Disruption to Functional Networks in Neonates With Perinatal Brain Injury Predicts Motor Skills at 8 Months

Annika C Linke  
*Western University*

Conor Wild  
*Western University*

Leire Zubiaurre-Elorza  
*Western University*

Charlotte Herzmann  
*Western University*

Hester Duffy  
*Western University*

*See next page for additional authors*

Follow this and additional works at: https://ir.lib.uwo.ca/paedpub

Part of the [Pediatrics Commons](https://ir.lib.uwo.ca/paedpub)

Citation of this paper:  
Linke, Annika C; Wild, Conor; Zubiaurre-Elorza, Leire; Herzmann, Charlotte; Duffy, Hester; Han, Victor K; Lee, David S C; and Cusack, Rhodri, "Disruption to Functional Networks in Neonates With Perinatal Brain Injury Predicts Motor Skills at 8 Months" (2018), *Paediatrics Publications*. 179.  
https://ir.lib.uwo.ca/paedpub/179
Disruption to functional networks in neonates with perinatal brain injury predicts motor skills at 8 months☆
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ABSTRACT

Objective: Functional connectivity magnetic resonance imaging (fcMRI) of neonates with perinatal brain injury could improve prediction of motor impairment before symptoms manifest, and establish how early brain organization relates to subsequent development. This cohort study is the first to describe and quantitatively assess functional brain networks and their relation to later motor skills in neonates with a diverse range of perinatal brain injuries.

Methods: Infants (n = 65, included in final analyses: n = 53) were recruited from the neonatal intensive care unit (NICU) and were stratified based on their age at birth (premature vs. term), and on whether neuropathology was diagnosed from structural MRI. Functional brain networks and a measure of disruption to functional connectivity were obtained from 14 min of fcMRI acquired during natural sleep at term-equivalent age.

Results: Disruption to connectivity of the somatomotor and frontoparietal executive networks predicted motor impairment at 4 and 8 months. This disruption in functional connectivity was not found to be driven by differences between clinical groups, or by any of the specific measures we captured to describe the clinical course.

Conclusion: fcMRI was predictive over and above other clinical measures available at discharge from the NICU, including structural MRI. Motor learning was affected by disruption to somatomotor networks, but also frontoparietal executive networks, which supports the functional importance of these networks in early development. Disruption to these two networks might be best addressed by distinct intervention strategies.

1. Introduction

Thousands of newborns each year are diagnosed with perinatal brain injury secondary to preterm birth, an underlying genetic disorder, asphyxia or neonatal stroke. In a subset of these infants, neonatal brain injury leads to cognitive and behavioral deficits later in life (van Buuren et al., 2013; Farooqi et al., 2011; Miller et al., 2005; Peterson, 2000; Hack, 2000; Inder, 2011). Atypical or disrupted development of motor skills is often one of the first indications of broader developmental delay (Harris, 2016). Predicting which infants will develop these delays is difficult, as problems often only become apparent when infants can be assessed behaviorally. This uncertainty puts considerable stress on parents, and hinders targeted early intervention.

Infants with suspected brain injury are often examined using magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). Some qualitative features identified by a radiologist can be indicative of severe developmental problems such as cerebral palsy (de Vries et al., 2011). Additionally, two recent studies quantitatively assessed white matter injury in premature infants using MRI and found a relationship between white matter injury of the frontal lobe and cognitive outcome (Guo et al., 2017), and of punctate lesions with motor outcome (Tusor et al., 2017). Unfortunately, however, the extent of injury visible with routine structural MRI and commonly encountered in the NICU is not always a reliable predictor of long-term developmental outcome. Identifying disruption to brain function with functional connectivity MRI (fcMRI) promises to provide additional information that could improve prediction. In school-age children and...
adults born prematurely, for example, functional connectivity is altered compared to that of their healthy peers, and these differences are related to measures of developmental outcome, IQ, and performance in school (Damraju et al., 2010a; Gozzo et al., 2009a; Dick et al., 2013; Schafer et al., 2009). Functional networks can reliably be identified in healthy term-born neonates (van den Heuvel et al., 2014a; Fransson et al., 2009b), and show the greatest maturational changes in healthy term-born infants over the first two years (Gao et al., 2015a; Doria et al., 2010; Cao et al., 2016; Fransson et al., 2007; Fransson et al., 2011). It has, subsequently, been proposed that they might play a crucial role in infant learning and development (Cusack et al., 2016), even though there is little behavioral manifestation of executive control before 5 1/2 months postnatally (Reznick et al., 2004; Reynolds and Cohen, 2001). Neuroimaging has shown that these networks are present at term-equivalent age (van den Heuvel et al., 2014b; Fransson et al., 2009b), and show the greatest maturational changes in healthy term-born infants over the first two years (Gao et al., 2015a; Doria et al., 2010; Cao et al., 2016; Fransson et al., 2007; Fransson et al., 2011). It has, subsequently, been proposed that they might play a crucial role in infant learning and development (Cusack et al., 2016), even though there is little behavioral manifestation of executive control before 5 1/2 months postnatally (Reznick et al., 2004; Reynolds and Romano, 2016). Our third hypothesis was therefore that the functional connectivity of the frontoparietal executive network would be related to early motor learning. Lastly, we examined whether differences in functional connectivity at TEA and their relationship to motor skills at 8 months could be explained by stratifying infants by prematurity or presence of perinatal brain injury or by any other demographic factors or clinical course in the NICU.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Cohort

Infants (n = 65) were recruited from the tertiary care NICU at Children's Hospital (LHSC), London, Canada. Inclusion criteria were: requirement for a clinical MRI scan and either gestational age (GA) at birth < 29 weeks, or GA at birth > 29 weeks but high risk of brain injury due to e.g. asphyxia, stroke, seizures, or suspected genetic disorders. Infants with metal implants were excluded, and 12 datasets discarded due to poor data quality (see below) resulting in analysis of data from 53 infants. Demographics and the clinical course in the NICU were obtained from the discharge reports (Tables 1 and 2). Infants were stratified based on their age at birth (premature birth < 37 w GA vs. term birth) and on whether neuropathology was diagnosed by the neuroradiologist after examination of the clinical MRI scans. Ethical approval was obtained from the Western University Health Sciences

Table 1
Demographic and clinical information.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>All</th>
<th>Preterm</th>
<th>Preterm</th>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Term</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No neuropathy</td>
<td>Neuropathology</td>
<td>No neuropathy</td>
<td>Neuropathology</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birth weight</td>
<td>Median</td>
<td>1110 g</td>
<td>985 g</td>
<td>1060 g</td>
<td>2950 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Range</td>
<td>490–4570 g</td>
<td>705–1480 g</td>
<td>490–3150 g</td>
<td>2010–3110 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gestational age at birth</td>
<td>Median</td>
<td>29 w</td>
<td>27.5 w</td>
<td>27.5 w</td>
<td>40 w</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Range</td>
<td>24–41 w</td>
<td>25–34 w</td>
<td>24–36 w</td>
<td>39–41 w</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gestational age at scan</td>
<td>Median</td>
<td>38 w</td>
<td>37 w</td>
<td>37.5 w</td>
<td>40.5 w</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Range</td>
<td>35–43 w</td>
<td>35–42 w</td>
<td>35–41 w</td>
<td>40–41 w</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-Minute APGAR</td>
<td>Median</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Range</td>
<td>1–9</td>
<td>4–9</td>
<td>1–9</td>
<td>6–8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Days on oxygen supplementation</td>
<td>Median</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>34.5</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Range</td>
<td>0–116</td>
<td>0–106</td>
<td>0–116</td>
<td>0–11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infections while in NICU</td>
<td># Infants</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Median</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>113.5</td>
<td>202.5</td>
<td>159.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Range</td>
<td>83–234</td>
<td>83–139</td>
<td>90–198</td>
<td>185–234</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Days in NICU</td>
<td>Median</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Range</td>
<td>1–121</td>
<td>22–113</td>
<td>7–121</td>
<td>1–20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deceased</td>
<td># Infants</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Woodward WMI</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>7.49</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>8.91</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Std. dev.</td>
<td>2.17</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Woodward GMI</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.375</td>
<td>4.53</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Std. dev.</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Range</td>
<td>3–6</td>
<td>3–6</td>
<td>3–6</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
is the mean adult connectivity and $a_{ij}$ the corresponding summary statistics for the in-

Note: some infants were diagnosed with more than one neuropathy.

REB, and parents gave informed, written consent.

2.2. MRI data acquisition

Structural and functional MRI were acquired at term-equivalent age (TEA) on a 1.5 T 450 W GE scanner during unsedated natural sleep. Each infant underwent a clinical MRI scan consisting at a minimum of a whole-brain T1-weighted structural image (TR = 8.4–11.5 ms, depending on clinical requirements, $TE = 4.2$ ms, flip angle = 12/25°, matrix size $512 \times 512$, 99–268 slices, voxel size typically $0.39 \times 0.39 \times 0.5$ mm ($0.31 \times 0.31 \times 0.5$ to 0.43 × 0.43 × 0.6 for some infants), and a T2-weighted structural image (TR = 3517–9832 ms, TE = 7.3–8.4 ms, flip angle = 90/160°, matrix size 256 × 256, 19–60 slices, 0.7 × 0.7 × 2–5 mm voxel resolution). Occasionally other imaging sequences were added if requested by the attending physician. Four 7-minute functional MRI scans were acquired at the end of the clinical protocol (TR = 1920 ms, TE = 60 ms, flip angle = 70°, 22 slices, 3 mm isotropic resolution). Excerpts of lullabies were played through the ear defenders during the functional MRI scans using a fixed block design (15 s sound, 11 s silence) for a different study not reported here. Functional connectivity has previously been found to be similar between resting-state and tasks including those in which sounds were presented (Shah et al., 2016).

Infants were wrapped in a MedVac vacuum blanket to reduce motion, were infant ear protection (MiniMuffs, Natus, 7 dB attenuation) and ear defenders (29 dB attenuation, http://www.scansound.com/index.php/mri-noise-reduction-headphone.html), and were monitored by an attending NICU nurse using ECG, pulse-oxymetry, and a noise-cancelling microphone (FOMRI-III, Optoaoustics) attached to the head coil.

2.3. MRI image pre-processing

Imaging data were preprocessed in Matlab (The Mathworks, Version 2014a) with the automatic analysis toolbox (Cusack et al., 2014) and SPM8 (Wellcome Department of Imaging Neuroscience, London, UK). Images were converted to NIFTI format, motion corrected using six-parameter rigid-body realignment as implemented in SPM, co-registered to the structural T1 or T2 image (depending on quality), and normalized to the UNC neonatal brain template (Shi et al., 2011a). Coregistration and normalization were visually inspected for all data-sets and as a result five datasets were excluded from further analyses as mentioned above. Examples of successful normalizations are shown in Supplementary Fig. e-1. Additional pre-processing of the functional data was carried out for the individual analyses and is described below and in the Supplementary Methods. Timecourses from white matter and cerebrospinal fluid were not regressed out due to the presence of pathology and insufficient data quality of the structural images to carry out reliable segmentation. Global signal regression was not performed to avoid introducing potentially spurious negative correlations (Fox et al., 2009; Jones et al., 2010; Murphy et al., 2009; Weissenbacher et al., 2009). Additionally, since the functional connectivity analyses performed examine patterns of connectivity, and the main results are derived from second order correlations, global signal regression would not be expected to substantially alter the results presented. 12 datasets were excluded from subsequent analyses due to excessive motion or poor coregistration or normalization (see Supplementary methods).

2.4. Relating disruption of functional connectivity to neurodevelopmental outcome

The pattern of functional connectivity across the brain in each infant at term-equivalent age was compared to a normative connectivity pattern between the same regions-of-interest (ROIs) obtained from a group of 14 adults (see Supplementary methods). Functional connectivity was calculated between every pair of 28 ROIs, which were derived from MNI coordinates previously identified in healthy, term-born neonates (Smyser et al., 2014) (Supplementary methods and Table e-1). Each ROI comprised an 8 mm sphere at these coordinates, and was normalized to the UNC neonatal template (Shi et al., 2011b). The mean timecourse of BOLD fMRI activity was extracted for each ROI, and functional connectivity calculated as the Pearson correlation between every pair of timecourses, resulting in a 28 × 28 connectivity matrix. The similarity of each infant’s connectivity pattern to that of the adults yielded a measure of “disruption to functional connectivity” for each infant:

$$\eta_i = \frac{\sum_{i,j=1}^{8} \sum_{k=1}^{8} (a_{ij} - \mu_a)(b_{ik} - \mu_b)}{(R^2 - 1)\sigma_a \sigma_b}$$

(1)

where $a_{ij}$ is the mean adult connectivity and $b_{ik}$ is the connectivity of infant $k$, between region $i$ and region $j$. $R$ is the total number of regions, $\mu_a$ is the mean of the values in the adult matrix and $\sigma_a$ their standard deviation, $\mu_b$ and $\sigma_b$ the corresponding summary statistics for the infants.

We then assessed whether disruption to functional connectivity at term-equivalent age was related to neurodevelopmental outcome. The infants attended visits at the Developmental Follow-Up Clinic of LHSC, starting shortly after discharge, at which outcome was assessed by trained nurses and clinicians using standardized tests of infant motor development: the Test of Infant Motor Performance (TIMP) (Campbell et al., 1995) in the first month, and the Alberta Infant Motor Scale (AIMS) (Piper et al., 1992) and the Infant Neurological International Battery (INFANIB) (Fox et al., 2009) at 4 and 8 months. The degree of disruption to functional connectivity of each infant was then correlated with the TIMP, AIMS and INFANIB scores at each follow-up time point (Supplementary methods).

To identify which parts of the connectivity matrix drove any correlation between disruption to functional connectivity and outcome, we then decomposed the correlation of the connectivity matrix into the $z$-scored parts between networks $M$ and $N$ (where $M = N$ corresponds to within network connectivity, and $M \neq N$ between network connectivity).

$$\eta_{MN} = \frac{\sum_{i,j} \sum_{k=1}^{8} (a_{ij} - \mu_a)(b_{ik} - \mu_b)}{(R^2 - 1)\sigma_a \sigma_b}$$

(2)

where $a_{ij}$ is the mean adult connectivity and $b_{ik}$ is the connectivity of infant $k$, between region $i$ and region $j$. $R$ is the total number of regions, $\mu_a$ is the mean of the values in the adult matrix and $\sigma_a$ their standard deviation, $\mu_b$ and $\sigma_b$ the corresponding summary statistics for the infants, and $R_{MN}$ is the set of ROIs within network $M$. Note that the sum of the parts of this decomposition is equal to the original correlation value:

$$\eta_i = \sum_{M=1}^{R} \sum_{N=1}^{R} \eta_{MN}$$

(3)

Each of the component measures $\eta_{MN}$ were then taken forwards to a
third-order correlation with the outcome, \( n_0 \), to yield the contribution of each network separately. We hypothesized that connectivity of the somatomotor network at TEA would be particularly important for motor development in the first year.

2.5. Do differences in functional connectivity reflect clinical factors?

Next, we tested whether differences in functional connectivity and their relationship to motor skills at 8 months could be explained by clinical or demographic factors extracted from the NICU discharge reports. We split patients into four pathology groups using two factors each with two levels: preterm vs. term, and presence vs. absence of neuropathology. For each of these four groups, we first established whether five well-established functional brain networks (auditory, visual, motor, default mode and executive control) were equally present in term and preterm infants with perinatal brain injuries. These networks have previously been identified in healthy adults (Smith et al., 2009), children (de Bie et al., 2012), infants and neonates (Fransson et al., 2007; Gao et al., 2015b), as well as in other patient populations (Greicius et al., 2008; Lee et al., 2013), and include regions of the brain involved in a spectrum of functions, from sensory and motor, to higher-level cognition. Group Independent Component Analysis techniques (ICA) (Calhoun et al., 2009) were adapted for infants with perinatal brain injury using an extension of a method introduced by Wang et al. (2015) - Cross-Validated Regression (CIR) - that avoids circularity and can readily be applied to infant patient populations (see Supplementary methods). Spatial correlation was used to quantify the similarity of the infants’ functional networks to known network templates (Smith et al., 2009). A repeated-measures ANOVA with factor “network” and between-subject factor “pathology group” tested whether the five functional networks could be equally well identified in term and premature infants with and without neuropathology. Similarly, we also tested for any differences in the disruption to functional connectivity measure between the four pathology groups.

Next, we assessed whether disruption of functional connectivity was related to a quantitative scale of brain injury. The Woodward grading system (Woodward et al., 2006) was applied by a senior neuroradiologist in a subset of infants (n = 37, see Supplementary Results). This scoring system grades the degree of perinatal white- and gray-matter injury into four categories: none, mild, moderate and severe. These scores were Pearson correlated with the disruption of functional connectivity measure.

Lastly, we tested whether disruption to functional connectivity was related to specific clinical or demographic factors that might have been lost by stratifying infants into a-priori defined pathology groups. Factors included sex, gestational age at birth and MRI scan, birth weight, 5-minute APGAR scores, days on oxygen supplementation, diagnosis of infections and anemia, discharge hemoglobin levels, and days in the NICU.

3. Results

We first tested our central hypothesis, that differences in functional connectivity at term-equivalent age would be related to later motor skills. Results (Fig. 1A–C) showed significant positive correlations of individual differences in disruption to functional connectivity measured at TEA with the AIMS (r = 0.513, p < 0.005, CI [0.217 0.723]) and INFANIB (r = 0.380, p < 0.05, CI [0.054 0.633]) scores at 8 months. At the same time as behavioral assessments of neurodevelopmental outcome become more reliable (Pedersen et al., 2007; Campbell and Hedeker, 2001), correlations become stronger with increasing corrected-age. At 4 months, correlations with the two outcome measures were positive but lower and only significant for the AIMS (r = 0.330, p < 0.05, CI [0.016 0.585]) but not INFANIB (r = 0.153, p = 0.376, CI [−0.180 0.455]) scale. Correlations with the TIMP collected within the first month corrected age were not significant (r = 0.144, p = 0.368, CI [−0.171 0.433]).

Importantly, while TIMP scores also correlated positively with the AIMS (r = 0.46, p < 0.01) and INFANIB (r = 0.39, p < 0.05) scores at 8 months of age, partial correlations of the AIMS and INFANIB score with disruption of functional connectivity (controlling for the TIMP scores) remained significant (AIMS: \( r_{\text{partial}} = 0.55, p < 0.005 \) vs. \( r = 0.61, p < 0.001 \); INFANIB: \( r_{\text{partial}} = 0.46, p < 0.01 \) vs. \( r = 0.53, p < 0.005 \) for the 31 infants with both TIMP and AIMS/INFANIB scores).

Given the predictive value of disruption to functional connectivity, we assessed which of seven functional networks among the 28 ROIs (Smyser et al., 2014b) (language-LAN, sensorimotor-SMN, visual-VIS, default mode-DMN, dorsal attention-DAN, ventral attention-VAN and fronto-parietal control-FPC) drove the correlation of whole-brain functional connectivity patterns with neurodevelopmental outcome most strongly. Given that we focused on motor outcome, we predicted connectivity of the motor network at TEA to considerably influence infant motor development. Additionally, the frontoparietal executive network is crucial for learning in later life but its role in early infant development is not known. We predicted that even before first behavioral signs of executive function emerge, this network already plays an important role for skill learning, including motor development. This was indeed what we found (Table 3). Our results show that connectivity within the SMN and between the SMN-DMN and SMN-VAN contributed most to the correlation with motor skills at 8 months. Additional connectivity within the FPC and between the DMN and VIS also contributed.

We then tested whether differences in functional connectivity were related to demographic and clinical factors by, first, stratifying infants by prematurity (preterm/term) and presence/absence of neuropathology. Visual inspection and a repeated-measures ANOVA suggested the infants’ functional networks were similar to normative template networks (Smith et al., 2009) in healthy adults irrespective of pathology group (F(3, 49) = 0.653, p = 0.585, \( \eta^2 = 0.038 \), Fig. 2 and Fig. 3A, also see Supplementary results). Similarly, disruption to functional connectivity was not found to be different between groups (F(3, 49) = 0.226, p = 0.878, \( \eta^2 = 0.014 \), Fig. 3B, Fig. e-3).

The presence or absence of neuropathology is a crude measure of the degree of brain injury. The Woodward grading system was therefore used to quantify the degree of brain injury in a subset of infants (n = 37, also see Supplementary results). Functional connectivity was not related to this quantitative measure of brain injury, for neither white-matter (r = 0.04, p = 0.814, CI [−0.288 0.359]) nor grey-matter (r = −0.101, p = 0.552, CI [−0.411 0.231]).

Importantly, adverse outcome is typically only observed in a subset of NICU infants (Serenius et al., 2013; Allen, 2008; Marlow et al., 2005). Grouping infants into heterogeneous categories such as premature/term birth and presence of neuropathology might decrease sensitivity to detect subtle alterations in functional connectivity that are related to later differences in behavior. We, lastly, tested whether ten clinical or demographic factors that might not have been captured by the four pathology groups were related to disruption to functional connectivity. While many of the clinical variables are highly correlated (Fig. 1D), differences in disruption to functional connectivity were not significantly related to any of them (top row). Additionally, clinical and demographic factors were not related to motor skills at 8 months as assessed by the TIMP, AIMS and INFANIB (Table e-2). These results likely reflect the difficulty of predicting neurodevelopmental outcome from clinical information available at discharge from the NICU. Our results suggest that functional connectivity measured at term-equivalent age provides additional information that is independent from currently available clinical information, and that can contribute to the prediction of neurodevelopmental outcome after preterm birth and perinatal brain injury.
4. Discussion

This study shows that it is possible to robustly identify functional brain networks in infants with perinatal brain injuries at TEA, paving the way for future studies of this vulnerable clinical population. Differences in functional connectivity irrespective of pathology group correlated significantly with motor skills at 4 and 8 months. Specifically, disruption to the motor and frontoparietal executive networks drove this relationship most strongly. This implies that fMRI provides prognostic information at the time of discharge from the NICU.

Our results extend previous findings by Arichi et al. (2014) who found substantial motor network connectivity abnormalities in three neonates with severe hemorrhagic parenchymal infarction who later developed cerebral palsy (CP). Similarly, a study in 14 infants with moderate to severe white matter injury secondary to periventricular hemorrhagic infarction (Smyser et al., 2013) found that functional connectivity was disrupted, particularly in the motor network and cerebellar regions. Compared to these two studies, however, most infants in the current cohort had milder and more diverse neuropathologies, and including cortical regions that spanned seven distinct functional networks allowed us to assess the relationship between motor outcome and brain function across cortex. This is important, as the most common perinatal brain injuries (such as low-grade intraventricular hemorrhage following premature birth) put an infant at increased risk of developmental delays that are much harder to detect early than CP.

Our results suggest that the executive system may be important for development much earlier than previously thought (Cusack et al., 2016). Injury to this system essential for learning and cognition would be expected to lead to a spectrum of neurodevelopmental deficits. Smyser et al. (2014b) also found alterations in functional connectivity

### Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LAN</th>
<th>AIMS</th>
<th>INFANIB</th>
<th>SMN AIMS</th>
<th>INFANIB</th>
<th>VIS AIMS</th>
<th>INFANIB</th>
<th>DMN AIMS</th>
<th>INFANIB</th>
<th>DAN AIMS</th>
<th>INFANIB</th>
<th>VAN AIMS</th>
<th>INFANIB</th>
<th>FPC AIMS</th>
<th>INFANIB</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LAN</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>INFANIB</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>INFANIB</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>INFANIB</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>INFANIB</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>INFANIB</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>INFANIB</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>INFANIB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMN</td>
<td>AIMS</td>
<td>INFANIB</td>
<td>0.44**</td>
<td>INFANIB</td>
<td>0.35*</td>
<td>INFANIB</td>
<td>0.39*</td>
<td>INFANIB</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>INFANIB</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>INFANIB</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>INFANIB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VIS</td>
<td>AIMS</td>
<td>INFANIB</td>
<td>-0.07</td>
<td>INFANIB</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>INFANIB</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>INFANIB</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>INFANIB</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
<td>INFANIB</td>
<td>-0.10</td>
<td>INFANIB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMN</td>
<td>AIMS</td>
<td>INFANIB</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
<td>INFANIB</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
<td>INFANIB</td>
<td>-0.16</td>
<td>INFANIB</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>INFANIB</td>
<td>-0.11</td>
<td>INFANIB</td>
<td>-0.16</td>
<td>INFANIB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DAN</td>
<td>AIMS</td>
<td>INFANIB</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>INFANIB</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>INFANIB</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>INFANIB</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>INFANIB</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>INFANIB</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>INFANIB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VAN</td>
<td>AIMS</td>
<td>INFANIB</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>INFANIB</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>INFANIB</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>INFANIB</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>INFANIB</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>INFANIB</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>INFANIB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FPC</td>
<td>AIMS</td>
<td>INFANIB</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>INFANIB</td>
<td>0.44**</td>
<td>INFANIB</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>INFANIB</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>INFANIB</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>INFANIB</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>INFANIB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INFANIB</td>
<td>AIMS</td>
<td>INFANIB</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>INFANIB</td>
<td>0.60***</td>
<td>INFANIB</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>INFANIB</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>INFANIB</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>INFANIB</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>INFANIB</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 1. Functional connectivity at term-equivalent age predicted motor skills at 4 and 8 months. (A) Relationship between functional connectivity (FC) at term-equivalent age (TEA) and neurodevelopmental outcome. Correlation scatter plots between functional connectivity and outcome at 8 months are shown in (B) for the AIMS, and (C) for the INFANIB. (D) Relationship between functional connectivity, demographic and clinical information (Pearson correlations). (***p < 0.001, **p < 0.01, *p < 0.05).
of the DMN and FPC in premature infants scanned at term-equivalent age, but since no behavioral follow-up information was included, it remained unknown whether this influenced development. Importantly, screening tests like the AIMS and INFANIB provide the first signs not only of motor disability but also of more general neurodevelopmental delays and disorders, and it has consequently been argued that all infants should undergo developmental motor screening at the end of the first year (Harris, 2016). By 8 months, motor milestones are predictive of various aspects of later development, even when controlling for gestational age, birth weight, and disability (Ghassabian et al., 2016). Long-term follow-up information would provide important insights into the power of fMRI collected at term-equivalent age to improve early prediction of broader cognitive and social outcomes for infants at risk.

Our results also show that studying neonatal brain function in predefined groups might miss variability in the data that explains later developmental outcome. A number of previous studies have found functional networks to be surprisingly similar in premature and healthy-term born infants with the subset of networks altered varying greatly between studies (Kwon et al., 2015; Toulmin et al., 2015; Smyser et al., 2011; Smyser et al., 2010; Lin et al., 2008b), and with some finding no differences at all (Doria et al., 2010; Lee et al., 2013). This seems at odds with the higher incidence of developmental delays in premature infants, and the abnormalities in functional connectivity found in older children and adults born prematurely (Dick et al., 2013; Schafer et al., 2009; Damaraju et al., 2010b; Gozzo et al., 2009b). It is possible that differences in functional connectivity only emerge over time. Alternatively, these findings might reflect a lack of sensitivity to pick up relatively subtle and diverse differences between groups defined a-priori. Approximately 30% of extremely premature infants will develop moderate or severe developmental delays and disability (Serenius et al., 2013; Allen, 2008; Marlow et al., 2005). Since infants with signs of neuropathology were excluded from previous studies investigating functional network maturity and disruption after premature birth, the risk of developmental delays for the infants typically included in neonatal fMRI studies is likely much lower. As such, it is reassuring that functional brain organization seems to be unaltered in the majority of “healthy” preterm neonates. Those with developmental delays and clear disruptions of functional connectivity, on the other hand, might be over-represented in studies of older children and adults born prematurely.

Three more recent studies employing advanced statistical methods that have more power to detect subtle differences found disruptions of functional connectivity in premature infants without perinatal brain injury (Ball et al., 2016; Smyser et al., 2014b; Scheinost et al., 2015b). These studies did not assess whether alterations of functional connectivity predicted developmental outcome. However, another recent study (Alcauter et al., 2014) showed thalamocortical connectivity measured at 1 year correlated with assessments of cognitive function at 2 years (n = 143). It is even more important to assess such relationships in infants at high risk of developmental delays, like those born prematurely or those who have sustained perinatal brain injury. The current study is an important step in this direction.

We hope our results will encourage others to study infants with perinatal brain injury using fMRI and to replicate the findings we have reported here, so that limitations in the current study can be addressed. Most importantly, the inclusion criteria in our study were broad in order to be able to collect a sample reflecting commonly encountered neuropathologies in North American NICUs. This meant that when grouping infants by age at birth and presence of neuropathology, sample sizes were moderate and unbalanced, and the lack of group

---

**Fig. 2.** Corresponding functional networks in adults and infants.

Functional networks (A) in healthy adults (Smith et al., 2009) that were used as templates during Cross-Iterative Regression (CIR), and (B) as derived in infants, split by pathology group. Lighter colors indicate stronger evidence of the respective network. Spatial topography of each network was similar to the adult templates in all four infant pathology groups.

**Fig. 3.** Functional connectivity did not differ consistently between groups. No significant differences between the four infant pathology groups in (A) functional network topography (CIR analysis, average of all networks shown), and (B) patterns of functional connectivity. Error bars are standard errors.
differences should be interpreted with caution. Demographics, the clinical course in the NICU, or the Woodward grading of the degree brain injury were similarly not related to network connectivity. Nevertheless, some differences between the groups defined by prematurity and/or presence of neuropathology might become significant with larger sample sizes. Lastly, since all infants in the current study were recruited from the NICU we are unable to interpret whether those infants with good motor outcome at 8 months show typical brain development of a healthy child. Our finding that functional network organization predicted motor outcome irrespective of the presence or absence of neuropathology and gestational age at birth, suggests that this would not have been the case. Using a normative template of neonatal functional connectivity derived from healthy term-born infants rather than one derived from healthy adults might be able to reveal whether and how maturity of brain function differs in infants with perinatal brain injuries but good neurodevelopment in future studies.

Perinatal brain injury is common in NICU infants but early prediction of outcome is difficult, leading to delays in interventions, increased medical expenditures and anxiety and stress for parents and caregivers. Functional MRI may offer valuable independent information to aid the prediction of neurodevelopmental outcome at TEA irrespective of the clinical course in the NICU or the brain injury acquired. We hope that this will facilitate earlier, focused intervention, and decrease the uncertainty parents currently face.
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