

2009

# Statement on NATO's Nuclear Weapons Policies

Erika Simpson

*Political Science*, [simpson@uwo.ca](mailto:simpson@uwo.ca)

Follow this and additional works at: <https://ir.lib.uwo.ca/politicalsciencepub>



Part of the [Political Science Commons](#)

---

## Citation of this paper:

Simpson, Erika, "Statement on NATO's Nuclear Weapons Policies" (2009). *Political Science Publications*. 63.  
<https://ir.lib.uwo.ca/politicalsciencepub/63>

Signed:

Dr. Adele Buckley, International Pugwash Council member, former Chair and current Treasurer of the Canadian Pugwash Group

Professor Walter Dorn, Royal Military College of Canada, Kingston & Toronto and Chair of the Canadian Pugwash Group

Dr. David Harries, Director of the Canadian Pugwash Group

Professor Sergei Plekhanov, York University and Secretary of the Canadian Pugwash Group

Ret'd Senator Douglas Roche, former Chair of the Canadian Pugwash Group and International Council member, and former chair of the Middle Powers Initiative

Professor Erika Simpson, University of Western Ontario and Vice-Chair of the Canadian Pugwash Group

Steve Staples, Executive Director, Rideau Institute and Director of the Canadian Pugwash Group

Murray Thomson, Order of Canada and Director of the Canadian Pugwash Group

Professor Emeritus Michael Wallace, University of British Columbia and Director of the Canadian Pugwash Group


# Statement on NATO's Nuclear Weapons Policies

*We applaud President Obama's new disarmament initiatives*



**Courage**

**Reconsider**



*We urge NATO to reconsider its approach to international diplomacy and disarmament.*



**Protect**

*We are particularly concerned about threats to the Nuclear Non-Proliferation Treaty in the upcoming 2010 review*



**Secure the Nuclear Non-Proliferation Treaty**

**STATEMENT BY THE CANADIAN PUGWASH GROUP**



**Negotiations on disarmament are essential**



**Progress**



**Calm and resolve East-West tensions**

*We urge the governments of the NATO allies to reconsider and more substantively de-emphasize their own reliance upon nuclear weapons for their own security*

## Statement on NATO's Nuclear Weapons Policies

US President Barack Obama says that the United States and Russia should lead the way in preventing nuclear proliferation by restarting negotiations to cut their atomic arsenals. Obama said at his first news conference that he has told Russian President Dmitry Medvedev "it is important for us to restart the conversations about how we can start reducing our nuclear arsenals." With such a push, he said "we then have the standing to go to other countries and start stitching back together the non-proliferation treaties that, frankly, have been weakened over the last several years."

As representatives of the Canadian Pugwash Group ([www.pugwashgroup.ca](http://www.pugwashgroup.ca)), the Canadian affiliate of the International Pugwash Conferences on Science and World Affairs ([www.pugwash.org](http://www.pugwash.org)), we applaud President Obama's new disarmament initiatives and we urge the North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO) to also change its approach to international diplomacy and disarmament. Efforts to expand the NATO alliance to include other former Soviet republics and bring a European-based missile defense system into existence are polarizing an already-strained relationship with Russia. This could create renewed "East versus West" tensions, eating into the unprecedented reservoir of hope that has uniquely characterized the beginning of the Obama presidency. President Obama has pledged to strengthen the nuclear non-proliferation regime, particularly the Nuclear Non-Proliferation Treaty (NPT). The NATO alliance's reluctance to jettison its doctrine of nuclear dependence could easily undermine these efforts.

We applaud Hillary Clinton's statement on January 13 before the Senate Foreign Relations Committee. She said the Obama administration would take the lead in "working with others to reduce current nuclear stockpiles and prevent the development and use of dangerous new weaponry." She pledged to work with Russia to take missiles in both countries "off hair-trigger alert." Clinton also promised the United States would work to shore up the non-proliferation regime, seek Senate ratification of the test ban treaty, and revive negotiations for a treaty banning fissile material production.

As we move toward the next important NPT Review Conference in 2010, we urge the NATO allies to endorse such a substantive shift towards nuclear disarmament. In particular, we call upon the allied governments in NATO to reject current nuclear doctrine and nuclear planning in favour of credible movement toward honouring Article VI of the NPT.

To counteract the negative impact of present alliance nuclear doctrine, we urge the allied governments to reject NATO's insistence that nuclear weapons be maintained, improved, and prepared for use indefinitely. The assumption that nuclear weapons are 'essential'—embodied in NATO's outdated Strategic Concept—fundamentally contradicts both the letter and the spirit of nuclear non-proliferation and arms control.

We urge the NATO allies to undertake a program to remove tactical nuclear weapons from five Non-Nuclear Weapon States in Europe, and we call for renewed dialogue with Russia to further reduce and eliminate all nuclear arms. We anticipate further discussion of the report "Towards a Grand Strategy for an Uncertain World", prepared by five senior military officers and strategists for discussion at NATO's 59<sup>th</sup> anniversary summit last April. We utterly reject their recommendation that NATO should prepare to resort to a pre-emptive nuclear attack. Instead the NATO alliance, led by the United States, should take the lead to reduce current nuclear stockpiles and de-alert American and Russian weapons.

**We are particularly concerned about threats to the NPT, which was opened for signature in 1968, entered into force in 1970, and has been signed by 187 countries. It is reviewed every five years, but remains threatened, in part because the 2005 Review Conference ended in disagreement. If the NPT's upcoming 2010 Review Conference were allowed to fail as well, it could spell the end of one of the most successful arms control and disarmament treaties in history, with the world entering a 'nuclear jungle' characterized by uncontrolled nuclear proliferation. Of particular importance in preventing this nightmare scenario is Article VI of the treaty, which commits the Nuclear Weapon States to 'good faith' negotiations on nuclear disarmament. This is commonly understood as the promise of the Nuclear Weapons States to begin to divest themselves of nuclear weapons in exchange for Non-Nuclear Weapon States not to acquire them. We urge the NATO allies to undertake serious negotiations on disarmament that honour their Article VI commitment.**

The North Atlantic Council and NATO's Nuclear Planning Group must work to resolve and calm and resolve East-West tensions and understand that they can no longer assume that a decades-old nuclear doctrine is still effective. Continued reliance on the nuclear weapons by the United States, France, and the United Kingdom for security in NATO can only encourage other countries to seek nuclear weapons for their own deterrent purposes, leading to nuclear proliferation and the strong possibility of accidental or even calculated use.

In conclusion, we urge NATO to recognize the validity of the World Court's Advisory Opinion which unanimously reinforced Article VI of the NPT, emphasizing the obligation to pursue negotiations: "There exists an obligation to pursue in good faith and bring to a successful conclusion negotiations leading to nuclear disarmament in all its aspects under strict and effective international control." As part of those 'good faith' negotiations, we urge the governments of the NATO allies to reconsider and more substantively de-emphasize their own reliance upon nuclear weapons for their own security.