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS), 0.04 mg/ml Soybean Trypsin Inhibitor (STI), and 0.1% dexamethasone. Collagen type I and acinar cell culture media were mixed at a proportion of 1:1, with a small volume (1:20 dilution) of 0.25 M NaOH to adjust the pH. Approximately 2500 acinar cells were mixed with 100 ul of the mixture and were plated at the bottom of the well. Cells were monitored at a daily basis and media was changed every other day.

2.6 RNA ISOLATION AND RNA -SEQ ANALYSIS

RNA was extracted from mouse pancreatic tissues using Trizol (Invitrogen) following Pure link kit (Invitrogen) manufacturer’s protocol. To obtain RNA, tissue samples were mechanically homogenized immediately following dissection. Three replicates were obtained for KRAS\(^{G12D}\) and KRAS\(^{G12D}\)Ezh2\(^{\Delta\text{SET}}\) groups. RNA from each sample was subjected to paired-end sequencing with Illumina TruSeq Stranded Total RNA kit.
To perform RNA-seq analysis, reads were aligned to the mouse reference genome mm10 (GRCm38) and sorted by coordinate using STAR v2.7.9a (Dobin et al., 2013). Gene counts were generated using featureCounts function of the Subread v2.0.3 aligner (Liao et al., 2014). Differential expression analysis was performed using edgeR v3.32.1 (Robinson et al., 2010; McCarthy et al., 2012) R Studio package. A 0.05 adjusted p value cut off was used. Pathway analyses was performed using clusterProfiler v3.18.1 R package (Yu, 2012 and 2018) with a 0.05 adjusted p value cut off.

2.7 STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Statistical analysis of data and graph generation were done using GraphPad Prism 6 software (Graphpad La Jolla, USA). Comparisons between groups and within groups were performed using one-way Anova with a tukey’s post hoc test and unpaired two-tailed t-test. Data was expressed as mean ± standard error of mean (SEM), with individual values and error bar presented. Statistical significance level was set at p < 0.05.
3 CHAPTER 3: RESULTS

3.1 The absence of EZH2 in the \( KRAS^{G12D} \) context does not alter the size of premalignant lesions in mice after acute pancreatitis

To determine whether \( Ezh2 \) deletion promotes \( KRAS \)-driven initiation of PDAC following acute pancreatic injury, a cohort of wild type, \( Ezh2^{DSET} \), \( KRAS^{G12D} \), and \( KRAS^{G12D}Ezh2^{DSET} \) mice were treated with either saline (control) or cerulein every other day over three days to induce acute pancreatitis (Figure 2.1). The body weight of mice was monitored and evaluated three times a week after the first day of injections. A sharp reduction in body weight was observed in all mice post treatment, with a gradual recovery starting at day 5. CIP (cerulein induced pancreatitis)-treated mice showed significant weight loss (\( P<0.05 \)) compared to the saline group from Day 3 to Day 10, but no significant differences (\( P>0.05 \)) in the percent changes in body weight were seen between different genotypes (Figure 3.1A). The overall body weight change (i.e., comparing final to starting weights) were not significantly different (\( P>0.05 \)) between all the genotypes or between saline- and CIP-treated groups (Figure 3.1B). Mice were killed 35 days after the first cerulein injection and pancreatic tissues harvested and weighed. No statistical differences (\( P>0.05 \)) were found in the pancreas to body weight ratios between saline- and cerulein-treated mice or between genotypes (Figure 3.1C). Representative images of the pancreas of CIP-treated mice were obtained upon dissection. The pancreatic tissues all appeared as relatively flat and pinkish white in color (Figure 3.1D). Comparisons of the gross pancreatic morphology revealed no obvious differences among genotypes.
Figure 3.1 KRAS$^{G12D}$ and KRAS$^{G12D Ezh2ΔSET}$ mice show no significant difference in body weight gain and pancreatic/body weight ratio compared to WT and Ezh2ΔSET mice after acute pancreatic injury. (A) Cerulein-treated mice (CIP; n=25, includes all genotypes) showed significant loss in body weight compared to saline-treated groups (n=9; *P<0.05, **P<0.0001; a repeated measures ANOVA with a Tukey’s post hoc test was performed) from day 3-10. No statistical difference in final body weight change (B) and pancreatic/body weight ratio (C) was observed between genotypes or between cerulein and saline group (P>0.05). The error bar represent mean ± SEM. (D) Gross morphology of pancreas in situ. The forceps pointed out the pancreas.
To examine the level of tissue damage in wild-type (WT), Ezh2<sup>ΔSET</sup>, KRAS<sup>G12D</sup>, and KRAS<sup>G12D</sup> Ezh2<sup>ΔSET</sup> pancreatic tissues, portions of both the head and the tail of the pancreas were embedded and subjected to histological analysis. The assessment of general morphology of pancreatic tissues by H&E staining revealed significantly more PanIN lesions and dense dysplastic stroma in cerulein-treated KRAS<sup>G12D</sup> and KRAS<sup>G12D</sup>Ezh2<sup>ΔSET</sup> mice compared to saline-treated groups or cerulein-treated WT and Ezh2<sup>ΔSET</sup> tissues (Figure 3.2A). Interestingly, a large fraction of PanIN lesions is found predominately located in one part of the pancreas, while the other part is relatively normal acinar tissue, which is consistent with previous finding that most PDAC develops from the head of the pancreas (Corbo et al., 2012). Saline-treated KRAS<sup>G12D</sup> and KRAS<sup>G12D</sup>Ezh2<sup>ΔSET</sup> mice showed only occasional lesions in the pancreas while WT and Ezh2<sup>ΔSET</sup> pancreas did not. Quantification of the lesion area indicated KRAS<sup>G12D</sup> and KRAS<sup>G12D</sup>Ezh2<sup>ΔSET</sup> tissues contained significantly more lesion area, including desmoplastic stroma, than WT and Ezh2<sup>ΔSET</sup> tissues (which showed no PanIN lesions or ADM; Figure 3.2C) following cerulein treatment. The extent of pancreatic injury varied among KRAS<sup>G12D</sup> and KRAS<sup>G12D</sup>Ezh2<sup>ΔSET</sup> mice. KRAS<sup>G12D</sup> tissues showed more variation in the percentage of lesion size, with some mice showing occasional focal lesions (1.69% of total pancreatic tissue area) to large majority of the tissue showing lesions (84.18% of total pancreatic tissue area). KRAS<sup>G12D</sup>Ezh2<sup>ΔSET</sup> tissues showed more consistent lesions to total tissue size ratio. However, KRAS<sup>G12D</sup>Ezh2<sup>ΔSET</sup> mice showed no differences in the number or extent of PanINs in terms of the size and the area of metaplastic lesions in the pancreas compared to age matched KRAS<sup>G12D</sup> mice (Figure 3.2C). The average lesion to total tissue area ratio was 26.92 ± 10.96% SEM for CIP-treated KRAS<sup>G12D</sup> tissues (n=7), and 15.04 ± 3.51% SEM for KRAS<sup>G12D</sup>Ezh2<sup>ΔSET</sup> tissues (n=9), which are not statistically different (P>0.05) (Figure 3.2B).
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Figure 3.2 The absence of EZH2 does not alter PanIN lesion size. (A) Representative H&E displays occurrence of pancreatic lesions in WT, Ezh2ΔSET, KRASG12D, and KRASG12D Ezh2ΔSET mice with (n=7, 7, 7, and 9, respectively) or without cerulein treatment (n=4, 1, 2, and 2, respectively) five weeks after initial cerulein injections. Magnification bar = 100 μm. (B) Whole slide H&E images showed regionalization of PanIN lesions (indicated by black arrows). Magnification bar = 2 mm. (C) There were no significant differences in the percentage of lesion area between KRASG12D and KRASG12D Ezh2ΔSET tissues cerulein treatment (P>0.05). The error bars represent mean ± SEM. Significantly increased levels of tissue damage were observed between saline- and CIP-treated groups in KRASG12D and KRASG12D Ezh2ΔSET tissues. A two-way ANOVA with a Tukey’s post hoc test was performed.
Atrophy of pancreatic acini, lobulated proliferation of ducts with associated stromal fibrosis, and chronic inflammation were observed in both $KRAS^{G12D}$ and $KRAS^{G12D}Ezh2^{ΔSET}$ tissues treated with cerulein. Cells within some precursor lesions exhibited basally located nuclei and abundant mucinous cytoplasm, suggesting the presence of PanIN with low-grade dysplasia, such as PanIN-1 and PanIN-2. High-grade dysplasia or invasive malignancy was rarely seen in both genotypes (Figure 3.3).

To examine ADM in response to acute pancreatic injury, IHC was performed for the duct cell marker, cytokeratin 19 (CK19), and the acinar cell marker, amylase in cerulein-treated mice (Figure 3.4). $KRAS^{G12D}$ and $KRAS^{G12D}Ezh2^{ΔSET}$ pancreata showed a significant decrease in amylase+ to CK19+ tissue compared to WT and $Ezh2^{ΔSET}$ pancreata, indicating gain of ductal identity in both genotypes following injury (Figure 3.4A). In addition, expression of ductal marker CK19 was observed within many acinar cells of $Ezh2^{ΔSET}$ tissues, while amylase was observed in PanIN lesions, supporting an acinar origin for PanINs (Liu et al., 2016). However, the ratio of amylase+/CK19+ tissue was comparable ($P>0.05$) between $KRAS^{G12D}$ (27.08±2.04% SEM, n=7) and $KRAS^{G12D}Ezh2^{ΔSET}$ pancreata (39.21±5.37% SEM, n=7) (Figure 3.4B). This suggests that acinar cell de-differentiation to duct cells occurs to the same extent in $KRAS^{G12D}$ and $KRAS^{G12D}Ezh2^{ΔSET}$ tissues following cerulein-induced injury. Interestingly, $Ezh2$ deletion does not limit the ability of acinar cells to regenerate in the pancreas after injury with or without the effects of oncogenic $KRAS$. 
Figure 3.3 Both $KRAS^{G12D}$ and $KRAS^{G12D}Ezh2^{ΔSET}$ mice show low grade PanIN lesions after acute pancreatic injury. Representative high magnification H&E images showing histological grading of pancreatic lesions in WT, $Ezh2^{ΔSET}$, $KRAS^{G12D}$, and $KRAS^{G12D}Ezh2^{ΔSET}$ mice five weeks post-cerulein treatment. The presence of ADM (indicated by stars) and PanIN-1 lesions (indicated by arrows) were identified in both $KRAS^{G12D}$ and $KRAS^{G12D}Ezh2^{ΔSET}$ tissues. Magnification bar = 100 μm.
Figure 3.4 The loss of EZH2 does not affect the amount of acinar/duct tissue. (A) Representative images of IHC staining for CK19 and amylase in WT, Ezh2ΔSET, KRASG12D, and KRASG12DEzh2ΔSET mice following cerulein treatment. Black arrows indicate CK19+ lesions, and blue arrows indicate amylase+ acini. Magnification bar = 100 μm. (B) No significant difference was found in the CK19/amylase ratio between KRASG12D, and KRASG12DEzh2ΔSET mice 5 weeks after the last cerulein injection (P>0.05). An unpaired two-tailed t test was performed.
3.2 Mice lacking EZH2 methyltransferase activity showed an increased number of higher grade PanIN lesions in the context of KRAS\textsuperscript{G12D} activation

Although initial analysis did not show significant differences in the level of KRAS\textsuperscript{G12D} - induced PanIN lesions in the absence of EZH2, it is possible that the role of EZH2 in the progression of PanIN lesions is more subtle. To address this possibility, the severity of lesions was examined using two different histological staining methods, Alcian Blue and PAS stain. Alcian Blue stain detects acidic mucin production, which is normally associated with enhanced tumorigenicity and invasiveness of reactive PanIN lesions (Saitou et al., 2005). PAS stains glycogen and is used to accurately measure the extent of PanIN lesions as glycogen deposits are evidence of cancerous cells. Alcian Blue stain revealed no staining in CIP-treated WT or Ezh2\textsuperscript{ASET} mice or in saline treated groups. However, by week five following induced injury, a significantly greater (P<0.005) percentage of Alcian Blue+ lesions were detected in CIP-treated KRAS\textsuperscript{G12D}Ezh2\textsuperscript{ASET} mice (55.14 ± 3.26% SEM, n=9) compared to KRAS\textsuperscript{G12D} mice (34.14 ± 2.90% SEM, n=7) (Figure 3.5A-C), suggesting KRAS\textsuperscript{G12D}Ezh2\textsuperscript{ASET} mice treated with cerulein more frequently developed higher grade PanIN lesion compared to KRAS\textsuperscript{G12D} mice. This finding was supported by PAS staining, showed significantly fewer (P<0.05) glycogen+ PanIN lesions (Figure 3.6A-C) in KRAS\textsuperscript{G12D} mice (39.86% ± 6.15% SEM) compared to KRAS\textsuperscript{G12D}Ezh2\textsuperscript{ASET} mice (58.57% ± 3.05% SEM) (Figure 3.6B). No accumulation of glycogen was detected in saline-treated KRAS\textsuperscript{G12D} and KRAS\textsuperscript{G12D}Ezh2\textsuperscript{ASET} mice, as well as in CIP-treated control groups. In summary, these combined results show KRAS\textsuperscript{G12D}Ezh2\textsuperscript{ASET} tissues have more progression PanIN lesions five weeks after initial cerulein injections compared to KRAS\textsuperscript{G12D} tissues, even though the lesion area percentages between two genotypes were comparable.

Finally, the level of collagen in CIP- and saline-treated mouse pancreas was visualized using picrosirius red staining. Positive stain revealed the presence of collagen deposition in CIP-treated KRAS\textsuperscript{G12D} and KRAS\textsuperscript{G12D}Ezh2\textsuperscript{ASET} mice, specifically in the desmoplastic stromal area (Figure 3.7). In contrast, much less collagen accumulation was observed in
saline treated $KRAS^{G12D}$ and $KRAS^{G12D}Ezh2^{SET}$ tissues. WT and $Ezh2^{SET}$ tissues showed little collagen expression around lobes, large vessels, and islets. $KRAS^{G12D}Ezh2^{SET}$ tissues showed more extensive positive staining compared to $KRAS^{G12D}$ tissues, which would indicate a higher degree of pancreatic fibrosis in the presence of oncogenic $KRAS^{G12D}$ and pancreatic injury, and that deletion of $Ezh2$ could lead to more accumulation of dense collagen fibers in the stroma. Collagen quantification was not performed as sufficient sample sizes were not achieved.
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Figure 3.5 $\text{KRAS}^{\text{G12D}}\text{Ezh2}^{\Delta\text{SET}}$ tissue shows increased expression of acidic mucins. 

(A) Representative alcian blue images show an increase in acidic mucins in the absence of Ezh2 in the context of $\text{KRAS}^{\text{G12D}}$ following cerulein treatment. Black arrows point out the positive stain. Magnification bar = 100 μm. 

(B) Low magnification images show a more extensive area of analysis (indicated by black arrows). Magnification bar = 100 μm. 

(C) Quantification of representative images confirms a significant increase (n=7 for both; **P<0.005) in the percentage of AB+ lesions in $\text{KRAS}^{\text{G12D}}\text{Ezh2}^{\Delta\text{SET}}$ mice 5 weeks after the last cerulein injection compared to $\text{KRAS}^{\text{G12D}}$ mice. Mean±SEM is shown. A two-tailed unpaired t test was performed.
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Figure 3.6 $KRAS^{G12D}Ezh2^{ASET}$ tissue shows increased PAS+ stain. (A) Representative PAS images show an increase in PAS+ stain in the absence of $Ezh2$ in the context of $KRAS^{G12D}$ following cerulein treatment. Black arrows point out the positive stain. Magnification bar = 100 μm. (B) Low magnification images show a more extensive area of analysis (indicated by black arrows). Magnification bar = 100 μm. (C) Quantification of representative images confirms a significant increase in the percentage of PAS+ lesions in $KRAS^{G12D}Ezh2^{ASET}$ mice 5 weeks after the last cerulein injection (n=7 for both; P<0.05). A two-tailed unpaired t test was performed.
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**Figure 3.7**  *KRAS*<sup>G12D</sup> and *KRAS*<sup>G12D Ezh2ΔSET</sup> tissues show increased collagen deposition in the stromal area. Representative images showing Picrosirius red staining of pancreatic tissues from each group. Black arrows indicate fibrosis. Magnification bar = 100 μm.
3.3 *Ezh2* deletion alters the molecular response to *KRAS*$_{G12D}$

Next, I examined the effects of *Ezh2* deletion on the molecular profile of acinar cells with or without *KRAS*$_{G12D}$ activation. WT, *Ezh2*$_{\Delta SET}$, *KRAS*$_{G12D}$, and *KRAS*$_{G12D}$*Ezh2*$_{\Delta SET}$ mice of 2-4 months old were administered with tamoxifen to specifically activate creERT, which leads to oncogenic *KRAS*$_{G12D}$ activation or *Ezh2* deletion. Twenty-two days following tamoxifen treatment, mice of all genotypes were sacrificed. The extent of tissue damage was examined by histological stain. Low power images of H&E staining revealed no obvious difference in general pancreas morphology among all genotypes. Most of the sections showed normal exocrine pancreas - a large number of acini, occasional fat cells, and the islets of Langerhans can be recognized in the tissue sections. No detectable pancreatic lesions are found in all genotypes (Figure 3.8A).

RNA-seq was performed on RNA isolated form whole pancreatic tissues from 22 days after tamoxifen gavage without cerulein-induced pancreatic injury. This time point was chosen because no obvious morphological differences were shown, and the cell makeup of the pancreas was relatively similar among genotypes. RNA-seq analysis of *KRAS*$_{G12D}$ and *KRAS*$_{G12D}$*Ezh2*$_{\Delta SET}$ mouse acinar cells revealed 315 genes significantly dysregulated in the absence of EZH2, with 237 genes upregulated and 78 genes downregulated (Figure 3.8B). To examine the molecular mechanisms involved in the loss of *Ezh2*, pathway analysis was performed with these genes using The Database for Annotation, Visualization and Integrated Discovery (DAVID) Bioinformatics Resources (2021 update) to investigate the functions of the selected 96 genes. Gene Ontology (GO) enrichment analysis identified 49 pathways significantly dysregulated between *KRA5*$_{G12D}$ and *KRAS*$_{G12D}$*Ezh2*$_{\Delta SET}$ genotypes. The results were ranked by the enrichment score and the top-ranked 20 signaling pathways are shown in Figure 3.8C. Many of the top-ranked pathways are related to inflammatory and immune responses, including the top five enriched pathways: adaptive immune response (GO:0002250), antigen processing and presentation of exogenous peptide antigen (GO:0002478), adaptive immune response based on somatic recombination of immune receptors built from immunoglobulin superfamily domains (GO:0002460), antigen processing and presentation of exogenous peptide antigen via MHC class II (GO:0019886),
and antigen processing and presentation of exogenous antigen (GO:0019884) (Figure 3.8C).

Among the differentially expressed genes, the C3 gene appeared in the top five pathways dysregulated in the absence of EZH2. RNA-seq data noted indicated significantly higher levels of C3 expression in $KRAS^{G12D}Ezh2^{ΔSET}$ compared to $KRAS^{G12D}$ tissues. IHC staining for C3 in mouse pancreas indicated that C3 is solely expressed in $KRAS^{G12D}Ezh2^{ΔSET}$ tissues, specifically in columnar epithelial cells within the lesion area, while no positive signals were found in $KRAS^{G12D}$ lesions. To be noted that the gene expression analysis was done in mouse tissues 22 days after tamoxifen without cerulein-induced injury, while histological analysis of C3 was done in tissues that were injured five weeks before dissection (Fig 3.9B).
Figure 3.8 The loss of Ezh2 leads to dysregulation of inflammatory response pathways in the absence of significant damage to the pancreas. (A) H&E histology of WT, Ezh2\textsuperscript{\textasciitilde\textasciitilde\textasciitildeSET}, KRAS\textsuperscript{G12D}, and KRAS\textsuperscript{G12D}Ezh2\textsuperscript{\textasciitilde\textasciitilde\textasciitildeSET} pancreatic tissues. Magnification bar = 100 \textmu m. (B) Volcano plot with log2 FC indicating the mean expression level for each gene. Each dot represents a gene. Black dots represent no significant differentially expressed genes between KRAS\textsuperscript{G12D} and KRAS\textsuperscript{G12D}Ezh2\textsuperscript{\textasciitilde\textasciitilde\textasciitildeSET} groups. Blue and red dots represent significant differentially expressed genes (blue is p\textsubscript{adj}<0.05, red is p\textsubscript{adj}<0.05 and Log2foldchange >2; n=3 for each group). (C) GO pathway analysis of the differentially expressed genes (p\textsubscript{adj} <0.05, Log2foldchange >2) between KRAS\textsuperscript{G12D} and KRAS\textsuperscript{G12D}Ezh2\textsuperscript{\textasciitilde\textasciitilde\textasciitildeSET} groups (n=3 for each group). Figure 3.8B and C courtesy of Fatemeh Mousavi.
Figure 3.9  The absence of EZH2 affects the expression of complement C3 in PanIN cells. Representative high (right) and low (left) power IHC images showed that the expression of complement C3 was observed in the columnar epithelial cells of KRAS$^{G12D}$Ezh2$^{ΔSET}$ tissue at week five post CIP. WT, Ezh2$^{ΔSET}$ and KRAS$^{G12D}$ does not show positive signal for C3 in PanIN cells. in Black arrows point out C3-positive cells. Magnification bar = 100 μm.


3.4 *Ezh2* deletion in the context of $KRAS^{G12D}$ induces tumor microenvironment modulation in PanIN progression

Previous results indicated that loss of EZH2 activity enhanced PanIN lesion progression in the presence of $KRAS^{G12D}$. The analysis of RNA-seq in $KRAS^{G12D}Ezh2^{ΔSET}$ and $KRAS^{G12D}$ suggested the absence of EZH2 leads to dysregulation of multiple inflammatory pathways. To confirm the relationship between $Ezh2$ deletion and the immune response in the pancreas, I examined the presence of immune cells and cancer-associated fibroblasts (CAFs) in the tumor microenvironment of CIP-treated mice by IHC staining. Infiltration of cytotoxic T lymphocytes was detected by IHC for CD8, while CD3 measures total intratumoral T lymphocytes (Andrew et al., 2016). The presence of macrophages was marked by the expression of F4/80. High expression of vimentin and aSMA was used as markers for pancreatic CAF (panCAF) and myCAF, respectively.

I first looked at the infiltration of cytotoxic CD8+ T cells. As expected, CIP-treated WT and $Ezh2^{ΔSET}$ mice showed no accumulation of CD8 (Figure 3.10). In CIP-treated $KRAS^{G12D}Ezh2^{ΔSET}$ and $KRAS^{G12D}$ mice, however, CD8+ cytotoxic T lymphocytes were detected in the desmoplastic stroma, suggesting the occurrence of inflammation in the TME. Although the difference is not significant ($P=0.069$), $KRAS^{G12D}$ tissues showed a trend towards increased numbers of CD8+ cells ($26.91 ± 3.57$ cell/mm$^2$ SEM, $n=5$) compared to $KRAS^{G12D}Ezh2^{ΔSET}$ tissues ($14.30 ± 4.75$ cell/mm$^2$ SEM, $n=5$) (Figure 3.10). Similar to CD8, IHC staining for CD3 revealed negligible T cells in $KRAS^{G12D}Ezh2^{ΔSET}$ and $KRAS^{G12D}$ mice in response to cerulein-induced injury, while $KRAS^{G12D}Ezh2^{ΔSET}$ and $KRAS^{G12D}$ mice showed T cell infiltration in the TME. The average density of CD3+ T cells was slightly, but not significantly ($P>0.05$), higher in $KRAS^{G12D}$ ($153.8 ± 26.08$ cell/mm$^2$ SEM, $n=5$) compared to $KRAS^{G12D}Ezh2^{ΔSET}$ tissues ($113.3 ± 18.99$ cell/mm$^2$ SEM, $n=5$) (Figure 3.11). Next, I tracked macrophage infiltration in all four genotypes by staining for F4/80. IHC revealed no infiltration of macrophages in pancreatic tissues of CIP-treated WT and $Ezh2^{ΔSET}$ mice. There was a significantly ($P<0.005$) increased infiltration of F4/80 positive macrophages in the stromal area of $KRAS^{G12D}$ ($1.33 ± 0.34$% SEM, $n=5$) compared to $KRAS^{G12D}Ezh2^{ΔSET}$ tissues ($0.08 ± 0.03$% SEM, $n=5$) (Figure
3.12). The amount of macrophage recruitment in the stroma largely varies in \(KRAS^{G12D}\) samples, which essentially ranges from 0.20% to 2.25% positive stain verses the total lesion area. These results suggested that the absence of EZH2 in the presence of oncogenic \(KRAS^{G12D}\) slightly reduces the recruitment of T lymphocytes and macrophages into the TME surrounding PanIN lesions.

To determine whether other non-acinar cell types of the TME are affected by the deletion of EZH2 in the \(KRAS^{G12D}\) context, I examined if the absence of EZH2 caused altered accumulation of CAFs in the TME of WT, \(Ezh2^{ASET}\), \(Mist1^{creERT/+}\) \(KRAS^{G12D}\) and \(KRAS^{G12D}Ezh2^{ASET}\) mice. myCAFs, as marked by aSMA positive signals, was comparably abundant in both \(KRAS^{G12D}\) and \(KRAS^{G12D}Ezh2^{ASET}\) tissues, while negligible amount of myCAF accumulation can be detected in WT and \(Mist1^{creERT/+}\) \(EZH2^{ASET}\) tissues (Fig. 3.13). MyCAFs were predominantly located at the center of the injured area adjacent to PanIN lesions, and not at the peripheral edges of the TME. Similar analysis for the marker of panCAF, vimentin, revealed no accumulation in WT and \(Ezh2^{ASET}\), and high amounts of expression in \(KRAS^{G12D}\) and \(KRAS^{G12D}Ezh2^{ASET}\) mice. No obvious differences in terms of the levels of myCAF and panCAF accumulation was detected between \(KRAS^{G12D}\) and \(KRAS^{G12D}Ezh2^{ASET}\) tissues. Combined, these data suggest the loss of EZH2 in the context of oncogenic \(KRAS^{G12D}\) may play a role in the modulation of the TME, especially the recruitment of immune cells. This difference may be correlated with the more progressive phenotype of PanIN lesions.
Figure 3.10 Infiltration of CD8+ lymphocytes after CIP show a decreased trend in the absence of EZH2. Representative high (right) and low (left) power IHC images showed that the number of CD8+ lymphocytes marker was lower in \( KRAS^{G12D}Ezh2^\Delta SET \) tissues compared to \( KRAS^{G12D} \). CD8 infiltration was not observed in WT and Ezh2\^{A\text{SET}} \) tissues. Black arrow pointed out the positive stain. Magnification bar = 100 μm. (B) Quantification of CD3 confirmed a trend that was not statistically significant in CD8+ cells in \( KRAS^{G12D} \) tissues post injury (cells/mm\(^2\) mean ± SEM, n = 5; P=0.0690; unpaired two-tail student’s t test was performed)
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Figure 3.11 EZH2 deletion does not affect the total amount of lymphocyte infiltration into the pancreas the stroma. Representative high (right) and low (left) power IHC images showed that the number of CD3+ lymphocytes marker was not statistically different between $KRAS^{G12D}$ tissues compared to $KRAS^{G12D}Ezh2^{-/-}$. Black arrows indicate positive stain. Magnification bar = 100. (B) Quantification of CD3+ cells showed higher numbers in $KRAS^{G12D}$ tissue but was not statistically significant that the number of CD3+ cells in $KRAS^{G12D}$ tissue post injury (cells/mm$^2$ mean ± SEM; n = 5; $P>0.05$; unpaired two-tail student’s t test was performed).
Figure 3.12 EZH2 deletion reduces macrophage infiltration into the stroma. (A) Representative high (right) and low (left) power IHC images of macrophage marker F4/80 showed no accumulation of macrophages in WT and Ezh2<sup>ΔSET</sup> pancreas. KRAS<sup>G12D</sup>Ezh2<sup>ΔSET</sup> showed remarkably more positive stain compared to KRAS<sup>G12D</sup> tissue samples. Black arrows indicate the positive stain. Magnification bar = 100 μm. (B) The quantification of F4/80 confirmed a significantly increased macrophage accumulation in KRAS<sup>G12D</sup> tissues post injury (% mean ± SEM; n = 5; **P<0.005; unpaired two-tail student’s t test was performed).
Figure 3.13  EZH2 deletion does not alter the total number of myCAFs in the tumor microenvironment. Representative high (right) and low (left) power IHC images of myCAF marker smooth muscle actin in $KRAS^{G12D}Ezh2^{\Delta SET}$ and $KRAS^{G12D}$ tissue samples showed no overall difference in the expression level. Magnification bar = 100 μm.
Figure 3.14  EZH2 deletion does not alter the total amount of CAFs in the tumor microenvironment. Representative high (right) and low (left) power IHC images of panCAF marker vimentin in $\text{KRAS}^{G12D}\epsilon\text{zh}2\Delta\text{SET}$ and $\text{KRAS}^{G12D}$ tissue samples showed no overall difference in the expression level. Magnification bar = 100 $\mu$m.
3.5 The loss of EZH2 does not affect KRAS-mediated ADM in cell culture

Based on in vivo experiments, the loss of EZH2 appeared to promote the progression of PDAC in the KRAS\textsuperscript{G12D} context and reduce immune cell recruitment into the TME. To determine if acinar expression of KRAS\textsuperscript{G12D} along with EZH2 deletion increased ADM without the effects of the TME, acinar cells from WT, \textit{Ezh2\textsuperscript{SET}}, \textit{KRAS\textsuperscript{G12D}} and \textit{KRAS\textsuperscript{G12D} Ezh2\textsuperscript{SET}} pancreata were isolated 22 days after tamoxifen injections and embedded in 3D collagen culture. Acinar cells were maintained and monitored for five days in the culture post-isolation. The development of cysts (putative ADM) was measured by calculating the number of cysts formed as a percentage of total clusters of cells, which represent individual acini. The size of ADM was measured for 10-20 clusters. WT and \textit{Ezh2\textsuperscript{SET}} cells formed cysts in less than 10\% of the total acinar clusters by day 2, while \textit{KRAS\textsuperscript{G12D}} and \textit{KRAS\textsuperscript{G12D} Ezh2\textsuperscript{SET}} acinar cells clusters formed cysts in the majority of clusters examined, reaching up to 95\% of the total cell clusters. From day 2 to day 5, significantly more cysts were formed in \textit{KRAS\textsuperscript{G12D}} and \textit{KRAS\textsuperscript{G12D} Ezh2\textsuperscript{SET}} cultures than in WT and \textit{Ezh2\textsuperscript{SET}} cells (P<0.05) (Figure 3.15A-B). However, from the third experimental day, ADM did not change in size and appeared to undergo apoptosis or necrosis, leading to a decrease in the percentage of cysts observed (Figure 3.15A-B). Analysis of cyst formation in culture showed no significant differences between \textit{KRAS\textsuperscript{G12D}} and \textit{KRAS\textsuperscript{G12D} Ezh2\textsuperscript{SET}} acini, suggesting EZH2 does not overtly affect cyst formation, consistent with in vivo data. Next, I examine changes in the size of the cysts throughout experimental days. Morphologically, cysts developed from WT, \textit{Ezh2\textsuperscript{SET}}, \textit{KRAS\textsuperscript{G12D}} and \textit{KRAS\textsuperscript{G12D} Ezh2\textsuperscript{SET}} all increased their size to different extent during growth in the collagen culture in the beginning. Started from the second day in culture, \textit{KRAS\textsuperscript{G12D}} and \textit{KRAS\textsuperscript{G12D} Ezh2\textsuperscript{SET}} acinar cell clusters formed cysts that became spherical and exhibited hollow lumens. These cysts reached a final size of \(\sim 40,000\ \mu\text{m}^2\), while only \(\sim 5,000\ \mu\text{m}^2\) in WT and \textit{Ezh2\textsuperscript{SET}} cultures. (Figure 3.15C). The percentage and size of cysts was comparable between \textit{KRAS\textsuperscript{G12D}} and \textit{KRAS\textsuperscript{G12D} Ezh2\textsuperscript{SET}} cells, indicating that knockout of \textit{Ezh2} alone did not affect the growth of KRAS-mediated ADM in culture in an overt fashion.
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Figure 3.15 Loss of EZH2 does not affect the amount of KRAS$^{G12D}$-mediated ADM.

(A) Phase contrast microscopy of acinar cells derived from WT, EZH2$^{ΔSET}$, KRAS$^{G12D}$, and KRAS$^{G12D}$EZH2$^{ΔSET}$ mice two weeks after tamoxifen administration. Images were taken one, three, and five days in culture. White arrows indicate the presence of cysts (putative ADM). Magnification bar = 100 μm. (B) Quantification of the percentage of ADM (% mean ± SEM; n=3) formation showed significant differences between cells with and without KRAS$^{G12D}$ activation (P<0.05) from day 2-5, but no significant difference found between cells with and without EZH2 (P>0.05). (C) Quantification of the size of ADM (mm$^2$ mean ± SD; n=3) from day 1 to day 5. Significant difference was observed between cells with and without KRAS$^{G12D}$ activation (P<0.05). No significant difference was found between cells with and without EZH2 (P>0.05). A repeated measure Two-way ANOVA with a Tukey’s Post hoc test was performed.
4 CHAPTER 4: DISCUSSION

4.1 Conclusions

In this thesis, I examined the role of EZH2 in \( \text{KRAS}^{G12D} \)-driven PDAC in response to acute pancreatitis and in \( \text{KRAS}^{G12D} \)-mediated ADM formation in ex vivo cultures. I showed that the absence of EZH2 in adult acinar cells resulted in more progressive PanIN lesions following pancreatic injury and \( \text{KRAS}^{G12D} \) activation. RNA-seq analysis showed loss of \( EZH2 \) altered the molecular response to \( \text{KRAS}^{G12D} \) in mouse pancreatic acinar cells, but only a small number of genes were differentially expressed between acinar cells expressing EZH2 or not expressing EZH2. The majority of significantly affected genes were associated with inflammatory signaling pathways. These findings were supported in the context of \( \text{KRAS}^{G12D} \) expression in the presence of pancreatic injury. The absence of EZH2 methyltransferase activity resulted in reduced immune cell infiltration in the tumor microenvironment, especially macrophages. Interestingly, EZH2 deletion did not affect the development of \( \text{KRAS} \)-driven ADM in cell culture, as the rate of ADM formation and size were unaffected by the absence of EZH2. These findings suggest the effects of EZH2 may be through the tumor microenvironment. Taken together, these data suggest EZH2 modulates inflammatory infiltration and results in higher grade of PanIN lesions following acute pancreatic injury. The findings highlight the potential of \( EZH2 \) as a direct therapeutic target to prevent tumor growth in PDAC patients.

4.2 Overview

Despite extensive research efforts, PDAC continues to be a leading cause of death in Canada due to the lack of early detection methods and effective treatments. The \( \text{KRAS} \) gene is mutated in 95% of all PDAC cases (Guo et al., 2016) and plays a role in the initiation of PDAC by promoting irreversible acinar-to-ductal metaplasia (ADM) process. This leads to low-grade PanINs and increases the risk of further progressing to PDAC (Andrew et al., 2020). Previous research showed the epigenetic regulator EZH2 played a key role in the development of \( \text{KRAS}^{G12D} \)-driven PDAC. For example, Mallen St. Clair et al. (2012) showed \( \text{Ezh2} \) deletion in mouse embryos accelerated \( \text{KRAS}^{G12D} \)-mediated PDAC and
prevented pancreatic regeneration. Conversely, Chen et al. (2017) found during late stages of PDAC development, EZH2 deficiency reduced tumor expansion. Both human research and studies on mouse models revealed high levels of EZH2 often correlated to more aggressive and invasive PDAC phenotype and reduced sensitivity to KRAS oncogene (Kim et al., 2015; Patil et al., 2020).

In this thesis, I specifically looked at the effects of Ezh2 deletion on KRAS-driven PDAC progression in the context of acinar cells from adult transgenic mice and addressed the hypothesis in early neoplasia in the pancreas before the development of invasive PDAC, which is distinct from the other studies and is not well-addressed before.

4.3 EZH2 restricts the progression of KRASG12D-driven PDAC following acute pancreatic injury without limiting PanIN lesion expansion

A previous study from our lab that examined the effects of EZH2 deficiency in KRAS-mediated PDAC that developed spontaneously in adult mice revealed no significant differences in morphological and histological changes in the pancreas. Conversely, EZH2 appeared to dramatically enhance KRAS-mediated ADM and PDAC when combined with events that trigger acinar cell dedifferentiation such as Mist1 deficiency (Shi et al., 2013). Therefore, the work presented in this study utilized methodology that induced acute pancreatic injury, which increases the susceptibility to PDAC, five weeks prior to histological analysis to investigate in detail whether EZH2 deletion affects the progression of PDAC in the context of KRASG12D.

My results showed no evident histological changes in overall lesion formation, which contradicts previous findings (Mallen St. Clair et al, 2012). The lesion size and level of fibrosis of the tumor microenvironment was similar in the absence of EZH2 and constitutive activation of KRASG12D, suggesting loss of EZH2 does not significantly promote or restrict KRAS-driven PanIN lesion expansion in response to acute injury. However, significantly more mucin+ PanIN lesions were observed in KRASG12DEzh2ASSET tissues, suggesting more higher-grade lesions in KRASG12DEzh2ASSET compared to KRASG12D
tissues. Similar to Mallen St. Clair et al. (2012) whose findings support a model that \textit{KRAS}-mediated PDAC is accelerated by deletion of EZH2. In contradiction with that same study, which demonstrated a vital role of EZH2 in tissue regeneration in response to pancreatic injury (Mallen St. Clair et al., 2012), the loss of EZH2 alone without the effects of oncogenic KRAS did not affect pancreatic regeneration and repair.

The contradictory findings may be explained by differences in the mouse models used and distinct roles for EZH2 at different stages of PDAC progression. Our model focused on induced deletion of EZH2 and activation of \textit{KRAS}^{G12D} in adult mice instead of mouse embryos, which is a better predictor of PDAC in humans since most people accumulate mutations and develop cancers later in life. Another explanation for the inconsistency is that different Cre recombinase drivers were used in this project vs. previous studies. Most studies utilize the \textit{Ptf1a} locus as a Cre driver to induce genetic recombination specifically in mouse pancreas, including constitutive \textit{KRAS}^{G12D} activation and \textit{Ezh2} deletion. Since \textit{Ptf1a} is a crucial gene for pancreatic organogenesis and is expressed in pancreatic multipotent pancreatic cells (MPCs) during development, it may lead to a recombined allele in all cell types in mouse pancreas. However, \textit{Ptf1a} expression is restricted to acinar cells in adult mice (Kawaguchi et al., 2002). In my thesis, \textit{Mist1} was used as a driver gene to induce acinar-specific deletion. While it is reported that \textit{Ptf1a} and \textit{Mist1} are both essential for maintaining mature acinar identity and restricting \textit{KRAS}-mediated tumorigenesis (Jiang et al., 2016), our preliminary lab data demonstrated a significant difference in the progression of PanIN lesions between PK (\textit{Ptf1a}^{creERT2+/} \textit{KRAS}^{G12D}) and MK (\textit{Mist1}^{creERT2+/} \textit{KRAS}^{G12D}) (unpublished data; Mousavi and Lau).

As previously mentioned, Mallen St. Clair et al. (2012) demonstrated the loss of EZH2 function promotes neoplastic progression during early stage of PDAC, while Chen et al. (2017) stated at late stages of pancreatic regeneration after injury, the loss of EZH2 allows acinar cell redifferentiation and pancreatic tissue recovery. Accumulating evidence suggests EZH2 might have distinct roles at different stages of PDAC progression, and the activation of \textit{KRAS} oncogene may play a role in modulating the effects of EZH2 in a temporal manner. Therefore, it is possible that the inconsistency between studies is due to different experimental timing that reflect different outcomes, meaning that EZH2 caused
more progressed PanIN lesions in the context of KRAS$^{G12D}$ at the beginning, but trending toward a decreased level of lesions at later stage.

Overall, my data suggest that EZH2 restricts KRAS-mediated early PanIN progression in mouse models without limiting PanIN expansion, which raises the question of whether the absence of EZH2 caused genetic alterations that can explain the more progressive phenotype and what intracellular signalling pathways are involved.

4.4 EZH2 dysregulation affects inflammatory response pathways in response to KRAS$^{G12D}$

EZH2 normally functions to suppress gene expression and transcriptional silencing of genes mediated by aberrant EZH2 function has been implicated in cancer cell metastasis. To understand how EZH2 deletion enhances PDAC progression, I examined RNA-seq analysis 22 days after tamoxifen induction and see whether the absence of EZH2 altered the molecular response to KRAS$^{G12D}$ hyperactivation in mouse acinar cells without cerulein-induced injury. RNA-seq analysis identified 315 genes that were differentially expressed between KRAS$^{G12D}$ and KRAS$^{G12D}$Ezh2$^{SET}$ cells, at a time when there were no phenotypic differences between the two lines. 237 genes were significantly upregulated and 78 were significantly downregulated in KRAS$^{G12D}$Ezh2$^{SET}$ relative to KRAS$^{G12D}$ cells. Consistent with the well-known role of EZH2 as an epigenetic suppressor, more genes seemed to have increased expression levels in the absence of EZH2. GO analysis identified five pathways specifically linked to immune related functions, suggesting an effect on the TME. One immunity related pathway is the adaptive immune response pathway, which predominantly involves the function of T lymphocytes (Slack, 2020). It is reported, however, that EZH2 is overexpressed in many typical cancers and functions by suppressing the activation of the adaptive immune response pathway (Zhao et al., 2016). This specifically affects the anti-tumor effects of the cytotoxic or pro-inflammatory immune response in the pancreas. Other affected pathways include leukocyte mediated immunity pathway, negative regulation of immune system process, and lymphocyte mediated immunity. These findings suggest the deletion of EZH2 alters many intrinsic, immune-
related pathways that may affect the TME, which may explain the higher grade PanIN lesions observed in $KRAS^{G12D}Ezh2^{ASET}$ mice.

The complement $C3$, one of the genes significantly differentially expressed between $KRAS^{G12D}$ and $KRAS^{G12D}Ezh2^{ASET}$, is specifically studied in this project because it is involved in most of the top ranked pathways. The $C3$ gene encodes the protein complement component 3, which plays a key role in the complement system as part of the immune response (Zhang et al., 2020). The complement system is a group of proteins that collaborate to prevent and destroy foreign invaders and trigger inflammation. Previous studies identified the prognostic role of complement $C3$ in early stage of acute pancreatitis (Zhang et al., 2020), and revealed that the complement system can interact with cancer cells to contribute to proliferation, migration, and invasion of tumor cells (Zhang et al., 2019). $C3$ can be used as an effective marker for the diagnosis of PDAC at early stages (Peterson et al., 2017). According to the RNA-seq data, $C3$ is significantly expressed at higher level (1.5-fold) in $KRAS^{G12D}Ezh2^{ASET}$ compared to $KRAS^{G12D}$ cells. Consistent with these data, $C3$ was expressed in $KRAS^{G12D}Ezh2^{ASET}$ tissues in the mouse model that received cerulein treatment five weeks before dissection. Positive $C3$ signals were specifically observed in columnar epithelial cells within the lesion. Although it is still not known if differentially expressed $C3$ contributes to the more progressive PanIN phenotype, high $C3$ level is often correlated to inflammation in the body (Liu et al., 2016). Overall, these data confirmed the finding that the absence of epigenetic repressor EZH2 results in activation of expression of many genes including $C3$, and that inflammatory pathways could be closely related to the development of early PDAC. As the RNA-seq analysis was performed on tissue with a normal phenotype before progression to PanINs and without an injury stimulant, I next investigated the possibility that $KRAS^{G12D}Ezh2^{ASET}$ mice show a differential immune response to injury. Because the molecular response to $KRAS$ activation could be dramatically changed in response to injury, work on gene expression profiles at later stages of PanIN progression is warranted in the future.
4.5 EZH2 plays a role in tumor microenvironment modulation

Recent studies revealed an immune modulatory function of EZH2 in the TME (Wang et al., 2019). To examine the immune response in the pancreas in the absence of EZH2 as well as KRAS\(^{G12D}\) activation, I analyzed the effects of EZH2 knockout on accumulation of immune cell populations and CAFs following acute pancreatic injury. \(KRAS^{G12D,Ezh2^{\Delta SET}}\) mice exhibited a trend towards decreased accumulation of T cells and cytotoxic T cells in the TME compared to \(KRAS^{G12D}\) mice, and this could correspond to a more aggressive phenotype of \(KRAS^{G12D,Ezh2^{\Delta SET}}\) tissues. T cells are a type of leukocyte that plays an important role in the body’s immune system. It is previously demonstrated that aberrant EZH2 function plays a critical role in the recruitment of Tregs at the sites of inflammation, which perform immunosuppressive functions by restricting the T effector cells (DuPage et al., 2015; Yang et al., 2015). Overexpression of EZH2 in late-stage PDAC leads to excessive recruitment of Tregs, which largely inhibits infiltration of cytotoxic cells and therefore maintains a pro-tumor microenvironment. In this case, however, the deletion of EZH2 results in a slightly restricted recruitment of cytotoxic T cells compared to tissues with normal EZH2 function, suggesting distinct roles for EZH2 in the initiation and late stage of PDAC. EZH2 is deleted specifically in acinar cells as the driver gene \(\text{Mist1}\) is acinar-specific, which may also explain the inconsistency between previous study and this finding.

Surprisingly, I observed significantly more macrophages within the TME of \(KRAS^{G12D}\) tissues. The amount of macrophage recruitment in the stroma largely varies in \(KRAS^{G12D}\) samples from 0.20% to 2.25% positive stain verses the total lesion area, which may reflect the variability seen previously for the percentages of lesions area in \(KRAS^{G12D}\). Macrophages are the most abundant immune cells in the TME and promote a favorable microenvironment for cancer cells by directly interacting and inhibiting cytotoxic T cells (Borrego et al., 1998). Therefore, the presence of macrophages is normally associated with immunosuppression and poor clinical outcome which is inconsistent with \(KRAS^{G12D,Ezh2^{\Delta SET}}\) tissue having fewer macrophages in the TME but exhibiting a more advanced phenotype. Although it is hard to conclude the reason why this correlation appeared, it is
possible that expression of EZH2 in $KRAS^{G12D}$ tissues increases the inflammatory response mediated by macrophages, which restrained the recruitment of CD3+ and CD8+ T cells to the sites of inflammation in the TME. However, this thesis only focussed on early pre-neoplastic events when the PanIN lesions just started to appear with $KRAS^{G12D}$ as the only oncogenic mutation. This may explain the inconsistency since most previous studies looked at late-stage PDAC with additional oncogenic mutations such as $Tp53$. In addition, F4/80 is a global marker for macrophages, which includes anti-tumor inflammatory M1 subtype and tumorigenic immune-suppressive M2 subtype, making it hard to conclude whether the massive infiltration of macrophages in $KRAS^{G12D}$ tissues plays a role in preventing or promoting PDAC.

The stromal response to pancreatic injury involves CAFs, which include myCAFs and iCAFs. MyCAFs are believed to promote tumorigenesis by secreting soluble factors and ECM proteins. In this study, however, no obvious differences were observed in the amount of myCAFs and total CAFs in the TME in both genotypes, suggesting EZH2 deletion does not enhance PDAC progression by altering CAFs in the TME. Combined, this data shows $Ezh2^{SET}$ deletion in $KRAS^{G12D}$-mediated PanIN progression decreases immune infiltration in pancreas but does not promote differences in CAFs remodeling.

In summary, EZH2’s role in preventing the progression of KRAS-driven PanIN lesions is correlated with its function of epigenetically silencing immune-related genes and altering associated inflammatory response pathways, which leads to higher level of immune cell recruitment in the TME.

### 4.6 The role of EZH2 is context dependent

Next, I investigated whether the loss of $Ezh2$ in acinar cells caused more progressive KRAS-mediated ADM formation without the presence of surrounding TME. To do this, the effects of EZH2 on the ADM process was assessed through primary acinar cultures. Oncogenic $KRAS^{G12D}$ activation and $Ezh2$ deletion were specifically induced in acinar cells 21 days before acinar cell isolation and culturing in 3D collagen culture. Type I collagen was specifically used for embedding acinar cells because collagen I is the most common type
of ECM protein found in skin, bones, and connective tissues, and is suitable for maintaining cells (Mochizuki et al., 2020). KRAS\textsuperscript{G12D}-expressing acinar cells, whether coupled with the loss of EZH2 or not, showed increased acinar cell dedifferentiation and formed duct-like cystic structures, suggesting a central role for KRAS\textsuperscript{G12D} in driving ADM, which is consistent with previous study. Interestingly, the loss of EZH2 function did not result in a greater percentage of KRAS-mediated ADM formation or significant increase in ADM size in KRAS\textsuperscript{G12D} Ezh2\textsuperscript{ASET} cells as predicted. This suggests that the effects of EZH2 alone are not able to cause visible phenotypic changes in acinar cells. Conversely, WT and Ezh2\textsuperscript{ASET} cells exhibited a limited ability to form ADM in the culture, and acinar cell clusters are short-lived. The size of cysts developed in WT and Ezh2\textsuperscript{ASET} were also considerably smaller than that in KRAS\textsuperscript{G12D} and KRAS\textsuperscript{G12D} Ezh2\textsuperscript{ASET} cultures. Similarly, the loss of EZH2 did not seem to promote or prevent the formation of cysts without KRAS\textsuperscript{G12D}. It is noted that following the first day in the culture, when KRAS\textsuperscript{G12D}-expressing cells rapidly underwent ADM, the survival rate of cysts dropped quickly after day 2 in all genotypes, suggesting a lack of the ability to proliferate. It is, however, expected because when these cells were isolated and plated in the culture, they are normal acinar cells with WT-like phenotype, not proliferating PDAC tumor cells that normally last longer in collagen culture. Overall, in the absence of the TME, the loss of EZH2 did not results in more progressed ADM formation even in the context of KRAS\textsuperscript{G12D}. This again suggests the role of EZH2 in preventing PanIN progress in vivo might be context dependent. It is likely that the effects of EZH2 in restricting PanIN progression is dependent on the TME.

However, it is possible that the deletion of EZH2 altered gene expression pattern in KRAS\textsuperscript{G12D} Ezh2\textsuperscript{ASET} cells but is not significant enough to lead to visible phenotypic changes to the cysts. Further research is required to investigate whether the absence of EZH2 changes the molecular response of acinar cells to KRAS oncogene.

### 4.7 Limitations and future directions

In this study, limitations of both in vivo and in vitro experiments exist. In acute models of PanIN, differences in collagen, myCAFs, and panCAFs in pancreatic tissues between genotypes could not be assessed due to insufficient n value. To complete these analysis,
repeated experiments with increased n values are needed. In terms of the *in vitro* work, maintaining acinar cells in good condition over five experimental days provided limitations to this study. In addition, technical difficulties in cysts isolation, fixation, and paraffin-embedding following five days in culture limit the possibilities in histological analysis of ADM.

In future studies, further efforts are required to establish the mechanisms by which EZH2 is restricting the progression of early PanIN lesions in the context of KRAS$^{G12D}$ hyperactivation. Performing ChIP-seq for H3K27me3 on acinar cells isolated at different time points, for examples 21 days after tamoxifen injection or five weeks after cerulein treatment, or five days after culturing, could be beneficial. This will allow us to determine potential targets of EZH2 by looking at H3K27me3 pattern in the genome. It would also help determine whether EZH2 has distinct roles in terms of the activation or silencing of genes at different time points during early PanIN progression. To investigate the involvement of EZH2 in intracellular mechanisms that restrict KRAS-mediated PanIN progression, several significant genes could be chosen for expression analysis by immunofluorescence (IF) or Western blotting to examine co-localization and amount of expression in pancreatic tissues, which may help with identifying the involvement of these genes in signaling pathways potentially affected by EZH2.

To further determine if the loss of EZH2 caused more progressive phenotype of ADM through modulating the TME, cysts growth could be examined in culture under additional conditions. Co-culture of mouse acinar cells and immune cells, including T cells and macrophages, could be used to investigate individual role of immune cell recruitment around ADM and whether KRAS-expressing cysts with EZH2 deletion will be more proliferative and grow bigger. Alternatively, we could treat cells with chemicals released by different immune cells, such as chemokines and cytokines, and monitor cyst growth to see if the presence of any factors contributes to a more advance phenotype of ADM.
4.8 Conclusion

In summary, the findings in this thesis support a preventive role for EZH2 in initiating KRAS-driven PDAC. In the context of acute pancreatic injury in vivo, the loss of EZH2 leads to greater extent of preneoplastic PanIN lesions without limiting PanIN lesion expansion. Although only a small number of genes are significantly dysregulated due to the lack of EZH2 function in response to KRAS<sup>G12D</sup>, most of them are upregulated in the absence of EZH2 and are involved in multiple inflammatory response pathways, reflecting the role of EZH2 as an epigenetic suppressor. I also identified the role of EZH2 in modulating the tumor microenvironment by promoting recruitment of immune cells to the sites of inflammation, which might in turn contribute to the less progressive outcome in KRAS<sup>G12D</sup> tissues. However, EZH2 is not able prevent KRAS-driven acinar cell dedifferentiation in the cell culture, highlighting the context-dependent role of EZH2 in repressing KRAS-mediated ADM and PanIN through modulating the TME.
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