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Abstract

The overall objectives of the current dissertation were to 1) establish the neural coding principles employed by the sympathetic nervous system (SNS) in response to acute physiological stress; and 2) to determine the various mechanisms of control underlying these sympathetic neural recruitment strategies. This research tested the working hypothesis that efferent post-ganglionic muscle sympathetic nerve activity exhibits neural coding patterns reflecting increased firing of lower-threshold axons, recruitment of latent sub-populations of higher-threshold axons, as well as malleable synaptic delays, and further, that these strategies are governed by factors such as reflex-specificity, stress severity, perception of effort or stress, age, and cardiovascular disease. Specifically, we utilized a novel signal processing approach to study sympathetic action potential discharge patterning during periods of acute reflex-mediated sympathoexcitation. Overall, these studies support the working hypothesis and confirm that neural coding principles operate within the SNS. Specifically, in response to acute homeostatic perturbation, the SNS has options to increase the firing rate of already-active, lower-threshold axons, recruit sub-populations of previously silent (i.e., not present at baseline), larger-sized and faster conducting sympathetic axons, as well as modify acutely synaptic delays. Study 1 demonstrated that the ability to recruit latent neural sub-populations represents a fixed, reflex-independent recruitment strategy, as this pattern was observed during chemoreflex- and baroreflex-mediated sympathoexcitation. In turn, this option appears reserved for severe stress scenarios. Furthermore, study 2 suggests that central, perceptual features may play a specific role in modifying the synaptic delay aspect of efferent discharge timing, whereas peripheral-reflex mechanisms mediate the recruitment of latent axons. Study 3 demonstrates that, while the ability to acutely modify synaptic delays appears
preserved, the ability to increase firing frequency of already-active axons, and importantly, the capacity of the SNS to recruit latent sub-populations of higher-threshold axons are reduced with healthy aging and perhaps lost altogether with cardiovascular disease. Finally, study 4 suggests that the lack of ventilation itself, rather than the ever-increasing chemical drive, mediates the robust sympathetic neural recruitment observed during apnea. In conclusion, the series of studies contained herein confirm the presence of neural coding patterns in human efferent post-ganglionic sympathetic nerve activity.
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Chapter 1

1 Introduction

1.1 Overview

The foundation of ‘integrative physiology’ as we know it today may well be rooted in the seminal workings of Claude Bernard (1813-1878), a French physiologist who noted, “…all of the vital mechanisms, however varied they may be, have always one goal, to maintain the uniformity of the conditions of life in the internal environment [milieu intérieur]…the constancy of the internal environment is the condition for free and independent life…” (Bernard, 1974). In this sense, the concept of ‘homeostasis’, a fundamental principle of physiology, was born, the term being devised sometime later by Walter B. Cannon (1871-1945), an American physiologist (Cannon, 1929).

Homeostasis, therefore, represents the tendency of an organism to regulate its internal stability, owing largely to a coordinated system of feedback controls, so as to stabilize its health and functioning in the face of external challenge. As such, the concept of ‘homeostasis’ has become somewhat synonymous with the domain of ‘physiology’. In this regard, a full understanding of, and appreciation for, the integrative regulation and functioning (i.e., physiology) of the human body, requires that integrative physiologists break down the individual phenomena, or ‘parts’, that together constitute the existence of living beings.

Certainly, the autonomic nervous system (ANS), and especially, its sympathetic division, is of fundamental importance in both the short- and long-term regulation and maintenance of the cardiovascular system in humans. Indeed, the bidirectional
relationship that exists between autonomic dysregulation and numerous cardiovascular disease-related pathologies represents perhaps the best evidence for the fundamental role played by the ANS in maintaining cardiovascular homeostasis (Esler, 2010; Malpas, 2010). For example, sympathetic nervous system (SNS) dysregulation represents a hallmark of, among others, essential hypertension (Grassi et al. 2010; Parati & Esler, 2012), coronary artery disease (CAD) (Graham et al. 2002; Graham et al. 2004), heart failure (Floras, 2009; Triposkiadis et al. 2009), obstructive sleep apnea (Somers et al. 1995; Narkiewicz & Somers, 2003), and even, increasing age (Seals & Esler, 2000). Therefore, as mentioned above, a thorough understanding of the pathophysiology of these (or any) disease and/or aging process requires the careful study of the individual ‘parts’ that together comprise the dysfunctional system. But first, one must understand the ‘normal’ processes in health.

The SNS represents a major medium through which homeostatic adjustments to stress are achieved, occurring mostly as a result of its vasoconstrictor actions, and thus, control of blood pressure and distribution of blood flow (Shoemaker et al. 2016). This process has been largely referred to as sympathetic neural control of the circulation. In brief, sympathetic neural control involves central integration of sensory afferent and cortical/subcortical signals within the brainstem autonomic nuclei and spinal cord (Barman & Gebber, 2000), synapses at peripheral sympathetic ganglia (Jänig & McLachlan, 1992), efferent post-ganglionic nerve activity, neurotransmitter release, and ultimately, the end-organ effect (Shoemaker et al. 2016). As such, each of these individual junctures, or ‘parts’, offer unique sites of control in which sympathetic outflow can be coded and modified in response to homeostatic challenge. The focus of
the series of studies in this dissertation is on the patterns of sympathetic neural coding and the recruitment strategies used by the efferent SNS to increase sympathetic drive in the face of physiological stress.

Indeed, patterns of neural coding form the basis of all stimulus-response processes requiring exchange and processing of neural information. Specifically, these can include variations in the frequency or rate of neuron firing (i.e., rate coding), recruitment of additional, higher-threshold neurons (i.e., population coding), as well as variations in the timing of activity amongst neurons (i.e., temporal coding). To date, limited access to direct neural recordings in humans, as well as technological limitations, have precluded a definitive understanding of the neural coding principles used by the ANS, as opposed to more well-known and defined patterns in other peripheral organ systems. For example, rate- and population coding patterns exist in the somatic nervous system during the generation of ever-increasing muscular contraction force (i.e., Henneman’s size principle) (Henneman et al. 1965). However, whether the SNS operates in a similar manner remains largely unknown.

With the introduction of the microneurographic technique (Hagbarth & Vallbo, 1968; Vallbo et al. 2004), direct neural recordings from the populations of post-ganglionic sympathetic axons innervating the skeletal muscle vasculature (i.e., muscle sympathetic nerve activity; MSNA) are possible in humans. Indeed, this tool has proven instrumental in understanding sympathetic neural control of the circulation in both health and disease (Wallin & Charkoudian, 2007; Charkoudian & Rabbitts, 2009; Shoemaker et al. 2016; Joyner et al. 2015). Traditionally, due to an inherently noisy signal, analysis of this multi-fibre MSNA signal has been largely constrained to the
integrated neurogram that exposes the timing and location of sympathetic ‘bursts’ that, in turn, reflect periods of efferent action potential (AP) synchronization (i.e., sympathetic APs firing more or less at the same time) (Delius et al. 1972). Nonetheless, inferences regarding central neural recruitment strategies have been drawn from the integrated MSNA neurogram. That is, early observations that larger integrated sympathetic bursts expressed shorter reflex latencies (i.e., faster neural conduction rates) led Wallin et al. (1994) to hypothesize that potential may exist for malleable synaptic delays and/or the ability to recruit sub-populations of neurons with varying recruitment thresholds and conduction velocities. Indeed, the strength of any given burst reflects both the number (Ninomiya et al. 1993) and size (Salmanpour et al. 2011a) of axons recruited within that burst. Therefore, variations in the strength (i.e., size) of sympathetic bursts represent the discharge patterns used by the SNS to elevate efferent neural drive. In this regard, these axonal recruitment patterns ultimately represent the strategies used by the central nervous system (CNS) to fine-tune efferent sympathetic nerve activity and control the cardiovascular system, and, by extension, maintain homeostasis.

With the use of these features, the concept of neural coding in the SNS was advanced by Macefield et al. (1994) with the introduction of single-axon sympathetic recordings. These data indicated that, when active, a given axon will fire generally once per integrated sympathetic burst at rest (Macefield et al. 1994; Macefield & Wallin, 1999), but perhaps more (i.e., two to three times) during periods of acute (Macefield & Wallin, 1999; Elam & Macefield, 2001; Murai et al. 2006) or chronic (Elam et al. 2002; Ashley et al. 2010) sympatoexcitation. However, information regarding the
populations of axons available for recruitment, and therefore, the neural recruitment strategies available to the SNS to alter efferent outflow, cannot be extracted from single-unit recordings, but instead require a multi-unit approach.

Recently, technological advancements have been made that allow for the extraction, quantification, and morphological classification of the populations of sympathetic APs comprising each integrated MSNA burst (Salmanpour et al. 2010). With the use of this approach, Steinback et al. (2010b) reported increased firing rates of axons already-active at baseline, as well as recruitment of previously silent (i.e., not active at baseline) sub-populations of larger-sized, faster conducting sympathetic axons during voluntary apnea-induced sympathoexcitation. In contrast, recruitment of latent neural sub-populations was not observed during baroreceptor unloading induced via −60 mmHg lower body negative pressure (LBNP) (Salmanpour et al. 2011a). In this scenario, increases in sympathetic outflow were achieved through increased firing rate of axons already-active at baseline. The reasons for the observed difference in recruitment patterns between studies are unknown, but could involve varying thresholds for recruitment of these latent axons (i.e., stress severity), interindividual variability, and/or reflex-specific neural recruitment strategies. Therefore, additional data are needed, in the same individuals, on the reflex-specific neural recruitment strategies available to the SNS to alter efferent post-ganglionic outflow in response to physiologic stress. This background formed the rationale for Study 1 of this dissertation. Briefly, sympathetic neural recruitment strategies were studied during both chemoreflex- (i.e., as inferred from voluntary apnea) and baroreflex- (i.e., −80 mmHg LBNP) mediated sympathoexcitation in the same individuals.
Furthermore, in preliminary studies, Salmanpour et al. (2011b) demonstrated an acute downward shift in the AP cluster size-latency relationship during reflex-mediated stress. That is, all APs at baseline displayed shorter reflex latency during a subsequent Valsalva maneuver, providing evidence perhaps for the possibility of acute synaptic delay modifications somewhere within the reflex pathway, as previously hypothesized (Wallin et al. 1994). However, in addition to reflex-specific recruitment strategies, a persistent question remains, particularly with the apnea data (Steinback et al. 2010b; Breskovic et al. 2011), as to whether or not these neural coding patterns are influenced by a central (i.e., perception of effort or stress) phenomena, or by the reflex stress itself (i.e., a peripheral-reflex mechanism). Specifically, voluntary apnea and the Valsalva maneuver, as opposed to lower body suction, exhibit a certain level of volitional stress that is likely related to perception of effort or stress and/or individual tolerance for the maneuver. As such, the exact central versus peripheral elements of these sympathetic neural coding principles requires investigation. This background formed the rationale for Study 2 of this dissertation, in which sympathetic neural recruitment patterns were investigated during fatiguing static handgrip (SHG) exercise and a subsequent period of post-exercise circulatory occlusion (PECO). Specifically, this model (i.e., exercise pressor reflex) was used as the physiologic response to fatiguing exercise (and PECO) represents a unique scenario in which the central and peripheral features of the reflex can be studied separately as they occur over time.

Furthermore, the majority of studies to date have investigated young, healthy populations, and therefore, the malleability of these recruitment strategies with increasing age and cardiovascular disease remains unknown. As such, this formed the
rationale for *Study 3* of this dissertation. Briefly, CAD patients completed maximal voluntary apneas, which served as a stimulus for sympathoexcitation, and neural recruitment patterns were compared to that of young healthy and older healthy individuals to account for the concurrent effect of age.

Finally, while voluntary apnea appears to provide the most powerful stimulus for sympathetic axonal recruitment (Steinback *et al.* 2010b), the exact mechanisms mediating this robust sympathetic response are uncertain and appear diverse. Specifically, the roles played by ventilation (or the lack thereof in the case of apnea) versus the chemoreflex activation itself in eliciting the recruitment response are unknown. This formed the rationale for *Study 4* of this dissertation, in which sympathetic neural discharge patterns were studied during prolonged voluntary apnea (i.e., absence of ventilation) and during asphyxic rebreathing (i.e., presence of ventilation) in trained breath-hold divers. In this sense, we studied the dissociated influences of chemoreceptor stimuli (i.e., hypoxia and hypercapnia) and ventilation on sympathetic AP recruitment patterns during varying levels of high chemoreflex stress.

As such, the overall objectives of the research contained herein are to 1) Establish the neural coding principles employed by the SNS in response to acute physiological (i.e., homeostatic) stress; and 2) To determine the various mechanisms of control underlying these sympathetic neural recruitment strategies. The *working hypothesis* to be tested is that efferent post-ganglionic MSNA exhibits neural coding patterns reflecting increased firing rates of lower-threshold axons, latent sub-populations of higher-threshold axons, as well as malleable synaptic delays and/or central processing times, and further, that these are modified by factors such as reflex-
specificity, stress severity, perception of effort or stress, age, and cardiovascular
disease.

**Study 1. Sympathetic neural recruitment strategies: responses to severe
chemoreflex and baroreflex stress**

*Objective:* To test the hypothesis that neural coding strategies exist within the SNS
and to determine reflex-specific neural recruitment strategies during severe
chemoreflex- and baroreflex-mediated stress.

**Study 2. Central vs. peripheral determinants of sympathetic neural recruitment:
insights from static handgrip exercise and postexercise circulatory occlusion**

*Objective:* To investigate the varying roles played by central versus peripheral
elements in the control of sympathetic neural recruitment during acute physiological
stress.

**Study 3. Effects of aging and coronary artery disease on sympathetic neural
recruitment strategies during end-inspiratory and end-expiratory apnea**

*Objective:* To test the hypothesis that cardiovascular disease impairs sympathetic
neural recruitment.

**Study 4. Ventilation inhibits sympathetic action potential recruitment even during
severe chemoreflex stress**

*Objective:* To determine the influence of ventilation on sympathetic neural
recruitment during varying levels of high chemoreflex stress.
1.2 Autonomic Nervous System

The ANS represents a highly complex, multi-faceted neural network that, in an involuntary manner (i.e., without conscious control), maintains and controls most visceral organs within the body’s internal environment. In this sense, the ANS coordinates numerous bodily functions and is critical to the maintenance of physiologic homeostasis, and by extension, many life-sustaining outcomes. Of great importance, and a focus of this dissertation, is the ANS control of the cardiovascular system, occurring largely through the reflexive regulation of blood pressure and distribution of blood flow (Shoemaker et al. 2016). In this sense, these variables are tonically, and reflexively, regulated to ensure adequate perfusion and delivery of oxygen throughout the body in an attempt to meet the metabolic demands of all organs and tissues. Specifically, the ANS is comprised of two main branches; that is, the parasympathetic nervous system (PNS) and the SNS. The PNS and SNS function antagonistically, synergistically, and/or independently to regulate cardiovascular function, among other effector systems, both at rest and in the face of physiological perturbation. Importantly, dysregulation of the ANS represents the basis for, or a consequence of, many chronic cardiovascular disease-related pathologies (Somers et al. 1995; Narkiewicz & Somers, 2003; Floras, 2009; Esler, 2010; Grassi et al. 2010; Malpas, 2010; Parati & Esler, 2012), highlighting its fundamental role in homeostatic cardiovascular control. Thus, an appreciation for, and thorough understanding of, the intricate nature of autonomic neural control in both health and disease represents a critical endeavor in the understanding of ‘normal’ homeostatic mechanisms, as well as the pathophysiological basis of many chronic disease states.
1.2.1 Parasympathetic Nervous System

The PNS involves a two-neuron efferent system with pre-ganglionic neurons situated in the CNS and post-ganglionic neurons located at peripheral ganglia (Shields, 1993). The PNS consists of long, myelinated or unmyelinated pre-ganglionic fibres that synapse onto unmyelinated post-ganglionic fibres near or within the target organ (Wehrwein et al. 2016). In general, cell bodies of PNS pre-ganglionic fibres originate within regions of the brainstem, as well as in the sacral spinal cord (Wehrwein et al. 2016). Within these regions, the oculomotor (CN III), facial (CN VII), glossopharyngeal (CN IX), and vagus (CN X) nerves form the pre-ganglionic parasympathetic nerves which, in turn, synapse with post-ganglionic neurons through the binding of acetylcholine (ACh) onto nicotinic cholinergic receptors (Shields, 1993; Wehrwein et al. 2016). With respect to cardiac function, PNS control is mediated via the vagus nerve through the binding of ACh onto muscarinic receptors located within the heart (Brodde & Michel, 1999). Although several subtypes of muscarinic receptors have been identified (Brodde & Michel, 1999), the predominant receptor found in the human heart is the M₂ receptor subtype (Peralta et al. 1987; Hulme et al. 1990). In brief, activation of M₂ receptors via ACh binding, coupling to an inhibitory G-protein, leads to inhibition of adenylate cyclase activity, which subsequently inhibits intracellular cyclic adenosine monophosphate (cAMP), resulting in decreased intracellular calcium (Ca²⁺) levels. Furthermore, binding of ACh to M₂ receptors causes increased outward, hyperpolarizing potassium (K⁺) currents, which decreases sinoatrial node firing rate and slows conduction within the atrioventricular node. Therefore, the net result of vagal (i.e., PNS) stimulation of the heart is reduced cardiac contractility
and conduction velocity, and importantly, decreased heart rate. While the importance of parasympathetic neural control of the circulation cannot be understated, its effects are limited to the heart, and therefore, cardiac function, whereas the SNS innervates the heart, blood vessels, and other visceral organs to provide widespread control of cardiac and vascular function. In this sense, the SNS exerts considerable control over cardiovascular homeostasis. Thus, neuro-circulatory control in the context of the SNS, and more specifically, sympathetic neural control of the vasculature, represents the focus of this dissertation.

1.2.2 Sympathetic Nervous System

Similar to the PNS, the peripheral elements of the SNS also operate via a two-neuron efferent system to effectively modulate homeostatic cardiovascular control; that is, short, myelinated pre-ganglionic neurons originating in the CNS synapse with post-ganglionic neurons located at peripheral ganglia, whose long, unmyelinated axons travel to visceral targets within the periphery to exert appropriate end-organ responses (Shields, 1993; Wehrwein et al. 2016). Specifically, the cell bodies of SNS pre-ganglionic neurons lie in the intermediolateral (IML) cell column within the first thoracic to the first two lumbar regions of the spinal cord (i.e., T1-L2) (Shields, 1993). Here, the SNS pre-ganglionic fibres exit via the ventral root to form the white rami, where they synapse with post-ganglionic neurons within prevertebral ganglia and/or paravertebral ganglia located at the sympathetic chains (Wehrwein et al. 2016). At these peripheral ganglia, pre-ganglionic neurons release ACh, which binds to nicotinic cholinergic receptors located on post-ganglionic neuron cell-bodies which, in turn, stimulates an AP in the post-ganglionic neuron. The AP descends along the axon until it
reaches the distal end of the neuron. Sympathetic post-ganglionic axons that innervate cardiovascular tissue (i.e., cardiac and vascular smooth muscle) branch extensively, coming into close contact with several regional effector cells. Here, sympathetic post-ganglionic fibres become beaded with enlargements known as varicosities, in which they release their neurotransmitters from. Specifically, once the AP arrives at the varicosity, the ensuing depolarization initiates the opening of voltage-gated Ca\(^{2+}\) channels, resulting in an influx of Ca\(^{2+}\) and the exocytosis of synaptic vesicles containing neurotransmitters. Sympathetic post-ganglionic neurons release several neurotransmitters, including norepinephrine (NE), neuropeptide Y (NPY), and adenosine triphosphate (ATP) (Burnstock, 1990; Lundberg et al. 1990) that, in turn, stimulate receptors located on the effector organs and tissues, as described below. Additionally, sympathetic pre-ganglionic fibres can also travel to the medullary portion of the adrenal gland, where they release ACh onto nicotinic cholinergic receptors located on chromaffin cells. In this scenario, the adrenal medulla releases NE and epinephrine, in an approximate 1:4 ratio (Jänig, 2008), into the circulation, where they can bind to receptors located on effector targets.

Of importance to neuro-cardiovascular control are receptors located at the heart, and perhaps more importantly, on smooth muscle cells of the vasculature within skeletal muscle and other visceral organs. Briefly, at the heart, NE and epinephrine bind to \(\beta_1\)- and \(\beta_2\)-adrenergic receptors, which, through a stimulatory G-protein, activates adenylate cyclase, thereby catalyzing the conversion of ATP to cAMP. This causes increases in cAMP-dependent protein-kinase A activity, thus activating L-type Ca\(^{2+}\) channels. The net result is increased intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) levels, and thus, increased heart
rate and cardiac contractility. Within blood vessels, neurally released NE and epinephrine bind to α₁-adrenergic receptors which, through a Gq-protein, stimulates phospholipase C, leading to the formation of inositol triphosphate and diacylglycerol, and, in turn, increased intracellular Ca^{2+} and protein kinase C activation, respectively. The rise in Ca^{2+} activates calmodulin-dependent myosin light chain kinase to increase myosin ATPase activity, causing myosin and actin binding, and therefore, vascular smooth muscle contraction. Therefore, the net result is overall vasoconstriction. Similarly, activation of α₂-adrenergic receptors via NE binding is coupled to an inhibitory G-protein which inhibits adenylate cyclase and decreases cAMP levels. Briefly, this causes less myosin light chain kinase inhibition and greater intracellular Ca^{2+} levels. Again, the net result is vasoconstriction. In addition to NE, as mentioned above, vascular sympathetic nerves also co-release NPY and ATP (Burnstock, 1990; Lundberg et al. 1990). Both neurotransmitters can cause net vasoconstriction through the activation of NPY Y₁ receptors, via NPY, and purinergic P2X₁ receptors, via ATP, which act to increase intracellular Ca^{2+} levels, and therefore, vascular smooth muscle contraction. The release of these co-transmitters and the subsequent contribution to the functional sympathetic vasoconstrictor response may ultimately depend on the patterning and rate of sympathetic discharge that, in turn, likely exerts important influences on end-organ homeostatic stress responses (Huidobro-Toro & Donoso, 2004). In this sense, technological advancements allowing for direct recordings of sympathetic post-ganglionic vasoconstrictor discharge (i.e., microneurography; described in detail later) has initiated an exciting era of research with respect to sympathetic neurovascular control.
1.3 Sympathetic Neural Control of the Circulation

Overall, the concept of sympathetic neurovascular control represents a highly integrative and multi-faceted process of regulation that involves central integration of sensory signals within the brainstem and spinal cord (Barman & Gebber, 2000), synapses at peripheral ganglia (Jänig & McLachlan, 1992), recruitable efferent post-ganglionic sympathetic nerve traffic, neurotransmitter release, and finally, the end-organ response (Shoemaker et al. 2016). Ultimately, each of these elements offer unique and complex levels of regulation, that combined, represent a key mechanism of control over cardiovascular homeostasis. Therefore, to fully appreciate the complexity and integrative nature of sympathetic neuro-circulatory control in health, and perhaps more importantly, in disease, a thorough and systematic understanding of each individual element of control, or ‘part’, is critical. In line with this, recruitment patterns in efferent sympathetic nerve activity form the scope of this dissertation. For detailed information on other regulatory segments within the sympathetic neural control complex, such as the central and ganglionic features (McAllen & Malpas, 1997; Jänig & Häbler, 2003; McLachlan, 2003), or the efferent nerve activity–vascular contraction integration (Shoemaker et al. 2016), the reader is referred to existing comprehensive reviews. Nonetheless, the concept of sympathetic neurovascular control has been well-studied in three fundamental reflexes; that is, the baroreflex, chemoreflex, and exercise pressor reflex. These efforts are described briefly in the sections below.

1.3.1 Baroreflex

The arterial baroreflex provides short-term regulation of arterial blood pressure around a set-point (determined by the state of the individual), via a negative feedback
control system that responds to beat-to-beat changes in blood pressure by reflexively altering ANS (i.e., PNS and SNS) activity. The arterial baroreflex consists of baroreceptors located in the carotid sinus, at the bifurcation of the internal and external carotid artery, as well as the aortic arch (Dampney, 1994). The carotid and aortic baroreceptors are composed of mechanosensitive afferent neurons located within the medial-adventitial wall of the vessel that respond to stretch or distortion of the arterial wall due to changes in blood pressure, or more specifically, transmural pressure (Dampney, 1994). Afferent baroreceptor discharge is relayed from the carotid sinus (via the glossopharyngeal nerve) and the aortic arch (via the vagus nerve) to the nucleus tractus solitarius (NTS) in the medulla oblongata region of the brainstem (Dampney, 1994; Aicher et al. 2000). Here, NTS neurons project to and excite (via the release of glutamate) the caudal ventrolateral medulla (CVLM). CVLM neurons are interneurons in the baroreflex pathway and project to the rostral ventrolateral medulla (RVLM), and through the release of γ-aminobutyric-acid (GABA), inhibit the RVLM. The RVLM represents the primary regulator of the SNS, sending excitatory messages to sympathetic pre-ganglionic neurons located in the IML of the spinal cord, as mentioned above. As such, when baroreceptors are activated (i.e., by increased blood pressure), the NTS activates the CVLM, thus inhibiting the RVLM, which, in turn, inhibits sympathetic nerve activity to decrease blood pressure (i.e., vasodilation) back to its set-point. In this scenario, PNS activity is simultaneously increased to cause reductions in heart rate and cardiac contractility. On the other hand, when blood pressure levels decrease, less afferent baroreceptor discharge causes less excitation of the NTS, less activation of the CVLM, and therefore, disinhibition (i.e., activation) of the RVLM.
This, in turn, causes increases in efferent sympathetic nerve activity to the periphery, and therefore, vasoconstriction (i.e., to increase blood pressure).

Orthostatic (i.e., postural) stress represents a common method of studying baroreflex-mediated neuro-circulatory control due to reductions in venous return, cardiac output, and thus, blood pressure. This results in baroreceptor ‘unloading’, reductions in afferent discharge to central sympathetic sites, and therefore, as described above, increases in sympathetic nerve activity and peripheral vascular resistance to maintain arterial blood pressure (Sundlöf & Wallin, 1978a; Victor & Leimbach, 1987). In a laboratory setting, orthostatic stress is often simulated using LBNP, although other methods are often utilized. In brief, during LBNP, participants lie supine with their lower body sealed within a chamber at the level of the iliac crest. Next, negative suction is created, such that blood is shunted away from the thoracic cavity and into the capacitance vessels of the lower body, thereby unloading the baroreceptors. Indeed, LBNP elicits robust and graded (i.e., with severity) increases in efferent sympathetic nerve outflow, vasoconstriction, and, by extension, total vascular resistance (Rea & Wallin, 1989; Taylor et al. 1992; Ryan et al. 2011). Furthermore, the patterning of sympathetic discharge may differ with LBNP stress severity, such that both rate and strength of firing increase at lower levels of suction (i.e., up to approximately −30 mmHg), whereas, at higher levels of LBNP, the strength of sympathetic discharge appears emphasized (Ichinose et al. 2004; Ichinose et al. 2006).

1.3.2 Chemoreflex

The chemoreflex represents a highly complex and coordinated homeostatic response to systemic hypoxia and/or hypercapnia that ultimately serves to regulate
cardiorespiratory function to maintain and defend perfusion to the body’s critical organs and tissues. Briefly, the chemoreflex includes peripheral chemoreceptors, located primarily in the carotid bodies, but also, the aortic bodies, as well as the central chemoreceptors, located within the brainstem. In general, peripheral chemoreceptors respond primarily to hypoxia, but also to hypercapnia/H+ concentration (Marshall, 1994), while central chemoreceptors respond to increased brain interstitial hypercapnia/H+ concentration (Nattie & Li, 2012). The net result of peripheral and/or central chemoreceptor activation is reflex-induced increases in respiration, as well as autonomic circulatory arousal. Indeed, the homeostatic response to chemoreflex activation involves large increases in post-ganglionic sympathetic nerve activity (Saito et al. 1988; Morgan et al. 1995; Leuenberger et al. 2005), mediated through an excitatory NTS to RVLM to IML neural reflex arc (Koshiya et al. 1993), to influence systemic vascular resistance and distribution of blood flow, and ultimately, maintain brain perfusion.

Importantly, when the effects of hypoxia and hypercapnia are combined, such as occurs during asphyxic rebreathing or breathing a hypoxic-hypercapnic gas mixture, a synergistic effect occurs, such that the sympathoexcitatory response is potentiated (Somers et al. 1989a; Jouett et al. 2015). Furthermore, voluntary apnea (i.e., absence of active ventilation) appears to elicit an even more robust sympathetic response (Somers et al. 1989b; Steinback et al. 2010a), reflecting increases in both frequency and strength of sympathetic discharge.
1.3.3 Exercise Pressor Reflex

The exercise pressor reflex is of fundamental importance in mediating the necessary neural cardiovascular adjustments to fatiguing exercise, which include increases in blood pressure and elevated sympathetic drive. In brief, mechanically- and metabolically-sensitive sensory afferent fibres within active skeletal muscle provide feedback to cardiovascular control centers within the brain stem in response to mechanical and chemical stimuli, respectively (Alam & Smirk, 1937; McCloskey & Mitchell, 1972; Kaufman et al. 1983; Mitchell et al. 1983). Specifically, muscle mechanoreflex sensory afferents are comprised of Type III sensory neurons and respond primarily to mechanical stretch and deformation induced by muscular contraction (Mitchell et al. 1983). On the other hand, muscle metaboreflex sensory afferents are comprised of Type IV sensory neurons and are stimulated via the build-up of metabolites (i.e., chemical stimuli) produced by the working skeletal muscle (Mitchell et al. 1983). However, this organization is not absolute, as some Type III fibres respond to metabolic stimuli, whereas some Type IV fibres respond the mechanical stimuli. Nonetheless, the exercise pressor reflex elicits large increases in sympathetic nerve activity (Mark et al. 1985; Seals et al. 1988; Victor et al. 1988; Wallin et al. 1989; Shoemaker et al. 2007), albeit after a delay (Mark et al. 1985), the timing of which depends on exercise intensity (Hashimoto et al. 1998). Furthermore, sympathetic outflow remains elevated during PECO, which serves to trap exercise-induced metabolites within the previously-active skeletal muscle (thereby, isolating the metaboreflex) (Alam & Smirk, 1937), suggesting a dominant role for the muscle metaboreflex in eliciting the robust sympathetic response.
1.4 Muscle Sympathetic Nerve Activity

In the late 1960s (Hagbarth & Vallbo, 1968), the study of sympathetic neurocirculatory control was greatly advanced in humans with the development of the microneurographic technique by Hagbarth and Vallbo in Uppsala, Sweden (Vallbo et al. 2004). Specifically, microneurography allows for direct real-time recordings from the populations of post-ganglionic sympathetic axons innervating the skeletal muscle vasculature (i.e., MSNA) in human peripheral nerves. The recordings are referred to as “multi-unit” in that several axons are recorded from simultaneously. Sympathetic outflow directed to skeletal muscle, as opposed to skin (Hagbarth et al. 1972), is related to blood pressure and accurately reflects both age-related and pathological states (Sundlöf & Wallin, 1978b; Wallin & Charkoudian, 2007); thus, MSNA represents the neural signal of interest in this dissertation. Advantages of the microneurographic technique versus other measures of sympathetic nerve activity (i.e., plasma catecholamine levels, NE spillover, etc.) include its direct and continuous nature and its excellent temporal resolution. Disadvantages include the technical demands of the skill and its pseudo-invasive nature (thereby, limiting its practicality), its focus on one regional vascular bed, as well as uncertainty over how best, and how accurately, to interpret and analyze the recorded signal (White et al. 2015; Joyner, 2016). In general, however, levels of MSNA reflect levels of sympathetic outflow to the heart and other visceral beds (Wallin et al. 1992; Wallin et al. 1996; Lambert et al. 1997; Grassi & Esler, 1999), reinforcing the relevance of this important neural signal. Indeed, over the past 50 years or so, microneurography has proven an invaluable research tool in the study of sympathetic neurovascular control at rest, and in response to physiological
stress, in both health and disease (Wallin & Charkoudian, 2007; Charkoudian & Rabbitts, 2009; Shoemaker et al. 2016; Joyner et al. 2015).

In theory, any accessible peripheral nerve can be used for microneurographic recordings; however, the most widely used is likely the peroneal nerve at or just proximal to the fibular head, as it is readily accessible and close to the skin, relatively large, and fairly easy to stabilize (i.e., the lower limb) for long durations of time (White et al. 2015). Certainly, the peroneal nerve, along with other human mixed nerves, contains unmyelinated efferent sympathetic axons (i.e., C-fibers), albeit in a mix of other sensory and motor fibres. Though limited data exist in humans on the anatomical arrangement and distribution of these sympathetic fibres within peripheral nerves, Tompkins et al. (2013) demonstrated, using immunohistochemical techniques, that sympathetic fibres were dispersed throughout approximately 25 to 38 fascicles within the human peroneal nerve. Throughout these fascicles, sympathetic fibres existed either individually or in bundled groups of approximately two- to 44 axons, although the distribution favoured smaller bundles (i.e., median value of five axons/bundle). Finally, the axons also displayed variations in size, with diameters ranging from approximately 0.5 to 2 µm. Therefore, within the human peroneal nerve, post-ganglionic sympathetic fibres exist in bundles of axons that vary both in number and size.

As such, microneurography involves the manual manipulation and positioning of a recording electrode into an active bundle of efferent sympathetic axons within the peroneal nerve. Specifically, the technique entails the use of two electrodes. First, a ‘reference’ electrode is positioned subcutaneously approximately 1-3 cm from the recording site. Next, a tungsten ‘recording’ electrode (35 mm long, 200 µm in diameter,
and tapered to a 1-5 µm uninsulated tip) is inserted percutaneously into the nerve and is manually, and carefully, manipulated until an adequate MSNA recording site is located. This is confirmed by the presence of a characteristic pulse-synchronous burst pattern, absence of skin paresthesia, and a signal that increases in response to voluntary apnea, but not during arousal to loud noise (Delius et al. 1972). The recorded raw neural signal is first preamplified with a gain of 1000 (using preamplifier and isolation amplifier; gain of 100 and 10, respectively) and further amplified with a gain of 75 (using a variable gain amplifier; gain of 0.1-99). The neural activity is then band-pass filtered (bandwidth of 700-2000 Hz) before being rectified and integrated (using a leaky integrator; 0.1 s time constant) to obtain a mean voltage neurogram. These steps ultimately reduce background noise to expose both the timing and location of sympathetic ‘bursts’ that, in turn, reflect periods of efferent AP synchronization (Delius et al. 1972). In this sense, variations in the size and number of APs within and between sympathetic bursts is lost in the integration process. Nonetheless, MSNA is characterized by spontaneously, and irregularly, occurring bursts of pulse-synchronous impulses (i.e., APs) that are separated by periods of neural silence (Hagbarth & Vallbo, 1968). These integrated sympathetic bursts are entrained to the cardiac cycle via a baroreflex mechanism, in that they are initiated during diastole and turned off during systole (Sundlöf & Wallin, 1978b). Indeed, Fagius et al. (1985) demonstrated that baroreceptor deafferentation via temporary bilateral block of the vagus and glossopharyngeal nerves led to marked increases in muscle sympathetic outflow and a loss of MSNA cardiac rhythmicity. Confirmation that these recorded bursts indeed represent efferent post-ganglionic sympathetic outflow is evidenced by their conduction...
velocities (~1 m/s) (Hagbarth & Vallbo, 1968; Fagius & Wallin, 1980), as well as their complete abolishment following ganglionic blockade (Delius et al. 1972).

Sympathetic bursts are identified from the integrated MSNA neurogram if: 1) they exhibit pulse-synchrony; 2) they have a signal-to-noise ratio of at least 2:1 with respect to the previous period of neural silence between bursts; 3) they present with characteristic rising and falling slopes; and 4) APs are visible in the corresponding raw and filtered neurograms. The level of integrated sympathetic nerve activity is most commonly quantified using burst frequency and/or burst incidence. Burst frequency gives an indication of overall firing frequency and is expressed as the number of bursts per minute, whereas burst incidence involves the ‘normalization’ of burst frequency to heart rate, and therefore, is expressed as the number of bursts per 100 heartbeats. Furthermore, the level of total integrated activity (i.e., total MSNA) is often calculated as the product of burst frequency and burst amplitude (or area), although interpretation of the latter amplitude component is more complicated, as described below. Therefore, integrated burst frequency represents the most-widely reported MSNA index in the literature due to its relative ease of calculation and interpretation, and because it is highly reproducible over time (Sundlöf & Wallin, 1977; Kimmerly et al. 2004). In contrast, burst size demonstrates less test-retest reproducibility, presumably due to the fact that absolute burst size voltages are influenced by proximity of the recording electrode tip in relation to the active group of axons, a factor that is likely to differ between individuals and between recording sites. In this sense, interindividual comparisons of absolute burst amplitude are limited, and therefore, normalization procedures are often utilized. A commonly used approach is to normalize all bursts
within the recording period to the largest burst at baseline (or throughout the recording), which is assigned a value of 100 arbitrary units, although other methods are often employed (White et al. 2015). Another approach, developed by Sverrisdóttir and colleagues (Sverrisdóttir et al. 1998; Sverrisdóttir et al. 2000), involves the normalization of burst amplitude as above, followed by the creation of a relative burst amplitude distribution spectra or plot that describes the relative proportion of ‘small’ versus ‘large’ bursts within the integrated neurogram. Importantly, this method has demonstrated to be a more sensitive and specific indicator of altered MSNA (Sverrisdóttir et al. 1998), is reproducible (Kimmerly et al. 2004), and furthermore, has shown to independently discriminate clinical conditions (i.e., heart failure) with elevated, but similar, burst frequency (Sverrisdóttir et al. 2000). Therefore, burst amplitude offers unique insight into sympathetic neural control of the circulation above and beyond that of burst frequency or burst incidence. In this sense, burst size represents an important independent variable of interest, as outlined below.

Certainly, it has been hypothesized for some time that burst size is regulated in a differential manner as compared to burst frequency, thereby representing its own level of control (Malpas, 1995; Kienbaum et al. 2001). Highlighting this point, several studies have observed alterations in burst amplitude, without accompanying changes in burst frequency, in response to both baroreflex- (DiBona et al. 1997; Kimmerly & Shoemaker, 2003) and chemoreflex- (Malpas & Ninomiya, 1992; Malpas et al. 1996) induced stress. As such, changes in burst frequency alone may not adequately represent reflex-mediated sympathetic vasomotor control. Furthermore, changes in burst amplitude, reflecting altered AP discharge patterns, may be independently relevant for
neurovascular control (Malpas, 1998). Indeed, Fairfax et al. (2013) demonstrated that burst size alone, independent of burst pattern or frequency (i.e., single versus multiple bursts), influences directly the magnitude of decrease in leg vascular conductance (i.e., vasoconstriction). The importance of the burst amplitude index likely lies in the fact that the size of each integrated burst represents the true neural content of that burst; that is, burst size is reflective of both the number (Ninomiya et al. 1993; Salmanpour et al. 2011a) and size (Salmanpour et al. 2011a) of axons recruited within that burst. Therefore, the content and variability of APs within and between integrated bursts reflect the recruitment patterns and strategies used by the CNS to fine-tune sympathetic outflow, and ultimately, control systemic vascular resistance and blood pressure at rest and in the face of physiological challenge. While the efforts outlined above for normalizing burst amplitude have proven somewhat fruitful (Kimmerly & Shoemaker, 2003), considerable information is lost within the integrated neural signal. Specifically, the integration process eliminates all AP information (i.e., number and morphology), precluding the study of sympathetic neural discharge patterning. As such, investigation into the neural coding patterns utilized by the SNS has been limited over the years due to technical limitations in extracting AP information from raw MSNA recordings due to high levels of background noise.

Nonetheless, early hypotheses regarding central recruitment strategies have been inferred from the integrated MSNA neurogram. For instance, Wallin et al. (1994) observed an inverse relationship between integrated burst amplitude and baroreflex latency; that is, larger sympathetic bursts expressed shorter reflex latencies. Baroreflex latency, as determined from the time-delay between the peak of the integrated burst and
the preceding R-wave related to that burst (Fagius & Wallin, 1980), has been found to be approximately 1.2 to 1.5 seconds in human peroneal nerve recordings (Fagius & Wallin, 1980). In turn, this reflex latency reflects the sum of time of all conduction components, including baroreceptor sensory afferent processing, central integration, spinal conduction time, and pre- and post-ganglionic efferent nerve segments. In this sense, the finding that larger sympathetic bursts expressed shorter conduction latencies was hypothesized to be due to either malleability of synaptic delays between the brain stem and peripheral nerve (i.e., ‘shortcuts’ in the neural pathway), and/or the presence of sub-populations of sympathetic fibres with varying conduction velocities and thresholds for recruitment (Wallin et al. 1994).

The question of neural coding in human efferent sympathetic nerve activity was advanced by Macefield et al. (1994) with the introduction of single sympathetic fibre recordings. The single-unit approach uses the same methodology as outlined above for multi-unit recordings; however, the electrode has a much higher impedance and thus limits the overall area from which the neural signal is recorded. Data from this work indicates that, in general, when active at rest, a given axon will fire approximately once per sympathetic burst (Macefield et al. 1994; Macefield & Wallin, 1999), but perhaps more in certain pathological states (Elam et al. 2003; Lambert et al. 2008). Indeed, a shift towards greater likelihood of multiple firing of individual axons per burst has been demonstrated in hypertension (Lambert et al. 2007), obstructive sleep apnea syndrome (Elam et al. 2002), and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (Ashley et al. 2010), but not in congestive heart failure (Macefield et al. 1999). Furthermore, multiple within-burst firing has been observed during acute states of autonomic arousal, such as the
Valsalva maneuver (Murai et al. 2006), voluntary apnea (Macefield & Wallin, 1999), and following cardiac dysrhythmia (Elam & Macefield, 2001). Therefore, these data indicate that increased firing probability, and also, an increase in the within-burst firing of single axons, represent mechanisms by which sympathetic drive is elevated during physiological stress. However, given that only approximately 40% of bursts during apnea were associated with multiple spikes, Macefield and colleagues hypothesized that recruitment of additional neurons (along with increased firing probability) may be the primary mechanism by which sympathetic activation is achieved (Macefield & Wallin, 1999). However, recruitment of new axons cannot be determined from single-fibre recordings. As such, information regarding the several recruitment strategies available to the SNS to alter efferent outflow in response to homeostatic challenge cannot be extracted using single-unit analyses, but instead, require a multi-unit analysis approach.

Indeed, patterns of neural coding represent a fundamental feature of all neural exchange and processing. These patterns, among others, can include variations in the frequency, or rate, of individual spike firing (i.e., rate coding), varying thresholds for recruitment of additional neurons (i.e., population coding), and also, variations in the timing of neuronal activity (i.e., temporal coding). These coding patterns exist in the control of many bodily systems. For example, the recruitment strategies used by the skeletal motor system to respond to ever-increasing muscular contraction force follow Henneman’s size principle, whereby smaller motor units are recruited first, followed by recruitment of larger, faster conducting motor units as load intensity and force production increase (Henneman et al. 1965). Furthermore, once active, these units increase their firing rate (Westgaard & De Luca, 2001). Therefore, neural coding
patterns exist in the control of muscular activation. Whether these principles operate in the SNS, which regulates numerous homeostatic outcomes, is less clear in humans due to limited access to direct neural recordings, and furthermore, limitations in extracting multi-unit AP activity from the sympathetic neurogram.

More recently, the investigation of neural coding in efferent sympathetic nerve activity has been furthered by technological advancements enabling the extraction and morphological classification of multi-unit APs from raw MSNA recordings (Salmanpour et al. 2010). One such method, developed by Salmanpour et al. (2010), and used in many subsequent experiments (Steinback et al. 2010b; Breskovic et al. 2011; Salmanpour et al. 2011a,b; Maslov et al. 2012; Salmanpour & Shoemaker, 2012; Maslov et al. 2014a,b), uses wavelet-base methodology to detect and extract sympathetic APs from the noisy raw MSNA signal, thereby enabling the study of multi-unit post-ganglionic neural discharge (Figure 1.1).
Figure 1.1: Schematic representation of action potential (AP) detection and classification.

This technique has proven invaluable in the testing of early hypotheses proposed by Wallin et al. (1994) and Macefield and colleagues (Macefield et al. 1994; Macefield & Wallin, 1999), among others (Tsukahara & Mano, 1997; Xie et al. 1999). With the use of this approach, an ordered pattern of recruitment was observed within the SNS during baseline rest, whereby larger axons with faster conduction velocities (i.e., shorter reflex latencies) are hierarchically recruited as integrated sympathetic bursts become stronger (i.e., larger) (Steinback et al. 2010b; Salmanpour et al. 2011a). This pattern was also observed during baroreflex- and chemoreflex-mediated sympathoexcitation (Steinback et al. 2010b; Salmanpour et al. 2011a). Furthermore, in response to prolonged voluntary apnea, Steinback et al. (2010b), and later, Breskovic et al. (2011), observed increased firing rates of sympathetic fibres already-active at baseline, and also, recruitment of previously silent (i.e., not present at baseline) sub-populations of higher-threshold, faster conducting (therefore, larger) sympathetic axons. Importantly, these larger, latent axons accounted for approximately 74% of the apnea-induced increase in sympathetic nerve activity (Steinback et al. 2010b). Therefore, it appears that increased sympathetic drive in response to physiological stress may be achieved predominantly through the recruitment of additional, larger sympathetic neurons, as previously hypothesized (Macefield & Wallin, 1999). However, in contrast to the discharge patterns observed during apnea (Steinback et al. 2010b; Breskovic et al. 2011), recruitment of latent neural sub-populations was not observed during moderate levels of baroreceptor unloading (i.e., −60 mmHg LBNP) in approximately 70% of participants, whereby sympathetic activation was achieved primarily through increased firing rates of those axons already recruited as baseline (Salmanpour et al. 2011a).
Discrepancy in recruitment strategies between the two studies (or reflexes) may be due to stress severity (i.e., varying thresholds for recruitment) and/or differences between the reflexes themselves (i.e., ‘chemoreflex’ versus ‘baroreflex’). However, these two studies were conducted in different samples of individuals, thereby limiting conclusions as to the roles played by these factors. Therefore, reflex-specific neural recruitment strategies within the SNS, as well as the issue of stress severity and threshold for recruitment of these latent axons, require further investigation.

Furthermore, a consistent finding to date has been an inverse relationship between AP size and AP latency; that is, larger APs express shorter reflex latencies (i.e., faster conduction velocities) (Steinback et al. 2010b; Salmanpour et al. 2011a,b). This finding forms the basis of the assumption that these larger APs reflect recruitment of larger axons. While this relationship has been observed at rest and during sympathoexcitatory stimuli (Steinback et al. 2010b; Salmanpour et al. 2011a), Salmanpour et al. (2011b) demonstrated that all APs active at baseline were recruited with a shorter reflex latency during a subsequent Valsalva maneuver, evidence perhaps for acute malleability of synaptic delays within the neural reflex arc. In contrast, in a preliminary manner, Salmanpour et al. (2011a) observed an acute upward-shift of the AP size-latency profile during −60 mmHg LBNP, such that lower-threshold axons were recruited with a slower latency during moderate levels of simulated orthostatic stress. Nonetheless, these observations appear to support the concept, initially proposed by Wallin et al. (1994), of acute synaptic delay variations, although additional data are required. Furthermore, the exact mechanism(s) for the reduction in neural activation latency during the Valsalva maneuver (Salmanpour et al. 2011b), but the increase
during LBNP-induced baroreceptor unloading (Salmanpour et al. 2011a), are unknown, but may reflect a central, perceptual component that is likely more pronounced during the Valsalva maneuver. As such, in addition to the study of reflex-specific discharge patterns, the exact roles played by central, perceptual components versus peripheral-reflex mechanisms in mediating these sympathetic neural recruitment responses remain to be determined.

Additionally, the majority of studies to date on sympathetic AP discharge properties have been conducted in young, healthy populations, and therefore, whether these neural coding strategies persist with increasing age and cardiovascular disease remains largely uncertain. Preliminary work in healthy aged-individuals and chronic heart failure patients suggests a comparable pattern, but perhaps slightly diminished in heart failure, of sympathetic neural recruitment in response to voluntary apnea (Maslov et al. 2014b) and premature ventricular contractions (Maslov et al. 2012). However, direct comparisons to a young, healthy group were not made. Furthermore, AP latency variations, reflecting synaptic delay modifications, were not studied in these investigations. Therefore, the malleability of these sympathetic neural recruitment strategies with increasing age and cardiovascular disease requires further study.

Finally, voluntary apnea appears to provide the most powerful stimulus for sympathetic axonal recruitment (Steinback et al. 2010b). Yet, the exact mechanisms mediating the robust sympathetic response are uncertain and appear diverse. Indeed, one fundamental issue involves the roles played by ventilation (or the lack thereof) versus chemoreceptor activation in eliciting the recruitment response. For example, the chemoreflex stimulus to typical 20- to 30-second apneas in untrained breath-hold
performers is rather ordinary (Leuenberger et al. 2005; Heusser et al. 2009; Seitz et al. 2013), raising the concern that additional factors are likely at play. Furthermore, voluntary apnea has shown to potentiate the sympathetic response to isocapnic hypoxia (Somers et al. 1989a,b), indicating the sympathoinhibitory nature of breathing. Therefore, the influence of chemoreflex stress per se, as opposed to the absence of ventilation itself, on sympathetic axonal discharge during apneic stress remains to be determined.

Nonetheless, the existing multi-unit data, albeit limited, appear to support earlier hypotheses (Wallin et al. 1994; Macefield & Wallin, 1999) that, in response to acute homeostatic stress, the SNS has options to increase the firing rate of already-active, lower-threshold axons, recruit latent, higher-threshold neural sub-populations, as well as modify acutely synaptic delays and/or central processing times. In turn, it appears that these strategies may differ across reflexes and/or with stress severity, which may perhaps reflect a perceptual component. Therefore, as outlined above, many questions/issues remain regarding the mechanisms of control underlying the neural recruitment strategies used by the SNS, which include, among others: (1) the reflex-specific recruitment strategies in efferent sympathetic nerves, (2) the threshold for recruitment of these neural sub-populations (i.e., stress severity), (3) the central, perceptual versus peripheral-reflex elements of sympathetic neural coding, (4) the effects of age and disease on neural discharge properties, and (5) the role of chemoreflex stress versus ventilation (or the lack thereof) in the apneic neural response. These important, but yet unanswered issues, form the basis of this dissertation.
Therefore, the overall objectives of this dissertation are to 1) Establish the neural recruitment strategies available to the SNS to respond to acute homeostatic challenge; and 2) To determine the various mechanisms of control underlying these neural coding patterns. The research contained herein tested the working hypothesis that efferent post-ganglionic MSNA exhibits neural coding strategies reflecting increased firing rates of lower-threshold axons, recruitment of latent, higher-threshold axons, and acute synaptic delays modifications, and further, that these are modified by factors such as reflex-specificity, stress severity, perception of effort or stress, age, and cardiovascular disease.
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Chapter 2

2 Sympathetic neural recruitment strategies: responses to severe chemoreflex and baroreflex stress

(Published in Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol 309: R160-R168, 2015)

2.1 Introduction

Patterns of neural coding form the basis of all neural processes requiring information exchange and processing. Neural coding patterns include variations in firing rate of single neurons (rate coding), the timing of activity amongst synchronized or pseudo-synchronized neurons (temporal coding), and varying thresholds for recruitment of sub-populations (population coding). Although established largely in intracerebral neural processing, these coding patterns exist in the control of peripheral organs as well, such as during the generation of ever-increasing muscular force (Henneman et al. 1965). Conversely, the neural strategies employed by the autonomic nervous system, which regulates homeostatic and life-sustaining outcomes, remain unclear, particularly in humans where access to direct neural recordings is limited. The multiple sites of control through which efferent sympathetic outflow can be modified include the brainstem autonomic nuclei (Barman & Gebber, 2000), spinal cord, and paraspinal ganglia (Jänig & McLachlan, 1992; McAllen & Trevaks, 2003). These junctures introduce opportunities for modifiable synaptic delays and latent neuronal sub-populations.

Using microneurographic techniques (Hagbarth & Vallbo, 1968), direct recordings are made from the populations of post-ganglionic sympathetic neurons innervating the skeletal muscle vasculature (muscle sympathetic nerve activity; MSNA)
in humans. The measured bursts of activity reflect periods of efferent action potential synchronization (Delius et al. 1972b). The size of any given burst is determined by the proximity of the recording electrode to the individual neurons within the respective bundle of sympathetic axons (Tompkins et al. 2013), as well as the number (Ninomiya et al. 1993) and size (Salmanpour et al. 2011a) of action potentials. With the use of these features, the question of neural coding in efferent sympathetic nerve activity was advanced by the introduction of single fibre recordings (Macefield et al. 1994), which indicated that a given axon will fire approximately once per burst and perhaps two to three times during states of elevated sympathetic drive (Macefield & Wallin, 1999; Elam et al. 2002). To further study the existence of latent neuronal sub-populations and/or modifiable synaptic delays, our laboratory developed a technique that quantifies and classifies the populations of axons comprising each sympathetic burst (Salmanpour et al. 2010). With the use of this approach, a fundamental pattern was exposed within the sympathetic nervous system, whereby larger neurons with faster conduction velocities are hierarchically recruited as sympathetic bursts become stronger (i.e., larger), an observation made under baseline conditions (Salmanpour et al. 2011a) and during chemoreceptor activation (Steinback et al. 2010). Importantly, Steinback et al. (2010) documented recruitment of latent sub-populations of larger neurons during maximal end-inspiratory apnea, which were absent under baseline conditions. However, in a preliminary study, recruitment of latent axons was not observed during moderate baroreceptor unloading using −60 mmHg lower body negative pressure [LBNP; (Salmanpour et al. 2011a)]. Discrepancy in neuronal recruitment patterns between
studies may be due to reflex-specific strategies, varying thresholds for sub-population recruitment, and/or interindividual variations.

To further understand rate and population coding within the efferent sympathetic nervous system, this study tested the hypothesis of reflex-specific sympathetic neural recruitment strategies during periods of high sympathetic stress in the same individuals, and whether these recruitment patterns demonstrated test-retest repeatability.

2.2 Methods

2.2.1 Participants

Eleven normotensive individuals (6 males, 5 females) participated in the current investigation. Participants were aged 25 ± 3 years (mean ± SD) and were 173 ± 8 cm in height and 70 ± 9 kg in weight (body mass index = 24 ± 2 kg/m²). Participants were regular exercisers, non-smokers, and free of any overt cardiovascular or respiratory disease (as assessed by a standardized health questionnaire). All protocols in the current investigation were submitted to, and approved by, the Health Sciences Research Ethics Board at Western University in Canada. All participants provided written informed consent and were familiarized to all experimental procedures before study participation.

2.2.2 Experimental Protocol

All testing was conducted following at least a 3-hour fast and a 12-hour abstinence from alcohol, caffeine, and other stimulants. Female participants were tested during the early follicular menstrual cycle phase. Participants voided their bladder immediately prior to testing commencement to minimize the effect of bladder distention
on sympathetic nerve activity (Fagius & Karhuvaara, 1989). Experimentation entailed two protocols as follows.

In the first protocol, the impact of progressive chemoreflex stress was studied in which data were obtained in the supine position during a 5-minute period of baseline (spontaneous breathing) and during a maximal end-inspiratory apnea, which was preceded by a rebreathing period designed to maximize the severity of chemoreflex stimulation through progressive hypoxia and hypercapnia [described previously in detail; (Usselman et al. 2015)]. Briefly, participants were fitted with a mouthpiece (series 9060, Hans Rudolph, Kansas City, MO) attached to a three-way valve allowing them to breathe either room air, or through a Y-connector (VacuMed, Ventura, CA) leading to two 3-liter breathing bags. Before the start of the protocol, participants expired into the breathing bags to fill them with air for the ensuing rebreathing period. After five minutes of baseline collection, the three-way valve was turned to initiate rebreathing. Once end-tidal partial pressure of oxygen (P\textsubscript{ET}O\textsubscript{2}) reached 70 Torr, participants performed an end-inspiratory apnea of maximal voluntary duration. Upon cessation, participants breathed twice into and out of the bags to allow for the measurement of end-apnea P\textsubscript{ET}O\textsubscript{2} and end-tidal partial pressure of carbon dioxide (P\textsubscript{ET}CO\textsubscript{2}). Blood gases were analyzed using an infrared carbon dioxide sensor and optical oxygen detector fed from a damped micro-vacuum sampling pump (ML206 Gas Analyzer, ADInstruments, Colorado Springs, CO). Values were calibrated using ambient air pressure values and converted to online measurements of P\textsubscript{ET}O\textsubscript{2} and P\textsubscript{ET}CO\textsubscript{2}. 
In the second protocol, the impact of severe baroreceptor unloading was studied. Data were collected in the supine position during a 5-minute period of baseline (spontaneous breathing) and during three minutes of −80 mmHg LBNP. One individual exhibited presyncopal symptoms (i.e., systolic blood pressure <90 mmHg) during the −80 mmHg LBNP protocol; however, data used for this participant included the first 170 seconds of LBNP, prior to the onset of presyncopal symptoms.

Heart rate was measured using a standard three-lead electrocardiogram. Continuous beat-to-beat mean arterial blood pressure was obtained through finger photoplethysmography (Finometer; Finapres Medical Systems, Amsterdam, The Netherlands). Finometer blood pressures were validated and calibrated to manual sphygmomanometer blood pressure measurements taken throughout the protocol. Stroke volume and cardiac output were obtained using the Finometer Modelflow algorithm. Participant sex, age, height, and weight were input manually into the Finometer to optimize estimation of stroke volume and cardiac output. Total peripheral resistance was calculated as mean arterial pressure divided by cardiac output. Data were collected using LabChart6 and PowerLab data acquisition system (ADI Instruments).

2.2.3 Sympathetic Neural Recordings

Efferent post-ganglionic sympathetic outflow was measured in the fibular (peroneal) nerve of the right leg by microneurography (Hagbarth & Vallbo, 1968). Specifically, a tungsten microelectrode (35 mm long, 200 μm in diameter, and tapered to a 1-5 μm uninsulated tip) was inserted percutaneously into the nerve just posterior to the fibular head. A reference electrode was positioned subcutaneously 1-3 cm from the recording site. A suitable recording site was searched for by manually manipulating the
microelectrode until a characteristic pulse-synchronous burst pattern was observed. Confirmation of an MSNA site was determined by the absence of skin paresthesia and a signal that increased firing frequency in response to voluntary apnea, but not during arousal to loud noise (Delius et al. 1972a). The MSNA neurogram was measured with a nerve traffic analysis system (662C-3; Bioengineering of University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA). The neural signal was first preamplified with a gain of 1,000 (using preamplifier and isolation amplifier; gain of 100 and 10, respectively) and further amplified with a gain of 75 (using a variable gain amplifier; gain of 0.1-99). The neural activity was then band-pass filtered (bandwidth of 700-2,000 Hz) before being rectified and integrated (using a leaky integrator; 0.1 s time constant) to obtain a mean voltage neurogram. The raw, filtered, and integrated MSNA signals were sampled at a frequency of 10,000 Hz and stored for further offline analysis (PowerLab Software, ADInstruments).

2.2.4 Integrated MSNA Analysis

Integrated bursts of MSNA were included in the analysis if they exhibited pulse-synchrony, had a signal-to-noise ratio of at least 2:1 with respect to the previous period of neuronal silence between bursts, and had characteristic rising and falling slopes. Occurrence of integrated sympathetic bursts was confirmed by visually inspecting the corresponding raw and filtered neurograms.

Integrated sympathetic nerve activity was expressed both as burst frequency (the number of bursts per minute) and burst incidence (the number of bursts per 100 heart beats). Burst amplitudes during baseline and sympathoexcitatory maneuvers were normalized to the largest recorded burst during each relevant baseline and maneuver.
period, which was given a value of 100. Total MSNA was quantified as the product of mean normalized burst amplitude and burst frequency.

2.2.5 Action Potential Detection and Analysis

Action potentials were detected and extracted from the filtered raw MSNA signal using the techniques developed in our laboratory and described previously in detail [See Figure 2.1; (Salmanpour et al. 2010)]. Briefly, this approach detects individual action potentials using a continuous wavelet transform (CWT). The CWT uses a ‘mother wavelet’ that was adapted to an actual average action potential waveform constructed from (and with the same morphology as) physiological recordings of efferent post-ganglionic sympathetic action potentials (Salmanpour et al. 2010). The CWT was applied to the filtered raw MSNA signal to generate a resemblance index (i.e., wavelet coefficient) between the signal of interest (i.e., an action potential) and the mother wavelet, such that the wavelet coefficient was the greatest in the presence of action potentials and negligible when applied to noise. Wavelet coefficients related to action potentials and noise were separated based on thresholding analysis (Johnstone & Silverman, 1997). The exact location of the negative peak for each action potential was then detected by isolating the largest suprathreshold wavelet coefficient. With the use of this location information, the action potential waveforms were obtained from the original filtered raw MSNA signal by setting the estimated location of action potentials in the center of a predefined window (3.2 ms). As such, the amplitude and morphology of each extracted action potential remained unaltered. Extracted action potentials were then ordered based on their peak-to-peak amplitude, and histogram analysis was performed to separate action potentials
into ‘clusters’ (i.e., bins or groups of action potentials with similar peak-to-peak amplitudes) defined by Scott’s rule (Scott, 1979). Scott’s rule proposes the optimal histogram bin width to minimize the integrated mean square error by defining bin width based on the sample size and an estimate of the SD of the data. As such, the number of total clusters varied by participant. To enable comparisons within maneuvers and individuals, bin characteristics across conditions (i.e., baseline vs. apnea; baseline vs. LBNP) were manipulated within each individual to ensure that minimum histogram bin width, maximum bin center, and total number of bins would be identical across conditions. This bin normalization ensured that corresponding clusters at baseline and subsequent sympathoexcitatory maneuvers contained action potentials with similar peak-to-peak amplitudes. As such, new clusters detected during sympathoexcitatory maneuvers represent recruitment of new, larger action potentials not present during baseline.

Action potential indices assessed include action potential frequency (the number of action potentials per minute), action potential incidence (the number of action potentials per 100 heart beats), and the mean action potential content per integrated burst. Additionally, the number of total clusters detected and the number of active clusters per integrated burst were assessed. The conduction latency of each individual action potential was established as the time delay between the R-wave of the ECG of the preceding cardiac cycle and the negative peak of the action potential waveform. As such, action potential cluster latency was determined as the mean latency of all action potentials contained within each cluster. As the number of total clusters varied by individual, normalization procedures were completed when assessing action potential
content and latency as a function of cluster number between individuals (i.e., Figures 2.4, 2.5, 2.8, and 2.9). Specifically, each participants’ number of total clusters was normalized to 10 total clusters (i.e., bins), each containing a 10% range of the largest detected cluster (i.e., 0-10%, 10-20%, etc.), which was given a value of 100%. For example, cluster 0-10% contained an average of all cluster numbers that were 0-10% of the largest cluster. This procedure was then repeated for the nine remaining clusters.

2.2.6 Test-Retest Repeatability of Action Potential Detection

To assess the test-retest repeatability of this technique to detect and extract action potentials from the filtered raw MSNA signal, male participants (n = 6) were studied a second time approximately one month following their initial testing day. All repeat testing was conducted at the same time of day as initial testing. Successful microneurographic recordings were obtained in both test dates in five participants, who are thus included in the test-retest repeatability analysis (chemoreflex protocol; n = 4). Test-retest repeatability of selected action potential variables (action potential frequency and active clusters per burst) was assessed using the Bland-Altman method of differences (Altman & Bland, 1983; Bland & Altman, 1986). To determine systematic differences or biases between the two test dates, we assessed measures of fixed bias and proportional bias. Fixed bias occurs when one test produces values that are consistently greater or lesser than those from a second test by a constant amount, whereas proportional bias occurs when one test produces values that are greater or lesser than those from a second test by an amount proportional to the level of the variable assessed (Ludbrook, 1997).
2.2.7 Statistical Analysis

The effect of condition (baseline vs. apnea; baseline vs. LBNP) was assessed using two-tailed, paired t-tests. Cohen’s $d$ values are provided as an estimate of effect size (Cohen, 1988). For test-retest repeatability analysis, fixed bias was tested using a one-sample $t$-test, where the mean difference between test dates was tested against a value of “0”. Proportional bias was determined to exist if the Pearson product-moment correlation coefficient was significantly different than $r = 0$. Statistical significance was set at $P < 0.05$ and all data are presented as means ± SD. All statistical analyses were performed using SigmaPlot 12.0 (Systat Software Inc., San Jose, CA).

2.3 Results

**Baseline versus End-Inspiratory Apnea.** Data were obtained and analyzed for 297 ± 6 seconds at baseline and during 23 ± 10 seconds of a maximal end-inspiratory apnea. A representative sample of data collected for one subject at baseline and during chemoreflex conditions is shown in Figure 2.2. The rebreathe protocol and subsequent apnea elicited severe chemoreflex stress, as evident by the significant end-apnea decrease in $P_{ETO_2}$ and increase in $P_{ETCO_2}$ compared with baseline (all $P < 0.0001$; Table 2.1). Results from the integrated MSNA analyses at baseline and during apnea are presented in Table 2.2. Specifically, compared with baseline, burst frequency, burst incidence, mean normalized burst amplitude, and total MSNA were significantly increased during apnea (all $P < 0.0001$). The elevated sympathetic nerve activity during apnea was concomitant with significant increases in mean arterial blood pressure and total peripheral resistance (both $P < 0.05$; Table 2.1).
When compared with baseline, both action potential frequency and action potential incidence were elevated during apnea, yielding an increase in the mean action potential content per burst during apnea (all $P < 0.01$; Table 2.2). When detected action potentials were binned according to peak-to-peak amplitude, the number of total clusters of action potentials detected (13 ± 4 to 20 ± 8 total clusters; $P = 0.0009$; $d = 1.14$; Figure 2.3a) increased during apnea. Moreover, the increased number of total clusters was associated with a significant increase in the number of active clusters per sympathetic burst (5 ± 2 to 8 ± 2 clusters/burst; $P < 0.0001$; $d = 1.44$; Figure 2.3b) during apnea. When histogram analysis was conducted to represent action potential content as a function of normalized cluster number (Figure 2.4), a shift in the median occurred during apnea compared with baseline ($P < 0.0001$), indicating preferential recruitment of larger-amplitude action potentials during apnea.

At baseline and during apnea, a pattern emerged whereby action potential cluster latency decreased in each subject as cluster number increased (i.e., as peak-to-peak cluster amplitude increased). The mean responses (Figure 2.5) were fitted using an exponential function model at baseline ($R^2 = 0.91$; $P < 0.001$) and during apnea ($R^2 = 0.98$; $P < 0.0001$). Interestingly, the cluster latency profile was shifted downwards for every corresponding cluster number during apnea versus baseline (range −15 to −99 ms; mean = −53 ± 27 ms), indicating that all action potentials with similar peak-to-peak amplitudes were detected approximately 53 ms earlier during apnea versus baseline.

**Baseline versus −80 mmHg LBNP.** Data were obtained and analyzed for 274 ± 39 seconds at baseline and for 188 ± 15 seconds at −80 mmHg LBNP. A representative sample of data collected for one subject at baseline and LBNP is shown in Figure 2.6.
Hemodynamic parameters at baseline and during LBNP are displayed in Table 2.3.

Results from the integrated MSNA analyses during baseline and LBNP are presented in Table 2.4. Specifically, compared with baseline, burst frequency, burst incidence, mean normalized burst amplitude, and total MSNA were increased during LBNP (all $P < 0.001$). The increase in MSNA during LBNP was associated with a pronounced tachycardia and reduction in stroke volume, as well as a significant increase in total peripheral resistance (all $P < 0.05$; Table 2.3).

Action potential frequency, action potential incidence, and the mean action potential content per burst were increased during LBNP versus baseline (all $P < 0.01$; Table 2.4). When detected action potentials were binned according to their peak-to-peak amplitude, the number of total action potential clusters increased from $15 \pm 5$ at baseline to $26 \pm 8$ during LBNP ($P = 0.0012$, $d = 1.66$; Figure 2.7a), indicating recruitment of approximately 11 additional, larger amplitude clusters of action potentials during LBNP. This was associated with an increase in the number of active clusters per sympathetic burst during LBNP ($5 \pm 1$ to $8 \pm 3$ clusters/burst; $P = 0.0076$, $d = 1.44$; Figure 2.7b). Histogram analysis representing action potential content as a function of normalized cluster number (Figure 2.8) revealed a shift in the median value during LBNP compared with the baseline period ($P = 0.0011$), indicating preferential recruitment of larger amplitude action potentials during LBNP.

As with the apnea outcomes, action potential cluster latency decreased in each participant as an inverse function of cluster number (i.e., as peak-to-peak amplitude increased) at both baseline and LBNP. Mean responses (Figure 2.9) were fitted using an exponential model at baseline ($R^2 = 0.97$; $P < 0.0001$) and LBNP ($R^2 = 0.95$; $P <$
However, in contrast to apnea, the cluster latency-size profile during LBNP was shifted upwards relative to baseline for every corresponding cluster number (range +2 to +45 ms; mean = +31 ± 12 ms), indicating that action potentials with similar peak-to-peak amplitudes were detected approximately 31 ms later during LBNP.

**Test-Retest Repeatability of Action Potential Detection.** Results obtained from the Bland-Altman method of differences for action potential detection at baseline and during apnea and LBNP are summarized in Table 2.5. Specifically, action potential frequency and the number of active clusters per burst were without significant proportional or fixed bias during baseline, apnea, or LBNP in repeated test dates. Furthermore, all hemodynamic, integrated MSNA, and action potential indices assessed were without significant day-to-day variation (all *P* > 0.05).
Table 2.1: Hemodynamic parameters at baseline and during maximal end-inspiratory apnea

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Apnea</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MAP (mmHg)</td>
<td>86 ± 8</td>
<td>97 ± 16†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP (mmHg)</td>
<td>120 ± 13</td>
<td>134 ± 25*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBP (mmHg)</td>
<td>69 ± 8</td>
<td>78 ± 15†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR (beats min⁻¹)</td>
<td>55 ± 4</td>
<td>62 ± 6†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SV (mL)</td>
<td>86 ± 12</td>
<td>81 ± 11*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO (L min⁻¹)</td>
<td>4.7 ± 0.6</td>
<td>5.0 ± 0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPR (mmHg L⁻¹ min⁻¹)</td>
<td>19 ± 2</td>
<td>21 ± 3*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PₑT_O₂ (Torr)</td>
<td>103 ± 3</td>
<td>56 ± 3†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PₑT_CO₂ (Torr)</td>
<td>39 ± 3</td>
<td>56 ± 4†</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are mean ± SD. MAP, mean arterial pressure; SBP, systolic blood pressure; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; HR, heart rate; SV, stroke volume; CO, cardiac output; TPR, total peripheral resistance; PₑT_O₂, end-tidal partial pressure of oxygen; PₑT_CO₂, end-tidal partial pressure of carbon dioxide. *Significantly different from baseline, \( P \leq 0.05 \); †Significantly different from baseline, \( P \leq 0.01 \).
Table 2.2: Integrated MSNA and action potential indices at baseline and during maximal end-inspiratory apnea

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Apnea</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Integrated MSNA</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burst Frequency (bursts/min)</td>
<td>15 ± 8</td>
<td>48 ± 7†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burst Incidence (bursts/100 heart beats)</td>
<td>28 ± 16</td>
<td>77 ± 9†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normalized Burst Amplitude (AU)</td>
<td>24 ± 6</td>
<td>58 ± 9†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total MSNA (AU)</td>
<td>366 ± 220</td>
<td>2745 ± 478†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Action Potential Indices</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AP Frequency (spikes/min)</td>
<td>174 ± 151</td>
<td>1083 ± 487†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AP Incidence (spikes/100 heart beats)</td>
<td>325 ± 293</td>
<td>1766 ± 829†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APs/Burst (spikes/burst)</td>
<td>11 ± 4</td>
<td>24 ± 12†</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are mean ± SD. MSNA, muscle sympathetic nerve activity; AU, arbitrary units; AP, action potential. †Significantly different from baseline, \( P \leq 0.01 \).
Table 2.3: Hemodynamic parameters at baseline and during −80 mmHg lower body negative pressure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>LBNP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MAP (mmHg)</td>
<td>88 ± 8</td>
<td>88 ± 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP (mmHg)</td>
<td>121 ± 14</td>
<td>113 ± 14*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBP (mmHg)</td>
<td>71 ± 9</td>
<td>75 ± 8*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR (beats min⁻¹)</td>
<td>56 ± 6</td>
<td>79 ± 14*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SV (mL)</td>
<td>89 ± 19</td>
<td>59 ± 19†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO (L min⁻¹)</td>
<td>4.9 ± 1.0</td>
<td>4.4 ± 1.0†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPR (mmHg L⁻¹ min⁻¹)</td>
<td>20 ± 4</td>
<td>21 ± 4*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are mean ± SD. LBNP, lower body negative pressure; MAP, mean arterial pressure; SBP, systolic blood pressure; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; HR, heart rate; SV, stroke volume; CO, cardiac output; TPR, total peripheral resistance.

*Significantly different from baseline, \( P \leq 0.05 \); †Significantly different from baseline, \( P \leq 0.01 \).
Table 2.4: Integrated MSNA and action potential indices at baseline and during −80 mmHg lower body negative pressure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>LBNP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Integrated MSNA</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burst Frequency (bursts/min)</td>
<td>15 ± 7</td>
<td>41 ± 15†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burst Incidence (bursts/100 heart beats)</td>
<td>26 ± 13</td>
<td>52 ± 12†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normalized Burst Amplitude (AU)</td>
<td>31 ± 12</td>
<td>52 ± 4†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total MSNA (AU)</td>
<td>472 ± 302</td>
<td>2138 ± 769†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Action Potential Indices</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AP Frequency (spikes/min)</td>
<td>165 ± 83</td>
<td>839 ± 709†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AP Incidence (spikes/100 heart beats)</td>
<td>296 ± 140</td>
<td>1027 ± 703†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APs/Burst (spikes/burst)</td>
<td>11 ± 2</td>
<td>19 ± 9†</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are mean ± SD. MSNA, muscle sympathetic nerve activity; LBNP, lower body negative pressure; AU, arbitrary units; AP, action potential. †Significantly different from baseline, *P* ≤ 0.01.
Table 2.5: Proportional and fixed bias outcomes for action potential detection determined from the Bland-Altman method of differences during baseline, maximal end-inspiratory apnea, and −80 mmHg lower body negative pressure protocols

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>$r$</th>
<th>$P_{PB}$</th>
<th>Proportional Bias</th>
<th>Mean Difference ± SE</th>
<th>95% CI for Mean Difference</th>
<th>$P_{FB}$</th>
<th>Fixed Bias</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Baseline</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AP Frequency</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>7.0 ± 176.6</td>
<td>-555.0, 569.0</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clusters/Burst</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>-0.8 ± 1.8</td>
<td>-6.4, 4.8</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Apnea</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AP Frequency</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>-3.0 ± 309.2</td>
<td>-1333.6, 1327.6</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clusters/Burst</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>0.8 ± 2.6</td>
<td>-11.8, 10.3</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>LBNP</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AP Frequency</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>-102.2 ± 179.6</td>
<td>-673.7, 469.3</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clusters/Burst</td>
<td>-0.65</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>-1.6 ± 0.8</td>
<td>-4.0, 0.9</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N = 5 males; $r$, Pearson product-moment correlation coefficient for the Bland-Altman method of differences plots; $P_{PB}$, $P$ value for the Pearson product-moment correlation coefficient for the determination of proportional bias; SE, standard error; CI, confidence interval; $P_{FB}$, $P$ value for the one sample $t$-test on the mean differences versus 0 for the determination of fixed bias; AP, action potential.
Figure 2.1: Schematic representation of action potential (AP) detection and classification.

MSNA, muscle sympathetic nerve activity.
Figure 2.2: Representative sample of data from one subject collected at baseline, during rebreathe, maximal end-inspiratory apnea, and recovery.
Figure 2.3: Total detected clusters (A) and active clusters/burst (B) at baseline and during maximal end-inspiratory apnea.

*Significantly different from baseline, $P < 0.001$. 
Figure 2.4: Histogram representing action potential (AP) content as a function of normalized cluster number at baseline and during maximal end-inspiratory apnea.
Figure 2.5: Mean action potential cluster latency across participants as a function of normalized cluster number at baseline and during maximal end-inspiratory apnea.

Sample size for clusters in which not all 11 subjects are included are indicated in parentheses. Sample sizes <11 at baseline represent subjects in whom these clusters were not present at baseline but were recruited during apnea.
Figure 2.6: Representative sample of data from one subject collected at baseline and during −80 mmHg lower body negative pressure (LBNP) and recovery.
Figure 2.7: Total detected clusters (A) and active clusters/burst (B) at baseline and during −80 mmHg lower body negative pressure (LBNP).

*Significantly different from baseline, $P < 0.01$. 
Figure 2.8: Histogram representing action potential (AP) content as a function of normalized cluster number at baseline and −80 mmHg lower body negative pressure (LBNP).
Figure 2.9: Mean action potential cluster latency across participants as a function of normalized cluster number at baseline and −80 mmHg lower body negative pressure (LBNP).

Sample size for clusters in which not all 11 subjects are included are indicated in parentheses. Sample sizes <11 at baseline represent subjects in whom these clusters were not present at baseline but were recruited during LBNP.
2.4 Discussion

The results of the current investigation reveal two novel findings which advance our understanding of the neural coding patterns employed within the sympathetic nervous system. First, we demonstrate the ability to recruit sub-populations of previously silent (i.e., not present at baseline), larger amplitude, and faster conducting sympathetic axons during periods of severe chemoreflex- and baroreflex-mediated sympathoexcitation. We interpret this to be reflective of a reflex-independent sympathetic neural recruitment strategy involving a latent sub-population of recruitable neurons that are reserved for periods of severe stress. Second, our results demonstrate the potential for reflex-specific changes in neural activation latency during periods of severe sympathetic stress. Specifically, the action potential cluster latency profile was shifted downwards during severe chemoreflex stress and upwards during severe baroreceptor unloading. Of note, the deflections in the cluster latency response curve were observed equally across all clusters, suggesting that this recruitment strategy was applied to all action potentials and does not reflect slower axonal conduction. Rather, this finding suggests variable synaptic delays as a second strategy to modify post-ganglionic sympathetic nerve activity. The combined data, observed in the same individuals, supports the idea that both synaptic delay variations and high-threshold sub-populations exist as recruitment strategies for post-ganglionic efferent sympathetic outflow.

To date, available information on neural recruitment strategies within the sympathetic nervous system has been largely inferred from the integrated neurogram. For example, an early observation that larger integrated bursts exhibit shorter reflex
latency led Wallin and colleagues to hypothesize that reductions in central synaptic delays or variations in central pathways may occur and/or that there may exist populations of latent sympathetic neurons with faster conduction velocities (Wallin et al. 1994). More specific positioning of higher impedance electrodes enabled Macefield and colleagues to isolate action potential discharge patterns of single sympathetic axons within human peripheral nerves (Macefield et al. 1994). This approach revealed that, when active, individual neurons generally fire only once per integrated burst (Macefield et al. 1994), with the probability of multiple within-burst firing increasing during periods of high sympathetic drive (Macefield & Wallin, 1999; Elam et al. 2002). Results from these studies led to the idea that increased firing of already-active neurons was an important mechanism by which burst strength is elevated during periods of sympathetic stress (Macefield & Wallin, 1999).

Results from the current investigation, which studied action potential recruitment from the multi-unit signal in both chemoreflex and baroreflex scenarios in the same individuals, validate and extend these previous observations and provide further insight into sympathetic neuronal recruitment strategies. First, evidence was provided that sub-populations of previously dormant, larger amplitude, and faster conducting sympathetic neurons are recruited during periods of high stress. Importantly, the present data suggest that this appears to be a reflex-independent strategy used within the sympathetic nervous system. These observations support the earlier report that, in addition to higher firing rates of axons already recruited during baseline, severe chemoreflex stress elicits activation of latent, higher-threshold, and faster conducting (therefore, larger diameter) axons (Steinback et al. 2010). This
discharge pattern was not observed during preliminary results using modest levels of simulated orthostatic stress (i.e., −60 mmHg LBNP), whereby higher frequency firing of action potential clusters already recruited at baseline was the primary determinant of elevated efferent activity, with about 30% of participants demonstrating recruitment of new, larger action potentials (Salmanpour et al. 2011a). Whereas orthostatic tolerance or reserve varies across individuals, it remains likely that the threshold for recruitment of this sub-population will also vary across individuals and with the level of stress. Our current data indicate that more severe baroreceptor unloading (−80 mmHg LBNP) can elicit recruitment of these latent axons. As such, it appears that during moderate levels of stress, sympathetic activity is elevated through the multiple firing of already-active neurons as previously hypothesized (Macefield & Walin, 1999), whereas severe stress stimulates the recruitment of latent sub-populations of larger axons to achieve the high levels of sympathetic activity necessary. We therefore suggest that there may exist a type of sympathetic reserve, whereby latent sub-populations of larger diameter, faster conducting sympathetic neurons are reserved for severe sympathetic stress.

As previously established (Steinback et al. 2010; Salmanpour et al. 2011a), and in support of observations from integrated MSNA analyses (Wallin et al. 1994), action potential cluster latency was inversely related to action potential cluster amplitude, whereby larger amplitude neurons exhibited shorter reflex latencies. This pattern was observed both at baseline and during subsequent chemoreflex- and baroreflex-induced sympathoexcitation. Interestingly, during severe chemoreflex stimulation, there was an acute downward shift in the latency-size profile of all corresponding action potential clusters (~ 53 ms; see Figure 2.5), such that the latency of all action potentials with
similar peak-to-peak amplitudes was reduced during severe chemoreflex activation. This systemic downward shift in the cluster latency profile was also demonstrated during the Valsalva maneuver (Salmanpour et al. 2011b), and is consistent with observed changes in mean reflex burst latency seen during several sympathoexcitatory maneuvers (Fagius et al. 1987). The common pattern of this downward shift in latency during both end-inspiratory apnea and Valsalva maneuver suggests a common mechanism may be operating. Specifically, each maneuver elevates intrathoracic pressure, thereby reducing venous return. Each also expresses a particular perceptual stress related to individual volitional tolerance for the maneuver. While these two observations are from different studies, they suggest a cardiac stimulus may have contributed to the apneic outcomes of the current study. Alternatively, variations in action potential latency during chemoreflex stress may be due to direct glutaminergic activation of the rostral ventrolateral medulla from the nucleus tractus solitarius (Koshiya et al. 1993), and/or faster conduction velocities within spinal descending pathways specific to chemoreflex stimulation (Jänig & Szulczyk, 1979).

Conversely, we demonstrate that during −80 mmHg LBNP, the latency-size profile of all corresponding action potential clusters was shifted upward (~31 ms; see Figure 2.9), indicating that similarly sized post-ganglionic neurons were recruited with a slightly slower latency during severe baroreceptor unloading, a pattern consistent with that seen previously in a preliminary manner during −60 mmHg LBNP (Salmanpour et al. 2011a). These data indicate that the sympathetic nervous system displays a unique ability to modify acutely the reflex latency of all action potentials during severe orthostatic stress. In as much as the conduction velocity of given axon is relatively
fixed, these modifications in latency indicate malleability in the synaptic delays between the brainstem and the recording electrode. One limitation of the multi-unit recording approach is the inability to ensure that action potentials of the same size are the same neurons with varying latencies during severe sympathoexcitation. However, given the anatomical bundled distribution of axons in peripheral nerves (Tompkins et al. 2013), it is unlikely that the recordings reflect completely different populations of neurons. Regardless, all that can be concluded is that action potentials with similar peak-to-peak amplitudes exhibit altered reflex latencies during chemoreflex- and baroreflex-mediated sympathetic stress.

A potential limitation of the present study is that larger amplitude action potentials observed during periods of severe sympathetic stress could theoretically be the result of summation of two smaller-sized neurons firing concurrently, thereby eliciting an artificially large action potential (Spickler & Kezdi, 1969; Andresen & Yang, 1989). However, the likelihood for action potential summation is very low (~0.3%; (Salmanpour et al. 2010)). Further, such occurrences can be detected if the resulting action potential is large and does not fit the latency-size pattern (Clamann & Henneman, 1976) so that it is automatically removed from the analysis. Furthermore, the mean signal-to-noise ratio of 4.15 ± 0.5 in the current data sets are expected to produce a correct detection rate of >90% and false detection rate of <3% (Salmanpour et al. 2010). Another consideration is that larger amplitude action potentials could be the result of firing of neurons in close proximity to the recording electrode tip. However, the consistent pattern that these larger action potentials reflect shorter latencies argues against that possibility. Finally, results from our test-retest repeatability
analysis, although completed in a small sample of men (n = 5), suggest that indices of action potential detection are reproducible across test dates. If larger amplitude action potentials were the result of summation or proximity to the recording electrode, one would expect action potential indices to differ in repeated test dates, when the physical relationship between the microelectrode placement and sympathetic axons is likely to differ.

**Perspectives and Significance**

The current data indicate the existence of neural coding patterns within the autonomic nervous system and enhances our understanding of how the sympathetic nervous system is activated during reflex-mediated stress. Specifically, there appears a fixed reflex-independent recruitment strategy, whereby the large increases in sympathetic outflow during severe chemoreflex and baroreflex stress are the result of recruitment of latent sub-populations of larger, faster conducting sympathetic neurons. In addition, it appears as though the sympathetic nervous system is able to acutely modify synaptic delays or central processing times and/or pathways, such that reflex latency of all action potentials are altered during severe sympathetic stress. Taken together, the data provide a new perspective on sympathetic stress responses. This new understanding opens opportunity to study how such axonal recruitment strategies may affect neurotransmitter discharge and overall vascular responses, as well as the effect of age and disease on the patterning of efferent sympathetic outflow.
2.5 References


Chapter 3

3 Central vs. peripheral determinants of sympathetic neural recruitment: insights from static handgrip exercise and postexercise circulatory occlusion

(Published in Am J Physiol Integr Comp Physiol 311: R1013-R1021, 2016)

3.1 Introduction

Neural coding patterns represent a fundamental feature in the exchange and processing of neural information. Recently, we have confirmed that neural coding principals operate within the sympathetic nervous system (Steinback et al. 2010; Breskovic et al. 2011; Salmanpour et al. 2011a,b; Badrov et al. 2015; Badrov et al. 2016). Specifically, increases in muscle sympathetic nerve traffic in response to acute physiological stress are the result of increased firing of low-threshold axons (i.e., rate coding), as well as the recruitment of latent sub-populations of larger-sized axons that are otherwise silent at baseline (i.e., population coding) (Steinback et al. 2010; Breskovic et al. 2011; Salmanpour et al. 2011a; Badrov et al. 2015; Badrov et al. 2016). Furthermore, it appears that synaptic delay variations exist as a third strategy to alter autonomic outflow (Salmanpour et al. 2011b; Badrov et al. 2015; Badrov et al. 2016). These strategies have been confirmed in two fundamental reflexes; that is, the arterial baroreflex, studied during −80 mmHg lower body negative pressure (LBNP) (Salmanpour et al. 2011a; Badrov et al. 2015) and the Valsalva maneuver (Salmanpour et al. 2011b), as well as the arterial chemoreflex, as inferred during maximal voluntary apnea (Steinback et al. 2010; Breskovic et al. 2011; Badrov et al. 2015; Badrov et al. 2016). However, a persistent question remains, particularly with the apnea response, as to whether or not these recruitment strategies are restricted to the perception of effort.
during severe stress scenarios (i.e., a central command phenomenon), or to the severe reflex stress alone (i.e., a peripheral-reflex mechanism). Evidence from the Valsalva maneuver and voluntary apnea data do not exclude a role for a central perception of effort determinant, whereas the LBNP data suggest a dominant role for peripheral-reflex mechanisms. Additionally, one feature that may especially relate to central versus peripheral features is the ability to acutely modify reflex latency. That is, compared to resting levels, neural activation latency was reduced during voluntary apnea (Badrov et al. 2015; Badrov et al. 2016) and the Valsalva maneuver (Salmanpour et al. 2011b), but increased during LBNP-induced baroreceptor unloading (Badrov et al. 2015). As such, the exact central versus peripheral mechanisms of these sympathetic neural recruitment strategies remain to be fully elucidated.

The homeostatic response to fatiguing exercise represents a unique reflex in which the central and peripheral elements of the reflex can be studied separately as they develop over time. Specifically, alterations in efferent neural outflow during exercise are mediated through a highly coordinated and integrative system of reflexes involving feed-forward signals emanating from higher brain centres (i.e., central command) (Johansson, 1895; Krogh & Lindhard, 1913; Goodwin et al. 1972), as well as peripheral feedback from type III and IV muscle sensory afferents within active skeletal muscle (i.e., exercise pressor reflex) (Alam & Smirk, 1937; McCloskey & Mitchell, 1972; Kaufman et al. 1983; Mitchell et al. 1983). The exercise pressor reflex elicits large increases in muscle sympathetic nerve activity (MSNA) (Mark et al. 1985; Seals et al. 1988; Victor et al. 1988; Wallin et al. 1989; Saito et al. 1990; Seals & Victor, 1991; Shoemaker et al. 2007), albeit after a workload-dependent delay of approximately 30 to
60 seconds (Mark et al. 1985). Sympathetic activity remains elevated during post-exercise circulatory occlusion (PECO), which suggests that the mechanism of activation relates to muscle metabolism and a dominant metaboreflex component. Furthermore, a marked increase in integrated sympathetic burst size characterizes this reflex, most likely reflective of increases in the number (Ninomiya et al. 1993) and/or size (Salmanpour et al. 2011a) of action potentials (AP) firing more or less at the same time. Recently, Murai et al. (2006) examined the firing patterns of a single axon that was present at baseline and sustained during static handgrip (SHG) exercise and found elevations in total sympathetic activity, but no change in the probability of multiple within-burst firing of that axon. Combined, the observations of no change in the probability of a single axon firing, but the need for additional and/or larger axons to account for larger bursts, suggests that latent axons are recruited in the large sympathetic response to fatiguing exercise. Thus, fatiguing exercise and the muscle metaboreflex represents a unique model to study the central versus peripheral features of sympathetic neural recruitment, as it allows the opportunity to isolate the peripheral-reflex component from the central component (Alam & Smirk, 1937).

Therefore, to study the varying roles played by central versus peripheral elements in the neural coding strategies used by the sympathetic nervous system during acute physiological stress, we used a multi-unit AP approach to examine sympathetic outflow patterns during fatiguing SHG exercise and a period of PECO. The latter was designed to maintain and isolate the muscle metaboreflex (i.e., peripheral-reflex component) from the central command features of the reflex (Alam & Smirk, 1937).
3.2 Methods

3.2.1 Participants

Six young healthy, normotensive males (25 ± 3 yrs, 179 ± 7 cm, 82 ± 6 kg; body mass index = 26 ± 2 kg/m²) were studied after providing informed written consent. Participants were recreationally active, non-smokers, and otherwise healthy with no history of cardiovascular or respiratory disease. The study was approved by the Health Sciences Research Ethics Board at Western University in London, Canada.

3.2.2 Experimental Protocol

Participants were tested following a 3-hour fast, a 12-hour abstinence from caffeinated products and alcohol, and a 24-hour abstinence from strenuous exercise. Testing was completed in the supine position. Participants performed two maximal voluntary contractions (MVCs) with their non-dominant hand (left hand in all participants), and the largest of the two was used to calculate relative handgrip intensity for the ensuing SHG protocol. Following a 3-minute baseline rest period, participants performed SHG exercise for five minutes at 20% MVC, which was followed immediately by PECO for three minutes. PECO was initiated approximately five seconds prior to exercise completion by rapidly inflating a pneumatic cuff (Hokanson SC12D, D.E. Hokanson, Bellevue, WA) on the upper exercised arm to suprasystolic levels (~200 mmHg). Throughout SHG, visual feedback of exercise intensity was provided to aid participants in the maintenance of target force. Upon exercise completion, and before PECO onset, participants assessed their level of perceived exertion using the 20-point Borg Rating of Perceived Exertion Scale (Borg, 1970).
3.2.3 Experimental Measures

Sympathetic neural recordings were obtained in the right peroneal nerve using microneurography (Hagbarth & Vallbo, 1968) (662C-3; Bioengineering of University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA). The methodology used in our laboratory have been described previously in detail (Steinback et al. 2010; Salmanpour et al. 2011a; Badrov et al. 2015). Heart rate (HR) was determined throughout the study protocol from a standard three-lead electrocardiogram. Mean arterial pressure (MAP) was obtained on a beat-to-beat basis using finger photoplethysmography (Finometer; Finapres Medical Systems, Amsterdam, The Netherlands) on the nonexercised hand, which was calibrated to manual sphygmomanometry values. The Finometer Modelflow algorithm was used to calculate stroke volume (SV) and cardiac output (CO), and total peripheral resistance (TPR) was calculated as MAP divided by CO. Data were collected using LabChart7 and PowerLab (ADInstruments, Colorado Springs, CO).

3.2.4 Data Analysis

Data were analyzed for the 3-minute baseline period, each minute of the 5-minute SHG exercise, and for the 3-minute PECO period. Sympathetic bursts were identified from the integrated MSNA neurogram if: 1) they exhibited pulse-synchrony; 2) they had a signal-to-noise ratio of at least 2:1 with respect to the previous period of neural silence between bursts; 3) they presented with characteristic rising and falling slopes; and 4) APs were visible in the corresponding raw and filtered neurograms. The level of integrated sympathetic activity was assessed using burst frequency (bursts/min) and burst incidence (bursts/100 heart beats; hb). Furthermore, burst amplitude, which reflects both the size (Salmanpour et al. 2011a) and number (Ninomiya et al. 1993) of
APs recruited within each burst, was assessed. However, due to the potential influence of microelectrode placement on the amplitude of the integrated signal (Vallbo et al. 1979; Tompkins et al. 2013), burst amplitude was normalized within each individual to the largest burst at baseline, which was assigned a value of 100 arbitrary units (AU). Finally, total MSNA (product of burst frequency and normalized burst amplitude) was determined.

Sympathetic APs were extracted from the filtered raw MSNA signal using wavelet-based methodology, as first used by others (Diedrich et al. 2003; Brychta et al. 2007), and modified in our laboratory (Salmanpour et al. 2010). Briefly, a continuous wavelet transform with a ‘mother wavelet’ (adapted from actual physiological recordings of post-ganglionic sympathetic APs) was applied to the filtered raw MSNA signal to extract sympathetic APs at their point of incidence. Extracted APs were ordered based on their peak-to-peak amplitude, and histogram analysis was conducted to separate APs into ‘clusters’ (i.e., bins of similarly-sized APs) (Scott, 1979). The AP detection, extraction, and classification process, as well as the reliability and repeatability of our AP detection technique has been described previously in detail, and readers are directed to this previous work (Salmanpour et al. 2010; Steinback et al. 2010; Badrov et al. 2015). Next, within participants, cluster characteristics were normalized to ensure that bin width, maximum bin center, and the total number of bins would be identical across conditions (i.e., baseline to SHG to PECO). This normalization procedure ensured that corresponding clusters across conditions contain APs with similar peak-to-peak amplitudes. Therefore, an increase in total clusters during SHG or PECO represents recruitment of sub-populations of previously silent,
larger-sized AP families that were not present at baseline. Finally, the average signal-to-noise ratio in the current study was $4.4 \pm 0.6$, and based on previous validation analysis of our analytical technique (Salmanpour et al. 2010), this is expected to produce a correct detection rate of >90% and a false positive rate of <3%.

Patterns of AP activity were expressed using AP frequency (APs/min). Recruitment of sympathetic APs was quantified using the mean AP content per integrated sympathetic burst (APs/burst), the number of total AP clusters, and the number of active AP clusters per integrated sympathetic burst (clusters/burst). AP cluster latency was calculated as the mean AP latency of all APs present within that respective cluster, which was measured as the time delay between the R-wave of the preceding cardiac cycle and the negative peak of the AP waveform. As the number of total AP clusters varied between participants, the number of total clusters was normalized to 10 clusters, each containing 10% ranges of the largest detected cluster, which was given a value of 100% (i.e., 0-10%, 10-20%, etc.), when assessing the AP cluster size-latency relationship (Badrov et al. 2015; Badrov et al. 2016).

### 3.2.5 Statistical Analysis

One-way repeated measures ANOVAs assessed the effect of time (i.e., baseline to SHG to PECO) on each hemodynamic, integrated MSNA, and AP variable (SigmaPlot 12.0; Systat Software Inc., San Jose, CA). Data were tested for normal distribution using Shapiro-Wilk tests. Non-normally distributed data were assessed using Friedman repeated measures ANOVAs on ranks. Significant main effects were assessed using Tukey’s post-hoc analysis. Statistical significance was set at $P < 0.05$ and all data are presented as mean ± SD.
3.3 Results

Figure 3.1 displays original recordings of HR, MAP, integrated MSNA, filtered raw MSNA, and detected APs from one participant. On average, SHG exercise was completed at 20 ± 1% MVC and participants reported a Borg scale rating of 17 ± 2 at end exercise.

Figure 3.2 displays the mean and individual hemodynamic responses to SHG and PECO and Table 2.1 provides the delta values on going from baseline to each period of the SHG and PECO protocol. At baseline, MAP was 94 ± 7 mmHg, HR was 62 ± 5 beats/min, SV was 106 ± 17 mL, CO was 6.7 ± 1.4 L/min, and TPR was 15 ± 3 mmHg/L/min. When compared with baseline, MAP was increased by minute two of SHG, and remained elevated throughout the duration of exercise and PECO (all \( P < 0.01 \)). HR was elevated during the entire SHG period and remained elevated during PECO (all \( P < 0.001 \)), but at levels that were less than those observed during minutes three to five of SHG (all \( P < 0.05 \)). When compared with baseline, SV was unchanged during SHG and PECO (all \( P > 0.05 \)). CO was elevated throughout SHG and PECO (all \( P < 0.05 \)). Finally, compared with baseline, TPR was reduced throughout SHG and PECO (all \( P < 0.05 \)).

Figure 3.3 illustrates the mean and individual integrated MSNA responses to SHG and PECO and Table 3.2 provides the delta values. At baseline, burst frequency was 18 ± 13 bursts/min, burst incidence was 28 ± 20 bursts/100 hb, burst amplitude was 46 ± 13 AU, and total MSNA was 742 ± 519 AU/min. When compared with baseline, burst frequency and burst incidence were increased by minute three of SHG exercise and remained elevated for the duration of exercise and PECO (all \( P < 0.05 \)).
Furthermore, burst amplitude increased above baseline by minute four of SHG and remained elevated at minute five and during PECO (all \( P < 0.01 \)). Finally, total MSNA increased by minute three of SHG, remaining elevated above baseline levels for the duration of exercise and PECO (all \( P < 0.05 \)).

Figure 3.4 outlines the mean and individual AP responses to SHG and PECO and Table 3.2 provides the delta values. At baseline, AP frequency was 223 ± 271 APs/min, the mean AP content per burst was 10 ± 5 APs/burst, the number of active clusters per burst was 5 ± 2, and the number of total clusters was 14 ± 6. When compared with baseline, AP frequency increased by minute three of SHG and remained elevated throughout SHG and PECO (all \( P < 0.05 \)). The mean AP content per integrated sympathetic burst increased by minute four of SHG and remained elevated at minute five and PECO (all \( P < 0.05 \)). Similarly, the number of active clusters per integrated burst was elevated by minute four and stayed elevated throughout the remainder of SHG and PECO (all \( P < 0.01 \)). Finally, compared to baseline, the number of total AP clusters was increased at minutes four and five of SHG, and remained elevated during PECO (all \( P < 0.01 \)).

At baseline, AP cluster latency decreased as a function of normalized cluster number (i.e., as the peak-to-peak AP cluster amplitude increased), such that larger-sized APs exhibited shorter reflex latency. The mean response was fitted using an exponential function model \( (R^2 = 0.73; P < 0.001) \). The same pattern was observed throughout SHG exercise \( (HG1: R^2 = 0.92; HG2: R^2 = 0.93; HG3: R^2 = 0.83; HG4: R^2 = 0.69; HG5: R^2 = 0.91; \text{all } P < 0.01) \) and PECO \( (R^2 = 0.65; P < 0.01) \). When compared with baseline, the AP cluster size-latency profile for corresponding clusters was not shifted significantly
upward or downward during the first three minutes of SHG exercise (HG1: mean = 8 ± 16 ms, range −12 to 28 ms; HG2: mean = 4 ± 17 ms, range −17 to 27 ms; HG3: mean = −11 ± 18 ms, range −38 to 12 ms; all \( P > 0.05 \)). However, compared with baseline, the AP cluster-size latency profile was shifted downward for every corresponding cluster during minutes four (mean = −32 ± 22 ms, range −5 to −61 ms; \( P < 0.05 \)) and five (mean = −49 ± 17 ms, range −27 to −77 ms; \( P < 0.05 \); Figure 3.5) of SHG exercise, such that all similarly sized APs were recruited earlier during SHG. During PECO, the AP cluster size-latency profile was not different than baseline levels (mean = −9 ± 12 ms, range −25 to 9 ms; \( P > 0.05 \)).
### Table 3.1: Hemodynamic responses to SHG and PECO

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>HG1</th>
<th>HG2</th>
<th>HG3</th>
<th>HG4</th>
<th>HG5</th>
<th>PECO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Δ MAP (mmHg)</td>
<td>2±1</td>
<td>5±2*</td>
<td>7±4*</td>
<td>10±5*</td>
<td>13±7*</td>
<td>7±4*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Δ HR (beats/min)</td>
<td>6±3*</td>
<td>10±4*</td>
<td>13±3*</td>
<td>16±3*</td>
<td>19±6*</td>
<td>7±2*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Δ SV (mL)</td>
<td>−1±2</td>
<td>−1±2</td>
<td>−1±4</td>
<td>0±6</td>
<td>−1±9</td>
<td>7±6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Δ CO (L/min)</td>
<td>0.6±0.5*</td>
<td>1.0±0.5*</td>
<td>1.3±0.5*</td>
<td>1.7±0.8*</td>
<td>1.9±1.2*</td>
<td>1.2±0.6*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Δ TPR (mmHg/L/min)</td>
<td>−0.8±0.7*</td>
<td>−1.2±0.5*</td>
<td>−1.4±0.5*</td>
<td>−1.5±0.5*</td>
<td>−1.2±1.4*</td>
<td>−1.3±0.6*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are mean ± SD. SHG, static handgrip; PECO, post-exercise circulatory occlusion; HG, handgrip; MAP, mean arterial pressure; HR, heart rate; SV, stroke volume; CO, cardiac output; TPR, total peripheral resistance. *Significantly different from baseline, P < 0.05.
Table 3.2: Integrated MSNA and action potential responses to SHG and PECO

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>HG1</th>
<th>HG2</th>
<th>HG3</th>
<th>HG4</th>
<th>HG5</th>
<th>PECO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Integrated MSNA</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \Delta \text{BF} ) (bursts/min)</td>
<td>0±5</td>
<td>5±6</td>
<td>9±5*</td>
<td>12±6*</td>
<td>14±8*</td>
<td>8±9*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \Delta \text{BI} ) (bursts/100 lb)</td>
<td>-3±6</td>
<td>2±6</td>
<td>7±5*</td>
<td>9±7*</td>
<td>10±10*</td>
<td>9±12*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \Delta \text{BA} ) (AU)</td>
<td>1±7</td>
<td>8±3</td>
<td>9±7</td>
<td>12±8*</td>
<td>23±8*</td>
<td>15±8*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \Delta \text{Total MSNA} ) (AU/min)</td>
<td>64±248</td>
<td>412±407*</td>
<td>724±496*</td>
<td>948±489*</td>
<td>1407±786*</td>
<td>814±646*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>AP Indices</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \Delta \text{APs/min} )</td>
<td>44±92</td>
<td>139±187*</td>
<td>197±201*</td>
<td>252±203*</td>
<td>318±215*</td>
<td>195±296*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \Delta \text{APs/Burst} )</td>
<td>1±1</td>
<td>2±2</td>
<td>3±3</td>
<td>4±2*</td>
<td>6±4*</td>
<td>4±4*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \Delta \text{Clusters/Burst} )</td>
<td>0.7±0.4</td>
<td>0.8±0.7</td>
<td>1.2±0.8</td>
<td>1.4±0.7*</td>
<td>1.9±1.3*</td>
<td>1.3±1.0*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \Delta \text{Total Clusters} )</td>
<td>0±3</td>
<td>1±3</td>
<td>2±4</td>
<td>5±5*</td>
<td>6±3*</td>
<td>7±5*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are mean ± SD. MSNA, muscle sympathetic nerve activity; SHG, static handgrip; PECO, post-exercise circulatory occlusion; HG, handgrip; BF, burst frequency; BI, burst incidence; BA, burst amplitude; AU, arbitrary units; AP, action potential. *Significantly different from baseline, \( P < 0.05 \).
Figure 3.1: Representative sample of data collected from one subject at baseline (BSL) and during static handgrip and postexercise circulatory occlusion (PECO).

HR, heart rate; BP, blood pressure; MSNA, muscle sympathetic nerve activity; AP, action potential. *Denotes noise spikes not included in analysis.
Figure 3.2: Hemodynamic responses to static handgrip (HG) and postexercise circulatory occlusion (PECO).

Dashed lines represent individual data. Values are mean ± SD. MAP, mean arterial pressure; HR, heart rate; SV, stroke volume; CO, cardiac output; TPR, total peripheral resistance. *$P < 0.05$, significantly different from baseline (BSL).
Figure 3.3: Integrated muscle sympathetic nerve activity (MSNA) responses to static handgrip (HG) exercise and postexercise circulatory occlusion (PECO).

Dashed lines represent individual data. Values are mean ± SD. AU, arbitrary units; hb, heartbeats. *P < 0.05, significantly different from baseline (BSL).
Figure 3.4: Sympathetic action potential (AP) responses to static handgrip (HG) exercise and postexercise circulatory occlusion (PECO).

Dashed lines represent individual data. Values are means ± SD. *$P < 0.05$, significantly different from baseline (BSL).
Figure 3.5: Action potential (AP) cluster latency as a function of AP cluster size at baseline (BSL), minutes one to five of static handgrip (HG), and post-exercise circulatory occlusion (PECO).

No significant upward or downward shift was seen in the AP cluster size-latency profile during HG1 (range −14 to 36 ms; range represents individual data), HG2 (range −18 to
35 ms), or HG3 (range −53 to 10 ms), whereas a significant downward shift in the profile was observed during HG4 (range 4 to −136 ms) and HG5 (range −9 to −198 ms). Finally, no significant upward or downward shift was seen during PECO (range −28 to 22 ms). *Significantly different from BSL, $P < 0.05$. 
3.4 Discussion

This study examined AP recruitment patterns during the SHG and PECO phases of the exercise pressor response maneuver to gain insight into the role that central command elements versus peripheral-reflex mechanisms have in AP recruitment and sympathetic outflow patterns in humans. The major findings of the current study are as follows: 1) In response to exercise pressor reflex activation, the sympathetic nervous system increases efferent nerve discharge through increased firing of previously recruited axons, and also through the recruitment of latent sub-populations of larger-sized, faster conducting axons. These larger and faster APs were present at the end of the SHG period and persisted throughout the PECO phase. 2) The latency of all APs was reduced towards the end of fatiguing SHG exercise, but not during the PECO phase. The results suggest that central command features may play a specific role in modifying the synaptic delay aspect of efferent sympathetic discharge timing, whereas peripheral muscle chemoreflex mechanisms affect the recruitment of latent high-threshold APs.

Fatiguing SHG exercise elicits robust increases in muscle sympathetic outflow through activation of mechanically- and metabolically-sensitive Type III and IV sensory afferents (Kaufman et al. 1983; Mitchell et al. 1983), and perhaps modulatory input from higher brain sites during more intense contraction (Victor et al. 1995). To date, quantification of the integrated MSNA neurogram has formed the basis of determining the sympathetic response to this reflex, with the finding that MSNA is increased after an initial delay (Mark et al. 1985), the timing of which is most likely influenced inversely by contraction intensity (Hashimoto et al. 1998). Indeed, in the
current study, MSNA burst frequency and incidence were elevated after approximately two minutes of SHG exercise completed at the relatively modest intensity of 20% MVC.

Presently, using a multi-unit AP approach, we show for the first time that the sympathetic nervous system responds to fatiguing SHG exercise by increasing the firing of already recruited lower-threshold axons, and through the recruitment of sub-populations of previously silent (i.e., not present at baseline), higher-threshold and faster conducting (and therefore, larger) axons. This is similar to patterns observed previously during both baroreflex (Salmanpour et al. 2011a; Badrov et al. 2015) and arterial chemoreflex (Steinback et al. 2010; Breskovic et al. 2011; Badrov et al. 2015; Badrov et al. 2016) stimuli, and is supported by the concept that C-fiber diameter variations (~0.5 to 2.0 µm) exist within the human peroneal nerve (Tompkins et al. 2013). Since these larger and faster APs became evident after three minutes of exercise, and persisted throughout the PECO period, their recruitment appears to be related to peripheral events within the muscle and, therefore, related to the metaboreflex. These observations discount a role for central factors in eliciting the recruitment of these latent fibres, at least in this reflex model. Interestingly, the different time-course of change between integrated MSNA indices (i.e., increased after two minutes) and AP recruitment (i.e., increased after three minutes) may lend important information as to the order of discharge options used by the sympathetic nervous system. Specifically, MSNA burst frequency increased before the number of APs per burst or total AP clusters increased, which suggests that the first option for the sympathetic nervous system is to increase firing frequency and the second option is recruitment of additional
fibres, as previously hypothesized (Macefield & Wallin, 1999), and similar to the ordered recruitment observed in the motor system (i.e., Henneman’s Size Principle) (Henneman et al. 1965). This also supports the conjecture that these axons are reserved for periods of high or severe physiological stress (Salmanpour et al. 2011a; Badrov et al. 2015). In line with this, recruitment of new, larger axons was observed in all individuals during −80 mmHg LBNP, but in only approximately 30% of these same individuals during −60 mmHg LBNP (Salmanpour et al. 2011a). Taken together, it appears as though a fixed, reflex-independent recruitment strategy exists within the sympathetic nervous system, whereby sub-populations of larger neurons are recruited during severe stress scenarios requiring high sympathetic outflow, albeit with some interindividual variations. Furthermore, the current results suggest that peripheral-reflex mechanisms play a dominant role in mediating this recruitment response. Whether this conclusion applies across all reflexes will require additional study. However, central command likely has a small role in LBNP, lending further support to the reflexive role played by peripheral sensory mechanisms in AP recruitment.

In addition to the above described recruitment options, the sympathetic nervous system also modifies efferent firing of lower-threshold axons through acute variations of reflex activation latency (Salmanpour et al. 2011b; Badrov et al. 2015; Badrov et al. 2016). In further support of this, we show here that the AP cluster size-latency profile was shifted downwards towards the end of fatiguing SHG exercise, such that during minutes four and five of SHG, all APs expressed a shorter latency. Similar observations have been made during the Valsalva maneuver (Salmanpour et al. 2011b) and during maximal voluntary apnea (Badrov et al. 2015; Badrov et al. 2016). That this downward
shift occurred during both peripheral chemoreflex activation (i.e., during volitional apnea) (Badrov et al. 2015; Badrov et al. 2016) and muscle chemoreflex activation (i.e., during SHG) suggests perhaps that chemoreflex stress may be involved. However, during isolation of the metaboreflex with PECO in the current study, the AP cluster size-latency profile was shifted back toward baseline resting levels, indicating that the muscle chemosensitive sensory afferents are unlikely to play a role in our observed findings. Rather, the common pattern of a downward shift in the AP cluster size-latency profile during the Valsalva maneuver (Salmanpour et al. 2011b), during maximal voluntary apnea (Badrov et al. 2015; Badrov et al. 2016), and toward the end of fatiguing SHG exercise here, suggests that a perceptual component (i.e., central command) may be involved in this outcome. Specifically, each maneuver exhibits a certain level of volitional stress that is related to individual tolerance and perception of the maneuver. The exact mechanism(s)/source(s) for this change in AP latency cannot be discerned from the current analysis and requires additional study. However, in as much as conduction velocity of a given axon is relatively stable, our observations suggest the possibility of variations in synaptic delays somewhere within the neural pathway. Indeed, Macefield et al. (1994) noted that the AP latency of a single axon can vary rather significantly (i.e., 358 ± 33 ms), demonstrating the potential for considerable modification of synaptic delays and/or central processing times. The functional significance of this systemic and coordinated shift to shorter reflex latency remains unknown. However, the consistent observation of this downward shift in latency during several sympathoexcitatory maneuvers suggests it may be a response reserved for severe stress scenarios requiring high, and perhaps faster occurring,
sympathetic outflow. Nonetheless, it appears that acute malleability of synaptic delays and/or central processing times may represent a unique option available to alter efferent sympathetic outflow during fatiguing SHG exercise, and this is perhaps mediated through a central command phenomenon.

While the use of fatiguing SHG exercise and PECO offers a unique model to study the central versus peripheral features of sympathetic neural recruitment, we cannot conclude with certainty that the PECO phase represents solely peripheral (i.e., metaboreflex) feedback to the central nervous system, without any lingering central, or perceptual, component. Indeed, the discomfort experienced during this period, and retained pressor response, may sustain and/or modify residual centrally-determined sympathetic patterns. In this sense, the lack of a control exercise recovery (i.e., no PECO phase following SHG exercise) period, as performed by other groups (Crisafulli et al. 2003; Mulliri et al. 2016), may represent a limitation of the current study. However, data from these groups using a handgrip model without PECO supports the concept that the occlusion phase represents selectively peripheral, metaboreflex-mediated input, as the exercise pressor response is maintained during PECO, but absent during the control recovery phase (Crisafulli et al. 2003). Furthermore, a ‘resting’ circulatory occlusion period (i.e., not preceded by exercise) had no effect on baseline resting cardiovascular parameters, further discounting a role for central, or perceptual, elements during our PECO phase in the current study.

In summary, alterations in efferent sympathetic neural outflow during fatiguing exercise occur as a result of increased firing frequency and probability of lower-threshold axons, as a result of recruitment of previously silent sub-populations of
larger-sized, faster conducting axons, and perhaps through acute changes of synaptic delays and/or central processing times. Taken together, it appears as though this represents a generalized central neural coding response to severe stress within the sympathetic nervous system. Our findings here, although in one reflex model, suggest a role for central command features in mediating the synaptic delay aspect of sympathetic discharge patterning, whereas peripheral-reflex mechanisms appear largely responsible for recruitment of latent sympathetic fibres.

**Perspectives and Significance**

The current work and analytical technique complements traditional integrated methodology, but provides a novel perspective on sympathetic discharge patterns during exercise pressor reflex activation and, therefore, may offer new insights into important unanswered concepts, such as 1) the influence of these neural coding patterns on neurotransmitter release and end-organ vascular responses; 2) the apparent decoupling between elevated sympathetic outflow and vascular resistance in the inactive limb during metaboreflex activation (i.e., neurovascular transduction) (Shoemaker et al. 2016); 3) the complex interplay between and neurocircuitry involved in central command and perception of effort during exercise and the potential for feedback-related central control (Williamson, 2010; Basnayake et al. 2012); and 4) the exaggerated sympathetic responses to exercise (and in turn, limited exercise capacity) observed in certain clinical pathologies (i.e., congestive heart failure) (Notarius et al. 2001; Murai et al. 2009).
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Chapter 4

4  Effects of aging and coronary artery disease on sympathetic neural recruitment strategies during end-inspiratory and end-expiratory apnea

*(Published in Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol 311: H1040-H1050, 2016)*

4.1 Introduction

Sympathetic nervous system dysregulation represents a hallmark of increasing age and cardiovascular disease. This dysregulation has been well-studied at rest, where aging and cardiovascular disease are characterized by a net elevation in baseline sympathetic outflow (Seals & Esler, 2000; Grassi et al. 2015), which contributes to numerous deleterious consequences, including cardiac and vascular damage and remodeling, cardiac dysrhythmias, and an elevated risk of mortality (Pauletto et al. 1991; Barretto et al. 2009; Grassi et al. 2011). However, the mechanisms involved in aberrant sympathetic control with aging and cardiovascular disease, such as the distinct features of central recruitment strategies, are not known.

Normally, sympathetic neural control involves central integration of sensory and cortical/sub-cortical signals within the brain stem and spinal cord (Barman & Gebber, 2000), synapses at the sympathetic ganglia (Jänig & McLachlan, 1992), efferent post-ganglionic nerve traffic, neurotransmitter release, and the subsequent vascular effect at the end-organ (Shoemaker et al. 2016). These elements introduce multiple sites of control in which sympathetic outflow can be coded and modified in response to acute physiological perturbation. Data from direct single- and multi-fibre recordings of central sympathetic outflow in young healthy individuals indicate that the sympathetic
nervous system has options to increase the firing frequency and probability of low-threshold axons (Macefield & Wallin, 1999; Salmanpour et al. 2011a), recruit latent sub-populations of higher-threshold and faster conducting axons (Steinback et al. 2010b; Badrov et al. 2015), as well as acutely modify synaptic delays and/or central processing times (Salmanpour et al. 2011b; Badrov et al. 2015). These patterns illustrate unique properties of the central nervous system to fine-tune autonomic homeostatic control.

Whether these neural coding strategies are affected by increasing age and cardiovascular pathology normally associated with advancing age remains uncertain. While recordings from single muscle vasoconstrictor axons reveal an increased firing probability and frequency of single-units in cardiovascular disease (Greenwood et al. 1999; Macefield et al. 1999), the typical observation that a given neuron will fire usually only once per sympathetic burst at rest appears unchanged in congestive heart failure with reduced left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) (Macefield et al. 1994; Macefield et al. 1999). Conversely, within-burst firing frequency of single axons at baseline is increased in other states of chronic sympathetic arousal, such as obstructive sleep apnea (Elam et al. 2002) and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (Ashley et al. 2010), as well as in response to an acute physiological challenge (Macefield & Wallin, 1999; Elam & Macefield, 2001; Murai et al. 2006). However, information regarding the several recruitment strategies available (i.e., recruitment of new axons) to the sympathetic nervous system cannot be extracted from single-unit recordings, but requires a multi-unit analysis model. Using multi-fibre recordings, we have documented recruitment of new sub-populations of larger axons (Steinback et al. 2010b; Breskovic
et al. 2011; Badrov et al. 2015), as well as modifiable synaptic delays (Badrov et al. 2015), in response to voluntary apnea-induced sympathoexcitation in young healthy individuals. However, the ability to recruit latent neuronal sub-populations may be diminished with healthy aging and cardiovascular disease (Maslov et al. 2012; Maslov et al. 2014).

Therefore, the current study examined the hypothesis that cardiovascular disease impairs sympathetic neural recruitment. Coronary artery disease (CAD) patients with preserved LVEF completed maximal voluntary apneas, which served as a stimulus for sympathoexcitation, and the response was compared to that of young healthy and older healthy individuals to account for the concurrent effect of age.

4.2 Methods

4.2.1 Participants

Forty-two individuals participated in the current investigation after providing informed written consent. Participants comprised three groups: 1) young healthy individuals (YH; n = 14, 5 females), 2) older healthy individuals (OH; n = 14; 6 females), and 3) CAD patients (CAD; n = 14, 3 females). Participant characteristics are summarized in Table 4.1. YH and OH participants were non-smokers, free of overt disease, and non-medicated. CAD patients included those who were discharged from hospital following an acute ST elevation (n = 7) or non-ST elevation (n = 7) myocardial infarction (MI) as documented by their attending physician. In all instances, patients presented with their first MI. Eleven patients underwent percutaneous coronary intervention and four underwent coronary artery bypass grafting surgery. No CAD
patients had a history of congestive heart failure, renal insufficiency, or diabetes mellitus, and all had preserved LVEF at the time of study. Although all patients were on anti-hypertensive medications, all presented with resting blood pressures within the normal range (i.e., <140/90 mmHg). Six patients were former or current smokers at the time of study. On average, testing took place 67 ± 37 days following hospital discharge. Medication use in the CAD patients included beta-blockers (n = 13; 93%), angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors (n = 13; 93%), calcium channel blockers (n = 3; 21%), diuretics (n = 1; 8%), statins (n = 13; 93%), and anti-platelets, including aspirin (n = 14; 100%). All experimental protocols were approved by the Health Sciences Research Ethics Board at Western University.

4.2.2 Experimental Protocols

Participants arrived for testing having fasted and abstained from caffeine and alcohol for 12 hours, and vigorous exercise for 24 hours. Data were collected in the supine position during 1) a 1-minute baseline period and a subsequent end-inspiratory (EI) apnea of maximal voluntary duration, and 2) a 1-minute baseline period and a subsequent end-expiratory (EE) apnea of maximal voluntary duration. Both EI- and EE-apnea were repeated twice, with each trial separated by three minutes of rest. EI- and EE-apnea were used as stimuli to increase muscle sympathetic nerve activity (MSNA) in order to study the neural recruitment strategies employed by the sympathetic nervous system during reflex-mediated sympathoexcitation. Both EI- and EE-apnea elicit marked increases in sympathetic outflow, albeit through different mechanisms. Voluntary EI-apnea increases MSNA through a variety of factors including unloading of the baroreceptors, chemoreflex activation, and an increased central drive-to-breathe
On the other hand, EE-apnea increases MSNA predominantly through chemoreflex stimulation, as well as a lack of ventilatory restraint on sympathetic outflow, and increased central drive-to-breathe (Somers et al. 1989; Hardy et al. 1994; Steinback et al. 2010a).

4.2.3 Experimental Measures

Sympathetic neural recordings were obtained in the right peroneal nerve by microneurography, using standard procedures originally defined by Hagbarth and Vallbo (1968) and used frequently in our hands (Steinback et al. 2010b; Salmanpour et al. 2011a; Badrov et al. 2015) (662C-3; Bioengineering of University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA). Blood pressure was obtained throughout the experimental protocol using finger photoplethysmography (Finometer; Finapres Medical Systems, Amsterdam, The Netherlands), which was calibrated to manual sphygmomanometry. Heart rate (HR) was calculated from a standard three-lead electrocardiogram. Stroke volume (SV) and cardiac output (CO) were acquired using the Finometer Modelflow algorithm and total peripheral resistance (TPR) was calculated as the quotient of mean arterial pressure (MAP) and CO. All data were collected and analyzed offline using LabChart6 and PowerLab (ADInstruments, Colorado Springs, CO).

4.2.4 Data Analysis

For both the EI- and EE-apnea protocols, data were analyzed for the 1-minute baseline period and during the second half of maximal voluntary apnea. MSNA was analyzed from the integrated neurogram and from our technique to detect and extract action potentials (APs) from the filtered raw MSNA signal (Salmanpour et al. 2010).
Integrated MSNA was quantified using burst frequency and burst incidence [bursts per minute and bursts per 100 heart beats (hb), respectively]. Furthermore, burst amplitude (normalized to the largest burst at baseline, which was given a value of 100) and total MSNA (product of normalized burst amplitude and burst frequency) were determined.

Individual APs were extracted from the filtered raw MSNA signal using wavelet-based methodology, as first reported by others (Diedrich et al. 2003; Brychta et al. 2007), and modified in our hands (Salmanpour et al. 2010). Our approach, described in detail elsewhere (Salmanpour et al. 2010; Badrov et al. 2015), uses a continuous wavelet transform constructed from real post-ganglionic sympathetic APs (Salmanpour et al. 2010), that extracts APs at their point of occurrence, and classifies them according to their peak-to-peak amplitude into clusters (i.e., bins) of similarly-sized APs using Scott’s rule (Scott, 1979). To enable investigation into whether recruitment of new, larger AP clusters occurred during apnea, a secondary analysis stage was introduced whereby cluster characteristics were manipulated within each participant so that minimum bin width, maximum bin center, and the total number of bins would be identical across conditions. Therefore, corresponding clusters at baseline and apnea contain APs with similar peak-to-peak amplitudes, while additional clusters during apnea represent recruitment of latent sub-populations of larger-sized axons (i.e., not present at baseline).

AP variables were quantified using AP frequency and AP incidence (APs per minute and APs per 100 hb, respectively), as well as the mean AP content per integrated sympathetic burst. Furthermore, the number of total AP clusters and the number of active clusters per burst are presented. AP cluster latency was calculated as
the average latency of all APs present within that cluster (as measured by the time delay between the R-wave of the electrocardiogram of the preceding cardiac cycle and the negative peak of the AP waveform). To adjust for the impact of interindividual variations in total cluster number on calculations of changes in AP cluster latency, the number of total clusters was normalized to 10 bins (i.e., 10% ranges of the largest detected cluster, which was given a value of 100%) for this analysis step, as described previously (Badrov et al. 2015).

4.2.5 Statistical Analysis

Mixed model ANOVAs (SigmaPlot 12.0; Systat Software Inc., San Jose, CA) assessed the effect of group versus time (baseline vs. EI-apnea; baseline vs. EE-apnea) on MSNA and hemodynamic variables. Bonferroni-corrected post hoc procedures were used to evaluate specific differences between means. Regression analyses were used to determine specific relationships between variables when applicable. For the EE-apnea protocol, six participants (YH, n = 2; OH, n = 2; CAD, n = 2) were excluded as their MSNA signal was obscured by motor-unit activation and/or a signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) that was too poor to allow for adequate AP analysis. Statistical significance was set at $P < 0.05$ and all data are means ± SD, unless otherwise stated.

4.3 Results

As noted above, some MSNA recordings lacked appropriate SNR or were contaminated by motor-unit activation. Also, variations exist between individuals in the duration of apnea, as well as within individuals in terms of the response and duration to repeated apneas. To address these issues, Bland-Altman analyses assessed the
agreement between the first and second EI- and EE-apneas for all MSNA and AP variables. Participants with SNR >3.7 (i.e., to allow for adequate AP detection) for both apneas were included in the analysis (EI-apnea, n = 33; EE-apnea, n = 21). Briefly, while the second EI- and EE-apnea were of longer duration (EI-apnea: 41 ± 17 vs. 36 ± 17 s; EE-apnea: 21 ± 10 vs. 19 ± 10 s; both P < 0.05), no differences existed between the first and second sympathetic response to either EI- or EE-apnea (all P = NS), and all MSNA and AP variables were without significant fixed or proportional bias (EI-apnea: Total MSNA: 127 ± 139, mean difference ± SE; APs/burst: 0.6 ± 0.5; Clusters/burst: 0.1 ± 0.1; Total Clusters: 0.2 ± 0.5; EE-apnea: Total MSNA: −474 ± 315; APs/burst: 0.6 ± 0.7; Clusters/burst: 0.3 ± 0.2; Total Clusters: −0.5 ± 0.6). With no within-individual differences between the first and second apnea, the average of the two EI- and two EE-apneas were used in the final analysis. Re-examination of results with removal of statistical outliers (i.e., those individuals with data points that were more than 1.5 interquartile ranges below the first quartile or above the third quartile) did not significantly alter the results; therefore, data from these individuals were retained in the final analysis (Tukey, 1977). Finally, the mean SNR in the current study was 4.1 ± 0.5, which is expected to produce a correct detection rate of >90% and a false positive rate of <3% (Salmanpour et al. 2010).

Figure 4.1 displays representative recordings of the integrated MSNA neurogram and detected APs from one YH individual, one OH individual, and one CAD patient at baseline and during EI-apnea and EE-apnea.

**Baseline versus End-Inspiratory Apnea.** The duration of the second half of EI-apnea was 46 ± 17 s (range 27 to 78 s) in YH, 35 ± 15 s (range 15 to 70 s) in OH, and
28 ± 6 s (range 19 to 44 s) in CAD patients. In a pointwise contrast, CAD patients had a lower EI-apnea duration compared to YH ($P < 0.01$). MAP, HR, and TPR exhibited effects of time, whereby each increased during EI-apnea, while reductions in SV and CO occurred ($all\ P < 0.05$; Table 4.2); however, no group differences existed in the hemodynamic response to EI-apnea ($all\ P = NS$).

Table 4.3 outlines the integrated MSNA and AP indexes during EI-apnea and their relative baseline values. Compared to YH, baseline burst frequency and burst incidence were higher in OH and CAD ($all\ P < 0.01$), but no differences were observed between OH and CAD ($P = NS$). Compared with baseline, all integrated MSNA variables increased during EI-apnea in all groups ($all\ P < 0.01$). However, compared to YH, the change in integrated MSNA was blunted in OH and CAD ($all\ P < 0.01$), with no differences between OH and CAD ($P = NS$). Whereas EI-apnea resulted in increased AP frequency and AP incidence in all groups ($all\ P < 0.01$), CAD patients had a reduced ability to increase AP frequency and AP incidence compared to YH (both $P < 0.01$). No differences in the AP frequency and AP incidence response existed between YH and OH ($P = NS$) or OH and CAD ($P = NS$).

Compared with baseline, the mean AP content per burst increased during EI-apnea in YH ($11 \pm 8$ to $17 \pm 11$ APs/burst; $P < 0.01$) and OH ($10 \pm 5$ to $13 \pm 7$ APs/burst; $P < 0.01$), while no change occurred in CAD patients ($10 \pm 4$ to $11 \pm 5$ APs/burst; $P = NS$; Figure 4.2a). The magnitude of response was reduced in both OH and CAD compared to YH ($P < 0.01$), and further reduced in CAD versus OH ($P < 0.01$). When APs were binned according to their peak-to-peak amplitude, the number of total clusters of APs increased in YH ($9 \pm 3$ to $14 \pm 4$ total clusters; $P < 0.01$) and OH
(13 ± 3 to 14 ± 3 total clusters; \( P < 0.01 \)) during EI-apnea, but not in CAD patients (14 ± 3 to 12 ± 3 total clusters; \( P = \text{NS} \); Figure 4.2b). The ability to recruit new clusters of APs was diminished in OH and CAD patients compared to YH (\( P < 0.01 \)), and further decreased in CAD versus OH (\( P < 0.01 \)). Finally, the number of active clusters per burst increased during EI-apnea in YH (4 ± 2 to 6 ± 2 clusters/burst; \( P < 0.01 \)) and OH (5 ± 1 to 6 ± 2 clusters/burst; \( P < 0.01 \)), but not in CAD patients (5 ± 1 to 5 ± 1 clusters/burst; \( P = \text{NS} \); Figure 4.2c). The magnitude of change was lower in OH and CAD compared with YH (both \( P < 0.01 \)), and further lowered in CAD compared with OH (\( P < 0.01 \)). When all subjects were pooled, EI-apnea duration correlated poorly with the mean AP content per burst response (\( r = 0.207; P = \text{NS} \)) and the active clusters per burst response (\( r = 0.277; P = \text{NS} \)) to apnea. EI-apnea duration correlated moderately well with the total clusters response (\( r = 0.553; P < 0.01 \)) to apnea; however, when accounting for age and incidence of CAD, EI-apnea duration no longer predicted the total cluster response to apnea (\( P = \text{NS} \)).

In all groups, at baseline (YH: \( R^2 = 0.93 \); OH: \( R^2 = 0.90 \); CAD: \( R^2 = 0.77 \); all \( P < 0.01 \)) and during EI-apnea (YH: \( R^2 = 0.97 \); OH: \( R^2 = 0.94 \); CAD: \( R^2 = 0.91 \); all \( P < 0.01 \)), a pattern emerged whereby AP cluster latency decreased as a function of normalized cluster number (i.e., as peak-to-peak AP cluster amplitude increased). The mean responses (Figure 4.3) were fitted using an exponential function model at baseline and during EI-apnea. Interestingly, the cluster size-latency profile shifted downward for every corresponding cluster during apnea in YH (range −8 to −48 ms; mean: −28 ± 15 ms; \( P < 0.01 \)), OH (range −15 to −38 ms; mean: −32 ± 7 ms; \( P < 0.01 \)), and CAD
patients (range −5 to −58 ms; mean: −21 ± 17 ms; \( P < 0.01 \)). No differences were found in the magnitude of change between groups (all \( P = \text{NS} \)).

**Baseline versus End-Expiratory Apnea.** The duration of the second half of EE-apnea was 20 ± 10 s (range 12 to 47 s) in YH, 22 ± 11 s (range 8 to 45 s) in OH, and 16 ± 5 s (range 10 to 27 s) in CAD patients. No differences in duration were observed for EE-apnea between groups (\( P = \text{NS} \)). Both MAP and TPR exhibited effects of time, whereby values increased during EE-apnea; in contrast, reductions occurred in SV and CO (all \( P < 0.05 \); Table 4.2). However, no group differences existed in the hemodynamic response to EE-apnea (all \( P = \text{NS} \)).

Table 4.4 outlines the integrated MSNA and AP indexes during EE-apnea and their relative baseline values. Once again, compared with YH, baseline burst frequency and burst incidence were higher in OH and CAD (all \( P < 0.01 \)), but no differences were observed between OH and CAD (\( P = \text{NS} \)). Compared with baseline, all integrated MSNA variables increased during EE-apnea in all groups (all \( P < 0.01 \)). However, compared with YH, the changes in burst frequency, burst amplitude, and total MSNA were blunted in OH and CAD (all \( P < 0.01 \)), while the change in burst incidence was lower in CAD versus YH (\( P < 0.01 \)). No differences were found between OH and CAD for any integrated MSNA variable (all \( P = \text{NS} \)). Additionally, compared to baseline, EE-apnea resulted in increased AP frequency and AP incidence in all groups (\( P < 0.05 \)); however, CAD patients had a decreased ability to increase AP frequency and AP incidence compared with YH (\( P < 0.01 \)), while no differences existed between OH and CAD (\( P = \text{NS} \)).
Compared to baseline, the mean AP content per burst increased in YH (11 ± 6 to 20 ± 9 APs/burst; \( P < 0.01 \)) and OH (11 ± 4 to 15 ± 8 APs/burst; \( P < 0.01 \)) in response to EE-apnea, but was unchanged in CAD patients (11 ± 6 to 13 ± 6 APs/burst; \( P = \text{NS} \); Figure 4.4a). The change in the mean AP content per burst was lower in OH and CAD than in YH (both \( P < 0.01 \)); however, no difference existed between OH and CAD (\( P = \text{NS} \)). When APs were binned according to their peak-to-peak amplitude, the number of total clusters of APs increased in YH (8 ± 4 to 14 ± 5 total clusters; \( P < 0.01 \)), but was unchanged in both OH (12 ± 3 to 13 ± 4 total clusters; \( P = \text{NS} \)) and CAD patients (13 ± 4 to 12 ± 2 total clusters; \( P = \text{NS} \); Figure 4.4b). As such, the ability to recruit new clusters of APs was diminished in OH and CAD compared with YH (both \( P < 0.01 \)).

Finally, compared with baseline, the number of active clusters per burst increased during EE-apnea in YH (4 ± 2 to 6 ± 2 clusters/burst; \( P < 0.01 \)) and OH (5 ± 1 to 6 ± 2 clusters/burst; \( P < 0.01 \)), but not in CAD patients (5 ± 1 to 6 ± 1 clusters/burst; \( P = \text{NS} \); Figure 4.4c). The magnitude of change was lower in OH and CAD compared with YH (\( P < 0.01 \)). When all subjects were pooled, EE-apnea duration correlated poorly with the mean AP content per burst response (\( r = 0.029; P = \text{NS} \)), the active clusters per burst response (\( r = 0.117; P = \text{NS} \)), as well as the total clusters response (\( r = 0.297; P = \text{NS} \)) to apnea.

Similar to EI-apnea, a pattern emerged during baseline (YH: \( R^2 = 0.76 \); OH: \( R^2 = 0.85 \); CAD: \( R^2 = 0.88 \); all \( P < 0.01 \)) and EE-apnea (YH: \( R^2 = 0.95 \); OH: \( R^2 = 0.97 \); CAD: \( R^2 = 0.87 \); all \( P < 0.05 \)), whereby AP cluster latency decreased as a function of normalized cluster number (i.e., as peak-to-peak AP cluster amplitude increased). The mean responses (Figure 4.5) were fitted using an exponential function model at baseline
and during EE-apnea. Once again, the cluster size-latency profile shifted downward for every corresponding cluster during apnea in YH (range −12 to −74 ms; mean: −35 ± 23 ms; \( P < 0.01 \)), OH (range 0 to −47 ms; mean: −30 ± 14 ms; \( P < 0.01 \)), and CAD patients (range −31 to −87 ms; mean: −59 ± 17 ms; \( P < 0.01 \)). No differences were found in the magnitude of change between groups (all \( P = \text{NS} \)).
Table 4.1: Participant characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>YH</th>
<th>OH</th>
<th>CAD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (y)</td>
<td>25±3</td>
<td>59±9*</td>
<td>61±10*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
<td>173±8</td>
<td>168±7</td>
<td>169±9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>74±13</td>
<td>68±11</td>
<td>86±15†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body Mass Index (kg/m²)</td>
<td>25±4</td>
<td>25±2</td>
<td>30±5†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic BP (mmHg)</td>
<td>113±13</td>
<td>121±16</td>
<td>120±21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic BP (mmHg)</td>
<td>69±10</td>
<td>74±10</td>
<td>66±9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR (beats/min)</td>
<td>63±6</td>
<td>58±8</td>
<td>60±8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO (L/min)</td>
<td>6.2±1.3</td>
<td>5.4±1.4</td>
<td>6.2±1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPR (mmHg/L/min)</td>
<td>14±4</td>
<td>18±7</td>
<td>14±4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVEF (%)</td>
<td>68±4</td>
<td>68±4</td>
<td>61±9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burst Frequency (bursts/min)</td>
<td>18±8</td>
<td>34±9*</td>
<td>41±7*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burst Incidence (bursts/100 hb)</td>
<td>29±13</td>
<td>58±14*</td>
<td>69±11*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total MSNA (AU)</td>
<td>989±380</td>
<td>1916±540*</td>
<td>2331±372*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose (mmol/L)</td>
<td>5.1±0.6</td>
<td>5.2±0.4</td>
<td>5.6±0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Cholesterol (mmol/L)</td>
<td>3.6±1.0</td>
<td>4.3±0.6</td>
<td>3.2±0.6†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL Cholesterol (mmol/L)</td>
<td>1.2±0.2</td>
<td>1.5±0.3</td>
<td>1.0±0.1†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL Cholesterol (mmol/L)</td>
<td>2.0±1.0</td>
<td>2.4±0.6</td>
<td>1.7±0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglycerides (mmol/L)</td>
<td>0.9±0.3</td>
<td>0.9±0.4</td>
<td>1.0±0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HbA1C (%)</td>
<td>5.4±0.2</td>
<td>5.7±0.2*</td>
<td>5.8±0.3*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are the mean of the two baseline periods (mean ± SD). YH, young healthy; OH, older healthy; CAD, coronary artery disease; BP, blood pressure; HR, heart rate; CO, cardiac output; TPR, total peripheral resistance; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; hb, heart beats; MSNA, muscle sympathetic nerve activity; AU, arbitrary units. HDL, high density lipoprotein; LDL, low density lipoprotein; HbA1C, hemoglobin A1C. *Significantly different from YH, *P < 0.01; †Significantly different from OH, *P < 0.01.
Table 4.2: Hemodynamic responses to end-inspiratory and end-expiratory apnea

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>YH</th>
<th>OH</th>
<th>CAD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>End-Inspiratory Apnea</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>∆ MAP (mmHg)</td>
<td>9±5</td>
<td>6±8</td>
<td>8±8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>∆ HR (beats/min)</td>
<td>2±9</td>
<td>3±10</td>
<td>2±4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>∆ SV (mL)</td>
<td>−20±13</td>
<td>−22±12</td>
<td>−17±17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>∆ CO (L/min)</td>
<td>−1.1±1.0</td>
<td>−1.2±0.9</td>
<td>−1.0±0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>∆ TPR (mmHg/L/min)</td>
<td>5±3</td>
<td>7±5</td>
<td>5±3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>End-Expiratory Apnea</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>∆ MAP (mmHg)</td>
<td>11±4</td>
<td>8±6</td>
<td>7±5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>∆ HR (beats/min)</td>
<td>4±11</td>
<td>0±9</td>
<td>0±3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>∆ SV (mL)</td>
<td>−4±10</td>
<td>−12±8</td>
<td>−7±10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>∆ CO (L/min)</td>
<td>0.1±0.5</td>
<td>−0.6±0.6</td>
<td>−0.5±0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>∆ TPR (mmHg/L/min)</td>
<td>2±2</td>
<td>5±4</td>
<td>3±2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are mean ± SD. YH, young healthy; OH, older healthy; CAD, coronary artery disease; MAP, mean arterial pressure; HR, heart rate; SV, stroke volume; CO, cardiac output; TPR, total peripheral resistance.
Table 4.3: Integrated MSNA and action potential indexes at baseline and during maximal end-inspiratory apnea

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>EI-Apnea</th>
<th>Δ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Integrated MSNA Indices</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Burst Frequency (bursts/min)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YH</td>
<td>17±8</td>
<td>41±8†</td>
<td>25±10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OH</td>
<td>35±9*</td>
<td>51±11†</td>
<td>16±7†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAD</td>
<td>41±7†</td>
<td>53±8†</td>
<td>11±8†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Burst Incidence (bursts/100 hb)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YH</td>
<td>27±14</td>
<td>65±15†</td>
<td>38±18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OH</td>
<td>60±14*</td>
<td>82±13†</td>
<td>22±14†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAD</td>
<td>70±10†</td>
<td>88±6†</td>
<td>18±10‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Burst Amplitude (AU)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YH</td>
<td>57±8</td>
<td>100±25†</td>
<td>43±20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OH</td>
<td>57±6</td>
<td>80±16†</td>
<td>24±15‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAD</td>
<td>57±5</td>
<td>69±13†</td>
<td>12±12‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total MSNA (AU)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YH</td>
<td>908±389</td>
<td>4144±1379†</td>
<td>3236±1476</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OH</td>
<td>2002±614†</td>
<td>4077±1211†</td>
<td>2075±1113†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAD</td>
<td>2357±415†</td>
<td>3624±811†</td>
<td>1267±700‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Action Potential Indices</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>AP Frequency (spikes/min)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YH</td>
<td>189±222</td>
<td>691±480†</td>
<td>502±356</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OH</td>
<td>372±226</td>
<td>698±402†</td>
<td>326±223</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAD</td>
<td>410±180</td>
<td>584±279†</td>
<td>174±196‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>AP Incidence (spikes/100 hb)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YH</td>
<td>307±353</td>
<td>1081±757†</td>
<td>774±581</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OH</td>
<td>614±343</td>
<td>1136±681†</td>
<td>522±461</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAD</td>
<td>694±302</td>
<td>968±465†</td>
<td>274±305‡</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are mean ± SD. EI, end-inspiratory; MSNA, muscle sympathetic nerve activity; YH, young healthy; OH, older healthy; CAD, coronary artery disease; hb, heart beats; AU, arbitrary units; AP, action potential. *Significantly different from
YH baseline value, $P < 0.01$; †Significantly different from baseline, $P < 0.01$; ‡Significantly different from YH delta value, $P < 0.01$. 
Table 4.4: Integrated MSNA and action potential indexes at baseline and during maximal end-expiratory apnea

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>EE-Apnea</th>
<th>Δ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Integrated MSNA Indices</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burst Frequency (bursts/min)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YH</td>
<td>19±7</td>
<td>42±12†</td>
<td>24±13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OH</td>
<td>33±9*</td>
<td>49±11†</td>
<td>16±6‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAD</td>
<td>41±8*</td>
<td>51±5†</td>
<td>10±7‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burst Incidence (bursts/100 hb)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YH</td>
<td>29±11</td>
<td>64±17†</td>
<td>34±20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OH</td>
<td>56±16*</td>
<td>83±13†</td>
<td>27±14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAD</td>
<td>70±11†</td>
<td>89±5†</td>
<td>18±13‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burst Amplitude (AU)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YH</td>
<td>57±7</td>
<td>124±55†</td>
<td>67±51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OH</td>
<td>56±9</td>
<td>79±25†</td>
<td>22±22‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAD</td>
<td>58±5</td>
<td>81±19†</td>
<td>23±16‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total MSNA (AU)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YH</td>
<td>1006±381</td>
<td>5147±2379†</td>
<td>4141±2523</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OH</td>
<td>1839±557</td>
<td>3793±1170†</td>
<td>1953±1165‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAD</td>
<td>2372±425†</td>
<td>4103±996†</td>
<td>1731±762‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Action Potential Indices</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AP Frequency (spikes/min)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YH</td>
<td>216±207</td>
<td>852±491†</td>
<td>636±351</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OH</td>
<td>370±191</td>
<td>731±430†</td>
<td>361±303</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAD</td>
<td>447±262</td>
<td>662±301†</td>
<td>215±155‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AP Incidence (spikes/100 hb)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YH</td>
<td>347±340</td>
<td>1278±669†</td>
<td>931±499</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OH</td>
<td>609±298</td>
<td>1219±738†</td>
<td>610±589</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAD</td>
<td>760±418</td>
<td>1162±531†</td>
<td>402±312‡</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are mean ± SD. EE, end-expiratory; MSNA, muscle sympathetic nerve activity; YH, young healthy; OH, older healthy; CAD, coronary artery disease; hb, heart beats; AU, arbitrary units; AP, action potential. *Significantly different from
YH baseline value, $P < 0.01$; †Significantly different from baseline, $P < 0.01$; ‡Significantly different from YH delta value, $P < 0.01$. 
Figure 4.1: Representative recordings of the integrated muscle sympathetic nerve activity (MSNA) neurogram and detected action potentials (APs) from one young healthy (YH) individual (A), one older healthy (OH) individual (B), and one coronary artery disease (CAD) patient (C) at baseline and during end-inspiratory (EI) and end-expiratory (EE) apnea.

HR, heart rate; MAP, mean arterial pressure; bpm, beats per minute.
Figure 4.2: Action potential (AP) content per burst (A), total clusters (B), and active clusters per burst (C) in young healthy (YH), older healthy (OH), and
coronary artery disease (CAD) patients at baseline and during end-inspiratory (EI) apnea.

Left panel; individual lines represent individual data. Right panel represents the absolute delta change from baseline to EI-apnea. *Significantly different from baseline, $P < 0.01$. †Significantly different from YH, $P < 0.01$. ‡Significantly different from OH, $P < 0.01$. 
Figure 4.3: Action potential (AP) cluster latency as a function of normalized cluster number (i.e., size) at baseline and during end-inspiratory (EI) apnea in young healthy (YH; left panel), older healthy (OH; middle panel), and coronary artery disease patients (CAD; right panel).
Figure 4.4: Action potential (AP) content per burst (A), total clusters (B), and active clusters per burst (C) in young healthy (YH), older healthy (OH), and
coronary artery disease (CAD) patients at baseline and during end-expiratory (EE) apnea.

Left panel; individual lines represent individual data. Right panel represents the absolute delta change from baseline to EE-apnea. *Significantly different from baseline, \( P < 0.01 \). †Significantly different from YH, \( P < 0.01 \).
Figure 4.5: Action potential (AP) cluster latency as a function of normalized cluster number (i.e., size) at baseline and during end-expiratory (EE) apnea in young healthy (YH; left panel), older healthy (OH; middle panel), and coronary artery disease patients (CAD; right panel).
4.4 Discussion

The novel findings of the current work are: 1) compared with young individuals, the ability to increase within-burst firing frequency of already recruited sympathetic fibres was reduced with aging and CAD; 2) the capacity of the sympathetic nervous system to recruit sub-populations of previously silent and faster conducting fibres was reduced with aging and lost in CAD; and 3) the ability to acutely modify synaptic delays and/or central processing times appears preserved with aging and CAD. Taken together, this work supports the hypothesis that cardiovascular disease impairs sympathetic recruitment during apneic stress.

Voluntary apnea represents a robust stimulus for efferent sympathetic outflow through various mechanisms including hypoxia and hypercapnia-induced chemoreceptor activation, removal of the inhibitory influence of lung-stretch receptors, and an increased central drive-to-breathe (Somers et al. 1989; Hardy et al. 1994; Steinback et al. 2010a). Early work from single-unit recordings demonstrated that the homeostatic adjustment to apnea involves an increased neural firing frequency and probability, as well as an increase in the incidence of multiple within-burst firing of single axons (Macefield & Wallin, 1999). Our previous work (Steinback et al. 2010b; Breskovic et al. 2011; Badrov et al. 2015), and the results from the current study using a multi-unit approach, are in agreement with this finding, at least in YH individuals. Although both OH individuals and CAD patients achieved an elevation in total sympathetic outflow through increased frequency and incidence of AP firing during apnea, the ability to increase the number of APs per sympathetic burst was reduced with
healthy aging and lost in CAD patients. Therefore, the impact of CAD appears to be additive to normal age-related changes in central autonomic integration.

Previously, we observed recruitment of latent sub-populations of larger and faster conducting sympathetic axons during periods of severe autonomic stress, elicited via voluntary apnea (Steinback et al., 2010b; Breskovic et al. 2011; Badrov et al. 2015) and by −80 mmHg lower body negative pressure (Salmanpour et al. 2011a; Badrov et al. 2015). However, the current work extends this knowledge to pathological states with known sympathetic dysregulation. In accordance with previous work (Maslov et al. 2012; Maslov et al. 2014), we show here that older individuals have a reduced capacity to recruit these latent neural sub-populations compared with their young counterparts, while the ability to do so is further reduced and perhaps lost all together in CAD. The mechanism of this dysregulation is unknown. One possibility is that the elevation in efferent sympathetic outflow at rest observed with healthy aging and cardiovascular disease is, in part, mediated by the additional recruitment of these latent sub-populations, thereby reducing the residual capacity for further recruitment during acute physiological stress. However, the capacity for neural recruitment was not hindered in otherwise healthy, younger subjects with high baseline MSNA (~75 bursts/100 hb) (Macefield & Wallin, 1999), discounting the possibility that a ceiling effect impairs the ability to observe normal recruitment patterns. Furthermore, if these larger axons were already recruited at rest, one may presume that older individuals and CAD patients would have greater AP content per sympathetic burst during baseline resting conditions; however, this was not the case in our study. These observations point to abnormal central features of neural recruitment with healthy aging and perhaps more so with
cardiovascular disease, rather than a loss of sympathetic reserve due to elevated resting sympathetic outflow.

Synaptic delay variations and/or altered central processing times appear to exist as a third recruitment strategy used by the sympathetic nervous system to modify efferent sympathetic outflow in the face of physiological challenge (Salmanpour et al. 2011b; Badrov et al. 2015). The current observations replicate earlier observations (Badrov et al. 2015), in that voluntary apnea caused an acute downward shift in the AP cluster size-latency relationship in YH individuals, such that all APs with similar peak-to-peak amplitudes were recruited with a shorter latency during the reflex-mediated stress. Previous reports indicate that single axons display large latency variations at rest (Macefield et al. 1994), and that reflex latency can change acutely with stress (Coote & Macleod, 1974; Fagius et al. 1987). The multi-unit approach used here suggests that a systemic and coordinated change in synaptic delay can occur as well. Interestingly, this systemic downward shift in the AP cluster size-latency profile with apnea was preserved with aging, as well as CAD, suggesting that, in contrast to actual recruitment, the option for synaptic delay variations is preserved in these individuals. The location(s) or source of the change in synaptic delay during apnea-induced stress remains unknown, but may be due to the direct activation of the rostral ventrolateral medulla from the nucleus tractus solitarius (Koshiya et al. 1993) specific to chemoreceptor activation, and/or the presence of faster conduction velocities within spinal descending pathways specific to chemoreceptor stimulation (~5.5 m/s) versus baroreceptor activation (~3.3 m/s) (Jänig & Szulczyk, 1979).

Methodological Considerations
Whether the recruitment impairment in OH individuals and CAD patients was due to a smaller reflex stimulus, or to the pathology, cannot be determined specifically from the current analysis. In the context of stimulus strength, variations in the apnea stimulus may have influenced the between-group variations reported in the current study. As mentioned above, the determinants of the apnea autonomic response are not certain and may include the magnitude of chemoreflex stress, loss of lung-stretch afferent activity, or an emotional drive to breathe (Somers et al. 1989; Hardy et al. 1994; Steinback et al. 2010a), and various factors influence the breakpoint for voluntary apnea (Parkes, 2006). In this context, lack of arterial blood gas measures represents a potential limitation of the current study. Although the apnea duration varied within and amongst groups, they were each of maximal voluntary duration and elicited near-maximal levels of burst probability; therefore, these represent maximal sympathetic efforts. Furthermore, in the current study, EI- and EE-apnea duration correlated poorly with indexes of AP recruitment. While apnea duration related significantly to the total clusters response ($r = 0.554$) to EI-apnea (but not EE-apnea; $r = 0.297$), this was no longer the case when the effects of age and CAD were accounted for. Therefore, at least in our data set, variations in apnea duration are an unlikely determinant of the current observations.

Second, as is commonly practiced (Macefield et al. 1999; Murai et al. 2009; Maslov et al. 2014), CAD patients were studied during their standard pharmacological treatment, and no data exist regarding the effect of medications on sympathetic neural recruitment strategies. However, medication use is a ‘life-long’ therapy for CAD patients, increasing the relevance of studying these participants in the
pharmacologically treated state. In addition, all OH individuals studied currently were unmedicated and still displayed impaired central recruitment. If the pharmacological treatment in CAD was causing the further detriment in recruitment observed in CAD versus OH during EI-apnea, one would expect the same further detriment in recruitment during EE-apnea; however, this was not the case. Taken together, these observations provide evidence to the fact that anti-hypertensive medications are unlikely to be playing a role in our observed findings.

Furthermore, CAD patients had greater body mass index (BMI) than their YH and OH counterparts, and increased BMI has been known to alter baseline integrated MSNA (Scherrer et al. 1994). Whether elevated BMI affects AP recruitment patterns remains unknown; however, in the current study, BMI correlated poorly to all but one indice of sympathetic recruitment, suggesting it did not play a role in our observed findings. Nonetheless, when all subjects were pooled, BMI was correlated moderately to the total cluster response to EI- (r = 0.441, P = 0.003) and EE- (r = 0.371, P = 0.026) apnea. However, when accounting for age and incidence of CAD, BMI no longer predicted the total cluster response to either apnea. In addition, these aberrant AP recruitment patterns were observed within two to four months of the coronary event, and their persistence will require additional study.

Finally, we acknowledge that the use of only one sympathoexcitatory reflex limits the applicability of the apnea outcomes to other important reflexes, and therefore, future study should assess responses to additional reflex stimuli (i.e., baroreflex, metaboreflex, cold pressor test, etc.) to determine if the observed impairment in central
recruitment with aging and cardiovascular disease is specific to apneic stress or a generalized pattern across reflexes.

**Summary**

Autonomic nervous system dysregulation represents a hallmark of increasing age and cardiovascular disease. Studied primarily under resting conditions, healthy aging and cardiovascular disease are characterized by chronic sympathetic activation, and this state has deleterious consequences for overall health and prognosis. For the first time, the current data indicate that this sympathetic dysfunction extends to the neural coding strategies used by the sympathetic nervous system to respond to acute homeostatic challenge. Specifically, the sympathetic nervous system normally has options to increase the firing of already-recruited axons, recruit latent sub-populations of higher-threshold and faster conducting axons, and modify acutely synaptic delays in response to autonomic arousal. The ability to acutely modify synaptic delays and/or central processing times appears preserved with aging and CAD. However, the ability to increase firing frequency and probability of low-threshold axons is reduced with healthy aging and more so with CAD. Furthermore, the ability to recruit previously silent sub-populations is reduced with increasing age and perhaps lost altogether with CAD. As such, CAD augments the impact of age on recruitment strategies employed by the sympathetic nervous system.
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Chapter 5

5 Ventilation inhibits sympathetic action potential recruitment even during severe chemoreflex stress

5.1 Introduction

The chemoreflex represents a highly coordinated and integrative pattern of response to systemic hypoxia and/or hypercapnia that ultimately regulates and defends perfusion to critical organs and tissues within the body. As such, the homeostatic challenges presented by central and/or peripheral chemoreceptor stimulation are corrected through reflexive increases in ventilation, as well as autonomic circulatory arousal (Guyenet, 2014). Indeed, the compensatory response to chemoreflex activation involves large elevations in efferent sympathetic nerve outflow directed to skeletal muscle (i.e., muscle sympathetic nerve activity; MSNA) and other vascular beds (Saito et al. 1988; Malpas & Ninomiya, 1992a,b; Morgan et al. 1995; Leuenberger et al. 2005). Furthermore, the sympathetic response to voluntary apnea and/or pathological apnea (i.e., obstructive sleep apnea) is large (Morgan et al. 1993; Hardy et al. 1994; Leuenberger et al. 1995; Steinback et al. 2010b) and, in turn, has been linked to poor clinical outcomes (Kara et al. 2003).

The mechanisms mediating the robust sympathetic response to apnea remain uncertain and appear to be diverse. Indeed, one fundamental issue is the identification of the specific roles played by the ventilatory response (or the lack thereof in the case of volitional apnea) versus the chemoreflex activation itself. For example, voluntary apnea potentiated the sympathetic response to isocapnic hypoxia, indicating the sympathoinhibitory nature of ventilation (Somers et al. 1989a,b). Furthermore, the
chemoreflex stimulus to typical 20- to 30-second apneas in untrained breath-hold
performers is rather unremarkable (Leuenberger et al. 2005; Heusser et al. 2009; Seitz
et al. 2013), yet the MSNA response is large; therefore, factors other than chemoreflex
stress must be involved in eliciting sympathetic activation. The possible factors
affecting the sympathoinhibitory effect of ventilation during chemoreflex stimulation
may include central pathways that coordinate respiratory drive with sympathetic
inhibition. Evidence for such pathways has been difficult to find in humans (St. Croix et
al. 1999), whereby MSNA is inhibited during inspiration (or at high lung volumes) and
increases during expiration (or at low lung volumes) (Eckberg et al. 1985; Seals et al.
1993); however, current evidence in the intact human argues against a significant role
played by central respiratory drive on the ventilatory modulation of MSNA (Seals et al.
1993; St. Croix et al. 1999). In contrast, such pathways can be induced in anesthetized
rodents (Numao et al. 1987; Haselton & Guyenet, 1989; Darnall & Guyenet, 1990),
leading Guyenet (Guyenet et al. 2010; Guyenet 2014) to speculate that species
differences exist. Nonetheless, current evidence suggests that the sympathetic response
to apnea has more to do with the lack of breathing rather than the chemoreflex stimulus
per se.

Yet, much remains uncertain regarding the interactions between chemoreflex
stress and ventilation on sympathetic neuro-circulatory control. For example, the degree
of chemoreflex stress may be an important caveat in understanding the role of
respiratory movements in sympathetic control. The central motor hypothesis has been
studied in humans mainly under normoxic and normocapnic conditions (St. Croix et al.
1999) or low levels of hypercapnia (Seals et al. 1993), raising the possibility that
potentiation of central pathways associated with the drive-to-breathe and sympathetic inhibition with breathing may be augmented with concurrent chemoreflex activation. Observations arguing against this possibility are provided from our earlier study (Steinback et al. 2010a), in which the very large sympathetic responses occurring during prolonged apnea at functional residual capacity (FRC), performed by elite breath-hold divers, were acutely inhibited by a two-breath rebreathe protocol performed immediately following the apnea, despite a sustained chemoreflex stimulus. However, the acute versus chronic effects were not studied, nor was it determined whether this has to do with reduction of the emotional component of resisting the breathing movements, the absence of lung-stretch during apnea, or the actual degree of chemoreflex stimulus (Steinback et al. 2010a).

In addition, the mode of studying sympathetic nerve activity likely has an impact on determinations of chemoreflex versus ventilatory control over sympathetic nerve recruitment. Indeed, early work from single-unit action potential (AP) recordings demonstrated that the sympathetic adjustment to apnea involves not only an increase in neural firing probability, but also, a shift towards greater within-burst firing of single axons (Macefield & Wallin, 1999). Therefore, the content and variability of sympathetic APs within and between integrated bursts more accurately represents the neural signal, and ultimately, reflects the neural discharge and recruitment patterns used by the central nervous system to fine-tune sympathetic outflow. The need to study AP behaviour becomes especially relevant when one considers that burst frequency, reflecting the rate of discharge, and burst size, reflecting the number and/or size of recruited APs (Ninomiya et al. 1993; Salmanpour et al. 2011), may be differentially
regulated during chemoreflex stress, emphasizing increases in burst size (i.e., AP recruitment) (Malpas et al. 1996; Lim et al. 2015). Using a multi-unit AP approach, we have found that the central recruitment strategies used to alter sympathetic drive in response to apneic stress involve both an increased firing of previously-recruited axons and recruitment of sub-populations of larger, latent axons (Steinback et al. 2010b; Breskovic et al. 2011; Badrov et al. 2015; Badrov et al. 2016a). However, whether neural recruitment is restrained and/or inhibited in the presence of ventilation remains unknown.

Therefore, the objective of the current investigation was to determine the influence of ventilation on sympathetic AP recruitment during varying levels of high chemoreflex stress. To do so, we studied sympathetic AP discharge during apnea at FRC (i.e., absence of ventilation) and during asphyxic rebreathing (i.e., presence of ventilation) in trained breath-hold divers. Trained breath-hold divers were studied because of their unique ability to perform apneas for prolonged periods of time and their capacity to endure high levels of chemoreflex stress (Heusser et al. 2009). We tested the hypothesis that the sympathoinhibitory effect of ventilation on chemoreflex-induced sympathetic activation is limited to mild chemoreflex stress. If so, then it can be expected that for similar levels of chemoreflex stimuli, the presence of ventilation during rebreathing would restrain sympathetic AP recruitment as compared to apnea. However, during more severe rebreath-induced chemoreflex levels, the inhibitory influence of ventilation would be overridden, such that AP recruitment would be similar to that observed during apnea.
5.2 Methods

5.2.1 Participants

Seven healthy individuals (1 female; 33±12 yr, 181±10 cm, 74±12 kg, body mass index = 22±2 kg/m²) participated in the current investigation after providing informed written consent. Participants were non-smokers without any history of cardiovascular or respiratory disease. All studies were conducted at the University of Split, adhering to the standards set by the latest revision of the Declaration of Helsinki, and all experimental procedures were approved by the Research Ethics Board at the University of Split School of Medicine in Croatia.

5.2.2 Experimental Protocol

All experiments were conducted following a 3-hour fast and a 12-hour abstinence from caffeine, alcohol, and vigorous exercise. Participants voided their bladder immediately prior-to study. All testing was completed in the supine position. Participants were fitted with a mouthpiece (series 9060, Hans Rudolph, Kansas City, MO) connected to a three-way valve, allowing them to breathe either room air, or through a Y-connector (VacuMed, Ventura, CA) leading to two 3-litre breathing bags. An infrared probe connected to a pulse oximeter (Poet II, Criticare Systems, Waukesha, WI) was applied to the index finger to monitor arterial oxygen saturation (i.e., hemoglobin saturation; HbSat). Respiratory gases were analyzed using an infrared carbon dioxide sensor and optical oxygen detector fed from a damped micro-vacuum sampling pump (ML206 Gas Analyzer, ADInstruments, Colorado Springs, CO) and calibrated using ambient air pressure values, which were converted to online measurements of oxygen (PO₂) and carbon dioxide (PCO₂) partial pressures. End-tidal
values for \( \text{PO}_2 (\text{PetO}_2) \) and \( \text{PCO}_2 (\text{PetCO}_2) \) were acquired using peak parameters software (LabChart7; ADInstruments, Colorado Springs, CO).

Participants completed three protocols in random order, each separated by five minutes of recovery. Prior-to the onset of each protocol, participants expired into the breathing bags to fill them with air for an ensuing rebreathing period. The first protocol (i.e., apnea protocol) assessed the impact of high levels of chemoreflex stress on sympathetic axonal recruitment in the absence of ventilation. Specifically, following three minutes of baseline data collection, the three-way valve was turned at end-expiration to begin an initial period of rebreathing, in an attempt to maximize the severity of chemoreflex stimulation incurred during the ensuing apnea period (Usselman et al. 2013; Badrov et al. 2015). This initial rebreathe period continued until \( \text{PetO}_2 \) reached 70 Torr, at which point participants performed an end-expiratory apnea at FRC until they achieved \(~85\%\) of their self-perceived ‘maximal’ tolerance for the maneuver. Upon cessation, participants breathed twice into and out of the breathing bags for the measurement of end-apnea \( \text{PetO}_2 \) and \( \text{PetCO}_2 \). Average apnea duration in the current study was \( 89\pm18 \) seconds. The second protocol (i.e., rebreathing protocol) assessed the impact of chemoreflex stress on sympathetic axonal recruitment in the presence of ventilation. Specifically, following three minutes of baseline data collection, the three-way valve was turned to initiate rebreathing and participants were instructed to rebreathe until, once again, they achieved \(~85\%\) of their self-perceived ‘maximal’ tolerance for the maneuver. Finally, a third protocol (FRC-RBR\text{ALT} protocol; \( n=6 \)) was completed in which baseline data were collected for two minutes, followed by
alternating 30-second periods of FRC apnea and rebreathing until ~85% maximal tolerance.

5.2.3 Experimental Measures

Multi-unit recordings of MSNA were obtained from the right peroneal nerve by microneurography (Hagbarth & Vallbo, 1968) (662C-3; Bioengineering of University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA), using standard methodology described in detail elsewhere (Badrov et al. 2015). Heart rate (HR) was determined from a standard three-lead electrocardiogram. Continuous beat-to-beat blood pressure was obtained throughout all protocols using finger photoplethysmography (Finometer; Finapres Medical Systems, Amsterdam, The Netherlands), the values of which were calibrated to manual sphygmomanometry values taken at baseline. Stroke volume (SV) and cardiac output (CO) were determined using the Finometer Modelflow algorithm (Wesseling et al., 1993) and total peripheral resistance (TPR) was calculated as the quotient of mean arterial pressure (MAP) and CO. All data were collected using LabChart7 and PowerLab data acquisition system (ADInstruments, Colorado Springs, CO).

5.2.4 Data Analysis

As a first aim, the study addressed the effect of the ‘absence or presence’ of ventilation on sympathetic neural recruitment during similar (i.e., matched) levels of chemoreflex stress. To address this aim, data were analyzed from the apnea protocol for the 3-minute baseline period, the rebreathe period (i.e., until 70 Torr PETO₂), and the second half of the FRC apnea maneuver (i.e., FRC$_{Apnea}$). For the rebreathe protocol, data were analyzed for the 3-minute baseline period, the initial rebreathe period (i.e., until 70 Torr PETO₂), and during the period of continued rebreathe that corresponded to
the same HbSat levels incurred during the second half of FRC\textsubscript{Apnea} (i.e., RBR\textsubscript{Matched}). Therefore, this represents the FRC\textsubscript{Apnea} versus RBR\textsubscript{Matched} comparison at the same level of chemoreflex stress, as inferred from the HbSat values (i.e., because PetCO\textsubscript{2} could not be measured in the FRC\textsubscript{Apnea} component).

The second aim determined the influence of ventilation on sympathetic neural recruitment at more severe levels of chemoreflex stress than that induced by FRC\textsubscript{Apnea}. Therefore, we compared data from the second half of the FRC\textsubscript{Apnea} protocol, as above, to data observed at the end of the continued rebreathe protocol (i.e., RBR\textsubscript{End}). The duration of data studied in the RBR\textsubscript{End} period was matched to that of the last half of the FRC\textsubscript{Apnea}, used in the first aim. Therefore, this represents the FRC\textsubscript{Apnea} versus RBR\textsubscript{End} comparison.

A third aim addressed the issue that the apnea or continued rebreathe protocols always occurred at the end of each protocol, and therefore, this aim compared alternating periods of FRC apnea with rebreathe during progressively increasing chemoreflex stress. For the FRC-RBR\textsubscript{ALT} protocol, as the number of alternating FRC apnea and rebreathe periods differed between participants, the 2-minute baseline and the final three FRC apnea and rebreathe periods for each participant were used for analysis.

MSNA data were analyzed in two manners. First, the integrated neurogram was quantified as per traditional methodology. Second, we studied the patterns of change in APs from the filtered raw MSNA signal as per our approach detailed previously (Salmanpour \textit{et al.} 2010). Integrated sympathetic bursts were identified as exhibiting a
pulse-synchronous burst pattern, having a signal-to-noise ratio of at least 2:1 with respect to the previous period of neural silence, presenting with characteristic rising and falling slopes, and having APs visible in the corresponding raw and filtered neurograms. Integrated sympathetic activity was quantified using burst frequency (the number of bursts per minute), burst incidence (the number of bursts per 100 heartbeats; hb), burst amplitude (normalized to the largest burst recorded at baseline, which was given a value of 100), and total MSNA (the product of normalized burst amplitude and burst frequency).

For the analysis of sympathetic AP patterns, wavelet-based methodology was used to detect and extract APs from the filtered raw MSNA signal (Salmanpour et al. 2010). Specifically, as described in detail previously (Steinback et al. 2010b; Salmanpour et al. 2011; Badrov et al. 2015), a continuous wavelet transform was used, in which a “mother wavelet” (adapted from actual physiological recordings of postganglionic sympathetic APs) was applied to the filtered raw MSNA neurogram to identify and extract APs at their point of occurrence. Next, extracted APs were ordered based on their peak-to-peak amplitude into ‘clusters’ (i.e., bins of similarly-sized APs) (Scott, 1979). Within participants, cluster characteristics were normalized within (i.e., baseline to rebreathe to maneuver) and between (i.e., FRC\textsubscript{Apnea} vs. RBR\textsubscript{Matched} vs. RBR\textsubscript{End}) protocols to ensure that bin width, maximum bin center, and the total number of bins would be identical across conditions. This procedure ensured that corresponding clusters within and between protocols contain APs with the same peak-to-peak amplitude. Therefore, within protocols (i.e., baseline to rebreathe to maneuver), an increase in the number of total clusters represents recruitment of latent sub-populations
of larger-sized axons not present at baseline, whereas between protocols (i.e., \( FRC_{Apnea} \) vs. \( RBR_{Matched} \) vs. \( RBR_{End} \)), a greater number of total clusters represents further recruitment of these latent sub-populations as compared to other protocols. Sympathetic AP patterns were quantified using AP frequency (the number of APs per minute), while sympathetic AP recruitment was expressed using the mean AP content per integrated sympathetic burst (APs/burst), the number of active AP clusters per integrated sympathetic burst (clusters/burst), and the number of total AP clusters (total clusters).

Based on previous validation analysis of our technique (Salmanpour et al. 2010), the average signal-to-noise ratio in the current study (i.e., 4.8±0.6) is expected to produce a correct AP detection rate of >90% and a false positive rate of <3%.

### 5.2.5 Statistical Analysis

All statistical analyses were performed using SigmaPlot 12.0 (Systat Software, San Jose, CA). All data were normally distributed as confirmed by Shapiro-Wilk tests. Two-way repeated measures ANOVAs assessed the effect of protocol (i.e., \( FRC_{Apnea} \) vs. \( RBR_{Matched} \); \( FRC_{Apnea} \) vs. \( RBR_{End} \)) vs. time (i.e., baseline vs. rebreathe vs. maneuver). For the FRC-RBR\(_{ALT}\) protocol, one-way repeated measures ANOVAs assessed the effect of time (i.e., baseline vs. FRC vs. RBR). Bonferroni corrected post-hoc procedures were used to assess specific differences between means, when appropriate. Statistical significance was set at \( P<0.05 \) and all data are presented as means ± SD.
5.3 Results

Figure 5.1 displays representative recordings of the integrated MSNA neurogram and detected APs (and associated chemoreflex stimuli) from one individual during the FRC apnea and rebreathing protocols.

**FRC_{Apnea} vs. RBR_{Matched}**. Both FRC_{Apnea} and RBR_{Matched} elicited large reductions in HbSat (Table 5.1; \( P < 0.001 \)) at end-maneuver. By design, HbSat levels were similar (i.e., matched) between maneuvers (71 ± 6 vs. 71 ± 6%; \( P = \text{NS} \)). Compared to baseline, PETCO₂ (FRC_{Apnea}: +17 ± 2 Torr; RBR_{Matched}: +19 ± 2 Torr; both \( P < 0.001 \)) increased, while PETO₂ (FRC_{Apnea}: −68 ± 7 Torr; RBR_{Matched}: −84 ± 7 Torr; both \( P < 0.001 \)) decreased, during FRC_{Apnea} and RBR_{Matched}.

Integrated MSNA indexes during the baseline, rebreathe, and maneuver periods of the FRC_{Apnea} and RBR_{Matched} protocols are outlined in Table 5.2. Specifically, compared to baseline, burst frequency (FRC_{Apnea}: +29 ± 7 bursts/min; RBR_{Matched}: +12 ± 9 bursts/min; both \( P < 0.01 \)) and burst incidence (FRC_{Apnea}: +52 ± 16 bursts/100 hb; RBR_{Matched}: +14 ± 12 bursts/100 hb; both \( P < 0.05 \)) increased during both maneuvers; however, values of burst frequency and burst incidence were greater during FRC_{Apnea} than RBR_{Matched} (both \( P < 0.001 \)). Similarly, burst amplitude (FRC_{Apnea}: +165 ± 85%; RBR_{Matched}: +69 ± 55%; both \( P < 0.01 \)) increased above baseline levels during both maneuvers, though levels were greater during FRC_{Apnea} (\( P < 0.001 \)). As such, compared to baseline, total MSNA was elevated during both maneuver periods (FRC_{Apnea}: +695 ± 397%; RBR_{Matched}: +336 ± 481%; both \( P < 0.05 \)); yet, once again, levels of total MSNA were greater during FRC_{Apnea} (\( P < 0.001 \)).
Figure 5.2 presents the sympathetic AP discharge indexes during the baseline, rebreathe, and maneuver periods of the FRC_{Apnea} and RBR_{Matched} protocols. Specifically, compared to baseline, AP frequency (FRC_{Apnea}: +1122 ± 534 APs/min; RBR_{Matched}: +328 ± 172 APs/min; both \( P < 0.05 \)) increased during both maneuvers; however, AP frequency was greater during FRC_{Apnea} compared to RBR_{Matched} (1304 ± 534 vs. 511 ± 167 APs/min; \( P < 0.001 \)). This increase in AP frequency was due to the elevated levels of burst frequency, but also, an increase in the AP content per integrated sympathetic burst (FRC_{Apnea}: +18 ± 7 APs/burst; RBR_{Matched}: +7 ± 3 APs/burst; both \( P < 0.01 \)) during both maneuvers, as compared to baseline. The AP content per integrated sympathetic burst, however, was greater during FRC_{Apnea} than RBR_{Matched} (28 ± 9 vs. 18 ± 4 APs/burst; \( P < 0.001 \)). Furthermore, when APs were binned according to peak-to-peak amplitude (i.e., into clusters), the number of total AP clusters increased during FRC_{Apnea} (+10 ± 2 total clusters; \( P < 0.001 \)), but not during RBR_{Matched} (+1 ± 2 total clusters; \( P = \text{NS} \)). As such, the number of total AP clusters was greater during FRC_{Apnea} \( (P < 0.001) \). Finally, the number of active clusters per burst (FRC_{Apnea}: +4 ± 2 clusters/burst; RBR_{Matched}: +2 ± 1 clusters/burst; both \( P < 0.01 \)) was elevated above baseline levels during both maneuvers, though levels were greater during FRC_{Apnea} than RBR_{Matched} \( (P < 0.01) \).

The hemodynamic responses to both maneuvers were consistent with the sympathetic response patterns as shown in Table 5.1. Specifically, compared to baseline, MAP (FRC_{Apnea}: +18 ± 9 mmHg; RBR_{Matched}: +10 ± 7 mmHg; both \( P < 0.01 \)) increased during both maneuvers; yet, the pressor response was greater during FRC_{Apnea} \( (P < 0.001) \). The greater pressor response was associated with a greater TPR during
FRC_{Apnea} (P < 0.001). HR decreased during FRC_{Apnea} and increased during RBR_{Matched} (both P < 0.05), whereas reductions in SV were similar between maneuvers (P = NS). Subsequently, compared to baseline, CO decreased during FRC_{Apnea} (P < 0.001), but remained unchanged during RBR_{Matched} (P = NS).

**FRC_{Apnea} vs. RBR_{End}**. By design, when rebreathing was allowed to continue and analyzed at the end (i.e., RBR_{End}), the RBR_{End} maneuver elicited a larger reduction in HbSat versus FRC_{Apnea} (56 ± 13 vs. 71 ± 6%; P < 0.001; Table 5.3). Similarly, larger increases in PETCO\(_2\) (FRC_{Apnea}: +17 ± 2 Torr; RBR_{End}: +21 ± 3 Torr; both P < 0.001), and larger decreases in PETO\(_2\) (FRC_{Apnea}: −68 ± 7 Torr; RBR_{End}: −94 ± 4 Torr; both P < 0.001), were observed during RBR_{End} compared to FRC_{Apnea} (Table 5.3; both P < 0.001).

Table 5.4 outlines the integrated MSNA indexes during the baseline, rebreathe, and maneuver periods of the FRC_{Apnea} and RBR_{End} protocols. Compared to baseline, burst frequency (FRC_{Apnea}: +29 ± 7 bursts/min; RBR_{End}: +32 ± 17 bursts/min; both P < 0.001) increased to similar levels during both maneuvers (P = NS), whereas burst incidence (FRC_{Apnea}: +52 ± 16 bursts/100 hb; RBR_{Matched}: +33 ± 17 bursts/100 hb; both P < 0.001) increased to a greater extent during FRC_{Apnea} than RBR_{End} (P = 0.001). Burst amplitude (FRC_{Apnea}: +165 ± 85%; RBR_{End}: +103 ± 46%; both P < 0.01) increased above baseline levels during both maneuvers, though levels were greater during FRC_{Apnea} than RBR_{End} (P < 0.05). Finally, compared to baseline, total MSNA was elevated during both maneuver periods (FRC_{Apnea}: +695 ± 397%; RBR_{End}: +785 ± 903%; both P < 0.01); however, levels of total MSNA were not different during FRC_{Apnea} and RBR_{End} (P = NS).
Sympathetic AP discharge indexes during the baseline, rebreathe, and maneuver periods of the FRC_{Apnea} and RBR_{End} protocols are displayed in Figure 5.3. Compared to baseline, AP frequency (FRC_{Apnea}: +1122 ± 534 APs/min; RBR_{End}: +912 ± 429 APs/min; P < 0.001) increased during both maneuvers; however, AP frequency was not different during FRC_{Apnea} and RBR_{End} (1304 ± 534 vs. 1095 ± 423 APs/min; P = NS). Once again, the increased AP frequency was due to the elevated burst frequency, but also, an increase in the AP content per integrated sympathetic burst (FRC_{Apnea}: +18 ± 7 APs/burst; RBR_{End}: +11 ± 5 APs/burst; both P < 0.001) during both maneuvers, as compared to baseline. However, the AP content per integrated sympathetic burst was greater during FRC_{Apnea} than RBR_{End} (28 ± 9 vs. 23 ± 5 APs/burst; P < 0.05). Furthermore, when APs were binned according to peak-to-peak amplitude (i.e., into clusters), the number of total AP clusters increased during FRC_{Apnea} (+10 ± 2 total clusters; P < 0.001) and RBR_{End} (+6 ± 4 total clusters; P < 0.001); yet, total AP clusters was greater during FRC_{Apnea} (P < 0.01). Finally, the number of active clusters per burst (FRC_{Apnea}: +4 ± 2 clusters/burst; RBR_{End}: +2 ± 1 clusters/burst; both P < 0.001) was elevated above baseline levels during both maneuvers, whereby no differences were observed during FRC_{Apnea} versus RBR_{End} (P = NS).

The hemodynamic responses to FRC_{Apnea} and RBR_{End} are shown in Table 5.3. Compared to baseline, MAP (FRC_{Apnea}: +18 ± 9 mmHg; RBR_{Matched}: +20 ± 12 mmHg; both P < 0.001) increased similarly during both maneuvers (P = NS). However, this pressor response was achieved differently for FRC_{Apnea} and RBR_{End}. Specifically, compared to baseline, TPR increased during FRC_{Apnea} (P < 0.001), but not during RBR_{End} (P = NS), whereas CO was increased during RBR_{End} (P < 0.01), but decreased
during FRC_{Apnea} (P < 0.001). The latter was due to an increase in HR (P < 0.001) during RBR_{End} versus no change during FRC_{Apnea} (P = NS), and a similar decrease in SV during both maneuvers (both P < 0.001).

**FRC-RBR_{ALT}**. Figure 5.4 displays representative recordings of the integrated MSNA neurogram and detected APs (and associated chemoreflex stimuli) from one individual during the FRC-RBR_{ALT} protocol. HbSat levels were decreased versus baseline during each of the final two FRC and RBR periods of the FRC-RBR_{ALT} protocol (Table 5.5; all P < 0.001). Compared to baseline, P_{ET CO_2} was increased and P_{ET O_2} decreased during each FRC and RBR period (Table 5.5; all P < 0.001). No differences existed between corresponding periods of FRC and RBR for HbSat or P_{ET CO_2} and P_{ET O_2} levels (all P = NS).

Table 5.6 displays the integrated MSNA indexes during baseline and FRC and RBR periods of the FRC-RBR_{ALT} protocol. Specifically, compared to baseline, all integrated MSNA variables were elevated during each FRC (all P < 0.001), but not during any of the three RBR periods (all P = NS). As such, every corresponding FRC-RBR period was associated with greater levels of integrated MSNA during FRC versus RBR (all P < 0.001).

Sympathetic AP discharge indexes at baseline and during the FRC and RBR periods of the FRC-RBR_{ALT} protocol are shown in Figure 5.5. Compared to baseline, all AP indices were increased during each FRC (all P < 0.001), but not during any RBR period (all P = NS). Therefore, every corresponding FRC-RBR period was associated with greater AP recruitment during FRC than RBR (all P < 0.001).
Finally, the hemodynamic responses to the FRC-RBR$_{ALT}$ protocol are provided in Table 5.5. Compared to baseline, MAP increased during the final FRC and RBR periods (both $P < 0.001$), whereas TPR increased during each FRC (all $P < 0.001$), but not during RBR (all $P = \text{NS}$). HR was reduced during the final FRC versus the final RBR ($P < 0.001$), whereas SV remained unchanged throughout the FRC-RBR$_{ALT}$ protocol (all $P = \text{NS}$). CO decreased during each FRC ($P < 0.001$), was above baseline levels during the first RBR period ($P < 0.001$), but not in each of the final two RBR periods (both $P = \text{NS}$).
Table 5.1: Hemodynamic responses to $FRC_{Apnea}$ and $RBR_{Matched}$ protocols

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Rebreath</th>
<th>Maneuver</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MAP (mmHg)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$FRC_{Apnea}$</td>
<td>87±3</td>
<td>89±3</td>
<td>105±9*†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$RBR_{Matched}$</td>
<td>87±3</td>
<td>88±2</td>
<td>97±6*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR (beats/min)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$FRC_{Apnea}$</td>
<td>70±14</td>
<td>68±13</td>
<td>60±9†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$RBR_{Matched}$</td>
<td>68±12</td>
<td>66±13</td>
<td>75±19*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SV (mL)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$FRC_{Apnea}$</td>
<td>97±20</td>
<td>90±18</td>
<td>84±18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$RBR_{Matched}$</td>
<td>96±19</td>
<td>91±19</td>
<td>86±24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO (L/min)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$FRC_{Apnea}$</td>
<td>6.6±1.3</td>
<td>6.0±1.0</td>
<td>5.0±0.7†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$RBR_{Matched}$</td>
<td>6.4±0.9</td>
<td>5.9±0.8</td>
<td>6.1±0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPR (mmHg/L/min)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$FRC_{Apnea}$</td>
<td>13.5±2.3</td>
<td>15.1±2.1</td>
<td>21.6±3.3*†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$RBR_{Matched}$</td>
<td>13.7±1.7</td>
<td>15.2±1.9</td>
<td>16.1±2.8*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HbSat (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$FRC_{Apnea}$</td>
<td>99.5±0.3</td>
<td>97.2±1.5</td>
<td>71.3±6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$RBR_{Matched}$</td>
<td>99.5±0.4</td>
<td>97.5±1.8</td>
<td>71.3±6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PETCO$_2$ (Torr)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$FRC_{Apnea}$</td>
<td>27±6</td>
<td>41±5*</td>
<td>44±4*†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$RBR_{Matched}$</td>
<td>28±6</td>
<td>40±6*</td>
<td>47±5*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PETO$_2$ (Torr)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$FRC_{Apnea}$</td>
<td>118±5</td>
<td>66±5*</td>
<td>50±5*†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$RBR_{Matched}$</td>
<td>117±7</td>
<td>68±6*</td>
<td>33±3*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are mean ± SD. MAP, mean arterial pressure; HR, heart rate; SV, stroke volume; CO, cardiac output; TPR, total peripheral resistance; HbSat, hemoglobin saturation; PETCO$_2$, end-tidal partial pressure of carbon dioxide; PETO$_2$, end-tidal partial pressure of oxygen. *Significantly different from baseline, $P < 0.05$; †Significantly different from $RBR_{Matched}$, $P < 0.05$. Effects of time were found for SV and HbSat, whereby the maneuver periods were reduced versus baseline, both $P \leq 0.001$. 
Table 5.2: Integrated MSNA responses to FRC\textsubscript{Apnea} and RBR\textsubscript{Matched} protocols

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Rebreath</th>
<th>Maneuver</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Burst Frequency (bursts/min)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( FRC\textsubscript{Apnea} )</td>
<td>17±5</td>
<td>17±6</td>
<td>46±8*†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( RBR\textsubscript{Matched} )</td>
<td>16±7</td>
<td>17±6</td>
<td>28±7*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burst Incidence (bursts/100 hb)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( FRC\textsubscript{Apnea} )</td>
<td>25±10</td>
<td>26±11</td>
<td>77±15*†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( RBR\textsubscript{Matched} )</td>
<td>24±13</td>
<td>26±11</td>
<td>38±11*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burst Amplitude (AU)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( FRC\textsubscript{Apnea} )</td>
<td>50±10</td>
<td>53±9</td>
<td>127±32*†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( RBR\textsubscript{Matched} )</td>
<td>51±4</td>
<td>63±15</td>
<td>87±33*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total MSNA (AU/min)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( FRC\textsubscript{Apnea} )</td>
<td>832±298</td>
<td>877±251</td>
<td>5774±1572*†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( RBR\textsubscript{Matched} )</td>
<td>780±386</td>
<td>1019±307</td>
<td>2496±1434*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are mean ± SD. MSNA, muscle sympathetic nerve activity; hb, heart beats; AU, arbitrary units. *Significantly different from baseline, \( P < 0.05 \); †Significantly different from \( \text{RBR}\textsubscript{Matched} \), \( P < 0.001 \).
### Table 5.3: Hemodynamic responses to FRC<sub>Apnea</sub> and RBR<sub>End</sub> protocols

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Rebreath</th>
<th>Maneuver</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>MAP (mmHg)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRC&lt;sub&gt;Apnea&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>87±3</td>
<td>89±3</td>
<td>105±9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RBR&lt;sub&gt;End&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>87±3</td>
<td>88±2</td>
<td>106±11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>HR (beats/min)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRC&lt;sub&gt;Apnea&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>70±14</td>
<td>68±13</td>
<td>60±9†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RBR&lt;sub&gt;End&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>68±12</td>
<td>66±13</td>
<td>84±23*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SV (mL)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRC&lt;sub&gt;Apnea&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>97±20</td>
<td>90±18</td>
<td>84±18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RBR&lt;sub&gt;End&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>96±19</td>
<td>91±19</td>
<td>87±23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CO (L/min)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRC&lt;sub&gt;Apnea&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>6.6±1.3</td>
<td>6.0±1.0</td>
<td>5.0±0.7*†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RBR&lt;sub&gt;End&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>6.4±0.9</td>
<td>5.9±0.8</td>
<td>7.0±1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TPR (mmHg/L/min)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRC&lt;sub&gt;Apnea&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>13.5±2.3</td>
<td>15.1±2.1</td>
<td>21.6±3.3*†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RBR&lt;sub&gt;End&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>13.7±1.7</td>
<td>15.2±1.9</td>
<td>15.5±2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>HbSat (%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRC&lt;sub&gt;Apnea&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>99.5±0.3</td>
<td>97.2±1.5</td>
<td>71.3±6.3*†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RBR&lt;sub&gt;End&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>99.5±0.4</td>
<td>97.5±1.8</td>
<td>51.9±13.1*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PETCO&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt; (Torr)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRC&lt;sub&gt;Apnea&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>27±6</td>
<td>41±5*</td>
<td>44±4*†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RBR&lt;sub&gt;End&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>28±6</td>
<td>40±6*</td>
<td>49±6*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PETO&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt; (Torr)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRC&lt;sub&gt;Apnea&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>118±5</td>
<td>66±5*</td>
<td>50±5*†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RBR&lt;sub&gt;End&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>117±7</td>
<td>68±6*</td>
<td>23±5*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are mean ± SD. MAP, mean arterial pressure; HR, heart rate; SV, stroke volume; CO, cardiac output; TPR, total peripheral resistance; HbSat, hemoglobin saturation; PETCO<sub>2</sub>, end-tidal partial pressure of carbon dioxide; PETO<sub>2</sub>, end-tidal partial pressure of oxygen. *Significantly different from baseline, \( P < 0.01 \); †Significantly different from RBR<sub>End</sub>, \( P < 0.01 \). An effect of time was found for MAP, whereby the rebreathe and maneuver period were greater than baseline, both \( P < 0.001 \). An effect of time was found for SV, whereby the maneuver period was reduced versus baseline, \( P < 0.001 \).
Table 5.4: Integrated MSNA responses to $FRC_{Apnea}$ and $RBR_{End}$ protocols

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Rebreathe</th>
<th>Maneuver</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Burst Frequency (bursts/min)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$FRC_{Apnea}$</td>
<td>17±5</td>
<td>17±6</td>
<td>46±8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$RBR_{End}$</td>
<td>16±7</td>
<td>17±6</td>
<td>47±15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Burst Incidence (bursts/100 hb)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$FRC_{Apnea}$</td>
<td>25±10</td>
<td>26±11</td>
<td>77±15*†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$RBR_{End}$</td>
<td>24±13</td>
<td>26±11</td>
<td>57±15*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Burst Amplitude (AU)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$FRC_{Apnea}$</td>
<td>50±10</td>
<td>53±9</td>
<td>127±32*†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$RBR_{End}$</td>
<td>51±4</td>
<td>63±15</td>
<td>104±29*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total MSNA (AU/min)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$FRC_{Apnea}$</td>
<td>832±298</td>
<td>877±251</td>
<td>5774±1572</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$RBR_{End}$</td>
<td>780±386</td>
<td>1019±307</td>
<td>5170±2745</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are mean ± SD. MSNA, muscle sympathetic nerve activity; hb, heart beats; AU, arbitrary units. *Significantly different from baseline, $P < 0.05$; †Significantly different from $RBR_{End}$, $P < 0.001$. Effects of time were found for burst frequency and total MSNA, whereby the rebreathe and maneuver periods were greater than baseline, all $P < 0.001$. 
Table 5.5: Hemodynamic responses to the FRC-RBR\textsubscript{ALT} protocol

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>FRC\textsubscript{1}</th>
<th>RBR\textsubscript{1}</th>
<th>FRC\textsubscript{2}</th>
<th>RBR\textsubscript{2}</th>
<th>FRC\textsubscript{3}</th>
<th>RBR\textsubscript{3}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MAP (mmHg)</td>
<td>88±3</td>
<td>92±3</td>
<td>88±3</td>
<td>96±7</td>
<td>96±6</td>
<td>105±11*</td>
<td>101±10*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR (beats/min)</td>
<td>63±6</td>
<td>57±7</td>
<td>63±7</td>
<td>60±9</td>
<td>66±11</td>
<td>58±10†</td>
<td>69±12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SV (mL)</td>
<td>97±23</td>
<td>88±21</td>
<td>88±21</td>
<td>87±24</td>
<td>87±24</td>
<td>90±28</td>
<td>91±26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO (L/min)</td>
<td>6.0±1.1</td>
<td>5.0±0.9*</td>
<td>5.4±0.9*</td>
<td>5.1±1.1*</td>
<td>5.6±0.8</td>
<td>5.1±1.0*†</td>
<td>6.1±0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPR (mmHg/L/min)</td>
<td>15.1±2.6</td>
<td>19.2±3.9*</td>
<td>16.4±2.2</td>
<td>20.0±4.0*</td>
<td>17.6±3.0</td>
<td>21.5±5.6**†</td>
<td>17.0±3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HbSat (%)</td>
<td>99.5±0.5</td>
<td>95.3±2.3</td>
<td>95.3±3.5</td>
<td>88.6±5.5*</td>
<td>88.7±6.9*</td>
<td>75.9±2.9*</td>
<td>72.1±11.9*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P\textsubscript{ET}CO\textsubscript{2} (Torr)</td>
<td>28±7</td>
<td>40±3*</td>
<td>41±4*</td>
<td>44±4*</td>
<td>44±5*</td>
<td>47±5*</td>
<td>48±7*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P\textsubscript{ET}O\textsubscript{2} (Torr)</td>
<td>114±12</td>
<td>67±11*</td>
<td>69±11*</td>
<td>50±4*</td>
<td>50±10*</td>
<td>40±4*</td>
<td>36±8*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are mean ± SD. FRC, functional residual capacity apnea; RBR, rebreathe; MAP, mean arterial pressure; HR, heart rate; SV, stroke volume; CO, cardiac output; TPR, total peripheral resistance; HbSat, hemoglobin saturation; P\textsubscript{ET}CO\textsubscript{2}, end-tidal partial pressure of carbon dioxide; P\textsubscript{ET}O\textsubscript{2}, end-tidal partial pressure of oxygen.

*Significantly different from baseline, \( P<0.001 \); †Significantly different from corresponding RBR period, \( P<0.001 \).
Table 5.6: Integrated MSNA responses to the FRC-RBR_{ALT} protocol

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>FRC₁</th>
<th>RBR₁</th>
<th>FRC₂</th>
<th>RBR₂</th>
<th>FRC₃</th>
<th>RBR₃</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BF (bursts/min)</td>
<td>18±7</td>
<td>40±7*†</td>
<td>14±7</td>
<td>41±6*†</td>
<td>20±7</td>
<td>53±8*†</td>
<td>19±8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BI (bursts/100hb)</td>
<td>29±10</td>
<td>69±9*†</td>
<td>22±12</td>
<td>69±13*†</td>
<td>30±10</td>
<td>91±8*†</td>
<td>27±9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BA (AU)</td>
<td>54±12</td>
<td>75±18*</td>
<td>54±7</td>
<td>92±13*†</td>
<td>62±9</td>
<td>135±28*†</td>
<td>80±18*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total MSNA (AU/min)</td>
<td>931±327</td>
<td>2997±969*†</td>
<td>726±339</td>
<td>3760±827*†</td>
<td>1262±515</td>
<td>7076±1498*†</td>
<td>1427±540</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are mean ± SD. MSNA, muscle sympathetic nerve activity; FRC, functional residual capacity apnea; RBR, rebreathe; BF, burst frequency; BI, burst incidence; hb, heart beats; BA, burst amplitude; AU, arbitrary units. *Significantly different from baseline, \( P < 0.001 \); †Significantly different from corresponding RBR period, \( P < 0.001 \).
Figure 5.1: Representative recordings of the integrated muscle sympathetic nerve activity (MSNA) neurogram and detected action potentials (APs) (and associated chemoreflex stimuli) from one individual during the functional residual capacity (FRC) apnea and rebreathing protocols.

PO$_2$, partial pressure of oxygen; PCO$_2$, partial pressure of carbon dioxide; HbSat, hemoglobin saturation. Highlighted (i.e., boxed) areas represent portions of the maneuver used for analysis.
Figure 5.2: Sympathetic action potential (AP) recruitment during baseline (BSL), initial rebreathe (RBR), and maneuver (MAN) periods of the FRC\textsubscript{Apnea} and RBR\textsubscript{Matched} protocols.

*Significantly different from BSL, $P < 0.05$; †Significantly different from RBR\textsubscript{Matched}, $P < 0.01$. 
Figure 5.3: Sympathetic action potential (AP) recruitment during baseline (BSL), initial rebreathe (RBR), and maneuver (MAN) periods of the FRC_{Apnea} and RBR_{End} protocols.

*Significantly different from BSL, $P < 0.05$; †Significantly different from RBR_{End}, $P < 0.01$. 
Figure 5.4: Representative recordings of the integrated muscle sympathetic nerve activity (MSNA) neurogram and detected action potentials (APs) (and associated chemoreflex stimuli) from one individual during the alternating functional residual capacity (FRC) apnea and rebreathe (RBR) protocol (i.e., FRC-RBR\textsubscript{ALT}).

PO\textsubscript{2}, partial pressure of oxygen; PCO\textsubscript{2}, partial pressure of carbon dioxide; HbSat, hemoglobin saturation. Highlighted (i.e., boxed) area represents the portion of the maneuver used for analysis.
Figure 5.5: Sympathetic action potential (AP) recruitment during baseline (BSL) and alternating periods of apnea at functional residual capacity (FRC) and rebreathe (RBR).

HbSat, hemoglobin saturation. *Significantly different from BSL, $P < 0.001$; †Significantly different from corresponding RBR, $P < 0.001$. 
5.4 Discussion

The current study was designed to study the dissociated influences of chemoreceptor stimuli (i.e., hypoxia and hypercapnia) and ventilation on sympathetic AP recruitment patterns during chemoreflex stress. First, we demonstrate that during similar levels of (high) chemoreflex stress, the act of ventilation per se restrained the increased firing of already-active, lower-threshold axons, and furthermore, inhibited the recruitment of latent, higher-threshold axons that appeared during apnea. Second, recruitment of previously-silent axons was observed during severe chemoreflex stimulation induced by the continued rebreathe protocol; however, sympathetic AP recruitment was still restrained as compared to apnea. Finally, during alternating periods of apnea and rebreathe, the act of rebreathing between apneas was associated with a rapid and complete withdrawal of sympathetic AP recruitment, despite the ever-increasing chemoreflex stimulus. As such, it appears that the sympathoinhibitory effect of ventilation during chemoreceptor activation extends to the central recruitment strategies used by the sympathetic nervous system to respond to homeostatic stress, whereby both the frequency of neural discharge and recruitment of latent axons are restrained/inhibited in the presence of ventilation, even at excessive levels of chemoreflex stress.

The sympathoexcitatory response to voluntary apnea is robust, and indeed, includes a powerful stimulus for efferent sympathetic axonal recruitment (Steinback et al. 2010b; Breskovic et al. 2011; Badrov et al. 2015; Badrov et al. 2016a). Chemoreceptor activation is believed to form a primary mechanism driving this response. Nevertheless, previous work demonstrates that the absence of ventilation
during apnea acts to augment this sympathetic drive (Somers et al. 1989a,b; Steinback et al. 2010a). Certainly, albeit indirectly, ventilation has been shown to be a powerful within-breath modulator of sympathetic neural recruitment (Seals et al. 1990). Specifically, during deep breathing, the typical observation of increased and decreased MSNA during expiration and inspiration, respectively (Eckberg et al. 1985), was extended to show that, over multiple breaths, MSNA inhibition during inspiration was compensated for by augmented burst amplitude during expiration (Seals et al. 1990). Therefore, the total MSNA response was not affected. Furthermore, since burst size reflects AP content (Ninomiya et al. 1993; Salmanpour et al. 2011), ventilation affects sympathetic AP synchronization and recruitment of additional and/or larger sympathetic axons (Ninomiya et al. 1993; Salmanpour et al. 2011). Our current results support the conjecture that ventilation modulates sympathetic postganglionic axonal recruitment and extend this conclusion to include high/severe levels of chemoreflex stress.

The current data illustrate, like never before, the unfailing ability of inhalation to inhibit sympathetic activity across a wide range of chemoreflex stress, at least acutely, and to inhibit AP recruitment even during what likely are the most severe cases of chemoreflex stress that can be imposed voluntarily. Specifically, replicating earlier studies (Steinback et al. 2010b; Badrov et al. 2015; Badrov et al. 2016a), the large increases in neural outflow during apnea were associated with increases in the within-burst firing frequency of already-recruited sympathetic fibers, as well as the recruitment of latent (i.e., not present at baseline) sub-populations of larger-sized axons. However, for similar levels of hypoxia and hypercapnia, but in the presence of ventilation (i.e.,
during RBR\textsubscript{Matched}), these neural recruitment patterns were not achieved. Indeed, RBR\textsubscript{Matched} was associated with a lower firing frequency and a restrained increase in the number of APs per integrated burst, such that, overall, sympathetic AP frequency increased approximately 700\% during FRC\textsubscript{Apnea}, but only about 300\% during RBR\textsubscript{Matched}. Furthermore, the capacity of the sympathetic nervous system to recruit latent neural sub-populations was inhibited during RBR\textsubscript{Matched}, despite the same chemoreflex load, indicating the profound effect of ventilation on AP recruitment patterns.

Nonetheless, the current data do support the idea that the sympathoinhibitory effect of ventilation is less effective at more severe levels of chemoreflex stress. Specifically, latent axons were recruited during the more severe chemoreflex stress induced by the continued rebreathe protocol, despite the presence of ventilation (i.e., RBR\textsubscript{End}). Interestingly, in this scenario (i.e., FRC\textsubscript{Apnea} vs. RBR\textsubscript{End}) the total integrated MSNA response was similar between protocols but the underlying patterns of AP recruitment differed by maneuver. These data highlight the importance of studying AP behaviour and support the notion that these latent, higher-threshold axons, are reserved for severe stress scenarios (Badrov \textit{et al.} 2015).

The protocol alternating between FRC and rebreathing further enforces the role of ventilation in AP recruitment, across many levels of progressive chemoreflex stress. In this case, all occurrences of rebreathe, despite no relief of chemical drive, were associated with an inhibition of sympathetic AP discharge to baseline levels. Specifically, while each apnea was associated with increased within-burst firing of lower-threshold axons and recruitment of latent, larger-sized axons, each subsequent
period of rebreathe was accompanied by a total withdrawal of this sympathetic AP recruitment. This withdrawal of sympathetic drive following the resumption of breathing in the face of similar and/or elevated chemical drive is consistent with findings from the integrated MSNA neurogram (Watenpaugh et al. 1999; Steinback et al. 2010a; Seitz et al. 2013). For example, Steinback et al. (2010a) found that sympathetic burst activity was silenced during brief periods of rebreathe following apnea. Furthermore, Seitz et al. (2013) demonstrated an immediate inhibition of MSNA following the break point of end-expiratory apnea, which was not influenced by whether subjects inhaled room air or asphyxic gas upon the resumption of breathing.

Therefore, the present data support previous findings that indicate ventilation per se is responsible for the sympathoinhibition across a wide range of chemoreflex stress. However, acute periods of rebreathe following apnea were associated with complete withdrawal of AP discharge, whereas when rebreathe was allowed to continue (i.e., RBR_{End} protocol), sympathetic axonal recruitment did occur over time, albeit still at lesser levels than apnea. These observations suggest that the sympathoinhibitory influence of breathing may be robust acutely, but perhaps, less effective over time as the chemoreflex load continues to extreme levels.

The mechanisms underlying the ventilatory restraint of sympathetic axonal recruitment during high levels of chemoreflex stress remain unknown and cannot be elucidated from the current results. Possible contributors include lung-stretch receptor feedback (Somers et al. 1989b) and perceptual factors associated with the stress experienced during prolonged breath-holding (Fowler, 1954; Heusser et al. 2009). Stated differently, the volitional effort not to breathe coupled with the growing desire-
to-breathe during voluntary apnea may result in a greater perception of stress than that of rebreathing, thereby influencing sympathetic drive. Callister et al. (1992) have shown that levels of perceived stress influence directly the sympathetic response during cognitive challenge. However, stress-induced sympathetic responses related to such prolonged breath-holds and chemoreflex duress produced by these elite breath-hold competitors has not been quantified. However, components of the current observations argue against a “stress” determinant of sympathetic drive during apnea. First, all participants were instructed to engage in and continue each protocol until they achieved ~85% of their self-perceived ‘maximal’ tolerance for the maneuver. As such, the \( \text{FRC}_{\text{Apnea}} \) and \( \text{RBR}_{\text{End}} \) protocols were ‘matched’ for levels of perceived stress, whereby greater AP recruitment was still observed during apnea. Second, we deliberately studied breath-hold divers who are uniquely trained to endure this level of stress and perform these maneuvers often. Thus, the fact that these were not ‘maximal’ efforts, combined with the participants’ familiarity with the maneuvers, likely suggests that levels of perceived stress during voluntary apnea were not maximal. However, we acknowledge that the perceptual levels of stress magnitude in sympathetic activation in the context of apneas are not known. Finally, albeit in a volitional handgrip contraction and metaboreflex activation model, we have found that a central perceptual component has little to do with actual axonal recruitment, as opposed to the effects of peripheral-reflex mechanisms (Badrov et al. 2016b). Therefore, we do not regard a specific role of perceptual factors in the current results as important in the observations. Furthermore, whether a baroreflex mechanism contributed to the divergent sympathetic recruitment responses between conditions is unknown, but unlikely. Specifically, the differing AP
recruitment responses persisted despite large and similar (or slightly greater during apnea) increases in MAP during both maneuvers. Therefore, it is likely that resetting of the arterial baroreflex occurred during both maneuvers (Muenter Swift et al. 2003), the magnitude of which might be greater during apnea. Nonetheless, ventilation appears to modulate sympathetic axonal recruitment during high and even severe chemoreflex stress. The mechanisms mediating this restraint on sympathetic neural recruitment will require additional study.

In summary, the present study demonstrated that the sympathetic axonal recruitment strategies that are normally observed during apnea are largely restrained by the act of ventilation per se, despite similar and/or greater levels of high, and even, extreme, chemoreflex stress. Specifically, during severe levels of hypoxia and hypercapnia, both the frequency of within-burst firing of already-active sympathetic fibres and the recruitment of sub-populations of previously-silent, higher-threshold axons are restrained in the presence of ventilation. Therefore, the robust increases in sympathetic nerve activity seen during apnea are largely influenced by the lack of breathing itself, rather than the increasing chemical drive, although the latter undoubtedly plays a role (Hardy et al. 1994). Nonetheless, the pronounced sympathetic neural recruitment in response to apnea, and in turn, the large systemic vascular resistance and blood pressure response may lend important mechanistic insight into observed relationship between obstructive sleep apnea and cardiovascular disease (Kasai et al. 2012).
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Chapter 6

6  General Discussion

6.1  Overview

The SNS represents a fundamental system of control through which homeostatic adjustments to physiological stress are achieved, occurring largely through the reflexive regulation of blood pressure and blood flow. In turn, this process (i.e., neural control of the circulation) is characterized by a highly integrative and finely-tuned system that is layered with multiple levels of unique, albeit complex, control. Briefly, these include post-ganglionic sympathetic nerve outflow, neurotransmitter release, and the end-organ vascular response. Indeed, since the first human recordings of efferent sympathetic nerve activity in the late 1960’s (Hagbarth & Vallbo, 1968), integrative physiologists have been interested in the patterned nature of sympathetic neural discharge and, in turn, its implication for, and role in, the neurovascular control complex. Stated differently, the patterning of neural discharge reflects the strategies used by the CNS to fine-tune sympathetic outflow, and ultimately, control systemic vascular resistance and blood pressure, thereby maintaining homeostasis in the face of physiological perturbation. As such, in the current dissertation, we investigated recruitment strategies in efferent post-ganglionic sympathetic nerve activity with the hopes of gaining greater insight into one of the complex mechanisms by which sympathetic neuro-circulatory control is governed.

6.2  Major Findings

The series of studies contained herein investigated the neural coding patterns employed by the SNS to alter efferent sympathetic outflow in response to homeostatic
challenge, and further, the modifiers of, and mechanisms of control underlying these recruitment strategies. Overall, these studies support the working hypothesis and confirm that neural coding principles operate within the SNS. Specifically, these reflect the ability to increase discharge frequency or rate of already-active, lower-threshold axons (i.e., rate coding), recruit latent, higher-threshold neural sub-populations (i.e., population coding), as well as modify acutely synaptic delays and/or central processing times (i.e., temporal coding) in response to reflex-mediated sympathoexcitatory stress.

A major finding of this series of studies was the ability of the SNS to recruit sub-populations of previously silent (i.e., not active at baseline), larger amplitude, and faster conducting sympathetic axons in response to severe physiological stress. In turn, this recruitment option appears to be a fixed, reflex-independent recruitment strategy available to the SNS, as this pattern was observed during severe chemoreflex- (i.e., Studies 1, 3, and 4), baroreflex- (i.e., Study 1), and metaboreflex- (i.e., Study 2) mediated sympathoexcitation. These data of latent, but recruitable, higher-threshold axons are consistent with rat work in which approximately 20% of post-ganglionic C-fibres are quiet at rest (Habler et al. 1994), and are further supported by the concept that C-fibre diameter variations (~0.5 to 2.0 um) exist within the human peroneal nerve (Tompkins et al. 2013). However, recruitment of latent axons was not observed during preliminary studies using more modest levels of baroreceptor unloading (i.e., −60 mmHg LBNP) (Salmanpour et al. 2011a), or in the current dissertation, during low levels of metaboreflex activation (i.e., the first three minutes of SHG exercise; Study 3). In these low- to moderate- stress situations, sympathetic outflow was elevated primarily through the increased firing of axons already-active at baseline. Therefore, it appears
that the option to recruit latent neural sub-populations is reserved for severe stress scenarios requiring high sympathetic drive. In this sense, original hypotheses from Macefield and colleagues (Macefield & Wallin, 1999), in which they suggested that recruitment of new axons may be a primary mechanism by which sympathetic activation is achieved, are confirmed presently. However, it appears that a threshold for recruitment of these latent sub-populations exists that varies with stress severity (i.e., reserved for severe stress). Therefore, during low- to moderate- levels of stress, the SNS elevates sympathetic neural drive through increased firing of lower-threshold axons (i.e., those already-active at baseline), whereas during severe stress scenarios, latent sub-populations of higher-threshold sympathetic axons are also recruited to help achieve the high levels of sympathetic outflow necessary to maintain cardiovascular homeostasis. As such, the SNS operates on a principle of ordered recruitment, similar to that of the skeletal motor system during the generation of ever-increasing muscular contraction force (i.e., Henneman’s size principle) (Henneman et al. 1965).

Furthermore, results from Study 2 suggest that peripheral-reflex mechanisms play a dominant role in mediating this recruitment option. Specifically, latent, higher-threshold axons were present (i.e., recruited) towards the end of fatiguing SHG exercise, and importantly, persisted throughout the PECO phase (i.e., in the absence of central, volitional factors). However, this important finding pertains to a metaboreflex-model, and, therefore, whether this conclusion applies across all reflexes will require future study. Yet, central factors likely play a small and insignificant role during LBNP (i.e., Study 1), lending further support to the concept that peripheral sensory mechanisms are responsible for mediating this recruitment strategy. Nonetheless, the
present studies confirm the presence of neural coding patterns in the SNS, reflecting both rate- and population coding strategies in response to acute reflex-mediated sympathoexcitatory stress.

Another major and novel finding of this series of studies is that, in addition to actual recruitment, the SNS exhibits a third strategy to alter efferent sympathetic outflow in response to physiologic stress; that is, the ability to modify acutely synaptic delays and/or central processing times. Specifically, the AP cluster size-latency profile was shifted acutely downward during maximal voluntary apnea (i.e., Studies 1 and 3) and towards the end of fatiguing SHG exercise (i.e., minutes four and five; Study 2), such that the latency of all corresponding APs with similar peak-to-peak amplitudes (i.e., AP clusters) was reduced during severe stress. Stated differently, lower-threshold axons were recruited with a shorter latency during reflex-mediated stress. In line with these observations, a similar systemic downward shift in the AP cluster size-latency profile was found during the Valsalva maneuver (Salmanpour et al. 2011b). These findings suggest the possibility of a neural short-cut somewhere within the neural reflex-arc; however, the exact mechanism(s) and/or source(s) of these synaptic delay changes cannot be discerned from the current analyses and will require future study. Interestingly, in contrast to voluntary apnea (i.e., Studies 1 and 3) and SHG exercise (i.e., Study 2), during −80 mmHg LBNP-induced baroreceptor unloading (i.e., Study 1), the AP cluster size-latency profile was shifted acutely upward, such that all APs were recruited with a slower latency during lower body suction. The physiological relevance of this observation is unknown. Nevertheless, the common pattern of a systemic shift to shorter reflex latency during maximal voluntary apnea (i.e., Studies 1 and 3), the
Valsalva maneuver (Salmanpour et al. 2011b), and towards the end of fatiguing SHG exercise, but not during PECO (i.e., Study 2), suggests that central, perceptual factors may play a role in mediating the synaptic delay component of sympathetic neural discharge. Furthermore, just like for that observed in the recruitment of latent neural sub-populations, it seems that a threshold level of stress (or perception of stress) exists for this recruitment option; that is, despite central, volitional factors being active throughout all five minutes of SHG (i.e., Study 2), a downward shift in the AP cluster size-latency profile was not observed until minutes four and five of exercise, when levels of perceived stress were likely quite high. Nonetheless, in line with early theories from Wallin et al. (1994), it appears that acute malleability of synaptic delays and/or central processing times exists as an option available to the SNS to alter sympathetic drive.

Furthermore, findings from Study 3 provide the first insight into the malleability of these sympathetic neural recruitment strategies with increasing age and cardiovascular disease. Importantly, it was demonstrated that the sympathetic dysregulation commonly observed at rest with age and cardiovascular disease extends to the neural coding patterns used by the SNS to respond to acute physiologic stress. Specifically, while the ability to acutely modify synaptic delays and/or central processing times appears preserved, both the ability to increase within-burst firing frequency of already-recruited axons, and importantly, the capacity of the SNS to recruit latent sub-populations of higher-threshold axons are reduced with healthy aging and perhaps lost altogether with CAD. Therefore, aging, and even more so, cardiovascular disease, impairs sympathetic axonal recruitment during apneic stress.
However, future study is needed to assess recruitment responses to additional reflex stimuli (i.e., baroreflex, metaboreflex, cold pressor test, etc.) to determine if the presently observed impairment in neural discharge patterns with aging and cardiovascular disease is specific to the apnea maneuver itself, or whether it represents a generalized impairment across reflexes.

Finally, results from Study 4 suggest that, while voluntary apnea appears to provide the most robust stimulus for sympathetic neural recruitment (Steinback et al. 2010), the response is largely due to the lack of ventilation itself. That is, increased firing of already-active axons, and also, the recruitment of latent, higher-threshold axons, is largely restrained/inhibited by the act of breathing, despite similar and/or greater levels of severe chemoreflex stress. Therefore, the lack of ventilation per se, rather than the increasing chemical drive, appears largely responsible for the robust increases in sympathetic nerve activity observed during apnea.

6.3 Perspectives and Significance

The findings of the studies contained herein enhance our understanding of how the SNS is activated during reflex-mediated physiological stress, and, importantly, provide a novel perspective on sympathetic neural recruitment that may offer additional insight into the complex nature of sympathetic neurovascular control in health and disease. For example, the patterning of sympathetic neural discharge likely exerts important influences on neurotransmitter release which, in turn, likely affects the end-organ homeostatic response. Indeed, the pattern of nerve discharge frequency appears to affect neurotransmitter release, whereby ATP is dominantly released during low frequency nerve activation (Todorov et al. 1994), followed by NE release at higher
frequencies (Stjärne & Brundin, 1977), and finally, NPY release at even higher frequencies (Pernow et al. 1989). However, the effect of increased firing probabilities and recruitment of latent axons on neurotransmitter release patterns and the end-organ vascular response (i.e., ‘neurovascular transduction’) remains to be determined. Yet, it appears reasonable that these recruitment strategies may code for and/or combine to elicit different functional responses within the end-organ, that, in turn, optimize the ability of the SNS to buffer acute homeostatic perturbation. Indeed, efforts from the integrated MSNA neurogram have demonstrated that total burst activity, likely reflective of the integration and details of these sympathetic axonal recruitment patterns (i.e., increased firing probability, latent neural sub-populations, synaptic delays, etc.), influence directly the magnitude of decrease in leg vascular conductance (Fairfax et al. 2013). Nonetheless, the exact role(s) of these recruitment strategies available to the SNS in the overall sympathetic neuro-circulatory control complex remains to be discovered.

Furthermore, the data from this dissertation suggest that there are implications of these recruitment strategies for cardiovascular disease, which, by extension, may provide novel mechanistic insight into the aberrant sympathetic control seen in certain cardiovascular-related pathologies. For example, findings of exaggerated sympathetic and pressor responses to exercise in certain pathologies like hypertension (Delaney et al. 2010) and chronic heart failure (Notarius et al. 2001; Murai et al. 2009) point to abnormal central features of sympathetic neural recruitment. Yet, how these observations integrate with the current observations of diminished recruitment in CAD patients remains to be investigated. Nonetheless, the current analytical technique may
offer a novel and innovative approach in the understanding of the mechanisms contributing to abnormal sympathetic discharge patterns in humans. Certainly, these important issues warrant further study.

6.4 Conclusion

In conclusion, the series of studies contained within this dissertation confirm the presence of neural coding patterns in efferent post-ganglionic sympathetic nerve activity, and further, offer novel insight into the recruitment strategies used by the SNS to respond to homeostatic challenge. Specifically, in response to acute physiological stress, the SNS has options to increase the firing frequency of already-active, lower-threshold axons, recruit latent sub-populations of larger-sized, higher-threshold axons, as well as modify acutely synaptic delays and/or central processing times. The recruitment of latent neuronal sub-populations represents a reflex-independent strategy within the SNS that is reserved for severe stress scenarios requiring high sympathetic outflow, and further, is likely mediated via peripheral-reflex sensory mechanisms. However, it appears that the capacity of the SNS to recruit these latent axons is reduced with aging and perhaps lost altogether in cardiovascular disease. In contrast, the synaptic delay component of efferent nerve discharge is reflex-specific, is influenced by central, perceptual factors, and appears preserved with increasing age and cardiovascular disease.
6.5 References


Appendix A: Ethics Approval
Principal Investigator: Dr. K. Shoemaker
Review Number: 16912
Review Date: February 23, 2010
Review Level: Full Board
Approved Local # of Participants: 30
Protocol Title: Sex-specific hormone levels and reflex sympathoexcitation
Department and Institution: Kinesiology, University of Western Ontario
Sponsor: NSERC # 217916-2008
Ethics Approval Date: March 12, 2010
Expiry Date: December 31, 2012
Documents Reviewed and Approved: UWO Protocol, Letter of information & consent form & Advertisement
Documents Received for Information:

This is to notify you that the University of Western Ontario Research Ethics Board for Health Sciences Research Involving Human Subjects (HSREB) which is organized and operates according to the Tri-Council Policy Statement: Ethical Conduct of Research Involving Humans and the Health Canada/ICH Good Clinical Practice Practices: Consolidated Guidelines and the applicable laws and regulations of Ontario has reviewed and granted approval to the above referenced study on the approval date noted above. The membership of this REB also complies with the membership requirements for REB's as defined in Division 5 of the Food and Drug Regulations.

The ethics approval for this study shall remain valid until the expiry date noted above subject to timely and acceptable responses to the HSREB's periodic requests for surveillance and monitoring information. If you require an updated approval notice prior to that date you must request it using the UWO Updated Approval Request Form.

During the course of the research, no deviations from, or changes to, the protocol or consent form may be initiated without prior written approval from the HSREB except when necessary to eliminate immediate hazards to the subject or when the change(s) involve only logistical or administrative aspects of the study (e.g. change in monitor, telephone number). Expired review of minor changes(s) in ongoing studies will be considered. Subjects must receive a copy of the signed information/consent documentation.

Investigators must promptly report to the HSREB:
- a) changes increasing the risk to the participant(s) and/or affecting significantly the conduct of the study;
- b) all adverse and unexpected experiences or events that are both serious and unexpected;
- c) any new information that may adversely affect the safety of the subject(s) or the conduct of the study.

If these changes/ adverse events require a change to the information/consent documentation, and/or recruitment advertising, the newly revised information/consent documentation, and/or advertisement must be submitted to the HSREB for approval.

Members of the HSREB who are named as investigators in research studies, or declare a conflict of interest, do not participate in the review of any applications they are responsible for.

Chair of HSREB: Dr. Joseph Gilbert
FDA Ref: #. IRS 0000546

Ethics Officer to Contact for Further Information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Janice Sutherland</th>
<th>Elizabeth Wangholt</th>
<th>Grace Kelly</th>
<th>Denise Granton</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><a href="mailto:jsteth@uwo.ca">jsteth@uwo.ca</a></td>
<td><a href="mailto:ewangholt@uwo.ca">ewangholt@uwo.ca</a></td>
<td><a href="mailto:grace.kelly@uwo.ca">grace.kelly@uwo.ca</a></td>
<td><a href="mailto:dan@uwo.ca">dan@uwo.ca</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This is an official document. Please retain the original in your files.
Principal Investigator: Dr. Kevin Shoemaker
File Number: 102782
Review Level: Delegated
Protocol Title: Effect of central blood volume manipulation on the cardiac output contribution to the exercise pressor reflex
Department & Institution: Health Sciences and Kinesiology, Western University
Sponsor: Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council

Ethics Approval Date: December 23, 2013 Expiry Date: December 31, 2014

Documents Reviewed & Approved & Documents Received for Information:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Document Name</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Increase in number of local participants</td>
<td>The number of participants has been revised from 33 to 16 in order to achieve complete data sets.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revised Western University Protocol</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revised Letter of Information &amp; Consent</td>
<td>V2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This is to notify you that The University of Western Ontario Research Ethics Board for Health Sciences Research Involving Human Subjects (HSREB) which is organized and operates according to the Tri-Council Policy Statement: Ethical Conduct of Research Involving Humans and the Health Canada/CIHR Good Clinical Practice Practices: Consolidated Guidelines; and the applicable laws and regulations of Ontario has reviewed and granted approval to the above referenced revision(s) or amendment(s) on the approval date noted above. The membership of this REB also complies with the membership requirements for REBs as defined in Division 5 of the Food and Drug Regulations.

The ethics approval for this study shall remain valid until the expiry date noted above assuming timely and acceptable responses to the HSREB's periodic requests for surveillance and monitoring information. If you require an updated approval notice prior to that time you must request it using the University of Western Ontario Updated Approval Request Form.

Members of the HSREB who are named as investigators in research studies, or declare a conflict of interest, do not participate in discussion related to, nor vote on, such studies when they are presented to the HSREB.

The Chair of the HSREB is Dr. Joseph Gilbert. The HSREB is registered with the U.S. Department of Health & Human Services under the IRB registration number IRB00000040.

Western University, Research, Support Services Bldg., Rm. S550
London, ON, Canada N6A 3K7 1.519.685.3636 1.519.850.2466 www.uwo.ca/research/services/ethics

This is an official document. Please retain the original in your files.
Use of Human Participants - Ethics Approval Notice

Principal Investigator: Dr. Kevin Shoumaker
Review Number: 7810
Review Level: Full Board
Approved Local Adult Participants: 200
Approved Local Minor Participants: 0
Protocol Title: Architecture of Cortical Somatosensory and Autonomic Neural Networks Supporting Muscular Movement: Impacts of Vascular Disease and Exercise Interventions.
Department & Institution: Kinesiology, University of Western Ontario
Sponsor: Canadian Institutes of Health Research

Ethics Approval Date: June 09, 2011
Expiry Date: December 31, 2016

Document Reviewed & Approved & Documents Received for Information:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Document Name</th>
<th>Comments</th>
<th>Version Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UWO Protocol</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Letter of Information &amp; Consent</td>
<td></td>
<td>2011/06/06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advertisement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advertisement</td>
<td>Patient recruitment letter</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>Control group recruitment letter</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advertisement</td>
<td>3 - Day food recall</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This is to notify you that the University of Western Ontario Health Sciences Research Ethics Board (HSREB) which is organized and operates according to the Tri-Council Policy Statement: Ethical Conduct of Research Involving Humans and the Health Canada/ICH Good Clinical Practice Practices: Consolidated Guidelines, and the applicable laws and regulations of Ontario has reviewed and granted approval to the above referenced study on the approval date noted above. The membership of this HSREB also complies with the membership requirements for REBs as defined in Division 5 of the Food and Drug Regulations.

The ethics approval for this study shall remain valid until the expiry date noted above assuming timely and acceptable responses to the HSREB's periodic requests for surveillance and monitoring information. If you require an updated approval notice prior to that time you must request it using the UWO Updated Approval Request form.

Member of the HSREB that are named as investigators in research studies, or declare a conflict of interest, do not participate in discussions related to, nor vote on, such studies when they are presented to the HSREB.

The Chair of the HSREB is Dr. Joseph Gilbert. The UWO HSREB is registered with the U.S. Department of Health & Human Services under the IRB registration number IRB00000940.

Ethics Officer in Contact for Further Information

lance.santek@hsc.ca

Gwen Kelly
gwen_kelly@hsc.ca

The University of Western Ontario
Office of Research Ethics
Support Services Building Room 5150 • London, Ontario • CANADA - N6A 3K7
Ph: 519-661-3036 • F: 519-850-2466 • ethics@uwo.ca • www.uwo.ca/research/ethics

This is an official document. Please retain the original in your files.
Use of Human Participants - Ethics Approval Notice

Principal Investigator: Dr. Kevin Shoemaker
File Number: 103630
Review Level: Full Board
Approved Local Adult Participants: 0
Approved Local Minor Participants: 0
Protocol Title: Central motor pathways affecting cardiovascular arousal.
Department & Institution: Health Sciences/Kinesiology, Western University
Sponsor:
Ethics Approval Date: July 11, 2013
Ethics Expiry Date: May 31, 2016

Documents Reviewed & Approved & Documents Received for Information:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Document Name</th>
<th>Comments</th>
<th>Version Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>Approval Letter from the University of Split (translated version) - Received for information</td>
<td>2013/05/03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Response to Board Recommendations</td>
<td>Response to HSREB Comments and Queries</td>
<td>2013/05/30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Response to Board Recommendations</td>
<td>Response to Special Query regarding roles of investigators, and participant recruitment location</td>
<td>2013/05/30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Letter of Information &amp; Consent</td>
<td>Revised LOI, CLEAN</td>
<td>2013/05/30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western University Protocol</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This is to notify you that the University of Western Ontario Health Sciences Research Ethics Board (HSREB) which is organized and operates according to the Tri-Council Policy Statement: Ethical Conduct of Research Involving Humans and the Health Canada/ICH Good Clinical Practice Guidelines; and the applicable laws and regulations of Ontario has reviewed and granted approval to the above referenced study on the approval date noted above. The membership of this HSREB also complies with the membership requirements for REBs as defined in Division 5 of the Food and Drug Regulations.

The ethics approval for this study shall remain valid until the expiry date noted above assuming timely and acceptable responses to the HSREB’s periodic requests for surveillance and monitoring information. If you require an updated approval notice prior to that time you must request it using the University of Western Ontario Updated Approval Request form.

Member of the HSREB that are named as investigators in research studies, or declare a conflict of interest, do not participate in discussions related to, nor vote on, such studies when they are presented to the HSREB.

The Chair of the HSREB is Dr. Joseph Gilbert. The HSREB is registered with the U.S. Department of Health & Human Services under the IRB registration number IRB 0000000640.

Ethics Officer to Contact for Further Information

This is an official document. Please retain the original in your file.

Western University, Research, Support Services Bldg., Rm. 2.9330
London, ON, Canada N6A 3K7. 1. 519.661.3036 1. 519.850.2466 www.uwo.ca/research/services/ethics
CERTIFICATE

of the Ethics Committee that research project application:

Cerebrovascular regulation during apnea in elite breath-hold divers

submitted by professor Željko Dujic to Ethics Committee of the University of Split School of Medicine, entitled Cerebrovascular regulation in elite divers but with identical experimental protocol was approved though three different requests for approval.

The research in question was approved on 11 September 2013 (Class: 003-08/13-03/0003, Reg. no.: 2181-198-03-04-13-0032).

Two requests for expansion of the approval were submitted subsequently due to the expansion of the experimental protocol. Both applications were revised and approved by the Ethics Committee (03 March 2014; Class: 003-08/14-03/0001, Reg. no.: 2181-198-03-04-14-0011 1st April 2014; Class: 003-08/14-03/0001, Reg. no.: 2181-198-03-04-14-0015).

According to the provisions of Article 16 of the School of Medicine in Split Code of Ethics, the Committee decided that the research Cerebrovascular regulation during apnea in elite breath-hold divers is in accordance with the provisions of the Code of Ethics which regulate research on human subjects in scientific, research and professional work and with the ethical principles of Declaration of Helsinki.

Committee Chair:

[Signature]

Deliver to:
- Professor Željko Dujic x2
- Ethics Committee of the School of Medicine archive
- School archive
Appendix B: Rights of Authors of APS Articles
Copyright

The APS Journals are copyrighted for the protection of authors and the Society. The Mandatory Submission Form serves as the Society's official copyright transfer form.

Rights of Authors of APS Articles

- For educational purposes only:
  - Authors may republish parts of their final-published version articles (e.g., figures, tables), without charge and without requesting permission, provided that full acknowledgement of the source is given in the new work.
  - Authors may use their articles (in whole or in part) for presentations (e.g., at meetings and conferences). These presentations may be reproduced on any type of media in materials arising from the meeting or conference such as the proceedings of a meeting or conference. A copyright fee will apply if there is a charge to the user or if the materials arising are directly or indirectly commercially supported.

- Posting of the accepted or final version of articles or parts of articles is restricted and subject to the conditions below:
  - **Theses and dissertations.** APS permits whole published articles to be reproduced without charge in dissertations and posted to thesis repositories. Full citation is required.
  - **Open courseware.** Articles, or parts of articles, may be posted to a public access courseware website. Permission must be requested from the APS. A copyright fee will apply during the first 12 months of the article’s publication by the APS. Full citation is required.
  - **Websites.** Authors may not post a PDF of the accepted or final version of their published article on any website including social and research networking platforms; instead, links may be posted to the article on the APS journal website (see exception to authors’ own institution’s repository, as note below).
  - **Institutional repositories (non-theses).** Authors may deposit their accepted, peer-reviewed manuscripts into an institutional repository providing:
    - the APS retains copyright to the article
    - a 12 month embargo period from the date of final publication of the article is observed by the institutional repository and the author
    - a link to the published article on the APS website is prominently displayed with the article in the institutional repository
    - the article is not used for commercial purposes
    - Self-archived articles posted to repositories are without warranty of any kind

1Unless it is published under the APS AuthorChoice open access option, which allows for immediate public access under a Creative Commons license (CC BY)

(See also the APS Policy on Depositing Articles in PMC.)
Curriculum Vitae

Name: Mark B. Badrov

Post-secondary Education and Degrees:
Western University
London, Ontario, Canada
2012-2017 PhD (Integrative Physiology of Exercise)

University of Windsor
Windsor, Ontario, Canada
2010-2012 MHK (Applied Human Performance)

McMaster University
Hamilton, Ontario, Canada
2006-2010 BKin (Honours Kinesiology)

Honours and Awards:
Peñáz-Wesseling Award for Systemic Hemodynamics, $2500
2015

Canada Graduate Scholarships – Michael Smith Foreign Study Supplement, $6000
2015

Canadian Institutes of Health Research Frederick Banting and Charles Best Canada Graduate Scholarship – Doctoral Research Award, $105,000
2013-2016

Western Graduate Research Scholarship, $45 000
2012-2016

Graduate Research Excellence Award, $250
2012

Outstanding Graduate Research Award
2012

Verdecchia Family Scholarships in Health Sciences Award, $1000
2012

University of Windsor Faculty of Graduate Studies Research Grant, $500
2011
Ontario Graduate Scholarship Award, $15 000
2011-2012

University of Windsor Dean’s Honour Role
2010-2012

University of Windsor Postgraduate Tuition Scholarship, $18000
2010-2012

Summa Cum Laude Distinction, McMaster University
2010

Dr. Harry Lyman Hooker Scholarship, $1000
2009

McMaster University Dean’s Honour List
2006-2010

Marauder Scholar Award, McMaster University
2006

**Related Work Experience**

Clinical Research Assistant
Children’s Health Research Institute
London Health Sciences Centre
2016 –

Research Assistant
Laboratory for Brain and Heart Health
Western University
2015 –

Research Assistant
Neurovascular Research Laboratory
Western University
2012-2016

Teaching Assistant
Western University
2012-2014

Research Assistant
Physical Activity and Cardiovascular Research Laboratory
University of Windsor
2010-2012
Graduate Teaching Assistant  
University of Windsor  
2010-2012

Publications:

Peer-Reviewed Articles


**Peer-Reviewed Abstracts**


