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Abstract

Phosphorylation cascades governed by two-component signal transduction systems provide key signalling mechanisms in bacteria, simple eukaryotes and higher plants, allowing them to translate signals into adaptive responses. These regulatory pathways consist of a transmembrane sensor protein that responds to an environmental cue leading to autophosphorylation, followed by the transfer of the phosphate to a cytoplasmic response regulator. Here, I study AtsR, a membrane-bound hybrid sensor kinase of *Burkholderia cenocepacia*, that negatively regulates quorum sensing related virulence factors such as biofilm, type 6-secretion and protease secretion. *B. cenocepacia* is a Gram-negative opportunistic pathogen which causes severe, chronic respiratory infections in patients with cystic fibrosis and other immunocompromised conditions. This bacterium is also pathogenic in animal, plant, nematode, and insect infection models, and can survive within amoebae and macrophages. Presumably, the ability to survive in various niches requires adaptability to deal with changing environments. I hypothesize that AtsR is part of a multi-protein phosphorelay pathway which plays a critical role in regulation of niche adaptation and survival of *B. cenocepacia* in different hosts. In this thesis, I investigated AtsR function by characterizing the role of critical functional residues within the individual domains of AtsR and identified its cognate response regulator AtsT as a key component of the AtsR phosphorelay pathway. Furthermore, subsets of genes that are directly regulated by the AtsR cognate response regulator were identified by Chromatin immunoprecipitation followed by next generation sequencing (ChIP-Seq) analysis and its corresponding consensus DNA binding site was determined. I also investigated the role of AtsR as a global regulator of *B. cenocepacia* pathogenicity in the *Arabidopsis thaliana*
infection model. Together, these studies identified a new regulatory network that highlights the importance of bacterial virulence and pathogenicity with careful consideration of the host. This work may provide an understanding at the molecular level of bacterial adaptation to ever changing niche environments.

Keywords

Co-Authorship Statement

In chapter two of this thesis, Dr. Daniel Aubert provided the deletion mutant strain of AtsT which had not previously been published and made $K56\text{-}2atsT_{D208A}$ mutant strain. He also contributed to the first figure in that chapter by performing the macrophage infection and biofilm experiments. Maha Alzayer made pMZ24, pMZ25, pMZ33, pMZ34 and pMZ35 plasmids. All other work is that of M.K.

In chapter three of this thesis, Dr. Daniel Aubert performed the microarray experiment and analysis. Microarray hybridization was conducted by Dr. Eshwar Mahenthiralingam and Dr. Andrea Sass. All other work is that of M.K.

In chapter four of this thesis, Dr. Faviola Tavares sub-cloned AtsR mutants carrying point mutation from PET28a vector to PBAD vector. She also performed the PEGylation experiment and analyzed the results. All other work is that of M.K.

In chapter five of this thesis, Dr. Angel Andrade measured the growth rate of mutants and performed the *Galleria* work presented in figure 5.9. He also helped with microscopic pictures. Yasmine Fathy provided the MALDI-TOF experiment and data analysis presented in figure 5.4. All other work is that of M.K.
Dedication

This work is dedicated to my husband Hassan and my two children Ali and Reza who share this journey with me.
Acknowledgments

First and foremost I am so blessed that the greatest privilege was bestowed upon me by God for giving me the ability and opportunity to accomplish this work.

I would like to thank my supervisor, Dr. Miguel Valvano for his guidance and support throughout my PhD research for entrusting me with freedom and responsibility, and for his constant encouragement and belief in my capabilities. It is very hard to in just a few words sum up the years that I had the honor to be his PhD student. Not many people are fortunate to have a supervisor who is both a great scientist and a wonderful leader. His leadership taught me many valuable skills that will be with me for the rest of my life.

I would like to thank my advisory committee members Dr. Carole Creuzenet and Dr. David Litchfield. I am grateful for your suggestions and I benefited from our discussions during the committee meetings. I also had the opportunity to serve as a teaching assistant and would like to thank Drs. Heinrichs, Creuzenet and McGavin for the wonderful experience I gained as a TA in their Bacterial Pathogenesis course.

I am indebted to all my colleagues in the Valvano lab including Katie Bain, Roberto Rosales, Angel Andrade, Sarah Furlong, Mohamed Hammad, Crystal Schmerk, Omar El-Halfawy, Faviola Tavares, Yasmine Fathy, Xiang Ruan, Anna Hanuszkiewicz, Hanna Ostapska, Cristobal Mujica, Stephanie Lamothe and Janet Torres for creating a dynamic and friendly environment that I will greatly miss. Special thanks to Dr. Daniel Aubert who not only has helped me with his advice and discussions during the lab meetings but also has been a wonderful mentor to me for my development as a scientist. Also, thanks to Dr. Lauren Solomon from Dekoter’s lab for training me on high throughput genome sequencing data analysis.

Last but not least, thanks to my family overseas for their support and encouragement. Special thanks to my husband Hassan and my kids Ali and Reza who have been supporting me in many ways and cheering me up to keep going. I could not have done this without them.
Table of Contents

Abstracts ................................................................................................................. ii
Keywords .................................................................................................................. iii
Co-Authorship Statement ........................................................................................ iv
Dedication .................................................................................................................. v
Acknowledgements .................................................................................................. vi
Table of Contents ...................................................................................................... vii
List of Tables ............................................................................................................ xiii
List of Figures .......................................................................................................... xiv
List of Appendices ................................................................................................... xviii
Chapter 1 .................................................................................................................. 1
Introduction .............................................................................................................. 1
1.1 Overview of two-component regulatory systems .......................................... 2
1.2 Signal transduction mechanism .................................................................... 3
1.3 Sensor kinases ................................................................................................. 5
  1.3.1 Kinase domain ......................................................................................... 6
  1.3.2 Periplasmic sensory domains ................................................................. 8
  1.3.3 Cytoplasmic and transmembrane sensory domains ............................... 8
1.4 Response regulators ......................................................................................... 9
1.5 Specificity in two-component signaling pathways .......................................... 10
1.6 Two-component systems and signal integration .......................................... 11
1.7 Genomic organisation of two-component systems ....................................... 14
1.8 Autoregulation ............................................................................................... 14
1.9 Two-component systems and bacterial virulence .......................................... 15
1.10 Two-component systems and antibacterial resistance ............................................. 20
1.11 Two-component systems and quorum sensing ................................................... 20
1.12 Two-component systems as therapeutic targets .................................................... 21
1.13 *Burkholderia cenocepacia* ................................................................................. 22
1.13.1 *B. cenocepacia* in the environment ............................................................... 23
1.13.2 Virulence factors in *B. cenocepacia* .............................................................. 24
1.14 Hypothesis and project objectives ....................................................................... 25
1.15 Chapter 1 references ......................................................................................... 28
Chapter 2 .............................................................................................................. 42
Characterization of the AtsR phosphorelay pathway and identification of its response
regulator in *Burkholderia cenocepacia* ................................................................. 42
2.1 Introduction ......................................................................................................... 43
2.2 Materials and methods ........................................................................................ 45
  2.2.1 Bacterial strains, plasmids and growth conditions .............................................. 45
  2.2.2 General molecular techniques ....................................................................... 48
  2.2.3 Plasmid construction and chromosomal complementation ............................... 48
  2.2.4 Protein expression and purification .................................................................. 51
  2.2.5 *In vitro* phosphorylation assay ..................................................................... 52
  2.2.6 Analysis of chemical stability of H245-p and D536-P ....................................... 52
  2.2.7 Protease, swarming motility and biofilm formation assays ............................... 52
  2.2.8 Macrophage infections and T6SS activity ....................................................... 53
  2.2.9 Western blot analysis .................................................................................... 53
2.3 Results and Discussion ....................................................................................... 54
  2.3.1 Deletion of atsT causes the same phenotypes as ΔatsR mutants ...................... 54
2.3.2 Identification of phosphoacceptor residues within AtsR ................................ 55
2.3.3 Chemical stability of phosphorylated proteins ........................................... 57
2.3.4 AtsR and AtsT form a cognate HK-RR pair ............................................ 60
2.3.5 Kinetics of AtsR phosphorylation ............................................................ 62
2.3.6 The role of Asp-536 on AtsR-AtsT phosphorylation kinetics ....................... 64
2.3.7 In vivo reconstitution of the AtsR signalling pathway ............................... 65
2.4 Conclusion .................................................................................................... 70
2.5 Chapter 2 references .................................................................................... 74
Chapter 3 .......................................................................................................... 78
Comprehensive whole genome mapping and characterization of AtsR/AtsT regulatory network in Burkholderia cenocepacia ............................................. 78
3.1 Introduction .................................................................................................. 79
3.2 Materials and methods ................................................................................ 81
  3.2.1 Bacterial strains, plasmids and growth conditions ..................................... 81
  3.2.2 General molecular techniques ................................................................. 81
  3.2.3 Chromatin immunoprecipitation .............................................................. 86
  3.2.4 Next-Generation sample preparation and sequencing ............................. 86
  3.2.5 Motif detection ........................................................................................ 88
  3.2.6 RNA isolation and quantitative RT-PCR ................................................. 88
  3.2.7 Transcriptional fusions to luxCDABE and luminescence assays ............. 88
  3.2.8 Protein purification and electrophoretic mobility shift assay ...................... 89
  3.2.9 Non-radioactive DNase I footprinting ..................................................... 90
  3.2.10 Gene expression profiling with Microarray ............................................. 90
3.3 Results and discussion ................................................................................ 91
3.3.1 Microarray analysis of atsR mutant and wild-type strains................................. 91
3.3.2 Promoter activity assay ........................................................................................................... 95
3.3.3 Confirmation of AtsT binding via electromobility gel shift assay ................. 96
3.3.4 Confirmation of AtsT binding via DNAse I footprinting ......................... 101
3.3.5 Isolation of DNA fragments associated with AtsT......................................................... 101
3.3.6 Motif identification from ChIP-seq data................................................................. 109
3.3.7 Comparison with genes differentially transcribed in microarray data........ 109
3.3.8 Validation of ChIP-Seq results by qRT-PCR .............................................................. 111
3.4 Conclusion ................................................................................................................................. 111
3.5 Chapter 3 references............................................................................................................... 115
Chapter 4 ........................................................................................................................................ 118

Functional and topological analysis of AtsR, a global regulator of quorum sensing in
Burkholderia cenocepacia .............................................................................................................. 118

4.1 Introduction................................................................................................................................. 119
4.2 Materials and methods ............................................................................................................. 120
4.2.1 Bacterial strains, plasmids and growth conditions...................................................... 121
4.2.2 General molecular techniques .......................................................................................... 121
4.2.3 Plasmid construction and chromosomal complementation........................................... 124
4.2.4 Protein expression, membrane preparation and western blot analysis................. 126
4.2.5 Protease assay ....................................................................................................................... 127
4.2.6 Alkaline phosphatase (PhoA) fusions and alkaline phosphatase assay .......... 127
4.2.7 Thiol-specific chemical blocking and modification....................................................... 128
4.3 Results and discussion ............................................................................................................ 129
4.3.1 In silico analysis of AtsR topology .................................................................................. 129
4.3.2 Topological analysis of AtsR using LacZ and PhoA reporter fusions ............. 131
4.3.3 Topological analysis of AtsR using sulfhydryl reactive reagents ............... 134
4.3.4 Analysis of functional residues within periplasmic loop .......................... 141
4.4 Conclusion ........................................................................................................ 143
4.5 Chapter 4 references ...................................................................................... 145
Chapter 5 ............................................................................................................... 148

*Burkholderia cenocepacia* lipopolysaccharide modification and flagellin glycosylation affect virulence but not innate immune recognition in plants .......... 148

5.1 Introduction ....................................................................................................... 149
5.2 Materials and methods .................................................................................. 152
  5.2.1 Plants and growth conditions ........................................................................ 152
  5.2.2 Bacterial strains and growth conditions ......................................................... 152
  5.2.3 Plant inoculation methods ............................................................................. 154
  5.2.4 RNA isolation, cDNA synthesis and RT-PCR ............................................... 155
  5.2.5 LPS extraction, mass spectrometry, and flagellin purification ..................... 155
  5.2.6 *In vivo* NO and ROS analysis ...................................................................... 156
  5.2.7 Microscopy .................................................................................................... 157
  5.2.8 Fluorometric Quantification of NO and ROS .................................................. 157
  5.2.9 Bacterial Swarming and Swimming Motility Assays .................................... 157
  5.2.10 *Galleria mellonella* infection model .......................................................... 157
5.3 Results ............................................................................................................... 158
  5.3.1 Pathogenicity of *B. cenocepacia* in *A. thaliana* and tobacco seedlings .... 158
  5.3.2 l-Ara4N modification of LPS and flagellin glycosylation are required to cause pathology in *A. thaliana* ................................................................. 160
5.3.4 LPS induces robust and rapid nitric oxide burst in *A. thaliana* .................... 167
5.3.5 Flagellin perception in *A. thaliana* occurs irrespective of the flagellin glycosylation status ................................................................................................................................. 170
5.3.6 Induction of Pathogenesis Related gene-1 (*PR-1*) by LPS and flagellin ..... 172
5.3.7 Comparison of *Arabidopsis* and *Galleria* infection models .................... 174
5.4 Conclusion ........................................................................................................... 176
5.5 Chapter 5 references .......................................................................................... 179
Chapter 6 .................................................................................................................. 184
General Discussion .................................................................................................... 184
6.1 Overview .............................................................................................................. 185
6.2 AtsR mechanism of action ............................................................................... 185
6.3 AtsR topology ..................................................................................................... 186
6.4 Cellular functions under the regulation of AtsR/AtsT ....................................... 187
6.5 *Burkholderia cenocepacia* as an opportunistic model bacterium .................. 189
6.6 Final remarks ...................................................................................................... 190
6.7 Chapter 6 references .......................................................................................... 192
Appendix A ................................................................................................................ 194
AtsT *in vivo* Chromatin Immunoprecipitation ..................................................... 194
Appendix B ................................................................................................................ 209
Extracted sequences of AtsT using CLC genomics workbench ............................. 209
Copyright Releases ................................................................................................... 250
Curriculum Vitae ...................................................................................................... 252
List of Tables

Table 1.1 List of selected TCS involved in virulence ........................................... 17
Table 1.2 List of TCSs essential for growth .......................................................... 19
Table 2.1 List of strains and plasmids used in chapter two ...................................... 46
Table 2.2 List of primers used in chapter two ......................................................... 50
Table 3.1 List of strains and plasmids used in chapter three .................................... 82
Table 3.2 List of primers used in chapter three ......................................................... 84
Table 3.3 List of genes differentially upregulated in AtsR microarray ....................... 93
Table 3.4 List of genes annotated with the enriched AtsT loci obtained from ChIP-Seq ..
........................................................................................................................................ 105
Table 4.1 List of strains and plasmids used in Chapter four ........................................ 121
Table 4.2 List of primers used in chapter four .......................................................... 125
Table 5.1 List of bacterial strains used in chapter five .............................................. 153
List of Figures

Figure 1.1 Schematic diagrams representing two-component signal transduction ........... 4
Figure 1.2 Schematic diagrams representing common domain organizations of sensor histidine kinases .................................................................................................................. 7
Figure 1.3 Schematic representation of signal integration by two-component regulatory systems ........................................................................................................................................ 13
Figure 2.1 T6SS activity and biofilm formation of *B. cenocepacia* K56-2 and mutant strains .................................................................................................................................................. 56
Figure 2.2 Functional analyses of AtsR domains .................................................................................. 58
Figure 2.3 Phosphotransfer from AtsR and its derivatives to the AtsT response regulator .................................................................................................................................................. 61
Figure 2.4 Kinetics of phosphotransfer from AtsR to AtsT ................................................................. 63
Figure 2.5 Kinetics of phosphotransfer from AtsR and AtsR<sub>D536A</sub> to AtsT ........................................ 66
Figure 2.6 Proteolytic activities of *B. cenocepacia* K56-2 and mutant strains .......... 68
Figure 2.7 Swarming motility of K56-2 and its mutant derivatives ................................................. 69
Figure 2.8 Proteolytic activity of *B. cenocepacia* ΔatsT, ΔatsRΔatsT, ΔatsRD+, ΔatsRΔcepIΔatsT ΔatsRD+, ΔatsRΔatsT ΔatsR<sub>D536A</sub>+, and ΔatsRΔcepIΔatsT ΔatsR<sub>D536A</sub>+ ............................................................................................................................ 71
Figure 2.9 Diagram indicating the regulatory relationship between AtsR and corresponding response regulator and its effect on proteolytic activity ................. 73
Figure 3.1 Expression of *Bcas0627* is dependent on the presence of functional AtsR and AtsT .................................................................................................................................................. 97
Figure 3.2 Electrophoretic mobility shift assay with the *Bcam0382, Bcas0627* and *Bcam0192* promoter fragments and purified AtsT-His protein ........................................... 99
Figure 5.1 HR in A. thaliana leaves using various inoculation methods ........................................ 159
Figure 5.2 Infection and replication of B. cenocepacia strains in Arabidopsis seedlings .................. 161
Figure 5.3 Infection of Arabidopsis seedlings by the wound inoculation method ............. 163
Figure 5.4 MALDI-TOF spectra of purified lipid A produced by the parental B. cenocepacia strain K56-2 and the ΔarnT lptG mutant strain MH55 ................................. 164
Figure 5.5 Characterization of flagellin mutants ................................................................. 160
Figure 5.6 Role of l-Ara4N in NO generation in Arabidopsis guard cells ................... 168
Figure 5.7 LPS activates NO production in WT, Atnoa1 and dnd1-1 guard cells................. 171
Figure 5.8 Flagellin activates ROS generation in guard cells of WT and fls2 leaves... 173
Figure 5.9 Virulence of B. cenocepacia strains in G. mellonella ................................. 175
Figure A.1 A step-by-step preview of the ChIP procedure.................................................. 195
Figure A.2 B. cenocepacia expressing AtsT-Flag is functional ................................. 197
Figure A.3 Western blot result of B. cenocepacia expressing AtsT-flag ......................... 198
Figure A.4 Western blot results comparing the efficiency of lysis methods ............... 199
Figure A.5 Optimization of chromatin immunoprecipitation by comparing binding affinity to protein A versus protein G ................................................................. 200
Figure A.6 Optimization of chromatin immunoprecipitation by blocking beads with BSA before loading the sample ........................................................................... 201
Figure A.7 Optimization of chromatin immunoprecipitation by applying antibody to the sample versus to the beads ............................................................................. 202
Figure A.8 Optimization of chromatin immunoprecipitation by changing the incubation time........................................................................................................... 203
Figure A.9 Optimization of chromatin immunoprecipitation by changing the type of beads .......................................................... 204
Figure A.10 Optimization of chromatin immunoprecipitation by changing the stringency of elution condition .......................................................... 205
Figure A.11 Optimization of chromatin immunoprecipitation of AtsT with different position of Flag tag ........................................................................... 206
Figure A.12 Relative quantitative expression of Bcas0627 using input and output DNA .................................................................................................. 207
List of Appendices

Appendix A ........................................................................................................................................ 194
Appendix B ........................................................................................................................................ 209
Chapter 1

Introduction
1.1 Overview of two-component regulatory systems

Bacteria like other living organisms, must be able to sense their environment and change their behavior in a timely manner in response to several different cues. They need to sense internal and external stimuli to adequately tune their cellular life. This requires changes in gene expression. One of the common mechanisms for bacterial adaptive responses to their surrounding environment is the two-component regulatory system (TCS). In 1986, Nixon and co-workers introduced the term "two-component regulatory systems" to describe their discovery that nitrogen assimilation proteins NtrB and NtrC of *Bradyrhizobium sp.* share strong similarity with other proteins such as EnvZ and PhoR in *Escherichia coli*, as well as VirA in *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* (1). They suggested that pairs of regulatory proteins with similar amino acid sequences respond to environmental stimuli and control diverse cellular processes. This finding was complemented with another discovery, also in 1986, that the TCSs utilize protein phosphorylation (2). A few years later, the Nobel Prize was awarded jointly to Edmond Fischer and Edwin Krebs for their work on protein phosphorylation as a biological regulatory mechanism. Since then hundreds of two-component signalling proteins have been identified in bacteria [(3) and http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Complete_Genomes/RRcensus.html].

TCSs respond to an enormous range of signals (e.g. pH, temperature, nutrient availability, oxygen levels, etc) and control the regulation of a wide variety of cellular functions, virulence factors, chemotaxis, adaptation and survival. This provides a means for bacteria to detect environmental signals and process information and respond appropriately in a constantly changing environment. Therefore, understanding the mechanism of function of these systems is of great importance. Bioinformatic analysis clearly shows a correlation
between the number of two-component regulatory proteins and the genome size (4) and some investigators have taken it to the extreme to use it as a criteria to explain bacterial intelligence (5).

1.2 Signal transduction mechanism

Bacterial TCS convert extra or intracellular signals into modification of gene expression via reversible protein phosphotransfer from histidine to aspartate (3). A canonical signaling pathway consists of a sensor kinase protein and a response regulator protein. The sensor kinase protein detects a stimulus and the histidine kinase (HK) domain autophosphorylates using the γ-phosphate of ATP and undergoes a conformational change. This way, the information as a stimulus is converted into a high energy phosphoryl group that is then transferred to a conserved aspartate in the response regulator (RR) protein, which modulates gene expression accordingly (Fig. 1.1A) (6). There are two proteins in a canonical pathway, one with sensor and kinase function and the other as the receiver and effector function. In many cases there is an extended multistep phosphorelay and instead of only two, there are various phosphotransfer reactions (His-Asp-His-Asp). It typically initiates with a hybrid sensor kinase, which has a HK domain and a C-terminal receiver domain (Fig. 1.1B&C). The other essential module is a histidine phosphotransferase (Hpt) domain which may exist as a separate protein or as an addition to the hybrid sensor kinase. In such cases the phosphoryl group is transferred from histidine to aspartate within the hybrid sensor kinase and subsequently, to the histidine residue of the intermediate Hpt protein and finally to an aspartate of a terminal response regulator (Fig. 1.1C) (7). In the *Bacillus subtilis* Kin/Spo sporulation pathway, all three modules (transmitter, receiver, and Hpt) exist as individual
Figure 1.1: Schematic diagram representing two-component signal transduction. A) Schematic of a basic two-component model consisting of a sensor histidine kinase and a response regulator. A conserved histidine autophosphorylates and the phosphoryl group is transferred to an aspartate in the receiver domain of a response regulator. B) Illustration of a phosphorelay system with a hybrid HK containing both receiver domain and Hpt domain. The hybrid HK phosphorylates and transfers its phosphoryl group intramolecularly to its receiver domain and then to the Hpt domain. The phosphate is then transferred to the aspartate of the response regulator. C) A phosphorelay system with a hybrid sensor kinase and a separate intermediate Hpt protein. Blue box: sensory domain, light green box: HK domain, orange box: catalytic domain, red box: receiver domain, gray box: effector domain. His: histidine, Asp: aspartate, p: phosphoryl group, arrows indicate phosphoryl group transfer.
proteins (8), while the *Bordetella* spp. BvgAS regulatory system (9) and the *Burkholderia cenocepacia cbIS* and *cbIT* genes encode multidomain hybrid sensor kinases (10). Such multistep phosphorelay pathways provide multiple phosphorylation checkpoints which may imply a better fine-tuning mechanism than a typical TCS.

In most cases, sensor kinases can have both kinase or phosphatase activity; thus, it is ultimately the ratio of kinase to phosphatase activity that determines the level of RR phosphorylation (3). For those that do not have phosphatase activity, regulation occurs only at the level of autophosphorylation (11). Either of these activities can be regulated directly or indirectly by stimuli. Phosphotransfer itself can also be regulated. The C-terminal Asp-containing domain of the hybrid sensor kinase VirA in *A. tumefaciens* interacts with the autophosphorylation site and modulates the phosphotransfer ability of the kinase core (12).

### 1.3 Sensor kinases

A typical sensor kinase protein is an integral membrane protein with at least two hydrophobic transmembrane (TM) helices (13) and a periplasmic sensor domain that is surrounded by the two TM helices. It should be noted that not all sensor histidine kinases possess two transmembrane domains. For example the sensor kinases KdpD and UhpB of *E. coli* have 4 and 8 predicted transmembrane helices, respectively (14). The cytosolic part of the protein is composed of a phosphorylation site and a catalytic ATP-binding domain that together form the kinase core of the protein which is connected to the second TM. Most kinases have a linker domain between the second TM and the kinase domain. The most common linkers include HAMP, GAF and PAS (Fig. 1.2). The length of the
linker domain is variable ranging from 40 to more than 180 amino acids forming α-helical, coiled coil-like motifs (3). Sensor histidine kinases can be divided into two functionally and structurally distinct regions: the extracellular portion that senses stimuli, and the cytoplasmic domain involved in autophosphorylation and phosphotransfer to the cognate response regulator (Fig. 1.2).

HK sensing proteins typically act as homodimers with the kinase domain of one subunit catalysing the phosphorylation of a second subunit (15, 16). With these characteristic properties hundreds of HK proteins can be identified through genome sequences but some aspects such as the type of perceived signals and interactions with auxiliary proteins are still poorly understood.

1.3.1 Kinase domain

The kinase domains contain several signature motifs including the H box with conserved histidine, followed by the N, G1, F, and G2 boxes which make the binding cleft (Fig. 1.2). These motifs may exhibit different spacing across the kinase domain but they are arranged in the same order. The H box contains the site of histidine phosphorylation which is usually located approximately 110 amino acids from the N-box (3). Although the region surrounding the conserved histidine residue might have an influence on the ratio of autophosphorylation and phosphatase activities, substitution of this conserved histidine residue results in lack of enzymatic activities (17). Furthermore, the N box itself has a critical role for kinase activity and ATP binding, as the magnesium ion connects the phosphate groups via hydrogen binding and is associated with the asparagines within the N box (18). G1 and G2 boxes are glycine-rich regions that provide flexibility for the ATP
Figure 1.2: Schematic diagrams representing common domain organizations of sensor histidine kinases. Two TM domains are shown but kinases can harbor 1 to 13 TM domains. The cytosolic part consists of linker and kinase domains. Signature motifs H, N, G1, F and G2 are shown with common linker domains PAS, HAMP, and GAF. Abbreviation: HAMP: histidine kinase adenyl cyclase methyl-accepting protein and phosphatase; GAF: cGMP-specific phosphodiesterase adenylyl cyclase and FhIA; PAS: Per Arnt Sim; TM: transmembrane domain.
lid to secure bound ATP. Together these signature motifs form an ATP-binding cavity (19).

1.3.2 Periplasmic sensory domains

Unlike HK and receiver domains, periplasmic sensor domains do not share sequence homology as they may sense various signals. However, most of the known structures of periplasmic sensor domains include distinct structures such as mixed αβ, all-helical, and β-sandwiches. Some well-known examples of periplasmic domains with all-helices are represented by NarX with its sensor domain having an antiparallel four-helix bundle (20) and TorS with two antiparallel helix bundles (21). Examples of mixed αβ fold structure which is the most common form of periplasmic sensor domains exist in PhoQ, DcuS and CitA proteins (22). This form of structure often consists of central antiparallel β-sheets, surrounded by α-helices on both sides (22, 23). Some other HK proteins have β-sandwich folding sensor domains with two or more antiparallel β-sheets stacking back-to-back such as in RetS from *P. aeruginosa* (24).

1.3.3 Cytoplasmic and transmembrane sensory domains

Several HK proteins have been identified that lack a periplasmic sensing domain, such as the chemotaxis kinase CheA and nitrogen-regulating NtrB (3, 25). Although the periplasmic sensory domain is the most common type associated with HKs (22, 26), other classes of sensor domains include cytoplasmic and transmembrane domains. Cytoplasmic-sensing HKs exist both as membrane-embedded as well as fully soluble proteins (22, 26). They can be involved in detection of both chemical and physical stimuli.
Sensing domains that involve multiple membrane-spanning regions are thought to sense changes associated primarily with the membrane; however, some of them have also been implicated in forming protein-protein interactions or binding various natural ligands (22), as well as antibiotics (26, 27) via transmembrane helices.

### 1.4 Response regulators

Response regulators are cytoplasmic proteins that associate with a histidine kinase sensor protein. This protein family has a receiver domain which contains a conserved aspartic acid residue that accepts the phosphoryl group from the phosphorylated HK. Response regulators have also a DNA-binding effector domain that generates the outputs of the signaling events (28). Like the C-terminus of HKs, the conserved receiver domain of RRs exhibits amino acid sequence homology to other RRs. The transfer of a phosphate group to the receiver domain activates the transcriptional regulatory domain, which consequently results in activation or repression of a given set of genes (29). In contrast to the receiver domain, effector domains are very diverse reflecting the variable functions controlled by TCSs. The majority of RRs are transcription regulators with a winged helix-turn-helix (wHTH) DNA-binding motif, a helix-turn-helix (HTH) motif or β-strands. However, the effector domain in some RRs works as an enzyme or binds RNA or proteins to regulate bacterial cellular processes at different levels (30).

According to Stock and co-authors, phosphorylation of the regulatory domain often changes the effector domain from inactive conformational state to an active form although phosphorylation does not necessarily correspond to activation (3). Activation may result in a release of inhibition (31, 32, 33, 34), can promote dimerization (35),
higher-order oligomerization (36, 37), or interactions with other proteins (38, 39). The half life of the phosphorylated RR may vary from only a few seconds to several hours, depending on the type of response output. For example, processes occurring in a narrow time frame such as chemotaxis require rapid dephosphorylation of RR to fine tune the response. The CheY has a very short half life to allow *E. coli* to respond quickly to changes in chemotactic gradients (38). In contrast, signal transductions that lead to major changes in gene expression have a very long half life (40).

### 1.5 Specificity in two-component signaling pathways

It is straightforward to identify histidine kinases and response regulators in bacterial genomes based on their sequence homology. Some bacterial genomes encode as many as 250 of these signaling proteins (41). The ability of discriminating a cognate RR from the pool of many others by a phosphorylated HK is extremely important to avoid mistranslation of a signal into an inappropriate outcome. It is believed that interfering crosstalk is rare due to mechanisms such as molecular recognition and substrate competition which play a key role in insulating signalling pathways. In a study by Skerker et al in 2005, a systematic phosphotransfer profiling was performed in *Caulobacter crescentus* genome demonstrating that HKs have a global kinetic preference for their cognate response regulator *in vitro* (42). They proposed that kinetic preference of histidine kinases for their cognate response regulators is fundamental to insulation of signaling pathways and lies in the existence of conserved molecular characteristics that determine protein–protein interaction specificity. By mutating the specificity residues of the histidine kinase EnvZ and matching them to those of RstB, the mutated EnvZ lost its
interaction with its cognate partner, OmpR but phosphorylated RstA, the cognate regulator of RstB (43, 44).

The relative cellular concentration of HK and RR also determines the specificity (45). The higher abundance of the RR with respect to HKs observed in vivo provides a competitive environment in which a cognate regulator outcompetes non-cognate regulators. This may be an evolutionary strategy to minimise unwanted interactions.

These mechanisms maintain specificity of TCS pathways at the level of phosphotransfer. However, there are reports of crosstalk between TCSs that normally activate separate pathways (46). It should be noted that phosphotransfer between non-cognate sensors and regulators has been found only in vitro, or in vivo in the presence of constitutively expressed non-cognate proteins or in the absence of the cognate histidine kinase (47, 48). Therefore, the biological relevance of such crosstalk is unclear because the efficiency of the interaction should be studied under physiological conditions when proteins are present at normal levels.

Spatial or temporal separation of different systems also maintains interaction specificity as some TCS proteins are expressed at certain developmental stages (45). Scaffold proteins can also be involved in forming large protein complexes with hybrid HKs that may reduce the chances for crosstalk (49).

1.6 Two-component systems and signal integration

Signal integration is a combined information processing event that occurs between TCSs in branched phosphorylation mode. There are two generic modes of signal integration in which multiple HKs (multiple independent signals) are able to phosphorylate a single RR
protein (many-to-one), or one single HK phosphorylates multiple RRs (one-to-many) triggering multiple outputs (Figure 1.3 A&B). This is an effective way to integrate signals and control multiple outputs at once.

A physiologically meaningful example of “one-to-many” branched regulation is found in bacterial chemotaxis, where the CheA phosphorylates two response regulators CheY and CheB (50). A more complex version of “many-to-one” mode is seen in the signal transduction system controlling sporulation in *B. subtilis* where five distinct sensor kinase proteins, KinA, KinB, KinC, KinD, and KinE phosphorylate the receiver domain of Spo0F, and then the Hpt-containing Spo0B protein. Finally, the signal is transferred from Spo0B to the Spo0A response regulator (7). Each of the five sensor kinases is capable of phosphorylating Spo0F with various efficiencies (51). Another example is the activation of NarL by NarQ and NarX in *E.coli* (52). In *B. subtilis*, signal integration also occurs between the pathways controlling respiration (ResE/ResD) and phosphate utilization (PhoR/PhoP) in which phosphorylation of PhoP is required for expression of ResD and vice versa (53). This is an example of communication between distinct signalling pathways that work in parallel and provide physiological benefits to bacterial cells by coordination and fine-tuning of cellular processes.
Figure 1.3: Schematic representation of signal integration by two-component regulatory systems. A) One-to-many branched signalling pathway in which one histidine kinase phosphorylates multiple response regulators. B) Many-to-one branched pathway in which multiple histidine kinases perceive similar or different signals and transfer it to one response regulator. HK: histidine kinase, RR: response regulator.
1.7 Genomic organisation of two-component systems

Genes encoding proteins of similar function are usually clustered together in bacterial genomes; this way they are more likely transcribed together and manifest a particular phenotype (54). Such co-localisation in an operon greatly facilitates identification of interacting pairs of TCSs. However, in many cases the signalling partner is not in the vicinity of a TCS protein. It might be due to gene duplication or lateral gene transfer within and between species (55). It has been proposed that when bacteria occupy a wide variety of environmental niches or utilise different metabolic sources then gene duplication occurs with domain shuffling and thus results in duplicated genes with sequence differentiation from the parental gene (55). When such event happens to one of the two genes encoding TCS proteins it would introduce an orphan protein with new domain arrangements and possibly new sensory or regulatory functions which may become involved in cross-regulation. This is a possible explanation for the presence of several orphan Kin histidine kinases in B. subtilis which can all phosphorylate the same RR, Spo0F to integrate multiple inputs for fine tuning of the adaptive responses (56).

1.8 Autoregulation

Many TCSs regulate their own expression. In fact, regulation of HK gene expression by its cognate RR and also endogenous regulation of RR itself in the form of positive or negative feedback is not uncommon in bacteria. This autoregulation depends on the amount of input signal such as the one observed for the PhoQ/PhoP system in E. coli that under sufficiently high stimulus conditions PhoB levels are transcriptionally auto-tuned to minimize wasteful production of PhoB (57).
Similarly, depending on the intensity of the signal, autoregulation positively modulates the sensitivity of the BvgS/BvgA system in *Bordetella bronchiseptica* (58). Another important factor is the RR functioning as a switch depending on the proportion of phosphorylation (3). As mentioned above, some TCSs have rapid conversion to the inactive state to enable highly sensitive responses. In addition, all TCSs seem to have intrinsic mechanisms regulating RR phosphorylation levels which ensure proper feedback mechanism, thus eliminating the effects of potential crosstalk (59, 60).

Negative autoregulation, in which the response regulator represses its own expression, has been reported for the CovS/CovR system in *Streptococcus pyogenes*, where phosphorylated CovR represses transcription of its operon (61). There are also response regulators such as TorR (62) and LuxO (63) that repress their own expression regardless of phosphorylation status. This might be part of a complex regulatory network that accessory proteins are involved and interact with either histidine kinase or response regulator and thus, autoregulation may affect the entire system by controlling the availability of the interacting proteins (64). In other cases, autoregulation provides a threshold for gene activation and only when a signal persists sufficient levels of HK or RR proteins are produced (65).

**1.9 Two-component systems and bacterial virulence**

To establish a successful infection, bacteria should coordinate the expression of genes required for niche adaptation and virulence in response to signals from the host environment. Therefore, TCSs play a sophisticated role processing various signals to control expression of virulence factors at different stages during infection. This role was
extensively examined in *Streptococcus pneumoniae* when systemic inactivation of 13 TCSs led to a significant reduction in virulence in an *in vivo* mice model (66). Another well-studied example, AgrA/AgrC TCS in *S. aureus* responds to cell density and controls the transcription of the regulatory RNA III (67). Other examples include the BvgA-BvgS TCS in *Bordetella pertussis* (68), the RscC/B two-component system in enteric *E. coli* that regulates capsule formation (69) and many others that are listed in Table 1.1. The role of TCSs in virulence is not limited to bacteria. Several fungal pathogens such as *Aspergillus fumigatus* (70), *Cryptococcus neoformans* (13), *Blastomyces dermatitidis*, *Histoplasma capsulatum* (71) and *Candida albicans* (72) use phosphorelay signalling to regulate virulence.

Besides the central role of TCS in virulence, some are essential for bacterial growth. In particular, the WalK/WalR TCS responsible for cell wall metabolism is indispensable for growth in several Gram-positive species, including *B. subtilis, Staphylococcus aureus, Enterococcus faecalis, Listeria monocytogenes, Staphylococcus epidermidis, S. pneumoniae, Streptococcus mutans,* and *S. pyogenes* (73). Also, *Helicobacter pylori* has response regulators that were found to be essential for cell growth (74, 75). Essential TCSs are listed in Table 1.2.
Table 1.1: List of selected TCS involved in virulence. Homologues of the described systems are present in other bacteria. The list represented in Table 1.1 is not exclusive.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organism</th>
<th>TCS</th>
<th>Function</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>S. aureus</em></td>
<td>AgrC/AgrA</td>
<td>Adhesion, secreted invasive factor</td>
<td>(76)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ArIS/ArIR</td>
<td>Expression of α-toxin, β-haemolysin, Lipase, Coagulase and protein A</td>
<td>(77)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SrrB/SrrA</td>
<td>Regulator of virulence factors in response to environmental oxygen levels</td>
<td>(78)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SaeS/SaeR</td>
<td>Expression of α-toxin, β-haemolysin, Coagulase</td>
<td>(79)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>P. aeruginosa</em></td>
<td>GacS/GacA</td>
<td>Lipase, Elastase, Biofilm</td>
<td>(80, 81)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AlgR/FlmS</td>
<td>Twitching motility</td>
<td>(82)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SadR/SadS</td>
<td>Biofilm</td>
<td>(83)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RetS</td>
<td>T3SS and its effector genes</td>
<td>(83, 84)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PprB/PrpA</td>
<td>Cell motility, quorum sensing</td>
<td>(85)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PirS/PirR</td>
<td>Iron acquisition</td>
<td>(86)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PilS/PilR</td>
<td>Pilus production</td>
<td>(87)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Brucella abortus</em></td>
<td>BvrR/BvrS</td>
<td>Virulence attenuation, reduced invasiveness in macrophages</td>
<td>(88)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Neisseria meningitidis</em></td>
<td>MisR/MisS</td>
<td>Composition of LOS inner core</td>
<td>(89)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Clostridium perfringens</em></td>
<td>VirR/VirS</td>
<td>Toxin and adhesion genes</td>
<td>(90)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Salmonella enterica</em></td>
<td>PhoQ/PhoP</td>
<td>Cationic antimicrobial peptide resistance, LPS modification</td>
<td>(91)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OmpR/Env Z</td>
<td>Osmolarity</td>
<td>(92)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PmrA/PmrB</td>
<td>Lipid A modification</td>
<td>(93)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RcsC-YojN-RcsB</td>
<td>Colonic acid capsule synthesis, chemotaxis, motility</td>
<td>(94)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Shigella flexneri</em></td>
<td>OmpR/Env Z</td>
<td>Invasion genes</td>
<td>(95)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>E. faecalis</em></td>
<td>FsrC/FsrA</td>
<td>Protease activity, Quorum sensing</td>
<td>(96)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VanS/VanR</td>
<td>Vancomycin resistance</td>
<td>(97)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Enterococcus gallinarum</em></td>
<td>VanS/VanR</td>
<td>Vancomycin resistance</td>
<td>(98)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Vibrio cholerae</em></td>
<td>ToxS/ToxR</td>
<td>Expression of toxins</td>
<td>(99)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ArcA/ArcB</td>
<td>Virulence regulator gene toxT</td>
<td>(100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>S. pneumoniae</em></td>
<td>CiaH/CiaR</td>
<td>Competence, resistance to cefotaxim</td>
<td>(101)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PnpS/PnpR</td>
<td>Competence, phosphate regulation</td>
<td>(102)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VncS/VncR</td>
<td>Vancomycin-induced cell death</td>
<td>(103)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organism</td>
<td>System/Regulator</td>
<td>Function/Effect</td>
<td>Reference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>S. pyogenes</em></td>
<td>CovS/CovR</td>
<td>Expression of capsule, pyrogenic exotoxin B, streptolysin, Streptokinase, mitogenic factor</td>
<td>(104)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>S. pyogenes</em></td>
<td>FacB/FacC/FacA</td>
<td>Expression of streptolysin S, fibronectin/fibrinogen-binding proteins, Streptokinase</td>
<td>(106)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>S. pyogenes</em></td>
<td>CsrS/CsrR</td>
<td>Negative regulation of hyaluronic acid and toxins</td>
<td>(107)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Mycobacterium tuberculosis</em></td>
<td>PhoP/PhoR</td>
<td>Intracellular growth in macrophages</td>
<td>(108)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Mycobacterium tuberculosis</em></td>
<td>PrrA/PrrB</td>
<td>Survival in phagosome environment</td>
<td>(109)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Mycobacterium tuberculosis</em></td>
<td>MprA/MprB</td>
<td>Virulence attenuation</td>
<td>(110)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Listeria monocytogenes</em></td>
<td>VirR/VirS</td>
<td>Virulence attenuation</td>
<td>(111)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>B. pertussis</em></td>
<td>BvgS/BvgA</td>
<td>Toxin and adhesion expression, biofilm formation</td>
<td>(112)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>H. pylori</em></td>
<td>FlgR/FlgS</td>
<td>Flagellar motility</td>
<td>(113)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>H. pylori</em></td>
<td>ArsR-ArsS</td>
<td>Urease and acid resistance genes</td>
<td>(114)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Campylobacter jejuni</em></td>
<td>DccR-DccS</td>
<td>Colonization defect</td>
<td>(115)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>S. typhimurium</em></td>
<td>PhoQ/PhoP</td>
<td>Polymyxin B</td>
<td>(116)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>S. typhimurium LT2</em></td>
<td>PmrB/PmrA</td>
<td>Polymyxin B</td>
<td>(117)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Legionella pneumophila</em></td>
<td>CpxR/CpxA</td>
<td><em>icmR</em> and <em>icm-dot</em> genes</td>
<td>(118)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Bacteroides fragilis</em></td>
<td>RprX/RprY</td>
<td>Tetracycline resistance</td>
<td>(119)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Yersinia pseudotuberculosis</em></td>
<td>PhoP</td>
<td>Virulence attenuation, reduced survival in macrophages</td>
<td>(120)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>EHEC</em></td>
<td>QseC/QseB</td>
<td>Quorum sensing regulation</td>
<td>(121)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>EHEC</em></td>
<td>VanS/VanR</td>
<td>Vancomycin resistance</td>
<td>(122)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 1.2: List of essential TCSs adapted from Gotoh et al 2010 (123).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TCS</th>
<th>Organism</th>
<th>Function</th>
<th>Ref</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WalK/WalR</td>
<td>S. mutans</td>
<td>Biofilm formation</td>
<td>(124)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S. aureus</td>
<td>Cell wall metabolism</td>
<td>(125)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S. pyogenes</td>
<td>Cell wall metabolism</td>
<td>(126)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S. pneumoniae</td>
<td>Cell wall metabolism</td>
<td>(127)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S. epidermis</td>
<td>Cell wall metabolism</td>
<td>(128)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E. faecalis</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>(129)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L. monocytogenes</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>(130)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YhcS/YhcR</td>
<td>S. aureus</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>(131)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HP165/HP166</td>
<td>H. pylori</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>(75)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MtrB/MtrA</td>
<td>M. tuberculosis</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>(132)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1.10 Two-component systems and antimicrobial resistance

In addition to being involved directly in virulence, a number of bacterial TCSs have been identified to play a central role in antimicrobial resistance. Considering the number of genes regulated by TCSs, it is not surprising that some are involved in modulating several aspects of adaptive functions related to antibiotic sensitivity. Some of those are listed in Table 1.1 that regulate the resistance to antimicrobial agents. For example, the EvgA response regulator modulates multidrug transporter genes expression and subsequently controls drug efflux in *E. coli* (133). The VanS/R in enterococci provides resistance to vancomycin by controlling the expression of the genes for the synthesis of cell wall precursors that have much lower affinity for vancomycin (98, 134). Same TCS in *S. pneumoniae* triggers multiple cell death pathways in response to penicillin and similar antibiotics, like cephalosporins and glycopeptides (135). Similarly, the LytS/R two-component system contributes to penicillin-induced killing of *S. aureus* (136). These features make TCSs ideal targets for therapy as it permits a drug to target multiple systems in the same bacterium.

1.11 Two-component systems and quorum sensing

To establish a successful infection, bacteria need to reach a critical cell density at the site of infection. Bacterial cell to cell communication via chemical signaling molecules and subsequent coordination of the expression of virulence factors in response to bacterial population density is known as quorum sensing (QS) (137). This allows a population of bacteria to coordinately control the gene expression of the entire community and behave as multicellular organisms. A well-known example is the AgrA/AgrC system in *S.
This system is activated in response to a secreted auto-inducing peptide (AIP) in a cell density-dependent manner. At a certain concentration of AIP, the sensor kinase AgrC is autophosphorylated and transfers the phosphate to the AgrA response regulator. Activated AgrA activates the expression of genes encoding secreted virulence factors (76). Vancomycin-induced cell death is also modulated by the VncS/VncR two-component system in *S. pneumonia* in a cell density-dependent manner (135). Another example is the *fsrC* and *fsrA* genes in *E. faecalis*, which encode a sensor kinase and response regulator pair that respond to a biosynthesis-activating pheromone in a cell density manner. The Lux system in *Vibrio harveyi* is a well-studied hybrid quorum sensing circuit. When acyl-homoserine lactone (AHL) inducers are accumulated as a function of cell density they are detected by LuxN, LuxP and LuxQ. LuxN and LuxQ are hybrid sensor kinase and response regulator pairs that transduce information to LuxU and then to the response regulator LuxO that controls the transcription of LuxR which is also required for expression of the luciferase structural operon (*luxCDABE*) (138). These are a few examples that indicate the important role of TCSs in bacterial communication within and between species that allows bacteria to compete with other species inhabiting unique niches.

### 1.12 Two-component systems as therapeutic targets

Several features of TCSs make them excellent candidates for the development of antimicrobial agents. First of all, the nature of histidine to aspartate phosphotransfer mechanism utilized by bacteria and fungi is distinct from the serine/threonine signaling pathways in eukaryotes. This feature alone makes TCS a good antimicrobial target as for an antimicrobial agent to be effective it should be selective for the bacterium and not the
host. Second, sensor kinases and response regulators have sequence similarity and similar mode of action irrespective of bacterial species (139). This may be used to design broad spectrum inhibitors that target common structural or functional features. Third, TCSs modulate a wide variety of cellular functions and thus targeting TCSs is equal to addressing multiple targets. This feature alone has additional benefits such as minimizing the emergence of resistance in response to antibiotics simply because multiple TCS with different functions exist, and alteration mechanisms utilised by bacteria would no longer be effective. This is unlike available antibiotics that have a very specific mechanism of action against one target only or limited number of targets.

1.13 Burkholderia cenocepacia

Burkholderia cenocepacia is an opportunistic pathogen that belongs to the Burkholderia cepacia complex (Bcc), a group of Gram-negative non-spore forming bacilli that consists of at least 17 phenotypically similar but genetically distinct species (140). Due to their diverse genetics and metabolic capacity the Bcc strains can be found throughout the environment (141). Also, because of their opportunistic nature they are capable of causing infections in vulnerable individuals including immunocompromised and cystic fibrosis (CF) patients.

Historically, B. cenocepacia ET-12 was the dominant Bcc pathogen in the CF community, responsible for the largest CF epidemic across Canada, United Kingdom and Italy prior to 2002 with 67% prevalence followed by B. multivorans as the next most dominant Bcc species (17%) (142). However, its prevalence has been reduced and B. multivorans is now the most dominant Bcc infection encountered among CF population in
North America and UK (143). *B. cenocepacia* is also associated with poor prognosis and increased risk of developing cepacia syndrome (144). Because of these complications, lung transplantation for CF patients infected with *B. cenocepacia* has a poor outcome and patients have a high morbidity and mortality rate (145).

1.13.1 *B. cenocepacia* in the environment

*B. cenocepacia* has also been isolated from different environmental sources, ranging from urban (146) and agricultural (147) soils, to Mexican radish (148), and raw milk (149). *B. cenocepacia* engages in both beneficial and pathogenic interactions with plants. For example *B. cenocepacia* has been identified to establish an endophytic relationship in the rhizosphere of onion (150) and maize (151). *B. cenocepacia* has also been isolated from the stem and roots of sugar cane promoting the production of the growth hormone IAA and inhibiting hyphal growth of *Fusarium moniliforme* (152).

*B. cenocepacia* is beneficial to a few plants but there are several examples indicating its role as a phytopathogen. *B. cenocepacia* has been isolated directly from the lesions of field-grown onion bulbs and is the causal agent of banana finger-tip rot (153). Strain K56-2 could cause the plant tissue watersoaking (PTW) phenotype which is an accumulation of fluids in the intracellular spaces of plant tissues caused by a loss of cell membrane integrity (154).

Further evidence that supports the direct environmental acquisition of *B. cenocepacia* in patients was found in a soil isolate that was genetically identical to strains isolated from patients during the 1980s *B. cenocepacia* CF epidemic (147). In a comprehensive genetic study by Baldwin et al. 2007, multiple clinical isolates of *B. cenocepacia* and *B.
*multivorans* were not distinguishable from environmental isolates recovered from diverse environments such as river water, onion, radish, maize rhizosphere, pharmaceutical solutions, hospital equipment, shampoo and industrial settings (148). All together, these features make *B. cenocepacia* an ideal model for studying niche adaptation and opportunistic pathogenicity.

1.13.2 Virulence factors in *B. cenocepacia*

The genome of *B. cenocepacia* J2315 is divided into three circular chromosomes and a plasmid encoding 7261 putative coding sequences (CDS) in 8 Mbp of genetic material (155). The genes are designated with a prefix (*Bcal, Bcam, Bcas*, or *pBca*) and a number. The genome possesses a number of virulence factors including quorum sensing (QS) and secretion systems that are putatively involved in pathogenicity of *B. cenocepacia* [reviewed in (156)].

QS is a form of bacterial communication that detects a signaling molecule relative to cell density to regulate gene expression (157). *B. cenocepacia* has AHL-dependent and independent QS modules: The CepIR and CciIR systems modulate gene expression via two AHL synthases, CepI and CciI, which produce N-octanoylhomoserine lactone (C8-AHL) and N-hexamoylhomoserine lactone (C6-AHL), respectively, and activate their cognate transcriptional regulator CepR or CciR (158, 159). Another QS module in *B. cenocepacia* that produces cis-2-dodecenolic acid (BDSF) in a cell density-dependent manner is AHL-independent (160). These QS regulatory systems together allow *B. cenocepacia* to fine-tune the expression of its virulence factors including biofilm maturation (161), swarming motility (162), and type 6 secretion system (T6SS)
expression (163). Another critical feature utilized by *B. cenocepacia* is the specialized secretion systems to translocate effector molecules into the host cell. The pathogenic potential of types III, IV, and VI secretion systems in *B. cenocepacia* have been examined in several infection models (164, 165), (155, 166) and (167). In particular, the T6SS is the most recently discovered and has been shown to mediate actin rearrangement in macrophages infected by *B. cenocepacia* (168). Mutation in the T6SS of *B. cenocepacia* results in susceptibility to predation by the amoeba *Dictyostelium discoideum* (168).

Furthermore, it has been established that the ability of *B. cenocepacia* to cause chronic infection is associated with several virulence factors including the expression of T6SS, which is important for bacterial survival in the *in vivo* rat model of chronic lung infection (167). Our laboratory identified AtsR (Adherence and T6SS Regulator) protein as a global regulator of the expression of several virulence factors including biofilm and the T6SS in *B. cenocepacia* (168). In addition to affecting biofilm and T6SS activity, the deletion of *atsR* in *B. cenocepacia* leads to overproduction of other QS-regulated virulence determinants including proteases and swarming motility (169). Deletion of *atsR* upregulated the expression of the QS genes and increased AHL production, suggesting that AtsR plays a significant role in timing and fine-tuning of virulence gene expression by modulating QS signalling (169). Since the deletion of AtsR affects multiple virulence factors, it is likely that it initiates a signaling cascade with broad activity.

### 1.14 Hypothesis and project objectives

The work described in this thesis uses *B. cenocepacia* K56-2, isolated from the lungs of a Canadian CF patient. K56-2 belongs to the ET-12 lineage, and is assigned to the same clonal complex as J2315 (170). AtsR is encoded by the gene *Bcam0379* in *B. cenocepacia*
K56-2 strain and is predicted to be a putative hybrid sensor kinase. Therefore, I hypothesize that AtsR is part of a multi-protein phosphorelay pathway which plays a critical role in regulation of virulence factors in B. cenocepacia K56-2 via a cognate cytosolic transcriptional regulator.

The specific objectives of this project are:

1) To characterize the AtsR phosphorelay pathway and identify its corresponding response regulator.
2) To identify the regulon of AtsR/AtsT signaling pathway by whole genome mapping via microarray and chromatin immunoprecipitation followed by Next Generation Sequencing.
3) To determine the topology of AtsR protein and find the functional residues in the periplasmic loop.
4) To determine the pathogenicity of B. cenocepacia in a plant infection model and study the subsequent events of plant-B. cenocepacia interaction.

In Chapter Two, I characterized the mechanism of AtsR signal transduction pathway by studying each specific domain and identifying conserved residues required for autophosphorylation within the kinase domain. Furthermore, I identified AtsT as the cognate response regulator that contribute to phosphotransfer and determined its position in the regulatory cascade. The biological significance of AtsR/AtsT phosphorylation was also studied in vivo.

In Chapter Three, I studied the AtsR/AtsT regulon using a combination of transcriptome and ChIP-Seq analysis, confirmed AtsT binding to the promoter regions of the target
genes and determined a conserved binding motif for AtsT-DNA binding.

In Chapter Four, the AtsR topology was experimentally determined and functional residues within the periplasmic sensing domain were identified.

In Chapter Five, I took a different approach to study the concept of virulence and pathogenicity of *B. cenocepacia* as an opportunistic pathogen in the context of host and its innate susceptibility to infection. I used *Arabidopsis* as an infection model and hypothesized that modifications in key bacterial molecules such as LPS and flagellin recognized by the innate immune system modulate host responses to *B. cenocepacia*. 
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Chapter 2

Characterization of the AtsR phosphorelay pathway and identification of its response regulator in *Burkholderia cenocepacia*

Maryam Khodai-Kalaki, Daniel F. Aubert, Miguel A. Valvano

A version of this chapter has been published:

2.1 Introduction

Phosphorylation cascades governed by two-component signal transduction systems provide key signalling mechanisms in bacteria, Archaea, simple eukaryotes and higher plants, allowing them to translate signals into adaptive responses (1). These regulatory pathways consist of a transmembrane protein that responds to an environmental cue leading to autophosphorylation, followed by the transfer of the phosphate to a cytoplasmic response regulator (RR). The sensor protein has a variable region, dedicated to sensing a signal, and a conserved histidine kinase (HK) domain. The RR typically consists of a conserved receiver domain (RD) and a variable effector domain that binds to DNA. Upon perceiving the signal, the HK becomes autophosphorylated on its conserved histidine (His) residue and the phosphate transferred to a conserved aspartic acid (Asp) residue on the RR. More complex phosphorelay systems require multiple phosphoryl transfer reactions involving a hybrid sensor kinase that contains an extra domain serving as an RD, a histidine-phosphotransfer protein, and response regulator proteins (1, 2). Since the mechanism of phosphotransfer is from His to Asp, histidine-phosphotransfer proteins shuttle phosphoryl groups between a hybrid sensor kinase and a RR, providing additional checkpoints of regulation in signaling pathways (3, 4).

*Burkholderia cenocepacia* is a Gram-negative opportunistic pathogen belonging to the *Burkholderia cepacia* complex, which causes severe, chronic respiratory infections in patients with cystic fibrosis and other immunocompromised conditions (5, 6). Furthermore, *B. cenocepacia* can also be found in maize roots (7), present in sewage (8), and cause banana fingertip rot (9) and onion rot (10). This bacterium is also virulent in zebrafish (11), alfalfa, nematodes, and insect infection models (12), and can survive
within amoebae and macrophages (13, 14). We have previously identified AtsR (Adhesion and Type six secretion system regulator), which negatively regulates the expression of the quorum sensing-regulated virulence factors in B. cenocepacia (15, 16). B. cenocepacia strains encode two N-acylhomoserine lactone-dependent quorum-sensing systems, CepIR and CciIR, which coordinate the expression of ZmpA and ZmpB zinc metalloproteases and other virulence factors during infection (17-21). In the absence of atsR, expression of cepIR and cciIR is upregulated and mediates early and increased N-acylhomoserine lactone production, suggesting that AtsR plays a role in controlling virulence gene expression by modulating the timing of quorum sensing signalling (16). AtsR also represses the expression of virulence genes by an N-acylhomoserine lactone-independent mechanism (16). Consequently, inactivation of atsR in B. cenocepacia also leads to increased biofilm formation, adherence to polystyrene and lung epithelial cells, extracellular protease secretion and expression of a type 6 secretion system (T6SS). The latter is exemplified by actin cytoskeletal rearrangement with the formation of characteristic “pearls on a string-like structures” around infected macrophages (15, 16, 22).

AtsR is a predicted membrane protein with two transmembrane domains, and a sensor kinase region (containing the HK and ATPase domains) attached to an RD with conserved Asp residues. AtsR lacks a DNA binding motif found in canonical response regulator proteins, which suggests that AtsR does not bind directly to the promoter regions of target genes and is likely part of a multistep signal transduction pathway. Two genes near atsR encode two putative components of the AtsR phosphorelay pathway. One is Bcam0381 (herein designated atsT), a gene co-transcribed with atsR (15). AtsT is a putative cytoplasmic transcriptional regulator containing an N-terminal helix-turn-helix
domain related to domains found in repressors (23) and a receiver domain with a conserved Asp at the C-terminus. The other is Bcam0378, which locates immediately upstream of atsR and encodes a hypothetical protein containing His and Asp residues that form part of a conserved motif, UPF0047 (24). BCAM0378 might be functionally necessary as a histidine-phosphotransfer protein intermediate to transfer the phosphate from AtsR to the putative response regulator AtsT.

In this work, I studied the mechanism of AtsR function by characterizing the role of critical functional residues within the individual domains of AtsR using phosphorylation assays. I identified the conserved residues His-245 and Asp-536 as phosphoacceptor sites in AtsR. Furthermore, I provided in vivo and in vitro evidence that AtsR is a hybrid sensor kinase that regulates downstream cellular activities through direct phosphorylation of AtsT.

2.2 Materials and methods

2.2.1 Bacterial strains, plasmids and growth conditions

Bacterial strains and plasmids used in this study are listed in Table 2.1. Bacteria were grown in Luria broth (8) (Difco) at 37 °C unless indicated otherwise. E. coli cultures were supplemented, as required, with the following antibiotics (final concentrations): tetracycline 30 μg/ml, kanamycin 30 μg/ml and trimethoprim 50 μg/ml. B. cenocepacia cultures were supplemented, as required, with trimethoprim 100 μg/ml, tetracycline 150 μg/ml, ampicillin 200 μg/ml and polymyxin B 20 μg/ml.
**Table 2.1:** Strains and plasmids

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain or plasmid</th>
<th>Relevant characteristics</th>
<th>Source/reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>B. cenocepacia</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K56-2</td>
<td>ET12 clone related to J2315, CF clinical isolate</td>
<td>bBCRRC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K56-ΔatsR</td>
<td>Deletion of atsR in K56-2</td>
<td>(25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K56-ΔcepI</td>
<td>Deletion of cepI in K56-2</td>
<td>(16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K56-ΔatsRΔcepI</td>
<td>Deletion of cepI in K56-2ΔatsR</td>
<td>(16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K56-ΔatsR atsR⁺</td>
<td>Chromosomal atsR integration in ΔatsR</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K56-ΔatsRΔcepI atsR⁺</td>
<td>Chromosomal atsR integration in ΔatsRΔcepI</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K56-ΔatsRΔcepI atsR H245A⁺</td>
<td>Chromosomal atsR H245A integration in ΔatsRΔcepI</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K56-ΔatsRΔcepI atsR D356A⁺</td>
<td>Chromosomal atsR D356A integration in ΔatsRΔcepI</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K56-ΔatsRΔcepI atsRΔRD⁺</td>
<td>Chromosomal atsRΔRD integration in ΔatsRΔcepI</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K56-ΔatsR atsR H245A⁺</td>
<td>Chromosomal atsR H245A integration in ΔatsR</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K56-ΔatsR atsR D356A⁺</td>
<td>Chromosomal atsR D356A integration in ΔatsR</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K56-ΔatsR atsRΔRD⁺</td>
<td>Chromosomal atsRΔRD integration in ΔatsR</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K56-ΔatsRΔcepI⁺ΔatsT atsR D356A⁻</td>
<td>Deletion of atsT in ΔatsRΔcepI atsR D356A⁺</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K56-ΔatsRΔcepI⁺ΔatsT atsRΔRD⁻</td>
<td>Deletion of atsT in ΔatsRΔcepI atsRΔRD⁺</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K56-ΔatsR ΔatsT atsR D356A⁺</td>
<td>Deletion of atsT in ΔatsR atsR D356A⁺</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K56-ΔatsR ΔatsT atsRΔRD⁺</td>
<td>Deletion of atsT in ΔatsR atsRΔRD⁺</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K56-ΔcepI ΔatsT</td>
<td>Deletion of atsT in ΔcepI</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K56-ΔcepI ΔatsT atsT⁺</td>
<td>Chromosomal atsT integration in ΔcepI ΔatsT</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K56-ΔcepI ΔatsT atsT D208A⁺</td>
<td>Chromosomal atsT D208A integration in ΔcepI ΔatsT</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K56-ΔatsRΔhcp</td>
<td>Deletion of hcp in ΔatsR</td>
<td>(25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>E. coli</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DH5α</td>
<td>F φ80lacZ M15 endA1 recA1 supE44 hsdR17(tK⁻ mK⁺) deoR thi-1 nupG supE44 gyrA96 relA1 Δ(lacZYA-argF)U169, λ⁻</td>
<td>Laboratory stock</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BL21</td>
<td>F omp (Tgal dcm ompT hsdS(Rb⁻ mB⁺) gal λ(DE3)</td>
<td>Laboratory stock</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GT115</td>
<td>F mcrA Δ(mrr-hsdRMS-mcrBC) φ80lacZΔM15 ΔlacX74 recA1 rpsL endA1Δdcm uidA(ΔMluI)::pir-116 ΔsbcC-sbcD</td>
<td>Laboratory stock</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Plasmids</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pAtsRChr</td>
<td>atsR cloned in pMH447 for chromosomal complementation</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pAtsR H245A Chr</td>
<td>atsR H245A cloned in pMH447 for chromosomal complementation</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vector</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pAtsR\textsubscript{D536A,Chr}</td>
<td>(atsR\textsubscript{D536A}) cloned in pMH447 for chromosomal complementation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pAtsRD\textsubscript{RD,Chr}</td>
<td>(atsRD) cloned in pMH447 for chromosomal complementation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pAtsTD\textsubscript{D208A,Chr}</td>
<td>(atsT\textsubscript{D208A}) cloned in pMH447 for chromosomal complementation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pDAI-SceI-SacB</td>
<td>(ori\textsubscript{pBBR1}, \text{Tet}^R, \text{P}_{\text{dfr}}, \text{mob}^+,) expressing I-SceI, SacB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pET28a(+)</td>
<td>Cloning vector, IPTG inducible, N-terminal His, Kan(^R)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pDelatsT</td>
<td>pGPI-SceI with fragments flanking (atsT)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pDelM0378</td>
<td>pGPI-SceI with fragments flanking (bcam0378)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pMK1</td>
<td>pET28a(+) encoding (B.) cenocepacia (bcam0378), 6X His: Kan(^R)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pMK2</td>
<td>pET28a(+) encoding (B.) cenocepacia (atsT), 6X His: Kan(^R)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pMK4</td>
<td>pET28a(+) encoding (B.) cenocepacia (atsR\textsubscript{RD,D536A}), 6X His: Kan(^R)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pMK5</td>
<td>pET28a(+) encoding (B.) cenocepacia (atsR\textsubscript{D536A}), 6X His: Kan(^R)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pMZ24</td>
<td>pET28a(+) encoding (B.) cenocepacia (ats\textsubscript{R205-605}), 6X His: Kan(^R)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pMZ25</td>
<td>pET28a(+) encoding (B.) cenocepacia (atsR), 6X His: Kan(^R)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pMZ33</td>
<td>pET28a(+) encoding (B.) cenocepacia (atsR\textsubscript{HK}), 6X His: Kan(^R)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pMZ34</td>
<td>pET28a(+) encoding (B.) cenocepacia (atsR\textsubscript{RD}), 6X His: Kan(^R)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pMZ36</td>
<td>pET28a(+) encoding (B.) cenocepacia (ats\textsubscript{Rd245A,6X}) His: Kan(^R)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pMH447</td>
<td>pGPI-SceI derivative used for chromosomal complementation (27)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pRK2013</td>
<td>(ori\textsubscript{colE11},) RK2 derivative, Kan(^R), mob(^+,) tra(^+) (28)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) Tp\(^R\), trimethoprim resistance, Kan\(^R\), kanamycin resistance, Tet\(^R\), tetracycline resistance.

\(^b\) BCRRC, \(B.\) cepacia Research and Referral Repository for Canadian CF Clinics.
2.2.2 General molecular techniques

DNA manipulations were performed as described previously (29). T4 DNA ligase (Roche Diagnostics, Laval, Quebec, Canada) and Antarctic phosphatase (New England Biolabs, Pickering, Ontario, Canada) were used as recommended by the manufacturers. Transformation of *E. coli* DH5α and *E. coli* GT115 was done using the calcium chloride method (30). Mobilization of complementing plasmids and mutagenesis plasmids into *B. cenocepacia* K56-2 was performed by triparental mating using *E. coli* DH5α carrying the helper plasmid pRK2013 (28, 31). DNA amplification by PCR was performed using Bio-Rad C1000™ Thermal Cycler with Taq or HotStar HiFidelity DNA polymerases (Qiagen, Canada). DNA sequences of all primers used in this study are described in Table 2.2. DNA sequencing was performed at the DNA sequencing Facility of York University, Toronto, Canada. BLAST was used to analyse the sequenced genome of *B. cenocepacia* strain J2315.

2.2.3 Plasmid construction and chromosomal complementation

Unmarked and non-polar deletions were performed as described previously (16, 32). To delete *atsT* (*Bcam0381*) and *Bcam0378*, PCR amplifications of regions flanking these genes were performed individually using 2844-2836 and 2840-2839 primer pairs for *atsT* and 4009-4010 and 4011-4012 primer pairs for *Bcam0378*. The amplicons were digested with the restriction enzymes *XbaI*-*XhoI* and *XhoI*-*EcoRI* respectively, and cloned into the mutagenic plasmid pGPI-*SceI* digested with *XbaI* and *EcoRI* giving rise to pDelatsT and pDelM0378. To create His-tagged fusions of BCAM0378, AtsT, AtsR and its truncated versions including AtsR-HK domain (residues 205 to 460), AtsR-RD receiver domain
(residues 488 to 606), AtsRΔTM (residues 205 to 606), and AtsRΔRD, sequences were amplified from *B. cenocepacia* K56-2 genomic DNA using primers 5798-5799; 5108-5109; 4632-4633; 4799-4634; 4800-4736; 4634-4633; 4632-4799, respectively (Table 2.2). Amplicons were digested with the appropriate restriction enzymes and ligated into similarly digested pET28a cloning vector. pET28a (Novagen) was used to engineer C-terminally His-tagged proteins for expression in *E. coli* BL21. Site-directed mutagenesis was performed using the QuikChange Site-Directed Mutagenesis Kit from Stratagene (Santa Clara, California), as recommended by the supplier. Primers were designed with 15-20 nucleotides flanking each side of the targeted mutation. Plasmid pMZ25 was used as a template to create H245A and D536A using 4880-4881 and 5959-5960 respectively. Plasmid pMK2 was used as a template to create D208A using 6997-6998. The resulting PCR products were digested with *DpnI* and introduced into *E. coli* DH5α. All constructs and replacement mutants were confirmed by sequencing.

Chromosomal complementations of ΔatsR, ΔatsRΔcepI or ΔcepIΔatsT were performed using the pMH447 plasmid (26, 27). Primers 5866-4632 was used to PCR amplify *atsR* and its mutated versions. The amplicon was digested with *NdeI*-XbaI and cloned into the similarly digested pMH447, giving rise to pAtsRChr, pAtsR_{H245A}Chr, pAtsR_{D536A}Chr and pAtsRΔRDChr. Likewise, primers 7020-7021 was used to PCR amplify *atsT* and its mutated version. The amplicons were digested with *NdeI* and cloned into the similarly digested pMH447, giving rise to pAtsTChr and pAtsT_{D536A}Chr.
Table 2.2: Oligonucleotide primers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primer No.</th>
<th>5'-3' Primer sequence</th>
<th>Restriction enzyme*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2836</td>
<td>TTTTGCAGTTGTTGGCAGTTGAGAT</td>
<td>XhoI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2839</td>
<td>TTAGAAACAGGTGACAGCGCTACTGTC</td>
<td>EcoRI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2840</td>
<td>TTTTTCGACATCGCTGGCGCTACAA</td>
<td>XhoI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2844</td>
<td>TACGGCTAGATCTTTAGGCGATCGGAAAC</td>
<td>XbaI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2869</td>
<td>TTTTCATATTTATCGTGCAGATCTTTT</td>
<td>NdeI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4009</td>
<td>TTTTTCTAGACACCCGAGCAAACGTACAC</td>
<td>XbaI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4010</td>
<td>TTTTTCGACAGGTGATGGCGCTGGTTCGAT</td>
<td>XhoI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4011</td>
<td>TTTTTCGAGATATCGTCGATCCTCATCTG</td>
<td>XhoI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4012</td>
<td>TTTTGAATTACCTGCTGTCGCTCGATCT</td>
<td>EcoRI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4632</td>
<td>AAAACATATGACGCCGCGGATGGAAGAA</td>
<td>NdeI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4633</td>
<td>AAAAGCCGCCGGCGAGCAGACTGTTCGACGA</td>
<td>NotI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4634</td>
<td>AAAACATATGACGCCGCGGACACCT</td>
<td>NdeI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4736</td>
<td>AAAAGCCGCCGCCTACAGGCGAGCAGTGTCGACGA</td>
<td>NotI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4799</td>
<td>AAAAGCCGCCGCCTACAGGCGAGCAGGCACGTC</td>
<td>NotI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4800</td>
<td>AAAACATATGACGCCGCGGACACACTGCAG</td>
<td>NdeI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4880</td>
<td>GCATCTGCTCAGGAGATGTTCAGCGCAACTGCGCACGCGCTG</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4881</td>
<td>CAGCGGCCGTCGCGAGTTCCGGCAGCTGACCATTCCCGA</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5108</td>
<td>AAAAACATATGTCACACCACCGAGCAGGCAA</td>
<td>NdeI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5109</td>
<td>AAAAGAATTCTAGCAGTTGGTCGCGGCGCTG</td>
<td>EcoRI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5798</td>
<td>TTTTTTTCATATGCAACAGGCCAATACG</td>
<td>NdeI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5799</td>
<td>TTTTTCGCGCCGCTAATCGGCAGCGACAGT</td>
<td>NotI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5866</td>
<td>TTTTTCTAGACATTGCTATTAGGCAACGCGACATC</td>
<td>XbaI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5885</td>
<td>TTAGTGCGAGACGATTCTTTC</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5886</td>
<td>CCAGTCTTACAGCGTGACGA</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5959</td>
<td>CTCGCTCGTCTGCGCCTCGAATCGC</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5960</td>
<td>CGCGAGTCAGGCGCCGCGACGACGACGA</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6997</td>
<td>GATGGCTACATCCGTGCGCTTGATGTCTGGCG</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6998</td>
<td>GTCGCGGAGCAAGCCGAGGAGATGAGCC</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7020</td>
<td>TTTTTTCAATCATGTCAGCGACAGCAGATG</td>
<td>NdeI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7021</td>
<td>TTTTTTCATATGCGCATGCTGCGAGGCGTCGTCAC</td>
<td>NdeI</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Restriction endonuclease sites incorporated in the oligonucleotide sequences are underlined. N/A indicates absence of restriction site.
2.2.4 Protein expression and purification

For over-expression and purification of recombinant proteins, a single colony was inoculated in LB broth supplemented with 30 μg/ml of kanamycin and grown at 37 ºC. Optical Density (OD$_{600}$) was monitored until it reached 0.6. The culture was then shifted to 30 ºC and 0.2 mM isopropyl-beta-D-thiogalactopyranoside was added to induce the expression of proteins. Cultures were incubated for an additional 4 h. Samples were analyzed by SDS-PAGE and stained with Coomassie blue to check the induction of proteins. Cells were harvested by centrifugation at 8,000 xg for 10 min. Cell pellets were resuspended in lysis buffer containing [(50 mM Na phosphate pH 8, 0.3 M NaCl, 10 mM imidazole pH 8.0, 10% glycerol, 0.25% Tween 20, and 1X of EDTA-free protease inhibitor cocktail (Sigma))], and disrupted by One Shot cell disruptor (Thermo Scientific, Rockville, MD, USA). After centrifugation at 27,000 xg for 30 min at 4 ºC to remove the debris, the clarified cell lysate was loaded onto a Ni$^{2+}$-binding sepharose beads, washed, and the His-tagged proteins were then eluted using increased gradient concentrations of imidazole (125-500 mM). Fractions were analyzed by SDS-PAGE and stained with Coomassie blue to determine the integrity of the purified protein. Fractions were pooled and buffer-exchanged against dialysis buffer (100 mM Tris-HCl pH 8, 50 mM KCl, 5 mM MgCl$_2$, 1 mM dithiothreitol (DTT), 10% glycerol) using Thermoscientific Slide-A-Lyzer mini dialysis devices. Proteins were concentrated in Amicon ultrafiltration devices (10 kDa molecular weight cut off) and protein concentration was determined by standard Bradford assay (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA). The purity of proteins was evaluated by SDS-PAGE followed by Coomassie Brilliant Blue staining (33).
2.2.5 *In vitro* phosphorylation assay

For autophosphorylation and phosphotransfer reactions 5 µmol of each protein was added to the phosphorylation buffer containing 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 50 mM KCl, 5 mM MgCl$_2$, 1 mM DTT and 5 µCi [$\gamma$-$^{33}$P]-ATP (specific activity of 3000 Ci/mmol; 3.3 µM stock solution) (Perkin Elmer). The reactions were carried out at room temperature for the desired time and were terminated by adding 3X sample buffer (32). Experiments were conducted in presence or absence of the response regulator in a final volume of 10 µl. The reaction products were separated by electrophoresis on 14% SDS-PAGE gels. Gels were fixed, exposed to a high-resolution screen (Kodak), and analyzed using a Phosphorimager with ImageQuant software (Molecular Dynamics 5.0; Amersham Biosciences). For pulse-chase experiments, an excess of nonradioactive ATP (20 mM) was added to the reaction mixture following a 10-min pre-incubation with ($\gamma$-$^{33}$p) ATP. Aliquots were taken prior to the addition of unlabeled ATP (time zero) and at various time points after the addition of cold ATP.

2.2.6 Analysis of chemical stability of H245-p and D536-P

Phosphorylated AtsR and AtsR$_{D536A}$ proteins were prepared as described above and treated with 1 M HCl, 1 M NaOH or left untreated for 45 min at RT. The HCl reaction was neutralized with 0.25 vol. of 2 M Tris pH 8 and analyzed by 16% SDS-PAGE followed by Phosphorimager.

2.2.7 Protease, swarming motility and biofilm formation assays

Protease assays were performed as described previously (16). Briefly, 18 h cultures were normalized to an OD$_{600}$ of 1. The bacterial suspension (3 µl) was spotted onto dialysed
brain heart infusion (D-BHI) agar plates containing 1.5% carnation milk. The plates were incubated at 37 °C and examined for zones of clearing around the bacterial spots at 48 h. The protease activity was recorded by measuring the radius of the surrounding halo (from the outside of the spot to the edge of the halo). Swarming motility assays were performed as described previously (16). Three μl of overnight culture, adjusted to an OD$_{600}$ of 1, was spotted on a swarm plate (0.8% Nutrient Broth, 0.5% agar, 0.2% glucose). Plates were incubated at 37 °C for 20 h and the diameters of swarming zones were measured. Biofilm formation assays were performed as described previously (15). Assays were done in triplicate and repeated independently three times.

2.2.8 Macrophage infections and T6SS activity

Infections were performed as previously described (15) using the C57BL/6 murine bone marrow-derived macrophage cell line ANA-1. Bacteria were added to ANA-1 cells grown on glass cover slips at a MOI of 50. Cover slips were analysed by phase contrast microscopy after 4 h of incubation at 37 °C. T6SS activity was recorded as the ability of the bacteria to induce the formation of characteristic ectopic actin nucleation around the macrophages (15, 22).

2.2.9 Western blot analysis

For *E. coli*, overnight bacterial cultures in 5 mL of LB were diluted to an initial OD$_{600}$ of 0.2 and incubated at 37 °C until reaching an OD$_{600}$ of 0.7. At this point, isopropyl-beta-D-thiogalactopyranoside was added to a final concentration of 0.2 mM. Cells were incubated for 4 h at 30 °C and were then harvested by centrifugation at 8,000 xg for 10 min at 4 °C. The bacterial pellet was suspended in lysis buffer and the suspension lysed
using a One-shot cell disrupter (Thermo Scientific, Rockville, MD, USA). Cell debris were removed by centrifugation (15,000 xg for 15 min at 4 °C), and the clear supernatant was centrifuged at 40,000 xg for 30 min at 4 °C. The pellet, containing total membranes, was suspended in lysis buffer. The protein concentration was determined by the Bradford assay (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA). Staining was performed with Coomassie brilliant blue. SDS–PAGE, protein transfers to nitrocellulose membranes and immunoblots were performed as described (34). For detection of 6xHis-tagged proteins, membranes were incubated with a 1:10,000 dilution of anti-His IgG2a monoclonal antibodies (Amersham, Piscataway, NJ) and Alexa Fluor 680 anti-mouse IgG antibodies (molecular probes). For B. cenocepacia, overnight bacterial cultures in 5 ml of LB were diluted to an initial OD600 of 0.2 and incubated at 37 °C for 8 h. His-tagged proteins were purified and detected by Western blot using an anti-His antibody as indicated above.

2.3 Results and discussion

2.3.1 Deletion of atsT causes the same phenotypes as ∆atsR mutants

I reasoned that if AtsT (BCAM0381) was involved in the AtsR phosphorelay pathway, ∆atsT and ∆atsR mutants should have similar phenotypes. Therefore, ∆atsT was tested in our macrophage infection model. Phase-contrast microscopy revealed that, in contrast to K56-2, ∆atsR and ∆atsT noticeably induce the formation of "pearls on a string-like structures" around infected macrophages (Fig. 2.1). These structures depend on T6SS-mediated rearrangements of host actin, and are characteristic of an upregulated T6SS (15, 16, 22). B. cenocepacia ∆atsRΔhcp, a T6SS defective mutant, was used as a negative control during the infections (25), and as expected it did not mediate the "pearls on a string" phenotype in infected macrophages (Fig. 2.1A). Similarly to ∆atsR (15), ∆atsT
showed increased biofilm formation as evidenced by a robust ring of biofilm at the air-liquid interface (Fig. 2.1B). Together, these results strongly suggest that AtsT and AtsR are in the same regulatory pathway, which is likely initiated by AtsR.

2.3.2 Identification of phosphoacceptor residues within AtsR

The region of AtsR corresponding to the HK domain (amino acids 233-457) was aligned with the HK domain of two well-characterized hybrid sensor kinase proteins, ArcB from *Escherichia coli* and RetS from *P. aeruginosa* (3, 35-37). The amino acid sequence of AtsR (606 amino acids) is 34% identical and 50% similar to that of ArcB, and 26% identical and 48% similar to that of RetS. The invariant His residue that is the site of autophosphorylation in these proteins corresponds to His-245 in AtsR. Also, the AtsR-RD (amino acids 488-601) showed 52% and 50% similarity at the amino acid level to ArcB and RetS, respectively. This region has an invariant Asp at position 536, which corresponds to the site of phosphorylation in ArcB and RetS.

Truncated versions of AtsR spanning AtsR-HK and AtsR-RD were constructed and purified to analyze their biochemical properties. Residues 1-200, comprising the membrane spanning domains were removed to facilitate protein solubility and purification. Furthermore, to assess the contribution of conserved His and Asp residues to AtsR phosphorylation, His-245 and Asp-536 were individually replaced by alanine (Fig. 2.2A). The truncated proteins along with the mutated polypeptides were assessed for their autophosphorylation activity *in vitro* using [γ-32P]-ATP. Only the polypeptides containing His-245 underwent autophosphorylation (Fig. 2.2B, lanes 1 and 3). Therefore, AtsR HK containing the native His-245 is necessary and sufficient for initiation of the phosphorelay
Figure 2.1 T6SS activity and biofilm formation of *B. cenocepacia* K56-2 wild-type and its mutant derivatives. A) Phase-contrast microscopy of infected ANA-1 murine macrophages to assess T6SS activity. Infections were performed at a multiplicity of infection of 50 for 4 h. The arrows indicate the presence of ectopic actin nucleation (“pearls on a string” phenotype; 15; 22) extending from infected macrophages, which denotes T6SS activity. *B. cenocepacia* K56-2 ΔatsRΔhcp, a T6SS defective mutant, was used as a negative control during the infections. Experiments consisted of three independent biological repeats where similar results were obtained. B) Biofilm formation by parental strains, ΔatsR and ΔatsT mutants. *B. cenocepacia* K56-2 wild-type and derivative mutants were tested for biofilm formation by crystal violet staining. Arrows indicate the ring corresponding to the biofilm formation characteristic in ΔatsR and ΔatsT mutants. The experiment was repeated three times in triplicates and pictures were taken after 24 h of static incubation at 37 °C.
pathway since the replacement of His with alanine at position 245 abolished autophosphorylation (Fig. 2.2B, lanes 2 and 6). Moreover, the AtsR-RD is unable to autophosphorylate in the absence of the HK domain (Fig. 2.2B, lane 4). The ability of AtsR-RD to accept the phosphoryl group from the AtsR-HK was tested in a phosphotransfer assay (Fig. 2.2B, lanes 5-7). Two bands were obtained after incubating AtsR-HK and AtsR-RD together in a reaction with [γ-32P]-ATP (Fig. 2.2B, lane 5). These bands corresponded to the phosphorylated form of these proteins, indicating the transfer of phosphoryl group from the HK domain to the RD domain of AtsR. To test whether Asp-536 within AtsR-RD is the residue accepting the phosphate from His-245, AtsR-RD_{D536A} was added to the reaction with AtsR-HK and [γ-32P]-ATP. No band corresponding to AtsR-RD_{D536A} was detected (Fig. 2.2B, lane 7) clearly indicating that Asp-536 is necessary for phosphorylation.

2.3.3 Chemical stability of phosphorylated proteins

Phosphor amidates, such as histidine or lysine phosphate, are stable in alkali conditions but extremely labile to acid. Acyl phosphates such as aspartate and glutamate are, on the other hand, labile to either pH extremes (38). To compare wild-type AtsR and AtsR_{D536A} chemical stability, phosphorylation reactions were performed for each, and the products were subjected to either no treatment or treatment with acid or alkali. If the wild-type AtsR protein is phosphorylated at both His-245 and Asp-536 and the AtsR_{D536A} is phosphorylated at only His-245, the two proteins should behave distinctively upon treatment with base. As shown in Fig. 2.2C (lanes 5-6), AtsR and AtsR_{D536A} were both labile to acid and relatively stable to base (lanes 3-4), indicating that these two proteins are primarily amidyl phosphates. Considering the quantitative difference between the
Figure 2.2: Functional analysis of AtsR domains. A) Schematic domain organization of AtsR and its derivatives (domains are not drawn to scale). The predicted sites of phosphorylation are His-245 (H245) and Asp-536 (D536). TM: transmembrane domain, HK: Histidine kinase domain, ATPase: ATPase domain, RD: Receiver domain.
Alanine. B) *In vitro* phosphorylation assays. Purified proteins were added in a standard phosphorylation mixture [100 mM Tris-HCl pH 8, 50 mM KCl, 5 mM MgCl2, 1 mM DTT, 5 µCi [γ-32P]-ATP] and incubated for 15 min at 25 °C. Samples were resolved on 16% SDS-PAGE gel. The phosphorylated proteins were visualized using a phosphorimager (top). Phosphorylated and non-phosphorylated proteins were revealed by Coomassie Blue staining (bottom). The location of phosphorylated bands is denoted with arrows. C) Chemical stability of phosphorylated proteins. Phosphorylated AtsR (lanes 1, 3 and 5) and AtsR_D536A (lanes 2, 4 and 6) were treated with 1 M NaOH or 1 M HCl or were left untreated for 45 min at RT. The reactions were neutralized with 2 M Tris pH 8 and analyzed by Phosphorimager after SDS-PAGE.
wild-type AtsR protein before and after alkali treatment in Fig. 2.2C, I concluded that at least a portion of the wild-type protein may be phosphorylated at the Asp-536. In quantitative analysis using densitometry, the wild-type AtsR retained $82 \pm 6\%$ of its label after alkali treatment compared with the untreated control, whereas the AtsR$_{D536A}$ mutant retained $91 \pm 5\%$ of its label. These results therefore suggest that the majority of phosphate present in both AtsR and AtsR$_{D536A}$ is in the form of amidyl phosphate.

2.3.4 AtsR and AtsT form a cognate HK-RR pair

I initially hypothesized that BCAM0378 could be a histidine-phosphotransfer protein component of the AtsR phosphorelay based on the proximity of Bcam0378 to atsR (Bcam0379) and bioinformatic data indicating the presence of highly conserved His and Asp residues within this family of proteins. To investigate the relationship between AtsR, BCAM0378 and AtsT we performed phosphotransfer assays employing combinations of these different proteins. The histidine-phosphotransfer candidate, BCAM0378 was not phosphorylated (Fig. 2.3, lanes 1, 2 and 3). To rule out the possibility of a rapid phosphotransfer from AtsR to BCAM0378, we repeated the experiment by reducing the incubation time from 10 min to either 1 or 5 min and increasing the temperature to 30 °C. A phosphorylated form of BCAM0378 was never detected in any condition tested (data not shown). However, AtsT was phosphorylated directly by AtsR and independently from BCAM0378 (Fig. 2.3, lane 4). To test whether AtsT autophosphorylates independently from AtsR, we incubated AtsT with AtsR$_{H245A}$ and BCAM0378 (Fig. 2.3, lane 3). No phosphorylated band was detected indicating that AtsT is unable to autophosphorylate, and it requires the HK domain of AtsR with the native His-245 to be phosphorylated. Together, these data suggest that AtsR acts as a conventional TCS that mediates signal
**Figure 2.3:** Phosphotransfer from AtsR and its derivatives to the AtsT response regulator. Five µmol of AtsR, AtsR<sub>H245A</sub> and AtsR-HK, was pre-incubated in individual standard phosphorylation mixtures for 15 min at 25 °C, followed by addition of 5 µmol of AtsT and/or BCAM0378. Reactions were terminated by adding 3x SDS-PAGE loading buffer after 15 min. Samples were resolved on 16% SDS-PAGE gel and stained with Coomassie Blue (Bottom). Phosphorylated proteins were visualized by a phosphorimager (Top). Phosphorylated bands corresponding to the expected mass of AtsR, AtsR-HK and AtsT polypeptides are indicated by arrows.
transduction from a sensor kinase to a response regulator, and BCAM0378 is not involved in the transfer of the phosphate from AtsR to the AtsT under the conditions tested. This conclusion was supported by the lack of the "pearls on a string" phenotype in macrophages infected with a ΔBcam0378 mutant (Fig. 2.1A), strongly suggesting that BCAM0378 is not part of the AtsR regulatory pathway.

2.3.5 Kinetics of AtsR phosphorylation

A pulse-chase experiment was performed to determine the stability of AtsR phosphorylation in the presence of AtsT and to determine whether phosphorylation of AtsT can take place independently from AtsR in excess of ATP. If AtsT is phosphorylated from the free nucleotide pool, then an excess of unlabeled ATP should compete with hot ATP for AtsT phosphorylation in a pulse-chase reaction. Conversely, if AtsR phosphorylates AtsT, then the label should be chased from AtsR to AtsT. AtsR was incubated with [γ-33P]-ATP for 10 min, and an excess of unlabeled ATP (20 mM) and AtsT were simultaneously added to the reaction. Labelling of AtsT coincided with immediate loss of signal from phosphorylated AtsR (Fig. 2.4A). A decrease of phosphorylation of nearly 50% was observed between time zero (pre-incubation) and 2 min (Fig. 2.4C). Phosphorylated AtsR was highly stable, and the signal remained relatively strong at 60 min. We repeated this experiment and chased phosphorylated proteins for up to 80 min, and phosphorylated bands were still visible (data not shown). To control the quality of unlabeled ATP, AtsR was incubated simultaneously with both labeled and unlabeled ATP for 10 min, followed by the addition of AtsT (Fig. 2.4B). No labelling of AtsR or AtsT was detected in the control reaction indicating that incorporation of γ-33P can be inhibited by an excess of unlabeled ATP, which competes
Figure 2.4: Kinetics of phosphotransfer from AtsR to AtsT. A) Five µmol of AtsR was pre-incubated with 5 µCi [γ-33P]-ATP in a standard phosphorylation mixture for 15 min and then 5 µmol of AtsT and 20 mM ATP were simultaneously added to the reaction. The reaction was chased over time at 25 °C. Aliquots were removed before and after the chase at the times indicated. Reactions were terminated by adding SDS-PAGE loading buffer. Samples were run on 16% SDS-PAGE gel and stained with Coomassie Blue (bottom). Phosphorylated proteins were visualized by a phosphorimager (top). The images shown here are the representatives of two independent repeats. B) Five µmol of AtsR was incubated simultaneously with both labeled and unlabeled ATP for 10 min, followed by the addition of 5 µmol of AtsT and incubated for 15 min. Samples were run on 16% SDS-PAGE gels and stained with Coomassie Blue (left) or visualized by a phosphorimager (right). C) The y-axis represents the percentage of normalized absorbance of densitometry readings from bands corresponding to phosphorylated proteins obtained from two independent experiments.
with labeled ATP in the reaction. Thus, AtsR phosphorylation at His-245 is stable, and AtsT phosphorylation is resistant to competition from excess ATP, which confirms that AtsT acquires its phosphate directly from AtsR.

2.3.6 The role of Asp-536 on AtsR-AtsT phosphorylation kinetics

To determine the effect of Asp-536 on phosphotransfer, AtsR and AtsR$_{D536A}$ were incubated with [$\gamma$-$^{33}$P]-ATP for 10 min in individual reactions to make a pool of phosphorylated proteins, followed by the addition of AtsT to each reaction. The rate of phosphate incorporation to the RR was followed as a function of time. The D536A replacement did not abolish the ability of AtsR to autophosphorylate, but the phosphotransfer capabilities of the parental and AtsR$_{D536A}$ proteins were quite different. The transfer of phosphate from AtsR to AtsT took place not only slower but also at a lower rate than from AtsR$_{D536A}$ to AtsT. Indeed, more than 90% of the phosphate was transferred from AtsR$_{D536A}$ to AtsT after 90 sec, and the amount of label gained by AtsT was almost equal to that lost by AtsR$_{D536A}$ (Fig. 2.5A and B). The increase in AtsT phosphorylation correlates with the disappearance of the AtsR and AtsR$_{D536A}$ phosphorylation signals. In contrast to native AtsR, where the phosphorylation signal was maintained from 2 min until the end of the experiment, phosphorylation of AtsT by AtsR$_{D536A}$ was rapidly detected 1 min after addition of RR to the reaction and the signal of AtsR$_{D536A}$ had already disappeared after 2 min under the conditions tested (Fig. 2.5B). Together, these results indicate that replacing Asp-536 with alanine increases and accelerates the phosphotransfer reaction to the RR. It also might be due to the fact that AtsR has two sites of phosphorylation and only His-245 transfers phosphate to AtsT,
therefore, the persistence of the signal on wild-type protein is the result of Asp-536 phosphorylation.

2.3.7 In vivo reconstitution of the AtsR signalling pathway

B. cenocepacia secretes two zinc metalloproteases ZmpA and ZmpB (17-21). Deletion of atsR upregulates the expression of several quorum sensing regulated virulence factors, including swarming motility and the secretion of zinc metalloproteases, both of which are also positively regulated by the CepI/CepR quorum sensing system (16). Moreover, whereas a ∆cepI mutant was protease deficient at 48 h when spotted on D-BHI milk agar plates, further deletion of atsR in ∆cepI resulted in increased proteolytic activity demonstrating that deletion of atsR upregulates protease activity independently of quorum sensing (16). We took advantage of the protease and swarming motility phenotypes to investigate whether AtsR phosphorylation is required for its function in vivo. The mutant strains ∆atsR and ∆atsR∆cepI were complemented at the chromosomal level with full-length atsR or atsR variants encoding either AtsR_{H245A}, AtsR_{D536A} or AtsR lacking the RD (AtsR∆RD) eliminating the putative effect of other conserved Asp residues adjacent to Asp-536. The ability of AtsR_{H245A}, AtsR_{D536A} and AtsR∆RD to suppress the phenotypes of ∆atsR and ∆atsR∆cepI mutant backgrounds was first assessed using protease secretion assay (Fig. 2.6A). As expected, the radius of halo corresponding to casein degradation surrounding the ∆atsR spot was bigger compared to that of the wild-type within 48 h of incubation while no halo was present for ∆cepI (Fig. 2.6A and B). Proteolytic activity was also detectable for ∆atsR∆cepI to a lesser extent compared to WT and ∆atsR (Fig. 2.6A, top row, and Fig. 2.6B). Successful complementation was achieved when a chromosomal copy of the atsR gene was restored into ∆atsR and in ∆atsR∆cepI leading to
Figure 2.5: Kinetics of phosphotransfer from AtsR and AtsR<sub>D536A</sub> to AtsT. After 10 min of pre-incubation of 5 µmol AtsR (A) or AtsR<sub>D536A</sub> (B) in a standard phosphorylation mixture, AtsT was added to the reaction and aliquots were removed at the times indicated. The reaction was performed at 25 °C and terminated by adding SDS-PAGE loading buffer. Samples were run on 16% SDS-PAGE gel and stained with Coomassie Blue (top). Phosphorylated proteins were visualized with a phosphorimager (middle). The images shown here are the representatives of two independent repeats. The y-axis represents the percentage of normalized absorbance of densitometry readings from bands corresponding to phosphorylated proteins obtained from two independent experiments (bottom).
decreased protease activity to WT and ∆cepI levels, respectively (Fig. 2.6A, middle and bottom row, and Fig. 2.6B).

Since Asp-536 significantly increases the phosphotransfer from His-245 to AtsT in vitro, one could have expected that complementation of ∆atsR with AtsR<sub>D536A</sub> would have a stronger inhibitory effect than atsR in vivo. However, similar results were obtained when either atsR∆RD or atsR<sub>D536A</sub> was introduced to ∆atsR or ∆atsR∆cepI, respectively. This suggests that Asp-D536 does not have a strong modulatory effect on the AtsR-AtsT phosphotransfer in vivo under the conditions tested, or alternatively, that additional components not identified in this study may be involved in modulating the AtsR-AtsT phosphorelay pathway.

In contrast, the phosphorylation status of AtsR is critical for its role in expression and/or secretion of proteases, as complementation failed when atsR<sub>H245A</sub> was introduced. These strains were also tested in a swarming motility assay. In agreement with previous results, only complementation of ∆atsR∆cepI strains with atsR or atsR∆RD, but not atsR<sub>H245A</sub>, could restore swarming motility to ∆cepI levels (Fig. 2.7). Protein expression was confirmed by Western blot analysis of bacterial membrane pellets prepared from K56-2 ∆atsR and ∆atsR∆cepI complemented with atsR<sub>H245A</sub>, atsR<sub>D536A</sub>, atsR∆RD and atsR and demonstrated that the encoded AtsR variants were similarly expressed (Fig. 2.6C). Thus, a lack of complementation by atsR<sub>H245A</sub> was not due to a defect in protein expression. Together, these data confirm the in vitro results and suggest that His-245 is essential for the function of AtsR in vivo.
Figure 2.6: Proteolytic activity of *B. cenocepacia* K56-2 wild-type, ΔatsR, ΔatsRΔcepI and ΔcepI mutants and complemented mutants at the chromosomal level in different genetic backgrounds. A) Proteolysis was tested on D-BHI milk agar plates. The plates shown are representatives of three experiments performed in triplicate. Zones of clearing around the colonies were measured at 48 h of incubation at 37 °C. B) Values are average radius ± S.D. in millimeters of three experiments performed in triplicate. C) Anti-His Western blot analysis of His-tag purified AtsR, AtsRΔRD, AtsR\textsubscript{D536A} and AtsR\textsubscript{H245A} in *B. cenocepacia*. Arrows indicate the positions of full-length AtsR (AtsR, AtsR\textsubscript{D536A} and AtsR\textsubscript{H245A}) and AtsRΔRD. AtsR-FL: full-length AtsR.
**Figure 2.7:** Swarming motility. *B. cenocepacia* K56-2 wild type and derivative mutants (A) and ΔatsRΔcepI mutant complemented by the integration of atsR, atsR-H245A, and atsRΔRD at the chromosomal level (B) were tested for swarming motility. The plates are representatives of at least three experiments performed in triplicate. The extent of the swarm zone was measured, and error bars represent the S.D. (C).
To confirm that AtsT is the cognate response regulator, which negatively controls the expression of protease activity, *atsT* was deleted in ∆*atsR*, ∆*atsRΔcepI* and ∆*cepI* strains which were then complemented with *atsRD536A*, *atsRΔRD*, *atsT* or *atsTD208A*. The resulting strains were spotted on D-BHI milk agar plates (Fig. 2.8A & B) and the proteolysis quantified by measuring the radius of clearing around the colonies (Fig. 2.8C). While complementation of ∆*atsR* and ∆*atsRΔcepI* strains with *atsRD536A* or *atsRΔRD* reduced the proteolytic activity to WT and ∆*cepI* levels (Fig. 2.6), further deletion of *atsT* bypassed the *atsRD536A* or *atsRΔRD* complementation and resulted in an increase of proteolytic activity. Furthermore, ∆*cepIΔatsT* has the same phenotype as ∆*atsRΔcepI* and as expected, by complementing ∆*cepIΔatsT* with *atsT*, proteolytic activity decreases to ∆*cepI* levels whereas, *atsTD208A* is unable to complement. These results suggest that (i) AtsT indeed acts as a negative regulator, and (ii) is a direct target of AtsR contributing to the regulatory role of this protein on proteolytic activity and (iii) Asp-208 on AtsT is required for its function.

**2.4 Conclusion**

The predicted structural features of AtsR suggested this protein could not directly control gene expression because it lacks an effector domain. We demonstrate here three key properties of AtsR: (i) upon autophosphorylation AtsR transfers the phosphate to the response regulator AtsT without the participation of an intermediate histidine-phosphotransfer protein; (ii) AtsR function *in vitro* and *in vivo* depends on autophosphorylation of the His-245 residue, which is absolutely essential for initiation of signal transduction; (iii) the AtsR-RD and more specifically the Asp-536 to some extent plays a role in modulating the stability of phosphorylated AtsR.
**Figure 2.8:** Proteolytic activity of *B. cenocepacia* ∆atsT, ∆atsR∆atsT atsRΔRD+, ∆atsRΔcepI∆atsT atsRΔRD+, ∆atsR∆atsT atsR_D536A+ and ∆atsRΔcepI∆atsT atsR_D536A+.

A) *atsT* was deleted from *B. cenocepacia* K56-2∆atsR atsRΔRD+, ∆atsRΔcepI atsRΔRD+, ∆atsR atsR_D536A+ and ∆atsRΔcepI atsR_D536A+ backgrounds. Mutants were tested on D-BHI milk agar plates. Zones of clearing around the colonies were measured at 48 h of incubation at 37 °C. B) *atsT* was deleted from *B. cenocepacia* K56-2ΔcepI background and the resulting strain was complemented with either *atsT* or *atsT_d208A* at chromosomal level. Mutants were tested on D-BHI milk agar plates. C) Values are average radius in millimetres of three experiments performed in triplicates. The plates shown are representatives of three experiments performed in triplicate.
Other studies have shown that the sequential phosphotransfer between residues within the same hybrid sensor kinase modulates the phosphotransfer to the cytosolic response regulator and the overall response by determining the specificity for the cognate RR or by regulating the autokinase activity (2, 3, 39-42). The stability of the phosphorylated AtsR and AtsT determined by the pulse-chase kinetic experiments is remarkable as these proteins can maintain the response memory for at least 60 min, which agrees with their physiological function as negative regulators of gene expression. Comparative studies have suggested that the in vitro assay of HK autophosphorylation reflects, to a certain extent, the in vivo situation (43). For example, ArcB, NarQ, and NtrB with a high rate of phosphotransfer but a low level of autophosphorylation are able to respond quickly to changes in the environment thereby returning to the steady-state levels after transient activation or repression by external stresses, whereas, CheA and BaeS showed high levels of self-phosphorylation even though they had a slow signal transduction rate (43). In the case of AtsR, we find that the phosphate is transferred very quickly within 2 min from AtsR to AtsT, and both proteins are capable of prolonged phosphorylation. This may indicate that unlike some other systems (44, 45), AtsR might not have phosphatase activity although further experiments are needed to validate this notion. Based on the consistency of results obtained by in vitro and in vivo experimental approaches in this study, we propose a model (Fig. 2.9) in which AtsR autophosphorylates in vivo at His-245. The phosphate is then transferred to AtsT and partly to the AtsR receiver domain on Asp-536, as stable phosphorylation of the AtsR receiver domain was detected in vitro. Our data strongly support the notion that AtsR phosphorylation has a significant biological relevance as a global virulence regulator modulating the expression of proteases through AtsT.
**Figure 2.9:** Diagram indicating the regulatory relationship between AtsR and corresponding response regulator and its effect on proteolytic activity. OM, outer membrane; IM, inner membrane; His, histidine; Ala, alanine; Asp, aspartic acid; HTH, helix-turn-helix; P, phosphate.
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Comprehensive whole genome mapping and characterization of AtsR/AtsT regulatory network in *Burkholderia cenocepacia*

A version of this chapter is being prepared for submission:

Maryam Khodai-Kalaki, Daniel Aubert, Miguel A. Valvano. Comprehensive whole genome mapping and characterization of AtsR/AtsT regulatory network in *Burkholderia cenocepacia*. 
3.1 Introduction

*Burkholderia cenocepacia* is a prevalent member of the *B. cepacia* complex (Bcc), a group of closely related *Burkholderia* species that establish chronic lung infections in cystic fibrosis (CF) patients (1). Infection by *B. cenocepacia* can be transmitted from patient to patient and may lead to “cepacia syndrome”, a fatal form of necrotizing pneumonia (2). Experimentally, *B. cenocepacia* was shown to be virulent in several infection models including zebrafish (3), *Arabidopsis* (4), alfalfa, nematodes (5), and insect infection models (6), and can survive within amoebae and macrophages (7, 8). Survival in macrophages was also demonstrated in the lungs of infected patients undergoing transplant (9). This wide range of hosts and survival capabilities underscore the high adaptability of *B. cenocepacia* to establish infection in different niches, which may in part depend on regulatory networks allowing this bacterium to survive in different environments. Two-component system (TCS) regulators play a major role in regulation, as they allow coordinated expression of complex networks via changes in the expression of multiple genes in response to environmental cues (10).

We have previously identified AtsR (Adhesion and Type 6 secretion system Regulator) as a global virulence regulator in *B. cenocepacia* that modulates quorum sensing (QS) signalling and consequently the expression of QS-regulated virulence factors including biofilm formation and expression of a Type 6 secretion system (T6SS) (11, 12). I recently showed AtsR is a hybrid sensor kinase that regulates downstream cellular activities through direct phosphorylation of AtsT. Autophosphorylation of a conserved histidine initiates a signal transduction phosphorelay into its cognate response regulator AtsT (13). AtsT is a cytoplasmic transcriptional regulator containing an N-terminal helix-turn-helix
domain related to domains found in transcriptional repressors (14) and a C-terminal receiver domain with a conserved aspartate at position 208 at the C-terminus (13). Deletion of either atsR or atsT in B. cenocepacia K56-2 or amino acid replacements blocking phosphotransfer from AtsR to AtsT lead to increased extracellular proteolytic activity, attributed to a significant increase in the expression of metalloproteases, and swarming motility, in addition to overexpression of the T6SS (13). This indicated AtsT is regulated directly by AtsR via phosphorylation and consequently, controls the expression of the downstream components in the AtsR/AtsT signalling pathway (13).

In this work, I compare transcriptional responses of atsR mutant with AtsT genomic binding-location data to identify direct and indirect regulatory targets of the AtsR/AtsT signalling pathway, which is a key step to elucidating this regulatory network in B. cenocepacia. Expression profiles of B. cenocepacia lacking functional AtsR have been used to define AtsR-regulated genes. Since transcriptional analysis alone cannot distinguish between direct and indirect regulatory effects, we employed chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) followed by sequence identification of the DNA fragments associated with the precipitated AtsT as the transcriptional regulator. This is a method of choice for mapping protein-DNA interactions on a genome scale in eukaryotes and has been recently utilized for mapping the genomic binding sites of bacterial transcriptional regulators (15, 16).

To determine the binding locations of AtsT in B. cenocepacia, bioinformatic analyses of sequences corresponding to AtsT-enriched regions were used to predict individual binding sites and to search for a binding motif. The AtsT binding sites were then validated by DNase I footprinting. Additionally, gene expression profiling was performed
in the ΔatsR background. This combination of genome-wide approaches allowed us to identify additional operons under direct AtsT control, thereby providing a more complete understanding of the functional role of AtsR/AtsT signalling pathway in *B. cenocepacia*.

### 3.2 Materials and methods

#### 3.2.1 Bacterial strains, plasmids and growth conditions

Bacterial strains and plasmids used in this study are listed in Table 3.1. Bacteria were grown in LB at 37 °C unless indicated otherwise. *Escherichia coli* cultures were supplemented, as required, with the following antibiotics (final concentrations): tetracycline 30 μg/ml, kanamycin 30 μg/ml and trimethoprim 50 μg/ml. *B. cenocepacia* cultures were supplemented, as required, with trimethoprim 100 μg/ml, tetracycline 150 μg/ml, ampicillin 200 μg/ml and polymyxin B 20 μg/ml.

#### 3.2.2 General molecular techniques

DNA manipulations were performed as described previously (21). T4 DNA ligase (Roche Diagnostics, Laval, Quebec, Canada) and Antarctic phosphatase (New England Biolabs, Pickering, Ontario, Canada) were used as recommended by the manufacturers. Transformation of *E. coli* DH5α and *E. coli* GT115 was done by the calcium chloride method (22). Mobilization of complementing plasmids into *B. cenocepacia* K56-2 was performed by triparental mating using *E. coli* DH5α carrying the helper plasmid pRK2013 (23). DNA amplification by PCR was performed using Bio-Rad C1000™ Thermal Cycler with Taq or HotStar HiFidelity DNA polymerases (Qiagen, Canada). DNA sequences of all primers used in this study are described in Table 3.2. DNA sequencing was performed at the DNA sequencing Facility of York University, Toronto,
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain or Plasmid</th>
<th>Relevant Properties</th>
<th>Source / Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Strains</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. coli</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DH5α</td>
<td>F φ80lacZΔM15 endA recA hsdR(rK− mK−) nupG thi glnV deoR gyrA relA1 Δ(lacZYA-argF)U169</td>
<td>Laboratory stock</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GT115</td>
<td>F mcrA Δ(mrr-hsdRMS-mcrBC) φ80ΔlacZΔM15 ΔlacX74 recA1 rpsL endA1Δdcm uidA(ΔMluI)::pir-116 ΔsbcC-sbcD</td>
<td>Laboratory stock</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Plasmids</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PGSVTP-lux</td>
<td>Mobilizable suicide vector containing the lux operon, OriT; TpR</td>
<td>(17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDA154</td>
<td>pBcas0627::luxCDABE transcriptional fusion in pGSVTp-lux</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pET28a(+)</td>
<td>Cloning vector, IPTG inducible for N-terminal 6X His fusion, Kan^</td>
<td>Laboratory stock</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pMH447</td>
<td>pGPI-SceI derivative used for chromosomal complementation</td>
<td>(18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pRK2013</td>
<td>ori^coli^, RK2 derivative, Kan^, mob^, tra^</td>
<td>(19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pDAI-SceI-SacB</td>
<td>ori^RBRL^, Tet^, P^etn^, mob^, expressing I-SceI, SacB</td>
<td>(20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pMK3</td>
<td>pET28a(+) expressing AtsT-His, kan^</td>
<td>(13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pMZ24</td>
<td>pET28a(+) encoding B. cenocepacia atsR^265-605, 6X His, kan^</td>
<td>(13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Strains</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. cenocepacia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K56-2</td>
<td>ET12 clone related to J2315, CF clinical isolate</td>
<td>^bBCRRC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K56-2ΔatsR</td>
<td>Deletion of atsR in K56-2</td>
<td>(13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K56-2ΔatsR::atsR</td>
<td>Chromosomal atsR integration in K56-2 ΔatsR</td>
<td>(13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K56-2 ΔatsRΔcepI</td>
<td>Deletion of cepI in K56-2 ΔatsR</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K56-2</td>
<td>Chromosomal atsR integration in K56-2 ΔatsRΔcepI</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔatsRΔcepI::atsR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K56-2 ΔatsT</td>
<td>Deletion of atsR in K56-2</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K56-2 ΔatsT::atsT</td>
<td>Chromosomal atsT integration in K56-2 ΔatsT</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K56-2 ΔatsTΔcepI</td>
<td>Deletion of <em>cepI</em> in K56-2 ΔatsT</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K56-2 ΔatsTΔcepI::atsT</td>
<td>Chromosomal <em>atsT</em> integration in K56-2 ΔatsRΔcepI</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K56-2::PDA154</td>
<td>K56-2, pBcas0627::pGSVTp-<em>luxCDABE</em>; TpR</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDA35::PDA154</td>
<td>K56-2ΔatsR, pBcas0627::pGSVTp-<em>luxCDABE</em></td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DFA155</td>
<td>K56-2ΔatsR::atsR, pBcas0627::pGSVTp-<em>luxCDABE</em></td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DFA156</td>
<td>K56-2ΔatsRΔcepI, pBcas0627::pGSVTp-<em>luxCDABE</em></td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DFA157</td>
<td>K56-2ΔatsRΔcepI::atsR, pBcas0627::pGSVTp-<em>luxCDABE</em></td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MK111</td>
<td>K56-2ΔatsT, pBcas0627::pGSVTp-<em>luxCDABE</em></td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MK112</td>
<td>K56-2ΔatsT::atsT, pBcas0627::pGSVTp-<em>luxCDABE</em></td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MK113</td>
<td>K56-2ΔatsTΔcepI, pBcas0627::pGSVTp-<em>luxCDABE</em></td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MK114</td>
<td>K56-2ΔatsTΔcepI::atsT, pBcas0627::pGSVTp-<em>luxCDABE</em></td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Tp<sup>a</sup>, trimethoprim resistance, Kan<sup>b</sup>, kanamycin resistance, Tet<sup>a</sup>, tetracycline resistance.

<sup>b</sup> BCRRC, *B. cepacia* Research and Referral Repository for Canadian CF Clinics.
Table 3.2: List of primers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primer No.</th>
<th>Primer Name</th>
<th>Primer Sequence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5195</td>
<td>0627prom-For-Eco</td>
<td>TTTTGAAATTTCGTCGTTTGTTCTACCACCAAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5196</td>
<td>0627prom-Rev-Eco</td>
<td>TTTTGAAATTTCGCGTTTGTTCTACCACCAAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2794</td>
<td>cam0381-Rev-XbaI</td>
<td>TTTTCTAGAGTTCTCAGGCGGCGCT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5885</td>
<td>MH447-For</td>
<td>TTGATGCGAGGCTATTCTT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5886</td>
<td>MH447-Rev</td>
<td>CCAGTTCTTCACTGAGCAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7128</td>
<td>Bcal3312-For</td>
<td>TATAGAAATTCGCGGCAATCTTTA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7129</td>
<td>Bcal3312-Rev</td>
<td>TATACCATGAGCCGACAGCCGATCGATCGATCGA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7167</td>
<td>Bcas0627-For</td>
<td>CGTGCCGTTTTCTTGAGCAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7168</td>
<td>Bcam0192-For</td>
<td>CCGAAAGATATGAGAATGTCCG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7169</td>
<td>Bcam0192-Rev</td>
<td>GGGACTTCCTAGTAATGTC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7170</td>
<td>Bcam0382-For</td>
<td>CTCGATGCGGCTCTCCAGGGG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7171</td>
<td>Bcam0382-Rev</td>
<td>GGGTACTTCTCCTCAATTTTTATCGGCG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7204</td>
<td>Bcam0382-For</td>
<td>CTCGATGCGGCTCTCCAGGGG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7224</td>
<td>6-FAM-Bcas0627-For</td>
<td>CGTGCCGTTTTCTTGAGCAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7225</td>
<td>6-FAM-Bcam0382-For</td>
<td>CTCGATGCGGCTCTCCAGGGG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7536</td>
<td>SigE-RT-For</td>
<td>AGGAAACCAACCGGACTGATG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7537</td>
<td>SigE-RT-Rev</td>
<td>GCGACGCGTATTCCGAAACTTGT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7540</td>
<td>MtgA-RT-For</td>
<td>GAGAAGCTACATCCGCAAGG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7541</td>
<td>MtgA-RT-Rev</td>
<td>TCGCGGGAATCTGAGAATGTAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7546</td>
<td>DppA-RT-For</td>
<td>ACTTCACCGGCAATCCGAGT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7547</td>
<td>DppA-RT-Rev</td>
<td>GAATTCCATCGCCATGTT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7548</td>
<td>FlhD-RT-For</td>
<td>RACACGCGGAAATCTGCTCAGT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7549</td>
<td>FlhD-RT-Rev</td>
<td>CATACCCATCGCTGTTT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7550</td>
<td>bcm0382-RT-For</td>
<td>GAGAAGCTGCTGCAGATG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7551</td>
<td>bcm0382-RT-Rev</td>
<td>CCGAGTACCAGTAGCCGTA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7552</td>
<td>0338-RT-For</td>
<td>ACCAACATACAGCGACAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7553</td>
<td>0338-RT-Rev</td>
<td>ATCAGCTTGACCTGCTTT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7554</td>
<td>ArgD-RT-For</td>
<td>GTGATGTTGCGGTATTT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7555</td>
<td>ArgD-RT-Rev</td>
<td>GTCCGACTCCCTGTTGCA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7556</td>
<td>Bcal0849-RT-For</td>
<td>CGACATCAACCCGAGAAGTTC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7557</td>
<td>Bcal0849-RT-Rev</td>
<td>CCCTCTGTCTCTCATGAGT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7558</td>
<td>Bcal0511-RT-For</td>
<td>GTTCGATGCGCGAACATTCTT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7559</td>
<td>Bcal0511-RT-Rev</td>
<td>GTGCGAGACGAGGGACATTCTT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7595</td>
<td>FliC-RT-For</td>
<td>GTTGCAGACGAGAAACCTCAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7596</td>
<td>FliC-RT-Rev</td>
<td>GTTGCAGACGAGAAACCTCAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7597</td>
<td>Bcal0051-RT-For</td>
<td>GAAGCTGTGCTGCAAATGG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Primer Name</td>
<td>Sequence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7598</td>
<td>Bcal0051-RT-Rev</td>
<td>GCGATAGATGATGCTGGTGA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7599</td>
<td>1293-RT-For</td>
<td>CATCTCCGACTGCAAACCA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7600</td>
<td>1293-RT-Rev</td>
<td>AAGAATCGGTTCGATGACAGC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7601</td>
<td>Bcal0340-RT-For</td>
<td>CGACTGCGGAAGTGTCTTCAAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7602</td>
<td>Bcal0340-RT-Rev</td>
<td>CGTAATGGTTTTGTGCGAACACT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7603</td>
<td>Bcas0080-RT-For</td>
<td>ATTCTCGGTCACGATGG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7604</td>
<td>Bcas0080-RT-Rev</td>
<td>CGAACAGCAGGTCTCAGTCTT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7605</td>
<td>Bcas0034-RT-For</td>
<td>AAGTGGCGTTCTGGGAGAAT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7606</td>
<td>Bcas0034-RT-Rev</td>
<td>CGATGATTTCGCGTGGACT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7607</td>
<td>189-RT-For</td>
<td>CGGGTTTTGGTAGCACT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7608</td>
<td>189-RT-Rev</td>
<td>CTCGATCAGCAGGTAATCTCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7609</td>
<td>Bcas0118-RT-For</td>
<td>GAGGAAACTCAGAACACAAAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7610</td>
<td>Bcas0118-RT-Rev</td>
<td>GCGAAATTCACAAAAGCTC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7611</td>
<td>0627-RT-For</td>
<td>GCGACAAGGAATCGAAAAAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7612</td>
<td>0627-RT-Rev</td>
<td>TACGGATAGCGCCAGACACCCA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7613</td>
<td>Bcas0110-RT-For</td>
<td>GTCGATCAAGCTACAGCAGACACA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7614</td>
<td>Bcas0110-RT-Rev</td>
<td>TGGTCTTGAAGCTGTCTGG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7615</td>
<td>Bcal1296-RT-For</td>
<td>GCGGCTCTCAAAAATGGAAT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7616</td>
<td>Bcal1296-RT-Rev</td>
<td>CAGGTGTTGAAACCGTGTG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7617</td>
<td>Bcal1079-RT-For</td>
<td>GGTGACAGCGACAAACCTCA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7618</td>
<td>Bcal1079-RT-Rev</td>
<td>AGTAGTCGCGATGCTCCAG</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Canada. BLAST was used to analyse the sequenced genome of *B. cenocepacia* strain J2315.

### 3.2.3 Chromatin immunoprecipitation

Despite several attempts for *in vivo* chromatin immunoprecipitation the assay failed (Appendix A) and we developed an *in vitro* assay that captures the AtsT protein bound to its corresponding DNA regions. DNA was isolated from K56-2 WT as described previously (11). Purified AtsT-His or BSA was added to the K56-2 DNA in a reaction buffer containing poly dI-dC, 10 mM Tris (pH 7.5), 120 mM KCl, 20% glycerol, 1 mM MgCl$_2$ and 1 mM MnCl$_2$ and incubated for 30 min at room temperature. Formaldehyde was added to the final concentration of 0.05% for 5 min followed by DNAse I digestion for 1 min. The reaction was incubated at 70 °C for 10 min and the AtsT-DNA complex was pulled down using sepharose beads coated with anti-His antibody. The reaction was incubated with beads for 1 h at 4 °C followed by washing with a buffer containing 100 mM sodium phosphate, 600 mM NaCl and 10 mM imidazole. The AtsT-DNA complex then was eluted using increased gradient concentration of imidazole (120-400 mM). The eluates were incubated at 65 °C overnight to reverse crosslinks. Samples were incubated with 8μL of 10 mg/mL RNaseA for 2 h at 37 °C and then with 4 μL of 20 mg/mL proteinase K at 55 °C for 1h and were purified with Qiagen MinElute Reaction Cleanup Kit and confirmed with PCR using primers to amplify Bcas0627. Experiments were performed in triplicate.

### 3.2.4 Next-Generation Sample Preparation and Sequencing

Ten ng of enriched ChIP DNA from three biological replicates and one input DNA were
submitted to the Sequencing Core Facility in the Robarts Research Institute, University of Western Ontario, Canada, and processed for library construction and Illumina MiSeq 1x50 bp SE sequencing cartridges. Samples were processed by end repairing and the addition of a polyA tail as described by NEBNext ChIP-Seq sample preparation protocols from NewEngland Biolabs. The sequence reads were mapped to the *B. cenocepacia* J2315 genome using CLC genomic workbench software. A read track was created and unmapped reads were deleted. The detection of the enrichment was performed based on the comparison with the control sample to correct for sequencing biases such as genomic regions that are more accessible or repeated regions. Peak shape filter was applied to the experimental data to call peaks and a score was calculated at each genomic position. The score was obtained by extracting the genomic coverage profile of a window centered at the genomic position and then comparing this profile to the peak shape filter. The result of this comparison was defined as peak shape score. Once the peak shape score for the complete genome was calculated, the center of the peak was then identified as the genomic region with the highest peak shape score and the boundaries were determined by the genomic positions where the peak shape score becomes negative. The threshold for reporting peaks was set as Maximum P-value for peak calling 0.001, 0.0005 or 0.0001. Other parameters were set to the most stringent mode possible allowing maximum mismatch of 2, Insertion cost at 3, Deletion cost at 3, Length fraction at 0.5 and Similarity fraction at 0.8. We combined the peaks generated from all three samples and required that a peak be called in at least two of the three experiments to be considered an AtsT binding site. The average fragment length was 126 bp and a window size of 250 bp. The peaks were then annotated with nearby genes including the name of the nearest 5' and 3' genes, and the distance from the nearest upstream or downstream gene.
3.2.5 Motif detection

Sequences associated with peaks were extracted and used for motif analysis using MEME-ChIP (24) with motif occurrence set as “zero or one per sequence”, minimum width as 6 and other parameters as default.

3.2.6 RNA isolation and quantitative RT-PCR

K56-2 ΔatsT and K56-2 WT cells were grown overnight and then diluted to OD$_{600}$ of 0.05 in 5 ml of LB. Cells were grown at 37 °C to the OD$_{600}$ of 0.7 at 200 rpm then collected by centrifugation at 16000 ×g for 1.5 min. RNA was prepared using the Promega SV RNA isolation kit and treated with DNAse I (Qiagen Inc., Mississauga, ON, Canada) following the manufacturer’s protocol. cDNA was prepared from 1 µg of RNA using Qiagen cDNA synthesis kit. For ChIP-seq peak validation, relative-abundance quantitative RT-PCR (qRT-PCR) was performed with Wisent Fast SYBR green mix using Applied Biosystems StepOne Plus Real time PCR system. The thermal cycling conditions were 95 °C for 2 min, 40 cycles at 95 °C for 3 sec, 60 °C for 30 sec, and 72 °C for 15 sec. After the PCR a melt curve was obtained by increasing the temperature from 72 °C to 95 °C in 1 °C increments. Each gene was normalized with RpoE ($Bcam0918$) as an endogenous control and fold changes were calculated using the $2^{\Delta\Delta CT}$ Method (25). Data were calculated from 3 independent experiments in triplicate.

3.2.7 Transcriptional fusions to luxCDABE and luminescence assays

The promoter region from $Bcas0627$ was PCR amplified using primers 5195-5196. The PCR product was digested with EcoRI and cloned into the EcoRI digested and dephosphorylated pGSVTp-lux plasmid. The resulting plasmid contained the promoter
region of *Bcas0627* fused to the *luxCDABE* reporter system. The plasmid was mobilized into K56-2 and the appropriate mutants by triparental mating. Transconjugants were selected on LB agar plates containing 100 μg/ml of trimethoprim (Tp), 200 μg/ml ampicillin and 20 μg/ml gentamicin.

Luminescence assays were performed as described (12) to measure the expression of *Bcas0627* in different mutant backgrounds including K56-2 WT, ΔatsR, ΔatsT, ΔatsR::atsR (ΔatsR complemented at the chromosomal level with atsR), ΔatsRΔcepI, ΔatsRΔcepI::atsR, ΔatsT::atsT and ΔatsTΔcepI::atsT (Table 3.1). Overnight cultures were diluted into fresh medium with trimethoprim 100 mg/ml at OD$_{600}$ of 0.05. Three hundred μl of sample was loaded in triplicate in a 100-well honeycomb microtitre plate for Bioscreen C automated microbiology growth curve analysis. Growth rates were followed by measuring the OD$_{600}$ at 37 °C every hour under continuous shaking. At 6 h, 9 h, 12 h, 15 h and 18 h post-inoculation, the Bioscreen was paused and three aliquots from each strain were transferred into a flat bottom 96-well microtiter plate (Microfluor 2White, Thermo Scientific) and luminescence (in RLU) was measured using a Fluoroskan Ascent FL (Thermo Labsystems). Expression levels of each gene of interest in the different strain backgrounds were calculated as RLU/OD$_{600}$ for each of the six time-points. Each experiment was repeated independently two times.

### 3.2.8 Protein purification and Electromobility Shift Assays

C-terminal His-tagged AtsT and AtsR expressed in *E. coli* BL21 were purified by affinity chromatography as described before (13). Five-prime oligos labeled with IRDYE682 purchased from Operon. The amplified labeled DNA duplexes (150 ng) were
purified with QIAquick PCR purification kit (Qiagen) and incubated with various concentrations of AtsT-His in the presence of poly dI-dC and run on a native polyacrylamide gel (8%) in 1X TBE buffer. Band shifts were visualized by Odyssey imaging system (Licor Biosciences). Experiments were repeated three times.

3.2.9 Non-radioactive DNase I footprinting

To examine AtsT binding sites identified by ChIP-seq, we used fluorescently labeled primers in standard DNase I protection assays using an Applied BioSystems 3730x1 DNA analyzer. DNA fragments (approximately 250 bp in size) containing predicted AtsT binding sites were amplified using a primer labeled with the 6-carboxyfluorescein (6-FAM) fluorescent dye. PCR products were purified using the QIAquick PCR purification kit (Qiagen). Binding reaction mixtures consisted of 150 ng of labeled DNA probe and 5-10 µM AtsT in binding buffer (30 mM Tris-HCl pH 7, 1 mM MnCl₂, 120 mM KCl, and 1 mM MgCl₂). These were incubated at 37 °C for 10 min, transferred to room temperature, and allowed to cool for 2 min. DNase I (0.1 U or 0.2 Unit enzyme; New England BioLabs) was added to the reaction mixtures and digestion was allowed to proceed for 2 min at room temperature. The reaction was stopped by the addition of DNase I stop solution (20 mM EDTA pH 8, 1% SDS, and 200 nM NaCl). The DNA was purified with QIAquick PCR purification kit (Qiagen) and submitted to the Carver Biotechnology center at Illinois University for fragment analysis on an Applied BioSystems 3730x1 DNA analyzer. The results were visualized using Gene mapper Software.

3.2.10 Gene expression profiling with microarray

Bacteria were grown at 37 °C for 16 h and then diluted to an OD₆₀₀ of 0.05 in 30 ml LB.
Diluted cultures of *B. cenocepacia* K56-2 and the K56-2 *atsR::pDA27* mutant, DFA21, were grown at 37 °C until exponential phase, harvested and immediately used for RNA extraction. Total RNA was isolated using a RiboPure-Bacteria kit (Ambion, Austin, TX), according to the manufacturer’s instructions. RNA samples were treated with 8 U of DNaseI, which was inactivated using DNase inactivation reagent (Ambion, Austin, TX). RNA was concentrated with LiCl and the concentration of RNA samples was measured using a NanoDrop ND-1000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Wilmington, DE). RNA integrity was assessed by agarose gel electrophoresis and by measuring the ratio of absorbance at 260 nm to 280 nm (values obtained between 2.0 and 2.2). RNA was prepared in three independent experiments for microarray analysis. Labeled cDNA was synthesized from RNA using CyScribe Post Labeling kit (GE Healthcare) and hybridized to custom *B. cenocepacia* microarrays (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA) according to the Agilent 60-mer oligonucleotide microarray processing protocol, and scanned by the Mahenthiralingam Laboratory, Cardiff University, Wales as previously described (26). The raw Data was imported into GeneSpring (version 7.3.1) and normalized using the "Agilent FE" procedure. The t-test p-value (< 0.05) was used to filter the genes of confidence with application of two multiple testing correction parameters Benjamini-Hochberg false discovery rate (BH_FDR) and “Bonferroni” correction. An arbitrary cutoff value of 2-fold ratio change (either upregulation or repression) was chosen to identify genes that were differentially expressed between K56-2 wild type and K56-2 *atsR::pDA27*.

3.3 Results and discussion

3.3.1 Microarray analysis of *atsR* mutant and wild-type strains
I previously characterized the global role of AtsR in regulating QS signalling and the expression of QS regulated virulence factors (12). To identify the AtsR regulon, microarray analysis was conducted by comparing the gene expression profiles in wild type and atsR mutant strains. ORFs that showed a >2-fold difference were considered to be differentially expressed. Overall, 36 ORFs and 8 intergenic regions were upregulated (Fig. 3.1A&B) and 89 ORFs and 16 intergenic regions were downregulated (Fig. 3.1B) in the absence of a functional AtsR. The upregulated regions encoded 16 conserved hypothetical proteins with unknown functions, 3 putative lipoproteins, 2 T6SS related genes including Hcp (+6-fold) and BCAL1294 (VgrG, +3-fold), and other genes involved in QS, chemotaxis, transport and regulatory functions (Table 3.3). Notably, we observed a significant fold change among genes encoding the putative lipoproteins Bcam0382 and Bcas0627 with up to 102 and 99-fold increase, respectively. Also, a 30-fold increase in the expression of CepR2 (Bcam0188) was observed. CepR2 is a regulator of QS genes including zinc metaloproteases and of the Bcam0192 operon (27), which is also detected in our microarrays. Of the 105 downregulated regions, 12 genes were flagella-related, implying a role of AtsR to stimulate flagellum formation or motility. Six genes encode chemotaxis related proteins including CheY and CheZ and 9 DNA binding regulatory proteins. The remaining genes belong to other functional categories (Table 3.3).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene Name</th>
<th>Normalized Data</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BCAL0343</td>
<td>6.972</td>
<td>type VI secretion system protein TssD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCAL0358</td>
<td>3.917</td>
<td>family M1 metallopeptidase /aminopeptidase N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCAL1294</td>
<td>3.094</td>
<td>type VI secretion system secreted protein VgrG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCAL1295</td>
<td>2.637</td>
<td>conserved hypothetical protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCAL1387</td>
<td>4.638</td>
<td>putative phosphatase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCAL1952</td>
<td>2.595</td>
<td>LysM domain/BON superfamily protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCAL3228</td>
<td>2.688</td>
<td>hypothetical protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCAM0067</td>
<td>2.226</td>
<td>putative short chain dehydrogenase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCAM0068</td>
<td>2.887</td>
<td>Major Facilitator Superfamily protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCAM0069</td>
<td>3.061</td>
<td>conserved hypothetical protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCAM0070</td>
<td>2.798</td>
<td>putative hydrolase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCAM0188</td>
<td>2.005</td>
<td>N-acylhomoserine lactone dependent regulatory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCAM0192</td>
<td>31.04</td>
<td>conserved hypothetical protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCAM0194</td>
<td>29.7</td>
<td>conserved hypothetical protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCAM0196</td>
<td>15.44</td>
<td>conserved hypothetical protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCAM0200</td>
<td>7.265</td>
<td>efflux system transport protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCAM0202</td>
<td>2.031</td>
<td>conserved hypothetical protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCAM0382</td>
<td>87.05</td>
<td>putative lipoprotein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCAM0384</td>
<td>44.55</td>
<td>putative lipoprotein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCAM0633</td>
<td>2.091</td>
<td>conserved hypothetical protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCAM0785</td>
<td>2.301</td>
<td>conserved hypothetical protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCAM0821</td>
<td>2.575</td>
<td>putative methyl-accepting chemotaxis protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCAM0835</td>
<td>3.252</td>
<td>AraC family regulatory protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCAM1023</td>
<td>2.082</td>
<td>putative selenocysteine-specific elongation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCAM1103</td>
<td>3.128</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCAM1106</td>
<td>2.928</td>
<td>putative oxidoreductase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCAM1345</td>
<td>2.729</td>
<td>conserved hypothetical protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCAM1869</td>
<td>2.173</td>
<td>conserved hypothetical protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCAM2153</td>
<td>2.088</td>
<td>putative cytochrome P450 oxidoreductase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCAM2154</td>
<td>2.715</td>
<td>conserved hypothetical protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCAM2425</td>
<td>2.292</td>
<td>conserved hypothetical protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCAS0153</td>
<td>2.27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCAS0627</td>
<td>99.35</td>
<td>putative lipoprotein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCAS0664</td>
<td>2.88</td>
<td>conserved hypothetical protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCAS0666</td>
<td>2.446</td>
<td>putative ankyrin-repeat exported protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IG1_1411086</td>
<td>2.955</td>
<td>upstream of BCAL1297 /interG_chr1_pos_767_1411086:1411195</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IG1_2151274</td>
<td>4.529</td>
<td>upstream of BCAL1952 /interG_chr1_pos_1124_2151274:2151732</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IG1_2166257</td>
<td>2.995</td>
<td>Spans BCAL1968-1973 /interG_chr1_pos_1130_2166257:2171209</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>IG1_368927</strong></td>
<td>4.551</td>
<td>upstream of BCAL0343 (Hcp)/interG_chr1_pos_243_368927:368996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>IG2_1491140</strong></td>
<td>3.083</td>
<td>Spans BCAM1343-1348/interG_chr2_pos_769_1491140:1498982</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>IG2_2676155</strong></td>
<td>2.241</td>
<td>BCAM2377 + surroundings/interG_chr2_pos_1268_2676155:2677543</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>IG2_429106</strong></td>
<td>116.1</td>
<td>Spans AtsR-AtsT+ upstream of BCAM0382 (putative lipoprotein)/interG_chr2_pos_211_429106:432827</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>IG2_433416</strong></td>
<td>102.1</td>
<td>upstream BCAM0383 (putative lipoprotein)/interG_chr2_pos_212_433416:433537</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>pBCA055</strong></td>
<td>2.267</td>
<td>putative protein</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.3.2 Promoter activity assay

According to our microarray data, Bcas0627 is one of the most upregulated genes in absence of AtsR. To confirm and validate our microarray results, the promoter activity of Bcas0627 was monitored in WT and single ΔatsR or double mutant ΔcepIΔatsR strains using a luciferase reporter system. We showed previously that several virulence factors, including swarming motility and the secretion of zinc metalloproteases are positively regulated by CepI and negatively regulated by AtsR (12). As shown in Fig. 3.1C, Bcas0627 maximum expression occurs in the absence of AtsR at 9 h. Bcas0627 expression in ΔcepIΔatsR followed the same pattern as in ΔatsR except that expression levels were consistently higher. Interestingly, Bcas0627 promoter activity was almost null in the presence of AtsR either in WT strain or ΔcepIΔatsR::atsR and ΔatsR::atsR mutants that are complemented with atsR. Together, these results confirm our microarrays data regarding Bcas0627, which its expression is indeed upregulated in the absence of AtsR.

I previously showed that ΔatsT and ΔatsR mutants have similar phenotypes including increased biofilm formation, upregulation of T6SS activity and increased extracellular proteolytic activity (13) and showed that AtsR/AtsT pair act as a two-component system both in vivo and in vitro (13). Since AtsT and AtsR are in the same regulatory pathway, we expect that regulatory role of AtsR on lipoproteins takes place via AtsT. To determine if the putative lipoprotein BCAS0627 is indeed regulated by AtsT, the Bcas0627::luxCDABE transcriptional fusion was introduced into ΔatsT, ΔatsT::atsT, ΔcepIΔatsT and ΔcepIΔatsT::atsT mutant strains. Interestingly, the relative light unit (RLU)/OD_{600} values in the ΔatsT background are similar to ΔatsR, indicating that there is a direct correlation between the Bcas0627 promoter activity and the presence of AtsT.
The highest luminescence was detected in the K56-2ΔatsTΔcepI double mutant where atsT and cepI are both deleted. Similarly, the K56-2ΔatsT single mutant has high levels of promoter activity; whereas, mutants complemented with atsT have reduced levels of luminescence (Fig.3.1D). Together, these data confirm that the expression of Bcas0627 is regulated by AtsR/AtsT two component system and that AtsT works downstream of AtsR.

3.3.3 Confirmation of AtsT binding via electromobility gel shift assay

To investigate whether the AtsT binds directly to the DNA sequences upstream of Bcam0382, Bcas0627 and Bcam0192 identified by microarray, we carried out electrophoretic mobility shift assays (EMSA) with His-tagged AtsT protein.IRDYE682 labeled PCR fragments of about 190-360 bp in size were purified and incubated with various concentrations of AtsT-His (0-40 μM) with a DNA concentration of 150 ng. This varied protein to DNA ratio was necessary to address relative affinity differences between the promoter fragments. We detected higher molecular weight bands for Bcam0382 and Bcas0627 promoter regions when incubated with AtsT protein. Only 2 μM and 10 μM of AtsT was sufficient for Bcam0382 and Bcas0627 DNA sequences respectively to obtain an almost complete shift, whereas such shift was minimal for Bcam0192 even with a concentration of 20 μM of AtsT protein in the reaction. Further experiments revealed that the Bcam0192 promoter sequence has lower affinity for AtsT and binding occurs in the presence of higher concentration of AtsT (Fig. 3.2A). To test if DNA-binding ability of AtsT is dispensable from its phosphorylation status, Bcam0382 and Bcas0627 promoter regions where incubated with phosphorylated protein and band shifts were compared to that of the reaction where DNA was incubated with unphosphorylated AtsT. Interestingly, DNA shifts were comparable for both promoter sequences regardless of AtsT phosphorylation status (Fig. 3.2B). Together, these data indicate that in vitro unphosphorylated AtsT is capable of binding DNA sites.
Figure 3.1: Expression of Bcas0627 is dependent on the presence of functional AtsR and AtsT. A) Expression profiles of representative genes upregulated >3 folds in the absence of a functional AtsR. B) Pie chart representing percentage of up or downregulation of expression profiles regarding their genomic position in atsR mutant strain. Promoter activity assay of Bcas0627 in the presence or absence of AtsR (C) or AtsT (D). Bacterial growth (OD\textsubscript{600}, lines) and luminescence (RLU/OD\textsubscript{600}, bars) reflecting Bcas0627 expression in the different strain backgrounds were measured. Values are average ± SD for triplicates and are representative of three independent experiments.
with varying affinities which may have an impact on the sensitivity of promoters to the phosphorylation state of AtsT. In other words, the different binding affinities to the three promoters we tested here may allow the fine-tuning of expression in response to AtsT-P levels with varying levels of signaling molecule(s).

To test the specificity of AtsT binding to the promoter sequences, DNA binding was carried out in the presence of varying concentrations of unlabeled promoters (as specific competitor). A 100-fold excess of DNA was required to reverse the band shift for Bcam0382 indicating higher affinity of AtsT for this promoter sequence (Fig. 3.2C, lane 9), whereas, AtsT binding to the labeled Bcas0627 promoter was reduced by adding a 50-fold excess of unlabeled probe (Fig. 3.2D, lane 7). Further support for the specificity of the interaction with DNA was provided by experiments in which a nonspecific fragment corresponding to the Bcal3310 upstream sequence was used, and as expected, no shift in DNA mobility was detected with an identical fold excess of nonspecific competitor DNA (Fig. 3.2C right panel & 3.2D lanes 8-10) reflecting that AtsT binding is sequence specific.
Figure 3.2: Electrophoretic mobility shift *in vitro* with the *Bcam0382*, *Bcas0627* and *Bcam0192* promoter fragments and purified AtsT-His protein. A) The DNA fragments (150 ng) from promoter regions of *Bcam0382* (380 bp), *Bcas0627* (200 bp) and *Bcam0192* (190 bp) were incubated with AtsT-His in the presence of poly dI-dC and run on a native polyacrylamide gel (8%), in 1X TBE buffer. AtsT binding was assessed on the basis of the amount of shifted material for each fragment in the presence of various
concentrations of AtsT-His. B) The DNA fragments (150 ng) from promoter regions of *Bcam0382* and *Bcas0627* were incubated with 5 µM or 10 µM of either unphosphorylated AtsT-His or phosphorylated AtsT-His in a reaction containing 10 µM AtsR and 100 mM ATP and run on a native polyacrylamide gel (8%), in 1X TBE buffer. C) Specificity of AtsT binding to *Bcam0382* promoter sequence in the presence of specific (left panel) and non-specific sequence (right panel) were tested with increasing concentration of DNA in the reaction from 10x (lane 3 and 6), 20x (lane 4 and 7), 50x (lane 5 and 8) to 100x (lane 9). D) Specificity of AtsT binding to *Bcas0627* promoter sequence in the presence of specific (lanes 2-7) and non-specific sequence (lanes 8-10) were tested with increasing concentration of DNA in the reaction from 2x (lane 3), 5x (lane 4), 10x (lane 5 and 8), 20x (lanes 6 and 9) to 50x (lane 7 and 10).
3.3.4 Confirmation of AtsT binding via DNase I footprinting

To examine and validate the AtsT binding site in the promoter regions of \textit{Bcas0627} and \textit{Bcam0382}, we used fluorescently labeled primers for DNase I protection assays. DNA fragments containing potential AtsT binding site were amplified using a primer labeled with the 6-carboxyfluorescein (6-FAM) fluorescent dye. PCR products were purified and digested by DNase I in a binding reaction mixture. The results were visualized using Gene mapper Software. Protein binding sites were indicated by the disappearance of the peaks. As shown in Fig. 3.3, AtsT protected a region from DNase I digestion which is located upstream of the \textit{Bcas0627} and the \textit{Bcam0382} start codons, respectively (Fig. 3.3A&B upper panels). When the same experiment was performed in the absence of Atst, theses regions were not protected (Fig. 3.3A&B lower panels).

3.3.5 Isolation of DNA fragments associated with AtsT

Our aim was to use ChIP to measure the distribution of AtsT across the genome of \textit{B. cenocepacia}. Using the CLC genomics workbench, we analyzed three independent ChIP-seq runs using BSA ChIP as a control. We mapped a total of 17,241,315 reads to the \textit{B. cenocepacia} J2315 genome compared to 238,990 reads for control experiment. After mapping the reads to the reference genome, the ChIP-Seq analysis was done by calling peaks based on detection of signals to identify genomic regions with significantly enriched read coverage and a read distribution with a characteristic shape such as shown in Fig. 3.4A. We combined the peaks generated from all three samples and required that a peak be called in at least two of the three experiments to be considered an AtsT binding site. Representative regions displaying predicted AtsT binding sites for three different p-
Figure 3.3: Fragment analysis of AtsT-binding site by DNaseI footprinting. Graphs indicate the interaction of 10 or 15 µM AtsT or BSA (no AtsT panel) with promoter regions of Bcas0627 (A) and Bcam0382 (B). Arrows point to the missing peaks in both top panels of A and B graphs where AtsT is present in the reaction. This suggests a binding site for AtsT in those areas that is protected from digestion. These pictures are screen shots of the graphs on Gene mapper software.
values annotated with J2315 genome are shown in Fig. 3.4B. A total of 380, 218 and 93 enriched regions were identified for threshold p-values of 0.001, 0.0005 and 0.0001, respectively. We focused on analysing the regions obtained from the p-value setting of 0.0005 and these enriched sequences were extracted. Of these 218 regions, almost 46% were intergenic (Fig. 3.4C) were chosen for further characterization. The rest are inside genes or have overlap with the ends. Complete extracted sequences can be found in Appendix B.
Figure 3.4: Pattern of AtsT ChIP-Seq peaks identified upstream of the AtsT-regulated genes (A). Representative image of genome-wide binding sites of AtsT with 3 different threshold settings (B). Pie chart of AtsT-binding peaks annotated relatively to the nearest gene translation start in *B. cenocepacia* genome (C).
Table 3.4: List of genes annotated with the enriched AtsT loci obtained from ChIP-Seq analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chromosome</th>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Length</th>
<th>5’ gene</th>
<th>3’ gene</th>
<th>Function</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NC_011000</td>
<td>3253014..3253166</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>BCAL2969</td>
<td>BCAL2969a</td>
<td>hypothetical protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC_011000</td>
<td>3247579..3247713</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>BCAL2965C</td>
<td>BCAL2965D</td>
<td>hypothetical protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC_011000</td>
<td>3247833..3248013</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>BCAL2965D</td>
<td>BCAL2966</td>
<td>hypothetical protein; K06919 putative DNA primase/helicase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC_011000</td>
<td>3244246..3244373</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>BCAL2962a</td>
<td>BCAL2962b</td>
<td>hypothetical protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC_011000</td>
<td>3252472..3252600</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>BCAL2969</td>
<td>BCAL2969a</td>
<td>hypothetical protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC_011000</td>
<td>3244006..3244151</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>BCAL2962a</td>
<td>BCAL2962a</td>
<td>hypothetical protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC_011000</td>
<td>3254478..3254652</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>BCAL2971</td>
<td>BCAL2971a</td>
<td>hypothetical protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC_011002</td>
<td>36502..36690</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>BCAS0033</td>
<td>BCAS0034</td>
<td>metallo-beta-lactamase superfamily protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC_011002</td>
<td>204538..204677</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>BCAS0188A</td>
<td>BCAS0189</td>
<td>hypothetical protein; K11312 cupin 2 domain-containing protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC_011000</td>
<td>3241596..3241765</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>BCALr2960</td>
<td>BCAL2961</td>
<td>putative integrase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC_011000</td>
<td>3247147..3247344</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>BCAL2965a</td>
<td>BCAL2965b</td>
<td>hypothetical protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC_011001</td>
<td>432522..432657</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>BCAM0381</td>
<td>BCAM0382</td>
<td>hypothetical lipoprotein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC_011000</td>
<td>3253510..3253673</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>BCAL2970</td>
<td>BCAL2971</td>
<td>hypothetical protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC_011002</td>
<td>716403..716546</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>BCAS0654</td>
<td>BCAS0656</td>
<td>putative transposase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC_011002</td>
<td>130355..130492</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>BCAS0117</td>
<td>BCAS0118</td>
<td>putative H-NS family DNA-binding protein; K03746 DNA-binding protein H-NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC_011000</td>
<td>253951..254114</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>BCAL0218c</td>
<td>tufA1</td>
<td>elongation factor Tu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC_011002</td>
<td>422485..422621</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>BCAS0360</td>
<td>BCAS0361</td>
<td>LysR family regulatory protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC_011000</td>
<td>3737727..3737897</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>BCAL3411</td>
<td>mtgA</td>
<td>monofunctional biosynthetic peptidoglycan transglycosylase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC_011002</td>
<td>12093..122278</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>BCAS0109</td>
<td>BCAS0110</td>
<td>periplasmic solute-binding protein; K10018 octopine/nopaline transport system substrate-binding protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC_011000</td>
<td>812763..812898</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>BCAL0747</td>
<td>BCAL0748</td>
<td>hypothetical protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC_011002</td>
<td>710870..711047</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>BCAS0649</td>
<td>BCAS0650</td>
<td>putative transposase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC_011000</td>
<td>365924..366070</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>BCAL0339</td>
<td>BCAL0340</td>
<td>putative lipoprotein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC_011002</td>
<td>88283..88413</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>cpoF</td>
<td>BCAS0080</td>
<td>putative pyridine nucleotide-disulphide oxidoreductase family protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC_011001</td>
<td>3025995..3026135</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>BCAM2678</td>
<td>BCAM2679</td>
<td>hypothetical protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC_011002</td>
<td>138427..138569</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>BCAS0124</td>
<td>BCAS0125</td>
<td>hypothetical protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC_011001</td>
<td>2790921..2791083</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>BCAM2466</td>
<td>BCAM2467</td>
<td>putative transposase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC_011000</td>
<td>61713..61898</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>BCAL0050</td>
<td>BCAL0051</td>
<td>periplasmic solute-binding protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accession</td>
<td>Start</td>
<td>End</td>
<td>Gene 1</td>
<td>Gene 2</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC_011002</td>
<td>390461</td>
<td>390594</td>
<td>BCAS0334</td>
<td>BCAS0335</td>
<td>putative haemagglutinin-related autotransporter protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC_011000</td>
<td>596436</td>
<td>596589</td>
<td>BCAL0543</td>
<td>dppA</td>
<td>putative periplasmic dipeptide transport protein; K12368 dipeptide transport system substrate-binding protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC_011002</td>
<td>713043</td>
<td>713195</td>
<td>BCAS0650b</td>
<td>BCAS0652</td>
<td>putative transposase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC_011000</td>
<td>34503</td>
<td>34658</td>
<td>atpB</td>
<td>atpE</td>
<td>F0F1 ATP synthase subunit C (EC:3.6.3.14); K02110 F-type H+-transporting ATPase subunit c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC_011002</td>
<td>7278</td>
<td>7422</td>
<td>BCAM0005A</td>
<td>BCAM0006</td>
<td>hypothetical protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC_011002</td>
<td>11987</td>
<td>12129</td>
<td>BCAS0010</td>
<td>BCAS0011</td>
<td>hypothetical protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC_011001</td>
<td>272000</td>
<td>2720185</td>
<td>BCAM2415</td>
<td>BCAM2416</td>
<td>hypothetical protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC_011002</td>
<td>788081</td>
<td>788216</td>
<td>BCAS0717</td>
<td>BCAS0718</td>
<td>transposase; K07497 putative transposase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC_011002</td>
<td>748460</td>
<td>748598</td>
<td>BCAS0680</td>
<td>BCAS0683</td>
<td>glycosyltransferase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC_011000</td>
<td>124657</td>
<td>1246713</td>
<td>BCAL1146</td>
<td>BCAL1147</td>
<td>TonB-dependent siderophore receptor; K16090 catecholate siderophore receptor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC_011001</td>
<td>2223385</td>
<td>2223519</td>
<td>BCAM2006b</td>
<td>BCAM2007</td>
<td>MerR family regulatory protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC_011002</td>
<td>629782</td>
<td>629939</td>
<td>BCAS0577</td>
<td>ansP</td>
<td>L-asparagine permease; K11738 L-asparagine permease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC_011000</td>
<td>354662</td>
<td>3504804</td>
<td>BCAL3208a</td>
<td>BCAL3208a</td>
<td>hypothetical protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC_011001</td>
<td>273939</td>
<td>2739504</td>
<td>BCAM2426</td>
<td>BCAM2427</td>
<td>hypothetical protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC_011001</td>
<td>3070082</td>
<td>3070253</td>
<td>BCAM2713</td>
<td>BCAM2714</td>
<td>putative transposase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC_011000</td>
<td>657042</td>
<td>657190</td>
<td>BCAL0601</td>
<td>BCAL0602</td>
<td>putative methyltransferase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC_011002</td>
<td>754523</td>
<td>754670</td>
<td>BCAS0688</td>
<td>BCAS0689</td>
<td>metallo-beta-lactamase superfamily protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC_011000</td>
<td>3474261</td>
<td>3474410</td>
<td>BCAL3177</td>
<td>BCAL3178</td>
<td>LysR family regulatory protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC_011002</td>
<td>370809</td>
<td>370953</td>
<td>BCAS0321</td>
<td>BCAS0321a</td>
<td>hypothetical protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC_011000</td>
<td>203026</td>
<td>203161</td>
<td>BCAL0181</td>
<td>BCAL0182</td>
<td>putative plasmid recombinate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC_011001</td>
<td>2912664</td>
<td>2912801</td>
<td>aer</td>
<td>BCAM2565</td>
<td>putative methyltransferase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC_011002</td>
<td>333449</td>
<td>333583</td>
<td>BCAS0297</td>
<td>BCAS0298</td>
<td>flp type pilus subunit; K02651 pilus assembly protein Flp/PilA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC_011002</td>
<td>810233</td>
<td>810398</td>
<td>BCAS0735</td>
<td>BCAS0736</td>
<td>TetrR family regulatory protein; K09017 TetR/AcrR family transcriptional regulator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC_011002</td>
<td>390719</td>
<td>390843</td>
<td>BCAS0334</td>
<td>BCAS0335</td>
<td>putative haemagglutinin-related autotransporter protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC_011000</td>
<td>3820929</td>
<td>3821084</td>
<td>BCAL3483</td>
<td>BCAL3484</td>
<td>putative transposase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accession</td>
<td>Start</td>
<td>Length</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Protein Name</td>
<td>Gene Family</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC_011000</td>
<td>919933..920067</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>BCAL0848</td>
<td>BCAL0849</td>
<td>subfamily M48B metalopeptidase; K07387 putative metallopeptase [EC:3.4.24.-]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC_011001</td>
<td>24329..24498</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>adc</td>
<td>BCAM0024</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC_011002</td>
<td>687343..687498</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>BCAS0626</td>
<td>BCAS0627</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC_011002</td>
<td>712628..712787</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>BCAS0650a</td>
<td>BCAS0650b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC_011001</td>
<td>400302..400447</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>cspD</td>
<td>BCAL0369</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC_011001</td>
<td>506891..507029</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>BCAM0451</td>
<td>BCAM0452</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC_011000</td>
<td>57629..576732</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>BCAL0515</td>
<td>BCAL0516</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC_011000</td>
<td>136340..136504</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>lliC</td>
<td>rpsU</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC_011001</td>
<td>3069020..3069154</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>BCAM2711</td>
<td>BCAM2712</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC_011000</td>
<td>1704707..1704879</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>BCAL1538</td>
<td>BCAL1539</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC_011000</td>
<td>452189..452342</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>BCAL0412</td>
<td>BCAL0413</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC_011001</td>
<td>90660..90811</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>BCAM0080</td>
<td>BCAM0081</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC_011002</td>
<td>500495..500632</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>BCAS0432</td>
<td>BCAS0433</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC_011002</td>
<td>751453..751614</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>BCAS0682</td>
<td>BCAS0684</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC_011000</td>
<td>146554..146750</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>BCAL0123</td>
<td>flhD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC_011000</td>
<td>1405446..1405606</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>BCAL1292</td>
<td>BCAL1293</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC_011002</td>
<td>748152..748291</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>BCAS0679A</td>
<td>BCAS0680</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC_011000</td>
<td>159157..159313</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>cheZ</td>
<td>BCAL0137</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC_011002</td>
<td>4443..4616</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>BCAS0003</td>
<td>BCAS0004</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC_011000</td>
<td>3456900..3457078</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>BCAL3164</td>
<td>BCAL3165</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC_011000</td>
<td>32943..33127</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>BCAL0028</td>
<td>BCAL0029</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC_011002</td>
<td>869202..869370</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>BCAS0769</td>
<td>BCAS0770</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC_011000</td>
<td>3718958..371922</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>BCAL3394</td>
<td>maeB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC_011000</td>
<td>3375805..3375977</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>BCAL3083</td>
<td>BCAL3084</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC_011000</td>
<td>3496591..3496745</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>BCAL3199</td>
<td>loiQ</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accession</td>
<td>Start..Stop</td>
<td>Strand</td>
<td>Gene/Protein</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC_011000</td>
<td>329735..3297457</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>cspA</td>
<td>BCAL3007 hypothetical protein</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC_011001</td>
<td>3136490..3136633</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>BCAM2772</td>
<td>BCAM2773 putative DNA-binding protein</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC_011002</td>
<td>708654..708802</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>BCAS0646</td>
<td>BCAS0646A putative DNA-binding protein</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC_011002</td>
<td>723986..724116</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>BCAS0661C</td>
<td>BCAS0662 hypothetical protein</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC_011000</td>
<td>1147412..1147552</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>argD</td>
<td>BCAL1058 bifunctional N-succinyl/diaminopimelate-aminotransferase/acetylornithine transaminase protein</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC_011001</td>
<td>1018568..1018719</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>BCAM0922</td>
<td>BCAM0923 putative lipoprotein</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC_011002</td>
<td>784816..784973</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>BCAS0716</td>
<td>BCAS0717 hypothetical protein</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC_011000</td>
<td>562335..562477</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>BCAL0510</td>
<td>BCAL0511 putative deoxygenases; K00477 phytanoyl-CoA hydroxylase</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC_011001</td>
<td>1173919..1174053</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>BCAM1078</td>
<td>BCAM1079 hypothetical protein</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC_011002</td>
<td>209614..209811</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>BCAS0194</td>
<td>BCAS0195 putative transcriptional regulator</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC_011000</td>
<td>3671381..3671556</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>BCAL3352</td>
<td>BCAL3353 putative outer membrane autotransporter</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC_011000</td>
<td>3529532..3529665</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>BCAL3227</td>
<td>BCAL3228 hypothetical protein</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC_011000</td>
<td>3532227..3532381</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>BCAL3228</td>
<td>BCAL3229 hypothetical protein</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC_011002</td>
<td>149887..150045</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>BCAS0135</td>
<td>BCAS0136 putative amino acid permease</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC_011002</td>
<td>135581..135723</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>BCAS0122</td>
<td>BCAS0123 putative transcriptional regulator</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC_011002</td>
<td>466699..466882</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>BCAS0398</td>
<td>citH citrate-proton symporter; K03288 MFS transporter, MHS family, citrate/tricarballylate:H+ symporter</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC_011000</td>
<td>682721..682913</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>BCAS0622</td>
<td>BCAS0623 putative NAD(P)H dehydrogenase (quione) (EC:1.6.5.2); K00355 NAD(P)H dehydrogenase (quione)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC_011001</td>
<td>2521772..2521943</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>BCAM2251</td>
<td>BCAM2251A hypothetical protein</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC_011002</td>
<td>357264..357459</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>iorA</td>
<td>BCAS0321 hypothetical protein</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC_011002</td>
<td>714169..714365</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>BCAS0652</td>
<td>BCAS0653 putative transposase</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC_011000</td>
<td>139291..139424</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>rpsU</td>
<td>BCAL0117 hypothetical protein</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC_011000</td>
<td>179296..179450</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>BCAL0153</td>
<td>BCAL0154 histone-like nucleoid-structuring (H-NS) protein; K03746 DNA-binding protein H-NS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC_011000</td>
<td>257756..257891</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>rplA</td>
<td>rplJ, 50S ribosomal protein L10; K02864 large subunit ribosomal protein L10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC_011002</td>
<td>205769..205901</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>BCAS0190</td>
<td>BCAS0191 putative endoribonuclease</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.3.6 Motif identification from ChIP-seq data

The extracted sequences of all 101 intergenic AtsT binding regions for the threshold p-value of 0.0005 were searched for a common motif using MEME-ChIP. The software identified 3 predicted motifs that were enriched in ChIP-Seq peak regions and corresponding sequence logos were built. Inspection of the alignment reveals a match for the first predicted motif to the binding area obtained from DNAse I footprinting for Bcam0382 and Bcas0627 upstream sequences. This motif has a position p-value less than 0.0001 which has 21 nucleotides that the first 4 bases (TTGC) and G at position 12 are the most conserved bases (Fig. 3.5A). Alignment with upstream regions of annotated genes indicated that this motif is found in all 101 enriched ChIP-Seq peak sequences. A screenshot of this alignment is shown in Figure 3.5B.

3.3.7 Comparison with genes differentially transcribed in microarray data

A detailed transcriptome analysis comparing wild-type and AtsR mutant described AtsR dependent gene regulation during exponential growth in LB media. To identify direct relevant target genes, we analyzed the AtsT ChIP-seq dataset against transcriptional profiling data of control and atsR mutant strains. Of the 101 upstream sequences that were enriched, only 6% were associated with genes differentially transcribed in the microarray experiment. Two of these genes that encode putative lipoproteins (Bcas0627 and Bcam0382) have been shown by footprinting assay to bind AtsT directly (Fig. 3.3). Also, several of these genes are the first in apparent operons containing additional genes that are derepressed in the atsR mutant strain. For example, the upstream region of Bcal0340 may serve dually as a binding site for T6SS gene cluster located both downstream of Bcal0340 including genes encoding TssA to H proteins and upstream of
Figure 3.5: Sequence logos generated by MEME-ChIP. A) The most highly significant motif identified in all 101 ChIP-Seq peaks with a p-value of less than 0.0001. The height of each letter represents the relative frequency of each base at each position in the consensus sequence. B) Representative alignments of the predicted motif against enriched ChIP-Seq peak regions annotated to *B. cenocepacia* genome.
The remaining sites include sequence regions of a hypothetical protein (BCAM2425), a putative phage integrase (BCAM1024), BCAL1293 which is in the vicinity of the putative type six secreted VgrG protein BCAL1294 and BCAS0667 (type six secreted VgrG protein ortholog).

### 3.3.8 Validation of ChIP-Seq results by qRT-PCR

To validate binding of AtsT to these loci, we chose a subset of 15 genes and used quantitative RT-PCR (qRT-PCR) to determine the fold enrichment of their transcripts in WT versus ΔatsT strains. Quantification of fold enrichment of 14 genes identified by ChIP-seq showed greater enrichment in the ΔatsT mutant than the WT parental strain except for the FliC and FlhD genes that were expressed slightly more in WT strain (Fig. 3.6). These results support our ChIP peaks as authentic AtsT binding sites and are in line with our previous finding on the negative regulatory role of AtsR/AtsT on swarming motility (12, 13).

### 3.4 Conclusion

We have defined the direct and indirect AtsR/AtsT regulon by comparing the expression profiling of an AtsR mutant with a modified chromatin-IP procedure, to experimentally identify sites bound by AtsT throughout the *B. cenocepcia* genome. ChIP-seq is a method of choice for identifying regulator-binding sites in eukaryotic systems, and we show here its utility for mapping the genomic binding sites of a bacterial transcriptional regulator. Since the expression level of a transcriptional regulator may vary depending on experimental conditions, affecting its binding potential, we have used a modified
Figure 3.6: Transcript expression analysis by qRT-PCR. The expression levels of 16 genes identified by ChIP-Seq analysis were studied in K56-2 WT and ∆atsT and their relative expression value was normalized to RpoE gene. Bars indicate means ± SD.
approach of ChIP method to overcome these difficulties in genome-wide binding analysis. In this modified ChIP method we have the benefit of pulling down DNA with the purified regulator protein in which the transcriptional regulator has a greater potential to bind low affinity sites and outcompete interfering proteins under in vivo conditions. On the other hand, using a purified transcriptional regulator renders the possibility of producing false-positive ChIP peaks by binding to nonspecific sites. To address this issue we used BSA as a control and had a stringent setting for analysing our high throughput sequencing results. We identified 101 binding sites that are the AtsT target regulon (Table 3.4). The function of nearly 30% of these genes is unknown as they are listed as hypothetical proteins. The remainder have known or predicted functions in a wide range of cellular processes as indicated in Table 3.4. Although we aimed to identify genes directly regulated by AtsT, transcriptome analyses of the atsR mutant strain identified several genes regulated by AtsR, including genes encoding regulators that might function as intermediates in regulatory cascades (Table 3.3). A comparative analysis of these genes with ChIP-Seq data showed that there are only a few genes in common. This result reflects the possibility that AtsT might be involved in other signalling pathways and act as a regulatory arm for several receptor proteins besides AtsR. Indeed, as AtsT plays a key role in the regulation of greater number of genes compared to AtsR, its activity levels may vary with the nature of the stimulus that might be perceived by multiple sensor proteins. Furthermore, we studied in depth the Bcas0627 and Bcam0382 genes which were shown to be upregulated in transcriptomic studies in the absence of a functional AtsR (Table 3.3). We confirmed AtsT peaks by EMSA and identified the binding site by footprinting. The AtsT binding loci were analyzed and this resulted in prediction of a
consensus sequence. This prediction was consistent with the results obtained from DNase I footprinting and the EMSA of the same locus.

Overall, combining this AtsT binding location analysis with expression analysis of atsR mutant strain, our results indicate that AtsT directly regulates the expression of nearly 100 genes that are spread across all three chromosomes of B. cenocepacia and include metabolic, regulatory, transport, cell-surface associated and environmental information processing genes as well as conserved genes of unknown function for which differences in expression have been observed in atsT mutant versus wild-type strains. Furthermore, our bioinformatic and DNase I footprinting analyses reveal the AtsT binding site architecture that likely has important implications for global gene regulation in B. cenocepacia.

This study is the first to characterize the AtsR/AtsT regulon in B. cenocepacia, an important regulatory pathway for QS and T6SS related genes, and is one of only a few studies to apply ChIP-seq to bacterial regulators. This significantly expands our understanding of the AtsR/AtsT regulon and highlights the complexity of this regulatory pathway.
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4.1 Introduction

In bacteria, two-component systems (TCS) play critical roles in transducing environmental stimuli into the cell to regulate cellular functions (1). A classical TCS is composed of a transmembrane sensor histidine kinase that autophosphorylates in response to specific signals and consequently regulates the activity of a cytoplasmic response regulator which can control transcription through DNA binding (2). We previously identified AtsR, a membrane protein of *Burkholderia cenocepacia*, that negatively regulates quorum sensing and virulence factors such as biofilm, type 6-secretion and protease secretion (3, 4). The *in silico* structural analysis of AtsR reveals that this protein is a hybrid sensor kinase, which falls in the category of TCSs. Based on secondary structure prediction, AtsR consists of two transmembrane domains, a periplasmic “sensing” domain and a cytoplasmic C-terminal domain carrying a transmitter domain with a conserved histidine (5) residue and a catalytic domain. In our previous work we studied the individual domains of the cytoplasmic C-terminus end of this protein and identified the conserved residues within its kinase domain that are absolutely required for its biological function. Furthermore, we identified its cognate response regulator AtsT and characterized the phosphotransfer mechanism in AtsR/AtsT signalling pathway (6).

Topology is a fundamental aspect of the structure of membrane proteins. Since AtsR is a membrane-bound receptor and regulates virulence factors in *B. cenocepacia*, studying the structure of this protein is crucial. Knowledge of AtsR topology and its functional residues will provide insight into the potential signals perceived by this protein and its role in pathogenicity and host adaptation. Here, we report a topological analysis of AtsR using LacZ and PhoA reporter fusions and sulfhydryl labeling of novel cysteines that are
introduced into a cysteine-less AtsR by PEGylation. We further identify the functional residues within the periplasmic loop that are required for AtsR function in vivo. Our results confirm that the experimental topological model of AtsR is in agreement with the predicted model.

4.2 Materials and methods

4.2.1 Bacterial strains, plasmids and growth conditions

Bacterial strains and plasmids used in this study are listed in Table 4.1. Bacteria were grown in Luria broth at 37 °C unless indicated otherwise. E. coli cultures were supplemented, as required, with the following antibiotics final concentrations: tetracycline 30 μg/ml, kanamycin 30 μg/ml and trimethoprim 50 μg/ml. B. cenocepacia cultures were supplemented, as required, with trimethoprim 100 μg/ml, tetracycline 150 μg/ml, ampicillin 200 μg/ml and polymyxin B 20 μg/ml. The β-galactosidase (LacZ) or alkaline phosphatase (PhoA) activities were assessed by examining the blue-colony phenotypes on LB plates containing a final concentration of 40 mg/ml of 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl-b-D-galactopyranoside (X-Gal) and 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolylphosphate (XP), respectively.

4.2.2 General molecular techniques

DNA manipulations were performed with T4 DNA ligase (Roche Diagnostics, Laval, Quebec, Canada) and Antarctic phosphatase (New England Biolabs, Pickering, Ontario, Canada) were used as recommended by the manufacturers. Transformation of Escherichia coli DH5α and GT115 was done using the calcium chloride method (10). Mobilization of complementing plasmids and mutagenesis plasmids into B. cenocepacia
### Table 4.1. List of strains and plasmids

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strains</th>
<th>Relevant Properties</th>
<th>Source or Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>E. coli</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CC118</td>
<td>(\Delta(ara, leu)) (\Delta{lac, phoA, galE, galK, thi, rpsL, rpsB, argE, recA})</td>
<td>Laboratory stock</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DH5(\alpha)</td>
<td>(F^-) (\phi 80{lacZ\Delta M15, endA, recA, hisG, rpsL, rpsB, argE, thi, glnV, deoR, gvrA, relA1, \Delta{lacZYA-argF}})U169</td>
<td>Laboratory stock</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BL21</td>
<td>(F)::omp (T{gal, dcm, ompT, hsdS(R{B, mB}^+} , gal, \lambda}) (DE3)</td>
<td>Laboratory stock</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GT115</td>
<td>(F^-) (mcrA, \Delta{mrr-hsdRMS-mcrB}) (\phi 80{lac\Delta M15, \Delta{lacX74, recA1, rpsL, endA1, \Delta{dcm}, uidA, \Delta{M15}}::{pir-116, \Delta{sbcC-sbcD}})</td>
<td>Laboratory stock</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Plasmids</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pAH01</td>
<td>pBAD vector expressing Flag-Wzx-K367-PhoA</td>
<td>(7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pAH18</td>
<td>pBAD vector expressing Flag-PhoA</td>
<td>(7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pAH1809</td>
<td>pBAD vector expressing Flag-Wzx-T242-PhoA</td>
<td>(7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pBADNTF</td>
<td>pBAD24 vector for N-terminal Flag fusions, AmpR</td>
<td>(7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pMH447</td>
<td>pGPI-SceI derivative used for chromosomal complementation</td>
<td>(8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pRK2013</td>
<td>(ori_{\text{RAM}}), RK2 derivative, Kan(^R), mob(^R), tra(^R)</td>
<td>(9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pDAI-SceI-SacB</td>
<td>(ori_{\text{RAM}}), Tet(^R), (P_{\text{Fhph}}), mob(^R), expressing I-SceI, SacB</td>
<td>(8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pMK23</td>
<td>pBAD expressing Flag-AtsR-S100-PhoA</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pMK24</td>
<td>pBAD expressing Flag-AtsR-Y160-PhoA</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pMK25</td>
<td>pBAD expressing Flag-AtsR-Q215-PhoA</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pMK26</td>
<td>pBAD expressing Flag-AtsR-T300-PhoA</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pMK27</td>
<td>pBAD expressing Flag-AtsR-L402-PhoA</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pMK28</td>
<td>pBAD expressing Flag-AtsR-S100-LacZ</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pMK29</td>
<td>pBAD expressing Flag-AtsR-Y160-LacZ</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pMK30</td>
<td>pBAD expressing Flag-AtsR-Q215-LacZ</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pMK31</td>
<td>pBAD expressing Flag-AtsR-T300-LacZ</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pMK32</td>
<td>pBAD expressing AtsR-L402-LacZ</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pMLTraP-</td>
<td>promoter of bcas0627 cloned in front of full lacZ</td>
<td>Valvano Lab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCAS0627</td>
<td>Cloning vector, IPTG inducible for N-terminal 6X His fusion, Kan(^I)</td>
<td>(Unpublished)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pET28a(+)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Laboratory stock</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pMZ25</td>
<td>pET28a(+) expressing AtsR-His</td>
<td>(6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pMK37</td>
<td>pET28a(+) expressing AtsRecycleless-His</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pMK40</td>
<td>pET28a(+) expressing AtsRecycleless-V14C-His</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pMK41</td>
<td>pET28a(+) expressing AtsRecycleless-M21C-His</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pMK42</td>
<td>pET28a(+) expressing AtsRecycleless-V38C-His</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pMK43</td>
<td>pET28a(+) expressing AtsRecycleless-G41C-His</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pMK44</td>
<td>pET28a(+) expressing AtsRecycleless-G49C-His</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pMK45</td>
<td>pET28a(+) expressing AtsRecycleless-G120C-His</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pMK46</td>
<td>pET28a(+) expressing AtsRecycleless-Q181C-His</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pMK47</td>
<td>pET28a(+) expressing AtsRecycleless-A182C-His</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pMK48</td>
<td>pET28a(+) expressing AtsRecycleless-G187C-His</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pMK50</td>
<td>pET28a(+) expressing AtsRecycleless-M205C-His</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pMK51</td>
<td>pET28a(+) expressing AtsRecycleless-A328C-His</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pMK52</td>
<td>pET28a(+) expressing AtsRecycleless-G484C-His</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strains</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>B. cenocepacia</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K56-2</td>
<td>ET12 clone related to J2315, CF clinical isolate</td>
<td><strong>b</strong>BCRRC (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K56-2ΔatsR</td>
<td>Deletion of atsR in K56-2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K56-2ΔatsR::atsR</td>
<td>Chromosomal atsR integration in K56-2 ΔatsR</td>
<td>(6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MK93</td>
<td>Chromosomal atsRecyceless integration in K56-2 ΔatsR</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MK94</td>
<td>Chromosomal atsR integration in K56-2 ΔatsR&lt;sub&gt;Q56A&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MK95</td>
<td>Chromosomal atsR integration in K56-2 ΔatsR&lt;sub&gt;Q56N&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MK96</td>
<td>Chromosomal atsR integration in K56-2 ΔatsR&lt;sub&gt;Q56R&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MK97</td>
<td>Chromosomal atsR integration in K56-2 ΔatsR&lt;sub&gt;D56A&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MK98</td>
<td>Chromosomal atsR integration in K56-2 ΔatsR&lt;sub&gt;D56K&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MK99</td>
<td>Chromosomal ats&lt;sub&gt;R&lt;/sub&gt; integration in K56-2 ΔatsR&lt;sub&gt;D162A&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MK100</td>
<td>Chromosomal atsR integration in K56-2 ΔatsR&lt;sub&gt;162K&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MK101</td>
<td>Chromosomal atsR integration in K56-2 ΔatsR&lt;sub&gt;S103V&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MK102</td>
<td>Chromosomal atsR integration in K56-2 ΔatsR&lt;sub&gt;R162K&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MK103</td>
<td>Chromosomal atsR integration in K56-2 ΔatsR&lt;sub&gt;R162A&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MK104</td>
<td>Chromosomal atsR integration in K56-2 ΔatsR&lt;sub&gt;R162K&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**pFT** strains expressing AtsRcycless:
- pFT159: pBAD expressing AtsRcycless-162K-His
- pFT160: pBAD expressing AtsRcycless-162A-His
- pFT205: pBAD expressing AtsRcycless-V14C-His
- pFT183: pBAD expressing AtsRcycless-M21C-His
- pFT184: pBAD expressing AtsRcycless-V38C-His
- pFT185: pBAD expressing AtsRcycless-G41C-His
- pFT186: pBAD expressing AtsRcycless-G49C-His
- pFT187: pBAD expressing AtsRcycless-G120C-His
- pFT188: pBAD expressing AtsRcycless-Q181C-His
- pFT206: pBAD expressing AtsRcycless-A182C-His
- pFT189: pBAD expressing AtsRcycless-G187C-His
- pFT190: pBAD expressing AtsRcycless-M205C-His
- pFT191: pBAD expressing AtsRcycless-A328C-His
- pFT192: pBAD expressing AtsRcycless-G484C-His
- pMK76: pBAD expressing AtsR-Q56A-His
- pMK77: pBAD expressing AtsR-Y61A-His
- pMK78: pBAD expressing AtsR-D65A-His
- pMK79: pBAD expressing AtsR-F79A-His
- pMK80: pBAD expressing AtsR-D80A-His
- pMK81: pBAD expressing AtsR-S103A-His
- pMK82: pBAD expressing AtsR-W105A-His
- pMK83: pBAD expressing AtsR-K127A-His
- pMK84: pBAD expressing AtsR-N155A-His
- pMK85: pBAD expressing AtsR-R162A-His
- pMK86: pBAD expressing AtsR-Q56N-His
- pMK87: pBAD expressing AtsR-Q56R-His
- pMK88: pBAD expressing AtsR-D65E-His
- pMK89: pBAD expressing AtsR-D65K-His
- pMK90: pBAD expressing AtsR-S103T-His
- pMK91: pBAD expressing AtsR-S103V-His
- pMK92: pBAD expressing AtsR-R162K-His

This study
MK105 | Chromosomal atsR integration in K56-2 ΔatsR<sub>F70A</sub> | This study
MK106 | Chromosomal atsR integration in K56-2 ΔatsR<sub>D80A</sub> | This study
MK107 | Chromosomal atsR integration in K56-2 ΔatsR<sub>K127A</sub> | This study
MK108 | Chromosomal atsR integration in K56-2 ΔatsR<sub>N155A</sub> | This study
MK109 | Chromosomal atsR integration in K56-2 ΔatsR<sub>W105A</sub> | This study
MK110 | Chromosomal atsR integration in K56-2 ΔatsR<sub>Y61A</sub> | This study

ª Tp<sup>R</sup>, trimethoprim resistance, Kan<sup>R</sup>, kanamycin resistance, Tet<sup>R</sup>, tetracycline resistance.
ª BCRRRC, B. cepacia Research and Referral Repository for Canadian CF Clinics.
K56-2 was performed by triparental mating using *E. coli* DH5α carrying the helper plasmid pRK2013. DNA amplification by PCR was performed using Bio-Rad C1000™ Thermal Cycler with Taq or HotStar HiFidelity DNA polymerases (Qiagen, Canada). DNA sequences of all primers used in this study are described in Table 4.2. DNA sequencing was performed at the DNA sequencing Facility of York University, Toronto, Canada. BLAST was used to analyse the sequenced genome of *B. cenocepacia* strain J2315.

### 4.2.3 Plasmid construction and chromosomal complementation

To create His-tagged fusions of AtsR and its derivatives, sequences were amplified from *B. cenocepacia* K56-2 genomic DNA using primers mentioned in Table 4.2. Amplicons were digested with the appropriate restriction enzymes and ligated into similarly digested pET28a cloning vector (Novagen) to engineer C-terminally His-tagged proteins. Site-directed mutagenesis was performed using the QuikChange Site-Directed Mutagenesis Kit from Stratagene (Santa Clara, California), as recommended by the supplier. Primers were designed with 15-20 nucleotides flanking each side of the targeted mutation. Plasmids expressing AtsR derivatives in pET28a were used as a template to clone His-tagged AtsR versions into pBAD24 using 4632-7266 primer set. The resulting PCR products were digested with *DpnI* and introduced into *E. coli* DH5α. All constructs and replacement mutants were confirmed by sequencing. Chromosomal complementations of Δ*atsR* were performed using the pMH447 plasmid as described previously (8). Primers 7351-4632 was used to PCR amplify *atsR* and its mutated versions. PhoA fusions to residues S100, Y160, P215, N300, and K402 were constructed by amplifying the AtsR gene using primers mentioned in Table 4.2. Each PCR product and pAH18 were digested
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primer No.</th>
<th>Primer Name</th>
<th>5′-3′ Primer sequence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>252</td>
<td>pBAD Forward</td>
<td>GATTTAGCGGATCCTACCTGA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>258</td>
<td>pBAD Reverse</td>
<td>GACCGCTTCTGGTTCTTGAT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4632</td>
<td>AtsR-For-Ndel</td>
<td>AAAAACATATGACGCGCGCGGATGGAAGAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5033</td>
<td>PhoA-NotI-For</td>
<td>TATGCGGCGCGACCTGTTCGAAAAACCGGGCTG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5034</td>
<td>PhoA-NotI-Rev</td>
<td>CTATGCAGCGCGGCTTCAGCAGGCAGCGGTTT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5640</td>
<td>LacZ-For-XbaI</td>
<td>CGACTCTAGAATACGGATTCACAGGCGG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5866</td>
<td>AtsR-REV-XbaI</td>
<td>TTTTCTAGAGCTTTGTAGAGAGCAGGCCGATC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5885</td>
<td>MH447-For</td>
<td>TTGATGGCGAGCACTTTC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5886</td>
<td>MH447-Rev</td>
<td>CGACTTCTCACGCGTGACGA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6423</td>
<td>LacZ-Rev-HindIII</td>
<td>AAAAAAGCTTTTCAGCGCCATTCGCCATTC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6693</td>
<td>AtsR-100-Rev-XbaI</td>
<td>TTTTCTAGACGAGCCGCTGGAGAGAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6694</td>
<td>AtsR-160-Rev-XbaI</td>
<td>TTTTCTAGAGTATGCTGCGAGGCGGTAACT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6696</td>
<td>AtsR-215-Rev-XbaI</td>
<td>TTTTCTAGAGTTTGCGCTGCAGAGGTCG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6743</td>
<td>AtsR-300-Rev-XbaI</td>
<td>TTTTCTAGACGCTGCGAGGCGCTGATGTA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6697</td>
<td>AtsR-402-Rev-XbaI</td>
<td>TTTTCTAGACGAGATGTTTTCGCGAGTCG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6719</td>
<td>AtsR-Fi-For-BamHI</td>
<td>AAAAGGATCTCTAGAGGCGCCGAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6728</td>
<td>AtsR-For-C255A</td>
<td>CCGCTGACGCGATCGCGGATCGAGATAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6729</td>
<td>AtsR-Rev-C255A</td>
<td>GATCTCGATCGATCGCCGACTGCCTGAGCCG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6730</td>
<td>AtsR-For-C515A</td>
<td>GACGCGCGATCGCGGACCCGAGACGAGG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6731</td>
<td>AtsR-Rev-C515A</td>
<td>CTGCCGGTGCCGACGAGCCGAGTCG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6763</td>
<td>AtsR-For-C195A</td>
<td>GTGTGTTCTGCTGCAGGCTTTCG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6764</td>
<td>AtsR-Rev-C195A</td>
<td>CAGGAACAGCAGCTGCCAGAGCACACCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6771</td>
<td>AtsR-For-M21C</td>
<td>GCGCTCGCTTGAGATCTGAGGTCGCTGCGG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6772</td>
<td>AtsR-Rev-M21C</td>
<td>CCACGCGCGGCAACCACAGATCCACAGCGAGCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6773</td>
<td>AtsR-For-G49C</td>
<td>GAGGGGCGCGCGCAATGTGTTCTGCGAGCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6774</td>
<td>AtsR-Rev-G49C</td>
<td>GCCGCTCAGTGATCCACACTGCGGCGCGACTG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6775</td>
<td>AtsR-For-A328C</td>
<td>CGCGAGAAGATCGCTGCAACACGGATCGCGGT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6776</td>
<td>AtsR-Rev-A328C</td>
<td>GACGCGATCGCTTTCAGAGATCTGCTGCTGCG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6777</td>
<td>AtsR-For-G484C</td>
<td>GCGCTTGCGGTCTACACAGCTGCGGCGGCTG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6778</td>
<td>AtsR-Rev-G484C</td>
<td>CACCAGCGCGCAGCAGACAGTGAACCGCACAGC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6835</td>
<td>AtsR-For-V14C</td>
<td>AGAAAAATCATCTTCTTGCTGCTCTGCTGG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6836</td>
<td>AtsR-Rev-V14C</td>
<td>CCACAGCGAGCGGAGACACAGGATGATTGGGTT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6837</td>
<td>AtsR-For-V38C</td>
<td>CTCCCTGCACCTCTGTGAACGGGCGCTGCTC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6838</td>
<td>AtsR-Rev-V38C</td>
<td>GAGCAGCAGCGTCTACAGAGGAGGCTGAGAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6839</td>
<td>AtsR-For-G41C</td>
<td>ACCTCGGTCACAGAGATGTTGCTCGAGGGCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6840</td>
<td>AtsR-Rev-G41C</td>
<td>CGGCCCTTCTGACGACACACTCCTGATGAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6841</td>
<td>AtsR-For-A182C</td>
<td>AAGGACAACACGGCTGATGACAGATCTGAGG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6842</td>
<td>AtsR-Rev-A182C</td>
<td>GCCGAGTACGAGTTACACCGGCTGTTTTGTCCTT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6843</td>
<td>AtsR-For-Q181C</td>
<td>CAACCTGGAACAAATGTCGGGAGATCCTGATC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6844</td>
<td>AtsR-Rev-Q181C</td>
<td>GATCAGGATTCGCCGAGCATTGTCTCTGAGTTG</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
AtsR-For-G187C  GCGATCCTGATCCTCTGTATCGTGCTCGGTGTG
AtsR-Rev-G187C  CACACCGAGACAGATACAGAGGATCAGGATCGC
AtsR-For-L201C  GCGCTGCTGTTCCTGCTGTGTCTCTATACGATGCGC
AtsR-Rev-M205C  GTCGTCGCCTCAGACCGGCAGGCAGTACG
AtsR-For-M205C  CTGCTGCTCTATACGTGTCGCACGCGCGACGAC
AtsR-Rev-L201C  GCGCATCGTATAGAGACACAGCAGGAACAGCGC
AtsR-For-L201C  GCGCGCTGTGTGAATTCATGACGCGC
AtsR-Rev-G120C  GCGCGTCATGAATTCACACAGCGCGTCGATCTC
AtsR-For-G120C  GAGATCGACGCGCTGTGTGAATTCATGACGCGC
AtsR-Rev-G120C  GCGCGTCATGAATTCACACAGCGCGTCGATCTC
HindIII  AAAAGCTT
Gln56A-For  TTCTGGACCGCCGCGGCATACCGCAACGTGTAT
Gln56A-Rev  ATACACGTTGCGGTAT
Gln56A-For  TTCTGGACCGCCGCGGCATACCGCAACGTGTAT
Gln56A-Rev  ATACACGTTGCGGTAT
Tyr61A-For  CAATACCGCAACGTGGCAACGCGCTTCGATCGT
Tyr61A-Rev  ACGATCGAAGCGCGTTGCCACGTTGCGGTATTG
Tyr61A-For  CAATACCGCAACGTGGCAACGCGCTTCGATCGT
Tyr61A-Rev  ACGATCGAAGCGCGTTGCCACGTTGCGGTATTG
Asp65A-For  GTGTATACGCGCTTCGCACGTCAGCTGATTCTT
Asp65A-Rev  AAGAATCAGCTGACGTGCGAAGTCTTCGTCCTC
Asp65A-For  GTGTATACGCGCTTCGCACGTCAGCTGATTCTT
Asp65A-Rev  AAGAATCAGCTGACGTGCGAAGTCTTCGTCCTC
Phe79A-For  CGCGAGGACGAAGACGCAGATCACCTGCAGATG
Phe79A-Rev  CATCTGCAGGTGATCTGCGTCTTCGTCCTCGCG
Phe79A-For  CGCGAGGACGAAGACGCAGATCACCTGCAGATG
Phe79A-Rev  CATCTGCAGGTGATCTGCGTCTTCGTCCTCGCG
Asp80A-For  GAGGACGAAGACTTCGCACACCTGCAGATGCAG
Asp80A-Rev  CTGCATCTGCAGGTGTGCGAAGTCTTCGTCCTC
Asp80A-For  GAGGACGAAGACTTCGCACACCTGCAGATGCAG
Asp80A-Rev  CTGCATCTGCAGGTGTGCGAAGTCTTCGTCCTC
Ser103A-For  CGGCCGTCGGAAGTGGCACAATACTGGCTGCGC
Ser103A-Rev  GCGCAGCCAGTATTGTGCCACTTCCGACGGCCG
Ser103A-For  CGGCCGTCGGAAGTGGCACAATACTGGCTGCGC
Ser103A-Rev  GCGCAGCCAGTATTGTGCCACTTCCGACGGCCG
Tyr106A-For  GAAGTGTCCCAATACGCACTGCGCATCCCGCGC
Tyr106A-Rev  GCGCGGGATGCGCAGTGCGTATTGGGACACTTC
Tyr106A-For  GAAGTGTCCCAATACGCACTGCGCATCCCGCGC
Tyr106A-Rev  GCGCGGGATGCGCAGTGCGTATTGGGACACTTC
Lys127A-For  ATGACGCGCCTGGCACGCGAGGTGCCG
Lys127A-Rev  CGGCACCTCGCGTGCCAGCGCGTCAT
Lys127A-For  ATGACGCGCCTGGCACGCGAGGTGCCG
Lys127A-Rev  CGGCACCTCGCGTGCCAGCGCGTCAT
Arg162A-For  CTCGCGAACTACTTTCGCCGCGATCGAAATGGCT
Arg162A-Rev  AGCCATTTCGATCGCGGCGAAGTAGTTCGCGAG
Arg162A-For  CTCGCGAACTACTTTCGCCGCGATCGAAATGGCT
Arg162A-Rev  AGCCATTTCGATCGCGGCGAAGTAGTTCGCGAG
Arg162D-For  CTCGCGAACTACTTTCGCCGCGATCGAAATGGCT
Arg162D-Rev  AGCCATTTCGATCGCGGCGAAGTAGTTCGCGAG
Arg162K-For  CTCGCGAACTACTTTCGCCGCGATCGAAATGGCT
Arg162K-Rev  AGCCATTTCGATCGCGGCGAAGTAGTTCGCGAG
Gln56N-For  TTCTGGACCGCCGCGAATTACCGCAACGTGTAT
Gln56N-Rev  ATACACGTTGCGGAATTACCGCAACGTGTAT
FL-XbaI-Rev  AAAATCTAGATCAGTGGTGTTGGTGTTGGTGTT
with SmaI and XbaI, purified and ligated together using T4 ligase. Similarly, AtsR-LacZ fusions were constructed and inserted in pLA23 after removing the inserted WcaJ protein with primer 5640 and reverse primers mentioned in Table 4.2 for each truncated version of the AtsR protein.

4.2.4 Protein expression, membrane preparation and Western blot analysis

For expression of recombinant proteins, a single colony was inoculated in LB broth supplemented with appropriate antibiotics and grown at 37 °C. Optical Density (OD


600) was monitored until it reached 0.6. The culture was then shifted to 30 °C and arabinose was added to a final concentration of 0.2% (w/v) to induce the expression of proteins. Cultures were incubated for an additional 4 h. Cells were harvested by centrifugation at 8,000 x g for 10 min. Cell pellets were resuspended in lysis buffer containing [50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8 and 1X of EDTA-free protease inhibitor cocktail (Sigma)], and disrupted by One Shot cell disruptor (Thermo Scientific, Rockville, MD, USA). Total membranes were isolated by centrifugation at 40,000 x g and resuspended in 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8. Protein concentration was determined by the Bradford protein assay (Bio-Rad). Total membrane preparations were used for immunoblotting and PEGylation. Membrane proteins were separated by 14% SDS-PAGE. Proteins were transferred to nitrocellulose membrane. The primary anti-FLAG monoclonal antibody (Sigma, Saint Louis, MO, USA), was diluted to 1: 10,000 and applied, then the secondary antibody goat anti-mouse Alexa fluor 680 IgG antibodies (Invitrogen Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR, USA) was diluted to 1: 20,000 and applied. For detection of 6xHis-tagged proteins, membranes were incubated with a 1:10,000 dilution of anti-His IgG2a monoclonal antibodies (Amersham, Piscataway, NJ) and Alexa Fluor 680 anti-mouse IgG antibodies (molecular probes).
Immunoblots were developed using LI-COR Odyssey infrared imaging system (LI-COR Biosciences, Lincoln, NE, USA).

### 4.2.5 Protease assay

Protease assays were performed according to Aubert et al., (2013). Briefly, 18 h cultures were normalized to an OD$_{600}$ of 1. The bacterial suspension (3 μl) was spotted onto dialysed brain heart infusion (D-BHI) agar plates containing 1.5% carnation milk. The plates were incubated at 37 °C and examined for zones of clearing around the bacterial spots at 48 h.

### 4.2.6 Alkaline phosphatase (PhoA) fusions and alkaline phosphatase assay

β-galactosidase and alkaline phosphatase activities were quantitated as previously described (11).

### 4.2.7 Thiol-specific chemical blocking and modification

Thiol-specific chemical blocking with N-ethylmaleimide (NEM) followed by modification with methoxypolyethylene glycol maleimide (PEG-mal) under nondenaturing and denaturing conditions was performed as described previously (12). For modification under nondenaturing conditions, membrane protein was split in three equally parts for three treatments: 1) 1-h incubation at room temperature with 1 mM PEG-mal, followed by addition of dithiothreitol (DTT) to 45 mM and further incubation for 10 min to quench the reaction; 2) 30-m incubation with 5 mM NEM to block accessible sulfhydryl groups, followed by immediate ultracentrifugation (16,000 × g, 1 min). The pellet was washed twice with 0.5 ml of ice-cold 50 mM HEPES pH 6.8 with 5
mM MgCl₂ plus protease inhibitors buffer to remove excess NEM. After another ultracentrifugation, the pellet was resuspended in 40 μl of resuspension buffer followed by reacting with 1 mM PEG-mal and quenching with DTT as above; 3) untreated control.

For modification under denaturing conditions, membranes were divided into 2 aliquots. One was directly treated with 1 mM PEG-mal in the presence of 2% SDS followed by addition of DTT and incubation for 10 min as described above. The other half was first incubated with 5 mM NEM for 30 min in the absence of SDS and washed as above. The sample was then incubated with 1 mM PEG-mal in the presence of 2% SDS at room temperature for 1 h. The reaction was finally quenched by incubation with 45 mM DTT for 10 min. All aliquots were mixed with sample buffer and subjected to SDS-PAGE.

4.3 Results and discussion

4.3.1 *In silico* analysis of AtsR topology

We used available TOPCONS (v2.0) web server for consensus prediction of membrane protein topology that implements 5 different algorithms including, OCTAPUS, PRO, PROVID, SCAMPI-seq and SCAMPI-msa to predict the membrane topology of AtsR (13). Topology prediction is mainly based on three attributes including number of TMs, TM-position, and periplasmic or cytoplasmic location of N- and C-terminal domains. According to all these algorithms AtsR has two predicted transmembrane domains from residues 10–30 (in-to-out) and residues 184–204 (out-to-in) separated by a large periplasmic loop (Fig. 4.1A). The prediction also suggests a short cytoplasmic N-terminus and a long C-terminal cytosolic tail region (residues 204-606) (Fig. 4.1B).
Figure 4.1: Graphical representation of the topological predictions of AtsR based on TOPCON web server. The numbers indicate the position of the amino acid residues (606 amino acids for AtsR). The location of cytosolic and periplasmic regions and the positions of predicted TMHs are indicated (A). The range of TM borders have also been predicted (B).
4.3.2 Topological analysis of AtsR using LacZ and PhoA reporter fusions

To validate the *in silico* predicted membrane topology of AtsR we employed a dual reporter fusion strategy based on LacZ and PhoA reporters, which were C-terminally fused to Flag-tagged AtsR derivatives that are truncated at various residues and expressed in *E. coli* strains CC118 lacking *phoA* and DH5α (*lacZΔM15*), respectively (Fig. 4.2A). Membrane expression of fusion proteins were tested by Western blot to make sure that fusion proteins are properly expressed (Fig. 4.2B). LB-agar plates were supplemented with chromogenic substrates X-P or X-Gal and colonies were examined for blue or white phenotypes. Also, the alkaline phosphatase and β-galactosidase activities were measured. If the PhoA reporter locates in the periplasm, the enzyme will be active as it requires a reducing environment to form disulphide bonds and will cleave the X-P substrate and therefore, bacterial colonies will turn blue (14). If PhoA locates in the cytoplasm, the enzyme is inactive, and bacterial colonies will be colourless. In contrast to PhoA, LacZ functions only in the cytosol generating blue colonies indicative of locations that are in the cytoplasmic space. Flag-tagged AtsR-LacZ fusions at residues S100 and Y160, which are located in the predicted periplasmic domain, did not exhibit β-galactosidase activity *in vivo* or *in vitro* (Fig. 4.3B). On the contrary, the corresponding Flag-AtsR-PhoA fusions at the same positions had a positive alkaline phosphatase enzymatic activity *in vitro* (1313 ± 50, 599 ± 42 Miller units) and strong blue colonies in the presence of X-P substrate (Fig. 4.3A), suggesting that S100 and Y160 reside in the periplasmic space. Despite relatively low *in vitro* activity (29 ± 90, 18 ± 43 Miller units), the LacZ fusion to T300 and L402, residues predicted to be in the cytosol, yielded blue colonies on X-gal plates, and the corresponding PhoA fusion gave no color on X-P plates, suggesting these
Figure 4.2: A) Schematic drawing of Flag-tagged AtsR truncated proteins fused to either PhoA or LacZ from C-terminus. Blue circle represents Flag tag, red boxes represent TMs and numbers correspond to the amino acids where fusion occurs. B) Membrane expression of Flag-AtsR-PhoA or -LacZ fusion proteins in CC118 (left) or DH5α cells (right). Flag-AtsR-PhoA or -LacZ proteins were expressed from the arabinose-inducible vector pBADNTF. Total membranes were isolated from cells expressing constructs and immunoblotting was performed using anti-Flag antibodies.
Figure 4.3: Alkaline phosphatase (A) and β-galactosidase (B) results of Flag-AtsR-PhoA/LacZ fusion proteins expressed in CC118 or DH5α cells. In vitro alkaline phosphatase and β-galactosidase assay were measured by the hydrolysis of PNPP and ONPG, respectively. Plate assays are shown in the bottom panel indicating the cleavage of X-gal or X-P substrate on plates.
residues are exposed to the cytosolic space (Fig. 4.3B). These results are in agreement with the predicted topology of AtsR. Based on our plate assay we obtained inconclusive results for LacZ and PhoA fusions at Q215 as both produce colonies with blue phenotype (Fig. 4.3 lower panel). This observation is not uncommon in fusions to amino acids located at the borders of TMs (15). However, Q215 yields very low in vitro β-galactosidase enzymatic activity (14 ± 21 Miller units) and no alkaline phosphatase activity (Fig. 4.3A&B). Here, the enzymatic data provide topological information for this residue which is predicted to be in the cytoplasmic face of the second TM. Taken together, these results confirm the in silico predicted topology of AtsR protein suggesting that AtsR is an inner membrane protein with a sensor domain facing the periplasm and a C-terminal cytoplasmic domain.

### 4.3.3 Topological analysis of AtsR using sulfhydryl reactive chemistry

To examine the borders of TMs in a more detailed analysis, we used a substituted cysteine labeling approach using sulfhydryl reactive reagents. In this method, the cysteine (Cys) residues are modified by the covalent attachment of PEG-Mal in the presence of a water molecule and the labeled proteins can be readily detected by Western blot by an increase of approximately 5 kDa to their apparent molecular mass (16, 17). Using this strategy the native structure of the protein is maintained with minimal disruption compared to reporter fusion method. We replaced the three native cysteines in AtsR at positions 195, 255 and 515 with alanine (Ala) residues to create a cysteine-less protein, AtsR_cysless. To make sure that the cysless version of AtsR is functionally active, the K56-2ΔatsR mutant was chromosomally complemented with AtsR_cysless and its corresponding proteolytic activity was quantified. This strain retained the protease activity at comparable
levels to the WT strain (Fig. 4.4A&B) indicating that it has a similar structure to the native AtsR protein and the three cysteine residues are dispensable for the function. Following this, several residues were replaced and novel cysteines were introduced at various positions around and within TMs by site-directed mutagenesis (Fig. 4.5). Labeling was performed in total membrane preparations with and without treatment with NEM (permeable to both inner and outer membrane) under denaturing and nondenaturing conditions to distinguish between exposed residues and those hidden in the lipid bilayer. NEM can permeate membranes and blocks the cysteine residues prior to PEG-mal modification in either side of the membrane to prevent them from becoming accessible to PEG-mal and causing a band shift. This property is useful to detect buried cysteine residues within TMs because NEM needs water molecules to be reactive and therefore, cysteine residues in the TM can be characterized by a band shift only after PEG-mal treatment in the presence of SDS. If a cysteine resides in the periplasm, PEG-mal can attach to the residue causing a clear band shift in both SDS denaturing and nondenaturing conditions. This band shift will not appear if NEM blocks the cysteine before PEG-mal treatment. On the contrary, if a cysteine resides in the cytosol, it can only be exposed to PEG-mal if membranes are disrupted by SDS. As shown in Fig. 4.6A, with PEG-Mal treatment, there is a distinct band shift observed in the WT AtsR protein that is not present in the control condition. This band shift is more pronounced under denaturing condition as C195 that is supposedly hidden in the membrane is exposed and therefore two shifts occur. As expected the AtsR<sub>cysless</sub> does not generate any band shift in any treatment. Interestingly, residues M21C and G187C were not labeled by PEG-mal in the absence of SDS suggesting that these residues were blocked by NEM and therefore prevented from becoming accessible to PEG-mal (Fig. 4.6B). This indicates that M21C
and G187C are buried in the membrane as predicted by the *in silico* topological model. Labeling of membranes with PEG-Mal under non-denaturing or SDS-denaturing conditions resulted in a band shift for AtsR protein carrying novel cysteines at V38C, G41C, G49C and G120C indicating their accessibility to PEG-mal suggesting a periplasmic location for these residues (Fig. 4.6C).

Furthermore, we investigated the residues around the membrane hinges by introducing novel cysteines at positions V14C, A182C, G180C and M205C. None of the introduced cysteines were labeled when treated with NEM; however, they all have a band shift when treated with PEG-mal under denaturing condition in the presence of SDS (Fig. 4.7A). This might be due to close proximity of these residues to the membrane bilayer borders where are accessible to NEM but not to PEG-mal in non-denaturing condition. Finally, after treatment of membranes novel cysteines at residues A328C and G484C were modified by PEG-mal in the presence of SDS but did not show a band shift when pretreated with NEM suggesting they are on the cytosolic face of the membrane (Fig. 4.7B). This observation corresponds to the PhoA/LacZ reporter fusions. The Western blotting analysis suggested all single cysteine AtsR proteins were expressed at levels comparable with that of the WT and localized in the membrane fraction with the expected molecular mass of 68 KDa (Fig. 4.6&7). Therefore, we concluded that the effect of cysteine residue replacement in each mutant is tolerated and does not cause structural changes in the protein. Collectively, our data from LacZ/PhoA reporter fusions combined with PEG-mal experiments support the predicted topology of AtsR. However, the predicted TM borders shown in Fig. 4.1B need to be refined based on our PEGylation data as follows: the first TM starts from V14 and the second TM is stretched from Q180 to M205.
Figure 4.4: Proteolytic activity of K56-2, K56-2ΔatsR, K56-2ΔatsR::atsR, K56-2ΔatsR::atsR-cycless mutant strains on milk agar plates (A) and quantification of protease activity of the same strains (B). Proteolysis was tested on D-BHI milk agar plates. The plates shown are representatives of three experiments performed in triplicate. Zones of clearing around the colonies were measured at 48 h of incubation at 37 °C. Values are average radius ± standard deviation in centimeters of three experiments performed in triplicate. Statistical analysis was performed by paired t-test using two-tailed P-values. Significant differences in comparison with *B. cenocepacia* parental strain (K56-2) as control are indicated by *** (P < 0.005).
**Figure 4.5**: Schematic topology of AtsR predicted by *in silico* programs and validated by reporter fusion studies. Yellow stars represent native cysteine residues in AtsR protein and red stars indicate point mutations to introduce novel cysteines. Green circles correspond to the location of LacZ or PhoA fusion. Numbers indicate corresponding amino acid residues. Abbreviations: V: valine, M: metionine, G: glycine, S: serine, Q: glutamine, A: alanine, Y: tyrosine, C:cysteine.
Figure 4.6: PEG-mal labeling of AtsR_{cysless} proteins carrying cysteine replacements with amino acids in periplasmic and TM domains. A, B, and C) Cells were harvested and total membrane fractions were isolated by centrifugation and resuspended in HEPES/MgCl2 buffer. Membrane was incubated with buffer alone or 1 mM PEG-mal with or without 1% SDS for 1 h at room temperature or pretreated with NEM for 30 min at room temperature. Proteins were separated by SDS-PAGE and transferred to nitrocellulose membranes, followed by immunoblot with anti-His antibodies.
**Figure 4.7:** PEG-mal labeling in total membrane preparations expressing AtsR<sub>cysless</sub> proteins with cysteine replacement with amino acids in periplasmic hinges and cytoplasmic domain. A and B) Cells were harvested and crude membrane fractions were isolated by ultracentrifugation and resuspended in HEPES/MgCl<sub>2</sub> buffer. Membrane was incubated with buffer alone or 1 mM PEG-mal with or without 1% SDS for 1 h at room temperature or pretreated with NEM for 30 min at room temperature. Proteins were separated by SDS-PAGE and transferred to nitrocellulose membranes, followed by immunoblot with anti-His antibodies.
4.3.4 Analysis of functional residues within periplasmic loop

While the histidine kinase and ATPase domains of AtsR have typical residues of TCS proteins, the predicted periplasmic region is unique to *Burkholderia* species. Examination of the predicted secondary structure of the periplasmic loop of AtsR using the PSI-PRED program (18) revealed the presence of five $\alpha$-helices (Fig. 4.8), supporting the idea that the periplasmic loop of AtsR could form a pocket to interact with a signalling molecule itself. Therefore, we investigated whether replacing conserved amino acids within predicted periplasmic loop could affect the function of AtsR.

To identify functional residues within the periplasmic loop, the sequence was aligned with corresponding loop of AtsR homologues proteins within *Burkholderia cepacia* complex and 10 conserved residues including Q56, Y61 and D65 residing in the first $\alpha$-helix, F79 and D80 from the second $\alpha$-helix, S103, W105 and K127 from the third $\alpha$-helix, and N155 and R162 from the fourth $\alpha$-helix were replaced by alanine using site-directed mutagenesis and then introduced into K56-2ΔatsR mutant strain of *B. cenocepacia* at chromosomal level. These mutant derivatives of AtsR were tested and their protease activity was measured. As shown in Fig. 4.9, results are statistically significant only for mutants carrying Q56A, D65A, S103A and R162A substitution compared to the WT indicating the importance of these residues. To make sure that such phenotype is robust, proteolytic activity of three different colonies for each mutant strain were quantified all exhibiting reproducible data. R162 and D65 are charged amino acids, whereas S103 and Q56 are non-charged polar amino acids. To investigate whether the charge or the nature of the amino acid is important at these positions we replaced D65 with glutamic acid (E) and K, S103 with threonine (T) and valine (V), and Q56 with
Figure 4.8: PSIREDD model representing AtsR periplasmic loop (starting from amino acid 33 to 180) with 5 predicted α-helices. Pred represents graphical representation of the structural prediction that includes α-helical regions (pink cylinders) and coils (black lines). Blue boxes indicate the confidence of prediction for each residue as indicated by the height of each rectangle. The amino acid numbers correspond to the position in the wild-type AtsR protein.
asparagine (N) and R. Interestingly, neither S103 replaced with V nor Q56 replaced with R could restore the protease activity of ΔatsR phenotype indicating that the nature of amino acid is important at these positions since replacing the hydroxylic S with aliphatic V at position 103 and Q with positively charged R at position 56 might have changed the nature and structure of the protein resulting in a non-functional AtsR. In contrast, replacement of Q56 with N and S103 with T restored the protease activity of mutant strain to WT levels (Fig. 4.9B). Furthermore, D65 substitution with opposite or same charge amino acids does not complement the ΔatsR phenotype. This result together with the increased protease activity observed in D65 to Ala substitution indicates that the nature and size of the amino acid is important other than charge. Nevertheless, together these data suggest that S103, D65 and Q59 are indispensable for AtsR function by maintaining the tertiary structure of the protein making contacts with the signal or interacting with auxiliary periplasmic proteins to sense the environmental cues and initiate the AtsR signaling pathway.

4.4 Conclusion

This study provides evidence of the topology of AtsR, demonstrating the agreement between predicted topology with our experimental analysis. A combination of fusion reporters to the LacZ and PhoA proteins and labeling of cysteine replacement mutants with sulfhydryl reactive reagents allowed us to experimentally validate topological model for AtsR that is composed of a short N-terminal cytoplasmic region, a periplasmic loop flanked by 2 TM helices, and a C-terminal cytoplasmic domain. A cys-less version of AtsR localized to the membrane and remained functional indicating that the native cysteines are dispensable for AtsR function. Furthermore, we identified 4 residues in the
Figure 4.9: Protease activity of K56-2ΔatsR strains complemented with AtsR mutants at chromosomal level. Quantifications of proteolytic activity of mutants with alanine substitution (A) or with the same or opposite charged or uncharged amino acids (B). Results obtained based on 3 individual experiments in triplicate for each mutant. Statistical analysis was performed by paired t-test using two-tailed P-values for mutants in comparison with B. cenocepacia parental strain (K56-2) (** corresponds to p<0.0005, *** corresponds to p<0.005 and * corresponds to p<0.05). Similar stats were obtained with comparing mutants with K56-2ΔatsR::atsR strain.
periplasmic region of AtsR that are absolutely required for the functionality of the protein. While the histidine kinase domain and the ATPase domain at the cytoplasmic C-terminus are highly conserved features in all histidine kinases, the predicted periplasmic domains are variable elements suggesting their potential role in signal perception. Based on PSIPRED modeling system the periplasmic region of AtsR has five α-helices (Fig. 4.8) and is likely involved in sensing environmental cues. Further studies will provide additional insights into the structural or functional significance of these residues within the periplasmic loop regarding facilitating an interaction with a signalling molecule or maintaining the binding pocket.
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*Burkholderia cenocepacia* lipopolysaccharide modification and flagellin glycosylation affect virulence but not innate immune recognition in plants
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5.1 Introduction

*Burkholderia cepacia* complex (Bcc) denotes a group of ubiquitous Gram-negative bacterial species isolated from water, soil, plants, insects, industrial settings and hospital environments (1). Some Bcc strains have beneficial traits, as they utilize complex carbon sources to degrade toxic compounds in pesticides and herbicides, while others serve as bioremediation agents (2). However, Bcc strains are opportunistic pathogens causing serious infections in immunocompromised humans, including chronic lung infection in cystic fibrosis (CF) patients (3). The most common Bcc clinical isolates are *B. cenocepacia* and *B. multivorans*. In particular, *B. cenocepacia* has gained notoriety for its ability to cause lethal necrotizing pneumonia and its transmissibility among CF patients (3).

The opportunistic nature of infections by *B. cenocepacia* makes it difficult to study the pathogenic mechanisms of this bacterium, since "virulence" depends on the host's context and its innate susceptibility to infection. Several infection models for *B. cenocepacia* have been established, which include mice and rats (4), zebrafish embryo (5), the nematode *Caenorhabditis elegans* (6), *Galleria mellonella* moth larvae (7, 8), and plants like alfalfa (4) and duckweed (9). Plants have evolved sophisticated mechanisms to perceive and respond to nearly constant attacks from potential pathogens (10). These mechanisms involve passive protection, such as that provided by the waxy cuticular skin layer, and innate immune responses. As with the innate immune system in mammals and insects, the plant immune system also perceives and responds to various elicitor molecules, which are conserved microbial structures referred to as microbe- or pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs). These include bacterial flagellin, lipopolysaccharide (LPS) and
lipoteichoic acid, and fungal chitin and ergosterol (11). LPS consists of lipid A, embedded in the outer leaflet of the outer membrane, which is linked to core oligosaccharide and polymeric O antigen (12), while flagellin is the building block of the flagellar filament essential for bacterial motility. LPS and flagellin are typical PAMPs that stimulate plant cells and tissues to generate reactive oxygen species (ROS), alkanisation of the extracellular medium, callose deposition, and nitric oxide (NO) burst (13-15). These responses lead to programmed cell death localized to the tissue surrounding the infection site, which is known as the hypersensitive response (11, 16).

*Arabidopsis thaliana* is an established model organism for plant biology, but also a tool to understand molecular mechanisms of human diseases (17, 18), particularly concerning the initial stages of infection (10, 19). Previous work by others reported a rapid burst of NO after treatment of *A. thaliana* cultured cells and leaves with *B. cepacia* lipid A preparations, which was associated with the transcriptional activation of defense-related genes (20). Further, treatment with *B. cepacia* purified lipid A and core oligosaccharide LPS components resulted in different plant transcriptional responses (21), suggesting that plant cells perceive LPS components differently. Lipid A structural modifications alter recognition and responses by the innate immune system in mammals (22) and in plants (23). LPS contributes to reduce the permeability of the outer membrane of Gram-negative bacteria, acting as a barrier for plant-derived antimicrobial substances (24). *B. cenocepacia* exhibits extraordinary intrinsic resistance to antimicrobial peptides and other antibiotics (25). We have shown that the *B. cenocepacia* lipid A and one of the sugars of the inner core oligosaccharide are modified by the incorporation of L-Ara4N, and this modification is not only essential for bacterial survival (26) but is also the major determinant of resistance to antimicrobial peptides (27). The essentiality of the LPS
modification by L-Ara4N suggests the possibility that this amino sugar could also contribute to modulate innate immune responses.

Flagellin is a major activator of innate immunity in animals, where is recognized by the Toll-like receptor 5 (TLR5) (28), and in plants (29, 30). Recognition of flagellin by plants activates disease resistance mechanisms through an oxidative burst during early stages of infection (13, 15). The *A. thaliana* Flagellin Sensing2 (FLS2) protein is a PAMP receptor kinase with an extracellular domain containing 28 leucine-rich repeats, a transmembrane domain, and an intracellular serine/threonine kinase domain (31, 32). These interactions activate production of ROS, triggering a complex defense response including induction of pathogenesis-related genes (15). We have recently shown that the *B. cenocepacia* flagellin is glycosylated and its glycosylation status modulates innate immune responses in mammalian cells (33), but the role of flagellin glycosylation in plant immune responses to *B. cenocepacia* infection has not been examined.

In this work, we investigated the pathogenicity of *B. cenocepacia* in *A. thaliana* and evaluated the significance of LPS modification with L-Ara4N and flagellin glycosylation in virulence and in *Arabidopsis-B. cenocepacia* interactions by studying innate immune responses by wild type and mutant plants. Further, we compared bacterial virulence in planta and in the *Galleria mellonella* infection model to clarify the global role of flagellin glycosylation and LPS modification on pathogenesis. We demonstrate that flagellin glycosylation and LPS modification with L-Ara4N play a significant role on bacterial survival upon infection, but do not alter the perception of these molecules by the plant innate immune receptors, indicating these modifications are only critical to establish infection.
5.2 Materials and methods

5.2.1 Plants and growth conditions

Seeds of Arabidopsis thaliana, ecotype Columbia (Col-0), Attnoal (SALK number: CS6511), fls2 (SALK number: 121477), and dnd1-1 (SALK number: 066908C) mutants were surface-sterilized by sequential soaking in 75% ethanol for 1 min, rinsing three times with sterile water, soaking in 20% bleach for 15 min, and rinsing five times with sterile water. Sterilized seeds were kept at 4 °C in the dark for 3 days for vernalization and were planted in magenta boxes containing Murashige & Skoog (MS) basal salt mixture (Sigma-Aldrich) supplemented with 1% sucrose (W/V) and 0.8% agar, and placed in a growth chamber at 22 °C with a light intensity of 80 µE m⁻²s⁻¹. Seedlings were grown under 16 h light and 8 h darkness for 21 days. Mutants were obtained from the Arabidopsis Biological Resource Center (ABRC). Tobacco plants (Nicotiana benthamiana) were grown at 26 °C with a 16-h photoperiod.

5.2.2 Bacterial strains and growth conditions

B. cenocepacia K56-2 and its isogenic mutants (Table 5.1) were cultured at 37 °C in Luria Bertani broth overnight. B. cenocepacia K56-2 is a clinical isolate that belongs to the ET-12 epidemic strain lineage (57). Strain MSS25 carries a polar insertion in the fliC gene, which prevents the synthesis of the flagellin filament and also likely inactivates the downstream genes fliD1 and fliT, encoding a flagellar hook and an accessory protein, respectively (35). To analyse growth rate of mutants, overnight cultures were diluted into fresh medium at a starting optical density (OD) at 600 nm of 0.05. Samples were then aliquoted in a 100-well honeycomb microtitre plate and growth rates were followed by
**Table 5.1:** Bacterial strains used in this study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Source and/or reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>K56-2</td>
<td><em>B. cenocepacia</em> ET12 clone related to J2315, CF clinical isolate</td>
<td>BCRRC&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K56-2 ΔatsR</td>
<td>K56-2 carrying an unmarked deletion of the <em>atsR</em>gene</td>
<td>(37)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MH55</td>
<td>K56-2, ΔarnT-arnBC&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;lptG&lt;sub&gt;D31H&lt;/sub&gt; (&lt;i&gt;lptG&lt;/i&gt;&lt;sup&gt;S&lt;/sup&gt;)</td>
<td>(27)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔBCAL0111</td>
<td>K56-2, unmarked deletion of <em>flmQ</em> (BCAL0111)</td>
<td>(33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSS25</td>
<td>K56-2, <em>fliC</em>::pSM62, insertional inactivation of <em>fliC</em></td>
<td>(35)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> BCRRC, *B. cepacia* Research and Referral Repository for Canadian CF Clinics.
measuring the OD$_{600}$ every hour under continuous shaking for 24 h in a Bioscreen C automated growth curve analyser (MTX Lab Systems, Vienna, VA, USA). All bacteria grew at similar rates in Luria Bertani medium (Fig. 5.9B).

### 5.2.3 Plant inoculation methods

Five to 6 week-old *A. thaliana* or *Nicotiana benthamiana* plants were used for initial inoculation experiments. For soil drainage infection, plants were watered with bacterial suspensions in MES (4-morpholineethanesulfonic acid sodium salt) buffer. For surface spraying method bacteria from overnight cultures were suspended in 10 mM MES buffer at different CFU. For inoculation by the syringe-infiltration method, bacteria were infiltrated into the leaves using a needleless syringe. For wound inoculation seedlings were wounded at the leaf surface by scratching. Wounded seedlings were immediately inoculated with bacterial suspensions. MS-agar plates containing inoculated seedlings were sealed with parafilm and placed in growth chambers for 7 days. Plants were monitored for disease symptoms daily. At day 7, three leaves from each plantlet were excised, washed with 5% bleach for 1 min and rinsed with sterile water. The leaf was blotted dry on sterile filter paper and imprinted on LB agar plates to determine if there were any bacteria on the surface of the leaves. The imprinted plates were incubated at 37 °C for 24 h. The leaves were then weighed and macerated in 500 µl PBS (phosphate-buffered saline) with a micro-pestle, serially diluted and plated on LB agar plates in triplicates. Only leaf samples that did not show any bacterial growth on the imprinted plates were counted to avoid counting contaminating bacteria from leaf surfaces. Other mutants were similarly infiltrated into leaves and HR symptoms were visualized by bleaching the leaves in ethanol (Fig. 5.2C). Ethanol bleaching was used to provide
enhanced visualization of HR development in leaves and facilitate comparison with the parental strain.

5.2.4 RNA isolation, cDNA synthesis and RT-PCR

Total RNA was extracted from frozen leaves of *A. thaliana* using the SV Total RNA Isolation System (Promega, USA) and 1 µg of RNA was reverse transcribed using Quantitect Reverse Transcription kit (Qiagen, USA). The coding sequence of *PR-1* and *ACTIN-2* genes, available through GenBank, was used to design the following primers: Forward 5’-GATGTGCCAAAGTGAGGTG-3’ and reverse 5’-CTGATACATATACACGTCC-3’ to amplify *PR-1* and forward 5’-TGCTCTTCCTCATGCTCC-3’ and reverse 5’-ATCCTCCGATCCAGACACTG-3’ to amplify *ACTIN*. PCR was carried out with an initial denaturation step at 94 °C for 4 min, followed by 30 cycles of denaturation (30 sec at 94 °C) for *PR-1* and 21 cycles for *ACTIN*, annealing (30 sec at 53 °C) and extension (1 min at 72 °C). After the last cycle, a final extension was carried out for 5 min at 72 °C. PCR products were visualized on 1% agarose gels using UV light in a Gel-Doc system (Alpha Innotech, USA). Relative mRNA levels of *PR-1* were normalized relative to untreated control and internal control (*ACTIN-2*) by densitometry using ImagJ.

5.2.5 LPS extraction, mass spectrometry, and flagellin purification

LPS was extracted from equal biomass using proteinase K method as previously described (58). Extracted LPS was dissolved at 1 mg/ml in water containing 2.5 mM MgCl₂ plus 1 mM CaCl₂ and shaken for 3 h on a rotary shaker. If not mentioned otherwise, experiments were performed with K56-2 LPS or with buffer A containing 1.0
mM CaCl$_2$ and 2.5 mM MgCl$_2$ (pH 7.6), as a control. Flagellin purification was performed as described earlier (33). Lipid A of strains MH55 and K56-2 (Table 5.1) was examined by mass spectrometry (MS) using matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization-time of flight (MALDI-TOF). Cells were grown overnight in Luria-Bertani broth, centrifuged (10,000 × g), washed twice with phosphate buffer (10 mM Na$_2$HPO$_4$, 1.7 mM KH$_2$PO$_4$), and freeze-dried. Lipid A was extracted and desalted, as previously described (59). For MS analysis, dihydroxybenzoic acid (DHB) (Sigma Chemical Co, St. Louis, MO) was used as a matrix and prepared to saturation in acetonitrile: 0.1% trifluoroacetic acid (1:2 v/v). A 2-μl sample was loaded on the target, dried, covered by 1 μl of DHB, and inserted in a Bruker Autoflex MALDI-TOF spectrometer. Data were acquired and analyzed using the Flex Analysis software.

5.2.6 In vivo NO and ROS analysis

NO was measured in guard cells of leaf epidermal peels prepared from leaves of 3-week-old plants (grown as described above) using diaminofluorescein diacetate (DAF-2DA) fluorescence as described (60) with the following modifications. Leaves were submerged in buffer (pH 5.7) containing 5 mM MES-KOH, 0.25 mM KCl, and 1 mM CaCl$_2$, with 5 μM DAF-2DA and transferred either to reaction buffer containing 100 μg/mL LPS or pre-incubated for 10 min in reaction buffer containing 50 μM sodium nitroprusside (SNP) or 200 μM N$^G$-nitro-L-Arg-methyl ester (L-NAME) and then for 20 min with LPS. The epidermal peels were placed underneath a cover slip on a microscope slide with several drops of reaction mix. In a similar way ROS was detected by 2 μM 2, 7-dichlorofluorescein diacetate (DCF) and flagellin treatment following the incubation of leaves in MES/KOH buffer for 2 h.
5.2.7 Microscopy

Fluorescence and phase-contrast images were acquired using a QImaging Retiga-SRV camera on an Axioscope 2 (Carl Zeiss).

5.2.8 Fluorometric Quantification of NO and ROS

To monitor NO accumulation in *Arabidopsis* leaf peels, the DAF-2DA fluorescence was measured at excitation of 480 nm and emission of 521 nm, using a Cary Eclipse fluorescence spectrophotometer (Varian, Inc., Mississauga, Ontario, Canada). The plate was rocked for 20 sec before measuring. Similarly, for determining accumulation of ROS, DCF was added to a final concentration of 2 µM. Fluorescence was measured at excitation of 480 nm and emission of 521 nm in 96-well white plates. Background fluorescence of each probe in buffer control was subtracted. Autofluorescence of the buffer, without adding the probes, was measured and corrected by subtraction from the fluorescence signals. The suspensions were protected from light throughout the assays to avoid photo-oxidation.

5.2.9 Bacterial Swarming and Swimming Motility Assays

Swarming motility assays were performed as described previously (37). Assays were done in triplicate and repeated independently three times. Bacterial swimming motility was analyzed on soft agar plates (1% Bacto tryptone in 0.3% agar). The OD<sub>600</sub> of overnight cultures was adjusted to 1 and 2 µl of culture were inoculated in the centre of agar plate. Diameter of growth zone was measured after 24 h of incubation at 37 °C.

5.2.10 *Galleria mellonella* infection model
G. mellonella larvae were obtained from Recorp and stored in the dark at 4 °C. Injections of 10 µl containing $1 \times 10^4$ CFU diluted in 10 mM MgSO$_4 \cdot 7$H$_2$O supplemented with 100 µg ampicillin µl$^{-1}$ were given to the larvae into the haemocoel through the hindmost right proleg. Infected larvae were incubated at 30 °C and larval survival was monitored up to 75 h as judged based on lack of movement in response to stimuli. Control larvae were injected with 10 µl of the same buffer without bacteria. Ten larvae were used for each condition and the experiment was repeated on three independent occasions.

5.3 Results and discussion

5.3.1 Pathogenicity of B. cenocepacia in A. thaliana and tobacco seedlings

We investigated the ability of B. cenocepacia K56-2, a CF clinical isolate, to induce HR in A. thaliana and tobacco. Seedlings from both species were inoculated by different methods with $10^1$ to $10^8$ bacteria and examined for symptoms over one week. A simple infection model was developed in which leaves were wounded, and then inoculated with dilute suspensions of B. cenocepacia. Disease was scored for every seedling on an index of 1 to 6 based on the extent of HR symptoms and tissue necrosis using a standard HR scoring system (34). Depending on the bacterial dose, infection of seedlings via wound inoculation or syringe infiltration led to necrosis (brown areas on leaves at higher doses) or chlorosis (yellowing of the leaf tissue), which were clearly visible after day 4 post infection. In contrast, infections by soil drainage or surface spray gave few symptoms even at day 7-post infection (Fig. 5.1A). There was a direct correlation between inoculum size and HR symptoms (Fig. 5.1B). Similar results were observed in tobacco plants, where infection via roots or leaves spraying did not cause distinguishable symptoms in plantlets (Fig. 5.1C). Since several mutants in innate immunity pathways and pathogen
Figure 5.1: HR in plant leaves using various inoculation methods. A) Quantitative scoring of HR in leaves of wild-type Arabidopsis inoculated with K56-2 by different inoculation methods. Symptom scores assigned using an index from 1-6 based on the extent of tissue collapse and color change where: 0 is no symptom; 1, random yellow or dark spots; 2, chlorosis over the inoculation site; 3, chlorosis and mild tissue collapse at and around the inoculation site; 4, more than 50% collapse at and around the inoculation site; 5, 100% collapse at the inoculation site; 6, 100% collapse beyond the inoculation site. The average score was calculated based on a minimum of 45 leaves per treatment cumulative from 3 individual repeats. B) Effect of inoculum size on the incidence of infection. Values indicate percent ± SE of seedlings showing disease symptoms at 7 days post infection inoculated with doses ranging from $10^1$ to $10^8$ CFU; 15 plants per infection dose were tested. Data represent the average of three experiments. C) Representative images of 5-week-old tobacco plants inoculated with a dose of $10^8$ CFU of *B. cenocepacia* by different inoculation methods. Arrows indicate mild symptoms that are barely visible resulted from spraying bacteria on leaves.
receptors are available for *A. thaliana* ecotype Colombia Col-0, we used only seedlings from this species for the remainder of this study, which were inoculated with $10^8$ CFU via wound inoculation or syringe infiltration.

### 5.3.2 L-Ara4N modification of LPS and flagellin glycosylation are required to cause pathology in *A. thaliana*

*B. cenocepacia* requires L-Ara4N modification of LPS for viability and resistance to antimicrobial peptides (27). However, a suppressor mutation in the *lptG* gene, which encodes an essential protein for the export of LPS to the outer membrane, restores viability in the absence of L-Ara4N LPS modification (27). We therefore investigated if lack of L-Ara4N in the LPS of this mutant (MH55, Δ*arnT lptG*D31H) could affect *B. cenocepacia* survival in *A. thaliana*. Infection of *A. thaliana* seedlings with Δ*arnT lptG*D31H caused mild symptoms of chlorosis in 27% of inoculated plants (Fig. 5.2A&C); very few bacteria were recovered from leaves, as compared to plants infected with the K56-2 parental strain (Fig. 5.2B). These data demonstrate that L-Ara4N modification of LPS is critical for *B. cenocepacia* pathogenicity. The poor infectivity of the suppressor mutant is most likely due to the lack of L-Ara4N in the LPS of Δ*arnT lptG*D31H, as revealed by mass spectrometry of purified lipid A (Fig. 5.4), which makes it extremely sensitive to naturally produced antimicrobial peptides in plants, as we previously demonstrated with polymyxin B (27).
Figure 5.2: Infection and replication of *B. cenocepacia* strains in *Arabidopsis* seedlings.

A) Values show the percentages ± SE of the seedlings showing disease symptoms visible on day 7 post infection after wound inoculation with $10^8$ CFU of *B. cenocepacia* strains; 15 plants per infection dose were tested. Data are the average of three repeats. B) Graph represents bacterial counts on day 7 post infection from *Arabidopsis* leaves infected with *B. cenocepacia* by wound inoculation. C) Photographs of representative leaves (from three replicate treatments) from *Arabidopsis* plants inoculated with *B. cenocepacia* strains by infiltration (Upper row). Leaves were removed from plants and bleached in ethanol (Lower row). An arrow indicates the inoculated region, which is more transparent and flattened undergoing tissue collapse compared with the rest of the leaf and wild-type control treated with buffer.
Next, we evaluated the significance of flagellin in *A. thaliana* pathogenicity. For these experiments we used a *fliC* mutant that is nonmotile and unable to make flagellin (35), and the hypermotile, flagellated ∆*atsR* mutant (Table 5.1). The ∆*atsR* gene encodes a global regulatory repressor protein that controls motility and the expression of various virulence factors (36-38). There were no statistically significant differences in disease symptoms between plants infected with ∆*atsR* and K56-2 at day 7 postinfection (Fig. 5.2). However, symptoms appeared two days earlier in ∆*atsR*-infected plants, and these results were reproducible in each experimental replica. These observations agree with the current model for the regulatory role of AtsR (37, 38) indicating that removal of inhibitory regulation on motility as well as other AtsR-controlled factors increases bacterial pathogenicity. Therefore, it is not surprising that ∆*atsR* provoked similar lesions to those found with the parental strain K56-2 (Fig. 5.2C). In contrast, loss of flagellin in the *fliC* mutant resulted in a strain that induced only mild HR symptoms in less than 30% of plants infected by wound inoculation (Fig. 5.2A), revealing the critical role of flagella in virulence and also suggesting that flagellar driven motility is important for infection. The wound inoculation method mimics entry of microbes into host plants through natural wounds, which results in bacterial spreading to intercellular spaces. In contrast, infiltration of bacteria with a syringe into the apoplast could bypass the first steps of the natural infection process and therefore, the requirement for bacterial motility. To probe this notion, we infected *Arabidopsis* seedlings by syringe infiltration into leaf abaxial surfaces. Under these conditions, the *fliC* mutant elicited similar HR symptoms as those induced by parental K56-2 (Fig. 5.2C). HR also correlated with higher numbers of bacteria recovered from leaves, a difference that was particularly pronounced when compared with wound inoculation (Fig. 5.3A&B).
Figure 5.3: Infection of *Arabidopsis* seedlings by the wound inoculation method. A) Representative images of leaves inoculated with a dose of $10^8$ CFU of the various strains are shown. B) Bacterial counts on day 7 postinfection recovered from *Arabidopsis* leaves inoculated with *B. cenocepacia* by infiltration.
Figure 5.4: MALDI-TOF spectra of purified lipid A produced by the parental *B. cenocepacia* strain K56-2 and the Δ*arnT lptG* mutant strain MH55 (Table 1). Lipid A samples were prepared and processed as indicated in materials and methods. The profiles represented were obtained using the negative-ion mode. Ion peaks are color coded to indicate ions corresponding to species without L-Ara4N are found in the MH55 lipid A spectrum.
Since post-translational modification of flagellin by glycosylation affects the virulence of *Pseudomonas syringae* in tobacco plants (39, 40), we compared the ability of parental and ΔflmQ (Bcal0111) *B. cenocepacia* strains (Fig. 5.2A) to cause disease in *A. thaliana*. The *flmQ* gene encodes a flagellin glycosyltransferase in *B. cenocepacia* (33). In comparison to the parental strain, ΔflmQ was less pathogenic for *A. thaliana* (Fig. 5.2A & 5.3A) and exhibited reduced bacterial survival (Fig. 5.2B). Further, ΔflmQ caused significantly less pathology on the leaves with less than 30% of plants having disease symptoms, although slight chlorosis was observable (Fig. 5.2C), suggesting that non-glycosylated flagellin retains its elicitor activity. The reduced virulence of ΔflmQ on *A. thaliana* leaves also correlated with bacterial defects in swarming and swimming (Fig. 5.5B&C), consistent with our previous report indicating that glycosylation affects bacterial motility (33). Together, these experiments demonstrate that L-Ara4N modification of LPS and flagellin glycosylation are important for infectivity in *A. thaliana*. 
**Figure 5.5:** Characterization of flagellin mutants. A) SDS-PAGE analysis of purified flagellin from WT and K56-2 ΔflmQ mutant strain of *B. cenocepacia*. B) Swarming (Upper row) and swimming (Lower row) motility on soft agar plates of *B. cenocepacia* strains. Data represent three independent experiments. C) Quantification of motility zone. Statistical analysis was performed by paired *t*-test using two-tailed P-values. Significant differences in comparison with *B. cenocepacia* parental strain (WT) as control are indicated by *** (P < 0.005) and ** (P < 0.027).
5.3.4 LPS induces robust and rapid nitric oxide burst in *A. thaliana*

The reduced pathogenicity of ΔarnT lptGD31H could be due to reduced bacterial survival during the initial stages of infection or alternatively, poor recognition of LPS devoid of L-Ara4N by the plant innate immune system. We therefore investigated the levels of NO production in *A. thaliana* guard cells upon exposure to *B. cenocepacia* LPS from mutant and parental sources. Guard cells are specialized epidermal cells in the leaf epidermis surrounding stomatal pores that come into close contact with bacterial pathogens. LPS typically induces NO generation in *A. thaliana* (20, 34). NO burst was detected *in vivo* using the NO-specific fluorescent dye DAF-2DA. Treatment of guard cells with ultrapure *E. coli* LPS control resulted in a rapid burst of green fluorescence, indicative of NO production (Fig. 5.6A). Robust LPS induction of NO in intact guard cells could be reproduced using phenol-extracted LPS from both WT K56-2 and mutant strain lacking L-Ara4N (Fig. 5.6B). Quantification of NO burst using a spectrofluorometric assay that detects NO accumulation indicated that LPS devoid of L-Ara4N has similar levels of elicitor activity suggesting that LPS modification does not affect the innate immune response in *A. thaliana* *in vivo*.

Further, we compared LPS-induced NO production in guard cells isolated from parental *A. thaliana* and the Atnoa1 and dndl mutants. Atnoa1 is a loss-of-function mutant in Nitric Oxide Associated protein 1 (NOA1), which is required for arginine-dependent NO generation and involved in signal cascades responding to PAMPs (20, 41). The defense no death1 (dndl-1) mutant has a null mutation in the CNGC2/DND1 gene and displays reduced NO generation (34, 42). Minimal responses were detected in Atnoa1 and dndl guard cells compared to wild type (Fig. 5.7A, left panel). LPS-induced NO production
Figure 5.6: Role of L-Ara4N in NO generation in Arabidopsis guard cells. A) Leaf epidermal peels prepared from parental (WT) leaves were loaded with buffer control (top panel) or the NO-sensitive dye DAF-2DA (middle panel) prior to incubation with 100 µg/mL ultrapure LPS from E. coli (bottom panel). B) Leaf epidermal peels prepared from WT leaves were treated with LPS isolated from K56-2 or K56-2 ΔarnT strains. This experiment was repeated twice and a minimum of three epidermal peels was used as treatment replicates. C) Fluorometric quantification of NO generated in WT Arabidopsis leaves. D) Induction of PR-1 gene expression in Arabidopsis leaves by LPS. Leaves were treated with buffer (lane 1), K56-2 LPS (lane 2) or LPS isolated from K56-2 ΔarnT strain.
(lane 3) for 24 h followed by RNA preparation. *ACTIN* was used as an internal control for RT-PCR. Numbers represent relative levels of *PR-1* being normalized relative to control by densitometry.
was reduced dramatically in cells treated with the NOS inhibitor N\textsuperscript{G}-nitro-L-Arg-methyl ester (L-NAME) (Fig. 5.7A, right panel). Similarly, LPS-dependent NO generation was not observed in *dnd1-1* or *Atnoa1* mutants after treatment with L-NAME, while incubation with the NO donor sodium nitroprusside (SNP) resulted in green fluorescence in parental *A. thaliana* and both mutants. Therefore, reduced NO burst in *Atnoa1* and *dnd1-1* cells was due to a defect in NO production (Fig. 5.7A, middle panel). NO was quantified in LPS-treated leaves of parental and mutant plants using a fluorometric assay. LPS treatment yielded 180 relative fluorescence units (RFUs) in parental leaves, compared with 78% and 66% reduction in RFUs observed for *Atnoa1* and *dnd1-1* mutants, respectively (Fig. 5.7B). LPS-induced NO production in parental leaves was repressed by 6-fold upon treatment with the NO synthase inhibitor L-NAME (Fig. 5.7B). In contrast, parental and mutant leaves showed similar RFUs in the presence of SNP. Together, these results demonstrate that *B. cenocepacia* LPS triggers a robust NO burst in *Arabidopsis* leaves and both intact LPS and LPS lacking L-Ara4N can be equally recognized by the plant innate immune system.

### 5.3.5 Flagellin perception in *A. thaliana* occurs irrespective of the flagellin glycosylation status

We also investigated if the glycosylation status of the *B. cenocepacia* flagellin alters the immunity response of *A. thaliana*, which involves a rapid and transient burst of ROS that is subsequently associated with programmed cell death (43). Treatment of plants with *B. cenocepacia* flagellin triggered ROS generation in parental *A. thaliana* leaves, but not in leaves from the *fls2* mutant, which lacks the flagellin receptor (Fig. 5.8A). This result agrees with previous reports in *Arabidopsis* and tomato plants demonstrating the
**Figure 5.7:** LPS activates NO production in WT, *Atnoa1* and *dnd1-1* guard cells. A) Leaf epidermal peels prepared from WT (top panels), *Atnoa1* (middle panels) or *dnd1-1* plants (bottom panels) were loaded with 5 µM DAF-2DA prior to incubation in reaction buffer alone (buffer control), 100 µg/mL LPS (left panel), 50 µM SNP (middle panel) or 200 µM L-NAME (right panel). In each case, corresponding fluorescence and bright-field images are shown; the area of the peel subjected to analysis was greater than that shown in each case. This experiment was repeated three times using at least three epidermal peels per experiment. B) Fluorometric quantification of NO generated in leaves of WT, *Atnoa1* and *dnd1-1* Arabidopsis seedlings. C) Induction of *PR-1* gene expression in *Arabidopsis* leaves by LPS. *Arabidopsis* leaves were treated with buffer (lanes 1, 3 & 5) or LPS (lanes 2, 4 & 6) for 24 h followed by RNA preparation. RT-PCR was performed with cDNAs for *PR-1* and *ACTIN*. Numbers represent relative levels of *PR-1* being normalized relative to control by densitometry.
importance of flagellin perception for ROS production and disease resistance (13, 15). Fluorometric quantification of ROS in parental and fls2 mutant leaves showed a 3-fold reduction indicating that flagellin recognition was necessary for eliciting ROS production (Fig. 5.8B). However, no significant differences were detected in ROS production by leaves treated with non-glycosylated flagellin, despite that infection with bacteria lacking glycosylated flagellin produce less symptoms of infection (Fig. 5.8C). This result suggests that reduced pathogenicity of A. thaliana exposed with the B. cenocepacia ΔflmQ is due to reduced bacterial motility rather than differential perception of glycosylated vs. non-glycosylated flagellin by the FLS2 receptor.

5.3.6. Induction of Pathogenesis Related gene-1 (PR-1) by LPS and flagellin

Host defense responses in A. thaliana depend on ROS and NO production and induction of host defense or stress-associated genes, such as genes encoding Pathogenesis Related proteins (20). We investigated PR-1 gene expression by RT-PCR, since the expression of this gene is considered a hallmark of defense response in plants (20, 21). PR-1 expression was significantly increased in parental A. thaliana leaves 24 h after treatment with either intact or l-Ara4N devoid LPS (Fig. 5.6D). In contrast, PR-1 mRNA accumulation was reduced in Atnoa1 mutant plants (Fig. 5.7C, lanes 3&4) suggesting a functional link between LPS-induced NO production and PR-1 expression. Similarly, PR-1 expression is activated by flagellin irrespective of its glycosylation status in parental A. thaliana but not in the flagellin-insensitive fls2 mutant (Fig. 5.8C). As expected, dnd1-1 mutant plants expressed high levels of PR-1 mRNA, which is due to the constitutively active salicylic acid signalling pathway in this mutant (44, 45). Together, our findings indicate that modifiedLPS and flagellin induce PR-1 transcription at levels similar to that of intact LPS.
Figure 5.8: Flagellin activates ROS generation in guard cells of WT and fls2 leaves. A) Leaf epidermal peels prepared from WT (top panels) or fls2 plants (bottom panels) were loaded with the ROS-sensitive dye DCF prior to incubation with glycosylated (left panel) or unglycosylated flagellin (right panel) from K56-2. In each case, corresponding fluorescence and bright-field images are shown; Images are the representative of three individual experiments. In each experiment, at least three epidermal peels were used as treatment replicates. B) Fluorometric quantification of ROS generated in leaves of WT and fls2 Arabidopsis seedlings. C) Induction of PR-1 gene expression in Arabidopsis leaves by flagellin. Arabidopsis leaves were treated with buffer (lanes 1 & 4), WT (lanes 2 & 5) or unglycosylated flagellin (lanes 3 & 6) for 24 h followed by RNA preparation. RT-PCR was performed with cDNAs for PR-1 and ACTIN-2. Numbers represent relative levels of PR-1 being normalized relative to control by densitometry.
and flagellin. This agrees with our results regarding induction of oxidative burst indicating that L-Ara4N modification of LPS and flagellin glycosylation are critical for infectivity in *A. thaliana* but do not alter the perception of these molecules by the plant innate immune receptors.

5.3.7 Comparison of *Arabidopsis* and *Galleria* infection models

The previous results indicate that flagellin and LPS modifications alter the infectivity of *B. cenocepacia* in *A. thaliana*, but the plant can perceive these molecules irrespectively. Therefore, we tested the ability of *B. cenocepacia* strains utilized in the plant infection model for survival and pathogenicity in *G. mellonella*. *G. mellonella* moth larvae have been increasingly used as an infection model for many bacterial pathogens including several *Burkholderia* species (8, 46, 47). *B. cenocepacia* parental and mutant strains were injected into *G. mellonella* and survival was monitored for over 72 h. As with *A. thaliana*, K56-2 ΔatsR exhibited high virulence denoted by no larvae surviving at 48 h post injection with $10^4$ CFU (Fig. 5.9A), compared to 5 ± 3% survival of larvae infected with parental K56-2. In contrast, ΔarnT lptG<sub>D31H</sub> was greatly attenuated, as shown by larval survival rates of more than 80% at 48 h post infection (Fig. 5.9A). At infection doses of $10^4$ CFU, similar attenuation levels were observed in the *fliC* mutant, while ΔflmQ showed an intermediate phenotype (50 ± 13.5% survival). At 72 h post infection the larvae survival rates with ΔarnT lptG<sub>D31H</sub>, *fliC*, and ΔflmQ were 77 ± 14, 43 ± 12, and 28 ± 7%, respectively. Control larvae injected with buffer remained viable until the end of the experiment. These results are comparable to the data obtained from the *A. thaliana* infections, confirming the significance of flagellin glycosylation and L-Ara4N modification of LPS in the infectivity of *B. cenocepacia*.
Figure 5.9: Virulence of *B. cenocepacia* strains in *G. mellonella*. A) Larvae were infected with $1 \times 10^4$ CFU of the indicated *Burkholderia* strains. Larval survival was monitored during 75 h post infection. Data are means ± SEM. B) Growth rate of parental *B. cenocepacia* strain K56-2 and its isogenic mutants in LB medium. Data points represent the mean of 6 replicas.
5.4. Conclusion

Virulence and pathogenicity requires considering both the host and the microbe; the use of these terms to define a microbe in isolation of its host has recently been debated (48, 49). We show here that modifications in the LPS molecule and flagellin glycosylation do not affect recognition by plant innate immune receptors, but are still required for bacteria to establish an infection. Our experiments using the B. cenocepacia K56-2-A. thaliana infection model reveal that plant seedlings sense LPS and flagellin as PAMPs and induce a burst of NO and ROS that contributes to basal resistance and eventually leads to a hypersensitive response against B. cenocepacia. Redox signalling has emerged as a main regulator of cellular function in plant pathophysiology (50). Pathogen recognition turns on a signalling cascade where free radicals, such as NO and ROS, act as infochemicals for activation of various defense genes that mediate disease resistance in plants (14). NO and ROS production upon K56-2 LPS or flagellin treatment potentiated the expression of PR-1, which is a disease resistance marker. In contrast, PR-1 gene expression was reduced when Atmnoal and fls2 mutant plants were treated with LPS or flagellin, indicating that LPS-responsive genes are NO inducible (20). In our work, we did not observe a distinguishable difference in the expression of the PR-1 gene after treatment of leaves with intact or modified versions of LPS and flagellin.

Although we did not use purified lipid A in our study, these observations contrast with a previous report indicating that isolated lipid A of a non pathogenic mutant of Xanthomonas campestris, consisting mainly of penta-acylated lipid A with phosphoethanolamine substitutions of its phosphate groups, was unable to elicit PR-1 expression (23). The authors concluded that the substitution of both lipid A phosphate
groups with phosphoethanolamine would neutralize the net negative charge of the lipid A, which could affect binding to putative plant receptors (23). In contrast, the *B. cenocepacia* lipid A phosphate groups are substituted by L-Ara4N molecules and disappearance of these residues in the ΔarnT lptG<sub>D31H</sub> would expose negative charges, thus maintaining the ability of lipid A to activate *PR-1* expression. Importantly, the lipid A of *B. cenocepacia* is tetra- and penta-acylated, but the acyl chains are longer than those of the *X. campestris* lipid A (51). Therefore, the fact that parental and L-Ara4N devoid LPS from *B. cenocepacia* induce similar levels of *PR-1* gene expression suggests that the acyl chain composition may also play a role in LPS detection by plant innate immune receptors.

Irrespective of K56-2 LPS elicitor activity, *B. cenocepacia* mutants unable to produce L-Ara4N-modified LPS have extremely high sensitivity to Polymyxin B (27), suggesting that they would also be highly sensitive to plant antimicrobial peptides. Further, we show that post-translational modification of flagellin by glycosylation affects bacterial motility and virulence in *Arabidopsis*, but does not significantly alter the elicitor activity of the protein. A correlation between defects in flagellin glycosylation in *Pseudomonas syringae* and reduced ability to induce HR in plants has been reported (39, 40), but the molecular mechanism is unknown. Our experiments indicate that reduced virulence but increased activation of plant defenses by the ΔflmQ mutant producing non glycosylated flagellin is due to bacterial motility defects that prevent bacteria to establish infection. This could be clearly demonstrated by showing that the attenuation of the K56-2 *fliC* mutant strain is bypassed if bacteria are directly infiltrated into the plant deeper tissues. A role for flagellar motility was also reported for several plant pathogens such as *Erwinia*.
carotovora (52), Ralstonia solanacearum (53), and several pathovars of P. syringae and Xanthomonas campestris (54-56).

In conclusion, we demonstrate that flagellin glycosylation and LPS modification with L-Ara4N play a significant role on bacterial survival during the early stages upon infection, but do not alter the perception of these molecules by the plant innate immune receptors, indicating these modifications are only critical to establish infection. Our experiments therefore illustrate the notion that microbe's perception by the host and establishment of infection are interrelated but independent events.
5.5 Chapter 5 references


Chapter 6

General discussion
6.1 Overview

The opportunistic nature of *Burkholderia cenocepacia* is manifested by its amazing ability to adapt to and survive in a wide range of environmental and anatomical niches, which explains its pathogenesis in human (1), plants (2), nematodes (3) and animals (4). This requires an ability to sense and respond to the surrounding environment, which depends on regulatory networks that wire signal perception to coordinated gene expression and adaptive responses. Our data indicate that the AtsR protein has a major role in this process due to its nature as a sensor kinase and its high conservation in *Burkholderia*.

6.2 AtsR mechanism of action

It has been established that quorum sensing and T6SS are required for *in vivo* infection and therefore AtsR is involved in regulating virulence factors. However, the predicted structural features of AtsR suggested this protein could not directly control gene expression because it lacks an effector domain. In chapter two, we identified AtsR’s cognate response regulator and demonstrated key properties of the AtsR to AtsT phosphotransfer mechanism. First, upon autophosphorylation AtsR transfers the phosphate directly to the response regulator AtsT without involving other intermediate protein. Second, the His-245 residue of AtsR is absolutely required for function both *in vitro* and *in vivo*. Third, the C-terminal receiver domain of AtsR plays a role in modulating the stability of phosphorylated AtsR. It is very common in hybrid sensor kinases that the aspartate residue on the receiver domain modulates phosphotransfer and thus determines the specificity or regulation of the autokinase activity (5-8). Fourth, the
long half-life of phosphorylated AtsR and AtsT maintains the response memory for longer periods allowing the regulation of gene expression. Finally, the rapid phosphotransfer from AtsR to AtsT within 2 minutes represents the specificity of signal transduction based on molecular recognition indicating that they are true cognate pairs. Non-specific phosphotransfer between non-cognate pairs occur with prolonged incubation times at a much slower rate in vitro (9). For more in depth analysis to determine the critical residues for molecular recognition a combination of traditional single amino acid mutagenesis and more computational and systematic approaches as well as structural studies is required.

6.3 AtsR topology

To begin understanding the signal(s) or conditions that lead to activation or deactivation of the AtsR/AtsT phosphorelay we wanted to determine the critical amino acids residing in the sensory domain of AtsR. We first experimentally validated the predicted topology of the protein and then studied its sensory domain. We further identified 4 residues in the periplasmic region that are absolutely required for the function of the protein. Based on PSIPRED modeling system the periplasmic region of AtsR has four major α-helices. A well known example of periplasmic domain with antiparallel four-helix bundle is NarX. NarX responds to nitrate and nitrite and regulates anaerobic respiration. A high-resolution X-ray crystal structure of the NarX periplasmic sensor domain from E. coli revealed that nitrate-binding induces conformation changes that result in a piston-type displacement between the N- and C-terminal helices of the periplasmic domain (10). This might represent a conserved mechanism of ligand binding in histidine kinases with antiparallel helix bundles. This might also be the case for the AtsR sensor domain with those
identified 4 residues within the periplasmic loop facilitating an interaction with a signalling molecule or maintaining the binding pocket.

The middle gene of the \textit{atsR} operon is \textit{Bcam0380}, which encodes a protein with a molybdopterin domain that is found in a variety of oxidoreductases. We tested if any of the cysteine residues in the AtsR protein is required for its function to link it functionally to the neighboring gene \textit{Bcam0380}. A cys-less version of AtsR localized to the membrane and remained functional indicated that the native cysteines are dispensable for AtsR function. Currently, experiments are undergoing to identify potential signalling molecules.

\textbf{6.4 Cellular functions under the regulation of AtsR/AtsT}

Our data strongly support the notion that AtsR phosphorylation has a significant biological relevance as a virulence regulator modulating the expression of genes through AtsT. This notion was further confirmed by our comparative genetic and biochemical analysis in chapter 3.

We compared the expression profiling of \textit{atsR} mutant with a high throughput sequencing procedure. This combination defines the direct and indirect AtsR/AtsT regulon in the \textit{B. cenocepacia} genome. Some of the identified genes encode proteins with unknown function and the rest have known or predicted functions in a wide range of cellular processes including T6SS, metallopeptidases, lipoproteins, quorum sensing related genes and a few genes encoding regulatory proteins that might function as intermediates in regulatory cascades (Table 3.3 and Table 3.4). Our results also have an interesting feature which is the existence of a negative feedback loop for regulating the transcription levels
of $atsR$ operon. Such negative autoregulation is in line with the prolonged nature of phosphorylated AtsR observed in our work. Assuming that the optimum goal of a two component circuit is to reach a steady-state protein concentration to detect environmental signals and to avoid overloading of the receptors, a negative regulatory circuit with a relatively stable phosphorylation status such as that of AtsR works far better to maintain steady-state levels by do-novo synthesis rather than protein turn-over. This way, unoccupied receptors are always available to perceive new signals and adjust responses accordingly.

Another interesting observation is the variable affinity of AtsT DNA-binding to different promoters regardless of its phosphorylation status in vitro. This indicates that different binding affinities to the three promoters we tested here may represent a “fine-tuning” mode of action. In other words, this highly flexible mode of occupancy at promoters would likely render the expression of these genes relative to changes in AtsT-P levels; thus, it does not resemble an on-off switch. However, it should be noted that AtsT behaviour under in vivo conditions might be different than in vitro. For the majority of response regulators the phosphorylated form typically has a higher affinity for their target promoters (11). Also, certain response regulators bind DNA in vivo only when they are phosphorylated and produced at physiological levels (12, 13). This might be due to the accessibility of promoters or the presence of accessory proteins that promote or prevent phosphorylation.

Although we aimed to identify genes directly regulated by AtsT, comparison of gene profiles from $atsR$ mutant with ChIP-Seq data showed that there are a few genes present in both profiles. This result reflects the possibility of cross talk between two component
systems and that AtsT might be involved in other signalling pathways and act as a regulatory arm for several receptor proteins besides AtsR. Overall, AtsT directly regulates the expression of nearly 100 genes and is predominantly a repressor of genes encoding proteins associated with T6SS as well as lipoproteins, transposases, transport system binding proteins, glycosyltransferases, to name a few for which differences in expression have been observed in ΔatsT mutant and wild-type strains. By in silico analysis of ChiP-seq data the AtsT-regulated genes can be grouped into different functional categories such as DNA repair and recombination, glycan biosynthesis and metabolism, mitochondrial biogenesis, environmental information processing and membrane transport. The genes that are required for biofilm maturation and quorum sensing as a hallmark of AtsR/AtsT function are three main classes that are involved in encoding surface proteins, the biogenesis and maintenance of membrane integrity, and those that encode regulatory factors that affect N-acyl homoserine lactone production or perception. Examples of these classes have been identified in our combined approaches (Tables 3.3 and 3.4). Furthermore, our bioinformatic and DNase I foot printing analyses reveal the AtsT binding site architecture that likely has important implications for gene regulation in B. cenocepacia in many ways whether in the CF lung environment or its interaction with other environments.

6.5 *Burkholderia cenocepacia* as an opportunistic model bacterium

*B. cenocepacia* cause opportunistic infections in plants, insects, animals and humans, suggesting that "virulence" depends on the host and its innate susceptibility to infection. In chapter 5, I used *B. cenocepacia* as an opportunistic model bacterium and the host plant *Arabidopsis thaliana* to investigate the role of bacterial surface molecules, namely
lipopolysaccharide and flagellin, in contributing to infection and also in eliciting a host response. I hypothesized that modifications in key bacterial molecules recognized by the innate immune system modulate host responses to *B. cenocepacia*. Indeed, modification of lipopolysaccharide (LPS) with 4-amino-4-deoxy-L-arabinose and flagellin glycosylation attenuates *B. cenocepacia* infection in *Arabidopsis thaliana* and *Galleria mellonella* insect larvae. However, *B. cenocepacia* LPS and flagellin triggers rapid bursts of nitric oxide and reactive oxygen species in *A. thaliana* leading to activation of the *PR-1* gene. These responses were drastically reduced in plants with *fls2* (flagellin FLS2 host receptor kinase), *Atnoa1* (Nitric Oxide Associated protein 1), and *dnd1-1* (reduced production of nitric oxide) null mutations. Together, our results indicate that LPS modification and flagellin glycosylation do not affect recognition by plant receptors, but are required for bacteria to establish overt infection.

### 6.6 Final remarks

The results from this work provide insight into the diversity of AtsR/AtsT regulon and facilitate the potential of gene manipulation for redirecting information flow within bacteria to eliminate virulence in *B. cenocepacia*. We provided a comprehensive description of AtsR/AtsT phosphorelay mechanism that would be helpful for future studies investigating the nature of the AtsR activating signals and whether AtsR function can be manipulated pharmacologically to control *B. cenocepacia* infection. We further showed that the progression of *B. cenocepacia* virulence is both host- and strain-dependent. In fact, virulence and pathogenicity are properties ascribed to microbes, which require careful consideration of the host since the microbe's capacity to establish a niche in a given host is a critical feature associated to infection. *B. cenocepacia* is a perfect
example of microbes whose ability to cause disease is intimately related to the host’s ability to recognize and respond to the infection.
6.7 Chapter 6 references


Appendix A

AtsT \textit{in vivo} Chromatin Immunoprecipitation
After several attempts to purify DNA segments co-purified with AtsT, very low amounts of DNA were obtained (ranging from 1-2 ng/µl). The minimum DNA concentration for a successful ChIP-Seq is 5 ng/µl. Here in this section I show the results of *in vivo* chromatin immunoprecipitation experiments and troubleshooting steps.

*B. cenocepacia* culture expressing AtsT-Flag

\[\text{Crosslinking with formaldehyde} \]

\[\text{Quenching with glycine} \]

\[\text{Cell lysis} \]

\[\text{Sonication} \]

\[\text{Immunoprecipitation} \]

\[\text{De-crosslinking} \]

\[\text{DNA purification} \]

\[\text{Q-PCR} \]

**Figure A.1:** A step-by-step preview of the ChIP procedure.
To create C-terminal Flag-tagged AtsT, *atsT* gene was amplified using 5108-2794 primers and cloned into pDA17. The resulting plasmid was introduced into K56-2 Δ*atsT* and tested on DBH-I milk plates to check if the flag-tagged AtsT is functional (Fig. A.2). Exponentially growing culture in LB was incubated with formaldehyde to a final concentration of 1%. Crosslinking was quenched by adding glycine to 0.5 M final concentration. Cell pellets were washed in PBS and resuspended in lysis buffer [50 mM Tris-HCl (pH8.0), 10 mM EDTA, 1% SDS] plus protease inhibitor mixture (Sigma). The cells were sonicated for 25 cycles of 30 sec on/30 sec off at max power with a Bioruptor, and debris was pelleted by centrifugation. A 1 ml of sample was taken as input control. Following centrifugation, 1/10 volume of 10% TritonX-100 in lysis buffer was added to each sample followed by 100 μL of Dynal-Protein G beads coated with anti-flag antibody (Sigma), and samples were incubated overnight with rotation. The beads were washed 1 × with low salt buffer [20 mM Tris (pH7.5), 150 mM NaCl, 2mM EDTA, 1% Triton, 0.1% SDS], 1× in high salt buffer [20 mM Tris (pH7.5), 500 mM NaCl, 2mM EDTA, 1% Triton, 0.1% SDS] and then with LiCl buffer (0.25 M LiCl, 1% Nonidet P-40, 1% Na-deoxycholate, 1 mM EDTA, 10 mM Tris-HCl), and 1× in Tris-EDTA pH 8.0. Immunocomplexes were eluted with elution buffer (1% SDS, 0.1 M NaHCO3). Samples were incubated at 65°C for 4 h to reverse crosslinks, followed by incubation with DNase free RNase A for 1 h at 37°C and then with proteinase K at 55°C for 2h and were purified with Qiagen PCR Reaction Cleanup Kit and quantitated with Sybr-green kit (Invitrogen). There was no difference between input and output DNA Q-PCR result which suggests that chromatin was not pulled down. All attempts for optimizing ChIP conditions failed and did not result in any improvement of flag-beads binding affinity which indicates that the flag tag is not accessible likely because of the crosslinking. To solve the problem, I
made N-terminal flag-tagged AtsT. No improvement was observed despite every possible trouble shooting.

**Figure A.2:** *B. cepacia* expressing AtsT-Flag is functional. Complementation assay using AtsT-Flag on DBH-I milk agar plates to check the functionality of the tagged protein in parental and ΔatsT mutant strains.
Aim 1: To determine if AtsT-Flag is detected by Western blot

Aim 2: To determine the optimum time of AtsT expression

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Which OD is the best for AtsT to be crosslinked?</th>
<th>No significant difference between OD_{600} of 1 or OD_{600} of 0.5.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OD 1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure A.3: Western blot result of *B. cenocepacia* expressing AtsT-flag. Numbers refer to OD_{600} of each culture.
Aim 3: To test the efficiency of lysis conditions

Optimization of Lysis method: Lysozyme versus One shot

Lysozyme degrades AtsT despite having PMSF and protease inhibitor cocktail in the buffer. One shot is safe.

1: Before crosslinking
2: After crosslinking
3: After quenching
4: After lysis
5: After One shot
6: After sonication
7: After overnight incubation with Dynabeads protein A
8: After wash 1
9: After wash 2

Figure A.4: Western blot results using Anti-flag to compare the efficiency of lysis methods. A) Lysozyme was used in the lysis buffer along with Tris, NaCl, EDTA, sucrose, PMSF and protease inhibitor cocktail. Cells were incubated on ice for 40 min. B) Cells were incubated with lysis buffer without lysozyme and instead were disrupted by One shot system.
Aim 4: To determine AtsT-Flag binding affinity to different magnetic beads

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IP optimization</th>
<th>Beads: Protein A versus G Magnetic beads</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Figure A.5:** Optimization of Chromatin immunoprecipitation by comparing binding affinity of protein A versus G. Western blot result using anti-flag for *B. cenocepacia* expressing AtsT-flag. Using protein A Dynabeads (left) or protein G (right) did not improve binding affinity.
Aim 5: To improve binding affinity

1- Block the protein G Dynabeads with BSA before adding anti-flag+sample:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1: Flow through</td>
<td>2: After wash 1</td>
<td>3: After wash 2</td>
<td>4: After wash 3</td>
<td>5: After wash 4</td>
<td>6: Eluate</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure A.6: Optimization of Chromatin immunoprecipitation by blocking beads with BSA before loading the sample. Western blot analysis of immunoprecipitated AtsT-Flag troubleshooting. Blocking beads with BSA before addition of the sample seems to reduce binding affinity.
2- Increase incubation time of anti-flag with beads from 30 min to 2 h.

3- Incubate anti-flag with sample before adding to the beads instead of adding anti-flag to the beads:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Anti-flag + sample</th>
<th>Anti-flag + beads</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fth W1 W2 W3 W4 E</td>
<td>M Fth W1 W2 W3 W4 E</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fth: Flow through
W1: After wash 1
W2: After wash 2
W3: After wash 3
W4: After wash 4
E: Eluate
M: marker

Figure A.7: Optimization of Chromatin immunoprecipitation by applying antibody to the sample versus to the beads. Incubating antibody with sample before adding to the beads has no advantage over incubating antibody with beads (2 h at 4°C) followed by addition of the sample.
4- Reduce incubation time lysis from 40 min to 15 min

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>40 min lysis</th>
<th>15 min lysis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fth</td>
<td>Fth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W1</td>
<td>W1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W2</td>
<td>W2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W3</td>
<td>W3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W4</td>
<td>W4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure A.8:** Optimization of Chromatin immunoprecipitation by changing the incubation time. Western blot representing Co-Immunoprecipitation steps of AtsT-Flag using Protein G Magnetic Dynabeads. No difference observed with different incubation time in lysis buffer.
5- Boil beads with 1× SDS loading dye for 10 min to test if there is any protein (AtsT) bound to the beads.

6- Check AtsT-Flag binding affinity to magnetic beads versus sepharose beads

Figure A.9: Optimization of Chromatin immunoprecipitation by changing the beads.

Western blot representing a control for crosslinking step of AtsT-flag using Protein G Magnetic Dynabeads and sepharose beads.
7- Changing elution stringency with either low pH or 2% SDS also didn’t help.

**Figure A.10:** Optimization of Chromatin immunoprecipitation by changing the stringency of elution condition. Western blot representing ChIP steps of AtsT-flag using Protein G Magnetic Dynabeads with modified elution conditions.
Test the accessibility of C-terminal versus N-terminal flag tagged AtsT.

**Figure A.11:** Optimization of Chromatin immunoprecipitation of AtsT with different position of Flag tag. A) Western blot representing Co-Immunoprecipitation steps of AtsT fused to Flag tag (N-terminally) or (C-terminally) using Protein G Magnetic Dynabeads. Similar to previous results, AtsT is mostly in the flow through and barely in the elution fraction (E2). E1 represents elution fraction eluted with 1% SDS+ 0.1 M NaHCO3 and E2 represents eluate boiled with 1× SDS loading dye. B) Same samples as in A were run for silver staining instead of western blot.
Figure A.12: Relative quantitative expression of *Bcas0627* using input and output DNA. Based on the graphs, output DNA amplified 4.8 times more *Bcas0627* than input DNA. Comparing this with Microarray, amplification is minor (*Bcas0627* was upregulated almost 100 times in *atsR* mutant). Besides, this low amount of DNA (1.2 ng) is not sufficient for High throughput sequencing.
Any of the above mentioned conditions did not improve elution of the AtsT from the beads. I believe it is very likely due to the crosslinking that the binding site of Flag tag is altered and therefore tag is not accessible. I have also used straptavidin column and biotinylated antibody but neither were helpful. Attempts to create AtsT tagged with HA and His was not successful as none of the plasmids in a complementation assay failed to functionally complement atsT phenotype in protease assay.
Appendix B

Extracted sequences of AtsT using CLC genomics workbench
A. Extracted sequences from ChIP-Seq peaks with P value > 0.001

>9276..9410_NC_011000
TTCCGATCCAGACCTAGAAGCCCGCCCGCCTCGCGCTCGAGCGG
CGACGAACACTCAAACGCTGAGAGGCCCGACCCGCTTTCCAAAGCCGATATGCCTGAT
TGTCACAGCGGAA

>2129..21426_NC_011000
CACCCCGCTACGCTAGCTTAGGCAACGCTATCTTTTGGGCAGCGTGCGCTGCTGAT
TGTACAGCGCGAAAG

>26734..26884_NC_011000
A
CGAGTTCCACACATGCTGACGCGACTATCAACCAGAGCTTTTGACACGGCAGCAGT
TGCGTTGAATCGCTCGTAGGCATCGCCGAAGTACGCCTACCAAGGCATTTCACCGGCTC
CAGCCAAATAATCGCCGAATATTGCACAGACCC

>32943..33127_NC_011000
TTCCACATCATGAGGCGTCATTTATGCAGGTTTTTGTCGCTCTAAGGCTTCTGAGCTG
CTGCTGAT
CTGCGTTGAATCGCTCGTAGGCATCGCCGAAGTACGCCTACCAAGGCATTTCACCGGCTC
CAGCCAAATAATCGCCGAATATTGCACAGACCC

>34503..34658_NC_011000
TTCCACATCATGAGGCGTCATTTATGCAGGTTTTTGTCGCTCTAAGGCTTCTGAGCTG
CTGCTGAT
CTGCGTTGAATCGCTCGTAGGCATCGCCGAAGTACGCCTACCAAGGCATTTCACCGGCTC
CAGCCAAATAATCGCCGAATATTGCACAGACCC

>54766..54919_NC_011000
CAGATAAGCTTTCGGAATGGTTGGCTTGGGCTCTGAAAAAGGTCATTTTTAC
CATTATTTTCCATCCGAGCTCTTCCAGATGGTTACTGACATGTTGCGCAA
GGCTTTGGCGCGCGCGCGCTGTTTTTCACGCGTATCGGGC
GCGATGAATTGCAGTCCAGCTTTCACAAAGGA

>60818..60942_NC_011000
TCGTGATTCTGCCCATGCCCGGCACAGCCGATTTCGGCGCACCAAAACAAAACTTGAGGG
CACGTGGAGATTCGGCCAGATTTTTTATCCTTCTTTGT
CCGGCCGAAATAACCGGGGAGGGTGAGGTGGGCGAGCATCGCTAAACC
GAGTACGCTTGCGCAAGCTCCAGAGGACTCGCTAAACC

>61221..61367_NC_011000
GGCCGACTTGCGATTAACAGGTAATACCAGGTCGTGCTCTGGCCGAAATCGCGAGCGGCTTGCAG
CCGGCCTTACGTGACTGTTCTGCGGGAACGGGGTGCGCAAGGGTGCGCAAAGGCTGACCAGCAGA
TGCCCGAAATAACCGGGGAGGGTGAGGTGGGCGAGCATCGCTAAACC
GAGTACGCTTGCGCAAGCTCCAGAGGACTCGCTAAACC

>61713..61898_NC_011000
GGCCGACTTGCGATTAACAGGTAATACCAGGTCGTGCTCTGGCCGAAATCGCGAGCGGCTTGCAG
CCGGCCTTACGTGACTGTTCTGCGGGAACGGGGTGCGCAAGGGTGCGCAAAGGCTGACCAGCAGA
TGCCCGAAATAACCGGGGAGGGTGAGGTGGGCGAGCATCGCTAAACC
GAGTACGCTTGCGCAAGCTCCAGAGGACTCGCTAAACC

>114436..114650_NC_011000
ACTCCGACACTGGCCGCTTTTTCTCTGGCGCTGCTGAT
TTCCGACACTGGCCGCTTTTTCTCTGGCGCTGCTGAT
CTGCGTTGAATCGCTCGTAGGCATCGCCGAAGTACGCCTACCAAGGCATTTCACCGGCTC
CAGCCAAATAATCGCCGAATATTGCACAGACCC

>136340..136504_NC_011000
TGGTCCAATACCTGCTGGCCGCTTTTTCTCTGGCGCTGCTGAT
CTGCGTTGAATCGCTCGTAGGCATCGCCGAAGTACGCCTACCAAGGCATTTCACCGGCTC
CAGCCAAATAATCGCCGAATATTGCACAGACCC

>139291..139424_NC_011000
TGGTCCAATACCTGCTGGCCGCTTTTTCTCTGGCGCTGCTGAT
CTGCGTTGAATCGCTCGTAGGCATCGCCGAAGTACGCCTACCAAGGCATTTCACCGGCTC
CAGCCAAATAATCGCCGAATATTGCACAGACCC

210
>1428987..1429140_NC_011000
AAGTGCCGGTTGATCAACTTTCCGGACGAGCAGCGCCGTTTCATCGCGACGCTCCCCGAT
TTCGTGCATGCCGCGTCCGATGCTACACGCTACACGAGACGCTTTCCTGACATCCCGCCG
ACGTGGAAACTATCGGTCGGCGGACCCCCTGCCTGCATTC
>1436790..1436941_NC_011000
GTTGCGCGCAGTCCGAGGCCGGTTGCACCAGAATCCCATACGAACGCTTGAGATTTGCCG
ACGGGGCAAAAATGTTGCCGGCGCGCTGAAATTGATCTTTGCCACTCAGACTGCCTCAGGAGAAATCGGTTGCGTGCATTC
>1567565..1567696_NC_011000
AGCCAAATAACCCGGGCGAGCAATTCGGGGAACATCACCAGCTTGTGCAATTCGCCCG
TCAGTGGCATTTCGCCGGCAGTATGCGACAGCCGGGAAACATGAGCTGGGCGGATTTGCGGCTGAAATTGATCTTTGCCACTCAGACTGCCTCAGGAGAAATCGGTTGCGTGCATTC
>1758173..1758232_NC_011000
AGGCAGCTGGTGAACCGTGAAACGCTTTTTGCCGGCATTTTCTGCGCTGCAAGGCTTTGCGTCGCGTCAACCCGACGAAAGACCCCATGTTGCACTCTGATCAAGGCTGGCATATTCAAATGCCGGCTGGCGGCTCATGCTCGAGCA
>1819137..1819297_NC_011000
AGAGCATCGCCAATCGCGAACGCTTTTTGCCGCTGCAAGGCTTTGCGTCGCGTCAACCCGACGAAAGACCCCATGTTGCACTCTGATCAAGGCTGGCATATTCAAATGCCGGCTGGCGGCTCATGCTCGAGCA
>2687201..2687357_NC_011000
TCATCGCGTACCAGACGCATACTCGGCCAGCCTTCCAGATGGTTACTGACATGTTGCGCAAGGCTTTGCGTCGCGTCAACCCGACGAAAGACCCCATGTTGCACTCTGATCAAGGCTGGCATATTCAAATGCCGGCTGGCGGCTCATGCTCGAGCA
>2736764..2736908_NC_011000
AGCGGATCAGCGGACGAAACGCTTTTTTGGCACGCTGCAAGGCTTTGCGTCGCGTCAACCCGACGAAAGACCCCATGTTGCACTCTGATCAAGGCTGGCATATTCAAATGCCGGCTGGCGGCTCATGCTCGAGCA
>3000190..3000361_NC_011000
CGCGCTGCGAGATCGTTGAGCTCCGCAATCGCGAACGCTTTTTGCCGCTGCAAGGCTTTGCGTCGCGTCAACCCGACGAAAGACCCCATGTTGCACTCTGATCAAGGCTGGCATATTCAAATGCCGGCTGGCGGCTCATGCTCGAGCA
>3052746..3052882_NC_011000
GTGCACGCACGATTCTCGCGCGTTCGCTATACTGGCCCCAGATTGAAGCGTTTGCATAACATGACGACTCTCGCCGATCTCCGCATCAACTATTCACGTGCTTCGCTCGACGAAGCCGATCGCCGCCCCGACCCCTT
>3241596..3241765_NC_011000
CCAAAGCTTTACCAGTGACAGCAAGAAACCGTCGCTGCAAGGCTTTGCGTCGCGTCAACCCGACGAAAGACCCCATGTTGCACTCTGATCAAGGCTGGCATATTCAAATGCCGGCTGGCGGCTCATGCTCGAGCA
>3241865..3242019_NC_011000
CGACTCAAGTACCGCGCTGCCGTAAAGAGAAACTTCTGGCCGCTGCTCTGTCGCTCAAAATGTTTCTCTTACCAAGGCAACATCGGCGCTGATTGACATGTTGCGCAAGGCTTTGCGTCGCGTCAACCCGACGAAAGACCCCATGTTGCACTCTGATCAAGGCTGGCATATTCAAATGCCGGCTGGCGGCTCATGCTCGAGCA
>3246856..3246992_NC_011000
GGCGCCTCTCTTCGACCCGACCGCCACACCCGCCGCTATTTTTTCGTTGCGCGCTTCTGACGCCAGTTTTCTATGGCGGGCCGGGCGAGGGCCAGCTTCGGCTGGGCCGGTTTCCTATGGGCG
>3247147..3247344_NC_011000
CTGTCCTCGAGATCGTGTCGGCAACTCTGCGCGCCGCCAGCTCGCGCCGACCGTCGATGCTGCGCTCGACACCTGCGGCGACGCCCTCGCCCGCCTGGCTGACCTTGCGGAGGTTGCACATGCGTACAGCTGGGAT
TGTCCTCGATATCGTGCCTGACCTGGGAACACGAGCCGAGCCCATCAAACAAATCTCCTGCTCGAGCG
>3247833..3248013_NC_011000
AATCACCATGTTAGAGGCTTCGCTCGGACACTCGGTGGAACGTCACGTTGCTCGGCGATCCCGCCTTTGGTTTCGCGCGCAACGGAGCGCCCGTCATCACAAACAAATTCTCCTGCTCGAGCG
CCGATGACGCCGAGCTGGCCGACCCGCTACCGGTCGCCCTCCAAGTTGGCAGCCGAGACGGGCGCATGTGTTTCGCTGAACTACACGCCCAGGCGAACGGCGAGCCGCTTAGCGGCCGGGTGCTGCTGGCTCGCATCCACATCGGCATGCTCACCAGTCTCGAGCTAATCGATGAGGCAGGGCGGAAATCGGCATTGGCTGGCGGACAAATCGGGCGGTTGG
>3249281..3249477_NC_011000
GGATCAAGCGGCGTCTACTGGCACGGTGTGAAGCCGGGCCGGGGTGACGCGCCGCCGGAGCTGATCGACAAGTGGATCTGTTCGCCGATGAAGGTCCACGCCGTGACACGCAACCGGGAGGATGCCGAGTATGGCCGCCTGCTGGAAATCCTGTCCCCTGCCGGCACTGGAAGAAATGGGCGATGCCCATGTCG
>3250684..3250875_NC_011000
GACCCTCTGCTCTCTCCACGCGCAGACTCGAGCGGCAACCGCGGCGCGCAATGTGGCGGAAATCGTTGAATCGGGGCTGCTGCTCACGAAAGCGCCCCGGCACCCCTGAAAACTGGAGGAATCATGGCGAAAGAGAAAGACGATGACTTTTCTTGCGAAATGGCGACGAACGGAAAGAATCCTGTCTGGCGTGTC
>3251157..3251310_NC_011000
AGACATGGCGTCTGATGAGAATCCGCGCCGCCCGGTCGGCTGCGAGTACGGGGCCGAGCTGATGCTTGCATGGGGGCGGCGCGTATCCGCCGCCGAGGTTCGCAACATGCGCGGCGAGCTGTTCGACCTGATCCATGAATTGGCCGAGGTCGAG
>3251389..3251585_NC_011000
CATCATTCCGCACGGCGTGTCGGCGACACTCGAGCCGCAACCGCGGGCCGGCAATGTGCGGGAATCGGTGATGACCCGCGCTGACCTGCTGCTGCTCGTGCAGTACAAACCCGCCCGCCGACCCCTGAAATTCGAGGAATCATGGAAGAAGACGATGACTTTTCTTGCGAATGGCGACGACCGGAAAGAGAATCCTGTCTGGCGTGC

218
TGCGTGGGCGGCGCGGGGTGCGAGCCCGCTTTGAAACCAGCTTGCGGCGCGCGGCGGCCGG  
TACGCGGTCATTTCGA  
>3297325..3297457_NC_011000  
TGCCCGGCGGCCAGCACGCTGCACGCATCGCTTTCAATCTTCCAACAGGCGTCCAC  
CGGCACGCTGCTCCTCGGCGCTCAATCGCGATGTGCAAGGTACGACGCGGCACCCGGCCGTCATCATCAGCAT  
>3309297..3309433_NC_011000  
GCCAACGAGCGTGTGCTGAGCATGAGCCGCCAGCCGGGCAATTGATAATGCACGCTTTGAAACTCGATAAGATCAAAAAAGCTATTTTTATAGCCGTTACCC  
GAAATCCCGAAACATTGCGCCAGCACCCGCACCAGCACGCCGCCCGAGGAGTGCTGACTTTATAAATTGGACAATCCGGGTGCGTCAA  
>3338874..3339031_NC_011000  
GCCAACGAGCGTGTGCTGAGCATGAGCCGCCAGCCGGGCAATTGATAATGCACGCTTTGAAACTCGATAAGATCAAAAAAGCTATTTTTATAGCCGTTACCC  
GAAATCCCGAAACATTGCGCCAGCACCCGCACCAGCACGCCGCCCGAGGAGTGCTGACTTTATAAATTGGACAATCCGGGTGCGTCAA  
>3368069..3368207_NC_011000  
GACTTCCTCGAAGCACGCGCTGCTGATGCCGCTGTTTGTCGAGACCTCGGCGTAATTGCATGCAATGCCCGCTCGAGCATGAGCCGCCAGCCGGGCAATTGATAATGCACGCTTTGAAACTCGATAAGATCAAAAAAGCTATTTTTATAGCCGTTACCC  
GAAATCCCGAAACATTGCGCCAGCACCCGCACCAGCACGCCGCCCGAGGAGTGCTGACTTTATAAATTGGACAATCCGGGTGCGTCAA  
>3383874..3384031_NC_011000  
GCCAACGAGCGTGTGCTGAGCATGAGCCGCCAGCCGGGCAATTGATAATGCACGCTTTGAAACTCGATAAGATCAAAAAAGCTATTTTTATAGCCGTTACCC  
GAAATCCCGAAACATTGCGCCAGCACCCGCACCAGCACGCCGCCCGAGGAGTGCTGACTTTATAAATTGGACAATCCGGGTGCGTCAA  
>3398914..3399048_NC_011000  
GCCAACGAGCGTGTGCTGAGCATGAGCCGCCAGCCGGGCAATTGATAATGCACGCTTTGAAACTCGATAAGATCAAAAAAGCTATTTTTATAGCCGTTACCC  
GAAATCCCGAAACATTGCGCCAGCACCCGCACCAGCACGCCGCCCGAGGAGTGCTGACTTTATAAATTGGACAATCCGGGTGCGTCAA  
>3410899..3411041_NC_011000  
GCCAACGAGCGTGTGCTGAGCATGAGCCGCCAGCCGGGCAATTGATAATGCACGCTTTGAAACTCGATAAGATCAAAAAAGCTATTTTTATAGCCGTTACCC  
GAAATCCCGAAACATTGCGCCAGCACCCGCACCAGCACGCCGCCCGAGGAGTGCTGACTTTATAAATTGGACAATCCGGGTGCGTCAA  
>3421412..3421570_NC_011000  
GCCAACGAGCGTGTGCTGAGCATGAGCCGCCAGCCGGGCAATTGATAATGCACGCTTTGAAACTCGATAAGATCAAAAAAGCTATTTTTATAGCCGTTACCC  
GAAATCCCGAAACATTGCGCCAGCACCCGCACCAGCACGCCGCCCGAGGAGTGCTGACTTTATAAATTGGACAATCCGGGTGCGTCAA  
>3438425..3438560_NC_011000  
GCCAACGAGCGTGTGCTGAGCATGAGCCGCCAGCCGGGCAATTGATAATGCACGCTTTGAAACTCGATAAGATCAAAAAAGCTATTTTTATAGCCGTTACCC  
GAAATCCCGAAACATTGCGCCAGCACCCGCACCAGCACGCCGCCCGAGGAGTGCTGACTTTATAAATTGGACAATCCGGGTGCGTCAA  
>3445314..3445455_NC_011000  
GCCAACGAGCGTGTGCTGAGCATGAGCCGCCAGCCGGGCAATTGATAATGCACGCTTTGAAACTCGATAAGATCAAAAAAGCTATTTTTATAGCCGTTACCC  
GAAATCCCGAAACATTGCGCCAGCACCCGCACCAGCACGCCGCCCGAGGAGTGCTGACTTTATAAATTGGACAATCCGGGTGCGTCAA  
>3456900..3457078_NC_011000  
GCCAACGAGCGTGTGCTGAGCATGAGCCGCCAGCCGGGCAATTGATAATGCACGCTTTGAAACTCGATAAGATCAAAAAAGCTATTTTTATAGCCGTTACCC  
GAAATCCCGAAACATTGCGCCAGCACCCGCACCAGCACGCCGCCCGAGGAGTGCTGACTTTATAAATTGGACAATCCGGGTGCGTCAA  
>3467942..3468078_NC_011000  
GCCAACGAGCGTGTGCTGAGCATGAGCCGCCAGCCGGGCAATTGATAATGCACGCTTTGAAACTCGATAAGATCAAAAAAGCTATTTTTATAGCCGTTACCC  
GAAATCCCGAAACATTGCGCCAGCACCCGCACCAGCACGCCGCCCGAGGAGTGCTGACTTTATAAATTGGACAATCCGGGTGCGTCAA  
>3479746..3480818_NC_011000  
GCCAACGAGCGTGTGCTGAGCATGAGCCGCCAGCCGGGCAATTGATAATGCACGCTTTGAAACTCGATAAGATCAAAAAAGCTATTTTTATAGCCGTTACCC  
GAAATCCCGAAACATTGCGCCAGCACCCGCACCAGCACGCCGCCCGAGGAGTGCTGACTTTATAAATTGGACAATCCGGGTGCGTCAA  
>3491625..3491761_NC_011000  
GCCAACGAGCGTGTGCTGAGCATGAGCCGCCAGCCGGGCAATTGATAATGCACGCTTTGAAACTCGATAAGATCAAAAAAGCTATTTTTATAGCCGTTACCC  
GAAATCCCGAAACATTGCGCCAGCACCCGCACCAGCACGCCGCCCGAGGAGTGCTGACTTTATAAATTGGACAATCCGGGTGCGTCAA  
>3502583..3502729_NC_011000  
GCCAACGAGCGTGTGCTGAGCATGAGCCGCCAGCCGGGCAATTGATAATGCACGCTTTGAAACTCGATAAGATCAAAAAAGCTATTTTTATAGCCGTTACCC  
GAAATCCCGAAACATTGCGCCAGCACCCGCACCAGCACGCCGCCCGAGGAGTGCTGACTTTATAAATTGGACAATCCGGGTGCGTCAA  
>3513549..3513695_NC_011000  
GCCAACGAGCGTGTGCTGAGCATGAGCCGCCAGCCGGGCAATTGATAATGCACGCTTTGAAACTCGATAAGATCAAAAAAGCTATTTTTATAGCCGTTACCC  
GAAATCCCGAAACATTGCGCCAGCACCCGCACCAGCACGCCGCCCGAGGAGTGCTGACTTTATAAATTGGACAATCCGGGTGCGTCAA  
>3524516..3524662_NC_011000  
GCCAACGAGCGTGTGCTGAGCATGAGCCGCCAGCCGGGCAATTGATAATGCACGCTTTGAAACTCGATAAGATCAAAAAAGCTATTTTTATAGCCGTTACCC  
GAAATCCCGAAACATTGCGCCAGCACCCGCACCAGCACGCCGCCCGAGGAGTGCTGACTTTATAAATTGGACAATCCGGGTGCGTCAA  
>3535478..3535624_NC_011000  
GCCAACGAGCGTGTGCTGAGCATGAGCCGCCAGCCGGGCAATTGATAATGCACGCTTTGAAACTCGATAAGATCAAAAAAGCTATTTTTATAGCCGTTACCC  
GAAATCCCGAAACATTGCGCCAGCACCCGCACCAGCACGCCGCCCGAGGAGTGCTGACTTTATAAATTGGACAATCCGGGTGCGTCAA  
>3546435..3546581_NC_011000  
GCCAACGAGCGTGTGCTGAGCATGAGCCGCCAGCCGGGCAATTGATAATGCACGCTTTGAAACTCGATAAGATCAAAAAAGCTATTTTTATAGCCGTTACCC  
GAAATCCCGAAACATTGCGCCAGCACCCGCACCAGCACGCCGCCCGAGGAGTGCTGACTTTATAAATTGGACAATCCGGGTGCGTCAA  
>3557392..3557538_NC_011000  
GCCAACGAGCGTGTGCTGAGCATGAGCCGCCAGCCGGGCAATTGATAATGCACGCTTTGAAACTCGATAAGATCAAAAAAGCTATTTTTATAGCCGTTACCC  
GAAATCCCGAAACATTGCGCCAGCACCCGCACCAGCACGCCGCCCGAGGAGTGCTGACTTTATAAATTGGACAATCCGGGTGCGTCAA  
>3568349..3568495_NC_011000  
GCCAACGAGCGTGTGCTGAGCATGAGCCGCCAGCCGGGCAATTGATAATGCACGCTTTGAAACTCGATAAGATCAAAAAAGCTATTTTTATAGCCGTTACCC  
GAAATCCCGAAACATTGCGCCAGCACCCGCACCAGCACGCCGCCCGAGGAGTGCTGACTTTATAAATTGGACAATCCGGGTGCGTCAA  
>3579306..3579452_NC_011000  
GCCAACGAGCGTGTGCTGAGCATGAGCCGCCAGCCGGGCAATTGATAATGCACGCTTTGAAACTCGATAAGATCAAAAAAGCTATTTTTATAGCCGTTACCC  
GAAATCCCGAAACATTGCGCCAGCACCCGCACCAGCACGCCGCCCGAGGAGTGCTGACTTTATAAATTGGACAATCCGGGTGCGTCAA  
>3590263..3590409_NC_011000  
GCCAACGAGCGTGTGCTGAGCATGAGCCGCCAGCCGGGCAATTGATAATGCACGCTTTGAAACTCGATAAGATCAAAAAAGCTATTTTTATAGCCGTTACCC  
GAAATCCCGAAACATTGCGCCAGCACCCGCACCAGCACGCCGCCCGAGGAGTGCTGACTTTATAAATTGGACAATCCGGGTGCGTCAA
AATAATTCCAAGCCGCGCCCCCACCGGCGTAGGCCAGGTCGCCGCCACAAAAATTGACGC
GGGTCACATGGTGGCCGCGGCTGCCAAGAACATGCGCGAGCTGGCTGAAAAAAGGGGACG
CCGTGCCTTGCAAGGCAAGG
AAAGAACGACTCATAGATCAACTATGCAGTAATGGAAAAA
CCGGCAAACATCGCC
>3528519..3528675_NC_011000
GGCGGCGGTGCTTCTTCTCTATATATATTGGAATGTGACTTCCGAGATCAGGTCATCGC
TAAACCTCTACGCCCAACATTTGTAGAATCTGGGCAATGTGAAATGCGGATTCGCTCGGCTCGGGA
GAAATTTTCGCTCACCACATCGGCCAAGATGATG
>3529532..3529665_NC_011000
TGATAGGCTATGCTGACTGATTCATTCAATTCGACGACCTGGGATGCTAATACCGGA
ACCCGGAATCTCGCAGAGCCCGCAGACTCATGCTCTCGCTACTTCG
>3530177..3530365_NC_011000
ATACTGCGCCAATCTTGCCGGTAGTTCGCGAAACTGAATCGAATCAAATCGATCATGACG
CGTCGTGAGAATTGGTGATCCGTCGAAATCCCACCGAATCCCGCAATATACAAGGTCGCA
ACTTGTCGCCGCTACGGCTAATCGGAAATACGGGGAATGACAGGATGAC
CATTCAAAACC
>3531599..3531767_NC_011000
CCACCCGGACAGGACAGGCGTCCTGCACTCCTGCTCGGCACAAGGTATTATCAAGATCGGTGA
CAATCAGTTTTATCGGATTTTTTGATTTCAAAATCCTTAGCCTGATCAACGCGGAAA
TCAATGCCAGATAAAACTCGAGCTATCGTGGCCA
>3532227..3532381_NC_011000
TGCAAAATCTCCTACTCTCCGCGAAAACCCCTTCCCACAAAGTATATCAGGCTG
CAATCTCCTACTCTCCGCAGAAACCTACTATGAAACATGCTACG
>3533711..3533851_NC_011000
CGCCATCGGAATTCTAACCTTGAAGAGAAATTACGATAAAGATTGGCA
TTTTTCCCAATTC
GAAGCCGAACTGGAATTCGCGATATTACGATGTGACACCCAGCGACATGCTCTCGAAACC
ACCGATCTTGTGACTAAGGTT
>3533895..3534068_NC_011000
CTCGAGGAGATAGACGCGTCGTTTACGCGCTTCGCACTCGAGAACTGCGAGATATGGGCT
GCTTGCGGCGGAACGGCGCCGCCAGATCTCCGCTCGACGACGGGATACCTC
GGTCTGGCAGTCGAACCGCGGGTCACGTGCTATCGGCTGCTG
>3535187..3539319_NC_011000
CGATGCGAGATCCTCAAAACAGAAGCAACATCAGCAGATATTTTATTGTTGATCGGCGAC
CCGTGATTTTTGCTTGGACGATATTACGATGCTCCGTCAGAAACCCTACGCTCACTT
CACCCGCTCAATATT
>3545074..3545222_NC_011000
CCCGAATAGCCGCGTGGTAATATCAACAGATTTTCGCAAAATCAC
TTCCGTCGTATGCGACATCTCCAACGCCGAGAATGCTCCCGCTACCGGACAGGTGACTCGA
TTCCGTAAGAAATGTTGAGATCTCGAGTT
>3545491..3545687_NC_011000
TGGGCGCTCGGCTTAACAACTAAGAGACGCTACCCTCCTACTGGAATGGAAGACGA
AACTCGAAGAATGTTGAGATGAGAAAATTTTCTGAAACATCGCCATAGCA
GGAGTCTACATCGTATTTTGCTTCAAAATCTGCCGCTCTCTTCGTTAGC
CGCTGGAGATCCAGA
>3554768..3554956_NC_011000
CCGAAATGCAAAATCCTATGGCGCCAGCAGATGAAAGAACCAGGACCGGGGCCACATCGGAAATATT
GTTTCTGGAAGGACTTTGCTATTATTCGCTCACCACACTCGCGTACAGGAATGAAAGGA
TTTGAGGAAAAATATCGTAAAAATACGATGCCCAAAAGAGTGCTCAGACAGCGCCGGTGA
ATCGAGCGCCGTGCAACAGACGAGCTCCGCGATCGCGATGCGGCGACGAGATGTGGAAGCTGACGACGAGACGTCCCGGCTGACGAGACGTCCCGAAGCTGCCCGATCGCGAATGGCGGGCGACGAGATGCGAGCTCGTGATGCAAACGTCCCGCACAGGACAATGCCAGATCATGTTCGAAGCTGGTCGAGCAAACGATGATCTGGTCTGGCCGGG
GAAGTTGTGAGGCACCGTCATACAACAGGCGTGCCGCGCATAG

>315865..315999_NC_011001
ACTGTACCGTGCATTCGGTGGCATTAACGCGATCGCGGGCGTTGACGTAGAAATTGGCGC
GAGAGACTTGCTTTGCGTCATCGGCCCGAACGGCGCTGGAAAAAGCACGCTGGTTGGGCTGATGTCGGGCGCGAT

>432522..432657_NC_011001
CGCAAAACTTTCAAACAGCAGATAAGGGCCCTAGACATGCGGTGTTCTTCATATTATTGTCTCA
CCACGCTACTATTGGTTTGCCGTACTGTTTTGGAGATCGATGGCCATCTCCCGCCCTGTTCGGACAG
CGAAAGGCCGACGAA

>506891..507029_NC_011001
TGGATGACGACGCGCCATCGCGATGAAACGCTTGAAATTGAGGAATC
CGAAATGACGCGACGCCGATGCGGCTGATCTGCATCATGTTCGATT

>690393..690538_NC_011001
GCAGGCGGCTTGAGACCGGAGTCGAAACAAGGTTGTCGCCATGCTCCGCGATTTTGCCGTTCACA
TGCGCAGACCCAATGCATGCACGCCTGACGCGGGCGGTGCTGCAGCGGAATCGTTTGCGTGGCAG
GCAATCCGTCGGATTGCTTGCATCGGACGGTATCAAGAA
CGAAAGCGCGATGTCAAACTTTTTTGATTAT

>796805..796970_NC_011001
GGTGTGTCCCTGAAGTTCAAGATGA
TCGTTTCGTGCATCGTTCGATGCCGGCGCCGAACT
TGTGTCGCGACGGGCCGGAATATCGCGATTGCGGTGGCGATTCTGGAAAGCGTTCGGATCG
GTGACGGTGAGGGACAAATGAGAAAAATGTCATCGCAATGTCATGC

>853272..853410_NC_011001
CTCGCAGGGCAGCCCTCCATCTATGCGGATTTTGACCGGCAAGACGGAGTCCGAGCA
GATTTTGTCGACCTGACGCGCTGATCTCTCTCTGTTGGCGGAGATCGAGACTGAAAGACGC
CAACCAAATTCACCGGGGC

>865164..865315_NC_011001
CCTGCTGAGCTCATCCATATAGCGGCCCAGGCGGCACTTTGACCGGCGAGACTTCTGCAGCGCA
TCATGGCCGCCTCTGCAGCAGTTATATTCGTCGTCGTCGATCTGCTCAGCGCCGACACCGTCAAGGGGC
CTGGGGTTTGTTTCTTGCCGAGATGGCTTGGGGTCGAGTGAAGACGGC
CAACCAATTACACGGGTTC

>904358..904493_NC_011001
GGAGTAGTACTGAGGCAACCGCCCTCAGTTGAACGCAGGCCCAGCCTTTGGAGCCCGGCTAG
CGAAAGGCCGATGCTCAAAACTTTTTTTTGTATTAT

>1155880..1156030_NC_011001
CGCGAGGAACAAGCTCAGTTTTGTTTTCGCTTCGGCGCCGACATTCCATATCTCCCGCAGTTCTCACCCCTG
ATCGCCGACTCCCGGCGATTTTGTTTCTTCCGCGGTCACCATCGGCAATGCGGCTGACGCACCGGTAGCGACGTTTG

>1152472..1152608_NC_011001
CCGACCGGTTGCGCCTGCGAGCTTCCAGGGCATGAGTCAGTACTTTCTTCTGGAAGCGCGATCCATT
CCGACCGGTTGCGCCTGCGAGCTTCCAGGGCATGAGTCAGTACTTTCTTCTGGAAGCGCGATCCATT

>1141060..1141230_NC_011001
ATCGTGAGATCTGTTTTCTGTGGCCCGGTGCGCATCCTTCAGCTCATCCTTTTCCCTG
ATCGCAGTCACCTCTGATGACGCGCTGACGCTCCGATACCGATCTCCCATTTG

>1142128..1142243_NC_011001
TGAACGTGTTGCCGAGGTTGGAAGGCGCGCCATTTCTGTTGGAAGCGCGATCCATT
CCGACCGGTTGCGCCTGCGAGCTTCCAGGGCATGAGTCAGTACTTTCTTCTGGAAGCGCGATCCATT

>1155580..1156030_NC_011001
CGCGAGGAACAAGCTCAGTTTTGTTTTCGCTTCGGCGCCGACATTCCATATCTCCCGCAGTTCTCACCCCTG
ATCGCCGACTCCCGGCGATTTTGTTTCTTCCGCGGTCACCATCGGCAATGCGGCTGACGCACCGGTAGCGACGTTTG

>1152472..1152608_NC_011001
CAGGCGGCTGTGGCCCTACCTTGTGATCTCATCAGCAGGGGCAATTATGTAATGATGCGGAAA
ATCAATCTGTCATTTCCGCGGATGCAACCGCTACGAAACTTTCTCCTCAAGTTTCGCCGAGTTTT
AGGAATCGGTTAGCTTT

>1155880..1156030_NC_011001
CGCGAGGAACAAGCTCAGTTTTGTTTTCGCTTCGGCGCCGACATTCCATATCTCCCGCAGTTCTCACCCCTG
ATCGCCGACTCCCGGCGATTTTGTTTCTTCCGCGGTCACCATCGGCAATGCGGCTGACGCACCGGTAGCGACGTTTG
GCTCGGAAGCGC
>2614..2749_NC_01100
GTGCGAGAGATCTGCCCTATTTTCTTCTGACGCTATCAGCATCAATGCGGAAGAAAGTTGAGGATTTCGAACGGCGCGCATCGACTCG

>3108..3271_NC_01100
TACTGACGGCGATCTTGAAAGCCATTAAATTCCTCAGCCGAGTTTTTCTGTAATATATATG

>3892..4087_NC_01100
TTGGCACGATCGGTTGCGCGGCCAAATTCCTGCCGGCGTGCGGACCCTCAAGGGCGGACG
CAATGCTGCGGAGCTCTGCGAAAGGCTGAGTACGAGTTGAGTTGCAATGCGGAAGAAAGTTGAGGATTTCGAACGGCGCGCATCGACTCG

>4196..4392_NC_01100
TTTCCCGAAAATAAGCAGCTCGCCCGGAAATCCTTGTGCGGCAATGTTTGTGCCCATATTC

>4443..4616_NC_01100
TGGACGCCACGTGCGTATCTCCTCGCCGCTGATGGAACGTAAATAATACGCATCAGCTTAATCCGCGTGCTGACTGTAATACCGAGCAGTTCGAGAAT

>10402..10561_NC_01100
GTCGTTACGTGACAAGTGGGCCGAATGGCTAAGGCGTTACTCGT

>11987..12129_NC_01100
TTTCGACCGTGCTGATGGAACGTAAATAATACGCATCAGCTTAATCCGCGTGCTG

>3541..35613_NC_01100
TGATCGCTATGCGGAAATGCTGCAATCAGGAGGTTTTTCTCAGGCAACGGACGGAGTACGAGTTGAGTTGCAATGCGGAAGAAAGTTGAGGATTTCGAACGGCGCGCATCGACTCG

>3569..35834_NC_01100
TACGATGTGGCGTTCAGGCTCAAAGTTGTTCAGCACATGCGCGAGCATGGCGTGTCGAGCATGGCGCGGTTCAATATTCGCAATCCGAGCGCGGTGCTCGAATGGGCTCGC

>36502..36690_NC_01100
GCGTACCAGACGCATACTCGGCCAGCCTTCCAGATGGTTACTGACATGTTGCGCAAGGCT

>36866..36999_NC_01100
GCGTACCAGACGCAATACGCTGGCAGCTTTCCAGATGGTTACTGACATGTTGCGCAAGGCT

>37598..37783_NC_01100
CGCCGAGTTCAAGGCGCATCACCAGGACGCGCCCGCGCCGCGCTGGAAGTAGTATTCGAAACCGTCGC

228
TGCTTCGGAAGGCACGGGCGGCGGGCGTTTTTCAATGTCGCGCCCGCGAATGTCACGCA
TTGGGGGGGCCCCGCTCGGCCATCCAGGCGC
>315627..315763_NC_011002
CGATGCTGCTGCCGCCGCCGCCGCTTGCCGGATCAGCGCATTTTTTTGACGGGAATGCA
TTGGGGGCATCGGCGATTGCGACGGG
GTGATGAGTGCCATGTTCG
>316780..316952_NC_011002
GGATGCTGCTGCCGGCGCGGCTGGTCGAGCGGTAACGACAATCCGGTTCGCCGCGGCGC
GCGCCGCGCCTTTTTTGACGGGAATGACATGAAACAGCAGATTGGCCTGATCGGCGT
GGGTATTACTCGCAT
>333449..333583_NC_011002
CGGCCGCTTGATGTGTGGTGCCTGCGGATCGAATCGCTCTGAAATGGCGTTGTCCGGTG
AATTCATTTGTTGAAGAAAAATCAGTCTGATCGCAAAACACGCAGCGCCGCGCGCATTT
GTGTCTAGCGATTC
>357264..357459_NC_011002
CGACATTTACCCGATGACAGGGCCATTGCCGACGATGCCGAAAAGATCGCGATTCAGC
TTCAACACGCAAGACTCGGATCCAGTCTAGCAGCAGG
ATTTCAACAGCAAGCAGTTCGATGCGATCTACGACAGCTTCGCCGAGAACGAGAAGCAGGCT
ATTCCGAGGAAACAGGCGCTGGAAGCCATGCACGCCACCTTTGATCGTTTCG
>370809..370953_NC_011002
TCAACGCTTTGGCAATTCGCCGGAACGATTCGCCGCCCTTTCCGGGCGGCGACGG
GTCCACGCCTATCGCTGCAAGCTGTCTCCGCGGTTGTCTCCAACCCGAAATGAGTTCTC
TGAAAAACGGAAGGGCCAGAGTGA
>385598..385735_NC_011002
AGGTAGATGAGCAGGGTGATTGTTCTTCTCCTTGCAGTGTTGGCCCGTGACGCCGATTG
CGGTCGTGCAAAATTTACCGCTCGCGCGGCGAGGCGCGATGAAAGGCGCGATCCGCGCAT
GGCGGAGCTGGTGTGCA
>390461..390594_NC_011002
TTTGCCCCCCAATTTTATACTCCGCTGATAAAAAAGATTTTTGAAATGACGCAGCGCACG
GCAGAAATC
GTGTGCGAAATGTTGTAATTGCTGCAAGCTGTCTCCAACCCGAAATGAGTGATACATG
CGCGGAGCATTTGCGAG
>395117..395314_NC_011002
GCTGGCCACCGCCGATTTTCTTGAGCCGATACCTCCTCGGCTTCACGATCGGCAGCGGAAGCTGGGA
AAATCCTCGGATCTCCAAACGCCCGCGGCTCGCAGTCGATCGAATCGCTCGGCAAGGG
CGTGGCCGCTG66GCCGCGAATTTTACCGCTGCTGCTGCTGCTGGGCTGGGCTGGGCTGGGCTGGGCTGAG
GCACGCCTGTTGCTGCG
>400289..400485_NC_011002
AAATCCGCGATGCAACTGCAAGCTGCTGCTAAGCGCCCTCTCCGCCGCCGAACCTTATGAGC
AGCGCCGATTTAATTATTCGGGTTTATCGGTGGTGGTGGGATTGCGGGAATTGCGGGAACGAGATTTAAT
TCTCGACTCGGAGACCCGGCAGTCGCTGACGCACGCGCACTCGTGCAGCGCAGTGGCTGAG
AGGGCCGCTGGCCGGCTTC
>422485..422621_NC_011002
TGGGCGACCGCCGCGGCGGCTCCGGCGCGACATCTGCTGTGGTCTGATTAAACGATCTCATTCTGGGGCGG
AAATTTAATGCAAATGAGGCATTTGTCAATTTTGAATTTGAGATTGGGAGGCGAAACGGA
AATGGGCGGCGGCGACGG
>422954..423085_NC_011002

232
GACGTGCACGCGT
>771571..771715_NC_011002
CAGCGCACGCGTCTGCGGAGCGGACACCGTATCATTCCGCATGCGGGATCCAGGCCAGTG
CTTGCGCGCCAAGCACGAATTCGGGCAGCGGTTCGCGCGCCCATTTTGCGCATCGCATGGC
CGCGATGGATCTTCACGGTGATTTC
>774535..774732_NC_011002
ATACCTCGGTTCGGCATACCAGCGGGTCCTTGCCCTTCAATTCAAAAAATGGCGAGATC
GGCCGATACATCTCAACGGCAGAATTCGGGCAGCGCTGCAATCTGTCATGACACCATCATGTT
GAGCGGAGTCCAGCCGAACATTCGTTAGGACATCCTGACACCCAGCCGAGGATCGACGCAGAGAC
GCTGTTGCCATACCTCGGAGTTACGCTGAGGATTGCTCCCTGGCATCGCATGGC
>778118..778272_NC_011002
GGCGGCAAGTGACACGCGGAGTGATTCTCTGTTCTGCTCATTTCTGCCTGCGTAAGCCCAAGG
ATTATGCATTTGCGAGTACCCAGCACTTATTTTGTATTGCGAGATCCTCTCAGCATCATGTT
GAGCGGAGTCCAGCCGAACATTCGTTAGGACATCCTGACACCCAGCCGAGGATCGACGCAGAGAC
GCTGTTGCCATACCTCGGAGTTACGCTGAGGATTGCTCCCTGGCATCGCATGGC
>778375..778507_NC_011002
GAGTGTCGTCGAGAAATCCTTCGAGATACGCCCGCGCATATAAATGCCCGGCGACGAATGCG
GCTGGAAGAACCCCGATACCGACCATCGACCTCTGCTGCGTCGTGGTGGCTCTTCGCCAGAAAG
GCCGTTTCCTCATCA
>779069..779201_NC_011002
ATCCCGGCCGACCGTGAATGCCACATTGCGATTCTGGCGATCGCAATGCGAGAGGAAAATG
CCGATAATAGCCCGGAGCCGCGATGTAAATATGACGCATGAGCGCTGACCCAGAACCCATGTA
GATGAGCGGAGTCCAGCCGAACATTCGTTAGGACATCCTGACACCCAGCCGAGGATCGACGCAGAGAC
GCTGTTGCCATACCTCGGAGTTACGCTGAGGATTGCTCCCTGGCATCGCATGGC
>780426..780578_NC_011002
AAACCTTCGGCCGGGCCGAACAGCGCATCGACCTGCTGCGTCGGTGGCTGTGGTGGCTCTTCGCCAGAAG
GCCGTTTCCTCATCA
>780578..780702_NC_011002
CTCGTGAGGCTTCTGGAGAATTCGAGTACCCGCTGACACCCAGCCGAGGATCGACGCAGAGAC
GCTGTTGCCATACCTCGGAGTTACGCTGAGGATTGCTCCCTGGCATCGCATGGC
>782686..782820_NC_011002
ATACTCGCCATCGACGCTTTTTTCCTTGACGGTCATGACGCGCGCACAAAATCCGCGTCACAT
GTGACATTTCCAGGAAATGGCGAGATCCTCTGACACCCAGCCGAGGATCGACGCAGAGAC
GCTGTTGCCATACCTCGGAGTTACGCTGAGGATTGCTCCCTGGCATCGCATGGC
>782876..783018_NC_011002
GGGCAATGTAGTATACAGCGCCCTCGACTCCGTGCCGCTATGGACGCTAGTGGAATAATCCCAAC
GGCCGAAATTCCAGCTTACGCACACACGAGATCGTGGTCAAGGAACAGCATCGCTAGACTGAT
GCGGATTTGGTGTCGCTGAGGATTGCTCCCTGGCATCGCATGGC
>783293..783486_NC_011002
TATPGTTGCTGGTTCGTGCTGAGGATTGCTCCCTGGCATCGCATGGC
>784222..784364_NC_011002
ATTAGTGCCATCGACGCTTTTAGAGCAAGCGACACGACTCGTCCGCCGCGCCGCGACCGGTTCCTGG
CCCACAGGATACGATATCGCATTGCCGAGAGGGATTCCACTTTCTGGTCTCGGCACAGAGAGG
GGCCAGCTGGCGAGGAGGAGG
>784509..784696_NC_011002
CAGTGGGCAGTGGAGGTGTGGTGCTATCCCTGGCATCTTGCTGCTGAGGATTGCTCCCTGGCATCGCAT
GAGCGGCTGACATCGCATCGCTGAGGATTGCTCCCTGGCATCGCATGGC
>784816..784973_NC_011002
CCACGCGCTATGTGGCCAGGTGACGCTGCTGAGGATTGCTCCCTGGCATCGCATGGC
AATGCCGATGGCACGGGCATCGTTGTCAGGAACGATCGGCGCACATGCG

>875625..875793_NC_011002
CGACGAAGGTAGCAGGTTATGGGAGAAAACGGCGGTTGTGCTGCAAGGCACCACGAATCC
TCCGCACTCTCCCCGTCACCGGTCGTGCTTCCCGGCTGCAAGGACAAAAGAGGTTTCCGTTTTAGT
TTTTTTAAATCTGAAGTTTCTTTTCACAAAGGA

B. Extracted sequences of only intergenic regions from ChIP-Seq peaks with P value > 0.0005

>34503..34658_NC_011000
TTCCCATCCTGATGCCATTTTCTGTTGACGGGATTTTCTATGGCTGAGCTGCTGTTAT
CTGGCCAGGGGACACAAAGCACTAAAGCAGCGCGGTCAAGGACAAAAGAGGTTTCCGTTTTAGT
TTTTTTAAATCTGAGTTTCTTTTCACAAAGGA

>61713..61898_NC_011000
GGACGATCTGGGCTGTACTGGCCCATGACCGGCCGTACCAAAAGGGTTACGTGAGCGTGA
AGCCGGCATCATCAGTGAATGGCCAGTCTGAACTCAAGATCAGGATTGAGCAGGGTAAGGGC
AAAGGTTGCGGCTTAAAGGCTGGAGTACGCAAGCGTACGGCAAACGTTTTCACAAAGGAC

>136340..136504_NC_011000
TCGTTCATTGCTGGCCGCCTCAGAGCAGGATTTCGGCGCACCAAAACAAAACTTGAGGG
CAGTGGAGATTCGGCCGATACCGGCATCCCAGGAGATTTTCTCTTTGTCAGTTTCTCCCGG

>139291..139424_NC_011000
GGACGATCTGGGCTGTACTGGCCCATGACCGGCCGTACCAAAAGGGTTACGTGAGCGTGA
AGCCGGCATCATCAGTGAATGGCCAGTCTGAACTCAAGATCAGGATTGAGCAGGGTAAGGGC
AAAGGTTGCGGCTTAAAGGCTGGAGTACGCAAGCGTACGGCAAACGTTTTCACAAAGGAC

>146554..146750_NC_011000
GCGGGCGAGGCAGACGTCATGGCGAGCGAGTAAAAATGCGAGTAAGAATCATTCGACATTGCGTTAAAATGTCGCGCATCGTATCAAACCCGCAAACCGGCAACAGAUTCCCAGTCCCGG

>159157..159313_NC_011000
CCCCGTTCCGCCGCATTTTCTTGGTCCGGCCGGATGCAGCGCCGGCGGCTGATCTGTG
CTGCAATCTTCCGAGTCTGCACTGCACTTCCGAGGCTACTACGCGTACGAGCAACGTGAGCTC
TCATCTGTAGATTTGTTTGGCCAGCGGCCCCGG

>179296..179450_NC_011000
ATGTGACGTGACGTCGCGGGTCAGTGATGAGTCCGTTAGCAAACCGCGTCATGTGGTTT
TCGCAAAAACTGTCGCTGGCCGCTTTGCTTTTCTTTTCAATGGGATTGATACGTGATG
TTGATAGACCTAAATCTCAACTCAGCAATGCTCAGTTA

>203026..203161_NC_011000
ACATCGGATGTTTTTGAATTTTCCGATATGCTGACATTTCTCTTTTCTATGCTGAGAAGGAA
AAGTATGTTTTTGGCTAAATTTTCCGAGATGATCTGCGCAATGCGATATGGCATAGTGCG
CCGGTACATACGAAGCGGCAGG

>253951..254114 NC_011000
CAGPTCTGGATTTTGGCCAGCCATCGAGTAAAGATCTGCTGATCTGCTGAGCGGCCCAGC
GCCAACTGGGAATTTCTTGGCAAGAAAGGAAAGTTGAGCCCGAACGGAAGAGG
AGCCGAGCTGAACTGTTGATGCTAGTTGCTGAAGACGCCGAC

>257756..257891_NC_011000
GCCAAGGGAGATGGGCAGCCAAAAAGTTTGTGAGATGATGCAGCCACGCGGGAAAGG
GAATTCCGGCTGGAGGTTAAGAATCTCCCTAAGGGATGTCGAGGCAGGCAGCACG
AGGTTTTCTGGCC

>365924..366070 NC_011000
ATCCCGCAGATGCGCTTCACAACTAAAGGCAACCGCCCGTTGGAGGCGAGCAACCGAGCAAC
TTTTTCCGCACTCCGGCGGGGCGGCTGTGCAAAACAGTTAAGTCCGCTTCCGCTGTA
CGTTACCGGTATTACCAGACCTCGACGACCCGACGTGGCGCGGCTTTCAACACATCTGGTCCGCAAGAAAAATCTCGCAACCATCATATTGGAGCTCAATCTGCATGACATTCCGCTCTAGCGATGAC

>3245237..3245374_NC_011000
TTACCTTGGAGGAAGCGCAACCTGGGAATCTGTGCGGCTCGAGCTGACGAGCTGAAGGGTTCTAGCTGGTACCTGGTACGACGTCATCCGAGGTGCCCCGGAGG

>3246856..3246992_NC_011000
CTGTGCGTGATGCTGCTGCGCCAATCTCGCCGCGGCCTGCTCGCGGACCGCCTGCGGAAGTTGTGGGTTATGATGACTTTCGACCCGC

>3247147..3247344_NC_011000
AGTACGACACCTTGGGGAAGATGCGGTTCTGACCGCTGAGGGTTCACAGGCTGAGGCGAAGTTATACGCTGTGCGGAGG

>3247579..3247713_NC_011000
GGCGTGGAAACCCGTGGACCATAGGCGGACGAACCGCCGCCTGCGACGAGCTGAGGGCAGCTATCTTGCTGCGGAGG

>3247833..3248013_NC_011000
TACGAGTTTGGCCTAGGCATCAAGGATCAGCCACAGAATCGATTCGATGCGCTGATACCAACTAAACAATCCGACCGGAGAATACGGCTTCTATCGCGTCATGATTGCAGAACCGG

>3252472..3252600_NC_011000
TACGAGTTTGGCCTAGGCATCAAGGATCAGCCACAGAATCGATTCGATGCGCTGATACCAACTAAACAATCCGACCGGAGAATACGGCTTCTATCGCGTCATGATTGCAGAACCGG

>3253014..3253166_NC_011000
GGCGTGGAAACTTGGTCTGCGCTGCCTGGGGTAATCGAATCCTTCAACCCGGATGCGATGACGTGTACGGTTCAGCCGGCAATCAAATTGCGGTCGCGTGACGAGCAAGGTCAACTCAGCCCTTATGCTTCGCTGACC

>3253510..3253673_NC_011000
CTCGACGCGCGTTCACGATCTGTCTGACGGCATGGTGTTCGTCGGCTTCCGATCCCGGCCGCGAGTGCGGCTGGAATCAAATTGCGGTCGCGTGACGAGCAAGGTCAACTCAGCCCTTATGCTTCGCTGACC

>3253802..3253937_NC_011000
GCGAACGGCAAGCGCGTCGACGACCAACTGACCAAGGCGCAAGGCGGGAAGCTCCACCGGGCTGTTCTGAGTTACCGGAGGAGGACGGATGCGATGACGTGTACGGTTCAGCCGGCAATCAAATTGCGGTCGCGTGACGAGCAAGGTCAACTCAGCCCTTATGCTTCGCTGACC

>3254478..3254652_NC_011000
TACGAGTTTGGCCTAGGCATCAAGGATCAGCCACAGAATCGATTCGATGCGCTGATACCAACTAAACAATCCGACCGGAGAATACGGCTTCTATCGCGTCATGATTGCAGAACCGG

>3297325..3297457_NC_011000
ACTCGATAAAGATCATAAAGATGTCATGTGCTTGCTGGCTGAGCTGACGAGCTGAGGGTTCACAGGCTGAGGCGAAGTTATACGCTGTGCGGAGG

>3375805..3375977_NC_011000
CAAGTCATGCCTGGCCGCACGCCGATGAAGACA
>432522..432657_NC_011001
CGAAACTTTAACACGCCTAAGGGCCCTTGCACATGCGGTTTTCTCCATATTATTATTGCTCA
CCACGTCACATTGGTTGTTGCTGCTCATGGTTTTGGAGATCGATTCCGGCCCTGGTCGGACAG
CGAAAGCCGCAAGCA
>506891..507029_NC_011001
CGCAAACTTCAAACACGCTAAGGGCCTTGACATGCCGTTTTTCTCCATTATTATTGCTCA
CCACGTCACATTGGTTGTTGCTGCTCATGGTTTTGGAGATCGATTCCGGCCCTGGTCGGACAG
CGAAAGCCGCAAGCA
>1018568..1018719_NC_011001
TGGATGAGGCAGCCAGACGGGAGTCTGCGGAGAAGGTGATGAACCTGGAATCGATCGAT
CGCCGCACAGGCTAATCGAGGTGAAGCAGTGATCGTGATCGCCGTCGACGGCCTTGCGCCGCA
>1584271..1584501_NC_011001
GTACCACCCCGATAACGATTCAGCCCGCTGCGTGATTTGCATTGGCCGAAAGATTGGTT
ACGCCGCCGCCGGCCAGGTTTTCGTACGCTGAAAGTCCGAACTTTTTCCGCGCACGCCATGAAC
CGTACGATCCGCTACAAGGCTATGAGTGTCGCTCCGCCTGCCCGCGCGGGTTGCCGAACGG
GCGCAAACTTCAAACACGCTAAGGGCCTTGACATGCCGTTTTTCTCCATTATTATTGCTCA
CCACGTCACATTGGTTGTTGCTGCTCATGGTTTTGGAGATCGATTCCGGCCCTGGTCGGACAG
CGAAAGCCGCAAGCA
>12720007..12720185_NC_011001
TGGATGAGGCAGCCAGACGGGAGTCTGCGGAGAAGGTGATGAACCTGGAATCGATCGAT
CGCCGCACAGGCTAATCGAGGTGAAGCAGTGATCGTGATCGCCGTCGACGGCCTTGCGCCGCA
>252172..2521943_NC_011001
TGGATGAGGCAGCCAGACGGGAGTCTGCGGAGAAGGTGATGAACCTGGAATCGATCGAT
CGCCGCACAGGCTAATCGAGGTGAAGCAGTGATCGTGATCGCCGTCGACGGCCTTGCGCCGCA
>3025995..3026135_NC_011001
TGGATGAGGCAGCCAGACGGGAGTCTGCGGAGAAGGTGATGAACCTGGAATCGATCGAT
CGCCGCACAGGCTAATCGAGGTGAAGCAGTGATCGTGATCGCCGTCGACGGCCTTGCGCCGCA
>3070082..3070253_NC_011001
TGGATGAGGCAGCCAGACGGGAGTCTGCGGAGAAGGTGATGAACCTGGAATCGATCGAT
CGCCGCACAGGCTAATCGAGGTGAAGCAGTGATCGTGATCGCCGTCGACGGCCTTGCGCCGCA
>3136490..3136633_NC_011001
CGGCCGTTTGATGTTGTGGTGCCTGCGGGATCGAATCGCTCTGAAATGGCGTTGTCCGGTG
AATTCATTGTGAAGAAAATTCGCGTGAATGCAAAACCACGCACCGCCGCGCCGCGACATTTAATGCTGACCGG
>333449..333583_NC_011002
GCAAGTCCGGTAAAAAATCGGCAATCCGGGAAATTTGAGTTTTTTGCTTTTCTTAATAATTTTGCCTGATCCTG
GGTGCCTGCGGATCGAATCGCTCTGAAATGGCGTTGTCCGGTG
AATTCATTGTGAAGAAAATTCGCGTGAATGCAAAACCACGCACCGCCGCGCCGCGACATTTAATGCTGACCGG
>357264..357459_NC_011002
GCAGATCCGGTAAAAAATCGGCATCCGGGGAATTTGAGTTTTTTGCCTTTCTTAATAATTTTGCCTGATCCTG
GGTGCCTGCGGATCGAATCGCTCTGAAATGGCGTTGTCCGGTG
AATTCATTGTGAAGAAAATTCGCGTGAATGCAAAACCACGCACCGCCGCGCCGCGACATTTAATGCTGACCGG
>370899..370953_NC_011002
TCAACGCTTTTGCCATATCGGGCAATCCCGGAACGATTCGCGCCCCCTTCGCGCGCGGACAG
GTCACCGGCGATTCGCGCGCGTCATCGCTCGAGCTGTTCCGCGGTTGTCCAACCCCGGAAATGAGGTTTCTCATG
AAAACGAAAGCGAAGCGAAGCTGA
>390461..390594_NC_011002
TCTTGCGGAAATTCATTGTGAAGAAAATTCGCGTGAATGCAAAACCACGCACCGCCGCGCCGCGAAATC
GCTTGGGGAATTTGAGTTTTTTGCCTTTCTTAATAATTTTGCCTGATCCTG
GGTGCCTGCGGATCGAATCGCTCTGAAATGGCGTTGTCCGGTG
AATTCATTGTGAAGAAAATTCGCGTGAATGCAAAACCACGCACCGCCGCGCCGCGACATTTAATGCTGACCGG
>400289..400485_NC_011002
AAATCCGCGATTCGCGCGCGTCATCGCTCGAGCTGTTCCGCGGTTGTCCAACCCCGGAAATGAGGTTTCTCATG
AAAACGAAAGCGAAGCGAAGCTGA
>466699..466882_NC_011002
CTGTGAAAGCACAGGAGAATGTCATGTCGGAGTCCCAATCCGTCGACACGATCGGCGCGCTCG
CCCCATTCTATCCGGCGGCGGTAATCCCGAAGGGTTCACCGGGAACCGCCGACCGCGACCGCGACCGAGACGG
AATTTCATGCGAAGCGAAGCTGA
>500495..500632_NC_011002
TACGAAACGCGGAAATGTCATGTCGGAGTCCCAATCCGTCGACACGATCGGCGCGCTCG
CCCCATTCTATCCGGCGGCGGTAATCCCGAAGGGTTCACCGGGAACCGCCGACCGCGACCGCGACCGAGACGG
AATTTCATGCGAAGCGAAGCTGA
>629782..629939_NC_011002
ACCACTTACGACAAAAACCCGGCGATCCGGGCGGTTGCAAGTTTCTGTTGAACGGCAGACG
AAATTCATGCGAAGCGAAGCTGA
>682721..682913_NC_011002
CATGACAGCCGGTGTTTCTCATGCAAAACCTCGTTTGCGAAACTGCGAACATTCCGGTCA
TTCTGCACACGGTAGATCAAGGAAATCCCGGGGACGGCCGCTTTCTACCGGACACC
ATGGGGAAACCGCGGCGCAGACAGCAGTGAATCGCGGCAATCCCGAAACCGCGCGCTCGGAAC
>687343..687498_NC_011002
GATGAAACCGCGGCGTTGTTTCTCATGCAAAACCTCGTTTGCGAAACTGCGAACATTCCGGTCA
TTCTGCACACGGTAGATCAAGGAAATCCCGGGGACGGCCGCTTTCTACCGGACACC
ATGGGGAAACCGCGGCGCAGACAGCAGTGAATCGCGGCAATCCCGAAACCGCGCGCTCGGAAC
>708654..708802_NC_011002
CGCGGCCAACATGCGTACGTTGCTCCACATGCTGCTTCCGCGATTTTCCGCGGACGGGAAAT
TCACCGTCGGCCGTTCTATCGCAACGGTGCGGCCTTTTGCGAGTTGATCGCCACAGATGCTTCGATACAGCTCTC
GCTGCGGCGGCGGCGGCGACG
>710870..711047_NC_011002
CGACGTGTTTATCTCGCGGCGTACGTTGCTCCACATGCTGCTTCCGCGATTTTCCGCGGACGGGAAAT
TCACCGTCGGCCGTTCTATCGCAACGGTGCGGCCTTTTGCGAGTTGATCGCCACAGATGCTTCGATACAGCTCTC
GCTGCGGCGGCGGCGGCGACG
C. Extracted sequences of only intergenic regions with threshold of p value>0.0001

>34503..34658_NC_011000
TTCCACATCTGGATTTTCTGTTGGACCCG ATTCCAACGCTGTCGTTGGGTAT
CTCGGCCAGGCAACAGACACCACTAAGCAGGCGCGCTGCAAGAAGAGATGTTTCCGTTTATG
TTTATTAACATGTCCAGTCTTTTCCAAAGGA

>61713..61898_NC_011000
GAGCGATCGGTGCTACTGGGCAAGCGGCGGCTACCAAAAGGTTAAGCTGTACCATGGA
AGCGGCCTGCTGTTGGAATGCGACGTGAACTACGTTGGAACGCGGAA
AAGGTTGCGCGAAATCGAGTGTGAAGAACAAGCCAGGTAGTGGGACCGGACTGCCGCTAAAACC
TGAATC

>253951..254114_NC_011000
CAGTTGCACTTGGCCATGGGCACACGAGTAAAGCTAGCTCTCTTTTTGCGCGGA
GCAAACCTGTGAATTTCTTTTGGGATTTGAAATGGCAGAAGAAGATTTGGAGGACGACCA
AAGCGGACGTAAGCTGTACATGGAACCACGAAAAAGCTGCA

>359524..360670_NC_011000
ATCCCCGACATTGCCGGTCTTTCTATTAAAAGACGGCGGCCCGTGAGCATCGGGAAA
TTTTTCTCCGACATCCGCGCGCGTGCAGTCAACCGTAAACATTGCCGATTCCGGTCA
AAAGAAAAACGGTGGGACCCGACGCG

>596436..596589_NC_011000
AAGCGGGGAAACGGTGTTGCTGCCTCTGGTCGACGCAGGTAGTGTGAAGAACAAGCCAGG
GCAAACCTGTGAAATTTCTTTTGGGATTTGAAATGGCAGAAGAAGATTTGGAGGACGACCA
AAGCGGACGTAAGCTGTACATGGAACCACGAAAAAGCTGCA

>812763..812898_NC_011000
TAATGCGTCAGCTTGTCGTCCCGGGGTCCCCGCAATACGGGGCGACGAGTCCGATGCAGG
CATGCACTGCAATCTGCGAGGCGCCATGGGAAGAGGAGGGTTTTGGCGGAGAGGGCGGACAGCGAACC
AGCAGTGGTACCTTGACGACTGAGTGCTG

>3241596..3241765_NC_011000
CCAAAGCTTTACCAGTGACAGCAAGAAACCCGTGACAGCTCAAGGCTT
CGCGGGTTTTTT
ACTTCCAGCAACGTTTCTACGCACTAGGCAACGGGTCGTTGGAAGCTG
CAGTTGGGGGAGGTTTATTAAGCTG

>3242357..3245374_NC_011000
CAAAAGCTTTACCAGTACAAGCAAGAAACCCGTGACAGCTCAAGGCTG
ATCTTCCAGCAACGTTTCTACGCACTAGGCAACGGGTCGTTGGAAGCTG
CAGTTGGGGGAGGTTTATTAAGCTG

>3245405..3245582_NC_011000
AAGAACCTGAGGTTGCGGTCTTCGCAGCTGGAATGGCTGAGGGGTGTTTTGGGGGTAATTTGGGTTTCTTGGG
AGGTTAACACAGCAGTACCGCGCAGATACCACTGCTCTGACCAGGAGAGGAG

>3246856..3246992_NC_011000
GGCGTGGAAACCCGTGGACCATAGGCGGACGAACCGCCGACCCGTTTTTGGGTTTTTTGGGGTTTTTT
GGGTATCAGACATACCCCCGTGCACAGATACCCCCATGCCACTGACCGAC

>3254478..3254652_NC_011000
TCGCCCGCAAAAAGAACAAACCTGATGGTTGTTGCTGACTCTGAGGGTACAGCTCAT
CAATGCAAGACACATTAG

>325478..3254862_NC_011000
TCGCCCGCAMGGGTTGACGATACCGCGCAGCTGGAATGGCTGAGGGGTGTTTTGGGGGTAATTTGGGTTTCTTGGG
AGGTTAACACAGCAGTACCGCGCAGATACCACTGCTCTGACCAGGAGAGGAG

247
>422485..422621_NC_011002
TCGGGCACGGGGGCGGCCTCGCGCCGACATCTGTGTTCCAAACAGTACATTTGCGCGGA
AATTTAATGCAAATGAGAGGCATTTGCAATCGTAATTTTGAGATTGGGAGGGCGAAGCGA
AATGGCGGGCCGCACGG

>713043..713195_NC_011002
GGCAGGAAGTGATGGAAGTGTTGACGGGCCCTGAGCGTCGGCGGCGCTGGACGGCGGAGC
AGAAGCTGGCAGATGTTCCGCAGAGTTTTTGAGCAGGCGGAGGAAATCGGTCTTGATGTCGCCG
GCCAGCAGGGCTGAATCCGAACCAACTGTTCC

>716403..716546_NC_011002
CATCGAAGAAGTAAAGATCGCTGGCTCAGTTTTTACTTTTGCGCGATCAGGCCGGAAGTGCGCTCA
GATTTCAATGGCTTTTGACTAACATCAGGTCCGTTGGCAGAGTTTGGATACAGCGG
ATGCCATTGGGCGACGGCAGCTTC

>788081..788216_NC_011002
CGGTTAAGTGTCTCTGCGCTCGCGCCTTTGAATCCAAAGCGGCGAGGGGCTGACGGCGATA
CGGCCGCGGCATTTTACTTTCGTCGCGCATGGACATAAGCGGCAGGCTCAGCGCCGC
ACGAGGCAACACTTGTG
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