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Abstract

One of the hallmarks of Alzheimer’s disease (AD) is the pathological accumulation of β-amyloid (Aβ) in the brains of AD patients. Oligomeric and fibrillar aggregates of Aβ have been shown to be neurotoxic to neurons and hippocampal slices. Therefore, limiting Aβ production is an important area of research in order to delay or stop AD progression. Aβ is produced by amyloidogenic cleavage of amyloid precursor protein (APP). Amyloidogenic cleavage requires ectodomain removal by β-secretase and intramembrane γ-cleavage by γ-secretase to release Aβ products ranging from 38-43 residues. Work from our lab has shown that APP and γ-secretase are resident proteins of the lysosome. Furthermore, the acidic environment of lysosomes promotes the aggregation of Aβ. While many lines of evidence demonstrate that APP internalization is important to the Aβ production, the intracellular itinerary of APP, from production to cleavage, is unclear.

In order to follow the intracellular trafficking of APP and Aβ, we have applied various microscopy techniques, in combination with fluorescently-tagged proteins. Using a photoactivatable mutant of GFP (paGFP), we accurately photoactivated nascent APP and followed its trafficking to lysosomes. To our surprise, we found that APP was delivered to lysosomes, where it is cleaved by γ-secretase, through an entirely intracellular pathway. This intracellular pathway was dependent upon an interaction between APP and adaptor protein 3. We found that the interaction between APP and AP-3 is dependent on the 709YTSI712 tyrosine motif. Furthermore, phosphorylation of the serine within this motif, by PKCe, can disrupt this interaction. By decreasing APP trafficking to lysosomes, through disrupting the APP/AP-3 interaction we decreased the production of Aβ. While lysosomes have traditionally been thought to be responsible for cellular waste disposal, they also have a secretory role in a number of cell types; including neurons. We demonstrate that lysosomes are not only responsible for the production of Aβ, but may also be responsible for the secretion of lysosomal Aβ into the extracellular space. This research may provide new therapeutic targets to limit the production and release of Aβ.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Amyloid precursor protein</td>
<td>APP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beta amyloid</td>
<td>Aβ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kunitz-type protease inhibitor</td>
<td>KPI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alzheimer’s disease</td>
<td>AD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Familial Alzheimer’s disease</td>
<td>FAD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presenilin</td>
<td>PS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lysosome associated membrane protein</td>
<td>LAMP1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A disintegrin and metalloproteinase</td>
<td>ADAM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Green fluorescent protein</td>
<td>GFP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photoactivatable green fluorescent protein</td>
<td>paGFP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyan fluorescent protein</td>
<td>CFP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red fluorescent protein</td>
<td>RFP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cherry fluorescent protein</td>
<td>ChFP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tetanus-insensitive vesicle associated membrane protein</td>
<td>TIVAMP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neurofibrillary tangles</td>
<td>NFTs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paired helical filaments</td>
<td>PHFs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adaptor protein</td>
<td>AP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>γ-ear containing, Golgi-localized, Arf-binding protein</td>
<td>GGA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lysosomal membrane protein</td>
<td>LMP</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Chapter 1

1 Introduction and Literature Review

Alzheimer’s disease (AD) is the most common form of dementia. The major risk factor for AD is age. Between age 65-90 the risk for AD doubles every 5 years [1]. In 2010, AD cost the American economy $179 billion to care for AD patients. These numbers are closely mirrored in Canada. Because there is no cure for AD, the cost is expected to rise above $1 trillion by 2050 [2]. It is estimated that there are 500,000 AD patients in Canada, with the number expected to rise to more than 1 million by 2038 [3]. The cost of caring for these patients is estimated to be $15 billion/year and is expected to increase ten-fold by 2038 [3]. Without intervention, AD presents a pressing public health issue to Western economies. In AD, long-term memory is typically preserved, but short-term episodic memory is lost. Short-term episodic memory is typically one of the earliest clinical signs of AD. The clinical symptoms are indicative of the underlying neuronal damage. The two main pathological hallmarks found in AD are the neurofibrillary tangles (NFTs) and amyloid plaques.

NFTs are intracellular aggregates, which accompanies the neuronal loss [4,5]. Electron microscopy studies of NFTs revealed that they were composed of smaller units known as paired helical filaments [6]. However, it was over two decades before hyperphosphorylated tau was uncovered as the major constituent of PHFs and NFTs [7]. While tau has important roles in maintaining microtubule stability [8], these properties of tau are lost with hyperphosphorylated tau [9-13].

Perhaps because of the close relationship between NFTs and neuronal survival, NFTs afflict brain areas that correspond with neurological deficits that appear in AD patients [14]. For example, AD typically begins with the loss of memory. The transentorhinal and entorhinal cortex, which serve as critical relay stations to the hippocampus, are the first areas to be afflicted with abnormal accumulation of neurofibrillary tangles (NFTs) [14]. Over the course of AD, tau pathology spreads from the medial limbic region to other areas of the neocortex; including the prefrontal, parietal, and temporal cortices [14]. Neuronal damage in these areas is associated with
deficits in areas of cognition; including language, visuospatial function, frontal executive function, and praxis. At the end-stage of the disease, the patient succumbs to AD or a related comorbidity. AD prognosis from diagnosis until death is approximately 5-8 years [15].

Despite the strong association between the clinical presentation of AD and tau pathology, NFTs and tau do not fully explain AD pathology. A transgenic mouse model over-expressing human tau can recapitulate NFTs found in AD. However, this process requires 22-months and only correlates to pathology found quite early in AD [16]. In addition, NFTs on their own are not sufficient to disrupt neuronal networks in mice [17,18]. Furthermore, tau mutations in humans, which cause NFT pathology, cannot recapitulate amyloid deposition found in AD. Rather, these mutations lead to diseases with different phenotypes, such as Frontotemporal Dementia (FTD) and Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis (ALS). Consequently, tau pathology does not sufficiently account for all aspects of AD pathology.

Perhaps, the aetiology of AD lies with the amyloid plaques, which are the other prominent feature found in AD. The main constituent of these plaques was found to be aggregates of β-amyloid (Aβ) [19]. Aβ is produced by amyloidogenic cleavage of the amyloid precursor protein (APP). APP is first cleaved by β-secretase to release the large ectodomain into the luminal compartment. The subsequent cleavage, of the remaining carboxyl terminal fragment, by γ-secretase complex produces Aβ species ranging from 38-43 residues. The Aβ42 has a higher propensity to aggregate and is the major constituent of amyloid plaques [20,21] (Figure 1.1). Insoluble fibrillar aggregates have been shown to be neurotoxic to cells in culture and hippocampal slices [22-26]. There is evidence suggesting that Aβ pathology is upstream of tau pathology. For example, rat hippocampal neurons treated with fibrillar aggregates of Aβ cause the generation of phosphorylated tau [27]. Furthermore, transgenic mouse models of AD lacking tau were protected from cognitive decline [28]. Therefore, Aβ aggregation and accumulation may play a central role in AD pathology.

While fibrillar aggregates of Aβ were shown to be neurotoxic in cell culture and hippocampal slices [22-26], the progression of Aβ deposition does not follow the clinical signs of AD. According to the Braak staging of AD, amyloid deposits are initially found in the prefrontal,
parietal, and temporal cortices [14]. However, recent work has shown that when total Aβ (aggregated and soluble) is accounted for, Aβ levels in the brain correlate well with disease progression. As patients progress from cognitively normal to early AD there is a 6-7 fold increase in Aβ in the entorhinal cortex [29]. In support of these findings, other work has shown that soluble oligomeric Aβ is neurotoxic and synaptotoxic to cells and hippocampal slices [30-34].

Because of its central role in AD pathology, it has been suggested that pathological accumulation of Aβ in the brain can lead to AD [35,36]. Known as the amyloid cascade hypothesis, this hypothesis has been the main motivation for much of the research in AD. Despite the success of Aβ reducing therapies in mouse models, this initial success has not translated into viable therapeutics for human AD patients, suggesting further research is required to further our understanding of the underlying disease processes [37,38].

One area in need of further research is to determine the exact subcellular organelle responsible for APP cleavage and the mechanism regulating trafficking to and away from this compartment. Several lines of evidence suggest that the specific intracellular locale of APP can influence the production of Aβ. For example, the carbon length of phospholipid membranes of different organelles may determine the processivity of the γ-secretase complex [39]. Furthermore, deacidification of intracellular organelles lowers Aβ production. Work from our lab has identified that PS1, the catalytic component of the γ-secretase complex, has an acidic optimal pH [40]. Moreover, our lab has shown that APP and members of the γ-secretase complex have been identified as resident proteins of the lysosomes [40,41]. Although many subcellular compartments have been implicated in AD, work from our lab implicates the lysosome in Aβ production.

The subcellular sorting of APP is important in controlling the levels of Aβ produced. Synaptic activity can increase the production of Aβ, in an endocytosis dependent manner [42,43]. Pharmacological and genetic disruption of APP internalization to endosomes and lysosomes can also decrease the production of Aβ [42,44]. Moreover, retrograde trafficking of APP from
endosomes and lysosomes to the Golgi limit the production of Aβ, and has been implicated in AD pathology [45-47].

In this thesis, we will review the specifics of amyloid production and the amyloid cascade hypothesis. Then we will review the trafficking of APP and other proteins towards and away from the endosomal/lysosomal system; including adaptors and other protein machinery required to facilitate this trafficking. Then we will present data describing a new pathway for APP trafficking to the lysosome and subsequent cleavage. Furthermore, we will present data demonstrating that lysosomes are critical for the secretion of Aβ.

1.1 Amyloid Precursor Protein

1.1.1 Non-amyloidogenic or amyloidogenic cleavage

APP can be processed by the amyloidogenic or non-amyloidogenic pathway. Amyloidogenic cleavage of APP produces the neurotoxic Aβ species ranging from 38-43 residues. Conversely, cleavage of APP in the non-amyloidogenic pathway decreases the production of Aβ by cleaving APP within the Aβ region. It has been suggested there is competition between the amyloidogenic and non-amyloidogenic pathways for limited APP substrate [48,49] (Figure 1.1). Cleavage in both pathways depends on removal of the large N-terminal ectodomain. In the non-amyloidogenic pathway, removal of the large, luminal ectodomain is mediated by α-secretase cleavage, which produces a soluble APP ectodomain (APPsα) product and an 83-residue carboxyl-terminal fragment (CTF). The candidates for α-secretase have been suggested as members of the ADAM (a disintegrin and metalloprotease) family. Of the 21 members of the ADAM family, ADAM10 and ADAM17 are the likely α-secretases [50-52]. ADAM10 is responsible for constitutive α-cleavage of APP, while cleavage by ADAM17 is up-regulated by PKC activation [48,51,53,54]. However, there is at least one report of ADAM17 facilitating constitutive shedding of the APP ectodomain in HEK cells [55]. ADAM10, BACE, and APP mRNA are all expressed within the same neuronal population [49], which suggests that ADAM10 may be the relevant secretase in vivo. In addition, over-expression of ADAM10 in mice expressing APPLon increased non-amyloidogenic cleavage of APP, decreased plaque load, and improved cognition [56].
The delicate balance between non-amyloidogenic and amyloidogenic cleavage is critical in managing the levels of Aβ. Recent studies in a Finnish population has revealed that elderly individuals bearing the A673T mutation in APP are protected from cognitive decline [57]. The A673 residues is immediately carboxyl terminal to the β-cleavage site in APP. Membrane anchored aspartic proteinase of the pepsin family-2 (Memapsin-2, also known as β-site APP-cleaving enzyme 1, BACE1) was identified as the enzyme responsible for catalyzing the first step in amyloidogenic cleavage of APP [58,59]. While proteolytically active ADAM10 is localized to the cell surface [51,60], active BACE1 appears to be localized to early endosomes. BACE1 has an optimal pH of 4.5, and is not active at the cell surface; suggesting involvement of the endosomal/lysosomal system [58]. Furthermore, BACE1 has been localized to early endosomes by fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET) [61]. In healthy neurons, the intracellular levels of BACE1 are controlled by lysosomal degradation. However, depletion of the adaptor GGA3 (discussed later) in AD decreases lysosomal degradation of BACE1, and promotes amyloidogenic cleavage of APP [62-65].

BACE cleavage of APP produces a 99-CTF, which is processed by γ-secretase. The 99-CTF and 83-CTF produce monomeric Aβ and p3, respectively, after cleavage by γ-secretase. While the γ-secretase can cleave many substrates (including Notch, E-cadherin, and LRP) [66], γ-secretase is of interest, because of its role in Aβ production. A functional γ-secretase complex is composed of at least Aph-1 (anterior pharynx-defective 1), Pen-2 (presenilin enhancer 2), nicastrin, and Presenilin 1 (PS1). The CTF stub produced, after α- or β- secretase cleavage, is recognized by nicastrin, which serves as a ‘gatekeeper’ to the γ-secretase complex [67]. PS1 is a large protein with 9-10 transmembrane domains, and serves as the catalytic component of γ-secretase. In order to form a functional γ-secretase complex, it is believed that Aph-1 and nicastrin form a sub-complex, which binds to PS1 and Pen-2 sequentially. Alternatively, the Aph-1/nicastrin sub-complex may bind directly as a PS1-Pen-2 sub-complex to generate mature, active presenilin [68].

The importance of PS1 to AD pathology is illustrated by over 160 mutations known to cause familial early-onset AD. Although the effects of these mutations are not completely understood,
it is generally accepted that many FAD PS1 mutations increase the relative levels of Aβ42 with respect to Aβ40 [69]. Aβ42 is the primary constituent of amyloid plaques and is more likely to aggregate in solution [20,21]. Aβ species range from 38-43 residues, and the wide variation is due to the imprecise γ-cleavage of APP by γ-secretase. Cleavage of the APP-CTF begins with cleavage at the ε site by γ-secretase, which is approximately 48-49 residues distal to the β-cleavage site. After ε-cleavage, the carboxyl end of the remaining transmembrane stub is cleaved 3-4 peptides at a time to yield Aβ species of varying lengths [70]. The processivity of PS1 depends upon its intracellular milieu. Slight changes to the length and fatty acid chain isomer have profound effects on the activity of γ-secretase and the Aβ42/40 ratio [39]. Furthermore, studies from our lab have shown that γ-secretase has an optimal pH of ~4.5 [40]. In fact, PS1 and nicastrin have been localized to lysosomes, suggesting they likely resident lysosomal membrane proteins [40,41]. These results have been corroborated by Nixon and colleagues, which showed γ-secretase activity in lysosomal and autophagic compartments [71]. Furthermore, deacidification of lysosomes pharmacologically or genetically, severely hampers cleavage of APP CTFs [72,73].
**Figure 1.1: Non-Amyloidogenic and Amyloidogenic Cleavage**

Amyloid precursor protein (APP) can be cleaved via either an amyloidogenic or non-amyloidogenic manner. Non-amyloidogenic pathway precludes the formation of Aβ by cleavage of APP by α-secretase. α-cleavage results in the release of the APPα luminal domain and an 83 residue carboxyl-terminal fragment (CTF). The CTF is cleaved by γ-secretase to release p3 into the lumen and an APP intracellular domain (AICD) to the lysosome. Conversely, cleavage of APP by β-secretase removes the APPβ luminal domain, but preserves the Aβ domain in the membrane attached 99-residue CTF. Aβ is produced by γ-cleavage of CTF99.
1.1.2 Amyloid Cascade Hypothesis

One of the hallmarks of AD is the accumulation of the 4kDa fragment known as Aβ. It was identified as the dominant protein in neuritic plaques in AD and Down syndrome patients [19]. As first postulated by John Hardy, the amyloid cascade hypothesis states that Aβ accumulation is central to AD pathology, and leads to neurofibrillary tangles, cell loss, vascular damage, and dementia [35]. More recently, this hypothesis has been revised to include the latest discovery of neurotoxic Aβ oligomers [36]. Despite its role in AD pathology, Aβ is normally, constitutively produced in all cells, and tissues including human and rat cortical cultures [74-76], suggesting a possible physiological role [77].

An important step in our understanding of amyloid plaques occurred when Aβ was identified as the major constituent of plaques and its protein sequence from plaques in the brains of AD patients and from Down’s syndrome (DS) patients [19,78]. Invariably, patients with DS have amyloid plaques and the characteristic pathology of AD and most show clinical signs of AD [79,80]. In DS, chromosome 21 fails to separate during meiosis, which results in trisomy 21. Therefore, amyloid precursor protein (APP), which is encoded on chromosome 21, is over expressed in these individuals [81]. While AD pathology may be caused by any number of genes on chromosome 21, familial AD has been found in families with only the gene for APP duplicated [82]. This suggests that there is a gene-dosage effect of APP. Transgenic mice over-expressing APP also show cognitive deficits and Aβ deposition [83].

In a small percentage of AD cases, there is early-onset of AD and are thus named early-onset familial AD (FAD). Of these mutations, 10-15% are mapped to APP [84], which further implicates APP in AD pathology. In agreement with the findings from DS patients, some of these FAD mutations lead to a pathological increase in APP expression. FAD mutations have been identified in the promoter for APP, which causes a two-fold increase in promoter activity [82]. Other FAD mutations can cause duplication of the APP gene, which also leads to APP overexpression [85,86]. Alternatively, other mutations have been identified on exon 16 and 17, which encode the Aβ region in APP. These mutations affect the processivity of α-, β-, and γ-
secretase. For example, the Swedish mutation (APP\textsubscript{Swe}), is located at the β-cleavage site and promotes β-cleavage of APP by 10-fold [87]. Consequently, there is a dramatic increase in Aβ production [88-90]. Recently, a mutation carboxyl to the β-cleavage site that reduced the amyloidogenic cleavage of APP and protected against AD [57].

Mutations can also affect the γ-cleavage of APP and lead to AD. For example the London mutation (APP\textsubscript{Lon}), which causes a relative increase in Aβ42 production, by changing specificity of the γ-cleavage site (residue 717 by APP 751 numbering) [91-93]. In addition, the French and German FAD mutations were found two residues upstream at valine 715, which both caused a relative increase in the amount of Aβ42 species produced as compared to Aβ40 [94,95]. A similar increase in the Aβ42/ Aβ40 ratio is also seen in patients with the Florida FAD mutation [95]. Interestingly, the French mutation decreases the total level of Aβ produced, but preferentially decreases Aβ40 production. Furthermore, the French mutation also increases α-cleavage of APP [95]. These findings suggest that while increasing the total Aβ produced is important, the relative increase in Aβ42 may also be critical for AD pathology.

In addition to the secretase cleavage sites, FAD mutations have also been identified in the Aβ region itself. The Dutch (E693Q), Flemish (A692G), Osaka (E693Δ), and Arctic (E693G) mutations lead to presenile dementia, parenchymal amyloid deposition, and cerebral amyloid angiopathy [96-101]. The Flemish, Dutch, Osaka, and Arctic mutations make Aβ resistant to cleavage by neprilysin [102], which is one of the main proteases for Aβ [103]. Moreover, Aβ bearing either the Dutch or the Arctic mutation have a higher propensity to form protofibrils and fibrils, despite a lower Aβ 42/40 ratio, as compared to wild-type APP [100,104,105]. Interestingly, the Osaka mutation did not enhance fibrillization, but enhanced formation of oligomeric species. These oligomeric species inhibited hippocampal long-term potentiation more potently than the wild-type peptide (Aβ oligomers are discussed in further detail below)[106]. Furthermore, the Flemish mutation causes a conformational change in APP, which facilitates γ-secretase cleavage of APP [107,108]. Therefore, FAD mutations in APP can cause AD pathology by changing secretase cleavage and promoting fibrilization or oligomerization of Aβ.
Early-onset FAD mutations were also mapped to chromosomes 14, which encodes presenilin 1 (PS1), and chromosome 1 which encodes it homologue presenilin 2 PS2 [109]. PS1 contains catalytic component of the heterotetrameric γ-secretase complex, and contains an aspartic acid residue at its active site that cleaves various membrane-bound carboxyl-terminal fragments. Plasma and fibroblasts from patients with FAD mutations in PS1 and PS2 have increased Aβ42 levels relative to Aβ40 [110]. When PS1 and PS2 FAD mutations are over-expressed in cell lines, a relative increase of Aβ42 was also observed [69,110,111]. Mutations in PS1 and PS2 are not localized to a specific region of the protein, which suggests there are multiple mechanisms for regulating Aβ production. It is unclear if these mutations result in a gain-of-function (increase in Aβ42 production) or loss-of-function (decrease in Aβ40 production [69]. However, it is clear that there is an increase in the Aβ42/40 ratio, which leads to the pathology seen in AD.

Despite the evidence implicating Aβ in FAD pathology, FAD only accounts for 1% of all AD cases. The majority of AD cases are late-onset AD (LOAD), and the aetiology of these cases is unclear. AD prevalence studies in twins show that 80% of the risk for developing AD is heritable [112]. Through a number of genome wide association studies (GWAS), the locus for apolipoprotein E has been repeatedly implicated in AD. There are three alleles for APOE (ε2, ε3, and ε4). The ε3 allele is the most common followed by ε4 and finally ε2. The ε2 allele is known to have a protective affect in AD, while the ε4 allele exacerbates AD [113]. Individuals heterozygous for the ε4 allele have a 2-3 fold increased risk for AD, and homozygous individuals have a 12-fold increased risk [114].

Homozygosity for the ε4 allele increases Aβ deposition in the middle frontal gyrus, superior temporal gyrus, and inferior parietal lobule of human AD patients [115]. Concomitantly, patients bearing the ε4 allele have lower levels of Aβ 42 in their CSF, which is indicative of Aβ deposition in the brain [116]. However, the mechanism by which ApoE increases brain deposition of Aβ is unclear. Some studies suggest that ApoE is critical for Aβ fibrillogenesis. Transgenic AD mice with ApoE KOs have lower levels of Aβ deposition, which can be increased by expression of human ApoE. If the ε4 variant is introduced into these mice, Aβ deposition is even further increased [117]. During in vitro studies, the presence of ApoE
accelerates Aβ fibrillization, and is further increased by the presence of the ε4 isoform [118,119]. ApoE may also be involved in clearance of Aβ from the brain parenchyma. In transgenic AD mice expressing the different isoforms of ApoE, the ε4 allele decreases the clearance of Aβ from the CSF [116]. ApoE also promotes the proteolytic clearance of Aβ by microglia, in a lysosome-dependent manner [120]. The evidence from the ApoE studies demonstrates that Aβ is also an important component of late-onset AD (LOAD) pathology.

The deposition of Aβ appears to be an age dependent process [121], in agreement with the age-dependent increase in AD risk. Furthermore, DS patients also initially present with diffuse plaques, which gradually aggregates into dense core plaques, like the ones seen in AD [122]. Initially, plaques are enriched in the Aβ42 species, and mature plaques incorporate Aβ 40 [123,124]. The presence of plaques in the brains of AD patients suggests that the aggregation of Aβ is critical for its neurotoxicity. In agreement, early experiments showed that insoluble, fibrillar aggregates of Aβ neurotoxic and were likely responsible for AD pathology [23,125]. The toxicity and ability to aggregate is dependent on the hydrophobic C-terminal of the Aβ peptide. The hydrophobic tail promotes Aβ aggregation and is crucial for Aβ toxicity [126]. Treatment of hippocampal cultures with fibrillar aggregates, but not amorphous aggregates of Aβ 1-40, decreased neuronal viability and synaptic density [127-129]. Inhibition of Aβ fibrillation was capable of rescuing cell viability [25,26,127], and prevents Aβ accumulation in a transgenic mouse model [130]. However, more recent work suggests that soluble Aβ oligomers may be the pathologically relevant Aβ species (see below for discussion).

### 1.1.3  Aβ Oligomers

For the first 2 decades after the sequencing of Aβ, the field of AD was dominated by the idea that insoluble fibrillar Aβ was the main neurotoxic species. However, the pattern of Aβ deposition does not correlate with the appearance of clinical signs of AD [131-133]. Aβ deposition first occurs in the prefrontal cortex before afflicting the memory centres in the medial temporal lobe [14,134]. Recently, soluble oligomers of Aβ have come to the forefront as the toxic species in AD [135]. When soluble forms of Aβ are measured, there is a 6-7 fold increase in the levels of Aβ in the entorhinal cortex before increasing in the neocortex [29]. The pattern of increased Aβ
matches the pattern of NFT pathology observed by Braak and Braak [14]. Furthermore soluble Aβ species can be detected in the brains of AD and DS patients, but not in cognitively normal controls [30,136,137]. The Aβ oligomers (AβOs) were neurotoxic to hippocampal slices and cultured cells [30,31,138,139].

The identity of the AβO receptor still remains to be elucidated and may be important for the development of the next generation of therapeutics. Laurén et al. [140] identified the AβO receptor as the prion protein PrPc. Knockout of PrPc abrogated the long-term potentiation impairment after treatment with AβOs [31,139-142]. PrPc was also shown to mediate cell death after treatment with AβOs [139]. However, the role of the prion protein in AD pathology was challenged when other investigators found that PrPc expressing and PrPc-null neurons were equally vulnerable to treatment with AβOs [143]. Furthermore, removal of all PrPc from the cell surface did not abolish AβOs binding to the cell surface. Synaptosomes treated with trypsin could not bind AβOs, which suggests a membrane protein receptor [144]. Furthermore, AβOs treatment appeared to directly increase the clustering of metabotropic glutamate receptor 5 (mGluR5); suggesting mGluR5 as the receptor for AβOs [145]. Supporting this idea, mGluR5 knockout animals crossed with mice expressing APP and PS1 with FAD mutations had lower levels of plaque deposition and were protected from cognitive deficits [146].

A possible solution to this ambiguity is that there may be multiple proteins involved in AβO signal transduction. As PrPc is a glycoprophosphatidylinositol (GPI) anchored protein, it necessitates a transmembrane receptor to transduce the signal from the extracellular to the intracellular space. PrPc is a promiscuous protein and can interact with various proteins to form cell-surface signalling platforms [147]. PrPc was shown to co-immunoprecipitate with mGluR5 and knock out of either protein was sufficient to abrogate the synaptic dysfunction in response to AβOs [148]. Therefore, PrPc and mGluR5 may act as co-receptors to mediate cellular and synaptic toxicity characteristic of AD.

AβOs also cause a pathological increase of intracellular calcium, because of an increase in NMDA receptors (NMDAR) at the cell surface [145,148]. A pathological activation of extrasynaptic NR2b can cause cell death [149,150]. Furthermore, kainite-induced excitotoxicity
in the mouse hippocampus leads to the activation of compensatory mechanisms seen in AD, and can be prevented by tau knockout [151]. Activation of the PrPc/mGluR5 co-receptor by AβOs can activate the Src kinase, Fyn, which can phosphorylate the NR2b receptor at Y1472. Phosphorylation of NR2b increases the level of NR2b containing NMDARs at the cell surface [148,152]. The phosphorylation of NMDAR depends on Fyn translocation to the dendritic spine. Fyn translocation to dendritic spines depends on its interaction with tau [153]. PHF associated phosphorylation of tau can promote the delivery of tau to the dendritic spine [154]. Therefore, the synaptic receptor scaffold, consisting of tau, Fyn, PrPc, and mGluR5, may be responsible for the toxic effects of AβOs.

1.1.4 Structure of the Amyloid Precursor Protein Carboxyl-Terminal Tail

Aβ was identified as the major constituent of senile plaques and the amino acid composition and N-terminal sequence was identified [19,78]. From the N-terminal sequence, a cDNA expressing the amyloid precursor protein (APP), containing the Aβ sequence, was identified and mapped to chromosomes 21 [155-157]. The APP gene spans over 170kbp and contains 19 exons [158]. There are 8 isoforms of APP, which are produced by alternatively splicing. The three main isoforms of APP contain 695, 751, or 770 residues [159,160].

While the extracellular domain of APP has interesting biology, this thesis will focus on the C-terminal tail and the motifs found therein. Nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) studies of the APP cytoplasmic tail (last 49 residues) have revealed that this region does not adopt a stable folded structure. Rather, it is a dynamic region, which is capable of adopting transient structural features [161,162]. The N-terminal end of the APP C-terminal (residues 649-670) adopts a predominately random-coil structure, while the carboxyl end (residues 670-695) adopts a predominately α-helical structure [162].

Of note, there are two type 1 reverse turn conformations at $668^{\text{TPEE}}671$ and $684^{\text{NPTY}}687$ [161,162]. In relation to APP physiology, the TPEE and NPTY motifs are essential for the interaction of APP and various adaptor proteins that modulate APP processing and signalling [163-168]. The NPXY (where X is any amino acid) is an internalization motif found on the
cytoplasmic domains of many putative cell-surface receptors [169-172]. In addition to serving as an internalization motif (the role of NPXY motifs in internalization will be discussed more thoroughly in another section), the NPXY motifs are binding sites for phosphotyrosine-binding (PTB) domains [173-176]. The β-sheet structure of the NPTY motif nestles in the pleckstrin homology (PH)-fold formed by anti-parallel sheets in the PTB domains of adaptor proteins such as Mint1 and Fe65 [177,178].

Apart from the NPTY motif, residues preceding and following the motif also play critical role in APP binding to the PTB motifs of Mint and Fe65. Residues N680 to T686 participate in hydrogen bonding with β-strands in the Mint1 PTB [178]. The hydrophobic region, C-terminal to the NPTY motif, also participates in hydrophobic interactions with Mint1 and Fe65 PTB domains [177,178]. Binding of APP is also influenced by the distant TPEE motif, which forms a N-terminal helix cap. The N-terminal helix cap maintains a α-helix that is critical in the interaction with Fe65 PTB2. Phosphorylation of Thr668 can disrupt the cap and reduce APP interaction with Fe65 [177,179].

Thr668 is followed immediately by a proline, which can adopt either a cis or a trans isomer. Phosphorylation of Thr668 promotes the adoption of the cis isomer, which disrupts interaction with adaptors that can influence APP signalling, trafficking, and processing [177,180,181]. The relative abundance of cis and trans isomers is under the control of Peptidyl-prolyl cis-trans isomerase NIMA-interacting 1 (Pin1). Pin1 catalyzes the transition from cis to trans isomer [181]. Pin1 knockdown also increases the interaction of APP with Fe65, by promoting cis conformation [180]. Pin1 knockout increases amyloidogenic processing of APP, by increasing the levels of the cis isomer [181].

1.1.5 Amyloid Precursor Protein Phosphorylation by Protein Kinase C

The phosphorylation of APP on residue T668 appears to be involved in the control of the cell cycle [182]. As such, residue T668 has been shown to be phosphorylated by cell cycle control proteins, such as cyclin D kinase 5, GSK3β, and cell division cycle protein 2 [182-184]. In addition to T668, there are eight possible phosphorylation sites [185]. Y653, S655, T668, S675,
Y682, T686, and Y687 have been shown to be phosphorylated in the brains of AD patients [185]. APP can be phosphorylated at these residues by a variety of kinases; including protein kinase C (PKC), calmodulin-dependent protein kinase II (CaMKII), Abl kinase, and the cell cycle kinases mentioned above [186-189].

Perhaps the most interesting candidate is the PKC family. Activation of PKC promotes the non-amyloidogenic cleavage of APP [190-194]. PKC can be activated by M1 and M3 muscarinic acetylcholine receptors and up-regulates the non-amyloidogenic cleavage of APP [195,196]. The activation of nicotinic receptors can also stimulate the activation of PKC [197]. The ability to stimulate non-amyloidogenic cleavage of APP may lend itself to the effectiveness of cholinergic treatments in AD. Our most effective pharmacological interventions in AD (such as Donepezil, Rivastigmine, and Galantamine) are based on the finding that cholinergic neurons are preferentially loss in AD [Kasa:1997ht]. Despite these AChE inhibitors, they are not capable of stopping or reversing the disease, but only delay the progression of AD [198-200].

G protein-coupled receptors, such as M1 and M3 acetylcholine receptors (AChR) can activate PKCs through activation of phospholipase C [201-203]. M1 and M3 AChR are Gq/G11 protein coupled and ligand binding activates PLC [202-206]. PLC activation leads to the formation of inositol trisphosphate (IP3) and diacylglycerol (DAG) [205]. IP3 binds to IP3 receptors to induce the release of calcium from the ER. Calcium and DAG are potent signalling molecules, which can lead to the activation of PKC. PKCs are sorted into three families in accordance with their response to IP3 and DAG signals [207]. Conventional PKCs (cPKCs) comprise PKCα, PKCβ, and PKCγ, and are activated by a combination of DAG (or lipid) and calcium binding. Conversely, novel PKCs (nPKCs) (δ, ε, θ, and η) are activated in response to DAG or lipids. Finally, atypical PKCs (aPKCs) (ι and ζ) are not responsive to either calcium or DAG [208]. Rather, aPKCs are activated via an interaction with the partitioning defective 6 (PAR6)-CDC42 complex [209].

Phosphorylation of the APP C-terminal tail by PKC may influence the intracellular trafficking of APP and modulate its metabolism. Indeed, PKC activation appears to favour non-amyloidogenic cleavage of APP at the expense of amyloidogenic cleavage [48]. Perhaps the most poignant
example is the phosphorylation of S655 in the APP tail [186,210,211]. Phosphorylation of S655 diverts APP away from the cell surface and endosomes and increases APP localization to the Golgi apparatus [210,211] (Figure 1.4, Step 4). In addition to influencing the trafficking of APP, PKC activation also appears to up-regulate the function of α-secretases. PKC activation increased the levels of mature, enzymatically active ADAM10 and ADAM17 (putative α-secretases) [212]. Furthermore, PKC activation also decreased the cellular levels of β-secretase, which resulted in reduced Aβ production [212]. Therefore, PKC activation likely plays an important role in controlling APP metabolism. The presence or absence of phosphorylation may also influence the binding of APP adaptor proteins, which can influence APP metabolism and cellular signaling.

1.1.6 Amyloid Precursor Protein Adaptors

Mint, Fe65 and other adaptor proteins that interact with the NPXY motif can modify APP processing and intracellular trafficking. Furthermore, some of these adaptors can act as signalling platforms and modulate cell activity. The Mint family of proteins appear to be involved in regulating the trafficking of APP. Mint 2 and 3 (also known as X11 β and γ) are localized to the Golgi apparatus [167,213,214]. Mint 3 has been shown to be recruited to Golgi vesicles in the presence of APP and modulates the egress of APP from the Golgi apparatus to lysosomes [167,214] (Figure 1.4, Step 1). Mint 1 and 2 have also been suggested to be important for APP internalization [166,215]. Knock-out of all Mint proteins decreases APP internalization, but this can be rescued by expression of phosphorylated Mint 2 [166]. Mint 1 expression appears to be crucial for activity induced APP internalization and subsequent production of Aβ [42,43,215]. Mint 1 KO neurons produce lower levels of Aβ, which can be rescued by expression of Mint 1-GFP [215]. However, precise role of Mint in regulating Aβ production is unclear. At least one set of experiments demonstrate that over expression of Mint 1 can also decrease Aβ secretion [163]. More study into the role of Mint in APP trafficking and processing must be completed to fully understand the role of the Mint proteins.

Fe65 can also regulate the production of Aβ [179,216,217]. Initial experiments with Marine-Darby canine kidney cells demonstrated that Fe65 and APP co-localize in the perinuclear area,
and over expression of Fe65 increased APP expression at the cell-surface. The increased cell-surface levels of APP is correlated with increased release of the soluble APP ectodomain (APPs) and Aβ [216]. In agreement with these studies, primary cortical neurons from Fe65 knockout animals have lowered production of Aβ [217]. The Fe65-regulated processing of APP may be a cellular response to extracellular signals. N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) treatment increases intracellular levels of APP CTF and decreases Aβ production [217]. With NMDA receptor activation, there is a phosphorylation of APP at Thr668, which, as described earlier, reduces APP interaction with Fe65 [177,179,217]. Signalling through APP-mediated mechanisms may depend upon the formation of a tripartite complex formed between APP, Fe65, and low-density lipoprotein (LDL) receptor-related protein (LRP) [218,219]. LRP-deficient mouse fibroblasts had increased cell-surface APP levels and reduced the production of Aβ, which is similar to the phenotype in Fe65 knockout cells [219].

Fe65 may also link APP to proteins that regulate the actin cytoskeleton [220-222]. Fe65 forms a tripartite complex with Fe65, APP, and Mena. Mena binds to the WW motif of Fe65 and can organize the actin cytoskeleton [223]. In accordance with this role, APP, Fe65, and Mena are localized to the leading edge of migrating cells and localized to focal adhesions [220]. Extending these results into neurons, Fe65 and APP are both localized to the highly motile growth cone [221].

The role of APP at growth cones at the leading edge can also be facilitated by interactions with disabled 1 (Dab1). The disabled family of genes is evolutionarily conserved and regulates the correct positioning of neurons in the brain [224-226]. Dab1 interacts with APP family members through an interaction between the Dab1 PTB domain and the NPTY motif [227,228]. Dab1 and APP colocalize in growth cones of cultured hippocampal neurons [227]. Dab1 and APP knockdown neurons do not migrate successfully from the subventricular zone to the cortical plate [229]. The abnormally placed neurons may relate to an extracellular matrix protein known as reelin. Reelin is the defective protein in reeler mice that have malformations of the cerebral cortex [230]. Mutations in reelin disrupt the positioning of neurons in the cerebral cortex, and
this phenotype is also seen in mice with mutations in Dab1 [224-226]. In agreement, with these findings, APP can bind to reelin, and this interaction promotes neurite outgrowth [231].

Therefore, the interaction between APP and Dab1 may serve as a signalling platform for reelin and control growth cone motility. Reelin promotes the interaction between Dab1 and APP, which increased APP ectodomain release and APP CTF production, and decreased Aβ production [232]. Dab1 also recruits the Src family kinase, Fyn, to the APP/Dab1 complex [233]. This may serve to modulate the strength of interaction between Dab1 and APP. Fyn recruitment results in the phosphorylation of APP at Tyr682 and tyrosines on Dab1 [233]. APP and Dab1 phosphorylation have divergent effects. APP phosphorylation at Y682 increases the affinity of APP for Dab1. While phosphorylation of Dab1, decreases the affinity of Dab1 for APP [233]. Tyrosine phosphorylated APP and Dab1 preferentially sort to signalling platforms known as lipid rafts through an increased affinity of tyrosine phosphorylated APP for Dab1 [234-236]. APP sequestered into lipid rafts by Dab1, also loses the ability to interact with other adaptors such as Fe65 and Mint [232,234].

The Dab family of proteins may also direct the internalization of APP and other cargo. The NPXY motifs of several transmembrane receptor proteins, including APP, LDLR and related receptors, and others, interact with the PTB domain of Dab [175,227,237]. Dab2 has been localized to clathrin coated pits (CCPs) [237]. At the CCPs, Dab regulates the formation of the clathrin lattice [238]. Consistent with these findings, Dab2 has been shown to mediate the loading of LRP6 into CCPs and away from the caveolin endocytosis pathway [239]. Dab2 can also interact with another AP-2, a heterotetrameric adaptor protein involved in clathrin endocytosis [237,240] (Figure 1.4, Step 2a). Therefore, Dab2 may aid in sorting cargo to CCPs through its interaction with cellular machinery for clathrin-mediated endocytosis.

The NPTY motif in APP is also responsible for interactions with JNK-interacting proteins (JIPs) [165,241]. The JIP family proteins serve as a scaffolding protein for the mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) and c-Jun NH2-terminal protein kinases (JNKs) [242,243]. JNKs have important roles in regulating apoptosis, stress-response, neuronal migration, and microtubule stability [244]. Co-expression of JIP, JNK, and APP increases phosphorylation of APP at Thr668.
Inhibition of JNK with an inhibitory peptide can shift APP processing towards non-amyloidogenic processing [245]. In the TgCRND8 mouse model of AD, the same inhibitory peptide lowered the levels of Aβ oligomers in the brain, reversed LTP deficits, lowered plaque area, and improved performance on memory tasks [246]. In addition to its role in regulating APP metabolism, JIPs also connect APP to kinesin light chain (KLC), thus APP serves as a vesicle tether [165,248]. Neurons depend on anterograde transport (kinesin dependent) to move newly synthesized components from the cell body to axon terminals. Retrograde transport (dynein dependent) is equally important in transporting neurotrophic signals and endosomes from the axon terminals to the cell body. Disruption of the JNK signalling pathway results in the aberrant accumulation of synaptic proteins in the axon [249]. In agreement with these findings, APP-eGFP vesicles undergo more retrograde excursions at the expense of anterograde trafficking in JIP-1 deficient neurons [248].

1.2 Lysosomal Trafficking

Lysosomes are membrane bound organelles that are present in all nucleated eukaryotic cells. Morphologically, lysosomes typically range from 0.1μm-2μm in diameter, and appear as electron dense vesicles by electron microscopy. Lysosomes have an intraluminal pH of approximately 4.5, which is maintained by an ATP-dependent proton pump (vacuolar ATPases).

The acidity in lysosomes promotes the activity of the resident acidic hydrolases present within the lysosomal lumen. The hydrolases perform the primary cellular function of the lysosomes, which is to degrade and recycle macromolecules. Within lysosomes, there are approximately 50 different hydrolases [250]. The diversity of hydrolases allows lysosomes to digest a multitude of macromolecules, including proteins, nucleic acids, carbohydrates, and lipids.

Lysosomes also have integral membrane proteins and membrane associated membrane proteins. Proteomic studies have identified 215 integral membrane proteins and 55-membrane associated proteins. These proteins perform numerous functions including vesicular trafficking, metabolism, molecular transport, membrane structure, immunity, and other functions [251]. Two of the major integral membrane proteins are LAMP1 and LAMP2. Due to the heavy glycosylation of LAMP1
and LAMP2 they were originally thought to protect the lysosomal membrane from luminal hydrolases and maintain membrane integrity [252-254]. More recent work has now revealed that LAMP2 may have multiple roles, including autophagosome maturation and uptake of cytosolic proteins for chaperone-mediated autophagy [254].

1.2.1 Adaptor Proteins in lysosomal protein trafficking

One of the major pathways to the lysosome is through internalization at the cell surface. The best characterized mechanism is through clathrin coated vesicles [255]. Clathrin is recruited to cargo destined for internalization and forms a polygonal lattice on the cytoplasmic side of the membrane [256,257]. The membrane invaginates and detaches from the membrane before delivery to intracellular targets [258]. The signals responsible for internalization typically lie within 30Å from the membrane [259], but the clathrin lattice is 100Å from the membrane [260]. Therefore, endocytosis of cargo necessitates an adaptor to connect the cargo to the clathrin lattice. Electron microscopy of coated vesicles reveal a ‘fuzzy’ coat between the cargo and clathrin lattice [261]. These adaptor proteins were later identified as members of the heterotetrameric complex, AP-2. AP-2 is localized to the cell surface and is important in clathrin-mediated internalization. AP-2, and other members of this adaptor protein family, is composed of two large (α and β2, ~100kDa), one medium (μ2, 50kDa), and one small subunit (σ2, 17kDa). The large subunits are composed of three regions; a trunk domain, an ear domain, and a hinge domain. The trunk domains of the large subunits, σ2, and μ2 form the core of the AP-2 complex. The hinge domains connect the core to the ear domains [262]. The β2 subunit hinge domain has a clathrin-binding sequence and interacts with the clathrin heavy chain and promotes lattice formation [263,264]. AP-2 binding to clathrin can be abrogated by serine phosphorylation within the hinge region [265]. The α subunit has also been suggested to interact and promote the assembly of the clathrin lattice [266]. The μ2 subunit interacts with tyrosine internalization motifs (discussed later) and with phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate (PI4,5P) [267]. PI4,5P is enriched at the plasma membrane, therefore the μ2 domain may help localize AP-2 to the cell surface, where it is critical for clathrin mediated internalization of cell surface proteins.
As in the AP-2 complex, the other members of this family are heterotetrameric complexes, which are composed of two large subunits, one medium subunit, and one small subunit [268]. The two large subunits of AP-1 are γ1 and β1. The medium and small subunit are μ1 and σ1, respectively [269]. AP-1 is localized to endosomes and the trans-Golgi network (TGN), and has important roles in TGN export to lysosomes and endosomes, recycling at the plasma membrane, and retromer function [270-278]. As with the β2 subunit of AP-2, the β1 subunit interacts with clathrin and can promote clathrin lattice formation [263]. This interaction is also negatively regulated by serine phosphorylation within the hinge region [265]. There are two different isoforms of AP-1 and are distinguished by their different μ1-adaptin, A and B. The μ1A and μ1B adaptin are differentially localized in the cell, and associate with different cargo [274]. μ1A sorts cargo from the TGN to endosome [279], and is preferentially localized to the TGN. μ1A deficient mice have drastic misrouting of lysosomal hydrolases [280]. Conversely, the μ1B adaptin preferentially colocalizes to sorting endosomes [273,274,276], and directs cargo to the basolateral membrane at the sorting endosome [274,276,277,281]. In the absence of μ1B, μ1A containing complexes can also sort cargo to the basolateral membrane, albeit less efficiently than μ1B-containing complexes [276]. However, μ1B cannot adequately substitute for μ1A, as μ1A-KD is embryonically lethal in mice [280]. μ1A deficient mice also have drastic misrouting of lysosomal hydrolases [280].

The third member of the adaptor protein family is AP-3. AP-3 has two large >100kDa (β and δ) subunits, one medium ~50kDa subunit (μ3), and small ~25kDa subunit (σ 3) [282-284]. The β3, μ3, and σ3 subunits are represented in A and B isoforms. The A isoform of AP-3 is ubiquitously expressed, but the B isoform is mainly expressed in neuronal tissue [282,283,285,286]. The role of AP-3 was first elucidated in yeast, where deletions of any of the AP-3 subunits disrupted delivery of alkaline phosphatase to the vacuole [287-289]. Disruption of the heterotetrameric AP-3 complex led to the retention of alkaline phosphatase (ALP) within the yeast Golgi [287]. These results were echoed in drosophila bearing the garnet or ruby mutation, which present with abnormal eye pigmentation due to mutations in the δ and β3A subunits, respectively [283,290]. Electron microscopy of retinal cells from drosophila revealed a dramatic decrease in the numbers of pigment granules, suggesting a deficit in pigment granule biogenesis [291]. Mice with
mutations in the β3A or δ subunit also had fewer pigment granules in the retinal epithelium and fewer platelet dense granules [292-294]. In humans, a mutation in the β3A subunit was seen in a subtype of patients with Hermansky-Pudlak syndrome. These patients presented with oculocutaneous albinism, immunodeficiency and bleeding diathesis [295-297]. The melanosomes, cytolytic granules and platelet dense granules, which mediate skin pigmentation, immune function, and blood clotting, contain lysosomal proteins and are known as lysosome-related organelles (LROs) [298,299]. Therefore, AP-3 may have a role in lysosome and LRO biogenesis.

The AP-3 complex is localized to the tubulovesicular structures near the TGN and endosomes [282,284,300]. AP-3 is proposed to select cargo at the TGN or endosomal membrane for delivery to the lysosome. Human and mouse fibroblasts deficient in AP-3 misrouted LMPs (LAMP-1, CD63) to the cell surface [301,302]. Furthermore, cytosol depleted of δ3 subunit was unable to facilitate formation of melanosome protein and LAMP1 positive vesicles from donor Golgi membrane. Interestingly, loss of the δ3 subunit had no effect on mannose-6-phosphate receptor (M6PR) vesicle formation [303]. Therefore, the function of AP-3 likely sequesters cargo into vesicles at the TGN or endosome for delivery to lysosomes. However, it remains to be determined if these vesicles are clathrin-coated. Initial studies could not identify AP-3 in clathrin-coated vesicles (CCV) fractions or by electron microscopy [282,286]. However, a later study identified a clathrin-binding motif on the trunk domain of β3 and localized AP-3 to clathrin at the TGN and endosomes [304].

The most recently discovered AP complexes are AP-4 and AP-5 [305,306]. AP-4 was first discovered by a BLAST sequence search for expressed sequences tags (EST) with similarity to subunits in AP 1-3. The search revealed a heterotetrameric complex with two large subunits (β4 and ε), one medium (μ4), and one small (σ 4) subunits. Unlike AP-1 and AP-3, only one isoform of AP-4 is known [305,306]. The new subunits localized strongly to the TGN and sequestered cargo into non-clathrin coated vesicles [305-307]. Initial studies on its function revealed it was responsible for sorting cargo to the basolateral membrane [307]. However, a more recent study by Burgos and colleagues [308] revealed a role for TGN to endosome trafficking. μ4 KD
increased retention of APP in the TGN and increased Aβ production [308]. Interestingly, AP-1 and AP-4 both sort cargo to the basolateral membrane from the TGN. With AP-1 being the more abundant isoform, AP-4 may serve as a specialized cargo adaptor [309]. However, when the cellular machinery for forming AP-1 clathrin coated vesicles was disrupted, there was a significant increase in the formation of AP-4 coated vesicles [310,311]. While the current evidence is unclear, it appears that AP-4 is responsible for sorting cargo to endosomes or the basolateral membrane from the TGN.

The newest member of the AP family is AP-5. Like the other members of the family, it contains two large subunits (β5 and ζ), one medium subunit (μ5), and one small subunit (σ5). AP-5 strongly colocalizes with LAMP1 positive vesicles. Although the AP-5 cargo and functions remains to be fully revealed, early findings suggest a role for AP-5 in endosome and lysosome trafficking [312].

1.2.2 GGA Adaptors

Another family of proteins was discovered based on their homology to γ-adaptin [313-315]. These monomeric proteins colocalized mainly to the TGN [313-317]. Localization to the TGN was abrogated in the presence of the Arf-GEF inhibitor, Brefeldin A [313,318]. The protein family was named γ-ear containing, Golgi-localized, Arf-binding protein (GGA).

The GGA family consists of three related proteins. Each protein contains a conserved VHS (Vps27, Hrs, Stam) domain, GAT (GGA and Tom1) domain, and a γ-adaptin ear (GAE) domain. The VHS domain is responsible for cargo interaction [319]. The GAT domain is sufficient for TGN localization, and is recruited by GTP bound Arf proteins [314,320]. GGAs were found on the TGN or in nearby tubulovesicular structures, and were frequently associated with clathrin-coated buds [313,318]. Expression of a dominant negative mutant of GGA1 in HeLa cells resulted in impaired TGN-endosome trafficking, and resulted in mannose-6-phosphate receptor (M6PR) retention at the TGN [318].

GGA contains a clathrin-binding domain in the hinge region between the GAT and GAE domain [318]. The GAE domain interacts with accessory proteins that may participate in membrane
trafficking [321,322]. These findings suggest that GGA, like AP-1, may have a role in nucleating CCV formation at the TGN. However, GGA could not be detected in the clathrin-coated vesicle (CCV) fraction [313]. There are two possibilities that may resolve this paradox. GGA may facilitate the formation of vesicles distinct from AP-1 vesicles or GGA and AP-1 may work cooperatively to nucleate vesicle formation at the TGN. More recent studies are in support of the latter theory. Doray and colleagues [323] found that the GGA hinge domain interacts directly with the γ-ear of AP-1. Furthermore, casein kinase 2 (CK2) associates with AP-1 and can phosphorylate GGA1 [279,323]. CK2 phosphorylates GGA1 and GGA3 at a serine preceding an internal DXXLL (where X is any amino acid) motif. Serine phosphorylation increases the affinity of this motif for the DXXLL binding site on the VHS domain of GGA [323]. The phosphorylated internal motif outcompetes the DXXLL motif on the cargo for the binding site on the VHS domain. The auto-inhibition, by the internal DXXLL motif, decreases GGA’s affinity for cargo and could facilitate the transfer of cargo from GGA adaptors to AP-1 adaptors.

While the majority of GGA localization is with the TGN, there is also colocalization between GGA and endosomes [313-317,320,324,325]. In live-cell imaging experiments, GGA1 is visualized leaving an endosome for the TGN-area [324], which suggests a possible role for GGA in retrograde trafficking.

GGA2 in yeast and GGA3 in mammalian cells can also interact with ubiquitin tagged cargo through their GAT domains [325-327]. Yeast with a GGA2 knockdown failed to delivery ubiquitinated cargo to the vacuole and misrouted ubiquitinated cargo to the cell surface [326]. Similarly, knockdown of GGA3 in mammalian cells leads to accumulation ubiquitinated EGF receptor and ubiquitinated BACE (memapsin 2) in early endosomes, which leads to a failure of BACE and EGFR degradation [325,327].

1.2.3 Trafficking of Lysosomal Hydrolases

The initial steps of lysosomal hydrolase synthesis are shared with other secretory proteins. The proteins are inserted into the endoplasmic reticulum lumen, and subsequent cleavage of the signal sequence. They are then glycosylated on selected asparagine residues by preformed
M6P is recognized by 2 distinct M6P receptors (M6PR). There is a cation-independent (CI) M6PR with a molecular mass of 300kDa and a cation-dependent (CD) M6PR with a mass of 46kDa. The CI M6PR contains 15 contiguous repeats, with each repeat being approximately 147 residues [328]. Each CI M6PR is capable of binding one completely phosphorylated hydrolase [331]. The CD M6PR is 159 residues and has 14-28% homology to each of the repeats in CI M6PR [328]. It exists as a dimer, and each dimer binds one hydrolase [331-333].

At the TGN, the M6PR are sorted into CCVs at the TGN, which then fuse with endosomes [334-336] (Figure 1.2, Step 1). Efficient sorting of M6PR to endosomes depends on dileucine motifs (LLHV and HLLPM) within the carboxyl terminals of CI M6PR and CD M6PR [320,337,338]. Mutagenesis of both motifs results in CatD secretion to the extracellular space [338]. The dileucine motifs found in the tail of M6PR, and other cytoplasmic tails, can interact VHS domain of GGA [319,324,339-341].
Figure 1.2: Trafficking of Lysosomal Hydrolases

Soluble lysosomal hydrolases are transported to the lysosome via the mannose-6-phosphate receptor (M6PR). The M6PR recognizes M6P moieties on the lysosomal hydrolase. 1) It is believed that GGA1 and AP-1 may work cooperatively recruit and transport M6PR to the endosome. 2) A small proportion (3-10) of M6PR, both cation dependent (CD) and cation independent (CI), can also be transported to the cell surface. 3) At the cell surface, CI M6PR can internalize lysosomal hydrolases into endosomes. 4) At the late endosome, M6PRs are recycled back to the Golgi, while the hydrolases continue on to the lysosome.
In addition to interacting with GGA proteins, M6PRs can also interact with a hetero-tetrameric adaptor protein (AP) family [342-345]. There are two binding sites for AP-1 within the CD M6PR tail (amino acids 28-42 and 49-67) [342]. As discussed earlier, there is evidence suggesting that GGAs and AP-1 work cooperatively to package M6PRs into CCVs at the TGN [323]. Active GGAs are purported to retain M6PRs in non-clathrin coated regions of the TGN [346]. The hinge region of GGA1 can interact with AP-1 and may be crucial in transferring M6PR into AP-1 positive CCVs [323]. Deletion of the hinge region results in AP-1 binding deficient GGA1 and traps M6PR in the TGN [320,323,347].

Beyond cargo sorting, GGAs and AP-1 also aid in CCV trafficking and fusion with early endosomes. While clathrin is released from vesicles relatively quickly after vesicle formation [348-352], AP-1 remains associated for an extended period. The β-subunit of AP-1 interacts with kinesin 13A, which could transport the M6PR towards intracellular targets [353]. Furthermore, the GAE domain of GGA and the γ subunit of AP-1 can interact with rabaptin5 [347]. Rabaptin5 interacts with Rab5 and likely aids in fusion of the vesicle with early endosomes [354-357].

Eventually, the lysosomal hydrolases are delivered into lysosomes through fusions between endosomes and lysosomes. However, the M6PR that deliver the hydrolases are recycled and do not appear in the lysosomes [358] (Figure 1.2, Step 4). On the C-terminal of M6PR there is a phenylalanine-tryptophan (FW) endosome retention motif recognized by TIP47 [359]. TIP47 also interacts with GTP bound Rab9 and this complex recycles M6PR from late endosomes; preventing M6PR from entering lysosomes [359-361]. Alternatively, M6PRs can be returned to the TGN via the actions of the Phosphofurin acidic cluster sorting protein 1 (PACS-1). PACS-1 directly interacts with AP-1 and M6PR at early endosomal membrane and mediates recycling from early endosomes [362,363] (Figure 1.2, Step 1).

While the majority of lysosomal hydrolases traffic directly to endosomes, a small proportion of both M6PRs (3-10% of total cellular M6PR) are present at the cell surface (Figure 1.2, Step 3). However, only CI-M6PR is responsible for endocytosis of M6P-containing ligands [336,364]. Rapid internalization of CI M6PR from the cell surface is dependent on the YSKV motif in the
cytosolic tail [365-367]. AP-2 can bind tyrosine and dileucine motifs and are crucial in the internalization of M6PR from the cell surface [368].

1.2.4 Sorting of Lysosomal Membrane proteins

While soluble lysosomal hydrolases are responsible for the digestive role of lysosomes, membrane proteins (LMPs) are responsible for a number of important functions; including maintaining the acidity of the lysosomal lumen, formation of a protective glycocalyx [369], translocation of macronutrients to the cytosol from the lysosomal lumen, and lysosomal homotypic and heterotypic fusion. Lysosomal biogenesis is dependent on cooperation between the endocytic and biosynthetic systems of the cell. There are two pathways for LMPs to reach lysosomes. The first is directly from the TGN to the endosomal system. Other LMPs are delivered indirectly, by transiting through the cell surface before reaching the endosomal system (Figure 1.3). Sorting of LMPs by these pathways is dependent upon sequences within the cytosolic tail of LMPs. These motifs are degenerate sequences, of 4-7 residues and dependent on critical residues for their function. The main motifs can be separated into two main classes, named for their critical residuals; namely tyrosine- and dileucine-based motifs. These sorting motifs are saturatable, which suggests a dependence upon adaptor proteins.
**Figure 1.3:** Trafficking of Lysosomal Membrane Proteins

1) Membrane proteins destined for the lysosome can first be presented at the cell surface, and 2) subsequently internalized by clathrin-mediated endocytosis. 3) The proteins are eventually delivered to the lysosomal membrane. 4) Lysosomal membrane proteins can also traffic directly to the late endosome and lysosomes via an intracellular pathway, which does not pass through the cell surface.
1.2.4.1 NPXY Motifs

The importance of tyrosine motifs was seen in patients with familial hypercholesterolemia. Mutant alleles of the LDL receptor (LDLR) produced protein lacking the cytoplasmic domain were internalization defective [169]. This suggested an important motif within the cytoplasmic domain of LDLR. A mutation found in patient J.D. recapitulated the internalization defect, with a tyrosine to cysteine mutation in the cytoplasmic domain [170]. The critical tyrosine was later discovered to be within the NPVY motif of the LDLR. Mutation of any residues in the NPVY motif to alanine also diminished internalization of the LDL [370]. A minimal consensus NPXY motif was found in a subset of type 1 integral membrane proteins, and is responsible for rapid internalization of these proteins (where X represents a non-conserved residue). These proteins include family members of integrins, amyloid precursor protein, and LDL receptor. The μ2 (~50kDa) and δ2 (~17kDa) subunits form the core of AP-2 and recognize tyrosine-based motifs, including NPXY motifs. AP-2 interacts with the FDNPVY motif of LDLR through the μ2 - adaptin subunit [259].

While the NPXY portion is the minimal motif shared among these proteins, there are other factors the influence the trafficking of these proteins. For example, mutation of a phenylalanine two residues preceding the asparagine also disrupts LDLR internalization [170]. Furthermore, replacing the transferrin receptor internalization motif with the minimal NPVY motif from LDLR does not promote rapid internalization of the transferrin receptor. However, transplantation of the entire motif, including the phenylalanine residue (FDNPVY), recapitulates rapid endocytosis in the transferrin receptor [171]. In contrast, internalization of APP is dependent on the longer GYENPTY motif [89,371]. The GYENPTY motif contains a tyrosine at position occupied by phenylalanine in the LDL receptor.

In some cases, the NPXY motif may also tolerate a phenylalanine at the critical tyrosine [372]. β1 integrins contain two NPXY motifs in its cytoplasmic tail. However, tyrosine to phenylalanine mutations to both motifs does not interfere with protein internalization. In fact, mutated integrins are delivered more efficiently to lysosomes. However, β1-integrin dependent
viral infection is hampered in Spinner-adapted murine L929 cells [172]. Tyrosine, but not phenylalanine, can be phosphorylated, which suggests that phosphorylation of the NPXY motif can regulate intracellular trafficking.

1.2.4.2 YXXØ Motifs

AP-2 also interacts with another tyrosine motif of the form YXXØ (where X is any amino acid and Ø is any bulky amino acid) [259,373-376]. The μ2-adaptin domain of AP-2 recognizes the YXXØ motif, albeit at different residues from the NPXY motifs. Unlike the NPXY motif, the critical tyrosine residue cannot be substituted with phenylalanine. Recognition of the YXXØ motif absolutely requires the presence of tyrosine and bulky amino acid [373,375,377]. The crystal structure of μ2-adaptin bound to YXXØ peptides from EGFR and TGN38 has been resolved and revealed hydrophobic pockets that facilitate the binding of tyrosine and the bulky amino acid [378].

 Deviations from the YXXØ motif can occur. The P2X4 receptor contains two YXXØ motifs (canonical: YKVL and non-canonical: YEQGL). Mutation of the canonical, but not the non-canonical motif interferes with P2X4 internalization [379]. While the distance between the critical tyrosine residue and the hydrophobic residue is highly conserved in the YXXØ motif, the presence of the glycine in the non-canonical YEQGL motif does not interfere with AP-2 interaction. The tyrosine and leucine occupy the same hydrophobic pockets as the canonical motif, as the glycine affords sufficient flexibility to the main chain [380].

 In addition to the required tyrosine and hydrophobic amino acid, AP-2 also has a third hydrophobic pocket. P-selectin has a leucine residue, 3 residues preceding (L-3) the critical tyrosine that can interact with the third hydrophobic pocket. In P-selectin, mutagenesis the YGVF motif to AGVF or YGVA, unexpectedly, had little effect on internalization [381], which suggests the participation of other residues. Crystallography revealed the presence of binding pocket for a L-3 [382]. The cytoplasmic tail of the GABAAR γ2 subunit also has a hydrophobic residue upstream of the YXXØ motif that binds to the same hydrophobic pocket [383]. Therefore, some motifs may depend on a ‘third-prong’ to interact with the μ2 subunit of AP-2.
As in NPXY motifs, there is evidence that tyrosines within the YXXØ motif can be phosphorylated. Tyrosine residues in the YGYECL are phosphorylated with in the γ2 subunit of the GABAAR. Phosphorylation of these residues abrogates μ2-adaptin interaction with YGYECL motif *in vivo* [383]. Mice expressing the γ subunit with a phenylalanine mutation at these tyrosines increases the post-synaptic level of GABAR and increases the frequency of miniature inhibitory post-synaptic potentials [384]. These results are substantiated by the failure of the phosphorylated TGN38 YXXØ motif to interact with μ2-adaptin [374,375].

In addition to phosphorylation of the tyrosine motif, the interaction between cargo and the AP-2 domain can also be regulated by phosphorylation of adaptin subunits in AP-2. The kinase interacts with the α adaptin subunit and phosphorylates residue 156 of μ2-adaptin. This phosphorylation increases affinity of μ2-adaptin for YXXØ [385,386]. AP-2 can also be phosphorylated within the hinge region of large α and β subunits and disrupts clathrin coat-assembly. Therefore, there is exquisite balance of phosphorylation and dephosphorylation of the AP-2 complex that regulates CCV formation.

While the tyrosine and hydrophobic residues in the YXXØ motif are critical, the internal X residues also dictate binding specificity. The μ2 domain has the broadest binding specificity and highest affinity for YXXØ motifs. The μ2 domain will tolerate a wide range of residues at the Y+1 and Y+2 positions [374,375,387]. The μ2 domain prefers basic amino acids at Y+1 and Y+2, with a particularly strong preference for arginine at Y+2 [375,387]. Interestingly, internalization signals, but few lysosomal targeting signals have basic residues between the Y and Ø residues [366,367]. This agrees with the findings that AP-2 is responsible for internalization at the plasma membrane. Conversely, μ3a and μ3b, from AP-3, have a preference for glutamic acid at Y+1, which is characteristic of many lysosomal targeting YXXØ motifs. In addition μ3a is also the only domain that prefers glycine at position Y-1, which is a residue also found in many lysosomal targeting signals [387]. This suggests a role for AP-3 in lysosomal targeting. The μ1 domain has a preference for aspartic acid and leucine at Y-1, but the functional consequences of μ1 amino acid preference remain unclear [387].
1.2.4.3 Dileucine Motifs

Dileucine motifs, like tyrosine motifs, can signal protein internalization and delivery to endosomes and lysosomes. Dileucine motifs are present on lysosomal proteins (LIMP-II, NPC1), LRO proteins (TRP-1, Pmel17, and QNR-17). The dileucine motif was first discovered by Letourneur and Klausner who studied the rapidly internalizing CD3-γ chain of the T-cell antigen receptor [388]. Despite deletion of the YQPL motif in the CD3-γ chain tail, the protein was still rapidly internalized into endosomes and degraded in lysosomes. However, the combination of YQPL deletion and mutation of leucines, in the DKQTLL sequence, to alanine abrogated protein internalization and degradation [388]. The role of dileucine motif in internalization and lysosomal targeting was later confirmed in CD4 down-regulation by Nef, the HIV protein [389]. The motif was also found to be crucial for basolateral sorting in NPP1, CD44, FcRII β2 receptor [390-392].

In addition to the critical leucines, an acidic cluster 3-4 residues preceding the initial leucine were also important for protein internalization [393]. Mutation of the acidic cluster (typically aspartic acid or glutamic acid) to the basic amino acid, arginine, results in misroutining of protein to the cell surface [394]. The spacing of the acidic cluster from the initial leucine is also crucial. Increasing the spacing of the acidic cluster from the leucines also disrupts protein trafficking [394]. The entire dileucine motif takes the form of [DE]XXXL[LI] or DXXLL.

The adaptor protein family can interact with dileucine motifs. Despite sharing an adaptor with the tyrosine motifs, there is no competition [395]. However, the nature of the dileucine binding site has not been confirmed. The β adaptins and μ adaptins have been suggested as possible binding sites for dileucine motifs [396,397]. More recent evidence has suggested that the hemicomplexes formed by σ 1/γ1, σ 2/α2, or σ3a and b/δ3 can interact with dileucine motifs [398-402]. The σ2 subunit provides a hydrophobic patch that facilitates the binding of the dileucine residues. A basic patch on the σ subunit interacts with the acidic cluster preceding the leucine residues [402]. These binding domains can also be found on hemicomplexes from AP-1 and AP-3 [400].
The non-conserved residues in dileucine motifs may offer some specificity to adaptor protein binding as well. A proline is preferred at one residue preceding the first leucine, because it allows for a protein conformation that facilitates binding [401]. The proline is not absolutely required by AP-2, which reflects the flexibility of AP-2 in substrate binding. However, the absence of proline appears to negatively affect AP-1 binding, but has a milder effect on AP-3 interaction [401]. This suggests that slight modifications to the X residues in dileucine motifs can influence adaptor binding [401]. A prime example is lysosome integral membrane protein II (LIMPII), which can bind AP-3, but not AP-1 or AP-2 [403].

GGA proteins also interact with dileucine motifs via its VHS domain. Crystallography has revealed that the VHS domain of GGA forms a super-helix of eight helixes. Helixes 6 and 8 form a binding pocket that allows for hydrogen bonding between the helixes and the acidic residues on the dileucine motifs (aspartic or glutamic acid). There is also a hydrophobic pocket that buries the leucines within the VHS domain [319]. Mutation of the acidic residues or the leucines to alanine disrupts internalization and lysosomal delivery of cargo.

1.3 Trafficking of the Amyloid Precursor Protein

In addition to serving as a binding site for PTB proteins, the NPTY motif is also involved in internalization of APP [44,371]. As discussed earlier, the NPTY motif is part of a family of NPXY internalization motifs [404]. In the cytoplasmic terminus of APP, the NPTY motif is part of a larger motif (GYENPTY), which contain residues that contribute to APP internalization [44,371]. AP-2 binds to NPXY motifs via its μ2 subunit, and facilitates internalization [259,370]. AP-2 serves as a connector between the cytoplasmic tail of cargo to clathrin and other protein machinery involved in clathrin-mediated endocytosis (CME) [405]. AP-2 can immunoprecipitated with APP, and AP-2 knockdown decreases the internalization of APP [406,407]. After AP-2 recruitment, the membrane deforms and is coated with clathrin, and the vesicle is released from the membrane by dynamin scission [405]. Knockdown of dynamin and clathrin reduced APP internalization and reduced Aβ production [42,408,409] (Figure 1.4, Step 2a).
Figure 1.4: The trafficking of Amyloid Precursor Protein

The known trafficking pathways for APP. After synthesis in the endoplasmic reticulum and glycosylation in the Golgi, 1) it is generally accepted that APP is delivered in secretory vesicles to the cell surface. 2a) Subsequently, APP is internalized by clathrin-mediated endocytosis (clathrin depicted as gray shading) into early endosomes. 3) APP is eventually delivered to lysosomes and processed to form Aβ. 2b) Alternatively, recent work from our lab has demonstrated that APP can be internalized rapidly via macropinocytosis into lysosomes. 4) APP can also be recycled to the Golgi from the endosome, by an interaction with the retromer.
Lipoprotein receptor-related protein 1 (LRP1) can also interact with APP and regulate its internalization [218,219,410]. The cytoplasmic tail of LRP contains two NPXY motifs, which participate in AP-2 mediated internalization [170,259,370]. The α isoform of LRP1 promotes internalization, and increases Aβ production, while the β isoform decreases internalization and promotes non-amyloidogenic processing of APP [411].

APP also interacts with other members of the heterotetrameric adaptor protein family (AP-1b and AP-4) [281,308]. AP-1b and AP-4 are involved in basolateral cargo sorting in polarized epithelial cells [281,307,412]. Neurons are also polarized cells and are divided into an axonal compartment and a somatodendritic compartment [413]. APP is delivered first to the axonal compartment [414,415], before being endocytosed from the axonal plasma membrane and delivered to dendrites [414]. The AP-1 complex in C. elegans is responsible for targeting of multiple proteins to the dendritic compartment [416]. In a similar way, disruption of the AP-4 complex in mouse neurons results in the misrouting of AMPA receptors to axonal autophagosomes [417,418]. Although the exact roles of AP-1 and AP-4 in neuronal cells remains to be elucidated, they may serve to regulate the polarized sorting of APP, and thereby control the amount of Aβ produced (Figure 1.4, Step 1).

Recent work from our lab suggests that APP may also be internalized rapidly (within 15 minutes after appearance at the cell surface) into lysosomes [419]. This rapid internalization appears to be Arf6-dependent [420] (Figure 1.4, Step 2b). Electron microscopy revealed that APP is internalized into structures reminiscent of macropinosomes [421,422]. Furthermore, previous work has demonstrated that macropinosomes are capable of rapidly internalizing cargo to lysosomes within 15-minutes [422]. We have also demonstrated that rapid APP internalization depends on antibody cross-linking [420], which suggests that this pathway is dependent on the transduction of extracellular signals. Fe65, an APP interacting protein (described in detail above), has been shown to interact with Arf6 [222]. Therefore, it is tempting to suggest a signaling complex between APP, Fe65, and Arf6. The activation of Arf6 in this complex may activate downstream effectors of Arf6 (Rac1, phospholipase D1, PIP5K) to regulate cell shape
and APP macropinocytosis [423]. However, further evidence will be required to confirm the presence of this proposed signaling pathway. Indeed, recent work from the Annaert lab [424] suggests it is BACE1, and not APP that is internalized in an Arf6-dependent manner in non-neuronal cells. Therefore, further work will be required to elucidate the role of Arf6 in APP trafficking.

1.4 Lysosomal Pathology in Alzheimer’s Disease

Lysosomes also appear to have a critical, yet not fully understood role, in AD pathology [425-427]. Perhaps the first evidence of lysosomal involvement in AD aetiology was the presence of active lysosomal enzymes (i.e. Cathepsin D, β-hexosaminidase) as a major constituent of senile plaques [428-430]. The enzymes were associated with membrane-delimited lipofuscin granules, which contain the lipid and protein remains of failed lysosomal degradation [428]. Enlarged early endosomes and lysosomes are among the earliest abnormalities observed in AD, and appear before the appearance of neurofibrillary pathology or extracellular amyloid plaques [431-434]. Strikingly, these enlarged endosomes are found in layers of the cortical mantle that are afflicted in the early stages of AD suggesting that endosomal/lysosomal pathology may precede the more traditional markers (neurofibrillary tangles and amyloid plaques) of AD [14,431,434]. Fibroblasts of Down syndrome patients, who invariably develop AD pathology by age 30, also have enlarged early endosomes and abnormal endocytosis [435]. Furthermore, these fibroblasts redistribute CatD from lysosomes to early endosomes. CD-MPR expression is increased in AD and over expression of CatD in murine fibroblasts increases CatD localization to early endosomes [434,436]. CD-MPR over expression in cultured cells can recapitulate the mis-localization of CatD and increase the secretion of Aβ40 and Aβ42 [436]. CatD expression is abnormally increased in AD, and follows the laminar pattern seen with NFT and enlarged endosomes [428,430,437-440].

The abnormal increase of CatD expression, and other lysosomal hydrolases, is recapitulated in various mouse models of AD [441,442]. In TgCRND8, a mouse model of AD, there is an increase in the number of enlarged CatD-positive lysosomes. These vesicles also stained for ubiquitin and LC3, which suggests an accumulation of undigested proteins in autolysosomes.
Restoring CatD activity reduced the number of abnormal lysosomes and autophagosomes, decreased amyloid plaque load, and improved cognition [442]. Up-regulation of lysosomal activity can increase the clearance of intracellular Aβ [443]. In animals bearing FAD mutants of PS1 and APP, there was a significant increase in autophagic bodies in the cell bodies and neurites of neurons [444]. The increased number and size of autophagic vacuoles can also be found in the brains of AD patients [444,445]. These autophagic vacuoles are enriched in dystrophic neurites, which are found surrounding the core of the amyloid plaque [445,446]. Aβ can be immunolocalized to autophagic vacuoles and lysosomes, and Aβ production can be potentiated by activation of autophagy [444]. Treatment of neurons with fibrillar aggregates of Aβ can increase neurite dystrophy [447]. There appears to be a deficiency in lysosomal proteolysis in AD.

Lysosomes serve as an optimal intracellular milieu to nucleate Aβ. Indeed, Hu et al. [448] demonstrated that Aβ accumulated in lysosomes can seed the formation of Aβ fibrils in vitro. The lysosomes offer an acidic environment, which is optimal for Aβ aggregation [449]. The acidic environment also promotes the insertion of Aβ into the lipid membranes, which protects Aβ from degradation [450]. Interestingly, Aβ can interact with ganglioside clusters to form a complex, which encourages Aβ fibrilization [451,452]. Transgenic AD mice treated with an antibody against the ganglioside/Aβ complex reduced plaque deposition [453]. Therefore, the acidic milieu and the lipid composition of the lysosomal membrane can promote lysosomal aggregation of Aβ.

The deficiency of lysosomal enzyme activity can cause the accumulation of lipids and gangliosides in the lumen. These enzyme deficiencies cause lysosomal storage diseases and result in the accumulation of Aβ in the neurons of mouse models and human patients. For example, in HEXB KO mice (Sandhoff disease mouse model), there was an accumulation of ganglioside/Aβ complexes in endosomes and lysosomes [454]. Pharmacologically-induced accumulation of GM1 recapitulates the endosomal fibrilization of Aβ on ganglioside clusters [455]. The secondary accumulation of Aβ can also be seen in animal models of Niemann Picks Disease (NPD), which accumulate cholesterol in the lysosomal lumen. In rabbits fed a high
cholesterol diet, there were perturbed lysosomal protease activity, enlarged endosomes and lysosomes, and increased the intracellular accumulation of Aβ in the brain [432]. Furthermore, addition of excess cholesterol in the cell culture media of cultured rat neurons also resulted in lysosomal pathology and an increase in Aβ production [456]. The cholesterol-induced enlargement of endosomes and lysosomes are also seen in NPD type C [457]. Therefore, the accumulation of lipids, reminiscent of lysosomal storage diseases, can promote the secondary accumulation of Aβ.

The aberrant accumulation of cholesterol may also affect the activity of secretases participating in APP metabolism. The accumulation of cholesterol can influence the function of secretases involved in the processing of APP. High concentrations of cholesterol can decrease the membrane fluidity and decrease the interaction of α-secretase and APP [458]. The decreased α-secretase cleavage of APP can result in the increased amyloidogenic cleavage [48]. The accumulation of cholesterol in NPD type C can also modulate the localization and activity of β-secretase [459]. CHO cells with a NPC knockout have an increased production of β–CTF, which suggests increased β-secretase cleavage; despite no change in enzyme activity. [460]. It was later revealed that in CHO NPC KO cells, β-secretase (BACE) is localized to early endosomes, and the trafficking defect can be rescued by correcting cholesterol accumulation [461]. Furthermore, cerebrospinal fluid from NPD type C patients revealed a relative increase in the amount of neurotoxic Aβ42, which suggests the modulation of γ-secretase cleavage [462].

Conversely, the accumulation of intracellular Aβ may inhibit the activity of lysosomal proteases. While the most obvious aggregates of amyloid in AD are extracellular, there is accumulating evidence of intracellular lysosomal amyloid aggregates; well before the appearance of extracellular plaques [463]. Perhaps the first observation of intracellular amyloid was seen in human foreskin fibroblasts, which accumulated exogenous Aβ intracellularly [464]. Shortly after, there were observations of endogenous Aβ accumulating intracellularly in neuronal cell lines and human primary neuronal cultures [52,141,465,466]. Interestingly, these intracellular aggregates appear to be resistant to degradation [52,464], and accumulate in the endosomal/lysosomal system [463,467,468] [432,439,456,469].
In addition FAD mutations in PS1 may also play a role in regulating lysosomal enzyme activity through maintaining lysosomal pH. PS1 is the catalytic component of the γ-secretase complex, but may have other roles; including regulate lysosomal pH and ER-calcium storage [470,471]. Lysosomes in PS1−/− neurons have increased pH, which leads to the accumulation of autophagic vacuoles [471,472]. However, it should be noted that these results are controversial, as these findings were not replicated by other laboratories [473-475]. The effects of PS1−/− are independent of PS’s catalytic activity, because inhibition of γ-secretase does not result in the accumulation of autophagic bodies [472]. Introducing PS1 FAD mutants to PS1−/− fibroblasts does not re-acidify lysosomes and cannot rescue lysosomal proteolysis [471]. However, the effect of PS1 FAD mutants on lysosomal activity remains to be elucidated, as a conflicting study found that reintroduction of PS1 FAD mutants can clear cells of autophagic bodies [472]. More recently, fibroblasts from patients expressing the PS1 FAD mutation, A246E, also have a decrease in lysosomal pH and decreased maturation of CatD [476]. This suggests that PS1 may have an important role in maintaining lysosomal pH.

The intracellular accumulation may have several deleterious consequences to neurons. Luminal aggregates of Aβ make the lysosomal membrane more labile, which leads to the leaking of lysosomal proteases into the cytosol [450]. Indeed, the release of lysosomal hydrolases into the cytosol can precede apoptotic cell death [477-479]. In some cases, the intracellular Aβ may lead to cell rupture. The immunohistochemical examination of entorhinal and hippocampal cortex from AD patients revealed the presence of ruptured cells, which more numerous surrounding amyloid plaques [480]. Alternatively, it has also been suggested that the intracellular aggregates of Aβ can be released into the extracellular space by exosomes and seed the fibrilization of Aβ (intraluminal vesicles in endosomes and lysosomes) [481]. The trans-cellular spread of intracellular aggregates is not restricted to amyloid, but can also be observed in Parkinson’s disease in the exosomal release of α-synuclein [482,483].

1.5 Lysosomal Secretion

Lysosomes are commonly viewed as the disposal system of the cell, but are recognized to have a secretory function in many cell types [484]. Lysosomes are secreted to the cell surface for
membrane repair [485,486]. Furthermore, in neurons lysosomes potentially provide the membrane required for neurite outgrowth [487]. They are involved in the secretion of thyroid hormone, pulmonary surfactant, albumin, and cytotoxic molecules from cytotoxic T lymphocytes (CTLs) [485,488,489]. Vesicles carrying these cargo belong to a family of lysosome-related organelles (LROs) [490]. LROs share some hydrolases and membrane proteins with lysosomes, but are unique in the cargo they carry to perform specialized roles.

The mechanism underlying LRO and conventional lysosome secretion is complex and requires the cooperation of many proteins. Before successful degranulation, each secretory granule must be delivered to the cell surface, tethered, docked, and stimulated to release by increased intracellular calcium [491]. Genetic disruption of steps in LRO release can lead to familial hemophagocytic lymphohistiocytosis (FHL) or Griscelli syndrome (GS).

Griscelli syndrome is a autosomal recessive disease that is characterized by partial albinism and immunodeficiency [492]. There are three types of GS and they affect genes expressing a group of three interacting proteins (myosin Va, Rab27a, and melanophilin). Type 2 GS is caused by a mutation in Rab27a [493]. In GS, melanocytes accumulate pigment in melanosomes and natural killer (NK) cells have decreased cytotoxic activity [494]. Expression of dominant negative mutants of Rab27b (a brain expressed isoform) [495] in PC12 cells decreases ACTH secretion from dense core granules [496]. These deficits are likely due to disrupted LRO trafficking and plasma membrane docking. Rab27a deficient melanocytes missort melanosomes to the perinuclear region [497]. Expression of dominant negative mutants of Rab27a in a melanocyte cell line phenocopies the melanosome distribution [498]. In addition to vesicle delivery to the subplasmalemmal region, Rab27 likely also participates in vesicle docking. Lytic granules in CTLs from ashen mice (Rab27a KO mice) can polarize towards the target cell, but do not dock at the plasma membrane [499]. Furthermore, knockdown of Rab27a or Rab27b leads to increased mobility of lysosomes at the cell surface, which suggests that Rab27 may participate in lysosomes and LRO docking [500]. Therefore, Rab27 has an important role governing the transport and docking of LROs, and likely lysosomes.
The function of Rab27 depends on the function of its many effectors. Rab27 effectors have an amino terminal Rab interacting domain and, typically, two carboxyl terminal C2 domains. The C2 domains are not present in all interacting proteins [501]. These effectors facilitate the docking and transport functions of Rab27 by facilitating interaction with microtubules, actin filaments, and SNARE complexes [501,502].

Melanophilin (Mlph) is a Rab27 interacting protein and mutations that impair its interaction with Rab27 result in GS type 3 [503]. In addition to Rab27, Mlph also interacts with the actin motor, Myosin Va (MyoVa), which results in a tripartite complex [504]. Mutation of any of these three proteins results in GS [505]. Indeed, expression of the Rab27 binding domain of Mlph acts as a dominant-negative, likely by sequestering active Rab27, causing melanosomes to accumulate in the perinuclear area [504]. Interestingly, Mlph also tracks the plus end of microtubules, through an interaction with the microtubule associated end binding protein 1 (EB1). This suggests that it may participate in melanosome delivery to cell periphery [506]. MyoVa also tracks the microtubule plus end in a Mlph dependent manner [506]. However, in the absence of MyoVa, bidirectional microtubule transport of melanosomes is normal [507]. However, in the absence of MyoVa, melanosomes are not captured in the actin-rich periphery and become concentrated in the perinuclear region [508,509]. Therefore, the Rab27a, Mlph, and MyoVa complex is involved in vesicle trafficking to the cell periphery and efficiently dock them at the cell membrane.

Another Rab27 effector, Exophilin 7 in neutrophils, is involved in the organization of actin filaments. Exophilin 7 interacts with the RhoA-GTPase-activating protein (GAP), Gem-interacting protein (GMIP), and participates in actin filament depolymerization [510]. Cortical actin serves as a physical barrier between vesicles and the cell membrane [511,512]. At the cell periphery, azurophilic granules, from human neutrophils, can traverse cortical actin barrier by depolymerizing actin. However, preventing actin depolymerisation, by loss of GMIP-mediated RhoA inactivation, decreases granule attachment to the membrane [510].

In addition to trafficking and docking to the cell periphery, there is also cellular machinery to facilitate the fusion of lysosomes and LROs with the cell surface. The mechanism responsible is the SNARE machinery, which was first identified in studies of synaptic vesicle exocytosis. In
synaptic vesicle exocytosis, the formation of the SNARE complex is thought to provide the energy needed for membrane fusion. Target SNARE (t-SNARE) proteins on the plasma membrane (SNAP-23, synaptobrevin 2) interact with vesicular SNARE (v-SNARE) proteins to form a four-helix bundle [513].

The exact SNARE machinery for LRO and lysosome exocytosis is likely dependent on the cell type in question. In cells of the hemopoietic lineage, syntaxin 11 is required for the formation of the SNARE complex with vesicle associated membrane protein 8 (VAMP8) and SNAP-23 [514]. Mutations in syntaxin 11 leads to the development of familial hemophagocytic lymphohistiocytosis (FHL) 4 [515]. Platelets and CTLs from FHL 4 patients have a deficit in LRO degranulation [514,516].

FHL can also be caused by mutations in Munc13-4 and Munc 18, which cause FHL 3 and FHL 5, respectively [517]. Munc 13-4 complexes with Rab27 and is critical for docking secretory lysosomes to the cell surface after stimulation. Mutation of critical residues that participate in Rab27 binding abrogates vesicle tethering to the cell surface and decreases lysosome secretion [517]. Munc 18-2 likely catalyzes membrane fusion between lysosomes and the cell surface. In synaptic vesicles, Munc 18 proteins are absolutely required for the fusion of synaptic vesicles with the presynaptic membrane [513]. In agreement, natural killer cells properly polarize cytotoxic granules to the immunological synapse, but do not degranulate and result in decreased cell killing [518,519].

1.6 Rationale and Hypothesis

According the amyloid cascade hypothesis, the pathological production and accumulation of Aβ is thought to be the initiating factor in AD pathology [35]. Work from our lab and others have implicated the endosomal/lysosomal system in Aβ production [40,42,44,419]. Recent work has revealed that endosomes and lysosomes accumulate intraluminal Aβ [439,463,464,467]. These intracellular Aβ aggregates are resistant to degeneration and can seed the formation of Aβ fibrils in vitro [52,464].
In AD, the production and accumulation of A\(\beta\) appears to be a critical part of the disease process. Despite the importance of APP trafficking to lysosomes in production of A\(\beta\), the process is not fully understood. The intracellular trafficking of nascent APP to the endosomal/lysosomal system is relatively understudied, due to the difficulty in tagging nascent proteins for microscopy. APP also contains three tyrosine motifs, which have been shown to be involved in endocytosis and basolateral sorting [44,281]. However, the role of these motifs, if any, in the sorting of nascent APP from the Golgi apparatus is unknown. While it is thought that A\(\beta\) is produced intracellularly in lysosomes, the mechanism, which allows these intracellular stores to access the extracellular space has yet to be elucidated. It is hypothesized that APP can traffic intracellularly to lysosomes to produce A\(\beta\), which can be secreted via lysosome exocytosis.

### 1.7 Objectives

To test this hypothesis, the following objectives were studied. 1) Using a photoactivatable version of GFP attached to the C-terminal end of APP, we will demonstrate the intracellular trafficking of APP from the Golgi to lysosomes, without first transiting the cell surface. 2) We will to modulate the intracellular trafficking of APP by genetically and pharmacologically manipulating the C-terminal sorting signals of APP. 3) Finally, we will determine the eventual fate of A\(\beta\) produced in the lysosome.
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Chapter 2

2 The Amyloid Precursor Protein is rapidly transported from the Golgi apparatus to the lysosome and where it is processed into beta-amyloid.

Alzheimer’s disease (AD) is characterized by cerebral deposition of β-amyloid peptide (Aβ). Aβ is produced by sequential cleavage of the Amyloid Precursor Protein (APP) by β- and γ-secretases. Many studies have demonstrated that the internalization of APP from the cell surface can regulate Aβ production although the exact organelle in which Aβ is produced remains contentious. A number of recent studies suggest that intracellular trafficking also plays a role in regulating Aβ production, but these pathways are relatively under studied.

Using APP tagged with photoactivatable Green Fluorescent Protein (paGFP), we show that APP is rapidly trafficked from the Golgi apparatus to the lysosome where it is rapidly cleared. Chloroquine and the highly selective γ-secretase inhibitor L685, 458 cause the accumulation of APP in lysosomes implying that APP is being cleaved by secretases in the lysosome. The Swedish mutation dramatically increases the rate of lysosomal APP processing, which is also inhibited by chloroquine and L685, 458. Lysosomal transport of APP is reduced by siRNA knockdown of AP-3 and reduces secreted Aβ by more than a third.

These data suggests that AP-3 mediates rapid delivery of APP to lysosomes, and that the lysosome is a likely site of Aβ production.

2.1 Introduction

Alzheimer’s disease is the leading cause of dementia in adults [1]. A neuropathological hallmark of AD is the accumulation of β-amyloid (Aβ) in plaques in the brain [2]. Aβ is produced through sequential cleavage of amyloid precursor protein (APP) by secretases. Cleavage by β-secretase removes the N-terminal ectodomain, leaving a 99 residue C-terminal fragment (CTF) containing Aβ [3-5]. The CTF is then processed by γ-secretase [6] to produce Aβ species ranging from 39 to 43 residues in length [7]. The 42-amino acid form of Aβ (Aβ42) has a higher propensity to aggregate, is toxic to cells in culture, and is the dominant component of amyloid plaques [8-11].
Many experiments suggest that the production of Aβ occurs in the endosomal/lysosomal system. Work in our laboratory has demonstrated that lysosomes are highly enriched in APP and γ-secretase proteins (composed of at least presenilin, APH1, PEN-2 and nicastrin) and γ-secretase activity (the ability to cleave APP to produce Aβ) [12,13]. Others have also described APP and γ-secretase activity in lysosome-related autophagosomes and phagosomes [14,15]. In agreement with these findings, deacidification of the endosomal/lysosomal system decreases Aβ production [16,17]. When proteolysis is blocked with protease inhibitors or by presenilin knock-out (which abolishes γ-secretase activity), amyloidogenic fragments of APP accumulate in lysosomes [18-20].

While many studies have shown that endocytosis of APP is crucial for Aβ production [21-23], a number of studies have suggested that the intracellular trafficking of APP might also play an important role in Aβ generation. For example, Aβ production is decreased in MDCK cells when APP is sorted to the basolateral membrane [24]. More recent studies demonstrate that Aβ production is decreased by retrograde sorting of APP from endosomes to the trans-Golgi network (TGN) [25-27]. Because the TGN serves as sorting station for nascent cargo from the ER and protein recycled from endosomes [28], an understanding the trafficking of APP into and out of the Golgi will increase our understanding of Aβ production.

While cell-surface proteins are amendable to many labeling techniques, intracellular proteins are more difficult to track. Photoactivatable-Green Fluorescent Protein (paGFP) has provided a new tool to examine intracellular trafficking. paGFP is a form of GFP that has low basal fluorescence, but develops strong, stable green fluorescence after being activated by 413 nm laser light [29,30]. Constructs using paGFP [30,31] have been used to examine the turnover of peroxisomes [32] and autophagosomes [33] and to examine actin dynamics in neuronal dendritic spines[34].

Fluorescent protein tags have previously been used to examine movement of APP containing vesicles [35,36], including tubular-vesicular structures emanating from the Golgi apparatus [37].
APP-paGFP constructs have been used to visualize APP undergoing fast axonal transport [38] and to image the trafficking of APP out of the perinuclear region, although these authors did not identify the compartments involved [39,40].

Our aim was to examine the trafficking of APP from the Golgi apparatus and to identify downstream compartments and identify sites of cleavage. We used targeted activation of APP-paGFP in the Golgi apparatus (identified using Galactosyltransferase fused to Cyan Fluorescent Protein (GalT-CFP) [41], and followed activated APP-paGFP using confocal microscopy fluorescence imaging to intracellular compartments labeled with compartment marker proteins fused to red fluorescent proteins including Rab5 (early endosomes) [42-44], Rab9 (late endosomes) [45,46] and LAMP1 (lysosomes) [47,48]. Tracking the disappearance of green fluorescent APP-paGFP from these downstream compartments allows us to examine the intracellular site of cleavage and degradation; essentially performing pulse chase experiments in single cells. Surprisingly, we show that a large fraction of APP traffics rapidly to LAMP1-labeled lysosomes within seconds after photoactivation in the Golgi, and is subsequently cleaved by a γ-secretase-like activity. This pathway is mediated by an interaction between APP and Adaptor Protein 3 (AP-3). Knocking down AP-3 blocks lysosomal transport and reduces Aβ secretion into the media by about 33%. This suggests that direct lysosomal transport of APP is an important source of Aβ.
2.2 Materials and Methods

2.2.1 Antibodies

The antibodies used were: Rabbit anti-APP C-terminal (1:1000, Cat. No. A8717; Sigma), mouse anti-HA (1:1000, Cat. No. 12CA5; Roche); mouse anti-γ-adaptin (Cat No. 610386; BD Bioscience). The AP-3 δ3 subunit- mouse- SA4 (1:1000), developed by Peden et al. [117] was obtained from the Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank, created by the NICHD of the NIH and maintained at The University of Iowa, Department of Biology, Iowa City, IA 52242. Secondary antibodies used were donkey anti-mouse HRP (1: 10 000, Cat No. 711-0350150, Jackson Immunoresearch) and goat-anti rabbit HRP (1: 10 000; Biorad). α-tubulin was stained using a mouse monoclonal antibody (Cat No. T5168, Sigma). For immunostaining, donkey anti-rabbit Alexa Fluor 488 (A-11034; Invitrogen) and goat anti-mouse Alex Fluor 546 (A-11003; Invitrogen).

2.2.2 Cell Culture and Transfection

SN56 cells (a gift from Dr. Jane Rylett) were cultured in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle medium (DMEM) (Gibco) supplemented with 10%v/v of fetal bovine serum (FBS; Gibco) and 50 μg/ml of penicillin/streptomycin (P/S), in 5% CO₂ at 37°C. Cells were subcultured every 3 days. For confocal studies, 5 × 10⁵ cells were seeded on to glass-bottomed culture dishes (MatTek) the day before transfection in DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS. Cells were transiently transfected using Lipofectamine (Invitrogen) according to manufacturer’s instructions. To differentiate the cells, the media was replaced 24 hours after transfection with DMEM supplemented with 50 μg/ml P/S and 1 mM dibutyryl cyclic AMP (dbcAMP; Sigma). Cells were differentiated for 24 hours and imaged or harvested. Primary cortical neurons were prepared from embryonic day 15 CD1 mouse embryos as described previously [118].

For silencing RNA (siRNA) mediated knockdown of the δ subunit of AP-3, the Stealth Select 3 RNAi™ set (Invitrogen) was used. Sequence 3 of this set was found to knockdown the δ3 subunit (5’GAGAAGCGCGUCGUGCAGAAACUA3’). The ubiquitously expressed γ1
subunit of AP-1 was knocked down using 5’UAAUAUAUCAUUCAUAGCU3’ with a 3’ TT overhang. Stealth RNAi™ siRNA Negative Control Med GC (12935-300; Invitrogen) was used as a control. The control RNAi was tagged on the 5’ end with Alexa 647 to determine which cells were transfected with siRNA. For each 35 mm dish, 200nM of siRNA was transfected using Lipofectamine 2000 according to manufacturer’s instructions. During the knockdown experiments, 1nM of negative control siRNA was co transfected with the siRNA against δ3 or γ1 to confirm the transfection of siRNA into the cell.

2.2.3 DNA Constructs

A cDNA encoding APP 750 fused to yellow fluorescent protein (YFP) was a kind gift from Dr. Bradley Hyman (Massachusetts General Hospital). Constructs expressing full length or shortened (last 112 amino acids) APP (βAPP) with an amino terminal hemagglutinin (HA) tag and enhanced cyan FP (eCFP) on the carboxy terminus were generated as previously described [50]. The plasmids encoding photoactivatable GFP (paGFP) was a kind gift of Dr. Jennifer Lippincott-Schwartz [30]. βAPP was recloned such that paGFP is placed on the C-terminal cytoplasmic tail of the protein. The Swedish mutation (KM670/671NL) was introduced into the βAPP-ECFP construct using PCR [50], and was recloned into the paGFP vector.

Rab5-mRFP, Rab9-mCherry, and LAMP1-mRFP were generated as previously described [50]. The VSVG-paGFP construct was purchased from Addgene (http://www.addgene.org).

2.2.4 Confocal Microscopy

A Zeiss LSM-510 META laser- scanning microscope using a Zeiss 63× 1.4 numerical aperture oil immersion lens was used to obtain images (Carl Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany). The optical section thickness was typically 1 μm. To visualize Alexa Fluor 488 and paGFP fluorescence, the samples were excited with a 488 nm laser and filtered using a band pass (BP) 500-530-nm emission filter set. For Alexa Fluor 546, mCherry and mRFP fluorescence, a 543 nm excitation laser and BP 560-615 filter set were used. To collect ECFP fluorescence, a BP 475-525 emission filter set was used after excitation with a 458 nm lasers. Alexa Fluor 647 fluorescence was imaged using 633 nm excitation lasers, and a LP 650 filter.
2.2.5 Live Cell Imaging

For live cell imaging, the cells were washed twice with PBS, and the culture media was replaced with 37°C Hank’s Balanced Salt Solution (HBSS; Cat. No. 14025-092, Invitrogen). To maintain a constant temperature of 37°C, the 35 mm plate was placed on a heated stage (heated insert P; PeCon GmbH) connected to a Tempcontrol 37-2 digital 2-channel (PeCon GmbH).

Using the Ziess Physiology package, regions of interest (ROI) were selected in the Golgi apparatus, which was demarcated by GalT-CFP fluorescence and these were carefully monitored during the experiment to ensure that they remained over the Golgi apparatus if the cell or the Golgi apparatus apparatus moved. In a typical experiment, cells were imaged approximately every 30 seconds. For the first 15 minutes, ROIs in the Golgi apparatus were irradiated with 405 nm laser light to photoactivate APP-paGFP before imaging. After the initial 15-minute pulse period, images were taken without irradiation and the movement/degradation of paGFP fluorescence was followed for approximately 45 minutes.

To inhibit APP-paGFP cleavage, cells were treated with chloroquine (Cat. No. C6628, Sigma) or L685, 458 (Cat. No. 565771, EMD Millipore). Cells were treated with 100 μM chloroquine 30 minutes before imaging to deacidify lysosomes. Deacidification of lysosomes was confirmed using 75nM Lysosensor™ Green (Cat. No. L-7534, Invitrogen). To inhibit cleavage using a specific γ-secretase inhibitor, SN56 cells were treated with 0.5 μM L685, 458 for 24 h before imaging.

2.2.6 Colocalization Analysis

Colocalization analysis was performed on using Imaris 7.0 Imaris Colocalization module (Biplane). Imaris software was used to create IsoSurfaces corresponding to the paGFP and RFP fluorescence channels following the manufacturer’s directions (www.bitplane.com website) [119]. This is a computer assisted method to set fluorescence intensity thresholds to detect fluorescence in an organellar distribution that can then be used to automatically follow fluorescence intensity and colocalization over time. The co-localization of APP and LAMP1 over time was plotted using Prism 5.0 software (Graphpad, La Jolla CA) and curves were fit
using the nonlinear regression by least squares to fit a one phase exponential decay.

To colocalize AP-3δ and APP we adopted a strategy we have previously employed [50] and described by Hutcheon et al. [120] (also discussed in [121,122]), which sets thresholds based on a fixed percentage of the brightest pixels in an image. This allows for the identification of positive pixels that is unbiased (it does not require the judgment of the observer on an image to image basis) and is relatively unaffected by parameters of image acquisition or the level of protein expression. To colocalize AP-3δ and APP, the brightest 2% of pixels was selected, and the percentage of pixels colocalized was recorded [50]. Prism Graphpad 5.0b was used for all graphing and statistical analysis. A One-way ANOVA was performed with a Dunn’s post-hoc test, and P values under 0.05 were considered significant.

2.2.7 Immunostaining

SN56 cells or mouse cortical neurons were fixed for 15 minutes with 4% paraformaldehyde (Alfa Aesar; Cat No. 43368). Cells were permeabilized for 5 minutes with 0.1% TritonX-100 in PBS and blocked with 2% BSA for 1 h. Cells were incubated with primary antibodies overnight at 4°C, washed twice with PBS, and stained with secondary antibody for 1 h. After staining, confocal plates were store at 4°C in PBS, and coverslips were mounted on glass slides with ImmunoMount (Fisher) and stored at 4°C.

2.2.8 Proximity Ligation Assay (PLA)

SN56 cells were transiently transfected with βAPP-eCFP, and fixed for 15 minutes with 4% paraformaldehyde at room temperature. Cells were permeabilized and blocked in the same manner as immunostaining. PLA was performed using a commercially available kit (Duolink; Olink Bioscience) according to manufacturer’s instructions. Briefly, primary antibodies were washed off cells with PBS, and species specific PLA secondary probes were applied to cells. If secondary PLA probes are within 40 nm of each other, their complementary DNA strands are ligated and are amplified. Complementary fluorescent oligonucleotides bind to the amplified sequence, which results in a fluorescent dot where there are two interacting proteins.
2.2.9 Cell Lysis and Western Blots

SN56 cells (1.5×10^6 cells) were seeded on 60 mm tissue culture dishes (Becton Dickinson) and transfected with plasmids or siRNA using Lipofectamine 2000 according to manufacturer’s instructions. Cells were harvested in lysis buffer (1% Nonidet P-40, 150 mm NaCl, 50 mM Tris-Cl) supplemented with pepstatin and complete protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche). Lysates were clarified by centrifugation at 13,000 g for 20 min. To facilitate equal loading, the amount of total protein was determined by bicinchoninic acid (BCA; Thermo Fisher Scientific). Samples were electrophoresed on SDS-PAGE and transferred to PVDF membranes (Cat No. 162-01777; Biorad). Densitometry was performed in ImageJ (NIH), and was normalized to α-tubulin band density. Graphs were plotted in Prism 5.0b (Graphpad, La Jolla, CA), a one-way ANOVA was performed with a Tukey’s post-hoc test. Results were significant if p<0.05.
2.3 Results

2.3.1 APP-paGFP can be followed as it traffics from the Golgi apparatus to LAMP1-labeled compartments

In order to study the intracellular trafficking of APP from the Golgi apparatus in live cells, we generated expression constructs (Figure 2.1) containing full length APP (FL-APP) fused to an N-terminal HA epitope tag and photoactivatable Green Fluorescent Protein (paGFP) at its C-terminal cytoplasmic tail. To avoid any confounding effects of uncharacterized N-terminal APP cleavage and sorting signals [49], we also examined a shortened construct (referred to as βAPP) fused to the C-terminal 112 amino acids of APP containing both the β- and γ-cleavage sites. This construct also contains an N-terminal HA-epitope tag. βAPP-CFP colocalizes with full length FL-APP-GFP and has the same subcellular distribution as endogenous APP in primary neurons [50]. These constructs are cleaved by secretases (Figure 2.2) and produce Aβ (ELISA data below). In fixed cells, the N-terminal HA-tag of these constructs are well colocalized with the C-terminal Fluorescent protein tag, implying that much of the intracellular APP is trafficked before cleavage (Figure 2.3). We have previously demonstrated that βAPP-CFP and FL-APP-GFP are also trafficked to the cell surface and internalized to endosomes and lysosomes [50]. After photoactivation of βAPP-paGFP and FL-APP-paGFP constructs, regions or compartments exhibiting APP accumulation of Golgi-derived APP are predicted to appear as regions of increased green fluorescence. At sites where γ-cleavage occurs, cleavage is expected to release the APP C-terminal and its paGFP tag into the cytoplasm, decreasing the fluorescent signal over time.
Figure 2.1: Schematic of constructs.

APP constructs were generated including the full length APP 751 fused to paGFP on its C-terminus. A shorter construct consisting of the C-terminal 112 amino acids of APP fused to paGFP. Both constructs include a linker with includes an N-terminal HA epitope tag, and both constructs contain α-, β- and γ- cleavage sites. Cleavage at the γ-site will release the C-terminal tail of APP along with the paGFP tag into the cytoplasm.
Figure 2.1
**Figure 2.2:** Cleavage of βAPP-paGFP

βAPP-paGFP and full-length APP are cleaved by that γ-secretase in a similar manner. SN56 cells were transiently transfected with plasmids expressing GFP, full-length APP-paGFP (FL-APP-paGFP), or βAPP-paGFP. Twenty-four hours before harvesting protein for western blotting, cells were treated with DMSO or with L685, 458. Cell lysate was run on a 12% SDS polyacrylamide gel, and transferred onto nitrocellulose membrane. Membrane was probed for APP using APP C-terminal antibody (Sigma). Membranes were reprobed for α-tubulin, as a loading control. Full length APP-paGFP is cleaved to produce fragments of the predicted size, with a β-cleaved fragment at ~37 kDa (which is GFP (27kDa) the 10 kDa β-cleaved APP). The addition of the γ-secretase inhibitor L685, 458 causes the accumulation of the 37 kDa band. This pattern is repeated for the shorter βAPP-paGFP construct.
Figure 2.2
Figure 2.3: Colocalization of HA-tag and βAPP-CFP

Most of the trafficked APP in the cell is uncleaved. SN56 cells were transiently transfected with plasmids expressing βAPP-CFP, and immunostained with an anti-HA antibody, which binds to the HA-epitope on the N-terminus of the construct. In the merged image, it is possible to see that there is extensive colocalization of the N-terminal HA and the C-terminal CFP tag, implying that much of the intracellular APP is being trafficked uncleaved.
Figure 2.3
These studies were performed in the SN56 cell line, which is a hybrid cell created by fusing dissociated embryonic mouse septal neurons with N18TG2 neuroblastoma cells. SN56 cells were chosen because they are easily transfectable, have a neuronal morphology, and have a cholinergic phenotype when differentiated [51,52]. For each experiment, SN56 cells were co-transfected with an APP-paGFP construct along with a marker of the Golgi apparatus (GaIT-CFP) [41] and subcellular compartment marker fused to a modified red fluorescent protein (mRFP or mCherry). Compartment markers were LAMP1 (lysosomes), Rab5 (early endosomes) and Rab9 (late endosomes). LAMP1 is a 120kDa protein that is localized to the limiting membrane of lysosomes [47,48]. Rab5 localizes to the early endosomal membrane and is involved in homogenous or heterogenous vesicle fusion [42-44]. Rab9 localizes to late endosomal membrane and evidence suggests that Rab9 is involved in returning cargo from the late endosome to the Golgi [45,53]. Although many authors use Rab7 as a late endosomal marker, Rab7 also labels lysosomes extensively [54]. Before imaging, SN56 cells were differentiated for 24 hours in serum free DMEM supplemented with dbcAMP. Cells with normal morphology, no inclusions, and normal compartment marker distribution were imaged live on a Zeiss LSM510 laser scanning confocal microscope. Regions of interests (ROIs; the irradiation targets, typically 0.1-0.2 μm$^2$) were drawn on the Golgi apparatus using the Zeiss Physiology package. During a 15-minute photoactivation period, cells were alternately imaged and then briefly irradiated with 405 nm laser light (25 mW) for 20 iterations (typically 2 seconds) within each of the ROI’s to photoactivate APP-paGFP to produce a video time course. The irradiation targets were carefully monitored throughout the experiments to ensure that they did not drift outside the Golgi apparatus. Because of the very small irradiation targets, and APP’s rapid movement through the Golgi apparatus, multiple rounds of photoactivation were required to create a strong green fluorescent signal. Images were acquired after each photoactivation cycle, approximately every 30 seconds, and colocalization analysis was performed using Imaris software (Bitplane). After the initial photoactivation period, cells were imaged for up to an hour to follow the movement of APP out of the Golgi and its clearance.

When we started these experiments, we expected APP to move primarily to the cell surface and
then to be internalized into lysosomes after 30 minutes to 1 hour. Instead, within seconds of photoactivation, activated bright green fluorescent APP-paGFP colocalized with LAMP1-mRFP, implying rapid transport to lysosomes. A typical experiment is shown in Video 2.1, where βAPP-paGFP from the Golgi apparatus (blue) and can be seen moving within seconds to lysosomes (red). After 15 minutes of alternately photoactivating and imaging, cells were imaged (chased) for a further hour. During the chase period most of the green fluorescent APP disappeared, suggesting that it was being cleared.

In these experiments, both photoactivated FL-APP-paGFP and βAPP-paGFP appear to be rapidly colocalized with the LAMP-1 compartment (Figure 2.4a; top and middle panels). To confirm that this trafficking occurs in neurons, we then transfected GalT-CFP, βAPP-paGFP and LAMP1-mRFP into primary mouse cortical neurons. After photoactivating βAPP-paGFP in the Golgi, green fluorescence appears within 30 seconds to a minute in LAMP1-mRFP labeled compartments. (Figure 2.4a; bottom panel). To further demonstrate that the LAMP1 compartment rapidly received photoactivated APP-paGFP, we performed imaging at high magnification in closely cropped cells with βAPP-paGFP. In the earliest time points, it was possible to observe green fluorescent APP-paGFP arriving rapidly within LAMP1 compartments (Figure 2.4b). We quantitated the fraction of fluorescent activated paGFP colocalized with LAMP-mRFP after 15 minutes of photoactivation, we found that 34±5 % (Mean±SEM) of FL-APP and 34±4 % of βAPP was colocalized with LAMP1 (not statistically different) (Figure 2.4c). Because the trafficking of the shorter construct was indistinguishable and generated brighter images, the βAPP-paGFP construct was used for the remainder of these experiments. Enlarged images from these experiments along with colocalization analysis are shown in Figure 2.5. These images are very similar to the trafficking of LAMP1-paGFP from the Golgi to lysosomes reported by Patterson et al. [30].

To rule out the possibility that transport to the LAMP1 compartment was due to overexpression of the paGFP tag, we examined the transport of the Vesicular Stomatitis Virus Glycoprotein (VSVG); a classic secretory trafficking protein. VSVG was tagged with paGFP at its cytoplasmic C-terminus [55,56] similar to our APP constructs. We transfected SN56 cells VSVG-paGFP.
These cells were subjected to the same imaging protocol as βAPP-paGFP transfected cells. After the 15-minute pulse-period, VSVG-paGFP appeared on the cell surface and exhibited minimal transport to a LAMP1 compartment (Figure 2.4d), in concordance with previous results [31,56]. Therefore, we conclude the paGFP tag did not alter the trafficking of APP.

To verify the accuracy of βAPP-paGFP photoactivation in the Golgi apparatus and that we were not photoactivating βAPP-paGFP in nearby structures, SN56 cells were transfected with GalT-CFP, βAPP-paGFP and LAMP1-mRFP and pretreated with nocodazole and/or cytocholasin D to block transport out of the Golgi [57]. Cells were then photoactivated and imaged for 15 minutes using the Golgi apparatus marker GalT-CFP as a target, and a Z-stack was taken immediately after the photoactivation period. During the entire experiment, photoactivated APP-paGFP remained almost exclusively within the Golgi apparatus (Figure 2.4e; Video 2.2). Inspection of the post-irradiation Z-stack also revealed that photoactivated βAPP-paGFP was localized principally within the Golgi apparatus, with almost no fluorescence evident in other compartments or at the cell surface (Figure 2.4f).
**Figure 2.4:** APP is rapidly trafficked from the Golgi apparatus to LAMP1-labeled compartment.

Photoactivation targets were drawn on the Golgi apparatus (white dots with arrows). For 15 minutes, cells were alternately imaged and irradiated (photoactivated) with 405 nm laser light within the targets. White arrowheads point to APP-paGFP colocalized with Lamp1-mRFP. Scale Bar = 5 μm. a) Demonstrates rapid transport of Full length-APP- paGFP (top panel) and βAPP-paGFP (middle panel) from Golgi apparatus to a LAMP1-labeled compartment. The same trafficking occurs in mouse primary neurons (lower panel) (See Video 2.1). b) Higher magnification images of βAPP-paGFP trafficking rapidly to a LAMP1 labeled compartment. Scale Bar = 1 μm. c) Comparison of the colocalization of activated FL-APP-paGFP (n = 9) and activated βAPP-paGFP (n = 8). d) SN56 cells transiently transfected with the secretory protein Vesicular Stomatitis Virus Glycoprotein-paGFP (VSVG-paGFP), GalT-CFP (blue), and LAMP1-mRFP (red) to demonstrate that very little of the green photoactivated VSVG-paGFP arrives in the LAMP1 compartment; paGFP does not alter trafficking. Scale bars = 5 μm. e) Transfected SN56 cells were treated for 5 minutes with nocodazole before imaging (See Video 2.2). Scale bars = 5 μm. f) Z-stack of the same cell taken immediately following 15 minutes of photoactivation demonstrating that green signal remains inside the Golgi.
Figure 2.4
Figure 2.5: Enlarged images of APP trafficking

Colocalization of photo-activated APP-paGFP with LAMP1. SN56 cells were transiently transfected with plasmids expressing GFP, βAPP-paGFP and GalT-CFP. a) Shows the initial image of an SN56 cell before photoactivation, with the Golgi apparatus labeled blue (GalT-CFP) and lysosomes labeled red with LAMP1-mRFP. Thresholds were set in the red and blue channels to identify the Golgi apparatus and Lysosomes using Imaris software, and a colocalization channel is generated and overlaid in white. Although the Golgi apparatus and Lysosomes are closely apposed, the fluorescent protein markers demonstrate minimal colocalization. Panel b shows the same cell after 15 minutes of Golgi-targeted photoactivation with activated βAPP-paGFP in green and lysosomes labeled red with LAMP1-mRFP. The inset is magnified as figure c. Panel c shows the red LAMP1-mRFP and green photoactivated βAPP-paGFP channels separately. Thresholds were set in the red and green channels to identify the lysosomes and the majority of the APP fluorescent signal using Imaris software, and a colocalization channel is generated and overlaid in white. This channel demonstrates extensive colocalization of APP-paGFP and LAMP1. Furthermore, many regions of APP labeled fluorescence have the same shape as the underlying LAMP1 label, implying that they are indeed colocalized in these confocal images.
Figure 2.5
2.3.2 APP-paGFP traffics preferentially to lysosomes from the Golgi apparatus

Next, we examined APP trafficking from the Golgi apparatus to early and late endosomes. In these experiments, βAPP-paGFP was co-transfected with GalT-CFP along with either Rab5-mRFP (early endosomes) or Rab9-mCherry (late endosomes). Rab5 is highly associated with early endosomal membranes and is routinely used as a marker for early endosomes [42,43]. Rab9 localizes to late endosomal membrane and evidence suggests that Rab9 is involved in returning cargo from the late endosome to the Golgi [45,46,54]. Rab7 is also a late endosomal marker [45], but Rab7 also defines a population of lysosomes [54]. Therefore, to avoid mis-identification of late endosomes as lysosomes we chose Rab9 as our late endosomal marker.

Cells were then alternately irradiated with 405 nm within targets placed over the Golgi apparatus and imaged to produce a time course of images. In these experiments, a small amount of βAPP-paGFP can be seen colocalizing with Rab9 and Rab5 (Figure 2.6a and b; respectively) at the end of the photoactivation period. At the end of the 15-minute pulse period, 37±5% (Mean ± SEM) of photoactivated βAPP-paGFP colocalized with LAMP1 labeled compartments. Trafficking to Rab9 and Rab5 compartments was significantly lower at 17 ±4% and 6±3%, respectively (p<0.05)(Figure 2.6c). Although some LAMP1 labeling is found in early and late endosomes, the fact that significantly more APP was co-localized with LAMP1 than Rab5 or Rab9 suggests that APP is in *bona fide* lysosomes.
Figure 2.6: APP is primarily transported to a LAMP1 compartment.

SN56 cells were cotransfected with plasmids expressing APP-paGFP, GalT-CFP (blue), and a compartment marker (red). Photoactivation targets were drawn on the Golgi apparatus (white dots with arrows). βAPP-paGFP trafficking was visualized from the Golgi apparatus to Rab 9 labelled late endosomes (a) and Rab 5 labelled early endosomes (b). Scale bars represent 5 μm. c) Percent of APP-paGFP fluorescence colocalized with respective compartment markers after 15 minutes of photoactivation in the Golgi (circles: LAMP1 (n = 9), squares: Rab9 (n = 10), triangles: Rab5 (n = 7)). Error bars represent standard deviation. (* = p < 0.05).
Figure 2.6
2.3.3 APP-paGFP is cleaved in a LAMP1 positive compartment

Subcellular fractionation has shown that γ-secretase proteins and APP are bona fide residents of the lysosomal membrane [13]. Furthermore, in vitro assays revealed that γ-secretase has an acidic optimal pH (4.5-5) [13]. Therefore, we hypothesized that inhibiting γ-secretase or lysosomal enzyme function could inhibit both secretase cleavage and nonspecific degradation of βAPP, which would result in paGFP fluorescence accumulation at the lysosome membrane. Therefore, we followed the extinction of paGFP fluorescence from LAMP1 positive vesicles after the end of the photoactivation period. We found that there was nearly complete extinction of photoactivated βAPP-paGFP (Figure 2.7a; Video 2.1) and FL-APP-paGFP (Figure 2.8) fluorescence from the LAMP1 compartment within 1 hour. First we examined the effects of nonspecific inhibition of lysosomal function, using chloroquine. Chloroquine has been reported to alkalinize the endosomal/lysosomal system and to inhibit APP clearance and Aβ production [17,58,59]. Cells were treated with 100 μM chloroquine for 30 minutes before imaging. The increase in pH was confirmed by loss of LysoSensor Green signal (a pH-dependent fluorescent probe, Invitrogen) from LAMP1 compartments Figure 2.9. As in the untreated control cells, βAPP-paGFP fluorescent signal was observed trafficking directly from the Golgi apparatus to LAMP1-mRFP labeled lysosomes (Figure 2.7b, Video 2.3). However, in cells treated with chloroquine, there was an accumulation of βAPP-paGFP fluorescence in LAMP1-labeled compartments.

Next we assessed the ability of the highly potent and specific γ-secretase inhibitor L685,458 [60] to block the cleavage of βAPP-paGFP. SN56 cells were pretreated with 0.5 μM of L685,458 for 24 hours before imaging. L685,458 treatment caused marked accumulation of photoactivated βAPP-paGFP in lysosomes during the photoactivation phase, and significantly reduced the clearance of APP from lysosomes. (Figure 2.7c; Video 2.4).
We hypothesized that if APP were cleaved at the lysosomal membrane by secretase enzymes, the cytoplasmic tail of APP along with activated paGFP would be released into the cytoplasm resulting in loss of fluorescence from this compartment. Furthermore, this process would appear with kinetics order greater than 0. In contrast, the loss of fluorescence due to photobleaching would be directly proportional to the amount of time that the fluorophore was irradiated, and should therefore and fall off linearly, with 0 order kinetics. To quantitate APP-paGFP clearance from the lysosome after the photoactivation period, we measured the number of pixels of APP-paGFP fluorescence colocalized with LAMP1-mRFP using Imaris software for each time point, normalizing the highest value of colocalization (after the photoactivation period) to 100%. In these experiments, FL-APP-paGFP and βAPP-paGFP disappear from the lysosomes with non-linear kinetics. The disappearance of βAPP-paGFP was modeled using Prism 5 (GraphPad, La Jolla, CA) from the lysosome can be accurately modeled using the integrated rate equation for a first order reaction (k=0.00153 sec\(^{-1}\), \(r^2=0.96\)) (Figure 2.7d). We attempted to model the data with two and three phase exponential decay curves, but these resulted in worse fit. This suggests that APP is cleaved with first order kinetics in lysosomal compartments, suggesting enzymatic clearance.

Because of our long imaging protocol, some of the loss fluorescence from activated paGFP could be the result of photobleaching. Therefore, we constructed photobleaching curves using enhanced-GFP (EGFP), as EGFP and paGFP have nearly identical photobleaching characteristics [30]. SN56 cells were transiently transfected with EGFP, and fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde. Cells were then imaged using the same imaging protocol, as in the previous live cell imaging experiments. The normalized number of green pixels at each time point was quantitated, and plotted on the same graph as our βAPP-paGFP clearance data (Figure 2.7d). βAPP-paGFP fluorescence decayed faster and became significantly lower than GFP fluorescence (One-way ANOVA; Tukey’s Post Hoc; \(p<0.05\)). Therefore, the loss of βAPP-paGFP fluorescence appears to be the result of a first order enzymatic reaction (Figure 2.7d).

Next, we quantified the effect of inhibitors on APP clearance (Figure 2.7e). After chloroquine treatment, photoactivated APP-paGFP in the lysosome decreased linearly over time during the
chase phase, suggesting that it was not cleared by an enzymatic cleavage. There was no significant difference from loss of fluorescence due to photobleaching (One-way ANOVA; Tukey’s Post Hoc p<0.05) (Figure 2.7e). In cells treated with L685,458 or chloroquine, the loss of βAPP-paGFP fluorescence from lysosomes during the chase phase was also not significantly different from the rate of loss of GFP fluorescence due to photobleaching (One-way ANOVA; Tukey’s Post Hoc; p<0.05) (Figure 2.7e). Cells treated with L685,458 also consistently exhibited accumulation of photoactivated βAPP-paGFP at the cell surface (Figure 2.7c middle and right panels). This is in agreement with a previous study that showed APP internalization was decreased by treatment with γ-secretase inhibitors [61,62]. Our data therefore suggests that βAPP-paGFP clearance from lysosomes is performed by both a pH-dependent protease (as expected for a β-secretase) and by the γ-secretase. The accumulation of APP in these LAMP1 compartments following inhibition of proteases suggests that they represent terminal lysosomes and not an endosomal intermediate.
**Figure 2.7:** APP is processed in the lysosome by a γ-secretase like activity.

SN56 cells were transiently transfected with βAPP-paGFP, GalT-CFP, and LAMP1-mRFP. Cells were alternately photoactivated with 405 nm light and imaged in the Golgi for 15 minutes, and then imaged every 30 seconds for 1 hour. a) Shows the accumulation of photoactivated APP-paGFP in the lysosome after 15 minutes, follow by its near complete clearance after 45 minutes. Arrowheads denote areas of colocalization (See Video 2.1). b) Transiently transfected SN56 cells were pretreated with 100 μM chloroquine for 30 minutes prior to imaging. After chloroquine treatment APP is still visible in lysosomes after 45 minutes (See Video 2.3). c) Cells treated with 0.5 μM L685, 458 (γ-secretase inhibitor) overnight prior to photoactivating/imaging. L685, 458 treatment substantially increases the accumulation of photoactivated βAPP-paGFP in lysosomes, and substantially decreases its clearance. Scale bars represent 5 μm (See Video 2.4). d) Cleavage of βAPP-paGFP was determined by measuring the loss of FL-APP (black open triangles) and βAPP-paGFP (black closed circles) from LAMP1 labeled compartments. Values were averaged and normalized to begin at 100%. Overlaid in green squares is the loss of fluorescence of EGFP in the identical imaging protocol. Error bars represent SEM. (* = p < 0.05). (e) Shows the clearance of photoactivated APP-paGFP cells that were treated with 100 μM chloroquine for 30 minutes before imaging (n = 9) or with 0.5 μM L685, 458 (γ-secretase inhibitor) (n = 9). Error bars represent SEM.
Figure 2.7
Figure 2.8: Trafficking of full-length APP-paGFP to LAMP1-mRFP labelled compartments

SN56 cells were transfected with GalT-CFP, FL-APP-paGFP, and LAMP1-mRFP. Cells were photoactivated in the GalT labelled compartments and imaged for 15-minutes for 15-minutes. The cells were chased for a further 45-minutes to follow the clearance of APP from the cell. The images shown below are from the 0, 15, and 45-minute time points. Scale bar represents 5μm. White arrows point to colocalized pixels between FL-APP-paGFP and LAMP1-mRFP.
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**Figure 2.9:** De-acidification of lysosomes with chloroquine.

SN56 cells were preloaded with 75nm Lysosensor™ Green after transfection with LAMP1-mRFP. The cells were imaged by confocal microscopy before and after treatment with chloroquine. Scale bars represent 5μm.
Figure 2.9
Figure 2.10: Cleavage of βAPPsw-paGFP

βAPP-paGFP, βAPPsw-paGFP, and full-length APP-paGFP are cleaved by that γ-secretase in a similar manner. SN56 cells were transiently transfected with plasmids expressing GFP, FL-APP-paGFP, βAPP-paGFP, or βAPPsw-paGFP. Twenty-four hours before harvesting protein for western blotting, cells were treated with DMSO or with L685, 458. Cell lysate was run on a 12% SDS polyacrylamide gel, and transferred onto nitrocellulose membrane. Membrane was probed for APP using APP C-terminal antibody (Sigma). Membranes were reprobed for α-tubulin, as a loading control.
Figure 2.10
2.3.4 The Swedish mutation dramatically increases APP clearance from the lysosome, but not the Golgi apparatus

The Swedish mutation (APPsw) is a double mutation at codons APP 670/671 (numbered in APP695) adjacent to the β-secretase cleavage site that increases the rate of β-cleavage of APP by up to a factor of 10, and has been suggested to alter the trafficking of APP [22,63,64]. To examine the effect of the Swedish mutation on intracellular APP trafficking, we transiently transfected SN56 cells with βAPPsw-paGFP along with plasmids expressing compartment markers for the Golgi apparatus and lysosomes. Protein from SN56 cells transfected with βAPP-paGFP, FL-APP-paGFP, or βAPPsw-paGFP was harvested and separated by SDS-PAGE. By SDS-PAGE, the expression level of βAPP-paGFP and βAPPsw-paGFP was similar (Figure 2.10). The pulse-chase paradigm, as performed with wild-type βAPP-paGFP, was performed on these cells, targeting the GalT-CFP labeled Golgi apparatus to photoactivate βAPPsw-paGFP. Unlike cells transfected with the wild type construct, green fluorescence did not accumulate in cells transfected with βAPPsw-paGFP in a LAMP1-labeled compartment. Instead, these cells rapidly developed diffuse green fluorescence throughout the entire cell body (Figure 2.11a; Video 2.5). The diffuse cytoplasmic appearance of paGFP fluorescence suggests that APP is being rapidly cleaved, with the APP C-terminal fused to paGFP diffusing rapidly into the cytosol. This likely reflects the higher rate of β-cleavage of Swedish mutation [65,66].

It has previously been suggested that secretase cleavage of the APPsw might occur in the Golgi apparatus. In order to examine APP cleavage in the Golgi apparatus, we repeated this experiment after treating the cells with nocodazole and cytocholasin D (Figure 2.11b; Video 2.6). In dramatic contrast to untreated cells, virtually all of the paGFP fluorescence remains localized to the Golgi apparatus during the photoactivation period. Cells were then followed for up to 1-hour post photoactivation, during which most of the green signal remains in the Golgi apparatus. It was not possible to quantify the clearance of βAPPsw-paGFP from the Golgi apparatus in this experiment, because of photobleaching of the GalT-CFP marker. Although it is not possible to say from this data that there is no cleavage of APP in the Golgi apparatus, the Golgi apparatus does not appear to facilitate the majority of APP processing.
In order to examine lysosomal processing of βAPPsw-paGFP, we treated cells with chloroquine and L685,458. After treatment with chloroquine, there was rapid trafficking of βAPPsw-paGFP signal to the lysosome where it accumulated in the photoactivation phase and then gradually decreased in brightness in the chase phase (Figure 2.11; Video 2.7). This result was also seen after treatment with the γ-secretase inhibitor L685,458 (Figure 2.11b; Video 2.8). The loss of fluorescence signal in the chase phase as linear for both of these treatments was not significantly different from GFP photobleaching (Figure 2.11c and 2.9d). Together, these data suggest that the Swedish mutation accelerates the cleavage of APP at the lysosomal membrane, but does not have an effect on APP trafficking to the lysosome.
Figure 2.11: The Swedish mutation causes rapid clearance of APP from lysosomes.

SN56 cells were transiently transfected with βAPPsw-paGFP, GalT-CFP, and LAMP1-mRFP. Scale bars represent 5 μm. a) βAPPsw-paGFP was photoactivated for 15 minutes in the GalT-CFP labeled compartment, and chased for 45 minutes. βAPPsw is cleaved nearly instantaneously and appears in the cytoplasm. b) Cells were treated for 5 minutes before imaging with 66 μM nocodazole and 10 μM cytochalasin. GalT-CFP is false colored red to provide better contrast, and LAMP1-mRFP has been false coloured blue. Photoactivated βAPPsw-paGFP accumulates in the Golgi and does not appear to be cleaved. c) Cells were treated acutely with 100 μM chloroquine (See Video 2.7) which results in photoactivated βAPPsw-paGFP accumulating in lysosomes. White arrowheads represent βAPPsw-paGFP colocalized with LAMP1-mRFP d) Cells were treated with 0.5 μM L658, 458 (See Video 2.8), which also causes photoactivated βAPPsw-paGFP to appear in lysosomes. Scale bars represent 5 μm. Quantitation of colocalized green pixels with LAMP1-mRFP show that the clearance of βAPPsw-paGFP from the lysosome proceeds linearly after treatment with e) chloroquine (n = 8), or with f) L658, 458 (n = 9). Error bars represent standard deviation.
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2.3.5 APP interacts with adaptor protein AP-3

AP-3 is an adaptor protein that is integral to the direct delivery of lysosomal membrane proteins (LMPs) from the Golgi apparatus [67-71]. First, we sought to determine whether APP and AP-3 colocalize in neurons. Cortical neurons dissected from E15 mice were immunostained (DIV7) for the APP C-terminal and AP-3 δ subunit, demonstrating colocalization. When analyzed by Imaris, 42 ±3% (Mean±SEM) of APP fluorescence was colocalized with AP-3 signal. We then depleted the δ subunit of AP-3 in SN56 cells using siRNA, as this has been shown to cause instability and degradation of the entire AP-3 complex [72]. We found that cells transfected with this siRNA expressed only 22 ±12 % (Mean±SD) of the AP-3 δ subunit on Western blots (p<0.05), while cells transfected with a control siRNA showed no significant change in AP-3 δ expression (Figure 2.12a and b). We also observed this effect by immunostaining; cells transfected with siRNA (visualized by the Alexa fluor 647 label on the 5’ end of the control oligonucleotide) showed a marked decrease in AP-3δ staining while untransfected cells, or cells transfected with fluorescently labelled negative control siRNA only were unaffected (Figure 2.12c).

To determine whether APP and AP-3 interact using the in situ proximity ligation assay (iPLA) which allows the study of low affinity interactions in-situ and has comparable accuracy to co-immunoprecipitation [73,74]. Briefly, iPLA employs species-specific secondary antibodies bearing complementary DNA strands. If the two antibodies are within 40 nm, the DNA strands will hybridize, and the resulting sequence can be replicated, amplified, and labelled with fluorescent oligonucleotides. Pairs of interacting proteins were detected as red fluorescent dots/μm³.

SN56 cells were transfected with βAPP-CFP, and iPLA was used to determine the proximity of APP and AP-3. Cells mock transfected or transfected with negative control siRNA both demonstrate an interaction between APP and AP-3 (Figure 2.13b). Conversely, cells transfected with siRNA against AP-3 δ showed a marked decrease (approximately 98%) in fluorescent puncta signifying decreased interaction (Figure 2.13b and c).
**Figure 2.12:** Knockdown of AP3 and AP1 by siRNA.

a) SN56 cells were transfected with fluorescently-tagged control siRNA or AP-3δ and fluorescently tagged siRNA. Western blot demonstrating that AP-3δ siRNA decreases AP-3δ protein. Blots were stripped and re-probed with anti-tubulin antibody as a loading control. b) Western blots (from a) were scanned and analyzed using densitometry (ImageJ) and graphed. Error bars represents standard error of the mean. (*p=<0.05). c) SN56 cells were transfected with fluorescently tagged control siRNA or anti-AP-3δ and fluorescently tagged siRNA (purple). Cells were the immunostained to detect AP-3δ (red). Fluorescent images overlayed with white light images to delimit the cell body. (scale bars represents 5 μm). d) SN56 cells were transfected with control siRNA or siRNA against AP-1γ. Western blot demonstrating that AP-1γ siRNA decreases AP-1 protein. Blots were stripped and re-probed with anti-tubulin antibody as a loading control. e) Western blots (from d) were scanned and analyzed using densitometry (ImageJ) and graphed. Error bars represents standard error of the mean. (*=p<0.05).
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Figure 2.13: AP-3δ and APP colocalize and interact.

a) E15 mouse neurons were cultured and immunostained with antibodies against AP-3δ (SA4; red) and APP (APP C-terminal; green). Arrowheads point to colocalized pixels. Scale bars represent 5 μm. Inset shows magnified view of the cell body. b) Proximity ligation assay (PLA) demonstrates the interaction of APP and AP-3δ. Cells were transiently transfected with βAPP-CFP with no siRNA, control siRNA or AP-3δ siRNA. Cells were stained with mouse anti-AP-3δ and rabbit anti-APP C-terminal antibodies. These were detected with secondary antibodies conjugated to complementary DNA sequences. When proteins are within 40 nm, DNA is ligated and replicated and detected by in-situ fluorescent red dots. AP-3δ siRNA substantially reduces the number of red dots. (scale bars represent 10 μm) c) Quantification of PLA fluorescent dots in SN56 cells normalized to cell volume (*p < 0.05).
Figure 2.13
2.3.6  AP-3 Knockdown Disrupts Trafficking of APP to Lysosomes

We hypothesized that we could disrupt the trafficking of APP to lysosomes by siRNA mediated knockdown of AP-3. As a control, we examined the effect of AP-1 knockdown by siRNA (both AP-1α and AP-1β isoforms) (Figure 2.14). AP-1 belongs to the heterotetrameric family of adaptor proteins, which includes AP-1, AP-2, AP-3, and AP-4. AP-1 mediates the cell surface trafficking and basolateral sorting in epithelial cells [75,76]. As before, we co-transfected cells with βAPP-paGFP, GalT-CFP and LAMP1-mRFP with the addition of siRNA against either AP-1γ, AP-3δ, or a control siRNA. In cells transfected with active siRNA, a small amount of fluorescently tagged negative control siRNA was included as a marker to identify transfected cells. Cells were photoactivated in irradiation targets placed over the Golgi apparatus, and transport of APP was imaged over a 15-minute period and then analyzed for colocalization of photoactivated APP and LAMP1-mRFP. We found that cells transfected with control siRNA alone did not change βAPP-paGFP trafficking to lysosomes, as compared to cells not transfected with siRNA (37±5% vs 37±5%). However, the siRNA against δ3 reduced APP transit to the lysosome to 16±3% after 15 minutes of photoactivation. AP-1γ knockdown did not change the trafficking of APP from the TGN to lysosomes (34±4%; Mean±SEM) (Figure 2.14a and b). Therefore, AP-3 mediates rapid transport of APP to the lysosome, while AP-1 is not involved in the direct trafficking of APP to lysosomes, at least on the timescale examined here.

To determine the effect of AP-1 knockdown and AP-3 knockdown on APP processing, SN56 cells were transfected with βAPPsw-CFP and with negative control siRNA, AP-1 siRNA, AP-3 siRNA or a combination of AP-1 and AP-3 siRNAs. Two days after differentiation, culture medium was taken from the cells and analyzed for Aβ40 and Aβ42 by ELISA (Invitrogen) (Figure 2.15a). AP-1 siRNA did not significantly alter the levels of Aβ 40 [106%±7% (mean±SEM)], as compared to control. AP-3 siRNA and combined AP-3 and AP-1 siRNAs reduced the levels of Aβ40 54±3% and 63±6%, respectively (p<0.5). For Aβ42 (Figure 2.15d), the control siRNA raised Aβ42 production slightly to 141±12% (not significant) while siRNAs against AP-1 reduced Aβ42 levels in the medium to 75±14% (not significant). Inhibitory siRNA
to AP-3 alone or AP-1 and AP-3 together reduced the levels of Aβ42 to 64±13 and 46±11% respectively (P<0.5) (Figure 2.15b). Therefore, AP-3 KD reduced the levels of Aβ40 and 42 in the media, and this effect was increased when AP-1 was knocked down as well.
**Figure 2.14:** AP-3 mediates direct trafficking of APP to lysosomes.

a) SN56 cells were transfected with βAPP-paGFP, LAMP1-mRFP, GalT-CFP (n=9), and either control siRNA (n=9), siRNA against AP-3δ mRNA (n=10) or siRNA against AP-1γ (n=9). Cells were alternately photoactivated with 405 nm light and imaged in the Golgi for 15 minutes (scale bar represents 5 μm). White arrowheads in the merged image (far right panel) denote colocalized pixels. Scale bars represent 5 μm. b) Percent of βAPP-paGFP colocalizing with LAMP1-mRFP at the end of the 15-minute photoactivation period. (* = p < 0.05; Error bars represent standard deviation).
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Figure 2.15: AP-3 mediates processing to Aβ.

SN56 cells were transfected with βAPP-paGFP, LAMP1-mRFP, GalT-CFP, and either control siRNA, siRNA against AP-3δ mRNA or siRNA against AP-1γ. a) SN56 cells were co-transfected a plasmid expressing βAPPswe-CFP and with control siRNAs, siRNA against AP-1γ, siRNA against AP-1γ and AP-3δ combined, or siRNA against AP-3δ. Conditioned media was analyzed for a) Aβ40 or b) Aβ42 by ELISA. Experiments were performed 4 times, with each experiment consisting of 2 replicates. (* indicates significantly different from control. p < 0.05; ** indicates significantly different from control and either AP-1 or AP-3 alone p < 0.05) Error bars represent SEM.
Figure 2.15
2.4 Discussion

In this work, we demonstrate the use of paGFP to study the intracellular trafficking of and clearance of APP. While paGFP has been used before to examine APP trafficking [39,40,70], this is the first report to follow APP from the Golgi apparatus into identified downstream compartments and examine its clearance pharmacologically. Although we had expected APP to traffic to the lysosome primarily via the plasma membrane, instead we observed rapid transport of APP to a LAMP1 compartment within seconds, similar to the behavior of LAMP1 [30]. Furthermore, we were able to follow the clearance of βAPP-paGFP in from lysosomes, essentially performing a pulse-chase experiment in this organelle in single cells. We show that βAPP-paGFP is cleared from the lysosome with first order kinetics, which is faster than the linear loss of fluorescence observed during photobleaching. The clearance of APP from lysosomes is sensitive to both chloroquine, a nonspecific inhibitor of lysosomal function, and L684, 458, a specific gamma-secretase inhibitor. The direct trafficking of APP to this LAMP1 positive compartment is decreased by AP-3 knockdown. The depletion of AP-3 or AP-3 and AP-1 together results in a substantial reduction of Aβ in the media.

Because intracellular compartment markers localizations are not absolute, lysosomal markers can be found in endosomal compartments and vice versa [77,78]. However, several features suggest that APP is moving to a bona fide lysosomal compartment. APP appears to be moving primarily to LAMP1 positive compartments, with less moving to compartments labeled with Rab5 and Rab9 (early and late) labeled compartments, suggesting that the main compartment receiving APP is LAMP1 positive and negative for Rab5 and Rab9. Furthermore, after the inhibition of degradation of APP with chloroquine or L685, 458, APP moves to LAMP1-labeled compartments and accumulates in these compartments, implying that they are terminal compartments of the endosomal lysosomal system. Furthermore, that AP-3 knockdown reduces the production and secretion of Aβ42 by 36% and Aβ40 by 47% suggests that the lysosome is a major site of APP processing and Aβ production. These features suggest that APP is delivered predominantly to lysosomes.
Prior to this study, most APP trafficking studies suggested that APP primarily moved to the lysosome via the cell surface [79], however the evidence presented here suggests APP can traffic intracellularly from the Golgi to lysosomes. Kuentzel et al. found that less than ~20% of nascent APP is transported to the plasma membrane [80], suggesting that this direct lysosomal pathway maybe a major processing pathway of APP. LMPs are known to traffic to the lysosome by at least 2 different pathways. Some LMPs, like lysosomal acid phosphatase, transit first to the cell surface, and then cycle between the plasma membrane and endosomes repetitively before transport to the lysosome [81]. Other proteins, such as LAMP-1, transit intracellularly from the Golgi apparatus to lysosomes, without appearing at the cell surface [82,83]. Our work is in agreement with of Castor et al., who also demonstrated using a temperature-block paradigm that APP in the Golgi apparatus rapidly appears in a LAMP1-positive compartment rapidly after being released from the Golgi [84]. APP now has at least 4 distinct pathways to the lysosome: one from the cell surface through endocytosis into endosomes [85], one directly to lysosomes from the cell surface [50], one through autophagosomes [86], and finally the direct transport from the Golgi apparatus demonstrated here. This suggests that APP is a normal resident Lysosomal Membrane Protein.

This work is not intended to minimize the importance of APP’s cell surface trafficking. Indeed, AP-1 knockdown, which is predicted to interfere with APP trafficking to the cell surface, is able to substantially reduce Aβ production indicates that cell surface APP is still a significant source of Aβ. APP transiting to the cell surface is likely not being well visualized in this study for a number of reasons including: 1) activated APP-paGFP arriving at the membrane is diluted by a large amount of non-fluorescent APP already at the membrane, 2) APP has a relatively short half life at the membrane, estimated at 10 minutes [21,85] and 3) we are imaging confocal sections and therefore seeing only a small fraction of the cell membrane itself. Indeed, Golgi apparatus-photoactivated βAPP-paGFP becomes visible at the plasma membrane when internalization is inhibited with a γ-secretase inhibitor [61,87], demonstrating that APP can transit rapidly to the cell surface [80].

Several studies have suggested the presence of γ-cleavage in the lysosome. Our own studies have
demonstrated that \( \gamma \)-secretase proteins and activity are present in highly purified lysosomes. Although many studies have observed \( \gamma \)-secretase function at neutral pH, we have found that \( \gamma \)-secretase activity within isolated lysosomal membranes possesses an acidic optimal pH [13]. Our findings are in agreement with previous findings that show a marked accumulation of APP in lysosomes after disruption of lysosomal pH, and inhibit the production of A\( \beta \) [17]. Furthermore, many other studies have documented the accumulation of APP fragments in lysosomes after inhibition of lysosomal enzymes or inactivation of PS1 [16,18,20,88], suggesting a critical role for lysosomes in \( \gamma \)-secretase cleavage of APP. However, this is the first study to visualize accumulation of APP CTFs at the lysosomal membrane using a highly selective \( \gamma \)-secretase inhibitor [89-91].

Some investigators have suggested that APP (particularly APPsw) undergoes cleavage in the Golgi apparatus and in post-Golgi vesicles [63,92]. Our data appears to show APP and APPsw accumulating stably in the Golgi apparatus when trafficking is blocked pharmacologically. Although it is impossible to rule out some processing of APP in the Golgi apparatus with these experiments, these data suggest that the Golgi apparatus is not a major site of A\( \beta \) production.

It is interesting that chloroquine and L684, 458 produce similar results. To our knowledge, chloroquine has never been shown to specifically affect the \( \gamma \)-secretase directly in cell free assays. Although our own data has suggests that \( \gamma \)-secretase functions at an acidic pH in the lysosome [13], most authors use a neutral pH [93] or mildly acidic pH [94] for this enzyme. However, efficient \( \gamma \)-secretase function requires the removal of the luminal domain of APP by \( \beta \)-cleavage [95]. The \( \beta \)-secretase is known to transit to the late endosomal/lysosomal compartments [96,97]. \( \beta \)-cleavage may also be performed by lysosomal cathepsins [98,99]. With a pH optimum below 4.5 [5,100], \( \beta \)-secretase (like cathepsins) would function optimally in the lysosome. Therefore, the accumulation of APP in the lysosome after chloroquine could be by non-specifically inhibiting a luminal pH dependent \( \beta \)-secretase or \( \beta \)-like cleaving enzyme, which then secondarily inhibits \( \gamma \)-cleavage. When \( \beta \)-cleavage is accelerated by the APPsw mutation, APP-paGFP is cleared so rapidly that it cannot be imaged in the lysosome. This suggests that, rather than being a tightly controlled regulatory enzyme, the \( \gamma \)-secretase behaves more like a
“proteasome of the membrane” whose job is to remove transmembrane stubs of proteins from the membrane [101].

Our results also demonstrate that lysosomal trafficking of APP may be an important mechanism of regulating APP cleavage. Specifically AP-3 knockdown reduces lysosomal trafficking of APP from the Golgi apparatus and reduces Aβ production and this effect is additive to AP-1 knock down. A wide range of other proteins likely also likely regulate APP processing by altering its trafficking including GGA1 [102,103], X11a, Fe65 [104,105], AP-4 [106], VPS35 [25] and SorLa [26,27,39]. These studies suggest that control of APP processing by intracellular trafficking may be crucial for regulating Aβ production.

These findings may have broad importance for the pathophysiology of AD. This is because the lysosome’s biochemical milieu and acidic pH make it the ideal environment for the nucleation of amyloid fibrils [107,108]. In fact, the lysosome has been proposed to be a site of Aβ aggregate seeding [109-111]. This development of Aβ aggregates has been shown to disrupt synapses [112] and membranes[113], and can lead to lysosomal rupture leading to cell death [114,115]. Aβ may be secreted in exosomes, which are intraluminal vesicles released from the endosomal/lysosomal system [58,116]. Therefore, Aβ and its higher-order aggregates may be produced, nucleated, and secreted from lysosomes. The lysosome sits at a crossroad, as a site for the production and degradation of Aβ, as well as its fibrilogenesis. This work points to the importance of the lysosomal system in APP processing and its regulation in developing therapeutic treatments for AD.
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Chapter 3

3 Tyrosine Binding Protein sites regulate the intracellular trafficking and processing of Amyloid Precursor Protein through a novel lysosome-directed pathway

The amyloid hypothesis posits that the production of β-amyloid (Aβ) aggregates leads to neurodegeneration and cognitive decline associated with AD. Aβ is produced by sequential cleavage of the amyloid precursor protein (APP) by β- and γ-secretase. While nascent APP is well known to transit to the endosomal/lysosomal system via the cell surface, we have recently shown that APP can also traffic to lysosomes intracellularly via its interaction with AP-3. Because AP-3 interacts with cargo protein via interaction with tyrosine motifs, we mutated the three tyrosines motif in the cytoplasmic tail of APP. Here, we show that the YTSI motif interacts with AP-3, and phosphorylation of the serine in this motif disrupts the interaction and decreases APP trafficking to lysosomes. Furthermore, we show that phosphorylation at this motif can decrease the production of neurotoxic Aβ 42. This demonstrates that reducing APP trafficking to lysosomes may be a strategy to reduce Aβ 42 in Alzheimer’s disease.

3.1 Introduction

Alzheimer’s disease (AD) is characterized by the accumulation of extracellular plaques in the brains of AD patients composed of is β-amyloid (Aβ) peptides. Aβ is derived from the amyloid precursor protein (APP), a type 1 transmembrane glycoprotein. To produce Aβ, APP is cleaved first by the β-secretase, which releases the soluble APPβ ectodomain, leaving a 99-residue β-carboxyl terminal fragment (βCTF). The βCTF is then cleaved by γ-secretase to produce Aβ species varying from 38-43 residues and an APP intracellular domain. Currently, the subcellular localization of these cleavage events is unclear. For example the the Golgi apparatus, plasma membrane, and autophagosomes [1-4] have been implicated in Aβ production. However, many studies show that nascent APP is cleaved after endocytosis from the cell surface into endosomes and subsequently into lysosomes [2,5-8]. We have recently shown that APP can also transit directly into lysosomes from the cell surface via macropinosomes [9,10]. We have also
shown that APP and γ-secretase proteins are *bona fide* resident proteins of lysosome [11-13]. Furthermore, γ-secretase has an acidic optimal pH [11], and disruption of endosomal/lysosomal pH by chloroquine or ammonium chloride decreases the production of Aβ [14-16].

Although many studies have examined the cell surface trafficking of APP, few have examined APP’s intracellular transport. The advent of photo-activatable fluorescent proteins (pa-GFP) provided a new tool to study the intracellular behavior of proteins [17-19]. Recently, we demonstrated that a paGFP tag could be used to follow the intracellular trafficking of APP from the Golgi. We uncovered a previously unknown direct trafficking pathway for APP from the Golgi to the lysosome (via an interaction with the adaptor protein, AP-3), where it is cleaved to form Aβ [20].

AP-3 is a heterotetrameric adaptor protein, which consists of a β3, δ3, μ3, and σ3 domains. The μ3 domain of AP-3 recognizes tyrosine motifs of the form YXXΘ (where Θ is a bulky amino acid and X is any amino acid) [21]. APP contains two YXXΘ motifs at $^{709}$YTSI$^{712}$ and $^{738}$YENP$^{741}$ (using APP 751 numbering). These motifs interact with other known members of the heterotetrameric adaptor protein family (AP-1, AP-2, and AP-4) [4,22-24]. The YENP motif is part of a larger motif that contains an NPXY motif ($^{738}$GYENPTY$^{743}$)(Figure 3.1a), which has been shown to be involved in endocytosis [6,8,25,26].

While the role of these tyrosine mutations in APP internalization is well documented, the effect of these mutations on the intracellular trafficking from the Golgi to lysosomes remains to be elucidated. Here, we use paGFP-tagged APP and live cell imaging to examine the role of these cytoplasmic tyrosine motifs on the intracellular trafficking of APP. We show that the mutation of Y709A or Y743A disrupt the transit of APP from the Golgi to the lysosome in live cells. Furthermore, the $^{709}$YTSI$^{712}$ motif is responsible for the interaction of APP with AP-3. This interaction can be disrupted by phosphorylation of serine within the $^{709}$YTSI$^{712}$ (S711), which can be phosphorylated by protein kinase C (PKC) [27,28], and decreases lysosomal transport from the Golgi. Furthermore, we demonstrate that PKCε activation can divert APP away from lysosomes; possibly by S711 phosphorylation.
3.2 Materials and Methods

3.2.1 Antibodies and Chemicals
Antibodies used were Mouse Anti-HA (Sigma, H9658), AP-3 (SA4, Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank) and APP C-terminal (Sigma, A8717). The PKC activator Phorbol-12-myristate-13-acetate (PMA) was purchased from Sigma (P8139) and 8-[2-(2-pentyl-cyclopropylmethyl)-cyclopropyl]-octanoic acid (DCP-LA) was purchased from (Sigma, D5318). Staurosporine was purchased from Millipore (Cat No. 569397). Gö6976 was purchased from Tocris Bioscience (Cat. No. 2253).

3.2.2 Cell Culture
SN56 cells were maintained Dulbecco’s minimal Eagle’s medium (DMEM, Invitrogen) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum and 50μg/ml of penicillin/streptomycin, in an incubator at 37°C with 5% CO2. Cells were split every 3-4 days; depending on confluency. For microscopy, cells were seeded on glass-bottomed culture dishes (MatTek) one day before transfection. Cells were transfected using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) following manufactures directions. After a 24hrs, cells were differentiated in DMEM using 1mM dibutyrl cyclic AMP (dbcAMP; Sigma) and imaged or fixed.

3.2.3 Plasmid Constructs
A plasmid encoding the last 112 amino acids of APP with paGFP and CFP C-terminal tags was previously designed [9,20]. The N-terminal of our construct is tagged with a HA epitope to facilitate cell-surface internalization experiments. Mutations were generated using a site-directed mutagenesis kit (Stratagene) and subsequently sequenced to ensure accuracy. Rab5-mRFP and LAMP1-mRFP were generated as previously described [9].

3.2.4 Confocal Microscopy
Images were captured with a Zeiss LSM (laser-scanning microscope) -510 META with a Zeiss 63× 1.4 numerical aperture oil immersion lens (Carl Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany). The thickness of each optical section was set to 1 μM. Cyan fluorescent protein (CFP) was excited
with a 458 nm laser and filtered with a BP 475-525 filter set. Alexa Fluor 488 and paGFP fluorescence were excited with a 488 nm laser and filtered using a band pass (BP) 500-530-nm emission filter set. Alexa Fluor 546, proximity ligation assay (PLA) red detection agent, mCherry, and mRFP fluorescence were excited with a 543 nm laser and filtered with a BP 560–615nm of LP 560nm filter set.

### 3.2.5 Live-Cell Imaging

Images were taken using a Zeiss LSM-510 META laser-scanning microscope using a Zeiss 63x 1.4 numerical aperture oil immersion lens (Carl Zeiss, Germany). Live cell imaging was performed as previously described [20,29]. Briefly, SN56 cells were washed with PBS and transferred to with pre-warmed to 37°C Hank’s Balanced Salt Solution (HBSS; Cat. No. 14025–092, Invitrogen). The confocal plates were placed on a heated stage (PeCon GmbH) connected to a Tempcontrol 37-2 digital 2-channel (PeCon GmbH), to maintain the cells at 37°C. ROIs were drawn over the Golgi apparatus as demarcated by GalT-CFP fluorescence, using the Zeiss Physiology package. As the cell can move and shift during the imaging period, the locations of these ROI were carefully monitored to ensure they remained over the Golgi for the duration of the photo-activation period. During typical experiments, the cell was alternatively imaged and photo-activated for the 15-minutes. βAPP-paGFP was photoactivated with a 25 mW 405 nm laser, set to maximum power in the pre-specified ROIs. The bleaching for each individual ROI took approximately 50msecs. There were typically 4 ROIs drawn per cell for an approximately 4sec total photoactivation time. A time delay between frames was set accordingly to photoactivate and capture an image every 30 seconds.

### 3.2.6 Colocalization Analysis

Colocalization analysis was performed using Imaris 7.0 Imaris Colocalization module (Biplane) as previously described [20,29]. To analyze the vesicular trafficking of βAPP in live cells, Imaris was used to create IsoSurfaces corresponding to paGFP and LAMP1-mRFP or Rab5-mRFP fluorescence, following manufacturer’s instructions. This is a semi-automated method that defines organelle distribution based on fluorescence intensity and estimated vesicle size. APP vesicles and the compartment vesicles were demarcated using Imaris, and the amount of
colocalization was calculated as a percentage of material (βAPP-paGFP) within the compartment. The percentage of material value takes into account the number of pixels colocalized, as well as the intensity of each individual pixel.

For images of fixed cells, the top 2% of the brightest pixels from each channel were thresholded, and the colocalization was determined in Imaris [9]. The percentage of material colocalized was recorded and plotted in Prism Graphpad 5.0b. Prism Graphpad 5.0b was used for all graphing and statistical analysis. A One-way ANOVA was performed with a Bonferroni post-hoc test, and P values under 0.05 were considered significant.

3.2.7 Proximity Ligation Assay

PLA was performed using a commercially available kit (Duolink; Olink Bioscience) according to manufacturer’s instructions. Briefly, cells were permeabilized with 0.01% Triton in PBS and blocked with 2% BSA/PBS and stained with primary antibodies overnight at 4°C. AP-3δ was probed with the mouse SA4 antibody (DSHB) and APP was probed with the rabbit APP C-terminal (Sigma). Cells were washed and incubated with species-specific secondary antibodies, with covalently attached single-stranded oligonucleotides. When antibodies are within 40nm, the oligonucleotides are ligated and amplified. These are then detected by fluorescent oligonucleotides.

Z-stacks were captured by confocal microscopy and the number of dots per cell was normalized to cell volume. The results were graphed using Prism and one-way ANOVA was performed with a Tukey’s post hoc test. P-values less than 0.05 were significant.

3.2.8 Internalization Assay

APP internalization was studied as previously described [9,10]. Briefly, anti-HA antibody was labeled using a Zenon 647 labeling kit (Invitrogen), as per manufacturer’s instructions. Cells were washed with PBS and labeled with the antibody conjugate for 30 minutes on ice to tag cell-surface βAPP-CFP. The cells washed with PBS and the cells were incubated in pre-warmed HBSS at 37°C and moved to an incubator at 37°C with 5% CO₂ for 15 minutes. After 15 minutes, cells were fixed with 4% PFA, and imaged using confocal microscopy.
3.2.9 Aβ40 and Aβ42 ELISA

SN56 cells were transfected with βAPPsw-paGFP (βAPP bearing the Swedish mutation), βAPPsw S711A-paGFP, or βAPPsw S711E-paGFP. One set of cells transfected with βAPPsw-paGFP and treated with DCP-LA. Cells were differentiated as described above and cell culture media was collected two days after differentiation. Cell culture media was centrifuged at 200 RPM for 10 minutes at 4°C to remove large cellular debris and detached cells. Aβ40 and Aβ42 were detected with the Aβ40 ELISA Kit (KHB3482) or Aβ42 Ultrasensitive ELISA Kit (KHB3544) from Life Technologies, according to manufacturer’s instructions.
3.3 Results

3.3.1 Tyrosine Motifs and the Intracellular trafficking of APP

We have previously followed the intracellular trafficking of βAPP-paGFP from the Golgi apparatus [20]. This shortened construct consists of the last 112 amino acids of APP; including the β–cleavage site. We use a shortened construct to avoid the possibility that trafficking might be altered by currently undefined luminal sequences on APP [30]. In our previous experiments we demonstrated that the shortened βAPP-paGFP construct has the same trafficking pattern as full-length APP-paGFP [9,20]. Furthermore, the shortened construct also undergoes β- and γ-cleavage [20]. The shorter construct was used for these experiments because they are more easily expressed, which results in a stronger and more easily detectable fluorescence signal. The photo-activatable GFP (paGFP) is a form of GFP with low fluorescence after synthesis, but develops green fluorescence after irradiation with 405 nm light [18,19]. Using the βAPP-paGFP chimera we have previously demonstrated a direct trafficking pathway from the Golgi apparatus to the lysosome [20].

We had previously demonstrated that the trafficking of APP from the Golgi to lysosomes is dependent on an interaction between APP and AP-3. The interaction of AP-3 to cargo depends on cytosolic tyrosine motifs of the form YXXΩ [21]. To determine the effect of tyrosine mutations on intracellular APP trafficking, we introduced Y709A, Y738A, and Y743A mutations into βAPP-paGFP (see Figure 3.1a). βAPP-paGFP was transfected into SN56 cells along with the Golgi apparatus marker (Galactosyltransferase-CFP, GalT-CFP) and a marker of lysosomes (lysosome associated membrane protein 1, LAMP1-mRFP) or early endosomes (Rab5-mRFP). After differentiation, cells were transferred to a heated stage (set at 37 °C) on a Zeiss LSM510 confocal microscope. Regions of interest (ROI) were then drawn on the Golgi apparatus using the GalT-CFP fluorescence as a target. Each imaging cycle consists of a brief irradiation of these the ROI with 405nm laser light at full power (25 mW for 20 iterations per imaging cycle) (for a demonstration of this technique, see videos at the Journal of Visual experimentation http://www.jove.com/video/53153/imaging-the-intracellular-trafficking-of-app-with-...
**photoactivatable-gfp** [29]), followed by imaging of the cell. These cycles were repeated over a 15-minute period (See Video 3.1). In each imaging cycle, a small amount of APP-GFP was activated in the Golgi apparatus and could then be seem as it traffics. The final images in these time courses are shown in Figure 3.1b and 3.1c. Using Imaris software, we set thresholds to delimit green fluorescence (photo-activated βAPP-paGFP) and red fluorescence (compartment markers) to generate a colocalization channel (Figure 3.1 b and c bottom panels). We then quantitated the amount of green fluorescence co-localized with the red signal (lysosomes or early endosomes) (Figure 3.1d).

In these experiments, WT βAPP rapidly appeared in LAMP1-mRFP labeled compartments (30 ± 5% SEM, n= 5 independent experiments, 23 cells total) (Figure 3.1b and Video 3.1). In our previous paper, we demonstrated that this transport was abolished by nocadazole and was therefore dependent upon microtubule-related active transport and did not occur through diffusion or accidental irradiation of endosomes or lysosomes [20,29]. It is important to note that the resolution limit of confocal microscopy does not allow us to visualize the small trafficking vesicles emanating from the Golgi. In contrast, the Y709A and Y743A mutations caused a significant decrease (p<0.05, two-way ANOVA; Bonferroni post hoc) in βAPP-paGFP co-localization with LAMP1-mRFP after 15 minutes (11 ± 4% SEM, n=5 independent experiments, 21 cells total, and 12 ± 1% SEM, n=3 independent experiments 10 cells total, respectively) (Figure 3.1b and Video 3.2 and 3.4, respectively). The Y738A mutation did not significantly reduce trafficking to lysosomes as compared to WT βAPP (p>0.05, n= 4 independent experiments, 11 cells total) (Figure 3.1b, d and Video 3.3).

We also analyzed cells transfected with Rab5 to determine whether tyrosine mutations shift APP into earlier compartments in the endosomal/lysosomal pathway. In these experiments, relatively small amounts of wild type βAPP trafficked to early endosomes (9 ± 2% SEM, n=5 independent experiments, 16 cells total) (Figure 3.1c). In contrast, the Y709A and Y743A significantly increased trafficking to the early endosome, (25 ± 3% SEM, n=4 independent experiments 16 cells total, and 29 ± 7% SEM, n=3 independent experiments 11 cells total), while the Y738A mutation did not (21± 4% SEM, n = 4 independent experiments 16 cells total, Figure 3.1c and d).
(p<0.05, two way ANOVA; Bonferroni post hoc). Therefore, the Y709A and Y743A both reduce the intracellular trafficking of APP to lysosomes.
**Figure 3.1:** Tyrosine mutations modulate the intracellular trafficking of APP.

a) Depiction of the carboxyl terminal of APP, with APP 751 numbering. The tyrosines and serines studied in this paper are shown, and the tyrosine motifs underlined. SN56 cells were transiently transfected with wild type APP or APP with mutations Y709A, Y738A, or Y743A tagged with paGFP. Each cell was subjected to 15-minutes of sequential imaging. Before each image, the cell was photo-activated within the Golgi (blue). b) Trafficking of APP to lysosomes (LAMP-1) and c) early endosomes (Rab5) was studied. The top panels show representative images from each cell after 15 minutes of photo-activation (Scale bars represent 5μm). The bottom panels depict colocalized pixels. The white border demarcates the edge of the cell and was drawn based on the white light images. Triangles point to colocalized pixels. d) Using a semi-automated method, the APP vesicles and LAMP1 or Rab5 vesicles were selected and the means were plotted using Prism 5.0b. Error bars represent SEM (*=p<0.05).
Figure 3.1

(a) Schematic representation of the APP protein domains and the Aβ peptide sequence. The APP domain is shown in the membrane, with the luminal and cytoplasmic domains indicated. The Aβ sequence is highlighted with the amino acid positions 709 to 738 and 743.

(b) Immunofluorescence images of wild type and mutant APP (Y709A, Y738A, Y743A) co-localized with LAMP1 and Rab5 proteins. Images show the distribution of GalT-CFP, LAMP1-mRFP, and βAPP-paGFP. Scale bars are provided for each image.

(c) Similar images of wild type and mutant APP (Y709A, Y738A, Y743A) co-localized with LAMP1 and Rab5 proteins. Images show the distribution of GalT-CFP, LAMP1-mRFP, and βAPP-paGFP. Scale bars are provided for each image.

(d) Bar graph showing the percentage of APP co-localized with Rab5 and LAMP1. The graph includes data for wild type (WT) and mutants Y709A, Y738A, and Y743A. Significant differences are indicated with asterisks (*).
3.3.2 Amyloid Precursor Protein Internalization

Mutagenesis of tyrosine-based trafficking motifs has been shown to alter the endocytosis of APP [6,8,31]. We have shown that APP can be internalized into lysosomes by two pathways, one by way of early endosomes and a second pathway directly from the cell surface [9,10]. To determine if internalization into early endosomes was affected by mutations in C-terminal tyrosines, SN56 cells were transfected with βAPP-CFP constructs and Rab5-mRFP. Our βAPP-CFP constructs are tagged on the N-terminal with a HA epitope to facilitate internalization experiments. βAPP-CFP was surface-labelled on ice with a Zenon-647 anti-HA antibody conjugate. After a 15-minute internalization at 37 °C, 28 ± 2 % (SEM; n=5 independent experiments, 73 cells total) of wild type βAPP-CFP was internalized into Rab5 positive endosomes (Figure 3.2a). The Y709A mutation did not significantly affect the internalization of βAPP into early endosomes (23 ± 2 % SEM, n=5 independent experiments, 55 cells total) (Figure 3.2b, e). However, the Y738A and Y743A mutations significantly reduced the internalization of βAPP into early endosomes (19 ± 1 % SEM, n=5 independent experiments, 62 cells total and 14 ± 2 % SEM, n=4 independent experiments, 53 total cells, respectively, p>0.05) (Figure 3.2c, e) [10].

To follow the direct trafficking of APP to lysosomes, SN56 cells were transfected with βAPP and LAMP1-mRFP, surface-labeled on ice with a fluorescent HA-antibody conjugate. This was followed by a 15-minute internalization period at 37 °C before fixation. In cells expressing wild type βAPP, 21 ± 1 % SEM (n=5 independent experiments, 83 cells total) of βAPP was internalized into LAMP1 labeled vesicles (Figure 3.3a and e). The Y709A and Y738 mutations did not significantly change the internalization of βAPP into lysosomes (p>0.05, 19 ± 1 % SEM, n=5 independent experiments 70 cells total, and 23 ± 2 % SEM, n=7 independent experiments 95 cells total, respectively) (Figure 3.3b, c, and e). However, the Y743A mutation reduced internalization to 12 ± 1 % SEM (p<0.05, n=6 independent experiments 78 total cells) (Figure 3.3d and e). Therefore, the Y743A mutation disrupts βAPP internalization to both Rab5 and LAMP1 compartments, while the Y709A mutation has no affect on internalization.
**Figure 3.2:** Tyrosine disrupts internalization into early endosomes.

SN56 cells were transfected with βAPP-CFP (with or without tyrosine mutations) and Rab5-mRFP. The HA-tag on our βAPP-CFP construct was fluorescently labeled using an anti HA-Zenon conjugate. a-d) Representative images of βAPP-CFP, bearing one of the tyrosine mutations, internalized into Rab5-mRFP compartments after 15-minutes. The edge of the cell is shown by the white border, and was drawn based on white-light images. Triangles point to colocalized pixels. Scale bars represent 5μm. e) The percentage of APP co-localized with Rab5 was quantified using Imaris and graphed (*=p<0.05, error bars represent SEM).
Figure 3.2
Figure 3.3: Y743A disrupts internalization into lysosomes.

SN56 cells were transfected with βAPP-CFP (with or without tyrosine mutations) and Lamp1-mRFP. The HA-tag was fluorescently labeled using the anti HA-Zenon conjugate. The cells were incubated at 37°C for 15 minutes and fixed and imaged. a-d) Representative images of βAPP-CFP, bearing one of the tyrosine mutations, internalized into LAMP1-mRFP compartments after 15-minutes. The edge of the cell is shown by the white border, and was drawn based on white-light images. Triangles point to colocalized pixels. Scale bars represent 5μm. e) APP co-localized with Lamp1 was quantified using Imaris and graphed (*=p<0.05, error bars represent SEM).
Figure 3.3

(a) Wild Type βAPP
(b) βAPP Y709A
(c) βAPP Y738A
(d) βAPP Y743A

![Images showing fluorescence microscopy of different βAPP variants with and without Y709A, Y738A, or Y743A mutations.]
3.3.3 Amyloid Precursor Protein and AP-3 Interaction

Previously, we demonstrated that rapid trafficking of APP to lysosomes is dependent on APP interaction with AP-3 [20]. To determine the tyrosine motif responsible for the APP/AP-3 interaction, we performed an in situ proximity ligation (iPLA) assay with βAPP-CFP bearing one of the cytoplasmic tyrosine mutations. Briefly, if the proteins of interest are within 40 nm of each other, antibody-conjugated single-stranded oligonucleotides anneal and can undergo rolling circle amplification. The amplification product is detected by hybridization with fluorescent oligonucleotides, which can be visualized by confocal microscopy. iPLA has been used to confirm protein-protein interactions in situ, including weak or transient interactions that are undetectable by co-immunoprecipitation [32-34].

SN56 cells were transfected with βAPP-CFP with or without tyrosine mutations and iPLA was performed after fixation. A 3D-stack of images of each cell was acquired by confocal microscopy. The βAPP-CFP/AP-3 interaction was quantified by counting the number of spots per μm$^3$. In cells transfected with WT βAPP-CFP, cells had $0.037 \pm 0.006$ dots/μm$^3$ SEM (n=3 independent experiments, 28 cells total). The Y738A and Y743A mutations did not significantly alter βAPP-CFP interaction with AP-3 ($0.03 \pm 0.004$ dots/μm$^3$ SEM n=3 independent experiments, 36 cells total) and $0.03 \pm 0.007$ dots/μm$^3$ SEM n=3 independent experiments, 32 cells total, respectively) (Figure 3.4a and b). However, the Y709A mutation significantly decreased the interaction of βAPP-CFP with AP-3 ($0.02 \pm 0.004$ dots/μm$^3$ SEM, n=3 independent experiments, 40 cells total) (Figure 3.4a and b). Therefore, it appears that the Y709A mutation disrupts the interaction of APP with AP-3 to prevent APP delivery to lysosomes.
Figure 3.4: Tyrosine motif mutations affect on APP/AP-3 interaction.

SN56 cells were transfected with plasmids expressing wild type APP or APP with mutations Y709A, Y738A, or Y743A. Cells were fixed and iPLA was performed to detect interaction between APP with AP-3δ. a) Representative images are shown. The white border shows edge of the cell and was drawn based on the white light images. Scale bars represent 5μm. b) The dots per cell was counted using Imaris, normalized to cell volume, and graphed in Prism 5.0b (p<0.05). SN56 cells were transfected with plasmids expressing wild type APP or APP bearing phosphomimetic (S711E) or dephosphomimetic (S711A) mutations. Cells were fixed and iPLA was performed to determine if there was an interaction between APP and AP-3. c) Representative images of APP/AP-3δ interaction. The white border shows edge of the cell and was drawn based on the white light images. Scale bars represent 5μm. d) The number of spots per cell was counted and normalized to cell volume. Error bars represent SEM and *= p<0.05.
Figure 3.4
3.3.4 Pseudo-phosphorylation of Serine 711

The $^{709}$YTSI$^{712}$ motif is involved in APP endocytosis, basolateral (intracellular) sorting, and cell surface delivery [6,23,35,36]. In the YTSI motif, the tyrosine and serine have been found to be phosphorylated in the brains of AD patients [27,37]. While the effect of Y709 phosphorylation on APP trafficking is unclear, S711 phosphorylation has recently been shown to regulate the intracellular trafficking of APP [38]. Pseudo-phosphorylation of the S711 residue of APP was shown to increase APP retrieval to the Golgi from the endosomal system and increased non-amyloidogenic processing of APP [38,39]. Furthermore, The S711 residue is the only residue in the APP C-terminus that can be phosphorylated by PKC [27,28]. To test if S711 phosphorylation affects the interaction of APP with AP-3, we introduced dephosphomimetic (S711A) and phosphomimetic (S711E) mutations to the βAPP-CFP construct to determine their effect using iPLA. We show that βAPP S711E-CFP interacted poorly with AP-3 ($0.02 \pm 0.004 \text{ dots/μm}^3 \text{ SEM, } n=4 \text{ independent experiments, 46 cells total}$), as compared to WT βAPP-CFP ($0.05 \pm 0.004 \text{ dots/μm}^3 \text{ SEM, } n=4 \text{ independent experiments, 51 cells total}$) (Figure 3.4c and d). The dephosphomimetic (S711A) mutation did not significantly alter the interaction of βAPP with AP-3 (Figure 3.4c and d, $n=3 \text{ independent experiments, 34 cells total}$). Therefore, pseudo-phosphorylation of βAPP, at S711, disrupts its interaction with AP-3.

To determine the effect of these phosphomimetic mutations on βAPP trafficking to lysosomes, we introduced the S711A and S711E mutations into our βAPP-paGFP construct. We photo-activated βAPP-paGFP in the Golgi and followed its transport into downstream compartments. The S711A mutation did not significantly disrupt βAPP trafficking to lysosomes, as compared to WT βAPP-paGFP (one-way ANOVA, $n= p>0.05$) ($25 \pm 4\% \text{ SEM } n= 4 \text{ independent experiments, 13 cells total compared to } 30 \pm 4\% \text{ SEM, } n=5 \text{ independent experiments, 23 cells total}$) (Figure 3.5a and c and Video 3.5). However, the S711E mutation, which disrupts βAPP interaction with AP-3, also significantly decreased the amount of βAPP trafficked to LAMP1 compartments ($17 \pm 3\% \text{ SEM, } n=4 \text{ independent experiments, 17 cells total}$, as compared to WT APP (Figure 3.5a and c and Video 3.6). To determine if S711 mutations disrupts trafficking to early endosomes,
we repeated the intracellular trafficking experiments with Rab5-mRFP, a marker for early endosomes. In these experiments, there was no significant difference in the amount of βAPP delivered to early endosomes with both mutations (Figure 3.5b and c). Therefore, phosphorylation of S711 impedes βAPP delivery to lysosomes likely through disrupting the βAPP/AP-3 interaction.

3.3.5 PKC Activation Controls Intracellular Trafficking of APP

S711 residue can be phosphorylated by PKC [27,28]. PKC agonists are known to increase the non-amyloidogenic processing of APP by increasing α-secretase cleavage of APP [40,41]. S711 phosphorylation has also been reported to increase the interaction of APP with members of the retromer complex, and to divert APP from the lysosome to the Golgi [38]. Phosphorylation of S711 has also been suggested to increase the secretory trafficking from the Golgi [39]. Therefore, we asked whether S711 phosphorylation could disrupt Golgi to lysosome transport, through disrupting the APP and AP-3 interaction.

To examine the effects of PKC activation on βAPP trafficking, SN56 cells were transfected with βAPP-paGFP, GalT-CFP, and a marker for the endosomes or lysosomes. After a one-hour incubation with 300nM the PKC activator Phorbol-12-myristate-13-acetate (PMA), APP was photo-activated in the Golgi apparatus to follow the transport of APP to downstream compartments (Figure 3.6a). In untreated cells, 30 ± 4% SEM (n=5 independent experiments, 23 cells total) of nascent βAPP-paGFP is delivered to lysosomes. However, cells treated with 300nM PMA traffic 18 ± 3% SEM (n=4 independent experiments, 10 cells total) of APP to lysosomes (one-way ANOVA, Bonferroni post hoc, p<0.05) (Figure 3.6c). Consistent with phosphomimetic mutations to the YTSI motif, PMA treatment significantly increased the amount of βAPP-paGFP directed towards Rab5 (early endosome) labeled compartments (WT= 9 ± 2% SEM, n=5 independent experiments, 16 cells total vs. PMA treated 26 ± 6% SEM, n=5 independent experiments, 16 cells total) (Figure 3.6b and c).
**Figure 3.5:** S711E disrupts trafficking to lysosomes.

SN56 cells were transfected with plasmids expressing wild type APP, S711E, or S711A. Concomitantly, plasmids expressing LAMP1-mRFP or Rab5-mRFP and GalT-CFP were also transfected. a) Representative images depicting trafficking of APP S711A or S711E to lysosomes (LAMP1-mRFP) after photo-activation in GalT-CFP labeled compartments. b) Representative images showing the delivery of APP S711A or S711E to early endosomes after photo-activation. The edge of the cell is defined by the white line, and was drawn based on the white light images. Scale bars represent 5μm. Triangles with circles denote photo-activation sites at time 0. Triangles alone point to colocalized pixels. c) The percentage of APP colocalized with either LAMP1 or Rab5 was quantified with Imaris. Error bars denote SEM. *=p<0.05.
Figure 3.5
**Figure 3.6:** PMA treatment alters the intracellular trafficking of APP.

SN56 cells transiently transfected with βAPP-paGFP were treated or not treated with 300nM PMA for 1-hour before imaging. Cells were photo-activated in the Golgi (GalT-CFP) for 15 minutes. Video of the live cells was taken during this 15-minute period to follow the trafficking of APP. Frames from the beginning and the end of the time course are shown here for transport to a) lysosomes (LAMP1) and b) early endosomes (Rab5). Far-right panels show colocalized pixels between the βAPP-paGFP and LAMP1-mRFP channels. The edge of the cell is defined by the white line, and was drawn based on the white light images. Triangles alone point to colocalized pixels. Scale bars represent 5μm. c) The amount of APP colocalized with each compartment was quantified using Imaris at the 15-minute time point, and the results were plotted using Prism 5.0b. Error bars represent SEM and * denotes p<0.05.
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Figure 3.6
We also examined staurosporine treatment to inhibit PKC activity before PMA treatment. Staurosporine (1μM) pre-treatment restored the trafficking of APP to lysosomes (35 ± 6% SEM, n=4 independent experiments, 12 cells total) (Figure 3.7a and b). Importantly, staurosporine treatment alone did not disrupt the trafficking of APP (31 ± 5% SEM, n=4 independent experiments, 11 cells total). Therefore, activation of PKC diverts APP away from lysosomes and towards early endosomes.

PMA and other phorbol esters activate PKCs through binding to the diacylglycerol (DAG) binding site on PKC, and can activate conventional (α, β, βII, and γ) and novel PKCs (δ, ε, η, and θ). PKCα and PKCe have both been suggested to regulate APP metabolism [42-45]. To specifically examine PKCα and other conventional PKC’s, we pretreated the cells with Gö6976 (inhibitor of conventional PKCs (PKCα, βI, βII, and γ). Transfected SN56 cells were pretreated with Gö6976 before stimulation with PMA. In these experiments, Gö6976 pretreatment was unable block the effects of PMA (reducing βAPP-paGFP delivery to lysosome; Gö6976 and PMA 18 ± 4% SEM n= 3 independent experiments 10 cells total vs. PMA only 18 ± 3% SEM n=4 independent experiments 10 cells total) (Figure 3.7a and b). This suggests that conventional PKCs are not major contributors in the diverting APP away from lysosomes.

Therefore, we turned our attention to the novel PKC family. However, we could not find a specific inhibitor the nPKCs. Instead, we turned to a specific agonist for nPKCe. Previous studies have suggested that PKCe promotes non-amyloidogenic cleavage of APP [44-46]. Recently, 8-[2-(2-pentyl-cyclopropylmethyl)-cyclopropyl]-octanoic acid (DCP-LA) was found to specifically activate PKCe over other isoforms of PKC [47]. In fact, 500nM DCP-LA has previously been shown to strongly and specifically activate PKCe and decrease Aβ production in SH-SY5Y cells [46]. We treated our transfected SN56 cells with 500nM DCP-LA, to determine if DCP-LA mediated activation of PKCe could regulate the trafficking of APP. DCP-LA significantly reduced targeting of βAPP-paGFP to lysosomes (13 ± 3% SEM, n=4 independent experiments, 15 cells total), which was not significantly different from cells treated with PMA (p>0.05) (Figure 3.8a and c, Video 3.7). In addition, treatment of SN56 cells with DCP-LA increased
delivery of APP to early endosomes, as seen with PMA treatment (Figure 3.8b and c) (WT= 9 ± 2% SEM vs. DCP-LA treated 30 ± 2% SEM n=4 independent experiments, 12 cells total).

Moreover, pretreatment of transfected cells with staurosporine abrogated the effect of DCP-LA on trafficking of βAPP-paGFP to lysosomes (32 ± 2% SEM, n=3 independent experiments, 9 cells total). However, pretreatment with Gö6976 (13 ± 3% SEM, n=4 independent experiments, 10 cells total) (Figure 3.8d and e) could not abolish the effects of DCP-LA treatment. These data suggests that the trafficking of APP away from lysosomes and towards early endosomes is regulated by PKCε.

PKCε activation by DCP-LA can decrease Aβ production and reduce amyloid deposition in mice [44,46]. To determine if we could recapitulate these results, we transfected cells with βAPP-paGFP bearing the Swedish familial mutation (βAPPsw-paGFP) and treated the cells with DCP-LA. Cells were also transfected with either βAPPsw-paGFP YTAI or βAPPsw-paGFP YTEI to determine if psuedophosphorylation at S711 could modulate Aβ production. Cell-culture media was gathered from three independent experiments and analyzed by ELISA for Aβ 40 or 42. Aβ 42 production was not significantly reduced by transfection with APP bearing the YTAI mutation. However, transfection of APP bearing the YTEI mutation or treatment with DCP-LA significantly decreased Aβ 42 by ~30% (Figure 3.8f). Therefore, it appears that phosphorylation of APP at S711 decreases the production of Aβ 42 by reducing lysosomal trafficking of APP. There was no significant change in Aβ40 secreted into culture media.
**Figure 3.7:** Staurosporine but not Gö6976 treatment restores trafficking of APP to lysosomes.

SN56 cells were pretreated for 1 hour with staurosporine or Gö6976 for 1 hour before treatment with PMA. Cells were imaged as previously stated. Depicted in a) are representative images of cells treated with PMA, with or without the indicated inhibitors. These images were taken from live cell video of photo-activated cells 15 minutes after the start of imaging. Far-right panels show colocalized pixels between the βAPP-paGFP and LAMP1-mRFP channels. The edge of the cell is defined by the white line, and was drawn based on the white light images. Triangles point to colocalized pixels. Scale bars represent 5μm. b) The amount of APP colocalized with LAMP-mRFP was quantified using Imaris and plotted using Prism. Error bars represent SEM and * denotes p<0.05 as compared to untreated cells and cells treated with staurosporine and PMA.
Figure 3.7
**Figure 3.8**: DCP-LA treatment of SN56 cells diverts APP into early endosome compartments.

Cells were transfected with βAPP-paGFP, GalT-CFP, and a) LAMP1-mRFP or b) Rab5-mRFP. Cells were pre-treated with DCP-LA for one hour before imaging, and photo-activated within the Golgi. Far-right panels show colocalized pixels between the βAPP-paGFP and LAMP1-mRFP channels. The edge of the cell is defined by the white line, and was drawn based on the white light images. Triangles point to colocalized pixels. Scale bars represent 5μm. c) The amount of APP colocalized with each compartment, with or without DCP-LA treatment, was measured using Imaris and was plotted using Prism 5.0b. d) SN56 cells were treated with 1μM staurosproine or 1μM Gö6976 before treatment with DCP-LA. The amount of APP colocalized with LAMP1 was measured using Imaris and plotted using Prism 5.0b. * denotes p<0.05 as compared to untreated. Representative images from the end of the photo-activation period are shown in e). Images in the far-right panel show colocalized pixels between the LAMP1-mrFP and βAPP-paGFP channels. Triangles point to colocalized pixels. Scale bars represent 5μm. f) SN56 cells were transfected with βAPPsw-paGFP and treated with DMSO or DCP-LA. Two other wells of cells were transfected with βAPPsw-paGFP containing either the YTEI and YTAI mutation. The media was collected from the cells and used ELISA to analyze the amount of Aβ42. Error bars in both graphs represent SEM. * denotes p<0.05 as compared to DMSO treated cells.
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3.4 Discussion

In our previous study, we showed that siRNA-mediated knockdown of AP-3 can disrupt the trafficking of APP to lysosomes [20]. In the present study, the Y709A decreased intracellular trafficking to the lysosome while the Y743A mutations significantly decreased the fraction of APP delivered to lysosomes from the cell surface and by intracellular trafficking (Figure 3.1 and Figure 3.3). The YTSI motif was critical for APP and AP-3 interaction (Figure 3.4), and phosphorylating the serine residue in this motif reduced intracellular trafficking of APP to lysosomes and reduced Aβ42 production (Figure 3.5-3.8).

The YTSI motif is a canonical YXX0 motif. These motifs have roles in endocytosis, lysosomal sorting, basolateral sorting, and retrograde sorting to the Golgi [21]. The YTSI motif has been shown to regulate the endocytosis of a APP-transferrin receptor chimera [6]. However, internalization experiments with APP show that the Y709A mutation to APP did not disrupt endocytosis [8 and Figure 3.2]. The YTSI motif can also interact with AP-1 to sort APP to the basolateral membrane [23].

Interestingly, recent studies have shown that the YTSI motif of APP can also regulate the transit of APP through the Golgi [38,39]. Using a pseudophosphorylation strategy, similar to the one used here, a phosphomimetic (S711E) increased the retrograde trafficking of APP to the TGN, and decreases the trafficking of APP lysosomes. Conversely, a dephosphomimetic mutant decreased retrograde trafficking to the TGN and increases trafficking of APP to lysosomes. The enhanced retrograde trafficking of APP to the TGN was mediated by an enhanced interaction between APP and VPS-35 (a member of the retromer protein trafficking complex) [48,49], reducing APP delivery to lysosomes. Our findings concur with this data, in that pseudophosphorylation of the serine disrupts the interaction of APP and AP-3 and lowers the amount of APP trafficked to lysosomes (Figure 3.4 and 3.5). These findings suggest that phosphorylation of APP at S711 enhances the interaction of APP with the retromer complex [38] and destabilize its interaction with AP-3.
Phorbol ester stimulation of PKC is well known to increase the secretion of the APP N-terminal domain and decrease the production of Aβ [42,43,45,46,50]. Both PKCα and PKCε have been implicated in regulating the metabolism of APP [43,46,51,52]. In agreement with these findings, PMA or DCP-LA treatment reduced lysosomal trafficking seen with the phosphomimetic S711E (Figure 3.6 and 3.8). Gö6976, an inhibitor of conventional PKCs, did not reduce lysosomal targeting (Figure 3.7). While there was no specific pharmacological inhibitor of PKCε, a specific agonist of PKCε (DCP-LA) also diverted APP trafficking away from lysosomes (Figure 3.8).

Furthermore, DCP-LA treatment or the phosphomimetic YTEI lowered the production of Aβ42, suggesting a shift to non-amyloidogenic processing of APP (Figure 3.8f). Previous literature suggests PKCε, an novel PKC, promotes non-amyloidogenic cleavage of APP [44-46]. DCP-LA also decreased Aβ secreted in cell culture [46], and reduce the plaque burden in transgenic mouse models of APP [44]. Furthermore, in AD patients, PKCε protein levels were decreased fibroblasts and neurons [53].

While we show here that phosphorylation of S711 may control intracellular lysosomal trafficking, it does not explain all of the observed behaviors related to PKC activation. Specifically, the phosphomimetic did not increase APP trafficking to early endosomes, as seen with PMA and DCP-LA treatments, which suggests other targets of PKCε are also involved in APP sorting. PKC is known to regulate other steps in protein trafficking and proteolysis. PKC can also phosphorylate AP-2 in the µ2 domain and regulate the endocytosis of NA+/K+ ATPase [54].

PKCε, in particular, may also regulate secretory activity from the Golgi after being recruited to the Golgi apparatus [55]. In addition to regulation of Golgi export to the secretory pathway, PKCε also regulates the recycling of β1-integrins by phosphorylating vimentin (an integral part of intermediate filaments) [56,57]. While the data presented here suggest a role for APP phosphorylation in lysosomal trafficking and non-amyloidogenic metabolism, PKCs can interact with a large number of proteins; so many other regulatory events might be participating. For example, PKCs may influence the distribution of ADAM-10; a putative α-secretase [58] and are
proposed to regulate proteolytic processing by secretase enzymes directly. ADAM 10 and 17 [59,60].

Although the alteration of APP processing by PKC has long been recognized, the effects of PKC on the intracellular trafficking of APP are less well understood. Before the advent of photo-activatable fluorescent proteins, the intracellular trafficking of APP, of any protein, was very difficult to visualize. Here, using paGFP that APP can transit directly from the Golgi directly to lysosomes. Furthermore, we showing that PKCε redirects APP from this novel pathway away from the lysosome and reduces Aβ 42 production. This is the mirror image of retromer dysfunction in AD, which is proposed to increased APP levels in the endosomal/lysosomal pathway and increased Aβ production [61]. These experiments demonstrate that this novel direct-to lysosome pathway can be regulated pharmacologically and that reducing APP transit to the lysosome is a strategy to lower Aβ 42 production.
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Chapter 4

4 Lysosomal secretion of beta-amyloid in neuronal cells

One of the hallmarks of Alzheimer's disease is the presence of amyloid plaques in the brain. The major constituent of these plaques is β-amyloid (Aβ). Aβ is known to bind to receptors on the cell surface and mediate many of its cytotoxic effects. Work from our lab and others suggest that Aβ is produced through amyloidogenic cleavage in the endosomal/lysosomal system. However, the mechanism behind the secretion of Aβ, from intracellular stores, into the extracellular space is unknown. Lysosomes are known to undergo exocytosis in a number of cell types, including neurons. Therefore, lysosomes may serve to produce and secrete Aβ into the extracellular space.

Here, using total internal reflection fluorescence microscopy (TIR-FM), we show that a population of lysosomes are localized to the cell surface and can undergo exocytosis in SN56 cells. Furthermore, we show that SN56 cells transfected with mutants of Rab27b can decrease the number of lysosomes at the cell surface and decrease lysosomal exocytosis. Furthermore, these mutants can also decrease the amount of Aβ secreted into the cell culture media. Taken together, these data suggest that lysosomal exocytosis is capable of Aβ secretion, and may prove to be an important therapeutic target for limiting the effect of extracellular Aβ aggregates.

4.1 Introduction

Alzheimer’s disease (AD) is the most common neurodegenerative disease in adults. The Amyloid Hypothesis states that the β–amyloid (Aβ) production is a critical early event in the pathogenesis of AD. In fact, amyloid deposition is required for a neuropathological diagnosis of AD. Although Aβ was identified more that 30 years ago, there is no consensus as to how it is secreted [1]. While it is widely accepted that neuronal activity results in Amyloid secretion, to date Aβ is not found in synaptic vesicles [2]. Although full-length APP and BACE are present in synaptic vesicles, only APP was demonstrated to be secreted from synaptic vesicles [3]. Aβ has been shown to be secreted in exosomes (intraluminal vesicles from the endosomal/lysosomal system) suggesting that these compartments might be responsible for Aβ secretion [3,4].
Aβ is produced by the sequential cleavage of APP by β and γ-secretase. β-secretase cleaves APP in ectodomain and leaves a 99-residue carboxy-terminal fragment, which is a substrate for γ-secretase. Work in our lab has shown that γ-secretase protein complex is present in lysosomes and γ-secretase’s catalytic activity functions at an acidic optimal pH [5]. Alkalization lysosomal pH also lowers Aβ production [6]. Acidic environments, similar to those in lysosomes, have been shown to promote Aβ aggregation [7]. In addition, disruption of APP trafficking to lysosomes has been shown to decrease Aβ production [8-11]. The lysosomes promote formation of amyloid seeds that promote Aβ aggregation [12], and many have proposed the lysosome as an important early site of aggregation [13-16]. This evidence suggests that the lysosome might be a source of secreted Aβ.

Although lysosomes are widely viewed as digestive organelles, modified lysosomes (lysosome-related organelles, LROs) are responsible for secretion in many cell types. LROs share a number of similarities with lysosomes (organelle markers, low pH, hydrolases), but have cell-type specific tasks that allow them to perform varied tasks, such as skin pigmentation and clotting [reviewed in 17,18]. For example many dedicated-secretory cells (natural killer cells, melanocytes, lymphocytes, platelets) use secretory LROs as important secretory granules (lytic granules, melanosomes, azurophilic granules, platelet-dense granules) [17-30]. More recently, studies in cells that are not dedicated secretory cells have also demonstrated the use of lysosomal secretion, the secretion of conventional lysosomes can also be used for resealing damage to the plasma membrane damage [31].

Although secretory lysosomes have been documented in many cell types, there have been few reports of lysosomes playing a secretory role in neuronal cells [32,33]. Here we show, by TIRF microscopy, that a population of lysosomes sits docked on the plasma membrane in neuronal cells and primary mouse neurons are able to fuse with the plasma membrane following stimulation. Furthermore, lysosomes accumulated amyloid and are able to secrete endogenous Aβ into the extracellular space in a Rab27 dependent manner.
4.2 Materials and Methods

4.2.1 Antibodies and Chemicals

Antibodies used were Mouse Anti-HA (Sigma, H9658), rabbit anti-LAMP1 (Sigma, L1418), rat anti-LAMP1 1D4B, APP C-terminal (Sigma, A8717), and anti-Aβ (MOAB-2, Novus Biologicals, Cat. # NBP2-13075). HiLyte Fluor 488 Aβ42 (Aβ42-488) was purchased from Anaspec (Cat. # AS-60479-01). Bafilomycin was purchased from Calbiochem (CAS 88999-55-2).

4.2.2 Cell Culture

SN56 cells were maintained in Dulbecco’s minimal Eagle’s medium (DMEM, Invitrogen) with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) and 50μg/ml of penicillin/streptomycin. Cells were incubated in an incubator at 37°C with 5% CO2. SN56 cells were split every 3–4 days at approximately 90% confluence. To plate cells for imaging, SN56 cells were plated on glass bottom confocal plates one day before transfection. Transfections were performed using Lipofectamine 2000 according to manufacturer’s instructions. In order to differentiate SN56 cells, transfection media was switched to DMEM with 1mM dibutyrl cyclic AMP (dbcAMP; Sigma) 24 hours after transfection. Cells were differentiated for one day and imaged or fixed and stained for imaging.

4.2.3 Plasmid Constructs

Rab5-mRFP, LAMP1-mRFP, and βAPP-CFP with the Swedish mutation have been described previously [9]. The design and use of the CatD-mChFP plasmid have been previously described [34,35]. mApple-LAMP1-phLuorin was a kind gift of Michael Davidson (Addgene plasmid # 54918). A construct encoding TIVAMP7 coupled to pHluorin was a gift from Dr. Thierry Gali. Rab27bWT-GFP was a kind gift from Gottfried Mieskes [36]. The Rab27b GFP-tagged mutants were obtained from Reinhard Jan [37].

4.2.4 Confocal Microscopy

Confocal microscopy images were obtained with a Zeiss LSM-510 META laser-scanning microscope using a Zeiss 63x 1.4 numerical aperture oil immersion lens (Carl Zeiss, Germany).
The thickness of the optical section was set to 1 μm. To visualize Cyan fluorescent protein (CFP), CFP was excited with a 458 nm laser and filtered with a BP 475-525 filter set. pHluorin fluorescence was filtered a band pass (BP) 500-530-nm emission filter set after excitation with a 488 nm. mCherry and mRFP fluorescence were excited with a 543 nm laser and filtered with a BP 560–615nm of LP 560nm filter set. For fixed cells, the culture media was removed and cells were washed with PBS before being fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde. When staining with 1D4B for LAMP1 cells were permeabilized with 0.1% Triton in PBS. Non-specific binding was blocked with 2% BSA and stained for LAMP1. The rabbit-anti LAMP1 antibody required permeabilization with 1% saponin in 1% BSA in HBSS. Cells were stained with the rabbit-anti LAMP1 antibody after permeabilization.

For live cell imaging, SN56 cells were washed and were switched to pre-warmed to 37°C HBSS. Cells were kept at 37°C using a heated microscopy stage (PeCon GmbH) connected to a Tempcontrol 37-2 digital 2-channel (PeCon GmbH). To prevent reacidification, lysosomes were treated with 1μM of bafilomycin for 20 minutes. Lysosomal exocytosis was stimulated with 5μM of ionomycin. A time course was set to capture an image every minute to follow lysosomal exocytosis, as denoted by increased TIVAMP-pHluorin fluorescence.

To semi-quantitatively measure the amount of exocytosis after transfection with the Rab27b-GFP constructs, cells were treated with 5μM ionomycin for five minutes and immediately placed on ice. Cells were washed and fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde.

4.2.5 Total internal reflection fluorescence microscopy (TIR-FM)

TIR-FM images were captured using a Leica AM TIRF MC using an HCX PL APO 63X oil immersion objective with numerical aperture of 1.47. In order to image the cell surface population of organelles. SN56 cells were plated on a glass-bottom confocal plate. Cells were fixed after transfection with the denoted plasmid.

For live cell trafficking experiments, SN56 cells were plated on a glass-bottom confocal plate. The differentiation media was removed and cells were washed with PBS. The media was replaced with HBSS pre-warmed to 37°C. The confocal plate was then transferred to the
microscope stage pre-warmed to 37°C. Live cell TIR-FM videos were acquired with a Leica AM TIRF MC using an HCX PL APO 100X oil immersion objective with numerical aperture of 1.47. All fluorescence emitted from 405/488/561 and 635nm lasers was captured after filtering through a Quad-band filter cube (Emission: BP 450/50, BP 525/36, BP 600/32, BP 705/72). To capture blue fluorescence protein (BFP) emission, BFP was excited with a 405 nm laser and could be detected using Quad-band filter cube. The microscope lacks a 458 nm laser, but excitation of CFP with a 405 nm laser was adequate for detecting CFP fluorescence. GFP and pHluorin were excited with a 488 nm laser. Dextran tetramethylrhodamine was excited with a 561 nm laser. Zenon 647 detection reagent was detected after excitation with a 635 nm laser.

To inject ionomycin onto the sample, a glass micropipette was attached to a FemtoJet microinjection system (Eppendorf, Mississauga, ON, Canada) and placed at the desired position over the cells. To capture rapid exocytic events, the imaging time for each wavelength was set to 50 ms. Due to hardware movement between wavelength, the total imaging time for one frame was 112ms. Video was captured for 3-5 minutes after ionomycin treatment. The videos were analyzed for exocytic vesicles one minute after ionomycin treatment.

4.2.6 Counting vesicles at the cell surface

The number of vesicles in each TIR-FM image was quantified using a semi-automated method in Imaris using the built-in spot algorithm. The average size of the vesicle is determined by the user and Imaris searches for the vesicles in the image. The threshold can be manually adjusted, as required, to accurately select all vesicles. The Imaris program provides the number of vesicles. Using Imaris, a rough estimate of background can also be determined using a semi-automated method. The cell body typically has a higher level of noise than areas of the coverslip that are empty. IsoSurfaces can be created in Imaris based on this background fluorescence, which provides an estimate of cell size. From the IsoSurface, the approximate area of the cell touching the glass coverslip can be determined. By dividing the number of vesicles at the cell surface by the area of the cell on the glass, the number of vesicles per cell can be normalized to surface area.
4.2.7 Detecting Exocytosis

Lysosomal exocytosis was detected by manually tracking lysosomes in single- or dual-colour images using ImageJ [38] and the ‘Manual Tracking’ plugin. A custom-written Matlab script (Mathworks, Natick, Massachusetts) was then used to detect putative exocytosis events. Briefly, the mean intensity of each lysosome was determined by averaging the pixel values around each manually tracked point, at each time point in the track, over a disk approximately the size of lysosomes in the image (radius of 5 pixels). Each track was then normalized to its minimum and maximum intensity, the intensity data smoothed over 10 time-points, and the derivative (slope) of the smoothed intensity graph calculated. Putative exocytosis events were defined as derivative values less than 2 times the standard deviation of the derivative plot (i.e. > 2 standard deviation decrease in lysosome intensity). Tracks containing putative exocytosis events were then flagged and manually curated to detect *bona fide* exocytosis events. To compare the proportion of vesicles secreted between luminal and membrane cargos, a χ² analysis was used to compare the luminal and membrane cargos.

4.2.8 Neuronal Culture

All animal experiments were conducted in accordance with the University of Western Ontario Animal Care Committee. Alzheimer’s disease mice were B6C3-Tg (APPswe/PSEN1ΔE9)85Dbo/J mice, which carry the human APP with Swedish mutation and human presenilin 1 gene with the DeltaE9 mutation. Primary cortical neurons were obtained from E18 embryos, as previously described [39]. Glass-bottom confocal plates (Matek) were coated with poly-L-lysine and seeded with neurons. The neurons were maintained in Neurobasal medium supplemented with 1X B25 and 0.8X N2 supplements, 2mM glutamax and 50U/mL penicillin/streptomycin (Life Technologies). Neurons were grown in a humidified incubator at 37°C with 5% CO₂. Neurobasal media was replenished once every 4 days.

After 14 days *in vitro* (DIV), neurons were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde HBSS supplemented with 4% sucrose for 15-minutes. Cells were stained for Aβ and LAMP1 and imaged with confocal microscopy. Colocalization was analyzed as described below.
4.2.9 Colocalization Analysis

Colocalization analysis was performed on using Imaris 7.2.1 Imaris Colocalization module (Biplane). For fixed cells, the brightest 2% of pixels from each channel was chosen and colocalized [9].

4.2.10 APP internalization into lysosomes

We have previously shown that APP can be labelled on the cell surface and internalized rapidly into lysosomes [39]. SN56 cells were transfected with full-length APP-695 and LAMP1-YFP according to the protocol described above. After transfection and differentiation, the culture media was removed and cells were washed with PBS. To label APP on the cell surface, a Zenon Alexa Fluor 647 was conjugated with the 6E10 antibody. SN56 cells were placed on ice and labelled with the Zenon-6E10 conjugate. After labelling for 30 minutes, the bound antibody conjugate was internalized for one hour at 37°C. The exocytosis of internalized antibody was observed by TIR-FM after treatment with 5μM ionomycin.

4.2.11 Staining of LAMP1 at the Cell Surface

SN56 cells transfected with Rab27b WT, T23N, N133I, or Q78L tagged with GFP. Cells were treated with 2.5μm ionomycin for five minutes and fixed with 4% PFA. After fixation, cells were stained for LAMP1 with the 1D4B antibody without permeablization. Cells were imaged by confocal microscopy with the optical slice set to 5μm, in order to maximize the amount of fluorescence captured.

The relative fluorescence intensity was measured in ImageJ. Transfected cells were selected with the freeform drawing/selection tool. The area and mean fluorescence intensity was measured for each cell and plotted in Prism 5.0b.

4.2.12 Aβ40 and Aβ42 ELISA

SN56 cells were transfected with βAPPsw-CFP (βAPP bearing the Swedish mutation). Cells were differentiated and cell culture media was collected two days after differentiation. To remove the large cellular debris, the collected media was spun at 200 G for 10 minutes at 4°C.
Aβ40 and Aβ42 were detected with the Aβ40 ELISA Kit (KHB3482) or Aβ42 Ultrasensitive ELISA Kit (KHB3544) from Life Technologies, and used according to manufacturer’s instructions.

4.2.13 Statistical Analysis

Prism Graphpad 5.0b was used for all graphing and statistical analysis. A One-way ANOVA was performed with a Bonferroni’s post-hoc test, and P values <0.05 were considered significant.

4.3 Results

4.3.1 Lysosomes at the cell surface

To determine whether lysosomes are membrane docked organelles in neuronal cells, we examined organelles in cultured SN56 cells neuronal cells. The SN56 cell line is a hybrid cell line generated by fusing dissociated embryonic mouse septal neurons with N18TG2 neuroblastoma cells. SN56 cells possess a neuronal morphology and cholinergic phenotype when differentiated and express endogenous APP [40,41]. SN56 cells were transfected with a panel of fluorescent-tagged organelle markers, including synaptophysin-GFP (synapses), LAMP1-mRFP (lysosomes), Cathepsin D-mCherryFP (CatD-ChFP, a luminal lysosomal enzyme), Rab5-mRFP (early endosomes), Rab9-chFP, (late endosomes), and Galactosyltransferase-CFP (GalT-CFP, trans-golgi network). SN56 cells were imaged using total internal reflection fluorescence microscopy (TIR-FM) to visualize organelles within 110nm of the cell surface and count the number of vesicles per μm². We found that lysosomes were docked at the plasma membrane, as shown by CatD-mChFP and LAMP1-mRFP fluorescence (0.14 ± 0.016 vesicles/μm² SEM n=4 independent experiments, 59 cells total and 0.28 ± 0.050 vesicles/μm² SEM n=3 independent experiments, 39 cells total, respectively) (Figure 4.1a and b). CatD-chFP was found at the cell surface at similar levels to Rab5-mRFP (0.14 ± 0.0082 vesicles/μm² SEM, n=4 independent experiments, 73 cells total), Rab7-mRFP (0.12 ± 0.018 vesicles/μm² SEM, n=3 independent experiments, 41 cells total), Rab9-chFP (0.16 ± 0.007 vesicles/μm² SEM, n=4 independent experiments, 60 cells total), and synaptophysin-GFP (0.16 ± 0.0070 vesicles/μm² SEM, n=4 independent experiments, 60 cells total). As expected, no GalT-CFP fluorescence was detectable
at the cell surface. Interestingly, the numbers of LAMP1 positive vesicles at the cell surface are significantly higher than any other organelle marker. Therefore, in SN56 cells, lysosomes are among the resident organelles at the cell surface.
Figure 4.1: Neuronal lysosomes are found at the plasma membrane.

SN56 cells were transiently transfected with a panel of organelle markers (GalT-CFP, synaptophysin-GFP, Rab5 mRFP, Rab7-mRFP, Rab9-chFP, LAMP1-mRFP, or Cathepsin D-mChFP). a) Cells were imaged using TIR-FM to determine the number of vesicles within 110nm of the cell surface. Vesicles and cell area were determined using ImageJ and the number of vesicles per µm. b) TIR-FM images of LAMP1-mRFP and CatD-mChFP images at the cell surface are shown scale bars represent 10µm. c) Cells were transfected with Rab27bWT-GFP, Rab27b Q78L-GFP, Rab27b N133I-GFP, or Rab27b T23N-GFP. TIR-FM imaging was used to determine if Rab27b mutants affected the number of lysosomes docked at the cell surface. Cells were stained for LAMP1 using 1D4B. Representative TIR-FM images (within 110nm of the cell surface) of cells transfected with Rab27b mutants and stained for LAMP1. Scale bars represent 5µm. d) Using ImageJ, the number of vesicles was counted and normalized using cell area and graphed. Scaled bars represent SEM (*=p<0.05). White lines denote the edge of individual cells.
Figure 4.1
4.3.2 Rab27b Mutants Reduce Number of Lysosomes at Cell Surface

Rab27a and Rab27b have been implicated in the delivery and docking of lysosome-related organelles LROs to the cell surface in several cell types [42,43]. Rab27 is a regulatory protein that at rest is inactive and binds GDP. When Rab27 is activated, this GDP is exchanged for GTP, which is eventually hydrolyzed GDP, moving the protein back to its inactive state. Rab27a silencing has been shown to decrease the number of dense-core vesicles at the plasma membrane of PC12 cells [43]. Rab27b has been shown to be involved in the proper distribution of melanosomes, docking of secretory granules, and exosome secretion [36,42,44,45]. As the Rab27b isoform is more highly expressed in the brain [42], we wanted to determine if Rab27b was important for lysosomal docking at the cell surface of neuronal cells. SN56 cells were transfected with Rab27bWT-GFP or with one of the following mutants mutants; constitutively active, which is defective in GTP hydrolysis (Q78L) or dominant negative mutants defective in GTP binding (N133I or T23N) [45,46].

We then fixed the cells, stained them with an antibody against LAMP1, and imaged using TIR-FM. Cells transfected with wild-type (WT) Rab27b had 0.20 ± 0.014 vesicles/μm² SEM, n= 8 independent experiments, 90 cells total). This was not significantly different from the number of LAMP1 vesicles docked at the membrane of untransfected cells (0.23 ± 0.015 vesicles/μm SEM, n= 3 independent experiments, 54 cells total) (Figure 4.1c and d). However, transfection of Q78L, N133L, or T23N mutants all significantly reduced the number of docked lysosomes (0.095 ± 0.0073 vesicles/μm² SEM, n= 5 independent experiments, 53 cells total, 0.069 ±0.0092 vesicles/μm² SEM, n= 3 independent experiments, 30 cells total, and 0.094 ±0.0053 vesicles/μm² SEM, n= 3 independent experiments, 32 cells total, respectively, p<0.05) (Figure 4.1c and d). The reduced number of lysosomes in SN56 cells transfected with mutant versions of Rab27b suggests that Rab27b is involved in lysosome delivery to the cell surface.
**Figure 4.2:** Neuronal lysosomes can undergo stimulated exocytosis.

a) SN56 cells were transfected with Rab27b-GFP or one of its mutants. Cells were treated with 2.5μM ionomycin for 5 minutes and fixed. LAMP1 at the cell-surface was stained with 1D4B, without permeabilization. Scale bars represent 30μm. b) The fluorescence intensity was quantified for each cell in ImageJ, and graphed using Prism Graphpad. Error bars denote SEM. (*=p<0.05) c) Neuronal cells were cultured and stimulated with 2.5μm ionomycin and stained for cell-surface 1D4B. Cells treated with ionomycin show a prominent cell-surface stain for LAMP1. Scale bars represent 50μm.
Figure 4.2
4.3.3 Rab27b Mutants Interfere with Lysosomal Exocytosis

We then sought to determine whether lysosomes were capable of secretion, and if Rab27b played a role in this lysosomal secretion. SN56 cells were transfected with Rab27b, or one of its mutants, and treated with 2.5μM ionomycin for 5 minutes. In order to only label the cell surface membrane of lysosomal origin, cells were fixed and stained without cell permeabilization. Because the antibody used targeted a luminal epitope on LAMP1 (1D4B) and the cells were not permeabilized, cells would only be stained if LAMP1 were placed on the plasma membrane. In this experiment cells treated with DMSO showed a low basal level of cell-surface LAMP1 staining (Figure 4.2a). However, cells transfected with Rab27b WT and treated with ionomycin demonstrated significantly increased cell-surface LAMP1 staining as compared to DMSO treated cells. We quantified the cell surface staining of LAMP1 on these cells by measuring the fluorescence in transfected cells, and these results are displayed in figure 4.2b. In accordance with the docking results in Figure 4.1, cells transfected with Rab27b mutants exhibited a significant decrease in cell-surface LAMP1 staining at the cell surface. To confirm our findings in neurons, primary mouse neurons were treated with 2.5μM ionomycin for 5 minutes and cell-surface stained with anti-LAMP1 antibody. Cells treated with ionomycin resulted in prominent cell surface staining of LAMP1. Conversely, there was little staining on control cells (Figure 4.2c). Therefore, the Rab27b mutants decrease the number of docked lysosomes at the cell surface and decrease number of lysosomes undergoing exocytosis in response to the calcium ionophore ionomycin.

4.3.4 Live-cell Video tracking of Lysosomal Secretion

4.3.4.1 Lysosomal Cargo Release

We performed live-cell imaging experiments in SN56 cells to visualize lysosome exocytosis. As previously shown, luminal and membrane cargo have different release kinetics [47]. Luminal contents, such as dextran, can be freely released, which makes luminal contents amenable for the detection of kiss-and-run and full exocytotic events. Conversely, membrane cargo is not released during exocytosis, but rather disperses longitudinally during full exocytosis. During kiss-and-run
exocytosis, the membrane cargo should remain concentrated on the lysosomal membrane [48]. To elucidate the underlying kinetics of lysosome exocytosis in SN56 cells, we transfected cells with CatD-mChFP or with LAMP1-mRFP, a membrane cargo. To increase the number of exocytic events, cells were treated with 5μM ionomycin and TIR-FM video was recorded. Immediately after treatment of ionomycin, there was a delay of 1-2 minutes before an increase in the number of exocytic events. Putative exocytic events were detected in a semi-automated method using ImageJ and Matlab and labelled as kiss and run exocytosis, full exocytosis, or not exocytic. Kiss-and-run fusions had a significant drop in vesicle intensity, however intensity did not drop to background (Figure 4.3a). Conversely, vesicle intensity also showed a significant drop in intensity to background levels (Figure 4.3b). From at least three independent experiments, a total of 719 CatD positive vesicles from 4 cells were tracked and a total of 192 LAMP1 positive vesicles were tracked from 3 cells. By \( \chi^2 \) analysis, there was a significant relationship between the vesicular cargo and the type of exocytosis. As expected, there was a greater number of kiss-and-run exocytic events detected by the luminal (26.7% of CatD-mChFP events) cargo as compared to LAMP1-mRFP (8.33% of events). Concomitantly, there were fewer full fusions observed with CatD-mChFP cargo (2.4% of events) as compared to LAMP1-mRFP (9.9% of events). The decrease is likely due to a difficulty in detecting partial fusions with a membrane cargo.
Figure 4.3: Kiss-and-run exocytosis and Full exocytosis.

SN56 cells were transiently transfected with CatD-mRFP and treated with 5μm ionomycin and exocytosis was imaged using TIR-FM. Red arrows point to the corresponding frame on the graph. Green lines on the graph point to possible exocytosis events. A white line demarcates the edge of the vesicle. **a)** An example of a vesicle undergoing kiss-and-run exocytosis. **b)** A vesicle undergoing full exocytosis.
Figure 4.3

a) Kiss and Run exocytosis

b) Full exocytosis
**Figure 4.4:** Detection of Lysosomal Secretion with pHluorin

SN56 cells were transiently transfected with plasmids expressing Lamp1-mRFP and VAMP7-pHluorin. **a)** Deacidification of lysosomes with 100μM chloroquine for 30 minutes revealed that TIVMP-pHluorin is colocalized with LAMP1-mRFP in SN56 cells. **b)** Cells were pre-treated for 20 minutes with 1μM bafilomycin, and lysosome exocytosis was stimulated with 5μM ionomycin. SN56 cells were imaged once every minute for 3 minutes. The image on the far right shows the merge of the two channels. Colocalized voxels are yellow and denoted by a white arrowhead. Scale bars represent 5μm.
Figure 4.4
4.3.4.2 pHluorin Detection of Secreting Lysosomes

To further confirm lysosomal exocytosis in a neuronal cell line, we turned to a construct encoding tetanus-insensitive vesicular membrane protein (TIVAMP) fused to a pH-sensitive mutant of GFP called pHluorin. Briefly, pHluorin is a mutant form of GFP that is relatively dark in the acidic environment of the lysosome. Neutralization of the luminal pH, for example by fusion with the plasma membrane, results in a dramatic increase in fluorescence \[49\]. Recent work has shown that TIVAMP is associated with lysosomes and is involved in lysosome exocytosis \[23,50\]. In order to confirm the colocalization of TIVAMP in lysosomes, LAMP1 in SN56 cells, cells were transfected with treated with 100μM chloroquine for 30 minutes to deacidify the lysosomal lumen. Cells were then imaged live using confocal microscopy, which revealed that LAMP1-mRFP and pHluorin were highly co-localized (Figure 4.4a).

To determine if lysosomes dock with the cell surface, SN56 cells transfected with LAMP1-mRFP and TIVMP-pHluorin and were treated with bafilomycin for 20 minutes to prevent re-acidification after exocytosis. Therefore, TIVAMP-pHluorin in bafilomycin treated cells would specifically label lysosomes that have formed a pore or contacted the cell surface. Initially cells were treated with bafilomycin alone to confirm that this treatment would elevate pHluorin fluorescence, confocal images were taken immediately before and after bafilomycin treatment. Bafilomycin alone only resulted in a minor increase in pHluorin fluorescence. The same cell was then treated with 5μM ionomycin, and imaged once every minute for three minutes. Within one minute after the addition of ionomycin, LAMP1 vesicles began to fill with pHluorin fluorescence, and were filled by three minutes (Figure 4.4b).

Transfection of multiple plasmids into a cell may result in over-transfection or different ratios of constructs. In order to avoid some of the effects of over-transfection and to be certain that the TIVAMP and LAMP1 proteins were perfectly stoichiometrically co-localized, we obtained a chimeric protein that expresses pHluorin in the luminal domain and modified apple fluorescent protein (mAppleFP) (mApple-LAMP1-pHluorin). In between the two fluorescent proteins, there is a transmembrane domain and the sorting signal of the LAMP1. In SN56 cells, this protein
colocalized with LAMP1 detected with antibody 1D4B, which identifies a LAMP1 luminal epitope not present in the construct. Furthermore, chloroquine treatment deacidified lysosomes and increased fluorescence (Figure 4.5). Therefore, the mApple-LAMP1-phLuarin chimera was properly sorted to lysosomes and is responsive to changes in luminal pH. To follow the trafficking of mApple-LAMP1-phLuarin, transfected SN56 cells were imaged using TIR-FM. After treatment with 5μM ionomycin, exocytic vesicles could be visualized (Supplementary Video 1). In these movies, vesicles (red) can be seen coming up to the plasma membrane. Vesicles that come into communication with the plasma membrane have their luminal contents come to a more neutral pH, and also turn green.
**Figure 4.5:** Localization of mApple-LAMP1-pHluorin.

SN56 cells were transfected with a plasmid encoding the construct mApple-LAMP1-pHluorin. To confirm the correct targeting of this construct to lysosomes, SN56 cells were also transfected with LAMP1-CFP. Before treatment of cells with chloroquine, mApple fluorescence can be seen colocalizing with LAMP1-CFP fluorescence. After chloroquine treatment, the pHluorin increases in fluorescence due to deacidification of the lysosomal lumen. The green fluorescence can be detected in compartments positive for LAMP1-CFP and mApple. Scale bars represent 5 μm.
Figure 4.5
4.3.5  Intracellular Accumulation of Aβ

While extracellular aggregates of Aβ are one of the hallmarks of AD, there is emerging evidence suggesting that intracellular aggregates of Aβ may be pathologically relevant [51-54]. Previous work has demonstrated that these intracellular aggregates accumulate in the endosomal/lysosomal system [51,55-60]. To confirm the intracellular accumulation of Aβ in lysosomes, we incubated SN56 cells with 250nM of HiLyte Fluor 488 Aβ42 (Aβ42-488) (Anaspec) and determined its colocalization with LAMP1-mRFP. As expected, 60% of Aβ42-488 colocalized with LAMP1 labeled compartments, suggesting that the majority of Aβ42-488 is localized to lysosomes (Figure 4.6a).

To determine if endogenously produced Aβ could be detected intracellularly, we cultured cortical neurons harvested from newborn transgenic mice expressing human APP with the Swedish mutation and the deltaE9 mutation of the human PS1 gene. DIV7 cortical neurons were fixed and stained for Aβ and LAMP1. Colocalization analysis found that 55 ± 4 SEM% of Aβ colocalized with LAMP1 labelled compartments (Figure 4.6b, n=3).

In order to follow the secretion of Aβ in live cells, SN56 cells were transfected with full-length APP-695. After differentiation, cells were surface labelled with a Zenon-6E10 antibody conjugate and internalized for one hour. Our previous work has demonstrated that a portion of APP can be internalized into lysosomes after 15-minutes [9,39]. After labelling, live cells were treated with ionomycin and imaged by TIR-FM. Our analysis found that 2.4% of vesicles underwent full exocytosis and 18.5% of vesicles underwent kiss and run exocytosis.
Figure 4.6: Aβ accumulates in lysosomes.

a) SN56 cells were transfected with LAMP1-mRFP. Cells were loaded with 250nM HiLyte Fluor 488 Aβ42 (Aβ42-488) for 24 hours, and imaged. b) Primary cultured neurons from a mouse bearing PS1 delta exon 9 mutation and APP-Swe mutations were fixed and immunostained with an antibody against Aβ. Scale bars represent 5μm.
Figure 4.6
4.3.6 Rab27b Mutants Decrease Aβ Secreted into Culture Media

To determine whether Rab27b affected Aβ secretion into the media, SN56 cells were transfected with βAPP-CFP with the Swedish mutation and a Rab27b-GFP mutant. Medium was collected after 48 hours and analyzed by ELISA. From each experiment, the amount of Aβ secreted was normalized to that in cells that were only transfected with βAPP-CFP. In these experiments, the amount of Aβ40 secreted is shown in Figure 4.7a, was significantly reduced by all 3 of the Rab27b mutants compared to controls (Q78L: 67 ± 12 SEM %, N133I: 61 ± 6 SEM %, T23N: 61 ± 9 SEM %, n= 5). We determined the amount of Aβ 42 secreted by ELISA and found that only Rab27b construct bearing the T23N dominant negative mutation significantly reduced, as compared to Rab27b WT, the amount of Aβ 42 released to 60 ± 10SEM % as compared control (p<0.05). The amount of Aβ 42 released was not affected by the other mutants (Q78L:77 ± 11 SEM %, N133I: 104 ± 7 SEM %, n= 4) (Figure 4.7a and b).
Figure 4.7: Rab27b inhibits Aβ releases in SN56 cells.

Cells were transfected with Rab27bWT-GFP, Rab27b Q78L-GFP, Rab27b N133I-GFP, or Rab27b T23N-GFP. To determine if Rab27b affects Aβ secretion, SN56 cells were transfected with Rab27b mutants and βAPPswedish-CFP. Media was collected after 48 hours and a) Aβ40 and b) Aβ42 levels were determined by ELISA. (*=p<0.05).
Figure 4.7
4.4 Discussion

Although lysosomes are commonly used for secretion in many cell types, little is known about secretory lysosomes in neurons. Here, we present data demonstrating that a population of lysosomes resides within 110 nm of the plasma membrane. After depolarization with ionomycin, the lysosomal marker LAMP1 can be imaged at the plasma membrane. These lysosomes are able to fuse with the plasma membrane, as indicated by a pH sensitive marker pHluorin, which demonstrates green fluorescence at neutral environment pH. Furthermore, these lysosomes contain neurotoxic Aβ. Exocytosis can be imaged in live cells using TIRF microscopy, and occurs in neurons.

Although lysosomes are typically thought of as degradative organelles, lysosomes are able to contribute to secretion in many cell types [17]. Many authors have demonstrated that lysosomes are capable of secretion in professional secretory cells (platelets, neutrophils, melanocytes, and macrophages) [17,18]. In addition, conventional lysosomes fuse with the cell surface for plasma membrane wound sealing [61,62]. There is also evidence suggesting that neuronal lysosomes are secretory. For example, primary neurons isolated from sympathetic cervical ganglia depend on lysosomes for neurite extension [33].

Here, we used a semi-automated detection method to show that approximately 30% of tracked vesicles in SN56 cells are secretory as detected by CatD-mChFP. The majority of these secretory events appear to be kiss-and-run fusion (Figure 4.3a). Conversely, when exocytosis was detected with a membrane cargo there was a ~10% drop in the number of fusion events detected.

The decrease in number of detected in these events was due to the lower detection of kiss-and-run fusions. The difference in the proportion of vesicles detected is likely due to the difference in release kinetics of soluble and membrane cargo [47]. Soluble cargo would be expected to be released in partial and full fusions. In kiss-and-run fusions, there would be a drop in cargo fluorescence intensity, albeit not to background levels. Conversely, membrane cargo fluorescence would only decrease after complete fusions. During full fusions, membrane cargo
will diffuse laterally resulting in decrease fluorescence. However, during partial fusions, membrane cargo will stay concentrated in a vesicle, and not lead to a significant decrease in fluorescence [47].

Our data also show that Rab27b is involved in lysosomal docking and secretion. Previous work has shown that Rab27b is highly expressed in the brain [42,45]. Furthermore, over-expression of dominant-negative mutants of Rab27b into PC12 neuroendocrine cells decreased secretion from dense core granules [45]. In our studies, we show a decrease in the number of LAMP1 positive vesicles within 110nm of the membrane after transfection with constitutively-active and dominant-negative mutants of Rab27b, which suggests a deficit in vesicle docking or trafficking to the cell surface (Figure 4.1c). Consequently, there was also an observed decrease in the cell-surface labelled LAMP1 after ionomycin treatment (Figure 4.2a and b). The Rab27b mutants decreased the secretion of Aβ40 into the culture media, as determined by ELISA, even when in a constitutively active state (Figure 4.7a). Although we do not know the reason for active forms of regulatory GTPases to be inhibitory, it has been proposed that they can have indirect inhibitory effects by occupying/consuming other regulatory proteins. Interestingly, Aβ42 secretion was decreased only by the true dominant-negative Rab27b T23N mutant (Figure 4.7b), suggested that the negative effects seen in previous experiments were indirect..

The involvement of Rab27b in lysosomal secretion are in agreement with previous literature that demonstrated the importance of Rab27 and its effectors in granule secretion. Work from the Thery laboratory [44] demonstrated that Rab27b silencing by shRNA resulted in the perinuclear localization of CD63 (a late endosome/lysosome marker). These findings are echoed in melanocytes from patients with Griscelli syndrome (deficient in Rab27a). These melanocytes accumulate melanosomes in the perinuclear region, and melanosome sorting the cell periphery can be restored by the expression of exogenous Rab27a [63]. The Rab27-mediated trafficking and docking of lysosomes likely depends on a number of Rab27 effectors [reviewed in detail in 64,65]. For example, Rab27a interacts with melanophilin and interacts with the plus-end of the microtubule, which provides a possible mechanism for melanosome delivery to the periphery
Myosin Va also interacts with Rab27, and is involved in rearranging the actin at the cell periphery to capture and dock melanosomes [66].

Once at the membrane, Munc 13-4 proteins interact with Rab27a and are involved in the docking of lysosomes at the membrane [67]. Munc proteins also form a critical part of SNARE complex for synaptic vesicles and regulates synaptic vesicle fusion [68]. The formation of a unique SNARE complex for lysosome secretion in neurons. However, the protein participants remain unclear. One of the leading candidates is synaptotagmin 7, which is critical for lysosome-mediated neurite extension [33]. Previous work has also demonstrated that synaptotagmin 7 controls the fusion pore size, and silencing of synaptotagmin 7 leads to a higher proportion of full lysosomal fusions [47]. Synaptotagmin 7 can from SDS-resistant complexes with sensitive factor attachment protein 23 (SNAP23), TIVAMP, and syntaxin 4 [69]. TIVAMP has also been shown to be involved in lysosome secretion in neurons and astrocytes [32,50]. SNAP-23 and syntaxin 4 have also been implicated in lysosomal secretion in platelets [70]. However, the existence and physiological relevance of this complex in neurons and Aβ secretion remains to be determined.

Work from our lab and others have demonstrated that endosomes and lysosomes are critical in the production of Aβ [5,8,10,39]. Taken together with the current findings, these data suggest that lysosomes may be responsible for the production and secretion of Aβ. The acidic environment of the lysosomes is conducive to the aggregation of Aβ [7]. Aβ is also known to accumulate intracellularly and form seeds that can promote the extracellular formation of fibrils [12]. Recent work has also demonstrated that Aβ can be secreted in association with exosomes (intraluminal vesicles in endosomes and lysosomes) [4]. Furthermore, APP, APP CTFls, ADAM10 and BACE have also been detected in exosomes [71]. Interestingly, Aβ can be detected in multi-vesicular bodies from the brains of AD patients and transgenic mice [55,56]. While the evidence for lysosomal production and secretion of Aβ is compelling, at least one study has identified full-length APP and BACE on the synaptic vesicle membrane [3]. It was shown that full-length APP can be secreted from synaptic vesicles, although the secretion of Aβ and other cleavage products was never directly identified [3].
The work presented here suggests that Aβ is produced, stored, and secreted from lysosomes in a Rab27b dependent manner. However, the physiological significance of this pathway is unclear. The secretion of Aβ from lysosomes may simply be an attempt for neurons to clear themselves of indigestible Aβ. Intraluminal accumulation of Aβ has been demonstrated to destabilize the lysosomal membrane and cause the leakage of luminal contents to the cytosol [72], with the release of lysosomal contents into the cytosol leading to cell death [73-75]. Alternatively, release may modulate brain activity. For example, Aβ release has also been increased in response to synaptic activity and can modulate synaptic activity [76]. The Aβ secretion in response to synaptic activity can be inhibited by blocking endocytosis [77]. Therefore, the lysosomal secretion of Aβ appears to be physiologically and pathologically important and will need to be further explored.
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Chapter 5

5 Discussion

5.1 Summary of Novel Observations

In this thesis, I set out to characterize the trafficking of APP and link this to Aβ production and secretion. Although most APP processing experiments focused on internalization of APP to endosomes before processing, our laboratory has demonstrated that APP can also transit from the cell surface to lysosomes directly before processing into Aβ. 1) We have expanded these experiments to follow intracellular APP trafficking using APP tagged with a photoactivatable form of GFP. With this construct, we have characterized a novel trafficking pathway for APP to be transported directly from the Golgi apparatus to lysosomes, where it is processed into Aβ by secretase-like enzymatic activities. 2) This novel trafficking pathway is dependent on an interaction between AP-3 and APP. 3) Furthermore, this interaction is mediated through an interaction with the YTSI motif in the cytoplasmic tail of APP and can be decreased by phosphorylation of the serine in they YTSI motif. 4) Finally, we demonstrate that lysosomes are secretory organelles, even in neuronal systems, and are able to secrete their contents, including Aβ.

The importance of protein sorting has been well recognized in AD [reviewed in 1,2], with many authors contending that APP sorting can directly control Aβ production. One reason trafficking plays a role in Aβ is because this process is dependent on amyloidogenic cleavage of APP by β- and γ-secretases. While the γ-secretase has been localized to the ER, Golgi, plasma membrane, and endosomes [reviewed in 3], the localization of γ-secretase activity is the most critical to understanding the production of Aβ. Work in human embryonic kidney cells, transiently transfected with a fluorescent reporter of γ-secretase activity, suggests that γ-secretase activity is localized at endosomes and plasma membrane [4]. However, a careful isolation of lysosomes from rat livers revealed that APP and γ-secretase as full length proteins at the lysosomal membrane [5].
The role of APP trafficking to and from lysosomes can regulate the production of Aβ. Inhibition of endocytosis or mutagenesis of APP internalization signals decreases the production of Aβ [6,7]. Recent work has also demonstrated that retromer mediated recycling of APP from endosomes to the Golgi can lower the amount of Aβ produced and likely plays an important role in AD pathology [8-11]. Therefore, an understanding of protein sorting is important for understanding pathology in AD.

5.2 APP intracellular trafficking

Much of our understanding of APP sorting comes from studies of internalization of APP from the cell surface, due to the ease of labeling APP exposed on the cell surface. This has lead to the belief that all APP is first presented at the cell surface before internalization to endosomes, the putative site of Aβ production [12]. Despite these confident claims, very few studies have actually followed the intracellular movement of APP. To follow the intracellular trafficking of APP, we took advantage of a photo-activatable mutant of GFP, which has almost no basal fluorescence [13,14]. We tagged a truncated version of APP (last 112 amino acids) with paGFP and transiently transfected SN56 cells with our βAPP-paGFP construct. After accurate photoactivation within the TGN, we found that βAPP-paGFP trafficked rapidly to lysosomes without transiting through the cell surface. Furthermore, APP accumulated in lysosomes, after alkalinisation, and is not processed into Aβ.

An intracellular pathway for APP between the Golgi and lysosomes has been previously suggested [15-17]. Kuentzel et al. [15] demonstrated that secretase release of the N-terminal domain occurs before APP appears at the cell surface, suggesting that secretase cleavage of APP may occur completely intracellularly. Unfortunately, the time course of Aβ production was not followed in this study [15]. More recent findings suggest that APP is released from the Golgi apparatus to an endosomal intermediate before appearance at the cell surface [16,17]. These findings suggest that APP can trafficking directly to the endosomal and lysosomal system from the Golgi apparatus.
Our work suggests that APP is processed rapidly after appearance at the lysosomal membrane. SN56 cells treated with L685, 458 (a γ-secretase inhibitor) or chloroquine (an alkalinizing agent) caused an accumulation of photo-activated βAPP-paGFP at the lysosomal membrane. APP has been postulated as a cell-surface receptor [18]. Indeed, antibodies against the extracellular domain of APP can activate intracellular signalling [19,20]. Full-length APP can also homodimerize and heterodimerize, with other members of the APP family, and participate in cell-cell adhesion [21]. Therefore, there may be a mechanism for the delivery of full-length APP from the lysosomal membrane to the cell surface. It has been suggested that APP can be sorted from endosomes into synaptic vesicles for delivery to the cell surface [22]. APP has been localized to synaptic vesicles and appears at the cell surface at sites of synaptic activity [22]. In studies with A2780 human ovarian cancer cells, Rab25 and Chloride Intracellular Channel Protein 3 (CLIC3) worked cooperatively to recycle α5β1 integrin from late endosomes and lysosomes to the cell surface at the rear of migrating cell [23]. More recent work demonstrated that CLIC3 is also involved in the recycling of Matrix metalloproteinase-14 from late endosomes and lysosomes to cell surface [24]. Alternatively, a proportion of APP may be delivered to the cell surface from the Golgi. It has been demonstrated that the S655E phosphomimetic mutation can increase the APP export to the cell surface from the Golgi apparatus [25]. However, in our experiments, cell surface delivery of APP was never observed even with the S655E phosphomimetic mutation. The fluorescence of APP at the cell surface may be under the detection limit of our microscopy experiments.

The only situation where we observed significant cell surface APP was after treatment with L685, 458. L685, 458 is thought to be a transition state mimic and occupy the aspartyl-protease site of PS1 [26]. The inhibitor may disrupt the trafficking of APP by interfering with the interaction of APP and γ-secretase, which has been shown to be involved in APP trafficking [27-29]. PS1 and PS2 appear to be essential to the formation of vesicles from the TGN [28]. Furthermore, cells expressing various FAD mutants of PS1 decreased the formation of vesicles at the TGN and lowered the cell-surface levels of APP [28]. Interestingly, over-expression of phospholipase D1 interferes with the interaction of γ-secretase components, suggesting that an intact γ-secretase complex is required for proper APP trafficking [29]. PS1 and PS2 also appear
to be involved in APP internalization from the cell surface. Expression of non-functional PS1 delays the internalization of APP from the cell surface [30]. Therefore, the appearance of APP at the cell-surface, after treatment with L685, 458, may result from altered trafficking of APP from lysosomes to the cell surface. Alternatively, APP may accumulate at the cell surface due to disrupted internalization.

Indeed, a direct pathway between Golgi and lysosomes is well known for other lysosomal membrane proteins. For example, LAMP1 is transported directly from the Golgi to the lysosomes, without appearing at the cell surface [31,32]. The direct transport of lysosomal proteins is dependent on AP-3. When cells are deficient in AP-3, LMPs, such as LAMP1 and CD63, are still sorted to lysosomes. However, instead of a direct pathway, LMPs in AP-3 deficient cells are first delivered to the cell-surface before being internalized sorted to lysosomes [33,34]. In agreement with these findings, siRNA-mediated silencing of AP-3 in our SN56 cells decreased the amount of APP trafficking to lysosomes, and decreased the amount of Aβ produced.

5.3 Manipulating the Intracellular Trafficking of APP

AP-3, and other members of the heterotetrameric adaptor protein family, are known to interact with tyrosine sorting motifs in the cytoplasmic domain of transmembrane proteins [35]. Previous work has demonstrated that mutagenesis of these motifs can disrupt internalization of APP from the cell surface [6,36]. Furthermore, the YTSI motif and the non-canonical YKFFE motif have been shown to interact with AP-1 and AP-4, respectively, and regulate intracellular APP trafficking [37,38]. In agreement with previous mutagenesis studies, our studies demonstrated that mutations to tyrosines in the GYENPTY internalization motif decreased internalization to early endosomes and lysosomes [6,36]. While the NPXY motif has been shown to be involved in cell-surface protein internalization through an interaction with AP-2 [39], the GYENPTY motif is critical for the interaction with various adaptor proteins. Many of these adaptors can control the metabolism of APP. For example, the APP interacting protein, Mint1, is important in APP internalization and Aβ production [40]. Dab2, which also binds the NPTY motif, can interact
with AP-2, thereby linking APP to the clathrin endocytosis pathway [41]. Furthermore, APP can also interact with LRP, via a Fe65-intermediate, to facilitate APP internalization [42,43].

While previous work has carefully studied the internalization of APP, the role of these sorting motifs in APP egress from the Golgi is unstudied. In this thesis we presented evidence suggesting that these tyrosine motifs are critical in the intracellular trafficking of APP from the Golgi to the lysosome. Specifically, we showed that the Y709A and Y743A mutation decreased the delivery of APP to lysosomes, but increased early endosome localization. Furthermore, the Y738A and Y743A mutation prevented rapid internalization of APP from the cell surface to lysosomes. This suggests that Y743A mutation may participate in the trafficking of APP to the lysosome, while the Y709A and Y738A mutation work in specific sub-cellular locales. Furthermore, we demonstrated that the Y709A mutation could decrease interaction of APP with AP-3, which suggests the YTSI motif is critical for APP delivery from the Golgi to the lysosome.

Recently, there has been more interest in APP trafficking between the Golgi and endosomes, which has revealed a number of protein adaptors that regulate the trafficking of APP. However, many of these trafficking studies were performed in non-neuronal cells, therefore, the generalizability of these results to neuronal cells is unclear. In HeLa cells, APP can recruit Mint3 to the Golgi membrane and facilitates Golgi export of APP to LAMP1 labelled compartments [16]. Interestingly, these experiments demonstrated that Y687 was critical for Mint3 recruitment and rapid trafficking to LAMP1 compartments [16]. Conversely, our own experiments found no effect of Y687 trafficking from the Golgi to the lysosomes. These differences may be the result of differential expression of trafficking proteins in neuronal cells.

AP-4 has also been implicated in the delivery of APP to endosomes [37]. Silencing of AP-4 disrupts the delivery of APP from the Golgi to endosomes [37]. In support of these findings, the data presented here demonstrate that mutation of the YKFFE motif to AKFFE causes a decrease of APP sorting to lysosomes. However, other work has demonstrated that AP-4 cannot be recruited to the Golgi after APP expression [16]. Therefore, the role of AP-4 in APP trafficking remains to be determined.
While the studies mentioned above have focussed primarily on cytoplasmic sorting signals, a recent study has demonstrated that APP may depend on luminal sorting signals as well. A recent study that added short glycosaminoglycans (GAG) to the luminal portion of APP increased APP sorting to the cell-surface and decreased APP endocytosis [17]. Conversely, APP without the addition of GAG was sorted to an endosomal intermediate before appearing at the cell surface.

In addition to the sorting of APP to the endosomal/lysosomal system, APP can also be recycled back towards the endosomes. The recycling of APP to the Golgi depends upon the retromer complex. The retromer complex has a cargo-recognition complex, which consists of vacuolar protein sorting (VPS) 35, VPS26, and VPS29 [44,45]. An AD mouse model of VPS35 haploinsufficiency causes an increase in Aβ production and plaque accumulation [11]. Furthermore, the brains of AD patients had a decrease in VPS35 and VPS26 protein expression [46]. shRNA silencing of VPS35 in mouse hippocampal cultures increased the amount of APP localized to early endosomes [47]. Phosphorylation of APP at S655 can increase the interaction between APP and VPS35, which decreases sorting of APP to lysosomes in preference for the Golgi [9]. These findings are in agreement with the present work, which demonstrated that phosphorylation of APP can decrease the trafficking of APP to lysosomes. The interaction between APP may depend upon the interaction with the VPS10 family proteins [48]. The VPS10 family protein SorLA has been shown to be genetically associated with AD [49]. Furthermore, APP can interact with SorLA, which mediates its retrograde trafficking from endosomes to lysosomes [9,10].

Similarly, our work also demonstrates that PKCε activation or mutagenesis of sorting signals can cause an increase in trafficking to early endosomes from the TGN and decrease Aβ production. APP can be phosphorylated on S655 (by APP 695 numbering or S711 by APP 751 numbering) by PKC [50,51]. The work presented here demonstrates that pseudo-phosphorylation of APP can decrease the interaction of APP with AP-3. The loss of this protein interaction decreased the amount of APP delivered to the lysosome from the Golgi and increased the proportion of APP trafficked to the early endosome. Therefore, phosphorylation of APP on S655 may serve as a molecular ‘switch’, which regulates the final destination of APP. Previous work has
demonstrated that PKC activation, by phorbol ester or receptor activation, causes an increase in non-amyloidogenic α-secretase cleavage of APP [52-57]. Recent work has shown that α- and β-secretase are localized in synaptic vesicles and the trafficking of α- and β-secretase can also be altered by PKC activation [58,59]. ADAM17 enzyme activity can be up regulated by PKCε activation [60]. In addition to these factors, we suggest that the alteration of the intracellular itinerary of APP may be another aspect in increasing non-amyloidogenic α-secretase cleavage.

5.4 Lysosomal secretion of Aβ

The question of Aβ release is lost in the literature of AD. In fact, to our knowledge Aβ has never been imaged in a secretory compartment. Our work here suggests that Aβ stored in lysosomes can be secreted by a Rab27b dependent mechanism. Previous work has demonstrated that neuronal lysosomes are critical in providing the membrane required for neurite extension [61]. Rab27 has been shown to be involved in the delivery and docking of lysosome-related organelles in melanocytes and cytotoxic T lymphocytes [62-64]. Previous work has also suggested that Aβ is secreted in association with exosomes from N2A cells [65]. Exosomes were first suggested to be a mechanism for post-mitotic cells to clear undegraded proteins from the cell. More recent work suggests that exosomes can participate in intercellular signalling. For example, mRNA and microRNAs have been shown to be shuttled between cells and regulate protein expression in the receiving cell [66,67]. Neurons have also been shown to secrete exosomes in response to neuronal activity [68,69]. Furthermore, exosomes secreted from N2A cells can be internalized by rat cortical neurons [69]. Exosomes have also been shown to the responsible for the intercellular transfer of other neurodegenerative proteins, such as α-synuclein and PrpSc [70-73]. AD pathology appears to have a regimented transneuronal spread between pyramidal neurons from different brain regions [74]. Therefore, it is not surprising that the lysosome is a source of Aβ release, and Aβ released from exosomes may be a mechanism for interneuronal spread of amyloid pathology in AD.

The production and accumulation of amyloid in endosomes and lysosomes bears a striking resemblance to the formation of fibrillar structures in melanosomes. These fibrillar structures are formed by the sequential proteolytic cleavage of the precursor protein Premelanosome protein.
The first cleavage is by proprotein convertase and is followed by a second juxtamembrane cleavage [75]. The second cleavage has been suggested to be carried out by BACE2, ADAM10, or ADAM17 [76,77]. The identity of the enzyme responsible for the cleavage may depend upon the individual cell lines studied. The amyloid fibrils gain pigment by the deposition of melanin and are subsequently secreted and taken up by keratinocytes by a poorly understood mechanism [75]. These observations have lead to the suggestion that amyloid may be a naturally occurring product [78]. Indeed, Aβ has been shown to be secreted in non-pathological situations and may perform a normal physiological function [79]. For example, Aβ has been shown to have antimicrobial functions and can bind to *Escherichia Coli* and inhibit its growth [80]. Furthermore, Aβ can also regulate neuronal activity. It is well known that Aβ oligomers can inhibit long-term potentiation [81,82]. However, low (picomolar) levels of Aβ can potentiate long-term potentiation [83]. It has also been previously demonstrated that the production and secretion of Aβ is increased after synaptic activity [84]. These findings have led to the suggestion that Aβ may play a role in maintaining the stability of the synapse (homeostatic plasticity).

### 5.5 Conclusion

The present work follows the intracellular itinerary of nascent APP. Starting at the site of production (the Golgi), we have demonstrated that APP can traffic rapidly to the lysosomal membrane using an AP-3 dependent mechanism. This is reminiscent of the trafficking of other lysosomal membrane proteins, which utilize the intracellular trafficking pathway. We further explored the control of this trafficking, showing that PKC phosphorylation of APP at S655 can regulate the interaction of APP with adaptor proteins responsible for facilitating APP trafficking [9]. Finally, we show the first evidence of a mechanism for Aβ secretion from intracellular lysosomal stores.

These data suggest that the routing of APP to lysosomes can promote the production of Aβ. This lysosomal amyloid can then fibrilize and form aggregates. Such intracellular aggregates have been found in animal models and human patients have evidence of intracellular aggregates of Aβ and can seed the formation of extracellular aggregates [85,86]. This thesis suggests that the
lysosome produces Aβ and is the missing secretory organelle for Aβ (Figure 5.1). This work is critical for understanding the underlying cellular physiology of APP and potentially reveals additional therapeutic targets for the treatment of AD.
APP is synthesized in the endoplasmic reticulum and in the Golgi. 1a) After synthesis, APP can be presented at the cell surface or 1b) delivered rapidly and directly to the lysosome. 2a) After presentation at the cell surface, APP can be internalized in a clathrin dependent manner (clathrin depicted as gray shading) into early endosomes. 2b) Alternatively, APP can be internalized rapidly to lysosomes from the cell surface, in a macropinocytosis dependent manner. 3) APP is eventually delivered to lysosomes and processed to form Aβ. 4) Through an interaction with the retromer complex, APP can also be recycled to the Golgi from the endosome. 5) After cleavage of APP by γ-secretase, Aβ remains in the lysosomal lumen. From the lysosome, Aβ can be secreted into the extracellular space in a Rab27b dependent manner. Note: The red boxes represent novel contributions to our understanding of APP trafficking, with the appropriate chapter reference included. The grey numbers indicate previously studied trafficking pathways, which are thoroughly discussed in Chapter 1 of this thesis.
Figure 5.1
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Appendices

All the videos associated with this thesis stored at the School of Graduate and Postdoctoral Studies' Electronic Theses & Dissertation site (http://ir.lib.uwo.ca/etd/).

Appendix A: Figure Legends to Chapter 2 Videos

Video 2.1: APP is trafficked rapidly to the lysosome and cleared.

SN56 cells were transiently transfected with GalT-CFP to identify the Golgi apparatus, LAMP1-mRFP to identify lysosomes, and βAPP-paGFP. Irradiation targets (circles) were drawn over the Golgi apparatus and were irradiated with 405 nm laser light, alternating with imaging for 15 minutes (indicated by the green word ‘photoactivating’ on the images. Cells were then followed in a ‘chase period’ imaging every 30 seconds for the time indicated.

Video 2.2: APP paGFP is accurately photoactivated in the Golgi apparatus.

SN56 cells were transiently transfected with GalT-CFP to identify the Golgi apparatus, LAMP1-mRFP to identify lysosomes, and βAPP-paGFP and were treated with Nocodazole to block exit from the Golgi. Irradiation targets (circles) were drawn over the Golgi apparatus and were irradiated with 405 nm laser light, alternating with imaging for 15 minutes (indicated by the green word ‘photoactivating’ on the images. Cells were then followed in a ‘chase period’ imaging every 30 seconds for the time indicated. Photoactivated βAPP-paGFP can be seen accumulating in the Golgi.

Video 2.3: APP processing in the lysosome is blocked by Chloroquine in the lysosome.

SN56 cells were transiently transfected with GalT-CFP to identify the Golgi apparatus, LAMP1-mRFP to identify lysosomes, and βAPP-paGFP. Cells were pretreated with 100 μM chloroquine 30 minutes before imaging. Irradiation targets (circles) were drawn over the Golgi apparatus and the were irradiated with 405 nm laser light, alternating with imaging for 15 minutes (indicated by the green word ‘photoactivating’ on the images. Cells were then followed in a ‘chase period’ imaging every 30 seconds for the time indicated. Photoactivated βAPP-paGFP can be seen accumulating in lysosomes.
**Video 2.4:** APP processing in the lysosome is blocked by L685, 458 in the lysosome.

SN56 cells were transiently transfected with GalT-CFP to identify the Golgi apparatus, LAMP1-mRFP to identify lysosomes, and βAPP-paGFP. Cells were pretreated with 0.5 μM L685, 458 overnight. Irradiation targets (circles) were drawn over the Golgi apparatus and the were irradiated with 405 nm laser light, alternating with imaging for 15 minutes (indicated by the green word ‘photoactivating’ on the images. Cells were then followed in a ‘chase period’ imaging every 30 seconds for the time indicated. Photoactivated βAPP-paGFP can be seen accumulating in lysosomes.

**Video 2.5:** APPsw trafficking is rapidly processed.

SN56 cells were transiently transfected with GalT-CFP to identify the Golgi apparatus, LAMP1-mRFP to identify lysosomes, and βAPPsw-paGFP. Irradiation targets (circles) were drawn over the Golgi apparatus and the were irradiated with 405 nm laser light, alternating with imaging for 15 minutes (indicated by the green word ‘photoactivating’ on the images. Cells were then followed in a ‘chase period’ imaging every 30 seconds for the time indicated. APPsw is cleaved so rapidly that it is unable to accumulate in any compartment.

**Video 2.6:** APPsw is not cleared in the Golgi apparatus.

SN56 cells were transiently transfected with GalT-CFP to identify the Golgi apparatus, LAMP1-mRFP to identify lysosomes, and βAPPsw-paGFP and were treated with 66 μM nocodazole and 10 μM cytochalasin. Irradiation targets (circles) were drawn over the Golgi apparatus and the were irradiated with 405 nm laser light, alternating with imaging for 15 minutes (indicated by the green word ‘photoactivating’ on the images. Cells were then followed in a ‘chase period’ imaging every 30 seconds for the time indicated. Photoactivated βAPPsw-paGFP can be seen accumulating in the Golgi.

**Video 2.7:** APPSw processing in the lysosome is blocked by chloroquine.

SN56 cells were transiently transfected with GalT-CFP to identify the Golgi apparatus, LAMP1-mRFP to identify lysosomes, and βAPPsw-paGFP and were treated with 100 μM chloroquine. Irradiation targets (circles) were drawn over the Golgi apparatus and the were irradiated with 405
nm laser light, alternating with imaging for 15 minutes (indicated by the green word ‘photoactivating’ on the images. Cells were then followed in a ‘chase period’ imaging every 30 seconds for the time indicated. Photoactivated βAPPsw-paGFP can be seen accumulating in lysosomes.

**Video 2.8:** APPSw processing in the lysosome is blocked by L685, 458; γ-cleavage occurs in the lysosome.

SN56 cells were transiently transfected with GalT-CFP to identify the Golgi apparatus, LAMP1-mRFP to identify lysosomes, and βAPPsw-paGFP and were treated with 0.5 μM L685, 458 overnight. Irradiation targets (circles) were drawn over the Golgi apparatus and the were irradiated with 405 nm laser light, alternating with imaging for 15 minutes (indicated by the green word ‘photoactivating’ on the images. Cells were then followed in a ‘chase period’ imaging every 30 seconds for the time indicated. Photoactivated βAPPsw-paGFP can be seen accumulating in lysosomes.

**Appendix B: Figure Legends to Chapter 3 Videos**

**Video 3.1:** APP is trafficked rapidly to lysosomes from the Golgi.

SN56 cells were transiently transfected with βAPP-paGFP (green), LAMP1-mRFP (lysosome marker, red), and GalT-CFP (Golgi marker, blue). APP was photo-activated in the Golgi (blue) with 405nm light, alternating with imaging for 15 minutes (indicated by green word ‘Photo-activating’). The white circles appearing over the Golgi denote the initial ROIs for βAPP-paGFP photoactivation. These ROIs are carefully monitored and adjusted to remain on the Golgi during the photoactivation period. Cells were then chased imaging every 30 seconds for the indicated time.

**Video 3.2:** APP bearing the Y709A mutation does not traffic to lysosomes.

SN56 cells were transiently transfected with βAPP Y709A-paGFP (green), LAMP1-mRFP (lysosome marker, red), and GalT-CFP (Golgi marker, blue). APP was photo-activated in the Golgi (blue) with 405nm light, alternating with imaging for 15 minutes (indicated by green word
‘Photo-activating’). White circles appearing over the Golgi denote the initial ROIs for βAPP-paGFP photoactivation. Cells were then chased by imaging every 30 seconds for the indicated time.

**Video 3.3.** APP bearing the Y738A mutation traffics to lysosomes.

SN56 cells were transiently transfected with βAPP Y738A-paGFP (green), LAMP1-mRFP (lysosome marker, red), and GalT-CFP (Golgi marker, blue). APP was photo-activated in the Golgi (blue) with 405nm light, alternating with imaging for 15 minutes (indicated by green word ‘Photo-activating’). Photo-activation ROIs in the Golgi are denoted by white circles in the video. Cells were then chased by imaging every 30 seconds for the indicated time.

**Video 3.4.** APP bearing the Y743A mutation does not traffic to lysosomes.

SN56 cells were transiently transfected with βAPP Y743A-paGFP (green), LAMP1-mRFP (lysosome marker, red), and GalT-CFP (Golgi marker, blue). APP was photo-activated in the Golgi (blue) with 405nm light, alternating with imaging for 15 minutes (indicated by green word ‘Photo-activating’). White circles appearing over the Golgi denote the initial ROIs for βAPP-paGFP photoactivation. Cells were then chased by imaging every 30 seconds for the indicated time.

**Video 3.5.** APP bearing the dephosphomimetic (S711A) mutation traffics to lysosomes.

SN56 cells were transiently transfected with βAPP S711A-paGFP (green), LAMP1-mRFP (lysosome marker, red), and GalT-CFP (Golgi marker, blue). APP was photo-activated in the Golgi (blue) with 405nm light, alternating with imaging for 15 minutes (indicated by green word ‘Photo-activating’). The white circles appearing over the Golgi denote the initial ROIs for βAPP-paGFP photoactivation. Cells were then chased by imaging every 30 seconds for the indicated time. This movie has been intentionally cropped to focus on the trafficking around the Golgi.

**Video 3.6.** APP bearing the phosphomimetic (S711E) mutation does not traffic to lysosomes.
SN56 cells were transiently transfected with βAPP S711E-paGFP (green), LAMP1-mRFP (lysosome marker, red), and GalT-CFP (Golgi marker, blue). APP was photo-activated in the Golgi (blue) with 405nm light, alternating with imaging for 15 minutes (indicated by green word ‘Photo-activating’). Photo-activation ROIs in the Golgi are denoted by white circles in the video. Cells were then chased by imaging every 30 seconds for the indicated time. This movie has been intentionally cropped to focus on the trafficking around the Golgi.

**Video 3.7.** DCP-LA treatment disrupts APP trafficking to the lysosome.

SN56 cells were transiently transfected with βAPP-paGFP (green), LAMP1-mRFP (lysosome marker, red), and GalT-CFP (Golgi marker, blue) and treated with 500nM DCP-LA. APP was photo-activated in the Golgi (blue) with 405nm light, alternating with imaging for 15 minutes (indicated by green word ‘Photo-activating’). White circles in the video denote photo-activation ROIs in the Golgi. Cells were then chased imaging every 30 seconds for the indicated time.

**Appendix C: Figure Legends to Chapter 4 Videos**

**Video 4.1.** Lysosomal secretion detected by mApple-LAMP1-phLuorin.

SN56 cells were transfected with mApple-LAMP1-phLuorin and imaged using TIR-FM after treatment with ionomycin. Vesicles positive for mApple (red) and activated pHluorin (green) are circled in white. Scale bar represents 5 μM. Images were taken every 112 ms.
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