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Abstract

Concerns about declining non-renewable fossil resources, energy security, climate change and sustainability are increasing worldwide. This has resulted in an increased interest in the development of alternatives to fossil resources not only for energy, but particularly for chemical production on a global level. There are a number of promising alternatives to fossil resources, however, lignocellulosic biomass such as forestry residues and wood waste (bark, sawdust, etc.) seem to be the most promising. They are widely available, renewable and a non-food resource. Therefore woody biomass holds the promise of being a sustainable resource for both energy and chemical production.

The lignin component of woody biomass is of particular interest as it comprises the world's largest natural source of aromatic compounds and is produced in large quantities as a by-product of pulp and paper processing. The main challenge in lignin utilization for fuels and chemicals is that it is composed of very large molecules with low heating values (due to high oxygen content) and low reactivity. Accordingly, the overall objective of this work is the production of chemicals and fuels by the catalytic hydroprocessing of lignin and lignin-derived bio-oils aiming to reduce their molecular weights and oxygen contents.

This work investigated the catalytic hydroprocessing (hydroprocessing) of a number of different lignins as well as depolymerized hydrolysis lignin for the production of fuels and chemicals. Several supported metal hydrogenation catalysts were investigated for the depolymerization, deoxygenation and desulfurization of Kraft lignin (KL) organosolv lignin (OL) and hydrolysis lignin (HL) under hydrogen atmospheres to produce depolymerized lignins. All of the catalysts tested were effective in depolymerizing the lignin feedstocks, however, the alumina-supported catalysts and the carbon-supported Ni catalyst did not perform as well as the carbon-supported Ru catalyst and FHUDS-2 (an industrial HDS catalyst). The molecular weights of the depolymerized lignins using these last two catalysts at 300 °C were markedly lower than the OL and KL feeds (~1,000 vs. 2,600 and 10,200 g/mol, respectively). In addition, the sulfur contents of the depolymerized Kraft lignins were drastically reduced.

Targeting the development of effective and inexpensive catalysts for the hydroprocessing of lignin and lignin-derived bio-oils to produce chemicals and fuels, screening of catalysts was
performed using guaiacol as model compound. The most effective catalyst under the conditions tested was found to be 1 wt.% Mo-doped 5 wt.% Ru supported on activated charcoal (MoRu/AC). The selected catalyst proved to be very effective for hydroprocessing of organosolv lignin ($M_w \approx 2,600$ g/mol) into a liquid product comprising >85% phenolic compounds with a $M_w$ of 460 g/mol at ~70% yield at 340 °C. This catalyst was also successfully employed in the hydroprocessing of hydrolysis lignin (HL) and depolymerized hydrolysis lignin (DHL).
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMSO-d$_6$</td>
<td>deuterated dimethylsulfoxide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOL</td>
<td>depolymerized organosolv lignin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FCC</td>
<td>fluid catalyzed cracking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTIR</td>
<td>Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GC/FID</td>
<td>gas chromatography/flame ionization detector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GC/MS</td>
<td>gas chromatography/mass spectroscopy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GC/TCD</td>
<td>gas chromatography/thermal conductivity detector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPC</td>
<td>gel permeation chromatography</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>hydrogen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abbreviation</td>
<td>Definition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>H-NMR</strong></td>
<td>proton nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>H/C</strong></td>
<td>molar ratio of H to C in a material</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>HDS</strong></td>
<td>hydrodesulfurization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>HDO</strong></td>
<td>hydrodeoxygenation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>HL</strong></td>
<td>hydrolysis lignin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>HPLC</strong></td>
<td>high performance liquid chromatography</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>KL</strong></td>
<td>Kraft lignin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>KOH</strong></td>
<td>potassium hydroxide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MW</strong></td>
<td>molecular weight, in g/mole</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>$M_n$</strong></td>
<td>number average molecular weight, in g/mole</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>$M_w$</strong></td>
<td>weight average molecular weight, in g/mole</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NaOH</strong></td>
<td>sodium hydroxide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>O</strong></td>
<td>oxygen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>O/C</strong></td>
<td>molar ratio of O to C in a material</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>OL</strong></td>
<td>organosolv lignin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>S</strong></td>
<td>sulfur</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SEC</strong></td>
<td>size exclusion chromatography</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SR</strong></td>
<td>solid residue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Temp</strong></td>
<td>temperature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TGA</strong></td>
<td>thermogravimetric analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>THF</strong></td>
<td>tetrahydrofuran</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>UV</strong></td>
<td>ultraviolet</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Chapter 1

1 General Introduction

1.1 Introduction

The main objective of this project was to investigate and develop effective carbon-supported hydrotreating catalysts for the depolymerization of lignin as well as the upgrading of lignin-derived bio-oil. The chemical and physical properties of the resulting products were analyzed to demonstrate the effectiveness of these catalysts.

1.2 Background

Over the past century a majority of the world’s energy demands was met by fossil fuels, comprising: 30% petroleum, 23% natural gas, 22% coal, 6% nuclear, and 19% renewable (Song, 2002). Chemical industries and energy production based on fossil fuel resources are expected to gradually phase out over the course of the 21st century due to the depletion of the fossil resources that these industries rely on (Okkerse and Van Bekkum, 1999). Biomass feedstocks such as agricultural/forestry residues and wood wastes (harvest residues, slash, sawdust, bark, etc.) have the potential to be a large source for energy, fuels, chemicals and materials (Karagoz et al., 2005; Ogi and Yokohama, 1993). Many countries have legislation set in place to promote the use of biomass energy and bio-fuels. For example, the Canadian federal government has enacted a target of 5% ethanol in gasoline by 2010, which will require the production of more than 300 million litres of cellulosic ethanol per year to meet this target. The European Union has set an objective to substitute conventional fuels with biomass-derived fuels (bio-fuels) in the transport sector with a market share of 5.75% by the end of 2010 (EU Directive 2003/30/EC). In December 2007, then President Bush of the U.S.A. signed into law a Renewable Fuels Standard (RFS) that called for at least 36 billion gallons of ethanol and other bio-fuels to be used nationwide by 2022, including a minimum of 9 billion gallons in 2008, and 20.5 billion gallons by 2015 or about 15% replacement of the U.S.A.’s gasoline consumption.
Bio-energy is a blanket term that refers to all forms of renewable energy that are derived from biomass feedstocks. Biomass feedstocks typically have a heating value comparable to that of low rank coal (lignite and sub-bituminous coals). The heating values range from 8 MJ/kg for green matter to between 17-23 MJ/kg for dry plant matter. The Earth’s natural biomass of 150 billion metric tonnes of dry biomass replacement represents an energy supply of around 3000 EJ (3×10^{21} J) per year, or about 6 times the world’s total energy consumption. Although these resources are renewable, carbon-neutral, and remarkably abundant, they are also very bulky and difficult to transport, handle, and store. In order to make use of these resources it is, therefore, necessary to develop cost-effective technologies to convert them into liquid bio-fuels of a higher energy density and other valuable chemicals (Yamazaki et al., 2006).

Biomass conversion technologies may be classified into two major categories: bio-chemical processes and thermo-chemical processes (Sharma and Bakshi, 1991; Bridgwater, 1991; Holt and Van der Burgt, 1998). Biologically-based technologies use acid/engineered enzymes to break down lignocellulosic materials with the aim of hydrolyzing the cellulose into glucose that can be fermented into ethanol or other chemicals. The development of new enzymes is still at the research stage, and most of the enzymes and the microorganisms that have been developed are strongly dependent on the chemical composition of the feedstocks, and are therefore applicable only to specific homogenous feedstocks. In addition, enzymatic processes are quite slow. As a result, current fermentation-based technology does not make the cellulosic ethanol production economically viable. In addition, the blending of high ratios of fuel alcohol into gasoline would require the modification of existing engines and delivery systems (Holt and Van der Burgt, 1998).

Thermo-chemical processes for the production of liquid bio-fuels include indirect liquefaction processes e.g. gasification combined with various catalytic processes for production of synthetic fuels (e.g., methanol, ethanol and high quality diesel), and direct liquefaction technologies mainly pyrolysis and high pressure liquefaction processes.

Direct liquefaction of biomass followed by upgrading and refining is regarded as a promising approach in addition to indirect liquefaction processes such as the MTG (Mobil methanol to gasoline) and the SMDS (Shell middle distillate synthesis) processes currently under devel-
Direct liquefaction of biomass for the production of bio-oil/bio-crude has attracted increasing interest in recent years due to increasing crude oil price and increasing concerns over greenhouse gas emissions. The bio-oil/bio-crude products from direct liquefaction can be upgraded into high quality liquid transportation fuels (Sharma and Bakshi, 1991).

Fast pyrolysis (operating at low pressures of 0.1-0.5 MPa but high temperatures >500 °C) is currently the only industrially realized technology for production of bio-oils from biomass. However, pyrolysis oils have high oxygen and water contents and only about half the caloric content of petroleum (<20 MJ/kg).

High-pressure liquefaction technology, on the other hand, normally operates at moderate temperatures (<400 °C) but higher pressures of 5-20 MPa in the presence of suitable solvents (water or organics) with or without catalysts and has the potential for producing liquid oils (also called bio-oils or bio-crudes) with much higher caloric values (25-35 MJ/kg) (Yamazaki et al., 2006; Xu and Etcheverry, 2008).

Two typical technologies for upgrading of bio-oils include catalytic cracking and catalytic hydrotreating. Note that the term 'hydrotreatment' has a specific meaning in petroleum processing operations: namely it is a blanket term for the removal of heteroatoms (specifically S, N and metals) from petroleum feeds using hydrogen. This term has carried over to bio-oil upgrading and refers to the HDO and hydrogenation of bio-oil (as well as the HDS of sulfur-containing lignins and bio-oils derived from these feeds). Some researchers have instead used the term 'hydroprocessing' to differentiate these processes from those found in petroleum operations. In this work, the terms are used interchangeably.

Catalytic cracking processes, using cracking catalysts (zeolites, silica-alumina and molecular sieves), are performed at or near atmospheric pressure without the addition of hydrogen. The advantages of low-pressure operation without the need of hydrogen, i.e. lower equipment costs and lack of expensive hydrogen, have attracted much interest in the literature on the upgrading of bio-oils (Adjave and Bakhshi, 1995a and 1995b; Katikaneni et al., 1995; Williams and Horne, 1995; Adjave et al., 1996; Graça et al., 2011). However, the yield of hydrocarbon oils is very low because of high yields of both char/coke and tars. In addition, the deposition of these undesirable products on the catalyst results in gradual catalyst deactivation and necessitates periodical or continual regeneration of the catalysts. In contrast, cata-
lytic hydrotreating processes operate at high pressures under a hydrogen atmosphere and/or in the presence of hydrogen donor solvents (Craig and Coxworth, 1987; Maggi and Delmon, 1993; Baker and Elliott, 1996; Kleinert et al., 2009; Li et al., 2012; Huang et al., 2014). Over the past 30 years, significant efforts have been made in hydrodeoxygenation (HDO) of biomass-derived oils. Research into the catalytic chemistry and kinetics of the hydroprocessing of various model compounds containing oxygen, such as phenolic compounds and aromatic ethers, have been reviewed by Furimsky (2000) and Elliott (2007).

The bio-oils/bio-crudes produced by the pyrolysis or liquefaction of biomass are a complex mixture of oxygen-containing compounds in the form of phenol and benzene derivatives, hydroxyketones, carboxylic acids and esters, and aliphatic and aromatic alcohols which all contribute to the oxygen content of the oil (Appell et al., 1969; Minowa et al., 1998; Qu et al., 2003). In addition, water originating from both the moisture originally present in the feedstock as well as water produced during the pyrolysis and direct liquefaction processes adds to the oxygen content in bio-oil or bio-crude (Bridgwater, 2003; Czernik and Bridgwater, 2004). The total oxygen content of bio-oils can be as high as 40-50 wt.% for pyrolysis oils and 20-30 wt.% for bio-crudes from high-pressure liquefaction processes, depending on the origin of the biomass and the process conditions, e.g. temperature, residence time, heating rate and the catalysts used (Bridgwater, 1994; Furimsky, 2000). The presence of high levels of oxygen in bio-oils is a limitation in their use as liquid transportation fuels since high oxygen contents result in high viscosity, low heating value, poor thermal and chemical stability, corrosivity (due to organic acids present) and immiscibility with hydrocarbon fuels (Bridgwater, 2003; Czernik and Bridgwater, 2004; Yaman, 2004). The bio-crudes/bio-oils must therefore be upgraded by reducing or completely eliminating their oxygen content (Bridgwater, 1994; Bridgwater, 1996).

A potentially more profitable exercise is the production of chemicals from only the lignin component of biomass. Biomass is, for the most part, composed of cellulose, hemicelluloses and lignin with very small amounts of other components such as ash and extractives. The typical composition of woody biomass is shown in Figure 1.1 below.
Currently the lignin component in biomass is mainly utilized for process heat by direct combustion. The large amounts of lignin produced in pulp mills are problematic in that the recovery boilers present a bottleneck. A recent study by FPInnovations determined that a significant fraction of the lignin produced in a pulp mill could be removed without unduly affecting the unit's material and energy balances. It was calculated that, on average, North American pulp mills could produce 30 tonnes/day of lignin and that for each tonne of lignin removed from the process, a mill could process an additional tonne of pulp.

Lignin is an amorphous polymer (Figure 1.2) comprised of three types of phenyl propane derivatives: guaiacyl alcohol, syringyl alcohol, and \( p \)-coumaryl alcohol (Mohan et al. 2006). It provides support and rigidity to the cell walls and is more resistant to most forms of biological attack in comparison with cellulose and other polysaccharides (Akin and Benner, 1988; Baurhoo et al., 2008; Kirk, 1971) and is the largest natural source of aromatic compounds.
Due to its chemical composition, lignin is a promising source for chemicals and fuels such as phenols and aromatics via thermochemical and/or catalytic decomposition of the lignin macromolecule into mono-lignols and other compounds followed by hydroprocessing to remove oxygen. While the removal of oxygen is necessary to minimize re-condensation of the depolymerized lignin and to decrease acidity, the hydrodeoxygenation and hydrogenation of lignin and lignin-derived bio-oils under less severe conditions than those required to upgrade whole biomass-derived bio-oil can produce both high value oxygenated and deoxygenated compounds from the lignin precursor.

1.3 Research Objectives

As discussed above, biomass is an abundant and renewable resource that can be exploited for the production of aromatic compounds and fuels. However, effective utilization of this resource is complicated by the presence of the carbohydrate fraction of biomass which decomposes into oxygen-rich compounds upon thermal degradation. Lignin is produced in mass quantity by pulp and paper manufacturing, as well as by solvolytic and enzymatic extraction.
It comprises the world's largest natural source of aromatic compounds. Effective utilization of this resource by depolymerization and hydroprocessing, thus avoid the challenges of whole biomass degradation, is one way of reducing our dependence on dwindling petroleum reserves for aromatics as well as increasing the economics of the pulp mills as well as the development of extractive lignin processes. Accordingly, the overall objective of this work is the production of chemicals and fuels by the catalytic hydroprocessing of lignin and lignin-derived bio-oils.

1.4 Approaches and Methodology

Technical lignin is a complex polymer with a high average molecular weight and some types of lignin such as Kraft lignin and hydrolysis lignin are not soluble in common organic solvents, which prevents their direct use as a substitute for petroleum-based chemicals in the synthesis of bio-based polymer materials, e.g., PF and epoxy resins. Therefore, samples of these lignins including organosolv lignin, Kraft lignin and hydrolysis lignin were depolymerized into the lower molecular weight products.

The depolymerization was conducted concurrently with hydrogenation/hydrodeoxygenation of the lignins and the overall process has been termed hydroprocessing instead of hydrotreatment to avoid confusion, as hydrotreatment has a specific meaning in the petroleum industry. The hydroprocessing reactions were conducted in several different reactors. The depolymerization of larger quantities of lignin was carried out in a 500 mL Parr stirred autoclave reactor. Subsequent reactions were conducted in both a 100 mL Parr stirred autoclave reactor and a mini-reactor constructed in-house with an effective volume of 13 mL (Figure 1.3). The lignins and depolymerized lignins were reacted in the presence of various catalysts under hydrogen at different temperatures, pressures and reaction times.

Where possible, the chemical and physical structure of the catalysts, feed and depolymerized products was measured. Typical analyses included: BET, XRD and TGA for the fresh and spent catalysts; GPC, GC/MS, CHNS, $^1$H-NMR, and FTIR for the lignin and depolymerized lignin products and Micro-GC for the gaseous products. The product separation scheme is shown in Figure 1.4.
Figure 1.3 Schematic and photos of the mini-reactor system

Scheme 1.1 Product separation scheme
1.5 Thesis Overview

Chapter 1 provides a general introduction to the importance of the use of lignin derived from biomass as a feedstock for fuels and aromatic compounds rather than using whole biomass, highlighting the economic and environmental potential of this abundant and renewable resource. The research objectives, approach and methodology and thesis structure are outlined.

Chapter 2 presents a detailed overview of the available literature on the production of lignin and the various technologies used in the production of bio-oil from both whole biomass and lignin. The technologies and methods used to upgrade and hydroprocess these bio-oils are also discussed along with the effects of process variables (e.g. reaction environment, temperature and time, catalyst metals and support materials, solvents and promoters).

Chapter 3 details the investigation of the depolymerization of Kraft and organosolv lignin under reducing conditions using a number of different catalysts. The effects of catalyst loading, reaction temperature and time were studied and the properties of the reaction products were compared to the feed.

Chapter 4 presents results of a study on the effectiveness of MoRu carbon-supported catalysts in the hydroprocessing of guaiacol as a model compound for lignin. Catalysts supported by different carbon materials were prepared and their effectiveness in guaiacol conversion was evaluated. Process parameters were adjusted to determine the optimum reaction conditions.

Chapter 5 focuses on the hydroprocessing of organosolv lignin using MoRu carbon-supported catalysts. The effects of reaction time and reaction temperature were investigated to determine the most effective depolymerization conditions.

Chapter 6 describes the hydroprocessing of hydrolysis lignin using carbon-supported MoRu catalysts. The effects of reaction time and temperature on depolymerization effectiveness were examined.

Chapter 7 describes the hydroprocessing of depolymerized hydrolysis lignin using carbon-supported MoRu catalysts. The effects of reaction time and temperature on upgrading effectiveness were examined.
Chapter 8 presents the main conclusions obtained from the present research and suggests future work.
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Chapter 2

2 Literature Review

Direct liquefaction of biomass for the production of bio-oil/bio-crude has attracted increasing interest in recent years due to rising crude oil prices, diminishing supply and increasing concerns over greenhouse gas emissions as well as energy security. Pyrolysis and high pressure liquefaction are the two main thermo-chemical technologies that have been developed for the direct liquefaction of biomass into bio-oil or bio-crude products. Fast pyrolysis (operated at a moderate pressures of 0.1-0.5 MPa and temperatures >500 °C) is, so far, the only industrially realized technology for production of bio-oils from biomass. However, pyrolysis oils contain high levels of oxygenated compounds and water and therefore have only about half of the caloric value (<20 MJ/kg) of petroleum. High-pressure liquefaction technology with a suitable solvent (water or organic) plus catalyst, operating at moderate temperatures (<400 °C) but higher pressures of 5-20 MPa, has the potential to produce liquid oils (also called bio-oils or bio-crudes) with much higher caloric values (25-35 MJ/kg).

Pyrolysis oils and bio-oils/bio-crudes are composed of a complex mixture of oxygen-containing compounds in the form of phenol and benzene derivatives, hydroxyketones, carboxylic acids and esters, aldehydes as well as aliphatic and aromatic alcohols. These compounds contribute to the high oxygen content of bio-oil (up to 30-50 wt.%), and result in not only a lower calorific value, but increased viscosity, poor thermal and chemical stability, corrosivity (due to organic acids) and immiscibility with hydrocarbon fuels. To produce high-quality bio-oils for use as liquid transportation fuels, pyrolysis oils/bio-crudes must be upgraded by various means to reduce their oxygen content.

The objective of this review is to provide an overview of direct thermo-chemical liquefaction technologies used in the production of bio-oils/bio-crudes from biomass, the development of the upgrading technologies to produce high quality liquid transport fuels and chemicals from bio-oils/bio-crudes, as well as the current research into the utilization of lignin as a feedstock for the production of chemicals and fuels.
2.1 Thermochemical Conversion of Biomass

Thermochemical conversion of biomass into liquid fuels and valuable chemicals can be achieved by either pyrolysis or high-pressure liquefaction (Demirbas, 2000; Molton et al., 1978).

2.1.1 Fast Pyrolysis

Pyrolysis of biomass is performed in an inert atmosphere at high temperatures, typically 400-800°C, and at low pressures around 0.1-0.5 MPa without the addition of any catalyst. At these high temperatures, solid lignocellulosic materials thermally decompose into smaller fragments which combine to produce oily compounds, yielding about 50-75 wt.% liquid products (pyrolysis oil or bio-oil). As a side note, the yield of bio-oil (or other liquid or solid products) is usually presented as wt.%, i.e. mass of product as a fraction of the mass of feedstock. In the case of gaseous products, the yield is usually expressed as mol%. Heat is usually added indirectly, although partial gasification and combustion of the feedstock may be employed to give direct heating. Gas and char are produced in addition to the liquid products. The relative proportions of gas, liquid and solid products depend on the pyrolysis parameters specifically heating rate and final temperature. Fast or flash pyrolysis (with a high heating rate and short vapour residence time) is used to maximize liquid products (Bridgwater, 1991). Flash pyrolysis produces liquid yields up to 75 wt.% at relatively low temperatures, typically 500 °C but less than 650 °C, and at very high heating rates of 1,000 °C/s, or even 10,000 °C/s, and very short residence times of typically less than 1 s. The rapid heating and rapid quenching in fast pyrolysis processes produces intermediate liquid products, which condense to form liquid oil products before they are further broken down into gaseous products. The high heating rates also minimize char formation, and no char is formed under some conditions (Demirbas, 2005). Increasing flash pyrolysis temperature above 700°C leads to still higher heating and reaction rates but results in very high gas product yields of up to 80 wt.% (Bridgwater, 1992).

Over the past thirty years, research into fast or flash pyrolysis has shown that high yields of liquid and gas products, including valuable chemicals or chemical intermediates and fuels,
can be obtained from various biomass feedstocks including agricultural/forest residues and waste streams (Bridgwater et al., 2001). Fast pyrolysis bio-oils are complex mixtures of compounds derived from the depolymerization and degradation of cellulose, hemi-cellulose and lignin (Czernik and Bridgwater, 2004; Zhang et al., 2007; Oasmaa et al., 2010). The typical properties of pyrolysis bio-oils and of a petroleum-based heavy fuel oil are shown in Table 2-1.

Table 2.1 Typical properties of pyrolysis bio-oil (before upgrading) and of a petroleum-based heavy fuel oil (Czernik and Bridgwater, 2004)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Physical property</th>
<th>Bio-oil</th>
<th>Heavy fuel oil</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Moisture content (wt.%)</td>
<td>15-30</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific gravity</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viscosity (cP , at 50 °C)</td>
<td>40-100</td>
<td>180</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Elemental composition (wt.%)</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>54-58</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>5.5-7.0</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O</td>
<td>35-40</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>0-0.2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ash</td>
<td>0-0.2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| HHV (MJ/kg)                        | 16-19     | 40            |

Bio-oil from fast pyrolysis is a complex mixture composed of acids, alcohols, aldehydes, esters, furans, guaiacols, ketones, sugars, syringols, lignin-derived phenols and extractible terpenes (Guo et al., 2001). Zhang et al. (2001) separated the bio-oil into four fractions: aliphatic, aromatic, and polar compounds as well as non-volatiles by using solvent extraction and liquid chromatography on an aluminum column. Analysis of the fractions indicated the presence of high levels of acetic acid and hydroxyacetones in the aqueous phase, with aromatic hydrocarbons and less polar components in the oil phase. In general, fast pyrolysis bio-
oils are a complex mixture of highly oxygenated compounds with a broad distribution of oxygenated organics, such as esters, ethers, aldehydes, ketones, phenols, carboxylic acids and alcohols (Peng and Wu, 2000).

Fast pyrolysis is, so far, the only industrially realized technology for the production of bio-oils from biomass. A fast pyrolysis process employing circulating fluidized beds, originally developed at the University of Western Ontario, is now commercialized by Ensyn Technologies in Renfrew, Ontario (RTP, rapid thermal processing). Also in Canada, another fast pyrolysis technology based on a fluidized bed has been commercialized by Dynamotive Energy Systems Corp., which has a demonstration project at Erie Flooring and Wood Products in Ontario. However, pyrolysis oils contain high levels of oxygen/water and therefore have a caloric value only about half of that of petroleum (<20 MJ/kg). In addition, the presence of organic acids makes them strongly acidic and corrosive. As a result, pyrolysis oils are not regarded as an ideal liquid fuel for heat or power generation, and without upgrading, cannot be used as a liquid transportation fuels.

The water content of bio-oil can be as high as 15-30 wt.% and comes from moisture initially present in the feedstock and also as the product of dehydration reactions during pyrolysis and later storage. The presence of water decreases the heating value of the oil as well as the combustion flame temperature of the fuel (Scholze and Meier, 2001). The removal of water from pyrolysis oil by evaporation is problematic, because heating bio-oil results in the rapid polymerization of components in the bio-oil and an associated increase in viscosity.

It is the presence of high levels of oxygen in bio-oils that is the principal difference between bio-oils and hydrocarbon fuels. The high oxygen content of bio-oil results in its lower energy density compared to conventional fossil fuels and is responsible for its immiscibility with hydrocarbon fuels. In addition, the presence of substantial amounts of carboxylic acids, such as formic and acetic acid, contributes to bio-oil pH values as low as 2-3 (Sipilae et al., 1998). This makes bio-oils corrosive and the problem is exacerbated at elevated temperatures. This imposes more stringent requirements in the choice of construction materials of bio-oil storage vessels and necessitates significant upgrading before it can be used as a transportation fuel (Zhang et al., 2007).
2.1.2 High-pressure Liquefaction

In contrast to fast pyrolysis, high-pressure liquefaction is performed under an inert or, preferably, a reducing atmosphere at moderate temperatures less than 400°C, but higher pressures ranging between 5 and 20 MPa. In high-pressure liquefaction processes, the macro-molecular compounds in the feedstock are decomposed into small fragments in the presence of suitable solvent(s) (i.e. water, alcohols, alkanes, phenols, or tetralin, etc.) and a catalyst. The molecular fragments produced in the reaction are unstable and reactive, and tend to re-polymerize into oily compounds having various molecular weights (Molten, 1983). The presence of a suitable solvent is critical for a direct liquefaction process as the solvent can act as a diluting agent for the products formed and/or as a fragment stabilization agent to prevent re-polymerization/condensation reactions from forming char or a heavy residuum.

High-pressure liquefaction is a superior direct liquefaction technology compared with fast pyrolysis, in that it produces higher quality bio-oil with more desirable chemical and physical properties. High-pressure liquefaction technology also has the potential for producing heavy liquid oils or bio-crudes with increased heating values and a range of other value-added chemicals including vanillin, phenols, aldehydes, and acetic acid etc. Research at the Pittsburgh Energy Technology Center (PETC) reported effective high-pressure liquefaction of a variety of lignocellulosic materials into oily products in water at an elevated temperature in the presence of a CO atmosphere and Na$_2$CO$_3$ catalyst (Appell et al., 1971). The PETC’s research into direct liquefaction of biomass was further advanced by the research group at the Pacific Northwest National Laboratory (PNNL) in the U.S.A. led by Dr. D. Elliott. During the 1980’s, much work on scaling up the pioneer work by Appell et al. and on utilizing the direct liquefaction oil products was done at PNNL. (Elliott, 1980; Schirmer et al., 1984)

High-pressure direct liquefaction processes are normally operated at moderate temperatures (200-450 °C), pressures greater than 1 MPa and using longer residence times (10-60 min) in hot compressed water (Boocock et al., 1979; Yokohama et al., 1984; Minowa et al., 1998; Qu et al., 2003; Karagoz et al., 2004; Nguyen et al., 2014) or organic solvents such as anthracene oil (Appell et al., 1969; Crofcheck et al., 2005), alcohols (methanol, ethanol, propanol and butanol) and acetone, etc. (Miller et al., 1999; Cemek and Kucuk, 2001; EU Directive 2003/30/EC; Tang et al., 2010; Song et al., 2013; Warner et al., 2014). Typical yields of
liquid products for high-pressure liquefaction processes are in the range of 20-60 wt.%. Although high-pressure liquefaction processes produce lower yields of heavy oil (bio-crude) compared with fast pyrolysis processes (which yield 40-75 wt.% bio-oil with a HHV of about 20 MJ/kg), the bio-crude products have much higher caloric values (HHV = ~30 MJ/kg) (Minowa et al., 1998; Qu et al., 2003). Higher heating value (HHV) is also known as the gross calorific value or gross energy of a fuel. It is defined as the amount of heat released by a specified quantity of fuel (initially at 25 °C) once it is combusted and the products have returned to a temperature of 25°C. This takes into account the latent heat of vaporization of any water that is produced during combustion. When comparing the gross energy yield (oil yield × HHV), the two types of direct liquefaction processes are comparable. The yields of bio-crude depend on many operating parameters including reaction temperature, pressure, residence time, type of solvents and the catalysts employed.

Yan et al. (2008) reported by that pine and birch wood can be effectively degraded in hot-compressed water at 200 °C for 4 h under 4 MPa (cold pressure) H2 in the presence of carbon-supported Pt or Ru catalysts. The products were a mixture of phenolic monomers of guaiacyl propane, guaiacyl propanol, syringyl propane and syringyl propanol. Yields of mono-phenolic compounds were as high as 45% of the total number of C9 units in the lignin were obtained in a 50:50 wt.% mixture of dioxane/H2O (1:1 wt/wt) with 1 wt.% H3PO4 and in the presence of Pt/C catalyst (5 wt.% of the sawdust).

2.2 Bio-oil Upgrading

Biomass-derived oils are very different from crude oils obtained from petroleum sources; the sulfur and nitrogen content of bio-crudes is negligible, but they are rich in oxygen-containing molecules (see Table 2-2). (Georget et al., 1999; Şenol, 2007a,b) Bio-oils/bio-crudes are comprised of a complex mixture of oxygen-containing compounds in the form of phenol and benzene derivatives, hydroxyketones, carboxylic acids and esters, and aliphatic and aromatic alcohols (Xu and Lad, 2008; Yang et al., 2009a). These compounds contribute to the oxygen content of the oil. In addition, water originating from both moisture initially present in the feedstock and as a pyrolytic product in pyrolysis and direct liquefaction processes adds to the oxygen content in bio-oil or bio-crude (Bridgwater, 2003; Czernik and Bridgwater, 2004).
The total oxygen content of bio-crudes can be as high as 40-50 wt.% for pyrolysis oils, and 20-30 wt.% for heavy oils from high-pressure direct liquefaction process, depending on the origin of the biomass and liquefaction conditions, e.g. temperature, residence time, heating rate and different catalysts used (Bridgwater, 1994; Furimsky, 2000). The high oxygen content is a limitation in the utilization of bio-crude as liquid transportation fuel since the high oxygen content of the oils causes high viscosity, poor thermal and chemical stability, corrosivity (due to the organic acids present) and immiscibility with hydrocarbon fuels (Bridgwater, 2003; Czernik and Bridgwater, 2004; Yaman, 2004).

Table 2.2 Elemental composition of bio-oil from wood and of a heavy fuel oil (Şenol, 2007b)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Composition (wt.%)</th>
<th>Bio-crude/Bio-oil</th>
<th>Heavy Fuel Oil</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>High-pressure liquefaction</td>
<td>Pyrolysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon</td>
<td>74.8</td>
<td>45.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrogen</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxygen</td>
<td>16.6</td>
<td>46.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrogen</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulfur</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HHV (MJ/kg)</td>
<td>~30</td>
<td>~20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Bio-crude/bio-oils therefore need to be upgraded by reducing their oxygen content (Bridgwater, 1994; Bridgwater, 1996).

Technologies for upgrading of bio-oils for fuel applications include physical and chemical/catalytic approaches (Czernik et al., 2002; Zhang et al., 2007). Techniques, such as emulsification and solvent extraction are physical methods in which bio-oils are mixed with diesel oil and solvents, respectively, to extract lower oxygen-containing components from the original bio-oil (Czernik et al., 2002). Although the physical mixing of bio-oils with diesel fuel directly, aided by the addition of a surfactant, may be the simplest way to use bio-oil as a liquid transportation fuel, the associated problem of corrosion to the engine and related com-
ponents limits its application.

Currently, two main chemical approaches have been proposed and tested for the upgrading of both pyrolysis oils and bio-crudes from high-pressure direct liquefaction processes. These are catalytic cracking and catalytic hydrotreating and are analogous to the upgrading of heavy oils in a petroleum refinery.

Catalytic cracking processes, using various cracking catalysts (e.g. zeolites, silica-alumina and molecular sieves), are performed at atmospheric pressure without the requirement of added hydrogen. The advantages of low-pressure operation without the need of hydrogen have attracted much interest of studies on upgrading of bio-oils as reported in the literature (Adjave and Bakhshi, 1995; Katikaneni et al., 1995; Williams and Horne, 1995; Adjave et al., 1996; Gerber, 2007; Yoshikawa et al., 2013). The yield of desired fuel hydrocarbons however is typically very low because of the high yields of char/coke and tar. Deposition of these undesired products on the catalyst results in the serious problem of rapid catalyst deactivation. As a result, periodic or continual regeneration of the catalysts becomes necessary.

In contrast to catalytic cracking, catalytic hydroprocessing processes operate at high pressures in the presence of hydrogen and/or hydrogen donor solvents (Craig and Coxworth, 1987; Baker and Elliott, 1988; Maggi and Delmon, 1993; Kleinert et al., 2008; Huang et al., 2014).

Significant efforts have been made over the past 20 years to study the hydrodeoxygenation (HDO) of biomass-derived oils. The catalysts used in the hydrotreatment (hydroprocessing) of bio-oils have been studied extensively and fall into two general categories: Al₂O₃-supported catalysts, typically loaded with NiMo or CoMo, (Baker and Elliott, 1988; Sheu et al., 1988; Gevert et al., 1990; Sharma and Bakshi, 1993; Jongerius et al., 2013) or noble metals (Lee et al., 2012) and zeolite catalysts (e.g. H-ZSM-5) (Baker and Elliott, 1988; Furrer and Bakshi, 1988; Sharma and Bakshi, 1991; Li et al., 2012). The supported metal catalysts are more active in hydrogenation and deoxygenation reactions while the zeolite and similar acidic catalysts are used to enhance cracking reactions (Pindoria et al., 1998).
2.3 Hydroprocessing of Model Compounds

Review of research efforts to study the catalytic chemistry and kinetics of hydroprocessing have focused on various model compounds containing oxygen, such as phenolic compounds and aromatic ethers, as well as various bio-oils (fast pyrolysis oils and bio-crudes from high-pressure liquefaction processes) (Furimsky, 2000; Elliott 2007). Pacific Northwest National Laboratory (PNL/PNNL) employed a batch reactor to test hydrotreating of phenolic model compounds with various catalysts (Elliott, 1983). Some key results are summarized as follows: commercially available catalysts (Al$_2$O$_3$-supported CoMo, NiMo, NiW, Ni, Co, Pd, and CuCrO) were used to hydrogenate phenol at 300 °C or 400 °C for 1 h. Of the catalysts tested, the sulfided form of CoMo was found to be most active, producing a product containing 33.8% benzene and 3.6% cyclohexane at 400°C, while the sulfided Ni catalyst produced 8.0% cyclohexane but only 0.4% benzene. On the basis of other model compound studies involving o-cresol and naphthalene, Elliott, et al. (1995) concluded that NiMo with a phosphated alumina support was the most active for oxygen removal and hydrogen addition, but CoMo catalyst should be considered if hydrodeoxygenation is the main goal due to its much higher selectivity.

The addition of a small amount of phosphorus to sulfided NiMo/Al$_2$O$_3$ catalyst has been shown to enhance both hydrodenitrogenation (HDN) and hydrodesulfurization (HDS) activities, with less susceptibility to coke formation (DeCanio et al., 1991). The presence of phosphorus was found to induce the formation of new Brönsted and Lewis acid sites with intermediate strength as was evidenced by FTIR analysis (Ferdous et al., 2004).

One of the key parameters determining the hydrodeoxygenation (HDO) activity of Mo, CoMo or NiMo catalysts is the type of support material used. The most common and conventional support is solid acid Al$_2$O$_3$, which has been widely used in hydrotreating catalysts on an industrial scale (Zdrazil, 2003). Extensive studies have been undertaken on CoMo and NiMo catalysts supported on alternative materials such as SiO$_2$, activated carbon, TiO$_2$, ZrO$_2$, zeolites and various mixed oxides (Breyesse et al., 1991; Luck, 1991; Topsøe et al., 1996; Vasudevan and Fierro, 1996; Radovic and Rodriguez-Reinoso, 1997). Centeno, et al. (1995) compared the hydroprocessing abilities with carbon-supported and alumina-supported CoMo and NiMo catalysts using various oxygen-containing and phenolic model compounds includ-
ing guaiacol, catechol, phenol, 4-methyl acetophenone and para-cresol, in a para-xylene medium. Their studies showed that coke formation was an important cause of catalyst deactivation where alumina supports are used, especially with compounds containing two oxygen atoms such as guaiacols or catechols.

The use of MgO as a basic support material has attracted much less attention. Basic supports however are interesting for two main reasons as stated by Klicpera and Zdrazil (2002). First, the acid-base interaction between acidic MoO3 and a basic support in the oxide precursors of the sulfided catalyst may promote dispersion of the Mo species in the catalyst. Second, the basic character of the support may inhibit coking which is rather intensive for conventional Al2O3-supported catalysts. It was not until recently that MgO-supported catalysts have been used to upgrade bio-oil. Sulfided MgO-supported CoMoP catalyst was used to successfully upgrade both phenol (as a model compound) and bio-oil in supercritical hexane. After 1 h at optimum reaction conditions of 450 °C and 5.0 MPa hydrogen, the phenol had been converted to reduced products comprising ~65 wt.% benzene and >10 wt.% cyclohexyl compounds (Yang et al., 2009b).

2.4 Hydroprocessing of Bio-oils

Studies on the hydroprocessing of bio-oils have mostly focused on conventional petroleum hydrotreating catalysts, i.e., sulfided CoMo and NiMo. Elliott and Baker (1984) and Soltes et al. (1987) examined hydrocatalytic reactions of bio-oils obtained from a high-pressure liquefaction process using a continuously fed fixed bed reactors. Their results showed the sulfided form of the CoMo catalyst to be much more active than the oxide form. The sulfided nickel catalyst exhibited similar activity to the sulfided CoMo catalyst except that the nickel catalyst led to a much higher gas yield and much greater hydrogen consumption. More than 95% oxygen removal from the wood-derived bio-crude, initially containing about 15 wt.% O, was achieved with the sulfided CoMo/Al2O3 catalyst at 573 K (Gevert, 1988). Using the same bio-oil, Gevert et al. (1990) studied the effect of pore diameter of a sulfided CoMo/Al2O3 catalyst on the overall hydroprocessing. The best performance was achieved at 623 K for a catalyst with narrow pores. A two-step hydroprocessing process for upgrading of pyrolysis oils developed was developed at the PNNL (Elliott and Neuenschwander, 1996; Elliott et al.,
1988; Elliot and Oasmaa, 1991). The first step involves a low temperature and high pressure (270 °C, 136 atm) catalytic treatment that hydrogenates the thermally unstable bio-oil compounds. The second step involves catalytic hydrogenation at higher temperature and the same pressure (400 °C, 136 atm). The same catalyst, a sulfided CoMo/Al₂O₃ or sulfided NiMo/Al₂O₃, was used for both steps. This process produced 40 wt.% yields of refined oil containing less than 1 wt.% oxygen from raw pyrolysis oil. Catalyst deactivation and gum formation in the lines were found to be the major process challenges. Churin et al. (1988 and 1989) conducted upgrading experiments on pyrolysis oil produced from olive oil. The authors reported that using sulfided NiMo or CoMo catalysts on alumina or silica-alumina supports perform better than noble metal catalysts which were found to be more readily deactivated by poisoning, sintering, and fouling. The use of a hydrogen donor solvent (e.g. tetrahydrodronaphthalene also known as tetralin) was found to lead to a marked improvement in the quality of the hydrotreated product and a reduction in catalyst deactivation by coke deposition. Zhang et al. (2005) hydrotreated a pyrolysis oil using sulfided CoMoP/γ-Al₂O₃, in tetralin under the optimum conditions of 360 °C and 2 MPa of cold hydrogen pressure. The oxygen content of the oil was reduced from 41.8 wt.% for the crude oil to 3 wt.% for the upgraded product. A pyrolytic lignin, extracted from softwood fast pyrolysis bio-oil, was catalytic hydrotreated by Piskorz et al (1989) using pelletized sulfided CoMo catalyst. The process produced a light organic oil with 0.46% oxygen content.

Soltes et al. (1987) and Sheu et al. (1988) upgraded pyrolytic oils obtained from pine. Twenty catalyst formulations were tested in a batch reactor and an alumina-supported Pd catalyst was determined to be most effective with the highest yield of liquid oil at 400 °C for 1 h. Alumina-supported Pt or Re catalyst were found to produce higher gas yields, while Ru and Rh were found to be most active in gas formation. Sulfided CoMo, NiMo, and NiW catalysts were found to be of much lower activity for bio-oil hydrotreating compared to the precious metal catalysts, and the Pt catalyst was found to be the most active for oxygen removal.

Although sulfided CoMo and NiMo catalysts are traditionally used in petroleum and bio-oil hydrotreatment and have received much of the focus in hydroprocessing processes, other types of catalysts, including solid acids, solid bases and precious metal catalysts, have also been used. Upgrading of fast pyrolysis oil using solid acid (40SiO₂/TiO₂-SO₄²⁻) and solid base (30K₂CO₃/Al₂O₃-NaOH) catalysts at 50 °C for 5 h was investigated by Zhang et al.
in which the dynamic viscosity of the bio-oil was markedly decreased. The density of the upgraded bio-oil was decreased from 1,240 to 960 kg/m³, and the gross calorific value increased by 50% from 16 MJ/kg for the original bio-oil to 24 MJ/kg for the upgraded bio-oil. The results of GC/MS analysis showed that decarboxylation of the bio-oil was promoted by both the solid acid and solid base catalysts.

A longstanding problem for hydroprocessing of bio-oils was associated with catalyst deactivation due to coke formation, particularly for alumina-supported catalysts. Gagnon and Kaliaguine (1988) reported that bio-oil polymerization occurred during the upgrading of the vacuum pyrolysis bio-oil. The polymerization was more evident during bio-oil upgrading in the presence of NiWO/Al₂O₃ catalyst at 598 K and about 18 MPa H₂, although significant oxygen removal was achieved.

The development of highly active and stable catalysts for the hydroprocessing of bio-oils/bio-crudes will continue to be the great challenge in the advancement of bio-oils and the focus of much future study.

Regardless of which process is used to decompose biomass and upgrade the resulting bio-oil, the issue remains that the presence of cellulose and hemicelluloses is undesirable since they are the precursors to the water and many of the oxygenated compounds found in conventional bio-oil which decrease the heating value of the bio-oil, can cause corrosion problems due to the formation of organic acids. They also present problems of long-term stability and miscibility with conventional fuels. Thus the use of lignin alone as a feedstock for bio-oil production would be an improvement over the use of whole biomass as it avoids many of the challenges that are encountered in the utilization of conventional bio-oil.

2.5 Lignin

Lignin is a complex amorphous polymer composed of phenyl propane units that comprises ~25-30% of wood by weight. Three related compounds make up the polymer. These are guaiacyl alcohol, syringyl alcohol, and p-coumaryl alcohol. The ratio of these units within the lignin polymer varies depending on the source of the lignin. For example, softwood lignin
is composed almost entirely of guaiacyl units derived from coniferyl alcohol while hardwood lignins are comprised of different ratios of guaiacyl and syringyl units (Rohella et al., 1997). In contrast, lignin from grassy biomass is a mixture of all three types of monomers.

To complicate matters, the different monomers making up the structure of lignin are linked via a number of different types of chemical bonds comprising α-O-4 aryl ether, β-O-4 aryl ether, 4-O-5 diaryl ether, 5-5 biphenyl, β-5 phenylcoumaran, β-β-(resinol) and β-1-(1,2-diaryl-propane) linkages. The numbers and types of these bonds in a particular sample of lignin depend on the source of the lignin (hardwood vs. softwood) as well as environmental factors including stresses experienced by the trees as they grew. Thus the structure and chemical composition of lignin can vary significantly even within the same tree (Pandey and Kim, 2011).

2.5.1 Lignin Production

Crude lignin is generated in large amounts as a by-product of the pulp and paper industry. This lignin is currently utilized mainly by direct combustion in the recovery boilers for heat generation. Over the past 30 years there has been increasing interest in the production of potentially higher value chemicals and fuels from lignin.

The lignin produced from lignocellulosic materials can be classified into two categories: sulfur-containing lignins and sulfur-free lignins. The sulfur-containing lignins, Kraft lignin and lignosulfonates, are produced as by-products of the Kraft and sulfonate pulping processes. Sulfur-free lignins include soda, organosolv, steam-explosion, oxygen delignification and hydrolysis lignins. Approximately 80% of lignins come from the widely used Kraft process, which is known for air/water pollution and the odour issues related to sulfur. Furthermore, extraction of the lignin from the black liquor is necessary in order to maintain a closed cycle of pulping chemicals within the pulp mills. Olivares et al. (1988) proposed a lignin extraction procedure involving a 2-stage acidification followed by filtration to produce high quality lignin from Kraft black liquor with the added benefit of recycling the Na and sulfate-rich filtrates back into the process. A fraction of the sulfur in the Kraft pulping process ends up in the lignin.
The α- and β-ether linkages in the lignin polymer are easily cleaved, but the 5-5 biphenyl-type bonds and aromatic ring structures are much more stable and resistant to chemical degradation. The ether linkages make up 56-72% of the bonds in lignin depending on the source (Pandey & Kim, 2011). Therefore a significant portion of the bonds in lignin are refractory to degradation. In addition, the recombination of highly reactive radicals obtained from the degradation these bonds can result in the production of condensed structures or coke.

The recent increase in the conversion of agricultural residues into bio-ethanol, functional polysaccharides or bio-gas by means of enzymatic conversion has attracted much attention in many countries and increased the availability of sulfur-free lignins. (Champagne, 2007; Demirbas et al., 2006) One such lignin comprises the solid residues remaining after the enzymatic hydrolysis of lignocellulosic feedstocks and is known as hydrolysis lignin (HL) or hydrolyzed wood biomass. It is composed of unreacted cellulose, mono and oligosaccharides, and lignin, with lignin comprising 50 to 55% of the mass. (Dahlman et al., 2000; Santos et al., 2012) Hydrolysis lignin is expected to be produced in large quantities as projects producing cellulosic sugar-based chemicals or ethanol are realized. For now, it is mainly utilized as a low-value fuel and large-scale development of these biomass conversion projects is limited by the high cost of cellulose enzymes and process equipment. (Jin et al., 2011) Finding effective ways to make full use of the lignin present in the process residues for value-added energy and chemical products is critical in improving bio-ethanol process economics.

2.6 Lignin Decomposition

A review of available literature shows that much research has been done on degradation of lignin into aromatic and other compounds. In addition, many more papers have been published on the use of phenol as a model compound for lignin degradation/upgrading. The use of guaiacol, veratrole, 2,6-dimethoxyphenol, 1,2,3-trimethoxybenzene, guaiacol-β-guaiacol, diphenyl ether, biphenyl and similar chemicals as model compounds has been reported less often and few papers have been published on the upgrading of degraded lignin itself. In addition, most of these studies have investigated the reactions of these model compounds in the gas phase.
2.6.1 Lignin Pyrolysis

Pyrolysis is the most studied method for the conversion of biomass to lower-molecular-weight liquid or gaseous products. Pyrolysis is the rapid heating an organic substance in the absence of air so that the large macromolecular structure is broken down into smaller units (thermolysis). The absence of air limits the amount of oxygen that is available for combustion. The resulting products from the pyrolysis of biomass or lignin depend on the reaction temperature and time. As might be expected, lower temperatures and shorter reaction times produce more liquid products and higher temperatures tend to produce more gaseous products. In addition, increasing the severity of the treatment results in the formation of simpler lower-molecular-weight components (Barth and Kleinert, 2008). The pyrolysis of lignin is highly complex and is affected by several factors including: type of lignin, reaction temperature, heating rate, catalysts etc. (Ferdous et al., 2002; Várhegyi et al., 1997) It is further complicated by the tendency of lignin to form a foam during heating. (Palmisano et al., 2012) The major products of lignin pyrolysis include gaseous hydrocarbons along with carbon monoxide and carbon dioxide, volatile liquids such as methanol, acetone, and acetaldehyde, phenolic compounds including phenol, guaiacol, syringol, and catechol and other substituted phenols such as lignols. A fraction of lignin is converted to thermally stable products called char. Char yields are higher at lower pyrolysis temperatures (Sharma et al., 2004). At high temperatures, gasification of lignin yields hydrogen (by cracking of aromatic rings), CO₂ (by reformation of C=O and COOH functional groups), CO (by cracking of C-O-C and C=O functional groups), and CH₄ (by cracking of methoxy groups) (Yang et al., 2007).

Lignin pyrolysis covers a rather wide range of temperatures in comparison to cellulose pyrolysis (Yang et al., 2007). At lower temperatures the weaker bonds in lignin are cleaved. As the temperature increases progressively stronger bonds are broken and at significantly high temperature (>500 °C), aromatic ring cracking and condensation occur, releasing hydrogen. In the first step (120-300 °C), typical products include formic acid, formaldehyde, CO₂, CO, and water. The water is produced by the cleavage of OH functional groups linked to b or c carbons in aliphatic side chains, while formaldehyde is released by the breaking of the b-c carbon bonds in alkyl side chains. Aryl ether linkages (α- or β-O-4-bonds) are also relatively easy to break. Ether linkages at c-carbons are relatively more resistant, and methoxy groups are even more resistant to thermal treatment. Although the general trend in degradation is
similar, the yield of particular products and the specific temperature for bond breakage varies according to the lignin type (Ferdous et al., 2002).

Liu et al. (2008) analyzed the pyrolysis products of lignin from birch and fir using a thermogravimetric analyzer along with Fourier transform infrared spectrometry (TGA-FTIR). H$_2$O and CO$_2$ were the primary products produced at temperatures around 100 °C. At around 225 °C, the presence of CO, aldehydes, formic acid, and phenols was observed. The presence of CO$_2$ was more obvious in the birch lignin decomposition products. The presence of monomeric phenol indicated the breaking of ether linkages at these temperatures. At temperatures around 425 °C for fir and 375 °C for birch, gaseous products such as CO, CO$_2$, and hydrocarbons (mainly methane) became dominant. Significant amounts of methanol were also observed. Ferdous et al. (2002) studied the pyrolysis of Alcell lignin (a type of organosolv lignin) and Kraft lignin (produced using a sulfate pulping process) at different heating rates and temperatures of up to 800 °C using a fixed-bed micro reactor. At 800 °C, the gaseous products were mainly H$_2$, CO, CO$_2$, CH$_4$, and C$_2$+. Overall conversion was observed to increase with increasing temperature. At lower temperatures, conversion was higher at lower heating rates. However, as the pyrolysis temperature increased, conversion started to level off and, eventually, at temperatures above ~700 °C, conversion was higher at higher heating rates. For example, the conversion of Alcell and Kraft lignin increased to 65 and 57 wt.% from 56 and 52 wt.%, respectively, when the heating rate was increased from 5 to 15 °C min$^{-1}$ at 800 °C. Fast pyrolysis of various technical lignins by Windt et al. (2009) also showed that fast pyrolysis results in higher conversion than lower heating rates because lower temperatures and longer residence times favor the formation of coke and char.

2.6.2 Lignin Hydrogenolysis

Hydrogenation of the radical compounds produced by the decomposition of lignin is one solution to prevent recondensation reactions. Kleinert’s work (2008) suggests that hydrogenation leads to higher yields of monomeric phenols and less char formation. The reactive hydrogen can be obtained from the liquefaction solvent(s) or gaseous hydrogen in combination with suitable catalysts.
Pyrolysis of neat lignin is not ideal for converting lignin into liquid fuels or chemicals since it results mainly in the production of solid coke and gas (Kleinert and Barth, 2008). Pyrolysis may be performed in the presence of hydrogen rather than nitrogen, resulting in hydrogenation or hydrogenolysis. In addition, the addition of suitable solvents and catalysts can speed up the reaction and increase the product yield (Okuda et al., 2004). Pyrolytic oils contain a significant fraction of lignin-derived oligomers. Catalytic hydroprocessing can convert them to more useful and stable lower-molecular-weight monomeric compounds (Tang et al., 2010). Hydrogenolysis is one of the most promising methods for producing phenols from lignin. Compared to pyrolysis (thermolysis), or pyrolysis in the presence of a solvent, hydrogenolysis leads to higher net conversion, higher yields of monophenols, and less char formation (Windt et al., 2009; Connors et al., 1980). Microwave and ultrasound pre-treatment methods prior to hydrogenolysis lead to higher conversion and oil yields (Gonçalves and Schuchardt, 2002). In addition, the reaction temperature required is in the range of 300-600 °C, which is lower than the temperature typical of thermolysis (Dorrestijin et al., 2000).

Hydrogenation is performed either by reacting lignin in the presence an active hydrogen-donating solvent, such as tetralin (Connors et al., 1980; Davoudzadeh et al., 1985; Vuori and Bredenberg, 1988; Kudsy et al., 1995; Thring et al., 2003, Sales et al., 2006) or formic acid (Kleinert and Barth, 2008; Huang et al., 2014), or in the presence of gaseous (molecular) hydrogen and a catalyst (Piskorz et al., 1989; Meier et al., 1992; Elliott et al., 2009; Joshi and Lawal, 2012).

A lignin hydroprocessing system in a packed-bed catalytic reactor supplied with a constant flow of hydrogen was proposed by Piskorz et al., (1989). Experiments with pyrolytic lignin resulted in high conversion with a light organic liquid yield of 65 wt.% and a total liquid yield of around 85 wt.%. Meier et al. (1992) studied catalytic hydrogenolysis of lignin in the presence of a NiMo aluminosilica catalyst and obtained a liquid oil yield of around 65 wt.%. The partial pressure of hydrogen had a significant influence on the conversion. Similar results were obtained during hydroprocessing of organocell lignin. The yield of light oil increased from 20 to 57 wt.% accompanied by an almost doubling of the phenolic fraction from 7 to 12.3wt.%, when the hydrogen pressure was increased from 5 to 14 MPa (Meier et al., 1994). Oasmaa and Johansson (1993) achieved an oil yield of 61 wt.% during the hydroprocessing of Kraft lignin at 10 MPa hydrogen and in the presence of a water-soluble molyb-
denim catalyst. Experiments without the catalyst produced a condensed coke-like product instead of oil.

Wild et al. (2009) hydrotreated pyrolytic oil produced by the pyrolytic depolymerization of lignin as separate processes. The pyrolytic oil was reacted with molecular hydrogen at 350 °C and 10 MPa for 1 h in the presence of a Ru/C catalyst. GC-MS and NMR analysis showed that the hydroprocessing oil differed significantly from the pyrolytic oil feed, comprising mainly cycloalkanes and alkyl-substituted cyclohexanols.

The presence of hydrogen appears to suppress the formation of char. Thring and Breau (1996) observed that the addition of hydrogen up to a pressure of 1MPa increased the net conversion, and significantly reduced solid residue yield from 40 to 11 wt.%. Meier et al. (2004) obtained an even greater reduction in char yield (from 32 to 1.9 wt.%) after increasing the pressure from 5 to 14 MPa.

2.6.3 Lignin Oxidation

Due to the presence of numerous hydroxyl groups, lignin can be oxidized or undergo oxidative cracking. Oxidative cracking involves the cleavage of the aromatic ring structures, aryl ether bonds and other linkages within the lignin. The oxidation of lignin has been achieved using nitrobenzene, metal oxides, and hydrogen peroxide as oxidants for lignin. A cheaper alternative is catalytic oxidation with gaseous oxygen. Work at the University of Ottawa, Canada, has investigated the aerobic oxidation of lignin and lignin model compounds using copper and oxovanadium catalysts. (Sedai et al., 2011; Sedai et al., 2013) The products of lignin oxidation range from aromatic aldehydes to carboxylic acids, depending on the severity of the reaction conditions (Xiang and Lee, 2001). Oxidation of softwood lignin under alkaline conditions produces vanillin and vanillic acid while syringaldehyde and syringic acid are obtained from hardwood lignin. Vanillin is, in fact, one of the few low-molecular-weight chemicals that has been produced industrially in large quantities from lignin by alkaline oxidation in air. As late as the mid 1980s, a single pulp mill employing the soda pulping process in Thorold, ON, Canada produced ~60% of the world's artificial vanillin. The production of acetic acid by wet oxidation of lignin has been studied using model compounds. (Suzuki et
However, the wet oxidation of guaiacol, syringol, and phenol as lignin model compounds resulted in low yields of acetic acid.

2.7 Catalysts

Catalysis is an important technology in biomass and lignin conversion. Catalysts are used in lignin depolymerization to promote high conversion and suppress char formation and condensation reactions. In many cases, catalysts participate in selective bond cleavage, thus increasing the yields of particular compounds or types of compounds. Many types of catalysts have been tested for different processes and substrates including both model compounds and various types of lignin. Zakzeski et al. (2010) have published an exhaustive review of catalytic lignin valorization. Typically, zeolite and amorphous silica-alumina catalysts have been employed in the cracking of lignin (Thring and Breau, 1996; Li et al., 2012) and upgrading of pyrolysis oils (Sheu et al., 1988; Sharma and Bakhshi, 1993; Joshi and Lawal, 2012). H-ZSM-5 zeolite has been found to be more selective for aromatic hydrocarbons while amorphous silica-alumina catalysts favour the production of aliphatic hydrocarbons (Adjave and Bakhshi, 1995). Alkaline catalysts such as KOH and NaOH have been found to be effective in the hydrolysis of lignin in a process known as base-catalyzed decomposition or depolymerization (BCD) (Shabtai et al., 1999; Miller et al., 1999; Watanabe et al., 2003; Nenkova et al., 2008).

The use of catalysts in the hydroprocessing of lignin increases product yields and promotes hydrodeoxygenation (Oasmaa and Johansson, 1993). Commonly studied hydrogenation catalysts are typically composed of transition metals (e.g. cobalt, nickel and molybdenum) or noble metals (e.g. palladium). (Thring and Breau, 1996) The most studied catalysts have been cobalt- or nickel-promoted molybdenum (Ratcliff et al., 1988; Meier, et al., 1992; Meier et al., 1994; Shabtai et al., 1999; Okuda et al., 2004; Matsumura et al., 2006; Tang et al., 2010; Jongerius et al., 2012; Yoshikawa et al., 2013).

Oasmaa and Johansson (1993) reported high yields of lignin oils from the hydroprocessing of Kraft lignin in the presence of a water-soluble molybdenum catalyst. Other catalysts used in the hydroprocessing of lignin include Ni-W (Thring and Breau, 1996), carbon-supported Pd
Catalysts have been found to increase the yield of aldehydes during oxidation under both acidic and alkaline conditions (Xiang and Lee, 2001). The catalysts used in lignin oxidation range from metal-supported alumina catalysts such as Pd/Al₂O₃ (Sales et al., 2006) and Cu-Ni/Al₂O₃ (Bhargava et al., 2007) to a wide variety of homogenous catalysts (Voitl and von Rohr, 2010; Chen et al., 2003). Lignin oxidation using molecular oxygen as the oxidant has been most frequently reported using metal salt-based catalysts such as CuO, CuSO₄, FeCl₃, and MnSO₄ (Xiang and Lee, 2001; Partenheimer, 2009).

Other catalysts used in the hydroprocessing of lignin and lignin model compounds belong to the family of catalysts used in the petrochemical industry. Typically these comprise Mo and Co, usually in sulfide form. Yang et al., (2008) for example, reported on the HDO activity of exfoliated and crystalline MoS₂, using phenol, 4-methylphenol and 4-methoxyphenol. They found that hydrogenolysis of the C-OH bond of 4-methylphenol was favored over MoS₂ with a lower degree of stacking, while aromatic ring hydrogenation of phenol was favored over MoS₂ with a higher degree of stacking (exfoliated MoS₂).

### 2.7.1 Acid Catalysts

Most catalysts used in the hydroprocessing of lignin and its model compounds are supported by acid materials such as alumina (e.g. alumina-supported NiMo and CoMo), silica, and more recently, zeolites. Bui et al., (2011a) hydrotreated guaiacol over alumina-supported and unsupported MoS₂ and CoMoS catalysts. The presence of Co was found to greatly increase the direct deoxygenation pathway in guaiacol conversion, similar to the well-known increase of direct desulfurization of refractory sulfur compounds over cobalt promoted molybdenum sulfide catalysts of in the hydrodesulfurization (HDS). Guaiacol hydroprocessing using the same metals but supported on zirconia (ZrO₂) (Bui et al., 2011b) was very effective but different spectrum of products indicating that the support material can have a great effect on product selectivity.

The same group also studied the co-processing of guaiacol, as an oxygenated molecule representative of pyrolytic bio oils, with a straight run gas oil (Bui et al., 2009). The presence of
guaiacol was found to decrease the HDS performance of a reference CoMo/Al2O3 catalyst at low temperatures, but above 320 °C, HDS could proceed without any further inhibition.

Similarly, Graca et al. (2011) found that the presence of guaiacol had a negative impact on the conversion of both n-heptane and gasoil in a simulated fluid catalyzed cracking (FCC) operation using HY, HZSM-5 and an industrial FCC equilibrium catalyst (E-CAT). This was found to be due to deposition of condensed material on the catalyst surface and was more pronounced with HZSM-5. This phenomenon was also observed by Graca et al., (2009; 2010) who investigated the effects of phenol on the FCC of n-heptane and methylcyclohexane over an HY zeolite. Phenol was found to deactivate the zeolite by adsorbing onto the Brönsted and Lewis acid sites of the zeolite along with coke molecules from condensation of the reaction intermediates. Higher temperatures decreased phenol adsorption but did not prevent it.

Olcese et al., (2010) investigated catalytic hydrodeoxygenation (HDO) of guaiacol, a model for lignin pyrolysis vapours, over Fe/SiO2. They found that the Fe catalyst produced less methane than the reference Co catalyst with a good selectivity for benzene and toluene. Temperature and reaction time were found to influence the aromatic carbon-oxygen bond hydrogenolysis reaction whereas hydrogen partial pressure had a minor influence.

Gas phase hydrodeoxygenation (HDO) of guaiacol, as a model compound for pyrolysis oil, was tested on a series of transition metal phosphides which included Ni2P/SiO2, Fe2P/SiO2, MoP/SiO2, Co2P/SiO2 and WP/SiO2 (Zhao et al., 2011). A commercial CoMoS/Al2O3 deactivated quickly and showed little activity for the HDO of guaiacol at the conditions tested while the most active phosphides were able to produce benzene and phenol with a small amount of methoxybenzene. A commercial catalyst 5% Pd/Al2O3 was more active than the metal phosphides.

Popov et al. (2011) studied the details of phenol adsorption on various catalysts and support materials. On silica, phenol was found to mainly interact via hydrogen-bonding while on alumina it was found to dissociate on the acid-base pairs leading to the formation of strongly adsorbed phenolate species. Similarly, phenol dissociates on alumina-supported sulfided CoMo but does not interact strongly with the sulfide phase. The adsorption of the phenolate was found to decreases the accessibility of reactive catalyst sites and they proposed that de-
creasing the number or strength of the acid-base paired sites of the support should be a way to limit catalyst deactivation.

2.7.2 Alkali-Catalyzed Depolymerization

As mentioned previously, one means of depolymerizing lignin is to use alkali as a catalyst. Shabtai et al. (1999) proposed a three-step process for converting lignin into reformulated gasoline which involves base-catalyzed depolymerization (BCD) followed by hydrodeoxygenation and hydrocracking steps. The BCD process uses a catalyst-solvent system of an alkali hydroxide e.g. NaOH and a supercritical alcohol such as methanol or ethanol and is performed at a temperature of about 270 °C. The reaction produces a pressure of around 140 bar after ~5 min and results in depolymerized lignin with about a 50% decrease in oxygen content as compared to the lignin feed. In the second step of the process, the depolymerized lignin was subjected to hydrodeoxygenation (HDO) in the presence of sulfided CoMo/Al₂O₃. Phenolic chemicals can be extracted from the product stream after this step if they are desired. The final step involved mild catalytic hydrocracking resulting in partial ring hydrogenation and a final product comparable to reformulated gasoline. These experiments were performed on three different types of lignin including Kraft lignin, organosolv lignin, and National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL) ethanol lignin. The lignins produced a wide range of compounds with little difference between the feed stocks and only small differences in reactivity were observed. The hydroprocessing of base-catalyzed depolymerized Kraft lignin yielded 73.5 wt.% of alkylated phenols and methoxyphenols.

In other work, Shabtai et al. (2001) proposed a different three-step process for converting lignin into partially oxygenated gasoline additives. The first stage of this process was also base-catalyzed depolymerization but was followed by selective hydrocracking using a superacid catalyst rather than HDO. This produced a depolymerized lignin product with a higher oxygen content and composed mainly of alkylated phenols, alkylated alkoxyphenols, and alkyl benzenes. In a final step, the depolymerized lignin underwent etherification and partial ring hydrogenation, producing a reformulated, partially oxygenated/etherified gasoline.

An enhanced catalytic lignin to liquid bio-fuels process involving BCD has been proposed by
Zmierczak and Miller (2006). This method involves a similar three-step conversion process resulting in the production of either gasoline or aromatic ethers. An alternative one-step method to convert lignin into liquid fuels was also proposed.

Miller et al. (1999) also studied BCD of both lignin and lignin model compounds in a micro reactor. The lignin model compounds included anisole, guaiacol, phenyl ether, biphenyl, and benzyl phenyl ether. These compounds and lignin were depolymerized in a fluidized-bed reactor at 290 °C for up to 1 h using 10% KOH and an ethanol or methanol solvent. Kinetic studies showed that BCD occurs rapidly within 15 min. Ethanol was a better solvent than methanol and organosolv lignin resulted in the highest conversion. It was also observed that the excess amounts of alkali catalyst are required to achieve the greatest conversion. As has been reported in other literature, the analysis of model compound decomposition products revealed that phenyl ether linkages were relatively easily broken during BCD but the carbon-carbon linkages were more refractory. The ethanol solvent was found to react with phenyl ethers to form phenols and ethyl ethers as well as to participate in the alkylation of phenols and catechols.

More recent work by Nguyen (2014), investigated the depolymerization of a Kraft lignin slurry dispersed in aqueous K$_2$CO$_3$ and phenol and decomposed over ZrO$_2$ in a fixed-bed reactor at near critical conditions. A large fraction of the product stream was recycled to preheat the feed. The process produces an aqueous phase containing phenolics and a bio-oil phase exhibiting an increased heating value around 32 MJ/kg. The monoaromatic compounds produced consisted mainly of anisoles, alkyl phenols, guaiacols and catechols, with yields increasing (from 17 to 27%) with increased K$_2$CO$_3$ concentration.

### 2.8 Solvents

#### 2.8.1 Hydrogen-donating Solvents

Hydrogen-donor solvents such as tetralin, 9,10-dihydroanthracene (AnH$_2$) and their derivatives and 1,4,5,8,9,10-hexahydroanthracene, have proven to be effective hydrogen donors for the liquefaction of coal. It was found that the quantity of hydrogen transferred from the solvent to the coal had a significant effect on the liquefaction reactions (Arends and Mulder,
Thring et al. (1993) reacted hardwood solvolytic lignin in the presence of tetralin and studied the effects of process severity on lignin conversion. Upon dehydrogenation at hydrocracking temperatures and pressures, tetralin was found to readily release four hydrogen atoms and was converted primarily to naphthalene. The hydrogen that was released was able to cap the highly reactive allyl- and vinyl-substituted intermediates resulting from lignin depolymerization. Conversion was found to increase monotonically with increased process severity and char formation was low under all reaction conditions. A maximum conversion of ~68% was observed and was essentially constant at low concentrations of lignin in tetralin. Conversion decreased with higher concentrations of lignin. The decomposition products resulting from neat pyrolysis of the lignin feed showed a similar trend: at low severity, syringols, guaiacols, and aromatic ketones were the most common products. With increased process severity, further decomposition of lignin into phenol and alkyl derivatives of phenol was observed.

Davoudzadeh et al. (1985) performed lignin hydrogenolysis using tetralin with phenol as a solvent. They observed an increase in liquid yield compared to neat pyrolysis. Vuori and Bredenberg (1988) reported a maximum yield of phenol around 20 wt.% for lignin pyrolysis under hydrogen in the presence of tetralin and m-cresol solvents. The presence of tetralin at longer reaction times was found to decrease guaiacol yield considerably while increasing the yields of phenol and their derivatives (Jegers and Klein, 1985). Kudsy et al. (1995) analyzed the role of tetralin in hydrogenolysis. The addition of tetralin was found to increase the yield of phenolic compounds but did not have a significant effect on gas yield.

Although these solvents are effective in increasing the hydrogenation of lignin they are relative expensive and hard to recover. There is another family of solvents such as formic acid and 2-propanol, which are thermally unstable and will decompose to give hydrogen upon being heated at elevated temperatures. For example, formic acid decomposes completely into hydrogen and carbon dioxide, and 2-propanol can decompose into hydrogen and acetone upon heating. Recently, these types of hydrogen-donating solvents have found special applications in the hydroprocessing of both biomass and lignin.

As reported by Kleinert et al. (2008), formic acid and 2-propanol were used as hydrogen donor solvents in the depolymerization and hydrogenation of lignin. The solvolysis products of
weak acid hydrolysis lignin (WAHL), strong acid hydrolysis lignin (SAHL), and enzymatic hydrolysis lignin (EHL) were analyzed for the presence of phenolic compounds. A well-separated mixture of an organic top layer and an aqueous bottom layer with a total liquid yield of up to 90 wt.% was obtained. The GC-MS spectrum of the liquid organic fraction clearly showed a significant presence of phenols, although their yield varied considerably depending on the feed. In general, the yield of the isolated phenolic fraction was reported to be within 25-35 wt.% of the lignin feedstock and was composed exclusively of monoaromatic phenols with alkylation ranging from C1-C7 in the side chain(s), in a one-step conversion of lignin to oxygen-depleted bio-fuels and phenols using a co-solvent mixture of formic acid and ethanol at about 400°C. The yield was 2 or 3 times that of an earlier work by Dorrestijn et al. (1999) using AnH2 for de-polymerization of wood lignin at 352°C.

Kleinert and Barth (2008) performed solvolysis of steam explosion lignin, organosolv lignin, and hydrolysis lignin using formic acid in a non-stirred batch reactor. A reaction temperature around 380 °C was maintained for reaction times up to 17 h. Satisfactory conversion was achieved at temperatures of 350 °C and above with a minimum reaction time of 3-4 h. Analysis of the products revealed predominantly alkyl chains, although lignin monomers were still present. More recently, the results of a study on a one-step alternative for the conversion of lignin into low-oxygen content fuel and monomeric phenols have been published (Huang et al., 2014). The proposed novel solvolytic method involves thermal treatment of lignin in a high pressure reactor with formic acid as an active hydrogen donor and water/ethanol as the solvent. On heating, formic acid decomposes completely into CO2 and active hydrogen, which combines with oxygen from the methoxy groups of lignin to form water. Since both depolymerization and hydrodeoxygenation occur simultaneously, such solvolytic reactions can result in monomers with low oxygen contents in a single step.

A detailed analysis of characteristic properties of the solvolysis product oils from different sources of lignin by Gellerstedt et al. (2008) has shown similarities in composition, with nearly the same O/C and H/C ratios. FTIR analysis of lignin oil showed strong signals around 1200 and 1710 cm⁻¹, indicating the presence of isopropyl and/or tert-butyl groups and carboxyl groups, respectively. Characteristic peaks indicating the hydroxyl group and the aromatic ring were also observed. The strong presence of phenolic structures was confirmed by the signal cluster at 150 ppm in ¹³C-NMR analysis. A peak mass weight of around 300 mass
units was calculated from size exclusion chromatography (SEC). Determination of optimum process conditions with a high yield of the desired chemicals, with a minimum use of solvents as well as acceptable ranges of temperature and pressure in the solvolysis reaction is very important for the method to be technologically acceptable. This, however, is very complex due to the interactions between the different parameters. Optimizing experiments show that high-pressure conditions give high yields. In addition, for high yield, the liquid loading of the reactor should be increased while keeping the amount of lignin and formic acid low (Kleinert et al., 2009).

2.8.2 Supercritical Solvents

Supercritical solvents have also been used in the depolymerization of lignin. Supercriticality is a unique phase of matter wherein there is no differentiation between the liquid and gas phases of a solvent. Solvents in the supercritical state, that is, above their critical temperature and pressure, exhibit gas-like diffusivity, which facilitates mass transfer, at liquid-like densities, which facilitates heat transfer. Many researchers have studied the conversion of biomass, lignin, and lignin model compounds in supercritical water \( T_c = 374.15 \, ^\circ\text{C} \) and \( P_c = 22.1 \, \text{MPa} \). These studies have shown that hydrolysis in supercritical water is a viable means of lignin depolymerization. However, the yields of phenolic monomers are not as high as in other methods, likely due to the re-polymerization of reactive intermediates forming char. Aida et al., (2002) suggested that the presence of phenol in could minimize the formation of char.

In related research, Saisu et al. (2003) depolymerized organosolv lignin in supercritical water in a stainless-steel tube reactor at 400 °C, with and without phenol. A comparison of the yields of tetrahydrofuran-soluble and -insoluble fractions of the depolymerized lignin demonstrated that the insoluble fraction increased with increased reaction time. Conversely, the THF-insoluble fraction decreased with increasing phenol/lignin ratio. The mechanism of the decomposition of lignin in supercritical water was proposed to be hydrolysis followed by dealkylation, yielding low-molecular-weight fragments and that cross-linking reactions between the depolymerized fragments gives rise to higher-molecular-weight fragments that deposit as char. The presence of phenol prevents the cross-linking reactions by interacting with
reactive sites of the decomposed fragments and so capping the molecules. In the presence of sufficient phenol, the formation of char can be significantly reduced. Okuda et al., (2004b) obtained residual solid yields of ~1 wt.% when depolymerizing lignin a 1:1.4 (v/v) mixture of water and phenol. Similar experiments using p-cresol and water as the solvent in supercritical conditions also resulted in very low yields of solid residue. In addition, the molecular weight distribution shifted to remarkably lower values as compared to the original lignin feedstock (Okuda et al., 2004a). A study of the depolymerization of lignin in a supercritical water/phenol mixture by Fang et al. (2008) also confirmed that addition of phenol inhibits repolymerization reactions. Lignin depolymerization in the presence of supercritical methanol instead of water was studied by Saka and his group. Their experiments using lignin model compounds showed that depolymerization of lignin in a batch reactor at 270 °C proceeds rapidly due to the cleavage of β-O-4 linkages (Tsujino et al., 2003).

2.9 Summary

1. Fast pyrolysis is the only industrially realized technology for production of bio-oils from biomass. However, pyrolysis oils contain high levels of oxygenated compounds and water, and therefore have lower caloric values than petroleum oils.

2. High-pressure liquefaction technology which uses moderate temperatures <400 °C but higher pressures of 5-20 MPa has the potential to produce superior quality bio-oils with much higher caloric values (25-35 MJ/kg).

3. The bio-oils/bio-crudes produced by biomass liquefaction are composed of a complex mixture of oxygen-containing compounds in the form of phenol and benzene derivatives, hydroxyketones, carboxylic acids and esters, and aliphatic and aromatic alcohols. The high oxygen content of the bio-oils limits their usefulness as liquid transportation fuels since the high oxygen content results in increased viscosity, poor thermal and chemical stability, corrosivity (due to the organic acids present) and immiscibility with hydrocarbon fuels. Pyrolysis oils/bio-crudes, therefore, need to be upgraded to reduce their oxygen content in order to convert them into useful fuels.
4. Catalytic cracking and catalytic hydrotreating are the two typical technologies used in the upgrading of bio-oils for fuel applications. Catalytic cracking processes, which use cracking catalysts (e.g. zeolites, silica-alumina and molecular sieves), operate at atmospheric pressure without the requirement of additional hydrogen. In contrast, catalytic hydrotreating processes operate at higher pressures (2-20 MPa) in the presence of hydrogen and/or in the presence of hydrogen donor solvents.

5. Commercially available sulfided catalysts (Al$_2$O$_3$-supported CoMo, NiMo, NiW, Ni, Co, Pd, and CuCrO) have been widely used for hydrodeoxygenation (HDO) of both bio-oils and model compounds. Alumina-supported Pd catalysts have been found to be the most effective catalysts, producing higher bio-oil yields than conventional Mo-based catalysts. Catalyst deactivation due to the formation of coke and tars has been identified as the major issue with the conventional alumina-supported catalysts.

6. Lignin can be extracted from woody biomass thereby reducing the amount of oxygen that needs to be removed from the resulting bio-oil since the oxygen-containing cellulose breakdown products are not present.

7. Some of the chemical bonds in lignin are more refractive to hydroprocessing than others.

8. Kraft lignin (alkali lignin) is widely available. However it presents a challenge in processing. During degradation, the sulfur present in the alkali lignin can become incorporated into the degradation products (as sulfides and thiols). The sulfur present will also, over time, poison any catalysts used. As a side note, the presence of sulfur also imparts a very strong unpleasant odour to the bio-oil, further limiting its utility.

9. Organosolv and hydrolysis lignin are an alternative to Kraft lignin, though they are not available in as large abundance. They are extracted without the use of sulfur compounds and are therefore sulfur-free. As such, the degradation of these lignins produces sulfur-free bio-oil - a benefit in terms of catalyst longevity (due to the absence of catalytic poisons) and odour during processing.

10. Lignin can by degraded by various means including; cracking or hydrolysis reactions, catalytic reduction reactions, and catalytic oxidation reactions.
11. Much literature has been published on whole bio-oil and lignin model compound upgrading (hydroprocessing) rather than the upgrading of lignin and degraded lignin.

12. Lignin degradation is complicated by the different types of bonds that make up the polymer.

2.9.1 Model Compounds

13. Model compounds allow researchers to determine reaction mechanisms and kinetics in simpler systems.

14. Phenol and guaiacol are the most studied lignin model compounds.

15. Guaiacol, and other di-oxygenates, are susceptible to coke formation and can be used to determine the ratio of coke (on the catalyst) to char (re-polymerization solids) production.

16. A large fraction of the model compound studies have investigated gas phase hydrodeoxygenation rather than liquid phase reactions.

2.9.2 Catalysts

17. Noble metals (e.g. Pt, Re, Rh) are effective hydroprocessing catalysts but are very expensive especially if they have are subjected to conditions where they have a short lifetime and cannot be regenerated effectively.

18. Transition metals are also active in bio-oil and model compound hydroprocessing but are generally not as active as noble metals catalysts.

19. The effectiveness of transition metal catalysts can be increased by the addition of promoters and/or additives.

20. Transition metal catalysts are usually used in reduced or sulfided forms.
21. Much research has been reported on hydroprocessing using sulfided catalysts (e.g. CoMo/alumina) similar to those used in petroleum processing.

22. Conventional sulfided hydrodeoxygenation catalysts can give rise to products incorporating sulfur, are subject to rapid deactivation by coke formation, and can potentially become poisoning by water produced as a by-product of oxygen removal.

23. Ru/C may be too active a catalyst for the conversion of pyrolytic lignin oil to low molecular weight phenolics, but may be suitable for the production of fuels.

2.9.3 Catalyst Support

24. Acidic support materials such as alumina and zeolites are known to catalyze condensation reactions and quickly become deactivated by coke deposition.

25. Some of the catalyst deactivation observed in bio-oil upgrading using zeolites is due to adsorption of phenolic compounds on both Brönsted and Lewis acid sites.

26. Catalyst supports also affect model compound adsorption mechanisms.

27. Support materials influence the selectivity of hydroprocessing products.

28. Catalyst morphology can affect hydroprocessing product selectivity as well.

2.9.4 Solvents

29. Solvents can enhance the hydroprocessing of bio-oils and model compounds either by dilution of the reactive coke precursors or by actively participating in the reactions as hydrogen donors.

30. Hydrogen donor solvents include isopropanol and formic acid which decompose upon heating while tetralin and similar compounds which have been used in coal liquefaction.

31. Hydrogen donor solvents produce reactive hydrogen species that cap highly reactive in-
32. The use of co-solvents can improve product yields.

33. Supercritical solvents including water, CO$_2$, and alcohols have been used to produce and upgrade bio-oil.
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Chapter 3

3 Reductive depolymerization of Kraft and organosolv lignin for aromatic chemicals and materials

3.1 Introduction

Due to rapid deletion of available petroleum reserves, one of the major priorities of 21st century is to find new resources for fuel and chemicals to replace the fossil deposits as they become exhausted. In this regard, biomass, as an abundant and renewable resource, is certainly the most feasible choice. Lignin is the second most abundant naturally synthesized polymer after cellulose comprising 25-40% of dry wood and a majority of crop stems. (Tejado et al., 2007) About 70 million tons of Kraft lignin (KL) is generated annually as a by-product in the pulp and paper industry in the form of “black liquor”. Up to now, it has been utilized predominantly as a low-energy content fuel in pulp/paper mill recovery boilers for generation of heat and pulping chemical regeneration. However, in many Kraft mills, the recovery boilers present a bottleneck in the pulping process due to the sheer amounts of lignin produced. In addition, large volumes of organosolv lignin (OL) from the pre-treatment processes in cellulosic ethanol plants are expected to become available as the bio-ethanol industry expands in the near future. (Champagne, 2007) With the increasing interest in developing cellulose-based biodegradable materials and composites, new technologies, such as ionic liquid (Pinkert et al., 2011; Hart and Aldous, 2015; van Spronsen et al., 2014) and organic acid (Vasquez et al., 1995; Hirose et al., 2001) approaches, are being developed to separate lignin from cellulose. All of these methods produce OL.

As a natural polymer of substituted phenyl-propanols, lignin contains many polar hydroxyl groups attached to the polymer chains, making it incompatible with most synthetic polymers due to its high polarity, high degree of crystallinity and wide range of glass transition temperatures. (Chakar and Ragauskas, 2004) In addition, due to its branched structure and naturally limited molecular weight, lignin does not have enough strength to be used as a structural material on its own. However, lignin’s molecular weight is too high and its energy content is too low for fuel applications (due to its high oxygen content). It is commonly accepted that modification of lignin (e.g. via esterification or depolymerization) is needed for utilization of lignin for fuels or chemicals. Most of the research on the applications of lignin has concen-
trated on converting lignin into chemicals and fuels via hydrolytic, (Nadji et al., 2005) oxidative, (El Mansouri et al., 2011) and reductive depolymerization (Doumel et al., 1988) and pyrolysis (Sigoillot et al., 2012) approaches. Most of these destructive approaches suffer from the drawbacks of high energy input, low yields and difficulty in product separation. To overcome these disadvantages, a viable strategy for lignin application might be to perform molecular reconstruction of high molecular weight, low reactivity lignin into moderate molecular weight (e.g. in the range of 1,000-2000 g/mol or lower), more reactive feedstocks through depolymerization then introducing curable functional groups for use in the production of various types of bio-materials. Since lignin contains abundant ether linkages and aliphatic and phenolic hydroxyl groups, depolymerized lignin of moderate molecular weight may directly replace petroleum-based polyether polyols in the synthesis of polyurethane (PU) materials and petroleum-derived phenol (or polyphenol) in the synthesis of phenol-formaldehyde (PF) resins (Mahmood et al., 2013; Liu and Wilson, 2013) or epoxy resins. (Zhang et al., 2011; Yuan et al., 2010) The hydroxyl groups can also undergo a variety of reactions such as oxypropylation (Cateto et al., 2009, Song et al., 2013; Chen and Falconer, 1994) for the synthesis of lignin-based polyols for use as surfactants and PU raw materials, grafting of amines for use as catalysts, (Mahmood et al., 2013) grafting of epichlorohydrin followed by reaction with diethanolamine for use as a surfactant for cement construction materials, and grafting of vinyl monomers for the synthesis of radical curable resins. The above proposed chemical reactions for lignin valorization are shown in Scheme 1.

The original molecular weights of KL and OL, generally >10,000 g/mol and >2,600 g/mol, respectively, are too high for the above applications. Fortunately, the relatively weaker C-O bonds connecting the phenyl-propanol monomers in lignin can be cleaved, resulting in smaller molecules. Fungal bio-depolymerization of lignin has also been investigated intensively, but these biological processes are slow and capital intensive. Hydrolytic depolymerization has shown some promise, but the process leads to low de-polymerized lignin (DL) yields. Recently, reductive depolymerization of lignin in the presence of hydrogen and metal catalysts, especially late 3d and 4d transition metals (Fe, Co, Ni, Cu, Ru, Rh, Pd, and Ag) has been studied (Jin et al., 2014). Laskar et al. (2014), used noble-metal (Pt, Ru, Rh) catalysts to hydroprocess lignin into aromatic hydrocarbons for fuel with about 50% yield.
To economically utilize lignin and build a sustainable bio-based economy, we are attempting to depolymerize lignin into oligomers of moderate molecular weight at a high yield and reduced sulfur content (sulfur is a detrimental element in fuel applications) by hydrotreatment under milder conditions.

Thus, in this work, several supported metal hydrogenation catalysts were investigated for the depolymerization and desulfurization of KL and OL under hydrogen atmospheres for applications such as fuel additives and intermediates for chemicals and materials.
3.2 Experimental

3.2.1 Materials

The organosolv lignin used in these experiments was provided by Lignol, Canada while the Kraft lignin was provided by FPInnovations, Canada. The activated carbon-supported Ru catalyst was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Hydrogen (99.99%) was purchased from Praxair. The solvents (acetone, reagent grade) and ethanol (denatured, reagent grade) were purchased from Caledon Canada. FHUDS-2 (NiMoW-based) catalyst was provided by SINOPEC Fushun Research Institute of Petroleum and Petrochemicals. The activated carbon- and γ-alumina-supported Ni catalysts and the γ-alumina-supported Ru catalyst were prepared by incipient impregnation. All the materials were used as received.

3.2.2 Experimental apparatus and procedure

Lignin depolymerization was conducted in a 500 mL Parr autoclave reactor. In a typical run, 30.0 g lignin, 1.5 g catalyst, and 120 g (150 mL) acetone were added to the reactor. The reactor was evacuated and purged with nitrogen twice, then evacuated and purged with hydrogen twice and finally pressurized with 100 bar hydrogen. After a leak check, the reactor was then heated under stirring to the set temperature (approximately 1 h) and the reaction was continued for 1 h after reaching the set temperature. After the set time had elapsed, the reactor was quenched by cooling the reaction mixture to room temperature by running water through the cooling coil in the reactor. After cooling, the pressure in the reactor was typically in the range of 50-55 bar, suggesting significant hydrogen consumption during the hydroprocessing process. The reaction mixture was rinsed from the reactor with acetone and filtered through a pre-weighed Whatman #5 filter paper to isolate the solid residue and spent catalyst. The solids were then dried in vacuum oven under 50 °C to remove volatile components. Solids yields were calculated by the mass difference between spent catalysts and catalyst loaded into the reactor. An aliquot of the filtrate was taken for GC-MS analysis. The remaining liquid was evaporated under reduced pressure in a rotary evaporator to remove the solvent and obtain depolymerized lignin (DKL and DOL) as the final product. The yields (wt.%) of depolymer-
ized lignin (DKL or DOL) and SR were calculated by weight of DKL, DOL and SR relative to the weight of KL or OL loaded.

3.2.3 Product Characterization

The relative molecular weights and their distributions of the original and de-polymerized lignin samples were measured with a Waters Breeze GPC-HPLC (gel permeation chromatography-high performance liquid chromatography) instrument (1525 binary pump, UV detector at 270 nm; Waters Styrylgel HR1 column at a column temperature of 40 °C) using THF as the eluant at a flow rate of 1 mL/min. Linear polystyrene standards were used to generate a calibration curve for molecular weight estimation. $^1$H NMR spectra were obtained on a 500 MHz Unity Inova NMR instrument at room temperature, wherein DMSO-d$_6$ was used as solvent. FT-IR spectra were collected on a Bruker Tensor 37 FTIR spectrophotometer in the range of 550-4000 cm$^{-1}$ with ATR accessory. The volatile components of the DOL and DAL were identified by GC-MS (HP 6890 GC and HP 5972 MS) using a silicon column with temperature programming from an initial temperature of 50 °C for 2 min hold at 10 °C/min to a final temperature of 280 °C for 2 min hold. Elemental analysis of CHNS (carbon, hydrogen, nitrogen, and sulfur) was conducted on a Flash EA 1112 Series elemental Analyzer. The BET surface area analysis was performed on a Micrometrics ASAP 2010 instrument. The samples were degassed at 150 °C until a stable static vacuum of less than 5×10$^{-3}$ Torr was achieved prior to analysis.

3.3 Results and discussion

3.3.1 Effect of catalyst

Ru/C (5%), Ru/Al$_2$O$_3$ (5%), Ni/Al$_2$O$_3$ (10%), Ni/C (10%), FHUDS-2 were used in catalyst screening test for the hydroprocessing of Kraft lignin (KL) and organosolv lignin (OL) with relative weight average molecular weights ($M_w$, all molecular weights were based on linear polystyrene standards) of 10,200 and 2,600 g/mol, respectively. The objective of the hydroprocessing was to depolymerize the lignin feedstocks into low molecular weight compounds
with high yields of products and low yields of solid residual. The results of the experiments are presented in Table 3.1.

Table 3.1 Catalyst screening

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feed</th>
<th>Catalyst</th>
<th>Catalyst (g)</th>
<th>DL (wt.%)</th>
<th>SR Yield (wt.%)</th>
<th>M_w (g/mol)</th>
<th>M_w/M_n</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>KL</td>
<td>Original KL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10,200</td>
<td>4.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KL</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
<td>70.3</td>
<td>28.0</td>
<td>21,400</td>
<td>4.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KL</td>
<td>Ru/C (5%)</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>95.2</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>5,300</td>
<td>4.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KL</td>
<td>Ru/C (5%)</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>94.7</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>5,200</td>
<td>3.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KL</td>
<td>Ru/Al₂O₃ (5%)</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>94.3</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>7,300</td>
<td>5.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KL</td>
<td>Ni/Al₂O₃ (10%)</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>94.5</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>8,210</td>
<td>5.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KL</td>
<td>Ni/C (10%)</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>95.0</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>6,860</td>
<td>4.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KL</td>
<td>FHUDS-2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>97.9</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>5,150</td>
<td>4.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OL</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
<td>102</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>6,910</td>
<td>5.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OL</td>
<td>Ru/C</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>96.9</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>1,470</td>
<td>2.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OL</td>
<td>Ru/C</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1,400</td>
<td>2.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OL*</td>
<td>Ru/C</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1,730</td>
<td>2.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OL</td>
<td>Ru/Al₂O₃</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>96.8</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2,460</td>
<td>4.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OL</td>
<td>Ni/C (10%)</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>98.8</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1,620</td>
<td>2.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OL</td>
<td>FHUDS-2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>98.6</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>1,480</td>
<td>3.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Ethanol as the solvent

The results for the depolymerization of AL and OL at 300 °C under 100 bar hydrogen using different catalysts are presented in Figures 3.1 and 3.2, showing the molecular weights (Figure 3.1) and yields of DKL, DOL and solid residual (SR) (Figure 3.2). The yields (wt.%) of depolymerized lignin (DAL and DOL) were calculated by dividing the weight of acetone-soluble products by the weight of lignin feed, multiplied by 100%. The yields of char (or solid residual) were calculated by dividing the increased weight of the spent catalyst by the
weight of lignin feed. It is obvious that the catalysts used played a key role in the depolymerization of both types of lignin. Without catalyst, after 1 h treatment under H₂ at 300 °C, the Mₜₜ of both types of lignin dramatically increased, being more than double of that of the original lignin. A possible mechanism for this increase in Mₜₜ is Fridel-Craft condensation between the aliphatic hydroxyl groups and the ortho positions of the phenolic rings in lignin under heating.

![Figure 3.1 Molecular weight of depolymerized lignin using different catalysts](image)

Reaction conditions: 30.0 g lignin, 120 g (150 mL) acetone, initial H₂ pressure 100 bar, reaction time 1 h at 300 °C, the amount of catalysts were 1.5 g except 3.0 g for FHUDS-2 (cheaper with lower metal contents). Catalysts named with percentage of metal on support

The yield of depolymerized KL (DKL) without catalyst was about 70 wt.% with 28 wt.% solid residue or char formation. This may be due to the higher initial Mₜₜ of the KL. Thus, when the molecular weight further increased through condensation, the solubility of the higher molecular weight compounds decreased causing some of the lignin precipitate, which greatly increased the local concentration of lignin and expedited condensation and the cross-linking reactions that promote char formation.
Figure 3.2 Yields of depolymerized product and solid residue for Kraft lignin (a) and organosolv lignin (b)

Reaction conditions: 30.0 g lignin, 120 g (150 mL) acetone, initial H₂ pressure 100 bar, reaction time 1 h at 300 °C, the amount of catalysts were 1.5 g except 3.0 g for FHUDS-2 (cheaper with lower metal contents). Catalysts named with percentage of metal on support.
Two competitive reactions occur in lignin in the presence of catalysts: condensation reactions which increase molecular weight and depolymerization reactions acting on the ether bonds which reduce molecular size. When an effective catalyst was used, depolymerization reactions were dominant, which allowed the depolymerized lignin to dissolve. Therefore all the catalysts gave very good yields of DKL, mostly over 95 wt.%. 

Since the \(M_W\) of OL was much lower to begin with, even without catalyst, the yield was still very high (close 100%). When Ru/C was used, the molecular weights were significantly reduced to 5,300 g/mol for KL and to 1,400 g/mol for OL. When Ru was supported on \(\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3\) (5%), catalyst effectiveness was much lower. One reason for this could be that the surface area of Ru/C (over 1200 m\(^2\)/g, as measured by BET) was several times higher than the surface area (230 m\(^2\)/g) of Ru/\(\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3\). This would increase the distribution of the Ru over the surface of the support, resulting in more active sites. Another reason could be due to the acidic properties of alumina which are known to promote condensation reactions. The Ni-based catalysts have proven to be effective catalysts for the hydrogenation of the ether bonds in lignin. However, even though the Ni/\(\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3\) (10%), and Ni/C (10%) also reduced the molecular weight of KL and OL, they were not as effective as Ru/C. FHUDS-2 is a NiMoW-based commercial hydrodesulfurization catalyst. When FHUDS-2 was used, the molecular weights of the lignins were reduced to 5,150 and 1,480 g/mol for DKL and DOL, respectively. Although surface area of the FHUDS-2 catalyst (220 m\(^2\)/g) was close to that of the alumina-supported Ru catalyst, its much higher activity indicates that the three metal combination of Ni, Mo, and W has a synergistic effect. Thus, among the catalysts tested, Ru/C and FHUDS-2 were chosen for further evaluation of reaction condition optimization.

### 3.3.2 Effect of catalyst loading

The results with 1.5 g and 3.0 g Ru/C catalyst (entry 3 and 4 in Table 3.1) at 300 °C for both DKL and DOL show that at a set reaction time, doubling the amount of catalyst used had little difference on the \(M_W\) of the DL products and only a small decrease in the amount of char (SR) produced with the DKL. Considering the cost of Ru/C catalyst, using a smaller amount of catalyst in the treatment is more economically viable.
3.3.3 Effect of reaction temperature

The temperature effect on KL and OL depolymerization using Ru/C as a catalyst for 1 h reaction was investigated. The yields of depolymerized product and solid residue are presented in Table 3.2 and Figure 3.3.

Table 3.2 Temperature effect on yield and $M_W$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lignin</th>
<th>Temp (°C)</th>
<th>Time (h)</th>
<th>DL yield (%)</th>
<th>Char (%)</th>
<th>$M_W$ (g/mol)</th>
<th>PDI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>KL</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>73.3</td>
<td>26.9</td>
<td>5530</td>
<td>3.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KL</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>91.4</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>5460</td>
<td>6.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KL</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>94.7</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>5260</td>
<td>4.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KL</td>
<td>325</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>97.3</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1980</td>
<td>4.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KL</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>97.7</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1020</td>
<td>2.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KL</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>97.2</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1570</td>
<td>2.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KL</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>97.7</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1020</td>
<td>2.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KL</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>95.4</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>966</td>
<td>2.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KL</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>96.1</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>890</td>
<td>2.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OL</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>96.8</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>1970</td>
<td>2.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OL</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>97.3</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1630</td>
<td>2.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OL</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1400</td>
<td>2.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OL</td>
<td>325</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>99.7</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1320</td>
<td>2.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OL</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>98.0</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>850</td>
<td>2.28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

At 250 °C, with Ru/C catalyst, the yield of soluble DKL product was only about 73 wt.% with 27 wt.% solid residue (SR), similar with the results without catalyst at 300 °C, indicating that catalyst activity is not very high at 250 °C. As the reaction temperature was increased to 275 °C the DKL yield improved to greater than 95 wt.%, likely due to increasing lignin solubility and depolymerization reactions counteracting the cross-linking reactions evidenced at 250 °C. The yields of char for OL were much lower than those of KL, likely because the initial $M_W$ of OL was lower and the fact that OL is more soluble in acetone than the KL, therefore the char formation for OL is much less severe.
The effects of temperature on the Mw’s of DKL and DOL using Ru/C catalyst are presented on Figure 3.4. The results show that temperature plays a more important role in product molecular weight than product yields. The Mw’s of the DOL decreased monotonically from 1,970 to 850 g/mol over a temperature range of 250 to 350 °C without drastic change due to the initial low Mw of the OL. In contrast, the Mw’s of the DKL decreased slightly from 5,530 g/mol at 250 °C to 5,260 g/mol at 300 °C. However, at 325 °C the MW of the DKL decreased dramatically to 1,980 g/mol and reached 1,020 g/mol at 350 °C. As mentioned previously, two main reactions are involved in the process. The energy barrier for condensation reactions is lower than for the depolymerization reactions. Therefore, at a lower temperatures, condensation reactions are dominant leading to products with an increased molecular weight. In contrast, at higher temperatures, condensation reactions are energetically unfavourable since they result in the joining of two large molecules together. In addition, the breaking of ether bonds which results in smaller molecules is favoured at higher temperatures leading to greater conversions. Thus it is possible that 300 °C represents the energy barrier (activation energy) required for ether bond cleavage to occur.
Figure 3.4 Effect of temperature on DKL and DOL MW using Ru catalyst

Reaction condition: 30.0 g lignin, 120 g (150 mL) acetone, 1.5 g Ru/C, initial H₂ pressure 100 bar, reaction time 1 h.

During heating, the reactor pressure was found to increase quickly between 250-300 °C, but the pressure increase slowed at temperatures above 300 °C, suggesting that significant hydrogen consumption was occurring. Thus, the rate of hydrogenation increased slowly at temperatures up to 300 °C and but more rapidly above 300 °C, as evidenced by the decreased Mₘₙ’s of DKL and DOL in Figure 3.4 as the reaction temperature increased above 300 °C.

The temperature effects for FHUDS-2 catalyst were also investigated from 300 °C to 350 °C. The MW’s of DKL and DOL using this catalyst are shown in Figure 3.5. The MW’s of DKL drastically decreased from 5,150 g/mol at 300 °C to 2,170 and 1,150 g/mol at 325 °C and 350 °C, respectively. Similarly, though not as great, the Mw’s of DOL decreased from 2,200 g/mol at 300 °C to 1,980 and 1,020 g/mol at 325 and 350 °C, respectively. The product yields were all greater than 95 wt.% and SR yields were all less than 2 wt.%. 
3.3.4 Effect of reaction time

The effect of reaction time on product molecular weight was also investigated for the depolymerization of KL using Ru/C as catalyst at 350 °C. Figure 3.6 shows that there was a significant decrease in molecular weight (from 1,570 g/mol to 1,020 g/mol) as reaction time was increased from 0.5 h to 1 h. As the reaction time was increased to 2 h and then 3 h, the molecular weight continued to decrease (to 966 and 890 g/mol, respectively), but the effect was not as great as was seen earlier. Comparing these results with the effect of temperature, it seems that under these conditions there is a limit of around 900 g/mol, beyond which lignin cannot be depolymerized under the conditions used here. When the depolymerization reached a molecular weight of 1,000 g/mol, whether increasing reaction temperature or reaction time, the molecular weight cannot be further reduced. This phenomenon was also found in previous work for catalytic lignin depolymerization in water solution either in the presence of...
phenol as capping agent or without capping agent. This is probably because the hydrodeoxygenation reactions occur mostly on the ether linkages, especially β-O-4 structures (Chakar and Ragauskas, 2004). Once these linkages are broken, further reduction in molecular weight requires the breaking of more resistant chemical bonds.

![Figure 3.6 Effect of reaction time on DKL MW](image)

Reaction conditions: Ru/C catalyst, 350 °C, 100 bar H₂

### 3.3.5 Effect of solvent

In addition to acetone, ethanol was also tested for OL hydroprocessing. The results as seen in Table 3.1 above showed that ethanol was not as effective a solvent as acetone, producing lower yields of higher Mₖ products. This is likely due to the OL lignin being less soluble in ethanol as compared to acetone as well as the possibility of the OH groups of the solvent participating in condensation reactions with the OL.

### 3.4 Product characterization

The relative molecular weights of original KL and OL as well as product DKLs and DOLs were measured by gel permeation chromatography (GPC). The GPC curves for KL and OL...
depolymerization with Ru/C catalyst at different treatment conditions are shown in Figures 3.7 and 3.8.

Figure 3.7 GPC curves of the original and depolymerized lignin products from KL

Figure 3.8 GPC curves of the original and depolymerized lignin products from OL

Reaction conditions: 30.0 g lignin, 120 g (150 mL) acetone, initial H₂ pressure 100 bar, 1.5 g 5%Ru/C catalyst.
It can be seen that a new peak at high molecular weight region (low elution volume) appears for the un-catalyzed products of both of DKL and DOL because of condensation reactions. The GPC curves also give a clear illustration of the temperature effects on lignin depolymerization. A new peak in the high molecular region for both DKL and DOL appears on the curves for lignin depolymerization at 250 °C and the peak intensity becomes weaker at a higher temperature and disappears in DOL at 300 °C and in DKL at 350 °C. This again can be explained by lower temperatures favouring condensation reactions while higher temperatures favour depolymerization reactions.

The volatile components (yields below 2% by difference through subtracting 100% with the yield of DL and solid residue) of the reaction mixture were analyzed by GC-MS. The identified compounds are mainly substituted phenolic compounds and aromatic hydrocarbons.

A comparison of the IR spectra of the KL feed and DKL are presented in Figure 3.9. As expected, the spectra are very similar except for relatively stronger absorption in the OH region for the DKL which may attributed to the newly generated OH group from hydrogenation of the ether linkages in the lignin. Similar observations were obtained for the OL and DOL.

![Figure 3.9 IR spectra of KL and DKL](image_url)
Since lignin has large numbers of OH groups it is a potential feedstock for replacing petroleum-based bisphenol A in the synthesis of epoxy resin and a potential polyol substitute in the synthesis of polyurethane materials. Phenolic hydroxyl groups are more active in the synthesis of epoxy resin while aliphatic hydroxyl groups are more reactive in the synthesis of polyurethane. Furthermore, phenolic hydroxyl groups can easily be modified to aliphatic hydroxyl groups, for use in PU synthesis for example, by oxypropylation using propylene oxide. The average number of hydroxyl groups per lignin monomer unit (supposing the unit molecular weight is 180 g/mol) can be estimated by 1H-NMR (Figure 3.10) through acetylation with acetic anhydride in the presence of pyridine. Dibromomethane was used as an internal standard for the quantification.

![NMR spectrum of acetylated DKL](image)

Figure 3.10 NMR spectrum of acetylated DKL

The chemical shifts of the methyl groups on the aliphatic hydroxyl acetyl esters and phenolic hydroxyl acetyl esters appear at 1.6-2.2 and 2.2-2.6 ppm, respectively. The methyl group of methyl-aromatic ethers appears at 3.7 ppm. Table 3.3 shows the estimated number of OH groups per lignin unit for DKL hydروprocessed with FHUDS-2 catalyst at 300 °C and 350 °C for 1h. The average aliphatic and aromatic (phenolic) hydroxyl groups per lignin unit changed from 1.16 and 0.81 of original KL to 0.77 and 0.91 for DKL of 300 °C and 0.54 and 0.99 for DKL of 350 °C. There was almost no change in the number of methyl phenyl ether bonds. The increased phenolic OH was from the cleavage of β-O-4 ether linkage producing a phenolic OH and an alkane, which is the main reaction of lignin depolymerization. The decrease in aliphatic OH groups indicates that dehydration (hydrodeoxygenation) of the aliph-
Phatic OH groups is easier than for phenolic OH groups, which was the main contribution to the overall decrease in oxygen content. This is because phenolic C-OH bonds, with carbon sp² hybridization, are much stronger than aliphatic C-OH bonds.

Table 3.3 KL and DKL hydroxyl groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>OH group/lignin unit</th>
<th>Aliphatic</th>
<th>Aromatic</th>
<th>OMe/lignin unit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>KL</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DKL, 300 °C</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DKL, 350 °C</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Lignin is viewed as low energy content fuel due to high oxygen content. KL, incorporating sulfur from the pulping process, is even more unsuitable as a fuel. One of the purposes of lignin hydroprocessing is to reduce oxygen and sulfur contents for further upgrading to fuel. Table 3.4 shows the results of elemental analysis for KL and DKL treated with Ru/C and FHUDS-2 catalysts at 300 °C and 350 °C for 1 h. After depolymerization, oxygen contents were reduced by 20-30% for both OL and KL. The sulfur contents of the KL were reduced by 92-96%. Deoxygenation was achieved through dehydration of the hydroxyl groups present. Desulfurization was achieved by rupturing C-S bond to produce hydrogen sulfide and other sulfur compounds which was evidenced by the foul smell of the resulting reaction mixture. As a desulfurization catalyst, FHUDS-2 is also effective in deoxygenation reactions. As a hydrogenation catalyst, Ru/C also has very good activity in deoxygenation and desulfurization. It is interesting to observe that the sulfur in KL did not appear to poison the Ru/C catalyst. The spent Ru/C and FHUDS-2 catalysts could be reused at least two times without obvious decrease their catalytic activity.
Table 3.4 Elemental analysis (CHNSO) of KL and DKL

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>C</th>
<th>H</th>
<th>S</th>
<th>O*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>KL</td>
<td>64.1</td>
<td>5.60</td>
<td>4.40</td>
<td>25.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ru/C, 300 °C</td>
<td>71.6</td>
<td>7.13</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>20.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ru/C, 350 °C</td>
<td>74.1</td>
<td>7.08</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>18.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FHUDS-2, 300 °C</td>
<td>73.4</td>
<td>7.09</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>19.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FHUDS-2, 350 °C</td>
<td>74.5</td>
<td>7.24</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>18.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reaction conditions: 30.0 g lignin, 1.5 g catalyst, initial H\(_2\) pressure 100 bar, 120 g acetone.

* determined by mass difference

3.5 Conclusions

All of the catalysts tested were effective in depolymerizing the lignin feedstocks, however, the alumina-supported catalysts and the carbon-supported Ni catalyst did not perform as well as the carbon-supported Ru and FHUDS-2 catalysts. The molecular weights of the depolymerized lignins using these last two catalysts were markedly lower than the lignin feeds (~1,000 vs. 2,600 and 10,000 g/mol). It should be noted that the molecular weight of organosolv lignin decreased monotonically with increased temperature but for Kraft lignin, temperatures greater than 300 °C were required to materially decrease molecular weight. In addition, the sulfur contents of the depolymerized Kraft lignins were drastically reduced (by 92-96%), although the foul odour of the products indicated that the organosulfur compounds remaining present an issue even at low concentrations. Given the effectiveness of sulfur removal, it is somewhat surprising that these catalysts were not particularly effective in deoxygenating the lignin (reduction of 20-30%). This is perhaps due to the strength of C-O bonds (358 kJ/mol) relative to C-S bonds (272 kJ/mol) in addition to difference in bond strength between aromatic and aliphatic C-OH bonds.
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Chapter 4

4 Catalyst screening for the hydrotreatment of lignin using guaiacol as a model compound

4.1 Introduction

The depletion of fossil fuel reserves coupled with increased consumption from rising economies such as China and India in the 21st century, has intensified the interest in the production of chemicals and fuels from alternative resources. Biomass, such as agricultural and forestry wastes, is generally regarded as the most feasible alternative as it is widely available, renewable and generally carbon-neutral.

Lignin is the second most abundant naturally synthesized polymer after cellulose and comprises 25-40% of dry wood and crop stems. (Tejado et al., 2007) In addition, it is the most abundant natural source of aromatic compounds. About 50 million tons of Kraft lignin (KL) in the form of black liquor is generated annually as a by-product in the pulp and paper industry where it has historically been viewed as a waste material or a low value by-product. Consequently, it has been predominantly used as a low-energy content fuel in the recovery boilers of pulp/paper mills. However, the recovery boilers represent a bottleneck in the many pulping plants in North America.

In addition to Kraft lignin, due to the recent increase and projected growth of bio-ethanol production, it is expected that large quantities of organosolv lignin (OL) and hydrolysis lignin (HL), as by-products of pre-treatment processes in these cellulosic ethanol plants, will become available in the near future.

As an amorphous natural polymer of substituted propyl-phenols, lignin contains many polar hydroxyl groups, making it incompatible with most synthetic polymers due to its high polarity and broad glass transition temperature. (Chakar and Ragauskas, 2004) Since lignin contains abundant ether (e.g. β-O-4) linkages and aliphatic and phenolic hydroxyl groups, lignin depolymerization products of moderate molecular weight could be good candidates for raw materials to replace petroleum-based polyether polyols for the synthesis of polyurethane (PU) materials and replace petroleum phenol for the synthesis of phenol-formaldehyde (PF)
Consequently, most of the research on the application of lignin is concentrated on converting lignin into chemicals and fuels via hydrolytic, oxidative and reductive depolymerization and pyrolysis. Most of these destructive methods suffer from the drawbacks of high energy input, low yields and difficulty in product separation. Fungal biodegradation of lignin has been intensively investigated, (Zhang et al., 2011) but this process is slow and time consuming. Hydrolytic depolymerization has also been investigated, (Yuan et al., 2010) but the yield is usually very low. To overcome these disadvantages, a more viable strategy might be moderate depolymerization of lignin under mild conditions to convert the lignin to oxygenated fuel additives and feedstock for various types of bio-materials.

As evidenced by the previous chapter (Chapter 3), lignin in both its untreated form and the depolymerized lignins (DL) derived from the hydrotreatment of lignin, are still highly branched structures with intermediate molecular weight ($M_w \approx 1000$-$3000$) and abundant oxygen in the form of hydroxyl and ether bonds. Thus to make them useful sources for fuels and chemicals, further reduction of MW and oxygen content, through catalytic hydroprocessing or hydrodeoxygenation, is needed.

The majority of the catalysts studied for the hydrotreatment of both lignin and biomass-derived bio-oils, and subsequently lignin model compounds, have been either noble metals (e.g. Pt, Pd, Ru etc.) or Co- or Ni-promoted Mo sulfide catalysts borrowed from petroleum processing operations. (Zakzeski et al., 2010) Ru catalysts, in particular, have been shown to be very active in the hydrodeoxygenation and hydrogenation of model compounds (e.g. phenol) as well as bio-oils. (Elliott and Hart, 2009; Gutierrez et al., 2009; Lee et al., 2012; Chang et al., 2013) Mo has been the focus of much study, perhaps due to its use in petroleum hydrotreating operations. Although a few studies have investigated Mo in its reduced state as well as in oxide and even nitride forms, it has been mostly used in a sulfided stated and in combination with Co or Ni and typically supported on alumina. (Senol et al., 2007; Romero et al., 2010; Saidi et al., 2014) More recently, reductive depolymerization of lignin in the presence of hydrogen and metal catalysts especially late 3d and 4d transition metals (Fe, Co, Ni, Cu, Ru, Rh, Pd, and Ag) has been proposed. (Cateto et al., 2009; Li et al., 2011; Zhao et
A review of the literature also reveals that mixed noble metal-transition metal catalysts (e.g. Ru-Co, Rh-Cu and Rh-Ag) have been used, although not in the hydrotreatment of bio-oils. (Rouco and Haller, 1981; Zauwen et al., 1989; Moura et al., 2012)

Although alumina-supported catalysts are active in HDO reactions and catalyze methyl group transfer,(Gutierrez et al., 2009) they also increase catalyst deactivation by promoting the formation and deposition of coke on the catalyst surface.(Centeno et al., 1995; Prochazkova et al., 2007; Elliott and Hart, 2009; Wildschutt et al., 2009; Lin et al., 2011) Centeno et al. (1995) proposed that it is the weak Lewis acid sites present in the alumina that promote the condensation reactions leading to coke formation. This effect was further seen in a comparison of Pt loaded onto alumina and acidified zeolite by Nimmanwudipong et al. (2011) who also found that the acidified support limited oxygen removal.

In order to avoid this phenomenon, researchers have investigated less-acidic support materials such as activated carbon and SiO2 (Furimsky and Massoth, 1999; Reddy and Khan, 2005; Kersten et al., 2007) as well as other less common supports e.g. ZrO2 and MgO.(Senol et al., 2007; Bui et al., 2011) Yang et al. (2014) found that using carbon-supported catalysts resulted in yields equivalent to those of alumina-supported catalysts, but with lower proportions of oxygenated compounds.

The use of model compounds allows for the rapid determination of catalyst effectiveness. Most studies investigating lignin have used phenol as a model compound, (Popov et al., 2011; Gandarias et al., 2008) however the results of these studies may not be representative of lignin as a whole due to the presence of only one reactive oxygen group in phenol. In contrast, lignin contains much oxygen and research has shown that di-oxygenates such as guaiacol are more likely to undergo condensation reactions leading to coke formation and catalyst deactivation. (Asmadi et al., 2011)

Therefore the performance of the metal catalysts varies significantly with the metal species and the support material used (Cateto et al., 2009), as was evidenced in our previous chapter, and lignin hydroprocessing catalysts in particular need to be optimized in terms of their effectiveness in reduction of molecular weight and oxygen contents.
Thus, in this work, the hydrotreatment of guaiacol was investigated in the presence of several carbon-supported noble metal, transition metal and mixed noble metal-transition metal catalysts under hydrogen atmospheres for applications such as fuel additives and intermediates for chemicals and materials.

4.2 Experimental

4.2.1 Materials

Guaiacol, purchased from Sigma Aldrich, was used as a model compound for lignin or depolymerized lignin or lignin-derived bio-oil and was used as received. The ruthenium and molybdenum compounds, i.e. ruthenium (III) nitrosyl nitrate solution (Ru(NO)(NO$_3$)$_3$) and ammonium molybdate tetrahydrate ((NH$_4$)$_6$Mo$_7$O$_{24}$$\cdot$4H$_2$O), and phosphoric acid were ACS reagent grade and purchased from Sigma Aldrich as well. The solvents (e.g., methanol, acetone, etc.) used in the experiments were reagent grade and purchased from Canadawide Scientific. Activated carbon (AC) used as reference catalyst support and Ru/C catalyst used in this study as a reference catalyst were both purchased from Sigma-Aldrich and used as received.

For comparison, an in-house prepared biomass-derived activated charcoal (denoted as BAC-P) was prepared according to the following procedure: White pine sawdust was first suspended in distilled water with 2 wt.% phosphorus added as phosphoric acid. The suspension was stirred for 24 h after which the treated sawdust was dewatered and dried in an oven at 105 °C. After drying, the sawdust was carbonized in a muffle furnace at 550°C for 30 min and immediately placed in a desiccator under nitrogen to cool.

The other carbon-supported metal catalysts were prepared in-house by incipient wetness impregnation using AC or BAC-P as supports, ruthenium(III) nitrosyl nitrate solution (Ru(NO)(NO$_3$)$_3$) and ammonium molybdate tetrahydrate ((NH$_4$)$_6$Mo$_7$O$_{24}$$\cdot$4H$_2$O), as follows. The required amounts of the ruthenium compound and/or molybdenum salt dissolved in distilled water were added to a suspension of a carbon support in a 50% solution of methanol and distilled water. The mixture was agitated for more than 12 h and then dewatered by evaporation under vacuum. The dewatered catalysts were dried in air at 105 °C overnight and
cooled before storage. The catalysts were reduced at 550 °C for 5 h under 50 mL/min hydrogen. The cooled reduced catalysts were passivated by immersion in methanol before drying and storage. The following carbon-supported catalysts were prepared and tested in this study: Ru/C (reference catalyst), Ru/C(R) that was reduced under hydrogen at 550 °C for 5 h, Ru/BAC-P, Ru/AC, MoRu/AC, Mo/AC, and MoRu/BAC-P. The metal loading values (wt.%) in all catalysts, as well as their textural properties, are presented in Table 4.1. All of the catalysts were tested in the raw state without prior calcination or reduction, unless stated otherwise.

4.2.2 Experimental apparatus and procedure

The experiments were carried out batch-wise in a mini-reactor constructed from SS 316L stainless steel consisting of 5/8 inch Swagelok capped tubing, with an effective volume of ~12 mL. The guaiacol substrate and catalyst were added to the reactor which was then sealed. The air in the headspace was purged by repeated vacuuming and filling with high-purity nitrogen. After purging, the reactor was filled with 9 MPa high-purity hydrogen. The filled reactor was immersed in a fluidized sand bath set to the reaction temperature, which enabled rapid heating of the reactor to the specified reaction temperature. The reactor was affixed to a shaker arm operating at ~120 Hz to provide agitation. After the required reaction time had elapsed the reactor was removed from the sand bath and cooled in water to quench the reaction. After cooling, the gases in the reactor were collected in a sampling cylinder with a volume of 2.8 L. In order to facilitate micro-GC analysis, the pressure in the cylinder was brought to 1.2 bar with the injection of high purity nitrogen. The reactor contents were decanted from the reactor and combined with acetone wash solvent used to recover any catalyst and product remaining in the reactor. The catalyst and any solid products were separated from the liquid products by vacuum filtration through a Whatman #5 filter paper. The total mass of the filtrate was recorded and weighed samples were taken for later analysis. Each experiment was performed a minimum of two times to reduce the experimental error to ±5%.

Gas product composition was measured with Agilent 3000 Micro-GC equipped with dual (Molecular Sieve and PLOT-Q) columns and thermal conductivity detectors. The system enabled analysis of gas species up to C3, including O2, N2, H2, CO, CO2, CH4, C2H4, C2H6, C3H8, and C3H6. The concentration of the major liquid products (methanol, cyclohexane,
phenol, benzene and methyl phenol) was analyzed by GC/FID (Shimadzu GCMS-QP2010 plus, equipped with an auto sampler/injector) using a 30 m × 0.25 mm × 0.25 μm RTX-1701 column with a temperature program as follows: hold at 45 °C for 3 min followed by a 5 °C/min ramp to 220 °C followed by a 30 °C/min ramp to 250 °C with a 3 min hold. The peaks areas were integrated and compared to calibration curves constructed by the injection of standards of known concentrations. The XRD analyses were performed using a PANalytical X’Pert PRO X-ray diffractometer using Cu-Kα radiation with a wavelength of 1.54187 Å. The thermal gravimetric analysis was performed using a TA Instruments TGA 2050 thermogravimetric analyzer. Approximately 10 mg of sample was placed in a platinum pan and heated to 900 °C at 10 °C/min in a flow of 50 mL/min air.

4.3 Results and Discussion

4.3.1 Fresh catalyst characterization

The textural analysis of the fresh catalysts used in this study is presented in Table 4.1. The two carbon support materials without metals loaded (AC and BAC-P) are presented for comparison. The BET specific surface areas, total pore volume and volumes of micro/meso-pores of the supported metal catalysts are generally lower than the respective support material likely due to the deposition of metal cations inside the pores. The BAC-P-supported catalysts exhibit higher surface area, which was expected given the greater surface area of the support material to begin with. The BAC-P also had a smaller average pore diameter due to a greater number of micropores (i.e. pores <2 nm). This is evident in the difference in the volume of the micropores (0.392 cm³) as compared to the volume of mesopores (0.236 cm³) which have a diameter of 2-50 nm.
Table 4.1 Textural analysis of fresh catalysts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Catalyst</th>
<th>Metal (wt.%)</th>
<th>BET S. Area (m²/g)</th>
<th>Tot. Pore Vol. (cm³)</th>
<th>Vol. of pores &lt;2 nm (cm³)</th>
<th>Vol. of pores 2-50 nm (cm³)</th>
<th>Avg. Pore Dia. (nm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ru/C</td>
<td>Mo 5, Ru</td>
<td>837</td>
<td>0.691</td>
<td>0.190</td>
<td>0.423</td>
<td>3.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ru/C (R)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>881</td>
<td>0.725</td>
<td>0.180</td>
<td>0.462</td>
<td>3.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ru/BAC-P</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1127</td>
<td>0.537</td>
<td>0.355</td>
<td>0.181</td>
<td>1.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ru/AC</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>861</td>
<td>0.677</td>
<td>0.206</td>
<td>0.387</td>
<td>3.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MoRu/AC</td>
<td>1 5</td>
<td>683</td>
<td>0.569</td>
<td>0.143</td>
<td>0.348</td>
<td>3.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MoRu/BAC-P</td>
<td>1 5</td>
<td>1035</td>
<td>0.551</td>
<td>0.337</td>
<td>0.203</td>
<td>1.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mo/AC</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>678</td>
<td>0.546</td>
<td>0.127</td>
<td>0.384</td>
<td>3.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AC support</td>
<td></td>
<td>977</td>
<td>0.749</td>
<td>0.224</td>
<td>0.428</td>
<td>3.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAC-P support</td>
<td></td>
<td>1303</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.392</td>
<td>0.236</td>
<td>1.90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.3.2 Catalyst screening

Hydroprocessing of lignin or depolymerized lignin was investigated using neat guaiacol as a model compound in order to screen the performance of various carbon-supported catalysts. These new catalysts were tested in comparison to the commercial catalyst (Ru/C catalyst from Sigma Aldrich) that has been widely employed in literature.

The standard testing conditions for these runs were: 3 g guaiacol, 0.6 g catalyst (20 wt.% catalyst loading), 9 MPa cold hydrogen pressure, 350°C, 2 h reaction time. Two different carbon supports were tested relative to the reference catalyst - commercially available; activated carbon (AC) and a biomass-derived activated charcoal (BAC-P) prepared in-lab from sawdust and activated using H₃PO₄ prior to carbonization. The AC and BAC-P has a specific surface area of 975 m²/g and 1300 m²/g, respectively, as shown in Table 4.1. Ru is a very expensive catalyst metal, thus to investigate the effectiveness of cheaper catalysts, Mo was used as a co-catalyst with Ru or as a substitute for Ru in this study. Guaiacol conversion was calculated by determining the concentration of the feedstock in the solution obtained after rinsing the reactor. This value was compared to the concentration of the guaiacol loaded into the reactor. These results are presented in Figure 4.1. As is evident, the Ru/BAC-P catalyst resulted in much higher guaiacol conversion (almost 17 % greater) than the reference catalyst (Ru/C), while the Ru/AC resulted in very similar guaiacol conversion (approx. 70 %) to that of the reference catalyst.
The addition of 1 wt.% Mo to the Ru/AC catalyst as a co-catalyst was also found to drastically increase guaiacol conversion by 23% attaining 92% conversion as compared to the ~70% conversion achieved with Ru/C. In contrast to these results, adding 1 wt.% Mo to the Ru/BAC-P catalyst did not further affect guaiacol conversion perhaps because the guaiacol conversion with the Ru/BAC-P catalyst was already very high (84.1%). The Mo/AC catalyst led to similar guaiacol conversion as compared to the 5% Ru/BAC-P catalyst despite the fact that the former catalyst exhibited a lower surface area (743 m²/g vs. 1127 m²/g).

Figure 4.1 Conversion of guaiacol during hydroprocessing at 9 MPa cold hydrogen pressure, 350 °C, and 2 h reaction time

The performance of various carbon-supported catalysts is shown in Table 4.2.
Table 4.2 Guaiacol conversion and product yields from the guaiacol hydropo

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Blank</th>
<th>Ru/C</th>
<th>Ru/C (R)</th>
<th>Ru/BAC-P</th>
<th>Ru/AC</th>
<th>MoRu/AC</th>
<th>Mo/AC</th>
<th>MoRu/BAC-P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GUA Conversion (%)</td>
<td>23.3 ±1.4</td>
<td>69.7 ±3.4</td>
<td>74.9 ±1.2</td>
<td>84.1 ±2.6</td>
<td>69.4 ±2.5</td>
<td>92.4 ±2.4</td>
<td>92.0 ±2.8</td>
<td>84.0 ±2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coke yield (wt.%)</td>
<td>0.133</td>
<td>0.851</td>
<td>0.731</td>
<td>1.61</td>
<td>0.263</td>
<td>0.692</td>
<td>0.977</td>
<td>1.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>±0.052</td>
<td>±0.18</td>
<td>±0.18</td>
<td>±0.21</td>
<td>±0.068</td>
<td>±0.11</td>
<td>±0.20</td>
<td>±0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gas yield (wt.%)</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>14.83</td>
<td>15.37</td>
<td>8.89</td>
<td>13.94</td>
<td>12.82</td>
<td>1.58</td>
<td>6.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>±0.15</td>
<td>±0.66</td>
<td>±0.78</td>
<td>±0.39</td>
<td>±0.68</td>
<td>±0.39</td>
<td>±0.13</td>
<td>±0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methanol</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>8.67</td>
<td>12.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyclohexane</td>
<td>n/d</td>
<td>2.99</td>
<td>24.2</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>8.86</td>
<td>6.59</td>
<td>n/d</td>
<td>n/d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzene</td>
<td>n/d</td>
<td>1.97</td>
<td>2.28</td>
<td>6.57</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>8.93</td>
<td>n/d</td>
<td>11.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phenol</td>
<td>6.03</td>
<td>15.1</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>34.4</td>
<td>24.9</td>
<td>21.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methyl Phenol</td>
<td>3.07</td>
<td>3.43</td>
<td>3.06</td>
<td>3.86</td>
<td>4.30</td>
<td>3.17</td>
<td>7.48</td>
<td>5.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unidentified</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>26.6</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>31.3</td>
<td>18.82</td>
<td>16.87</td>
<td>44.9</td>
<td>25.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H₂ Consumed (mol/kg GUA)</td>
<td>2.0 ±0.42</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>5.5 ±0.53</td>
<td>9.7 ±0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>±1.05</td>
<td>±0.60</td>
<td>±0.71</td>
<td>±0.89</td>
<td>±0.86</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As can be seen, the main upgraded products from guaiacol are cyclohexane, benzene, phenol, methyl phenol and methanol as well as significant yield of unidentified compounds (ranging from 16-45% depending on the type of catalyst). The compounds identified are expected products of guaiacol deoxygenation and hydrogenation. The cumulative products that are listed as unidentified were not completely unknown, rather they were not definitively identified at >85% confidence using the GC/MS database.

The Ru/BAC-P catalyst significantly increased benzene yield while greatly decreasing cyclohexane yield relative to the Ru/C (reference catalyst) indicating that it was more active in deoxygenation as compared to hydrogenation. In contrast, even though the conversion of guaiacol in the presence of the Ru/AC catalyst was the same as for the reference catalyst, it resulted in significantly increased cyclohexane yield, accompanied with a decrease in benzene yield relative to the Ru/C, suggesting better activity of Ru/AC catalyst for hydrogenation, possibly due to the age of the catalyst. Partial reduction of Ru/C resulted in markedly higher cyclohexane and a significant drop in methanol yield. Thus, pre-reduction of the sup-
ported metal catalyst appears to improve its activity for hydrogenation rather than deoxygenation. The addition of Mo to the Ru/AC catalyst and Ru/BAC-P catalyst resulted in much higher benzene and phenol yields but a markedly decreased yield of cyclohexane. Thus, the addition of Mo co-catalyst enhances the hydrodeoxygenation activity of Ru catalyst rather than hydrogenation activity. In contrast, the Mo/AC catalyst produced mostly phenol and methyl phenol with no benzene or cyclohexane. This indicates that the Mo in very effective at oxygen removal, but only via scission of the aryl-O ether bond. Evidence of this can be seen in the increased phenol yields seen with the other two Mo-containing catalysts.

The above results suggest that the type of carbon support and the catalyst metals used exhibit significant but complex effects on both guaiacol conversion and product yields. As a general summary, catalyst reduction enhanced guaiacol conversion and markedly increased the catalyst’s hydrogenation effects (leading to a higher cyclohexane yield) while addition of Mo to Ru catalysts generally increased the guaiacol conversion and more evidently enhanced guaiacol hydrodeoxygenation effects (producing more benzene and phenol compounds), rather than hydrogenation activity. To the best of the author’s knowledge, the use of Mo-doped Ru catalysts has not been reported in the literature.

The cumulative molar yields of the liquid products resulting from the hydroprocessing of guaiacol are presented in Figure 4.2. The most striking result is that the Mo and Mo-doped Ru catalysts exhibit the equivalent or higher conversions of guaiacol than the best Ru catalyst as seen by how little unreacted guaiacol was detected by GC analysis.
Figure 4.2 Molar yield of the liquid products after guaiacol hydroprocessing at 9 MPa cold hydrogen pressure, 350°C, and 2 h reaction time

Micro-GC gas analysis of the products showed that the major gaseous products of guaiacol hydroprocessing are CH₄ and CO₂ with much smaller quantities of, in order of decreasing prevalence, C₂H₆, CO, C₃ and C₂H₄ gases. The molar yields of the main gas species and total molar C yield in gas from the guaiacol hydroprocessing experiments (2 h, 350°C with neat guaiacol, 9 MPa H₂) are presented in Table 4.3. Generally CH₄ was present in greater amount than CO₂ (mol ratio in the range of 0.92-2.0)
Table 4.3 Molar yields of main gas species and total molar C yield in gas from the guaiacol hydroprocessing experiments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Blank</th>
<th>Ru/C</th>
<th>Ru/C (R)</th>
<th>Ru/AC BAC-P</th>
<th>Ru/AC AC</th>
<th>MoRu/AC</th>
<th>Mo/AC</th>
<th>MoRu/ BAC-P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gas yield (wt.%)</td>
<td>1.23 ± 0.15</td>
<td>13.9 ± 0.68</td>
<td>14.8 ± 0.66</td>
<td>15.4 ± 0.78</td>
<td>8.89 ± 0.39</td>
<td>12.8 ± 0.39</td>
<td>1.58 ± 0.13</td>
<td>6.60 ± 0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Molar yields of gas species (mol/kg GUA)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH₄</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>0.0011</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO₂</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>0.095</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C₂H₆</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C₃H₆</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total molar C yield in gas (%)</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Hydrogen consumption values during guaiacol hydroprocessing under 9 MPa cold hydrogen pressure, 350°C, and 2 h reaction are presented in Figure 4.3. As clearly shown in this Figure, the hydrogen consumption was almost constant around 10 mol H₂/kg-GUA for all of the catalysts except for Mo/AC which consumed about half as much hydrogen (5.5 mol H₂/kg-GUA) and the blank which consumed 2 mol H₂/kg-GUA. This result is not unexpected as Ru is known to be a hydrogenation catalyst. The lack of hydrogenation activity, as seen in the absence of cyclohexane in the products of guaiacol hydroprocessing with the Mo/AC catalyst, is in agreement with this finding.
Figure 4.3 Hydrogen consumption during guaiacol hydroprocessing at 9 MPa cold hydrogen pressure, 350°C, and 2 h reaction time

The combined analyses of the solid, liquid and gaseous products allowed for determination of carbon balances for the catalysts tested. The mass of carbon in the solids was approximately calculated by assuming that all of the increase in mass of the catalyst was due to the deposition of pure carbon. The carbon present in the gases could be calculated by the ideal gas law, as the volume (2.8 L), pressure (1.2 bar) and temperature (25 °C) of the sample cylinder were known. The carbon in the liquid products was calculated based on the concentration of the liquid products in the diluted product stream. The carbon in the unknowns was approximated assuming that they contain seven carbons per mole and exhibit a similar FID response factor to guaiacol. The sum of these values was compared to the amount of carbon in the guaiacol loaded into the reactor. The cumulative carbon balances are presented in Figure 4.4, which clearly shows that there was generally good carbon recovery in all tests (~84-99%).
4.3.3 Process Optimization

Given that it produced the highest guaiacol conversion, the MoRu/AC catalyst was initially chosen for the optimization study. However, for ease of catalyst preparation, this was changed to MoRu/C based on the similarity of the activity of the Ru/C and Ru/AC catalysts as discussed in the previous section. Thus, for simplicity 1 wt.% Mo was added to the commercially available Ru/C. After drying, the MoRu/C catalyst was reduced at 550°C for 4 h under flowing H₂ ~50 mL/min to maximize the availability of hydrogen for reaction with the guaiacol.

The variables for the optimization are: initial H₂ pressure, reaction time and reaction temperature. The initial H₂ pressures, 3, 6 and 9 MPa, were chosen to supply sub-, near- and
greater-than-stoichiometric hydrogen into the system. The different conditions were performed in a random order in order to avoid introducing a bias. GC/MS analyses from the first set of experiments completed indicated the presence of numerous compounds, but only a few (e.g. guaiacol, phenol, benzene) were identified with a high degree of confidence.

Figure 4.5 illustrates guaiacol conversion as a function of reaction time, temperature and initial H₂ pressure with MoRu/C catalyst. As can be clearly seen in the Figure, that at 30 min, guaiacol conversion ranges from a low of 46% at 3 MPa, 30 min, 300°C to as high as 98% at 9 MPa, 240 min, and 400°C. The curves for the runs at 3, 6, and 9 MPa initial hydrogen pressure show a remarkably solid trend, with guaiacol conversion appearing to plateau as reaction time reaches 240 min. However, extending the reaction time for the 3 MPa, 300°C condition to 240 min only increased conversion to ~63%, which was equivalent to the conversion at 3 MPa, at 350 °C and 30 min. At 400 °C, conversion at 3 MPa and 30 min was ~87%. Thus temperature and H₂ pressure appear to have a much greater effect on guaiacol conversion than does reaction time. Surprisingly, there was no difference in the conversion of guaiacol at less-than- and greater-than-stoichiometric concentrations of hydrogen. Rather, the difference was seen in the increased amount of coke deposited on the catalyst at low hydrogen pressure as well as an increase in the amount of unidentified compounds present in the liquid product.

Based on these results, it appears that longer reaction times may not be necessary provided that the experiments are performed at higher temperatures. The deceased duration may offset the higher energy cost. The yields of main liquid products (determined by GC/FID), solid residue and gas products along with guaiacol conversion from the above optimization tests are summarized in Table 4.4.

As can be seen, coke yields are low at lower temperatures and shorter reaction times regardless of hydrogen pressure. However, increased reaction times and temperatures result in increased coking, with more coking evident at high temperatures and lower hydrogen pressure. In the absence of sufficient hydrogen to cap reactive intermediates, these reactions are more likely to result in condensed products that deposit on the catalyst as coke. Extended reaction times greatly increased the amount of coke deposited on the catalyst (1.1 vs. 9.9 wt.%) even in the presence of greater-than-stoichiometric hydrogen. This is likely due to low reactivity of the molecular hydrogen.(Gosselink et al., 2012) The amount and number of unknown
compounds also increased with extended reaction time from 6.8 to 18.6% owing to the increased residence time.

Figure 4.5 Guaiacol conversion as a function of reaction time, temperature and initial H$_2$ pressure with MoRu/C catalyst

The major gaseous products from the experiments were CH$_4$ and CO$_2$ with much smaller quantities of, in order of decreasing prevalence, C$_2$H$_6$, CO, C$_3$ and C$_2$H$_4$ gases. Generally, CH$_4$ was present in greater amount than CO$_2$ (mol ratio in the range of 0.92-2.0). Coke formation was generally negligible at lower temperatures and higher pressures but increased with increasing temperature and decreased hydrogen pressure. Hydrogen consumption varied from a low of 1.7 mol/kg-GUA to a high of 16.1 mol/kg-GUA.
Table 4.4 Guaiacol conversion and product yields from the guaiacol hydroprocessing experiments with MoRu/C catalyst at selected conditions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reaction conditions</th>
<th>Guaiacol conv. (%)</th>
<th>Coke yield (wt.%)</th>
<th>Liquid Product Yield (wt.%)</th>
<th>mol H₂/kg GUA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Methanol</td>
<td>Cyclohexane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temp. (°C)</td>
<td>Time (min)</td>
<td>H₂ Pressure (MPa)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>44.9 ±4.0</td>
<td>0.2 ±0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>59.0 ±2.7</td>
<td>0.3 ±0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>76.2 ±3.2</td>
<td>0.2 ±0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>44.9 ±4.0</td>
<td>0.2 ±0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>56.1 ±2.9</td>
<td>1.0 ±0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>62.5 ±3.9</td>
<td>1.4 ±0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>44.9 ±4.0</td>
<td>0.2 ±0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>350</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>63.6 ±3.8</td>
<td>7.7 ±1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>86.0 ±3.4</td>
<td>12.4 ±1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>93.8 ±3.2</td>
<td>1.1 ±0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>96.6 ±3.8</td>
<td>9.9 ±1.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.3.4 Spent catalyst characterization

The results of TGA analysis are illustrated in Figures 4.6a and 4.6b. The analysis was performed on the fresh and spent Ru/AC and MoRu/AC catalysts heated from room temperature to 900°C in flowing air to evaluate the deposition of coke on the catalyst.

Figure 4.6 TGA plots for fresh (a) and spent (b) Ru/AC and MoRu/AC catalysts from the guaiacol hydroprocessing tests (2 h, 350°C, 9 MPa H₂)
It was noted that, unlike for mineral-supported catalysts like alumina, the carbon support material would also burn, thus necessitating a comparison between the fresh and spent catalyst. Figure 4.6b shows more mass decrease events in the TGA curves for the spent catalysts as compared to the fresh catalysts (Figure 4.6a), indicating the deposition of carbonaceous material on the spent catalysts. As was expected for both catalysts (MoRu/AC and Ru/AC), the fraction of mass remaining after burning of the fresh catalyst was unchanged and corresponds to the formation of metal oxides from the supported metals in these catalysts. The decreased mass of material remaining for the Ru/C catalyst in Figure 4.6b indicates that the metal oxides which remained after burning comprised a smaller fraction of the overall mass of the sample, indicating that this catalyst experienced increased coke deposition as compared to the MoRu/AC catalyst.

The dTGA curves presented in Figure 4.7 were constructed by taking the first derivative of the TGA plots, to evaluate the thermal stability of the carbonaceous materials (including the carbon support and the carbon deposits formed during the reactions). As is obviously shown in this Figure, the onset of two rapid mass loss peaks at around 250-350°C and 350-500°C, respectively, shifts to lower temperatures for the spent catalysts as compared to the fresh catalysts. This suggests deposition of carbonaceous materials (commonly called coke) during guaiacol hydroprocessing.
Figure 4.7 dTGA plots for fresh and spent Ru/AC and MoRu/AC catalysts from the guaiacol hydroprocessing tests (2 h, 350°C, 9 MPa H₂)
The XRD spectra of selected fresh and spent catalysts are presented in Figure 4.8. The catalysts all exhibit a broad peak centred on 22° and a smaller broad peak centred on 42° that are characteristic of amorphous carbon (Rajan et al., 2014). The sharp peak at 26.5° is characteristic of the (002) plane of graphite. (Peng et al., 2013) The smaller peak at ~23° may be attributed to the diffraction of the sample holder used in the XRD measurements. No XRD lines attributed to any metal species were detectable, likely due to the low metal loading (<= 5 wt.%), or owing to the high dispersion states of the metals in the carbon supports (with a very high surface area and porosity.

Figure 4.8 XRD spectra of selected fresh and spent catalysts
4.4 Conclusions

In this work, catalyst screening for the hydroprocessing of lignin or depolymerized lignin was investigated using guaiacol as a model compound. This study has demonstrated that the type of carbon support used to prepare Ru catalysts is an important factor in guaiacol conversion. The BAC-P-supported Ru catalyst exhibited ~14% greater conversion of guaiacol than the reference Ru catalyst. Catalyst reduction enhanced guaiacol conversion and markedly increased the catalyst’s hydrogenation effects (leading to a higher cyclohexane yield) while the addition of Mo to Ru catalysts generally increased guaiacol conversion and more evidently enhanced guaiacol hydrodeoxygenation effectiveness (producing more benzene and phenol compounds), rather than hydrogenation activity. In addition, hydrogen consumption using Mo/AC catalyst was about half that of the catalysts containing Ru, indicating that Mo is not as efficient in hydrogenating guaiacol as the Ru catalysts. The increased conversion was, therefore, largely directed towards compounds of unknown composition. To the best of the author's knowledge, the use of Mo-doped Ru catalysts has not been reported in the literature.

The optimization study revealed that temperature and initial hydrogen pressure have a much greater effect on guaiacol conversion than does reaction time. For example, the conversion of guaiacol at 3 MPa, 240 min, and 300 °C was equivalent to the conversion at 3 MPa, 30 min and 350 °C. Thus longer reaction times may not be necessary provided that hydroprocessing is performed at higher temperatures (the decreased duration may offset the higher energy cost). The combination of high temperature (400 °C) and 9 MPa initial hydrogen pressure was even more effective, with conversion of ~94% after a reaction time of only 30 min. Coke formation was found to be negligible at lower reaction temperatures and short reaction times and increased at high reaction temperature, long reaction times and low initial hydrogen pressure.
4.5 References


Chapter 5

5  Hydrotreatment of organosolv lignin for aromatic chemicals and materials using carbon-based catalysts

5.1  Introduction

The depletion of fossil fuel reserves is an issue that has come to prominence in recent decades. Coupled with increased consumption from rising economies such as China and India, this has prompted an increased interest in the production of chemicals and fuels from alternative resources and is one of the major priorities of the 21st century.

Biomass is generally regarded as the most feasible alternative in this regard as it is widely available, renewable and generally carbon-neutral. Although it is possible to produce chemicals from crops such as corn etc., it is preferable to produce bio-products from non-food resources such as agricultural and forestry residues.

Of particular interest is lignin, which is the second most abundant naturally synthesized polymer after cellulose, comprising 25-40% of dry wood and crop stems. (Tejado et al., 2007) In addition, it is the most abundant natural source of aromatic compounds. More than 50 million tons of lignin in the form of Kraft lignin (KL) is generated annually as a by-product in the pulp and paper industry where it has historically been viewed as a waste material or a low value by-product. Consequently, it has been predominantly used as a low-energy content fuel in the recovery boilers of pulp/paper mills. However, the recovery boilers represent a bottleneck in a majority of the pulp/paper mills in North America. In addition to Kraft lignin, due to the recent increase and projected growth of bio-ethanol production, it is expected that large quantities of organosolv lignin (OL) and hydrolysis lignin (HL), as by-products of pre-treatment processes in cellulosic ethanol plants, will become available in the near future.

As an amorphous natural polymer of substituted propyl-phenols, lignin contains many polar hydroxyl groups, making it incompatible with most synthetic polymers due to its high polarity and broad glass transition temperature. (Chakar and Ragauskas, 2004) In addition, due to its highly branched structure and intermediate molecular weight, lignin alone is not strong
enough to be used as a structural material. Conversely, lignin’s molecular weight is too high for it to be incorporated directly into fuel applications, not to mention its low energy content due to the abundance of oxygen in the polymer. Since lignin contains abundant ether linkages and aliphatic and phenolic hydroxyl groups, lignin depolymerization products of moderate molecular weight could be good candidates for raw material to replace petroleum based polyether polyols for the synthesis of polyurethane (PU) materials and replace petroleum phenol for the synthesis of phenol-formaldehyde (PF) (Vasquez et al., 1995; Wang et al., 2009) and epoxy resins. (Hirose et al., 2001; Sasaki et al., 2013)

Consequently, most of the research on the application of lignin is concentrated on converting lignin into chemicals and fuels via hydrolytic, oxidative and reductive depolymerization and pyrolysis. Most of these destructive methods suffer from the drawbacks of high energy input, low yields and difficulty in product separation. Fungal biodegradation of lignin has been intensively investigated, (Zhang et al., 2011) but this process is slow and time consuming. Hydrolytic depolymerization has also been investigated, (Yuan et al., 2010) but the yield is usually very low. To overcome these disadvantages, a more viable strategy might be moderate depolymerization of lignin under mild conditions to convert the lignin to oxygenated fuel additives and feedstock for various types of bio-materials.

Hydrogenation reactions are typically performed in the presence of noble metal catalysts. Ru catalysts, in particular, have been shown to be very active in the hydrogenation and hydrodeoxygenation of model compounds (e.g. phenol and guaiacol) as well as bio-oils.(Elliott and Hart, 2009; Gutierrez et al., 2009; Lee et al., 2012; Chang et al., 2013) Co- or Ni-promoted Mo sulfide catalysts, typically supported on alumina, and borrowed from petroleum processing operations have also been used in the hydrotreatment of lignin and biomass-derived bio-oils. (Senol et al., 2007; Romero et al., 2010; Zakzeski et al., 2010; Saidi et al., 2014) More recently, reductive depolymerization of lignin in the presence of hydrogen and metal catalysts especially other late 3d and 4d transition metal (Fe, Co, Ni, Cu, Ru, Rh, Pd, and Ag) has been proposed.(Cateto et al., 2009; Li et al., 2011; Zhao et al., 2011; Jin, 2014) A review of the literature also reveals that mixed noble metal-transition metal catalysts (e.g. Ru-Co, Rh-Cu and Rh-Ag) have been used, although not in the hydroprocessing of bio-oils.(Rouco and Haller, 1981; Zauwen et al., 1989; Moura et al., 2012)
Although alumina-supported catalysts are active in HDO reactions and catalyze methyl group transfer, (Gutierrez et al., 2009) they also increase catalyst deactivation by promoting the formation and deposition of coke on the catalyst surface. (Centeno et al., 1995; Prochazkova et al., 2007; Elliott and Hart, 2009; Wildschutt et al., 2009; Lin et al., 2011) Centeno et al. (1995) proposed that it is the weak Lewis acid sites present in the alumina that promote the condensation reactions leading to coke formation.

In order to avoid coke formation, researchers have investigated less acidic supports such as activated carbon and SiO₂ (Furimsky and Massoth, 1999; Reddy and Khan, 2005; Kersten et al., 2007) as well as other less common supports e.g. ZrO₂ and MgO. (Senol et al., 2007; Bui et al., 2011) Yang et al. (2014) found that using carbon-supported catalysts resulted in yields equivalent to those of alumina-supported catalysts, but with lower proportions of oxygenated compounds.

Previous work in our group has shown that Ru-based catalysts are effective in lignin depolymerization and that the addition of Mo to Ru catalysts increases catalyst effectiveness. In this work, several carbon-supported Mo-Ru catalysts, chosen based on the catalyst screening study reported in a previous chapter, were investigated for the hydroprocessing or reductive depolymerization of OL under hydrogen atmosphere for applications such as fuel additives and intermediates for chemicals and materials.

To the best of the author's knowledge, the investigation of lignin depolymerization via hydroprocessing using a mixed noble metal/transition metal catalyst has not been reported in the literature.

5.2 Experimental

5.2.1 Materials

The organosolv lignin (OL) used in this research was provided by Lignol, Canada and had a weight average molecular weight (Mₜw) of ~2,600 g/mol.
Different carbon-supported catalysts: $\text{Mo}_{0.01}\text{Ru}_{0.05}/\text{AC}$ (denoted as MoRu/AC), $\text{Mo}_{0.01}\text{Ru}_{0.05}/\text{AC-P}$ (MoRu/ACP), $\text{Mo}_{0.01}\text{Ru}_{0.05}/\text{C}$ (MoRu/C), $\text{Mo}_{0.1}/\text{AC}$ (Mo/AC) catalysts and the reference commercial catalyst $\text{Ru}_{0.05}/\text{C}$ (Ru/C), were used in this work. The names and textural analysis of these carbon-supported catalysts are shown later in Table 5.1.

The Ru/C reference catalyst was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich and used as provided, and carbon-supported MoRu catalysts were prepared in-house by incipient wetness impregnation of activated carbon with ruthenium (III) nitrosyl nitrate solution ($\text{Ru}(\text{NO})(\text{NO}_3)_3$) and ammonium molybdate tetrahydrate ($\text{(NH}_4)_6\text{Mo}_7\text{O}_{24}·4\text{H}_2\text{O}$). Solvents used included acetone and methanol. All were reagent grade and purchased from Sigma-Aldrich.

As an example, the MoRu/AC catalyst was prepared by suspending activated charcoal in a 50% solution of water and methanol. The calculated volume of the Ru solution was added to this solution. The Mo was added by dissolving the required amount of the Mo compound in some distilled water and adding the solution to the suspension. The suspension was then stirred for 24 h at ambient temperature. The catalyst was then dewatered by rotary evaporation under vacuum at 85 °C and then dried overnight in an oven at 105 °C. The catalyst was then loaded into a tube reactor and reduced under a flow of 50 mL/min hydrogen at 500 °C for 4 h. The evolution of a brown gas at a temperature of ~300 °C was evidence of the reduction taking place. After cooling to ambient temperature under nitrogen, the catalyst was decanted into a beaker of methanol, also under nitrogen, for passivation. After evaporation of the methanol at 65°C and cooling back to ambient, the catalyst was stored in an air-tight plastic bag before use.

To prepare MoRu/ACP catalyst, phosphorated activated charcoal was prepared. Briefly, the required amount of phosphoric acid was added to a suspension of activated charcoal in 50% water/methanol. The suspension was stirred for 24 h and then dewatered by rotary evaporation under vacuum at 85°C. The phosphorated support was then dried at 105°C overnight and stored after cooling, and used as a support to prepare MoRu/ACP catalyst in a similar method as described above for MoRu/AC.

In addition, MoRu/C catalyst was prepared by adding the calculated amount of the Mo compound dissolved in distilled water to a suspension of the reference Ru/C catalyst in 50:50
methanol/water. The remaining procedure was the same as for the MoRu/AC catalyst as explained above.

5.2.2 Experimental apparatus and procedure

The hydroprocessing/depolymerization of OL was carried out in a 100 mL stainless-steel autoclave reactor equipped with a stirrer. In a typical run, the reactor was loaded with 5 g of OL, 0.5 g of catalyst and 25 g of acetone. The reactor was sealed, purged with nitrogen and was subsequently pressurized to 5 MPa with hydrogen. The reactor was heated to the reaction temperature while stirring and kept at the desired temperature for 120 min before cooling. Once the reactor had cooled to room temperature, the gaseous products were sampled for analysis. The liquid products and solid residue (SR) were rinsed from the reactor with acetone and the resulting suspension was filtered under vacuum through a pre-weighed Whatman No. 5 filter paper. The SR, catalyst and filter paper were dried at 105 °C for 24 h before weighing. After a GC-MS sample was taken, the acetone (and maybe water formed during the hydroprocessing) was removed from the liquid product by rotary evaporation under vacuum at 40-50 °C. The yields of depolymerized OL (DOL) and SR were calculated relative to the mass of the OL loaded into the reactor. Each experiment was repeated to reduce the experimental error to ± 5%.

The relative molecular weights and their distributions of the OL feed and the hydroprocessed DOL were measured with a Waters Breeze GPC-HPLC (gel permeation chromatography-high performance liquid chromatography) instrument (1525 binary pump, UV detector at 270 nm; Waters Styrylgel HR1 column at a column temperature of 40 °C) using THF as the eluant at a flow rate of 1 mL/min. Linear polystyrene standards were used to generate a calibration curve for molecular weight estimation. H\textsuperscript{1} NMR spectra were obtained on a 500 MHz Unity Inova NMR instrument at room temperature, wherein d\textsubscript{6}-dimethylsulfoxide was used as solvent. FT-IR spectra were collected on a Bruker Tensor 37 FTIR spectrophotometer in the range of 550-4000 cm\textsuperscript{-1} with ATR accessory. The volatile components of the DOLs were identified by GC-MS (HP 6890 GC and HP 5972 MS) using a silicon column with temperature programming from an initial temperature of 50 °C for 2 min hold at 10 °C/min to a final temperature of 280 °C for 2 min hold. CHNS (carbon, hydrogen, nitrogen, and sulfur) ele-
mental analysis was conducted on a Flash EA 1112 Series elemental Analyzer. The BET surface area analysis was performed on a Micrometrics ASAP 2010 instrument. The samples were degassed at 150 °C until a stable static vacuum of less than 5×10⁻³ Torr was achieved prior to analysis. The gas composition was measured on an Agilent 3000 Micro-GC equipped with dual columns (Molecular Sieve and PLOT-Q) and thermal conductivity detectors. The GC system employed in this work enabled analysis of gas species up to C₃, including O₂, N₂, H₂, CO, CO₂, CH₄, C₂H₄, C₂H₆, C₃H₈, and C₃H₆.

5.3 Results and Discussion

5.3.1 Catalyst characterization

The textural analysis of all catalysts prepared is presented in Table 5.1. There was no great difference in the surface areas, pore volumes and pore diameters of the catalysts, therefore the differences in catalyst performance must be due to the supported metals.

Table 5.1 Textural analysis of the carbon-supported catalysts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Catalyst</th>
<th>Metal cont. (wt.%)</th>
<th>BET S. Area (m²/g)</th>
<th>Tot. Pore Vol. (cm³)</th>
<th>Vol. of pores &lt;2 nm (cm³)</th>
<th>Vol. of pores 2-50 nm (cm³)</th>
<th>Avg. pore dia. (nm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ru/C</td>
<td>0 5</td>
<td>893</td>
<td>0.852</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>0.507</td>
<td>3.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MoRu/C</td>
<td>1 5</td>
<td>865</td>
<td>0.826</td>
<td>0.045</td>
<td>0.514</td>
<td>3.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MoRu/AC</td>
<td>1 5</td>
<td>875</td>
<td>0.786</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>0.442</td>
<td>3.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MoRu/ACP</td>
<td>1 5</td>
<td>771</td>
<td>0.704</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>0.405</td>
<td>3.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mo/AC</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>678</td>
<td>0.656</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>0.400</td>
<td>3.62</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.3.2 DOL Yields

The OL was depolymerized at standard reaction conditions of 5 g OL dissolved in 25 g acetone, 0.5 g catalyst, 5 MPa initial hydrogen pressure and 1 h reaction time at 300 °C unless otherwise noted. The yields of DOL at different temperatures are presented in Figure 5.1.
The DOL yields for all four Ru-based catalysts were very high (<95 wt.%) at 250 °C but were found to decrease with increased temperature. The yield of DOL for MoRu/AC catalyst at 300 °C was still ~95 wt.% but the other catalysts exhibited marked decreases. At 340 °C, the DOL yields with all catalysts were <70 wt.% due to increased char (solid residue, SR) formation.

It is interesting to note that the yield of DOL for the Mo/AC catalyst at 300 °C was equivalent to that of the Ru/C reference catalyst. Due to this poor showing, further tests with this catalyst were not performed.

The yields of DOL, solid residue (SR or char), and gas are presented in Table 5.2 and Figure 5.2. As can be seen, the amount of SR obtained increases with increasing temperature. This is reasonable, as increased fragmentation of the lignin macromolecule presents greater opportunity for reactive moieties to recombine and form solid residue/char. As expected, the amount
gas products from the hydroprocessing of OL also increased with increasing temperature. The yield of water, produced as a consequence of hydrodeoxygenation reactions, was obtained simply based on mass difference. As clearly shown in Table 5.2, the formation of water was not very evident at lower temperatures but became more evident at higher temperatures as the more refractive C-O bonds began to break.

Table 5.2 DOL and product yields

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Catalyst</th>
<th>Temp. (°C)</th>
<th>DOL (wt.%)</th>
<th>Char (wt.%)</th>
<th>Gas (wt.%)</th>
<th>Water* (wt.%)</th>
<th>Sum (wt.%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MoRu/ACP</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>98.6 ±2.2</td>
<td>1.2 ±0.4</td>
<td>0.04 ±0.2</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>300</td>
<td>74.4 ±2.7</td>
<td>25.5 ±0.73</td>
<td>0.30 ±0.03</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>100.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MoRu/AC</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>99.2 ±3.1</td>
<td>1.5 ±0.29</td>
<td>1.8 ±0.08</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>102.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>300</td>
<td>94.7 ±3.6</td>
<td>1.5 ±0.12</td>
<td>6.1 ±0.21</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>102.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>340</td>
<td>68.6 ±1.4</td>
<td>15.7 ±0.73</td>
<td>8.3 ±0.42</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MoRu/C</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>98.6 ±1.0</td>
<td>1.9 ±0.22</td>
<td>1.7 ±0.07</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>102.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>300</td>
<td>86 ±2.3</td>
<td>6.4 ±0.48</td>
<td>6.5 ±0.30</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>340</td>
<td>58.1 ±2.8</td>
<td>27.7 ±0.87</td>
<td>7.8 ±0.44</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ru/C</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>96.6 ±3.1</td>
<td>3.1 ±0.27</td>
<td>1.1 ±0.09</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>100.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>300</td>
<td>61.7 ±2.8</td>
<td>31.4 ±0.76</td>
<td>5.5 ±0.64</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>340</td>
<td>49.8 ±2.4</td>
<td>30.8 ±0.97</td>
<td>15.7 ±0.69</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mo/AC</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>62.0</td>
<td>36.4</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>100.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reaction Conditions: 5 g OL:25 g acetone, 0.5 g catalyst, 5 MPa cold hydrogen, 1 h reaction time at temperature
* Values determined by mass difference.
MoRu/ACP catalyst was not tested at elevated temperature due to the drastically reduced yield of DOL at 300 °C accompanied by a sharp increase in char formation. In addition, the DOL product obtained with MoRu/ACP catalyst at 300 °C was of poor quality: it was a brittle solid, whereas the DOL products with other catalysts at 300 °C were viscous liquids. This difference was confirmed by GPC as will be examined later.

The decrease in DOL yields with increasing temperature is likely due to the deactivation of the catalyst at a higher temperature caused by carbon/coke deposition, leading to drastically decreased surface area of the catalyst. A comparison of the textural properties of the fresh and spent MoRu/AC catalysts is given in Table 5.3. Taking MoRu/AC catalyst as an example, the surface area of the spent catalysts decreases greatly with increasing temperature. This indicates that solid residue produced by condensation reactions at elevated temperatures was deposited on the catalyst surface, deactivating the active sites on the surface and preventing access to active sites in the interior of the catalyst by blocking pores.

Figure 5.2 Cumulative DOL Product Yields
Table 5.3 Comparison of textural properties of MoRu/AC catalyst after reaction at different temperatures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reaction temperature (°C)</th>
<th>BET S. Area (m²/g)</th>
<th>Tot. Pore Vol. (cm³)</th>
<th>Vol. of pores &lt;2 nm (cm³)</th>
<th>Vol. of pores 2-50 nm (cm³)</th>
<th>Avg. pore dia. (nm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>fresh</td>
<td>875</td>
<td>0.786</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>0.442</td>
<td>3.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250</td>
<td>209</td>
<td>0.275</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>0.191</td>
<td>3.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>0.162</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.107</td>
<td>3.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>340</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>0.0004</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>3.54</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

More generally, Table 5.4 displays comparison of textural properties of fresh and spent catalysts at 300 °C, from which there seems to exhibit a strong correlation between the DOL yield and the textural properties (e.g., specific surface area and pore volume) of the spent catalysts. The MoRu/AC, which produced the highest yield of DOL, has the largest remaining surface area and pore volume. The surface area of the reference Ru/C catalyst, producing the lowest yields of DOL at 300 °C, was reduced to only 13.6 m²/g. Similarly, the pore volume of this catalyst was also greatly reduced.

Table 5.4 Comparison of textural properties of fresh and spent catalysts at 300 °C

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Catalyst and reaction condition</th>
<th>BET S. Area (m²/g)</th>
<th>Tot. Pore Vol. (cm³)</th>
<th>Vol. of pores &lt;2 nm (cm³)</th>
<th>Vol. of pores 2-50 nm (cm³)</th>
<th>Avg. pore dia. (nm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MoRu/AC fresh</td>
<td>875</td>
<td>0.786</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>0.442</td>
<td>3.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MoRu/AC 300</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>0.162</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.107</td>
<td>3.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ru/C fresh</td>
<td>893</td>
<td>0.852</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>0.507</td>
<td>3.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ru/C 300</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>3.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MoRu/C fresh</td>
<td>865</td>
<td>0.826</td>
<td>0.045</td>
<td>0.514</td>
<td>3.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MoRu/C 300</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>0.151</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.091</td>
<td>3.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MoRu/ACP fresh</td>
<td>771</td>
<td>0.704</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>0.405</td>
<td>3.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MoRu/ACP 300</td>
<td>40.4</td>
<td>0.045</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>3.57</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The gases produced during the reactions were analyzed by Micro-GC. The volume of the gas produced was accurately determined using a 2.8 L gas cylinder equipped with a pressure
gauge. The product gas compositions are presented in Table 5.5. As expected, the amounts of gaseous product increased with increasing temperature. The most obvious trend is the increase in methane concentration. The formation of methane from lignin hydroprocessing is believed to be due to the decomposition/cleaving of the methoxy linkages in the lignin (Chatterjee, et al., 2013; He et al., 2014) or from methanation of C, CO or CO₂ (e.g. C + H₂ → CH₄; CO (or CO₂) + H₂ → CH₄ + H₂O). The amount of CO and CO₂ produced also increased with increasing temperature as can be seen with the reference catalyst, suggesting a greater extent of gasification reactions (e.g. C + H₂O → CO + H₂; CO + H₂O → H₂ + CO₂) occurring. Surprisingly, for the MoRu/AC and MoRu/C catalysts, the amount of CO₂ at 340 °C decreased when compared with that at 300 °C. This result is perhaps indicative of increased methanation of CO₂ (CO₂ + 4 H₂ → CH₄ + 2 H₂O) in the presence of the mixed Mo/Ru catalysts at elevated temperatures as compared to the catalyst composed of only Ru.

Table 5.5 Composition of gaseous products (vol. %) from OL hydroprocessing with different catalysts and at different temperatures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Catalyst</th>
<th>MoRu/ACP</th>
<th>MoRu/AC</th>
<th>MoRu/C</th>
<th>Ru/C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Temp. (°C)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH₄</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO₂</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C₂H₄</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C₂H₆</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C₃H₈</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propylene</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,2-Propadiene</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methyl Acetylene</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Quantification of the different gaseous products allowed for determination of the amount of OL that was gasified. Based on the ideal gas law, with known volume, pressure and temperature of the gas, the number of moles of each gas species was calculated. The number of
moles of each carbon species present in the gas was multiplied by the number of carbon atoms in each molecule to determine the total number of moles of carbon present in the gas, and hence the mass of carbon in the gas. The sum of these values was compared to the mass of carbon present in the OL feed (as determined by CHNS analysis) which in turn allowed for the calculation of the amount of OL that was converted into gaseous species, assuming negligible gasification of the carbon support or the solvent during the hydroprocessing process. The results are presented in Table 5.6.

As expected, and in agreement with the composition of the gaseous products (Table 5.5), very little OL was gasified at low temperatures and the amount gasified increased with increased reaction temperature. This was especially evident with the reference catalyst. Ru/C is well known as a gasification catalyst and consequently resulted in greater gasification of the feed, especially at a high temperature (i.e. 340 °C).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 5.6 Gasification of OL</th>
<th>MoRu/ACP</th>
<th>MoRu/AC</th>
<th>MoRu/C</th>
<th>Ru/C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Temp. (°C)</strong></td>
<td>250</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mol C in gas</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mass C in gas</td>
<td>0.041</td>
<td>0.296</td>
<td>0.087</td>
<td>0.303</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% C gasified</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>8.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% OL gasified</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>5.92</td>
<td>1.73</td>
<td>6.06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.3.3 Hydrogen consumption during OL hydroprocessing

Micro-GC analysis also allowed for the calculation of amount of hydrogen that was consumed during the hydroprocessing operation. The amount of hydrogen introduced into the reactor as determined based on the volume of head space above the reaction mixture and the initial pressure of hydrogen (5 MPa), which was confirmed by Micro-GC analysis of gas sampled prior to reaction and determined to be greater than stoichiometric. Therefore the hydrogenation and hydrodeoxygenation of the OL is not hydrogen-limited.

The hydrogen consumption during hydroprocessing of OL with different catalysts and various temperatures is presented in Figure 5.3. For three of the MoRu catalysts (MoRu/AC,
MoRu/C and MoRu/ACP), ~20 mol/kg OL of hydrogen was consumed regardless of the reaction temperature, implying that the OL hydrogenation/hydrodeoxygenation reactions do not appear to require elevated temperatures and proceed to a constant extent. Surprisingly, the amount of hydrogen consumed in the reactions with the Ru/C catalyst is much lower than with the MoRu catalysts. This is likely due to the fact that Ru/C is a gasification catalyst and could produce hydrogen (Barati et al., 2014), which would balance the hydrogen consumed in the OL hydrogenation/hydrodeoxygenation reactions. The increased consumption at elevated temperature indicates that hydrogen consumption increases relative to production. This is evident in the increased amount of CH₄, CO and CO₂ present in the gas phase.

![Graph showing hydrogen consumption during OL hydroprocessing](image)

**Figure 5.3 Hydrogen consumption during OL hydroprocessing**

### 5.3.4 DOL product characterization

The molecular weights of the DOL products as presented in Table 5.7 and Figures 5.4 and 5.5. As mentioned previously, the DOL obtained with MoRu/ACP catalyst at 250 °C was
solid. The molecular weight of the DOL was actually higher than the OL feed (2,770 vs. 2,600 g/mol). This is consistent with other work that was performed in our group which determined that condensation reactions occur at lower temperatures than do hydrogenation/hydrodeoxygenation reactions. (Chen and Falconer, 1994; Mahmood et al., 2013) This increase in molecular weight was only observed with the MoRu/ACP catalyst, indicating that the addition of phosphorus, which has been seen to decrease char yields in the hydroprocessing of model compounds (DeCanio et al., 1991; Yang et al., 2009) had a detrimental effect in the presence of a more complex feed. It is possible that residual phosphoric acid was present and since acidity is known to promote condensation reactions, this resulted in the increase in molecular weight. The molecular weights of DOL produced at 250 °C with the other catalysts were reduced from 2600 g/mol to ~1900-2100 g/mol. At 300 °C, the DOL products showed a marked decrease in molecular weight. Again, MoRu/ACP performed poorly with a molecular weight ~60% greater than the most effective catalyst. Due to these results, further tests with MoRu/ACP were not performed. The molecular weight of the DOL obtained from the Mo/AC catalyst was equivalent to that of the reference Ru/C catalyst.

Table 5.7 DOL molecular weight as a function of catalyst and reaction temperature

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OL Feed (g/mol)</th>
<th>MoRu/ACP</th>
<th>MoRu/AC</th>
<th>MoRu/C</th>
<th>Ru/C</th>
<th>Mo/AC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Temp (°C)</td>
<td>Temp (°C)</td>
<td>Temp (°C)</td>
<td>Temp (°C)</td>
<td>Temp (°C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>580</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>620</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300</td>
<td>390</td>
<td>730</td>
<td>516</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>460</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>730</td>
<td>516</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>460</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>340</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>340</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>340</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>340</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The molecular weights for the DOL products obtained with MoRu catalysts at 300 °C (730 g/mol for MoRu/AC and 810 g/mol for MoRu/C) are lower than the molecular weight obtained with Ru/C or Mo/AC catalysts (910 and 907 g/mol), suggesting a synergistic effect of the presence of the Mo and Ru in depolymerization of OL. Further increasing the reaction temperature to 340 °C resulted in DOL products with a very low $M_w$ (460-540 g/mol) that were much less viscous than the products produced at 300 °C, with the MoRu/AC, MoRu/C and Ru/C catalysts producing liquid DOL that remained fluid at temperatures below 0 °C.
The viscosity of these DOLs at 340 °C was measured to be 29, 31 and 32 cP, respectively.

The GPC curves for the DOL obtained using different catalysts at 300 °C are presented in Figure 5.4. Although the peaks for all curves occur at relatively the same elution volume, the shapes of the curves account for the differences in molecular weight.

![Figure 5.4 GPC curves for DOL obtained at 300 °C using different catalysts](image)

The effect of reaction temperature on DOL molecular weight can be more clearly seen in Figure 5.5. The shift of the GPC peaks to the right at a higher temperature with both MoRu/AC (Figure 5.5a) or Ru/C catalyst (Figure 5.5b) indicates reduced molecular weight.
Figure 5.5 GPC curves for DOL obtained at different temperatures using MoRu/AC (a) and Ru/C (b)

5.3.5 Elemental analysis of DOL

Elemental analysis performed on the DOL products showed that catalysts used in this study were moderately effective in the deoxygenation and hydrogenation of the OL feed as seen in Tables 5.8 and 5.9. From the results at 300 °C with different catalysts, the average decrease in O content was ~30%, with MoRu/AC being the most effective catalyst at 34.4% reduction.
The Ru/C catalyst performed poorly and was only able to remove ~25% of the oxygen present. A similar trend was observed with the increase in hydrogen content of the DOL product although the differences between various catalysts were not significant. Again, MoRu/AC performed the best with a ~40% increase in H content.

Table 5.8 Elemental analysis of DOL produced using different catalysts at 300 °C

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>C</th>
<th>H</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>S</th>
<th>O*</th>
<th>% increase in H</th>
<th>% decrease in O</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OL Feed</td>
<td>64.3</td>
<td>5.60</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>29.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MoRu/AC</td>
<td>72.6</td>
<td>7.81</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>19.6</td>
<td>39.5</td>
<td>34.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ru/C</td>
<td>69.8</td>
<td>7.66</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>36.8</td>
<td>24.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MoRu/C</td>
<td>71.6</td>
<td>7.78</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td>39.0</td>
<td>31.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MoRu/ACP</td>
<td>71.4</td>
<td>7.68</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>37.1</td>
<td>30.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mo/AC</td>
<td>70.9</td>
<td>7.74</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>21.3</td>
<td>38.3</td>
<td>29.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The van Krevelen plot for these values is illustrated in Figure 5.6, from which it is shown that DOL products with various catalysts have similar H/C ratio of ~1.3 and O/C ratio of ~0.23, compared with H/C ratio of ~1.05 and O/C ratio of ~0.35 for the OL feed.

![Figure 5.6 Van Krevelen plot for DOL produced by different catalysts at 300 °C](image)
Elemental composition of the DOL products obtained at different temperatures is compared in Table 5.9 and presented graphically in Figure 5.7. As expected, the effectiveness of O removal and H addition increased with increasing temperature. Although the reference Ru/C catalyst and MoRu/AC catalysts performed approximately equally well in terms of hydrogen addition at 300 °C, the Ru/C performed much better at 340 °C, increasing H content by 50% as compared to ~42% (MoRu/AC). However, the MoRu/AC catalyst was more effective in oxygen removal than Ru/C (~38% vs. ~25% at 340 °C).

Table 5.9 Elemental analysis of DOL produced at different temperatures using different catalysts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OL Feed</th>
<th>MoRu/AC</th>
<th>MoRu/C</th>
<th>Ru/C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>250</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>340</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>5.60</td>
<td>7.19</td>
<td>7.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>64.30</td>
<td>69.00</td>
<td>72.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O*</td>
<td>29.94</td>
<td>23.81</td>
<td>19.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% decrease in O</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>34.4</td>
<td>37.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% increase in H</td>
<td>28.3</td>
<td>39.5</td>
<td>41.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Value determined by mass difference

The trends in these data can be better presented in a van Krevelen plot as seen in Figure 5.7. At 250 °C (red markers), the MoRu/AC catalyst was the most effective in both hydrogen addition and oxygen removal. Increasing the temperature to 300 °C (green markers) resulted in a further decrease in the O content for all three catalysts, with MoRu/AC being the most effective, but the increase in H content for MoRu/C and Ru/C improved relative to the MoRu/AC. When further increasing the temperature to 340 °C (blue markers), there was only a slight improvement in the removal of O and in H addition for the DOL with MoRu/AC as compared to 300 °C. A similar trend was observed in the O removal for the MoRu/C or Ru/C catalysts. However, the level of hydrogen addition increased markedly with increasing temperature for the Ru/C catalyst, likely owing to the fact that Ru/C is a hydrogenation catalyst. (Kluson and Cerveny, 1995; Genet, 2003) These results indicate that there appears to be a significant fraction of C-O bonds that are refractory to the action of Ru/C catalyst even at
elevated temperatures. The addition of Mo to the Ru catalyst increased the extent of O removal (decreased O/C ratio), but also decreased the extent of hydrogenation (decreased H/C ratio) of the DOL product at higher temperature. Thus, as mentioned previously, there are still a number of oxygen bonds that these catalysts are unable to sever, although the MoRu catalyst is able to break more of these bonds than the Ru catalyst alone. In addition, Mo appears to hinder the hydrogenation efficiency of the Ru catalyst.

![Van Krevelen plot for DOL produced by different catalysts at different temperatures](image)

Figure 5.7 Van Krevelen plot for DOL produced by different catalysts at different temperatures

5.3.6 GC/MS analysis of DOL

The volatile components of the DOL products were analyzed by GC-MS. The identified compounds are mainly substituted phenolic compounds and aromatic hydrocarbons as can be seen in Table 5.10. The shaded cells indicate that no compounds were evident at a particular retention time and catalyst/temperature condition. As is evident, fewer volatile compounds are present in DOL products at lower temperatures, which is consist with the larger $M_w$ for the DOL products at 250°C (Table 5.7). Consistent with the elemental analysis results of the DOL products in which the oxygen content decreased with increased temperature, the com-
pounds detected in the DOL products at 340 °C are generally less-oxygenated than the compounds present in the DOL products at lower temperatures e.g. cresol vs. creosol, 2-methyl-3-ethyl phenol and 2-propyl phenol vs. 4-ethyl-2-methoxy phenol, 2-methoxy-4-propyl phenol and 2,6-dimethoxyphenol. These results are consistent with results reported in the literature, that at elevated temperatures, the ether bonds can be cleaved more easily, forming less-oxygenated compounds (Chakar and Ragauskas, 2004). Although there are differences in the yields and deoxygenation/hydrogenation performance of the MoRu/AC and Ru/C catalysts, as presented previously, the compounds present in the DOL with these catalysts are similar regardless of the reaction temperature and the type of catalyst used. A plot of the GC/Ms spectra showing the differences in volatile components with respect to reaction temperature is presented in Figure 5.8

Table 5.10 Comparison of compounds found in DOL with MoRu/AC and Ru/C at different temperatures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RT (min)</th>
<th>250 °C</th>
<th>300 °C</th>
<th>340 °C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MoRu/AC</td>
<td>Ru/C</td>
<td>MoRu/AC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.37</td>
<td>Methyl Isobutyl Ketone</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.22</td>
<td>3-Hexen-2-one</td>
<td>3-Penten-2-one, 4-methyl-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.13</td>
<td>2-Pentanone, 4-hydroxy-4-methyl-</td>
<td>Phenol, 2-methyl-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.86</td>
<td></td>
<td>Phenol, 2-methoxy-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.62</td>
<td></td>
<td>p-Cresol</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.69</td>
<td></td>
<td>Phenol, 2,4-dimethyl-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.05</td>
<td></td>
<td>Creosol</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.67</td>
<td>Phenol</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.84</td>
<td></td>
<td>Phenol, 3-ethyl-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.01</td>
<td>Phenol, 4-ethyl-2-methoxy-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.61</td>
<td>Phenol, 2-propyl-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.76</td>
<td>1,2-Benzenediol, 3-methoxy-</td>
<td>Phenol, 2,6-dimethoxy-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.13</td>
<td>Phenol, 2-methoxy-4-propyl-</td>
<td>Phenol, 3,4-dimethoxy-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.28</td>
<td>Phenol, 2,6-dimethoxy-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.62</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.81</td>
<td>Phenol, 3,4-dimethoxy-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.22</td>
<td>1,2,3-Trimethoxybenzene</td>
<td>4-Ethylbiphenyl</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.65</td>
<td></td>
<td>5-tert-Butylpyrogallol</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.67</td>
<td>2-Methoxybenzyl alcohol</td>
<td>Benzene, 1,2,3-trimethoxy-</td>
<td>4-Propyl-1,1’-diphenyl</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 5.8 GC/MS plots for DOL obtained at 250 °C (bottom), 300 °C (middle) and 340 °C (top)

5.3.7 FTIR analysis of DOL

FTIR analysis was performed on the DOL products to elucidate the changes in functional groups. Figure 5.9 compares the FTIR spectra of OL feed and DOL products obtained with MoRu/AC at different temperatures. Immediately evident is the relative increase in absorption in the OH region (3,500-3,000 cm\(^{-1}\)) which may be attributed to newly formed OH groups resulting from the scission of the ether bonds present in the OL. Also evident is increased -CH\(_3\) bend which could be the result of the cleavage of methylene bridges followed by hydrogenation. The peaks at 1200, 1100 and 1025 cm\(^{-1}\), indicative of phenolic or acyl C-O and ether C-O bonds, respectively, show that these bonds were relatively unaffected by the hydroprocessing at temperatures < 300 °C. Similarly, the response of carbonyl bonds at 1700 cm\(^{-1}\) decreased in all DOL products suggesting deoxygenation of the OL, in particular at 340 °C. These results combined with the results of the elemental analysis, indicate that deoxygenation of the OL feed increased with increasing temperature.
Figure 5.9 FTIR spectra of OL feed and DOL products obtained at different temperatures with MoRu/AC and the expanded fingerprint region (top).

The appearance of the peaks at ~690-820 cm\(^{-1}\) at 340 °C is indicative of mono- and di-substituted aromatic rings, which is consistent with the GC/MS analysis of the DOL at this temperature. It also indicates that the complex bonds between the aromatic rings are being cleaved and that the vacant positions are being hydrogenated.
5.3.8 NMR analysis of DOL

The changes in composition of DOL as a result of hydrogenation/hydrodeoxygenation can also be revealed by H-NMR spectroscopy. Figure 5.10 illustrates the NMR spectra for OL feed (bottom) and MoRu/AC DOL at 300 °C (middle) and 340 °C (top). It should be noted that DOL is not a pure compound, but rather a complex mixture of many related compounds; therefore it is very difficult to assign peaks to a single species with a high degree of certainty. It is more convenient to discuss the changes in broad rather than specific terms. The peak at 2.5 ppm is due to the deuterated dimethylsulfoxide solvent. The three NMR spectra in this Figure reveal a number of interesting changes. The peaks in the range of 9-10 ppm, which correspond to aldehydic C-H bonds, virtually disappear at elevated reaction temperature. This agrees with the decrease in carbonyl bonds observed in the FTIR spectra. The number and intensity of the peaks in the range of 6-8 ppm, corresponding to aromatic C-H bonds, are higher in DOL products than for the OL feed. A similar trend is observed in the range of 0.5-2 ppm which corresponds to the response due to C-H present in the form of sp³ bonds. The response in this region shifts to the left according to the sequence CH>CH₂>CH₃. As can be seen, at a reaction temperature of 300 °C, the number of -CH₂ groups that are present increases compared to the OL feed and as the temperature increases to 340 °C, both the number and intensity of the peaks in this region increase and shift to the right, indicating a greater abundance of -CH₃ groups. The signals corresponding to the proton in alcohol -OH groups can appear over a broad range of 1-5 ppm. The peaks present in the range of 2.0-2.5 ppm may be due to -OH groups that form from the cleavage of ether bonds followed by hydrogenation of the oxygen.
Figure 5.10 NMR spectra for OL feed (bottom) and MoRu/AC DOL at 300 °C (middle) and 340 °C (top)
5.4 Conclusions

All of the catalysts tested were effective in the depolymerization and hydrotreatment of organosolv lignin. However, the presence of phosphorus in the catalyst, which is known to decrease solid residue and improve product yields in previous studies using model compounds, was found to inhibit the depolymerization of the organosolv lignin, perhaps due to residual acidity. The catalysts tested were able to greatly decrease the molecular weight of the OL feed from ~2600 to 460 g/mol at 340°C with the most effective MoRu/AC catalyst. The MoRu/C and reference Ru/C catalysts resulted in DOL with molecular weights of 516 and 540 g/mol at the same condition, respectively. All three of these DOL products obtained from the hydroprocessing at 340°C remained liquid even at temperatures below 0 °C. The oxygen content of DOL obtained with MoRu/AC at 340 °C was found to have decreased by ~38% as compared to ~25% for Ru/C, indicating that the presence of Mo in Ru catalysts has a synergistic effect in oxygen removal. At the same temperature, the hydrogen content of the DOL with Ru/C catalyst was found to increase by ~50% as compared to 42% with the MoRu/AC. The addition of Mo to carbon-supported Ru catalysts therefore produced a more effective catalyst for the reductive depolymerization of OL under hydrogen atmosphere for applications such as fuel additives and intermediates for chemicals and materials. More work needs to be done to develop MoRu catalysts capable of further increasing oxygen removal and maintaining the hydrogenation efficiency of the Ru catalyst.
5.5 References


Chapter 6

6 Reductive depolymerization of hydrolysis lignin for aromatic chemicals and fuels

6.1 Introduction

As our society starts to focus more and more on environmental and economical sustainability, renewable bio-energy and bio-materials from non-food resources, especially wood, are drawing increasing attention from consumers, governments, industries, and research institutes (Li and Ragauskas, 2012). Agricultural residues such as cornstalks, wheat straw, and corn and nut shells are abundant and renewable, as are forestry residues, from logging and pulp and paper manufacturing. Furthermore, they are produced in mass quantities in many countries, especially in Canada, Russia, China, India and Brazil.

The main components of these residues are cellulose, hemicellulose and lignin (Xu et al., 2012). Lignin represents 30% of all non-fossil organic carbon on Earth and its availability exceeds 300 billion tons (Smolarski, 2012). Thus large quantities of lignin are available from the numerous pulping mills and bio-refinery industries (such as cellulosic ethanol plants). In pulp and paper mills, most of the residues (lignin) is burned directly or discarded. These approaches not only waste precious bioresources, but also cause air pollution.

Recently, transforming agricultural residues into bio-ethanol, functional polysaccharides or bio-gas by means of enzymatic conversion has attracted much attention in many countries (Demirbas et al., 2006; Champagne, 2007). In these conversion processes, most of cellulose is fully utilized, leaving behind hydrolysis lignin (HL) - a by-product from the pre-treatment processes such as in cellulosic ethanol plants, which is mainly utilized as a low-value fuel. But large-scale development of these biomass conversion projects is limited by the high cost of cellulose enzymes and process equipment.(Jin et al., 2011) Finding effective ways to make full use of the lignin present in the process residues for value-added energy and chemical products is critical in improving the process economics.

HL is expected to become more widely available and in large quantities as projects producing cellulosic sugar-based chemicals or ethanol are realized. HL is a solid residue from the en-
zymatic hydrolysis of lignocellulosic biomass and is composed mainly of lignin (~60 wt.% or higher), unreacted cellulose and mono & oligosaccharides. (Sazanov et al., 2010) Compared with sulfur-containing Kraft lignin, the by-product of most wood pulping operations, HL is sulfur-free and therefore a much easier biomass to work with. As a consequence of processing conditions, hydrolysis lignin has undergone extensive acid condensation reactions and therefore is insoluble in water. Extensive research was undertaken in the former Soviet Union to find uses for this material. After extensive modification, the uses developed ranged from soil additives to dispersants, i.e. environment protection, soil quality improvement, crop farming, live stock farming, leather processing, recycling of valuable chemicals by waste water treatment etc. The majority of the hydrolysis lignin was disposed of because the required modifications were either too expensive or the material did not function well enough in application. These are the same problems facing today’s researchers who are looking for a use for hydrolysis lignin (Monica, 2005). Thus, further research into the effective and efficient utilization of HL is needed.

Due to its aromatic components, HL is a potential source of phenol and other aromatic compounds. One means of making use of this resource is to depolymerize the macromolecular HL into oligomers and monomers via hydrothermal depolymerization. This will dissociate the lignin and carbohydrates and partially cleave the primary and secondary ether bonds in both lignin and high molecular weight carbohydrates (cellulose and hemicellulose) into lower molecular weight compounds and, depending on the process parameters used, decreased oxygen content. (Xu et al., 2012) As a result, the solid lignocellulosic biomass (e.g. HL) can be converted into a product which can potentially be used as fuel and/or chemicals.

The hydrotreatment of lignin has typically been performed in the presence of sulfided Co- or Ni-promoted Mo catalysts, typically supported on alumina, borrowed from petroleum processing operations. (Senol et al., 2007; Romero et al., 2010; Zakzeski et al., 2010; Saidi et al., 2014) Noble metal catalysts have also been used in the hydroprocessing of lignin and biomass-derived bio-oils. Ru catalysts, in particular, have been shown to be very active in the hydrogenation and hydrodeoxygenation of model compounds (e.g. phenol and guaiacol) as well as bio-oils. (Elliott and Hart, 2009; Gutierrez et al., 2009; Lee et al., 2012; Chang et al., 2013) More recently, reductive depolymerization of lignin in the presence of hydrogen and other late 3d and 4d transition metals (e.g. Fe, Co, Ni, Cu, Ru, Rh, Pd, and Ag) has been pro-
posed. (Cateto et al., 2009; Li et al., 2011; Zhao et al., 2011; Jin, 2014) A review of the literature also reveals that mixed noble metal-transition metal catalysts (e.g. Ru-Co, Rh-Cu and Rh-Ag) have been used, although not in the hydroprocessing of bio-oils. (Rouco and Haller, 1981; Zauwen et al., 1989; Moura et al., 2012)

Although alumina-supported catalysts have been found to be active in HDO, they also increase catalyst deactivation by promoting the formation and deposition of coke on the catalyst surface. (Centeno et al., 1995; Prochazkova et al., 2007; Elliott and Hart, 2009; Wildschutt et al., 2009; Lin et al., 2011) Centeno et al. (1995) proposed that it is the weak Lewis acid sites present in the alumina that promote the condensation reactions leading to coke formation.

In order to avoid coke formation, researchers have investigated less-acidic supports such as activated carbon and SiO\(_2\) (Furimsky and Massoth, 1999; Reddy and Khan, 2005; Kersten et al., 2007) as well as other less common supports e.g. ZrO\(_2\) and MgO. (Senol et al., 2007; Bui et al., 2011) Yang et al. (2009) found that using carbon-supported catalysts in the hydrotreatment of phenol as a lignin model compound resulted in yields equivalent to those of alumina-supported catalysts, but with lower proportions of oxygenated compounds.

Horáček et al. (2012) investigated direct conversion of HL into chemicals in a semi-continuous process using high loadings (C/L = 0.25-1.0) of sulfided NiMo (30% metal loading) and NiMoP (20% metal loading) catalyst supported on \(\gamma\)-Al\(_2\)O\(_3\). They produced a binary phase product composed mainly of aromatics, naphthenes and phenols but experienced high gas and char production. More recently, Mahmood et al. (2013) performed a comparative study on the depolymerization of HL under acid, basic and neutral conditions employing water, ethanol, and water-ethanol mixtures.

The objective of this study was the reductive depolymerization (i.e. depolymerization and hydrotreatment) of hydrolysis lignin in supercritical acetone and in the presence of MoRu/AC catalyst under a hydrogen atmosphere to obtain low molecular weight compounds. Acetone was chosen as the solvent because both the aromatic components of HL (as evidenced by the solubility of both organosolv and Kraft lignin in acetone) as well as the aliphatic compounds produced are expected to be soluble in acetone. The catalysts used in this
study (Ru/C, Mo/AC and MoRu/AC) have been shown to be effective in the hydrosolv processing of organosolv lignin as seen in the author’s own research reported in the previous chapter. To the best of the author's knowledge, the depolymerization and hydrosolv processing of HL in the presence of a mixed noble/transition metal catalyst has not been reported in the literature.

6.2 Experimental

6.2.1 Materials

The hydrolysis lignin (HL) used in this study, derived from hardwood, was provided by FPInnovations, and contains 56.7 wt.% lignin, 29.8 wt.% carbohydrates, 1.2 wt.% ash and 12.3 wt.% unknowns. The HL’s chemical and elemental composition is provided in Table 6.1. The HL was insoluble in THF and several other common organic solvents including ethanol, methanol and acetone etc. due to the cross-linking between cellulose and lignin in the structure. Therefore, it was not possible to determine the weight average molecular weight (M_w) of the HL by GPC-UV. (Yuan et al., 2012) The molecular weight of original HL is believed to be >20,000 g/mol, and its pH was neutral.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Mass fraction (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lignin^1</td>
<td>56.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbohydrates^1</td>
<td>29.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ash^1</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others^3</td>
<td>12.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Elemental analysis (wt.%)^2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Carbon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrogen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrogen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

^1 On a dry basis; ^2 On a dry and ash-free basis (d.a.f.); ^3 by difference
The reference catalyst used in this study was Ru/C, a commercial catalyst containing 5 wt.% Ru supported on activated carbon, purchased from Sigma-Aldrich and used as provided. The MoRu/AC (containing 1 wt.% Mo and 5 wt.% Ru) and Mo/AC (containing 10 wt.% Mo) catalysts were prepared in-house by incipient wetness impregnation from activated charcoal (AC), ruthenium (III) nitrosyl nitrate solution (Ru(NO)(NO$_3$)$_3$) and/or ammonium molybdate tetrahydrate (($\text{NH}_4$)$_6\text{Mo}_7\text{O}_{24}$$\cdot$4$\text{H}_2\text{O}$). These chemicals and the solvents used (acetone and methanol) were reagent grade and purchased from Sigma-Aldrich.

The MoRu/AC catalyst was prepared by suspending the activated charcoal in a 50% solution of deionized water and methanol. The required volume of the Ru(NO)(NO$_3$)$_3$ solution was added to the suspension. The Mo was added by dissolving the required amount of the Mo compound in some distilled water and adding the solution to the suspension. The suspension was then stirred for 24 h at ambient temperature. The catalyst was then dewatered by rotary evaporation under vacuum at 85 °C. The catalyst was dried overnight in an oven at 105 °C. The catalyst was then loaded into a tube reactor and reduced under a flow of 50 mL/min hydrogen at 500 °C for 4 h. The evolution of a brown gas at a temperature of ~300 °C followed by the evolution of ammonia was evidence of reduction taking place. After cooling to ambient temperature under nitrogen, the catalyst was decanted into a beaker of methanol, also under nitrogen, for passivation. After evaporation of the methanol at 65 °C and cooling back to ambient, the catalyst was stored in an air-tight plastic bag before use. The Mo/AC catalyst was prepared according to the same procedure as the MoRu/AC catalyst.

The textural properties of the catalysts used in this study are presented in Table 6.2 below along with the textural analysis of the AC support, for comparison. The addition of the catalyst metals does not appear to have greatly decreased the surface area except in the case of the Mo/AC catalyst which was loaded with 10 wt.% of the metal. The volume of micropores however was greatly reduced (~85%) due to the deposition of metal(s). In contrast, the volume of mesopores was relatively unchanged indicating that the metal loading blocked the very smallest pores mainly. This is confirmed by the ~15% increase in average pore diameter. This change in pore size and volume did not affect the reaction due to the large size of the component compounds comprising the HL, which are too large to enter the micropore structure of the catalyst.
Table 6.2 Textural properties of the carbon-supported catalysts and activated charcoal support

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Catalyst</th>
<th>Metal Loading (wt.%)</th>
<th>BET S. Area (m²/g)</th>
<th>Tot. Pore Vol. (cm³)</th>
<th>Vol. of pores &lt;2 nm (cm³)</th>
<th>Vol. of pores 2-50 nm (cm³)</th>
<th>Avg. pore dia. (nm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ru/C</td>
<td>Mo</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>893</td>
<td>0.852</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>0.507</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MoRu/AC</td>
<td>Ru</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>875</td>
<td>0.786</td>
<td>0.034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mo/AC</td>
<td>Ru</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>678</td>
<td>0.656</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>0.400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AC support</td>
<td>Ru</td>
<td></td>
<td>963</td>
<td>0.749</td>
<td>0.224</td>
<td>0.405</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6.2.2 Method and apparatus

The hydroprocessing of HL was carried out in a 100 mL stainless-steel autoclave reactor equipped with a stirrer. Unless otherwise noted, the following conditions were used for all of these reactions. In a typical run, the reactor was loaded with 5 g of HL, 0.5 g of catalyst and 25 g of acetone. The reactor was sealed, purged with hydrogen three times and was subsequently pressurized to 5 MPa with hydrogen. The reactor was heated to the reaction temperature while stirring and kept at the desired temperature for 60 min before cooling. Once the reactor had cooled to room temperature, the gaseous products were collected for analysis in a 2.8 L gas cylinder equipped with a pressure gauge. The liquid products and solid residue (SR) were rinsed from the reactor with acetone and the resulting suspension was filtered under vacuum through a pre-weighed Whatman No. 5 filter paper. The SR, catalyst and filter paper were dried at 105 °C for 24 h before weighing. After a GC-MS sample was taken, the acetone was removed from the liquid product by rotary evaporation under vacuum at 45 °C. The yields of DHL and SR were calculated relative to the mass of the HL loaded into the reactor. Each experiment was performed a minimum of two times to reduce the experimental error to ±5%.

The relative molecular weights and their distributions of the original and de-polymerized lignin samples were measured with a Waters Breeze GPC-HPLC (gel permeation chromatography-high performance liquid chromatography) instrument (1525 binary pump, UV detector at 270 nm; Waters Styrylgel HR1 column at a column temperature of 40 °C) using THF as the eluant at a flow rate of 1 mL/min. Linear polystyrene standards were used to generate a calibration curve for molecular weight estimation. H1 NMR spectra were obtained on a 500
MHz Unity Inova NMR instrument at room temperature, wherein chloroform-$d$ was used as solvent. FT-IR spectra were collected on a Bruker Tensor 37 FTIR spectrophotometer in the range of 550-4000 cm$^{-1}$ with ATR accessory. The volatile components of the DOL and DAL were identified by GC-MS (HP 6890 GC and HP 5972 MS) using a silicon column with temperature programming from an initial temperature of 50 °C for 2 min hold at 10 °C/min to a final temperature of 280 °C for 2 min hold. Elemental analysis of CHNS (carbon, hydrogen, nitrogen, and sulfur) was conducted on a Flash EA 1112 Series elemental Analyzer. The BET surface area analysis was performed on a Micrometrics ASAP 2010 instrument. The samples were degassed at 150 °C until a stable static vacuum of less than 5×10$^{-3}$ Torr was achieved prior to analysis.

6.3 Results and Discussion

Hydrolysis lignin was successfully depolymerized into a liquid bio-oil under the conditions outlined above. All of the bio-oils were clear amber liquids that remained liquid even when stored at temperatures below freezing. The viscosity of the oils was measured and found to be in the range of 14-19 cP at 50 °C.

6.3.1 Effect of catalyst and temperature on HL bio-oil yields

The effects of temperature and catalyst on the yield of HL bio-oil are presented in Figure 6.1. At 200 °C and after 1 h reaction time in the presence of MoRu/AC catalyst, the yield of bio-oil was ~34 wt.% and increased monotonically to ~84 wt.% at 300 °C. Increasing the temperature to 350 °C only increased the yield to ~88 wt.%.
A similar trend was observed with the Ru/C catalyst indicating that the two catalysts exhibited approximately equivalent activity in HL depolymerization/liquefaction.

The data points in the figure indicated with asterisks were obtained with MoRu/AC catalyst in experiments which were purposely stopped as soon as the reactor reached the reaction temperature. Comparing these results to those obtained at the same reaction temperatures (300 and 340 °C), but after 60 min reaction time at temperature, shows that the extended soak did not increase the yields much, suggesting that the liquefaction of HL occurs rapidly under the conditions tested and that extended reaction times are not required to obtain adequate bio-oil yields. In contrast, in the work of Horáček et al. (2012), after 4 h online, they obtained oil yields of ~3-6 wt.% with an aqueous phase comprising 25-30 wt.% of the HL.

The effects of reaction time on bio-oil yields are shown in Figure 6.2. As can be seen, at 300 °C the yield of bio-oil obtained after the ~30 min heating time was ~70 wt.% indicating that the decomposition of HL into bio-oil occurs very rapidly and at lower temperatures.

Figure 6.1 HL bio-oil yields as a function of temperature
The extended runs at 300 °C did not improve the yield of bio-oil. A similar experiment performed at 340 °C revealed that the yield of HL bio-oil was ~76 wt.% after heating to reaction temperature and had increased to ~85 wt.% after only 30 min reaction time. This yield is very similar to the yield of bio-oil of ~88 wt.% obtained after 60 min. Therefore at elevated temperature, even 60 min reaction time may not be necessary to obtain maximum bio-oil yields. Consistent with the increasing yields of bio-oil, the amount of solid residue remaining after reaction decreased with increased reaction time (5.6, 4.8 and 3.7 wt.% at 300 °C respectively and 3.2, 1.9 and 1.8 wt.% at 340 °C).

In comparison, the conversion of HL by Horáček et al. (2012) at 320 °C resulted in an oil yield of ~7 wt.%, aqueous phase yield of ~16 wt.%, gas yield of around 30 wt.%, and a char yield of ~43 wt.. At elevated temperature (380 °C), the yields of oil and aqueous phase increased to ~11 and ~29 wt.%, respectively, and char yield decreased to ~25 wt.% with gas formation unchanged.

Figure 6.3 shows the cumulative product yields for the hydrothermal liquefaction of HL. As is immediately evident, the yield of solid residue (SR) at lower temperatures (up to 250 °C) is
very high, but decreased drastically with increased temperature and is negligible at 340 °C. It should be noted here that only at 340 °C can the SR actually be considered char. At lower temperatures, the SR was a fluffy mass of unreacted HL mixed with the catalyst as shown in Figure 6.4.

![Figure 6.3 Cumulative product yields as a function of reaction temperature and catalyst](image)

Water can be produced by dehydration reactions that occur during HL depolymerization. The yield of water, as presented in Figure 6.3, was calculated by difference. As is also evident, formation of water was negligible at 200 °C, but became substantial (12 and 19 wt.% with MoRu/AC and Ru/C, respectively) at 250 °C, indicating that dehydration reactions were occurring to a great extent at these temperatures. Increasing the reaction temperature further resulted in decreased water content but increased gas yields, which might be due to the reaction of some of the water to produce gaseous compounds at elevated temperatures. As is clearly shown in the figure, at 340°C the water yield was negligible.
Figure 6.4 Photographs of solid residue from HL depolymerization at 200 °C with MoRu/AC catalyst (left) and HL feed before reaction (right)

6.3.2 Elemental analysis of HL bio-oils

Elemental analysis was performed on the bio-oil samples, and the results are presented in Table 6.3 and Figures 6.5-6.7. The results reveal that deoxygenation of the HL is possible at very low temperatures using MoRu/AC catalyst. For instance, the oxygen content of the bio-oil produced with MoRu/AC at 200 °C contained ~14% less O than the HL feed. This was accompanied by a modest 2.2% increase in hydrogen content. Increasing reaction temperature drastically increased the hydrogen content of the bio-oils relative to the feed, owing to enhanced hydrogenation reactions at higher temperatures and, at 340 °C, the H content with MoRu/AC catalyst increased by ~50%. This was accompanied by a large (~44%) decrease in O content. The MoRu/AC catalyst generally performed similarly to the Ru/C reference catalyst. However, at 340 °C, the MoRu/AC catalyst produced a bio-oil with greatly increased hydrogen content (~50% increase compared to ~30% increase in H with Ru/C), suggesting better hydrogenation/hydrodeoxygenation activity of the MoRu/AC. As also shown in Table 6.3, the Mo/AC catalyst exhibited good hydrogenation/hydrodeoxygenation activity as well, leading to an increase in H content by 28.5% at 340 °C. The above results suggest that Mo on its own is an effective hydrogenation/deoxygenation catalyst of HL under the conditions tested. The use of Mo catalysts in HDO of bio-oils has been widely reported by other researchers in literature, but usually in sulfided form. (Meier, et al., 1992; Ryymin et al., 2009) or promoted form. (Yang et al., 2008; Shabtai et al., 1999) The combination of Mo and Ru
exhibits a synergistic effect in this regard, as was also observed in the studies reported in previous chapters. The extent of oxygen removal at 340 °C was approximately the same for all three catalysts, as also clearly displayed by O/C molar ratios of all bio-oils presented in van Krevelen plots in Figures 6.6 and 6.7. The extent of hydrogenation however was largely dependent on the catalyst used, leading to 28.5, 30 and 50% increases in H content with Mo/AC, Ru/C and MoRu/AC, respectively (Table 6.3 and Figure 6.5).

Table 6.3 Elemental composition of HL-derived bio-oils

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Temp.(°C)</th>
<th>% N</th>
<th>% C</th>
<th>% H</th>
<th>%O*</th>
<th>Increase in %H</th>
<th>Decrease in %O</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HL Feed</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>49.8</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>42.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MoRu/AC</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>55.6</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>36.6</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>13.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MoRu/AC</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>58.9</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>33.0</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>22.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MoRu/AC</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>62.5</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>28.5</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>33.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MoRu/AC</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>65.0</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>23.9</td>
<td>49.6</td>
<td>43.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ru/C</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>61.6</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>30.4</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>28.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ru/C</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>63.7</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>27.1</td>
<td>22.9</td>
<td>36.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ru/C</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>65.2</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>30.1</td>
<td>41.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mo/AC</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>66.3</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>24.1</td>
<td>28.5</td>
<td>43.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* determined by mass difference

Figure 6.5 Hydrogen and oxygen contents in HL bio-oils produced at different temperatures
Van Krevelen plots, illustrated in Figures 6.6 and 6.7, reveal interesting trends in the performance of the different catalysts in deoxygenation and hydrogenation of the HL at various temperatures. Figure 6.6 compares the O/C and H/C molar ratios for bio-oils obtained at 340 °C using different catalysts. As discussed previously, all three catalysts were approximately equally effective in reducing the O/C ratio of the bio-oils relative to the HL feed. However, the H/C ratios reveal a different trend. The H/C ratios for the Mo/AC actually decreased with respect to the HL feed, suggesting condensation reactions, e.g. dehydration reactions forming condensed products and water (as evidenced in Figure 6.3), as has been reported in many bio-oil HDO studies. (Mortensen et al., 2011; Wildschut, et al. 2009) Only the MoRu/AC catalyst exhibited superior activity in the hydrogenation of HL, producing bio-oils with a substantially increased H/C ratio.

Figure 6.7 plots the O/C vs. H/C ratios for bio-oils obtained at different temperatures. In all experiments, the O/C ratios decreased relative to the HL, as expected owing to the HDO effects of the catalysts. However, in most of the experiments (except for those with MoRu/AC catalyst at 340°C), the H/C ratios decreased relative to the feed, even though the hydrogen content of the bio-oils increased, possibly due to condensation reactions, e.g. dehydration reactions forming condensed products and water (as evidenced in Figure 6.3).
6.3.3 Formation of gaseous products and hydrogen consumption during HL hydrotreatment

The gaseous products of HL liquefaction were collected and analyzed by GC-TCD. The concentration of the carbonaceous gases produced from experiments with different catalysts and temperatures is shown in Table 6.4. As is evident, and was expected, the amounts of the methane and CO\textsubscript{2} increased with increasing temperature. Moreover, the MoRu/AC catalyst produced over 50% more methane than the reference Ru/C catalyst at 340 °C. This result implies that the Mo acts as a promoter for Ru/AC, enhancing the activity of the catalyst in lignin demethanation and methanation of CO/CO\textsubscript{2} at higher temperatures according to the following reaction:

\[
\text{CO (and CO}_2\text{)} + \text{H}_2 \rightarrow \text{CH}_4 + \text{H}_2\text{O}
\]

The above mechanism is likely supported by the variation of CO concentration with temperature which peaked at a reaction temperature of 250 °C and decreased with increasing temperature. The reduction of CO coincides with the increase in CO\textsubscript{2} and corresponding decrease in water, suggesting the occurrence of the water-gas shift reaction:

\[
\text{CO + H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{CO}_2 + \text{H}_2
\]
The work of Horáček et al. (2012) showed that they obtained ~30-35 wt.% gasification of the HL. The major gaseous product evolved during their reactions was CO₂ (15-35 wt.% depending on reaction temperature) accompanied by approximately equal amounts of CO and CH₄ (maximum ~8 wt.%).

Table 6.4 Composition of gaseous products (vol.%) from experiments with different catalysts and temperatures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Catalyst</th>
<th>MoRu/AC</th>
<th>Ru/C</th>
<th>Mo/AC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Temp. (°C)</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH₄</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>3.24</td>
<td>7.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO₂</td>
<td>0.254</td>
<td>3.51</td>
<td>8.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C₂H₄</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C₃H₆</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>0.383</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C₃H₈</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.046</td>
<td>0.051</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propylene</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td>0.061</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tot. mol C in gas</td>
<td>0.0007</td>
<td>0.0105</td>
<td>0.0222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mass C in gas</td>
<td>0.0087</td>
<td>0.1263</td>
<td>0.2668</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% C gasified</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>10.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% HL gasified</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Hydrogen consumption was also determined by GC-TCD analysis. The amount of hydrogen initially present before reaction was calculated based on the free headspace in the reactor after it was loaded with the solvent, catalyst and feed. The volume of the headspace was calculated by subtracting the volume of solvent and feed from the total volume of the reactor when empty. Figure 6.8 shows hydrogen consumption in hydroprocessing of with various catalysts and catalysts.

Hydrogen consumed generally increased with increasing temperature but not as much as might be expected given the increasing amount of methane that was observed (due to methanation reactions) (Table 6.4). However, the hydrogen consumption values are all in a narrow range of 15-20 mol/kg, irrespective of temperature and the type of catalyst. A possible reason for this is the generation of hydrogen during the water-gas shift reaction offsetting the hydrogen consumed by hydrogenation and HDO reactions, as discussed previously.
The hydrogen consumption from the hydrotreating of HL with MoRu/AC catalyst for extended reaction times is presented in Figure 6.9. An extended reaction time increases hydrogen consumption slightly, but leveled off at approx. 60 min, which follows the similar trends observed in the bio-oil yields vs. reaction time (Figure 6.2). After 60 min, the hydrogen consumption slightly dropped with further increasing time, which might also be accounted for by the trade-off between hydrogen consumed and hydrogen generated due to water-gas shift reaction.
6.3.4 GPC analysis of HL bio-oils

GPC analysis was performed to determine the molecular weights of the various bio-oils. The GPC curves for bio-oils obtained from the HL hydropyrolysis at 340 °C in the presence of different catalysts are presented in Figure 6.10. The plots reveal all of metal catalysts produced bio-oils with approximately the same molecular weights (M_w ≈ 400 g/mol).
The GPC curves in Figure 6.11 show the effect of reaction temperature on the molecular weight of the bio-oils. At 200 °C, the weight-average molecular weight ($M_w$) of the bio-oil is ~560 g/mol and this value increases to ~630 g/mol at 250 °C.

Figure 6.10 GPC curves for bio-oils obtained at 340 °C and different catalysts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Catalyst</th>
<th>$M_w$ (g/mol)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mo/AC</td>
<td>419</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MoRu/AC</td>
<td>380</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ru/C</td>
<td>423</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 6.11 GPC curves for HL at different reaction times
This is perhaps due to the scission of bonds that are easily cleaved which released larger components into solution. The lower temperatures did not provide enough energy to break the more resistant bonds as indicated by the lower molecular weights obtained at higher temperatures.

The changes in molecular weight due to reaction time are presented in Table 6.5. As is reasonably expected, the molecular weight of the bio-oils was found to decrease with increased reaction time. At 300 °C and 60 min reaction time, there was sufficient energy and time for the resistant bonds to break, decreasing the molecular weight of the bio-oil. Extended reaction time did not materially affect the molecular weight, indicating that under these conditions, it is not possible to break the remaining bonds and further decrease bio-oil molecular weight.

At higher temperature, 340 °C, sufficient energy was applied to the reaction system to thermodynamically promote depolymerization reactions: Increasing the reaction time resulted in a further decrease in bio-oil molecular weight, reaching 380 g/mol at 60 min reaction time.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reaction Temperature (°C)</th>
<th>Reaction Time</th>
<th>M&lt;sub&gt;w&lt;/sub&gt; (g/mol)</th>
<th>M&lt;sub&gt;n&lt;/sub&gt; (g/mol)</th>
<th>PDI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>300</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>698</td>
<td>233</td>
<td>2.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>60</td>
<td>489</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>2.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>120</td>
<td>477</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>2.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>340</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>478</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>2.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
<td>429</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>2.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>60</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>2.47</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
6.3.5 FTIR analysis of HL bio-oils

FTIR analysis was performed on the HL-derived bio-oils to determine how the functional groups present were affected by the catalysts used as well as the reaction conditions.

Figure 6.12 presents the FTIR spectra of bio-oils obtained after 1 h reaction time at different temperatures using MoRu/AC catalyst. The spectra have been normalized with respect to the aromatic peak at 1620 cm⁻¹. It is immediately evident that the response the -OH region (3,600-3,000 cm⁻¹) decreases with increasing temperature, indicating that the number of OH bonds present in the bio-oils was greatly reduced when subjected to increased temperatures. A possible reason for this decrease in -OH groups, in addition to the loss of oxygen in the form of CO and CO₂, is hydrodeoxygenation reactions affecting the carbohydrate fraction of the HL which form water as a product.

Looking at the expanded fingerprint region, there is an increase in response around 1700 cm⁻¹, indicative of C=O stretch, which is unexpected given that the reactions took place under a reducing atmosphere. However, given that a large fraction of the HL is composed of carbohydrates, it is possible that the associated OH groups could have reacted to form carbonyl groups. The peak at ~1680 cm⁻¹ can be attributed to the formation of quinone structures.

The peaks between 1520 and 1450 cm⁻¹, which are due to aromatic stretch, exhibit a decreased response at elevated temperatures, possibly due to hydrogenation of the aromatic ring.

It is interesting to note that the decrease in response in this region was not uniform. The peak at 1520 cm⁻¹ did not decrease until the reaction temperature was 340 °C, whereas the peaks at ~1460 and 1425 cm⁻¹ decreased in step as the temperature increased. This indicates that the amount of energy required to affect the structures responsible for the response at 1520 cm⁻¹ was greater than those at the smaller wavenumber. The peak at 1375 cm⁻¹ (sp³ CH bend) decreased when the temperature increased from 200 to 250 °C but did not decrease further, indicating that some of the structures with CH₃ bonds were easily cleaved even at lower temperatures.
Figure 6.12 Normalized FTIR spectra for HL bio-oils as a function of reaction temperature with the fingerprint region expanded

The response in the range 1325-1000 cm\(^{-1}\), indicative of C-O stretch, shows similar differences in response to temperature. Some of the peaks exhibit incremental decreases as the temperature increased (1325, 1120 and 1030 cm\(^{-1}\)), while others (1220 cm\(^{-1}\)) were not af-
fected until 340 °C indicating that these structures required greater energy to break. The appearance of peaks in the range of 690-590 cm\(^{-1}\) at 340 °C is indicative of the presence of substituted benzene rings, indicating that higher temperatures were required to cleave the structures bonded to the aromatic rings leaving behind simpler mono- and di-substituted compounds.

The expanded fingerprint region of the FTIR spectra for bio-oils obtained using the different catalysts at 340 °C is presented in Figure 6.13. Similarly, the spectra have been normalized with respect to the aromatic peak at 1620 cm\(^{-1}\). Generally the IR spectra were not affected by type of catalyst and the IR response in the -OH region (3,600-3,000 cm\(^{-1}\)) for all catalysts (not shown in this figure) was approximately the same, indicating that the bio-oils obtained from the catalytic depolymerization of HL at a same temperature (340 °C) are all similar in chemical structure.

Figure 6.13 Normalized FTIR spectra (expanded fingerprint region) for HL-derived bio-oils obtained at 340 °C with different catalysts
6.3.6 GC/MS analysis of HL bio-oils

The analysis of HL-derived bio-oil by GC/MS elucidated the volatile compounds present in the bio-oil. Peaks with small areas and low confidence were omitted from the analysis. Figure 6.14 and Table 6.6 present the GC chromatograms and composition of the bio-oils obtained at 340 °C for 1 h reaction with different catalysts. Again, all oils at the same temperature although obtained with different catalysts have similar composition, as evidenced previously by the FTIR analysis.

As shown in Table 6.6, the first few compounds that eluted are ketones that can form from the dimerization of the acetone solvent as well as decomposition of the hydrocarbon component of HL. As expected, compounds that were identified by GC/MS are primarily phenolic compounds derived from lignin, indicating that de-polymerization/de-gradation of the lignin present in the HL was readily achieved at 340°C. Note that the shaded cells indicate that no compounds were evident at a particular retention time and catalyst/temperature condition.

Figure 6.14 GC/MS plots for HL bio-oils produced at 340 °C with different catalysts
Table 6.6 Composition of HL bio-oils produced at 340 °C with different catalysts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RT (min)</th>
<th>Catalyst</th>
<th>MoRu/AC</th>
<th>Mo/AC</th>
<th>Ru/C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3.1</td>
<td></td>
<td>3-Penten-2-one, 4-methyl-/3-Hexen-2-one</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>Cyclopanone</td>
<td>2-Cyclohexen-1-one, 3,5-dimethyl-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>2-Hexanone, 4-methyl-</td>
<td>Cyclopanone</td>
<td>2-Hexanone, 5-methyl-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>Cyclopanone, 2-methyl-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>Mesitylene/Benzene, 1,2,4-trimethyl-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>2-Cyclohexen-1-one, 4,5-dimethyl-/</td>
<td>2-Cyclohexen-1-one, 3,6-dimethyl-6-(1-methylethyl)-</td>
<td>2,5,5-Trimethylcyclohex-2-enone</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>Phenol, 3-ethyl-</td>
<td>Phenol, 3,5-dimethyl-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.1</td>
<td></td>
<td>Phenol, 4-ethyl-2-methoxy-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>Phenol, 2-propyl-</td>
<td>Phenol, 3-propyl-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.9</td>
<td></td>
<td>Phenol, 2-methoxy-4-propyl-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>Phenol, 2,6-dimethoxy-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>1,2,4-Trimethoxybenzene</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>1,1'-Biphenyl, 2-ethyl-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5-tert-Butylpyrogallol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4-Propyl-1,1'-difluorphenyl</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The composition of the HL-derived bio-oil obtained after 1 h reaction with MoRu/AC catalyst at different temperatures was analyzed by GC/MS, and the results are presented in Figure 6.15 and Table 6.7. The results show that increasing reaction temperature results in an increase in the variety of compounds present in the bio-oils, as is expected, and that the abundance of the compounds detected (mainly phenolics) depended strongly on temperature. At lower temperatures, these compounds are more oxygenated and even contain double bonds e.g. 2,6-dimethoxy-4-(2-propenyl)-phenol. At increased temperatures, the compounds containing double bonds are reduced while alkyl-substituted phenolic compounds increase and some completely deoxygenated aromatics e.g. 2-ethyl-1,1'-biphenyl form.
Figure 6.15 GC/MS plots for HL bio-oils produced with MoRu/AC catalyst at different temperatures

Table 6.7 Composition of HL bio-oils produced with MoRu/AC catalyst at different temperatures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RT (min)</th>
<th>Reaction Temperature (°C)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>2-Pentene, 3,4-dimethyl-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>Cyclopanone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>2-Hexanone, 4-methyl-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>2-Pentanone, 4-hydroxy-4-methyl-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>2-Cyclohexen-1-one, 4,5-dimethyl-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>Creosol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>Phenol, 3-ethyl-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>Phenol, 4-ethyl-2-methoxy-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>Phenol, 2-propyl-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>Phenol, 2-methoxy-4-propyl-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>Phenol, 2,6-dimethoxy-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>1,2,4-Trimethoxybenzene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>Benzene, 1,2,3-trimethoxy-5-methyl-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>Benaldehyde, 4-[[4-(acetyloxy)-3,5-dimethoxyphenyl]methoxy]-3-methoxy-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>Phenol, 2,6-dimethoxy-4-(2-propenyl)-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The HL-derived bio-oils obtained at 340 °C after different lengths of reaction time were also analyzed, although the results are not presented here. As shown previously, the compounds in all of the oils are largely phenolics. Similar to what observed with increasing temperature, increasing reaction time allowed for the hydrogenation of the double bonds present in the phenolics. Similar results were observed for bio-oils obtained with extended reaction times at 300 °C.

6.3.7 NMR analysis of HL-derived bio-oils

NMR analysis was performed to help elucidate the changes that occurred during reaction and NMR spectra of HL bio-oils after 1 h reaction using MoRu/AC at 200 °C and 340 °C are illustrated in Figure 6.16. Several differences are evident between the two spectra shown in this Figure. In the oil obtained at a higher temperature, there is a decrease in intensity in the region of 5-3.3 ppm which corresponds to protons associated with ether bonds, accompanied by a corresponding increase in intensity in the region of 2.6-1.5 ppm, corresponding to the hydroxyl proton. As is commonly agreed upon, ether bonds can be cleaved readily by H₂ scission during the hydroprocessing operation (Lin et al., 2001; Ryymin et al., 2009). If the ether –O– is capped with hydrogen, an alcohol is produced. As is seen in the Figure, the peak at ~1.2 ppm decreases and the peak at 0.9 ppm increases. This indicates that the abundance of CH₂ moieties in the bio-oils decreases with increased temperature, accompanied by more CH₃ groups, as would be expected as ether and other functional groups are cleaved and are hydrogenated.
Figure 6.16 H-NMR spectra of HL bio-oil obtained after 1 h reaction using MoRu/AC at 200 °C (top) and 340 °C (bottom)
6.4 Conclusions

Hydrolysis lignin was successfully depolymerized (or liquefied) in the presence of hydrogen, a carbon-supported metal catalyst and acetone solvent, producing low molecular weight bio-oils (as low as 380 g/mol) with high yields around 85 wt.%. The HL-derived bio-oils remained liquid at temperatures slightly below freezing. At 340 °C and with MoRu/AC catalyst, the yield of solid residue was less than 2 wt.%. Deoxygenation of HL was found to be largely thermally driven - the yields and $M_w$ as well as chemical composition of the bio-oils are strongly dependent on the reaction temperature. Hydrogenation highly promoted by the presence of the MoRu/AC catalyst at 340 °C, resulting in a bio-oil with a remarkable 50% increase in hydrogen content relative to the HL feed. GC/MS analysis of the bio-oils revealed that in addition to the presence of ketones from the decomposition of the carbohydrates present in the HL feed, the bio-oils were largely composed of phenolic compounds. This indicates that the lignin component of HL can easily be depolymerized or liquefied at temperatures between 200 and 340 °C.
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Chapter 7

7 Hydrotreatment of depolymerized hydrolysis lignin

7.1 Introduction

The awareness of the impending depletion of fossil fuel resources in recent decades has resulted in the growth of interest into the effective utilization of biomass resources as alternative feedstocks for bio-chemicals and bio-materials (Cheng et al., 2010). The use of biomass has the advantages of: (1) being renewable and widely available, (2) containing negligible sulfur and other detrimental elements, and (3) it can be regarded as a carbon-neutral resource as the utilization of biomass does not result in a net increase in the CO$_2$ concentration in the atmosphere (Tymchyshyn and Xu, 2010).

Lignocellulosic biomass consists of three major components: cellulose, hemicelluloses and lignin. Cellulose is a homo-polymer of D-glucose units, joined by β-O-4 glycosidic linkages and comprises from 30-50% of biomass (MacLellan, 2010). Polysaccharides, i.e. cellulose and different hemicelluloses, are the primary constituents of wood and wood pulps. Hemicelluloses are heteroglycans containing several different types of neutral (pentose and hexose) and acidic (uronic acid) monosaccharides as structural elements.

A well-established approach to obtain chemicals from lignocellulosic biomass is enzymatic hydrolysis of wood and pulps. During hydrolysis, enzymes break down the polysaccharides in the woody biomass into simpler molecules. Thus, the reaction is generally not hindered by the presence of either lignin or lipophilic extractives. This allows for the removal of most of the lignin and extractives from the fibers and enhances the swelling and porosity of the fibers. The cellulose and hemicelluloses in these chemical pulps can then be effectively hydrolyzed into their monosaccharide components by the enzymes. In some cases however, delignification or acid pre-hydrolysis may be required in order for the enzymes to access the degradable cellulosic components. If enzymatic hydrolysis is performed without such pre-treatment, then much of the lignin remains in the hydrolyzed product. The solid residues which remain after the enzymatic hydrolysis of wood are known as hydrolysis lignin (HL) or hydrolyzed wood biomass and are composed of unreacted cellulose, mono and oligosaccharides, and lignin, with lignin comprising 50 to 55% of the mass. (Dahlman et al., 2000; San-
Conventionally, lignin is regarded as a low value waste product and is used to produce heat via direct combustion. However, due to its structure, lignin is a promising source of phenols and aromatics. Lignin is a complex aromatic biopolymer composed of three basic phenyl-propanol building blocks, i.e., \( p \)-hydroxyl-phenyl propanol, guaiacyl-propanol and syringyl-propanol (Tejado et al., 2007). These phenyl-propanols are linked mainly by two types of linkages: condensed linkages such as 5-5 and \( \beta \)-1 linkages and ether bonds such as \( \alpha \)-O-4 and \( \beta \)-O-4 linkages. The ether linkages are more reactive and, under proper reaction conditions, are more easily cleaved than the more stable C-C bonds, (Chakar and Ragauskas, 2004) and as a result, solid lignin can be converted into a product which can potentially be used as fuels and/or chemicals.

Typically, the hydroprocessing of lignin-derived bio-oils (to remove oxygen and hydrogenate the product) has been performed in the presence of noble metal catalysts. Ru catalysts, in particular, have been shown to be very active in the hydrogenation and hydrodeoxygenation of model compounds (e.g. phenol and guaiacol) as well as bio-oils. (Elliott and Hart, 2009; Gutierrez et al., 2009; Lee et al., 2012; Chang et al., 2013) Co- or Ni-promoted Mo sulfide catalysts, typically supported on alumina, borrowed from the petroleum industry have also been used in the hydroprocessing of lignin and biomass-derived bio-oils, especially from Kraft lignin. (Senol et al., 2007; Romero et al., 2010; Zakzeski et al., 2010; Saidi et al., 2014)

More recently, reductive depolymerization of lignin in the presence of hydrogen and metal catalysts especially other late 3d and 4d transition metal (e.g. Fe, Co, Ni, Cu, Ru, Rh, Pd, and Ag) has been proposed. (Cateto et al., 2009; Li et al., 2011; Zhao et al., 2011; Jin, 2014) A review of the literature also reveals that mixed noble metal/transition metal catalysts (e.g. Ru-Co, Rh-Cu and Rh-Ag) have been used, although not in the hydroprocessing of bio-oils. (Rouco and Haller, 1981; Zauwen et al., 1989; Moura et al., 2012)

Although the typical alumina-supported catalysts have been found to be active in HDO, they also increase catalyst deactivation by promoting the formation and deposition of coke on the catalyst surface. (Centeno et al., 1995; Procházková et al., 2007; Elliott and Hart, 2009; Wildschutt et al., 2009; Lin et al., 2011) Centeno et al. (1995) proposed that it is the weak Lewis acid sites present in the alumina that promote the condensation reactions leading to coke.
formation.

In order to avoid coke formation, researchers have investigated less-acidic supports such as activated carbon and SiO$_2$ (Furimsky and Massoth, 1999; Reddy and Khan, 2005; Kersten et al., 2007) as well as other less common supports e.g. ZrO$_2$ and MgO. (Senol et al., 2007; Bui et al., 2011) Yang et al. (2009) found that using carbon-supported catalysts resulted in yields equivalent to those of alumina-supported catalysts, but with lower proportions of oxygenated compounds.

The major objective of this work was the catalytic hydrotreatment of depolymerized hydrolysis lignin (DHL) - obtained by the depolymerization of hydrolysis lignin, itself a by-product from pre-treatment processes in cellulosic ethanol plants, in super-critical acetone and investigating the effects of reaction temperature and time on process yields. To the best of the author’s knowledge no systematic study of the catalytic hydrotreatment of DHL has been reported in the literature.

7.2 Experimental

7.2.1 Materials

The hydrolysis lignin (HL) used in this study was provided by FPInnovations and was insoluble in THF and several other common organic solvents including ethanol, methanol and acetone due to the cross-linking between cellulose and lignin present in the material. Therefore, it was not possible to determine the weight average molecular weight ($M_w$) of the HL by GPC-UV. (Yuan et al., 2012) The pH value of original hydrolysis lignin was neutral.

This hydrolysis lignin was depolymerized via a proprietary process at 150-300 °C for 30-120 min under operating pressure of ~300 psig at a substrate concentration of 5-30 wt.% The process resulted in a moderately high yield of DHL (~70 wt.%) with a solid residues (SR) yield of ~ 10 wt.% The detailed operating conditions are protected due to a patent application. This depolymerized material was precipitated from solution, neutralized to a pH greater than 5.5, filtered, rinsed and dried before use.

The Ru/C catalyst used in this study was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich and used as pro-
vided. The MoRu/AC catalyst was prepared in house by incipient wetness impregnation from activated charcoal, ruthenium (III) nitrosyl nitrate solution (Ru(NO)(NO$_3$)$_3$) and ammonium molybdate tetrahydrate ((NH$_4$)$_6$Mo$_7$O$_{24}$·4H$_2$O). Solvents included acetone and methanol. All were reagent grade and purchased from Sigma-Aldrich.

To prepare the MoRu catalyst activated charcoal was suspended in a 50% solution of water and methanol. The calculated volume of the Ru nitrate solution was added to this solution. The Mo was added by dissolving the required amount of the Mo compound in some distilled water and adding the solution to the suspension. The suspension was then stirred for 24 h at ambient temperature. The catalyst was then dewatered under vacuum and rotary evaporation at 85 °C. The catalyst was then dried overnight in an oven at 105 °C. The catalyst was then loaded into a tube reactor and reduced under a flow of 50 mL/min hydrogen at 500°C for 4 h. The evolution of a brown gas at a temperature of ~300°C and ammonia was evidence of the reduction taking place. After cooling to ambient temperature under nitrogen, the catalyst was decanted into a beaker of methanol, also under nitrogen, for passivation. After evaporation of the methanol at 65°C and cooling back to ambient, the catalyst was stored in an air-tight container.

7.2.2 Method and apparatus

The hydroprocessing of the DHL was carried out in a 100 mL stainless-steel autoclave reactor equipped with a stirrer. In a typical run, the reactor was loaded with 5 g of DHL, 0.5 g of catalyst and 25 g of acetone. The reactor was sealed, purged with hydrogen three times and was subsequently pressurized to >5 MPa hydrogen. After a 5 min leak check, the reactor pressure was vented to obtain 5 MPa. The reactor was heated to the reaction temperature while stirring and kept at the desired temperature for 60 min before cooling. Once the reactor had cooled to room temperature, the gaseous products were sampled for analysis. The liquid products and solid residue (SR) were rinsed from the reactor with acetone and the resulting suspension was filtered under vacuum through a pre-weighed Whatman No. 5 filter paper. The SR, catalyst and filter paper were dried at 105 °C for 24 h before weighing. After a GC-MS sample was taken, the acetone was removed from the liquid product by rotary evaporation under vacuum at 45 °C. The yields of the hydroprocessed DHL and SR were calculated.
relative to the mass of the DHL loaded into the reactor. Each experiment was performed a minimum of two times to reduce the experimental error to ± 5%.

The relative molecular weights and their distributions of the DHL feed and resulting bio-oils were measured with a Waters Breeze GPC-HPLC (gel permeation chromatography-high performance liquid chromatography) instrument (1525 binary pump, UV detector at 270 nm; Waters Styrylgel HR1 column at a column temperature of 40 °C) using THF as the eluant at a flow rate of 1 mL/min. Linear polystyrene standards were used to generate a calibration curve for molecular weight estimation. H$^1$ NMR spectra were obtained on a 500 MHz Unity Inova NMR instrument at room temperature, wherein chloroform-$d$ was used as solvent. FT-IR spectra were collected on a Bruker Tensor 37 FTIR spectrophotometer in the range of 550-4000 cm$^{-1}$ with ATR accessory. The volatile components of the DHL bio-oils were identified by GC-MS (HP 6890 GC and HP 5972 MS) using a silicon column with temperature programming from an initial temperature of 50 °C for 2 min hold at 10 °C/min to a final temperature of 280 °C for 2 min hold. CHNS (carbon, hydrogen, nitrogen, and sulfur) elemental analysis was conducted on a Flash EA 1112 Series elemental Analyzer. The BET surface area analysis was performed on a Micrometrics ASAP 2010 instrument. The samples were degassed at 150 °C until a stable static vacuum of less than 5×10$^{-3}$ Torr was achieved prior to analysis.

7.3 Results and Discussion

7.3.1 Catalyst characterization

The textural analysis of these catalysts is presented in Table 7.1. There was no great difference in the surface areas, pore volumes and pore diameters of the catalysts, therefore the differences in catalyst performance must be due to the catalyst metals.
### Table 7.1 Catalyst textural properties

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Catalyst</th>
<th>Metal Loading (wt.%)</th>
<th>BET Surface Area (m²/g)</th>
<th>Tot. Pore Vol. (cm³)</th>
<th>Vol. of pores &lt;2 nm (cm³)</th>
<th>Vol. of pores 2-50 nm (cm³)</th>
<th>Avg. pore dia. (nm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ru/C</td>
<td>Mo 5</td>
<td>893</td>
<td>0.852</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>0.507</td>
<td>3.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MoRu/AC</td>
<td>1 5</td>
<td>875</td>
<td>0.786</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>0.442</td>
<td>3.58</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 7.3.2 Effect of catalyst and temperature

The DHL was hydro-treated at standard reaction conditions of 5 g DHL dissolved in 25 g acetone, 0.5 g catalyst, 5 MPa initial hydrogen pressure and 1 h reaction time unless otherwise noted. The bio-oils produced as a result of these experiments were thick and viscous. It was not possible to measure their viscosity even at 80 °C. Evidence of the nature of the bio-oils was observed during the removal of the char and catalyst by filtration, where the filtration of ~250 mL of suspension required more than 2 hours under vacuum.

The yields of hydro-treated DHL (referred to as bio-oil for convenience) are presented in Figure 7.1. As can be seen, the yields of bio-oil started at a maximum of ~83 wt.% and decreased with increasing reaction temperature to a low of ~40 wt.% due to increasing char (solid residue) formation. A similar trend was seen in work performed in our group using these catalysts to hydroprocess organosolv lignin, and reported in previous chapters, but not to the same extent. The MoRu/AC catalyst performed better than the reference Ru/C catalyst by ~12 wt.% regardless of reaction temperature. At shorter reaction times, the yields of hydroprocessed DHL were smaller than at 60 min, however, the differences were not significant.
Figure 7.1 Yields of DHL bio-oil vs. temperature and catalyst

The cumulative product yields for these experiments are presented in Table 7.2 and Figure 7.2. As is evident, the decrease in bio-oil yield is accompanied by an increase in the amount of solid residue (char) that was produced. Gasification of the DHL feed was not a significant factor in the yields being less than 4 wt.% for all conditions tested.

Although unexpected, the large amount of char that formed can be explained by the fact that the DHL feed had previously been processed at 250 °C in the presence of H₂SO₄. Reaction with such a strong acid would have greatly reduced the number of reactive (e.g. ether) bonds present in the DHL, leaving behind the more refractory bonds. Thus, when the DHL feed was subjected to further hydrothermal treatment, a portion of the fragments of the macromolecule were able to combine and condense into acetone-insoluble compounds. Increased reaction times were found to slightly reduce the amount of char present, but not significantly. This is likely due to hydrogenation of the condensed products. As reported in previous chapters, the formation of char leads to decreased bio-oil yields by deposition of carbon on the catalyst, thus decreasing the catalyst surface area due to deactivation of active sites on the catalyst surface as well as preventing access to active sites in the interior of the catalyst by blocking pores.
The formation of water during the reactions was insignificant.

Table 7.2 DHL hydrotreatment product yields

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Catalyst</th>
<th>Temperature (°C)</th>
<th>Bio-Oil (wt.%)</th>
<th>Char (wt.%)</th>
<th>Gas (wt.%)</th>
<th>Sum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MoRu/AC</td>
<td>250*</td>
<td>79.3 ±3.0</td>
<td>21.4 ±2.4</td>
<td>0.1 ±0.01</td>
<td>100.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>250</td>
<td>82.6 ±1.7</td>
<td>18.2 ±2.1</td>
<td>0.2 ±0.03</td>
<td>101.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>300*</td>
<td>55.0 ±4.7</td>
<td>47.2 ±3.9</td>
<td>1.0 ±0.08</td>
<td>103.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>300</td>
<td>59.5 ±3.1</td>
<td>41.2 ±4.1</td>
<td>1.7 ±0.09</td>
<td>102.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>340</td>
<td>52.7 ±2.8</td>
<td>48.5 ±4.3</td>
<td>3.7 ±0.13</td>
<td>104.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ru/C</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>70.4 ±2.6</td>
<td>30.2 ±3.5</td>
<td>0.2 ±0.03</td>
<td>100.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>300</td>
<td>47.6 ±1.8</td>
<td>50.5 ±4.6</td>
<td>1.8 ±0.08</td>
<td>99.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>340</td>
<td>40.7 ±2.1</td>
<td>53.9 ±4.2</td>
<td>4.0 ±0.15</td>
<td>98.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reaction Conditions: 5 g OL:25 g acetone, 0.5 g catalyst, 5 MPa cold hydrogen, 1 h reaction time at temperature

* indicates abbreviated runs where the reaction was stopped upon reaching the set temperature.

Figure 7.2 Cumulative product yields for hydroprocessed DHL
7.3.3 DHL gasification

The formation of gas during lignin depolymerization reactions is due to the cleavage of the aliphatic propane chain and removal of ring substituents (Gosselink et al., 2012). The typical composition of the gases produced is presented in Table 7.3. The values in the table show that the amount of carbon gases present increased with increasing temperature, as is expected, with methane comprising the bulk of the gas. The methane is due to the decomposition/cleaving of the methoxy linkages in DHL (Chatterjee, et al., 2013; He et al., 2014) or from the methanation of C, CO or CO₂ (C+ H₂ → CH₄; CO (or CO₂) + H₂ → CH₄ + H₂O).

The gasification of DHL was calculated using the mol fraction of the various carbon species in the gas to determine the amount of gasified carbon present. This was possible because the gases were collected in a sample cylinder of known volume and pressure. Using the ideal gas law, it was possible to determine the total mol of gas in the sample. The mass of the carbon in the gases was then compared to the mass of carbon fed into the reactor with the DHL, as determined by CHNS analysis and assuming negligible gasification of the carbon support or the solvent during the hydro treatment process. These results are presented in Table 7.4 and Figure 7.3.

As expected, and in agreement with the composition of the gaseous products (Table 7.3), very little DHL was gasified at low temperatures and the amount gasified increased with increased reaction temperature.

Table 7.3 Typical DHL hydroprocessing gas composition (mol%) vs. temperature and catalyst

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Catalyst</th>
<th>MoRu/AC</th>
<th>Ru/C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>250</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH₄</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO₂</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C₂H₄</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C₂H₆</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C₃H₈</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propylene</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* indicates abbreviated runs stopped as soon as the reaction reached the specified temperature.
Table 7.4 DHL gasification during hydroprocessing vs. temperature and catalyst

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Catalyst</th>
<th>MoRu/AC</th>
<th>Ru/C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Temp.</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH₄ (mol)</td>
<td>0.0003</td>
<td>0.0036</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO₂</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
<td>0.0011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C₂H₄</td>
<td>3E-06</td>
<td>2E-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C₂H₆</td>
<td>4E-05</td>
<td>0.0003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C₂H₈</td>
<td>3E-06</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propylene</td>
<td>2E-05</td>
<td>2E-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mol C in gases</td>
<td>0.0009</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mass C in gases</td>
<td>0.0106</td>
<td>0.0837</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% C gasified</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wt.% DHL gasified</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>1.67</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* indicates abbreviated runs stopped as soon as the reaction reached the specified temperature.

Figure 7.3 DHL gasification during hydroprocessing

* indicates abbreviated runs stopped when the reaction mixture reached the reaction temperature.

7.3.4 DHL hydrogen consumption

In a similar manner as was done for the carbon gases, the amount of hydrogen in the sample cylinder allowed for the determination of hydrogen consumed during the reaction. The amount of hydrogen fed into the reactor was determined by calculating the volume of the
headspace over the reaction mixture and the initial pressure of the hydrogen (5 MPa). The calculated amount of hydrogen introduced into the reactor was confirmed by Micro-GC analysis.

The hydrogen consumption is presented in Figure 7.4. As can be seen, the hydrogen consumed increased with increasing reaction time, as expected. Also evident is that hydrogen consumption was much greater for the MoRu/AC catalyst than for the Ru/C catalyst. Other work with this catalyst has revealed that Mo-doped Ru catalysts are more active, therefore it is expected that more hydrogen would be consumed during hydroprocessing reactions. Ru/C is also known as a hydrogenation catalyst and can therefore produce hydrogen (Barati et al., 2014). Thus, the decreased hydrogen consumption could be the result of hydrogen production during the depolymerization.

![Figure 7.4 Hydrogen consumption during DHL hydroprocessing vs. reaction temperature and time](image-url)

* indicates abbreviated runs stopped when the reaction mixture reached the reaction temperature.
Hydrogen consumption for the abbreviated runs was about half that for the runs that ran for 60 min, indicating that insufficient time has elapsed to allow the hydrogen consuming reactions to occur to the same extent as after 60 min reaction time. That being said, the extended reaction time resulted in only a doubling of the hydrogen consumed. The hydrogenation reactions must therefore begin occur as the reaction mass is heating up.

7.3.5 Elemental analysis of hydroprocessed DHL

The elemental composition of the bio-oils was determined by CHNS analysis and is shown in Table 7.5. The small amount of sulfur present in the DHL bio-oils was due to residual sulfate present in the feed material as a consequence of the depolymerization process that was used and was evident by the sulfurous odour of the bio-oils. As can be seen, hydrogenation of the DHL was moderately effective. The H content of the DHL feed was ~6% and, as expected, the hydrogen contents of the bio-oils increased with increased reaction time and temperature. This is in agreement with the fact that Ru/C is a hydrogenation catalyst. (Genet, 2003; Kluson and Cerveny, 1995)

Unexpectedly, the O content of the bio-oils produced at 250 °C were greater than that of the DHL feed. The only source of oxygen in the system was the acetone solvent and, as seen with these catalysts in previous chapters, acetone can react to form dimers. Therefore, in order for the increase in O content to have occurred, the intermediates of acetone must have reacted with the DHL feed. This was confirmed by FTIR analysis as will be discussed later. This may also explain, in part, the increase in H content of the bio-oils, as acetone is ~10% H by mass. The slight decrease in hydrogen content between runs at 300 and 340 °C may be due to the removal of grafted acetone fragments at higher temperature. To the best of the author's knowledge, acetone grafting to DHL has not been reported in the literature.

The presence of free acetone in the bio-oils was ruled out as the bio-oils had been isolated by rotary evaporation at -0.8 bar vacuum and 45 °C. The boiling point of acetone at -0.8 bar vacuum (~150 mmHg) is ~18 °C. Most of the water present would also have been removed during solvent evaporation but to ensure that no residual water was present, the mostly dry bio-oils were further dried over night in a vacuum oven at 55 °C.
Table 7.5 Elemental composition of DHL and DHL-derived bio-oils

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Elemental Analysis (mass %)</th>
<th>% Increase in H</th>
<th>% Increase in O</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHL feed</td>
<td>69.1</td>
<td>6.03</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MoRu/AC, 250 °C*</td>
<td>67.7</td>
<td>6.40</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MoRu/AC, 250 °C</td>
<td>64.2</td>
<td>6.83</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MoRu/AC, 300 °C*</td>
<td>67.1</td>
<td>7.08</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MoRu/AC, 300 °C</td>
<td>69.3</td>
<td>7.20</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MoRu/AC, 340 °C</td>
<td>72.4</td>
<td>7.55</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ru/C, 250 °C</td>
<td>66.3</td>
<td>6.92</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ru/C, 300 °C</td>
<td>69.1</td>
<td>7.77</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ru/C, 340 °C</td>
<td>71.7</td>
<td>7.74</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* indicates abbreviated runs stopped when the reaction mixture reached the reaction temperature.

A closer look at the oxygen contents of the bio-oils shows that the O content of the abbreviated MoRu/AC run at 250 °C increased by ~5%. Since the O content of the bio-oil produced after 60 minutes using the same catalyst and temperature had an O content of ~18%, this indicates that the low value is due simply in insufficient residence time. Increasing the reaction temperature to 300 °C resulted in a similar O content for the abbreviated run. However, extended reaction time at 300 °C resulted in a decrease in O content of ~5%. This change in behaviour can be explained by thermodynamics. At lower temperatures, condensation reactions are favoured and involved acetone. But at higher temperatures, smaller molecules are more energetically favourable, thus hydrogenation reactions leading to depolymerization and deoxygenation become more dominant. Further evidence for this is that the O content of the DHL bio-oil resulting from 60 min reaction at 340 °C had decreased by 19%. It is believed that reaction at still higher temperatures would have further reduced the oxygen content. It is also believed that extended reaction time would also result in decreased O content.

The differences in DHL bio-oil can be better visualized in a van Krevelen plot as presented in Figure 7.5. The figure clearly shows that the molar hydrogen/carbon ratio of the DHL bio-oils increased relative to the DHL feed. The larger increase at 250 °C is due to the large fraction of oxygen present in that sample which decreased the relative amount of carbon present and so skewed the point to the right (increased H/C). Also clearly seen is the decrease in O content relative to carbon content with increased temperature.
At 250 °C the Ru/C catalyst also produced bio-oils with increased O content, but increase was only half that seen with the MoRu/AC catalyst (9.3 vs. ~18.2%). At 300 °C, the O content of the bio-oil had decreased by ~6% as compared to ~5%, and at elevated temperature the O content had decreased by ~17% as compared to 19%. This indicates that at lower temperatures, the addition of Mo to the Ru catalyst resulted in increased incorporation of O into the bio-oil (possibly due to increased activation and grafting of acetone). With increased reaction temperature, this effect was negated and possibly reversed at still higher reaction temperature.

These differences can be seen in the van Krevelen plot presented in Figure 7.6. The shift of the points to the right shows that all of the bio-oils exhibited increased H content relative to the DHL feed. The increased O content at lower temperature is evident by the vertically displaced points in red. At 300 °C, the O content of the bio-oils is only slightly lower than that of the feed, and the increased hydrogenation activity of the Ru/C can be seen by the greater shift to the right as compared to the MoRu/AC catalyst. This difference in hydrogen content between the two catalysts is diminished at 340 °C. The smaller O/C values at this temperature are evidence that deoxygenation of DHL requires higher energy.
Despite consistently higher yields, the MoRu/AC catalyst was slightly less effective in hydrogenating the DHL than the reference Ru/C catalyst. It is possible that optimization of the ratio of Mo to Ru could improve the hydroprocessing efficiency of the MoRu/AC catalyst.

Figure 7.6 van Krevelen plot of DHL and DHL hydroprocessed with different catalysts

7.3.6 GPC analysis of hydroprocessed DHL

The relative molecular weights of the DHL bio-oils as well as the DHL feed were measured by gel permeation chromatography (GPC). The results of this analysis are presented in Table 7.6.

The GPC curves comparing the feed to the bio-oils for reaction and different temperatures and with different catalysts are presented in Figure 7.7. As can be seen, the GPC curves for the bio-oils produced at 250 °C are very similar to the curve for the DHL feed and the molecular weights of these bio-oils is slightly higher than the DHL 1,784 and 1,820 g/mol for Ru/C and MoRu/AC, respectively, vs. 1,695 g/mol for the DHL feed.
Table 7.6 Hydrotreated DHL molecular weights

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Catalyst</th>
<th>Temp. (°C)</th>
<th>Mw (g/mol)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MoRu/AC</td>
<td>250*</td>
<td>1,560</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>250</td>
<td>1,820</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>300*</td>
<td>940</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>300</td>
<td>808</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>340</td>
<td>631</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ru/C</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>1,784</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>300</td>
<td>775</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>340</td>
<td>577</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHL Feed</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,695</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* indicates abbreviated runs stopped when the reaction mixture reached the reaction temperature.

This is despite the fact that the O content of the bio-oils had increased significantly as discussed previously. In contrast, the molecular weight of the abbreviated run decreased relative to the feed (1,560 g/mol). This is an indication that residence time had a minor effect on the extent of condensation at low temperature. In comparison, the abbreviated run at 300 °C exhibited a marked lower molecular weight of 940 g/mol. Thus the depolymerization of the DHL into smaller molecules is dominant over the condensation reactions at elevated temperature (Chen and Falconer, 1994; Mahmood et al., 2013).

A possible reason for the initial increase in molecular weight could be the presence of residual acid in the DHL feed. As was explained in the materials section, the DHL was produced by treating hydrolysis lignin with sulfuric acid. As is well known, acidity catalyzes condensation reactions. Thus, it is possible that insufficient time was given during the neutralization step for the residual acid adsorbed in the DHL to be neutralized before the solution was filtered to obtain the DHL. Any residual acid present would have acted as a condensation catalyst and this would explain the unexpected increase in molecular weight after reaction at 250 °C. Due to the small amount of acid residual, its effect would be limited and hydrogenation reactions would come to dominate at higher temperatures.
The bio-oils resulting from 60 min reaction at 300 °C had molecular weights of 775 and 808 g/mol for Ru/C and MoRu/AC, respectively. These values are about half of the molecular weight of the original DHL. Increasing the reaction temperature to 340 °C resulted in a further decrease in molecular weight to 577 and 631 g/mol, respectively. Despite these relatively low molecular weights, these bio-oils were viscous, almost gummy, substances that did
not flow well. This in sharp contrast to work done in our group with these catalyst (to be submitted for publication) where organosolv lignin ($M_w = \sim 2,600$ g/mol) was depolymerized into bio-oil of similar molecular weight but which was much less viscous and flowed at temperatures below freezing. This difference between the previous bio-oils and the bio-oils in this study must be due to the composition and functional groups present in these bio-oils.

Further evidence that the depolymerization reactions were occurring as the reaction temperature was increased can be seen in Figure 7.7. The molecular weight of the bio-oil obtained after quenching the reaction immediately upon reaching temperature exhibits a greatly reduced molecular weight (940 vs. $\sim 1700$ g/mol). Extended reaction time only decreased the molecular weight to $\sim 810$ g/mol. Therefore, extended reaction times do decrease molecular weight, but likely only to a certain point. Higher reaction temperature has a greater effect in reducing molecular weight. Unfortunately it was not possible to investigate this due to temperature limitations of the reactor used.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Catalyst</th>
<th>Temp. (°C)</th>
<th>Mw (g/mol)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Feed</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,695</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MoRu/AC</td>
<td>300, 0 min</td>
<td>940</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MoRu/AC</td>
<td>300, 60 min</td>
<td>808</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 7.8 GPC curves of MoRu/AC-derived DHL bio-oil at different reaction times
7.3.7 GC/MS analysis of hydroprocessed DHL

The volatile components of the bio-oils obtained from the hydroprocessing of DHL were analyzed by GC/MS. Note that the samples analyzed were taken before the solvent was removed from the bio-oils. The plot of the MoRu/AC bio-oils after 60 min reaction at different temperatures is presented in Figure 7.8. The plots for the bio-oils obtained with the reference Ru/C catalyst were nearly identical.

As can clearly be seen, very few volatile compounds are evident in the plot for 250 °C. This is consistent with the absence of depolymerization/hydrogenation reactions occurring and the large molecular weight of these bio-oils. The two peaks at 3.1 and 3.9 min retention time were identified as 4-methyl-3-penten-2-one and 2,4-dihydroxy-4-methyl-pentanone. These are dimers of acetone and are present in all of the bio-oils. Analysis of the recovered solvent (not presented) revealed that several more dimers of acetone are present in addition to trace amounts of phenol and lighter phenolic compounds.

![Figure 7.9 Comparison of the GC spectra for hydroprocessed DHL obtained with MoRu/AC catalyst at different temperatures](image)
Increased reaction temperature to 300 °C increased the number of volatile compounds present and this effect was enhanced at 340 °C. Table 7.7 presents an abridged list of the compounds in the bio-oils. Only those compounds identified with greater than 85% certainty and peak areas greater than 2% are included. The shaded cells indicate that no compounds were evident at a particular retention time and catalyst/temperature condition. As can be seen, almost all of the compounds are phenolic in nature. This is expected as the DHL feed had been processed to remove a majority of the carbohydrates initially present. Despite the difference in bio-oil yields between the catalysts, the compounds present in the Ru/C bio-oils are almost exactly the same as listed here, indicating that there virtually is no difference in product selectivity between them.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RT (min)</th>
<th>MoRu/AC</th>
<th>250 °C</th>
<th>300 °C</th>
<th>340 °C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>3-Penten-2-one, 4-methyl-</td>
<td>3-Hexen-2-one</td>
<td>3-Penten-2-one, 4-methyl-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>2-Pentanone, 4-hydroxy-4-methyl-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>Benzene, 1,2,3-trimethyl-</td>
<td>Mesitylene</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>Ethanone, 1-(1-cyclohexen-1-yl)-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>Phenol, 2,6-dimethoxy-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>Phenol, 3,4-dimethoxy-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>4-Methoxy-2-methyl-1-(methylthio)benzene?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>Dodecanoic acid??</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>5-tert-Butylpyrogallol</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>4-Hydroxy-1-methyloctahydro-2(1H)-quinolinone</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7.3.8 FTIR analysis of hydroprocessed DHL

FTIR analysis was performed on the DHL bio-oils to determine how the functional groups present were affected by the catalysts used as well as the reaction conditions. It should be noted that these bio-oils are complex mixtures of many compounds and therefore exhibit many more peaks and broader peaks than would be present in the spectra of pure compounds. This makes analysis of these spectra more difficult.
Figure 7.9 presents the FTIR spectra of bio-oils obtained at different temperatures using MoRu/AC catalyst. The spectra have been normalized with respect to the aromatic peak at 1610 cm\(^{-1}\) and are virtually identical to the spectra obtained with the Ru/C catalyst except as noted.

Figure 7.10 FTIR spectra of DHL bio-oils obtained using MoRu/C catalyst at different temperatures.
It is immediately evident from the response in the -OH region (3,600-3,000 cm\(^{-1}\)) that the number of OH bonds in the bio-oils has increased relative to the DHL feed. This is consistent with the increased O content of the bio-oils as determined by elemental analysis. Increasing reaction temperature decreased the number of OH bonds. The loss of oxygen corresponds with the increased in oxygen-containing gaseous species (CO and CO\(_2\)) as well as any traces of water that may have been carried over with the acetone solvent during solvent removal under vacuum. The response at 3,000-2,850 cm\(^{-1}\) assigned to -CH stretch increased, as would be expected if acetone has been incorporated into the structure of the bio-oil.

Looking at the expanded fingerprint region, there is a large increase in response around 1700 cm\(^{-1}\), indicative of C=O stretch, at 250 °C which is again expected if acetone were to have reacted with the DHL feed. The decrease in response with increased temperature is in agreement with the decreased O content at 300 and 340 °C. The peaks between 1520 and 1450 cm\(^{-1}\), which are due to aromatic stretch, exhibit a decreased response with increasing temperature, possibly due to hydrogenation of the aromatic rings. The peak at 1375 cm\(^{-1}\) (due to \(sp^3\) CH bend) increased markedly at 250 °C and is consistent with grafted acetone introducing methyl groups into the bio-oil structure. At increased temperature, this peak decreased, possibly indicating that the acetone fragments had decomposed and partitioned into the gas or solid phase. The response in the range 1225-1000 cm\(^{-1}\), indicative of C-O stretch, indicates that these bonds were present in the DHL feed. No change was observed in the peak at 1220 cm\(^{-1}\) at 250 °C, but rather at increased temperature, indicating that these bonds required more energy to break, as is consistent with aromatic C-O bonds (Chakar and Ragauskas, 2004). In contrast, the peaks at 1120 and 1030 cm\(^{-1}\), which are indicative of aliphatic C-O bonds, decreased in intensity even at low temperature, indicating that these C-O bonds were more easily broken than the C-O bonds at 1220 cm\(^{-1}\). The peaks at 950 and 815 cm\(^{-1}\) are due to alkene C-H bend and tri-substituted alkene C-H bend, respectively, and appear after reaction at 250 °C. Increased temperature led to the disruption of the alkene bonds and resulted in decreased response. It is interesting to note that these peaks are absent in the spectrum of bio-oil produced using Ru/C at 250 °C, but appeared at elevated temperatures.

The appearance of peaks in the range of 750-690 cm\(^{-1}\) at 300 and 340 °C is indicative of the presence of substituted benzene rings, indicating that higher temperatures were required to
cleave the structures bonded to the aromatic rings leaving behind simpler mono- and di-
substituted compounds.

7.3.9 NMR analysis of hydroprocessed DHL
NMR analysis was performed to help elucidate the changes that occurred during reaction and
are presented in Figure 7.10. Several differences are evident between the three plots. Moving
from left to right, the first difference that can be seen is the great increase in the peaks in the
8-6 ppm range between the bio-oil produced at 340 °C (top) and the bio-oil produced and
250 °C (middle) and the DHL feed (bottom). These peaks can be attributed to aromatic pro-
tons and indicate that reaction at elevated temperature has greatly increased the number of
free aromatic sites on the ring structures that are present in the bio-oil. The region between 5
and 3.3 ppm corresponds to the protons associated with ether bonds, but also overlaps the
region attributed to alcoholic protons (5.2-1.5 ppm). Regardless, the peaks in this region ap-
pear to decrease slightly after reaction at lower temperature but increase greatly after reaction
at elevated temperatures. There is a corresponding increase in intensity in the region of 3-2
ppm. This region is representative of both hydroxyl protons, benzylic and alpha carbonyl
protons, and allylic protons. In concert with the other analyses, it is possible to eliminate hy-
droxyl protons as being responsible for this increase in response as the oxygen content of the
bio-oils was found to decrease with increasing reaction temperature (confirmed by FTIR
analysis). Thus this response must be due to protons associated with benzylic, carbonyl and
allylic bonds. The peak at ~2.35 ppm, which decreases with increasing temperature, is more
likely to be due to hydroxyl protons. Similarly, the response in the region of 2.0-0.5 ppm,
which corresponds to sp³ C-H bonds, changes in both intensity and chemical shift. The
change in chemical shift depends on the number of hydrogen atoms bonded to the carbon
atom. As the number of hydrogen atoms increases, the shift decreases and follows the trend
CH>CH₂>CH₃. As is seen in the figure, the peaks at ~1.2 ppm and especially at 0.9 ppm in-
crease with increased reaction temperature. This indicates that the abundance of both CH₂
and CH₃ moieties in the bio-oils increases with increased reaction temperature. The peak at
0.1 ppm could not be indentified but can be seen to have decreased greatly after reaction at
low temperature and almost completely disappears after reaction at high temperature. Not
surprisingly, due to prior processing, there was no evidence of aldehydic protons in the spectrum of the DHL feed.
Figure 7.11 H-NMR spectra of DHL (bottom) and DHL bio-oils obtained at 250 (middle) and 340 °C (top)
7.4 Conclusions

DHL was successfully depolymerized into lower molecular weight bio-oils after reaction with MoRu/AC and Ru/C catalysts under hydrogen. The yields were reasonably high (83 wt.%) at lower temperatures (250 °C) but decreased with increasing temperature. Hydro-treatment with the MoRu/AC catalyst resulted in consistently greater yields of bio-oil than the reference Ru/C catalyst (by ~12 wt.%) regardless of reaction temperature. Gasification of the DHL feed was found not to be significant, amounting to less than 4 wt.% at most. The yield of solid residue or char was high and increased in step with the decrease in bio-oil yields. Elemental analysis revealed that the acetone solvent was reacting with the DHL feed and increasing the oxygen content of the bio-oils. Despite the decreased yields of bio-oil that were obtained after reaction at higher temperatures, the molecular weights of the resulting bio-oils were found to have decreased by ~65% relative to the DHL (600 vs. 1700 g/mol).

Despite the decrease in molecular weight, these bio-oils were very viscous, and even though the present catalysts were able to effect a promising reduction in molecular weight, the poor yields of bio-oil and high yields of char indicate that more work needs to be done to obtain useful bio-oils from DHL.
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Chapter 8

8 Conclusions and Future Work

8.1 Conclusions

The aim of this work was to investigate the depolymerization and hydroprocessing of several different types of lignin (Kraft lignin, organosolv lignin, and hydrolysis lignin) in the presence of catalysts and supercritical acetone to obtain lower molecular weight and deoxygenated compounds suitable for use as substitutes for fuels and chemicals from fossil resources.

In the first study, a number of different metal catalysts and support materials were successfully employed in the depolymerization and hydroprocessing of Kraft and organosolv lignin. Carbon-supported catalysts were found to outperform catalysts supported on alumina.

The effectiveness of novel mixed noble metal/transition metal MoRu catalysts was investigated using guaiacol as a model compound for lignin. Guaiacol conversion was most effective in the presence of activated carbon-supported MoRu catalyst. Based on this work, the depolymerization and hydroprocessing of organosolv lignin using these MoRu catalysts was investigated. OL was successfully hydroprocessed and depolymerized into DOLs composed of aromatic compounds with increased hydrogen contents, decreased oxygen contents and greatly decreased molecular weights.

Further work investigated the effectiveness of the mixed noble metal/transition metal MoRu catalyst on the hydroprocessing and depolymerization of hydrolysis lignin and resulted in bio-oils composed of aromatic compounds with increased hydrogen contents, decreased oxygen contents and greatly reduced molecular weights. Continuing this work, the hydroprocessing of depolymerized hydrolysis lignin (hydrolysis lignin that had been hydrolyzed in the presence of a strong acid) was moderately successful in producing bio-oils of reduced molecular weight.

The following detailed conclusions can be drawn from this work:

(1) Ru metal is a much more effective catalyst in the hydroprocessing of Kraft lignin (KL) and organosolv lignin (OL) in supercritical acetone than Ni metal. Additionally,
the carbon-supported Ru (and NiMoW-based FHUDS-2) catalyst performed better than alumina-supported Ru catalyst. This difference may be due to the acidic nature of the alumina support. The molecular weights of the hydroprocessed DKL and DOL were markedly lower than the lignin feeds (~1,000 vs. 2,600 and 10,200 g/mol for OL and KL, respectively). The molecular weight of organosolv lignin decreased monotonically with increased temperature but temperatures greater than 300 °C were required to materially decrease the molecular weight of Kraft lignin. In addition, after hydroprocessing, the sulfur content of the DKL was found to have decreased by ~95% relative to the KL feed. The modest decrease in oxygen content of 20-30% may be due to the difference in strength of C-O bonds (358 kJ/mol) relative to C-S bonds (272 kJ/mol) in addition to difference in bond strength between aromatic and aliphatic C-OH bonds.

(2) A systematic study of the effectiveness of carbon-supported Ru and novel noble metal/transition metal MoRu catalysts on the hydroprocessing of guaiacol revealed that activated carbon-supported MoRu and Mo catalyst were more effective than the reference Ru/C catalyst, exhibiting greater than 90% guaiacol conversion. However, the Mo catalyst produced fewer deoxygenated compounds and more unidentified compounds. The MoRu catalyst also exhibited greater gasification of the guaiacol feed.

Based on these findings MoRu catalyst was used for further optimization work. Hydroprocessing temperature and initial hydrogen pressure were found to have a much greater effect on guaiacol conversion than reaction duration. Thus, longer reaction times may not be necessary provided that hydroprocessing is performed at higher temperatures: the decreased duration may offset the higher energy cost.

(3) All of the catalysts tested in the depolymerization and hydroprocessing of organosolv lignin in supercritical acetone were effective. However, the presence of phosphorus in the catalyst, which is known to decrease solid residue and improve product yields in
model compounds, was found to inhibit the depolymerization of the organosolv lignin, perhaps due to residual acidity. The yields of depolymerized organosolv lignin were found to decrease with increasing reaction temperature, in contrast to previous work. At 250 °C, the yields were equivalent to work presented a previous chapter (>96 wt.%), however, at 300 °C, while the yields with the MoRu/AC catalyst remained high (~93 wt.%), only ~62 wt.% of DOL was produced using the reference Ru/C catalyst. At 340 °C, the yield of Ru/C DOL had decreased further to ~50 wt.%, and the DOL yield with MoRu/AC catalyst experienced a large decrease to ~62 wt.%.

It is believed that this difference is due mainly to the difference in initial hydrogen pressure (5 MPa in this study vs. 9 MPa in the previous work) as well as differences in heat and mass transfer effects between the different autoclave reactors that were used (100 vs. 500 mL).

The catalysts tested resulted in DOL products with greatly decreased molecular weights. The molecular weight of DOL hydroprocessed at 340 °C in the presence of the most effective MoRu/AC catalyst was reduced from ~2,600 g/mol for the OL feed to 460 g/mol. Under similar reaction conditions, the MoRu/C and reference Ru/C catalysts produced DOLs with molecular weights of 516 and 540 g/mol, respectively. All three of these DOL products remained liquid even at temperatures below 0 °C.

GC/MS analysis of the DOL revealed the presence of a large variety of substituted phenolic and benzene compounds, consistent with the depolymerization of the aromatic structures of OL. Unexpectedly, the acetone solvent was found to have reacted and formed a number of dimers.

The oxygen content of the DOL obtained with MoRu/AC catalyst at 340 °C was found to have decreased by ~38% as compared to ~25% for Ru/C, indicating that the addition of Mo to the Ru catalyst had a synergistic effect in oxygen removal. In contrast, the Ru/C catalyst was found to have increased hydrogen content by ~50% as compared to ~42% for the MoRu/AC. The addition of Mo to carbon-supported Ru catalysts was therefore an effective means of increasing the yield of DOL and improving its quality.
(4) Hydrolysis lignin (HL) was successfully depolymerized (liquefied) in the presence of hydrogen, carbon-supported mixed noble metal/transition metal catalysts and supercritical acetone solvent, producing low molecular weight bio-oils (as low as 380 g/mol) with high yields around 85 wt.%, that remained liquid at temperatures below freezing. The yields of HL bio-oil were found to increase with increasing temperature. At 340 °C and with MoRu/AC catalyst, the yield of solid residue was less than 2 wt.%.

Deoxygenation of HL was found to be largely thermally driven - the yields and $M_W$ as well as the chemical composition of the bio-oils were strongly dependent on the reaction temperature. Hydrogenation was highly promoted by the presence of the MoRu/AC catalyst at 340 °C, resulting in a bio-oil with a remarkable 50% increase in hydrogen content relative to the HL feed. GC/MS analysis of the bio-oils revealed that in addition to the presence of ketones from the decomposition of the carbohydrates present in the HL feed, the bio-oils were largely composed of phenolic compounds. This indicates that, in the presence of the MoRu catalyst, the lignin component of HL can easily be depolymerized at temperatures between 200 and 340 °C.

(5) Depolymerized hydrolysis lignin (DHL), produced by processing HL in the presence of ethylene glycol and $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$, was successfully hydroprocessed and further depolymerized into lower molecular weight products after reaction with MoRu/AC and Ru/C catalysts in supercritical acetone under hydrogen. The yields were reasonably high (~83 wt.%) at lower temperatures (250 °C) but decreased with increasing temperature. Hydroprocessing with the MoRu/AC catalyst resulted in consistently greater yields of bio-oil than with the reference Ru/C catalyst (by ~12 wt.%) regardless of reaction temperature. The yield of solid residue, or char, was high and increased in step with the decrease in bio-oil yields. Gasification of the DHL feed was found not to be significant, amounting to less than 4 wt.% at most.

Elemental analysis of the hydroprocessed DHL revealed an increase in oxygen content at 250 °C that was attributed to the acetone solvent reacting with the DHL feed. The increase in O content decreased with increased reaction temperature indicating
that the reaction between the acetone and DHL occurred very rapidly and at lower temperatures. Despite the decreased yields of bio-oil that were obtained after reaction at higher temperatures, the molecular weights of the resulting bio-oils were found to have decreased by ~65% relative to the DHL feed (~600 vs. ~1,700 g/mol). However, despite the decrease in molecular weight, these bio-oils were very viscous and unsuitable for use without further processing.

8.2 Future Work

(1) Carbon-supported Ru catalysts were found to be very effective in the hydroprocessing of various lignins, and the addition of 1 wt.% Mo to 5 wt.% Ru catalyst resulted in improved catalytic performance. However, at 5 wt.% Ru, this is a very expensive catalyst. More work needs to be done in order to determine the most effective combination of Mo and Ru and reduce the cost of the catalyst. This has to be done without sacrificing catalyst effectiveness in terms of lignin depolymerization as well as de-oxygenation and hydrogenation. The effectiveness of additional promoters, e.g. Co, should also be investigated.

(2) Hydroprocessing of Kraft lignin (KL) should be investigated with the MoRu/AC catalyst to determine if this catalyst retains its excellent performance, as seen with OL. This work should be performed in a high-pressure reactor with OL as well as KL, as this would determine if the differences in catalyst performance that were observed are indeed due to higher initial hydrogen pressure.

(3) The hydroprocessing of hydrolysis lignin (HL) resulted in very high yields of low molecular weight bio-oils. It would be interesting to see if optimization of process conditions could further reduce HL bio-oil molecular weight and oxygen content.

(4) Despite the promising reduction in molecular weight obtained with the MoRu/AC catalyst, the poor yields of product from the DHL feed and high yields of char indicate that more work needs to be done to obtain useful products from the hydroprocessing of DHL. Ensuring that residual acidity from the initial hydrolysis step is vital,
as acidity is well known for promoting condensation reactions. The trends in DHL deoxygenation indicate that O removal improves with increased temperature. Therefore, further hydroprocessing studies of DHL should be performed in a reactor capable of withstanding elevated temperatures and the correspondingly higher pressures. A corollary to this study would be hydroprocessing of DHL at higher initial hydrogen pressures and lower temperatures.

(5) The purpose of producing DHL was to convert HL into a product with reduced molecular weight for use as a substitute for the polyol feed in the production of bio-polyurethane foams. However, the solid DHL product had to be oxypropylated in order to be used. In contrast, the hydroprocessing of HL in this work resulted in very low molecular weight bio-oils that remained liquid at temperatures below freezing. It would be interesting to investigate if these bio-oils could serve as a viable substitute for oxypropylated DHL in the production of bio-polyurethane foams.

(6) Most industrial processes run on a continuous or semi-continuous basis, therefore the hydroprocessing of lignin using the MoRu/Ac catalyst used in these experiments should be investigated under continuous or semi-continuous conditions to facilitate scale-up.
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