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Abstract

Elastic conformational changes of the protein backbone are essential for catalytic activities of enzymes. To follow relative movements within the protein, Förster-type resonance energy transfer (FRET) between two specifically attached fluorophores can be applied. FRET provides a precise ruler between 3 and 8 nm with subnanometer resolution. Corresponding submillisecond time resolution is sufficient to identify conformational changes in FRET time trajectories. Analyzing single enzymes circumvents the need for synchronization of various conformations. F$_0$F$_1$-ATP synthase is a rotary double motor which catalyzes the synthesis of adenosine triphosphate (ATP). A proton-driven 10-stepped rotary F$_0$ motor in the *Escherichia coli* enzyme is connected to a 3-stepped F$_1$ motor, where ATP is synthesized. To operate the double motor with a mismatch of step sizes smoothly, elastic deformations within the rotor parts have been proposed by W. Junge and coworkers. Here we extend a single-molecule FRET approach to observe both rotary motors simultaneously in individual F$_0$F$_1$-ATP synthases at work. We labeled this enzyme with two fluorophores specifically, that is, on the $\varepsilon$- and $c$-subunits of the two rotors. Alternating laser excitation was used to select the FRET-labeled enzymes. FRET changes indicated associated transient twisting within the rotors of single enzyme molecules during ATP hydrolysis and ATP synthesis. Supported by Monte Carlo simulations of the FRET experiments, these studies reveal that the rotor twisting is greater than 36° and is largely suppressed in the presence of the rotation inhibitor DCCD.
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Introduc
c

F$_0$F$_1$-ATP synthases are the ubiquitous enzymes catalyzing the formation of adenosine triphosphate (ATP) from adenosine diphosphate (ADP) and phosphate. Many details of the molecular mechanism of this enzyme have been unraveled in the last 15 years based on single-molecule detection of conformational changes. Rotation of subunit $\gamma$ to coordinate the sequential ATP synthesis steps in the three catalytic binding sites in the F$_1$ part, as proposed by P. Boyer [1] and implied by the crystal structure of the mitochondrial F$_1$ by J. Walker and coworkers [2], was first demonstrated unequivocally in isolated F$_1$ by H. Noji and colleagues [3]. They attached a fluorescent marker on the $\gamma$-subunit of surface-immobilized F$_1$ and monitored rotation of the 2-$\mu$m-long marker by videomicroscopy during ATP hydrolysis. Reducing the size of the marker using 40 nm gold beads and laser light scattering in dark-field microscopy allowed detection of angular dependencies of different steps of ATP hydrolysis in F$_1$, that is ATP binding, catalysis, and ADP as well as phosphate release [4-6]. Small magnetic beads attached to the $\gamma$-subunit enabled the use of external magnetic fields on individual F$_1$ molecules to mechanically rotate and stall the $\gamma$-subunit [7-9]. Thus, torque and thermodynamic properties of the chemo-mechanical energy conversion from the ATP hydrolysis reaction in the binding pocket to rotary movement of $\gamma$ could be measured [10]. Similarly, rotation of the $\varepsilon$-subunit of the F$_1$ part [11-13] and the $c$-ring of the F$_o$ part have been shown during ATP hydrolysis [14-18].

To monitor subunit rotation during ATP synthesis in the complete F$_o$F$_1$-ATP synthase reconstituted in a lipid membrane, different approaches have been developed. A sophisticated biochemical assay used F$_1$ with one radioactively tagged $\beta$-subunit and two non-radioactive $\beta$-subunits to generate a reversible crosslink with the $\gamma$-subunit. These studies provided evidence that $\gamma$ changes its orientation during rotary catalysis with respect to the tagged $\beta$-subunit [19, 20] during ATP synthesis. Rotation of the $c$-ring was inferred from single-fluorophore anisotropy imaging in the Na$^+$-driven surface-attached F$_o$F$_1$-ATP synthase of Propionigenium modestum [21]. Simultaneous crosslinking of all three rotor subunits $\gamma$, $\varepsilon$ and $c$ in E. coli F$_o$F$_1$-ATP synthase did not affect ATP hydrolysis, ATP synthesis or DCCD inhibition [22] strongly supporting a co-rotation of these subunits.
Alternatively, single-molecule Förster resonance energy transfer (FRET) with two small markers specifically attached to one rotary and one static subunit was applied by the group of P. Gräber [23-26]. FRET allows measurement of distances between two fluorophores in the range of approximately 2 nm to 10 nm with subnanometer precision. The sensitivity of photon detectors is high enough that single FRET-labeled enzymes can be analyzed with submilliseconds time resolution for the distance measurements. Accordingly, subunit rotation in appropriately engineered and labeled single F_oF_1-ATP synthase complexes of *E. coli* can be monitored in real time. The basic principle is shown in Figure 1. By attaching one fluorophore *via* genetically introduced cysteines to an off-axis position on one of the rotary subunits and a second fluorophore to the static subunits on the peripheral stalk (*b*-subunits) or the *a*-subunit of the enzyme, the distance between the two dyes varies within the range of 3 nm to 8 nm during rotation, perfectly matching the maximum sensitive range of FRET. Thereby, rotation of the γ [27, 28] and ε-subunits [29, 30] in three 120° steps was shown to occur in opposite directions during ATP synthesis and ATP hydrolysis, and upper limits of the transition times between the three catalytic dwells per full rotation were determined [31]. Dwell time analysis revealed differences in the mechanism of Aurovertin B inhibition of ATP synthesis and hydrolysis for the *E. coli* enzyme [32]. With single-molecule FRET, we could show that the c-ring in the F_o part rotates in sequential 36° steps during ATP synthesis by *E. coli* F_oF_1-ATP synthase [33].

Different step sizes for the two rotary motors have also been reported for the *Thermus thermophilis* ATP synthase [34, 35], reinforcing the fundamental question of how the protein is able to couple motors with distinct stepping characteristics. Elements of elastic deformation in the peripheral stator subunits or within the three rotary subunits have been proposed by W. Junge and others [36-38]. Experimental evidence for elastic protein domains within the rotor as well as a surprisingly high stiffness of the peripheral stalk, that is, the asymmetric right-handed coiled-coil *b*-subunit dimer [39-41]), have been determined using videomicroscopy of the Brownian fluctuations of beads attached to ATP synthase molecules containing engineered disulphide cross-links that allowed measurement of the torsional stiffness of specific parts of the enzyme [10, 42-45]. The results of some of these studies also allowed application of a "computational microscope" approach (K. Schulten) by Molecular Dynamics Simulations which provided an atomic-level description of torsional elasticities within the rotor [46].
To identify elastic deformations within the rotor subunits without attachment of large beads and to observe these conformational fluctuations during ATP synthesis, we have recently expanded our single-molecule FRET approach to a three-fluorophore experiment [47, 48]. The aim of the advanced and complex spectroscopic set-up was to ensure that internal deformations of the rotor as measured by FRET changes can be correlated with an overall rotation of the three subunits, i.e. catalytic activity of the enzyme. Thus, photophysical artifacts on the single-molecule fluorescence level like spectral fluctuations and blinking could be discriminated from a transient twisting of $\epsilon$- versus the $c$-subunits. Indications for relative movements between $\epsilon$ and $c$ had been found by P. Gräber and coworkers [49].

Here, we re-use this triple-mutant of *E. coli* F$_0$F$_1$-ATP synthase. However, the fluorescent protein EGFP fused to the C-terminus of the $a$-subunit was not used for the FRET measurements. Instead, the $\epsilon$-subunit labeled with Alexa-532 through a cysteine at residue 56 acted as the FRET donor, and a Cy5 fluorophore on a cysteine introduced at residue 2 on one of the ten $c$-subunits acted as FRET acceptor. Thus, alterations in the FRET efficiency for any particular molecule will be indicative of conformational changes within the rotor. Figure 1B shows a simplified model for the stopping positions of a $c$-subunit with respect to a fixed position of the $\epsilon$-subunit, and illustrates the ten possible FRET efficiencies for any given labeled $c$-subunit, respectively. Based on the previous FRET experiments, we quantitatively analyzed the relative movements of $\epsilon$ and $c$ during both ATP synthesis and ATP hydrolysis. We found an extensive twisting in this section of the rotor, encompassing the $\beta$-barrel domain of $\epsilon$ and the $c$-ring, significantly greater than 36° and possibly in the range of up to 108°, indicating that a substantial fraction of the total rotor torsional elasticity is contained within these domains.
Materials and Methods

E. coli FoF1-ATP synthase mutant

The triple-mutant enzyme used for the single-molecule FRET experiments was constructed by re-assembling two different mutants of the E. coli FoF1-ATP synthase which have been published previously [29, 33]. Briefly, the cysteine mutant εH56C in F1 was produced by plasmid pRAP100 in E. coli strain RA1. F1 was prepared as described [29] and labeled with Alexa-532-maleimide (Invitrogen). The efficiency of labeling was determined by UV-VIS absorbance spectroscopy to be 35%.

A double-mutant FoF1-ATP synthase with the autofluorescent protein EGFP fused to the N-terminus of the a-subunit and a cysteine introduced to residue position 2 of the c-subunits is encoded by plasmid pDC61, which was expressed in strain RA1 for purification of the FoF1 holoenzyme as described [33]. After substoichiometric labeling of the c-ring of about 7.4% with Cy5-monomaleimide (Amersham CyDye, GE Healthcare Life Sciences) the enzyme was reconstituted into preformed liposomes by a standard detergent/Biobeads procedure[50]. The unlabeled F1 sector was dissociated from Fo remaining in the membrane in the presence of Mg2+-free buffer, and Alexa-532-labeled F1 was re-assembled to the Fo sector in liposomes to yield functional FoF1-ATP synthase with FRET donor Alexa-532 and FRET acceptor Cy5. ATP hydrolysis activity was determined to 52 ATP/s at 1 mM ATP, and ATP synthesis activity to 31 ATP/s at room temperature with 100 μM ADP, 5 mM Pi, and an initial pH difference of 4.1 after an acid-base transition in the presence of an initial K+/valinomycin diffusion potential of 120 - 140 mV[28]. ATP synthesis rate of wildtype E. coli FoF1-ATP synthase was 69 ATP/s for the same conditions. Aliquots of 2 μl of the reconstituted FRET-labeled FoF1-ATP synthases were shock-frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80° C until use.

Confocal single-molecule FRET microscope and data analysis

For the single-molecule FRET measurements we used a custom-designed confocal microscope with piezo-driven three dimensional sample scanning based on an Olympus IX 71 microscope [51-54] (Figure 2a). Briefly, the beam of a continuous-wave solid state laser (Compass 315M, Coherent, Lübeck, Germany) with 532 nm emission was overlaid with a picosecond-pulsed laserdiode (LDH-P-635B, Picoquant, Berlin, Germany) with 635 nm emission (30 μW) by a dichroic beam combiner (DCXR 540, AHF Analysentechnik, Tübingen, Germany). Both lasers were operated in alternating laser excitation (ALEX, [55])
mode by switching the 532 nm laser with an acousto-optical modulater (AOM, model 3350-192, Crystal Technologies). AOM and pulsed laser diode were synchronized by an Arbitrary Waveform Generator (AWG 2041 with 8 digital ECL outputs, Tektronix) with 1 ns time resolution[56]. Both laser beams were redirected by a beam splitter (triple-band 488/532/633, AHF Analysentechnik, Tübingen, Germany) and an Olympus water immersion objective (UPlanSApo 60x, 1.2 N.A.) into the same confocal excitation volume of about 10 fl (Figure 2b). Laser power was 150 µW for 532 nm and 30 µW for 635 nm at the back aperture of the microscope objective.

Two single photon counting avalanche photodiode (APDs) separated by a dichroic filter at 630 nm (DCXR 630, AHF Analysentechnik, Tübingen, Germany) detected the fluorescence in two spectral ranges for the FRET donor intensities (545 nm to 625 nm) and FRET acceptor intensities (663 nm to 737 nm). Recording of photons was achieved by two synchronized counter cards in a computer (two cards of a three-channel TCSPC-153 bundle, Becker&Hickl, Berlin, Germany) yielding two time resolutions for each photon: the microtime in nanoseconds for the photon arrival time with respect to the pulse sequence of ALEX, and the macrotime with respect to the start of the experiment. Macrot ime information was used for binning photons in time intervals of 1 millisecond by our software "Burst_Analyzer" to obtain FRET time trajectories[57].

Microtime information was used to sort the photons detected in the Cy5 fluorescence channel for either FRET acceptor intensities following 532 nm excitation, or for the simultaneous control measurement to check the existence of Cy5 on each F$_o$F$_1$-ATP synthase following the 635 nm laser pulse (Figure 2c). Briefly, alternating laser excitation was synchronized by the AWG using three digital outputs. One output triggered a 60-picosecond pulse at 635 nm to excite only the Cy5 label at F$_o$F$_1$-ATP synthase in a time window of 14 ns. The second output triggered the AOM for a 25 ns "on-pulse" of the 532 nm laser delayed by 22 ns with respect to output one. The third output was used to set the sequence window of 64 ns for the synchronized TCSPC photon counter cards. This AWG sequence resulted in a duty cycle for FRET excitation with 532 nm of ((25 ns / 64 ns) = 39% for DCO-ALEX.

To identify photon bursts of freely diffusing, reconstituted, FRET-labeled F$_o$F$_1$-ATP synthases in liposomes, we applied an automatic search algorithm (implemented in the "Burst_Analyzer" software) on the FRET time trajectories by intensity threshold criteria after background correction of 2 counts/ms in FRET donor channel and 1 count/ms in the FRET acceptor channel. Here, a minimal rates of 10 counts per ms in the FRET donor as well as 10 counts in the FRET acceptor channel were set. Simultaneously, also a minimal rate of 8
counts per ms was set in the Cy5 control channel (635 nm excitation for "acceptor test"). Once these thresholds were achieved for a photon burst, the beginning and end of the burst were set at flank intensity levels of 5 counts/ms or 4 counts/ms, for the FRET and control channels, respectively. Then, photon bursts with minimal duration of 20 ms and maximal duration of 200 ms were stored for further analysis. Within a defined photon burst, a maximum time of 2 ms was allowed for the three flank intensity thresholds to fall below the set points. Afterwards each photon burst was inspected manually and FRET efficiency levels were assigned according to fluctuations of the calculated fluorophore distance trajectory [58]. FRET efficiency levels were added to histograms and plotted using our software tools written in Matlab (Mathworks, Inc.). For the distance calculation from FRET efficiencies according to Förster theory [59], we used the fluorescence quantum yields (Alexa-532 $\phi=0.61$; Cy5 $\phi=0.28$; Förster radius $R_0=5.3$ nm), cross talk correction for Alexa-532 fluorescence in the Cy5 detection channel (2.5 %) and corrected detection efficiencies $d$ of the two spectral ranges for the microscope setup (Alexa-532 $d=0.22$; Cy5 $d=0.36$; resulting correction factor $\gamma=0.77$). Fluorescence anisotropies of FRET donor as well as FRET acceptor bound to single F$_0$F$_1$-ATP synthases reconstituted to liposomes had been previously determined (details to be published elsewhere).
Results

The triple-mutant of FoF1-ATP synthase is functional

The functionality of the F₀F₁-ATP synthase mutant was determined after re-assembly of F₁ sectors labeled with Alexa-532 at the ε-subunit (εH56C) to F₀ comprising EGFP fused to the C-terminus of the α-subunit and Cy5 attached to one c-subunit (c2C) of the c-ring. The reconstituted, triple-labeled enzymes showed ATP hydrolysis rates in the range of 50 ATP/s at room temperature in the presence of 1 mM ATP and 2.5 mM Mg²⁺ which is comparable to the activities measured for the individual mutations in E. coli F₀F₁-ATP synthase[29, 33]. The labeled enzymes achieved maximal ATP synthesis rates of 31 ATP/s at room temperature in the presence of 100 µM ADP, 5 mM P₁ and an initial ΔpH of 4.1 plus electric potential. These rates support the minor effects of the individual mutations as reported previously[29, 30, 33]. In the presence of DCCD (60 µM) or after addition of the ATP analog AMPPNP (1 mM) to the buffers used for ATP hydrolysis or ATP synthesis measurements, activity of the enzyme was nearly abolished.

Single-molecule FRET recordings during ATP hydrolysis

For ATP hydrolysis measurements with the confocal microscope, a 2-µl aliquot of reconstituted FRET-labeled F₀F₁-ATP synthase was thawed and diluted 5 times with buffer A (20 mM tricine-NaOH, 20 mM succinic acid, 2.5 mM MgCl₂, 0.6 mM KCl) at pH 8.0. Immediately before the single-molecule FRET recording, proteoliposomes were diluted further 1:100 with buffer A which also contained 1 mM ATP. Figure 3A shows three photon bursts of freely diffusing FRET-labeled F₀F₁-ATP synthases. The three fluorescence intensity trajectories in the lower panels correspond to the FRET donor Alexa-532 (blue trace) and the FRET acceptor Cy5 (green trace) as well as the Cy5 fluorescence (red trace) directly excited with a 635 nm pulse to check that both fluorophores were attached to the same F₀F₁-ATP synthase. The control was necessary since labeling was substoichiometric (see Materials and Methods), and only ~ 10% of all photon bursts of single F₀F₁ with Alexa-532 on ε also contained Cy5 on c according to this control with the alternating red laser.

A total number of 556 FRET-labeled F₀F₁-ATP synthases were detected within 300 min of recording (twenty 15-min measurement periods, see Table 1). FRET changes were identified in the corresponding Alexa-532-Cy5 distance trajectories for each photon burst as shown in the upper panels in Figure 3A. Here we were interested in the largest possible FRET distance change. Therefore, FRET level assignment mostly focussed on the minimum and maximum
FRET efficiency found within a single photon burst. For a maximum likelihood-based FRET-to-distance conversion, we have implemented the "FRETtrace" program from G. Schöder and H. Grubmüller[58] into our FRET data analysis software "Burst_Analyzer". The FRET distance trajectories were inspected in each photon burst. Two F₀F₁-ATP synthase molecules in Figure 3A (left and middle) exhibited fluctuations of FRET efficiencies, whereas a third enzyme (right panel) did not show FRET distance changes between the two fluorophores on the ε- and c-subunits.

Manually assigned FRET level and durations were stored in data files for further analysis. A total number of 1181 FRET levels were assigned in all photon bursts, that is, 782 levels in photon bursts with 2 and more FRET levels (~66 % of all enzymes during ATP hydrolysis), or 203 levels in photon bursts with 3 and more FRET levels (~37 % of all enzymes; see Table 1). For enzymes with 3 and more FRET levels the direction of FRET changes were discriminated. 521 FRET levels showed sequential changes, that is, stepwise increasing or decreasing distances between the two fluorophores. 146 FRET levels alternated corresponding to a back-and-forth movement, or a reversible twisting of the two rotor subunits. Photon burst with 3 and more FRET levels were required also for the dwell time analysis of intermediary FRET levels, because the durations of the first and the last FRET levels remain unknown as the enzyme is diffusing in and out of the detection volume as described below.

The FRET dynamics in single F₀F₁-ATP synthases changed completely when the non-hydrolysable derivative AMPPNP was added (1 mM) instead of ATP to buffer A. Only 23 % of the photon bursts showed 2 and more FRET levels, and the fraction of enzymes with 3 and more FRET levels was about 9% (Table 1). As found in previous single-molecule FRET rotation studies with F₀F₁-ATP synthase, the presence of AMPPNP did not completely abolish rotor dynamics.

After addition of 60 µM DCCD to ATP hydrolysis buffer A with 1 mM ATP, the number of enzymes with FRET changes was reduced to a similar extent. Only 17% of photon bursts with showed 2 and more FRET levels and ~7% showed 3 and more FRET levels, indicating that DCCD binding to the c-subunit blocks rotation in the F₀ motor and likely stalls the elastic deformation of the rotor in the enzyme.

Single-molecule FRET recordings during ATP synthesis

To monitor elastic deformations during ATP synthesis, a 2-µl aliquot of reconstituted FRET-labeled F₀F₁-ATP synthase was thawed and diluted 5 times with an acidic buffer B (20 mM succinic acid-NaOH, 5 mM NaH₂PO₄, 100 µM ADP, 2.5 mM MgCl₂, 0.6 mM KOH, 20 µM
valinomycin) at pH 4.7. After 2 min the acidified proteoliposomes were mixed 1:100 with basic buffer C (200 mM succinic acid, 5 mM NaH₂PO₄, 100 µM ADP, 2.5 mM MgCl₂, 160 mM KOH, adjusted to pH 8.8 with NaOH) generating a ΔpH of 4.1 and an K⁺/valinomycin diffusion potential across the membrane as described for ATP synthesis measurements [60]. Immediately after mixing, 50 µl of the proteoliposomes were transferred as a droplet onto the microscope cover slip and single-molecule FRET trajectories were recorded. In Figure 3B, three photon bursts of F₀F₁-ATP synthase are shown as sample data. The enzyme in the left panel showed large alternating fluctuations of the FRET efficiency, while the enzyme in the middle panel showed small transient FRET changes. However, some enzymes did not exhibit a distance change between the two fluorophores on the rotor for a significant period of time during ATP synthesis (right panel).

Photon burst statistics of assigned FRET levels during ATP synthesis are summarized in Table 2. A similar number of 478 FRET-labeled enzymes were recorded in twenty 10-min measurements, with a total number of 824 FRET levels. 64% of the photon bursts had 2 and more FRET levels, and 26% showed 3 and more FRET levels, with a 2:1 ratio for sequential FRET changes to alternating movements between the fluorophores.

Single-molecule FRET recordings in the presence of AMPPNP or DCCD during ATP synthesis conditions

Addition of 1 mM AMPPNP or 60 µM DCCD to the buffer C for FRET measurements during ATP synthesis caused a reduction in the fraction of enzymes showing fluctuations among 2 and more FRET levels to 16% or 13%, respectively, and in the fraction showing fluctuations among three or more FRET levels to 7% and 2%, respectively. FRET-labeled F₀F₁-ATP synthases in the presence of 1 mM AMPPNP under ATP synthesis condition are shown in Figure 4 A and B. The enzyme in Figure 4A underwent elastic deformation of the rotor, while the one in Figure 4B remained unchanged with constant FRET efficiency over a long time. An example of a FRET-labeled enzyme in the presence of DCCD is shown in Figure 4C, revealing a constant distance between the markers on the ε and one c-subunit. The distribution of FRET efficiencies in Figure 4D covered the complete range of expected distances with equal probabilities, ranging from distances shorter than 5 nm (i.e. FRET efficiencies larger than 50 to 60 %) to longer distances up to 7 nm (i.e. FRET efficiencies around 20 to 25 %). However, this distribution was broadened due to the low photon numbers in single photon bursts. Similar FRET efficiency distributions were obtained for ATP hydrolysis condition in the absence and presence of DCCD.
Rotor twisting from FRET transition density plots

To identify the angular twisting as a transient elastic energy storage between the two rotor domains on the ε- and one c-subunit, we plotted all FRET level pairs from photon bursts with two and more FRET levels for enzymes working in ATP hydrolysis mode (Figure 5A). The majority of FRET transitions were associated with small distance changes, that is, in the range of 0.6 to 0.8 nm. For example, several transitions were found from 6.5 nm to 5.8 nm and vice versa. However, larger distance changes were detected as well. The distribution in this FRET transition density plot appeared to be symmetrical with respect to the diagonal. The small distance changes (Δd₁₂) from FRET level 1 to the next FRET level 2 were also obvious when we plotted the Δd₁₂ against each starting FRET level 1 (Figure 5B).

To discriminate small sequential changes from alternating distance changes we applied a new FRET transition density plot based on pairs of subsequent distance changes (Figure 5C). In the diagram, an increasing distance Δd₁₂ from the first to the second FRET level appeared in the right part at positive values of Δd₁₂, and a subsequent decrease in distance Δd₂₃ from the second to the third FRET level is found in the right lower at negative values of Δd₂₃. This frequently observed pattern could correspond to alternating movement of the labeled c-subunit with respect to ε. In the upper left part of the diagram, alternating distances appeared as well. In contrast, sequential FRET changes were allocated in the lower left or the upper right parts. During ATP hydrolysis, both types of distance changes were found, with a large fraction of alternating movements between ε and c.

Estimation of the extent of elastic deformation required geometric models for the observed FRET transitions. The models were developed according to Figure 1B and the resulting constraints for the given stepwise twisting of the rotor in F₀F₁-ATP synthase were added as continuous ellipses into Figure 5A-C. The thick black lines corresponded to deformations of a single 36° step of c for a given position of ε. A single 72° step or two fast and unresolved consecutive 36° steps corresponded to the dashed line, and a 108° twist of c is shown as the dotted black ellipse in Figure 5.

The corresponding set of FRET transition density plots for ATP synthesis condition is shown as Figure 5 D, E, F. FRET distance changes from one to the next FRET level were small (Figure 5D) and in the range of maximum 1.5 nm. The majority of distance changes were less than 1 nm (Figure 5E). From the subsequent distance change diagram (Figure 5F), alternating FRET changes corresponding to larger back-and-forth fluctuations were dominant. However, a significant fraction of single 36° stepping of c with respect to ε cannot be ruled out, because
the FRET distance change plots were hampered by the fact that distance changes near 0 nm cannot be assigned in the data, either by manual inspection or by computational threshold-based approaches.

Simulations for FRET transitions
The simulated FRET transition plots for purely 36° steps for angular twisting of the rotor subunits ε and c as well as 72° steps and 108° steps are shown in Figure 6. Here we assumed a ring diameter of 5.0 nm for the Cy5 label on the N-terminus of the c-subunit. The Alexa532 label on ε was placed to a position 2.0 nm away from the axis of rotation in the Monte Carlo simulations of ε-versus-c movements, and at a height of 5.5 nm above the ring of Cy5 positions. 5000 data points were computed for each relative movement of 36°, 72° and 108° twisting, and a sum intensity of 150 counts/ms at maximum was given. For each apparent FRET distance we added a distance error of 0.5 nm resulting in the blurred distributions seen in Figure 6, which were comparable to the experimental data.

The objective of these simulations was to find the most significant way of plotting the FRET distance changes in order to discriminate the maximum angular twist within the rotor of F0F1-ATP synthase. Apparently, the simulated FRET transition density plots (Figure 6A, D, G) were not distinctive enough. The theoretical ellipses are different for the three assumed twist angles, but once the FRET distance measurements have an error of 0.5 nm, the distributions become broadened and similar. Plotting FRET distance 1 versus the FRET distance change Δd1,2 showed more pronounced differences (Figure 6 B, E H), however, they were still affected by the impossibility to assign very small distance changes of less than 0.5 nm in the experimental data. It was obvious from the simulations that the very small FRET distance changes appeared with high probability for the cases of ε-to-c orientations around the maximal or the minimal distances.

When we plotted the simulated pairs of subsequent FRET distance changes of Δd1,2 versus Δd2,3 the discrimination of 36° steps and 72° steps appeared to be less doubtful (Figure 6 C, F, I). A significant number of distance changes were found going from -1 nm to another -1 nm, or from +1 nm to +1 nm for 72° twist, which were not observed for 36°. For the larger twist of 108° the distance changes from +1 nm were followed by -1 nm, or from -1 nm by +1 nm. Thus it seemed to be possible to determine the maximum twist from the comparison of all three types of FRET transition plots.
**Dwell times**

Finally we analyzed the dwell times for the elastic deformations measured as FRET level changes. During ATP hydrolysis, fluctuations of the ε-c distance resulted in a mean dwell of 7.8 ms (Figure 7A), which is significantly faster than the catalytic dwell of the 120° stepping ε-subunit (14±3 ms [29]) or γ (19 ms [28]) and more comparable to the dwells of individual stepping c-subunits with respect to the non-rotating stator subunit a reported previously (13 ms [33]). For ATP synthesis, we found a similar mean dwell time of 8.2 ms (Figure 7B) for the associated distance changes between the two markers on the rotor of FₐF₁-ATP synthase. Again, the dwell times were shorter than those for 120° stepping of ε (18±6 ms [29]) or γ (51 ms [28]) and were in the range of individual stepping of the c-subunits (9±1 ms [33]).

**Discussion**

The FRET-labeled FₐF₁-ATP synthase from *E. coli* with two fluorophores attached to the ε and c-subunit were functional for ATP hydrolysis and ATP synthesis after reconstitution in liposomes. The distances between the fluorophores fluctuated during catalysis between 5 to 7 nm in freely diffusing proteoliposomes traversing the confocal detection volume. Because we could not label a specific c-subunit with respect to the ε subunit, a maximum number of 10 possible FRET distances were expected. However, symmetry of the c-ring and the resolution limits of our FRET distance measurements in the range of 0.5 nm reduced the number of FRET distances that should be distinguished to about five. Given a Förster radius of 5.3 nm for the dye pair Alexa532-Cy5, the observed values of FRET distances were in the most sensitive region for this distance measurement method. Furthermore, we selected enzymes for further FRET analysis that exhibited fluorophore distances around the Förster radius by applying similar intensity thresholds for both FRET donor and acceptor photons of the burst.

Therefore we asked how far the rotor is twisted between the two domains labeled with the dyes, how fast the rotor is twisted, and if there are differences between ATP synthesis and ATP hydrolysis. To begin with the last question it seemed that the rotor is twisted in a similar way in both catalysis modes. The dwell times for stepwise changes in FRET levels were found in the range of 8 ms for each mode, and were comparable to the dwell time of c-ring rotation during ATP synthesis with respect to the stator subunit a of the enzyme[33]. These dwells were significantly faster than the previously reported dwell times of 14 to 51 ms for the 120° stepping of the ε and γ-subunits relative to the b₂ stator stalk for ATP hydrolysis and
ATP synthesis. These shorter dwell times for the elastic deformation of the rotor would be expected for small twisting angles caused by c-subunit steps smaller than a single 120° step of c versus ε. Because fast c-ring steps within the F₀ motor were associated with about 50% of single 36° steps of the c-subunit[33], the dwells for c-ε twisting here supported an one-after-another stepping mode of c before ε switched by 120° as conceived before[61].

In the presence of AMPPNP or 60 µM DCCD the number of intermediary FRET levels that could be used to build the dwell time histograms were so low (Table 1 and 2) that we could hardly estimate a dwell time. However, the apparent dwell times were much longer indicating that the elastic deformation of the rotor is suppressed by these inhibitors. This was more obvious for ATP synthesis than for ATP hydrolysis. From the different ways of plotting FRET distances and subsequent distance changes applied here we conclude that a simple fluctuation mode with one given angle of 36° corresponding to a back-and-forth movement of the c-subunit with respect to ε cannot be inferred. Instead larger fluctuations of up to 108° seem to be the likely elastic distortion of the investigated rotor domains during catalysis based on the observed FRET distance changes of more than 1 nm. Simulations of rotor twisting FRET data using an increased signal-to-noise ratio resulted in a much better discrimination of the maximum twist in the FRET distance change plots provided in Figures 5 and 6. Thus, refined FRET measurements would be useful and currently are in progress.

Alternative explanations for the observed FRET fluctuations have to be considered. For example, could a possible rotor axis bending during catalysis result in FRET distance variations? In previous single-molecule FRET measurements between the amino acid position ε56 and the static b-subunits we have found differences in the mean distance for the three stopping positions of ε. By single-molecule FRET triangulation these changes in the FRET histograms could be interpreted as being caused by a tilt in the rotor axis[29]. However, here we observed FRET fluctuations for the case of ATP hydrolysis and synthesis, but rarely for the inhibited rotation in the presence of AMPPNP or DCCD. If bending of the rotor axis in a back-and-forth manner should take place, we would not expect this to be found as FRET changes between the relatively rigid part of ε and the c-ring. The domain around position ε56, which was used for labeling, is considered to be tightly coupled to the c-ring especially during catalysis. Also stochastic relocations of the fluorophores between metastable positions in the local protein environments appear to be unlikely as a complete explanation, because we detected only a few apparent FRET fluctuations in the presence of AMPPNP or DCCD for
similar photophysical conditions. The relatively poor photon statistics for the single-molecule FRET measurements still allowed demonstration of differences in stepping during catalysis and inhibited rotation in the presence of AMPPNP or DCCD. However, to solve the problem of an over-interpretation of the FRET data, several refinements of the measurements are required, for example using a microfluidic device for trapping the FRET-labeled enzymes as suggested below. At the moment, elastic deformation of the rotor seems to be a well-justified explanation of our FRET data.

Elasticity measurements of the rotor subunit have been reported previously with surface-attached F$_1$ sectors[10] and with F$_{o}$F$_1$-ATP synthases[43-45] in detergent. More than 40 degrees of possible twisting was found within the $\gamma/\varepsilon$ and c-subunits by observing the movement of large beads on the enzyme. In addition, the $\gamma$-subunit also has a smaller elastic component within the static $\alpha_3\beta_3$ subunits. Measurements of bead fluctuations attached to the rotor were followed by MD simulations to further identify the elastic portions of the rotor subunits on an atomic level[62]. Forced rotation and stalling of the rotor by magnetic beads, carefully controlled by external magnetic fields, yielded a high elasticity. In contrast, the full width at half maximum of the angular distribution of bead positions bound to the peripheral stator stalk in the range of less than 10° indicated a high stiffness of the right handed coiled-coil $b$-subunits in *E. coli* ATP synthase[39, 45]. The single-molecule FRET data provided here indicate substantial twisting in the rotor, possibly approaching 108°, and strongly support the concept of elastic power transition in the rotary motors of F$_{o}$F$_1$-ATP synthase[36]. We were surprised that such extensive elasticity would be present in the protein structures located between the two fluorophores, *i.e.* the N-terminal $\beta$-barrel domain of $\varepsilon$ and the $c$-ring. However, it should be noted that we detect only the dynamic FRET changes within a photon burst in our single-molecule FRET measurements. Therefore, the absolute twist during catalytic conditions and in the presence of AMPPNP could be even larger than 108° but a static twist angle cannot be identified in the photon burst because we have no *a priori* knowledge of which $c$-subunit was labeled with respect to $\varepsilon$56 and, accordingly, cannot deduce the twist angle in the single enzyme. Only dynamic FRET changes can be analyzed by our approach, in contrast to the surface-immobilized enzymes under different biochemical conditions discussed above.
By placing the fluorophores at other parts of the rotor[49] in the next series of FRET experiments, a complete map of domain elasticities could be obtained. It will be important to unravel whether torsional forces could twist the top of the $c$-ring (i.e. the polar loops where $\gamma$ and $\varepsilon$ bind) relative to the bottom, i.e. the periplasmic side. This changing of the crossing angles of the transmembrane helices of the $c$-subunits could provide another contribution to the soft spring of the rotor of $F_oF_1$-ATP synthase; so far, this potential elasticity has not been part of models for the $F_o$ motor[17, 63-65]. In addition, the elasticity provided by the region of $\gamma$ between the base of $\alpha_3\beta_3$ and the $\beta$-barrel of $\varepsilon$ should be explored.

For future experiments we need to solve the problems of limited time resolution and angular precision of the FRET approach. We likely missed substeps of twisting in those enzymes which showed large stepwise FRET distance changes. Because of the rapid dynamics we need to develop a different way of analyzing FRET photon statistics, for example correlation or cross-correlation of the two fluorescence time trajectories of FRET donor and acceptor[66]. These future FRET improvements will require fluorophores with higher quantum yields and optimized laser excitation in terms of wavelengths and duty cycle-optimized alternating excitation schemes[67]. Most importantly the observation time for a single proteoliposome has to be increased. This could be achieved by a method which compensates for the Brownian motion of the FRET-labeled enzyme. For example, an electrokinetic trap ('ABELtrap' developed by A E Cohen and W E Moerner) could be used to bring the FRET-labeled $F_oF_1$-ATP synthase into the laser focus and hold it there until the fluorophores photobleach, providing up to 10 seconds of continuous FRET measurements of a single enzyme molecule[68-71]. Finally, new ways to prove that the behaviour of the enzyme measured in vitro also applies in the living cell have to be developed. Potentially, these will be based on the single-molecule analysis of subunit rotation and elastic deformation using very small, non-interfering markers and the Förster-type of resonance energy transfer.
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Figures and Figure legends

**Figure 1.** A, principle of elastic deformation measurement by single-molecule FRET between the two fluorophores Alexa-532 (green dye molecule) on the ε-subunit of the central stalk and Cy5 (red dye molecule) on one of the ten c-subunits on the periplasmic side of the membrane. A triple-mutant of *E. coli* F$_6$F$_1$-ATP synthase with EGFP fused to the C-terminus of the a-subunit was used[72]. B, model for the relative distances of the two fluorophores (Alexa-532 on ε corresponds to the green dot; ten possible Cy5 positions on c correspond to red dots) with respect to the axis of rotation (grey).
Figure 2. Setup of the confocal microscope used for FRET detection of rotor elasticities in single reconstituted F$_{o}$F$_{1}$-ATP synthases. A, alternating laser excitation triggered by an Arbitrary Waveform generator and fluorescence pathway with interference filters. B, principle of confocal single-molecule FRET with freely diffusing proteoliposomes. C, sorting of photons according to the excitation laser pulse into FRET donor photons (blue curve in the microtime histogram, Alexa-532), FRET acceptor photons (green curve, Cy5) and directly excited Cy5 (red curve).
Figure 3
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Figure 3: Photon bursts of single FRET-labeled F$_{0}$F$_{1}$-ATP synthases during ATP hydrolysis (A, upper row) and ATP synthesis (B, lower row). Fluorescence intensity of the FRET donor Alexa-532 is shown as the blue trace in the lower panels and intensity of the FRET acceptor Cy5 as the green trace following 532 nm excitation. The presence of Cy5 on the enzyme was controlled by alternating laser excitation with 635 nm resulting in the simultaneous red trace of fluorescence. According to the Förster theory, corresponding distances between the fluorophores were calculated in the upper panels based on maximum likelihood estimations[56, 58].
Figure 4. Photon bursts of single FRET-labeled $F_oF_1$-ATP synthases during ATP synthesis in the presence of 1 mM AMPPNP (A, B) and 60 μM DCCD (C). Fluorescence intensity of the FRET donor Alexa-532 is shown as the blue trace in the lower panels and intensity of the FRET acceptor Cy5 as the green trace following 532 nm excitation. Distances between the fluorophores were calculated in the upper panels. The presence of Cy5 on the enzyme was controlled by alternating laser excitation with 635 nm resulting in the simultaneous red trace of fluorescence. (D) FRET efficiency histogram of single FRET-labeled $F_oF_1$-ATP synthases during ATP synthesis in the presence of 1 mM AMPPNP.
Figure 5. FRET transition plots for consecutive FRET levels within a photon burst of single F$_{0}$F$_{1}$-ATP synthases during ATP hydrolysis (A - C) and ATP synthesis (D - F). The theoretical ellipses in the panels correspond to 36° twist (black solid lines), 72° twist (black dashed lines) and 108° twist (black dotted lines). A, D, FRET distance 1 to subsequent distance 2 plots for all assigned FRET level pairs. B, E. FRET distance change plots from distance 1 to larger or smaller distance 2. C, F, plots of consecutive FRET distance changes observed in series of three and more FRET levels within a photon burst.
Figure 6: Simulations of FRET transition plots based on Monte Carlo calculations for relative movements of $c$-subunits versus $\varepsilon$ in steps of 36° (A, B, C), 72° (D, E, F) and 108° (G, H, I) for pattern comparison with the experimental distribution shown in Figure 5. A FRET distance error of 0.5 nm was assumed and the FRET transition distributions were blurred.
Figure 7. Dwell time distributions of FRET levels in single F$_\text{o}$F$_1$-ATP synthases during ATP hydrolysis (A) and ATP synthesis (B). Dwell time binning was 2 ms. Histograms were fitted by a monoexponential decay function.
Table 1: Statistics of all FRET levels in photon bursts of single F₀F₁-ATP synthases during ATP hydrolysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>ATP hydrolysis</th>
<th>+1 mM AMPPNP</th>
<th>+60 µM DCCD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>number of photon bursts</td>
<td>556</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>164</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRET level</td>
<td>1191</td>
<td>307</td>
<td>208</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>changing FRET levels</td>
<td>782 (65.7%)</td>
<td>71 (23.1%)</td>
<td>35 (16.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 and more per burst</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>changing FRET levels</td>
<td>203 (36.5%)</td>
<td>21 (9.3%)</td>
<td>11 (6.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 and more per burst</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRET levels in</td>
<td>521</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sequential order</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRET levels in</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>alternating order</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dwell time ± s.d.</td>
<td>7.8 ± 0.6 ms</td>
<td>18.8 ± 1.6 ms</td>
<td>23.6 ± 5.2 ms</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

measurement times: twenty 15-min measurements for ATP hydrolysis
ten 15-min measurements for AMPPNP or DCCD

Table 2: Statistics of all FRET levels in photon bursts of single F₀F₁-ATP synthases during ATP synthesis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>ATP synthesis</th>
<th>+1 mM AMPPNP</th>
<th>+60 µM DCCD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>number of photon bursts</td>
<td>478</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRET level</td>
<td>824</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>changing FRET levels</td>
<td>527 (64.0%)</td>
<td>20 (16.5%)</td>
<td>6 (12.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 and more per burst</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>changing FRET levels</td>
<td>125 (26.1%)</td>
<td>6 (6.7%)</td>
<td>1 (2.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 and more per burst</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRET levels in</td>
<td>304</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sequential order</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRET levels in</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>alternating order</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dwell time ± s.d.</td>
<td>8.2 ± 0.9 ms</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

measurement times: twenty 10-min measurements for ATP synthesis
ten 10-min in the presence of AMPPNP or DCCD
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