Western University [Scholarship@Western](https://ir.lib.uwo.ca?utm_source=ir.lib.uwo.ca%2Fmnipub%2F40&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

[Microbiology & Immunology Publications](https://ir.lib.uwo.ca/mnipub?utm_source=ir.lib.uwo.ca%2Fmnipub%2F40&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages) [Microbiology & Immunology Department](https://ir.lib.uwo.ca/mni?utm_source=ir.lib.uwo.ca%2Fmnipub%2F40&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

7-2014

In Silico Analysis of Functional Single Nucleotide Polymorphisms in the Human TRIM22 Gene

Jenna N Kelly

Stephen D Barr *Western University*, sbarr9@uwo.ca

Follow this and additional works at: [https://ir.lib.uwo.ca/mnipub](https://ir.lib.uwo.ca/mnipub?utm_source=ir.lib.uwo.ca%2Fmnipub%2F40&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages) Part of the [Microbiology Commons](http://network.bepress.com/hgg/discipline/48?utm_source=ir.lib.uwo.ca%2Fmnipub%2F40&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

Citation of this paper:

Kelly, Jenna N and Barr, Stephen D, "In Silico Analysis of Functional Single Nucleotide Polymorphisms in the Human TRIM22 Gene" (2014). *Microbiology & Immunology Publications*. 40. [https://ir.lib.uwo.ca/mnipub/40](https://ir.lib.uwo.ca/mnipub/40?utm_source=ir.lib.uwo.ca%2Fmnipub%2F40&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

In Silico Analysis of Functional Single Nucleotide Polymorphisms in the Human TRIM22 Gene

Jenna N. Kelly, Stephen D. Barr*

Western University, Schulich School of Medicine and Dentistry, Center for Human Immunology, Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Dental Sciences Building, London, Ontario, Canada

Abstract

Tripartite motif protein 22 (TRIM22) is an evolutionarily ancient protein that plays an integral role in the host innate immune response to viruses. The antiviral TRIM22 protein has been shown to inhibit the replication of a number of viruses, including HIV-1, hepatitis B, and influenza A. TRIM22 expression has also been associated with multiple sclerosis, cancer, and autoimmune disease. In this study, multiple in silico computational methods were used to identify non-synonymous or amino acid-changing SNPs (nsSNP) that are deleterious to TRIM22 structure and/or function. A sequence homology-based approach was adopted for screening nsSNPs in TRIM22, including six different in silico prediction algorithms and evolutionary conservation data from the ConSurf web server. In total, 14 high-risk nsSNPs were identified in TRIM22, most of which are located in a protein interaction module called the B30.2 domain. Additionally, 9 of the top high-risk nsSNPs altered the putative structure of TRIM22's B30.2 domain, particularly in the surface-exposed v2 and v3 regions. These same regions are critical for retroviral restriction by the closely-related TRIM5a protein. A number of putative structural and functional residues, including several sites that undergo post-translational modification, were also identified in TRIM22. This study is the first extensive in silico analysis of the highly polymorphic TRIM22 gene and will be a valuable resource for future targeted mechanistic and population-based studies.

Citation: Kelly JN, Barr SD (2014) In Silico Analysis of Functional Single Nucleotide Polymorphisms in the Human TRIM22 Gene. PLoS ONE 9(7): e101436. doi:10. 1371/journal.pone.0101436

Editor: Vladimir N. Uversky, University of South Florida College of Medicine, United States of America

Received February 19, 2014; Accepted June 6, 2014; Published July 1, 2014

Copyright: © 2014 Kelly, Barr. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License,](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Funding: This work was supported in part by: an Ontario Graduate Scholarship and Queen Elizabeth II Graduate Scholarship in Science and Technology to JNK; an Ontario HIV Treatment Network salary award to SDB; and CIHR grants HBF107546 and HBF134179 to SDB. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

* Email: stephen.barr@uwo.ca

Introduction

Single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs), defined as single base changes in a DNA sequence, are responsible for the majority of genetic variation in the human population. Although many SNPs are phenotypically neutral, non-synonymous SNPs (nsSNPs) often have deleterious effects on protein structure or function. NsSNPs are located in protein coding regions and result in an amino acid substitution in the corresponding protein product. As such, nsSNPs can alter the structure, stability, or function of proteins, and are often associated with human disease. Indeed, previous studies have shown that approximately 50% of the mutations involved in inherited genetic disorders are due to nsSNPs [1–3]. Recently, a number of genetic studies have focused on nsSNPs in innate immune genes. These studies have identified multiple nsSNPs that influence susceptibility to infection, as well as the development of inflammatory disorders and autoimmune diseases [4–9]. Nonetheless, because innate immune genes are often highly polymorphic, many nsSNPs in these genes remain uncharacterized.

Members of the tripartite motif (TRIM) protein family are involved in a wide range of biological processes related to innate immunity [10–12]. TRIM proteins are defined by an RBCC motif, which consists of a RING domain, one or two B-box domains, and a predicted coiled-coil region. Most TRIM proteins also have a protein interaction module called a B30.2 domain at their C-terminus [13–15]. Many TRIM proteins are induced by interferon signaling and several possess antiviral activity, in particular against the Retroviridae family of viruses. Recent studies have implicated TRIM proteins in the regulation of pathogenrecognition signaling pathways, a finding that has sparked considerable interest in understanding how TRIM family proteins contribute to the innate immune response [16–21].

One well-studied member of the TRIM family, TRIM5 α , is required for the species-specific block against HIV-1 replication in primate cells [22–24]. Recently, TRIM5 α was also shown to promote innate immune signaling and to function as an innate immune sensor for the retrovirus capsid lattice in vitro. Previous studies have established that $TRIM5\alpha$ binds to the HIV-1 capsid protein in the mature viral core via four variable regions (v1-v4) in its B30.2 domain [25,26]. The v1 or 'antiviral patch' region was previously shown to be the major determinant for species-specific HIV-1 restriction by TRIM5a. Mutations in the other variable regions (v2-v4) have also been shown to interfere with $TRIM5\alpha$ mediated restriction of HIV-1, SIV, and N-MLV [22,26–29]. Notably, analogous variable regions are found in several other B30.2-containing TRIM proteins [30,31,32].

Human TRIM5 is located on chromosome 11 within a cluster of four closely-related TRIM genes that also includes TRIM6, TRIM22, and TRIM34. TRIM5 and TRIM22 have an ancient and dynamic evolutionary relationship, whereby both genes have evolved under positive selection for millions of years in a mutually

exclusive manner [33]. Similar to TRIM5 α , TRIM22 has also been shown to inhibit HIV-1 replication in a number of human cell lines and primary monocyte-derived macrophages [34–37]. TRIM22 expression levels have also been shown to influence HIV-1 infection in vivo [37,38,39]. Interestingly, nsSNPs in TRIM5a, including H43Y, R136Q, and G249D, significantly alter HIV-1 acquisition and disease progression in humans [40– 43]. Despite TRIM22's highly polymorphic nature, it is unknown how nsSNPs affect its biological and/or antiviral functions. Here, multiple in silico computational methods were used to identify nsSNPs in the TRIM22 gene that are predicted to be highly deleterious to TRIM22 structure and/or function. A total of 14 high-risk nsSNPs were identified, including 9 that altered the putative structure of TRIM22's B30.2 domain. A number of sites predicted to undergo post-translational modification (ubiquitylation, sumoylation, phosphorylation) were also identified. This study is the first extensive in silico analysis of the TRIM22 gene and will establish a strong foundation for future structure-function and population-based studies.

Materials and Methods

Retrieval of SNPs

Polymorphism data for the TRIM22 gene were retrieved from the following databases: the UniProt database [\(http://www.](http://www.uniprot.org) [uniprot.org\)](http://www.uniprot.org) (UniProtKB ID Q8IYM9), the NCBI dbSNP database (<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/SNP/>), 1000 Genomes [\(http://www.1000genomes.org/](http://www.1000genomes.org/)), and the Ensembl genome browser ([http://www.ensembl.org/index.html\)](http://www.ensembl.org/index.html). Minor allele frequencies were obtained from the NCBI dbSNP database, the Ensembl genome browser, and the 1000 Genomes browser [44– 46].

Non-synonymous SNP analysis

Functional effects of nsSNPs were predicted using the following in silico algorithms: Polyphen-2 ([http://genetics.bwh.harvard.edu/](http://genetics.bwh.harvard.edu/pp2) [pp2\)](http://genetics.bwh.harvard.edu/pp2), SIFT ([http://sift.jcvi.org/\)](http://sift.jcvi.org/), nsSNP Analyzer ([http://](http://snpanalyzer.uthsc.edu/) snpanalyzer.uthsc.edu/), PhD-SNP [\(http://snps.biofold.org/phd](http://snps.biofold.org/phd-snp/phd-snp.html)[snp/phd-snp.html](http://snps.biofold.org/phd-snp/phd-snp.html)), SNPs&GO ([http://snps-and-go.biocomp.](http://snps-and-go.biocomp.unibo.it/snps-and-go/) [unibo.it/snps-and-go/\)](http://snps-and-go.biocomp.unibo.it/snps-and-go/), and PMut (mmb2.pcb.ub.es:8080/PMut) [47–52]. nsSNPs predicted to be deleterious by at least four *in silico* algorithms were categorized as high-risk nsSNPs and were selected for further analysis.

Phylogenetic analysis

Evolutionary conservation of amino acid residues in TRIM22 was determined using the ConSurf web server (consurf.tau.ac.il/) [53]. In ConSurf, 14 TRIM22 homologues were aligned and position-specific conservation scores were calculated using an empirical Bayesian algorithm (Conservation Scores: 1–4 Variable, 5–6 Intermediate, and 7–9 Conserved). Putative functional and structural residues were also predicted using ConSurf by combining evolutionary conservation scores with solvent accessibility predictions (Figures S1 and S2). Highly conserved amino acids that were located at high-risk nsSNP sites were selected for further analysis.

Structural analysis

3D-Jigsaw was used to generate 3D structural models for wild type TRIM22 (UniProtKB Q8IYM9) and each of the 9 high-risk nsSNPs in TRIM22's B30.2 domain. For each model, only the B30.2 sequence was submitted. 3D-Jigsaw searches multiple sequence databases (e.g. PFAM and PDB) and builds structures based on homologues of known structure [54]. Models were viewed using the Swiss-PdbViewer [\(http://www.expasy.org/](http://www.expasy.org/spdbv/) [spdbv/\)](http://www.expasy.org/spdbv/) [55]. Tm-Align was used to calculate Tm-scores and root mean square deviation (RMSD) [\(http://zhanglab.ccmb.med.](http://zhanglab.ccmb.med.umich.edu/TM-align/) [umich.edu/TM-align/\)](http://zhanglab.ccmb.med.umich.edu/TM-align/) [56].

Prediction of post-translational modification sites

Putative ubiquitylation sites were predicted using the UbPred [\(www.ubpred.org\)](www.ubpred.org) and BDM-PUB (bdmpub.biocuckoo.org) programs [2]. In UbPred, lysine residues with a score of ≥ 0.62 were considered ubiquitylated. For BDM-PUB, the balanced cut-off option was selected. Putative sumoylation sites were predicted using the SUMOplot ([http://www.abgent.com/sumoplot\)](http://www.abgent.com/sumoplot) and SUMOsp 2.0 ([http://sumosp.biocuckoo.org/\)](http://sumosp.biocuckoo.org/) programs [57]. For SUMOplot, only high probability motifs with a score ≥ 0.5 were considered sumoylated. Medium level threshold with a 2.64 cut-off value was selected for SUMOsp 2.0 analysis. Putative phosphorylation sites were predicted using GPS 2.1 ([http://gps.biocuckoo.](http://gps.biocuckoo.org/) [org/\)](http://gps.biocuckoo.org/) and NetPhos 2.0 [\(http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/](http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/NetPhos/) [NetPhos/](http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/NetPhos/)) [58,59]. For GPS 2.1 analysis, high level threshold with cut-off values ranging from 0.776-11 were selected. In NetPhos 2.0, serine, threonine, and tyrosine residues with a score of >0.5 were considered phosphorylated. Sumo-interacting motifs (SIM) were identified manually and compared to experimentally verified SIMs in the scientific literature [60,61].

Protein stability analysis

I-Mutant version 2.0, an online support vector machine tool based on the ProTherm database, was used to evaluate nsSNPinduced changes in protein stability [62]. nsSNP protein-coding sequences were submitted to I-Mutant 2.0 for 2 high-risk nsSNPs that coincide with putative PTM sites, 5 low-risk nsSNPs that coincide with putative PTM sites, and 12 additional high-risk nsSNPs that do not coincide with predicted PTM sites. I-Mutant 2.0 estimates the free energy change value (DDG) by calculating the unfolding Gibbs free energy value (ΔG) for the wild type protein and subtracting it from that of the mutant protein (DDG or $\Delta\Delta G = \Delta G$ mutant – ΔG wild type). It also predicts the sign (increase or decrease) of the free energy change value (DDG), along with a reliability index for the results (RI: 0–10, where 0 is the lowest reliability and 10 is the highest reliability). A DDG $<$ 0 corresponds to a decrease in protein stability, whereas a $DDG \geq 0$ corresponds to an increase in protein stability. However, according to the ternary classification system (SVM3), a large decrease in protein stability corresponds to a DDG <-0.5 and a large increase in protein stability corresponds to a DDG >0.5 . In contrast, DDG values that fall between -0.5 and 0.5 correspond to relatively neutral protein stability [62,63]. The pH was set to 7 and the temperature was set to 25° C for all submissions.

Results and Discussion

SNP dataset

Polymorphism data for the TRIM22 gene were retrieved from the NCBI dbSNP database, the Ensembl genome browser, and the UniProt database [44–46]. According to these databases, the $TRIM22$ gene contains 66 nsSNPs, 8 SNPs in its 5' UTR, and 32 SNPs in its 3' UTR. Of the 66 nsSNPs, 10 generate truncated versions of the TRIM22 protein (nonsense and frameshift mutations), whereas 56 introduce single amino acid changes (missense mutations) into TRIM22 (Table S1). To determine whether a given missense mutation affected TRIM22 function, we subjected the latter 56 nsSNPs to a variety of *in silico* SNP prediction algorithms. The results, which are summarized in Table 1. Summary of prediction results for nsSNPs in the TRIM22 protein.

Percentage of total nsSNPs (56) shown in parentheses for each category; PD: possibly deleterious; PP-2: Polyphen-2; nsSNP AZ: nsSNP Analyzer. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0101436.t001

Table 1, identified a number of nsSNPs with a high probability of being deleterious to TRIM22 structure and/or function.

Non-synonymous SNP analysis

Our analyses included the following six in silico SNP prediction algorithms: Polyphen-2, SIFT, nsSNP Analyzer, PhD-SNP, PMUT, and SNPs&GO [47–52]. According to our Polyphen-2 results, 13 nsSNPs (23%) are damaging to TRIM22 function, whereas 33 nsSNPs (59%) are benign. An additional 10 nsSNPs (18%) are predicted to be 'possibly damaging' by Polyphen-2 (Table 1). Our SIFT analysis predicted that 19 nsSNPs (34%) are deleterious to TRIM22 function and 37 nsSNPs (66%) are tolerated. On the contrary, the nsSNP Analyzer predicted that 21 nsSNPs (38%) cause disease and 35 nsSNPs (62%) are neutral (Table 1). Both PhD-SNP and PMUT predicted that 25 (45%) nsSNPs are pathological and 31 (55%) nsSNPs are neutral (Table 1). SNPs&GO analysis, which includes information from the Gene Ontology annotation, predicted that 11 nsSNPs (20%) cause disease and 45 nsSNPs (80%) are neutral (Table 1). Interestingly, we found that the majority of potentially deleterious nsSNPs were located in the B30.2 domain, including 3 nsSNPs that were predicted to be damaging by all six SNP prediction algorithms (P403T, T460I, and C494F). Because each algorithm

uses different parameters to evaluate the nsSNPs, nsSNPs with more positive results are more likely to be truly deleterious. Here, we classified nsSNPs as high-risk if they were predicted to be deleterious by four or more SNP prediction algorithms. 14 nsSNPs met this criteria and were selected for further analysis (Table 2, see Table S2 for all 56 nsSNP prediction results).

Conservation profile of high-risk non-synonymous SNPs

Amino acids that are involved in important biological processes, such as those located in enzymatic sites or required for proteinprotein interactions, tend to be more conserved than other residues. As such, nsSNPs that are located at highly conserved amino acid positions tend to be more deleterious than nsSNPs that are located at non-conversed sites [3,64]. To further investigate the potential effects of the 14 high-risk nsSNPs in Table 2, we calculated the degree of evolutionary conservation at all amino acid sites in the TRIM22 protein using the ConSurf web server. ConSurf employs an empirical Bayesian method to determine evolutionary conservation and identify putative structural and functional residues [53]. For the purpose of this study, we focused on amino acid sites that coincide in location with the 14 high-risk nsSNPs; however, ConSurf also identified a number of other residues that may be functionally relevant (Figures S1 and S2).

Table 2. TRIM22 nsSNPs predicted to be functionally significant by four or more SNP prediction algorithms.

 $#$ Del. Pred. = number of deleterious predictions.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0101436.t002

Table 3. Conservation profile of amino acids in TRIM22 that coincide in location with high-risk nsSNPs.

CS: conservation score (1-4 = variable, $5 =$ average, 6-9 = conserved); (f): predicted functional site, (s): predicted structural site.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0101436.t003

ConSurf analysis revealed that residues L68, H73, E135, I234, S244, G346, K364, P403, L432, R442, F456, T460, and C494 are highly conserved (Conservation Score of 7–9). In addition, ConSurf predicted that T460 was an important structural residue (highly conserved and buried) and that L68, K364, and P403 were important functional residues (highly conserved and exposed) (Table 3). To identify putative structural and functional sites, ConSurf combines evolutionary conservation data with solvent accessibility predictions. Highly conserved residues are predicted to be either structural or functional based on their location relative to the protein surface or protein core [65]. Remarkably, two of the three high-risk nsSNPs that were predicted to be deleterious by all six SNP prediction algorithms (P403T and T460I) were also identified as important structural or functional residues by ConSurf (Table 2, 3). Taken together, our data strongly suggest

Figure 1. Structural models for wild type TRIM22 and high-risk nsSNPs in the B30.2 domain. Putative structural models for the B30.2 domains of wild type TRIM22 and the 9 high-risk nsSNPs located in the B30.2 domain. Variable regions (v1-v4) are highlighted as follows: v1 blue, v2 orange, v3 magenta, and v4 green. Non-variable regions are shown in white and mutated amino acids are shown in yellow. Left image: Enlarged reference image that illustrates the color and location of each variable region and the color of mutated amino acids (image shown is the v1-v4 regions of wild type TRIM22 and the P403 amino acid). Each of the 9 nsSNP images (small images on the right) show the putative 3D structure of wild type TRIM22's B30.2 domain on the left and the putative 3D structure of TRIM22's B30.2 domain with the mutated amino acid (nsSNP) on the right. The location of the amino acid in question is shown (yellow) on both wild type and nsSNP structures. All models were generated using the 3D-JigSaw protein comparative modeling server and SPDBV (v4.1). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0101436.g001

Table 4. RMSD (Å) and TM-score for the 9 high-risk nsSNPs in the B30.2 domain of TRIM22.

RMSD and Tm-scores were calculated using Tm-Align.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0101436.t004

that the nsSNPs P403T and T460I are deleterious to TRIM22 structure and/or function.

Comparative modeling of high-risk non-synonymous SNPs

To examine whether P403T and T460I altered the 3D structure of TRIM22's B30.2 domain, we individually substituted each nsSNP into the wild type TRIM22 sequence and submitted the sequences to 3D-Jigsaw for structural analysis. We also submitted sequences for the remaining 7 high-risk nsSNPs in the B30.2 domain (i.e. G346S, K364N, L432W, R442C, F456I, P484S, and C494F) since our in silico and ConSurf results indicated that these nsSNPs were also highly likely to be deleterious. Theoretical structural models were generated for each nsSNP using the 3D-Jigsaw program, which constructs 3D models for proteins based on homologues of known structure [54]. We then used Swiss-PdbViewer to compare each nsSNP model to the predicted 3D-Jigsaw model of wild type TRIM22 [55]. All of the nsSNPs altered the putative 3D structure of wild type TRIM22's B30.2 domain. G346S, P40T, L432W, F456I, and C494F introduced an alpha helix into the v2 region, whereas the other 4 nsSNPs introduced beta strands into the v2 region (Figure 1). With the exception of P484S, which introduced an alpha helix into the v3 region, all of the nsSNP models contained elongated and/or additional beta strands in the v3 region. Only G346S and F456I altered the v1 region (both introduced an alpha helix); however, all 9 nsSNPs altered the length and/or number of beta strands in non-variable regions of the B30.2 domain. Notably, P484S was the only nsSNP model that contained fewer beta strands than wild type TRIM22 in certain regions (Figure 1). The majority of nsSNP models contained a greater number of beta strands than wild type TRIM22, resulting in overall net increase in beta strand formation.

To extend our structural analysis, we used Tm-Align to calculate the Tm-score and root mean square deviation (RMSD) for each nsSNP model. Tm-score is used to assess topological similarity between wild type and mutant models, whereas RMSD is used to measure average distance between the α -carbon backbones of wild type and mutant models [56,66]. A higher RMSD typically indicates greater deviation between wild type and mutant structures. The Tm-score and RMSD for each nsSNP model is listed in Table 4. The maximum RMSD was 3.04 (R442C), followed by 3.03 (F456I), 3.00 (L432W), 2.96 (G346S), and 2.80 (P484S). RMSD for nsSNPs K364N, P403T, T460I, and Table 5. Putative ubiquitylation and sumoylation sites in the TRIM22 protein.

Conservation score (CS) shown in parentheses (see Table 3 and Figure S1) following amino acid site; Putative functional residues are indicated with bold text, whereas putative structural residues are indicated with italicized text (Figure S1); Residues predicted to undergo ubiquitylation or sumoylation by both programs are indicated with an asterisk; Residues predicted to undergo ubiquitylation or sumoylation that coincide with the location of nsSNPs are indicated with a hashtag.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0101436.t005

C494F ranged from 1.58 to 1.99 Å. These results indicate that 9 high-risk nsSNPs markedly alter the putative structure of TRIM22's B30.2 domain, in particular the surface-exposed v2 and v3 regions, and that they likely induce severe structural changes in the TRIM22 protein.

Importantly, these nsSNPs may decrease flexibility in the v2 and v3 regions of TRIM22. The v2/v3 regions of wild type TRIM22 are predicted to form relaxed loop segments, similar to the loops in the recently solved 3D structure of rhesus monkey TRIM5a's B30.2 domain [26]. In contrast, the v2 and v3 regions of the nsSNP models contain more rigid secondary structures, such as alpha helices or beta strands (Figure 1). Since loop flexibility in rhesus monkey TRIM5 α is thought to facilitate restriction of divergent retroviruses and to increase resistance to mutations in the HIV-1 capsid protein, it is possible that these nsSNPs may impair the antiviral activity and/or breadth of TRIM22. Further experiments, such as the resolution of wild type TRIM22's tertiary structure, are required to address these possibilities.

Prediction of post-translational modification sites in TRIM22

To investigate how nsSNPs may influence the post-translational modification (PTM) of TRIM22, we used a variety of in silico prediction tools to identify putative PTM sites in the TRIM22 protein. PTMs are involved in many biological processes, including a number of canonical innate immune pathways, and \mathbf{A}

B

Figure 2. Putative sumo-interacting motifs (SIMs) in TRIM22. A. List of putative SIMs in the TRIM22 protein, including the sequence and domain location for each SIM (amino acids are indicated in parentheses); Red and blue amino acids are predicted functional and structural residues, respectively (ConSurf analysis Figure S1); Asterisk: SIMs that are conserved in all mammalian TRIM22 orthologues except elephant; Double asterisk: SIMs that are not found in TRIM5a, but are replaced by a different SIM (e.g. VLTL, IVPL). B. Alignment of mammalian TRIM22, human TRIM5a, and rhesus monkey TRIM5a amino acid sequences (amino acids 350–444 of the B30.2 domain are shown). Conserved SIMs are highlighted in magenta and other SIMs are highlighted in light blue. Conserved amino acids are indicated with an asterisk. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0101436.g002

are essential for the regulation of protein structure and function [57,67–69]. To analyze residues in TRIM22 that may undergo ubiquitylation or sumoylation, we used the UbPred, BDM-PUB, SUMO-plot, and SUMOsp 2.0 programs. The GPS 2.1 and NetPhos 2.0 servers were used to predict serine, threonine, and tyrosine phosphorylation sites in the TRIM22 protein [2,58,59,70].

UbPred predicted that 6 lysine residues in TRIM22 undergo ubiquitylation. In contrast, BDM-PUB predicted that 19 lysine residues undergo ubiquitylation. Both UbPred and BDM-PUB predicted that residues K63, K160, and K173 undergo ubiquitylation (Table 5). According to ConSurf, these 3 lysine residues are highly conserved and exposed to the protein surface. ConSurf also predicted that K173 was a functional residue (Figure S1). SUMOplot predicted that 4 lysine residues in TRIM22 undergo sumoylation, whereas SUMOsp 2.0 predicted that 2 lysine residues undergo sumoylation. Both programs predicted that K153 undergoes sumoylation (Table 5). Similar to K173, ConSurf showed that K153 is highly conserved and exposed to the protein surface. ConSurf also predicted that K153 was a functional residue (Figure S1).

In addition to putative sumoylation sites, we also identified 7 potential sumo-interacting motifs (SIM) (Figure 2A). SIMs are short hydrophobic motifs that interact non-covalently with other sumoylated proteins. The best characterized SIMs have the consensus sequence V/I/L-x-V/I/L-V/I/L or V/I/L-V/I/L-x-

V/I/L [61]. Notably, 5 of the putative SIMs are highly conserved in multiple TRIM22 orthologues and 3 are also present in the human and rhesus monkey TRIM5 α proteins (Figure 2B). In addition, 2 TRIM5 α SIMs (ILGV and VIGL) were previously shown to be required for $TRIM5\alpha$ -mediated antiviral activity. SIM mutations in the rhesus monkey $TRIM5\alpha$ protein abolished HIV-1 restriction and disrupted TRIM5 α trafficking to SUMO-1 nuclear bodies. Moreover, SIM mutations in the human TRIM5a protein abrogated N-MLV restriction by preventing TRIM5a binding to the sumoylated N-MLV capsid protein [60,71]. More studies are needed to determine the role that SIMs play in TRIM22-mediated antiviral activity.

To identify putative phosphorylation sites in TRIM22, we used GPS 2.1 and NetPhos 2.0 servers. The GPS 2.1 server predicted that there were 31 serine-specific phosphorylation sites, 13 threonine-specific sites, and 11 tyrosine-specific sites in the TRIM22 protein. Conversely, NetPhos 2.0 predicted that there were 19 serine-specific phosphorylation sites, 4 threonine-specific sites, and 2 tyrosine-specific sites (Table 6). 16 serine residues, 3 threonine residues, and 2 tyrosine residues were predicted to be phosphorylated by both GPS 2.1 and NetPhos 2.0 servers. Many of these putative phosphorylation sites are highly conserved among multiple TRIM22 orthologues and several were predicted to be important structural or functional residues by ConSurf (Table 6, Figure S1). Although TRIM22 phosphorylation has never been demonstrated experimentally, our results suggest that it may Table 6. Putative phosphorylation sites in the TRIM22 protein.

Conservation score (CS) shown in parentheses (see Table 3 and Figure S1) following amino acid site; Putative functional residues are indicated with bold text, whereas putative structural residues are indicated with italicized text (Figure S1); Residues predicted to undergo phosphorylation by both GPS 2.1 and NetPhos 2.0 are indicated with an asterisk; Residues predicted to undergo phosphorylation that also coincide with the location of nsSNPs are indicated with a hashtag. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0101436.t006

undergo phosphorylation at a number of sites. Of interest, other TRIM proteins have been shown to undergo phosphorylation, including the antiviral TRIM19 and TRIM21 proteins [72–76].

Several putative PTMs coincide in location with nsSNPs in the TRIM22 gene (T61, T232, S244, T294, T330, K332, and T460). S244 and T460 are particularly interesting because both sites are highly conserved among TRIM22 orthologues and S244L and T460I were predicted to be deleterious by 5 and 6 in silico algorithms, respectively (Table 2, 3). In addition, T460 was predicted to be a critical structural residue by ConSurf. Although the consequences of TRIM22 phosphorylation are currently unknown, the mutation of phosphorylation sites in other proteins has been shown to profoundly alter protein function by, for example, altering protein stability, localization, or protein-protein interactions. To this end, we used I-Mutant to predict whether S244L and T460I altered the stability of the TRIM22 protein. I- Mutant is a support vector machine-based tool that predicts changes in protein stability following single site mutations by estimating free energy changes as well as the direction of the change (increase or decrease) [62]. Both S244L and T460I were predicted to be less stable than the wild type protein, with free energy change values of -0.83 and -1.38 , respectively (Table 7). The I-Mutant results for the 12 high-risk nsSNPs that do not coincide with putative PTM sites, plus the results for the 5 low-risk nsSNPs that do coincide with putative PTM sites, are also shown in Table 7.

It is possible that the phosphorylation of TRIM22 at sites S244 and/or T460 is required for some integral TRIM22 function and that the nsSNPs S244L and T460I impair this function; however, these nsSNPs may also impair protein stability, which would likely amplify any detrimental of PTM impairment. Many additional high-risk nsSNPs, plus several low-risk nsSNPs located at putative Table 7. I-Mutant results for selected nsSNPs in the TRIM22 protein.

Del. Pred. = number of deleterious predictions; nsSNPs with 4 or more deleterious predictions are considered high-risk nsSNPs, while nsSNPs with less than 4 deleterious predictions are considered low-risk; DDG: free energy change value in Kcal/mol (>0 increase, <0 decrease, >0.5 large increase, <-0.5 large decrease); Sign of DDG: the direction of the change (increase or decrease); The reliability index (RI) from 0–9 is shown in parentheses, where 0 is the lowest RI and 9 is the highest); PTM: predicted post-translational modification site; ConSurf results are shown in the last column (number represents the conservation score (CS) from 1–9, letter represents whether the residue was predicted to be exposed (e) or buried (b), putative functional residues are indicated with bold text; whereas putative structural residues are indicated with italicized text (Figure S1); Sites with an additional ConSurf result in parentheses are located next to putative functional (9e) or structural (9b) residues; nsSNPs with the largest predicted stability decreases (DDG <-1.0) that also have a RI score of \geq 5 are indicated with an asterisk. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0101436.t007

• Deleterious nsSNP

Figure 3. Putative functional sites in the TRIM22 protein. Schematic depicting the approximate location of the top predicted PTM sites (ubiquitylation, sumoylation, and phosphorylation), the 14 high-risk nsSNPs in TRIM22, the 3 sumo-interacting motifs (SIMs), and the 2 high-risk nsSNP sites (S244L and T460I) predicted to undergo phosphorylation in the wild type TRIM22 protein. Several sites of known functional importance are marked on the TRIM22 protein (top image), including the C15/C18 residues (required for TRIM22 E3 ligase activity), the C97/H100 residues (part of the zinc-binding motif in BB2), and the nuclear localization signal (NLS) [81–83]. The 'antiviral patch' region, which was previously shown to be integral for the antiviral activity of TRIM5a, is shown in the B30.2 domain, as well as the approximate location of each variable region (v1-v4, bright blue areas) [28,33]. Amino acids 491–494 were previously shown to be required for the nuclear localization of TRIM22 [84]. RING, B-box 2 (BB2), coiledcoil (CC), and B30.2 (PRY/SPRY) domains are listed. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0101436.g003

PTM sites, also decreased TRIM22 protein stability (Table 7). A number of studies have shown that decreased protein stability leads to increased protein misfolding, aggregation, and degradation. Accordingly, decreased stability typically results in decreased net function [77–80]. Future in-depth studies are required to investigate the effects of these nsSNPs on the structure and function of TRIM22's B30.2 domain. Pertinent TRIM22 sites that are predicted to be highly deleterious and/or undergo PTMs are depicted in Figure 3.

Conclusions

Our results demonstrate that multiple nsSNPs in the antiviral TRIM22 gene may be deleterious to TRIM22 structure and/or function. Most of these high-risk nsSNPs are located at highly conserved amino acid sites in a protein-protein interaction module called the B30.2 domain. In this study, we show that 9 of the top high-risk nsSNPs disrupt the putative structure of TRIM22's B30.2 domain, particularly the surface-exposed v2 and v3 regions. In the closely-related TRIM5 α protein, these same regions were previously shown to play a key role in retroviral restriction. In addition to these findings, we also identify several TRIM22 sites that may undergo post-translational modification, including sites that coincide with the location of high-risk nsSNPs. This study is the first systematic and extensive in silico analysis of functional SNPs in the TRIM22 gene.

Supporting Information

Figure S1 ConSurf analysis of amino acid sites in the TRIM22 protein. Schematic showing ConSurf results for the

References

- 1. Ramensky V (2002) Human non-synonymous SNPs: server and survey. Nucleic Acids Res 30: 3894–3900.
- 2. Radivojac P, Vacic V, Haynes C, Cocklin RR, Mohan A, et al. (2010) Identification, analysis, and prediction of protein ubiquitination sites. Proteins 78: 365–380.
- 3. Doniger SW, Kim HS, Swain D, Corcuera D, Williams M, et al. (2008) A catalog of neutral and deleterious polymorphism in yeast. PLoS Genet 4: e1000183.
- 4. Daley D, Park JE, He J-Q, Yan J, Akhabir L, et al. (2012) Associations and interactions of genetic polymorphisms in innate immunity genes with early viral infections and susceptibility to asthma and asthma-related phenotypes. J Allergy Clin Immunol 130: 1284–1293.
- 5. Azad AK, Sadee W, Schlesinger LS (2012) Innate immune gene polymorphisms in tuberculosis. Infect Immun 80: 3343–3359.
- 6. Netea MG, Wijmenga C, O'Neill LAJ (2012) Genetic variation in Toll-like receptors and disease susceptibility. Nat Immunol 13: 535–542.
- 7. Sobieszczyk ME, Lingappa JR, McElrath MJ (2011) Host genetic polymorphisms associated with innate immune factors and HIV-1. Curr Opin HIV AIDS 6: 427–434.
- 8. Heim MH (2013) Innate immunity and HCV. J Hepatol 58: 564–574.
- Santana-de Anda K, Gómez-Martín D, Díaz-Zamudio M, Alcocer-Varela J (2011) Interferon regulatory factors: beyond the antiviral response and their link to the development of autoimmune pathology. Autoimmun Rev 11: 98–103.
- 10. Nisole S, Stoye JP, Saïb A (2005) TRIM family proteins: retroviral restriction and antiviral defence. Nat Rev Microbiol 3: 799–808.
- 11. Hattlmann CJ, Kelly JN, Barr SD (2012) TRIM22: A Diverse and Dynamic Antiviral Protein. Mol Biol Int 2012: 153415.
- 12. Jefferies C, Wynne C, Higgs R (2011) Antiviral TRIMs: friend or foe in autoimmune and autoinflammatory disease? Nat Rev Immunol 11: 617–625.
- 13. Reymond A, Meroni G, Fantozzi A, Merla G, Cairo S, et al. (2001) The tripartite motif family identifies cell compartments. EMBO J 20: 2140–2151. 14. Meroni G, Diez-Roux G (2005) TRIM/RBCC, a novel class of ''single protein
- RING finger'' E3 ubiquitin ligases. Bioessays 27: 1147–1157. 15. Marín I (2012) Origin and diversification of TRIM ubiquitin ligases. PLoS One
- 7: e50030. 16. Kawai T, Akira S (2011) Regulation of innate immune signalling pathways by
- the tripartite motif (TRIM) family proteins. EMBO Mol Med 3: 513–527. 17. Carthagena L, Bergamaschi A, Luna JM, David A, Uchil PD, et al. (2009)
- Human TRIM gene expression in response to interferons. PLoS One 4: e4894.
- 18. McNab FW, Rajsbaum R, Stoye JP, O'Garra A (2011) Tripartite-motif proteins and innate immune regulation. Curr Opin Immunol 23: 46–56.

human TRIM22 protein. Amino acids were ranked on a conservation scale of 1–9 and are highlighted as follows: blue residues (1–4) are variable, white residues (5) are average, and purple residues (6–9) are conserved. Residues predicted to be exposed to the surface of the protein are indicated via an orange letter 'e', while residues predicted to be buried are indicated via a green letter 'b'. Putative structural residues are demarcated with a blue letter 's' (highly conserved and buried), whereas putative functional residues are demarcated with a red letter 'f' (highly conserved and exposed).

(PDF)

Figure S2 ConSurf analysis of amino acid sites in a variety of aligned primate TRIM22 protein sequences. (PDF)

Table S1 Non-synonymous SNPs in the TRIM22 protein.

(XLSX)

Table S2 Prediction results for nsSNPs in the TRIM22 protein.

(XLSX)

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: JNK SDB. Performed the experiments: JNK SDB. Analyzed the data: JNK SDB. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: JNK SDB. Wrote the paper: JNK SDB.

- 19. Rajsbaum R, Stoye JP, O'Garra A (2008) Type I interferon-dependent and independent expression of tripartite motif proteins in immune cells. Eur J Immunol 38: 619–630.
- 20. Uchil PD, Quinlan BD, Chan W-T, Luna JM, Mothes W (2008) TRIM E3 ligases interfere with early and late stages of the retroviral life cycle. PLoS Pathog 4: e16.
- 21. Ohmine S, Sakuma R, Sakuma T, Thatava T, Takeuchi H, et al. (2011) The antiviral spectra of TRIM5 α orthologues and human TRIM family proteins against lentiviral production. PLoS One 6: e16121.
- 22. Li Y, Li X, Stremlau M, Lee M, Sodroski J (2006) Removal of arginine 332 allows human TRIM5alpha to bind human immunodeficiency virus capsids and to restrict infection. J Virol 80: 6738–6744.
- 23. Stremlau M, Owens CM, Perron MJ (2004) The cytoplasmic body component TRIM5a restricts HIV-1 infection in Old World monkeys. 427.
- 24. Stremlau M, Perron M, Welikala S, Sodroski J (2005) Species-Specific Variation in the B30.2 (SPRY) Domain of TRIM5a Determines the Potency of Human Immunodeficiency Virus Restriction. 79: 3139–3145.
- 25. Pertel T, Hausmann S, Morger D, Züger S, Guerra J, et al. (2011) TRIM5 is an innate immune sensor for the retrovirus capsid lattice. Nature 472: 361–365.
- 26. Biris N, Yang Y, Taylor AB, Tomashevski A, Guo M, et al. (2012) Structure of the rhesus monkey TRIM5 a PRYSPRY domain, the HIV capsid recognition module. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 109: 13278–13283.
- 27. Yap MW, Stoye JP (2005) A Single Amino Acid Change in the SPRY Domain of Human Trim5a Leads to HIV-1 Restriction. 15: 73–78.
- 28. Ohkura S, Yap MW, Sheldon T, Stoye JP (2006) All three variable regions of the TRIM5alpha B30.2 domain can contribute to the specificity of retrovirus restriction. J Virol 80: 8554–8565.
- 29. Perron MJ, Stremlau M, Sodroski J (2006) Two surface-exposed elements of the B30.2/SPRY domain as potency determinants of N-tropic murine leukemia virus restriction by human TRIM5alpha. J Virol 80: 5631–5636.
- 30. Kono K, Bozek K, Domingues FS, Shioda T, Nakayama EE (2009) Impact of a single amino acid in the variable region 2 of the Old World monkey TRIM5alpha SPRY (B30.2) domain on anti-human immunodeficiency virus type 2 activity. Virology 388: 160–168.
- 31. Sawyer SL, Wu LI, Emerman M, Malik HS (2005) Positive selection of primate TRIM5alpha identifies a critical species-specific retroviral restriction domain. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 102: 2832–2837.
- 32. Song B, Gold B, Colm O, Javanbakht H, Li X, et al. (2005) The B30. 2 (SPRY) Domain of the Retroviral Restriction Factor TRIM5a Exhibits Lineage-Specific Length and Sequence Variation in Primates. J Virol 79: 6111–6121.
- 33. Sawyer SL, Emerman M, Malik HS (2007) Discordant evolution of the adjacent antiretroviral genes TRIM22 and TRIM5 in mammals. PLoS Pathog 3: e197.
- 34. Bouazzaoui A, Kreutz M, Eisert V, Dinauer N, Heinzelmann A, et al. (2006) Stimulated trans-acting factor of 50 kDa (Staf50) inhibits HIV-1 replication in human monocyte-derived macrophages. Virology 356: 79–94.
- 35. Barr SD, Smiley JR, Bushman FD (2008) The interferon response inhibits HIV particle production by induction of TRIM22. PLoS Pathog 4: e1000007.
- 36. Tissot C, Mechti N (1995) Molecular cloning of a new interferon-induced factor that represses human immunodeficiency virus type 1 long terminal repeat expression. J Biol Chem 270: 14891–14898.
- 37. Kajaste-Rudnitski A, Marelli SS, Pultrone C, Pertel T, Uchil PD, et al. (2011) TRIM22 inhibits HIV-1 transcription independently of its E3 ubiquitin ligase activity, Tat, and NF-kappaB-responsive long terminal repeat elements. J Virol 85: 5183–5196.
- 38. Singh R, Gaiha G, Werner L, McKim K, Mlisana K, et al. (2011) Association of TRIM22 with the type 1 interferon response and viral control during primary HIV-1 infection. J Virol 85: 208–216.
- 39. Singh R, Patel V, Mureithi MW, Naranbhai V, Ramsuran D, et al. (2014) $\text{TRIM5}\alpha$ and TRIM22 are differentially regulated according to HIV-1 infection phase and compartment. J Virol.
- 40. Van Manen D, Rits MAN, Beugeling C, van Dort K, Schuitemaker H, et al. (2008) The effect of Trim5 polymorphisms on the clinical course of HIV-1 infection. PLoS Pathog 4: e18.
- 41. Nakajima T, Nakayama EE, Kaur G, Terunuma H, Mimaya J, et al. (2009) Impact of novel TRIM5alpha variants, Gly110Arg and G176del, on the anti-HIV-1 activity and the susceptibility to HIV-1 infection. AIDS 23: 2091–2100.
- 42. Goldschmidt V, Bleiber G, May M, Martinez R, Ortiz M, et al. (2006) Role of common human TRIM5alpha variants in HIV-1 disease progression. Retrovirology 3: 54.
- 43. Sawyer SL, Wu LI, Akey JM, Emerman M, Malik HS (2006) High-frequency persistence of an impaired allele of the retroviral defense gene TRIM5alpha in humans. Curr Biol 16: 95–100.
- 44. The UniProt Consortium (2014) Activities at the Universal Protein Resource (UniProt). Nucleic Acids Res 42: D191–8.
- 45. Flicek P, Ahmed I, Amode MR, Barrell D, Beal K, et al. (2013) Ensembl 2013. Nucleic Acids Res 41: D48–55.
- 46. Sherry ST, Ward MH, Kholodov M, Baker J, Phan L, et al. (2001) dbSNP: the NCBI database of genetic variation. Nucleic Acids Res 29: 308–311.
- 47. Adzhubei IA, Schmidt S, Peshkin L, Ramensky VE, Gerasimova A, et al. (2010) A method and server for predicting damaging missense mutations. Nat Methods 7: 248–249.
- 48. Kumar P, Henikoff S, Ng PC (2009) Predicting the effects of coding nonsynonymous variants on protein function using the SIFT algorithm. Nat Protoc 4: 1073–1081.
- 49. Bao L, Zhou M, Cui Y (2005) nsSNPAnalyzer: identifying disease-associated nonsynonymous single nucleotide polymorphisms. Nucleic Acids Res 33: W480– 2.
- 50. Ferrer-Costa C, Orozco M, de la Cruz X (2002) Characterization of diseaseassociated single amino acid polymorphisms in terms of sequence and structure properties. J Mol Biol 315: 771–786.
- 51. Capriotti E, Calabrese R, Casadio R (2006) Predicting the insurgence of human genetic diseases associated to single point protein mutations with support vector machines and evolutionary information. Bioinformatics 22: 2729–2734.
- 52. Calabrese R, Capriotti E, Fariselli P, Martelli PL, Casadio R (2009) Functional annotations improve the predictive score of human disease-related mutations in proteins. Hum Mutat 30: 1237–1244.
- 53. Ashkenazy H, Erez E, Martz E, Pupko T, Ben-Tal N (2010) ConSurf 2010: calculating evolutionary conservation in sequence and structure of proteins and nucleic acids. Nucleic Acids Res 38: W529–33.
- 54. Bates PA, Kelley LA, MacCallum RM, Sternberg MJ (2001) Enhancement of protein modeling by human intervention in applying the automatic programs 3D-JIGSAW and 3D-PSSM. Proteins Suppl 5: 39–46.
- 55. Guex N, Peitsch MC (1997) SWISS-MODEL and the Swiss-PdbViewer: an environment for comparative protein modeling. Electrophoresis 18: 2714–2723.
- 56. Zhang Y, Skolnick J (2005) TM-align: a protein structure alignment algorithm based on the TM-score. Nucleic Acids Res 33: 2302–2309.
- 57. Gill G (2003) Post-translational modification by the small ubiquitin-related modifier SUMO has big effects on transcription factor activity. Curr Opin Genet Dev 13: 108–113.
- 58. Blom N, Gammeltoft S, Brunak S (1999) Sequence and structure-based prediction of eukaryotic protein phosphorylation sites. J Mol Biol 294: 1351– 1362.
- 59. Xue Y, Liu Z, Cao J, Ma Q, Gao X, et al. (2011) GPS 2.1: enhanced prediction of kinase-specific phosphorylation sites with an algorithm of motif length selection. Protein Eng Des Sel 24: 255–260.
- 60. Arriagada G, Muntean LN, Goff SP (2011) SUMO-interacting motifs of human TRIM5a are important for antiviral activity. PLoS Pathog 7: e1002019. Available: [http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.](http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=3072370&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract) [fcgi?artid = 3072370&tool = pmcentrez&rendertype = abstract.](http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=3072370&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract) Accessed 1 November 2013.
- 61. Hecker C-M, Rabiller M, Haglund K, Bayer P, Dikic I (2006) Specification of SUMO1- and SUMO2-interacting motifs. J Biol Chem 281: 16117–16127.
- 62. Capriotti E, Fariselli P, Casadio R (2005) I-Mutant2.0: predicting stability changes upon mutation from the protein sequence or structure. Nucleic Acids Res 33: W306–10.
- 63. Mavroconstanti T, Johansson S, Winge I, Knappskog PM, Haavik J (2013) Functional properties of rare missense variants of human CDH13 found in adult attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) patients. PLoS One 8: e71445.
- 64. Miller MP, Kumar S (2001) Understanding human disease mutations through the use of interspecific genetic variation. Hum Mol Genet 10: 2319–2328.
- 65. Berezin C, Glaser F, Rosenberg J, Paz I, Pupko T, et al. (2004) ConSeq: the identification of functionally and structurally important residues in protein sequences. Bioinformatics 20: 1322–1324.
- 66. Carugo O, Pongor S (2001) A normalized root-mean-square distance for comparing protein three-dimensional structures. Protein Sci 10: 1470–1473.
- 67. Dai C, Gu W (2010) p53 post-translational modification: deregulated in tumorigenesis. Trends Mol Med 16: 528–536.
- 68. Gallego M, Virshup DM (2007) Post-translational modifications regulate the ticking of the circadian clock. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 8: 139–148.
- 69. Shiloh Y, Ziv Y (2013) The ATM protein kinase: regulating the cellular response to genotoxic stress, and more. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 14: 197–210.
- 70. Ren J, Gao X, Jin C, Zhu M, Wang X, et al. (2009) Systematic study of protein sumoylation: Development of a site-specific predictor of SUMOsp 2.0. Proteomics 9: 3409–3412.
- 71. Lukic Z, Goff SP, Campbell EM, Arriagada G (2013) Role of SUMO-1 and SUMO interacting motifs in rhesus TRIM5 α -mediated restriction. Retrovirology 10: 10.
- 72. Gresko E, Ritterhoff S, Sevilla-Perez J, Roscic A, Fröbius K, et al. (2009) PML tumor suppressor is regulated by HIPK2-mediated phosphorylation in response to DNA damage. Oncogene 28: 698–708.
- 73. Hayakawa F, Privalsky ML (2004) Phosphorylation of PML by mitogenactivated protein kinases plays a key role in arsenic trioxide-mediated apoptosis. Cancer Cell 5: 389–401.
- 74. Stacey KB, Breen E, Jefferies CA (2012) Tyrosine phosphorylation of the E3 ubiquitin ligase TRIM21 positively regulates interaction with IRF3 and hence TRIM21 activity. PLoS One 7: e34041.
- 75. Roberts JD, Chiche J-D, Kolpa EM, Bloch DB, Bloch KD (2007) cGMPdependent protein kinase I interacts with TRIM39R, a novel Rpp21 domaincontaining TRIM protein. Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol 293: L903–12.
- 76. Valiyeva F, Jiang F, Elmaadawi A, Moussa M, Yee S-P, et al. (2011) Characterization of the oncogenic activity of the novel TRIM59 gene in mouse cancer models. Mol Cancer Ther 10: 1229–1240.
- 77. Prior TW, Papp AC, Snyder PJ, Burghes AH, Bartolo C, et al. (1993) A missense mutation in the dystrophin gene in a Duchenne muscular dystrophy patient. Nat Genet 4: 357–360.
- 78. Singh SM, Kongari N, Cabello-Villegas J, Mallela KMG (2010) Missense mutations in dystrophin that trigger muscular dystrophy decrease protein stability and lead to cross-beta aggregates. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 107: 15069–15074.
- 79. Mayer S, Rüdiger S, Ang HC, Joerger AC, Fersht AR (2007) Correlation of levels of folded recombinant p53 in escherichia coli with thermodynamic stability in vitro. J Mol Biol 372: 268–276.
- 80. Du K, Sharma M, Lukacs GL (2005) The DeltaF508 cystic fibrosis mutation impairs domain-domain interactions and arrests post-translational folding of CFTR. Nat Struct Mol Biol 12: 17–25.
- 81. Duan Z, Gao B, Xu W, Xiong S (2008) Identification of TRIM22 as a RING finger E3 ubiquitin ligase. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 374: 502–506.
- 82. Gamsjaeger R, Liew CK, Loughlin FE, Crossley M, Mackay JP (2007) Sticky fingers: zinc-fingers as protein-recognition motifs. Trends Biochem Sci 32: 63– 70.
- 83. Borden KL (1998) RING fingers and B-boxes: zinc-binding protein-protein interaction domains. Biochem Cell Biol 76: 351–358.
- 84. Sivaramakrishnan G, Sun Y, Rajmohan R, Lin VCL (2009) B30.2/SPRY domain in tripartite motif-containing 22 is essential for the formation of distinct nuclear bodies. FEBS Lett 583: 2093–2099.