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Discouraging Social Loafing During Team-Based Assessments

Summary
Group work and team-based learning are essential teaching tools that provide the opportunity for students to practice and expand upon the concepts learned in lectures and reading assignments. Although there are many benefits to group assessment, there are many challenges, especially in the form of social loafers or free-riders who take advantage of the group setting and benefit from the hard work of others. The presence of social loafers in a group can have a negative impact on a group dynamic, create tension within a group, and ultimately prevent other group members from obtaining the learning objectives of the assessment. In this session, participants explore the benefits and challenges of group work, the underlying causes of social loafing, and strategies that can be implemented to discourage social loafing in team-based assessments. The ultimate goal is to encourage participants to include team-based learning in course design and learn how to structure the assessment to minimize the opportunity for social loafing.
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SUMMARY
Group work and team-based learning are essential teaching tools that provide the opportunity for students to practice and expand upon the concepts learned in lectures and reading assignments. Although there are many benefits to group assessment, there are many challenges, especially in the form of social loafers or free-riders who take advantage of the group setting and benefit from the hard work of others. The presence of social loafers in a group can have a negative impact on a group dynamic, create tension within a group, and ultimately prevent other group members from obtaining the learning objectives of the assessment. In this session, participants explore the benefits and challenges of group work, the underlying causes of social loafing, and strategies that can be implemented to discourage social loafing in team-based assessments. The ultimate goal is to encourage participants to include team-based learning in course design and learn how to structure the assessment to minimize the opportunity for social loafing.
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LEARNING OBJECTIVES
By the end of this workshop, participants will be able to:
• describe and discuss the value and purpose of team-based learning in the classroom;
• examine the underlying causes of social loafing during team-based assessment; and
• devise and assess strategies to prepare team-based assessments that discourage social loafing.

REFERENCE SUMMARIES

This article summarizes the benefits and challenges of group work by offering a perspective on how social loafing affects students and hypotheses pertaining to structural changes that can be made to group assignments. Aggarwal and O’Brien tested these hypotheses in a study of 420 students resulting in a series of suggested strategies to discourage social loafing that have been statistically verified to reduce the incidence of social loafing. This workshop uses this article to demonstrate the value of group work as well as provide participants with statistically proven methods of reducing social loafing.


This article presents the potential causes of social loafing as well as the benefits and drawbacks of co-operative tasks in the classroom. Specifically, the study looks at group size and compares the contributions of individuals to the team task in large groups versus small groups. It finds that individuals in larger groups make fewer deliverable contributions to
the team when compared to those in small groups. This workshop uses this information to illustrate that group size can have an effect not only on individual social loafing or “free-riding”, but also the effectiveness of the group as a whole.


The above citation explores six approaches to reducing social loafing that have been studied previously in the literature and directly compares their effectiveness. This will provide a student perspective of which approaches are most effective while demonstrating that some of the negative attitudes students have toward group work are a product of their educational stage and not necessarily due to negative experiences with group work. This article also illustrates the need to teach students how to work as a team both in course curriculum and in student orientation.


This study explores whether the academic alignment of teams reduces social loafing and compares the differences between self- and instructor-formed teams. Pieterse and Thompson observed that social loafing occurs most often when stronger team members exclude a weak member of the team. This illustrates the attitude held by many students in more technical fields that teamwork is merely a soft skill and unnecessary for their success, which is a clear misconception.


The goals of this study was to develop a way to predict social loafing behavior using team member attitudes toward group work, individual competitiveness, and task independence. This study shows that the more an individual values group work, the less social loafing will occur. It also illustrates that wanting to appear successful drives individuals to contribute to the team. This workshop uses this information to demonstrate the importance of teaching students how to work as a team as opposed to expecting that students already know how to effectively work as a team.

**CONTENT AND ORGANIZATION**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Duration [min]</th>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Purpose</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Introduction</td>
<td>Introduce yourself to participants and discuss the overall objectives and structure of workshop.</td>
<td>To familiarize the participants with the overall purpose of the workshop.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 5 | Short Discussion | Ask the participants the following:  
What are the top two benefits and top two challenges of group work in the classroom?  
Allow for a brief discussion with contributions from the participants. After the discussion, re-focus the group and briefly describe the points that were not mentioned during the discussion. When re-iterating a point brought up during discussion, provide the participant with validation for contributing an idea and expand upon how it was previously discussed.  
Key points for the participants to contribute during the discussion include the following benefits and challenges.  

**Benefits:**  
- Skills development  
- Interpersonal  
- Workplace preparation  
- Student learning  
- Using active-learning to foster higher-level learning  
- Engaging students in large classes  
- Constructive criticism  
- Giving appropriate and constructive feedback to others  
- Responding to feedback from peers  

**Challenges:**  
- Controlling student learning  
- Instructor loses some control  
- Potential for imbalance of learning between group members  
- Assessment design  
- Potential to reward students  

To familiarize the participants with the idea that group work is valuable despite the challenges that both the instructor and the students sometimes experience.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>who do not contribute</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Student anxiety about achievement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Student attitude toward group work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Resentment towards members who do not contribute</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>5</th>
<th>Short Presentation: Explaining Social Loafing in Group Work</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Introduce the concept of social loafing in the context of educational literature, the prevalence of social loafing, and the effect it has on students. For a more expansive definition, please refer to Aggarwal &amp; O'Brien, 2008; Farrante et al, 2006; North, Linley, and Hargreaves, 2000; and Pieterse &amp; Thompson, 2010).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>To familiarize the participants with the definition of social loafing in an educational context, illustrate that it is a phenomenon seen even in young children, and the negative effect that social loafing has on students’ attitude towards teamwork.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>10</th>
<th>Discussion: Self-Reflection of Participants’ Attitudes Towards Group Work</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Engage the participants in a discussion about their attitudes towards group work, based on their own experiences. Facilitate the discussion by providing discussion prompts and contributing your own experiences and attitudes surrounding group work and social loafing as necessary. Discussion Prompts: 1. What feelings do you have toward group work in the classroom? (i.e: as a student, as an instructor) 2. Have you encountered a situation in which social loafing affected group work? 3. How did social loafing affect the team? 4. Has an experience with social loafing shaped your current perspective with respect to group work?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Presentation: Causes of Social Loafing in Group Work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 15  |  Break-out Brainstorming | **Break the participants into small groups of approximately 4 to 5.**  
**In this exercise, ask participants to think back to the previous discussion about their previous experiences with group work. Ask participants to consider the following questions:**  
- What were the structural constraints of the assessment in both positive and negative experiences?  
- How can you use this experience, both positive and negative, to change the set-up design of future team-based assessments to help discourage social loafing?  
- What factors would you need to think of that may be specific to your discipline?  
**Take approximately 15 minutes to break out into smaller groups and discuss these questions. Try to come up with potential solutions that can help discourage social loafing, particularly solutions that can be used when designing a team-based**  
**After learning about what can contribute to social loafing, this section encourages participation by asking attendees to coming up with viable solutions to help discourage social loafing based on their own experiences.** |
task, such as limiting group size or defining the scope of project.

Ensure that groups are working on task by walking around the room and engaging the groups in conversation to keep everyone on topic and to determine when discussions are dying down. After approximately 10 minutes, reconstitute the larger group and facilitate a discussion of the participants’ ideas.

Discussion Prompt:
1. As an instructor, what structural constraints of an assessment could you modify to discourage social loafing during a group assessment?

| 25 | Large Group Discussion: Strategies to Discourage Social Loafing | Ask each group to share one key strategy they discussed in the brainstorming session. After each idea is presented, talk about the strategy in context of the academic research on social loafing. Ask participants to discuss the positives and negatives of each strategy they discuss.

Facilitate and guide the discussion to ensure that all or most strategies relevant to discouraging social loafing are introduced to the participants.

Continue the discussion that began in the breakout groups as a large group to collectively devise strategies that can be used to design assessments that discourage social loafing.

| 5  | Conclusion and Summary | Summarize the key aspects of the workshop and discuss the five strategies to keep in mind when designing group assessments to help discourage social loafing.

To summarize the workshop and provide a take home message - five aspects of assessment design to consider when creating team assessments.

| 10 | Question and Answer | Respond to any questions or comments participants may have about social loafing and strategies to help discourage social loafing.

Allow the participants to clarify any aspects of the workshop and provide
discourage social loafing. See Appendix A for a sample handout to distribute.

Invite participants to ask questions and provide comments about social loafing and how to prevent it during team assessment.

input in the form of a comment.

PRESENTATION STRATEGIES
The goal of the workshop is to increase the awareness surrounding the issue of social loafing and provide tangible solutions that can be applied to assessment design in various disciplines and class sizes. This workshop has been prepared to allow for substantial discussion and interaction between the participants in small groups and as a large group. Because there is a large degree of variation in creating assessments for different disciplines, small and large group discussions are vital. This allows individuals from different fields to discuss the particular challenges they face when preparing a group assessment and generate ideas to help overcome these challenges with other participants. This cross-collaboration often allows instructors to learn about approaches taken in other disciplines and by other instructors and apply this to their own teaching.

The facilitator of the workshop will need to keep groups focused on the topic at hand during discussions and facilitate the large-group discussion. The facilitator will also need to employ the didactic lecture approach to introduce concepts such as what social loafing is and its causes, but when presenting strategies to discourage social loafing, a discussion format can be used to keep the workshop more casual and create a feeling of collaboration.

ADDITIONAL REFERENCES


APPENDIX A: Handout

Discouraging Social Loafing During Group Assessment

Strategies to Discourage Social Loafing

1. Scope of Project
   - Break assessment into discrete, evaluated steps
2. Group Size
   - Ensure group size corresponds to complexity of assessment
   - Use smaller groups of 4-5 students
3. Peer Evaluations
   - Weight the peer assessment so students take it seriously
   - Set expectations
   - Let students be involved in designing the peer evaluation
   - Prepare students for evaluation by teaching students how to give appropriate and constructive feedback
4. Student Satisfaction with Teamwork and Group Member Contributions
   - Incorporate a reporting system so students can report problems and challenges
   - Provide roles within the group, one being to keep everyone on task and ensure work is divided equally

Tips and Reminders

- Be aware of social loafing and be prepared!
- Structural set-up factors are relatively easy to implement, even in large classes.
- Well-designed assessments create an environment conducive to student learning in a team setting
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