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Abstract

One in four Canadian adults is obese, and more women are entering pregnancy with a higher body mass index (BMI) than in the past. Pregnant women who are overweight or obese have a higher risk of pregnancy-related complications than women of normal weight. Gestational weight gain (GWG) is also associated with childhood obesity. Although the factors influencing weight gain during pregnancy are multifaceted, little is known about the social inequality of GWG. This review will address some of the socioeconomic factors and maternal characteristics influencing weight gain and the impact that excessive GWG has on health outcomes such as post-partum weight retention. The effects of an overweight or obese pre-pregnancy BMI on GWG and neonatal outcomes will also be addressed. The timing of weight gain is also important, as recommendations now include trimester-specific guidelines. While not conclusive, preliminary evidence suggests that excessive weight gain during the first trimester is most detrimental.
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Introduction

Recent estimates suggest that 25% of Canadian adults are obese [1]. Increased healthcare costs have accompanied the rise in obesity rates, mostly due to higher risks of obesity-related co-morbidities such as cardiovascular disease and type 2 diabetes [1]. Accordingly, obesity has become the focus of many public health initiatives.

One important consequence of the obesity epidemic is that many women are entering pregnancy with an elevated body mass index (BMI) [2]. This is problematic because obesity during pregnancy increases health risks for both mother and foetus. Overweight and obese mothers are more likely to experience post-partum weight retention, and infants born to these mothers have a higher probability of childhood obesity [3]. A goal of clinical practice guidelines has been to reduce the number of women who are overweight and obese during the reproductive period through the promotion of a healthful lifestyle before, during and after pregnancy, and to increase physician involvement for those considered a high risk pregnancy [2]. As pregnancy is a critical life stage, it is an opportunity for change and adaptation of healthful behaviours.

Methods

A search of PubMed, ProQuest, PsychInfo and Google Scholar was conducted for full articles available on human studies in English since 2000. Search terms used were: gestational weight gain (GWG) or pregnancy or weight gain timing and socioeconomic status or SES. Titles, key words and abstracts were reviewed to determine relevance. Reference lists of all relevant studies were also examined for any pertinent studies that may have been missed in the review of the aforementioned databases. Given the complexity and challenge of obtaining every original article related to factors influencing excessive GWG, this review seeks to provide an overview of the literature and therefore will not capture all of the current literature.

GWG concerns and recommendations

It is well-documented that women who gain too much weight during pregnancy have a higher probability of adverse consequences such as excessive body weight, gestational diabetes, hypertension, foetal macrosomia, and premature and
caesarean delivery [4]. These adverse birth outcomes can be attributed to the influence of pre-pregnancy BMI. Additionally, a recent meta-analysis suggested that between 2000 and 2009, 44.4% of women gained weight above weight gain guidelines [4]. Maternal BMI has also been found to be positively associated with infant birth weight across all categories of GWG [5]. Interestingly, pre-pregnant women in the underweight and normal BMI categories develop the same complications as women who are overweight at conception, if weight gain exceeds the recommended amount [5]. Furthermore, a retrospective cohort study of >70,000 women in Ontario, Canada showed that overweight/obese BMI status, combined with a diagnosis of depression, was associated with the most adverse birth outcomes [6].

The 2009 Institute of Medicine (IOM) recommendations for GWG and the 2010 Society of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists of Canada (SOGC) Clinical Practice Guidelines provide guidance to assist women in gaining an appropriate amount of weight to minimise the risk of adverse birth outcomes and post-partum weight retention, increase the likelihood of a healthy birth weight, and in turn, avoid the risk of childhood overweight and obesity [2,7]. The "Developmental Origins of Adult Disease" concept suggests that babies born after being in an adverse in utero environment have an increased risk of metabolic syndrome [8]. As a result, it is important to find a balance in GWG guidelines to prevent small-for-gestational-age (SGA) infants when there is low GWG or weight loss, as well as large-for-gestational-age (LGA) infants when excessive GWG (eGWG) occurs [6].

Women of all BMI categories appear to be gaining excessive weight during pregnancy. However, eGWG is particularly problematic for women whose pre-pregnancy BMI is in the overweight or obese categories, since these women are already at higher risk for pregnancy complications [5]. Efforts must therefore focus on preventing eGWG in overweight and obese women, as these women are more likely to have excessive weight gain during pregnancy due to the narrow IOM recommendations for these BMI categories [7]. Infants born to mothers with eGWG have a higher risk of macrosomia, which is in turn associated with obesity, impaired glucose tolerance and infant mortality [3].

The impact of eGWG on maternal and child health

Studies have found that eGWG, independent of pre-pregnancy obesity, can have short- and long-term consequences for maternal and child health [9,10]. eGWG is associated with a greater likelihood of a caesarean section, delivers a LGA neonate, and post-partum weight retention [3,4]. Savitz et al. [11] investigated the association between GWG and birth outcomes across all BMI categories and found that eGWG among overweight and obese women was more strongly associated with preterm birth compared to normal weight women. This is consistent with recently published work suggesting that overweight and obese women may struggle to stay within the IOM recommendations for GWG, and that eGWG in overweight and obese women increases the risk for weight retention post-partum [10,12]. Studying the Danish National Birth Cohort, Nohr et al. [12] showed that pregnancy complications such as hypertension, gestational diabetes, pre-eclampsia and caesarean birth were more common in overweight and obese women.

Given the relationship between eGWG and short- and long-term negative health outcomes for mothers and infants, more resources are needed to ensure that pregnant women understand the importance of gaining weight within IOM recommendations, and that they have the necessary support to achieve these recommendations [2]. Healthcare professionals that have the most frequent medical contact with women of childbearing age (e.g. primary care physicians, obstetricians/gynaecologists, registered dietitians) are best suited for this task. Preliminary evidence suggests that patient-centred advice from a prenatal care provider will increase patient acceptance and adherence to recommendations [13].

Socioeconomic factors influencing GWG

Although the association between eGWG and adverse pregnancy and birth outcomes are well-established, few studies have investigated the extent to which socioeconomic factors influence GWG. One exception is a recent study investigating the influence of childhood SES as a predictor of eGWG and obesity in adulthood [14]. Using data from the National Longitudinal Survey of Youth (1970–2010), childhood socioeconomic disadvantage was not associated with eGWG but was associated with obesity, suggesting that eGWG does not mediate the relationship between childhood SES and obesity in adulthood.

Income is an important indicator of SES because it influences many factors that also predict health, such as the ability to purchase nutritious food, safe and affordable housing, adequate and appropriate transportation, and college or university education [15]. Women with low income have an increased risk for both inadequate and excessive GWG, in part because many lack an understanding about the importance of a healthy diet during pregnancy and have limited access to healthy food, often leading to a higher consumption of energy-dense food [15]. Even when there is access to nutritious food, the cost makes it difficult for a low income family to purchase it. In fact, food price is the primary determinant of food choice among families living in poverty, which often results in selecting foods high in fat and sugar [16].

Economically disadvantaged women are also more likely to live in neighbourhoods characterised by socioeconomic disadvantage than are women who are financially secure. These disadvantaged neighbourhoods tend to have limited opportunities for employment; inadequate access to healthcare, grocery stores and transportation; and experience higher rates of violence and crime [17]. Living in communities with high socioeconomic disadvantage is associated with higher BMI, controlling for age, race, individual SES, smoking, physical activity, stress and social support [18]. As a result, those of lower SES who experience food insecurity may be more likely to have eGWG contributing to adverse birth outcomes. Furthermore, women with college or university education who reside in disadvantaged neighbourhoods are more likely to have eGWG during pregnancy compared to women residing in neighbourhoods with medium or high
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When considering the effect of rural versus urban living on GWG, women living in rural communities have more limited access to healthcare services, forcing some pregnant women to travel long distances to access healthcare [20]. One study found that normal and overweight women living in rural areas were at a higher risk for weight changes above or below the IOM recommendations than those living in urban areas because the former have limited medical contact and access to grocery stores during pregnancy [20]. Additionally, eGWG was more common in overweight rural-dwelling women, further suggesting that improvements in access to rural healthcare, physical activity, and healthy foods are necessary for overweight and obese women in these areas if significant improvements in health status are to be achieved [20].

Research on the association between SES and GWG has been limited due to the lack of nationally representative samples that include all socioeconomic groups, thus not allowing for adequate comparisons for GWG across the socioeconomic strata. As well, SES tends to be treated as a confounding factor amongst a host of other risk factors, including but not limited to parity, pre-pregnancy weight, gestational diabetes status, predicting GWG [21]. Consequently, the extent to which SES is driving GWG through more proximate risk factors, such as variation in diet quality, and access to food and exercise between women of lower and higher SES should be investigated further.

There is currently limited research about social inequalities in GWG, although the studies that have been conducted suggest that social factors such as income, neighbourhood advantage/disadvantage, and rural/urban dwelling all influence the amount of weight gain during pregnancy, and that nutritional disadvantage may have a greater influence than education at predicting weight gain [15,20]. However, more research assessing the direct influence of educational attainment on GWG is necessary before any clear conclusions can be drawn. Nonetheless, strategies that investigate methods to alleviate SES disadvantage, promote GWG within recommendations, and improve access to services are imperative. These strategies go hand in hand with current initiatives such as the Canadian Prenatal Nutrition Programme, which seeks to reach pregnant women living in conditions of risk that are known to increase the likelihood of unfavourable outcomes for themselves and their infants; and the Healthy Babies Healthy Children programme in Ontario, which seeks to help children get a healthy start in life. Given that eGWG predisposes both mother and child for obesity development, a healthy maternal pre-pregnancy BMI and lower rates of eGWG have the potential to improve both short- and long-term maternal and child health outcomes, promote healthy weight gain over time, and reduce overall rates of maternal and childhood obesity.

The influence of the timing of weight gain in pregnancy

When the IOM updated the recommendations for weight gain during pregnancy in 2009, trimester-specific recommendations were highlighted and, during the second and third trimester, weight gain recommendations were stratified by BMI category [2]. Although eGWG is associated with perinatal health risks, the specific trimester where eGWG has the largest impact on health remains unclear [10,22,23]. In a prospective study of 3015 births, eGWG in all trimesters decreased the odds of delivering a SGA infant, and increased the odds of delivering a LGA infant [2,24]. Margerison-Zilko et al. [24] found that each kilogram of first trimester GWG above the IOM recommendations was significantly associated with an increase in birth weight depending on gestational age in all BMI categories (OR: 1.6, 95% CI: 1.39–1.82), suggesting that early eGWG may influence birth outcomes as well as total GWG. Results from Brazil found that eGWG during the second trimester was associated with increased risk of a LGA infant, and eGWG in the third trimester was associated with preterm and caesarean birth [23]. In a study from Ontario, Davenport et al. [22] found that infants born to women who had eGWG in the first half of pregnancy had a higher birth weight, crown-heel length and excessive body fat compared to women who gained an appropriate amount of weight [22]. The study also found that 55% of women who had eGWG in the first half of their pregnancy also had excessive total GWG, showing that early eGWG may place women on a trajectory for excessive weight gain throughout their entire pregnancy.

eGWG and post-partum weight retention

Given the challenge of returning to pre-pregnancy body weight, the likelihood of post-partum weight retention increases as GWG increases [24]. In a study from Prince Edward Island, weight gain >12 kg during pregnancy was associated with post-partum weight retention of >2.5 kg in underweight, normal and overweight women [25]. Nohr et al. [12] found that women with GWG >16 kg retained >5 kg of weight post-partum. Additionally, obese women with weight gain within the IOM recommendations were at least 2 kg lighter than their pre-pregnancy weight, further supporting the need to promote recommendations for GWG. In a study from Nova Scotia, eGWG led to mean post-partum weight retention of 3.7 and 3.5 kg for overweight and obese women, respectively, regardless of pre-pregnancy BMI [9]. However, research has shown that, compared to a control group, the addition of a dietary and exercise intervention allowed women who were overweight or obese to decrease their weekly weight gain in efforts consistent with IOM recommendations [26]. Furthermore, one study found that the median post-partum weight retention (2.7 kg) was significantly higher among women in the medium and lower SES categories (3.2 kg) compared to women of higher SES (1.8 kg), despite no difference reported in pregnancy weight gain [27]. This suggests that interventions targeting SES may also be necessary to prevent post-partum weight retention. Future recommendations addressed to women during/after pregnancy should utilise the strengths of a multidisciplinary team, and include dietary counselling for an appropriate nutritional schedule during pregnancy and a continual support to maintain a healthy weight after pregnancy.
Relevance to practice
Dietitians play a key role in the prevention of obesity, including eGWG during pregnancy. Dietitians can be partners in multidisciplinary teams to provide pregnant women with nutritional assessment and counselling, social support, and recommendations for physical activity. Ideally, a dietitian would be aware of a woman’s nutrition habits pre-conception so that a nutrition plan based on pre-pregnancy BMI can be put forth that adheres to the IOM recommendations of weight gain. A dietitian could also counsel women who retain or gain excess weight post-partum by providing extra support on weight loss and additional nutritional counselling. Consideration should be given to enabling further access to dietitians as part of a multidisciplinary team for women prior to, during, and after pregnancy, as this is a critical life stage where women may be more receptive to dietary improvements and health education. It is also important that health professionals advocate for policies that aim to reduce poverty, improve health equity, and address the social determinants of health [28].

Conclusion
Many women are entering pregnancy heavier than women from previous generations. When women enter pregnancy overweight or obese, the recommendations for weight gain are difficult to adhere to and these women may gain weight excessively, increasing the likelihood of poor maternal and child health outcomes [10,12]. This increases the risk of a LGA infant, caesarean birth, and post-partum weight retention, and is associated with long-term health risks for both mother and infant, including obesity, diabetes and cardiovascular disease [9,12]. Taken together, this suggests that healthcare providers should counsel on the importance of appropriate weight gain, following IOM recommendations, and monitor weight gain throughout pregnancy. Addressing nutrition and exercise is imperative for low SES women who may be less informed about the importance of appropriate weight gain during pregnancy for their own and offspring’s future health. Indeed, these women may also lack the skills/knowledge/support necessary to prevent eGWG [29]. Perhaps even more important than counselling on behavioural change are policies directed at socioeconomic inequality itself, since SES is in turn highly correlated with health behaviours [30]. The quantity and timing of GWG may also be important, although the exact period when eGWG negatively influences pregnancy and birth outcomes remains unclear [10,22,23]. Future research should therefore investigate whether there is a critical period during gestation whereby eGWG is most detrimental to maternal and child health. More attention should also be given to studying the influence of SES on GWG, so that policy interventions such as the Canadian Prenatal Nutrition Programme, Healthy Babies Healthy Children, increasing minimum wage, and affordable safe housing, can be enhanced [30].
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