Normalisation of MRI ventilation heterogeneity in severe asthma by dupilumab

Sarah Svenningsen
Ehsan Ahmed Haider
Rachel L Eddy
Grace Parraga
Parameswaran Nair

Follow this and additional works at: https://ir.lib.uwo.ca/biophysicspub
Part of the Medical Biophysics Commons

Citation of this paper:
IMAGES IN THORAX

Normalisation of MRI ventilation heterogeneity in severe asthma by dupilumab

Sarah Svenningsen,1,2 Ehsan Ahmed Haider,3,4 Rachel L Eddy,5,6 Grace Parraga,5,6 Parameswaran Nair1,2

IMAGES IN THORAX

Ventilation heterogeneity in asthma could be due to many reasons. Luminal obstruction due to inflammatory cells or mucus, smooth muscle constriction and airway wall thickness could all contribute individually or collectively to ventilation heterogeneity. Interleukin-4 and interleukin-13, acting through the common interleukin-4 receptor, have the potential to modulate all of these features of asthma.3 Inhaled hyperpolarised gas MRI provides a way to regionally visualise and quantify the functional consequence of these features.2 Dupilumab is a fully human monoclonal antibody directed against the alpha-subunit of the interleukin-4 receptor.4 Here, we report a severe asthmatic who showed significant improvement and normalisation of MRI ventilation heterogeneity and associated clinical and physiological variables with dupilumab treatment, suggesting that dupilumab modulated various aspects of luminal airway obstruction.

A 39-year-old atopic woman (total serum immunoglobulin E 1009 kU/L and peripheral blood eosinophils 1200 cells/µL) was seen for asthma in January 2015. She had mixed obstructive and non-obstructive spirometry (forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV1) 1.42 L (44% predicted), forced vital capacity (FVC) 1.72 L (44% predicted) and total lung capacity (TLC) 3.78 L (71% predicted)), with 13% reversibility to salbutamol. Her asthma severity and symptoms (asthma control questionnaire (ACQ) 1.2) were driven by eosinophilic bronchitis (44% sputum eosinophil), airway hyperresponsiveness (42% decrease in FEV1, 1.42 to 0.82 L with 0.9% saline inhalation during a methacholine challenge) and mucus plugging (CT mucus score3 8), despite being on budesonide 200 µg plus formoterol 6 µg three puffs two times per day, beclomethasone hydrofluoroalkane metered-dose inhaler 100 µg four puffs two times per day and 2.5 mg prednisone. Exhaled nitric oxide (FeNO) was elevated at 47 ppb indicating increased NO synthase activity, an indirect reflection of signal transducer and activator of transcription 6 (STAT6) activation and interleukin-4 receptor signalling. She also previously had inadequate asthma control (ACQ>1.5) with omalizumab 375 mg administered subcutaneously every 4 weeks (for 6 months), mepolizumab 100 mg administered subcutaneously every 4 weeks (for 19 months) (both of which did not normalise her sputum eosinophil per cent) and reslizumab 3 mg/kg administered intravenously every 4 weeks (for 4 months) (normalised her sputum eosinophil per cent). She was then treated with dupilumab 300 mg administered subcutaneously every 2 weeks for 5 months. Her ACQ improved to 0.6 (from 1.2) and was associated with improvements in sputum eosinophilia (from 6.3% to 0% and no granules, but increased to 12.3% two weeks after last injection), airway mucus (CT mucus score4 1), hyperresponsiveness (7% decrease in FEV1, 1.90 to 1.76 L) with 5% improvement in FEV1 and FVC, FEV1/FVC ratio, with 13% reversibility to salbutamol.

Figure 1 Inhaled hyperpolarised 3He MRI for a patient with severe asthma who had complete normalisation of MRI ventilation heterogeneity following dupilumab 300 mg administered subcutaneously every 2 weeks for 5 months. A representative coronal slice of the 3He MRI (in blue) co-registered to the 1H anatomical MRI of the thorax (in grey scale) acquired pre-salbutamol and post-salbutamol before and after treatment with dupilumab. White arrows spatially identify ventilation defects (regions of the lung that are not ventilated in a single breath-hold) pre-dupilumab that responded to dupilumab (but not salbutamol) such that those regions became ventilated following treatment. Note that only a representative two-dimensional coronal slice from the posterior lung has been shown for visualisation purposes (all anterior to posterior slices are presented in the online supplementary file 1).
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saline inhalation during a sputum induction) and FeNO (from 27 ppb to 11 ppb). These findings suggest multiple components of her airway disease were controlled by dupilumab, consistent with the drugs putative mechanism of action.

We measured the functional consequence of this response using 3He MRI (figure 1). Pre-dupilumab MRI revealed focal ventilation defects bilaterally, that were largely non-responsive to salbutamol (ventilation defect per cent: pre-salbutamol=8% and post-salbutamol=9%), a subset were spatially related to mucus plugging visualised by CT. Following 5 months of dupilumab, MRI ventilation improved such that no residual ventilation defects were observed (ventilation defect per cent: pre-salbutamol=3% and post-salbutamol=2%). Yet, spirometry continued to show severe airflow limitation with an FEV1 of 1.69 L (57%pred), which improved to 1.93 L (65%pred) post-salbutamol.

This report suggests that MRI may be used to evaluate the functional consequence of normalising multiple contributors to airway obstruction and may contribute as an additional outcome measure to evaluate severe asthma therapeutics. By blocking both interleukin-4 and interleukin-13 signalling, dupilumab completely normalised ventilation defects and improved asthma control, suggesting that this may be a useful therapy when one component (e.g. eosinophils) is not the dominant contributor to asthma severity.
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