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**Structured Abstract**

**Purpose**
Most studies pertaining to social tagging focus on one platform or platform type, thus limiting the scope of their findings. This study explores social tagging practices across four platforms in relation to cultural products associated with the book *Casino Royale*, by Ian Fleming.

**Design/methodology/approach**
A layered and nested case study approach was used to analyze data from four online platforms: Goodreads, Last.fm, WordPress, and public library social discovery platforms. The top-level case study focuses on the book *Casino Royale*, by Ian Fleming, and its derivative products. The analysis of tagging practices in each of the four online platforms is nested within the top-level case study. ‘Casino Royale’ was conceptualized as a cultural product (the book), its derived

---

products (e.g., movies, theme songs), as well as a keyword in blogs. A qualitative, inductive, and context-specific approach was chosen to identify commonalities in tagging practices across platforms whilst taking into account the uniqueness of each platform.

Findings
The four platforms comprise different communities of users, each platform with its own cultural norms and tagging practices. Traditional access points in the library catalogues focused on the subject, location, and fictitious characters of the book. User-generated content across the four platforms emphasized historical events and periods related to the book, and highlighted more subjective access points, such as recommendations, tone, mood, reaction, and reading experience. Revealing shifts occur in the tags between the original book and its cultural derivatives: Goodreads and library catalogues focus almost exclusively on the book, while Last.fm and WordPress make additional cross-references to a wider range of different cultural products, including books, movies, and music. The analyses also yield apparent similarities in certain platforms, such as recurring terms, phrasing and composite or multifaceted tags, as well as a strong presence of genre-related terms for the book and music.

Originality/value
The layered and nested case study approach presents a more comprehensive theoretical viewpoint and methodological framework by which to explore the study of user-generated metadata pertaining to a range of related cultural products across a variety of online platforms.

Introduction
Use of social media continues to grow across all platforms in the U.S. and about half of Americans have adopted more than one social media platform (Pew Research Center, 2014). This heavy reliance on social media and the volume of user-generated content (henceforth referred to as UGC) create a real need to better understand the role and meaning of social tagging in these platforms. Social tagging is usually defined in opposition to standardized ontologies and taxonomies, as it is “the process by which many users add metadata in the form of keywords to shared content” (Golder and Huberman, 2006, p. 198). Problems with social tagging abound, with users tagging content in often idiosyncratic ways without giving much consideration to existing best practices, obtained from years of research in library and information science (e.g., Bates and Rowley, 2011; Gerolimos, 2013; Moulaison, 2008; Thomas et al., 2010). Social tagging has also been criticized for several additional reasons: synonymy (multiple terms for the same concept) (Merholz, 2004), being susceptible to ‘gaming’ (Kroski, 2005), lacking hierarchy (Smith, 2004; Kroski, 2005), and for being ‘narrow’ (when most of the tagging is done by a limited number of users, usually through permissions settings, with owner-only tagging being the narrowest option) (Vander Wal, 2005; Peters, 2009).

Despite all its problems, social tagging is an important and popular means of organizing the vast amounts of UGC available on the Web. For example, hashtags on Twitter have been identified as critical for highlighting and organizing content linked to specific topics, revealing trends, and establishing relevance (Huang et al., 2010; Yang, Quan-Haase, & Rannenberg, 2016). Social tagging can also contribute to browsing, allowing users who are not looking for a pre-determined information resource to explore what information is available by clicking on tags. Social tagging may therefore be an alternative means of accessing information in an environment where users do
not only want to search for content via keywords, but are also interested in exploring new and unexpected content (Quan-Haase, Martin, & McCay-Peet, 2015).

Tagging may be thought of as a homogenous process, an action likely to be performed similarly by various communities on the Web. While such an assertion is tempting, this has not been studied extensively, although some comprehensive reviews of folksonomic practices across various platforms, such as the one done by Peters (2009), have laid foundational groundwork for such comparative studies. Studies pertaining to tagging are often limited to investigating one platform or platform type, with the obvious and usually stated limitations of restricting the generalizability of findings. This has two noteworthy effects on the perceptions of tagging practices in social media. First, it creates a sense that user communities are separate and distinct; in other words, it creates a perhaps illusory boundary between types of platforms. Second, and conversely, the body of research shaped by single-platform studies creates a sense that tagging on various platforms presents commonalities, thereby shaping similar relationships to, for example, cultural products such as books or music. However, since few studies have actually done this kind of comparative work (that is, contrasting tagging practices across various sites), this remains more impressionistic than evidence-driven, creating a parallel, and perhaps illusory, bond between platforms.

This study explores the tension between commonalities and differences in the tagging of cultural products across platforms by presenting the results of a layered and nested case study approach, performed in parallel but independently. Its shared starting point is a franchised theme, namely the book *Casino Royale*, by Ian Fleming. This book, along with some of its derivative cultural products, is popular in English-speaking regions, thereby providing the case studies with good coverage across platforms. Indeed, *Casino Royale* allowed the researchers to draw upon many types of content; for if the Bond franchise starts with books, which are bought, catalogued, borrowed, and read by a wide community, it also includes films and accompanying soundtracks that provide listeners with instant classics. As a result, *Casino Royale*, outreaching the book itself, is a phenomenon and reference that shows up in a wide range of online content, including blog posts. Added to this was the timely fact that 2013 marked the 60th anniversary of the book (the first instalment in the James Bond series), sparking renewed interest.

Four platforms were chosen to reflect the range of the franchise and the researchers’ expertise: Goodreads and public library catalogues (books), Last.fm (music) and WordPress blog entries. This paper is structured to reflect the layered and nested case study approach utilized by first presenting each case study individually. The researchers adopted methodologies best suited to their object of study for each case study, which will be presented along with case-specific results before those results are compared and combined in the discussion. As will be shown, the analyses of tags for *Casino Royale* and related cultural products studied here yield apparent similarities in certain platforms, such as recurring terms, phrasing and composite or multifaceted tags, as well as a strong presence of genre-related terms for the book and music. The context of use and the relationship with the root product *Casino Royale*, however, indicate that identical terms create potentially very different meanings. The closer the relationship (tagging the book), the less likely the tags point outwards to another product, while tags pertaining to the franchise, but used in broader contexts (blog posts), are more likely to refer back to it. This shows that if the bond—pun fully intended—amongst tagging practices on the various platforms is evident on the formal
front, it creates an illusion of equivalency where the relationship to the object tagged is concerned.

**Background**

Bischoff and colleagues (2008) compared the tag distribution by category on Last.fm (music), Delicious (websites), and Flickr (images). They used a sample of 300 tags stratified by popularity. Their analysis showed that the tag distribution by category varied considerably across platforms. The most popular tag category in Delicious and Flickr was “Topic,” while in Last.fm it was “Type,” which includes musical genres. Last.fm was also the platform with the highest proportion of subjective/opinion tags.

Abel, Araújo, Gao, and Houben (2011) found that individual users tended to use a greater variety of tags in Delicious than in Flickr. Iofciu, Fankhauser, Abel, and Bischoff (2011) found that tagging practices varied considerably, even between platforms dealing with the same type of resources. Indeed, for a majority of users, the overlap between the tags they used in Delicious and in StumbleUpon, two social bookmarking platforms, was less than 20%. Both studies concluded that there was little overlap between the tags used in each platform.

Strohmaier, Körner, and Kern (2012) examined the social tags applied by individual users (‘persononomies’) in ESP Game, Flickr, Bibsonomy, and Delicious, and found that user motivation for tagging varied substantially across platforms, but also within each platform. Heckner, Heilemann, and Wolff (2009) surveyed 142 users of Flickr, YouTube, Delicious, and Connotea and found that while Flickr and YouTube users were more likely to tag to facilitate the sharing of digital resources, Delicious and Connotea users tagged mainly for the personal management of digital content.

**Social cataloguing platforms and library catalogues**

Practice-based studies of social cataloguing platforms such as LibraryThing, Goodreads, or Shelfari, which allow readers to document, discuss, and share their reading interests, often focus on comparisons between sites (Jeffries, 2008), potential pedagogical use (Marcotte, 2011), or use for cataloguing (Hvass, 2008). The use of social cataloguing sites has generally been perceived favourably by practitioners (Braun, 2013; Jonker, 2013; O'Leary, 2012; Starr, 2007; Starr, 2008; Wyatt, 2007). Stover (2009) described the rise of social cataloguing platforms as an opportunity for librarians to engage with the reading public; she noted that some of the language on these sites echoed the “lingo” (p. 246) of readers’ advisors and that, reciprocally, professionals were integrating more folksonomic terms to their practice. Naik (2012) chose five books from five Goodreads lists and analyzed thirty reviews per book, searching for terms associated with the Readers’ Advisory (RA) concept of appeal, which, in its most basic form, is the combination of characteristics which a reader may find interesting in a book, such as pacing, characterization, storyline, setting, mood, or language and style (Saricks, 2009). Naik reported positive feelings towards the titles, as well as what she coined “repel terms” (p. 321) or expressions of negative feelings.

In comparison, academic research often focuses on user indexing practices as they compare to library catalogues and controlled vocabularies (Bates and Rowley, 2011; Lu et al., 2010), interface design (Chang, 2009), or problems inherent to the lack of standards social indexing practices might exhibit (Thomas et al., 2010). Kathuria (2011) looked at the social tagging of
books in LibraryThing pertaining to Asian women. Ratings on Goodreads have recently been posited as a “unique altmetric data source” for the study of the impact of scholarly books, in this case from the field of History (Zuccala et al., 2015).

Šauperl’s 2012 study of three sites (LibraryThing, Amazon.com, and Primorci beremo) revealed that users’ descriptions of novels extend beyond standard bibliographic description: Characteristics frequently mentioned include the literary genre, the time frame of the story, and character names. Users also often mention awards received, movie adaptations, and related novels. Šauperl urged library professionals to seek and encourage the participation of patrons in describing novels in the library catalogue.

One possible solution for including UGC in library catalogues is to use LibraryThing for Libraries (LTFL), which allows libraries to import LibraryThing tags and user reviews in their catalogue for a fee. Mendes, Quinonez-Skinner, and Skaggs (2009) examined the use of LTFL in the Oviatt Library at California State University over 170 days. The authors found that for every new book a user discovers using LCSH, they would discover four books using LTFL tags, and suggested that the addition of user-generated metadata to catalogue records enhances resource discovery, for example, for those titles lacking subject headings, which is sometimes the case for works of fiction.

Music recommender services
Music indexing in library catalogues is also guided by the desire to provide neutral descriptions of works, mostly by assigning subject headings representing broad musical genres (e.g., popular music, jazz) or forms (e.g., lullabies, sonatas). Laplante (2008) found that library patrons considered this type of indexing of little help for discovering music: The description is too thin and the genres, particularly for popular music, are too broad and therefore not discriminative enough to allow for browsing. In contrast, the descriptions of music works that emerge from tags assigned by users in music recommendation platforms are rich, multifaceted, and subjective. A few studies have focused on the distribution of tags by category in the music recommendation service Last.fm, and genre was consistently found to be the category with the higher proportion of tags, especially amongst the most popular tags, where it accounts for more than 60% of the tags (Lamere, 2008; Laplante, 2015; Thompson, 2008). Other popular tag categories are the place of origin of the artist, the instrumentation, the mood of the song, and the opinion of the user (Lamere, 2008; Laplante, 2015). Geleijnse, Schedl, and Knees (2007) analyzed the tags applied to 1,995 artists in Last.fm and found that 56% of tags had been applied to only one artist in their sample and that fewer than 2% had been applied to 50 artists or more. Levy and Sandler (2009) found that about a third of the 5,265 artists in their sample had never received a tag for any of their tracks and that amongst the artists who had tagged tracks, a third had no more than five unique tags on average per song. Laplante (2015) examined the social tagging of songs during the first three months following their release and found that a majority of songs do not get tagged during the first week and that the number of tags assigned to a song was positively related to its popularity.

Blogging platforms
While there is extensive literature on blogs (Scale & Quan-Haase, 2014), blog users (Boyd, 2006), and motivations for blogging (Fullwood et al., 2014), much less academic work looks directly at tagging practices in blogging platforms such as WordPress, Blogger, or Joomla.
Aharony (2009) examined the distribution by content and form of tags assigned by bloggers of 30 library and information science blogs in the now-defunct Technorati platform. Chopin (2008) examined the usefulness of searching Technorati tags, compared to using a general search engine, and noted that people did not tag much, with the consequence that, in most cases, tag search did not allow users to access a variety of viewpoints. She stressed nonetheless the usefulness of tags for discovery, as they allowed users to navigate between various topics in an undirected fashion.

In light of the above studies, many potential avenues exist for the study of social tagging across platforms. The differences in user practices are linked to a wide range of factors: The nature of the resource or entity being tagged, the design of the platform, the culture of the online community, and, of course, the individual user.

**Overarching methods**
The study seeks to address the following four research questions:

1. What kinds of tags do users contribute to *Casino Royale* or its derivatives in the different platforms?
2. How do tagging practices compare across platforms?
3. What categories of tags do users provide for the items being tagged in the various platforms?
   - 3.1. What does this reveal about the platforms’ cultures?
4. How do the research approaches utilized to study each of the four case studies compare?

The proposed overarching approach was to collect data from various platforms in order to analyze and compare tagging practices, taking into consideration the differences inherent to the platforms studied. Taking a page from qualitative fieldwork methods, the researchers adopted a layered and nested case study approach (Patton, 2015). The top-level case study is the project as a whole and uses the book *Casino Royale*, by Ian Fleming, as the focus of inquiry. Individual case studies are nested within this top-level case study and were performed on Goodreads, Last.fm, WordPress, as well as in public library social discovery platforms. Given the varied nature of the content (books, music, blogs), ‘Casino Royale’ was operationalized as a cultural product (the book, in all editions), which could also be studied through its derived products (movies, theme songs).

Due to the exploratory and comparative nature of the project, a qualitative, inductive, and situational research framework was chosen (Schreier, 2012). This allowed the researchers to adapt their sampling strategies and analysis to the individual platforms studied whilst adhering to common overarching methodological parameters. This was particularly relevant, and indeed necessary, for the multi-case comparison at hand, and made possible through the established flexibility of certain methods, such as content analysis (White and Marsh, 2006; Hsieh and Shannon, 2005).

Within this layered framework, the specific qualitative analyses performed are presented in the individual case study reports, along with their accompanying measures of ongoing verification (Morse et al., 2002). The intent here was to make sure that no “flattening” of the data or results would occur due to the fact that one method was chosen over another. As stated in RQ4, one of the purposes of the study was to compare approaches and methods as well as results. Since no similar cross-platform, cross-product qualitative study had been identified in the review of the
literature, a “top-down” rigid approach seemed counterproductive and in direct opposition to the study’s objectives. While quantitative studies aim for generalizability, qualitative studies such as this one aim instead to point out where not to generalize too hastily, thereby complementing and informing large studies. The choice was therefore made to let each researcher or team design and conduct their own case study independently within the overarching parameters of the broader study, with all the challenges this entails, but with all of the true inductive quality it brought to the research as well. The overarching research questions and qualitative outlook ensured that the individual case studies yielded “intersecting and overlapping units of analysis” (Patton, 2015, p. 384) in the form of individual tags (and, in one case, subject headings) suitable for a common discussion aimed at “recognizing meanings” (Krippendorff, 2013, p. 27) from the textual content studied.

Platform-specific qualitative analyses were thus performed from the perspective that “[i]f meaning depends on context, context in fact becomes part of the data” (Schreier, 2012, p. 22). Each case study therefore maintained its own integrity, and discussions amongst all involved ensured that the results were presented in light of both the limitations and possibilities afforded by this approach. This was an intrinsic part of the study and its effects are therefore discussed throughout the report. Further efforts were made to streamline the reporting style by including both descriptive statistics, best suited to qualitative results (White and Marsh, 2006), and rich description, deemed essential for contextualizing the findings (Patton, 2015). The use of examples was also perceived as important in order to fully illustrate the scope of the tagging practices revealed by the case studies (Bradley, 1993). This two-pronged reporting style, combining counts and narration, helps paint a complete portrait of tags as the online manifestations studied (Krippendorff, 2013; White and Marsh, 2006).

**Case study 1: Goodreads**

*The platform*

Goodreads, a social cataloguing platform owned by Amazon (Herther, 2013; Olanoff, 2013), has a popularity ranking of 307th in the world and 122nd in the United States, and places 2nd overall in the Reference/Libraries subcategory, and first in the Reference/Libraries/Library and Information Science subcategory (Alexa, n.d. a; n.d. b) as of May 2016. Goodreads has 50 million members, 1.5 billion book titles, and 50 million reviews (Goodreads Inc., n.d.). The NoveList database integrates links to Goodreads reviews (Kenney, 2011) and these links are visible in many library catalogues.

Casino Royale *content studied*

The data were collected on August 31, 2013 through a title search for the book *Casino Royale*. Amongst the entries retrieved, which included translations and compilations, “Casino Royale (James Bond (Original Series) #1)” was chosen as the most relevant.

*Case-specific methods*

In a throwback to physical libraries, tagging, in Goodreads, is known as shelving. Using the Goodreads ranking, the first 100 English-language users who had “shelved” *Casino Royale* were identified. Language was restricted to English since this was the language of the study and of comparison with the other platforms.
These users provided a population of 198 tag uses. After the removal of duplicates (identical tags provided by more than one user), 117 unique tags were identified. The three default shelves suggested by the platform (“Read”, “Currently Reading”, “Want to Read”) were not considered.

Using the QDA Miner software, a computer-assisted, directed qualitative analysis (Hsieh and Shannon, 2005) was conducted, yielding an initial list of 63 codes based in part on the RA appeal framework. Two researchers coded the 117 unique tags, achieving an intercoder reliability of 99.8%. From these original codes, 10 categories were derived and a second round of coding was performed by both coders on the entire set. Any remaining disparities were resolved through reconciliation, a method used to remove any human error in coding, to ensure that any variations in interpretation of the codes are taken into consideration, and to facilitate the presentation and visualization of the final results as a coherent whole (Campbell et al., 2013; Hruschka et al., 2004; Schreier, 2012). After the analysis was completed, it was deemed that the sample provided the coders with enough saturation in the context of this exploratory study.

Case-specific findings
Table 1 presents an overview of the distribution of the tags. Some of the tags were composite tags were coded in more than one category, which explains why the total number of occurrences coded reaches 131.

Table 1. Distribution of tags across categories on Goodreads

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Number of shelves/occurrences in each category</th>
<th>% in terms of representation of each category</th>
<th>Examples</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Genre</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>27.5</td>
<td>mystery-thriller; political-fiction; fiction; classic-hardboiled-noir</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reading experience</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>21.4</td>
<td>summer-reading; books-read-2012-2013; ultimate-reading-list; adult-recreational</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appeal</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>england; spy-vs-spy; james-bond</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reading lists</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>1001-core</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Format</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>ebook; kindle; audiobook</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reaction</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>favorites; crap-tastic; ugh; not-worth-the-money; good; what-is-the-hype-all-about</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Movie reference</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>book-to-movie; film-and-tv</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ownership</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>read-unowned;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Although the most popular tags in the sample were linked to genre, shared uses of the exact same term by multiple users was rare (Table 2).

Table 2. Uses of the most popular Genre tags for *Casino Royale* on Goodreads

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Tag (Shelf)</th>
<th>Number of occurrences</th>
<th>% (total number of tags used = 198)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Genre</td>
<td>fiction</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>6.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genre</td>
<td>espionage</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genre</td>
<td>thriller</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genre</td>
<td>mystery</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As mentioned above, composite tags were found and therefore coded in more than 1 category to reflect their complex nature. For example, 10.3% of unique tags in the Genre category were considered “double label” tags, meaning they could nuance the genre alluded to, specify a sub-genre, or allude to the central character or other contextual references, thereby creating multifaceted shelves. Table 3 presents reconciled partial results from the first round of coding, providing insight into the level of complexity that can be achieved in a single shelf and a sense of how individual these combinations can be.

Table 3. Occurrences of single and composite Genre codes on Goodreads

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code within the “Genre” Category</th>
<th>Unique tags (N=117)</th>
<th>Total population of tags (N=198)</th>
<th>Examples</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Genre (single label)</td>
<td>22 (18.8%)</td>
<td>64 (32.3%)</td>
<td>fiction; thriller; mystery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genre (double label)</td>
<td>12 (10.3%)</td>
<td>20 (10.1%)</td>
<td>action-adventure; crime-fiction; crime-horror</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genre (triple label)</td>
<td>3 (2.6%)</td>
<td>3 (1.5%)</td>
<td>classic-hardboiled-noir; crime-detective-thriller; mystery-spy-novels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genre with other</td>
<td>1 (0.9%)</td>
<td>1 (0.5%)</td>
<td>war-espionage-fiction</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The recurrence of the term “fiction” further shows the importance of combinations: While it was assigned alone 13 times, its use in combination with other terms brought its total number of occurrences to 23. There were also 29 uses of 13 tags that contained the terms “spy”, “spies”, or “espionage” (again, alone or in combination with other terms). Variations or combinations of such classic genres as “mystery”, “thriller”, “detective”, and “crime”, were also popular. Rather surprisingly, few tags (8) depicted an appreciation or reaction, whether positive (“favorite-books-ever”), negative (“let-it-gather-dust”), or ambivalent (“crap-tastic”) to the book.

There were few direct allusions to other types of products from the James Bond franchise. The most prevalent were the films, as there have been, in fact, three adaptations of the book to the small (Casino Royale, 1954) and big (Casino Royale, 1967; 2006) screens. Six single tags were found, with only 2 clearly favouring the film. There were no references to music or to any visual collection or gallery. The tag “curious-endeavourances,” however, led to the blog of a Goodreads reading group that bears the same name and uses the WordPress platform (see Case study 4).

Case study 2: Public library catalogues
The platform
This case study examines the contribution of social discovery platforms and user-generated content in RA services in Canadian public libraries. Social discovery platforms provide an enhanced search and discovery experience for the uses. These platforms allow users to enhance the content of catalogue records by adding their own tags, ratings, and reviews. The BiblioCommons and Encore platforms were used in this study, as an examination of the Canadian Public Libraries Gateway (http://www.collectionscanada.gc.ca/gateway/s22-200-e.html) revealed them to be the most commonly used social platforms in Canadian public libraries.

Casino Royale content studied
Attention was focused on the catalogue records of all print editions of Casino Royale found in Canadian public libraries that use the BiblioCommons and Encore social discovery platforms.

Case-specific methods
The methodology used in this case study is based on a similar, but much larger study conducted by the author on a selection of 22 award-winning fiction titles drawn from Canadian public libraries that use BiblioCommons (BC) and Encore (Pecoskie, Tarulli, & Spiteri, 2014). For the sake of consistency, only the records for print editions of monographs were selected, since these records are structured using the standard Anglo-American Cataloguing Rules (AACR) and encoded in the Machine-Readable Cataloging (MARC) framework. Records for e-books are often provided by OverDrive, which does not use the same AACR and MARC formats.
All qualifying libraries that had the *Casino Royale* monograph in their collections were examined: 28 BC and 5 Encore (n=33). Grounded Theory (Hollan *et al.*, 2000; Strauss and Corbin, 1990; Walker and Myrick, 2006) was used to derive categories of access represented by Library of Congress subject or genre headings, user tags, and user reviews in the *Casino Royale* bibliographic records. Data were collected in June 2013. From the final set of library-located bibliographic records (n=33), the unique user-generated content in the form of tags and reviews/comments was extracted to determine what type of content users contributed to the records. In the case of tags, spelling variations (e.g., labor/labour), and single or plural variants of terms (e.g., dog/dogs) were considered as non-unique terms. Two researchers independently derived categories from the tags and subject headings from each record using the Grounded Theory method. Each researcher coded independently and inductively, allowing categories to emerge from the dataset. Similarly, two other researchers worked independently from each other to derive categories from the user reviews and comments. In both cases, a third researcher, who was not involved in the first round of analysis, independently coded for categories for the tags, Library of Congress subject or genre headings, and reviews. This researcher subsequently assessed the three sets of categorical analyses of the tags, subject headings, and review data and examined them for overlap, clarity, exclusivity, and relevance. These three sets of independent categories were assessed for similarity and subsequently grouped into one finalized set of categories.

**Case-specific findings**

In total, 97 Library of Congress Subject/Genre Headings were assigned to the 31 bibliographic records, with an average of 3.13 headings per record. None of the Encore records had any user-assigned tags; this same pattern was observed in the larger study of the 22 fiction titles. Only one tag was assigned, wcIs2013, by 3 BC catalogues; the meaning of this tag could not be determined. In order to focus on user-generated content provided specifically by public library users, imported reviews from private, non-library entities, such as Goodreads or LibraryThing were not considered. The Encore libraries all contained links to 1482 user reviews exclusively from Goodreads, while the BC libraries (n=25) contained a total of 7 unique reviews, with an average of 4.38 reviews per record. BC libraries share the same bibliographic record, so these 7 unique reviews are repeated across the 25 BC libraries.

The Grounded Theory analysis revealed that subject headings represented the following categories: Genre (28.4%), Protagonists (27.3%), Location (17%), Topic (13.6%), and People (13.6%). User reviews represented the following categories: Recommendation (33.3%), Protagonists (23.8%), Tone (19.1%), Author (9.5%), Historical event (4.8%), and Pace (9.5%). Examples for each category can be found in Table 4.
Table 4. Categories of subject headings and user reviews in library catalogues

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Categories</th>
<th>Subject Headings</th>
<th>User Reviews</th>
<th>Examples</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Genre</td>
<td>28.4%</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>spy stories; spy thrillers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protagonists</td>
<td>27.3%</td>
<td>23.8%</td>
<td>Bond, James (fictitious character) - LCSH; James Bond - Reviews</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>France; Great Britain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Topic</td>
<td>13.6%</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>casinos; gambling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>People</td>
<td>13.6%</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>intelligence officers; gamblers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recommendation</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
<td>fun story to read</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tone</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>19.1%</td>
<td>gritty; raw</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Author</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
<td>Ian Fleming</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Historical event</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>4.8%</td>
<td>Cold War</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pace</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
<td>well-paced book; very slow</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Library-contributed access points focus on the more tangible aspects of the work, namely Genre, Protagonists (James Bond), and Location; the Topic of a work does not feature as prominently. User reviews provide more affective access points, namely Recommendations and Tone. User-contributed content can provide valuable access points for topic and affect that are not always reflected well in library-assigned subject headings; this content can thus serve as an important supplement to Machine-Readable Catalog (MARC) records.

Case study 3: Last.fm

The platform

Last.fm is a music recommender system based on collaborative filtering, and provides personalized recommendations by comparing a user’s taste profile with other taste profiles in order to identify like-minded users. Last.fm is ranked 1,643rd in the world in popularity, 2,048th in the United States, and 1,754th rank in Canada as of June 2016 (Alexa, n.d. c). Last.fm provides an application programming interface (API) that allows other systems to extract various types of data from Last.fm (including social tags). All users can assign tags to albums, tracks, and artists. For each resource, Last.fm displays the most popular tags (up to 60) in a cloud.
Casino Royale content studied
Since Last.fm is a music platform, the focus was on the theme song of the Casino Royale movie, “You know my name”, performed by Chris Cornell. To collect the data, a request was sent to the Last.fm API method “track.getTopTags” on September 4, 2014. This API method is used to retrieve the top tags (up to 100) for a given track and their relative weight based on the number of times they have been applied to the track.

Case-specific methods
For this case study, the codebook was developed based on a larger dataset, not related to Casino Royale theme song. More specifically, the tags applied to 630 songs in Last.fm were analyzed. In order to ensure that the sample would reflect a variety of social tagging practices, a non-proportional quota sample was used, containing 30 songs from 21 different genres. The list of distinct tags that had been applied to each song was retrieved, for a total of 23,052 tags. The grounded theory approach was used to analyze the tags (Corbin and Strauss, 2008; Creswell, 2009), which involves a process that is both inductive and iterative. The categories were compared and refined until they were distinct and their properties could be defined clearly. The final codebook contained 12 categories. This codebook was then used to code the top 100 tags that had been applied to Casino Royale theme song. The coding was done independently by two researchers, with an intercoder reliability of 94%. As in the Goodreads case study, the two coders discussed the disparities to achieve reconciliation. A few composite tags were assigned two or more categories.

Case-specific findings
The number of distinct tags in each category is presented in Table 5. Although Lamere (2008) and Bischoff and colleagues (2008) found that the most prevalent category of tags in Last.fm is Genre, this category ranks only third for the theme song. The category with the most tags is the Link to cultural products or events, or to another artist category. This is not surprising, considering that the song being tagged is part of a movie soundtrack. Thirty of the 33 tags in this category provide a direct reference to the movie (e.g., “bond theme,” “Casino Royale”), or to the fact that it is a theme song (e.g., “theme,” “soundtrack”). Another tag refers to an actor in the movie (i.e., “Daniel Craig”). The remaining two tags in this category refer to music groups of which Chris Cornell is or was a member (e.g., “Audioslave,” “soundgarden”). Interestingly, except for the tag “Casino Royale” that could refer to both the book’s and the movie’s title, there was no direct reference to Ian Fleming’s book.
Table 5. Distribution of distinct tags by category for the song *You know my name* on Last.fm

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Number of distinct tags</th>
<th>% of distinct tags</th>
<th>Examples</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Link to cultural product or event, or to another artist</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>29.7</td>
<td>casino royale theme, cinema, soundgarden</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opinion</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>27.9</td>
<td>love at first listen, 10 of 10 stars</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genre/Style</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>indie, hard rock, post-grunge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instrumentation</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>male vocalist, guitar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other/indecipherable</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>power, SICmuSIC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bibliographic information</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>Chris Cornell, you know my name</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Place of origin/Language</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>american, seattle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mood/Emotion</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>angry, energetic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Period</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>2006, 2000s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theme/Topic</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>spy, secret agent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Usage context</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>my morning, workout</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-reference</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>songs I know by heart</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The second most popular tag category is Opinion, which accounts for more than a quarter of the distinct tags. The large number of opinion tags appears to confirm what previous research revealed, which is that there is a performance aspect to tagging in Last.fm (Laplante, 2015; Zollers, 2007), as there is in the way the users of other social networks list their cultural interests in their profile (Liu, 2007). An examination of the relative weight of each tag reveals that opinion tags are rarely reapplied. The fact that many users choose their own tags and not simply reuse existing tags suggests that, at least for certain users, applying tags involves much more than assigning indexing terms for future retrieval: Tags can be used as a statement of good taste.

In the 10 most frequently applied tags for the theme song, five are genre-related, four refer to the movie, and one falls under the bibliographic information category (see Table 6). The placement of five different genres in the top 10 tags shows that users do not always agree on the genre of a song ("alternative" vs. "grunge"), nor the level at which it should be classified ("rock" vs. "alternative rock").

Table 6. Most popular tags for the song You know my name on Last.fm

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tag</th>
<th>Relative weight</th>
<th>Tag category</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>rock</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Genre/Style</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soundtrack</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>Link to cultural product or event, or to another artist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>james bond</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>Link to cultural product or event, or to another artist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>alternative rock</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>Genre/Style</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Casino Royale</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>Link to cultural product or event, or to another artist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>007</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>Link to cultural product or event, or to another artist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>alternative</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>Genre/Style</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chris Cornell</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Bibliographic information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grunge</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Genre/Style</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hard rock</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>Genre/Style</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Case study 4: WordPress

The platform
WordPress is an online publishing platform that allows users to create and manage personal and professional blogs and web sites. WordPress has become particularly popular for the purpose of blogging. Blogs are often compared to web pages, but differ in that the content is chronologically arranged and updated more regularly (Clyde, 2004a; 2004b). Rankings by Alexa (n.d. d) from May 2016 put the popularity of WordPress at 41th and 41th worldwide and in the US, respectively. WordPress (2016) statistics from May 2016 show 59.3 million new posts and 48.4 million new comments each month. WordPress allows only the creator of the blog post to tag and it also limits the number of tags per blog post to 15, but recommends a much lower number. Tags can also be renamed as categories, or filed under a category heading, both of which are also user-defined.

Casino Royale content studied
One of the key ways to make information more accessible on WordPress is the use of tags, which are posted directly at the bottom of each blog post. The tags are often user generated, but some tags are suggested by the system. Bloggers have the option to accept or reject the suggestions made by Wordpres prior to publishing their posts. Data were collected by searching for the term “Casino Royale”, of which the 20 most recent WordPress blog posts as of October 2013 were selected.

Case-specific methods
The 20 selected posts were analyzed through a two-stage process. First, all the blog posts were read in full, and memos were made regarding the topic of each post. Based on this preliminary analysis, a list of central codes was generated (see Table 7). This list was then employed to classify the tags based on the content of the blog post to which they were linked. For example, a post on travel that mentions Ian Fleming’s birthplace and tagged with the name (“Ian Fleming”) would be classified under the Places code, while an identically tagged post about a James Bond novel would be classified under Books. This helped in the identification of tags that were rarely employed in the data set and which were coded as “single-use”. These outliers, it was hypothesized, would be the links in which users might find related, surprising, or unintended information. In the second stage of the analysis, the tags were imported into Gephi, an interactive visualization and exploration platform commonly utilized for the analysis of networked data. The nodes in the visualization represent the tags and the edges represent co-usage either within or across a blog post. If they were used in the same blog post, the edge and node are of the identical colour.

Case-specific findings
There were 179 tags used in the 20 blog posts analyzed. The total number of tags used per blog post ranged from 1 to 15, with a mean of 8.4. Of these, the three main codes were: “movies”, “books”, and “places”, which accounted for 61.2% of the total tags in the corpus. Table 7 shows that the tags related to movies accounted for the largest number of tags, often referring directly to the movie title “Kill Bill 3” (Casino Royale was a movie Tarantino wanted to direct, but it was given to someone else, whereas Kill Bill 3 was a possible movie for him to direct, but there is uncertainty as to whether that will ever happen). Many of the tags also referred to the original book by either listing “Ian Fleming” or the title itself “Casino Royale”.
Table 7. Coding chart for WordPress tags (N=179)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Example of tag</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Movies</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>“Kill Bill 3”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single use</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>“nail polish”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Books</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>“Ian Fleming”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Places</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>“Belarus”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Topic of Blog</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>“Travel destinations”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bond-associated</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>“007”</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In addition to tags referring to the actual cultural products (either movies or books), tags often also referred to places associated with these products (12 tags in total). For instance, a blog would be tagged “Belarus” and “Casino Royale” to indicate that the physical place was referenced in relation to the cultural product.

The network visualization (Figure 1) shows all the tags used to describe the 20 posts; the more times a tag was used, the greater the size of the word and node used to represent it. In the visualization we see that Bond related topics (“james bond”, “daniel craig”, etc.) are most popular, while the smallest words represent the outliers; topics that we termed “single use” in the coding and can lead the farthest away from the original topic. The network topology indicates that there is strong clustering within each blog post, but few connections that bridge across them. This would suggest that there are few popular closely related topics that overlap; otherwise, the blogs are disconnected.
Five tags were related to the general topic of James Bond 007 and 52 tags were only used once in the corpus and served as associative descriptors. The associative descriptor accounts for many random paths that a user may take once starting to explore from the tag “Casino Royale” and validates Chopin’s (2008) findings on topic navigation. The list of places they may have jumped to next include: “Clean bathrooms”, “Dorm life”, “fill the gap”, and even “Ford Motor Co.”.
In sum, the “Casino Royale” tag often served to disambiguate reference to other products, such as other movies and books, and to indicate that a place, person, or event was being discussed in relation to *Casino Royale*.

**Discussion**
The four case studies examined in this paper focus on tagging systems that differ in several respects including the nature of the resource or entity being tagged, the platform design, and the community’s culture. Referring back to the overarching research questions of the study, they inform what the different platforms reveal, but also how they can be studied to take their contextual differences into consideration.

Table 8. Comparison of rankings of tag categories across platforms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Goodreads</th>
<th>Catalogues, LCSH</th>
<th>Catalogues, Reviews</th>
<th>Last.fm</th>
<th>WordPress</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Genre</td>
<td>1 (27.5%)</td>
<td>1 (28.4%)</td>
<td></td>
<td>3 (10.8%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reading experience</td>
<td>2 (21.4%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reading lists</td>
<td>4 (11.5%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Usage context</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-reference</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Books</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appeal</td>
<td>3 (13.7%)</td>
<td>2 (27.3%)</td>
<td>2 (23.8%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protagonists</td>
<td></td>
<td>3 (17%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location</td>
<td></td>
<td>4 (13.6%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Topic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>People</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tone</td>
<td></td>
<td>3 (19.1%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Historical event</td>
<td></td>
<td>5 (4.8%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pace</td>
<td></td>
<td>4 (9.5%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mood/emotion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7 (2.7%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Period</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7 (2.7%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theme/topic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7 (2.7%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Places</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Topic of blog</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bond-associated</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Format</td>
<td>5 (12%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reaction</td>
<td></td>
<td>8 (6.1%)</td>
<td>1 (33.3%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recommendation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opinion</td>
<td></td>
<td>2 (27.9%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Movie Reference</td>
<td>6 (4.6%)</td>
<td>1 (29.7%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Link to another product or event,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>or to another artist</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Movies</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ownership</td>
<td>7 (3.1%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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**RQ1. What kinds of tags do users contribute to Casino Royale or its derivatives in the different platforms?**

Communities in Goodreads and Last.fm use composite tags, and so it was often necessary to place these tags into more than one category to reflect their complexity. Authors in WordPress create tags where multiple terms are used to fully represent one concept. Interestingly, these practices, while slightly different, all mirror the construction of controlled vocabularies in traditional library cataloguing, such as LCSH, in which headings, free-floating subdivisions, and genre or form terms can be associated to form a more complete representation of the object being described, as seen in these examples:

- Bond, James (fictitious character), LCSH
- war-espionage-fiction, Goodreads
- great male voice, Last.fm
- ian fleming foundation’s collection of 007 vehicles, WordPress

Unlike traditional subject headings, however, the folksonomies studied here also presented sentence-like tags that either described the cultural product in relationship to the user (“songs i know by heart”, Last.fm), or in relationship with other cultural products. The latter could either be purely descriptive (“a movie version of the man from u.n.c.l.e”, WordPress), or opinion-laden (“the-movie-is-better”, Goodreads).

The form of the tags is influenced if not dictated at least in part by the tagging system design, which is discussed below.

**RQ 2. How do tagging practices compare across platforms?**

The platforms provide access to different types of resources (i.e., books, music recordings, and blog posts) and this influences the way they are designed. All platforms, except for WordPress, adopt a broad folksonomy approach whereby “many people [are] tagging the same object and every person can tag the object with their own tags in their own vocabulary” (Vander Wal, 2005). At the moment of tagging, Last.fm suggests tags that have been applied the most frequently to the resource being tagged, as well as the tags most applied by the user. Only the top 60 tags assigned to an item are displayed. Goodreads suggests its three default shelves (“Read”, “Currently Reading”, and “Want to Read”) in addition to the shelves most applied by the user; suggestions are therefore linked directly to the reader and individual timelines or practices.

**RQ3. What categories of tags do users provide for the items being tagged in the various platforms?**

It is in the comparison of the results of the various analyses that the layered and nested approach revealed the full contextual importance of each platform in relationship to the products tagged.
Table 8 establishes comparisons between the categories found on each platform, keeping in mind that no initial grid or list was imposed. These categories are therefore indigenous to the analysis of the platforms studied and a reflection of what the team responsible for each case study found through the qualitative analysis of the data. Groupings of categories were not established based on the content of the tags, but rather on the categories. This highlights the importance of respecting and reporting anomalies (Bradley, 1993) rather than overly flattening results. A telling example of this is the “Instrumentation” category unique to the Last.fm platform; another would be the format, which indicates if a resource is an audiobook and ebook, of importance to the Goodreads community, but irrelevant to WordPress. It is also normal not to find recommendations or opinions in subject headings.

The comparison of the results reveals interesting differences and commonalities between tagging practices across platforms. Compared with traditional access points provided in library catalogues, users of social media platforms tended to provide more subjective access points, such as opinion or experience-related tags, which parallels Šauperl’s (2012) finding that UGC goes beyond the bibliographic description provided in traditional library catalogues. For instance, in Last.fm, opinion tags accounted for 27.9% of tags; in Goodreads, although the reaction category only accounted for 6.1% of the tags, the reading-experience tags accounted for 21.4% of tags, and the reading-list tags for 11.5%; and the recommendation category ranked first in the library catalogue reviews. This shows why knowing how people tag items in social media platforms is increasingly important for libraries, especially since many library catalogues now import Goodreads reviews and LibraryThing tags. The use of tags referring to traditional appeal factors to describe the characteristics of books in readers’ advisory services was relatively low (13.7%), whereas they made up 71.5% of the LCSH in the library catalogue. Goodreads users and cataloguers remain faithful to the quintessential notion of genre to describe fiction books as reflected by 27.5% of tags in the social platform and 28.4% of subject headings in the catalogues. Interestingly, although previous studies show that genre is the most popular tag category at the platform level, only 10.8% of the tags assigned to the theme song of the movie were genre related; in this case, the reference to the movie took precedence over genre and represented 29.7% of the tags. A similar trend was found in WordPress where the reference to the movie also ranked first and accounted for 35% of the tags. This seems to suggest that the relationship to the root product is perceived by users as necessary when tagging derivative products.

**RQ 3.1 What does this reveal about the site culture?**

The platforms bring together communities of users with differing cultures and practices. As the review of the literature on social tagging revealed (see Background section), these differences can affect tagging practices (e.g., Bischoff et al., 2008; Iofciu et al., 2011; Peters, 2009; Strohmaier et al., 2012).

It is in the relationship between the tags, the original cultural product (*Casino Royale*), and its derivatives that the most revealing differences across platforms occur. Cultural products do not exist in a vacuum; this is perhaps evident, but too easy to forget when one sees “james bond” as a tag in all platforms. A place, such as “England”, can be the setting of a book, a place of origin for its creator, or a travel destination. References to the movie were prevalent on Last.fm, indicating the strong importance of linking a theme song to the movie for which it was created, rather than the life the song has known outside of it. Indeed, this goes against findings from other research which shows that genre is usually the favoured tag category on Last.fm (Lamere, 2008; Laplante,
2015), as it was here for Goodreads, where references to the movie had only a weak representation. This suggests that the reading act far outweighs the fact that this book was turned into a movie, and supports a very book-centric, almost book-exclusive nature to the Goodreads platform, isolating the book from other cultural products and the reading experience from a broader cultural context. On WordPress, the results suggest the opposite, since the relationship to movies was also the strongest (63 cases), seemingly placing the movie *Casino Royale* at the core of the tagging and establishing more relationships with other movie references, and then with books, which ranked third with 24 cases (the outliers taking the second position). Unsurprisingly perhaps due to the far-reaching nature of the blogging experience, the tags on WordPress offer the farthest-reaching network in terms of the cultural context.

**RQ 4. How do the research approaches utilized to study each of the four cases compare?**

As stated in this research question, it was in intrinsic part of this study to explore how inductive methods would compare in a cross-platform study. This approach allowed the researchers to use their expertise in information behaviour, organization of information, library systems, readers’ advisory (RA), social media, serendipitous encountering of information, music, and literature, to lay some exploratory groundwork for larger-scale studies. By exploring different qualitative, inductive analyses, the case studies revealed where the parallels lie across the platforms, but also the pitfalls linked to underestimating not only the importance of context in terms of the meaning associated with each semantic unit in tagging, but also the strength of the relationship between the object tagged and said semantic unit.

Qualitative studies do not aim for generalizability; rather, their strength lies in revealing the detail and anomalies (Bradley, 1993) in the reduction of data. Given the results presented here, the approaches of content analysis, directed or non-, and grounded theory are all relevant to this type of content, since the categories are created inductively and therefore truly reflect site practices. The network analysis performed further revealed how tags were related to one another and how co-usage of tags allows readers of blogs to encounter new content that is only peripherally related to the tag of *Casino Royale*. The comparison of the results provided by each case study is also important because it tells us something about what inductive methods can bring: contextualized codebooks, unique to each platform, unique to the relationships of the tags to the objects, and reflective of particular communities—even when the terms used for tagging are the same. The final contributions of this paper are therefore to state:

- Design methods for the analysis of this type of content should always take the following differences into consideration: the actual product being tagged and its relationship to any root product, user motivation (experience, retrieval, etc.), and tag style or composition, as well as, quite obviously, the design of the tagging system;
- Researchers might be weary of establishing single, cross-platform codebooks; rather, multi-pronged and inductive approaches built on nested and layered case studies should be explored and pursued further.

**Limitations and further studies**

There are limitations to this study, some pertaining to the choice of focusing on one cultural product. The use of *Casino Royale* as our cultural product meant that a large and diverse set of tags was encountered, which may not be the case with other cultural products, which are more focused to a single medium (e.g., only available in book or audio format). Additionally, *Casino Royale* has a loyal following, often with a strong emotional connection to the movies and books;
this is reflected in the way that tags are assigned, often with a positive valence, as seen in the travel tags found in WordPress blog posts.

The adoption of a nested and layered case study approach also entailed some limitations. Firstly, there was a time interval in the data collection. For three case studies, the data were collected in the summer or fall of 2013 while the Last.fm data were collected in the fall of 2014. Nonetheless, there are two reasons why this would not influence the results drastically: the *Casino Royale* movie was released in 2006, so much of the initial increase in tags around cultural products would have occurred shortly thereafter; and all four case studies were cross-sectional, snapshots and as such reflect data for that point, without much consideration of changes over time. To illustrate this, the data for Last.fm were actually also collected and analyzed summarily in the fall 2013 (Desrochers, Laplante, Martin, Quan-Haase, Rasmussen Pennington, and Spiteri, 2013) and very few differences were found between the two datasets.

Secondly, the inductive and qualitative paradigms, which imposed no pre-determined set of categories, also had both advantages and disadvantages. On the one hand, this approach limited the comparisons to categories and format of tags across the different platforms, rather than the tags themselves; on the other hand, it reflected the reality of the different platforms, as established by the literature. Further and larger studies should be conducted to compare the data at the collection phase and to perform parallel comparisons of semantic units and categories across the platforms studied; however, the caveats and pitfalls mentioned here remain of crucial importance in order for context to be taken into consideration. Given the exploration performed in this study, the suggested next step would be to take a population of tags from each platform and perform again inductive analyses in order to establish not simply a common codebook yet, but parallel codebooks. Then, a certain merging of categories could be performed, on two fronts, creating two codebooks: one for categories which are common to some or all platforms, and one for those who are not. This way, the similarities would be studied alongside the differences without running the risk of reducing the analysis to an illusory convergence of meaning, an illusory equivalence between cultural products, or an illusory ‘bond’ between communities and their practices.

**Conclusion**

The present study allows us to draw some interesting conclusions. User-generated content on social media necessitates the development of innovative research methodologies that allow for cross-platform comparisons to identify differences and similarities in user behaviour, resulting from the unique cultural norms, practices, and technical and social affordances inherent to each platform. Our study presents a layered and nested case study approach as one way of meeting the challenge of examining multiple, diverse platforms in a single study by focusing on one franchise that provided multiple cultural products. We were also able to identify differences across platforms in how users tag content related to our key topic “Casino Royale” that demonstrate a fundamental shift: Digital platforms with a focus on the material book *Casino Royale*, like Goodreads and library catalogues, focus primarily on the book itself; by contrast, digital platforms with a focus on music and content, namely Last.fm and WordPress, are more likely to cross-reference the root product and other cultural products, thereby expanding the domain in multiple and sometimes surprising directions. Finally, it is interesting to note that the incentives to tag still reflect those presented in early studies of tagging systems such as Marlow, Namaan, boyd, and Davis (2006). While Goodreads and Last.fm users tag mainly for future retrieval and
self-representation (through the expression of their reading experience and opinion, respectively), WordPress users seek to attract attention of readers to their posts. All of this shows that tagging practices on social platforms should always be studied in relationship to both the nature of the product being tagged and the design of the tagging system. This is especially true when comparing platforms, for removing context could lead to hasty conclusions where user practices are concerned.
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